



Title	Propagation of singularities of solutions for a degenerate hyperbolic equation(Microlocal Analysis of Differential Equations)
Author(s)	Taniguchi, Kazuo
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1991), 757: 71-81
Issue Date	1991-06
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/82163">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/82163</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Propagation of singularities of solutions  
for a degenerate hyperbolic equation

谷 口 和 夫 (Kazuo Taniguchi)

University of Osaka Prefecture

In this note we give a short review on the Gevrey wave front sets and give a properties of the propagation of singularities for solutions of the Cauchy problem for a degenerate hyperbolic operator.

**§1. Gevrey wave front sets.** Let  $\kappa$  satisfy  $\kappa > 1$ . As in [5] we introduce a class of ultradistributions as

$$\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\{\kappa\}}' = \text{proj} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathcal{D}_{L^2, \epsilon}^{\{\kappa\}}' .$$

Here, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2, \epsilon}^{\{\kappa\}}'$  is the dual space of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2, \epsilon}^{\{\kappa\}} \equiv \{u(x) \in L^2 ; \exp(\epsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\kappa}) \hat{u}(\xi) \in L^2\}$  and  $F[u](\xi) \equiv \hat{u}(\xi)$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $u(x)$ . For the symbol classes of pseudo-differential operators we employ

**Definition 1.1.** i) Let  $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$  and  $\delta < 1$ . We say that a symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  belongs to a class  $S_{\rho, \delta, G(\kappa)}^m$  if

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C M^{-|\alpha+\beta|} (\alpha!^\kappa + \alpha!^\kappa \rho \langle \xi \rangle^{(1-\rho)|\alpha|}) \\ \times (\beta!^\kappa + \beta!^\kappa (1-\delta) \langle \xi \rangle^\delta |\beta|) \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}$$

for all  $x$  and  $\xi$

hold for constants  $C$  and  $M$  independent of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . We also denote  $S_{G(\kappa)}^m = S_{1, 0, G(\kappa)}^m$ .

ii) We say that a symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  ( $\in S^{-\infty}$ ) belongs to a

class  $\mathcal{R}_{G(\kappa)}$  if for any  $\alpha$  there exists a constant  $C_\alpha$  such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha M^{-|\beta|} \beta!^\kappa \exp(-\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\kappa})$$

hold with a positive constant  $\varepsilon$  independent of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

The following lemma follows by the similar way to the proof of Theorem 1 in [13].

**Lemma 1.2.** i) The pseudo-differential operator  $p(x, D_x)$  with a symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  in  $S_{\rho, \delta, G(\kappa)}^m$  maps  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\{\kappa\}'}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\{\kappa\}'}$ .

ii) The pseudo-differential operator  $p(x, D_x)$  with a symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(\kappa)}$  maps  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\{\kappa\}'}$  to  $\bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{D}_{L^2, \varepsilon}^{\{\kappa\}'}$ .

From Lemma 1.2 we can call pseudo-differential operators  $p(x, D_x)$  with symbols in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(\kappa)}$  regularizers.

Next, we introduce Gevrey wave front sets  $WF_{G(\kappa_1)}(u)$  of ultradistributions  $u(x)$  as follows.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\kappa_1$  satisfy  $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa$  and let  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  be a point in  $T^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then,  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  does not belong to a Gevrey wave front set  $WF_{G(\kappa_1)}(u)$  of  $u (\in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\{\kappa\}'})$  if and only if there exists a symbol  $a(x, \xi)$  in  $S_{G(\kappa)}^0$  such that the following i) and ii) hold:

i) For a conic neighborhood  $\Gamma$  of  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  an inequality

$$|a(x, \xi)| \geq C \quad \text{for } (x, \xi) \in \Gamma$$

holds for a positive constant  $C$ .

ii) The function  $a(x, D_x)u$  belongs to the Gevrey class  $\gamma^{(\kappa_1)}$  of order  $\kappa_1$ , that is,  $f(x) \equiv a(x, D_x)u$  satisfies

$$|\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| \leq CM^{-|\alpha|} \alpha!^{\kappa_1} \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}_x^n.$$

For a distribution  $u(x) (\in \mathcal{E}')$  with compact support we have proved in [13]

**Lemma 1.4** (cf. Theorem 3 of [13]). Let  $u \in \mathcal{E}'$  and  $\kappa_1 > 1$ . Then, the point  $(x_o, \xi_o) \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$  does not belong to  $WF_{G(\kappa_1)}(u)$  if and only if

(\*) There exist a function  $\chi(x)$  in  $\gamma^{(\kappa_1)}(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$  with  $\chi(x_o) \neq 0$  and a conic neighborhood  $\Gamma_\xi$  of  $\xi_o$  such that

$$|F[\chi u](\xi)| \leq C \exp(-\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\kappa_1}) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \Gamma_\xi$$

holds for a positive constants  $C$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

The (\*) is the original definition of the Gevrey wave front sets for distributions given by Hörmander [3]. So, our definition is an extension of the original one. We also note that from our definition we can treat Gevrey wave front sets by the almost same way as the treatment of wave front sets for the  $C^\infty$  case (cf. [2], [7].)

**2. A degenerate hyperbolic operator.** Consider a degenerate hyperbolic operator

$$(2.1) \quad L = D_t^2 - t^{2\ell} g(x)^{2\ell'} \sum_{j,j'=1}^n a_{j,j'}(t,x) D_{x_j} D_{x_{j'}} + t^k g(x)^{k'} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(t,x) D_{x_j} + c(t,x)$$

on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$ .

We first assume

$$(A-1) \quad \ell - 1 \geq k \geq 0, \quad \ell' \geq k' \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell' \geq 2.$$

Define

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma = \max((\ell - k - 1)/(2\ell - k), (\ell' - k')/(2\ell' - k')) .$$

Then,  $\sigma$  satisfies  $\sigma < 1/2$ . Now, we assume

$$(A-2) \quad \kappa \geq 2 \text{ and } \kappa\sigma < 1 \text{ with } \sigma \text{ in (2.2).}$$

(A-3) The function  $g(x)$  belongs to a Gevrey class of order  $\kappa$  with a uniform estimate:

$$(2.3) \quad |D_x^\alpha g(x)| \leq CM^{-|\alpha|} \alpha!^\kappa \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(A-4) The coefficients  $a_{j,j'}(t,x)$ ,  $a_j(t,x)$  and  $c(t,x)$  are analytic in  $t$  and of a Gevrey class of order  $\kappa$  in  $x$  with a uniform estimate (2.3).

(A-5)  $a_{j,j'}(t,x)$  are real-valued and there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\sum_{j,j'} a_{j,j'}(t,x) \xi_j \xi_{j'} \geq C |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_x^n.$$

Denote the characteristic roots of  $L$  by

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_\pm(t,x,\xi) = \pm t^\ell g(x)^\ell' \left\{ \sum_{j,j'} a_{j,j'}(t,x) \xi_j \xi_{j'} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and let  $\phi_\pm(t,s;x,\xi)$  be the phase functions corresponding to the modified characteristic roots

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_\pm(t,x,\xi) = \lambda(t,x,\xi)(1 - \chi(\xi))$$

of  $\lambda_\pm(t,x,\xi)$ , that is,  $\phi_\pm(t,s;x,\xi)$  are solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\phi_\pm}{dt} = \tilde{\lambda}_\pm(t,x, \nabla_x \phi_\pm), \\ \phi_\pm|_{t=s} = x \cdot \xi . \end{cases}$$

Here, in (2.5),  $\chi(\xi)$  is the function in  $\gamma^{(\kappa)}$  satisfying

$$\chi(\xi) = 1 \text{ for } |\xi| \leq 1/2, \quad \chi(\xi) = 0 \text{ for } |\xi| \geq 1.$$

Then, we have

**Theorem 1** (cf. Shinkai-Taniguchi [12]). Assume (A-1)-(A-5).

Set  $\rho = 1 - (1 - \sigma)/\ell'$ . Then, for a small  $T_0$  ( $\leq T$ ) the funda-

mental solution of the Cauchy problem

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = 0 & \text{on } [s, T_0], \\ u(s) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(s) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

with  $s \in [0, T_0]$  can be constructed in the form

$$(2.7) \quad E(t, s) = \sum_{\pm} I_{\phi_{\pm}}(t, s) E_{\pm}(t, s) + E_0(t, s) + E_{\infty}(t, s).$$

Here,  $I_{\phi_{\pm}}(t, s)$  are Fourier integral operators with phase functions  $\phi_{\pm}(t, s; x, \xi)$  and the symbol 1; and  $E_j(t, s)$ ,  $j = 0, \pm, \infty$ , are pseudo-differential operators with symbols  $e_j(t, s; x, \xi)$  satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} e_{\pm}(t, s; x, \xi)| \\ & \leq CM^{-|\alpha+\beta|} ((\alpha+\beta)!^{\kappa} + (\alpha+\beta)!^{\kappa\rho} \langle \xi \rangle^{(1-\rho)|\alpha+\beta|}) \\ & \quad \times \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \exp(C_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{\sigma'}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} e_0(t, s; x, \xi)| \\ & \leq CM^{-|\alpha+\beta|} ((\alpha+\beta)!^{\kappa} + (\alpha+\beta)!^{\kappa\rho} \langle \xi \rangle^{(1-\rho)|\alpha+\beta|}) \\ & \quad \times \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \exp(C_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{\sigma'} - \varepsilon_1 t^{\ell'+1} |g(x)|^{\ell'} \langle \xi \rangle^{1-\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

for a positive constant  $\varepsilon_1$  and the constant  $\sigma'$  satisfying

$$(2.10) \quad \sigma < \sigma' < 1/\kappa, \quad \sigma' \geq (1 + (\ell'-1)\sigma)/(\ell'\kappa - \ell' + 1).$$

Moreover, for any multi-index  $\alpha$  there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha}$  such that

$$(2.11) \quad |\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} e_{\infty}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha} M^{-|\beta|} \beta!^{\kappa} \exp(-\varepsilon_2 \langle \xi \rangle)^{1/\kappa}$$

holds for a positive constant  $\varepsilon_2$ .

We note that the factor  $\exp(C_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{\sigma'})$  appearing in (2.8)-(2.9) makes us to consider the Cauchy problem (2.6) of (2.1) in the class of ultradistributions and the Gevrey wave front sets.

Next, we consider the propagation of Gevrey wave front sets for the solution of (2.6). For the characteristic roots  $\lambda_{\pm}(t, x, \xi)$  of (2.4), let  $\{q^{\pm}, p^{\pm}\}(t, s; y, \eta)$  be the solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dq^{\pm}}{dt} = -\nabla_{\xi} \lambda_{\pm}(t, q^{\pm}, p^{\pm}), \quad \frac{dp^{\pm}}{dt} = \nabla_x \lambda_{\pm}(t, q^{\pm}, p^{\pm}) \\ \{q^{\pm}, p^{\pm}\}|_{t=s} = (y, \eta) \end{array} \right. \quad (s \leq t \leq T_0),$$

and, for  $s \leq 0 \leq t$ , let  $\{\tilde{q}^{\pm}, \tilde{p}^{\pm}\}(t, s; y, \eta)$  be the solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d\tilde{q}^{\pm}}{dt} = -\nabla_{\xi} \lambda_{\pm}(t, \tilde{q}^{\pm}, \tilde{p}^{\pm}), \quad \frac{d\tilde{p}^{\pm}}{dt} = \nabla_x \lambda_{\pm}(t, \tilde{q}^{\pm}, \tilde{p}^{\pm}) \\ \{\tilde{q}^{\pm}, \tilde{p}^{\pm}\}|_{t=0} = \{q^{\mp}, p^{\mp}\}(0, s; y, \eta). \end{array} \right. \quad (0 \leq t \leq T_0),$$

Then, combining Theorem 1 and the discussion in [9] we obtain

**Theorem 2.** Consider a Cauchy problem (2.6) with  $s < 0$ . Then we have, when  $t > 0$ , for a solution  $u(t)$  of (2.6)

$$(2.12) \quad WF_{G(\kappa)}(u(t)) \subset \Gamma_+(t) \cup \Gamma_-(t) \cup \tilde{\Gamma}_+(t) \cup \tilde{\Gamma}_-(t) \cup \Gamma_0(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\pm}(t) &= \{(q^{\pm}(t, s; y, \eta), p^{\pm}(t, s; y, \eta)) ; (y, \eta) \in WF_{G(\kappa)}(u_0), \\ &\quad |\eta| \gg 1\}, \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\pm}(t) &= \{(\tilde{q}^{\pm}(t, s; y, \eta), \tilde{p}^{\pm}(t, s; y, \eta)) ; (y, \eta) \in WF_{G(\kappa)}(u_0), \\ &\quad |\eta| \gg 1\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Gamma_0(t) = \{(y, \eta) ; (y, \eta) \in WF_{G(\kappa)}(u_0), g(y) = 0\}.$$

This theorem corresponds to the branching property for the  $C^\infty$  case, that is, for the Cauchy problem of the operator

$$(2.13) \quad D_t^2 - t^{2\ell} D_x^2 + at^k D_x$$

with  $k = \ell - 1$  (see [1], [14] and [9]). For the case  $k < \ell - 1$  in (2.13), setting  $\kappa = (2\ell - k)/(\ell - k - 1)$ , Shinkai gave  $WF_{G(\kappa)}(u(t))$  exactly by using the exact form of the fundamental solution for the operator (2.13) (see [10] and [11]). Finally, we note that in (A-1) we assumed  $\ell' \geq 2$  and  $k' \geq 1$ . But, when we assume  $\ell' = 1$  or  $k' = 0$  we have  $\sigma = 1/2$  and the problem for the operator (2.1) is reduced to the case  $1 < \kappa < 2$ , which is the case of free lower order problem. In that case,  $1 < \kappa < 2$ , the problem (2.6) for (2.1) is always  $\gamma^{(\kappa)}$ -well-posed and the propagation of singularities (2.12) for solutions of (2.6) is obtained in [8]. So, we assumed  $\ell' \geq 2$  and  $k' \geq 1$ .

**§3. A higher order degenerate hyperbolic operator.** Following [4] we consider a higher order degenerate hyperbolic operator

$$(3.1) \quad L = D_t^m + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+j=m \\ j < m}} t^{|\alpha|\ell} g(x)^{|\alpha|\ell'} a_{\alpha,j}(t,x) D_x^\alpha D_t^j + \sum_{|\alpha|+j < m} t^{\nu(\alpha,j)} g(x)^{\mu(\alpha,j)} a_{\alpha,j}(t,x) D_x^\alpha D_t^j$$

with integers  $\ell, \ell', \nu(\alpha,j)$  and  $\mu(\alpha,j)$ . For the operator  $L$  of (3.1) we define an irregularity  $\theta$  (cf. [6]) as

$$\theta = \max_{|\alpha|+j < m} \left\{ \frac{m-j-\{\nu(\alpha,j)+m-j\}/(\ell+1)}{m-j-|\alpha|}, \frac{m-j-\mu(\alpha,j)/\ell'}{m-j-|\alpha|}, 1 \right\}$$

and set

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma = (\theta - 1)/\theta.$$

We assume

$$(A-1)' \quad \ell' \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta < 2.$$

Then,  $\sigma$  satisfies  $\sigma < 1/2$ . We assume, furthermore, (A-2) and

(A-3) in Section 2 and

(A-4)' The coefficients  $a_{\alpha,j}(t,x)$  of (3.1) are analytic in  $t$  and of a Gevrey class of order  $\kappa$  in  $x$  with a uniform estimate (2.3).

(A-5)' The equation

$$\lambda^m + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+j=m \\ j < m}} a_{\alpha,j}(t,x) \xi^\alpha \lambda^j = 0$$

has distinct roots  $\lambda_j^0(t,x,\xi)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and they satisfy an uniform estimate:

$$|\lambda_j^0(t,x,\xi) - \lambda_j^0(t,x,\xi)| \geq C|\xi| \quad \text{for all } (t,x,\xi) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_{x,\xi}^{2n}$$

with a positive constant  $C$ .

From (A-5)' the characteristic roots of (3.1) are

$$\lambda_j(t,x,\xi) = t^\ell g(x)^{\ell'} \lambda_j^0(t,x,\xi), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let  $\phi_j(t,s;x,\xi)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , be the phase functions corresponding to the characteristic roots  $\lambda_j(t,x,\xi)$  and denote by  $I_{\phi_j}(t,s)$  the Fourier integral operators with phase functions  $\phi_j(t,s;x,\xi)$  and with the symbol 1. Moreover, let  $\{q^j, p^j\}(t, s; y, \eta)$  be the bicharacteristics of  $\lambda_j(t,x,\xi)$  and we denote, for  $s < 0 < t$ , the (broken) bicharacteristics as  $\{\tilde{q}^j, \tilde{p}^j\}(t, s; y, \eta) = \{q^j, p^j\}(t, 0; \{q^{j'}, p^{j'}\}(0, s; y, \eta))$ . Then, by the same method of proving Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain the following two theorems.

**Theorem 3.** We assume (A-1)', (A-2), (A-3), (A-4)' and (A-5)'. Let  $s$  satisfy  $0 \leq s \leq T_0$  for a small  $T_0$  ( $\leq T$ ). Then, the fundamental solution  $E(t,s)$  of the Cauchy problem

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = 0 & \text{on } [s, T_0], \\ \partial_t^j u(s) = 0 \ (j=0, \dots, m-2), & \partial_t^{m-1} u(s) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

for the operator  $L$  of (3.1) can be constructed in the form

$$E(t, s) = \sum_{j=1}^m I_{\phi_j}(t, s) E_j(t, s) + E_0(t, s) + E_\infty(t, s)$$

with pseudo-differential operators  $E_j(t, s)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m, \infty$ ,

whose symbols  $e_j(t, s; x, \xi) = \sigma(E_j(t, s))$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta e_j(t, s; x, \xi)| \\ & \leq CM^{-|\alpha+\beta|} ((\alpha+\beta)!^\kappa + (\alpha+\beta)!^{\kappa\rho} \langle \xi \rangle^{(1-\rho)|\alpha+\beta|}) \\ & \quad \times \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \exp(C_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{\sigma'}) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m) \end{aligned}$$

and (2.9) and (2.11). Here,  $\sigma'$  is a constant satisfying (2.10).

**Theorem 4.** Consider a Cauchy problem (3.3) with  $s < 0$ .

Then, we have, when  $t > 0$ , for a solution  $u(t)$  of (3.3)

$$WF_{G(\kappa)}(u(t)) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m \Gamma_j(t) \cup \bigcup_{j, j'=1}^m \tilde{\Gamma}_{j, j'}(t) \cup \Gamma_0(t),$$

where

$$\Gamma_j(t) = \{(q^j(t, s; y, \eta), p^j(t, s; y, \eta)) ; (y, \eta) \in WF_{G(\kappa)}(u_0), |\eta| \gg 1\},$$

$$|\eta| \gg 1\},$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{j, j'}(t) = \{(\tilde{q}^{j, j'}(t, s; y, \eta), \tilde{p}^{j, j'}(t, s; y, \eta)) ;$$

$$(y, \eta) \in WF_{G(\kappa)}(u_0), |\eta| \gg 1\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_0(t) = \{(y, \eta) ; (y, \eta) \in WF_{G(\kappa)}(u_0), g(y) = 0\}.$$

### References

- [1] S. Alinhac: Paramétrix et propagation des singularités

- pour un problème de Cauchy à multilplicité variable, Soc.  
Math. France Astérisque, 34-35 (1976), 3-36.
- [2] L. Hörmander: Fourier integral operators I, Acta Math., 127 (1971), 79-183.
- [3] L. Hörmander: Uniqueness theorems and wave front sets for  
solutions of linear partial differential equations with  
analytic coefficients, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 24 (1971),  
671-704.
- [4] S. Itoh and H. Uryu: Conditions for well-posedness in  
Gevrey classes of the Cauchy problems for Fuchsian hyper-  
bolic operators II, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 23 (1987),  
215-241.
- [5] H. Komatsu: Ultradistributions, I, Structure theorems  
and a characterization, J. Fac. Sci., Univ. Tokyo, Sec.  
IA, 20 (1973), 25-105.
- [6] H. Komatsu: Irregularity of characteristic elements and  
hyperbolicity, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 12 (1977), 233-  
245.
- [7] H. Kumano-go: Pseudo-differential operators, The MIT  
Press Cambridge and London, 1982.
- [8] Y. Morimoto and K. Taniguchi: Propagation of wave front  
sets of solutions of the Cauchy problem for hyperbolic  
equations in Gevrey classes, Osaka J. Math., 23 (1986),  
765-814.
- [9] K. Shinkai: Branching of singularities for a degenerate  
hyperbolic system, Comm. Partial Differential Equations,

- 7 (1982), 581-607.
- [10] K. Shinkai: Gevrey wave front sets of solutions for a weakly hyperbolic operator, *Math. Japon.*, 30 (1985), 701-717.
- [11] K. Shinkai: Stokes multipliers and a weakly hyperbolic operator, University of Minnesota, Mathematics Report, #86-134, (1987).
- [12] K. Shinkai and K. Taniguchi: Fundamental solution for a degenerate hyperbolic operator in Gevrey classes, to appear.
- [13] K. Taniguchi: Pseudo-differential operators acting on ultradistributions, *Math. Japon.*, 30 (1985), 719-741.
- [14] K. Taniguchi and Y. Tozaki: A hyperbolic equation with double characteristics which has a solution with branching singularities, *Math. Japon.*, 25 (1980), 279-300.