

Title	Witt Vectors Associated with Arbitrary Pro-finite Groups.(Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Finite Dimensional Algebras)
Author(s)	DRESS, ANDREAS W.M.; SIEBENEICHER, CHRISTIAN
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1992), 799: 21-26
Issue Date	1992-08
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/82827
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Witt Vectors Associated with Arbitrary Pro-finite Groups.

ANDREAS W.M. DRESS and CHRISTIAN SIEBENEICHER*

Consider, for example, the following covariant functors defined on the category **rings** of commutative rings with a unit element¹ and with values in **rings** :

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto F(A) := A[X] \\ A &\mapsto F(A) := A[X]/(X^2) \\ A &\mapsto F(A) := A \times A \\ A &\mapsto F(A) := A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A \end{aligned}$$

These functors share the following property:

If p is a prime number and if $p \cdot A = 0$, then $p \cdot F(A) = 0$,
that is, $\text{char } A = p \implies \text{char } F(A) = p$.

Question: Do all functors from **rings** to **rings** share this property?

Answer: No.

The simplest counterexample known to us is based on the well known fact that every prime number p divides the binomial coefficient $\binom{p}{i}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$.

Indeed, consider for an arbitrary ring A the subset

$$A_p^{(2)} := \{r_p(a, b) := (a, a^p + p \cdot b) \mid a, b \in A\} \subset A \times A.$$

of the cartesian product $A \times A$ and observe that with

$$\binom{p}{i}' := \frac{1}{p} \cdot \binom{p}{i} \quad (i \in \{1, \dots, p-1\})$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} r_p(0, 0) &= (0, 0) \in A_p^{(2)}, \\ r_p(1, 0) &= (1, 1) \in A_p^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

*Supported by a generous grant of the University of Hokkaido, Sapporo

¹In the following all rings will be assumed to be commutative and to have a unit element, denoted by 1.

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} r_p(a_1, b_1) \pm r_p(a_2, b_2) &= (a_1 \pm a_2, (a_1 \pm a_2)^p + p(b_1 \pm b_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (\pm 1)^i \binom{p}{i}' \cdot a_1^{p-i} \cdot a_2^i)) \\ &= r_p(a_1 \pm a_2, b_1 \pm b_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (\pm 1)^i \binom{p}{i}' \cdot a_1^{p-i} \cdot a_2^i) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_p(a_1, b_1) \cdot r_p(a_2, b_2) &= (a_1 \cdot a_2, (a_1 \cdot a_2)^p + p \cdot (a_1^p \cdot b_2 + b_1 \cdot a_2^p + p \cdot b_1 \cdot b_2)) \\ &= r_p(a_1 \cdot a_2, a_1^p \cdot b_2 + b_1 \cdot a_2^p + p \cdot b_1 \cdot b_2) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in A$. So the subset $A_p^{(2)}$ is a sub-ring of the product ring $A \times A$ and the above formulae suggest to define quite formally a new addition and multiplication, say $\overset{p}{+}$ and $\overset{p}{\cdot}$, on the set $A \times A$ by

$$(a_1, b_1) \overset{p}{+} (a_2, b_2) := (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i}' \cdot a_1^{p-i} \cdot a_2^i)$$

and

$$(a_1, b_1) \overset{p}{\cdot} (a_2, b_2) := (a_1 \cdot a_2, a_1^p \cdot b_2 + b_1 \cdot a_2^p + p \cdot b_1 \cdot b_2),$$

so that the map

$$r_p : A \times A \rightarrow A \times A \quad (a, b) \mapsto r_p(a, b)$$

becomes a homomorphism from $(A \times A, \overset{p}{+}, \overset{p}{\cdot})$ into the product-ring $A \times A$.

Obviously, if A has no p -torsion, the homomorphism r_p maps $(A \times A, \overset{p}{+}, \overset{p}{\cdot})$ isomorphically onto $A_p^{(2)}$, which establishes in particular that $(A \times A, \overset{p}{+}, \overset{p}{\cdot})$ is indeed a ring for such A . But even if A has p -torsion, in which case the map r_p is no more injective, $(A \times A, \overset{p}{+}, \overset{p}{\cdot})$ is a ring. This can be verified either by direct computation or by using a surjective homomorphism from some appropriate p -torsion free ring, e.g. some polynomial ring over \mathbf{Z} , onto the ring A .

In other words, the above construction defines a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{C_p} : \text{rings} &\rightarrow \text{rings} \\ A &\mapsto \mathbf{W}_{C_p}(A) := (A \times A, \overset{p}{+}, \overset{p}{\cdot}) \\ (h : A \rightarrow A') &\mapsto (\mathbf{W}_{C_p}(h) : A \times A \rightarrow A' \times A' \quad (a, b) \mapsto (h(a), h(b))) \end{aligned}$$

for which there exists a canonical natural transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbf{W}_{C_p} &\rightarrow \text{id} \times \text{id} \\ \Phi(A) : \mathbf{W}_{C_p}(A) &\rightarrow A \times A \quad : (a, b) \mapsto r_p(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

This functor provides a counter-example for the assumption made above, i.e. if A is a ring for which $p \cdot A = 0$, then $p \cdot \mathbf{W}_{C_p}(A) \neq 0$:

Indeed the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} r_p(p \circ (a, b)) &= p \cdot r_p(a, b) \\ &= (pa, pa^p + p^2b) \\ &= r_p(pa, (1 - p^{p-1})a^p + pb) \end{aligned}$$

shows that

$$p \circ (1, 0) = (p, 1 - p^{p-1})$$

holds at least if A has no p -torsion, and therefore, as above, this identity must hold for all rings A .

Hence if $\text{char } A = p$, then for the unit element $(1, 0)$ of $\mathbf{W}_{C_p}(A)$ one has

$$p \circ (1, 0) = (0, 1) \neq (0, 0).$$

More generally, E. WITT observed that for every ring A the subset

$$\{(a_1, a_1^2 + 2a_2, \dots, \sum_{d|n} d \cdot a_d^{n/d}, \dots) \mid a_1, a_2, \dots \in A\}$$

of the infinite product ring $A^{\mathbf{N}}$, $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ constitutes a sub-ring of $A^{\mathbf{N}}$ and that, as above, this allows to construct a functor

$$\mathbf{W} : \text{rings} \rightarrow \text{rings}$$

which is uniquely determined by the following properties:

- $\mathbf{W}(A) = A^{\mathbf{N}}$
- $\mathbf{W}(h : A \rightarrow A') = h^{\mathbf{N}} : (a_1, a_2, \dots) \mapsto (h(a_1), h(a_2), \dots)$
- for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ one has a natural transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n : \mathbf{W} &\longrightarrow \text{id} \\ \Phi_n(A) : \mathbf{W}(A) &\rightarrow A \quad : \quad (a_1, a_2, \dots) \mapsto \sum_{d|n} d \cdot a_d^{n/d} \end{aligned}$$

To understand these constructions from a structural rather than a purely computational point of view, consider even more generally a pro-finite group G and let $\mathcal{O}(G)$ denote the set of open subgroups of G . For every ring A , one considers the ring of functions

$$A^{\mathcal{O}(G)/\sim} := \{f : \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow A \mid f(U) = f(V) \text{ if } U \stackrel{G}{\sim} V, \text{ i.e. if } U \text{ is } G\text{-conjugate to } V\}.$$

Then the subset of all those maps $g : \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow A$ for which there exists some $f \in A^{\mathcal{O}(G)/\sim}$ such that

$$g(U) = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{O}(G)} \#\text{Fix}_U(G/W) \cdot f(W)^{(W:U)}$$

(where the symbol \sum' is meant to indicate that for each conjugacy class of open subgroups W of G exactly one summand has to be taken and with $(W : U) := (G : U)/(G : W)$ which is an integer whenever $\text{Fix}_U(G/W)$ is non empty) can be shown to be a sub-ring of $A^{\mathcal{O}(G)/\sim}$. As above, this allows to construct an associated functor \mathbf{W}_G from rings to rings described in

Theorem 1:

Let G be a pro-finite group and let $\mathcal{O}(G)$ denote the set of open sub-groups of G . Then there exists a unique functor $\mathbf{W}_G : \mathbf{rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{rings}$ with the following properties:

- $\mathbf{W}_G(A) := A^{\mathcal{O}(G)/\sim}$,
- for every ring homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow A'$ one has

$$\mathbf{W}_G(h) : \mathbf{W}_G(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_G(A') : f \mapsto h \circ f,$$

- for every open subgroup $U \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ one has a natural transformation

$$\Phi_U : \mathbf{W}_G \longrightarrow \text{id},$$

defined by

$$\Phi_U(A) : \mathbf{W}_G(A) \rightarrow A : f \mapsto \sum'_{V \in \mathcal{O}(G)} \# \text{Fix}_U(G/V) \cdot f(V)^{(V:U)}.$$

Remarks:

(1) Witt's theorem presents the special case where G is the pro-finite completion $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ of the infinite cyclic group \mathbf{C} .

(2) The functor $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ considered in our first example is precisely the functor $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ for G the cyclic group \mathbf{C}_p with p elements.

Further results concerning this construction are:

Theorem 2:

With \mathbf{F}_p the finite field with p elements, one has $p^n \cdot \mathbf{W}_G(\mathbf{F}_p) = 0$ if and only if $p \cdot \#G_p$ divides p^n , where G_p denotes a p -Sylow subgroup of G . In particular, if G_p is infinite, one has $p^n \cdot \mathbf{W}_G(\mathbf{F}_p) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Theorem 3:

There exists a canonical isomorphism from $\mathbf{W}_G(\mathbf{Z})$ onto the (completed) Burnside ring² $\hat{\Omega}(G)$. It has the following property: If for every positive integer $q \in \mathbf{N}$ and for every $U \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ one denotes by $C_0(U, \{1, \dots, q\})$ the U -set of all continuous maps from U into the discrete set $\{1, \dots, q\}$ ³ and if $\text{ind}_U^G(C_0(U, \{1, \dots, q\}))$ denotes the almost finite G -set

²that is the Grothendieck ring of those discrete G -spaces—called *almost finite G -sets*—where for every open subgroup $U \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ there are only finitely many points which are invariant under U .

³ $C_0(U, \{1, \dots, q\})$ is easily seen to be an almost finite U -set.

induced from it,⁴ then the canonical isomorphism maps every $f \in \mathbf{W}_G(\mathbf{Z})$ with $f(U) \geq 0$ for all $U \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ onto the disjoint union

$$[f] := \dot{\bigcup}_{U \in \mathcal{O}(G)} \text{ind}_U^G(C_0(U, \{1, \dots, f(U)\})),$$

taken over all conjugacy classes in $\mathcal{O}(G)$.

Remark:

Using this isomorphism the above formula in Theorem 1 for the natural transformation $\Phi_U(A)$ has a rather natural interpretation:

for any $f \in \mathbf{W}_G(\mathbf{Z})$ as in Theorem 3 the number of U -invariant elements in the almost finite G -set $[f]$ is precisely $\sum_{V \in \mathcal{O}(G)} \#\text{Fix}_U(G/V) \cdot f(V)^{(V:U)}$.

Theorem 4:

1. For every open subgroup $U \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ there are natural transformations

- $F_U : \mathbf{W}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_U$
- $V_U : \mathbf{W}_U \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_G$

where for every ring A

- the map $F_U(A) : \mathbf{W}_G(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_U(A)$ is a ring homomorphism,
 - the map $V_U(A) : \mathbf{W}_U(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_G(A)$ is an additive homomorphism.
2. Using the identification from Theorem 3 $F_U(\mathbf{Z}) : \mathbf{W}_G(\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_U(\mathbf{Z})$ coincides with the restriction map $\text{res}_U^G : \widehat{\Omega}(G) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}(U)$ and $V_U(\mathbf{Z}) : \mathbf{W}_U(\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_G(\mathbf{Z})$ coincides with the induction map $\text{ind}_U^G : \widehat{\Omega}(U) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}(G)$.
3. The standard identities relating restriction and induction hold more generally for F and V , e.g. for any ring A and any $x \in \mathbf{W}_G(A)$ and $y \in \mathbf{W}_U(A)$ one has $x \cdot V_U(A)(y) = V_U(A)(F_U(A)(x) \cdot y)$ (Frobenius reciprocity) and for $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ and $x \in \mathbf{W}_{U_1}(A)$ one can compute $F_{U_2}(A)(V_{U_1}(A)(x)) \in \mathbf{W}_{U_2}(A)$ according to an appropriate variant of the Mackey sub-group formula.

Remark:

In case $G = \hat{C}$, the natural transformations F and V specialize to the well known *Frobenius* and *Verschiebung* maps defined for universal Witt vectors. Moreover, the well known identities relating the Frobenius and Verschiebung maps follow from the third assertion of Theorem 4 in this particular case.

To prove Witt's theorem as well as Theorems 1 to 4 one needs to show that certain rational numbers—like e.g. $\frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i}$ —are indeed integers. In the case $\frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i}$ this, of course, can be shown by direct computation, but it can also be shown without any computation by

⁴For an almost finite G -set X we denote by $\text{ind}_U^G(X)$ the almost finite G -set of U -orbits $\overline{(g, x)}$ in the cartesian product $G \times X$ relative to the (free) U -action $U \times (G \times X) \rightarrow G \times X$ defined by $(u, (g, x)) \mapsto (gu^{-1}, ux)$ where of course $g_1 \cdot (g_2, x) := (g_1g_2, x)$.

realizing that $\frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i}$ is the number of orbits of the action of the cyclic group C_p of order p on its subsets of cardinality i .

It is this way of using group actions to prove integrality results of this type which is fundamental for the proof of our theorems and which—first of all—suggested that a rather general variant of Witt's construction should exist, based on the equivariant combinatorics of arbitrary rather than of cyclic pro-finite groups, only.

References

- CARTIER, P: *Groupes formels associées aux anneaux de Witt généralisées*, C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris, vol. **265** (1967), 49-52
- DRESS, A.W.M., SIEBENEICHER, Ch: *The Burnside Ring of profinite Groups and the Witt Vector Construction*. Advances in Mathematics, vol. **70** (1988), 87-132.
- DRESS A.W.M. AND SIEBENEICHER, Ch: *The Burnside Ring of the Infinite Cyclic Group and its Relations to the Necklace Algebra, λ -Rings and the Universal Ring of Witt Vectors* (1987), Advances in Mathematics, vol. **78** (1989), 1-41.
- METROPOLIS N. AND ROTA G.-C.: *Witt Vectors and the Algebra of Necklaces*, Advances in Mathematics, vol. **50** (1983), 95-125.
- WITT E.: *Zyklische Körper und Algebren der Charakteristik p vom Grade p^n* , J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle), vol. **176** (1937), 126-140.

Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik
 Postfach 8640
 D 4800 Bielefeld 1, FRG
 e-mail: dress@math1.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de
 e-mail: sieben@math10.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de