led by Kyoto Uni

Kyoto University Research Info	rmation Repository KYOTO UNIVERSITY
Title	Stopping Powers of AI, Ni, Cu, Ag, and Ta for 8.78 MeV Alpha Particles
Author(s)	Ishiwari, Ryutaro; Shiomi, Naoko; Kinoshita, Tamiko; Yasue, Fumiko
Citation	Bulletin of the Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University (1977), 55(1): 68-73
Issue Date	1977-03-31
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/76711
Right	
Туре	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Bull. Inst. Chem. Res., Kyoto Univ., Vol. 55, No. 1, 1977

Stopping Powers of Al, Ni, Cu, Ag, and Ta for 8.78 MeV Alpha Particles

Ryutaro Ishiwari, Naoko Shiomi, Tamiko Kinoshita, and Fumiko Yasue*

Received January 31, 1977

The stopping powers of Al, Ni, Cu, Ag, and Ta have been measured by using the absorber wheel technique and a silicon detector. In order to examine the possible nonuniformity of the sample foil, the alpha particle beam was made scan in two directions, *i.e.* parallel and perpendicular to the rolling direction of the foil. The agreement between the measurements of two directions was proved to be satisfactory. The present results were devided by 4 and reduced to 2.0 MeV and compared with the proton data from the table of Bichsel. It turned out that the data for alpha particles are by $1.4 \sim 3.4$ percent higher than those for protons.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a previous work,¹⁾ in which the possible effect of the geometrical condition on stopping power measurements was investigated, the energy losses of 8.78 MeV alpha particles from Po²¹² in several metallic elements have been measured. In this experiment, in order to spare the time of experiment two foils with slightly different thicknesses were used for each sample element. Therefore, the resultant stopping power obtained in the previous experiment might contain the systematic error arising from the difference of the thicknesses of two foils used as the samples.

The present paper reports the new measurements of the stopping powers of Al, Ni, Cu, Ag, and Ta for 8.78 MeV alpha particles from Po²¹² with more careful precautions.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The experimental procedure is quite the same as described in the previous paper.¹⁾ The absorber wheel technique was used to measure the energy loss of alpha particles in the sample foils. The sample foil was fitted to one of the two windows of the absorber wheel and the other window was left empty. This wheel was rotated in front of the silicon detector. Thus, the pulse heights with and without the absorber foil were measured simultaneously in one exposure. The so-called poor geometry was employed in the present measurements (see Fig. 2 of ref. 1). The pulse height spectrum was calibrated with a precision pulser. The energy of Po²¹² alpha particles was taken as 8.78437 ± 0.00007 MeV.²⁾ The ionization defect of the silicon detector for 8 MeV alpha particles was taken as 13 keV from the work of Lindhard *et al.*³⁾

In our absorber wheel technique, the alpha particle beam does not scan all over the area of the sample foil but it scans only $1.5 \times 20 \text{ mm}^2$ area across the sample foil

^{*} 石割隆太郎, 塩見直子, 木下民子, 安江富美子: Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Nara Women's University, Nara.

Stopping Powers for 8.78 MeV Alpha Particles

when the absorber wheel rotates. In order to examine the possible systematic error due to the nonuniformity of the sample foil, the alpha particle beam was made scan the sample foil in two ways, *i.e.* parallel and perpendicular to the rolling direction of the foil.

The measurements were made five times for each direction and the average values were obtained.

Thickness, purity, and supplier of each smaple foil are as follows: Aluminium

Thickness: $2.6742 \pm 0.0040 \text{ mg/cm}^2$. Stated purity: 99.8 percent. Supplier: Toyo Aluminium Co., Ltd.

Nickel

Thickness: $3.3774 \pm 0.0051 \text{ mg/cm}^2$. Stated purity: 99.9 percent. Supplier: Fukuda Metal Foil and Powder MFG Co., Ltd. Copper

Thickness: $3.6871 \pm 0.0055 \text{ mg/cm}^2$. Stated purity: 99.9 percent. Supplier: Fukuda Metal Foil and Powder MFG Co., Ltd. Silver

Thickness: $4.3987 \pm 0.0066 \text{ mg/cm}^2$. Stated purity: 99.9 percent. Supplier: Fukuda Metal Foil and Powder MFG Co., Ltd. Tantalum

Thickness: 7.2907±0.0109 mg/cm². Stated purity: 99.99 percent. Supplier: Fukuda Metal Foil and Powder MFG Co., Ltd.

III. RESULTS

A typical pulse height spectrum is shown in Fig. 1. It was very difficult to remove completely the low energy tail of the peaks. For the peak without the absorber the difference between the mode and the mean was from 0.090 to 0.113 percent of the integral pulse height. These values are not so bad as compared





(69)

R. ISHIWARI, N. SHIOMI, T. KINOSHITA, and F. YASUE

with the value 0.07 percent quoted by Hanke and Bichsel.4)

As in the previous work,¹⁾ the peak position was determined after subtracting the background for each peak. The Background subtraction was made in such a way that the integrated number of counts for the peak with the absorber became equal to that for the peak without the absorber within the limit of the statistical fluctuation.

The actual path lengths of alpha particles in the sample foils were corrected for the multiple scattering using the elementary theory of multiple scattering.⁵ The correction was taken as $\langle \Theta^2 \rangle / 4$, where $\langle \Theta^2 \rangle$ is the mean square emergence angle of alpha particles from the foil.

Table I lists the results. The symbol ΔE denotes the energy loss of alpha particles in the sample foil, Δt denotes the actual path length of alpha particles in the foil, $\Delta E/\Delta t$ corresponds to the stopping power, -dE/dx, at the average energy defined as

$$\overline{E} = E_0 - \Delta E/2$$

where E_0 is the incident alpha energy.

The symbol \overline{E}_p denotes the proton energy which has the same velocity as an alpha particle of energy \overline{E} . The value of $\Delta E/\Delta t$ was devided by 4 and reduced to the proton energy of 2 MeV. The reduction was made by multiplying $(\ln v^2/v^2)_{2.0}/(\ln v^2/v^2)$.

In Table II, the stopping power values measured with parallel and perpendicular scanning directions are compared. The measurements of two directions agree well with each other except for Al. In the case of Al, the difference are statistically significant. However, if we take the average value of the two values, it becomes 113.65 ± 0.39 and the fractional standard error is only 0.34 percent. In the fourth row, the average values of parallel and perpendicular measurements are shown. The error attached to the average value is the propagation error except the case of Al.

In the fifth row the previous results¹⁾ are shown. The agreements between previous and new data are satisfactory.

In Table III, the present results are compared with Bichsel's table.⁶ The present results are higher than Bichsel's value by $1.4 \sim 3.4$ percent. The stopping power values given by Bichsel for 2.0 MeV protons are the extrapolations of the data of Andersen *et al.*^{7~10} Therefore, the apparent face of Table III nearly agrees with Table I in the paper of Andersen *et al.*¹¹ which compares the stopping powers for protons of 2.0 MeV with those for alpha particles of the same velocity. This fact indicates that the present results accord well with the data obtained by Confort *et al.*¹² for Po²¹² alpha particles.

However, there is a fact that the data of Andersen *et al.*^{7~10)} for 7.0 MeV protons are higher by $1.5\sim3$ percent than our previous proton data.^{13,14)}

Although our recent study¹⁵) of stopping powers of various elements for 6.75 MeV protons shows evidences that our previous values for 7 MeV protons^{13,14}) might be some 1 percent too low, there remains yet a question that the data of Ander-

Element	Direction	<i>∆E</i> (keV)	$\Delta t \ (mg/cm^2)$	$1/4 \times (\Delta E/\Delta S)$ (keV/mg cm ⁻²)	\overline{E}_{p} (MeV)	$1/4 \times (\Delta E/\Delta S)_{2.0}$ (keV/mg cm ⁻²)
	Parallel	1185.79		110.84	2.05908	114.03
		± 1.73		± 0.23		± 0.24
Al			2.6744			
			± 0.0040			
	Perpendicular	1177.15		110.04		113.26
		± 1.51		± 0.22	2.06022	± 0.22
	Parallel	1147.22		84.90	2.06436	87.56
		± 2.35		± 0.22		± 0.22
Ni			3.3780			
			± 0.0051			
	Perpendicular	1149.98		85.11		87.75
	-	± 2.03		± 0.20	2.06391	± 0.20
	Parallel	1185.95		80.40	2.05998	82.74
		± 2.10		± 0.19		± 0.19
Cu			3.6879			
			± 0.0055			
	Perpendicular	1188.39		80.56	2.05802	82.84
		± 3.59		± 0.27		± 0.28
	Parallel	1125.91		63.97	2.06715	66.06
		± 1.78		± 0.14		± 0.15
Ag			4.4003			
			± 0.0066			
	Perpendicular	1123.81		63.85	2.06741	65.94
		± 2.12		± 0.15		±0.16
	Parallel	1461.02		50.06	2.02525	50.67
		± 4.17		± 0.16		± 0.16
Та			7.2967			
	Perpendicular	1454 91		49.82	2.02590	50.45
	ponuloulai	+2.30		+0.11	1101000	+0.11
		14.00		T		

Table I. Results

 Table II.
 Comparison of Stopping Powers Measured with Parallel and Perpendicular Scanning Directions

Dimention		Stopping Powers (keV/mg cm ⁻²)			
Direction	Al	Ni	Cu	Ag	Ta
Parallel	114.03	87.56	82.74	66.06	50.67
	± 0.24	± 0.22	± 0.19	± 0.15	± 0.16
Perpendicular	113.26	87.75	82.84	65.94	50.45
	± 0.22	± 0.20	± 0.28	± 0.16	± 0.11
difference	0.77	0.19	0.10	0.12	0.22
•	± 0.33	± 0.30	± 0.39	± 0.22	± 0.19
Average value	113.65	87.66	82.79	66.00	50.56
	± 0.39	± 0.15	± 0.17	± 0.11	± 0.10
Previous value	112.93		82.98	66.19	50.82
	± 0.20		± 0.51	± 0.32	± 0.38

R. ISHIWARI, N. SHIOMI, T. KINOSHITA, and F. YASUE

	Al	Ni	Cu	Ag	Ta
Present results	113.65	87.66	82.79	66.00	50.56
Bichsel	110.67	86.45	81.09	63.74	49.27
Difference (%)	+2.62	+1.38	+2.05	+3.42	+2.55

Table III. Comparison of Present Results with Bichsels Table (keV/mgcm⁻²)

sen et al. for protons might be still some 1 percent too high.

At any rate, the fact that the stopping power for alpha particles devided by 4 is surely higher than those for protons of the same velocity has been confirmed by the present experiment.

We want to withhold the further discussion of Z_1^3 effect and the comparison of the experimental data with theories^{16~19} until the stopping power data for protons are certainly established.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Professor T. Yanabu and the members of the Cyclotron Laboratory of Kyoto University for the use of the scattering chamber for the present experiment. One of the authors (R.I.) expresses his heartfelt gratitude to the late Professor Yoshiaki Uemura for the continuous encouragement and support to our stopping power works.

REFERENCES

- (1) R. Ishiwari, N. Shiomi, T. Katayama-Kinoshita, and F. Sawada-Yasue, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **39** 557 (1975).
- (2) A. Ritz, B. Grenberg, and D. J. Gorman, "Atomic Masses and Fundamental Constants, Vol. 4 ed, J. H. Sanders, and A. H. Wapstra, Plenum Pub., New York (1972) p. 1.
- (3) J. Lindhard, V. Nielsen, M. Scharf, and P. V. Thomsen, K. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. mat.-fys. Medd., 33 (1963) No. 10.
- (4) C. C. Hanke and H. Bichsel, K. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. mat. fys. Medd., 38 (1970) No. 3.
- (5) H. A. Bethe and J. Ashkin, "Experimental Nuclear Physics," Vol. 1 ed, E. Segrè, Wiley, New York (1953) p. 166.
- (6) H. Bichsel, "American Institute of Physics Handbook," McGraw-Hill, New York (1972) 3rd ed, 8-142.
- (7) H. H. Andersen, C. C. Hanke, H. Sørensen, and P. Vajda, Phys. Rev., 153 338 (1967).
- (8) H. H. Andersen, C. C. Hanke, H. Simonsen, H. Sørensen, and P. Vajda, *Phys. Rev.*, **175** 389 (1968).
- (9) H. H. Andersen, H. Simonsen, H. Sørensen, and P. Vajda, Phys. Rev., 186 372 (1969).
- (10) H. Sørensen and H. H. Andersen, Phys Rev., B8 1854 (1973).
- (11) H. H. Andersen, H. Simonsen, and H. Sørensen, Nuclear Phys., A125 171 (1969).
- (12) J. R. Comfort, J. F. Decker, E. T. Lynk, M. O. Scully, and A. R. Quinton, *Phys. Rev.*, **150** 249 (1966).
- (13) R. Ishiwari, N. Shiomi, S. Shirai, T. Ohata, and Y. Uemura, Bull. Inst. Chem. Res., Kyoto Univ., 49 390 (1971).
- (14) R. Ishiwari, N. Shiomi, S. Shirai, and the late Yoshiaki Uemura, Bull. Inst. Chem. Res., Kyoto Univ., 52 19 (1974).
- (15) Our new experiment. To be soon published elsewhere.

Stopping Powers for 8.78 MeV Alpha Particles

- (16) J. C. Ashley, R. H. Ritchie, and W. Brandt, Phys. Rev., B5 2393 (1972).
- (17) J. D. Jackson and R. L. McCarthy, Phys. Rev., B6 4131 (1972).
- (18) J. C. Ashley, R. H. Ritchie, and W. Brandt, Phys. Rev., A8 2402 (1973).
- (19) J. C. Ashley, Phys. Rev., **B9** 334 (1974).