



Title	Paper II Studies on the Properties and Size of the Radioactive Ashes Obtained from the No. 5 Fukuryu Maru (The Radioactive Dust from the Nuclear Detonation)
Author(s)	Kikuchi, Takehiko; Wakisaka, Gyoichi; Akagi, Hiroaki; Goto, Hiroshi
Citation	Bulletin of the Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University (1954), 32(s): 4-11
Issue Date	1954-11
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/75489
Right	
Туре	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

PAPER II

Studies on the Properties and Size of the Radioactive Ashes Obtained from the No. 5 Fukuryu Maru

Takehiko KIKUCHI, Gyoichi WAKISAKA, Hiroaki AKAGI, and Hiroshi Goto (The Second Medical Clinic, Faculty of Medicine, Kyoto University)

INTRODUCTION

In consequence of the hydrogen bomb test at Bikini Atoll on March 1st, 1954, some Japanese fishermen, who were working on board the No. 5 Fukuryu Maru about 90 miles from Bikini have suffered from radiation sickness due to the radioactive ashes, which had happened to fall on the boat. Since a small amount of the radioactive ashes in a relatively pure state obtained from the No. 5 Fukuryu Maru was given to us by the courtesy of Prof. T.Shiokawa, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, and Dr. T. Maekawa, Chief of Sanitation Division of Shizuoka Prefecture, the properties of the ashes, especially the size of the particles and its relation to the radioactivity have been investigated in our laboratory.

MATERIALS

The radioactive ashes used for our investigation were collected by one of the crew of the No. 5 Fukuryu Maru and preserved in a lead container at Shizuoka University. The ashes were wrapped up by a sheet of white paper and seemed to be in a relatively pure state. A small amount of the ashes was transferred into a glass tube and brought to our laboratory.

METHODS

The size of the ashes was measured one by one under the microscope with an ocular micrometer, which had been calibrated by the ruling of a counting chamber. Both the ocular micrometer and counting chamber employed were ordinary ones made by Carl Zeiss for counting purposes of blood corpuscles. The maximum and minimum diameters of each particle were measured and the size of the particle was expressed by the mean value of the two diameters. The ratio of the minimum diameter to the maximum diameter was also calculated. An end-window type Geiger-Mueller counter with a thin mica window (3 mg./cm².) and a "100" scaler were used for the measurement of the radioactivity of the ashes. The intensity of the radioactivity was compared with that of a known radioactive isotope.

(4)

Studies on the Properties and Size of the Radioactive Ashes

RESULTS

1) General aspect of the ashes (Figs. $3 \sim 5$).

The ashes, when observed in a glass tube, consisted of small dry particles, which looked like white sands rather than ashes. According to the crew of the No. 5 Fukuryu Maru the ashes fell down on the deck with a faint noise. The ashes, when taken on a glass slide and observed under the microscope, consisted of dark particles, and minute irregularities were seen on their surface. When observed by side illumination, the particles appeared white, and there were several points, from which the reflection was particularly intense. Although there was some unevenness on the surface of the particles, they looked smooth as a whole and looked like semi-transparent glass. By closer observation several black spot-like grains 2 to 3 μ in size were seen on the surface of the particles. The number of black grains on one particle ranged from 2 to 4. When the white particles were placed on a glass slide and pricked by a needle, they were easily broken and revealed coarse cut surfaces.

2) Size of the particle.

The maximum and minimum diameters of 200 particles are shown in Table 1. The distribution of the mean diameter is shown in Table 2 and Fig. 1. The mean diameter ranged mostly from 100 to 400 μ , averaging 257 μ , although there were a few particles with a mean diameter less than 100 μ or larger than 400 μ . The ratio of the minimum diameter to the maximum diameter ranged from 1.0 to 0.3, averaging 0.74 (Table 3 and Fig. 2).

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Minimum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Maximum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	Ratio	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Mean} \\ {\rm diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	Minimum diameter µ	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Maximum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	Ratio	Mean diameter μ
290	364	0.80	327	190	260	0.73	225
230	240	0.96	235	24	16	0.67	20
280	340	0.82	310	300	390	0.77	345
162	252	0.64	207	202	260	0.78	231
280	340	0.82	310	220	242	0.91	231
220	230	0.93	225	40	60	0.67	50
320	338	0.95	329	410	420	0.98	415
300	390	0.77	345	210	220	0.95	215
210	300	0.70	255	370	442	0.84	406
120	240	0.50	180	252	370	0.68	311
24	28	0.86	26	310	340	0.91	325
10	20	0.50	15	270	356	0.76	313
230	300	0.77	265	300	340	0.88	320
330	400	0.83	365	270	330	0.82	300
182	240	0.76	211	200	400	0.50	300
260	280	0.93	270	296	402	0.73	349
210	290	0.72	250	340	340	1.00	340
280	520	0.54	400	310	400	0.78	355
230	260	0.88	245	280	300	0,93	290
164	200	0.82	182	230	350	0,66	290
340	420	0.81	380	140	150	0.93	145
340	362	0.94	351	284	350	0.81	317
240	300	0.80	270	320	420	0.76	370
292	302	0.97	297	320	400	0.80	360
172	340	0.51	256	300	310	0.97	305

Table 1 (a). Size of the particle (1)

human and all and a state of the							
$\substack{ \substack{ \text{Minimum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu } }$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Maximum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	Ratio	$\begin{array}{c c} Mean\\ diameter\\ \mu \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Minimum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} Maximum \\ diameter \\ \mu \end{array}$	Ratio	$\substack{ \text{Mean} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu }$
$\begin{array}{c} 126\\ 280\\ 270\\ 30\\ 272\\ 290\\ 320\\ 210\\ 220\\ 200\\ 360\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 220\\ 220\\ 22$	$\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 340\\ 344\\ 122\\ 350\\ 362\\ 350\\ 220\\ 340\\ 330\\ 420\\ 280\\ 340\\ 350\\ 400\\ 340\\ 350\\ 340\\ 350\\ 390\\ 240\\ 350\\ 320\\ 420\\ 260\\ 240\\ 260\\ 260\\ 240\\ 260\\ 260\\ 260\\ 260\\ 260\\ 260\\ 260\\ 26$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.63\\ 0.82\\ 0.78\\ 0.25\\ 0.78\\ 0.80\\ 0.90\\ 0.95\\ 0.65\\ 0.61\\ 0.86\\ 0.82\\ 0.79\\ 0.89\\ 0.61\\ 0.88\\ 0.88\\ 0.64\\ 0.82\\ 0.92\\ 0.63\\ 0.81\\ 0.86\\ 0.88\\ 0.88\\ 0.88\\ 0.88\\ 0.88\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 163\\ 310\\ 307\\ 76\\ 311\\ 326\\ 335\\ 215\\ 280\\ 265\\ 390\\ 255\\ 300\\ 255\\ 300\\ 340\\ 320\\ 295\\ 355\\ 230\\ 285\\ 230\\ 285\\ 290\\ 390\\ 245\\ 225\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 210\\ 200\\ 200\\ 290\\ 260\\ 160\\ 220\\ 150\\ 280\\ 180\\ 200\\ 150\\ 170\\ 120\\ 90\\ 200\\ 160\\ 170\\ 140\\ 200\\ 110\\ 140\\ 240\\ 170\\ 170\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 360\\ 290\\ 290\\ 450\\ 370\\ 180\\ 370\\ 210\\ 370\\ 250\\ 270\\ 390\\ 270\\ 270\\ 140\\ 210\\ 270\\ 370\\ 360\\ 210\\ 170\\ 360\\ 210\\ 170\\ 340\\ 340\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.58\\ 0.69\\ 0.76\\ 0.64\\ 0.70\\ 0.89\\ 0.59\\ 0.71\\ 0.76\\ 0.72\\ 0.74\\ 0.38\\ 0.63\\ 0.44\\ 0.95\\ 0.59\\ 0.46\\ 0.82\\ 0.56\\ 0.52\\ 0.82\\ 0.52\\ 0.82\\ 0.50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 285\\ 245\\ 255\\ 370\\ 315\\ 170\\ 295\\ 180\\ 320\\ 215\\ 235\\ 270\\ 220\\ 195\\ 115\\ 205\\ 215\\ 270\\ 155\\ 280\\ 160\\ 155\\ 280\\ 160\\ 155\\ 255\end{array}$
160	380	0.42	270	150	200	0.75	175

Table 1 (b). Size of the particle (2)

Table 1 (c). Size of the particle (3)

$\substack{ \substack{ \text{Minimum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu } }$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Maximum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	Ratio	Mean diameter ^µ	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Minimum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Maximum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	Ratio	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Mean} \\ {\rm diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$
320 240 300 262 220 160 280 282 252 240 380 150 220 230 230 220 230 220 210 260 160 200 170	$\begin{array}{c} 340\\ 340\\ 340\\ 380\\ 300\\ 340\\ 180\\ 340\\ 340\\ 380\\ 460\\ 360\\ 400\\ 210\\ 270\\ 400\\ 300\\ 650\\ 480\\ 230\\ 340\\ 400\\ 300\\ 460\\ 300\\ 460\\ 300\\ 461\\ 300\\ 461\\ 300\\ 461\\ 300\\ 461\\ 300\\ 461\\ 300\\ 300\\ 461\\ 300\\ 300\\ 300\\ 300\\ 300\\ 300\\ 300\\ 30$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.94\\ 0.71\\ 0.79\\ 0.87\\ 0.65\\ 0.89\\ 0.82\\ 0.74\\ 0.55\\ 0.67\\ 0.95\\ 0.71\\ 0.81\\ 0.58\\ 0.73\\ 0.35\\ 0.46\\ 0.91\\ 0.76\\ 0.40\\ 0.67\\ 0.37\\ \end{array}$	* 330 290 340 281 280 170 310 331 356 300 370 180 245 315 260 440 350 220 300 280 280 250 315 315 260 440 350 220 300 300 370 350 370 350 370 350 370 370 370 370 370 370 370 37	$\begin{array}{c} 150\\ 260\\ 290\\ 210\\ 280\\ 190\\ 190\\ 190\\ 240\\ 300\\ 190\\ 260\\ 250\\ 220\\ 50\\ 220\\ 50\\ 220\\ 180\\ 190\\ 180\\ 140\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 23$	$\begin{array}{c} 230\\ 390\\ 320\\ 520\\ 330\\ 370\\ 220\\ 360\\ 260\\ 330\\ 290\\ 290\\ 290\\ 270\\ 290\\ 290\\ 270\\ 290\\ 240\\ 330\\ 350\\ 410\\ 220\\ 340\\ 210\\ 260\\ 260\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.65\\ 0.67\\ 0.91\\ 0.40\\ 0.85\\ 0.51\\ 0.86\\ 0.53\\ 0.92\\ 0.91\\ 1.00\\ 0.92\\ 0.91\\ 1.00\\ 0.93\\ 0.76\\ 0.85\\ 0.57\\ 0.44\\ 0.86\\ 0.53\\ 0.67\\ 0.88\\ 0.67\\ 0.88\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 190\\ 325\\ 305\\ 365\\ 305\\ 280\\ 205\\ 275\\ 250\\ 315\\ 190\\ 275\\ 260\\ 255\\ 95\\ 305\\ 275\\ 295\\ 205\\ 260\\ 175\\ 245\\ \end{array}$
170 320	252 420	0.67 0.76	213 211 370	140 110 150	200 190	0.52 0.55 0.79	205 155 170

(6)

$\substack{ \substack{ \text{Minimum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu } }$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Maximum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	Ratio	Mean diameter μ	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Minimum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Maximum} \\ \text{diameter} \\ \mu \end{array}$	Ratio	Mean diameter µ
90 180 250 220 240 180 200 190 160 220 150 200 240 270 150 260 330 20 300 300	$\begin{array}{c} 210\\ 220\\ 380\\ 240\\ 290\\ 290\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 220\\ 170\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.43\\ 0.82\\ 0.66\\ 0.92\\ 0.83\\ 0.62\\ 0.87\\ 0.83\\ 0.55\\ 1.00\\ 0.88\\ 1.00\\ 0.71\\ 0.74\\ 0.75\\ 0.68\\ 0.96\\ 0.87\\ 0.83\\ 0.99\\ 0.94 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 150\\ 200\\ 315\\ 230\\ 265\\ 235\\ 215\\ 210\\ 225\\ 220\\ 160\\ 200\\ 290\\ 235\\ 175\\ 185\\ 265\\ 355\\ 222\\ 302\\ 310\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & 160 \\ & 160 \\ & 200 \\ & 140 \\ & 250 \\ & 170 \\ & 130 \\ & 110 \\ & 170 \\ & 130 \\ & 140 \\ & 190 \\ & 130 \\ & 140 \\ & 190 \\ & 130 \\ & 140 \\ & 240 \\ & 200 \\ & 230 \\ & 300 \\ & 120 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7^{2} \\ 160 \\ 180 \\ 230 \\ 310 \\ 260 \\ 230 \\ 140 \\ 110 \\ 200 \\ 320 \\ 180 \\ 174 \\ 430 \\ 290 \\ 190 \\ 174 \\ 430 \\ 290 \\ 190 \\ 170 \\ 360 \\ 240 \\ 250 \\ 340 \\ 250 \\ 340 \\ 270 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.89\\ 0.87\\ 0.45\\ 0.96\\ 0.74\\ 0.93\\ 1.00\\ 0.85\\ 0.97\\ 0.89\\ 0.75\\ 0.33\\ 0.66\\ 0.68\\ 0.82\\ 0.67\\ 0.83\\ 0.92\\ 0.83\\ 0.92\\ 0.85\\ 0.85\\ 0.92\\ 0.85\\ 0.85\\ 0.92\\ 0.85$	$\begin{array}{c} \mu \\ 160 \\ 170 \\ 215 \\ 225 \\ 255 \\ 200 \\ 135 \\ 110 \\ 185 \\ 315 \\ 170 \\ 152 \\ 285 \\ 240 \\ 160 \\ 155 \\ 300 \\ 220 \\ 240 \\ 320 \\ 240 \\ 320 \\ 320 \end{array}$
140 230 190 90	424 310 250 220	$\begin{array}{c} 0.34 \\ 0.33 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.76 \\ 0.41 \end{array}$	282 270 220 155	280 140 190 210	500 290 240 210	$\begin{array}{c} 0.55 \\ 0.56 \\ 0.48 \\ 0.79 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$	$250 \\ 390 \\ 215 \\ 215 \\ 210 $

Table 1 (d). Size of the particle (4)

Table 2. Distribution of the mean diameter.of the particle

Mean diameter μ	%	Mean diameter μ	%
0- 19	0.5	240-259	9.0
20- 39	1.5	260-279	8.5
40- 59	0.5	280-299	9.5
60- 79	0.5	300-319	14.0
80- 99	0.5	320-339	6.5
100-119	1.0	340-359	6.0
120-139	0.5	360-379	3.0
140-159	4.0	380-399	2.5
160-179	6.5	400-419	1.5
180-199	4.5	420439	0.0
200-219	10.0	440-459	0.5
220-239	9.0	460-	0.0

Table 3. Distribution of the ratio of the minimum diameter to the maximum diameter of the particle

Ratio	%
1.00-0.91	19.5
0.90-0.81	24.0
0.80-0.71	21.0
0.70-0.61	15.5
0,60-0.51	8.5
0.50-0.41	6.5
0.40-0.31	4.5
0.30-0.21	0.5
0.20-0.11	0.0
0.10-0.00	0.0

Average of the mean diameter 257 $\,\mu$

Average ratio 0.74



3) Radioactivity.

Each particle was placed on a glass slide, and after the measurement of the size the radioactivity was measured by the Geiger-Mueller counter (Table 4).

	wiay 10ti.	, 10047	
Size µ	c.p.m.	Size µ	c.p.m.
240×380	688	3× 6	15
320×360	215	120 imes 130	39
260 imes 320	1334	$20\! imes\!400$	362
340×440	53	290×300	84
$300\! imes\!400$	140	310 imes 329	109
$320\! imes\!480$	404	$250\! imes\!320$	136
$360\! imes\!400$	38	200×230	61
200×250	14	250×320	103
7 imes 15	109	200×230	63
$egin{array}{ccc} 10 imes & 11\ 2 imes & 3 \end{array} ight\}$	115	100×140	30

Table 4.	Radio	activit	y of	each	particle
	(May	16th,	1954)	

Distance between the sample and G-M counter : 2 mm.

Mica window : 3mg./cm².

Co⁵⁰ 0.2 µc. : 17500 c¹.p.m.

Table 5. Radioactivity of the ashes (May 5th, 1954)

2	Sam	ple	Counts per minute
Ashes	1.0	mg,	4218
Co ⁶⁰	0.4	μ c. (1)	7359
11	"	(2)	7590

Background : 20 c.p.m.

Distance between the sample and G-M counter : 3.5 cm.

Studies on the Properties and Size of the Radioactive Ashes

There was no direct correlation between the size of the particle and its radioactivity. Some of the particles showed very weak radioactivity at the time of our investigation (May 16 th, 1954, 77 days after the fall). It seemed that the particles with black grains showed strong radioactivity. When measured by the Geiger-Mueller counter, 1.0 mg. of the ashes showed 4218 counts per minute. Under the same conditions 0.4 μ c. of Co⁶⁰ showed 7475 counts per minute (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

It has been shown by our investigation that the radioactive ashes collected from the No. 5 Fukuryu Maru consisted of particles ranging from 100 to 400 μ in mean diameter. It is a question, however, whether the distribution of the size of the particles reported in this paper represented that of the whole sample or not. Our sample was a part of the original one preserved at Shizuoka University, and the possibility cannot be denied that, when transferring from the original container to the other, large particles were more easily transferred than small ones. There was also a possibility that the particles of great specific gravity might have remained in the original container. Nevertheless, our results might give some information about the properties of the radioactive ashes. According to the report of Prof. K. Kimura¹⁾ the main constituent of the radioactive ashes collected from the No. 5 Fukuryu Maru was calcium carbonate and it has been suggested that the ashes consisted of the fragments of the atoll, which were scattered by the explosion. Our observation that there was no direct correlation between the size of the particle and its radioactivity might suggest that the distribution of radioactivity in the particles was not uniform, and that the fission products were carried on the fragments of the atoll.

SUMMARY

1) The size and radioactivity of the ashes collected from the No. 5 Fukuryu Maru have been measured.

2) The ashes consisted of particles, which appeared dark, when observed through the microscope. When observed by side illumination, the particles appeared white and several black spots were seen on their surface.

3) The mean diameter of the particle was 257 μ on an average. The average ratio of the minimum diameter to the maximum diameter was 0.74.

4) There was no direct correlation between the size of the particle and its radioactivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to acknowledge the courtesy of Prof. T. Shiokawa, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, and Dr. T. Maekawa, Chief of Sanitation Division of Shizuoka Prefecture, who kindly gave us their valuable samples for our

(9)

T.KIKUCHI, G.WAKISAKA, H.AKAGI, and H.GOTO

investigation. This work was supported by a special research grant from the Ministry of Education.

REFERENCE

1) Kimura, K.: On the radioactive ashes which (ell on the No.5 Fukuryu Maru. Kagaku, 24, 300-302, 1954 (In Japanese).



Fig. 3. Photomicrograph of a particle of the radioactive ashes $(\times 150)$.

Studies on the Properties and Size of the Radioactive Ashes



Fig. 4. Photomicrograph of a particle of the radioactive ashes taken by side illumination (×150).



Fig. 5. Photomicrograph of broken particles of the radioactive as hes $(\,\times\,150).$