

| Title | An Estimate on the Heat Kernel of Magnetic Schrodinger Operators and Uniformly Elliptic Operators with Non-negative Potentials (Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations) |
|-------------|--|
| Author(s) | Kurata, Kazuhiro |
| Citation | 数理解析研究所講究録 (1999), 1102: 112-127 |
| Issue Date | 1999-06 |
| URL | http://hdl.handle.net/2433/63181 |
| Right | |
| Туре | Departmental Bulletin Paper |
| Textversion | publisher |

An Estimate on the Heat Kernel of Magnetic Schrödinger Operators and Uniformly Elliptic Operators with Non-negative Potentials

都立大理学研究科 倉田 和浩 (Kazuhiro Kurata)

Abstract

In this paper we show an estimate of the heat kernel to the Schrödinger oprator with magnetic fields and to uniformly elliptic operators with non-negative potentials which belongs to the reverse Hölder class. We also give a weighted smoothing estimates for the semigroup generated by the operators above.

1 Introduction and Main Results

We consider the uniformly elliptic operator $L_E = -\nabla(A(x)\nabla) + V(x)$ with certain non-negative potential V and the Schrödinger operator $L_M = (i^{-1}\nabla - a(x))^2 + V(x)$ with a magnetic field $a(x) = (a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x)), n \geq 2$. We use the notation L_J for J = E or J = M. The purpose of this paper is to give an estimate of the fundamental solution (or heat kernel) $\Gamma_J(x, t: y, s)$ to

$$(\partial_t + L_J)u(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times (0,\infty), \tag{1}$$

namely $\Gamma_J(x,t;y,s)$ satisfies

$$(\partial_t + L_J)\Gamma_J(x, t; y, s) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \ t > s, \tag{2}$$

$$\lim_{t \to s} \Gamma_J(x, t; y, s) = \delta(x - y). \tag{3}$$

For the elliptic operator L_E , we assume the following conditions for $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))$.

Assumption (A.1): $a_{ij}(x)$ is a real-valued measurable function and satisfies $a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x)$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Assumption (A.2): There exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$|\lambda|\xi|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi^i\xi^j \le \lambda^{-1}|\xi|^2, \quad \xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n) \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$
 (4)

To state our assumptions on V and a, we prepare some notations. We say $U \in (RH)_{\infty}$ if $U \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and satisfies

$$\sup_{y \in B(x,r)} |U(y)| \le C \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |U(y)| \, dy, \tag{5}$$

and say $U \in (RH)_q$ if $U \in L^q_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and satisfies

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |U(y)|^q \, dy\right)^{1/q} \le C \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |U(y)| \, dy,\tag{6}$$

for some constant C and for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and r > 0, respectively. We can define the function m(x,U) for $U \in (RH)_q$ with q > n/2 as follows:

$$\frac{1}{m(x,U)} = \sup\{r > 0; \frac{r^2}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} U(y) \, dy \le 1\}. \tag{7}$$

We note that if there exist positive constants K_1 and K_2 such that $K_1U_1(x) \le U_2(x) \le K_2U_1(x)$, then it is easy to see that there exist positive constants K'_1 and K'_2 such that

$$K_1'm(x, U_1) \le m(x, U_2) \le K_2'm(x, U_1).$$

When $n \geq 3$, since it is known $U \in (RH)_{n/2}$ actually belongs to $(RH)_{n/2+\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, m(x, U) can be defined for $U \in (RH)_{n/2}$ ([Sh1]). For other properties of the class $(RH)_q$, see, e.g., [KS]. We denote by $B(x) = (B_{jk}(x))$ the magnetic field defined by $B_{jk}(x) = \partial_j a_k(x) - \partial_k a_j(x)$. We use the notation $m_J(x)$:

$$m_E(x) = m(x, V), \qquad m_M(x) = m(x, |B| + V)$$

for the operator L_J , J=E or M, respectively. We assume the following conditions for V(x) and $a(x)=(a_1(x),\cdots,a_n(x))$.

Assumption (V, a, B): For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, $a_j(x)$ is a real-valued $C^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ -function, V is non-negative.

(i) For $n \geq 3$, we assume V(x) and a(x) satisfy

$$|V + |B| \in (RH)_{n/2}, \qquad |\nabla B(x)| \le Cm(x, V + |B|)^3.$$

(ii) For n = 2, we assume V(x) and a(x) satisfy

$$V + |B| \in (RH)_q, \qquad |\nabla B(x)| \le Cm(x, V + |B|)^3$$

for some q > 1.

Remark 1 For n = 2, we may assume the condition (ii') instead of (ii) by employing Lemma 1 (b).

(ii') $V \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^2), B(x) \geq 0$ and that $m_J(x)$ satisfies

$$C_1 \frac{m_J(x)}{(1+|x-y|m_J(x))^{k_0/(k_0+1)}} \le m_J(y) \le C_2(1+|x-y|m_J(x))^{k_0} m_J(x)$$
(8)

for some positive constants C_1, C_2, k_0 and for every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^2$, where $m_E(x) = \sqrt{V(x)}$ and $m_M(x) = \sqrt{V(x) + B(x)}$.

We remark that it is known that $m_J(x)$ satisfies (8) under the assumption (V, a, B) for $n \geq 3([\operatorname{Sh}1])$ and even for n = 2 in the same way. We also note that if $|B| + V \in (RH)_{\infty}$, then it is easy to see that $|B(x)| + V(x) \leq Cm(x, |B| + V)^2$ holds. For example, the condition $|B| + V \in (RH)_{\infty}$ is satisfied for any $a_j(x) = Q_j(x), V(x) = |P(x)|^{\alpha}$, where P(x) and $Q_j(x), j = 1, \dots, n$, are polymonials and α is a positive constant. In this case, under the assumption (V, a, B) (i) or (ii), we see that there exists a positive constant m_0 such that $m_J(x) \geq m_0$, although in general we cannot say |B| + V is strictly positive for imhomogeneous polynomials. To state our main result, we introduce the notation:

$$\Gamma_{C_0}(x,t;y,s) = \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} \exp(-C_0 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t-s})$$

for some positive constant C_0 .

Theorem 1 (a) Suppose A(x) and V(x) satisfy the assumptions (A.1), (A.2) and (V,0,0). Then, there exist positive constants α_0 and C_j (j=0,1,2) such that

$$(0 \le) \Gamma_E(x, t; y, s) \le C_1 \exp\left(-C_2(1 + m_E(x)(t - s)^{1/2})^{\alpha_0/2}\right) \Gamma_{C_0}(x, t; y, s)$$
 (9)

for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and t > s > 0.

(b) Suppose V(x) and a(x) satisfy the assumption (V, a, B). Then, there exist positive constants α_0 and C_j (j = 0, 1, 2) such that

$$|\Gamma_{M}(x,t;y,s)| \le C_{1} \exp\left(-C_{2}(1+m_{M}(x)(t-s)^{1/2})^{\alpha_{0}/2}\right) \Gamma_{C_{0}}(x,t;y,s)$$
for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $t > s > 0$.

The number α_0 is actually defined by $\alpha_0 = 2/(k_0 + 1)$, where k_0 is the constant in (8). The exponent $\alpha_0/2$ would not be sharp. If we restrict for the case $CB_0 \ge |B(x)| \ge B_0 > 0$, the following sharp estimate is known ([Ma], [Er1,2] for $n \ge 3$ and [LT] for n = 2):

$$|\Gamma_M(x,t;y,s)| \le D_1 \exp(-D_2 B_0 t) \Gamma_{D_0}(x,t;y,s).$$

More detail informations on the constants D_j (j = 0, 1, 2) can be seen in those papers. By using the parabolic distance:

$$d_P((x,t),(y,s)) = \max(|x-y|,|t-s|^{1/2}),$$

we have the following decay estimate.

Corollary 1 (a) Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1, there exist positive constants C_i (j = 1, 2) and C_0 such that

$$|\Gamma_J(x,t;y,s)| \le C_1 \exp\left(-C_2(1+m_J(x)d_P((x,t),(y,s)))^{2\alpha_0/(\alpha_0+4)}\right) \Gamma_{C_0}(x,t;y,s)$$

for J = E and M, for every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and t > s > 0.

(b) Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1, for each k > 0 there exist positive constants C_k and C_0 such that

$$|\Gamma_J(x,t;y,s)| \le \frac{C_k}{(1+m_J(x)d_P((x,t),(y,s)))^k} \Gamma_{C_0}(x,t;y,s)$$

for J = E and M.

Remark 2 Actually we can show the estimate in Theorem 1 for the operators $L_E = -\nabla(A(x,t)\nabla) + V(x,t)$ with time-dependent coefficients, if we assume the uniform ellipticity (4) of A(x,t) and the existence of constants C_j , j=1,2, such that $C_1U(x) \leq V(x,t) \leq C_2U(x)$ and U satisfies the condition (U,0,0). For the magnetic Schrödinger operator $L_M = (i^{-1}\nabla - a(x,t))^2 + V(x,t)$, the estimate in Theorem 1 still holds, if there exists positive constants C_j , $j=1,\cdots,5$, such that $C_1U(x) \leq V(x,t) \leq C_2U(x)$, $C_3|B'(x)| \leq |B(x,t)| \leq C_4|B'(x)|$, and $|\nabla B(x,t)| \leq C_5m(x,|B'|+U)^3$, where a(x,t) is C^1 and $B_{jk}(x,t) = \partial_j a(x,t) - \partial_k a_j(x,t)$ and U(x) and B'(x) satisfy the Assumption (U,a,B') (except $|\nabla B'(x)| \leq Cm_J(x)^3 = Cm(x,|B'|+U|)^3$), and if the upper bound:

$$|\Gamma_M(x,t;y,s)| \leq C\Gamma_{C_0}(x,t;y,s)$$

holds for some constants C and C_0 .

Remark 3 In particular, Corollary 1 (b) yields

$$|\Gamma_{J}(x,t;y,s)| \leq \frac{C_{k}}{(1+m_{J}(x)|x-y|)^{k}(1+m_{J}(x)|t-s|)^{k}}\Gamma_{C_{0}}(x,t;y,s)$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{k}}{(1+m_{J}(x)|x-y|)^{k}}\Gamma_{C_{0}}(x,t;y,s)$$
(11)

for J = E or M. Let $n \geq 3$. Then this implies

$$|\Gamma_J(x,y) \equiv \int_s^{+\infty} \Gamma_J(x,t;y,s) \, dt| \le \frac{C_k}{(1+m_J(x)|x-y|)^k |x-y|^{n-2}}$$

where $\Gamma_J(x,y)$ is the fundamental solution to $L_J u = 0$. This estimate for the elliptic operator was proved by Shen [Sh1,2]. Thus, Corollary 1 (b) is a generalization of his estimate.

Remark 4 Recently we are informed by Z.Shen that he obtained the following shape estimate [Sh3] for the elliptic operators: under the assumption $V \in (RH)_{n/2}$ for $n \geq 3$ and $V \in (RH)_q$ with q > 1 for n = 2,

$$C_1 \exp(-C_2 d(x,y))|x-y|^{2-n} \le \Gamma_E(x,y) \le C_3 \exp(-C_4 d(x,y))|x-y|^{2-n}$$

holds for some positive constants C_j (j = 1, 2, 3, 4), where d(x, y) is defined by

$$d(x,y) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_0^1 m(\gamma(t), V) |\left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right)(t)| dt.$$

Here the infimum is taken over all curves γ such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(1) = y$. Moreover, he gave the following estimate:

$$C_1(1+m(x)|x-y|)^{\alpha_0/2} \le d(x,y) \le C_2(1+m(x)|x-y|)^{\beta_0}$$

for some positive constants $C_j(j=1,2)$ and β_0 . In particular, it follows

$$\Gamma_E(x,y) \le C_5 \exp(-C_6(1+m_E(x)|x-y|)^{\alpha_0/2})|x-y|^{2-n}$$

for some positive constants C_5 and C_6 . We remark that this decay estimate also can be shown for the fundamental solution $\Gamma_M(x,y)$ to L_M in a similar way. On the other hand, it follows from Corollary 1 (a) a somewhat weaker decay estimate:

$$|\Gamma_J(x,y)| \le C \exp(-C(1+m_J(x)|x-y|)^{2\alpha_0/(\alpha_0+4)})|x-y|^{2-n}$$

for J = E or M. We do not know whether his sharp estimate can be generalized to heat kernel estimates or not.

We denote by e^{-tL_J} the semigroup generated by L_J . Here we also denote by L_J the self-adjoint operator determined from the form associated with L_J (see, e.g., [Si], [LS]). We obtain the following weighted smoothing estimate by using Corollary 1 (b).

Theorem 2 Assume the same assumptions as in Theorem 1. Let J = E or M. Suppose 1 and <math>1/p - 1/q < 1 and put $\gamma = n(1/p - 1/q)$. Then for each $l \in [0, (n-\gamma)/2]$ there exists a constant C_l such that

$$||m_J(x)^{2l}e^{-tL_J}f||_{L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le \frac{C_l}{t^{l+(\gamma/2)}}||f||_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad t > 0.$$
 (12)

Corollary 2 Suppose the additional condition $|B| + V \in (RH)_{\infty}$. Then we have the following estimates:

$$\|(|B|+V)^l e^{-tL_J} f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le \frac{C_l}{t^l} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad t > 0$$
 (13)

holds for $1 and <math>l \in [0, n/2]$, and

$$\|(|B|+V)^l e^{-tL_J} f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le \frac{C_l}{t^{l+(n/2p)}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad t > 0$$
(14)

holds for $1 \le p < +\infty$ and $l \in [0, n/(2p')]$. Here 1/p' = 1 - 1/p and C_l is a constant depending on l and p.

Corollary 2 is an easy consequence of Theorem 2 by using the inequality $(|B| + V)(x) \le Cm_J(x)^2$. Note that (14) for the case l = 0 is a classical result.

Theorem 1 yields a weighted smoothing estimate with an exponential decay in time.

Theorem 3 Assume the same assumptions as in Theorem 1 and the additional assumption $m_J(x) \ge m_0 > 0$.

(a) Let $1 \le p < +\infty$ and $l \in [0, n/(2p')]$. Then we have

$$||m_J(x)|^{2l}e^{-tL_J}f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C \exp(-C(1+m_0t^{1/2})^{\frac{\alpha_0}{2}})\frac{1}{t^{l+(n/2p)}}||f||_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad t > 0.$$

(b) Let $1 \le p \le 2$ and $l \in [0, n/(2p')]$. Then we have

$$||m_J(x)|^{2l}e^{-tL_J}f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C \exp(-C(1+m_0^2t))\frac{1}{t^{l+(n/2p)}}||f||_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Especially, for the case $CB_0 \ge |B(x)| \ge B_0 > 0$, Theorem 3 (b) yields an exponential decay estimate in time:

$$||e^{-tL_M}f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le \frac{C_1}{t^{n/2}} \exp(-C_2 B_0 t) ||f||_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad t > 0$$
 (15)

for some positive constant C_1 and C_2 , which is known (see, e.g., [Ma], [Er1,2], [Ue], [LT]). Indeed, in this case $m_M(x) \sim \sqrt{B_0}$ holds. Note that Theorem 3 (a) gives weaker decay rate $e^{-C\sqrt{B_0t}}$, since $k_0 = 0$ and $\alpha_0 = 2$. We also emphasize that Theorem 3 can be applied to any polynomial like magnetic field B(x) which may be zero somewhere.

Definition 1 We say u(x,t) is a complex-valued weak solution to

$$(\partial_t + L_M)u = 0 \quad in \quad Q_r(x_0, t_0),$$

if $u \in L^{\infty}((t_0 - r^2, t_0); L^2(B(x_0, r); \mathbf{C})) \cap L^2((t_0 - r^2, t_0); H^1(B(x_0, r); \mathbf{C}))$ and satisfies

$$\int_{B(x_0,r)} u(x,t)\overline{\phi(x,t)} dx - \int_{t_0-r^2}^t \int_{B(x_0,r)} u(x,s)\partial_s \overline{\phi}(x,s) dxds
+ \int_{t_0-r^2}^t \int_{B(x_0,r)} \sum_{j=1}^n D_j^a u(x,s) \overline{D_j^a \phi(x,s)} dxds
+ \int_{t_0-r^2}^t \int_{B(x_0,r)} V(x)u(x,s) \overline{\phi(x,s)} dxds = 0$$
(16)

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{C} \equiv \{\phi \in L^2((t_0-r^2,t_0);H^1(B(x_0,r);\mathbf{C})); \partial_s \phi \in L^2((t_0-r^2,t_0);L^2(B(x_0,r);\mathbf{C})), \phi(x,t_0-r^2)=0\}$, where $\overline{\phi}$ is the complex conjugate of ϕ .

Here, we used the notation $D^a_j = i^{-1}\partial_{x_j} - a_j(x)$ and

$$Q_r(x_0, t_0) = \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times (0, +\infty); |x - x_0| < r, t_0 - r^2 < t < t_0\}.$$

A real-valued weak solution u to $(\partial_t + L_E)u = 0$ in $Q_r(x_0, t_0)$ can be defined in a similar way. Our proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following subsolution estimate.

Theorem 4 Let u(x,t) be a weak solution to $\partial_t u + L_J u = 0$ in $Q_{2r}(x_0,t_0)$. Then there exits positive constants C_j , j = 1, 2, such that

$$\sup_{(x,t)\in Q_{r/2}(x_0,t_0)} |u(x,t)| \le C_1 \exp\left(-C_2(1+rm_J(x_0))^{\alpha_0/2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int \int_{Q_r(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 dx dt\right)^{1/2}.$$
(17)

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation: $D = i^{-1}\nabla - a$

$$B(x_0,r) = \{ y \in \mathbf{R}^n; |y - x_0| < r \}, \quad \langle A \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle = \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk} \partial_{x_j} u \partial_{x_k} u,$$

$$Q_r(x_0, t_0) = \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times (0, +\infty); |x - x_0| < r, t_0 - r^2 < t < t_0\}.$$

2 Proof of Theorem 4

We use the following inequalities.

Lemma 1 (a) ([Sh2]) Suppose $n \geq 2$ and V(x) and a(x) satisfy the condition (V, a, B). Then there exists a constant C_0 such that

$$\int m(x,|B|+V)^2|u|^2\,dx \le C_0 \int |(i^{-1}\nabla - a(x))u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2\,dx$$

for $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C})$.

(b) ([AHS]) Suppose $n=2, V \geq 0, V \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^2), a \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^2), and B(x) \geq 0.$ Then the inequality

$$\int (B(x) + V(x))|u|^2 dx \le \int |(i^{-1}\nabla - a(x))u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2 dx$$

holds for $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C})$.

We also prepare the following Caccioppoli-type inequality.

Lemma 2 Let $0 < \sigma < 1$. Let u be a weak solution to $(\partial_s + L_J)u = 0$ in $Q_{2r}(x_0, t_0)$ for J = E or J = M. Then there exists a constant C such that

$$\sup_{t_0 - (\sigma r)^2 \le t \le t_0} \int_{B(x_0, \sigma r)} |u(x, t)|^2 dx + \int \int_{Q_{\sigma r}(x_0, t_0)} |(i^{-1} \nabla - a)u|^2 + V|u|^2 dx ds
\le \frac{C}{(1 - \sigma)^2 r^2} \int \int_{Q_r(x_0, t_0)} |u|^2 dx dt.$$

PROOF: Although the proof is standard, we give it here for the sake of completeness. We show the estimate for a weak solution u to $(\partial_t + L_E)u = 0$ in $Q_{2r}(x_0, t_0)$. Since we can show the esimate for a weak solution to $(\partial_t + L_M)u = 0$ in the similar way, we just mention some modifications we need at the end of this proof. Take functions $\chi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(B(x_0, r))$ and $\eta(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ satisfying $0 \le \chi(x) \le 1$, $\chi(x) \equiv 1$ on $B(x_0, \sigma r)$ and $|\nabla \chi(x)| \le C/(1 - \sigma)r$, and $0 \le \eta(t) \le 1$, $\eta(t) \equiv 1$ on $t \ge t_0 - (\sigma r)^2$, $\eta(t) \equiv 0$ on $t \le t_0 - r^2$, $|\partial_t \eta(t)| \le C/r^2(1 - \sigma^2)$. For the sake of simplicity, we also assume $\partial_t u \in L^2(Q_{2r}(x_0, t_0))$. Actually, we can remove this additional assumption by using the argument as in [AS]. Fix $t \in [t_0 - (\sigma r)^2, t_0]$. Multiplying $\eta^2(t)\chi^2(x)u(x,t)$ to the equation and integrating over $B(x_0,r) \times [t_0 - r^2, t]$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} u(x,t)^{2} \chi(x)^{2} dx
+ \int_{t_{0}-r^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} \langle A(x) \nabla u(x,s), \nabla u(x,s) \rangle \eta(s)^{2} \chi(x)^{2} dx ds
+ \int_{t_{0}-r^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} V(x) u(x,s)^{2} \eta(s)^{2} \chi(x)^{2} dx ds
= \int_{t_{0}-r^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} u(x,s)^{2} \chi(x)^{2} \eta(s) \partial_{s} \eta(s) dx ds
- \int_{t_{0}-r^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} \langle A(x) \nabla u(x,s), \nabla (\chi^{2}(x)) \rangle \eta(s)^{2} u(x,s) dx ds.$$
(18)

Because of the ellipticity of A(x) and the positivity of V, we obtain by (18)

$$\sup_{t_{0}-(\sigma r)^{2} \leq t \leq t_{0}} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} u(x,t)^{2} \chi(x)^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} u^{2} |\partial_{s}\eta| dx ds$$
(19)

$$\begin{split} &+ \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} |\nabla u| |u| \eta^{2} \chi |\nabla \chi| \, dx ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(1-\sigma)} \Big\{ \frac{1}{r^{2}} \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} u^{2} \, dx ds + \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} \chi^{2} \eta^{2} |\nabla u|^{2} \, dx ds \Big\}. \end{split}$$

By using (18) again, we have

$$\lambda \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} |\nabla u|^{2} \chi^{2} \eta^{2} dx ds + \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} V u^{2} \chi^{2} \eta^{2} dx ds
\leq \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} \langle A \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \partial_{k} u \chi^{2} \eta^{2} dx ds + \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} V u^{2} \chi^{2} \eta^{2} dx ds
\leq \frac{C}{(1-\sigma)r^{2}} \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} u^{2} dx ds + \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} |\nabla u| |\nabla \chi | \chi \eta^{2} | u | dx ds
\leq \frac{C}{(1-\sigma)r^{2}} \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} u^{2} dx ds + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} |\nabla u|^{2} \chi^{2} \eta^{2} dx ds. \tag{20}$$

It follows

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} |\nabla u|^{2} \chi^{2} \eta^{2} dx ds + \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} V u^{2} \chi^{2} \eta^{2} dx ds$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{(1-\sigma)^{2} r^{2}} \int \int_{Q_{r}(x_{0},t_{0})} u^{2} dx ds. \tag{21}$$

(19) and (21) yield the desired result. For L_M , we can prove in a similar way by noting the following identities:

$$D_j^a(u\chi) = (D_j^a u)\chi + u(i^{-1}\nabla\chi), \quad \int D_j^a u\overline{v} \, dx = \int u\overline{D_j^a v} \, dx.$$

П

Proof of Theorem 3: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and define p_j $(j = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1)$ by $p_j = 2/3 + ((j-1)/k)(1-(2/3))$. Let $\chi_j(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(B(x_0, p_j r))$ and $\eta_j(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be the functions satisfying $0 \le \chi_j \le 1, \chi_j(x) \equiv 1$ on $B(x_0, p_{j-1} r), |\nabla \chi_j(x)| \le Ck/r$, and $0 \le \eta_j \le 1, \eta_j(t) \equiv 1$ on $t \ge t_0 - (p_{j-1} r)^2, \eta_j(t) \equiv 0$ on $t \le t_0 - (p_j r)^2, |\nabla \eta_j(t)| \le Ck/r^2$. By Lemma 2 (see also (21)), we have

$$\int \int_{Q_{p_{j+1}r}(x_0,t_0)} \left(|(i^{-1}\nabla - a)u|^2 \chi_{j+1}^2 \eta_{j+1}^2 + V|u|^2 \chi_{j+1}^2 \eta_{j+1}^2 \right) dx ds$$

$$\leq \frac{Ck^2}{r^2} \int \int_{Q_{p_{j+1}r}(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 dx ds.$$

We write just $\chi = \chi_{j+1}$ and $\eta = \eta_{j+1}$, for simplicity. Since $|(i^{-1}\nabla - a)(u\eta\chi)|^2 \le 2|(i^{-1}\nabla - a)u|^2\chi^2\eta^2 + 2u^2|\nabla\chi|^2\eta^2$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \int \int_{Q_{p_{j+1}r}(x_0,t_0)} & \left(|(i^{-1}\nabla - a)(\eta \chi u)|^2 \chi^2 \eta^2 + V|u|^2 \chi^2 \eta^2 \right) dx ds \\ & \leq \frac{Ck^2}{r^2} \int \int_{Q_{p_{j+1}r}(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 dx ds \end{split}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, k$. By using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\int_{t_0-(p_{j+1}r)^2}^{t_0} \left(\int_{B(x_0,p_{j+1}r)} m_J(x)^2 |\eta \chi u|^2 \, dx \right) dt \le \frac{Ck^2}{r^2} \int \int_{Q_{p_{j+1}r}(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 \, dx ds.$$

By using $m_J(x) \ge C(1 + p_{j+1}rm_J(x_0))^{-k_0/(1+k_0)}m_J(x_0)$ on $|x - x_0| < p_{j+1}r$ and noting $2/3 \le p_{j+1} \le 1$ (see (8) and the remark after that), we have

$$\int \int_{Q_{p_{j}r}(x_{0},t_{0})} |u|^{2} dx dt \leq \int_{t_{0}-(p_{j+1}r)^{2}}^{t_{0}} \left(\int_{B(x_{0},p_{j+1}r)} |\eta \chi u|^{2} \right) dx dt
\leq \frac{Ck^{2}}{r^{2}m_{J}(x_{0})^{2}} (1 + rm_{J}(x_{0}))^{2k_{0}/(k_{0}+1)} \int \int_{Q_{p_{j+1}r}(x_{0},t_{0})} |u|^{2} dx dt
\leq \frac{Ck^{2}}{(1 + rm_{J}(x_{0}))^{2/(k_{0}+1)}} \int \int_{Q_{p_{j+1}r}(x_{0},t_{0})} |u|^{2} dx dt$$
(22)

for each $j=1,2,\cdots,k$. Here we used a trival inequality $\int \int_{Q_{p_{j+1}r}(x_0,t_0)}(\cdots) dxdt \leq \int \int_{Q_{p_{j+1}r}(x_0,t_0)}(\cdots) dxdt$ for the case $rm_J(x_0) \leq 1$. By this proceedure, we can obtain the following: there exists a constant C such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int \int_{Q_{2r/3}(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 dx dt \le \frac{C^k (k^2)^k}{(1+rm_J(x_0))^{k\alpha_0}} \int \int_{Q_r(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 dx dt, \tag{23}$$

where $\alpha_0 = 2/(k_0 + 1)$. Since $V(x) \ge 0$, the well-known subsolution estimate (see, e.g., [AS]) yields

$$\sup_{Q_{r/2}(x_0,t_0)} |u| \le C \left(\frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int \int_{Q_{2r/3}(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 \, dx dt\right)^{1/2} \tag{24}$$

for some constant C. For the magnetic Schrödinger operator case, we have used Kato's inequality. Combining (23) and (24), we arrive at

$$\sup_{Q_{r/2}(x_0,t_0)} |u| \le C \frac{C^{k/2} k^k}{(1+rm_J(x_0))^{k\alpha_0/2}} \left(\frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int \int_{Q_r(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 dx dt\right)^{1/2} \tag{25}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that, by Stirling's formula $k^k \sim e^k k! (1/\sqrt{2\pi k})$ as $k \to \infty$, there exists a constant C_0 such that $k^k \leq C_0 e^k k!$ for $k \geq 1$. Multiplying $\epsilon^k/k!$ and taking the summation, we obtain

$$(\sup_{Q_{r/2}(x_0,t_0)} |u|) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\epsilon(1+rm_J(x_0))^{\alpha_0/2})^k}{k!}$$

$$\leq CC_0 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\epsilon e\sqrt{C})^k \left(\frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int \int_{Q_r(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 dx dt\right)^{1/2}.$$

Take $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\epsilon e \sqrt{C} < 1$. Then we have

$$\sup_{Q_{r/2}(x_0,t_0)} |u| \le C \exp(-\epsilon (1+rm_J(x_0))^{\alpha_0/2}) \left(\frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int \int_{Q_r(x_0,t_0)} |u|^2 dx dt\right)^{1/2}.$$

This complete the proof. \Box

3 Proof of Theorem 1

To show Theorem 1 we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1 Under the assumptions as in Theorem 1, there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$|\Gamma_J(x,t;y,s)| \le C_1 \exp(-C_2(1+m_J(x)|t-s|^{1/2})^{\alpha_0/2}) \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}}$$
 (26)

for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and t > s > 0.

PROOF: Assume $t-s \ge 2|y-x|^2$. Take $r^2 = |t-s|/8$. Then $u(z,u) = \Gamma_J(z,u;y,s)$ satisfies $(\partial_t + L_J)u(z,u) = 0$ in $Q_{2r}(x,t)$. Hence, by applying Theorem 4 to u(z,u), we obtain

$$|\Gamma_J(x,t;y,s)| \le \sup_{Q_{r/2}(x,t)} |u|$$

$$\leq C \exp(-C(1+m_J(x)|t-s|^{1/2})^{\alpha_0/2}) \left(\frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int \int_{Q_r(x,t)} |\Gamma(z,u;y,s)|^2 dz du\right)^{1/2}.$$

By using the maximum principle for L_E and the diamagnetic inequality (see, e.g., [AS], [LS], [AHS]) for L_M , we have

$$|\Gamma_J(z, u; y, s)| \le \frac{C}{(u - s)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-C\frac{|z - y|^2}{(u - s)}\right)$$
(27)

for some constant $C = C(n, \lambda)$. Since $t - s \ge u - s \ge 7r^2 \ge (7/8)(t - s)$ on $(z, u) \in Q_r(x, t)$, it is easy to see

$$\left(\frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \int \int_{Q_r(x,t)} |\Gamma_J(z,u;y,s)|^2 \, dz \, du\right)^{1/2} \le \frac{C}{(t-s)^{n/2}}.$$

This yields the desired estimate. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1: The positivity of $\Gamma_E(x,t;y,s)$ is a consequence of $V \geq 0$ and the maximum principle. Hence Proposition 1 and (27) imply

$$|\Gamma_J(x,t;y,s)|^2 \le C \exp(-C(1+|t-s|^{1/2}m_J(x))^{\alpha_0/2}) \frac{1}{(t-s)^n} \exp\left(-C\frac{|y-x|^2}{(t-s)}\right)$$

for some constant C. This concludes the desired estimate. \square

Proof of Corollary 1: Let $f(t) = (m_J(x)t^{1/2})^{\alpha_0/2} + |x-y|^2/t$ for t > 0. The, an easy computation shows that

$$\inf_{t>0} f(t) \ge C(m_J(x)|x-y|)^{2\alpha_0/(\alpha_0+4)}$$

for some positive constant C. Thus, we obtain

$$|\Gamma_{J}(x,t;y,s)| \leq C \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n/2}} \exp(-Cf(t-s)) \exp(-\frac{C|x-y|^2}{t})$$

$$\times \exp(-C(m_{J}(x)(t-s)^{1/2})^{\alpha_0/2})$$

$$\leq C\Gamma_{C_0}(x,t;y,s) \exp(-C(m_{J}(x)|x-y|)^{2\alpha_0/(\alpha_0+4)})$$

$$\times \exp(-C(m_{J}(x)t^{1/2})^{\alpha_0/2}).$$

This proves the part (a) since $2\alpha_0/(\alpha_0+4) \leq \alpha_0/2$. The part (b) is an easy consequence of the part (a). \square

4 Proof of Theorem 2, 3

To show Theorem 2, we prove the following inequality.

Theorem 5 Let $\gamma \in [0, n)$. Then there exists a constant C such that

$$|m_J(x)^{2l}(e^{-tL_J}f)(x)| \le \frac{C}{t^{l+(\gamma/2)}}(M_\gamma|f|)(x)$$
 (28)

holds for every $0 < l \le (n - \gamma)/2$. Here $M_{\gamma}f$ is the fractional maximal function defined by

 $(M_{\gamma}f)(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{|B|^{1-\gamma/n}} \int_{B} |f| \, dy,$

where the supremum is taken all balls B containing x.

Theorem 2 is a consequence of Theorem 5 and the following lemma (see, e.g., [St]).

Lemma 3 Let $0 \le \gamma < n$. There exists a constant C such that

$$||M_{\gamma}f||_q \le C||f||_p$$

for $1 and <math>1/q = 1/p - \gamma/n$.

Proof of Theorem 5: Let $r = 1/m_J(x)$. By Corollary 1 (b) we have

$$|m_{J}(x)^{2l}(e^{-tL_{J}}f)(x)| \le Cm_{J}(x)^{2l} \int \frac{|f(y)|}{(1+m_{J}(x)|x-y|)^{k}t^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{C|x-y|^{2}}{t}\right) dy \le \frac{C}{r^{2l}t^{n/2}} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\{2^{j-1}r < |x-y| \le 2^{j}r\}} \frac{|f(y)|}{(1+2^{j-1})^{k}} \exp\left(-\frac{C(2^{j}r)^{2}}{t}\right) dy.$$
 (29)

By the assumption on l, we take $\alpha \geq 0$ such that $2\alpha = n - \gamma - 2l$. Put $C_{\alpha} = \sup_{s>0} s^{\alpha} e^{-s} < +\infty$ for $\alpha \geq 0$. Then the right hand side of (29) is dominated by

$$C_{\alpha} \frac{C}{t^{n/2}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\{2^{j-1}r < |x-y| \le 2^{j}r\}} \frac{1}{r^{2l}(1+2^{j-1})^{k}} \left(\frac{C(2^{j-1}r)^{2}}{t}\right)^{-\alpha} |f(y)| \, dy$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{\alpha}C}{t^{n/2-\alpha}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(2^{j})^{n-\gamma}}{(1+2^{j-1})^{k}(2^{j-1})^{2\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{(2^{j}r)^{n-\gamma}} \int_{\{|x-y| \le 2^{j}r\}} |f(y)| \, dy\right).$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{\alpha}C}{t^{n/2-\alpha}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(2^{j})^{n-\gamma}}{(1+2^{j-1})^{k}(2^{j-1})^{2\alpha}} (M_{\gamma}|f|)(x). \tag{30}$$

Now, since $n - \gamma - 2\alpha = 2l > 0$, by taking k > 2l we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(2^j)^{n-\gamma}}{(1+2^{j-1})^k (2^{j-1})^{2\alpha}} \le \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{C}{2^{j(k-2l)}} < +\infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} \frac{(2^{j})^{n-\gamma}}{(1+2^{j-1})^{k}(2^{j-1})^{2\alpha}} \le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} C(2^{j})^{2l} < +\infty.$$

Thus, we obtain the desired result. \Box

Proof of Theorem 3: First, the estimate for the case l = 0 and p = 1 is classical except the exponential factor in time. Under the assumption, by Corollary 1 (a) we have

$$|\Gamma_{J}(x,t;y,s)| \leq C\Gamma_{C_{0}}(x,t;y,s) \exp(-C(1+m_{J}(x)|x-y|)^{2\alpha_{0}/(\alpha_{0}+4)}) \times \exp(-C(1+m_{0}t^{1/2})^{\alpha_{0}/2})$$
(31)

for some positive constants C and C_0 . Then by using this estimate we can prove the part (a) of Theorem 3 in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2. To show the part (b), we use the semigroup property and Theorem 2 and get

$$||m_J(x)^{2l}e^{-tL_J}f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le \frac{C}{t^{l+(n/4)}}||e^{-(2/3)tL_J}f||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

for some constant C. Note that under the assumption $m_J(x) \geq m_0$, Lemma 1 yields inf $\sigma(L_J) \geq C m_0^2$ for some positive constant C. Here $\sigma(L_J)$ is the spectrum of the operator L_J . So, we have

$$||e^{-(1/3)tL_J}g||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le e^{-Cm_0^2t}||g||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

Using this estimate, we obtain

$$||m_{J}(x)|^{2l}e^{-tL_{J}}f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} \leq \frac{C}{t^{l+(n/4)}}e^{-Cm_{0}^{2}t}||e^{-(1/3)tL_{J}}f||_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{n})}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{t^{l+(n/4)}}e^{-Cm_{0}^{2}t}\frac{C}{t^{n/2(1/p-1/2)}}||f||_{L^{p}(\mathbf{R}^{n})}.$$

In the last inequality, we used $p \leq 2$ and Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

[AHS] Avron J.E., Herbst I., Simon B., Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields, I. General interactions, Duke Math. J., 45(1978), 847–883.

[AS] Aronson D.G., Serrin J., Local behaviour of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 25(1967), 81–122.

[Er1] Erdös L., Estimates on stochastic oscillatory integrals and on the heat kernel of the magnetic Schrödinger operator, Duke Math. J., 76(1994), 541–566.

[Er2] Erdös L., Dia- and paramagnetism for nonhomogeneous magnetic fields, J.Math.Phys., 38(3)(1997), 1289–1317.

[LS] Leinfelder H., Simader C., Schrödinger operators with singular magnetic vector potentials, Math. Z., 176(1981), 1–19.

[LT] Loss M., Thaller B., Optimal heat kernel estimates for Schrödinger operator with magnetic fields in two dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys., 186(1997), 95–107.

[Ma] Malliavin P., Minoration de l'état fondamental de l'équation de Schrödinger du magnétisme et calcul des variations, C.R. Acad. Sci. Sér. I. Math. 302(1986), 481–486.

[KS] Kurata K., Sugano S., Fundamental Solution, eigenvalue asymptotics and eigenfunctions of degenerate elliptic operators with positive potentials, Preprint.

[Sh1] Shen Z., L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 45(1995), 513–546.

[Sh2] Shen Z., Estimates in L^p for magnetic Schrödinger operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 45(1996),

[Sh3] Shen Z., by personal communication.

[Si] Simon B., Maximal and minimal Schrödinger forms, J. Opt. Theo., 1(1979), 37–47.

[St] Stein, E.M., Harmonic Analysis: Real variable methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.

[Ue] Ueki N., Lower bounds on the spectra of Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields, J. Fun. Ana., 120(1994), 344–379.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 35K10, 35J15, 35J10 ADDRESS:

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University Minami-Ohsawa 1-1, Hachioji-shi

Tokyo, Japan

e-mail: kurata@comp.metro-u.ac.jp