

Title	A generalization class of certain subclasses of $\mathbb{P}$ -valent analytic functions with negative coefficients
Author(s)	YAGUCHI, Teruo; KWON, Ohsang; CHO, Nak Eun; YAMAKAWA, Rikuo
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1993), 821: 101-111
Issue Date	1993-02
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/83190">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/83190</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# A generalization class of certain subclasses of $p$ -valently analytic functions with negative coefficients\*

Teruo YAGUCHI, Ohsang KWON, Nak Eun CHO  
and  
Rikuo YAMAKAWA

## Abstract

Recently we [5] have discussed a new generalization class  $A(n, \alpha, \beta)$  of certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients in the unit disk and have proved some properties of functions belonging to the class  $A(n, \alpha, \beta)$ . In the present paper we introduce a new generalization class  $A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$  of certain subclasses of  $p$ -valently analytic functions with negative coefficients in the unit disk and discuss some properties of functions belonging to the class  $A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  be a positive integer, and let  $A_p(n)$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$

which are analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ .

A function  $f(z)$  in the class  $A_p(n)$  is said to be a member of the class  $R_p(n, \alpha)$  if it satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{pf(z)}{z^p} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

---

\*1990 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C45.

for some  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < p)$ . Further, a function  $f(z)$  in the class  $A_p(n)$  is said to be in the class  $P_p(n, \alpha)$  if it satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

for some  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < p)$ .

By generalization of some results due to Sarangi and Uralegaddi [2], we see that

**LEMMA A.** A function  $f(z) \in A_p(n)$  is in the class  $R_p(n, \alpha)$  if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{p}{p-\alpha} a_k \leq 1.$$

**LEMMA B.** A function  $f(z) \in A_p(n)$  is in the class  $P_p(n, \alpha)$  if and only if

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p-\alpha} a_k \leq 1.$$

Now, we define

**DEFINITION.** Suppose that  $f(z) \in A_p(n)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < p$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then the function  $f(z)$  is said to be a member of the class  $A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$  if it satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\beta) \frac{pf(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U).$$

We note that  $A_p(n, \alpha, 0) = R_p(n, \alpha)$  and  $A_p(n, \alpha, 1) = P_p(n, \alpha)$ . We have

LEMMA 1. Suppose that  $f(z) \in A_p(n)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < p$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then the function  $f(z)$  is in the class  $A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1-\beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha} \right\} a_k \leq 1.$$

PROOF: Let  $f(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then we have, by (1.6),

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\beta) \frac{pf(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \{(1-\beta)p + \beta k\} a_k z^{k-p} \right\} \\ &> \alpha \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $z \rightarrow 1$  through real values, we obtain (1.7). Conversely, let  $f(z) \in A_p(n)$  satisfy inequality (1.7). Then we have

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ (1-\beta) \frac{pf(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right\} - p \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \{(1-\beta)p + \beta k\} a_k z^{k-p} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \{(1-\beta)p + \beta k\} a_k |z|^{k-p} \\ &< p - \alpha \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that inequality (1.6) holds true. ■

The class  $A_1(n, \alpha, \beta)$  is a special case  $\left( B_k = \frac{1+(k-1)\beta}{1-\alpha} \right)$  of the class  $A(n, B_k)$  introduced by Sekine [3].

## 2. Distortion Theorem

**THEOREM 1.** If  $f(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < p$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ , then

$$(2.1) \quad |z|^p - \frac{p-\alpha}{p+n\beta}|z|^{n+p} \leq |f(z)| \leq |z|^p + \frac{p-\alpha}{p+n\beta}|z|^{n+p} \quad (z \in U)$$

for  $\beta \geq 0$ , and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\leq p|z|^{p-1} + \frac{(p-\alpha)(n+p)}{p+n\beta}|z|^{n+p-1} & (z \in U) \\ |f'(z)| &\geq p|z|^{p-1} - \frac{(p-\alpha)(n+p)}{p+n\beta}|z|^{n+p-1} & (z \in U) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\beta \geq 1$ . The equalities in (2.1) and (2.2) are attained for the function

$$(2.3) \quad f(z) = z^p - \frac{p-\alpha}{p+n\beta}z^{n+p}.$$

**PROOF:** Note that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{p-\alpha}{p+n\beta} \quad (\beta \geq 0)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{p+n\beta}{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \{(1-\beta)p + \beta k\}a_k \leq p-\alpha \quad (\beta \geq 1)$$

for  $f(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$ . Therefore, we have (2.1) and (2.2). ■

**Remark.** Putting  $p = 1$  in Theorem 1, we have the corresponding result due to Yaguchi, Sekine, Saitoh, Owa, Nunokawa and Fukui [5].

### 3. Inclusion Relations

**THEOREM 2.** If

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \alpha_1 < p, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_2 < p, \\ 0 &\leq \beta_1, \quad 0 \leq \beta_2, \quad p(\beta_1 - \beta_2) < \alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2, \\ p\{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + (\beta_1 - \beta_2)n\} &\leq n(\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$(3.2) \quad A_p(n, \alpha_2, \beta_2) \subsetneq A_p(n, \alpha_1, \beta_1).$$

PROOF: Suppose  $f(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ . Since by Lemma 1

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\beta_2)p + k\beta_2}{p-\alpha_2} a_k \leq 1,$$

we have only to prove the inequality

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{(1-\beta_1)p + k\beta_1}{p-\alpha_1} \leq \frac{(1-\beta_2)p + k\beta_2}{p-\alpha_2} \quad (k \geq n+p),$$

which is equivalent to the inequality

$$(3.5) \quad k \geq \frac{p\{(\beta_2 - \beta_1)p + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2\}}{(\beta_2 - \beta_1)p + \alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2} \quad (k \geq n+p).$$

But conditions (3.1) lead to the inequality

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{p\{(\beta_2 - \beta_1)p + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2\}}{(\beta_2 - \beta_1)p + \alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2} \leq n+p,$$

which proves (3.5). The function  $f_0(z)$  defined by

$$(3.7) \quad f_0(z) = z^p - \frac{p-\alpha_1}{p+(n+1)\beta_1} z^{p+n+1}$$

belongs to the class  $A_p(n, \alpha_1, \beta_1) - A_p(n, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ , which proves

$$(3.8) \quad A_p(n, \alpha_1, \beta_1) \neq A_p(n, \alpha_2, \beta_2). \quad \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY 1. *If*

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < p, \quad 0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_2, \quad (\beta_2 - \beta_1) + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) > 0,$$

then we have

$$(3.10) \quad A_p(n, \alpha_2, \beta_2) \subsetneq A_p(n, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$$

PROOF: By Theorem 2, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} A_p(n, \alpha_2, \beta_1) &\subsetneq A_p(n, \alpha_1, \beta_1) & (0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < p), \\ A_p(n, \alpha_2, \beta_2) &\subsetneq A_p(n, \alpha_2, \beta_1) & (0 \leq \beta_1 < \beta_2), \end{aligned}$$

which prove Corollary 1. ■

COROLLARY 2. *If*  $0 < \beta_1 < 1 < \beta_2$ , then

$$(3.12) \quad A_p(n, \alpha, \beta_2) \subsetneq P_p(n, \alpha) \subsetneq A_p(n, \alpha, \beta_1) \subsetneq R_p(n, \alpha).$$

#### 4. Starlikeness

A function  $f(z)$  in the class  $A_p(n)$  is said to be  $p$ -valently starlike of order  $\alpha$  if it satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < p)$ . We need the following lemma which is a generalization of a result due to Chatterjea [1] (also Srivastava, Owa and Chatterjea [4]).

LEMMA C. A function  $f(z) \in A_p(n)$  is  $p$ -valently starlike of order  $\gamma$  if and only if

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k-\gamma}{p-\gamma} a_k \leq 1$$

for some  $\gamma (0 \leq \gamma < p)$ .

Lemma C is proved by using the similar method as in Chatterjea [1]. Using Lemma C, we have

**THEOREM 3.** If  $f(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < p$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ , then  $f(z)$  is starlike of order  $(1 - \frac{1}{\beta})p$ .

**PROOF:** It follows from  $f(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$  that

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \{k - (1 - \frac{1}{\beta})p\} a_k \leq \frac{p - \alpha}{\beta} \leq p - (1 - \frac{1}{\beta})p.$$

Therefore, by Lemma C, we have the assertion of Theorem 3. ■

### 5. Quadi-Hadamard product

For functions  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  defined by

$$(5.1) \quad f_j(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_{j,k} z^k \quad (a_{j,k} \geq 0, n \in N, j = 1, 2)$$

in the class  $A_p(n)$ , we denote by  $f_1 * f_2(z)$  the quasi-Hadamard product of functions  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ , that is,

$$(5.2) \quad f_1 * f_2(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_{1,k} a_{2,k} z^k.$$

**THEOREM 4.** If  $f_j(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha_j, \beta)$  for  $0 \leq \alpha_j < p, \beta \geq 0$  and  $j = 1, 2$ , then  $f_1 * f_2(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$ , where

$$(5.3) \quad \alpha = p - \frac{(p - \alpha_1)(p - \alpha_2)}{p + \beta n}.$$

The result is sharp for functions  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  defined by

$$(5.4) \quad f_j(z) = z^p - \frac{p - \alpha_j}{p + \beta n} z^{n+p} \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

**PROOF:** We have to find the largest  $\alpha$  such that

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha} a_{1,k} a_{2,k} \leq 1.$$



For functions  $f_j(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha_j, \beta)$ , we have

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1-\beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha} \right\} a_{j,k} \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, inequality (5.6) lead to the inequality

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\beta)p + \beta k}{\sqrt{(p - \alpha_1)(p - \alpha_2)}} \sqrt{a_{1,k} a_{2,k}} \leq 1.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(1-\beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha} a_{1,k} a_{2,k} \\ & \leq \frac{(1-\beta)p + \beta k}{\sqrt{(p - \alpha_1)(p - \alpha_2)}} \sqrt{a_{1,k} a_{2,k}} \quad (k \geq n + p), \end{aligned}$$

that is, that

$$(5.9) \quad \sqrt{a_{1,k} a_{2,k}} \leq \frac{p - \alpha}{\sqrt{(p - \alpha_1)(p - \alpha_2)}} \quad (k \geq n + p).$$

From (5.7), we need to show that

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{\sqrt{(p - \alpha_1)(p - \alpha_2)}}{(1-\beta)p + \beta k} \leq \frac{p - \alpha}{\sqrt{(p - \alpha_1)(p - \alpha_2)}} \quad (k \geq n + p)$$

or

$$(5.11) \quad \alpha \leq p - \frac{(p - \alpha_1)(p - \alpha_2)}{(1-\beta)p + \beta k} \quad (k \geq n + p).$$

Noting that the function

$$(5.12) \quad \phi(k) = p - \frac{(p - \alpha_1)(p - \alpha_2)}{(1-\beta)p + \beta k} \quad (k \geq n + p)$$

is increasing on  $k$ , we have

$$(5.13) \quad \alpha \leq \phi(n + p) = p - \frac{(p - \alpha_1)(p - \alpha_2)}{p + \beta n}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Finally, we derive

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) define by (5.1). If  $f_j(z) \in A_p(n, \alpha_j, \beta)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ), then the function

$$(5.14) \quad f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left\{ (a_{1,k})^2 + (a_{2,k})^2 \right\} z^k$$

is in the class  $A_p(n, \alpha, \beta)$ , where

$$(5.15) \quad \alpha = p - \frac{2(p - \alpha_0)^2}{p + \beta n} \quad (\alpha_0 = \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}).$$

The result is sharp for the function  $f(z)$  defined by

$$(5.16) \quad f_j(z) = z^p - \frac{p - \alpha_0}{p + \beta n} z^{n+p} \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

when  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ .

**PROOF:** Since

$$(5.17) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha_j} a_{j,k} \right\}^2 \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha_j} a_{j,k} \right\}^2 \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

we obtain that

$$(5.18) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha_0} \right\}^2 \left\{ (a_{1,k})^2 + (a_{2,k})^2 \right\} \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha_1} a_{1,k} \right\}^2 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha_2} a_{2,k} \right\}^2 \leq 2,$$

where  $\alpha_0$  is defined by (5.15). This implies that we only find the largest  $\alpha$  such that

$$(5.19) \quad \frac{(1-\beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\beta)p + \beta k}{p - \alpha_0} \right\}^2 \quad (k \geq n + p)$$

or

$$(5.20) \quad \alpha \leq p - \frac{2(p - \alpha_0)^2}{(1-\beta)p + \beta k} \quad (k \geq n + p).$$

Since the function

$$(5.21) \quad \phi(k) = p - \frac{2(p - \alpha_0)^2}{(1-\beta)p + \beta k} \quad (k \geq n + p).$$

is increasing on  $k$ , we have

$$(5.22) \quad \alpha \leq \phi(n + p) = p - \frac{2(p - \alpha_0)^2}{p + \beta n}. \quad \blacksquare$$

### References

- [1] S.K. Chatterjea, On starlike functions, *J. Pure Math.* 1(1981), 23-26.
- [2] S.M. Sarangi and B.A. Uralegaddi, The radius of convexity and starlikeness for certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients I, *Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei* 65 (1978), 38-42.
- [3] T. Sekine, On new generalized classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Rep. Res. Inst. Sci. Tech. Nihon Univ.* 32(1987), 1-26.
- [4] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa and S.K. Chatterjea, A note on certain classes of starlike functions, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 77(1987), 115-124.
- [5] T. Yaguchi, T. Sekine, H. Saitoh, S. Owa, M. Nunokawa and S. Fukui, A generalization class of certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Inst. Natur. Sci. Nihon Univ.* 25(1990), 67-71.

**Teruo YAGUCHI**  
Department of Mathematics  
College of Humanities and Sciences  
Nihon University  
Sakurajousui, Setagaya, Tokyo 156  
Japan

**Nak Eun CHO**  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
National Fisheries University of Pusan  
Pusan 608-737  
Korea

**Ohsang KWON**  
Department of Mathematics  
Kyungsung University  
Pusan 608-736  
Korea

**Rikuo YAMAKAWA**  
Department of Mathematics  
Shibaura Institute of Technology  
Fukasaku, Oomiya, Saitama, 330  
Japan