



Kicking velocity and effect on match performance when using a smaller, lighter ball in women's football

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Sports Medicine</i>
Manuscript ID	IJSM-11-2015-5352-tt.R1
Manuscript Type:	Training & Testing
Key word:	Foot velocity, heart rate, lactate, locomotor activities, passes, success rate
Abstract:	<p>The present study evaluated the effect of a smaller, lighter ball on kicking speed and technical-tactical and physical match performance in high-level adult female footballers. In the laboratory test setting, the peak ball velocity was 6% higher with the new ball (NB) than the standard ball (SB) (26.5 ± 0.5 vs 25.1 ± 0.5 m·s⁻¹, $p < 0.05$). However, during match-play, no differences were observed in mean heart rate (87 ± 5 vs $87 \pm 5\%$HRmax; $p > 0.05$), blood lactate (90 min: 4.7 ± 1.7 and 4.0 ± 1.7 mmol·l⁻¹; $p > 0.05$), total distance covered (10.6 ± 0.9 and 10.4 ± 0.8 km; $p > 0.05$), intense running (>16 km/h) (2.08 ± 0.42 and 1.94 ± 0.38 km; $p > 0.05$) and match-induced decrement in Yo-Yo IR1 performance (28 vs 31%, respectively, $p < 0.05$) using NB compared to SB. Likewise, no difference was observed in the number of short, medium-range or long passes during matches played with the two ball types, and there was no difference in passing success rate (NB: $68 \pm 1\%$ and SB: $68 \pm 1\%$, $p > 0.05$). In conclusion, high-level adult female footballers had a higher kicking speed when using a smaller, lighter ball, but no differences were observed during match-play with the two ball types in respect of technical and physical match performance. The physical loading was high for the players when playing with both ball types.</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Kicking velocity and effect on match performance when using a smaller, lighter ball in women’s football

For Peer Review

Abstract

The present study evaluated the effect of a smaller, lighter ball on kicking speed and technical-tactical and physical match performance in high-level adult female footballers. In the laboratory test setting, the peak ball velocity was 6% higher with the new ball (NB) than the standard ball (SB) (26.5 ± 0.5 vs 25.1 ± 0.5 m·s⁻¹, $p < 0.05$). However, during match-play, no differences were observed in mean heart rate (87 ± 5 vs $87 \pm 5\%$ HR_{max}; $p > 0.05$), blood lactate (90 min: 4.7 ± 1.7 and 4.0 ± 1.7 mmol·l⁻¹; $p > 0.05$), total distance covered (10.6 ± 0.9 and 10.4 ± 0.8 km; $p > 0.05$), intense running (> 16 km/h) (2.08 ± 0.42 and 1.94 ± 0.38 km; $p > 0.05$) and match-induced decrement in Yo-Yo IR1 performance (28 vs 31%, respectively, $p < 0.05$) using NB compared to SB. Likewise, no difference was observed in the number of short, medium-range or long passes during matches played with the two ball types, and there was no difference in passing success rate (NB: $68 \pm 1\%$ and SB: $68 \pm 1\%$, $p > 0.05$). In conclusion, high-level adult female footballers had a higher kicking speed when using a smaller, lighter ball, but no differences were observed during match-play with the two ball types in respect of technical-tactical and physical match performance. The physical loading was high for the players when playing with both ball types.

Key words: Foot velocity, heart rate, lactate, locomotor activities, ball velocity, passes, success rate

Introduction

Football is one of the world's most popular sports, with billions of spectators and more than 240 million football club members worldwide, of which almost 22 million are women FIFA. http://web.archive.org/web/20060915133001/http://access.fifa.com/infoplus/IP-199_01E_big-count.pdf. 2006. There are anthropometrical and physiological differences between female and male footballers. Female footballers have a lower maximal running speed, jump height and maximal oxygen uptake [13,17] and are shorter and lighter [9]. As such, these factors might not affect female match performance, since the members of an opposing team have the same capacities. In recent studies comparing male and female elite players, it was found that there are aspects of match performance that differ between genders [4,8]. Female players have a much higher number of short passes and fewer losses of ball possession. Based on the anthropometrical differences between genders, it can be argued that the size and mass of the ball should be lower in women's football, yet the same types of ball are used in international male and female football. In a study of young women, it was shown in a laboratory experiment that the maximal kicking velocity was 4% higher with a smaller, lighter ball (circumference 0.64 m, mass 0.36 kg) than with a standard ball (circumference 0.69 m, mass 0.43 kg) [1]. However, when matches played with the smaller, lighter ball were compared to matches played between the same teams with the standard ball, the only differences observed were that the lower-limb rating of perceived exertion (RPE) was lower with a smaller, lighter ball, whereas no differences were observed in the number of long or short passes, crosses or shots at goal or in the success rate. Likewise, no differences were observed in the players' running activities or heart rates. Based on that study, it can be argued that very few differences are brought about by changing the ball type. However, the subjects were young sub-elite players and it could be possible that these players did not have the skills or experience to adapt to playing with a different ball offering the potential for longer, faster shots and passes. It would

1
2
3
4 therefore be of great interest to carry out similar investigations for adult high-level female players.
5
6 Accordingly, the aim of the present study was to evaluate whether the introduction of a smaller,
7
8 lighter ball had an effect on kicking velocity and physical and technical match performance in high
9
10 level adult female footballers.
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Methods**

18 *Subjects*

19
20 A total of twenty-seven female football players from three teams gave their written
21
22 informed consent to participate in the study.
23
24
25

26
27 Twelve of the players played in the best league in Denmark and had been playing for
28
29 15.5 years (12–20 years). They typically trained 4.5 hours per week and played one match per
30
31 week. They gave their informed consent to participate in the kicking experiments, which involved
32
33 kicking with both a standard ball (SB₁; Select Brillant Super, Select Sport A/S, FIFA standard size
34
35 5, circumference 69 cm, mass 0.445 kg, pressure 0.9 bar) and a new ball developed for female play
36
37 (NB; Sensational1, circumference 64 cm, mass 0.360 kg, pressure 0.8 bar), which the teams used in
38
39 training sessions for two weeks. Mean age, height and body mass were 22±2 (±SD) (range: 20–26)
40
41 years, 1.69±0.07 (1.55–1.80) m and 61±7 (49–72) kg. Another fifteen players were outfield players
42
43 in the second (Fløya IF) and third (Tromsdalen) best leagues in Norway and had been playing
44
45 football for more than 10 years. They played two games (11v11) against each other, i.e. one game
46
47 with the standard ball (SB₂; Puma, pwr-c 1.10, FIFA standard size 5, circumference 69 cm, mass
48
49 0.445 kg, pressure 0.9 bar) and another with the new ball (NB), with one week separating the
50
51 games. The familiarisation period with NB was two weeks. Both games were played on artificial
52
53 grass with the same referees. At the time of the experiment, the players were practicing 4–5 times
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 per week and playing one match per week. Technical-tactical and physical match performance were
5
6 measured during the games. Mean age, height and body mass were 21 ± 6 (\pm SD) (15–32) years,
7
8 1.70 ± 0.07 (1.55–1.78) m and 62 ± 7 (51–73) kg, respectively. Written informed consent to
9
10 participate in the study was obtained from the players, and meets the ethical standards of the
11
12 International Journal of Sports Medicine [10].
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 *Kicking velocity*

21 Kicking velocity was tested in a randomised order with NB and SB₁. Twelve elite
22
23 players took part in the kicking analyses. During the experiments, performed in an indoor gym, the
24
25 subjects wore the same type of indoor football shoes (Puma ESITO XL, Herzogenaurach, Germany)
26
27 to minimise the effect of shoe type on the results. After a 10-min light warm-up, including test
28
29 kicks, the subjects were instructed to perform five maximal velocity instep kicks with each of the
30
31 two balls. For the purpose of standardisation, all the subjects used a three-step approach at an angle
32
33 of 22.5–45.0 degrees, which was marked on the floor. To be valid, a kick had to hit a target of 1x1
34
35 m starting 0.15 m above the floor and attached to a net 4 m away from the ball. The order of testing
36
37 was randomised between subjects. Three spherical reflective markers were attached in a triangle to
38
39 each of the two balls. Four other markers were attached to the hip (Trochanter Major), knee
40
41 (Condylus Lateralis Femoris), ankle (Malleolus Lateralis) and toe (Caput Metatarsalis V) of the
42
43 kicking leg of each participant. The three-dimensional motion of the markers was recorded with an
44
45 eight-camera opto-electronic system operating at a frame rate of 500 Hz (ProReflex MCU1000,
46
47 Qualisys Medical AB, Sweden). The geometrical centre of the ball was calculated from the three
48
49 markers placed on the surface of the ball [3]. The beginning of the impact was determined as the
50
51 first frame in which the ball showed positive acceleration. V_{ball} was defined as the average resultant
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 velocity over ten frames, starting 16 ms (eight frames) after the beginning of the impact, since the
5 duration of the impact period is between 10 and 13 ms [11,15]. Displacement data from the leg
6 were filtered using a fourth-order Butterworth low-pass filter (75 Hz, determined by residual
7 analysis) with zero degrees phase lag [20], from 70 ms before impact until the last frame before
8 impact. Before filtering, the ends of the signal were extrapolated by 50 data points by mirroring in
9 both vertical and horizontal directions, so the position and slope of the original and the extrapolated
10 sequence were matched at the end-point [19]. After filtering, the extrapolated sequence was
11 removed. From the filtered displacement data, the velocity of the foot was determined at the last
12 frame before impact as the velocity of the centre of mass of the foot at the midpoint between the
13 two markers placed on the foot. The velocity of the knee was determined as the velocity of the knee
14 marker at the last frame before impact. To quantify the transfer of velocity from the foot to the ball,
15 the ratio between ball velocity after impact and foot velocity before impact (k) was calculated.
16 Furthermore, the coefficient of restitution was calculated using the formula in Bull Andersen et al.
17 [2] and anthropometrical data from Winter [20]. The kicking distance was estimated by calculating
18 the horizontal distance of the ball when projected at an angle of 26 degrees. These calculations did
19 not include possible effects of aero dynamical drag and lift.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 *HR monitoring*

43
44 Before the games, heart-rate monitors were placed around the chest (Polar Team 2
45 System, Polar Electro Ov, Kempele, Finland). The heart rate chest strap, including the heart-rate
46 monitor, weighs less than 100 g and allows the players to move freely. Heart rate was recorded in 5-
47 s intervals during each game and during the Yo-Yo IR1 tests using short-range radio telemetry.
48 Mean heart rate values were calculated in absolute (bpm) and relative ($\%HR_{\text{peak}}$) terms. Heart rate
49 distribution, expressed as percentage of total time, was also calculated. Peak heart rate (HR_{peak}) was
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 determined as the highest heart rate achieved in either the Yo-Yo IR1 tests or the games. The games
5
6 lasted 2x45 min with a 15-min half-time interval.
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 14 *Activity pattern*

15
16 The activity pattern of the players was monitored using the ZXY-Sport Tracking
17
18 System (ZXY, Trondheim, Norway). Each player wore a belt with an electronic sensor system at
19
20 the player's lumbar. The ZXY system uses the 2.45 GHz ISM band for radio communication and
21
22 signal transmissions. All stationary sensors (10) are interfaced to the data infrastructure using
23
24 standard TCP/IP Ethernet connections. The stationary sensors compute the position data for each
25
26 belt on the field by providing advanced vector-based processing of the received radio signals. The
27
28 processing system in each stationary sensor enables direct projection of the player's positions on the
29
30 field without the use of conventional triangulation methods. The default resolution is fixed at 20 Hz
31
32 for each belt [7,18]. High-intensity running (HIR) was defined as speed $>16.0 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ and sprinting
33
34 as speed $>20.0 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$. Acceleration counts were defined as a positive or negative change in speed
35
36 of more than $2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$ over a period of more than 0.5 s. After the games, the data were downloaded to
37
38 a computer and analysed. Total distance, acceleration counts and distance covered in walking (<7.0
39
40 $\text{km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$), jogging ($7.0\text{--}12.0 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$), running ($12.1\text{--}16.0 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$), HIR ($16.1\text{--}20.0 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) and
41
42 sprinting ($>20 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) were measured.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 53 *Technical analysis*

54 Both games were recorded using a digital video camera (Canon HF20E, Canon,
55
56 Tokyo, Japan). The camera followed the ball, filming an area of 20x20 m around the ball. The
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 technical actions were analysed by InStat (Moscow, Russia). It was registered every time a player
5
6 was in contact with the ball during the game. The ball contact was separated into passes,
7
8 interceptions, tackles, headers, shots, throw-ins, free-kicks and corner-kicks.
9

10 11 12 13 14 15 *Yo-Yo IR1 testing*

16
17 The players performed a Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery level 1 test (Yo-Yo IR1) to
18
19 determine intermittent exercise capacity at rest and after the games. The Yo-Yo IR1 consisted of a
20
21 10-min standardised warm-up followed by 2x20-m repeated shuttle runs back and forth between the
22
23 start and finish lines. The speed increased progressively and was controlled by audio beeps from a
24
25 CD player. Between each running bout, the participants had a 10-s active recovery period in which
26
27 they jogged around a cone placed 5 m behind the finish line. The test result was noted as the
28
29 running distance at the second time the participants failed to reach the finish line in time.
30
31
32
33
34

35 *Blood lactate*

36
37 Lactate concentration was measured using hand-held portable analysers (Lactate Pro,
38
39 Arkray, KDK, Japan) and determined from 5- μ L samples taken from the index fingertip in a rested
40
41 state prior to the warm-up as well as pre game and post first and second halves. Additionally, lactate
42
43 concentration was determined after the Yo-Yo IR1 test following the games.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 *Fluid intake*

51
52 The players' body weights were measured before and after the games, while their
53
54 water intake during the games was recorded.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed using SPSS 21.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All results are presented as means±SEM unless otherwise stated. Differences in physical and technical-tactical performance and in heart rate data during and between the competitive matches as well as differences in blood lactate values were determined by a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures. Data were subsequently analysed using a Bonferroni post-hoc test. Differences in variables for kicking velocity, match activity and ball management as well as post-match Yo-Yo IR1 performance and post-match body weight between conditions were determined using Student's paired two-tailed *t*-test. A significance level of 0.05 was chosen.

Results

Kicking velocity

The ball speed was 6% higher when kicking the smaller, lighter ball (NB) than when kicking the standard ball (SB₁) (26.5±0.5 vs 25.1±0.5 m·s⁻¹, p<0.001; Fig. 1). There was no difference in the velocity of the foot when kicking NB compared to SB₁ (19.2±0.3 and 19.6±0.2 m·s⁻¹, respectively, p>0.05; Fig. 1). The ratio between ball and foot velocities was higher for NB than for SB₁ (1.38±0.02 vs SB₁ 1.28±0.02, p<0.001).

Heart rate during match-play

During match-play, no differences were observed when using NB compared to SB₂ in terms of mean HR (87±5 and 87±5%HR_{peak}, n=15, p<0.05) or HR_{peak} (190±8 and 190±8 bpm, n=15,

1
2
3
4 p<0.05). There were no differences in heart rates between the first and second halves of the games
5
6 played either with NB (88 ± 5 and $87\pm 5\%HR_{peak}$) or SB₂ (87 ± 5 and $87\pm 6\%HR_{peak}$). HR_{peak} in the Yo-
7
8 Yo IR1 test after games using NB and SB₂ was 194 ± 5 and 195 ± 6 bpm, respectively, p>0.05 (Table
9
10
11 1).

12 13 14 15 16 *Yo-Yo IR1 testing before and after games*

17
18 The distance covered in the Yo-Yo IR1 (n=14) was 1480 ± 396 m at rest, which was
19
20 significantly greater than after both NB and SB₂ games (1064 ± 385 and 1025 ± 337 m, respectively,
21
22 p<0.05), with no difference in the game-induced decrement between NB and SB₂ (28 and 31%,
23
24 respectively, p>0.05).
25
26

27 28 29 30 *Match activities*

31
32 No difference was observed in total distance covered during games with NB and SB₂
33
34 (10.6 ± 0.9 and 10.4 ± 0.8 km, respectively, n=15, p>0.05). There were no differences between games
35
36 with NB and SB₂ in any of the speed categories, i.e. walking, jogging, running, HIR and sprinting
37
38 (see Table 2). There were significant differences in the distances covered in HIR and sprinting in
39
40 some of the six 15-min periods between the two games, but the total distances in these categories
41
42 were not different between games with the two ball types (Fig. 2). There were no differences
43
44 between ball types in the number of occurrences of HIR and sprinting (Table 3).
45
46
47
48
49

50 51 *Technical analysis*

52
53 There were no differences (p>0.05) in short, medium-range and long passes in games
54
55 with the two ball types (Table 4) or in the passing accuracy in games with NB and SB₂ (68 ± 1 and
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 68±1%, respectively, $p>0.05$). There were no differences in the total number of shots per player
5
6 (1.6±1.6 and 1.4±1.8, respectively, $p>0.05$) or the number of shots on target per player (0.8±1.1 and
7
8 0.8±1.3, respectively, $p>0.05$) in games with NB compared to SB₂. No differences were observed in
9
10 games with NB and SB₂ in the number of tackles per player between the two games (6±4 and 6±3,
11
12 $p>0.05$), whereas fewer interceptions were observed in games with NB compared to SB₂ (5±3 vs
13
14 8±5, $p<0.05$). There was no difference in headers per player during games with NB and SB (3±3
15
16 and 4±3, $p>0.05$). The total number of throw-ins, crosses and aerial corners was 56, 22 and 7 in the
17
18 NB game and 46, 20 and 6 in the SB₂ game (Table 5).
19
20
21
22
23

24 *Blood lactate*

25
26 There were no differences in blood lactate before and during games played with NB
27
28 and SB₂ (Table 1), with values of 4.7±1.7 and 4.0±1.7 mmol/l, respectively, immediately after the
29
30 second half ($n=7$; $p<0.05$). Blood lactate was higher after the Yo-Yo IR1 test performed after the
31
32 game played with NB compared with the game played with SB₂ (10.2±1.5 vs 8.1±1.5 mmol/l,
33
34 $p<0.05$).
35
36
37
38
39

40 *Fluid loss*

41
42 No differences ($p>0.05$) were found in weight loss during games with NB (61.3±6.9 to
43
44 60.5±6.8 kg) and SB₂ (61.9±6.8 to 61.0±6.9 kg), nor in fluid intake (0.55±0.26 and 0.62±0.33 l).
45
46 The calculated in-game fluid loss with NB and SB₂ was therefore 1.4±0.5 and 1.5±0.6 l,
47
48 respectively,
49
50 $p>0.05$.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion

The main findings of the present study were that, although high-level adult female footballers were able to kick 6% faster with a smaller, lighter ball under laboratory conditions, the locomotor activities and physiological response were unaffected by ball type and there were no effects on technical match performance. These findings are in line with observations in young female players [1].

For the investigated high-level adult female footballers, the ball velocity was 6% higher when performing maximal kicks with the smaller, lighter ball in the laboratory setting, corresponding to a difference of 5 km/h (95.4 vs 90.4 km/h) between the new ball and the standard ball. Based on these initial ball velocities, it can be estimated that the maximal kicking distance would be around 50 m with the smaller, lighter ball and around 45 m with the standard ball, and that the smaller, lighter ball would cross the goal line 0.19 s faster (13%) than the standard ball when shot from a distance of 25 m. However, this comparison is limited by the kicking experiments being performed in an indoor laboratory. There is, however, no scientific evidence, that kicking velocity differs between kicks performed outdoor with studded soccer shoes and indoor with soccer shoes with outsoles made of rubber.

The observed differences in kicking velocities for the two ball types for the investigated high-level female footballers are slightly greater than the differences observed in a previous study from our laboratory with young female players (4%; [1]), with kicking velocities 12% higher with the standard ball for adults vs young female players (90.4 vs 80.6 km/h) and 14% higher with the smaller, lighter ball (95.4 vs 83.5 km/h). As for the young female players in the study by Andersen et al. (2012), no differences were observed in foot velocity when kicking the two ball types in the present study, meaning that the differences in kicking velocity are related to the lower mass of the new ball, as shown by the higher ratio between ball and foot velocity.

1
2
3
4 Despite the observed differences in the laboratory test results for the two ball types
5
6 and the potential for faster kicks and longer kicking distances with the smaller, lighter ball, we
7
8 found no differences in the numbers or types of passes, the success rate of passes, the locomotor
9
10 activities or the physiological demands during games played with the two ball types for high-level
11
12 female players. The technical-tactical analyses of the high-level adult female footballers in the
13
14 present study showed a higher number and higher success rate of passes compared to the previous
15
16 investigations on young female players [1], with values comparable to male football games on
17
18 artificial turf [4]. However, very similar values of 43 and 44 passes per player and success rates of
19
20 68 and 68% were found for the matches with the new ball and the standard ball. Likewise, there
21
22 were no differences in the number of shorts on target, crosses, aerial corners, number of headers,
23
24 number of attacking passes behind the defence line or overall length of passes when the female
25
26 footballers played with the two ball types. The only difference observed between matches was that
27
28 fewer interceptions were made in the match with the smaller, lighter ball (5 vs 8 per player), and it
29
30 may be speculated that this is due to the higher ball speed, although the overall success rate was
31
32 unaltered. When looking specifically at length of passes, it is interesting to see that as many as 96%
33
34 of all passes during games with both ball types were short or medium-range (<40 m) and only 1.2
35
36 and 1.6 passes per player per game were long passes (>40 m) (see Table 4). The type of game that
37
38 is being played by women in many leagues, with a focus on possession with many ball touches,
39
40 many short passes and a relatively high success rate [1,3] may be one of the main reasons why we
41
42 were unable to detect any differences in technical-tactical performance when introducing the
43
44 smaller, lighter ball. Hence, if the players are rarely trying to kick as fast or as far as they can, they
45
46 are rarely limited on that parameter, and an increase in maximal kicking distance from 45 to 50 m
47
48 through a change of ball does not seem to be affecting the game that much. Although the adult
49
50 female footballers have much more experience, a higher level of play with a higher success rate of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 technical actions and more emphasis on the heading game than the previously investigated young
5
6 female players, there are basically no differences in the way that the teams manage with the new
7
8 ball.
9

10
11 Some aspects to consider are whether the differences in ball characteristics are large
12
13 enough markedly to influence the range of possibilities, whether faster and longer kicks were
14
15 performed at the expense of technical control and whether the games were played at a sufficiently
16
17 high tempo to stress the importance of fast and long passes and shots. No differences were observed
18
19 in the success rate of any of the technical actions, which speaks against any lack of technical control
20
21 with the new ball. Nonetheless, it cannot be ruled out that the players were not sufficiently
22
23 confident about ball control to use their longest possible passes or fastest possible kicks on goal,
24
25 and that a period of familiarisation longer than 2 weeks would have been beneficial. Another
26
27 important matter to consider is whether the investigated matches were of sufficiently high intensity
28
29 to challenge the players and to make them strive for the fastest possible play in situations of high
30
31 pressure. The analyses of locomotor activities and physiological response clearly showed that this
32
33 was the case. For example, the total distance covered in the games was $\sim 10.5 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ and average
34
35 heart rates were 87–88% of individual maximal heart rate, which is comparable to studies of
36
37 Scandinavian elite female footballers during competitive games [12] and friendly games [6,12,14].
38
39 Actually, the players in the present study performed more high-intensity running and sprinting than
40
41 in previous investigations of Scandinavian elite players, but this may be due to differences in cut-off
42
43 points as well as methodologies for determining high-speed running [18]. In further support of a
44
45 high tempo in the investigated games are the findings of match-induced fatigue, with a 30%
46
47 decrease in intermittent exercise capacity when performing Yo-Yo IR1 testing after compared to
48
49 before the games, and similar decrements in high-intensity running and sprinting during the last
50
51 compared to the first 30-min periods of the games. Similar changes in intermittent exercise capacity
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 have been observed in a variety of studies in highly demanding elite female competitive as well as
5
6 friendly games [5,12,14,16].
7

8
9 Another important finding related to the comprehensive measurement of locomotor
10 activities, fatigue development and physiological demands was that no changes were observed
11 when introducing the smaller, lighter ball. Actually, distance covered (10.6 and 10.4 km), average
12 heart rate (170 and 169 bpm), fluid loss (1.4 and 1.5 l) and game-induced lowering of Yo-Yo
13 intermittent exercise performance (28 and 31%) were surprisingly similar in the games with the two
14 ball types, and furthermore no significant differences were observed in high-intensity running and
15 blood lactates. These findings of similar scores for locomotor activities, fatigue development and
16 heart rate are identical to the observations in the study of young female players [1]. Considering that
17 any major changes in technical-tactical performance in games played with the smaller, lighter ball,
18 such as faster play, more attacking passes behind the defence line, more defence-to-attack kicks and
19 rush passes, etc., would be expected to influence certain physical parameters such as number of
20 sprints or headers, the lack of differences seems to support the notion that the new ball had little
21 impact on the female game, even when played with a high tempo by experienced high-level female
22 footballers. Nonetheless, it is important to consider the risk of a statistical type 2 error when
23 relatively few players are investigated and only two games are compared, in contrast to the previous
24 study with young female players. In light of this, it is noteworthy that the average distance covered
25 by high-intensity running above 16 km/h was 7% higher for the 15 investigated players when
26 playing with the smaller, lighter ball and that the average distance covered by sprinting (>20 km/h)
27 was 11% higher. So it cannot be ruled out that introducing the smaller, lighter ball had some effects
28 that were just not big enough to be detected with the design of the present study. However, the lack
29 of differences in the types of passes, shots on goal and number of headers, combined with the fact
30 that seven of the investigated players performed most sprinting in the game with the standard ball,
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 underlines that introducing a smaller, lighter football has no systematic and revolutionary effects on
5
6 match performance in high-level adult female footballers.
7

8
9 The present study revealed that, under laboratory conditions, peak kicking velocity
10
11 can be increased significantly for high-level adult female footballers with a smaller, lighter ball. It
12
13 was also observed that, despite the potential for faster and longer passes and shots when using the
14
15 new ball in a game situation, no differences were found in technical-tactical match performance,
16
17 locomotor activities, game-induced fatigue or physiological response for high-level adult female
18
19 footballers playing high-tempo match-play. These findings were very similar to what we previously
20
21 observed for sub-elite U18 girls and suggest that there are no immediate effects on match-play for
22
23 female footballers by introducing a lighter, smaller ball. However, future studies are needed in order
24
25 to investigating whether long-term practise with a smaller, lighter ball could change the style of
26
27 match-play of female footballers by making the players utilizing the possibility of making longer
28
29 and faster passes and shots.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Review

References

1. Andersen TB, Bendiksen M, Pedersen JM, Orntoft C, Brito J, Jackman SR, Williams CA, Krstrup P. Kicking velocity and physical, technical, tactical match performance for U18 female football players -effect of a new ball. *Human movement science* 2012; 31: 1624-1638
2. Andersen TB, Dorge, H., & Thomsen, F. . Collisions in soccer kicking. *Sports Engineering* 1999, DOI: 121-125
3. Andersen TB, Kristensen, L. B., & Sørensen, H. . Biomechanical differences between toe and instep kicking: Influence of contact area on the coefficient of restitution. *Football Science* 2008, DOI: 45-50
4. Andersson H, Ekblom B, Krstrup P. Elite football on artificial turf versus natural grass: movement patterns, technical standards, and player impressions. *J Sports Sci* 2008; 26: 113-122
5. Andersson H, Raastad T, Nilsson J, Paulsen G, Garthe I, Kadi F. Neuromuscular fatigue and recovery in elite female soccer: effects of active recovery. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2008b; 40: 372-380
6. Andersson HA, Randers MB, Heiner-Moller A, Krstrup P, Mohr M. Elite female soccer players perform more high-intensity running when playing in international games compared with domestic league games. *J Strength Cond Res* 2010; 24: 912-919
7. Bendiksen M, Pettersen SA, Ingebrigtsen J, Randers MB, Brito J, Mohr M, Bangsbo J, Krstrup P. Application of the Copenhagen Soccer Test in high-level women players - locomotor activities, physiological response and sprint performance. *Human movement science* 2013; 32: 1430-1442
8. Bradley PS, Dellal A, Mohr M, Castellano J, Wilkie A. Gender differences in match performance characteristics of soccer players competing in the UEFA Champions League. *Human movement science* 2014; 33: 159-171
9. Castagna C, Bendiksen M, Impellizzeri FM, Krstrup P. Reliability, sensitivity and validity of the assistant referee intermittent endurance test (ARIET) - a modified Yo-Yo IE2 test for elite soccer assistant referees. *J Sports Sci* 2012; 30: 767-775
10. Harriss DJ, Atkinson G. Ethical standards in sport and exercise science research: 2014 update. *Int J Sports Med* 2013; 34: 1025-1028
11. Kellis E, Katis A. Biomechanical characteristics and determinants of instep soccer kick. *J Sports Sci Med* 2007; 6: 154-165
12. Krstrup P, Mohr M, Ellingsgaard H, Bangsbo J. Physical demands during an elite female soccer game: importance of training status. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005; 37: 1242-1248

13. Krstrup P, Randers MB, Andersen LJ, Jackman SR, Bangsbo J, Hansen PR. Soccer improves fitness and attenuates cardiovascular risk factors in hypertensive men. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013; 45: 553-560
14. Krstrup P, Zebis M, Jensen JM, Mohr M. Game-induced fatigue patterns in elite female soccer. *J Strength Cond Res* 2010; 24: 437-441
15. Lees A, Asai T, Andersen TB, Nunome H, Sterzing T. The biomechanics of kicking in soccer: a review. *J Sports Sci* 2010; 28: 805-817
16. Mohr M, Krstrup P, Andersson H, Kirkendal D, Bangsbo J. Match activities of elite women soccer players at different performance levels. *J Strength Cond Res* 2008; 22: 341-349
17. Murphy A, Reilly T, Spinks W. *Science and football IV*. London: Routledge; 2002
18. Pettersen SA, Krstrup P, Bendiksen M, Randers MB, Brito J, Bangsbo J, Jin Y, Mohr M. Caffeine supplementation does not affect match activities and fatigue resistance during match play in young football players. *J Sports Sci* 2014; 32: 1958-1965
19. Tanabe S, Ito A. A three-dimensional analysis of the contributions of upper limb joint movements to horizontal racket head velocity at ball impact during tennis serving. *Sport Biomech* 2007; 6: 418-433
20. Winter DA. *Biomechanics and motor control of human movement* New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1990

Table 1. Lactate and heart rate (HR) before, during and after games played with the new ball (NB) and the standard ball (SB).

	Rest		Pre game		Post first half		Post game		Post Yo-Yo	
	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB
Lactate (mmol/l)	1.3±0.2	1.2±0.2	2.1±0.3	2.1±1.1	4.8±2.5	3.0±0.9	4.7±1.7	4.0±1.7	10.2±1.5*	8.1±1.5
HR _{peak} (bpm)					192±8	190±8	190±8	190±10	194±5	195±6
HR mean (bpm)					171±11	169±11	169±8	169±13		
% HR _{peak}					88±5	87±5	87±5	87±6		

*Significantly different between games. Data are means±SD for n=15, except blood lactate (n=7).

Table 2. Total distances covered in the different speed categories (m) and acceleration counts (n=15).

Walking >7.0 km/h		Jogging 7.1–12.0 km/h		Running 12.1–16.0 km/h		High-intensity running 16.1–20.0 km/h		Sprinting >20.1 km/h		Acceleration counts <i>n</i>	
NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB
3645±316	3617±293	3982±650	3981±616	1394±381	1379±404	1531±389	1436±308	552±22 0	498±15 0	180±3 3	161±31

Data are means±SD

Table 3. Activity changes in the HIR and sprinting categories.

High-intensity running bouts (n)		Sprinting bouts (n)	
NB	SB ₂	NB	SB ₂
86±21	80±18	12±7	10±6

Data are means±SD (n=15).

For Peer Review

Table 4. Number of passes and the success rate (%) per player during games played with the new ball and the standard ball.

	Total		Short (0–10 m)		Med.-range (10–40 m)		Long (>40 m)	
	Number of passes	Success rate (%)	Number of passes	Success rate (%)	Number of passes	Success rate (%)	Number of passes	Success rate (%)
NB	43±15	68±9	9±4	69±17	32±13	70±8	2±2	36±32
SB	44±13	68±11	11±4	70±18	32±12	68±12	1±1	38±42

Data are means±SD (n=15).

Table 5. Number of occurrences and set pieces during the games with the standard ball and the new ball.

	NB	SB ₂
Shots (per player)	1.6±1.6	1.4±1.8
Shots on target (per player)	0,8±1.1	0,8±1.3
Tackles (per player)	6±4	6±3
Headers (per player)	3±4	4±3
Interceptions (per player)	5±3*	8±5
Throw-ins (n)	56	46
Crosses (n)	22	20
Aerial corners (n)	7	6
Attacking passes (n)	35	34

*Significantly different from SB. Data are means±SD (n=15)




Figure 1. (A) Ball velocities plotted as a function of their corresponding foot velocities for 12 adult female elite players. Kicks with the new ball (NB) are marked by filled circles (●) and kicks with the standard ball (SB) are marked by open circles (○). The solid line is a linear regression on the kicks with the new ball and the dashed line on kicks with a standard ball, (B) Ball velocities of kicks with the new ball (NB) plotted as a function of ball velocities of kick with a standard ball (SB) for adult female elite players. The solid line is a line with direct proportionality, $V_{NB} = V_{SB}$.
 100x118mm (120 x 120 DPI)




Figure 2.

High-intensity running distance (A) and sprinting (B) in 15-min periods of the games played with the smaller, lighter ball (NB, white bars) and standard ball (SB, grey bars). Data are means \pm SD (n=15).

*Denotes significant difference between NB and SB in in the same 15-min period.

98x112mm (120 x 120 DPI)