

Title	ON SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF LOG-HYPONORMAL OPERATORS (Operator Inequalities and Related Area)
Author(s)	Cho, Muneo; Hwang, In Sung; Lee, Jun Ik
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2000), 1144: 77-84
Issue Date	2000-04
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/63914">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/63914</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# ON SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF LOG-HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

神奈川大学工学部 長 宗雄 (MUNEO CHŌ)  
成均館大学 (韓国) IN SUNG HWANG  
成均館大学 (韓国) JUN IK LEE

## Abstract

In this paper we consider spectral mapping theorem about two kinds of functional transformations for log-hyponormal operators and the continuity of the spectrum for log-hyponormal operators.

## Introduction.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  denote the set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , we denote the spectrum, the point spectrum, the residual spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of  $A$  by  $\sigma(A)$ ,  $\sigma_p(A)$ ,  $\sigma_r(A)$  and  $\sigma_a(A)$ , respectively. For the study of spectral theory of operators, spectral mapping theorems are important. In this paper we consider spectral mapping theorems about two kinds of functional transformations for log-hyponormal operators. It is familiar that if  $A$  is normal then for every polynomial  $f(\lambda, \lambda^*)$  one has  $\sigma(f(A)) = f(\sigma(A)) = \{f(\lambda, \lambda^*); \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ . In particular, we called the equality  $\sigma(\operatorname{Re}(A)) = \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(A))$  with the polynomial  $f(\lambda + \lambda^*) := \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \lambda^*) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$  for any operator  $A$  the “projective” property.

The projective property for semi-normal operators was shown by C. Putnam [11] and the projective property for Toeplitz operators was shown by S. Berberian [2]. We will show the subprojective property for  $p$ -hyponormal or log-hyponormal operators. On the other hand, in [14], D Xia studied the following functional transformation  $\varphi_{\{\xi, \psi\}}(T) = \xi(U)\psi(|T|)$  for a semi-hyponormal operator  $T = U|T|$ . And in [6], M. Itoh extended this result to  $p$ -hyponormal operators. Recently, M. Chō and B. P. Duggal [4] gave an elementary proof of

Itoh's result for invertible operator cases and generalized this result. We will extend this result for log-hyponormal operator.

On the other hand, in [8] it was shown that the spectrum  $\sigma$  is continuous on the set of  $p$ -hyponormal operators. We also show that this is still true for log-hyponormal operators.

An operator  $A$  is called  $p$ -hyponormal if  $(A^*A)^p - (AA^*)^p \geq 0$  for some  $p \in (0, \infty)$ . If  $p = 1$ ,  $A$  is hyponormal and if  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $A$  is semi-hyponormal. By the consequence of Löwner's inequality [10] if  $A$  is  $p$ -hyponormal for some  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , then  $A$  is also  $q$ -hyponormal for every  $q \in (0, p]$ . Thus we assume, without loss of generality, that  $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(p)$  denote the class of  $p$ -hyponormal operators. An operator  $T$  is called log-hyponormal if  $T$  is invertible and satisfies  $\log(T^*T) \geq \log(TT^*)$ . Since  $\log : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$  is monotone function, every invertible  $p$ -hyponormal operator is log-hyponormal. But there exists a log-hyponormal operator which is not  $p$ -hyponormal ( cf. [12, Example 12] ).

An operator  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$  has a unique polar decomposition  $A = U|A|$ , where  $|A| = (AA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $U$  is a partial isometry with the initial space the closure of the range of  $|A|$  and the final space the closure of the range of  $A$ . In particular, if  $A = U|A|$  is log-hyponormal, then the operator  $U$  is unitary. Associated with  $A$  there is a related operator  $\tilde{A} = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we call it the Aluthge transform of  $A$ . Aluthge transform has been used as a useful tool for study of  $p$ -hyponormal operators.

The followings are basic properties for  $\tilde{A}$ .

(i) If  $A = U|A|$  be  $p$ -hyponormal ( $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ ), then the operator  $\tilde{A} = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is  $(p + \frac{1}{2})$  hyponormal ( cf. [1, Theorem 2] ).

(ii) If  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be a log-hyponormal operator with a polar decomposition  $A = U|A|$ , then  $\tilde{A} = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is semi-hyponormal ( cf. [12, Theorem 4] ).

Form the fact above, the second Aluthge transform of a  $p$ -hyponormal operator or log-hyponormal operator is hyponormal.

**THEOREM A** For every  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and its Aluthge transform  $\tilde{T} = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , it holds that

$$\omega(A) = \omega(\tilde{A})$$

where  $\omega = \sigma, \sigma_a$  or  $\sigma_p$ .

*Proof.* It is known from [9, Theorem 1.3].

### 1. Functional transformations for log-hyponormal operators.

First, we will show the “subprojective” property for the spectra of  $p$ -hyponormal operators and log-hyponormal operators. For an operator  $T$ , a point  $z$  is in the normal approximate point spectrum  $\sigma_{na}(T)$  of  $T$  if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of unit vectors such that

$$(T - z)x_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (T - z)^*x_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We begin with the following lemma. Proof is easy. So we omit it.

LEMMA 1.1. *If  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_{na}(T)$ , then*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T)) \subset \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im}(\sigma(T)) \subset \sigma(\operatorname{Im} T). \quad (1.1.1)$$

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let  $T$  be  $p$ -hyponormal or log-hyponormal. Then (1.1.1) holds.*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_{na}(T)$  for a  $p$ -hyponormal or a log-hyponormal operator  $T$ . This follows from Lemma 1.1  $\square$

THEOREM 1.3. *Let  $T = U|T| = H + iK$  be  $p$ -hyponormal or log-hyponormal and  $\hat{T}$  be the second Aluthge transform of  $T$ . Let  $\hat{T} = \hat{H} + i\hat{K}$  be the Cartesian decomposition of  $\hat{T}$ . Then*

$$\sigma(\hat{H}) \subset \sigma(H) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\hat{K}) \subset \sigma(K).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem A,

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma(\hat{T}) \implies \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T)) = \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(\hat{T})), \quad \operatorname{Im}(\sigma(T)) = \operatorname{Im}(\sigma(\hat{T})).$$

Since  $\hat{T}$  is hyponormal,  $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma(\hat{T})) = \sigma(\operatorname{Re} \hat{T})$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\sigma(\hat{T})) = \sigma(\operatorname{Im} \hat{T})$ . Thus

$$\sigma(\operatorname{Re} \hat{T}) \subset \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\operatorname{Im} \hat{T}) \subset \sigma(\operatorname{Im} T).$$

$\square$

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let  $T$  be log-hyponormal. If  $T$  has a compact real (imaginary) part, then  $T$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Since, by Theorem 1.3,  $meas(\sigma(\hat{H})) = 0$ ,  $\hat{T}$  is normal. And since  $T$  is normal if and only if  $\hat{T}$  is normal. Thus  $T$  is normal.  $\square$

Let  $E$  be a bounded closed subset of all real numbers  $\mathbf{R}$ , and  $M(E) = \{\psi : \psi \text{ is a bounded real Baire function on } E\}$ . Let  $M_0(E) = \{\psi \in M(E) : \psi(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in E \text{ and } \psi(0) = 0\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}(E) = \{\psi : \psi \text{ is a strictly monotone increasing continuous function on } E\}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_0(E) = M_0(E) \cap \mathcal{J}(E)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(E) = \{\psi \in M(E) : K_\psi \geq 0\}$ , where  $K_\psi$  is the singular integral operator defined on  $L^2(E)$  by

$$(K_\psi f)(x) = s - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_E \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(y)}{x - (y + i\epsilon)} f(y) dy.$$

If  $E$  is a closed subset of the unit circle  $\mathbf{T}$ , let  $M_0(E) = \{\xi : \xi \text{ is a complex Baire function on } E \rightarrow \mathbf{T}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_0(E) = \{\xi : \xi \text{ is a direction preserving homomorphism on } E\}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_0(E) = \{\xi : \xi \in M_0(E) \text{ and } K_\xi \geq 0\}$ , where  $K_\xi$  is the singular integral operator defined on  $L^2(E)$  by

$$(K_\xi f)(e^{i\theta}) = s - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_E \frac{1 - \xi(e^{i\theta})\overline{\xi(e^{i\eta})}}{1 - e^{i\theta}e^{-i\eta}(1 - \epsilon)} f(e^{i\eta}) d\eta.$$

For functions  $f$  and  $g$ , we denote the functional transformation  $F_{[f,g]}(T) = f(U)\exp(g(\log|T|))$  for a log-hyponormal operator  $T = U|T|$  and  $F_{[f,g]}(re^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta})\exp(g(\log r))$  in the complex plane.

LEMMA 1.5. *Let  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be a semi-hyponormal operator with operator decomposition  $T = U|T|$ . Then  $Ue^{|T|}$  is log-hyponormal and*

$$\sigma_a(Ue^{|T|}) = \{e^r e^{i\theta} : re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_a(T)\};$$

$$\sigma_r(Ue^{|T|}) = \{e^r e^{i\theta} : re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_r(T)\};$$

$$\sigma(Ue^{|T|}) = \{e^r e^{i\theta} : re^{i\theta} \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

*Proof.* Proof is from [13, Lemmas 5 and 6].  $\square$

THEOREM 1.6. *Let  $T = U|T|$  be log-hyponormal and  $\log|T| \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{J}_0(\sigma(U)) \cap \mathcal{S}_0(\sigma(U))$  and  $g \in \mathcal{J}_0(\sigma(\log|T|)) \cap \mathcal{S}_0(\sigma(\log|T|))$  if  $\sigma(U) \neq \mathbf{T}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{J}_0([0, \|\log|T|\|]) \cap \mathcal{S}_0([0, \|\log|T|\|])$  if  $\sigma(U) = \mathbf{T}$ . Then  $F_{[f,g]}(T)$  is log-hyponormal and  $F_{[f,g]}(\sigma_w(T)) = \sigma_w(F_{[f,g]}(T))$ , where  $\sigma_w = \sigma, \sigma_a$  or  $\sigma_r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T = U|T|$  be log-hyponormal, then  $S = U \log |T|$  is semi-hyponormal and  $\sigma_w(S) = \{(\log r)e^{i\theta} : re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_w(T)\}$ . From Theorem VI, 3.1 of [14],  $f(U)g(\log |T|)$  is also semi-hyponormal. Thus  $\sigma_w(f(U)g(\log |T|)) = \{f(e^{i\theta})g(\log r) : (\log r)e^{i\theta} \in \sigma_w(U \log |T|)\}$ . Moreover, from Lemma 1.5 we can see that

$$F_{[f,g]}(T) = f(U)\exp(g(\log |T|))$$

is log-hyponormal. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_w(F_{[f,g]}(T)) &= \sigma_w(f(U)\exp(g(\log |T|))) \\ &= \{e^{g(\log r)}f(e^{i\theta}) : f(e^{i\theta})g(\log r) \in \sigma_w(f(U)g(\log |T|)), \\ &\quad (\log r)e^{i\theta} \in \sigma_w(U \log |T|)\} \\ &= \{e^{g(\log r)}f(e^{i\theta}) : (\log r)e^{i\theta} \in \sigma_w(U \log |T|), re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_w(T)\} \\ &= \{e^{g(\log r)}f(e^{i\theta}) : re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_w(T)\} \\ &= F_{[f,g]}(\sigma_w(T)). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2. Continuity of $\sigma$ on the set of all log-hyponormal operators.

In [8], it was shown that the spectrum  $\sigma$  is continuous on the set of all  $p$ -hyponormal operators. In this section we show that this is still true for log-hyponormal operators. To do this we recall that  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be *bounded below* if there exists  $k > 0$  for which  $\|x\| \leq k\|Tx\|$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . For  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\gamma(A)$  denote the *reduced minimum modulus*,  $\gamma(A) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\text{dist}(x, \text{Ker} A)}$ , where  $\frac{0}{0}$  is defined to be  $\infty$ . Before proving the main theorem we establish the following

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $T = U|T|$  and  $T_n = U_n|T_n| \in B(\mathcal{H})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If  $T$  is bounded below and  $T_n$  converges to  $T$ , then  $U_n$  converges to  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is bounded below, we have that if  $\gamma(\cdot)$  denote the reduced minimum modulus, then  $\gamma(T) = \alpha > 0$  and  $T$  is a continuity point of  $\gamma$  (cf. [7, Theorem 4.3]). Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\gamma(T_n) > \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n$ . Since the set of bounded below operators is an open set, it follows that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $T_n$ 's are bounded below and hence  $|T|$  and  $|T_n|$  are invertible (cf. [5, Theorem 8.6.4]). Let  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\|y\| = 1$ . Then there exist  $x$  and  $x_n$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ) such that  $y = |T|x$  and  $y = |T_n|x_n$ . Since  $\gamma(S)$  is the supremum of all real number  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma\|x\| \leq \|Sx\|$ , we have

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma(|T|)} \| |T|x \| = \frac{1}{\gamma(T)} \|y\| = \frac{1}{\gamma(T)} < 2/\alpha.$$

Similarly,  $\|x_n\| < 2/\alpha$  for all  $n \in Z^+$ . Therefore

$$\|U_n y - U y\| = \|U_n |T_n| x_n - U |T| x\| \leq \|U_n |T_n| x_n - U_n |T_n| x\| + \|U_n |T_n| x - U |T| x\|.$$

But

$$\|U_n |T_n| x - U |T| x\| \leq \|T_n - T\| \|x\| < \frac{2\|T_n - T\|}{\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We now claim that  $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If it is not so, then there exist  $\delta > 0$  and a sequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\|x_{n_k} - x\| > \delta$  for all  $k$ . Hence

$$\||T|(x_{n_k} - x)\| = \||T|x_{n_k} - |T_{n_k}|x_{n_k}\| \leq \||T| - |T_{n_k}|\| \|x_{n_k}\| < \frac{2}{\alpha} \||T| - |T_{n_k}|\| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies that  $|T|$  is not bounded below. It is a contradiction. Therefore, we have

$$\|U_n |T_n| x_n - U_n |T_n| x\| \leq \|T_n\| \|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Now we have :

**THEOREM 2.2.** *The spectrum  $\sigma$  is continuous on the set of all log-hyponormal operators.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T = U|T|$  and  $T_n = U_n|T_n|$  for  $n \in Z^+$  are log-hyponormal operators such that  $T_n$  converges to  $T$ . Since  $T$  is invertible it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $U_n$  converges to  $U$ , so that

$$\tilde{T}_n = |T_n|^{\frac{1}{2}} U_n |T_n|^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is semi-hyponormal and the spectrum is continuous on the set of all  $p$ -hyponormal operators, we have

$$\sigma(T_n) = \sigma(\tilde{T}_n) \rightarrow \sigma(\tilde{T}) = \sigma(T).$$

□

For an operator  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $z$  is in the approximate defect spectrum  $\sigma_\delta(A)$  if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of unit vectors in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(A - z)^* x_n\| = 0$ . Then we have

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $T$  be a log-hyponormal operator. Then*

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma_\delta(T).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3 of [13], we have

$$\sigma_a(T) \subset \sigma_\delta(T).$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma_\delta(T).$$

□

We conclude with :

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *The approximate defect spectrum  $\sigma_\delta$  is continuous on the set of all log-hyponormal operators.*

## References

- [1] A. Aluthge, *On  $p$ -hyponormal operators for  $0 < p < 1$* , Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. 13(1990), 307-315.
- [2] S. K. Berberian, *Conditions on an operator implying  $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T)) = \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154(1971).
- [3] M. Chō and T. Huruya,  *$p$ -hyponormal operators for  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$* , Commentationes Math. 33(1993), 23-29.
- [4] M. Chō and B.P. Duggal,  *$p$ -hyponormal operators - functional transformations and spectra*, Sci. Math. 2 (1999), 141-144.
- [5] R. E. Harte, *Invertibility and Singularity for Bounded Linear Operators*, Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [6] M. Itoh, *Spectral mapping theorem for  $p$ -hyponormal operators*, Acta Sci. Math.(Szeged) 62(1996), 523-535
- [7] I. S. Hwang and W. Y. Lee, *The Bounded below-ness of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular operator matrices*, (preprint 1999).
- [8] I. S. Hwang and W. Y. Lee, *The spectrum is continuous on the set of  $p$ -hyponormal operator*, Math. Z. (to appear)
- [9] I. B. Jung, E. I. Ko and C. Pearcy, *Operators and their Aluthge transforms*, (preprint 1998)



- [10] K. Löwer, *Über monotone matrix function*, Math. Z. 38(1983), 507-514.
- [11] C. R. Putnam, *On the spectra of semi-normal operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154(1971).
- [12] K. Tanahashi, *On Log-hyponormal operators*, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. 34 (1999), 364-372.
- [13] K. Tanahashi, *Putnam's Inequality for log-hyponormal operators*, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. to appear.
- [14] D. Xia, *Spectral theory of hyponormal operator*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.

Muneo Chō

Department of Mathematics  
Kanagawa University  
Yokohama 221-8686, Japan  
e-mail: m-cho@cc.kanagawa-u.ac.jp

I. S. Hwang and J. I. Lee

Department of Mathematics  
Sungkyunkwan University  
Suwon 440-746, Korea  
e-mail: iswhang@math.skku.ac.kr  
jilee@math.skku.ac.kr