

Title	Base-Induced Cleavage of Sulfur-Sulfur Bond in Several Disulfides Having β -Hydrogens
Author(s)	Tanimoto, Shigeo; Nozawa, Shigeo; Inoue, Yoshihiko
Citation	Bulletin of the Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University (1990), 68(3): 193-198
Issue Date	1990-10-31
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/77345
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Base-Induced Cleavage of Sulfur-Sulfur Bond in Several Disulfides Having α -Hydrogens

Shigeo TANIMOTO, Shigeo NOZAWA, and Yoshihiko INOUE

Received April 13, 1990

Several disulfides having α -hydrogens were decomposed by lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) into the corresponding thioaldehydes and thiolate anions. The thioaldehyde undergoes competitively one-electron transfer from LDA leading to a radical anion or nucleophilic attack by the thiolate anion simultaneously formed leading to a thiolate anion of hemidithioacetal. The radical anion was further converted to the corresponding thiolate anion by a hydrogen radical abstraction from solvent and/or the corresponding dithiolate dianion by dimerization.

KEY WORDS: Lithium diisopropylamide/ Benzyl phenyl disulfide/ 1,1'-[1,2-Bis(methylthio)-1,2-ethanediyl]bisbenzene/ 2,3-Benzodithian/ α, α' -Bis(methylthio)-*o*-xylene/ Dialkyl 2,2'-dithiobisacetates/ Dialkyl 2,3-bis(methylthio)butanedioates

In a recent paper¹⁾ we reported that the lithium diisopropylamide (LDA)-induced fragmentation of dibenzyl disulfide at low temperature followed by the trapping with some alkyl halides afford 1,1'-[1,2-bis(alkylthio)-1,2-ethanediyl]bisbenzenes and alkyl α -alkylbenzyl sulfides. The reaction proceeds mainly *via* the fragmentation of α -carbanion generated from dibenzyl disulfide into thiobenzaldehyde and α -toluenethiolate anion; the former thiobenzaldehyde is converted to a radical anion by one-electron transfer from LDA followed by alkylation-dimerization sequence providing 1,1'-[1,2-bis(alkylthio)-1,2-ethanediyl]bisbenzenes, and the latter α -toluenethiolate anion is converted to alkyl α -alkylbenzyl sulfide by alkylation-deprotonation-alkylation sequence. Twenty years ago, Hiskey and Dennis²⁾ reported the potassium ethoxide-induced conversion of α, α' -dithiobis(acetophenone) and of diethyl 2,2'-dithiobisacetate affording 1,4-diphenyl-1,4-butanedione-2,3-dithiol and diethyl 2,2'-thiobisacetate-2-thiol, respectively. The reaction proceeds *via* the process involving sulfur-sulfur bond cleavage of α -carbanion generated from α, α' -dithiobis(acetophenone) or diethyl 2,2'-dithiobisacetate providing two intermediate fragments, the corresponding thiolate anion and thioaldehyde; these fragments combine leading to the final product with or without further deprotonation-rearrangement sequence. However, none of well-known disulfides, except three kinds of disulfides above-mentioned, has been submitted to such the base-induced fragmentation.

Thus, we have studied the LDA-induced cleavage of sulfur-sulfur bond in some of easily available disulfides having α -hydrogens. In a few cases lithium 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidide (LTMP) was used instead of LDA as the base. Initially, benzyl

* 谷本重夫, 野澤繁夫, 井上欣彦: Laboratory of Petroleum Chemistry, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611.

Table I. Base-Induced Fragmentation of Several Disulfides Having α -Hydrogens, Followed by Trapping with Methyl Iodide


Run ^{a)}	Starting ^{b)} disulfide	Base used	Product ^{c)} (yield/%)
1	1a	LDA	7a (26), 8 (57)
2	1a	LDA+TMEDA ^{d)}	7a (24), 8 (45)
3	1b	LDA	5b (35), 7b (8), 8 (65)
4	1c	LDA	7c (16), 8 (63)
5	1c	LTMP	8 (26)
6	1d	LDA	5d (40), 8 (55)
7	1d	LTMP	8 (53)
8	1e	LDA	5e (10), 7e (33), 8 (44)
9	1e	LTMP	7e (25), 8 (29)
10	9	LDA	13 (55)
11	9	LTMP	13 (21)
12	14a	LDA	19a (24)
13	14b	LDA	19b (26)
14	14c	LDA	19c (19)
15	14d	LDA	19d (22)
16	14e	LDA	19e (18)

- a) In all runs the presence of a few minor products was recognized by thin-layer chromatography. These minor products, however, were not isolable by column chromatography.
- b) Besides 1, 9 and 14, benzyl *t*-butyl disulfide was allowed to react with LDA in THF. This, with trapping with methyl iodide, afforded 25% yield of 7a together with significant amounts of *t*-butyl methyl sulfide.
- c) In all runs the unaltered disulfides could not be recovered.
- d) TMEDA: *N, N, N', N'*-Tetramethylethylenediamine. TMEDA (0.30 g, 0.26 mmol) was added to the solution of LDA in THF prepared at the beginning. Then, this mixture was used as the base under the same conditions.

phenyl disulfide (1a) was treated with an excess of LDA in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at low temperature. This, with trapping with methyl iodide, led to 1,1'-[1,2-bis(methylthio)-1,2-ethanediy]bisbenzene (7a) together with methyl phenyl sulfide (8). The results of analogous reactions using *p*-substituted benzyl phenyl disulfides (1b, 1c, 1d and 1e) as the starting disulfide as well as those using LTMP instead of LDA as the base are collected in Table I. As can be seen from Table I, LTMP is apparently unsuitable base for this kind of reaction; 25% yield of 4,4'-[1,2-bis(methylthio)-1,2-ethanediy]bis(nitrobenzene) (7e) together with 8 was isolated in only Run 9. In Runs 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8 either one of the compounds 5 and 7 or both were isolated together with significant amounts of 8. None of *p*-substituted benzaldehyde methyl phenyl dithioacetal or its related compounds was isolated, meaning that there is no process involving the combination of intermediate thioaldehyde with benzenethiolate anion simultaneously formed. The process is probably minor one if it were. Thus, the reaction of 1 with LDA followed by trapping with methyl iodide seems to proceed as follows.


Next, 2,3-benzodithian (9) was submitted to the analogous base-induced fragmentation at low temperature followed by trapping with methyl iodide. The product isolated

Based-Induced Cleavage of Sulfur-Sulfur Bond



was α,α' -bis(methylthio)-*o*-xylene (13) alone (see Table I). The reaction seems to proceed *via* the process involving α -deprotonation, sulfur-sulfur bond cleavage giving *o*-thioformyl- α -toluenethiolate anion 10, one-electron transfer from the base giving the radical anion 11, hydrogen radical abstraction from THF as well as charge neutralization by methyl iodide. None of 3-methylthio-1,3-dihydrobenzo[*c*]thiophene was isolated. This means that there is no appreciable interaction between $-\text{CH}_2\text{S}^-$ and the thioformyl group of 10.

Lastly, several dialkyl 2,2'-dithiobisacetates (14) were allowed to react with LDA in THF followed by trapping with methyl iodide under the same conditions. The products isolated were dialkyl 2,3-bis(methylthio)butanedioates (19) alone. In all of Runs 12-16 none of alkyl (methylthio)acetates was isolated. This means that the initially formed alkyl thioformylcarboxylate 15 and alkoxy carbonylmethylthiolate anion 16 combine exclusively leading to the formation of intermediate species 17 which undergoes deprotonation-rearrangement sequence to afford the dithiolate dianion 18. Thus, the reaction seems to proceed as follows.



In conclusion, α -lithiodisulfides, as unstable intermediates occurring in the reaction of disulfides having α -hydrogens with LDA, undergo fragmentation into thioaldehydes and thiolate anions. The thioaldehyde undergoes competitively one-electron transfer from LDA leading to a radical anion or nucleophilic attack by the thiolate anion simultaneously formed leading to a thiolate anion of hemidithioacetal. The radical anion is further converted to the corresponding thiolate anion by a hydrogen radical abstraction from solvent and/or the corresponding dithiolate dianion by dimerization. On the other hand, the thiolate anion of hemidithioacetal undergoes deprotonation followed by intramolecular rearrangement leading to the corresponding dithiolate dianion if the deprotonation is possible. In our experiments, the thioaldehyde 2 or 10 underwent one-electron transfer by LDA, on the other hand, the thioaldehyde 15 underwent nucleophilic attack by the thiolate anion 16 simultaneously formed. This difference seems to be caused by the structural difference between these thioaldehydes, but with some uncertainty.

Based-Induced Cleavage of Sulfur-Sulfur Bond

 Table II. ¹H-NMR Spectral and Analytical Data of Some Products Obtained

Compound ^{a)}	Mp (°C) or Bp (°C/mmHg) (lit.)	¹ H-NMR (δ in CDCl ₃)	Found (Calcd) (%)		
			C	H	N
7a	98–100 (99.3–101) ⁷⁾	1.78 (s, 6H), 4.03 (s, 2H), 6.8–7.4 (m, 10H)	69.74 (70.02)	6.55 (6.61)	
7b	Not measured	1.78 (s, 6H), 2.21 (s, 6H), 4.06 (s, 2H), 7.0–7.2 (m, 8H)	71.33 (71.47)	7.36 (7.33)	
7c	Not measured	1.82 (s, 6H), 4.05 (s, 2H), 6.9–7.4 (m, 8H)	44.49 (44.46)	3.60 (3.73)	
7e	Not measured	1.88 (s, 6H), 4.22 (s, 2H), 7.2–8.1 (m, 8H)	52.42 (52.73)	4.27 (4.43)	7.48 (7.69)
13	116/1.0–1.5 (114/1.0) ⁸⁾	2.04 (s, 6H), 3.85 (s, 4H), 7.0–7.4 (m, 4H)	60.56 (60.55)	6.94 (7.11)	
19a	69–71 (71–72) ⁹⁾	2.19 (s, 6H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 6H)	40.18 (40.32)	5.98 (5.92)	
19b	Not measured	1.31 (t, 6H), 2.20 (s, 6H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 4.25 (q, 4H)	44.87 (45.09)	6.80 (6.81)	
19c	Not measured	0.97 (t, 6H), 1.6–1.8 (m, 4H), 2.20 (s, 6H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 4.15 (t, 4H)	48.80 (48.95)	7.66 (7.53)	
19d	Not measured	1.28 (d, 12H), 2.19 (s, 6H), 3.51 (s, 2H), 5.0–5.2 (m, 2H)	48.74 (48.95)	7.53 (7.53)	
19e	Not measured	0.93 (t, 6H), 1.3–1.5 (m, 4H), 1.6–1.7 (m, 4H), 2.19 (s, 6H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, 4H)	51.85 (52.14)	8.08 (8.13)	

a) The compounds in Table II, except 13, are the mixture of stereoisomers.

Danehy and Parameswaran³⁾ researched upon the decomposition of several disulfides in aqueous or nonaqueous solution. They reported that no one mechanistic scheme governs the alkaline cleavage of disulfides. Probably, half or more parts of the starting disulfides used in our experiments should be decomposed by LDA during the course of reaction leading to many compounds of low molecular weight which are soluble or insoluble in water. However, the process proposed by us seems to be the governing one in the reaction of disulfides with base such as LDA although it compete with many other minor processes, none of which is definite.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials. The disulfides 1 were prepared by converting benzyl bromide or its *p*-substituted derivatives into the corresponding thiosulfates followed by treatment with sodium benzenethiolate⁴⁾. 2,3-Benzodithian (9) was prepared by converting 1,2-bis(bromomethyl)benzene into the corresponding Bunte salt followed by treatment with one equivalent of iodine. The disulfides 14 were prepared as follows. Disodium (*S*-carboxymethyl)thiosulfate, prepared from sodium chloroacetate and sodium thiosulfate, was converted by iodine and water into 2,2'-dithiobis(acetic acid). It was allowed to react with appropriate alcohols in the presence of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid^{5,6)}.

Base-Induced Fragmentation of Several Disulfides (1, 9 and 14) Followed by the Trapping with Methyl Iodide. General Procedure: A solution of LDA (or

LTMP) in THF was prepared by the well-known method using diisopropylamine (0.32 ml, 2.3 mmol) [or 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (0.39 ml, 2.3 mmol)] in THF (6 ml) and 1.50 molar solution (1.47 ml, 2.2 mmol) of butyllithium in hexane. Only in Run 2, TMEDA (0.30 g, 2.6 mmol) was added to this solution of LDA in THF. To a stirred, cooled (-78°C) solution of **1**, **9** or **14** (1.0 mmol) in THF (4 ml) was added, under nitrogen, the solution of LDA (or LTMP) in THF above-mentioned. After addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -15°C and the stirring was continued for 1 h at that temperature. The reaction mixture was cooled again to -78°C and methyl iodide (0.47 g, 3.3 mmol) was added with stirring. The stirring was continued for 1 h at $-10\sim-5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and then for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution (20 ml) of ammonium chloride, and then extracted with ether (3×15 ml). The combined ethereal extract was washed with brine (20 ml), dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a residue, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using 95% hexane-ethyl acetate as eluent.

REFERENCES

- (1) H. Ikehira and S. Tanimoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **57**, 1423 (1984).
- (2) R. G. Hiskey and A. J. Dennis, *J. Org. Chem.*, **33**, 563 (1968).
- (3) J. P. Danehy and K. N. Parameswaran, *J. Org. Chem.*, **33**, 568 (1968), and references cited therein.
- (4) H. B. Footner and S. Smiles, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **127**, 2887 (1925).
- (5) G. G. Stoner and G. Dougherty, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **63**, 987 (1941).
- (6) T. C. Owen, J. M. Fayadh, and J. S. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, **38**, 937 (1973).
- (7) K.-H. Geiss, D. Seebach, and S. Seuring, *Chem. Ber.*, **101**, 1833 (1977).
- (8) D. W. Allen, P. N. Braunton, I. T. Millar, and J. C. Tebby, *J. Chem. Soc. (C)*, 3454 (1971).
- (9) M. Gerecke, E. A. H. Friedheim, and A. Brossi, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **44**, 955 (1961).