



Title	Purification and Crystallization of L-Ornithine : - Ketoglutarate -Aminotransferase from Corynebacterium sepedonicum (Commemoration Issue Dedicated to Professor Tatsuo Yamamoto on the Occasion of his Retirement)
Author(s)	Yasuda, Masaaki; Toyama, Seizen; Yonaha, Kazuo; Soda, Kenji
Citation	Bulletin of the Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University (1980), 58(3): 366-370
Issue Date	1980-05-31
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/76895
Right	
Туре	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Purification and Crystallizatin of L-Ornithine: α -Ketoglutarate δ -Aminotransferase from Corynebacterium sepedonicum

Masaaki YASUDA*, Seizen TOYAMA*, Kazuo YONAHA*, and Kenji SODA**

Received March 31, 1980

KEY WORDS: L-Ornithine δ -aminotransferase/ Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate enzyme/ Transamination of L-ornithine/ Corynebacterium sepedonicum/

INTRODUCTION

L-Ornithine δ -aminotransferase (L-ornithine:2-oxo-acid aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.13)) catalyzes the δ -transamination of L-ornithine with α -ketoglutarate to produce L-glutamate and glutamate- γ -semialdehyde, which is spontaneously converted to Δ^{1} -pyrroline-5-carboxylate. The enzyme widely occurs in animals^{1.2}, higher plants^{3.4}) and microorganisms^{5.6}. This enzyme has been purified to homogeneity from rat liver and kidney, and the rat liver enzyme has been studied enzymologically in detail,⁷⁻¹³) but only little attention has been given to the microbial enzyme.

Recently, we purified L-ornithine: α -ketoglutarate δ -aminotransferase of *Bacillus* sphaericus to homogeneity and crytallized it.¹⁴) We here describe the purification and crystallization of the enzyme from *Corynebacterium sepedonicum* and some of its properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate was obtained from Kyowa Hakko Kogyo, Tokyo; DEAEcellulose from Serva, Heidelberg; Sephacryl S-200 from Pharmacia, Uppsala; and polyethylenimine from Nakarai Chemicals, Kyoto. Hydroxyapatite was prepared by the method of Tiselius *et al.*¹⁵⁾

Disc gel electrophoresis was performed by the procedure of Davis.¹⁶)

The enzyme was assayed by determining L-glutamate (Method A) or Δ^{1} pyrroline-5-carboxylate (Method B) produced. *Method A*. L-Glutamate in the supernatant solution was determined with ninhydrin after separation by circular chromatography.¹⁷⁾ *Method B*. To 0.5 ml of the supernatant solution were added 0.1 ml of 0.8 M KOH, 1.0 ml of 0.2 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) and 1.0 ml of 25 mM *o*-aminobenzaldehyde in 10 % ethanol.¹⁸⁾ The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 min to develope a yellow color, and the absorbance was measured at 440 nm with a Hitachi UV-VIS 139 spectrophotometer. The standard

^{*} 安田正昭, 当山清善, 与那覇和雄: Department of Agricultural Chemistry, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-01.

^{**} 左右田健次: Laboratory of Microbial Biochemistry, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611.

L-Orinithine δ -Aminotransferase from Corynebacterium sepedonicum

reaction system consisted of 20 μ mol of L-ornithine, 20 μ mol of potassium α -ketoglutarate, 0.1 μ mol of pyridoxal 5'-phosphate, 100 μ mol of potassium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) and enzyme in a final volume of 1.0 ml. Enzyme was replaced by water in a blank. After the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 20 min, the reaction was terminated by addition of 0.1 ml of 25 % tricbloroacetic acid followed by centrifugation. One unit of enzyme was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the formation of 1 μ mol of L-glutamate per min. Specific activity was expressed as units per mg of protein. Protein was determined by the method of Lowry *et al.*¹⁹) with serum albumin as a standard.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Purification of the enzyme. Corynebacterium sepedonicum IFO 3306 was grown in a medium composed of 0.1 % L-ornithine-HCl, 0.5 % peptone, 0.2 % glycerol, 0.2 % K₂HPO₄, 0.2 % KH₂PO₄, 0.2 % NaCl and 0.05 % yeast extract (pH 7.2). The culture was grown at 30°C 18 h under aeration. The harvested cells were washed twice with 0.85 % NaCl solution. All subsequent operations were at $0-5^{\circ}$ C. The buffers used contained 10^{-5} M pyridoxal 5'-phosphate and 0.01 %2-mercaptoethanol.

Step 1. Enzyme extraction. The washed cells (about 2 Kg, wet weight) were suspended in 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.01 % 2-mercaptoethanol, and subjected to sonication for 20 min in a 19 KHz oscillator followed by centrifugation. The supernatant solution was used as a cell-free extract.

Step 2. Polyethylenimine treatment. To the cell-free extract was added 0.1 ml of 10 % polyethylenimine solution (pH 7.4) per 100 mg of the protein with stirring. After 30 min, the precipitate was removed by centrifugation. The supernatant solution was brought to 80 % saturation with ammonium sulfate. The precipitate was dissolved in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and then dialyzed for 30 h against four changes of 20 volumes of the same buffer. The insoluble materials formed during the dialysis were removed by centrifugation.

Step. 3. First DEAE-cellulose column chromatography. The enzyme solution was placed on a DEAE-cellulose column $(10.1 \times 70 \text{ cm})$ equilibrated with the dialysis buffer. After the column was washed thoroughly with the same buffer and then with the buffer containing 0.15 M NaCl, the enzyme was eluted with the buffer supplemented with 0.20 M NaCl. The active fractions were combined and brought to 80 % saturation with ammonium sulfate. The precipitate was dissolved in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and the enzyme solution was dialyzed against 100 volumes of the same buffer.

Step 4. Second DEAE-cellulose column chromatography. The enzyme solution was subjected to DEAE-cellulose column $(6.1 \times 59 \text{ cm})$ in the same manner as mentioned above. The active fractions were concentrated by ammonium sulfate (80 % saturation) and dissolved in 1 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) followed by dialysis against 100 volumes of the same buffer.

Step 5. Hydroxyapatite column chromatography. The enzyme solution was applied to a

M. YASUDA, S. TOYAMA, K. YONAHA, and K. SODA

hydroxyapatite column $(4.2 \times 22 \text{ cm})$ equilibrated with 1 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). After the column was washed with 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), the enzyme was eluted with 40 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The active fractions were collected and concentrated by ammonium sulfate (80 % saturation). The precipitate was dissolved in a small volume of 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.5) containing 0.1 M NaCl and dialyzed against the same buffer.

Step 6. DEAE-Sephadex A-50 column chromatography. The enzyme solution was chromatographed on a DEAE-Sephadex A-50 column $(1.2 \times 8.4 \text{ cm})$ equilibrated with the dialysis buffer. After application of the enzyme and washing of the column with 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.5) containing 0.10 M NaCl, the enzyme was eluted with the buffer supplemented with 0.12 M NaCl. The active fractions were concentrated by ultrafiltration and then by addition of ammonium sulfate (80 % saturation). The precipitate was dissolved in a small volume of 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4).

Step 7. Sephacryl S-200 column chromatography. The enzyme was applied to a Sephacryl S-200 column $(1.5 \times 120 \text{ cm})$ equilibrated with 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and eluted with the same buffer. The active fractions were concentrated by addition of ammonium sulfate (80 % saturation) and dissolved in a small volume of 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Approximately 170-fold purification was achieved with an over-all yield about 10 %. A protocol of the purification is presented in a Table I.

Step		Total protein (mg)	Total units	Specific activity	Yield (%)
1.	Crude extract	130,000	39,000	0.30	100
2.	Polyethylenimine	79,300	33,300	0.42	85.4
3.	First DEAE-cellulose	17,000	24,400	1.44	62.6
4.	Second DEAE-cellulose	1,950	15,600	8.00	40.0
5.	Hydroxyapatite	250	11,700	46.8	30.0
6.	DEAE-Sephadex A-50	120	6,100	50.8	15.6
7.	Sephacryl S-200	74.7	3,900	52.2	10.0

Table I. Purification of L-ornithine: α -ketoglutarate δ -aminotransferase





Fig. 1. Crystals of L-ornithine: α -ketoglutarate δ -aminotransferase of Corynebacterium sepedonicum.

Cystallization. Ammonium sulfate was added gradually to the enzyme solution until the solution became slightly turbid. The pH of the solution was kept constant at 7.2-7.4 with 14 % NH₄OH solution. On standing for a few days crystals formed. The crystals took the form of small needles (Fig. 1).

Properties of the enzyme. The purified enzyme was shown to be homogeneous by the criteria of disc gel electrophoresis (Fig 2). The molecular weight of the enzyme was determined to be approximately 81,000 by the gel filtration method of Andrews.²⁰⁾ The value is closely similar to the that of the *Bacillus sphaericus* enzyme,¹⁴⁾ but is smaller than a half of that of the rat liver enzyme (mol. weight; $180,000)^{12}$). The enzyme shows absorption maxima at 278, 340 and 420 nm with an absorption ratio of 100:20:5 (Fig. 3). No appreciable spectral shifts occurred on varying the pH (5.0–9.0).



- Fig. 2. Disc gel electrophoresis of L-ornithine: α -ketoglutarate δ -aminotransferase. The crystalline enzyme preparation (50 μ g) was electrophoresed under the conditions of Davis.¹⁶
- Fig. 3. Absorption spectrum of L-ornithine: α -ketoglutarate δ -aminotransferase. Absorption spectrum of the enzyme was measured in 0.02 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4).

The aminotransferase catalyzes the transfer of δ -amino group of L-ornithine to α -ketoglutarate. None of D-ornithine, L-lysine, D-lysine, L-arginine, L-citrulline, glycine, L-alanine, L-aspartate, L- α -aminobutyrate, γ -aminobutyrate, β -alanine, taurine, putrescine and butylamine were the substrates. When L-ornithine was used as an amino donor, pyruvate, glyoxylate and oxaloacetate serve as very poor amino acceptors. α -Ketobutyrate, α -ketovalerate and β -phenylpyruvate were not the substrates. As reported previously,¹⁴ the enzyme of *Bacillus sphaericus* also catalyzes the transamination between L-ornithine and α -ketoglutarate.

The enzyme has the maximum reactivity at about pH 8.0 and 45°C for the Lornithine: α -ketoglutarate δ -transamination. The thermal stability of the enzyme was examined in the presence and absence of pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (Fig. 4). The enzyme was stable up to 65°C and inactivated beyond 70°C. The activity was increased to some extent by incubation with pyridoxal 5'-phosphate protected the M. YASUDA, S. TOYAMA, K. YONAHA, and K. SODA




Fig. 4. Effect of temperature on the enzyme stability. The enzyme preparation was heated at the indicated temperature for 5 min (pH 8.0). The enzyme activity was assayed method B in the presence (-●-) or absence (-○-) of pyridoxal 5'-phosphate.

enzyme from thermal inactivation at a temperature above 80°C. The K_m values were calculated to be 1.8 mM for L-ornithine and 2.8 mM for a-ketoglutarate according to the method of Velick and Vavra.²¹ These values are closely similar to those of *Bacillus sphaericus* enzyme¹⁴ and mammalian enzyme.¹²

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. T. Yamamoto and Dr. K. Miyasato for their helpful advice.

REFERENCES

- (1) J.H. Quastel and R. Witty, Nature, 167, 556-556 (1951).
- (2) A. Meister, J. Biol. Chem., 206, 587-596 (1954).
- (3) A.S. Seneviratne and L. Fowden, Phytochem., 7, 1047-1056 (1968).
- (4) W.E. Splittstoesser and L. Fowden, Phytochem., 12, 785-790 (1973).
- (5) J.R.S. Fincham, Biochem. J., 53, 313-320 (1953).
- (6) W.I. Scher, Jr. and H.J. Vogel, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 43, 796-803 (1957).
- (7) C. Peraino and C. Pitot, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 73, 222-231 (1963).
- (8) N. Katunuma, Y. Matsuda, and I. Tomino, J. Biochem., 56, 499-503 (1964).
- (9) H.J. Strecker, J. Biol. Chem., 240, 1225-1230 (1965).
- (10) Y. Sanada, I. Suemori, and N. Katunuma, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 220, 42-50 (1970).
- (11) C.C. Kalita, J.D. Kerman, and H.J. Strecker, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 429, 780-797 (1976).
- (12) Y. Sanada, T. Shiotani, E. Okuno, and N. Katunuma, Eur. J. Biochem., 69, 507-515 (1976).
- (13) K. Kobayashi, K. Kito, and N. Katunuma, J. Biochem., 79, 787-793 (1976).
- (14) M. Yasuda, H. Misono, K. Soda, K. Yonaha, and S. Toyama, FEBS Lett., 105, 209-212 (1979).
- (15) A. Tiselius, S. Hjertèn, and Ö. Levin, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 65, 132-155 (1956).
- (16) B.J. Davis, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 121, 404-427 (1964).
- (17) K. Soda, T. Tochikura, and H. Katagiri, Agric. Biol. Chem., 25, 811-819 (1961).
- (18) K. Yonaha, S. Toyama, M. Yasuda, and K. Soda, FEBS Lett., 71, 21-24 (1976).
- (19) O.H. Lowry, N.J. Rosebrough, A.L. Farr, and R.J. Randall, J. Biol. Chem., 193, 265-275 (1951).
- (20) P. Andrews, Biochem. J., 91, 222–233 (1964).
- (21) S.F. Velick and J. Vavra, J. Biol. Chem., 237, 2109-2122 (1962).