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# Algebraic Curves and Balanced $n$－ary Designs 

筑波大学社会工学系 藤原 良（Ryoh Fuji－Hara）<br>筑波大学社会工学研究科<br>篠原 聡（Satoshi Shinohara）


#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a set of points and $\mathcal{B}$ a collection of multi－subsets（called blocks）of $\mathcal{V}$ each of size $k$ ．A balanced $n$－ary design is a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ such that each pointt occurs at most $n-1$ times in any block，and each unordered pair of distinct points occurs $\lambda$ times in the blocks of $\mathcal{B}$ ．Note that in the block $\{x, x, y\}$ ，the pair $\{x, y\}$ is counted twice in the block．We show here some constructions of balanced $n$－ary designs by using algebraic curves over finite fields．


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a set of $v$ points and $\mathcal{B}$ a collection of multi－subsets，called blocks， of $\mathcal{V}$ ．A balanced $n$－ary design is a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ satisfying
（1）each block is of a constant size $k$ ，
（2）each point occurs at most $n-1$ times in any block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ，and
（3）each unordered pair of distinct points occurs exactly $\lambda$ times in the blocks of $\mathcal{B}$ ．

Note that，for example，the block size of $B=\{x, x, x, y, y, z\}$ is 6 since the points $x, y$ and $z$ occur 3 times，twice and once，respectively．And in the
block $B$ the pairs $\{x, y\},\{y, z\}$ and $\{x, z\}$ are counted 6,2 and 3 times, respectively.

Let $N=\left(n_{i j}\right)$ be a $|\mathcal{V}| \times|\mathcal{B}|$ matrix, where $n_{i j}$ is the number of occurrences of the $i$-th point in the $j$-th block. We consider $N$ as the incidence matrix of a balanced $n$-ary design. Using the incidence matrix, the conditions in the definition of a balanced $n$-ary design can be rewitten as follows:
(1') $\sum_{i} n_{i j}=k$ for any $j$,
(2') $0 \leq n_{i j} \leq n-1$ for any $i, j$, and
$\left(\mathbf{3}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{j} n_{i j} n_{i^{\prime} j}=\lambda$ for any unordered pair $\left\{i, i^{\prime}\right\}, i \neq i^{\prime}$.

Example 1.1. Let $\mathcal{V}=\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be the collection of the following blocks:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{a, b, b, d, d, e, e, e\},\{a, a, c, c, c, d, e, e\}, \\
& \{b, b, b, c, c, d, d, e\},\{a, a, b, c, c, d, d, d\} \\
& \{a, a, a, b, b, c, e, e\},\{a, c, c, d, d, d, e, e\}, \\
& \{a, a, b, b, b, c, d, d\},\{b, b, c, c, d, e, e, e\}, \\
& \{a, a, b, b, c, c, c, e\},\{a, a, a, b, d, d, e, e\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ is a balanced 4 -ary (quaternary) design with 5 points, 10 blocks
and the block size is 8 . The incidence matrix of the above balanced 4 -ary design is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}
1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\
2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right],
$$

and for any pair $\left\{i, i^{\prime}\right\}, i \neq i^{\prime}$,

$$
\sum_{j} n_{i j} n_{i^{\prime} j}=23=\lambda
$$

Let $\rho_{s}^{(i)}$ be the number of blocks containing the $i$-th point exactly $s$ times, i.e. the number of the entry $s$ in the $i$-th row of the incidence matrix. If $\rho_{s}^{(i)}=\rho_{s}$ for all $i$ then the design is said to be regular. If a balanced $n$-ary design is regular then the replication number $R_{i}$ of the $i$-th point is a constant number $R$, since

$$
R_{i}=\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} r \rho_{r}^{(i)}=\sum_{r} r \rho_{r}=R .
$$

Balanced $n$-ary designs were first introduced by Tocher [3] in a statistical paper. The interested reader is referred to $[1,2]$ for their excellent surveys.

## 2 Algebraic curves

Let $q$ be a prime power, and $G F(q)$ a finite field of order $q$. A divisor $D$ on a curve $C$ is a formal sum $\sum_{P \in C} m_{P} P, m_{P} \in Z$. The set of divisors on $C$ is
denoted by $\operatorname{Div}(D)$. The support of a divisor $D$, denoted by $\operatorname{Supp}(D)$, is the set of points satisfying $m_{P} \neq 0$. A divisor $D$ is said to be efficient if $m_{P} \geq 0$ for all $P$, and denoted by $D \geq 0$. The degree of $D$ is $\operatorname{deg} D=\sum_{P} m_{P}$. Let $D=\sum_{P} m_{P} P$ and $E=\sum_{P} m_{P}^{\prime} P$. Then $D+E=\sum_{P}\left(m_{P}+m_{P}^{\prime}\right) P$.

Let $\operatorname{Rat}(C)$ be the set of rational functions over a curve $C$. The divisor of a rational function $f \in \operatorname{Rat}(C)$ is $\operatorname{div}(f)=\sum_{P \in C} m_{P} P$, where $m_{P}$ is the order of $f$ at $P$. For a divisor $D$, a divisor $E$ is said to be equivalent to $D$, denoted by $E \sim D$, if there exists a rational function $f \in \operatorname{Rat}(C)$ satisfying $E=D+\operatorname{div}(f)$.

Let $C$ be a curve defined by $F=0$, where $F$ is a polynomial over a finite field $G F(q)$. The divisor of the curve $C$ is the divisor of $F$. Note that $\operatorname{div}(C)=\operatorname{div}(F) \geq 0$. When the divisor of $C$ is written as $\operatorname{div}(C)=\sum_{p} m_{p} p$, the intersection multiplicity of a point $p$ on a curve $C^{\prime}$ with the curve $C$ is the order of $F$ at $p$, say $m_{p}$.

Let $L(D)=\{f \in \operatorname{Rat}(C): \operatorname{div}(f)+D \geq 0\} \cup\{0\}$. It is well-known that $L(D)$ is a linear space with a finite dimension over an extension field $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ of $G F(q)$.

## 3 Algebraic curves and balanced $n$-ary designs

Let $C$ be an irreducible curve defined over $G F(q)$ and $\mathcal{C}=\left\{C_{1}, \cdots, C_{b}\right\}$ a set of $b$ curves defined over an extension $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ of $G F(q)$. Let $V_{j}$ be a set of intersection points of $C_{j} \in \mathcal{C}$ with $C$, and $\mathcal{V}=\bigcup_{j} V_{j}=\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{v}\right\}$.

Let $n_{i j}$ denotes the intersection multiplicity of a point $p_{i}$ with a curve $C_{j}$. We consider the $v \times b$ matrix $\left(n_{i j}\right)$ as the incidence matrix. To satisfy the conditions of balanced $n$-ary designs, we have to choose suitable $C, \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{V}$.

The first condition is required for the block size to be constant, i.e., $\sum_{i} n_{i j}=k$ for any curve $C_{j} \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $D$ be a divisor on $C$ and $\mathcal{D}=$ $\{\operatorname{div}(f)+D: f \in L(D) \backslash\{0\}\}=\left\{E_{1}, \cdots, E_{b}\right\}$. Note that each element of $\mathcal{D}$ is the divisor of a curve.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of curves such that their divisors are the elements of $\mathcal{D}$. For any curve of $\mathcal{C}$, the total number of multiplicities of its intersection points with $C$ is a constant number $k$.

Proof. The set $\mathcal{D}$ is the set of efficient divisors being equivalent to $D$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} & =\{\operatorname{div}(f)+D: f \in L(D) \backslash\{0\}\} \\
& =\{E \in \operatorname{Div}(C): E \geq 0, E \sim D\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well-known that if $E \sim D$ then $\operatorname{deg} D=\operatorname{deg} E$. Hence for any $j$

$$
\sum_{i} n_{i j}=\operatorname{deg} E_{j}=\operatorname{deg} D=k
$$

We assume here that we choose $\mathcal{C}$ as above, and that the point set $\mathcal{V}$ of a design is the set $\mathcal{V}=\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{D}} \operatorname{Supp}(E)$. The second condition says that each point of the design occurs at most $n-1$ times. Since the intersection multiplicities of points on curves are always positive, this condition is automatically satisfied from Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. Let $C$ be a curve defined over a finite field, $D$ a divisor on $C, \mathcal{D}=\{\operatorname{div}(f)+D: f \in L(D) \backslash\{0\}\}=\left\{E_{1}, \cdots, E_{b}\right\}, \mathcal{V}=\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{D}} \operatorname{Supp}(E)$ $E_{j}=\sum n_{i j} P_{i}, P_{i} \in V$. If $\operatorname{deg} C \leq 2$ and $D \geq 0$ then $\left(n_{i j}\right)$ is the incidence matrix of a balanced n-ary design $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D})$.

Proof. We only have to check whether the third condition of balanced $n$-ary designs is satisfied. Let $E_{j}$ be the $j$-th element of $\mathcal{D}$. Assume that $D$ and $E_{j}$ 's have the forms $D=\sum_{i} m_{i} P_{i}$ and $E_{j}=\operatorname{div}\left(f_{j}\right)+D$, respectively. Let $\operatorname{div}\left(f_{j}\right)=\sum_{i} e_{i j} P_{i}$. Then each entry $n_{i j}$ of the incidence matrix $\left(n_{i j}\right)$ is $m_{i}+e_{i j}$, since $\operatorname{div}\left(f_{j}\right)+D=\sum_{i} e_{i j} P_{i}+D=\sum_{i}\left(m_{i}+e_{i j}\right) P_{i}$. For any pair
$\left\{i, i^{\prime}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j} n_{i j} n_{i^{\prime} j} \\
= & \sum_{j}\left(m_{i}+e_{i j}\right)\left(m_{i^{\prime}}+e_{i^{\prime} j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j}\left(m_{i} m_{i^{\prime}}+m_{i} e_{i^{\prime} j}+m_{i^{\prime}} e_{i j}+e_{i j} e_{i^{\prime} j}\right) \\
= & b m_{i} m_{i^{\prime}}+m_{i} \sum_{j} e_{i^{\prime} j}+m_{i^{\prime}} \sum_{j} e_{i j}+\sum_{j} e_{i j} e_{i^{\prime} j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lambda(a, b)$ be the number of $j$ satisfying $\left(e_{i j}, e_{i^{\prime} j}\right)=(a, b)$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{j} e_{i j} e_{i^{\prime} j}=\sum_{(a, b)} a b \lambda(a, b) .
$$

When the degree of base curve $C$ is less than or equal to 2 , it can be easily seen that if $a+b=c+d$ then $\lambda(a, b)=\lambda(c, d)$. Moreover we can see that

$$
\sum_{j} e_{i^{\prime} j}=\sum_{j} e_{i j}=\sum_{a} a \lambda(a),
$$

where $\lambda(a)$ is the number of $j$ satisfying $n_{i j}=a$. Since both of $\lambda(a, b)$ and $\lambda(a)$ are independent of $\left\{i, i^{\prime}\right\}$ chosen, we have

$$
\sum_{j} n_{i j} n_{i^{\prime} j}=\lambda
$$

and we can conclude that the third condition in the definition of a balanced $n$-ary design is also satisfied.
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