

Title	Stable and unstable manifolds of diffeomorphisms with positive entropy (Singular phenomena of dynamical systems)
Author(s)	Sumi, Naoya
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1999), 1118: 13-26
Issue Date	1999-11
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/63460">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/63460</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# Stable and unstable manifolds of diffeomorphisms with positive entropy

Naoya Sumi (鷲見 直哉)

## Abstract

We show that  $C^2$ -diffeomorphisms with positive entropy are chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke. To do so we prove that these maps are  $*$ -chaotic on the closure of stable manifolds for some points. The notion of " $*$ -chaos" was introduced by Kato and it is related to chaos in the sense of Li-Yorke.

## 1 Introduction

We study chaotic properties of diffeomorphisms with positive entropy. Notions of chaos have been given by Li and Yorke [9], Devaney [2] and others. It is known that if a continuous map of interval has positive entropy, then it is chaotic according to the definition of Li and Yorke (cf. [1]).

In [6] Katok proved the following :let  $f$  be a  $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ -diffeomorphism of a closed surface. If the topological entropy of  $f$  is positive, then there exists a hyperbolic set  $\Gamma$  such that the restriction of  $f$  into  $\Gamma$  is topologically conjugate to a subshift of finite type with positive entropy. This implies that  $f$  is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke.

However, Katok's theorem does not hold for the high dimensional case. Indeed, let  $f$  be a surface diffeomorphism with positive entropy and let  $r : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  be an irrational rotation. Then a product map  $f \times r$  has the same positive entropy, but it does not have  $\Gamma$  as above because there are no periodic points of  $f \times r$ .

In this paper we show the following:

**Theorem A** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$ -diffeomorphism of a closed  $C^\infty$ -manifold. If the topological entropy of  $f$  is positive, then  $f$  is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke.*

To my knowledge this theorem gives the most simplest sufficient condition for chaotic phenomena of high dimensional dynamical systems. It remains a question whether Theorem A is true for homeomorphisms. However this question is still unsolved.

Let  $M$  be a closed  $C^\infty$ -manifold and let  $d$  be the distance for  $M$  induced by a Riemannian metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $M$ . A subset  $S$  of  $M$  is a *scrambled set* of  $f$  if there is a positive number  $\tau > 0$  such that for any  $x, y \in S$  with  $x \neq y$ ,

1.  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > \tau$ ,
2.  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = 0$ .

If there is an uncountable scrambled set  $S$  of  $f$ , then we say that  $f$  is *chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke*. Li and Yorke showed in [9] that if  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous map with a periodic point of period 3, then  $f$  is chaotic in this sense. In [9] there was the following one more condition: for any  $x \in S$  and any periodic point  $p \in M$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(p)) > 0$ . But this condition is unnecessary because a scrambled set contains at most one point which does not satisfy this condition. For the examples and the properties of scrambled sets, the readers may refer to [1], [5], [11], [12], [13], [22], [23] and [24].

Concerning the chaos in the sense of Li-Yorke, Kato introduced the notion of "*\*-chaos*" as follows: let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $M$ . A map  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is *\*-chaotic* on  $F$  (in the sense of Li-Yorke) if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. there is  $\tau > 0$  such that if  $U$  and  $V$  are any nonempty open subsets of  $F$  with  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $N$  is any natural number, there is a natural number  $n \geq N$  such that  $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > \tau$  for some  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ , and
2. for any nonempty open subsets  $U$ ,  $V$  of  $F$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a natural number  $n \geq 0$  such that  $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \varepsilon$  for some  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ .

Such a set  $F$  is called a *\*-chaotic set*. If  $S$  is a scrambled set, then the closure of  $S$ ,  $\bar{S}$ , is a *\*-chaotic set*. In [4] Kato showed that the converse is true.

**Lemma 1.1** ([4], Theorem 2.4) *If  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is continuous and is \*-chaotic on  $F$ , then there  $F_\sigma$ -set  $S \subset F$  such that  $S$  is a scrambled set of  $f$  and  $\bar{S} = F$ . If  $F$  is perfect (i.e.  $F$  has no isolated points), we can choose  $S$  such that it is a countable union of Cantor sets.*

To obtain Theorem A we need the following theorem.

**Theorem B** *Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$ -diffeomorphism of a closed  $C^\infty$ -manifold  $M$  and let  $\mu$  be an  $f$ -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure on  $M$ . If the metric entropy of  $\mu$  is positive, then for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$  the following hold:*

- (a)  $\overline{W^s(x)}$  is a perfect *\*-chaotic set*, and
- (b)  $\overline{W^u(x)}$  contains a perfect *\*-chaotic set*.

Here  $W^s(x)$  and  $W^u(x)$  are defined by

$$W^s(x) = \{y \in M : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < 0\} \text{ and,}$$

$$W^u(x) = \{y \in M : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log d(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)) < 0\}$$

respectively.

We notice that for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ , the above sets  $W^s(x)$  and  $W^u(x)$  are  $C^2$  immersed manifolds under the assumptions of theorem B. Indeed, let  $f$  and  $\mu$  be as above. For  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ , there exist a splitting of the tangent space  $T_x M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s(x)} E_i(x)$  and real numbers  $\lambda_1(x) < \lambda_2(x) < \dots < \lambda_{s(x)}(x)$  such that

(a) the maps  $x \mapsto E_i(x)$ ,  $\lambda_i(x)$  and  $s(x)$  are Borel measurable, moreover  $E_i(f(x)) = D_x f(E_i(x))$  and  $\lambda_i(x)$ ,  $s(x)$  are  $f$ -invariant ( $i = 1, \dots, s(x)$ ),

(b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|D_x f^n(v)\| = \lambda_i(x)$  ( $0 \neq v \in E_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s(x)$ ) and

(c)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\det(D_x f^n)| = \sum_{i=1}^{s(x)} \lambda_i(x) \dim E_i(x)$

([14]). The numbers  $\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_{s(x)}(x)$  are called *Lyapunov exponents* of  $f$  at  $x$ . Since  $\mu$  is ergodic, we can put  $s = s(x)$ ,  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(x)$  and  $m_i = \dim E_i(x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ) for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ .

Let  $h_\mu(f)$  denote the metric entropy of  $f$  (see [10] for definition). A well-known theorem of Margulis and Ruelle [21] says that entropy is always bounded above by the sum of positive Lyapunov exponents; i.e.  $h_\mu(f) \leq \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i m_i$ . Since  $f$  has positive entropy, we have

$$0 < h_\mu(f) \leq \max\{\lambda_i\} = \lambda_s.$$

Therefore, by Pesin's stable manifold theorem ([3], [15], [17]), the set  $W^u(x)$  is the image of a  $C^2$  injective immersion of an euclidean space such that  $T_x W^u(x) = \bigoplus_{\lambda_i > 0} E_i(x) (\neq \{0\})$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ .  $W^u(x)$  is called an *unstable manifold*. Similarly,  $W^s(x)$  is a  $C^2$  immersed manifold because  $W^s(x)$  is the unstable manifold of  $f^{-1}$ , which has positive entropy  $h_\mu(f^{-1}) = h_\mu(f) > 0$ .  $W^s(x)$  is called a *stable manifold*.

Let us see how Theorem A follows from Theorem B. We denote as  $h(f)$  the topological entropy of  $f$  (see [10] for definition). Then we know that  $h(f) = \sup\{h_\mu(f) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_e(f)\}$  where  $\mathcal{M}_e(f)$  is the set of all  $f$ -invariant ergodic Borel probability measures (cf [20]). Thus, if  $h(f) > 0$ , then we can choose  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_e(f)$  with  $h_\mu(f) > 0$ . Therefore, by Theorem B and Lemma 1.1,  $f$  is chaotic in the sense of Li-Yorke.

Remark that (a) of Theorem B does not hold for unstable manifolds in general. For example, the Smale horseshoe has unstable manifolds which intersect a stable manifold of a fixed point (cf.[18]). Since all points in the stable manifold converge to the fixed point, they do not satisfy the first condition of  $*$ -chaos.

Now we shall give a sufficient condition that  $f$  is  $*$ -chaotic on  $\overline{W^u(x)}$  as follows.

**Theorem C** *If  $\mu$  is an ergodic SRB measure, then both  $\overline{W^s(x)}$  and  $\overline{W^u(x)}$  are perfect  $*$ -chaotic sets for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ .*

If  $\xi$  is a measurable decomposition of  $M$ , then a family  $\{\mu_x^\xi | x \in M\}$  of Borel probability measures exists, and it satisfies the following conditions:

1. for  $x, y \in M$  if  $\xi(x) = \xi(y)$  then  $\mu_x^\xi = \mu_y^\xi$ , here  $\xi(x)$  denotes a set containing  $x$  in  $\xi$ ,
2.  $\mu_x^\xi(\xi(x)) = 1$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ ,
3. for any Borel set  $A$  a function  $x \mapsto \mu_x^\xi(A)$  is measurable and  $\mu(A) = \int_M \mu_x^\xi(A) d\mu(x)$ .

The family  $\{\mu_x^\xi | x \in M\}$  is called a *canonical system of conditional measures* for  $\mu$  and  $\xi$  (see [19] for more details).

An  $f$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$  is called a *Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen measure* (SRB measure for abbreviation) provided

- (A) for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ , there exists a positive Lyapunov exponent of  $x$ ,
- (B)  $\mu$  has a conditional measure that is absolutely continuous (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) on unstable manifolds, which is defined as follows:

From (A), the unstable manifold  $W^u(x)$  is a  $C^2$  submanifold for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$  in  $M$ . Let  $m_x^u$  denote the Lebesgue measure of  $W^u(x)$ . A measurable decomposition  $\xi$  of  $M$  is said to be *subordinate to unstable manifolds* if for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$  in  $M$

- (C)  $\xi(x) \subset W^u(x)$ ,
- (D)  $\xi(x)$  contains an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $W^u(x)$ .

We say that  $\mu$  has an *absolutely continuous conditional measure* on unstable manifolds provided  $\mu_x^\xi$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $m_x^u$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$  in  $M$  if  $\xi$  is subordinate to unstable manifolds. It is known ([7], [8]) that  $\mu$  satisfies (B) if and only if the following equation holds:

$$h_\mu(f) = \int \sum_{\lambda_i(x) > 0} \lambda_i(x) \dim E_i(x) d\mu(x).$$

This is sometimes known as *Pesin's formula*. For the examples and the stochastic properties of diffeomorphisms with SRB measures, the readers may refer to [25]. In a similar way we can define a measurable partition subordinate to stable manifolds.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce  $f$ -invariant measurable partitions each of whose elements is contained in the closure of (un)stable manifolds. Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$ -diffeomorphism of a closed  $C^\infty$ -manifold  $M$  and let  $\mu$  be an  $f$ -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure on  $M$  with  $h_\mu(f) > 0$ . Denote as  $\mathcal{B}$  the family of Borel sets.

**Lemma 2.1 (Proposition 3.1 [7])** *Let  $f$  and  $\mu$  be as above. Then there exist measurable partitions  $\xi^s$  and  $\xi^u$  of  $M$  such that*

- (a)  $\xi^s \leq f\xi^s$  and  $\xi^u \leq f^{-1}\xi^u$ ,
- (b)  $\xi^s$  and  $\xi^u$  are subordinate to stable manifolds and unstable manifolds respectively,
- (c) both  $\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n\xi^s$  and  $\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}\xi^u$  are the partitions into points,
- (d) for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ ,

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}\xi^s(f^n(x)) = W^s(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n\xi^u(f^{-n}(x)) = W^u(x).$$

**Lemma 2.2 (Corollary 5.3 [8])** *Let  $f$  and  $\mu$  be as above and let  $\xi^\sigma$  ( $\sigma = s, u$ ) be as in Lemma 2.1. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} h_\mu(f) &= H_\mu(f\xi^s|\xi^s) = \int -\log \mu_x^{\xi^s}(f\xi^s(x))d\mu(x) \\ &= H_\mu(f^{-1}\xi^u|\xi^u) = \int -\log \mu_x^{\xi^u}(f^{-1}\xi^u(x))d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

where the family  $\{\mu_x^{\xi^\sigma} | x \in M\}$  is a canonical system of conditional measures for  $\mu$  and  $\xi^\sigma$ .

Let us introduce two measurable partitions defined by

$$\eta^s = \bigwedge_{i=0}^{\infty} f^{-i}\xi^s \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^u = \bigwedge_{i=0}^{\infty} f^i\xi^u.$$

By Lemma 2.1(a) and (d) we can easily check that  $f\eta^\sigma = \eta^\sigma$  and  $\eta^\sigma(x) \subset \overline{W^\sigma(x)}$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$  ( $\sigma = s, u$ ). Let  $\{\mu_x^\sigma | x \in M\}$  be a canonical system of conditional measures for  $\mu$  and  $\eta^\sigma$  ( $\sigma = s, u$ ). By Doob's theorem it follows that for  $A \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\mu_x^s(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_x^{f^{-n}\xi^s}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_x^u(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_x^{f^n\xi^u}(A) \quad (\mu\text{-almost all } x).$$

Since  $f\eta^\sigma = \eta^\sigma$  and  $f$  preserves  $\mu$ , we have  $\mu_x^\sigma(A) = \mu_{fx}^\sigma(fA)$  ( $\mu$ -almost all  $x$ ) for  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\sigma = s, u$ .

Let  $C(M)$  be the Banach space of continuous real-valued functions of  $M$  with the sup norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  be a set of all Borel probability measures on  $M$  with the weak topology. Since  $C(M)$  is separable, there exists a countable set  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$  which is dense in  $C(M)$ . For  $\nu, \nu' \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  define

$$\rho(\nu, \nu') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\int \varphi_n d\nu - \int \varphi_n d\nu'|}{2^n \|\varphi_n\|}.$$

Then  $\rho$  is a compatible metric for  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}(X), \rho)$  is compact (cf.[10]).

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $f$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\{\mu_x^\sigma | x \in M\}$  be as above. Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\sigma = s, u$  there exists a closed set  $F_\varepsilon^\sigma$  with  $\mu(F_\varepsilon^\sigma) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$  satisfying the map*

$$F_\varepsilon^\sigma \ni x \mapsto \mu_x^\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(X)$$

*is continuous.*

**Proof.** Let  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$  be as above. By the definition of conditional measures the map

$$M \ni x \mapsto \int \varphi_n d\mu_x^\sigma$$

is Borel measurable for  $n \geq 1$ . Thus, by Lusin's theorem, for  $n \geq 1$  there exists a closed set  $F_n^\sigma$  with  $\mu(F_n^\sigma) \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2^n$  satisfying

$$F_n^\sigma \ni x \mapsto \int \varphi_n d\mu_x^\sigma : \text{continuous.}$$

Then  $F_\varepsilon^\sigma = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F_n^\sigma$  has the desired property. □

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $f$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\{\mu_x^\sigma | x \in M\}$  be as above. Then for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$  and  $\sigma = s, u$ ,  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^\sigma)$  has no isolated points.*

**Proof.** We will give the proof for  $\sigma = u$  and so we here omit for  $\sigma = s$  since the technique of the proof is similar.

If this lemma is false,  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^u)$  has an isolated point for  $x$  belonging to some Borel set with positive measure. Let  $\xi^u$  be as in Lemma 2.1. Since  $\text{diam}(f^{-k}\xi^u(x)) \rightarrow 0$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) by Lemma 2.1 (c),

$$P_{-k} = \{x \in M : \mu_x^{f^{-k}\xi^u} \text{ is a point measure}\}$$

has positive  $\mu$ -measure for  $k$  large enough. Since  $\mu$  is  $f$ -invariant, we have  $f_*^n \mu_x^{f^{-k}\xi^u} = \mu_{f^{-n}x}^{f^{-k}\xi^u}$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^n(P_{-k}) &= \{f^n(x) \in M : \mu_x^{f^{-k}\xi^u} \text{ is a point measure}\} \\ &= \{x \in M : f_*^n \mu_{f^{-n}x}^{f^{-k}\xi^u} \text{ is a point measure}\} \\ &= \{x \in M : \mu_x^{f^{-k}\xi^u} \text{ is a point measure}\} \\ &= P_{n-k} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$P = \bigcap_{j \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq j} P_{n-k} = \bigcap_{j \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq j} f^n P_{-k}.$$

Since  $P$  is  $f$ -invariant and  $\mu$  is ergodic, we have  $\mu(P) = 1$ .

For  $x \in P$  there exists an increasing sequence  $\{n_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  such that  $x \in P_{n_i}$  for  $i \geq 0$ . Since  $\mu_x^u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_x^{f^n \xi^u} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_x^{f^{n_i} \xi^u}$  and  $\mu_x^{f^{n_i} \xi^u}$  is a point measure for  $i$ , so is  $\mu_x^u$ . Therefore  $\mu_x^u$  is a point measure for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ .

Since  $\xi^u$  is finer than  $\eta^u$  and  $\mu_x^u$  is a point measure for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ , so is  $\mu_x^{\xi^u}$ . Thus  $\mu_x^{\xi^u}(f^{-1}\xi^u(x)) = 1$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$ . Therefore

$$h_\mu(f) = \int -\log \mu_x^{\xi^u}(f^{-1}\xi^u(x)) d\mu(x) = 0$$

by Lemma 2.2. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $B(x, r)$  and  $U(x, r)$  denote the closed and open balls in  $M$  with center  $x \in M$  and radius  $r > 0$  respectively.

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $f$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\{\mu_x^\sigma | x \in M\}$  be as above. Then for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$*

$$\overline{W^s(y)} = \overline{W^s(x)} \quad (\mu_x^s\text{-almost all } y \in M).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\xi^s$  be as in Lemma 2.1. Then we have that for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$

$$\xi^s(y) \subset \overline{W^s(x)} \quad (\mu_x^s\text{-almost all } y).$$

Indeed, let  $d_x^s$  denote the distance induced by the Riemannian metric on  $W^s(x)$ . Then there exist an increasing family  $\{\Lambda_\ell\}_{\ell \geq 1}$  of closed sets of  $M$  with  $\mu(\cup_{\ell \geq 1} \Lambda_\ell) = 1$  and a sequence  $\{A_\ell\}_{\ell \geq 1}$  of positive numbers satisfying that

(e) for each  $x \in \Lambda_\ell$  there exists  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x) > 0$ , such that

$$B(x, \varepsilon) \cap \Lambda_\ell \ni y \mapsto W_{A_\ell}^s(y) = \{z \in W^s(y) : d_x^s(z, y) \leq A_\ell\}$$

is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric  $d_H$ : i.e.

$$\lim_{\Lambda_\ell \ni y \rightarrow x} d_H(W_{A_\ell}^s(y), W_{A_\ell}^s(x)) = 0,$$

(f) for each  $x \in \Lambda_\ell$ ,  $\xi^s(x) \subset W_{A_\ell}^s(x)$

(cf. [7], [15], [16]). Take arbitrary  $y \in \text{supp}(\mu_x^s | \Lambda_\ell)$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ). Let  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(y) > 0$  be as in (e) and let  $0 < r < \varepsilon$ . Recall that for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$

$$\mu_x^s(\cup_{\ell \geq 1} \Lambda_\ell) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_x^s | \Lambda_\ell = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_x^{f^{-n}\xi^s} | \Lambda_\ell \quad (\ell \geq 1).$$

Since  $U(y, r)$  is open, we have  $\mu_x^{f^{-n}\xi^s}(U(y, r) \cap \Lambda_\ell) > 0$  for  $n$  large enough. So we can take  $y' \in U(y, r) \cap \Lambda_\ell \cap f^{-n}\xi^s(x)$ . Since  $y' \in f^{-n}\xi^s(x) \subset W^s(x)$ , we have  $W_{A_\ell}^s(y') \subset W^s(x)$ . Since  $y' \in U(y, r) \cap \Lambda_\ell$  and  $r$  is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} d_H(W_{A_\ell}^s(y'), W_{A_\ell}^s(y)) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\xi^s(y) \subset W_{A_\ell}^s(y) \subset \overline{W^s(x)}$ .

From this fact it follows that for  $n \geq 0$  and  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$

$$\xi^s(f^n(y)) \subset \overline{W^s(f^n(x))} \quad (\mu_x^s\text{-almost all } y).$$



Thus

$$W^s(y) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n} \xi^s(f^n y) \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n} (\overline{W^s(f^n(x))}) \subset \overline{W^s(x)}$$

for  $\mu_x^s$ -almost all  $y$ . On the other hand, by the definition of conditional measures,  $\mu_y^s = \mu_x^s$  for  $y \in \eta^s(x)$ . This implies that  $\overline{W^s(y)} = \overline{W^s(x)}$  for  $\mu_x^s$ -almost all  $y$ .  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem B(a)

The purpose of this section is to show Theorem B(a). Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$ -diffeomorphism of a closed  $C^\infty$ -manifold  $M$  and let  $\mu$  be an  $f$ -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure on  $M$  with positive entropy. As described in §1 the stable manifold  $W^s(x)$  is a  $C^2$  immersed manifold for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$  and so the closure of  $W^s(x)$ ,  $\overline{W^s(x)}$ , is perfect.

Let  $\eta^s$  and  $\{\mu_x^s | x \in M\}$  be as in §2. By Lemma 2.4,  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^s)$  has no isolated points for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ . Therefore, to obtain the conclusion it suffices to show the following.

**Proposition 1** *If  $\mu_x^s$  is not a point measure for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ , then  $\overline{W^s(x)}$  is a  $*$ -chaotic set for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ .*

**Proof.** Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and let  $F_\varepsilon^s$  be as in Lemma 2.3. By assumption we can take and fix  $x_0 \in \text{supp}(\mu|_{F_\varepsilon^s})$  such that  $\mu_{x_0}^s$  is not a point measure. Since  $\text{supp}(\mu_{x_0}^s)$  is not one point, there are disjoint open sets  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  of  $M$  satisfying that

$$\begin{aligned} d(O_1, O_2) &= \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in O_1, y \in O_2\} > \delta \text{ and} \\ \mu_{x_0}^s(O_i) &> \delta \quad (i = 1, 2) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ . By Lemma 2.3 we can choose  $\varepsilon' > 0$  such that

$$\mu_x^s(O_i) > \delta \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (2)$$

for  $x \in U(x_0, \varepsilon') \cap F_\varepsilon^s$ . Put  $K = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k \geq n} f^{-k}(U(x_0, \varepsilon') \cap F_\varepsilon^s)$ . Since  $\mu(U(x_0, \varepsilon') \cap F_\varepsilon^s) > 0$ , by ergodicity of  $\mu$  we have  $\mu(K) = 1$ .

Take arbitrary  $\delta'$  with  $0 < \sqrt{\delta'} < \min\{\mu(U(x_0, \varepsilon') \cap F_\varepsilon^s), \delta\}$ . Let  $\xi^s$  be as in Lemma 2.1 and put

$$A_m^s(n) = \left\{ x \in M \left| \begin{array}{l} d_H(f^{-[k/2]} \xi^s(f^{[k/2]} x), \overline{W^s(x)}) \leq 1/m, \\ \text{diam}(f^{k-[k/2]} \xi^s(f^{[k/2]} x)) \leq 1/m \quad (k \geq n) \end{array} \right. \right\} \quad (3)$$

for  $n, m \geq 1$ . Then  $A_m^s(n) \subset A_m^s(n+1)$  and  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_m^s(n)) = 1$  by Lemma 2.1 (c) and (d). Thus there exists an increasing sequence  $\{n_m\}$  such that  $\mu(A_m^s(n_m)) \geq 1 - \delta'/2^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ). Since

$$\int \mu_x^s(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m^s(n_m)) d\mu = \mu(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m^s(n_m)) \geq 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \delta'/2^m = 1 - \delta',$$

we can find a Borel set  $C_{\delta'}^s \subset M$  with  $\mu(C_{\delta'}^s) \geq 1 - \sqrt{\delta'}$  satisfying

$$\mu_x^s(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m^s(n_m)) \geq 1 - \sqrt{\delta'} \quad (4)$$

for  $x \in C_{\delta'}^s$ . To obtain the conclusion it suffices to show that  $\overline{W^s(x)}$  is a \*-chaotic set for  $x \in K \cap C_{\delta'}^s$ , because  $\delta'$  is arbitrary.

For  $x \in K \cap C_{\delta'}^s$ , by the definition of  $K$ , there exists a sequence of positive integers  $\{k_m\}_m$  with  $k_m > n_m$  such that  $f^{k_m}(x) \in U(x_0, \varepsilon') \cap F_{\varepsilon}^s$ . Then by (4) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_x^s(A_m^s(k_m)) &\geq \mu_x^s(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m^s(k_m)) \geq \mu_x^s(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m^s(n_m)) \geq 1 - \sqrt{\delta'}, \\ \mu_x^s(f^{-k_m}(O_i)) &= \mu_{f^{k_m}(x)}^s(O_i) > \delta > \sqrt{\delta'} \quad (i = 1, 2, m \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $\mu_x^s(A_m^s(k_m) \cap f^{-k_m}(O_i)) > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $m \geq 1$ . From Lemma 2.5 we may assume that for  $m \geq 1$  and  $i = 1, 2$  there exists a point  $y_i = y_i(m) \in A_m^s(k_m) \cap f^{-k_m}(O_i)$  such that

$$\overline{W^s(y_i)} = \overline{W^s(x)}.$$

By (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(f^{-[k_m/2]}\xi^s(f^{[k_m/2]}y_i), \overline{W^s(x)}) &= d_H(f^{-[k_m/2]}\xi^s(f^{[k_m/2]}y_i), \overline{W^s(y_i)}) \leq 1/m, \\ \text{diam}(f^{k_m-[k_m/2]}\xi^s(f^{[k_m/2]}y_i)) &\leq 1/m \quad (i = 1, 2, m \geq 1). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

To show that  $\overline{W^s(x)}$  is a \*-chaotic set, suppose that nonempty open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  satisfy

$$U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset, \quad U_j \cap \overline{W^s(x)} \neq \emptyset \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

By (5) we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &\in f^{-[k_m/2]}\xi^s(f^{[k_m/2]}y_i) \cap U_j \neq \emptyset, \\ f^{k_m}(y_i) &\in f^{k_m-[k_m/2]}\xi^s(f^{[k_m/2]}y_i) \subset O_i \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 2) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

if  $m$  is sufficiently large. Take

$$a_{i,j} = a_{i,j}(m) \in f^{-[k_m/2]}\xi^s(f^{[k_m/2]}y_i) \cap U_j$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ . Then we have that for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$

$$a_{i,j} \in U_j, \quad d(f^{k_m}(a_{1,1}), f^{k_m}(a_{2,2})) > \tau \quad \text{and} \quad d(f^{k_m}(a_{1,1}), f^{k_m}(a_{1,2})) < 1/m$$

by (1), (5) and (6). Since  $m$  is arbitrary,  $\overline{W^s(x)}$  is a \*-chaotic set for  $x \in K \cap C_{\delta'}^s$ . □

## 4 Proof of Theorem B(b)

In this section we will prove Theorem B (b). Let  $f, \mu, \eta^u$  and  $\{\mu_x^u | x \in M\}$  be as in §2. By Lemma 2.4,  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^u)$  has no isolated points for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ . Therefore, to obtain the conclusion it suffices to show the following.

**Proposition 2** *If  $\mu_x^u$  is not a point measure for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ , then  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^u) \subset \overline{W^u(x)}$  is a  $*$ -chaotic set for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ .*

**Proof.** Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and let  $F_\varepsilon^u$  be as in Lemma 2.3. By assumption we can take and fix  $x_0 \in \text{supp}(\mu | F_\varepsilon^u)$  such that  $\mu_{x_0}^u$  is not a point measure. Choose two distinct points  $y_1, y_2 \in \text{supp}(\mu_{x_0}^u)$  and put  $\tau = d(y_1, y_2)/2 (> 0)$ . Take arbitrarily  $0 < r < \tau/2$  and choose  $\delta = \delta(r) > 0$  such that

$$\mu_{x_0}^u(U(y_i, r)) > \delta \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (7)$$

Remark that

$$d(U(y_1, r), U(y_2, r)) = \inf\{d(x, y) : d(x, y_1) < r, d(y, y_2) < r\} > \tau. \quad (8)$$

Since  $U(y_i, r)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are open, by (7) there exists a large integer  $M = M(r) > 0$  such that

$$\nu(U(y_i, r)) > \delta = \delta(r) \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (9)$$

for  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  with  $\rho(\nu, \mu_{x_0}^u) < 1/M$ . We can find  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(r) > 0$  such that

$$\rho(\mu_x^u, \mu_{x_0}^u) < 1/2M = 1/2M(r) \quad (x \in U(x_0, \varepsilon') \cap F_\varepsilon^u). \quad (10)$$

Note that  $\varepsilon'$  depends on  $r$ .

Let  $\xi^u$  be as in Lemma 2.1 and put

$$B_m^u(n) = \left\{ x \in M \left| \begin{array}{l} \rho(\mu_x^{f^k \xi^u}, \mu_x^u) \leq 1/m, \\ \text{diam}(f^{-k+[k/2]} \xi^u(f^{-[k/2]} x)) \leq 1/m \quad (k \geq n) \end{array} \right. \right\} \quad (11)$$

for  $n, m \geq 1$ . Then  $B_m^u(n) \subset B_m^u(n+1)$  and  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty B_m^u(n)) = 1$ , by Lemma 2.1 (c) and Doob's theorem. Thus there exists an increasing sequence  $\{n_m\}$  such that  $\mu(B_m^u(n_m)) \geq 1 - 1/2^{m+1}$  ( $m \geq 1$ ). Since  $\mu(\bigcap_{k=m}^\infty B_k^u(n_k)) \geq 1 - 1/2^m$  for  $m \geq 1$ , we can find a Borel set  $D_m^u$  with  $\mu(D_m^u) \geq 1 - 2^{-m/2}$  satisfying

$$\mu_x^u(\bigcap_{k=m}^\infty B_k^u(n_k)) \geq 1 - 2^{-m/2} \quad (x \in D_m^u). \quad (12)$$

Put

$$K_r = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcup_{m=k}^\infty \left( \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty \bigcup_{\ell \geq n} f^{-\ell}(U(x_0, \varepsilon'(r)) \cap F_\varepsilon^u \cap D_m^u) \right) \quad (0 < r < \tau/2).$$

Then  $\mu(K_r) = 1$  ( $0 < r < \tau/2$ ) by ergodicity of  $\mu$ . To obtain the conclusion it suffices to show that  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^u)$  is a  $*$ -chaotic set for  $x \in K = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} K_{1/n}$ .

To do this fix  $x \in K_r$  ( $r = 1/n, n \geq 1$ ) and suppose that nonempty open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  satisfy

$$U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset, \quad U_j \cap \text{supp}(\mu_x^u) \neq \emptyset \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Choose  $m_0 > 0$  with

$$0 < 2^{-m_0/2} < \min\{\mu_x^u(U_j) : j = 1, 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad m_0 \geq 2M.$$

Since  $x \in K_r$ , by the definition of  $K_r$ , there exist  $m_1 > m_0$  and a sequence of positive integers  $\{\ell_k\}_k$  with  $\ell_k > n_k$  such that

$$f^{\ell_k}(x) \in U(x_0, \varepsilon'(r)) \cap F_\varepsilon^u \cap D_{m_1}^u \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (13)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_x^u(f^{-\ell_k}(B_k^u(n_k))) &\geq \mu_x^u(f^{-\ell_k}(\cap_{k=m_1}^\infty B_k^u(n_k))) \\ &= \mu_{f^{\ell_k}(x)}^u(\cap_{k=m_1}^\infty B_k^u(n_k)) \\ &\geq 1 - 2^{-m_1/2} \geq 1 - 2^{-m_0/2} \quad (k \geq m_1) \end{aligned}$$

by (12), we have

$$\mu_x^u(U_j \cap f^{-\ell_k}(B_k^u(n_k))) \geq \mu_x^u(U_j) - 2^{-m_0/2} > 0 \quad (k \geq m_1).$$

Then, by the definition of  $\{\mu_x^u | x \in M\}$ , we can choose

$$z_j = z_j(k) \in U_j \cap f^{-\ell_k} B_k^u(n_k)$$

with  $\eta^u(x) = \eta^u(z_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2$  and  $k \geq m_1$ . Thus we have  $\eta^u(f^{\ell_k}(x)) = \eta^u(f^{\ell_k}(z_j))$  and so  $\mu_{f^{\ell_k}(x)}^u = \mu_{f^{\ell_k}(z_j)}^u$ . Since  $f^{\ell_k}(z_j) \in B_k^u(n_k) \subset B_k^u(\ell_k)$ , by (11) we have

$$\rho(\mu_{f^{\ell_k}(z_j)}^{f^{\ell_k}\xi^u}, \mu_{f^{\ell_k}(x)}^u) = \rho(\mu_{f^{\ell_k}(z_j)}^{f^{\ell_k}\xi^u}, \mu_{f^{\ell_k}(z_j)}^u) \leq 1/k \leq 1/m_0 \leq 1/2M, \quad (14)$$

$$\text{diam}(f^{-\ell_k + [\ell_k/2]}\xi^u(f^{\ell_k - [\ell_k/2]}(z_j))) \leq 1/k \quad (15)$$

for  $j = 1, 2$  and  $k \geq m_1$ . Thus, by (9), (10), (13) and (14),

$$\mu_{z_j}^{\xi^u}(f^{-\ell_k}U(y_i, r)) = \mu_{f^{\ell_k}(z_j)}^{f^{\ell_k}\xi^u}(U(y_i, r)) > \delta.$$

Since  $\text{supp}(\mu_{z_j}^{\xi^u}) \subset \xi^u(z_j)$ , we have

$$\xi^u(z_j) \cap f^{-\ell_k}U(y_i, r) \neq \emptyset$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$  and  $k \geq m_1$ . For  $k$  large enough, by (15) we may assume

$$z_j \in f^{-\ell_k + [\ell_k/2]}\xi^u(f^{\ell_k - [\ell_k/2]}(z_j)) \subset U_j.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} U_j \cap f^{-\ell_k}U(y_i, r) &\supset f^{-\ell_k + [\ell_k/2]}\xi^u(f^{\ell_k - [\ell_k/2]}(z_j)) \cap f^{-\ell_k}U(y_i, r) \\ &\supset \xi^u(z_j) \cap f^{-\ell_k}U(y_i, r) \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$  and  $k$  large enough. Take

$$b_{i,j} = b_{i,j}(k) \in f^{-k}(U(y_i, r)) \cap U_j$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ . Then we have that for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$

$$b_{i,j} \in U_j, \quad d(f^k(b_{1,1}), f^k(b_{2,2})) > \tau \quad \text{and} \quad d(f^k(b_{1,1}), f^k(b_{1,2})) < r = 1/n$$

by (8). This implies that  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^u)$  is a  $*$ -chaotic set for  $x \in K = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} K_{1/n}$ .  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem C

The purpose of this section is to show Theorem C. Let  $f$  be a  $C^2$ -diffeomorphism of a closed  $C^\infty$ -manifold  $M$  and let  $\mu$  be an ergodic SRB measure. As described in §1 the Pesin's formula holds: i.e.  $h_\mu(f) = \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i m_i$ . Thus we have  $h_\mu(f) \geq \max\{\lambda_i\} > 0$  because  $\mu$  satisfies the condition (A) mentioned in §1. Therefore, by Theorem B,  $\overline{W^s(x)}$  is a  $*$ -chaotic set for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ .

To show that  $\overline{W^u(x)}$  is a  $*$ -chaotic set we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1** ([8], Corollary 6.1.4) *Let  $\mu$  be an ergodic measure satisfying Pesin's formula, let  $\xi^u$  be as in Lemma 2.1 and let  $\psi$  be the density of  $\mu_x^{\xi^u}$  with respect to  $m_x^u$ . Then at  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$ ,  $\psi$  is a strictly positive function on  $\xi^u(x)$  and  $\log \psi$  is Lipschitz along  $W^u$ -leaves.*

Let  $\eta^u$  and  $\{\mu_x^u | x \in M\}$  be as in §2. Then, by Proposition 2,  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^u) \subset \overline{W^u(x)}$  is a  $*$ -chaotic set for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ . Therefore, to obtain the conclusion it suffices to show the following.

**Proposition 3** *If  $\mu$  is an SRB measure, then  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^u) = \overline{W^u(x)}$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ .*

**Proof.** We first show that  $\xi^u(x) \subset \text{supp}(\mu_x^u)$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ . Since  $\xi^u$  is finer than  $\eta^u$ , for  $\mu$ -almost all  $z \in M$

$$\int \mu_x^{\xi^u}(\text{supp}(\mu_z^u)) d\mu_z^u(x) = \mu_z^u(\text{supp}(\mu_z^u)) = 1.$$

Then  $\mu_x^{\xi^u}(\text{supp}(\mu_z^u)) = 1$  for  $\mu_z^u$ -almost all  $x$ . Since  $\text{supp}(\mu_z^u)$  is closed, by Lemma 5.1 we have that

$$\xi^u(x) \subset \text{supp}(\mu_z^u) = \text{supp}(\mu_x^u)$$

for  $\mu_z^u$ -almost all  $x$ . Therefore  $\xi^u(x) \subset \text{supp}(\mu_x^u)$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$ .

Since  $f_*\mu_x^u = \mu_{f(x)}^u$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$ , we have  $f(\text{supp}(\mu_x^u)) = \text{supp}(\mu_{f(x)}^u)$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$ . By Lemma 2.1 (d)

$$\begin{aligned} W^u(x) &= \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n \xi^u(f^{-n}(x)) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(\text{supp}(\mu_{f^{-n}(x)}^u)) \\ &\subset \text{supp}(\mu_x^u) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ . Therefore  $\text{supp}(\mu_x^u) = \overline{W^u(x)}$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in M$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] L.S. Block and W.A. Coppel, *Dynamics in one dimension*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, 1513, 2151-2157.
- [2] R. Devaney, *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems*, Benjamin/Cummings, 1986.
- [3] A. Fathi, M.R. Herman and J-C. Yoccoz, *A proof of Pesin's stable manifold theorem*, in Geometric Dynamics, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1007, 117-215 (1983), 689-698.
- [4] H. Kato, *On scrambled sets and a theorem of Kuratowski on independent sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 126 (1998), 2151-2157.
- [5] H. Kato, *Chaotic continua of (continuum-wise) expansive homeomorphisms and chaos in the sense of Li and Yorke*, Fund. Math., 145 (1994), 261-279.
- [6] A. Katok, *Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 51 (1980), 137-174.
- [7] F. Ledrappier and J.M. Strelcyn, *A proof of the estimation from below in Pesin entropy formula*, Ergod. Theory & Dynam. Syst., 2 (1982), 203-219.
- [8] F. Ledrappier and L.S. Young, *The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms*, Ann. Math., 122 (1985), 509-574.
- [9] T.Y. Li and J.A. Yorke, *Period three implies chaos*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 82 (1975), 985-992.
- [10] R. Mañé, *Ergodic Theory and Differentiable Dynamics*, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [11] F.R. Marotto, *Snap-back repellers imply chaos in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , J. Math. Analysis and Appl., 63 (1978), 199-223.
- [12] M. Misiurewicz, *Chaos almost everywhere*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1163 (1985), 125-130.
- [13] M. Misiurewicz and J. Smítal, *Smooth chaotic maps with zero entropy*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., 8 (1988), 421-424.
- [14] V.I. Oseledec, *A multiplicative ergodic theorem: Liapunov characteristic numbers for dynamical systems*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 19 (1968), 197-231.
- [15] Y.B. Pesin, *Families of invariant manifolds corresponding to non-zero characteristic exponents*, Math. of the USSR, Izvestija, 10 (1978), 1261-1305.

- [16] Y.B. Pesin, *Characteristic Lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory*, Russ. Math. Surveys, 32:4 (1977), 55-114.
- [17] C. Pugh and M. Shub, *Ergodic attractors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 312 (1989), 1-54.
- [18] C. Robinson, *Dynamical systems: stability, symbolic dynamics, and chaos*, CRC Press, 1994.
- [19] V.A. Rohlin, *On the fundamental ideas of measure theory*, A.M.S. Transl., (1)10 (1962), 1-52.
- [20] V.A. Rohlin, *Lectures on the theory of entropy of transformations with invariant measures*, Russ. Math. Surveys, 22:5 (1967), 1-54.
- [21] D. Ruelle, *An inequality for the entropy of differentiable maps*, Bol. Soc. Bras. Math., 9 (1978), 83-87.
- [22] J. Smítal, *A chaotic function with some extremal properties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 87:1 (1983), 54-56.
- [23] J. Smítal, *A chaotic function with a scrambled set of positive Lebesgue measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 97 (1984), 50-54.
- [24] J. Xiong and Z. Yang, *Chaos caused by a topologically mixing map*, World Scientific, Advanced Series in Dynamical Systems Vol 9 (1991), 550-572.
- [25] L.-S. Young, *Statistical properties of dynamical systems with some hyperbolicity*, Annals of Mathematics 147 (1998), 558-650.