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## 1 Introduction

Graph－structured data occurs in many domains， such as biomolecular database，chemical database， the World Wide Web，or semistructured data． Many researchers try to find hidden knowledge from structures of such data by using data min－ ing techniques．The formalization of expressing graph－structured data is quite important for find－ ing useful knowledge［10］．

A term graph，which is one of expressions of graph－structured data，is a hypergraph whose hy－ peredges are regarded as variables．By express－ ing structures of data in database with term graphs，we can design tools for discovering hid－ den knowledge or background knowledge from graph－structured data．In Fig．1，for example， we can obtain each tree $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ from the term tree $t$ by replacing hyperedges in $t$ with arbitrary trees．That is，the term tree $t$ shows common structures between them．The first－order language is much better suited for expressing background knowledge and graph structures［3］． Then，inductive logic programming（ILP）systems in knowledge discovery have been proposed［1， $2,4]$ ．In［8］，we designed and implemented the knowledge discovery system KD－FGS for graph－ structured data，which employs Formal Graph System（FGS，［11］）as a knowledge representation language and a refutably inductive inference as an ILP mechanism［9］．FGS is a kind of logic pro－ gramming system which uses term graphs instead of terms in first－order logic．Therefore FGS can directly deal with graphs and is suited for express－ ing background knowledge obtained from graph－ structured data．By using a term graph，we can design tools based on a graph pattern matching method for finding new knowledge represented by term graphs obtained from graph－structured data．

Such tools are useful for finding association rules over term graphs，producing decision trees hav－ ing term graphs as vertex labels，and finding the minimum term graph by using the minimum de－ scription length principle．
In this paper，we consider a matching problem for a term graph and a standard graph．Infor－ mally，the matching problem for a term graph $g$ and a graph $G$ is to decide whether or not there exists a graph $G^{\prime}$ such that $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ is obtained by replacing each vari－ able in $g$ with an arbitrary graph．This problem is important for many knowledge discovery sys－ tems over term graphs for graph－structured data． Graphs have enough richness and flexibility to ex－ press unknown structures，but many elementary graph problems，e．g．，subgraph isomorphism and largest common subgraph，are known to be NP－ complete［5］．Due to this fact，it is difficult to solve the matching problem for a term graph in poly－ nomial time．Then it is hard to design and imple－ ment a discovery system finding efficiently new knowledge from graph－structured data in prac－ tice．We consider interesting subclasses of term graphs，called regular term trees，such that their matching problems are solvable efficiently．

Let $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$ be finite alphabets，and let $X$ be an alphabet．An element in $\Sigma, \Lambda$ and $X$ is called a vertex label，edge label and variable label，respec－ tively．Assume that $(\Sigma \cup \Lambda) \cap X=\emptyset$ ．A term graph $g=(V, E, H)$ consists of a vertex set $V$ ，an edge set $E$ and a multi－set $H$ ．Each element in $H$ is a list of distinct vertices in $V$ and is called a vari－ able．An item in a variable is called a port．And a term graph $g$ has a vertex labeling $\varphi_{g}: V \rightarrow \Sigma$ ， an edge labeling $\psi_{g}: E \rightarrow \Lambda$ and a variable label－ ing $\lambda_{g}: H \rightarrow X$ ．For a set or a list $S$ ，the number of elements in $S$ is denoted by $|S|$ ．The dimension of a variable $h$ is the number of vertices which are
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Fig. 1. A term tree $t$ as a tree-like structured pattern which matches trees $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$.
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Fig. 2. A term graph $g=(V, E, H)$ is defined by $V=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}, E=\emptyset, H=\left\{e_{1}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), e_{2}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\}, \varphi_{g}\left(u_{1}\right)=s$, $\varphi_{g}\left(u_{2}\right)=t, \lambda_{g}\left(e_{1}\right)=x$, and $\lambda_{g}\left(e_{2}\right)=y . g \theta$ is obtained by applying a substitution $\theta=\left\{x:=\left[g_{1},\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right], y:=\right.$ $\left.\left[g_{2},\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right]\right\}$ to $g$. A variable is represented by a box with lines to its elements and the order of its items is indicated by the numbers at these lines.
contained in $h$. The dimension of a term graph $g$ is the maximum dimension over all variables in $g$. The degree of a vertex $u$ in a term graph is the sum of the number of edges and variables containing $u$. The degree of a term graph $g$ is the maximum degree over all vertices in $g$. For example, a term graph $g=(V, E, H)$ is shown in Fig. 2.

In [7], for a regular term tree $t$ and a tree $T$ such that the dimension of each variable in $t$ is exactly 2 and the degree of $T$ is unbounded, we presented a polynomial time algorithm solving the matching problem for $t$ and $T$. In this paper, we show that, in general, the matching problem for a regular term tree $t$ and a tree $T$ is NP-complete even if the dimension of $t$ is only 4 . But, if the dimension of $t$ is bounded by some constant greater than 1 and also the degree of $T$ is bounded by some constant, we can give a polynomial time algorithm solving the matching problem. These show that a term tree is a quite useful expression of knowledge obtained from tree-like structured data. Our algorithms lead us to develop new knowledge discovery tools employing term graphs directly which express knowledge obtained from tree-like structured data.

## 2 Matching Algorithms for Tree Structured Patterns

Let $g$ be a term graph and $\sigma$ a list of distinct vertices in $g$. We call the form $x:=[g, \sigma]$ a binding for a variable label $x \in X$. Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ be term graphs. A substitution $\theta$ is a finite collection
of bindings $\left\{x_{1}:=\left[g_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right], \ldots, x_{n}:=\left[g_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right]\right\}$, where $x_{i}$ 's are mutually distinct variable labels in $X$ and each $g_{i}$ has no variable labeled with an element in $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. We obtain a new term graph $f$ by applying a substitution $\theta=\left\{x_{1}:=\right.$ $\left.\left[g_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right], \ldots, x_{n}:=\left[g_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right]\right\}$ to a term graph $g=$ ( $V, E, H$ ) in the following way. For each binding $x_{i}:=\left[g_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right] \in \theta(1 \leq i \leq n)$ in parallel, we attach $g_{i}$ to $g$ by removing all variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ labeled with $x_{i}$ from $H$, and by identifying the $m$-th vertex $t_{j}^{m}$ of $t_{j}$ and the $m$-th vertex $\sigma_{i}^{m}$ of $\sigma_{i}$ for each $.1 \leq j \leq k$ and each $1 \leq m \leq\left|t_{j}\right|=\left|\sigma_{i}\right|$. We remark that the label of each vertex $t_{j}^{m}$ of $g$ is used for the resulting term graph which is denoted by $g \theta$. Namely, the label of $\sigma_{i}^{m}$ is ignored in $g \theta$.

A substitution $\theta=\left\{x_{1}:=\left[g_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right], \ldots, x_{n}:=\right.$ $\left.\left[g_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right]\right\}$ is called a tree substitution if all of the $g_{i}$ are trees. A term graph $t$ is called a term tree if for any tree substitution $\theta$ which contains all variable labels in $t, t \theta$ is also a tree. When one of the vertices in $t$ is specified as the root of the term tree, $t$ is called a term rooted tree. A term tree $t$ is said to be regular if each variable label in $t$ occurs exactly once [6] (e.g. Fig. 3,4). We say that $T$ matches $t$ if there exists a tree substitution $\theta$ such that $t \theta$ and $T$ are isomorphic.

In this section, we consider the following problem for a regular term rooted tree with no label. For a regular term rooted tree with labels and a regular term unrooted tree with labels or without any label, we can also construct similar polynomial-time matching algorithms.

Regular term rooted tree $t$ (the vertex with label "a" is the root of $t$ ).

Rooted tree $T$ (the vertex with label "a" is the root of $T$ ).


Fig. 3. A transformation from an instance $(A, C)$ of X 3 C to an instance $(t, T)$ of REGULAR TERM ROOTED TREE MATCHING. $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}\right\}, C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}, c_{5}, c_{6}\right\}, c_{1}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{4}, a_{6}\right\}, c_{2}=\left\{a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}, c_{3}=$ $\left\{a_{1}, a_{5}, a_{6}\right\}, c_{4}=\left\{a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{6}\right\}, c_{5}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{6}\right\}, c_{6}=\left\{a_{2}, a_{4}, a_{5}\right\}$.

## REGULAR TERM ROOTED TREE MATCHING

Instance: A regular term rooted tree $t$ and a rooted tree $T$.
Question: Does $T$ match $t$ so that the root of $T$ corresponds to the root of $t$ ?

First we show the following theorem.

## Theorem 1. REGULAR TERM ROOTED TREE

 MATCHING is NP-complete even if the dimension of an input regular term rooted tree is only 4.Membership in NP is obvious. We transform EXACT COVER BY 3-SETS (X3C) [5, page 221] to this problem (Fig. 3).

Second we explain the algorithm Matching (Fig. 5) which is a framework for deciding whether a rooted tree $T$ matches a regular term rooted tree $t$.

Let $t=\left(V_{t}, E_{t}, H_{t}\right)$ and $T=\left(V_{T}, E_{T}\right)$ be a regular term rooted tree with root $r_{t}$ and a rooted tree with root $r_{T}$, respectively. A vertex of degree one is called a leaf if it is not the root. A path from $v_{1}$ to $v_{i}$ is a sequence $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i}$ of distinct vertices such that for $1 \leq j<i$, there exists an edge or a variable which includes $v_{j}$ and $v_{j+1}$. If there is an edge or a variable which includes $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ such that $v^{\prime}$ lies on the path from the root $r_{t}$ to $v$, then $v^{\prime}$ is said to be the father of $v$ and $v$ is a
child of $v^{\prime}$. In particular for a variable $h, v$ is said to be a child port of $h$ if there is a vertex $v^{\prime}$ such that both $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ belong to $h$ and $v$ is a child of $v^{\prime}$. A descendant of $v$ is any vertex on the path from $v$ to one of the leaves of the tree.

In Matching (Fig.5), a label for a vertex in $T$ is a set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right\}$ where $k \geq 0, \ell \geq 0$, $v_{i}$ is a vertex in $t$, and $V_{j}$ is a set of vertices in $t$. Let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ be a collection of labels. For any $V^{\prime} \subseteq V_{t}$, we say that $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ covers $V^{\prime}$ if there exist distinct indices $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m^{\prime}}, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{m^{\prime \prime}}$ among $1, \ldots, m$ and also there exist $v_{i}^{\prime} \in L_{k_{i}}$ and $V_{j}^{\prime \prime} \in L_{\ell_{j}}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m^{\prime}$ and $1 \leq j \leq m^{\prime \prime}$ such that $V^{\prime} \subseteq\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\} \cup V_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cup \cdots \cup V_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}$. In particular if there is no proper subcollection of $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ which covers $V^{\prime}$ then we say that $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ exactly covers $V^{\prime}$.

Let $W$ be a set of vertices in $t$. The induced term tree of $t$ by $W$ is a term tree $t[W]=$ $\left(W^{\prime}, E_{t}\left[W^{\prime}\right], H_{t}\left[W^{\prime}\right]\right)$ where $W^{\prime}=\left\{v \in V_{t} \mid v\right.$ is in $W$ or there is a vertex $v^{\prime}$ in $W$ such that $v$ is a descendant of $\left.v^{\prime}.\right\}, E_{t}\left[W^{\prime}\right]=\left\{\{u, v\} \in E_{t} \mid\right.$ $u \in W^{\prime}$ and $\left.v \in W^{\prime}\right\}$ and $H_{t}\left[W^{\prime}\right]=\left\{\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \mid\right.$ $v_{i} \in W^{\prime}$ and $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ is the maximal sublist of some $h \in H_{t}$ with keeping the order of items in $h$.$\} . For a single vertex w \in V_{t}$, the induced term tree $t[w]$ of $t$ by $w$ is defined as $t[\{w\}]$. A corresponding induced term tree of to $u \in V_{T}$ is an induced term tree by $W \subseteq V_{t}$ or $w \in V_{t}$ which


Fig. 4. An example: the labeling rule constructed from a regular term rooted tree $t=(\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11\}$, $\{\{1,2\},\{2,4\},\{2,5\},\{7,9\}\},\{(1,3),(2,6),(2,7,8),(3,10,11)\})$ with root 1 and the resulting labels of a rooted tree $T$ after the procedure Matching terminates.
procedure Matching(regular term rooted tree $t$ with root $r_{t}$, rooted tree $T$ with root $r_{T}$ );
begin
Construct the set of all labeling rules $R_{r_{t}}$;
Label all leaves of $T$ with the set of all leaves of $t$;
while there exists a vertex $v$ in $T$
such that $v$ is not labeled and all children of $v$ are labeled do Labeling $\left(v, R_{r_{t}}\right)$;
if the label of $r_{T}$ includes $r_{t}$ then $T$ matches $t$ else $T$ does not match $t$ end.

Fig. 5. An algorithm for deciding whether or not a rooted tree $T$ matches a regular term rooted tree $t$.
matches $T[u]$, i.e., the subtree of $T$ with the root $u$. In particular if the induced term tree is induced by a single vertex $w$, the matching between $t[w]$ and $T[u]$ has a correspondence of $w$ to $u$.

First we construct the set of all labeling rules.

## Basic Labeling Rules

Let $v$ be a vertex in $t$ which is not a leaf. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ be all children of $v$ which are connected to $v$ with edges. Let $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{\ell}$ be all variables which include $v$, and for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, $V_{i}$ be the set of all children of $v$ which are connected to $v$ with the variable $h_{i}$. The labeling rule for $v$ is defined as follows. If there is no variable which includes $v$, then let the generating rule of $v$ be $v \leftarrow v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$, otherwise $v \Leftarrow v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{\ell}$.

Then we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2. Let $t$ be a regular term rooted tree $t$ of dimension $p$ such that $t$ includes no variable of dimension 1, and let $T$ be a rooted tree of degree $d$. REGULAR TERM ROOTED TREE MATCHING is solvable in $O\left((n+N)^{2} \operatorname{TMIS}\left(d^{O(p)}\right)\right)$ time where $n$ and $N$ are the numbers of vertices in
$t$ and $T$ respectively, and $\operatorname{TMIS}(s)$ is the time needed to find the maximum independent set in a graph of size $s$.
Corollary 1. Let $t$ be a regular term rooted tree of dimension $p$ such that $t$ includes no variable of dimension 1, and let $T$ be a rooted tree of degree $d$. REGULAR TERM ROOTED TREE MATCHING for the inputs $t$ and $T$ is solvable in $O\left((n+N)^{2}\right)$ time where $n$ and $N$ are the numbers of vertices in $t$ and $T$ respectively.

If the dimension of each variable in $t$ is exactly 2 and the degree of $T$ is unbounded, the procedure Matching by using the procedure (Fig.8) instead of the procedure (Fig.7) solves REGULAR TERM ROOTED TREE MATCHING in polynomial time.

Theorem 3 (Miyahara, et al. [7]). If the dimension of each variable in $t$ is exactly 2 , there exists a polynomial-time algorithm for solving REGULAR TERM ROOTED TREE MATCHING.

Since the maximum graph matching for a bipartite graph $\mathcal{B}=\left(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ is found in $O\left(|\mathcal{E}| \sqrt{\max \left\{|\mathcal{V}|,\left|\mathcal{V}^{\prime}\right|\right\}}\right)$ time, by applying the procedure (Fig.8) to all labeling rules at the same

```
procedure Labeling(vertex \(u \in V_{T}\), set of labeling rules \(R_{r_{t}}\) );
begin
    \(L:=\emptyset ;\)
    Let \(m\) be the number of children of \(u\) and \(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\) be the labels of the children;
    /*Step 1 */
    foreach \(v \leftarrow v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\) in \(R_{r_{t}}\) do
        if \(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\) exactly covers \(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}\) then \(L:=L \cup\{v\}\);
    /* Step 2 */
    foreach \(v \Leftarrow v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\) in \(R_{r_{t}}\) do
        if \(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\) covers \(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{\ell}\) then \(L:=L \cup\{v\}\);
    /* Step 3 */
    foreach variable \(h\) in \(t\) do begin
        Let \(V^{\prime}\) be the set of all child ports of \(h\);
        foreach \(V^{\prime \prime} \subseteq V^{\prime}\) with \(V^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset\) do begin
            foreach \(v \in V^{\prime}-V^{\prime \prime}\) do
                if \(v\) and \(V^{\prime \prime}\) satisfy either
                    (1) \(v\) is a leaf and \(V^{\prime \prime}\) is a maximal subset such that \(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\)
                                covers \(V^{\prime \prime}\), or
                            (2) \(v\) is the head of a rule \(v \Leftarrow v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\) in \(R_{r_{t}}\)
                                and \(V^{\prime \prime}\) is a maximal subset such that \(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\) covers
                                \(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{\ell} \cup V^{\prime \prime}\).
                then \(L:=L \cup\left\{V^{\prime \prime} \cup\{v\}\right\} ;\)
            if there is no vertex \(v\) which satisfies either (1) or (2) and \(V^{\prime \prime}\) is a
                maximal subset which is covered by \(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\)
            then \(L:=L \cup\left\{V^{\prime \prime}\right\}\)
        end
    end;
    Attach \(L\) to \(u\) as the label
end;
```

Fig. 6. Labeling: a procedure for labeling a vertex in $T$ with a set of vertices in $t$.

Input: labels $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$, vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$, and sets of vertices $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{\ell}$;
Note that each $V_{i}(i=1, \ldots, \ell)$ is the set of all child ports of a certain variable and each label $L_{j}(j=1, \ldots, m)$ contains at most one subset of $V_{i}$. If the input term rooted tree $t$ is of bounded dimension and the input rooted tree $T$ is of bounded degree, the size of the graph $\mathcal{G}$ constructed below is bounded because both $k$ and $\ell$ are bounded by some constants and the size of each $L_{i}$ is also bounded.
begin
Construct a graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ in the following way:
$\mathcal{V}_{i}:=\left\{\left(v_{i},\{j\}\right) \mid v_{i} \in L_{j}(1 \leq j \leq m)\right\}$,
Let $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ be the complete graph constructed by $\mathcal{V}_{i}$,
$/^{*}$ In the following statement, because a subset of $V_{i}$ appears at most once in each $L_{j}$, it is easy to decide
whether or not $L_{j_{1}}, \ldots, L_{j_{m^{\prime}}}$ covers $V_{i} .{ }^{*} /$
$\mathcal{V}_{i}^{\prime}:=\left\{\left(V_{i},\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m^{\prime}}\right\}\right) \mid\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m^{\prime}}\right\}\right.$ is a subset of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $L_{j_{1}}, \ldots, L_{j_{m^{\prime}}}$ covers $\left.V_{i}\right\}$.
Let $\mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime}$ be the complete graph constructed by $\mathcal{V}_{i}^{\prime}$,
$\mathcal{V}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{V}_{i} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{V}_{i}^{\prime}$,
$\mathcal{E}:=\left\{\left\{(X, Y),\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)\right\} \mid(X, Y),\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{V}, X \neq X^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.Y \cap Y^{\prime} \neq \emptyset\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{E}_{i} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime}$.
if there is an independent set of size $k+\ell$ for the graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ then
$L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ covers $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{\ell}$
end;

Fig. 7. A procedure for determining whether or not $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ covers $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{\ell}$ (Step 2 and Step 3 (2)).

```
Input: labels \(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\), vertices \(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\), and sets of vertices \(\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{v_{\ell}^{\prime}\right\}\);
begin
    Construct a bipartite graph \(\mathcal{B}=\left(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}\right)\) in the following way:
        \(\mathcal{V}:=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{\ell}^{\prime}\right\}, \mathcal{V}^{\prime}:=\{1, \ldots, m\}\),
        \(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{E}}:=\left\{\left\{v_{i}, j\right\} \mid v_{i} \in L_{j}(1 \leq j \leq m)\right\} \quad(i=1, \ldots, k)\),
        \(\mathcal{E}_{\dot{i}}^{\prime}:=\left\{\left\{v_{i}^{\prime}, j\right\} \mid v_{i}^{\prime} \in L_{j}\right.\) or \(\left.\left\{v_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \in L_{j} \quad(1 \leq j \leq m)\right\} \quad(i=1, \ldots, \ell)\),
        \(\mathcal{E}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{E}_{i} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime}\).
    if for the bipartite graph \(\left(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}\right)\), there exists a graph matching which
        contains all vertices in \(\mathcal{V}\)
    then \(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\) covers \(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup \cdots \cup\left\{v_{\ell}^{\prime}\right\}\)
end;
```

Fig. 8. A procedure for determining whether or not $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ covers $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup \cdots \cup\left\{v_{\ell}^{\prime}\right\}$ (for an input regular term rooted tree such that the dimension of each variable is exactly 2 ).
time, the label of $u$ can be computed in $O\left(n^{2}\right.$. $\operatorname{deg}(u) \sqrt{n \cdot \operatorname{deg}(u)})$ where $\operatorname{deg}(u)$ is the degree of $u$. Then the total time for Matching used in Theorem 3 is $O\left(\sum_{u \in V_{T}} n^{2} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(u) \sqrt{n \cdot \operatorname{deg}(u)}\right)$. This does not exceed $O\left((n+N)^{4}\right)$.

The complexity of REGULAR TERM ROOTED TREE PROBLEM is still open if the dimension of an input regular term rooted tree is 3 .

## 3 Conclusions

We have given an algorithmic foundation of discovering knowledge from tree structured data. We have presented polynomial time matching algorithms for tree structured patterns. Computational experiments of comparing our matching algorithm and a naive matching algorithm have shown that our matching algorithm is efficient and useful. We will incorporate the matching algorithm in the KD-FGS system and other knowledge discovery systems from tree-like structured data.
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