

UNIVERSITY OF TROMSØ UIT



FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY MEDICINE

Vertebral fractures: prevalence, risk factors, and health-related quality of life


The Tromsø study 2007/2008



Svanhild Haugnes Waterloo


A dissertation for the degree of
Philosophiae Doctor

2013



**Vertebral fractures:
Prevalence, risk factors, and
health-related quality of life.
A cross-sectional study**

The Tromsø study 2007/2008



**Svanhild Haugnes Waterloo
Department of Community Medicine
Faculty of Health Sciences
University of Tromsø
Tromsø, Norway 2013**

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	5
Summary	6
Sammendrag – Norwegian summary	7
List of papers	8
Abbreviations	9
1 Background	10
1.1 What is bone?	11
1.2 Definition of osteoporosis	11
1.3 Epidemiology of osteoporosis and fractures	11
1.4 The economic burden of osteoporotic fractures	15
1.5 Epidemiology of vertebral fractures	16
1.6 Prevalence of vertebral fractures	17
1.7 Risk factors for osteoporotic fractures	18
1.8 Rationale for the thesis	20
2 Aims	20
3 Methods	21
3.1 Population	21
3.1.1 The Tromsø study	21
3.1.2 Tromsø 6	21
3.2 Measurements	24
3.2.1 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry	24
3.2.2 Bone mineral density	24
3.2.3 Vertebral fracture assessment	25
3.2.4 EQ-5D-3L and EQ VAS	28
3.2.5 Other variables	28
3.2.6 Statistical analyses	29
3.3 Ethics	30

4	Results – summary of papers	31
5	Discussion of methodology	33
5.1	Design	33
5.1.1	Cross-sectional survey	33
5.1.2	Size of the survey	33
5.2	Validity	34
5.2.1	Internal validity	34
5.2.2	External validity	37
6	Discussion of results	38
6.1	Prevalence of vertebral fractures and sex differences	38
6.2	Associated risk factors for vertebral fractures	38
6.3	Vertebral fractures and health-related quality of life	39
7	Conclusions	40
8	Further research	40

References

Papers I - III

Appendix A - B

Acknowledgements

This study had not been possible without the help and cooperation from the following people and institutions:

- Northern Norway Regional Health Authority has provided financial support during the process
- Department of Community Medicine, University of Tromsø has hosted me and provided academic and administrative assistance throughout
- The Tromsø Study, Tromsø VI, and the researchers behind the surveys
- The people of Tromsø, who have kindly participated in the surveys

A big thank you to all!

A very special thank you to my main supervisor Nina Emaus. No student could ask for a better tutor.

Many thanks also to my co-supervisor Anne Johanne Søgaard for all of her wise and knowledgeable input.

Not to mention Luai Ahmed, who is especially good with numbers, Mona Ingebrigtsen for her guide through the university's administrative maze, and my fellow Epinor students, coworkers, and friends through the entire process: Bente Morseth and Marte Thomassen.

Thank you everyone!

Tromsø, June 2013

Svanhild Waterloo

Summary

Background: Osteoporotic fractures constitute a major health burden in western societies. Forearm, vertebral-, and hip fractures are the most common types. Norway has the highest reported prevalence of forearm- and hip fractures. Little data has been available concerning vertebral fractures, although these, together with the hip fractures, are associated with increased morbidity and mortality.

The aim of this thesis was to describe the prevalence of vertebral fractures in a general population, and to study important, associated risk factors and health-related quality of life.

Material and method: Information on prevalent vertebral fractures was ascertained by a vertebral fracture assessment (VFA) method (dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), GE Lunar Prodigy) in 2887 women and men, mean age 65.4, in the population-based Tromsø Study 2007-08. Bone mineral density (BMD; g/cm²) was measured at the hip by DXA. Demographic factors, lifestyle, and health-related quality of life information was collected by questionnaires. To explore associations, we used logistic and multiple regression analyses.

Results: Vertebral fractures were found with an equal distribution of 12 % in women and 14 % in men, most common between 1st lumbar and 6th thoracic vertebra. In both sexes, the number of vertebral fractures increased with increasing age and declining BMD. Population attributable risk fraction for these two factors was 46% in women and 33% in men. Self-reported health-related quality of life was reduced in women with vertebral fractures compared to those without. In men there was no difference, indicating that prevalent vertebral fractures does not necessarily have an impact on men's self-perceived health.

Conclusions: The prevalence of vertebral fractures in this population was lower than expected. Men had similar fracture rates as women, but less bothered. These findings deserve a longitudinal follow-up.

Sammendrag – Norwegian summary

Bakgrunn: Osteoporotiske brudd utgjør en betydelig helsemessig belastning i flere vestlige land. Underarms-, rygg- og hoftebrudd er de vanligste. Av ukjente grunner har Norge den høyeste rapporterte forekomst av underarms- og hoftebrudd. Til nå har vi hatt lite data om ryggbredd, til tross for at disse er forbundet med økt sykelighet og dødelighet. Hensikten med dette arbeidet var å beskrive forekomst av ryggbredd i en generell befolkning, studere viktige risikofaktorer for brudd samt konsekvenser i forhold til helserelatert livskvalitet.

Materiale og metode: Informasjon om ryggbredd ble innhentet ved bruk av en metode kalt "vertebral fracture assessment" (VFA) (dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), GE Lunar Prodigy) hos 2887 kvinner og menn, gjennomsnittsalder 65 år, i den populasjonsbaserte Tromsøundersøkelsen som ble gjennomført i 2007-08. Benmineraltetthet (BMD; g/cm²) ble målt i hoften med DXA. Demografiske variabler og informasjon om livsstil og helserelatert livskvalitet ble samlet inn ved hjelp av spørreskjema. Assosiasjoner ble studert med logistisk og multippel regresjonsanalyse.

Resultater: Vi fant en tilnærmet lik forekomst av ryggbredd på ca 12% hos kvinner og 14% hos menn. Ryggbreddene var mest vanlig mellom 1. lumbale og 6. thorakale vertebra. Hos begge kjønn økte forekomsten med økende alder og synkende bentetthet. Tilskrivbar risiko av disse to faktorene utgjorde ca 46% hos kvinner og 33% hos menn. Selvrapportert helserelatert livskvalitet var lavere hos kvinner med ryggbredd enn hos dem uten brudd. Hos menn fant vi ingen slike forskjeller. Dette viser at ryggbredd ikke nødvendigvis påvirker mens egenoppfatning av helse.

Konklusjon: Forekomst av ryggbredd var lavere enn forventet i vår populasjon. Menn og kvinner hadde nokså lik bruddforekomst, men kvinner var mere plaget. Funnene bør studeres i et longitudinelt design.

List of papers

1. Waterloo S, Ahmed LA, Center JR, Eisman JA, Morseth B, Nguyen ND, Nguyen T, Sogaard AJ, Emaus N. **Prevalence of vertebral fractures in women and men in the population-based Tromsø Study.** *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2012, Jan 17; 13:3.
2. Waterloo S, Nguyen T, Ahmed LA, Center JR, Morseth B, Nguyen ND, Eisman JA, Sogaard AJ, Emaus N. **Important risk factors and attributable risk of vertebral fractures in the population-based Tromsø Study.** *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2012, Aug 31; 13:163.
3. Waterloo S, Sogaard AJ, Damsgard E, Ahmed LA, Morseth B, Emaus N. **Vertebral fracture and self-perceived health in elderly women and men: The Tromsø Study, a population-based cross-sectional study.** *BMC Geriatrics, submitted.*

Abbreviations

ANOVA	analysis of variance
BMD	bone mineral density (g/cm ²)
BMI	body mass index
CI	confidence interval
DXA	dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry
EQ-5D-3L	an instrument for measuring health-related quality of life
EQ VAS	an instrument for measuring health-related quality of life
HRQL	health-related quality of life
LVA	lateral vertebral assessment
OR	odds ratio
PAR	population attributable risk fraction
SD	standard deviation
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
SXA	single X-ray absorptiometry
VFA	vertebral fracture assessment
WHO	World Health Organisation
QALY	quality-adjusted life-years

1. Background

1.1 What is bone?

Bone and cartilage are the forming agents of the skeletal system. The skeleton's primary functions are a) mechanical; allows locomotion by providing support and sites for muscle action, b) protective; provides a shield for internal organs and bone marrow, and c) metabolic; serves as a reservoir of ions, particularly calcium and phosphate [1]. There are two major types of bone, flat bone as in the skull and the ribs, and long bone, as in the femur and humerus. In addition, the skeleton comprises short (wrist, foot) and irregular bone (vertebra). The surface of bone is a thick and dense layer of calcified tissue, called cortical bone. In the long bones and the vertebra, this layer surrounds the trabecular bone which is a sponge like network of calcified rods (trabeculae). Trabecular bone is found throughout the skeleton, and relatively most common in the lumbar spine, where trabecular bone comprises more than 65 % of the total bone.

Despite the difference in structure, all bone types comprise the same kind of bone cells, osteoblasts and osteoclasts. The osteoblasts are the cells involved in bone formation, while the osteoclasts are responsible for bone resorption. These two cell types work together balancing formation and reformation of bone. In adult individuals and before ageing, the amount of new bone formed equals the amount of bone resorbed, but the capacity of the osteoblasts to form new bone decrease with ageing. When bone formation is less than prior bone resorption, each remodeling event removes a small moiety of bone from the skeleton, resulting in bone loss and structural damage [2]. The rate of bone loss is an important determinant for the eventual development of osteopenia (i.e. a condition where bone mineral density is lower than normal, a possible precursor of osteoporosis) and subsequent osteoporosis.

1.2 Definition of osteoporosis

Osteoporosis is defined as a systemic skeletal disease characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue, with a consequent increase in bone fragility and susceptibility to fracture [3, 4]. Osteoporosis can be subdivided into three types: a) primary; no underlying cause can be identified, b) secondary; the underlying cause (e.g. steroid use) is known, and c); rare forms such as juvenile or pregnancy-related. In the present study, the focus is on the primary osteoporosis, as we have little information on probable underlying causes.

1.3 Epidemiology of osteoporosis and fractures

Osteoporosis, which is the most common metabolic bone disorder, is becoming more pervasive with the aging of populations worldwide [5], and elderly people are the fastest growing population in the world [4, 6, 7]. Osteoporosis must be seen as a serious and important public health issue because of the potentially devastating results [7, 8] and high cumulative rate of fractures. Data from the Tromsø study [9] and the Hordaland Health Study (HUSK) [10] indicate that a prevalence of osteoporosis of approximately 13% in men older than 70 years is comparable to population estimates from Spain [11], France [12], Sweden [13], Canada [14], and the US [15]. In women, the Tromsø data estimate a prevalence of approximately 20% in women above 70 years. This estimate is lower than those reported from France [16], England [17], Vietnam [18], Turkey [19], and Korea [20]. To compare prevalence of osteoporosis between populations is an uncertain enterprise, connected to the difficulties with measurements [18]. Dual x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is a common and precise way of measuring bone mineral density (BMD). Numerous types of DXA devices are available. Clinically relevant differences may however occur between densitometers from different manufacturers, and even among devices from the same manufacturer [21, 22]. The hip region is considered especially problematic because the region of interest varies between manufacturers [23]. For real comparison between countries, cross-calibration between devices must be applied, preferably *in vivo* [22]. As osteoporosis/low BMD score reflect an increased risk of

fracture rather than being a serious illness in itself, its clinical and public health importance is mainly due to these fractures [4]. It is therefore important to study fracture incidence, consequences of fractures, and risk factors [8, 24].




Fig. 1 Hip fracture rates in Norway 1999 – 2008. With permission from Tone K. Omsland.

An estimated one in two women over the age of 50 and one in four men will experience an osteoporosis-related fracture during their lifetime. These indices of fracture risk compare to a lifetime risk in women at the age of 50 of about 10 % for breast cancer and 30 % for cardiovascular disease [25]. Among the osteoporotic fractures, the hip fractures are the most serious because of their association with subsequent fracture risk [26], increased morbidity [27], mortality [28-31], and health-related and economic burden [32-37]. Most hip fractures take place after a fall; 80 % occur in women and 90 % in people older than 50 years [7]. The incidence of hip fractures increases exponentially with age. There is substantial variation in hip fracture incidence between populations, and hip fracture has been used as an international index of the frequency of osteoporosis [7]. Scandinavia and north-western Europe is at the top of these statistics [24, 38, 39]. The incidence of hip fractures in Norway increased from the 1950'ies to the last millennium [40]. Recent studies indicate that the hip fracture rates are declining in women and men in Norway [41, 42] (Fig.1), as in other western countries [43-49]. However, the total number of hip fractures is constant or increasing , due to an increasing ageing population [50]. An increase in absolute fracture numbers is anticipated in Europe due to demographic changes [39]. In the UK, the burden of hip fractures is expected to increase by almost 20% between 2010 and 2020 [51]. Despite the declining prevalence, Norway has still one of the highest reported incidences of hip fractures in the world [24], and as shown by Lofthus et. al [52] the highest incidence of reported forearm fractures. As the number of residents in Norway 70 years and above will double during the next 30 years (Statistics Norway), hip fractures will continue to pose a national public health challenge.




Fig. 2 A systematic review of hip fracture incidence and probability of fracture worldwide.

Reproduced from Kanis, JA et al., Osteoporosis International 2012.

1.4 The economic burden of osteoporotic fractures

A recent Danish study estimated the total cost of osteoporotic fractures in Denmark to EUR 1.563 billion in 2011, at EUR 628 million and EUR 936 million for men and women, respectively [53]. The most expensive fracture for both genders was first hip fracture, and importantly , the municipalities carried the majority of the costs, with 55-57 % of the estimated lifetime cost [53]. Although the medical costs of the osteoporotic fractures were substantial for the health care sector, this was by far exceeded by the cost for the municipality in terms of social services and rehabilitation [53]. A Swedish study also estimated the total annual burden of osteoporosis from a societal perspective including medical care costs, non-medical care costs, informal care and indirect costs [54]. The value of quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) lost because of fractures was included in the total burden estimations. The total annual fracture cost was estimated to about 3.2 % of the total health care costs in Sweden. According to this study, the societal burden of osteoporosis in Sweden was higher than previously perceived. Assuming no changes in the age-differentiated fracture risk, the annual burden of osteoporosis was projected to increase to approximately EUR 3.2 billion (MSEK 26301) in the year 2050. An Austrian study from 2008 also examined costs resulting from osteoporotic fractures in a societal perspective which included medical costs such as expenses for pharmaceuticals, inpatient and outpatient medical care costs, as well as other medical services (e.g., occupational therapies), non-medical direct costs (transportation costs and medical devices) as well as indirect costs referred to costs of productivity losses due to absence of work. Moreover, they included costs for early retirement and opportunity costs of informal care provided by family members. The total annual financial burden incurred by osteoporotic fractures in Austria amounted to approximately EUR 685 million, the largest fraction of which was due to the opportunity cost of family care (30 %), followed by costs for hospitalization (27 %) [55]. Importantly, these studies illustrate how osteoporosis-related fractures not only lead to high medical care costs but also to high community care costs [54]. To our knowledge, the economic burden of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures has not yet been estimated for Norway. Given the high fracture rates, the Norwegian cost will most probably match the Scandinavian estimates.

1.5 Epidemiology of vertebral fractures

As hip fractures have been recognized as the most serious osteoporotic fracture, vertebral fractures have been thought to be benign, self-limited entities [5]. More recent studies have, however, described an ever-increasing list of sequelae to vertebral fractures. Today they are considered among the serious osteoporotic fractures [56], partly because they are the most common osteoporotic fracture [57, 58], and partly because of their association with subsequent fracture risk. A history of prior fracture is a significant risk factor for future fractures at any site, and this effect is over and above that which can be explained by variations in BMD [59]. Once an initial vertebral fracture is sustained, the risk of subsequent vertebral fracture rises sharply compared with individuals with osteoporosis and no history of vertebral fracture [60, 61]. This phenomenon has been called the “vertebral fracture cascade”. One in five postmenopausal women with prior vertebral fracture will experience another vertebral fracture within one year [27, 62, 63].

Subsequent vertebral fracture risk is in several studies reported to increase by five- to sevenfold after an initial fracture [64-66], and then exponentially with greater numbers of prior vertebral fractures [60, 67]. After a vertebral fracture, there is a two- to threefold increased risk of a subsequent fracture of a different type [59, 63, 68-70], with potentially more serious consequences in terms of other diseases [71] including cardiovascular diseases and stroke [72].

Mortality after vertebral fracture is higher than in the normal population at the same age. A recent South Korean study, covering 97% of the population, showed a standardized mortality ratio after two years of 2.5 for men older than 50 years, and 1.9 for women [73]. Mortality seems to be highest immediately after the fracture event, remains high for up to one year after the event, and then falling steadily. Similar findings are reported from Australia [74], Sweden [75-77], and Canada [78], and was confirmed in a literature review on osteoporosis and mortality [79].




Fig. 3 Observed and expected incidence of fracture in patients aged 65 years or older stratified by sex. Reproduced from TP Van Staa, Osteoporosis International 2002

1.6 Prevalence of vertebral fracture

Some 65 % of vertebral fractures cause no symptoms [80, 81] and will therefore never come to clinical attention. The factors and activities that cause vertebral fractures are therefore still not well defined. Until recent years, little data have existed on worldwide prevalence and incidence of vertebral fracture due to problems of identification of these fractures. The gold standard for the diagnosis of vertebral fractures is considered the x-ray of the spine. With its high radiation dose, spinal x-rays have only to a limited degree been performed in population-based samples. The vertebral morphometry techniques that are now available, provide an opportunity to study prevalence, and with follow-up studies, incidence of vertebral fractures and risk factors that are associated with these fractures. The existing prevalence figures are therefore based on a huge variation of techniques and differences in samples, and figures vary from 35 % to 50 % among women older than 50 years [82].




Fig. 4 Incidence of vertebral fracture in women and men from Europe by age group. Reproduced from SR Cummings, Lancet 2002

1.7 Risk factors for osteoporotic fractures

As mentioned, the clinical significance of osteoporosis lies in the fractures that arise. Skeletal strength depends on the amount of bone and its structural arrangement, whereas traumatic load on the bones is dictated by the orientation of fall and other factors [83]. The complex interplay between BMD and multiple risk factors was demonstrated in a study by Cummings from 1995 where women with multiple risk factors and low bone density had an especially high risk of hip fracture [84]. Although the diagnosis of osteoporosis is based on the measurement of BMD there are a number of clinical risk factors that provide information on fracture risk over and above that given by BMD [85]. These include age, prior fragility fracture, parental history of hip fracture, smoking, use of systemic corticosteroids, excess alcohol intake and rheumatoid arthritis [85]. The independent contribution of these risk factors has been included in the FRAX calculation of fracture probability [86].

Unlike other osteoporotic fractures, vertebral fractures are not considered fall-related [56]. It is therefore reasonable to assume that the risk factors for osteoporotic vertebral fractures are similar to osteoporotic fractures in general, but that low BMD scores may even be a stronger

predictor for vertebral fractures than for other osteoporotic fractures. 50 – 80 % of the variation in BMD levels are explained by genetic factors [87]. Age and BMD are shown in numerous studies to be the main risk factors for vertebral fractures, with age as the most important and an independent predictor [85, 88]. The risk factors for osteoporotic vertebral fractures may be divided into two main groups, non-modifiable and modifiable, as shown below:

Non-modifiable risk factors [67, 82, 85, 89-92]

Age
Sex (female)
Ethnicity (Asian or Caucasian)
Family history of osteoporosis and fractures
Small body frame
Early menopause (<45 years)
Medical disorders like gastrointestinal malabsorption, renal failure, rheumatoid arthritis
Eating disorders/anorexia nervosa (potentially modifiable)
Chronic corticosteroid therapy
Previous vertebral fracture

Modifiable risk factors [85, 93-96]

Low weight /low body mass index (BMI<18.5kg/m²)
Weight loss
Smoking
Excessive alcohol intake
Sedentary lifestyle
Vit D deficiency (lack of sunshine)

In the present study we have included age, sex, height, weight, BMI, smoking status, alcohol use and physical activity in our analyses of associated risk factors for vertebral fractures (papers 1 and 2).

1.8 Rationale for the thesis

- Norway occupies top position in almost all statistics of osteoporotic fractures of the hip and distal forearm
- Until now, we have had very limited knowledge about the prevalence of vertebral fractures

This is the background for the present study.

For the first time in Norway, it has been possible to conduct a population-based study of a certain size with the intent to identify the occurrence and consequences of vertebral fractures.

2. Aims

In the planning of this study, focus was on the occurrence of vertebral fracture (one paper) and the possible consequences of such fractures on somatic health, exemplified by lung function and lung capacity, and mental health, exemplified by self-reported health related quality of life (one paper each). In the course of time, and work, it became clear that risk factors for vertebral fracture were too important just to be included in a paper on prevalence.

The specific aims were therefore changed into examining

1. Prevalence of vertebral fracture in women and men in a general population
2. Important risk factors for vertebral fracture in women and men in a general population
3. Associations between vertebral fractures and self-reported pain and self-reported health-related quality of life in women and men

3. Methods

3.1 Population

3.1.1 The Tromsø Study

The Tromsø Study is a single-centered, population-based health study conducted in the municipality of Tromsø, the largest city in northern Norway with approximately 70.000 inhabitants [97]. It consists of six surveys, from 1974 (Tromsø 1) to 2008 (Tromsø 6). The study population includes subjects who have attended at least one of the six surveys, more than 40.000 subjects in total. More than 15.000 have participated on three or more occasions. The participation level is considered to be high, over 75 % in Tromsø 1-5, and 66 % in Tromsø 6. The first survey was initiated in an attempt to help combat the high mortality due to cardiovascular diseases in Norway. The primary aim was to determine causes of, and develop ways of preventing, heart attacks and strokes. The study was gradually expanded to include many other diseases. Osteoporosis studies have been included in the programme since Tromsø 4 (1994-95).

3.1.2 Tromsø 6

Tromsø 6 (2007-08), which is the basis for our study [98], included men and women aged 30-87 years. The participants were invited from four different groups: those who had participated in the second visit of Tromsø 4, a 10 % random sample of the population aged 30-39 years, all of the population aged 40-42 years and 60-87 years, and a 40 % random sample of the population aged 43-59 years. Information about the study was mailed to the invited subjects together with a questionnaire (please see Appendix A), which they were asked to complete and return at the screening station at their first visit. In total, 12,984 (65.7 %) of the 19,762 invited responded and participated in a brief medical examination including bone mineral densitometry of the forearm by single-energy X-ray absorptiometry (SXA) (phase one). These measurements are not used in the present study. A random sample of the participants in phase one were invited to a

more comprehensive examination (phase two) comprising (among others) DXA, spirometry, echocardiography, ultrasound of carotid artery, blood and urine samples, along with a more extensive questionnaire (please see Appendix B). Basis for the present study was bone densitometry of the total hip and lateral vertebral assessments performed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) in those who had attended the bone mineral density assessments in Tromsø 5.




Fig. 5 A flow chart on the study population (also presented as fig. 1 in paper one (please see *Prevalence of vertebral fractures in women and men in the population-based Tromsø Study*).

3.2 Measurements

3.2.1 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry

Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is based on the principle that passage of x-ray photons through an individual is inhibited to a greater degree by the mineral component of bone than by soft tissue adjacent to bone. DXA employs x-ray photon absorption by soft tissue to be factored out, which allows determination of the amount of hard tissue (i.e. bone) present [99]. The radiation exposure for a person undergoing a DXA test is low, and the test is fast and causes very little inconvenience. It is also a benefit to the subjects involved that both radiographs of the vertebra and BMD measurements are performed at the same time. All measurements were performed with DXA – GE Lunar Prodigy, Lunar Corporation, Madison, WI, USA.

3.2.2 Bone mineral density (BMD)

When measuring BMD by DXA it is important to recognize that DXA determines areal bone density (g/cm^2), not volumetric bone density. The result of dividing grams by area is necessary for deriving the standardized score, T-score or Z-score. The T-score is the number of standard deviations (SD) above or below the average BMD value of a young adult reference population. Normal BMD is defined as a T-score ≥ -1 . Osteopenia is between -1 and -2.5, and osteoporosis T-score is ≤ -2.5 . The Z-score compares an individual's BMD with that of an average age-matched reference population [99]. BMD measured with DXA at the hip is considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of osteoporosis [99-101] and has been used by the WHO to define osteoporosis [102]. The test is also accurate, it exceeds 90 % at the hip [103]. In our study, we included BMD measurements of the left hip when possible, right hip only if the subject had a left side hip replacement.

3.2.3 Vertebral fracture assessment

Until DXA technology got into the market some 25 years ago, traditional x-ray examination was the only option to detect fractures and deformities, and due to the amount of resources spent, it was mainly a tool for clinicians. With the DXA technology, we have today an instrument fit for examinations in a big scale, and this is why vertebral fracture assessment was performed, for the first time, in Tromsø 6. Although they are often missed in clinical practice, vertebral fractures are reported to be the most common type of fragility fracture [56, 104].

Vertebral fracture assessment (VFA) by DXA provides an image of the thoracic and lumbar spine for the purpose of detecting vertebral fractures. Depending on their relative relations according to a given reference (for further details, please see the next section), the software identifies three types of fracture: wedge, biconcave, and compression, according to three degrees of severity: mild, moderate, and severe [105] (please see illustration below). In comparison with standard radiographs of the spine, the correlation for detecting moderate and severe vertebral fractures is good [106]. Validation of our data through traditional x-rays on a sub-group would have strengthened our study. This was, regrettably, not possible within the scope of the survey.

The Lunar morphometry is based on evaluation of the expected vertebral heights of the spine from T4 to L4. Vertebral heights are related to each other in a highly predictable and constant manner. A study from 1988 used this constant relationship to calculate expected vertebral heights based on a comparison of the height of a person's T4 vertebra to a reference T4 height [107]. T4 was chosen in part because this vertebra seldom fractures. By comparing expected heights with actual heights, indices can be calculated that quantify the extent of vertebral deformity as compared with reference values. GE Lunar has taken a similar approach for determining expected normal vertebral heights, but uses the height of the L2 – L4 vertebrae, rather than T4, to calculate expected vertebral heights. T4 is small and often difficult to visualize and measure in a morphometry image of the lateral spine. The relationship of T4 – L1 vertebrae heights relative to the L2 – L4 height was used to ascertain a correction factor for

each vertebral level relative to the mean height for the L2 – L4 sequence (ref 95 - 103 in [108]). In simpler words, if an individual's L2 – L4 anterior height is 95 % of expected, then his or her vertebral anterior heights for other vertebrae are compared to 95 % of the reference height at each vertebral level [109].




Fig. 6 Schematic diagram of the grading scale for vertebral fractures.

In our study, we used the lateral vertebral assessment (LVA) technique. The participants lay on their left side on the DXA bench, arms stretched forward and upward to keep them away from the scan. All thick clothes and all clothing with metal parts were removed, all pockets emptied, and those who wanted it, got a small pillow under their head. The scanning itself took about ten minutes to perform. As many elderly people tend to have a kyphosis, it may be easier for them to have a lateral scan done than a traditional spine scan. In fact, some of our participants were unable to lie down on their back due to kyphosis and back pain. Fig. 7 shows one of the participants who defied the pain and was measured.




Fig. 7 LVA scan of a female participant in the Tromsø 6 with clearly visible vertebral fractures

3.2.4 EQ-5D-3L and EQ VAS

Both instruments, EQ-5D-3L and EQ VAS, are constructed to make measurements of health-related quality of life from self-reported data. They were both included in the second, most extensive questionnaire (phase two), please see Appendix B.

EQ-5D-3L is a generic measure of health status developed by an international research group, the EuroQol Group [110]. The principal aims were the development of a standardized, non-disease-specific instrument for describing and valuing health-related quality of life. EQ-5D-3L provides a simple descriptive profile and a single index value that can be used in clinical and economic evaluation of health as well as in population health surveys. It is relatively undemanding – taking only a few minutes to complete, and it is relevant to all respondents in a survey; healthy or ill and of any age [111, 112].

The EQ-5D-3L descriptive system comprises the following five dimensions (5D): mobility, self-care, usual activities (e.g. work, study, housework, family, leisure time activities), pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Each dimension has three levels (3L): no problems, some problems, extreme problems.

The EQ VAS (Visual Analogue Scale) records the respondent's self-rated health on a vertical, visual, thermometer-like analogue scale where the endpoints are labeled "Best imaginable health state" at the top and "Worst imaginable health state" at the bottom [113].

3.2.5 Other variables

In the Tromsø Study, information about age and gender was obtained from the National Population Register. A whole series of variables were obtained from the two questionnaires, including education, leisure time physical activity, smoking, health status (good/poor), neck

pain, back pain, and diagnosed osteoporosis (yes/no). Both questionnaires used in Tromsø 6 are found in Appendix A and B.

Height and weight were measured using a Jenix DS-102 stadiometer (Dong Sanh Jenix Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) to the nearest centimeter and half kilogram. Body mass index ($\text{BMI} = \text{kg}/\text{m}^2$) was calculated.

3.2.6 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Chicago, USA), versions 18 (paper 1) and 19. All tests were two-sided. A p-value below 0.05 was considered significant.

Paper 1:

Baseline characteristics in participants with and without fractures were compared by univariate analyses, using Independent sample T-test for continuous variables and chi-square testing for categorical variables. Prevalence of vertebral fractures in women and men was compared by chi-square testing, and so was distribution and types of deformities. The mean BMD difference between the different types of deformities was tested using Analysis of variance (ANOVA), adjusting for age.

Paper 2:

Logistic regression was used to assess the association between each risk factor and vertebral fracture risk, adjusting for age. Odds ratio (OR) of fracture (95 % confidence interval (CI)) was estimated per standard deviation (SD) of continuous risk factors. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to assess the association between each significant or combined risk factors and vertebral fracture risk. Testing for interaction was done by including the product of sex and BMD and sex and age in the model. The final and most optimal model was found using backward selection procedures. The population attributable risk fraction (PAR) for combined

risk factors in the “final” multivariable model was estimated for assessment of the impact of the risk factors on vertebral fracture.

Paper 3:

Each individual was classified as having a vertebral fracture if there was a presence of at least one fracture. All variables measuring pain or quality of life (neck pain, back pain, EQ-5D-3L, EQ VAS) were compared in those with and without fractures adjusting for age, using logistic linear regression analyses. For examination of the impact of severity of fractures , we first examined if EQ-5D-3L and EQ VAS differed between types of fractures (wedge, biconcave, and compression) and numbers of fractures using ANOVA, applying Bonferroni correction. Numbers of fractures were categorized into 0, 1, 2, 3 or more. We also categorized participants into three groups: no or mild fractures, moderate, and severe fractures (please see Fig. 6), without consideration of type of fracture, and ANOVA was also applied to examine if EQ-5D-3L and EQ VAS differed between these groups.

3.3 Ethics

All the participants in the Tromsø 6 provided a written informed consent prior to the examinations. The Tromsø Study is approved by the Norwegian Data Inspectorate and recommended by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics, North Norway.

4. Results. Summary of papers

Paper 1

Background: Osteoporotic vertebral fractures are, like hip fractures, associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Norway has one of the highest reported incidences of hip fractures in the world. Till now, vertebral fractures have not been extensively studied due to methodological challenges. The aim of this population based study was to describe, for the first time, the age- and sex specific occurrence of osteoporotic vertebral fractures in Norway.

We found the prevalence of vertebral fractures varying in women from about 3 % in the age group below 60 to about 19 % in the 70+ group, and in men from 7.5 % to about 20 %, with an overall prevalence of 11.8 % in women and 13.8 % in men. Among those with fractures, having only one fracture was the most common; two and more fractures were present in approximately 30 % of the cases. Fractures were seen from the fourth lumbar to the fifth thoracic vertebrae, most common between first lumbar and sixth thoracic vertebrae. In both sexes, the most common type of fracture was the wedge type. Bone mineral density at the hip differed significantly according to type of fracture, being highest in those with wedge fractures and lowest in those with compression fractures.

Conclusions: The prevalence of vertebral fractures increased by age in women and men, but the overall prevalence was lower than expected, considering the high prevalence of hip and forearm fractures in Norway. In both sexes, the wedge type was the fracture type most frequently observed and most common in the thoracic region.

Paper 2

Background: Vertebral fractures, the most common type of osteoporotic fractures, are associated with increased risk of subsequent fracture, morbidity, and mortality. The aim of this study was to examine the contribution of important risk factors to the variability in vertebral fracture risk.

In both sexes combined, age (odds ratio [OR] per 5 year 1.69; 95% CI 1.46-1.95) and BMD (OR per SD: 1.59; 95% CI 1.37-11.85) were independent risk factors for vertebral fracture. After adjusting for age and BMD, men had a greater risk of fracture than women (OR 1.87; 95% CI 1.4-2.5). Approximately 41% of vertebral fracture risk was attributable to advancing age (more than 70 years) and low BMD (less than 0.85g/cm²), with the latter having a greater effect than the former.

Conclusions: Our data show that age and BMD are major risk factors for vertebral factor risk. However, the two factors account for less than half of the fracture risk. The identification of individuals with a high risk of vertebral fracture is still a challenge.

Paper 3

Background: Vertebral fractures may be asymptomatic and the effect of prevalent vertebral fractures on quality of life in elderly women and men is still unknown. The purposes of this study were to determine whether back pain and impaired health related quality of life were more pronounced in those with vertebral fractures compared to those without, and to study possible gender differences in self-reported pain and HRQL in those with vertebral fractures compared to those without.

Women with vertebral fractures reported significantly more back pain ($p=0.006$) and had lower EQ-5D-3L score ($p<0.001$) than women without vertebral fractures, also after adjustments for age, height, weight, and BMD. No such association was present in men. Type of fracture was not associated with HRQL, but in women, increasing numbers and severity of fractures were associated with decreasing EQ-5D-3L score. A similar pattern was found in the analyses including the EQ-VAS score.

Conclusions: Prevalent vertebral fractures are associated with reduced HRQL in postmenopausal women, but not in men.

5. Discussion of methodology

5.1 Design

5.1.1 Cross-sectional survey

The Tromsø Study is a longitudinal study, consisting of six surveys so far. Our data are derived from the last survey only, which means that we are dealing with a cross-sectional study design. A cross-section is the shape that results from cutting an object lengthwise, and in doing so, it is possible to study a part of it. A cross-sectional study exposes and studies disease and risk factor patterns in a representative part of the population, in a narrowly defined time period [114]. Being simply descriptive and observational, the study design is very good for measuring the population burden of a disease using prevalence rates, the most reliable summary measures obtained from such surveys. The design is suitable for analyzing associations between disease and related factors, but a cross-sectional study cannot measure disease incidence, because rate and/or risk calculations require information across a time period. Since both the outcome and the other variables are measured at the one time, this study design is not strong at showing cause–effect relationships [115].

5.1.2 Size of the survey

The Tromsø Study population includes subjects who have attended at least one of the six surveys, 40 051 subjects in total [116]. 19 763 women and men were invited to participate in Tromsø 6, and 12 984 attended, i.e. 65.7 %. The attendance rate is somewhat lower than in the previous surveys, mostly due to lower attendance rate among the younger people invited, but must still be considered good. Tromsø is a city of approximately 70 000 inhabitants; a large part of the population has been involved in the survey over the years. As the sample size (i.e. the eligible population for DXA scans) was predetermined by the Tromsø Study, we did no particular

sample size calculation, but with almost 3000 DXA scans from a representative part of the study population, we consider the power as good.

5.2 Validity

5.2.1 Internal validity

Internal validity is the degree to which the results of a study are correct for the sample of subjects being studied, i.e. whether the results are “true” for the studied population. The internal validity of clinical research is determined by how well the design, data collection, and analyses are carried out, and is threatened by various kinds of random variations and biases [117].

Random error

Random error, as the name suggests, is random in nature and therefore difficult to predict. It adds variability to the data, but does not necessarily affect the average result. Random error is actually nothing more than variability in the data that we cannot readily explain [118]. As random error has no preferred direction, we expect the averaging over a large number of observations will yield a net effect of zero. The impact of random error, imprecision, can be minimized with large sample sizes [119]. Our sample is one of the largest population-based samples assessing the prevalence of vertebral fractures ever analyzed and published. An overall vertebral fracture prevalence of 11.8 % in women and 13.8 % in men, gave us a lower proportion than we anticipated, since a prevalence of 19.2 % and 15.7 % was found in women and men, respectively, in a smaller study from Oslo in 1998 [120].

Bias

Bias, or systematic error, are not affected by increasing the size of the study [118]. Common conceptions of bias:

- error that affects population or study groups unequally (internal validity)

- error that results from inappropriate generalization of study data to another population which differs from the population actually studied (external validity)

Bias leads to differential errors, i.e. errors that differ between groups. This kind of error is usually far more serious than non-differential error, which is error that affects all comparison groups equally [114]. The most common types of bias are selection bias, information bias, and confounding.

Selection bias

is a systematic error in a study that stems from the procedures used to select subjects and from factors that influence study participation. It comes about when the association between exposure and disease differs for those who participate and those who do not participate in the study [118]. Selection bias arising from non-response is almost inevitable in cross-sectional surveys. In our study, the disease being osteoporosis and often painful osteoporotic vertebral fractures, we cannot ignore the possibility that some of the oldest and most frail people chose not to attend, leading to a possible underestimation of our prevalence estimates. The effect of the most severe variants of disease missing out is also called “survivor bias” [114]. Analyses of the Tromsø data indicate that attendance among old and frail persons are lower than among the middle aged and healthy [98] which would lead to an underestimation of our vertebral fracture prevalence. On the other hand, those who volunteer for screening may do so because they are especially worried. These biases would tend to counteract one another, but because neither one is easy to quantify, the net bias would be unknown [118]. Still, with these considerations in mind, and analyses presented in paper 1 and 2, we dare assume that selection bias did not have an important impact on our results.

Information bias

in an epidemiologic study results from either imperfect definition of study variables or flawed data collection procedures [121].

Methods of measurements:

Our main source of data information was the DXA equipment. Three specially trained technicians, the author being one, did the DXA scanning of the participants according to a standardized protocol, and the quality assessment of the total material was performed by the author afterwards. For precision analysis of the VFA, a random sample of 50 participants was reanalyzed. At the vertebrae with the highest frequency of present deformity, exemplified by 7th and 12th thoracic vertebrae, the intra-class correlation coefficient varied between 0.77 and 0.92, with 0.86 as a mean [122]. BMD measurements at the total hip and femoral neck were done at the same occasion as the VFA. DXA measurements of BMD are recognized as having excellent precision [123]. In a Norwegian study from 2008, the short term *in vivo* precision error was 1.2 % for total hip and 1.7 % for femoral neck [22]. Daily phantom measurements were performed throughout our study. No drift in densitometer performance was observed during the study.

Questionnaire

Data on demographics and lifestyle variables were collected through questionnaires. Information on smoking habits, leisure time physical activity, self-perceived health, and educational level was included in all three papers. Paper 3 also include information on neck pain, back pain, and HRQL (EQ-5D-3L and EQ VAS).

It is a well-known fact that people, and thereby study participants, tend to underreport on smoking habits [124]. There is also a known tendency that physical activity is over-reported in questionnaires, which was shown in a sub-study of Tromsø VI [125]. This may be a result of recall bias or a tendency to give more socially acceptable answers. Inaccurate recall of past exposure is perhaps the most often cited type of exposure identification bias. Errors in recall of these past exposures result in misclassification of exposure status, thus biasing the results of the study [121]. In our study (papers 1 and 3), these variables were treated as confounding factors (defined by JM Elwood as “distortion of an exposure – outcome association brought about by

the association of another factor with both outcome and exposure” [126]) and used for adjustments in our models.

5.2.2 External validity

External validity is the degree to which the results of an observation hold true in other settings. Another term for this is generalizability. Generalizability expresses the validity of assuming that participants in a study are similar to, or representative of, a general population.

Generalizability can rarely be dealt with satisfactorily in any one study. Even a defined, geographically based population is a biased sample of larger populations [117]. However, as the population of Tromsø is regarded not substantially different from the Norwegian population with respect to age and sex distribution [127] and the Tromsø Study is regarded representative of the Tromsø population [116], it is justifiable to assume the external validity of our study to be acceptable.

6. Discussion of results

6.1 Prevalence of vertebral fractures and sex differences

We found, as reported by others, an increasing prevalence of vertebral fractures by age in both sexes. Given the high incidence of forearm and hip fractures, we were surprised to find an overall prevalence of only 11.8 % in women and 13.8 % in men, which was lower than earlier reports from Norway [120]. This finding is intriguing and deserves further studies. With the prevalence of vertebral fractures varying from about 3 % in the age group below 60 to about 19 % in the 70+ group in women, and from 7.5 % to about 20 % in men, and an overall prevalence of 11.8 % in women and 13.8 % in men, there were no, if any, difference in prevalence between the two sexes. In both sexes, age (odds ratio [OR] per 5 year 1.69; 95 % CI 1.46-1.95) and BMD (OR per SD: 1.59; 95 % CI 1.37-11.85) were independent risk factors for vertebral fracture, and after adjusting for age and BMD, men had an even greater risk of fracture than women (OR 1.87; 95 % CI 1.4-2.5). This was also a surprising finding for us, as we expected a higher prevalence in women compared to men, as indicated by others [82]. Unfortunately there are not many studies including both women and men in their samples, but our finding definitely deserves to be followed. Because of the cross-sectional design, we do not know when these fractures occurred. We may assume that in men, some of the fractures may be of mechanical origin (high impact/high load) and could have occurred many years ago. Only a follow up study will reveal the incidence of vertebral fractures, which may be higher in women in the age groups studied. This will be an important topic in the up-coming Tromsø VII study (2015-16).

6.2 Associated risk factors of vertebral fractures

Because of the cross-sectional design we were only able to study factors associated with the prevalence of vertebral fractures. Fracture risk estimation has been an area of priority within

the field of osteoporosis research over many years. In a study published in New England Journal of Medicine in 1995 Cummings et al. illustrated how BMD, age and sex only partially describe the individual fracture risk [84]. After this publication, several models of fracture risk calculation have been launched. The model most used at the present, the FRAX model [86] includes several risk factors, among others history of previous fracture and smoking. Among the variables we had access to, only BMD and age were independently associated with vertebral fractures. This finding is in line with the reports from the Dubbo Osteoporosis Study and the Garvan nomogram of fracture [128, 129], although their nomograms also include BMI as an independent risk factor of osteoporotic fractures. With follow-up data between Tromsø VI and VII, we will be in a position to examine which models will predict future risk of vertebral fractures. This will be important because, as our findings indicated, although BMD and age were independently and significantly associated with vertebral fractures, they accounted for less than half of fracture risk (approximately 41 % was attributable to advancing age (more than 70 years) and low BMD (less than 0.85g/cm²), with the latter having a greater effect than the former)(paper 2 [88]) .

6.3 Vertebral fractures and health related quality of life

The impact of vertebral fractures on health related quality of life has not been much studied and we were expecting to find a strong association between the two. Women with vertebral fractures reported significantly more back pain and had lower EQ-5D-3L score than those without, also after adjustments for age, height, weight, and BMD. We could not demonstrate these associations in men. To our knowledge, these associations have not been studied in cohorts including both women and men before, and we cannot explain these findings with the information we have today. It is an interesting finding that deserves further studies on possible sex differences in the comprehension of pain, but it is a huge limitation to our study that we have no information of when the prevalent vertebral fractures occurred. If they occurred in early life in men, it may explain their non-symptomatic nature [130].

7 Conclusions

The overall prevalence of vertebral fractures was lower than expected, both compared with the prevalence found in a small Norwegian sample (Oslo 1996) and considering the high prevalence of hip- and other non-vertebral fractures in Norway.

Age and BMD are the strongest risk factors for vertebral fracture. This is in line with results reported from all over the world.

Prevalent vertebral fractures are associated with back pain and reduced health-related quality of life in women, but not in men.

8 Further research

Cross-sectional studies are said to be hypothesis-generating. As discussed above, several findings from this cross-sectional study surprised us. A Tromsø VII study will be due in 2015-16, and the following topics should be included in the follow-up study:

- The incidence of vertebral fractures by age and sex
- The risk of subsequent fractures after vertebral fractures
- Risk prediction models of vertebral fractures
- The impact of health - related quality of life after incident vertebral fracture

References

1. Bouxsein M: New imaging techniques for assessing bone strength. *19th IOF Advanced training Course on Osteoporosis* 2010, Compendium:99-124.
2. Seeman E, Delmas PD: Bone Quality — The Material and Structural Basis of Bone Strength and Fragility. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2006, 354(21):2250-2261.
3. 1993 Cdc: Diagnosis, prophylaxis, and treatment of osteoporosis. *Am J Med* 1993(94):646-650.
4. Cummings SR, Melton LJ: Epidemiology and outcomes of osteoporotic fractures. *The Lancet* 2002, 359(9319):1761-1767.
5. Truumees E: CHAPTER 22 - Osteoporosis. In: *Surgical Management of Spinal Deformities*. edn. Edited by Thomas JE, Md, Baron SL, Andrew W. Moulton MD. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 2009: 351-372.
6. Lane NE: Epidemiology, etiology, and diagnosis of osteoporosis. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2006, 194(2, Supplement):S3-S11.
7. Sambrook P, Cooper C: Osteoporosis. *Lancet* 2006, 367(9527):2010-2018.
8. Melton LJ CC: Magnitude and impact of osteoporosis and fractures. Book: *Osteoporosis*, 2nd edn, Academic Press, San Diego 2001:557-567.
9. Emaus N, Omsland TK, Ahmed LA, Grimnes G, Sneve M, Berntsen GK: Bone mineral density at the hip in Norwegian women and men--prevalence of osteoporosis depends on chosen references: the Tromso Study. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2009, 24(6):321-328.
10. Gjesdal C, Aanderud S, Haga H-J, Brun J, Tell G: Femoral and whole-body bone mineral density in middle-aged and older Norwegian men and women: suitability of the reference values. *Osteoporosis International* 2004, 15(7):525-534.
11. Naves M, Díaz-López JB, Gómez C, Rodríguez-Rebollar A, Serrano-Arias M, Cannata-Andía JB: Prevalence of osteoporosis in men and determinants of changes in bone mass in a non-selected Spanish population. *Osteoporosis International* 2005, 16(6):603-609.
12. Szulc P, Munoz F, Duboeuf F, Marchand F, Delmas P: Bone mineral density predicts osteoporotic fractures in elderly men: the MINOS study. *Osteoporosis International* 2005, 16(10):1184-1192.
13. Kanis JA, Johnell O, Oden A, Borgstrom F, Zethraeus N, Laet C, Jonsson B: The risk and burden of vertebral fractures in Sweden. *Osteoporosis International* 2004, 15(1):20-26.
14. Tenenhouse A, Joseph L, Kreiger N, Poliquin S, Murray TM, Blondeau L, Berger C, Hanley DA, Prior JC: Estimation of the Prevalence of Low Bone Density in Canadian Women and Men Using a Population-Specific DXA Reference Standard: The Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study (CaMos). *Osteoporosis International* 2000, 11(10):897-904.
15. Looker AC, Orwoll ES, Johnston CC, Lindsay RL, Wahner HW, Dunn WL, Calvo MS, Harris TB, Heyse SP: Prevalence of Low Femoral Bone Density in Older U.S. Adults from NHANES III. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 1997, 12(11):1761-1768.

16. Arlot ME, Sornay-Rendu E, Garnero P, Vey-Marty B, Delmas PD: Apparent Pre- and Postmenopausal Bone Loss Evaluated by DXA at Different Skeletal Sites in Women: The OFELY Cohort. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 1997, 12(4):683-690.
17. Ballard PA, Purdie DW, Langton CM, Steel SA, Mussurakis S: Prevalence of Osteoporosis and Related Risk Factors in UK Women in the Seventh Decade: Osteoporosis Case Finding by Clinical Referral Criteria or Predictive Model? *Osteoporosis International* 1998, 8(6):535-539.
18. Ho-Pham L, T Nguyen U, Pham H, Nguyen N, Nguyen T: Reference Ranges for Bone Mineral Density and Prevalence of Osteoporosis in Vietnamese Men and Women. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2011, 12(1):182.
19. Tuzun S, Eskiyyurt N, Akarirmak U, Saridogan M, Senocak M, Johansson H, Kanis JA: Incidence of hip fracture and prevalence of osteoporosis in Turkey: the FRACTURK study. *Osteoporosis International* 2012, 23(3):949-955.
20. Choi YJ, Oh HJ, Kim DJ, Lee Y, Chung Y-S: The prevalence of osteoporosis in Korean adults aged 50 years or older and the higher diagnosis rates in women who were beneficiaries of a national screening program: The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008–2009. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2012, 27(9):1879-1886.
21. Kolta S, Ravaud P, Fechtenbaum J, Dougados M, Roux C: Accuracy and Precision of 62 Bone Densitometers Using a European Spine Phantom. *Osteoporosis International* 1999, 10(1):14-19.
22. Omsland TK, Emaus N, Gjesdal CG, Falch JA, Tell GS, Forsen L, Berntsen GK, Meyer HE: In vivo and in vitro comparison of densitometers in the NOREPOS study. *J Clin Densitom* 2008, 11(2):276-282.
23. Pearson DD, Cawte SAS, Green DJD: A comparison of phantoms for cross-calibration of lumbar spine DXA. *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2002, 13(12):948-954.
24. Kanis JA, Odén A, McCloskey EV, Johansson H, Wahl DA, Cooper C: A systematic review of hip fracture incidence and probability of fracture worldwide. *Osteoporosis International* 2012, 23(9):2239-2256.
25. Kanis JA, McCloskey EV: Risk factors in osteoporosis. *Maturitas* 1998, 30(3):229-233.
26. Center JR, Bliuc D, Nguyen TV, Eisman JA: Risk of Subsequent Fracture After Low-Trauma Fracture in Men and Women. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association* 2007, 297(4):387-394.
27. Cooper C: Osteoporosis: disease severity and consequent fracture management. *Osteoporosis International* 2010, 21(2):425-429.
28. Abrahamsen B, Staa T, Ariely R, Olson M, Cooper C: Excess mortality following hip fracture: a systematic epidemiological review. *Osteoporosis International* 2009, 20(10):1633-1650.
29. Haentjens P, Magaziner J, Colón-Emeric CS, Vandershueren D, Milisen K, Velkeniers B, Boonen S: Meta-analysis: Excess Mortality After Hip Fracture Among Older Women and Men. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2010, 152(6):380-390.

30. Panula J, Pihlajamaki H, Mattila V, Jaatinen P, Vahlberg T, Aarnio P, Kivela S-L: Mortality and cause of death in hip fracture patients aged 65 or older - a population-based study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2011, 12(1):105.
31. Shiraki M, Kuroda T, Tanaka S: Established Osteoporosis Associated with High Mortality after Adjustment for Age and Co-Mobidities in Postmenopausal Japanese Women. *Internal Medicine* 2011, 50(5):397-404.
32. Becker D, Kilgore M, Morrisey M: The Societal Burden of Osteoporosis. *Current Rheumatology Reports* 2010, 12(3):186-191.
33. Gutiérrez L, Roskell N, Castellsague J, Beard S, Rycroft C, Abeysinghe S, Shannon P, Robbins S, Gitlin M: Study of the incremental cost and clinical burden of hip fractures in postmenopausal women in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Medical Economics* 2011, 14(1):99-107.
34. Leslie WD, Metge CJ, Azimaei M, Lix LM, Finlayson GS, Morin SN, Caetano P: Direct costs of fractures in Canada and trends 1996–2006: A population-based cost-of-illness analysis. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2011, 26(10):2419-2429.
35. Kaffashian S, Raina P, Oremus M, Pickard L, Adachi J, Papadimitropoulos E, Papaioannou A: The burden of osteoporotic fractures beyond acute care: the Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study (CaMos). *Age and Ageing* 2011, 40(5):602-607.
36. Harvey N, Dennison E, Cooper C: Osteoporosis: impact on health and economics. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2010, 6(2):99-105.
37. Harvey N, Dennison E, Cooper C: Correction: Osteoporosis impact on health and economics. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2010, 6(4):184-184.
38. Cheng SY, Levy AR, Lefavre KA, Guy P, Kuramoto L, Sobolev B: Geographic trends in incidence of hip fractures: a comprehensive literature review. *Osteoporosis International* 2011, 22(10):2575-2586.
39. Litwic A, Edwards M, Cooper C, Dennison E: Geographic differences in fractures among women. *Women's Health* 2012, 8(6):673-684.
40. Lofthus CM, Osnes EK, Falch JA, Kaastad TS, Kristiansen IS, Nordsletten L, Stensvold I, Meyer HE: Epidemiology of hip fractures in Oslo, Norway. *Bone* 2001, 29(5):413-418.
41. Omsland T, Holvik K, Meyer H, Center J, Emaus N, Tell G, Schei B, Tverdal A, Gjesdal C, Grimnes G et al: Hip fractures in Norway 1999–2008: time trends in total incidence and second hip fracture rates. A NOREPOS study. *European Journal of Epidemiology* 2012, 27(10):807-814.
42. Støen RØ, Nordsletten L, Meyer HE, Frihagen JF, Falch JA, Lofthus CM: Hip fracture incidence is decreasing in the high incidence area of Oslo, Norway. *Osteoporosis International* 2012, 23(10):2527-2534.
43. Kannus P, Niemi S, Parkkari J, Palvanen M, Vuori I, Järvinen M: Nationwide Decline in Incidence of Hip Fracture. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2006, 21(12):1836-1838.
44. Leslie WD ODSJS, et al.: Trends in hip fracture rates in canada. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association* 2009, 302(8):883-889.
45. Brauer CA C-PMCDMRAB: INCidence and mortality of hip fractures in the united states. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association* 2009, 302(14):1573-1579.

46. Abrahamsen B, Vestergaard P: Declining incidence of hip fractures and the extent of use of anti-osteoporotic therapy in Denmark 1997–2006. *Osteoporosis International* 2010, 21(3):373-380.
47. Cooper C, Cole ZA, Holroyd CR, Earl SC, Harvey NC, Dennison EM, Melton LJ, Cummings SR, Kanis JA: Secular trends in the incidence of hip and other osteoporotic fractures. *Osteoporosis International* 2011, 22(5):1277-1288.
48. Maravic M, Taupin P, Landais P, Roux C: Change in hip fracture incidence over the last 6 years in France. *Osteoporosis International* 2011, 22(3):797-801.
49. Adams AL, Shi J, Takayanagi M, Dell RM, Funahashi TT, Jacobsen SJ: Ten-year hip fracture incidence rate trends in a large California population, 1997–2006. *Osteoporosis International* 2012;1-4.
50. Looker AC, Melton LJ, Harris TB, Borrud LG, Shepherd JA: Prevalence and trends in low femur bone density among older US adults: NHANES 2005–2006 compared with NHANES III. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2010, 25(1):64-71.
51. Gauthier A, Kanis J, Jiang Y, Martin M, Compston J, Borgström F, Cooper C, McCloskey E: Epidemiological burden of postmenopausal osteoporosis in the UK from 2010 to 2021: estimations from a disease model. *Archives of Osteoporosis* 2011, 6(1-2):179-188.
52. Lofthus C, Frihagen F, Meyer H, Nordsletten L, Melhuus K, Falch J: Epidemiology of distal forearm fractures in Oslo, Norway. *Osteoporosis International* 2008, 19(6):781-786.
53. Hansen L, Mathiesen AS, Vestergaard P, Ehlers LH, Petersen KD: A health economic analysis of osteoporotic fractures: who carries the burden? *Archives of osteoporosis* 2013, 8(1-2):126.
54. Borgstrom F, Sobocki P, Strom O, Jonsson B: The societal burden of osteoporosis in Sweden. *Bone* 2007, 40(6):1602-1609.
55. Dimai HP, Redlich K, Peretz M, Borgstrom F, Siebert U, Mahlich J: Economic burden of osteoporotic fractures in Austria. *Health Econ Rev* 2012, 2(1):12.
56. Ensrud KE, Schousboe JT: Vertebral Fractures. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2011, 364(17):1634-1642.
57. Bow CH, Cheung E, Cheung CL, Xiao SM, Loong C, Soong C, Tan KC, Luckey MM, Cauley JA, Fujiwara S *et al*: Ethnic difference of clinical vertebral fracture risk. *Osteoporosis International* 2012, 23(3):879-885.
58. Sanfélix-Gimeno G, Sanfelix-Genovés J, Hurtado I, Reig-Molla B, Peiró S: Vertebral fracture risk factors in postmenopausal women over 50 in Valencia, Spain. A population- based cross-sectional study. *Bone* (0).
59. Kanis JA, Johnell O, De Laet C, Johansson H, Oden A, Delmas P, Eisman J, Fujiwara S, Garnero P, Kroger H *et al*: A meta-analysis of previous fracture and subsequent fracture risk. *Bone* 2004, 35(2):375-382.
60. Briggs A, Greig A, Wark J: The vertebral fracture cascade in osteoporosis: a review of aetiopathogenesis. *Osteoporosis International* 2007, 18(5):575-584.
61. Christiansen B, Bouxsein M: Biomechanics of Vertebral Fractures and the Vertebral Fracture Cascade. *Current Osteoporosis Reports* 2010, 8(4):198-204.
62. Lindsay R, Silverman SL, Cooper C, Hanley DA, Barton I, Broy SB, Licata A, Benhamou L, Geusens P, Flowers K *et al*: Risk of New Vertebral Fracture in the

- Year Following a Fracture.** *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association* 2001, 285(3):320-323.
63. Ismail AA, Cockerill W, Cooper C, Finn JD, Abendroth K, Parisi G, Banzer D, Benevolenskaya LI, Bhalla AK, Bruges Armas J *et al*: Prevalent Vertebral Deformity Predicts Incident Hip though not distal Forearm Fracture: Results from the European Prospective Osteoporosis Study. *Osteoporosis International* 2001, 12(2):85-90.
 64. Ross PD, Davis JW, Epstein RS, Wasnich RD: Pre-Existing Fractures and Bone Mass Predict Vertebral Fracture Incidence in Women. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1991, 114(11):919-923.
 65. Ross PD GH, Davis JW, Miller PD, Wasnich RD: Predicting vertebral fracture incidence from prevalent fractures and bone density among non-black, osteoporotic women. *Osteoporosis International* 1993, May;3(3):120-126.
 66. Lunt M, O'Neill TW, Felsenberg D, Reeve J, Kanis JA, Cooper C, Silman AJ: Characteristics of a prevalent vertebral deformity predict subsequent vertebral fracture: results from the European Prospective Osteoporosis Study (EPOS). *Bone* 2003, 33(4):505-513.
 67. Klotzbuecher CM, Ross PD, Landsman PB, Abbott TA, Berger M: Patients with Prior Fractures Have an Increased Risk of Future Fractures: A Summary of the Literature and Statistical Synthesis. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2000, 15(4):721-739.
 68. Johnell O, Oden A, Caulin F, Kanis JA: Acute and Long-Term Increase in Fracture Risk after Hospitalization for Vertebral Fracture. *Osteoporosis International* 2001, 12(3):207-214.
 69. van Staa TP, Leufkens HGM, Cooper C: Does a Fracture at One Site Predict Later Fractures at Other Sites? A British Cohort Study. *Osteoporosis International* 2002, 13(8):624-629.
 70. El Maghraoui A, Rezqi A, Mounach A, Achemlal L, Bezza A, Ghoulani I: Systematic vertebral fracture assessment in asymptomatic postmenopausal women. *Bone* 2013, 52(1):176-180.
 71. Reginster J-Y, Burlet N: Osteoporosis: A still increasing prevalence. *Bone* 2006, 38(2, Supplement 1):4-9.
 72. Chen Y-C, Wu J-C, Liu L, Huang W-C, Cheng H, Chen T-J, Thien P-F, Lo S-S: Hospitalized osteoporotic vertebral fracture increases the risk of stroke: A population-based cohort study. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2012:n/a-n/a.
 73. Lee YK, Jang S, Lee HJ, Park C, Ha YC, Kim DY: Mortality after vertebral fracture in Korea. *Osteoporosis International* 2012, 23(7):1859-1865.
 74. Center JR, Nguyen TV, Schneider D, Sambrook PN, Eisman JA: Mortality after all major types of osteoporotic fracture in men and women: an observational study. *The Lancet* 1999, 353(9156):878-882.
 75. Hasserius R, Karlsson MK, Nilsson BE, I., Johnell O: Prevalent vertebral deformities predict increased mortality and increased fracture rate in both men and women: A 10-year population-based study of 598 individuals from the Swedish cohort in the European Vertebral Osteoporosis Study. *Osteoporosis International* 2003, 14(1):61-68.
 76. Hasserius R, Karlsson MK, Jónsson B, Redlund-Johnell I, Johnell O: Long-Term Morbidity and Mortality After a Clinically Diagnosed Vertebral Fracture in the

- Elderly—a 12- and 22-Year Follow-up of 257 Patients. *Calcified Tissue International* 2005, 76(4):235-242.
77. Johnell O, Kanis JA, Odén A, Sernbo I, Redlund-Johnell I, Pettersson C, Laet C, Jönsson B: Mortality after osteoporotic fractures. *Osteoporosis International* 2004, 15(1):38-42.
 78. Ioannidis G: Relation between fractures and mortality: results from the Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2009, sept 2009:265-271.
 79. Leboime A, Confavreux CB, Mehser N, Paccou J, David C, Roux C: Osteoporosis and mortality. *Joint Bone Spine* 2010, 77, Supplement 2(0):S107-S112.
 80. Lentle BC GP, Ward L: Osteoporotic vertebral fractures redux. *Minerva Med* 2008, 99(1):73-89.
 81. Jager P, Jonkman S, Koolhaas W, Stiekema A, Wolffenbuttel B, Slart R: Combined vertebral fracture assessment and bone mineral density measurement: a new standard in the diagnosis of osteoporosis in academic populations. *Osteoporosis International* 2011, 22(4):1059-1068.
 82. Cauley J HM, Lui L, et al.: Long-term risk of incident vertebral fractures. *JAMA* 2007, 298(23):2761-2767.
 83. Melton LJ, 3rd: Epidemiology of hip fractures: implications of the exponential increase with age. *Bone* 1996, 18(3 Suppl):121S-125S.
 84. Cummings S, Nevitt M, Browner W, Stone K, Fox K, Ensrud K, Cauley J, Black D, Vogt T: Risk factors for hip fracture in white women. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 1995, 332(12):767 - 773.
 85. Kanis JA, Borgstrom F, De Laet C, Johansson H, Johnell O, Jonsson B, Oden A, Zethraeus N, Pfleger B, Khaltaev N: Assessment of fracture risk. *Osteoporosis International* 2005, 16(6):581-589.
 86. Kanis JA, Hans D, Cooper C, Baim S, Bilezikian JP, Binkley N, Cauley JA, Compston JE, Dawson-Hughes B, El-Hajj Fuleihan G et al: Interpretation and use of FRAX in clinical practice. *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2011, 22(9):2395-2411.
 87. Rizzoli R, Bonjour J, Ferrari S: Osteoporosis, genetics and hormones. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* 2001, 26(2):79-94.
 88. Waterloo S, Nguyen T, Ahmed L, Center J, Morseth B, Nguyen N, Eisman J, Sogaard A, Emaus N: Important risk factors and attributable risk of vertebral fractures in the population-based Tromso study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2012, 13(1):163.
 89. Kanis JA, Johansson H, Oden A, Johnell O, De Laet C, Eisman JA, McCloskey EV, Mellstrom D, Melton LJ, 3rd, Pols HA et al: A family history of fracture and fracture risk: a meta-analysis. *Bone* 2004, 35(5):1029-1037.
 90. Papaioannou A, Joseph L, Ioannidis G, Berger C, Anastassiades T, Brown JP, Hanley DA, Hopman W, Josse RG, Kirkland S et al: Risk factors associated with incident clinical vertebral and nonvertebral fractures in postmenopausal women: the Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study (CaMos). *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2005, 16(5):568-578.

91. Vestergaard P, Olsen ML, Paaske Johnsen S, Rejnmark L, Sorensen HT, Mosekilde L: Corticosteroid use and risk of hip fracture: a population-based case-control study in Denmark. *Journal of internal medicine* 2003, 254(5):486-493.
92. Steinbuch M, Youket TE, Cohen S: Oral glucocorticoid use is associated with an increased risk of fracture. *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2004, 15(4):323-328.
93. Roy DK, O'Neill TW, Finn JD, Lunt M, Silman AJ, Felsenberg D, Armbrecht G, Banzer D, Benevolenskaya LI, Bhalla A *et al*: Determinants of incident vertebral fracture in men and women: results from the European Prospective Osteoporosis Study (EPOS). *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2003, 14(1):19-26.
94. Kanis JA, Johnell O, Oden A, Johansson H, De Laet C, Eisman JA, Fujiwara S, Kroger H, McCloskey EV, Mellstrom D *et al*: Smoking and fracture risk: a meta-analysis. *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2005, 16(2):155-162.
95. Kenny AM, Prestwood KM: OSTEOPOROSIS: Pathogenesis, Diagnosis, and Treatment in Older Adults. *Rheumatic Disease Clinics of North America* 2000, 26(3):569-591.
96. Morseth B: Physical activity, osteoporosis, and fracture risk. Long-term associations in a general population. Tromsø, Norway: University of Tromsø; 2011.
97. Jacobsen B: The Tromsø Study. In. Inst of Community Medicine, University of Tromsø; 2012.
98. Eggen AE, Mathiesen EB, Wilsgaard T, Jacobsen BK, Njølstad I: The sixth survey of the Tromsø Study (Tromsø 6) in 2007–08: Collaborative research in the interface between clinical medicine and epidemiology: Study objectives, design, data collection procedures, and attendance in a multipurpose population-based health survey. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2013, 41(1):65-80.
99. Binkley N, Lewiecki EM: The evolution of fracture risk estimation. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 2010, 25(10):2098-2100.
100. Genant HK, Gordon C, Jiang Y, Lang TF, Link TM, Majumdar S: Advanced imaging of bone macro and micro structure. *Bone* 1999, 25(1):149-152.
101. Lochmüller E-M, Müller R, Kuhn V, Lill CA, Eckstein F: Can Novel Clinical Densitometric Techniques Replace or Improve DXA in Predicting Bone Strength in Osteoporosis at the Hip and Other Skeletal Sites? *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2003, 18(5):906-912.
102. Anonymous: Assessment of fracture risk and its application for postmenopausal osteoporosis. In: *WHO Tech Rep Ser* 1994;843:1-129. 1994.
103. Anonymous: Utilization of DXA bone mineral densitometry in Ontario: an evidence-base analysis. In: *Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series* 2006;620. 2006.
104. Grigoryan M, Guermazi A, Roemer F, Delmas P, Genant H: Recognizing and reporting osteoporotic vertebral fractures. *European Spine Journal* 2003, 12(0):S104-S112.

105. Genant HK JM, Palermo L, Nevitt MC, Valentin RS, Black D, Cummings SR: Comparison of semiquantitative visual and quantitative morphometric assessment of prevalent and incident vertebral fractures in osteoporosis. The Study of Osteoporotic Fractures research Group. *J Bone Miner Res* 1996, 11:984-996.
106. Lewiecki E: Bone Densitometry and Vertebral Fracture Assessment. *Current Osteoporosis Reports* 2010, 8(3):123-130.
107. Minne HW LG, Wuster C, Siromachkostov L, Baldauf G, Bickel R, sauer P, Logen M, Ziegler R: A newly developed spine deformity index (SDI) to quantitate vertebral crush fractures in patients with osteoporosis. *Bone Miner* 1988, 3:335-349.
108. Healthcare G: Lunar enCore. Reference Data Supplement, Revision 1. 2010.
109. GE-Healthcare: GE-Lunar enCore Referansedatasupplement. In: *Revisjon 1/11/2010*. 2010.
110. EuroQol-Group: EuroQol - a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. *Health Policy* 1990, 16(3):199-208.
111. Brooks R: EuroQol: the current state of play. *Health Policy* 1996, 37(1):53-72.
112. Rabin R, Charro Fd: EQ-SD: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. *Annals of Medicine* 2001, 33(5):337-343.
113. Rabin R: EQ-5D-3L User Guide, Version 4.0. In.; 2011.
114. Bhopal R: Concepts of epidemiology. Book: *Oxford University Press* 2008.
115. Saunders Comprehensive Veterinary Dictionary, 3rd Edition. In: *Elsevier* 2007.
116. Jacobsen BK, Eggen AE, Mathiesen EB, Wilsgaard T, Njølstad I: Cohort profile: The Tromsø Study. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2011.
117. Fletcher RS: Clinical Epidemiology: The Essentials.
118. Rothman KJ: Epidemiology: An Introduction: Oxford University Press; 2002.
119. onlinecourses.science.psu/stat509: Design and Analysis of Clinical trials. In. Edited by PennState.
120. Falch JM, H.: Osteoporose og brudd i Norge. *Tidsskr Nor Lægeforen* 1998, 118(4):568-572.
121. Szklo M NF: Epidemiology: Beyond the Basics. 2nd edition. Sudbury, Massachusetts: Jones and Bartlett Publishers; 2007.
122. Waterloo S, Ahmed L, Center J, Eisman J, Morseth B, Nguyen N, Nguyen T, Sogaard A, Emaus N: Prevalence of vertebral fractures in women and men in the population based Tromso Study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2012, 13(1):3.
123. Bouxsein M: Technology insight: non-invasive assessment of bone strength in osteoporosis. *Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol* 2008, 4(6):310-318.
124. Klesges RC DM, Ray JW: Are self-reports of smoking rate biased? Evidence from the Second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1995, 48:1225-33.
125. Emaus A, Degerstrom J, Wilsgaard T, Hansen BH, Dieli-Conwright CM, Furberg AS, Pettersen SA, Andersen LB, Eggen AE, Bernstein L et al: Does a variation in self-reported physical activity reflect variation in objectively measured physical activity, resting heart rate, and physical fitness? Results from the Tromso study. *Scandinavian journal of public health* 2010, 38(5 Suppl):105-118.
126. Elwood J (ed.): Critical appraisal of epidemiological studies and clinical trials 3rd ed. edn. New York: Oxford University Press; 2007.
127. www.norgeshelsa.no

128. Nguyen ND, Frost SA, Center JR, Eisman JA, Nguyen TV: Development of a nomogram for individualizing hip fracture risk in men and women. *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2007, 18(8):1109-1117.
129. Nguyen ND, Frost SA, Center JR, Eisman JA, Nguyen TV: Development of prognostic nomograms for individualizing 5-year and 10-year fracture risks. *Osteoporosis International* 2008, 19(10):1431-1444.
130. Seeman E, Bianchi G, Khosla S, Kanis J, Orwoll E: Bone fragility in men - where are we? *Osteoporosis International* 2006, 17(11):1577-1583.

PAPER I

Waterloo S, Ahmed LA, Center JR, Eisman JA, Morseth B, Nguyen ND, Søgaard AJ,
Nguyen T, Søgaard AJ, Emaus N

**Prevalence of vertebral fractures in women and men in the population-based
Tromsø Study**

BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2012, **13**:3

PAPER II

Waterloo S, Nguyen T, Ahmed LA, Center JR, Morseth B, Nguyen ND, Eisman JA,
Sogaard AJ, Emaus N.

Important risk factors and attributable risk of vertebral fractures in the population-based Tromsø Study

BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2012, **13**:163

PAPER III

Waterloo S, Søgaard AJ, Ahmed LA, Damsgård E, Morseth B, Emaus N

**Vertebral fractures and self-perceived health in elderly women and men: The
Tromsø Study, a population-based cross-sectional study**

Submitted

APPENDIX A



Tromsø-undersøkelsen

Skjemaet skal leses optisk. Vennligst bruk blå eller sort penn. Du kan ikke bruke komma, bruk blokkbokstaver.

2007 – 2008 KONFIDENSIELT

HELSE OG SYKDOMMER

1 Hvordan vurderer du din egen helse sånn i alminnelighet?

- Meget god
- God
- Verken god eller dårlig
- Dårlig
- Meget dårlig

+

2 Hvordan synes du at helsen din er sammenlignet med andre på din alder?

- Mye bedre
- Litt bedre
- Omrent lik
- Litt dårligere
- Mye dårligere

3 Har du eller har du hatt?

Alder første
Ja Nei gang

Hjerteinfarkt.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Angina pectoris (<i>hjertekrampe</i>).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hjerneslag/hjerneblødning.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hjerteflimmer (<i>atrieflimmer</i>).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Høyt blodtrykk.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Beinskjørhet (<i>osteoporose</i>).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Astma.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kronisk bronkitt/emfysem/KOLS.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Diabetes.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Psykiske plager (som du har søkt hjelp for).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lavt stoffskifte.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nyresykdom, unntatt urinveisinfeksjon.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Migrrene.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4 Har du langvarige eller stadig tilbakevendende smerter som har vart i 3 måneder eller mer?

- Ja
- Nei

+

5 Hvor ofte har du vært plaget av søvnlosheit de siste 12 måneder?

- Aldri, eller noen få ganger
- 1-3 ganger i måneden
- Omrent 1 gang i uken
- Mer enn 1 gang i uken

+

6 Under finner du en liste over ulike problemer. Har du opplevd noe av dette den siste uken (til og med i dag)? (Sett ett kryss for hver plage)

	Ikke plaget	Litt plaget	Ganske mye	Veldig mye
Plutselig frykt uten grunn.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Føler deg redd eller engstelig.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Matthet eller svimmelhet.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Føler deg anspent eller oppjaget.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lett for å klandre deg selv....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Søvnproblemer.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nedtrykt, tungsindig.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Følelse av å være unyttig, lite verd.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Følelse av at alt er et slit.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Følelse av håpløshet mht. framtida.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

BRUK AV HELSETJENESTER

7 Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder vært hos: Hvis JA; Hvor mange ganger?

	Ja	Nei	Ant ggr
Fastlege/allmennlege.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Psikiater/psykolog.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Legespesialist utenfor sykehus (<i>utenom fastlege/allmennlege/psikiater</i>).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fysioterapeut.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kiropraktor.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Annен behandler (<i>homøopat, akupunktør, fotsoneterapeut, naturmedisiner, håndspålegger, healer, synsk el.l.</i>).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tannlege/tannpleier.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8 Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder vært på sykehus?

	Ja	Nei	Ant ggr
Innlagt på sykehus.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Konsultasjon ved sykehus uten innleggelse;			
Ved psykiatrisk poliklinikk.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ved annen sykehuspoliklinikk	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9 Har du gjennomgått noen form for operasjon i løpet av de siste 3 årene?

- Ja
- Nei

+

BRUK AV MEDISINER

- 10 Bruker du, eller har du brukt, noen av følgende medisiner? (Sett ett kryss for hver linje)

	Aldri brukt	Nå	Før	Alder første gang
+				
Medisin mot høyt blodtrykk.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kolesterolenkende medisin.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medisin mot hjertesykdom.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vanndrivende medisin.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medisin mot beinskjørhet (osteoporose).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Insulin.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Diabetesmedisin (tabletter)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Stoffskiftemedisinene				
Thyroxin/levaxin	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- 11 Hvor ofte har du i løpet av de siste 4 ukene brukt følgende medisiner? (Sett ett kryss pr linje)

	Ikke brukt siste 4 uker	Sjeldnere enn hver uke	Hver uke, men ikke daglig	Daglig
Smertestillende på resept.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Smertestillende reseptfrie.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sovemidler	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Beroligende medisiner.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medisin mot depresjon.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- 12 Skriv ned alle medisiner – både de med og uten resept – som du har brukt regelmessig i siste 4 ukers periode. (Ikke regn med vitaminer, mineraler, urter, naturmedisin, andre kosttilskudd etc.)
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

Får du ikke plass til alle medisiner, bruk eget ark.

VED FRAMMØTE vil du bli spurta om du har brukt antibiotika eller smertestillende medisiner de siste 24 timene. Om du har det, vil vi be om at du oppgir preparat, styrke, dose og tidspunkt

FAMILIE OG VENNER

- 13 Hvem bor du sammen med? (Sett kryss for hvert spørsmål og angi antall)

	+	Ja	Nei	Antall
Ektefelle/samboer		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Andre personer over 18 år.....		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Personer under 18 år.....		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

- 14 Kryss av for de slektninger som har eller har hatt

	Foreldre	Barn	Søsken
Hjerteinfarkt.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hjerteinfarkt før fylte 60 år	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Angina pectoris (<i>hjertekrampe</i>).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hjerneslag/hjerneblødning	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Beinskjørhet (osteoporose)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Magesår/tolvfingertarmsår.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Astma.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Diabetes.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Demens.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Psykiske plager.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rusproblemer.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- 15 Har du nok venner som kan gi deg hjelp når du trenger det?

Ja Nei

- 16 Har du nok venner som du kan snakke fortrolig med?

Ja Nei

- 17 Hvor ofte tar du vanligvis del i foreningsvirksomhet som for eksempel syklubb, idrettslag, politiske lag, religiøse eller andre foreninger?

- Aldri, eller noen få ganger i året
- 1-2 ganger i måneden
- Omrent 1 gang i uken
- Mer enn en gang i uken

ARBEID, TRYGD OG INNTEKT

- 18 Hva er din høyeste fullførte utdanning? (Sett ett kryss)

- Grunnskole, framhaldsskole eller folkehøyskole
- Yrkesfaglig videregående, yrkesskole eller realskole
- Allmennfaglig videregående skole eller gymnas
- Høyskole eller universitet, mindre enn 4 år
- Høyskole eller universitet, 4 år eller mer

- 19 Hva er din hovedaktivitet? (Sett ett kryss)

- Yrkesaktiv heltid Hjemmeværende
- Yrkesaktiv deltid Pensjonist/trygdet
- Arbeidsledig Student/militærtjeneste

20 Mottar du noen av følgende ytelser?

- Alderstrygd, førtidspensjon (AFP) eller etterlattepensjon
- Sykepenger (er sykemeldt)
- Rehabiliterings-/attføringspenger
- Uføreytelse/pensjon, hel +
- Uføreytelse/pensjon, delvis
- Dagpenger under arbeidsledighet
- Overgangstønad
- Sosialhjelp/-stønad

21 Hvor høy var husholdningens samlede bruttoinntekt siste år? Ta med alle inntekter fra arbeid, trygder, sosialhjelp og lignende.

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Under 125 000 kr | <input type="checkbox"/> 401 000-550 000 kr |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 125 000-200 000 kr | <input type="checkbox"/> 551 000-700 000 kr |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 201 000-300 000 kr | <input type="checkbox"/> 701 000 -850 000 kr |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 301 000-400 000 kr | <input type="checkbox"/> Over 850 000 kr |

22 Arbeider du utendørs minst 25 % av tiden, eller i lokaler med lav temperatur, som for eksempel lager-/industrihaller?

- Ja Nei

FYSISK AKTIVITET

23 Hvis du er i lønnet eller ulønnet arbeid, hvordan vil du beskrive arbeidet ditt?

- For det meste stillesittende arbeid
(f.eks. skrivebordsarbeid, montering)
- Arbeid som krever at du går mye
(f.eks ekspeditørarbeid, lett industriarbeid, undervisning)
- Arbeid der du går og løfter mye
(f.eks postbud, pleier, bygningsarbeider)
- Tungt kroppsarbeid

24 Angi bevegelse og kroppslig anstrengelse i din fritid. Hvis aktiviteten varierer meget f eks mellom sommer og vinter, så ta et gjennomsnitt. Spørsmålet gjelder bare det siste året. (Sett kryss i den ruta som passer best)

- Leser, ser på fjernsyn eller annen stillesittende beskjæftigelse
- Spaserer, sykler eller beveger deg på annen måte minst 4 timer i uken (her skal du også regne med gang eller sykling til arbeidsstedet, søndagsturer med mer)
- Driver mosjonsidrett, tyngre hagearbeid, snømåking e.l. (merk at aktiviteten skal være minst 4 timer i uka)
- Trener hardt eller driver konkurranseidrett regelmessig og flere ganger i uka

25 Hvor ofte driver du mosjon? (Med mosjon mener vi at du f.eks går en tur, går på ski, svømmer eller driver trening/idrett)

- Aldri
- Sjeldnere enn en gang i uken
- En gang i uken
- 2-3 ganger i uken +
- omtrent hver dag

26 Hvor hardt mosjonerer du da i gjennomsnitt?

- Tar det rolig uten å bli andpusten eller svett.
- Tar det så hardt at jeg blir andpusten og svett
- Tar meg nesten helt ut +

27 Hvor lenge holder du på hver gang i gjennomsnitt ?

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mindre enn 15 minutter | <input type="checkbox"/> 30 minutter – 1 time |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 15-29 minutter | <input type="checkbox"/> Mer enn 1 time |

ALKOHOL OG TOBAKK

28 Hvor ofte drikker du alkohol?

- Aldri
- Månedlig eller sjeldnere
- 2-4 ganger hver måned
- 2-3 ganger pr. uke
- 4 eller flere ganger pr.uke

29 Hvor mange enheter alkohol (en øl, et glass vin, eller en drink) tar du vanligvis når du drikker?

- | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1-2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5-6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 10 eller flere |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 3-4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 7-9 | |

30 Hvor ofte drikker du 6 eller flere enheter alkohol ved en anledning?

- aldri
- sjeldnere enn månedlig
- månedlig
- ukentlig
- daglig eller nesten daglig

31 Røyker du av og til, men ikke daglig?

- Ja Nei

32 Har du røykt/røyker du daglig?

- Ja, nå Ja, tidligere Aldri

33 Hvis du har røykt daglig tidligere, hvor lenge er det siden du sluttet?

Antall år

34 Hvis du røyker daglig nå eller har røykt tidligere: Hvor mange sigarettar røyker eller røykte du vanligvis daglig?

Antall sigarettar

35 Hvor gammel var du da du begynte å røyke daglig?

Antall år

36 Hvor mange år til sammen har du røykt daglig?

Antall år

37 Bruker du, eller har du brukt, snus eller skrå?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nei, aldri | <input type="checkbox"/> Ja, av og til |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ja, men jeg har sluttet | <input type="checkbox"/> Ja, daglig + |

KOSTHOLD

38 Spiser du vanligvis frokost hver dag?

Ja Nei

39 Hvor mange enheter frukt og grønnsaker spiser du i gjennomsnitt per dag? (Med enhet menes f.eks. en frukt, glass juice, potet, porsjon grønnsaker)

Antall enheter +

40 Hvor mange ganger i uken spiser du varm middag?

Antall

41 Hvor ofte spiser du vanligvis disse matvarene?

(Sett ett kryss pr linje)

0-1 g 2-3 g 1-3 g 4-6 g 1-2 g
pr. mnd pr.mnd pr.uke pr.uke pr. dag

Poteter.....	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Pasta/ris.....	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Kjøtt (ikke kvernet).....	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Kvernet kjøtt (pølser, hamburger o.l.)	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Grønnsaker, frukt, bær..	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Mager fisk.....	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Feit fisk..... (f.eks.laks, ørret, makrell, sild, kveite,uer)	<input type="checkbox"/>				

42 Hvor mye drikker du vanligvis av følgende?

(Sett ett kryss pr. linje)

1-6	2-3	4 glass
Sjeldent/ aldri	glass pr. uke	glass pr. dag
		el. mer pr. dag

Melk, kefir, yoghurt.....	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Fruktjuice.....	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Brus/leskedrikker med sukker.....	<input type="checkbox"/>				

43 Hvor mange kopper kaffe og te drikker du daglig?

(sett 0 for de typene du ikke drikker daglig)

	Antall kopper				
Filterkaffe.....	<input type="text" value="1"/>				
Kokekaffe/presskanne.....	<input type="text" value="1"/>				
Annen kaffe.....	<input type="text" value="1"/>				
Te.....	<input type="text" value="1"/>				

44 Hvor ofte spiser du vanligvis fiskelever?

(For eksempel i mølle)

Sjeldent/aldri 1-3 g i året 4-6 g i året
 7-12 g i året Oftere

45 Bruker du følgende kosttilskudd?

	Daglig	Iblast	Nei
Tran, trankapsler.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Omega 3 kapsler (fiskeolje, selolje).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kalktabletter.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SPØRSMÅL TIL KVINNER

46 Er du gravid nå?

Ja Nei Usikker

47 Hvor mange barn har du født?

Antall +

48 Hvis du har født, fyll ut for hvert barn: fødselsår og vekt samt hvor mange måneder du ammet.

(Angi så godt som du kan)

Barn	Fødselsår	Fødselsvekt i gram	Ammet ant.mnd
1	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
2	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
3	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
4	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
5	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
6	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

49 Har du i forbindelse med svangerskap hatt for høyt blodtrykk?

Ja Nei

50 Hvis Ja, i hvilket svangerskap?

Første Senere

51 Har du i forbindelse med svangerskap hatt protein (eggehvite) i urinen?

Ja Nei

52 Hvis Ja, i hvilket svangerskap?

Første Senere

53 Ble noen av disse barna født mer enn en måned for tidlig (før termin) pga. svangerskapsforgiftning?

Ja Nei

54 Hvis Ja, hvilke(t) barn

Barn 1	Barn 2	Barn 3	Barn 4	Barn 5	Barn 6
<input type="checkbox"/>					

55 Hvor gammel var du da du fikk menstruasjon første gang?

Antall år +

56 Bruker du for tiden reseptpliktige legemidler som påvirker menstruasjonen?

P-pille, hormonspiral eller lignende..... Ja Nei

Hormonpreparat for overgangsalderen..... Ja Nei

VED FRAMMØTE vil du få utfyllende spørsmål om menstruasjon og eventuell bruk av hormoner. Skriv gjerne ned på et papir navn på hormonpreparater du har brukt, og ta det med deg. Du vil også bli spurta om din menstruasjon har opphört og eventuelt når og hvorfor.


APPENDIX B

+

+


Tromsø

- en del av Tromsøundersøkelsen



+

+



SLIK FYLLER DU UT SKJEMAET:

Skjemaet vil bli lest maskinelt, det er derfor viktig at du krysser av riktig:

- Riktig
- Galt
- Galt
- Om du krysser feil, retter du ved å fylle boksen slik

Skriv tydelige tall 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

7 1 4	Riktig
7 1 4	Galt

Bruk kun sort eller blå penn, bruk ikke blyant eller tusj

1. BESKRIVELSE AV DIN HELSETILSTAND

Vis hvilke utsagn som passer best på din helsetilstand i dag ved å sette ett kryss i en av rutene utenfor hver av de fem gruppene nedenfor:

1.6 For at du skal kunne vise oss hvor god eller dårlig din helsetilstand er, har vi laget en skala (nesten som et termometer), hvor den beste helsetilstanden du kan tenke deg er markert med 100 og den dårligste med 0. Vi ber om at du viser din helsetilstand ved å trekke ei linje fra boksen nedenfor til det punkt på skalaen som passer best med din helsetilstand.

1.01 Gange

- Jeg har ingen problemer med å gå omkring
- Jeg har litt problemer med å gå omkring
- Jeg er sengeliggende


1.02 Personlig stell

- Jeg har ingen problemer med personlig stell
- Jeg har litt problemer med å vaske meg eller kle meg
- Jeg er ute av stand til å vaske meg eller kle meg

1.03 Vanlige gjøremål (f.eks. arbeid, studier, husarbeid, familie- eller fritidsaktiviteter)

- Jeg har ingen problemer med å utføre mine vanlige gjøremål
- Jeg har litt problemer med å utføre mine vanlige gjøremål
- Jeg er ute av stand til å utføre mine vanlige gjøremål

Best tenkelige helsetilstand



Nåværende helsetilstand

1.04 Smerte og ubezag

- Jeg har verken smerte eller ubezag
- Jeg har moderat smerte eller ubezag
- Jeg har sterk smerte eller ubezag

1.05 Angst og depresjon

- Jeg er verken engstelig eller deprimert
- Jeg er noe engstelig eller deprimert
- Jeg er svært engstelig eller deprimert

Verst tenkelige helsetilstand



2. OPPVEKST OG TILHØRIGHET

2.01 Hvor bodde du da du fylte 1 år?

- I Tromsø (med dagens kommunegrenser)
- I Troms, men ikke i Tromsø
- I Finnmark fylke
- I Nordland fylke
- Annet sted i Norge
- I utlandet

2.02 Hvordan var de økonomiske forhold i familien under din oppvekst?

- Meget gode
- Gode
- Vanskelige
- Meget vanskelige

2.03 Hvilken betydning har religion i ditt liv?

- Stor betydning
- En viss betydning
- Ingen betydning

2.07 Hva var/er den høyeste fullførte utdanning til dine foreldre og din ektefelle/samboer?

(sett ett kryss i hver kolonne)

	Mor	Far	Ektefelle/ samboer
Grunnskole 7-10 år, framhaldsskole eller folkehøyskole.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yrkessfaglig videregående, yrkesskole eller realskole	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Allmennfaglig videregående skole eller gymnas.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Høyskole eller universitet (mindre enn 4 år).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Høyskole eller universitet (4 år eller mer).....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3. TRIVSEL OG LIVSFORHOLD

3.01 Nedenfor står tre utsagn om tilfredshet med livet som et hele. Deretter står to utsagn om syn på din egen helse. Vis hvor enig eller uenig du er i hver av påstandene ved å sette et kryss i rubrikken for det tallet du synes stemmer best for deg. (sett ett kryss for hvert utsagn)

	Helt uenig	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Helt enig
På de fleste måter er livet mitt nær idealet mitt.....	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Mine livsforhold er utmerkede.....	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Jeg er tilfreds med livet mitt.....	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Jeg ser lyst på min framtidige helse.....	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Ved å leve sunt kan jeg forhindre alvorlige sykdommer.....	<input type="checkbox"/>								

3.02 Nedenfor står fire utsagn om syn på forhold ved din nåværende jobb, eller hvis du ikke er i arbeid nå, den jobben du hadde sist (sett ett kryss for hvert utsagn)

	Helt uenig	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Helt enig
Arbeidet mitt er for belastende, fysisk eller følelsesmessig.....	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Jeg har tilstrekkelig innflytelse på når og hvordan arbeidet mitt skal utføres.....	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Jeg blir mobbet eller trakassert på arbeidsplassen min.....	<input type="checkbox"/>								
Jeg blir rettferdig behandlet på arbeidsplassen min....	<input type="checkbox"/>								

3.03 Jeg opplever at yrket mitt har følgende sosiale status i samfunnet: (dersom du ikke er i arbeid nå, tenk på det yrket du hadde sist)

- Meget høy status
- Ganske høy status
- Middels status
- Ganske lav status
- Meget lav status

3.04 Har du over lengre tid opplevd noe av det følgende? (sett ett eller flere kryss for hver linje)

	Nei	Ja, som barn	Ja, som voksen	Ja, siste år
Blitt plaget psykisk, eller truet med vold	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Blitt slått, sparket eller utsatt for annen type vold.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Noen i nær familie har brukt rusmidler på en slik måte at dette har vært til <i>bekymring</i> for deg.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Dersom du har opplevd noen av disse forholdene, hvor mye plages du av dette nå?

- Ingen plager
- Noen plager
- Store plager

4. SYKDOMMER OG PLAGER

4.01 Har du i løpet av den siste måneden følt deg syk eller hatt en skade?

Ja Nei

Hvis JA: har du i den samme perioden?

(sett ett kryss for hver linje)

Ja Nei

Vært hos allmennlege/fastlege.....

Vært hos spesialist.....

Vært på legevakt.....

Vært innlagt i sykehus.....

Vært hos alternativ behandler
(kiropraktor, homøopat eller lignende).....

4.02 Har du merket anfall med plutselig endring i pulsen eller hjerterytmens siste året?

Ja Nei

4.03 Blir du tungpustet i følgende situasjoner?

(sett ett kryss for hvert spørsmål)

Ja Nei

Når du går hurtig på flatmark eller svak oppoverbakke.....

Når du spaserer i rolig tempo på flatmark.....

Når du vasker deg eller kler på deg.....

Når du er i hvile.....

4.04 Hoster du omtrent daglig i perioder av året?

Ja Nei

Hvis JA: Er hosten vanligvis ledsaget av oppspytting?

Ja Nei

Har du hatt slik hoste så lenge som i en 3 måneders periode i begge de to siste årene?

Ja Nei

4.05 Hvor ofte er du plaget av søvnloshet?

(sett ett kryss)

- Aldri, eller noen få ganger i året
- 1-3 ganger i måneden
- Omtrent 1 gang i uka
- Mer enn 1 gang i uka

Hvis du er plaget av søvnloshet månedlig eller oftere, når på året er du mest plaget? (sett ett eller flere kryss)

Ingen spesiell tid

Mørketida

Midnattsoltida

Vår og høst

4.06 Har du i de siste par ukene hatt vansker med å sove?

Ikke i det hele tatt

Ikke mer enn vanlig

Heller mer enn vanlig

Mye mer enn vanlig

4.07 Har du de siste par ukene følt deg ulykkelig og nedtrykt (deprimert)?

Ikke i det hele tatt

Ikke mer enn vanlig

Heller mer enn vanlig

Mye mer enn vanlig

4.08 Har du i de siste par ukene følt deg ute av stand til å mestre dine vanskeligheter?

Ikke i det hele tatt

Ikke mer enn vanlig

Heller mer enn vanlig

Mye mer enn vanlig

4.09 Nedenfor ber vi deg besvare noen spørsmål om din hukommelse: (sett ett kryss for hvert spørsmål)

Ja Nei

Synes du at din hukommelse har blitt dårligere?.....

Glemmer du ofte hvor du har lagt tingene dine?.....

Har du problemer med å finne vanlige ord i en samtale?.....

Har du fått problemer med daglige gjøremål som du mestret tidligere?.....

Har du vært undersøkt for sviktende hukommelse?.....

Hvis JA på minst ett av de fire første spørsmålene ovenfor: Er det et problem i hverdagen?

Ja Nei



4.10 Har du i løpet av det siste året vært plaget med smerter og/eller stivhet i muskler og ledd som har vart i **minst 3 måneder sammenhengende**? (sett ett kryss i hver linje)

	Ikke plaget	En del plaget	Sterkt plaget
Nakke, skuldre.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Armer, hender.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Øvre del av ryggen....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Korsryggen.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hofter, ben, føtter.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Andre steder.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.11 Har du vært plaget med smerter og/eller stivhet i muskler og ledd i løpet av de **siste 4 ukene**? (sett ett kryss i hver linje)

	Ikke plaget	En del plaget	Sterkt plaget
Nakke, skuldre.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Armer, hender.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Øvre del av ryggen....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Korsryggen.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hofter, ben, føtter.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Andre steder.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.12 Har du noen gang hatt:

	Ja	Nei	Alder siste gang
Brudd i håndledd/underarm?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lårhalsbrudd?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.13 Har du fått stilt diagnosen slitasjegikt av lege?

Ja Nei

4.14 Har eller har du hatt noen av følgende:

	Aldri	Litt	Mye
Nikkellallergi.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pollenallergi.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Andre allergier.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.15 Har du opplevd ufrivillig barnløshet i mer enn 1 år?

Ja Nei

Hvis JA, skyldtes dette:

	Ja	Nei	Vet ikke
Forhold hos deg selv?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Forhold hos partneren?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.16 I hvilken grad har du hatt følgende plager i de siste **12 måneder**?

	Aldri	Litt	Mye
Kvalme.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Halsbrann/sure oppstøt.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Diare.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Treg mage.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vekslende treg mage og diare.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Oppblåsthet.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Smerter i magen.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.17 Hvis du har hatt smerter i eller ubehag fra magen siste året:

Ja Nei

Er disse lokalisert øverst i magen?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Har du hatt plagene så ofte som 1 dag i uka eller mer de siste 3 måneder?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Blir plagene bedre etter avføring?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Har plagene sammenheng med hyppigere eller sjeldnere avføring enn vanlig?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Har plagene noen sammenheng med løsere eller fastere avføring enn vanlig?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kommer plagene etter måltid?.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.18 Har du noen gang hatt:

	Ja	Nei	Alder siste gang
Sår på magesekken.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sår på tolvfingertarmen.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Magesår-operasjon.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.19 Til kvinnen: Har du spontanabortert?

<input type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nei	<input type="checkbox"/> Vet ikke
Hvis JA, antall ganger.....		

4.20 Til mannen: Har din partner noen gang spontanabortert?

<input type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nei	<input type="checkbox"/> Vet ikke
Hvis JA, antall ganger.....		

4.21 Bruker du glutenfrei diett?

<input type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nei	<input type="checkbox"/> Vet ikke
-----------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------------

4.22 Har du fått stilt diagnosen Dermatitis Herpetiformis (DH)?

<input type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nei	<input type="checkbox"/> Vet ikke
-----------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------------



+
4.23 Har du fått stilt diagnosen cøliaki på bakgrunn av en vevsprøve fra tynntarmen tatt under en undersøkelse der du svelget en slange (gastroskopi)?

Ja Nei Vet ikke

4.24 Har du egne tenner?

Ja Nei

4.25 Hvor mange amalgamfyllinger har du/har du hatt?

0 1-5 6-10 10+

4.26 Har du vært plaget av hodepine det siste året?

Ja Nei

Hvis NEI, gå til del 5, kosthold

4.27 Hva slags hodepine er du plaget av?

Migrene Annen hodepine

4.28 Omrent hvor mange dager per måned har du hodepine?

Mindre enn 1 dag
 1-6 dager
 7-14 dager
 Mer enn 14 dager

4.29 Er hodepinen vanligvis:
(sett et kryss for hver linje)

Ja Nei

Bankende/dunkende smerte
Pressende smerte
Ensidig smerte (*høyre eller venstre*)

+
4.30 Hvor sterk er hodepinen vanligvis?

Mild (*hemmer ikke aktivitet*)
 Moderat (*hemmer aktivitet*)
 Sterk (*forhindrer aktivitet*)

4.31 Hvor lenge varer hodepinen vanligvis?

Mindre enn 4 timer
 4 timer – 1 døgn
 1-3 døgn
 Mer enn 3 døgn

4.32 Dersom du er plaget av hodepine, når på året er du plaget mest? (sett ett eller flere kryss)

Ingen spesiell tid
 Mørketida
 Midnattsoltida
 Vår og/eller høst

4.33 Før eller under hodepinen, kan du da ha forbigående:

Ja Nei

Synsforstyrrelse? (*takkede linjer, flimring, tåkesyn, lysglint*)

Nummenhet i halve ansiktet eller i hånden?

Forverring ved moderat fysisk aktivitet

Kvalme og /eller oppkast

4.34 Angi hvor mange dager du har vært borte fra arbeid eller skole siste måned på grunn av hodepine:

Antall dager

5. KOSTHOLD

5.01 Hvor ofte spiser du vanligvis følgende? (sett ett kryss i hver linje)

	0-1 g per mnd	2-3 g per mnd	1-3 g per uke	Mer enn 3 g per uke
Ferskvannsfisk (ikke oppdrett)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Saltvannsfisk (ikke oppdrett)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Oppdrettsfisk (laks, røye, ørret)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tunfisk (fersk eller hermetisert)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fiskepålegg	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Skjell	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Den brune innmaten i krabbe	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hvalkjøtt/sel/kobbekjøtt	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Innmat fra rein eller elg	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Innmat fra rype	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

5.02 Hvor mange ganger i året spiser du/spiste du vanligvis følgende? (antall ganger)

Som voksen I din barndom

Mølje (Antall ganger i året)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Måsegg (Antall egg i året)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reinsdyrkjøtt (Antall ganger i året)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Selvplukket sopp og bær (blåbær/tyttebær/multe) (Antall ganger i året)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

5.03 Hvor mange ganger i måneden spiser du hermetiske matvarer (fra metallbokser)?

5.04 Bruker du vitaminer og/eller mineraltilskudd?

Ja, daglig

Iblast

Aldri

Antall

5.05 Hvor ofte spiser du?

	Aldri	1-3 g per mnd	1-3 g per uke	4-6 g. per uke	1-2 g. per dag	3 g. per dag eller mer
Mørk sjokolade	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Lys sjokolade/melkesjokolade	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Sjokoladekake	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Andre søtsaker	<input type="checkbox"/>					

5.06 Hvis du spiser sjokolade, hvor mye pleier du vanligvis å spise hver gang?

Tenk deg størrelsen på en Kvikk- Lunsj sjokolade, og oppgi hvor mye du spiser i forhold til den.

	1/4	1/2	1	1 1/2	2	Mer enn 2
	<input type="checkbox"/>					

5.07 Hvor ofte drikker du kakao/varm sjokolade

	Aldri	1-3 g per mnd	1-3 g per uke	4-6 g. per uke	1-2 g. per dag	3 g. per dag eller mer
	<input type="checkbox"/>					

6. ALKOHOL

6.01 Hvor ofte har du det siste året:

	Aldri	Sjeldnere enn månedlig	Månedlig	Ukentlig	Daglig, eller nesten daglig
Ikke klart å stoppe og drikke alkohol når du først har begynt?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ikke klart å gjøre det som normalt forventes av deg fordi du har drukket?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trengt en drink om morgenens for å få komme i gang etter en rang?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Følt skyld eller anger etter at du har drukket?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ikke klart å huske hva som skjedde kvelden før på grunn av at du hadde drukket?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Aldri	Ja, men ikke det siste året	Ja, det siste året		
6.02 Har du eller andre noen gang blitt skadet på grunn av at du har drukket?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Har en slekting, venn, lege, eller annet helsepersonell vært bekymret for din drikking, eller foreslått at du reduserer inntaket? ...	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

7. VEKT

7.01 Har du ufrivillig gått ned i vekt siste 6 måneder?

Ja Nei

Hvis JA: Hvor mange kilo?

7.02 Anslå din vekt da du var 25 år gammel:

Antall hele kg

7.03 Er du fornøyd med vekta di nå?

Ja Nei

7.04 Hvilken vekt ville du være tilfreds med (din trivselsvekt):

Antall kg

8. LØSEMIDLER

8.01 Hvor mange timer i uka driver du med følgende fritids- eller yrkesaktiviteter:

Bilreparasjoner/lakkering, keramikkarbeid, maling/lakkering/løsemidler, frisør, glassmester, elektriker (Sett 0 om du ikke driver med slike fritids eller yrkesaktiviteter)

Antall timer per uke i gjennomsnitt

8.02 Bruker du hårfargemidler?

Ja Nei

Hvis JA, hvor mange ganger per år?..



9. BRUK AV HELSETJENESTER

9.01 Har du noen gang opplevd at sykdom er blitt mangelfullt undersøkt eller behandlet, og at dette har gitt alvorlige følger?

- Ja, det har rammet meg selv
- Ja, det har rammet en nær pårørende (barn, foreldre, ektefelle/samboer)
- Nei

Hvis JA, hvor mener du årsaken ligger? (sett ett eller flere kryss):

- hos fastlege/allmennlege
- hos legevaktslege
- hos privatpraktiserende spesialist
- hos sykehuslege
- hos annet helsepersonell
- hos alternativ behandler
- hos flere på grunn av svikt i rutiner og samarbeid

9.02 Har du noen gang følt deg overtalt til å godta undersøkelse eller behandling som du selv ikke ønsket?

- Ja
- Nei

Hvis JA, mener du dette har hatt uheldige helsemessige følger?

- Ja
- Nei

9.03 Har du noen gang klaget på behandling du har fått?

- Har aldri vært aktuelt
- Har vurdert å klage, men ikke gjort det
- Har klaget muntlig
- Har klaget skriftlig

9.04 Hvor lenge har du hatt din nåværende fastlege/annen lege?

- Mindre enn 6 måneder
- 6 til 12 måneder
- 12 til 24 måneder
- Mer enn 2 år

9.05 Ved siste legebesøk hos fastlegen, snakket legen(e) til deg slik at du forsto dem? Svar på en skala fra 0 til 10, hvor 0=de var vanskelige å forstå og 10=de var alltid enkle å forstå

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

9.06 Hvordan vil du karakterisere behandlingen eller rådgivningen du fikk siste gang du var hos lege? Svar på en skala fra 0 til 10, hvor 0= meget dårlig behandling og 10 = meget god behandling

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

9.07 Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder opplevd at det har vært vanskelig å bli henvist til spesielle undersøkelser (som røntgen eller liknende) eller til spesialist-helsetjenesten (privatpraktiserende spesialist eller ved sykehus)?

- Ikke aktuelt
- Intet problem
- Noe problem
- Stort problem

9.08 Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder opplevd at det er vanskelig å bli henvist til fysioterapeut, kiropraktor eller liknende?

- Ikke aktuelt
- Intet problem
- Noe problem
- Stort problem

9.09 Alt i alt, har du opplevd at det er vanskelig eller enkelt å bli henvist til spesialisthelsetjenesten?

- Ikke aktuelt
- Meget vanskelig
- Noe vanskelig
- Rimelig enkelt
- Meget enkelt





9.10 Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder vært til undersøkelse eller behandling i spesialist-helsetjenesten?

Ja Nei

Hvis JA, snakket legen(e) til deg slik at du forstod dem? Svar på en skala fra 0 til 10, hvor 0=de var vanskelige å forstå og 10=de var alltid enkle å forstå

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

9.11 Hvordan vil du karakterisere behandlingen eller rådgivningen du fikk siste gang du var hos spesialist? Svar på en skala fra 0 til 10, hvor 0=meget dårlig og 10=meget god

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10



9.12 Har du noen gang før 2002 gjennomgått en operasjon på sykehus eller spesialist-klinikk?

Ja Nei

9.13 Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder brukt urtemedisin , naturmidler eller naturlegemidler?

Ja Nei

9.14 Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder brukt meditasjon, yoga, qi gong eller thai chi som egenbehandling?

Ja Nei



10. BRUK AV ANTIBIOTIKA

10.01 Har du brukt antibiotika i løpet av de siste 12 måneder? (all penicillinliknende medisin i form av tabletter, mikstur eller sprøyter)

Ja Nei Husker ikke

Hvis JA, hva fikk du behandling mot? Har du tatt flere antibiotikakurer, sett ett kryss for hver kur.

- Urinveisinfeksjon (*blærebetennelse, blærekatarr*)
- Luftveisinfeksjon (*øre-,bihule- hals- eller lungebetennelse, bronkitt*).....
- Annet

	Kur 1	Kur 2	Kur 3	Kur 4	Kur 5	Kur 6
Urinveisinfeksjon	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Airveisinfeksjon	<input type="checkbox"/>					
Annet	<input type="checkbox"/>					

Antall dagers antibiotika kur

<input type="checkbox"/>					
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Hvordan skaffet du deg antibiotikakuren? Har du tatt flere kurer, sett ett kryss for hver kur.

Etter resept fra lege/tannlege.....

<input type="checkbox"/>					
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Uten kontakt med lege/uten resept:

- Kjøp direkte fra apotek i utlandet.....
- Kjøp gjennom Internett.....
- Rest fra tidligere kur tilgjengelig hjemme.....
- Fått av familie/venner.....
- Andre måter.....

<input type="checkbox"/>					
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

10.02 Har du antibiotika hjemme?

Ja Nei

Hvis JA, er dette etter avtale med lege for å behandle kronisk eller hyppig tilbakevendende sykdom?

Ja Nei

Hvis Nei, hvordan skaffet du deg dette legemiddelet? (Flere kryss er mulig)

- Kjøpt direkte fra apotek i utlandet.....
- Kjøpt over Internett.....
- Rest fra tidligere kur.....
- Fått av familie/venner.....
- Andre måter.....

10.03 Kan du tenke deg å bruke antibiotika uten å kontakte lege først?

Ja Nei

Hvis JA, hvilke tilstander vil du i så fall behandle? (Flere kryss mulig)

- Forkjølelse.....
- Hoste.....
- Bronkitt.....
- Halsbetennelse.....
- Bihulebetennelse.....
- Feber.....
- Influensa.....
- Ørebetennelse.....
- Diaré.....
- Blærebetennelse.....
- Andre infeksjoner.....



11. DIN DØGNRYTME

Vi vil stille deg noen spørsmål som handler om dine søvnvaner.

11.01 **Har du hatt skiftarbeid de tre siste månedene?**

Ja Nei

11.02 **Antall dager i løpet av uken hvor du ikke kan velge fritt når du vil sove (f.eks arbeidsdager)?**

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Da går jeg til sengs klokken.....

--	--	--

Jeg gjør meg klar til å sove klokken.....

--	--	--

Antall minutter jeg trenger på å sovne.....

--

Jeg våkner klokken.....

--	--	--

Ved hjelp av: Vekkeklokke annen ytre påvirkning (*støy, familie etc*) av meg selv

Antall minutter jeg trenger på å stå opp.....

--

11.03 **Antall dager i løpet av uken hvor du fritt kan velge når du vil sove (f.eks helger eller fridager)**

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Da går jeg til sengs klokken.....

--	--	--

Jeg gjør meg klar til å sove klokken.....

--	--	--

Antall minutter jeg trenger på å sovne.....

--

Jeg våkner klokken.....

--	--	--

Ved hjelp av: Vekkeklokke annen ytre påvirkning (*støy, familie etc*) av meg selv

Antall minutter jeg trenger på å stå opp.....

--



12. HUD OG HUÐSYKDOMMER

12.01 Hvor ofte dusjer eller bader du vanligvis? (sett ett kryss)

- 2 eller flere ganger daglig
- 1 gang daglig
- 4-6 ganger per uke
- 2-3 ganger per uke
- 1 gang per uke
- sjeldnere enn 1 gang per uke

12.02 Hvor ofte vasker du vanligvis hendene med såpe i løpet av en dag? (sett ett kryss)

- 0 ganger
- 1-5 ganger
- 6-10 ganger
- 11-20 ganger
- Mer enn 20 ganger

12.03 Har du noen gang fått antibiotikakur (penicillin og liknende medisin) på grunn av en hudlidelse, for eksempel betent eksem, kviser, leggsår som ikke vil gro, tilbakevendende verkebyll?

- Ja Nei

Hvis JA, hvor mange ganger i gjennomsnitt per år fikk du antibiotika i den perioden du var mest plaget (sett ett kryss)

- 1-2 3-4 Mer enn 4 ganger

12.04 Har du eller har du noen gang hatt følgende hudlidelser? (sett ett kryss for hver linje)

Ja Nei

- Psoriasis
- Atopisk eksem (barneeksem)
- Tilbakevendende håndeksem
- Tilbakevendende kviser over flere måneder
- Legg- eller fotsår som ikke ville gro i løpet av 3-4 uker

Hvis JA på spørsmål om legg-og/eller fotsår, har du leggsår i dag?

- Ja Nei

12.05 Har du ofte eller bestandig noen av følgende plager? (sett ett kryss for hver linje)

Ja Nei

- Hevelse i ankler og legger, særlig om kvelden
- Åreknuter
- Eksem (rødt, kløende utslett) på leggene
- Smerter i beina når du går, men som forsvinner når du står stille

12.06 Har du noen gang fått følgende diagnoser av lege? (sett ett kryss for hver linje)

Ja Nei

- Psoriasis
- Atopisk eksem
- Rosacea

12.07 Har du tilbakevendende store kviser/verkebyller som er ømme/smertefulle og som ofte tilheler med arr på følgende steder? (sett ett kryss for hver linje)

Ja Nei

- Armhulene
- Under brystene
- Magefolden/navlen
- Rundt kjønnsorganet
- Rundt endetarmsåpningen
- Lyskene

Hvis JA, har du noen gang oppsøkt lege på grunn av verkebyller?

- Ja Nei

Hvis JA, fikk du da noen av følgende behandlinger? (sett ett kryss for hver linje)

Ja Nei

- Antibiotika salve/krem
- Antibiotika tabletter
- Kirurgisk åpning/tømming
- Større kirurgisk inngrep med fjerning av hud
- Kirurgisk laserbehandling



Oppfølgingsspørsmål



INFORMASJON TIL OPPFØLGINGSSPØRSMÅL

De neste sidene med spørsmål skal ikke besvares av alle. Dersom du har svart ja på ett eller flere av spørsmålene under, ber vi deg om å gå videre til oppfølgingsspørsmål om emnet eller emnene du har svart ja på. De fire første emnene er fra det første spørreskjemaet og det siste spørsmålet er fra dette skjemaet.

Vi har for enkelhetsskyld markert emnene med ulike farger slik at du lett skal finne frem til de spørsmålene som gjelder for deg.

Dersom du svarte JA på at du har: langvarige eller stadig tilbakevendende smerter som har vart i 3 måneder eller mer, ber vi deg svare på spørsmålene på side 19 og 20. Margen er markert med grønn.

Dersom du svarte JA på at du har gjennomgått noen form for operasjon i løpet av de siste 3 årene, ber vi deg svare på spørsmålene på side 21 og 22. Margen er markert med lilla.

Dersom du svarte JA på at du arbeider utendørs minst 25% av tiden, eller i lokaler med lav temperatur, som for eksempel lager/industrihaller, ber vi deg svare på spørsmålene på side 23. Margen er markert med rød.

Dersom du svarte JA på at du har brukt reseptfrie smertestillende medisiner, ber vi deg svare på spørsmålene på side 24. Margen er markert med orange.

Dersom du svarte JA på at du har eller noen gang har hatt plager med hud (som psoriasis, atopisk eksem, legg- eller fotsår som ikke vil gro, tilbakevendende håndeksem, kviser eller verkebrell), ber vi deg svare på spørsmålene på side 25. Margen er markert med gul.

Har du svart **NEI** på disse fem spørsmålene, er du ferdig med besvarelsen din. Spørreskjemaet returneres i svarkonvolutten du fikk utlevert på undersøkelsen. Portoen er allerede betalt.

Skulle du ønske å gi oss en skriftlig tilbakemelding om enten spørreskjema eller Tromsøundersøkelsen generelt, er du hjertelig velkommen til det på side 26.

Har du noen spørsmål, kan du ta kontakt med oss på telefon eller på e-post. Du finner kontaktinformasjon på baksiden av skjemaet. **TUSEN TAKK** for at du tok deg tid til undersøkelsen og til å svare på spørsmålene fra oss.

13. OPPFØLGINGSSPØRSMÅL OM SMERTE

Du svarte i det første spørreskjemaet at du har langvarige eller stadig tilbakevendende smerter som har vart i 3 måneder eller mer. Her ber vi deg beskrive de smertene litt nærmere.

13.01 Hvor lenge har du hatt disse smertene?

Antall år måneder

13.02 Hvor ofte har du vanligvis disse smertene?

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hver dag | <input type="checkbox"/> En eller flere ganger i måneden |
| <input type="checkbox"/> En eller flere ganger i uken | <input type="checkbox"/> Sjeldnere enn 1 gang i måneden |

13.03 Hvor er det vondt? (Kryss av for alle steder der du har langvarige eller stadig tilbakevendende smerter)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hode/ansikt | <input type="checkbox"/> Lår/kne/legg |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kjeve/kjeveledd | <input type="checkbox"/> Ankel/fot |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nakke | <input type="checkbox"/> Bryst |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rygg | <input type="checkbox"/> Mage |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Skulder | <input type="checkbox"/> Underliv/kjønnsorganer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Arm/albue | <input type="checkbox"/> Hud |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hånd | <input type="checkbox"/> Annet sted |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hofte | |

13.04 Hva mener du er årsaken til smertene? (Kryss av for alle kjente årsaker)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ulykke/akutt skade | <input type="checkbox"/> Fibromyalgi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Langvarig belastning | <input type="checkbox"/> Angina pectoris (<i>hjertekrampe</i>) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kirurgisk inngrep/operasjon | <input type="checkbox"/> Dårlig blodsirkulasjon |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Skiveutglidning (<i>prolaps</i>)/lumbago | <input type="checkbox"/> Kreft |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nakkesleng (<i>whiplash</i>) | <input type="checkbox"/> Nerveskade/nevropati |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Migrene/hodepine | <input type="checkbox"/> Infeksjon |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Slitasjegikt (<i>artrose</i>) | <input type="checkbox"/> Helvetesild |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Leddgikt | <input type="checkbox"/> Annen årsak (<i>beskriv under</i>) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bechterews sykdom | <input type="checkbox"/> Vet ikke |


Beskriv annen årsak:

13.05 Hvilke former for behandling har du fått for smertene? (Kryss av for alle typer smertebehandling du har mottatt)


- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ingen behandling | <input type="checkbox"/> Smerteskole/avspenning/psykoterapi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Smertestillende medisiner | <input type="checkbox"/> Akupunktur |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fysioterapi/kiropraktikk | <input type="checkbox"/> Alternativ behandling (<i>homøopati, healing, aromaterapi, m.m.</i>) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Behandling ved smerteklinikk | <input type="checkbox"/> Annen behandling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Operasjon | |

13.06 På en skala fra 0 til 10, der 0 tilsvarer ingen smerte og 10 tilsvarer den verst tenkelige smerten du kan forestille deg:


Hvor sterke vil du si at smertene vanligvis er?.....




Hvor sterke er smertene når de er på sitt sterkeste?.....



I hvor stor grad påvirker smertene søvnen din?.....



I hvor stor grad hindrer smertene deg i å utføre vanlige aktiviteter hjemme og i arbeid?.....



14. OPPFØLGINGSSPØRSMÅL OM OPERASJON

I det første spørreskjemaet svarte du at du har gjennomgått en operasjon i løpet av de siste 3 årene.

14.01 Hvor mange operasjoner har du totalt gjennomgått de siste 3 årene?

Antall.....

Nedenfor ber vi deg beskrive operasjonen. Dersom du har gjennomgått flere operasjoner i løpet av de siste 3 årene gjelder disse spørsmålene den siste operasjonen du gjennomgikk.

14.02 Hvor i kroppen ble du operert? (Dersom du samtidig ble operert flere steder i kroppen, settes flere kryss)

Operasjon i hode/nakke/rygg

- Hode/ansikt.....
- Nakke/hals.....
- Rygg.....

Operasjon i brystregionen

- Hjerte.....
- Lunger.....
- Bryster.....
- Annen operasjon i brystregionen.....

Operasjon i mage/underliv

- Mage/tarm.....
- Lyskebrokk.....
- Urinveier/kjønnsorganer.....
- Galleblære/galleveier.....
- Annen operasjon i mage/underliv.....

Operasjon i hofte/ben

- Hofte/lår.....
- Kne/legg.....
- Ankel/fot.....
- Amputasjon.....

Operasjon i skulder og arm

- Skulder/overarm.....
- Albue/underarm.....
- Hånd.....
- Amputasjon.....

14.03 Bakgrunn for operasjonen:

- Akutt sykdom/skade.....
- Planlagt ikke-kosmetisk operasjon.....
- Planlagt kosmetisk operasjon.....

14.04 Hvor ble du operert?

- Sykehuset i Tromsø.....
- Sykehuset i Harstad.....
- Annet offentlig sykehus.....
- Privat klinikk.....

14.05 Hvor lenge er det siden du gjennomgikk operasjonen?

Antall år..... måneder.....

14.06 Har du nedsatt følsomhet i et område nær operasjonsarret?

Ja Nei

14.07 Er du overfølsom for berøring, varme eller kulde i et område nær operasjonsarret?

Ja Nei

14.08 Kan lett berøring av klær, dusj og lignende fremkalte ubehag/smerte?

Ja Nei


14.09 Hvis du hadde smerter på operasjonsstedet før du ble operert, har du samme type smerte nå?

Ja Nei




Smerte fra operasjonsstedet: Svar på en skala fra 0 til 10, hvor 0=ingen smerte og 10=verst tenkelige smerte


Hvor sterke smerter hadde du fra operasjonsstedet før operasjonen.....



Hvor sterke smerter har du vanligvis fra operasjonsstedet nå.....



Hvor sterke smerter har du nå fra operasjonsstedet når smertene er på det sterkeste.....



15. OPPFØLGINGSSPØRSMÅL OM ARBEID I KALDT KLIMA

I det første spørreskjemaet svarte du ja på at du arbeidet i kaldt klima. Her er noen oppfølgings-spørsmål vi håper du vil svare på.

15.01 Fryser du på jobb?

- Ja, ofte
- Ja, noen ganger
- Nei, aldri

15.02 Hvor lenge har du vært utsatt for kalde omgivelser under 0°C sist vinter?

- | | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Fritid/hobby (timer/uke) | <input type="text" value="1"/> |
| Arbeid (timer/uke) | <input type="text" value="1"/> |
| Utendørs, godt kledd (timer/uke) | <input type="text" value="1"/> |
| Utendørs, tynnkledd (timer/uke) | <input type="text" value="1"/> |
| Innendørs, uten oppvarming (timer/uke) | <input type="text" value="1"/> |
| I kalde omgivelser, med våte klær (timer/uke) | <input type="text" value="1"/> |
| Kontakt med kalde gjenstander/verktøy (timer/uke) | <input type="text" value="1"/> |

15.03 Hvilken omgivelsestemperatur forhindrer deg i å:

Under °C

- | | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Arbeide utendørs | <input type="text" value="1"/> |
| Trene utendørs | <input type="text" value="1"/> |
| Utføre andre aktiviteter utendørs | <input type="text" value="1"/> |

15.04 Har du hatt forfrysninger siste 12 måneder, med blemmer, sår eller skader i huden?

- Ja
- Nei

Hvis JA, hvor mange ganger?

15.08 Hvordan påvirker kalde omgivelser og kulderelaterte symptomer din yteevne?

Nedsatt Uforandret Forbedret

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Konsentrasjon | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Hukommelse | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Fingerfølsomhet (følelse) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Fingerferdighet (motorikk) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Kontroll av bevegelse (for eksempel skjelving) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Tungt fysisk arbeid | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Langvarig fysisk arbeid | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

16. BRUK AV RESEPTFRIE SMERTESTILLENDLE LEGEMIDLER

I det første spørreskjemaet svarte du at du hadde brukt reseptfrie smertestillende legemidler de siste 4 ukene. Her er noen oppfølgingsspørsmål vi håper du vil svare på.

16.01 Hvilke typer reseptfrie smertestillende legemidler har du brukt?

Paracetamol: (*Pamol, Panodil, Paracet, Paracetamol, Pinex*)

- Ikke brukt
- Sjeldnere enn hver uke
- Hver uke, men ikke daglig
- Daglig

Hvor mye tar du vanligvis daglig når du bruker midlene?

(Antall tabletter, stikkpiller)

Acetylsalisylsyre: (*Aspirin, Dispril, Globoid*)

- Ikke brukt
- Sjeldnere enn hver uke
- Hver uke, men ikke daglig
- Daglig

Hvor mye tar du vanligvis daglig når du bruker midlene?

(Antall tabletter)

Ibuprofen: (*Ibumetin, Ibuprofen, Ibuproxx, Ibx*)

- Ikke brukt
- Sjeldnere enn hver uke
- Hver uke, men ikke daglig
- Daglig

Hvor mye tar du vanligvis daglig når du bruker midlene?

(Antall tabletter, stikkpiller)

Naproksen: (*Lodox, Naproxen*)

- Ikke brukt
- Sjeldnere enn hver uke
- Hver uke, men ikke daglig
- Daglig

Hvor mye tar du vanligvis daglig når du bruker midlene?

(Antall tabletter)

Fenazon med koffein: (*Antineuralgica, Fanalgin Fenazon-koffein, Fenazon-koffein sterke*)

- Ikke brukt
- Sjeldnere enn hver uke
- Hver uke, men ikke daglig
- Daglig

Hvor mye tar du vanligvis daglig når du bruker midlene?

(Antall tabletter)

16.02 Mot hvilke plager bruker du reseptfrie smertestillende midler? (Flere kryss er mulig)

- Hodepine
- Menssmerter
- Migrene
- Ryggsmerter
- Muskelsmerter/leddsmarter
- Tannsmerter
- Annet

16.03 Mener du å ha opplevd bivirkninger av noen av legemidlene? (sett ett kryss for hver linje)

Ja Nei

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Paracetamol..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Acetylsalisylsyre..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Ibuprofen..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Naproksen..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Fenazon med koffein..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

16.04 Hvor pleier du å kjøpe slike legemidler?

- Apotek
- Dagligvare
- Bensinstasjon
- Utenlands
- Internett

16.05 Kombinerer du behandlingen med bruk av reseptbelagte smertestillende midler?

- Ja
- Nei



17. OPPFØLGINGSSPØRSMÅL OM HUDSYKDOMMER

På side 15 i dette spørreskjemaet svarte du at du har eller har hatt en hudsykdom. Her er noen oppfølgingsspørsmål vi håper du vil svare på.

Svar på en skala fra 0 til 10, der 0 tilsvarer ingen plager og 10 tilsvarer verst tenkelige plager. Dersom du svarte JA på at du har eller har hatt:

	Ingen plager	Verst tenkelige plager
17.01 Psoriasis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hvor mye plaget er du av din psoriasis i dag? • Hvor mye plaget er du av din psoriasis når den er verst? 	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
17.02 Atopisk eksem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hvor mye plaget er du av ditt atopiske eksem i dag? • Hvor mye plaget er du av ditt atopiske eksem når det er som verst? 	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
17.03 Håndeksem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hvor mye plaget er du av ditt håndeksem i dag? • Hvor mye plaget er du av ditt håndeksem når det er som verst? 	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
17.04 Kviser	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hvor mye plaget er du av dine kviser i dag? • Hvor mye plaget er du av dine kviser når de er som verst? 	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
17.05 Verkebyller	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hvor mye plaget er du av dine verkebyller i dag? • Hvor mye plaget er du av dine verkebyller når de er som verst? 	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
17.06 Her er en liste over faktorer som kan tenkes å utløse eller forverre verkebyller, kryss av for hva du synes gjelder for deg:	Ja Nei	
Stress/psykisk påkjenning	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Trange/tette klær	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Menstruasjonssyklus	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Svangerskap	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Annet	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
17.07 Hvor mange utbrudd av verkebyller har du vanligvis i løpet av ett år? (sett ett kryss)	<input type="checkbox"/> 0-1 <input type="checkbox"/> 4-6 <input type="checkbox"/> 2-3 <input type="checkbox"/> Mer enn 6	
17.08 Hvor gammel var du da du fikk verkebyller første gang?	<input type="checkbox"/> 0-12 år <input type="checkbox"/> 26-35 år <input type="checkbox"/> 13-19 år <input type="checkbox"/> 36-50 år <input type="checkbox"/> 20-25 år <input type="checkbox"/> Over 50 år	
17.09 Dersom du ikke lenger har verkebyller, hvor gammel var du da plagene forsvant?	<input type="checkbox"/> 0-12 år <input type="checkbox"/> 26-35 år <input type="checkbox"/> 13-19 år <input type="checkbox"/> 36-50 år <input type="checkbox"/> 20-25 år <input type="checkbox"/> Over 50 år	

TILBAKEMELDING

Skulle du ønske å gi oss en skriftlig tilbakemelding om enten spørreskjema eller Tromsøundersøkelsen generelt, er du hjertelig velkommen til det her:

Takk for hjelpen!





Tromsø-undersøkelsen

Tromsøundersøkelsen

Institutt for samfunnsmedisin, Universitetet i Tromsø

9037 TROMSØ

telefon: 77 64 48 16

telefaks: 77 64 48 31

epost: tromsous@ism.uit.no

www.tromso6.no





ISBN xxx-xx-xxxx-xxx-x