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# Parabolic Variational Inequality for the Cahn－Hilliard Equation with Constraint 

N．KENMOCHI，M．NIEZGODKA<br>and

I．PAWLOW

## 1．Introduction

In this paper we study the Cahn－Hilliard equation with constraint by means of subdifferential operator techniques．Such a state constraint problem was resently proposed by Blowey－ Elliott［1］as a model of diffusive phase separation．The questions of the existence，uniqueness and asymptotic behaviour of solutions，treated in［1］for the special case of the deep quench limit，are considered in our paper without such a restriction．

The standard Cahn－Hilliard equation is a model of diffusive phase separation in isother－ mal binary systems，and in terms of the concentration $u$ of one of the components it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+\nu \Delta^{2} u-\Delta f(u)=0 \quad \text { in } Q_{T}=(0, T) \times \Omega . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{N}, N \geq 1$ ，with a smooth boundary $\Gamma=\partial \Omega, \nu$ is a positive constant related to the surface tension，$f(u)$ corresponds to the volumetric part of the chemical potential difference between components and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=F^{\prime}(u) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(u)$ is a homogeneous（volumetric）free energy parametrized by temperature $\theta$ ，with the characteristic double－well form for $\theta$ below the critical temperature $\theta_{c}$ ．Usually the free energy is approximated by polynomials $F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ，e．g．in the simplest case by quartic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=F_{o}(\theta)+\alpha_{2}\left(\theta-\theta_{c}\right) u^{2}+\alpha_{4} u^{4} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constants $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{4}>0$ and a given function $F_{0}(\theta)$ of temperature．To preserve an explicit physical sense，the state variable $u$ often is subject to some constraints，e．g．in the case of concentration natural limitation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u \leq 1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the free energy $F(u)$ can be assumed in the form of the so－called regular solution model

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=F_{o}(\theta)+\alpha_{o} \theta[u \log u+(1-u) \log (1-u)]+\alpha_{1}\left(\theta-\theta_{c}\right) u(u-1) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a function $F_{0}(\theta)$ and positive constants $\alpha_{o}, \alpha_{1}$ ．The corresponding form of the chemical potential $f(u)$ is shown in Fig．1．Moreover，as the deep quench limit of（1．5），i．e．as the

$$
\begin{gathered}
t X(t, v(t))+\int_{0}^{t} \tau\left|v^{\prime}(\tau)\right|_{V^{*}}^{2} d \tau \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\tau\left|\alpha^{\prime}(\tau)\right|+X(\tau, v(\tau))\right\} d \tau \cdot \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\alpha^{\prime}(\tau)\right| d \tau\right) \\
\text { for all } t>0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
X(t, v(t))+\int_{s}^{t}\left|v^{\prime}\right|_{v^{*}}^{2} d \tau \leq\left\{X(s, v(s))+\int_{s}^{t}\left|\alpha^{\prime}(\tau)\right| d \tau\right\} \cdot \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t}\left|\alpha^{\prime}(\tau)\right| d \tau\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
\text { for all } 0<s<t .
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, if $v_{0} \in D$, then (2.1) holds for $0=s<t$, too.
The third theorem is concerned with the large time behaviour of the solution $v(t)$ of (VI).
Theorem 2.3. In addition to the assumptions $(\varphi 1)-(\varphi 3)$ and (p) suppose that $\alpha^{\prime} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$, and
( $\varphi 4$ ) $\varphi^{t}$ converges to a proper l.s.c. convex function $\varphi^{\infty}$ on $H$ in the sense of Mosco [11] as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.
(M1) for any $z \in D\left(\varphi^{\infty}\right)$ there exists a function $w: \mathbf{R}_{+} \rightarrow H$ such that $w(t) \rightarrow z$ in $H$ and $\varphi^{t}(w(t)) \rightarrow \varphi^{\infty}(z)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$;
(M2) if $w: \mathbf{R}_{+} \rightarrow H$ and $w(t) \rightarrow z$ weakly in $H$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{t}(w(t)) \geq$ $\varphi^{\infty}(z)$.
Let $v$ be the solution of (VI) on $\mathbf{R}_{+}$associated with initial datum $v_{o} \in D_{\star}$, and denote by $\omega\left(v_{o}\right)$ the $\omega$-limit set of $v(t)$ in $H$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $\omega\left(v_{o}\right):=\left\{z \in H ; v\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow z\right.$ in $H$ for some $t_{n}$ with $\left.t_{n} \rightarrow \infty\right\}$. Then $\omega\left(v_{o}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
\partial \varphi^{\infty}\left(v_{\infty}\right)+p\left(v_{\infty}\right) \ni 0 \quad \text { for all } v_{\infty} \in \omega\left(v_{0}\right)
$$

Finally we give a result on the continuous dependence of solutions of (VI) upon the data $v_{0},\left\{\varphi^{t}\right\}$ and $p(\cdot)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\left\{\varphi_{n}^{t}\right\}$ be a sequence of families of proper l.s.c. convex functions on $H$ such that conditions $(\varphi 1)-(\varphi 3)$ are satisfied for common positive constants $C_{o}, C_{1}$ and a common function $\alpha \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$. Also, let $p_{n}$ be a sequence of Lipschitz continuous operators in $H$ such that condition ( $p$ ) is satisfied for a common Lipschitz constant $L_{o}>0$ and a nonnegative $C^{1}$-function $P_{n}$ on $H$. Suppose that for each $t \leq 0, \varphi_{n}^{t}$ converges to $\varphi^{t}$ on $H$ in the sense of Mosco as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.
(m1) for any $z \in D$, there exists $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset H$ such that $z_{n} \in D_{n}\left(=D\left(\varphi_{n}^{t}\right)\right)$, $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ in $H$ and $\varphi_{n}^{t}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow \varphi^{t}(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty ;$
(m2) if $z_{n} \in H$ and $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ weakly in $H$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}^{t}\left(z_{n}\right) \geq \varphi^{t}(z)$.
Furthermore suppose that for each $z \in H$,

$$
p_{n}(z) \rightarrow p(z) \quad \text { in } H, \quad P_{n}(z) \rightarrow P(z) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

The cases (1.3),(1.5) and (1.6) of free energies can be written in the form (1.7) with appropriate functions $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{g}$, and these special cases have been studied by Blowey-Elliott [1] and Elliott-Luckhaus [5].

## 2. Abstract results

We shall study evolution system (1.8)-(1.10) in an abstract framework.
Let $H$ and $V$ be (real) Hilbert spaces such that $V$ is densely and compactly embedded in $H . V^{*}$ will be the dual of $V$. Then, identifying $H$ with its dual, we have

$$
V \subset H \subset V^{\star}
$$

with dense and compact injections. Further, let $J^{\star}$ be the duality mapping from $V^{\star}$ onto $V$, and for $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}=[0, \infty)$, let $\varphi^{t}(\cdot)$ be a proper, l.s.c., non-negative and convex function on $H$. We shall consider the following problem (VI):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
J^{\star}\left(v^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial \varphi^{t}(v(t))+p(v(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text { in } H, t>0, \\
v(0)=v_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $v^{\prime}=\left(\frac{d}{d t}\right) v, \partial \varphi^{t}$ is the subdifferential of $\varphi^{t}$ in $H ; p(\cdot): H \cdot \rightarrow H$ is a Lipschitz continuous operator and $v_{o}$ a given initial datum.

When it is necessary to indicate the data $\varphi^{t}, p$ and $v_{o}$ explicitly, (VI) is denoted by (VI; $\varphi^{t}, p, v_{0}$ ).

Throughout this paper we use the following notations:
$(\cdot, \cdot)$ : the inner product in $H$;
$\langle\cdot, \cdot)$ : the duality pairing between $V^{\star}$ and $V$;
$|\cdot|_{W}$ : the norm in $W$ for any normed space $W$;
$J$ : the duality mapping from $V$ onto $V^{\star}$, hence $J^{\star}=J^{-1}$.
We use some basic notions and results about monotone operators and subdifferentials of convex functions; for details we refer to Brézis [2] and Lions [10].

We shall discuss ( VI$)=\left(\mathrm{VI} ; \varphi^{t}, p, v_{o}\right)$ under the following additional hypotheses:
( $\varphi 1$ ) The effective domain $D\left(\varphi^{t}\right)\left(=\left\{z \in H ; \varphi^{t}(z)<\infty\right\}\right)$ of $\varphi^{t}$ is independent of $t \in$ $\mathbf{R}_{+}, D:=D\left(\varphi^{t}\right) \subset V$ and

$$
\varphi^{t}(z) \geq C_{o}|z|_{V}^{2} \quad \text { for all } z \in V \text { and all } t \in \mathbf{R}_{+},
$$

where $C_{0}$ is a positive constant.
( $\varphi$ 2) $\left(z_{1}^{\star}-z_{2}^{\star}, z_{1}-z_{2}\right) \geq C_{1}\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|_{V}^{2}$ for all $z_{i} \in D, z_{i}^{\star} \in \partial \varphi^{t}\left(z_{i}\right), i=1,2$, and all $t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$, where $C_{1}$ is a positive constant.
( $\varphi 3$ ) There is a function $\alpha \in W_{l o c}^{1,1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\varphi^{t}(z)-\varphi^{s}(z) \leq|\alpha(t)-\alpha(s)|\left(1+\varphi^{s}(z)\right)
$$

for all $z \in D$ and $s, t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$with $s \leq t$.
(p) $p$ is a Lipschitz continuous operator in $H$ and there is a non-negative $C^{1}$-function $P: H \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ whose gradient coincides with $p$, i.e. $p=\nabla P$; hence

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t} P(w(t))=\left(p(w(t)), w^{\prime}(t)\right) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in \mathbf{R}, \text { if. } w \in W_{l o c}^{1,2} \mathbf{R}_{+} ; H\right)
$$

We now introduce a notion of the solution in a weak sense to problem (VI).
Definition 2.1. (i) Let $0<T<\infty$. Then a function $v:[0, T] \rightarrow H$ is called a solution of (VI) on $[0, T]$, if $v \in L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap C\left([0, T] ; V^{\star}\right), v^{\prime} \in L_{l o c}^{2}\left((0, T] ; V^{\star}\right), v(0)=v_{o}, \varphi^{(\cdot)}(v) \in$ $L^{1}(0, T)$ and

$$
-J^{\star}\left(v^{\prime}(t)\right)-p(v(t)) \in \partial \varphi^{t}(v(t)) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
$$

(ii) A function $v: \mathbf{R}_{+} \rightarrow H$ is called a solution of (VI) on $\mathbf{R}_{+}$, if the restriction of $v$ to $[0, T]$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{VI})$ on $[0, T]$ for every finite $T>0$.

Our results for (VI) are given as follows.
Theorem 2.1. Assume that $(\varphi 1)-(\varphi 3)$ and (p) are satisfied. Let $T$ be any positive number. Then the following two statements (a) and (b) hold:
(a) If $v_{o}$ is given in the closure $D_{\star}$ of $D$ in $V^{\star}$, then (VI) has one and only one solution $v$ on $[0, T]$ such that

$$
t^{\frac{1}{2}} v^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\star}\right), \sup _{0<t \leq T} t \varphi^{t}(v(t))<\infty .
$$

(b) If $v_{0} \in D$, then the solution $v$ of (VI) on $[0, T]$ satisfies that

$$
v^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\star}\right), \quad \sup _{0 \leq \leq \leq T} \varphi^{t}(v(t))<\infty ;
$$

hence $v \in C([0, T] ; H)$.
The second theorem is concerned with the energy inequality for (VI).
Theorem 2.2. Assume that $(\varphi 1)-(\varphi 3)$ and ( $p$ ) hold. Let $v$ be the solution of (VI) on $\mathbf{R}_{+}$ associated with initial datum $v_{0} \in D_{\star}$. Define

$$
X(t, z)=\varphi^{t}(z)+P(z) \quad \text { for } z \in D \text { and } t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}
$$

Then: (a)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{0 \leq r \leq t}|v(\tau)|_{V^{\star}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \varphi^{\tau}(v(\tau)) d \tau \leq M_{o}\left\{\left|v_{o}\right|_{V^{\star}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \varphi^{\tau}(z) d \tau+\left(|z|_{H}^{2}+1\right)\right\} e^{M_{o} t} \\
\text { for all } z \in D \text { and } t>0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $M_{o}$ is a positive constant dependent only on $C_{o}$ in $(\varphi 1)$, the Lipschitz constant $L_{p}$ of $p(\cdot)$ and the value $|p(0)|_{H}$.
limit of (1.5) as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, the non-smooth free energy

$$
F(u)= \begin{cases}F_{o}(\theta)+\alpha_{1} \theta_{c} u(1-u) & \text { if } 0 \leq u \leq 1  \tag{1.6}\\ \infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

is obtained (see Fig. 2); the constraint (1.4) is included in formula (1.6). This type of free energy (1.6) was introduced by Oono-Puri [12], and the corresponding Cahn-Hilliard equation was numerically studied by them; subsequently this model was analized theoretically, too, by Blowey-Elliott [1].



For generality we propose in this paper the representation of (possibly non-smooth) free energy in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=\hat{\beta}(u)+\hat{g}(u) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\beta}$ is a proper, l.s.c. and convex function on $\mathbf{R}$ and $\hat{g}$ is a non-negative function of $C^{1}$-class on $\mathbf{R}$ with Lipschitz continuous derivative $g=\hat{g}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbf{R}$. In such a non-smooth case of free energy functionals, the formula (1.2), giving the volumetric part $f(u)$ of the chemical potential difference, does not make sense any longer. Therefore, following the idea in [1], we introduce a generalized notion of chemical potential which is represented in terms of the multivalued function

$$
F(u)=\{\xi+g(u) ; \xi \in \beta(u)\}
$$

where $\beta$ is the subdifferential of $\hat{\beta}$ in $\mathbf{R}$. Then the Cahn-Hilliard equation (1.1) is extended to the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mathbf{t}}+\nu \Delta^{2} u-\Delta(\xi+g(u))=0, \quad \xi \in \beta(u) \quad \text { in } Q_{T} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.8) is to be satisfied together with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial n}(\nu \Delta u+\xi+g(u))=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{T}:=(0, T) \times \gamma \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, \cdot)=u_{o} \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is a given initial datum, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ denotes the outward normal derivative on $\Gamma$.

Let $\left\{v_{o n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $V^{\star}$ such that $v_{o n} \in D_{n \star}\left(=\right.$ the closure of $D_{n}$ in $\left.V^{\star}\right), v_{o} \in D_{\star}$ and $v_{o n} \rightarrow v_{0}$ in $V^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the solution $v_{n}$ of $(V I)_{n}:=\left(V I ; \varphi_{n}^{t}, p_{n}, v_{o n}\right)$ converges to the solution $v$ of $(V I):=\left(V I ; \varphi^{t}, p, v_{o}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the following sense: for every finite $T>0$ and every $0<\delta<T$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{n} \rightarrow v \quad \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; V^{\star}\right), \\
v_{n} \rightarrow v \quad \\
t^{\frac{1}{2}} v_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow t^{\frac{1}{2}} v^{\prime} \\
\text { in } C([\delta, T] ; H) \text { and weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\star}\right), \\
\text { in } L^{\infty}(\delta, T ; V),
\end{gathered}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3. Sketch of the proofs

We sketch the proofs of the main theorems.
(1) (Uniqueness) Let $v_{i}, i=1,2$, be two solutions of (VI) on $[0, T]$ and put $v:=v_{1}-v_{2}$. Multiply the difference of two equations, which $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ satisfy, by $v$, and then use the inequality

$$
|z|_{H}^{2} \leq \varepsilon|z|_{V}^{2}+C(\varepsilon)|z|_{V^{\star}}^{2} \quad \text { for all } z \in V
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary positive number and $C(\varepsilon)$ is a suitable positive constant dependent only on $\varepsilon$. Then we have an inequality of the form

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}|v(t)|_{V^{\star}}^{2}+k_{1}|v(t)|_{V}^{2} \leq k_{2}|v(t)|_{V^{\star}}^{2} \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T]
$$

where $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are some positive constants. Therefore, Gronwall's lemma implies that $v=0$.
(2) (Approximate problems) Let $v_{o} \in D$ and $\mu$ be any parameter in ( 0,1$]$. Consider the following approximate problem $(V I)_{\mu}$ for $(V I)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(J^{\star}+\mu I\right)\left(v_{\mu}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\partial \varphi^{t}\left(v_{\mu}(t)\right)+p\left(v_{\mu}(t)\right) \ni 0 \quad \text { in } H, \quad 0<t<T, \\
v_{\mu}(0)=v_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By making use of the results in [9] this problem $(V I)_{\mu}$ has one only one solution $v_{\mu} \in$ $W^{1,2}(0, T ; H) \cap L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)$. Also, multiplying the equation of $(V I)_{\mu}$ by $v_{\mu}, v_{\mu}^{\prime}$ and $t v_{\mu}^{\prime}$, we have similar estimates as those in Theorem 2.2.
(3) (Existence and estimates for (VI)) In the case when $v_{0} \in D$, by the standard monotonicity and compactness methods we can prove that the solution $v_{\mu}$ tends to the solution $v$ of (VI) as $\mu \rightarrow 0$ in the sense that

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{\mu} \rightarrow v \quad \text { in } C([0, T] ; H) \text { and weakly in } L^{\infty}(0, T ; V), \\
v_{\mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow v^{\prime} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\star}\right), \\
\mu v_{\mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } L^{2}(0, T ; H) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover we have the estimates in Theorem 2.2 for $v$. In the case when $v_{o} \in D_{\star}$, it is enough to approximate $v_{o}$ by a sequence $\left\{v_{o n}\right\} \subset D$ and to see the convergence of the solution $v_{n}$ associated with initial datum $v_{o n}$.
(4) (Proof of Theorem 2.3) From the energy estimates which were obtained in Theorem 2.2, it follows that $v^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(1, \infty ; V^{\star}\right)$ and $v \in L^{\infty}(1, \infty ; V)$; hence Theorem 2.3 holds.
(5) (Proof of Theorem 2.4) Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.4, we see from the energy estimates for $v_{n}$ that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $C([0, T] ; H) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}((0, T] ; V) \cap$ $W_{l o c}^{1,2}\left((0, T] ; V^{\star}\right)$. Hence by the usual monotonicity and compactness argument we have the assertions of Theorem 2.4.

## 4. Application to the Cahn-Hilliard equation with constraint

We denote by (CHC) the Cahn-Hilliard equation with constraint (1.8)-(1.10). Here we suppose that
(A1) $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function with a non-negative primitive $\hat{g}$ on $\mathbf{R}$.
(A2) $\beta$ is a maximal monotone graph in $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ such that $0 \in R(\beta)$ and $\operatorname{int} . D(\beta) \neq \emptyset$; we may assume that there is a non-negative proper l.s.c. convex function on $\mathbf{R}$ such that its subdifferential $\partial \hat{\beta}$ coincides with $\beta$ in R .
(A3) $u_{o} \in L^{2}(\Omega), u_{o}(x) \in \overline{D(\beta)}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Definition 4.1. Let $0<T<\infty$. Then $u:[0, T] \rightarrow H$ is called a (weak) solution of (CHC) on $[0, T]$, if $u$ satisfies the following properties (w1)-(w3):
(w1) $u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\star}\right) \cap L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left((0, T] ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left((0, T] ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $W_{l o c}^{1,2}\left((0, T] ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\star}\right)$ and $\hat{\beta}(u) \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) ;$
(w2) $u(0, \cdot)=u_{o}$ a.e. in $\Sigma_{T}$;
(w3) there is a function $\xi:[0, T] \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\xi \in L_{l o c}^{2}\left((0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad \xi \in \beta(u) \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(u(t), \eta)+\nu(\Delta u(t), \Delta \eta)-(\xi(t)+g(u(t)), \Delta \eta)=0
$$

for all $\eta \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial n}$ a.e. on $\Gamma$, and for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.

Applying Theorems 2.1-2.4 to (CHC) we have:
Theorem 4.1. Assume that (A1)-(A3) hold and

$$
m:=\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_{o} d x \in \operatorname{int} . D(\beta) .
$$

Then for every finite $T>0$ problem (CHC) has one and only one solution $u$ on $[0, T]$, and the following statements (a) and (b) hold:
(a) $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\delta, \infty ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right), u^{\prime}\left(\delta, \infty ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\star}\right)$ for every. $\delta>0$, and hence the $\omega$-limit set $\omega\left(u_{o}\right):=\left\{z \in L^{2}(\Omega) ; u\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow z\right.$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ for some $t_{n}$ with $\left.t_{n} \rightarrow \infty\right\}$ is non-empty;
(b) $\omega\left(u_{o}\right) \subset H^{2}(\Omega)$, and any $u_{\infty} \in \omega\left(u_{o}\right)$ with some $\mu_{\infty} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\xi_{\infty} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ solves the following stationary problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\nu \Delta u_{\infty}+\xi_{\infty}+g\left(u_{\infty}\right)=\mu_{\infty} \quad \text { in. } \Omega, \quad \xi_{\infty} \in \beta\left(u_{\infty}\right) \quad \text { a.e. } \in \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u_{\infty}}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { a.e. on } \Gamma, \quad \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_{\infty} d x=m
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, let us reformulate (CHC) as an evolution problem of the form (VI) in the space

$$
H:=\left\{z \in L^{2}(\Omega) ; ; \int_{\Omega} z d x=0\right\} \quad \text { with }|z|_{H}=|z|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

put also

$$
V:=H \cap H^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text { with }|z|_{V}=|\nabla z|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
$$

For this purpose we consider the data $\varphi^{t}=\varphi, p(\cdot)$ and $v_{0}$ as follows:.

$$
\varphi(z):= \begin{cases}\frac{\nu}{2}|\nabla z|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \hat{\beta}(z+m) d x & \text { if } z \in V \\ \infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $m=\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_{o} d x$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(z):=\pi(g(z+m)), \quad P(z):=\int_{\Omega} \hat{g}(z+m) d x, \quad z \in H \\
v_{0}:=u_{o}-m
\end{gathered}
$$

By virtue of the following lemma; problems (CHC) and (VI) associated with the data defined above are equivalent.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\ell \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then $\pi(\ell) \in \partial \varphi(z)$ if and only if $z_{m}=z+m$ satisfies that there are $\mu_{m} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\xi_{m} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\nu \Delta z_{m}+\xi_{m} & =\ell+\mu_{m} & \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega), & \xi_{m} \in \beta\left(z_{m}\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial z_{m}}{\partial n}=0 & \text { a.e. on } \Gamma, \quad \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} z_{m} d x=m
\end{array}
$$

hence $z_{m} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $\mu_{m}$ can be chosen so that

$$
\left|\mu_{m}\right| \leq M\left(1+|\ell|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right),
$$

where $M>0$ is a certain constant dependent only upon $\beta$ and $m$, and $z_{m}$ satisfies that

$$
\nu\left|\Delta z_{m}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq|\ell|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left|\mu_{m}\right||\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

By Theorem 2.1 problem (VI) has one and only one solution $v$. Moreover we see from the above lemma that the function $u:=v+m$ is the unique solution of (CHC), and from Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 that (a) and (b) hold.

When the state constraint $\xi \in \beta(u)$ is not imposed, the system (1.8)-(1.10) becomes the standard Cahn-Hilliard problem. For such a problem various existence, uniqueness and asymptotic results have been establised; see e.g. Elliott [3], Elliott-Zheng [6] and Zheng [15]. For related results in abstract setting we refer to Temam [13] and von Wahl [14]. For the Cahn-Hilliard models with non-smooth free energy functionals we refer to Elliott-Mikelic [4]. The structure of stationary solutions corresponding to the Cahn-Hilliard equation was studied by Gurtin-Matano [7]; their analysis covers also some cases of free energy $F(u)$ with infinite walls.

Finally we give examples of $\beta$ and the corresponding Cahn-Hilliard equations.
Example 4.1. (i) (Logarithmic form) For constants $\alpha_{0}>0$ and $\theta>0, \theta$ being a parameter,

$$
\beta(u):=\beta^{\theta}(u)= \begin{cases}\left\{\alpha_{0} \theta \log \frac{u}{1-u}\right\} & \text { for } 0<u<1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Gien any Lipschitz continuous function $\bar{g}$ on $[0,1]$, we extend it to a Lipschitz continuous function $g$, with support in $[-1,2]$, on the whole line $\mathbf{R}$.
(ii) (The limit of $\beta^{\theta}$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ )

$$
\beta(u):=\beta^{0}(u)= \begin{cases}{[0, \infty)} & \text { if } u=1 \\ \{0\} & \text { if } 0<u<1 \\ (-\infty, 0] & \text { if } u=0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and $g$ is the same as in (i).
Example 4.2. Denote by $(\mathrm{CHC})_{\theta}$ and $(\mathrm{CHC})_{0}$ the Cahn-Hilliard equations ( CHC ) associated with $\beta=\beta^{\theta}$ and $\beta=\beta^{0}$, respectibely. Then, by the theorems proved above, (CHC) ${ }_{\theta}$ and $(\mathrm{CHC})_{0}$ have the unique solutions $u^{\theta}$ and $u^{0}$, respectively, and moreover $u^{\theta} \rightarrow u^{0}$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ in the similar sense as Theorem 2.4.
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