

Title	Fixed Points of Multivalued Nonexpansive Mappings in Certain Convex Metric Spaces(NONLINEAR ANALYSIS AND CONVEX ANALYSIS)
Author(s)	Shimizu, Tomoo
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1998), 1031: 75-84
Issue Date	1998-04
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/61858
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Fixed Points of Multivalued Nonexpansive Mappings in Certain Convex Metric Spaces

Tokyo Inst. Tech. 清水朝雄 (Tomoo Shimizu)

1. Introduction. The investigation concerning convexity in metric spaces was initiated by Menger [11] in 1928. This investigation was developed by several authors [1]. The terms "metrically convex" and "convex metric space" are due to Blumenthal[1]. Throughout this report, let X be a metric space with metric d .

Definition 1 $z \in X$ is said to be a between-point of x, y if

$$z \neq x, z \neq y, \text{ and } d(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y).$$

Definition 2 X is metrically convex if for each pair $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$, there exists $z \in X$ that is a between-point of x, y . Then X is said to be a convex metric space.

Let T be a mapping of X into itself. T is said to be nonexpansive [2], if for each $x, y \in X$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y).$$

In 1970, W.Takahashi [14] introduced a notion of convexity into metric spaces, studied properties of such spaces and proved several fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings.

Definition 3 Put $I = [0, 1]$. A mapping $W : X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$ is said to be a convex structure on X if for each $(x, y, \lambda) \in X \times X \times I$ and $u \in X$,

$$d(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq \lambda d(u, x) + (1 - \lambda) d(u, y).$$

X is called a convex metric space, if it has a convex structure.

Such kind of convex metric space seems to be often called w-convex metric space.

In 1981/82, Kirk [7] introduced a notion of a metric space of hyperbolic type and showed that it is a w-convex metric space. As a consequence of the proof of theorem 1 [7], we have the following result.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a bounded w -convex metric space that has a unique convex structure and T be a nonexpansive mapping of X into itself. Then $\inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) = 0$. (i.e., X has the almost fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings)*

On the other hand, in 1987, Kijima [5] generalized, in certain sense [cf. 15], the notion of w -convex metric spaces.

Definition 4 *X is said to be a convex metric space if for each pair $x, y \in X$ there exists $z \in X$ such that*

$$d(z, u) \leq \frac{d(x, u) + d(y, u)}{2} \quad \text{for all } u \in X. \quad (*)$$

We shall call such X a metric space with property (S).

Example 1 *A dyadic cube in R^n .*

$$X = \left\{ \left(\frac{k_1}{2^{m_1}}, \dots, \frac{k_n}{2^{m_n}} \right) \in R^n : k_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{m_i}, m_i = 1, 2, \dots, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Recently, Kijima[6] proved the following result and generalized theorem 1.

Let X be a bounded metric space with property (S). Then $\inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) = 0$. (i.e., X has the almost fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings of X into itself)

This result is proved for the case of Banach space, using the Banach contraction principle; for instance, see [2]. However, the proof dose not carry over to the case of metric space with property (S). Kijima [6] proved the result by introducing an (ϵ, n) -sequence without using the Banach contraction principle.

Let $K(X)$ be the class of all nonempty compact subsets of X . A mapping T of X into $K(X)$ is said to be nonexpansive, if for each pair $x, y \in X$,

$$\mathcal{H}(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y).$$

where \mathcal{H} is the Hausdorff metric on $K(X)$.

In 1992, Shimizu and Takahashi[12] generalized Kijima's result in the case of multivalued nonexpansive mappings with nonempty compact-values.

Theorem 2 Let X be a bounded metric space with property (S) and T be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping of X into $K(X)$. Then $\inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) = 0$, where $d(x, Tx) = \inf_{y \in Tx} d(x, y)$. (i.e., X has the almost fixed point property for multivalued nonexpansive mappings of X into $K(X)$)

We sketch the outline of the proof.

Suppose that $\inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) = 2\delta > 0$. $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists x_0 \in X$ s.t.

$$d(x_0, Tx_0) \leq 2\delta + \epsilon.$$

Since Tx_0 is nonempty compact, $\exists y_0 \in X$ s.t.

$$d(x_0, y_0) \leq 2(\delta + \epsilon).$$

Define $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ inductively. Assume that x_k and y_k s.t. $y_k \in Tx_k$ are known. Choose $x_{k+1} \in X$ from (*) such that

$$d(x_{k+1}, u) \leq \frac{d(x_k, u) + d(y_k, u)}{2}$$

for all $u \in X$.

Since Tx_{k+1} is nonempty compact, we can choose $y_{k+1} \in X$ such that

$$y_{k+1} \in Tx_{k+1} \text{ and } d(y_k, y_{k+1}) = d(y_k, Tx_{k+1}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_k, y_{k+1}) &= d(y_k, Tx_{k+1}) \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in Tx_k} d(y, Tx_{k+1}) \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}(Tx_k, Tx_{k+1}) \\ &\leq d(x_k, x_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

By this inequality and induction using (ϵ, n) -sequences, we have

$$d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq \delta + \epsilon$$

and

$$d(x_k, y_k) \leq 2(\delta + \epsilon)$$

for all nonnegative integer k . And by these inequalities and induction using (ϵ, n) -sequences, we have

$$d(x_k, y_{k+n}) \geq (n+2)(\delta + \epsilon) - 2^{n+1}\epsilon$$

for all nonnegative integer k and n .

By this inequality, we can choose $\{x_n^m\}$, $\{y_n^m\} \subseteq X$ such that

$$d(x_0^m, Tx_0^m) \leq 2\delta + \frac{\delta}{2^m}$$

and

$$d(x_0^m, y_m^m) \geq (m+2)(\delta + \epsilon) - 2^{m+1} \frac{\delta}{2^m} > m\delta.$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(x_0^m, y_m^m) = \infty.$$

This contradicts the boundedness of X . Therefore we have

$$\inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) = 0.$$

By theorem 2, we have

Theorem 3 *Let X be a nonempty compact metric space with property (S) and T be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping of X into $K(X)$. Then T has a fixed point, i.e., there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in Tx_0$.*

Concerning fixed point theorems for multivalued nonexpansive mappings, in 1968, Markin [10] proved the first fixed point theorem.

Theorem 4 *Let H be a Hilbert space and C be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of H and T be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping of C into $K(C)$ such that Tx is convex for each $x \in C$. Then T has a fixed point.*

He proved this theorem by proving that $(I - T)(C)$ is a closed subset of C . This theorem was generalized by several authors[3,16].

In 1974, Lim[8] generalized Markin's result to uniformly convex Banach spaces by transfinite induction as follows.

Theorem 5 *Let C be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of uniformly convex Banach space E and T be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping of C into $K(C)$. Then T has a fixed point.*

We introduce a notion of uniformly convexity into convex metric spaces and prove a fixed point theorem for multivalued nonexpansive mappings in such spaces. Our theorem generalizes Lim's result and we can prove the theorem smartly by virtue the filter theory.

2. Main results [13]. Let X be a w -convex metric space and W be its convex structure.

Definition 5 X is said to be uniformly convex if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\alpha = \alpha(\epsilon)$ such that, for all $r > 0$ and $x, y, z \in X$ with $d(z, x) \leq r$, $d(z, y) \leq r$ and $d(x, y) \geq r\epsilon$,

$$d(z, W(x, y, 1/2)) \leq r(1 - \alpha) < r.$$

Example 2 Uniformly convex Banach spaces.

Example 3 Let H be a Hilbert space and X be a nonempty closed subset of $\{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ such that if $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ then $(\alpha x + \beta y) / \|\alpha x + \beta y\| \in X$ $\delta(X) \leq \sqrt{2}/2$. Let $d(x, y) = \cos^{-1} \{(x, y)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$, where (\cdot, \cdot) is the inner product of H . When we define a convex structure W for (X, d) adiquately, it is easily seen that (X, d) becomes a complete and uniformly convex metric space[9].

A convex metric space X is said to have a property(C) if every decreasing sequence of nonempty bounded closed convex subsets of X has a nonempty intersection. The authors proved the following results.

Theorem 6 Let X be a complete and uniformly convex metric space. Then X has the property(C).

We sckech the outline of the proof. Let $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a decreasing sequence of nonempty bounded closed convex subsets of X . Suppose that for each $n \geq 1$, $\delta(K_n) > 0$. Then for each $n \geq 1$, there exists $x, y \in K_n$ such that

$$d(x, y) \geq \frac{\delta(K_n)}{2} \text{ and } d(z, x) \leq \delta(K_n) \text{ , } d(z, y) \leq \delta(K_n) \text{ for all } z \in K_n.$$

Since X is uniformly convex, for each $n \geq 1$, there exists $u_n^1 \in K_n$ such that

$$d(z, u_n^1) \leq \delta(K_n)(1 - \alpha) \text{ for all } z \in K_n.$$

Put

$$K_n^1 = \{u_n^1, u_{n+1}^1, \dots\}.$$

Then we have for each $n \geq 1$,

$$K_n^1 \neq \phi, K_n^1 \subseteq K_n, \text{ and } K_{n+1}^1 \supseteq K_n^1.$$

Suppose that for each $n \geq 1$, $\delta(K_n^1) > 0$. Put for each $n \geq 1$,

$$B_n^1 = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} B[u_{n+k}^1, \delta(K_n^1)].$$

Note that for each $n \geq 1$, $\overline{\text{co}}K_n^1 \subseteq B_n^1$, $\delta(K_n^1) \leq \delta(K_n)(1 - \alpha)$ and

$$\delta(\overline{\text{co}}K_n^1) \leq \delta(B_n^1) \leq \delta(B[u_n^1, \delta(K_n^1)]) \leq 2\delta(K_n)(1 - \alpha).$$

And we have for each $n \geq 1$, there exist $x, y \in K_n^1$ such that

$$d(x, y) \geq \frac{\delta(K_n^1)}{2}, d(z, x) \leq \delta(K_n^1) \text{ and } d(z, y) \leq \delta(K_n^1) \text{ for all } z \in \overline{\text{co}}K_n^1.$$

Since X is uniformly convex, there exists $u_n^2 \in \overline{\text{co}}K_n^1$ such that

$$d(z, u_n^2) \leq \delta(K_n)(1 - \alpha)^2$$

for all $z \in \overline{\text{co}}K_n^1$. Put $K_n^2 = \{u_n^2, u_{n+1}^2, \dots\}$. Then we have for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\delta(\overline{\text{co}}K_n^2) \leq 2\delta(K_n)(1 - \alpha)^2.$$

By the same method as above, we obtain for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\overline{\text{co}}K_n^3, \overline{\text{co}}K_n^4, \dots, \text{ and } u_n^3, u_n^4, \dots.$$

And we have for each $n \geq 1$,

$$K_n \supseteq \overline{\text{co}}K_n^1 \supseteq \overline{\text{co}}K_n^2 \supseteq \dots$$

and

$$\delta(\overline{\text{co}}K_n^m) \leq 2\delta(K_n)(1 - \alpha)^m \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since X is complete, for each $n \geq 1$, there exists $u_n \in K_n$ such that

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{co}}K_n^m = \{u_n\}.$$

Since for each $n \geq 1$, $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{co}}K_n^m \supseteq \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{co}}K_{n+1}^m$, we have

$$u_1 = u_2 = \dots$$

Hence we have, for each $n \geq 1$, there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$u \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{co}}K_n^m \subseteq K_n.$$

So we have

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \phi.$$

To prove our main theorem, we need a lemma about filters on X . Concerning the filter theory, for instance, see[4]. Let \mathcal{B} be a filterbase on X that contains at least one nonempty bounded subset in \mathcal{B} . Put for each $x \in X$

$$r(x, \mathcal{B}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \inf_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \sup_{y \in A} d(x, y).$$

We denote by $\lim_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \sup_{y \in A} d(x, y)$ the righthand side of above definition.

Lemma 1 *Let X be a complete and uniformly convex metric space. Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of X and \mathcal{F} be a filter on X that contains at least one nonempty bounded set of \mathcal{F} . Then, there exists a unique $u_0 \in K$ such that*

$$r(u_0, \mathcal{F}) = \inf_{x \in K} r(x, \mathcal{F}).$$

We sketch the outline of the proof. Put $r = \inf_{x \in K} r(x, \mathcal{F})$ and

$$K_n = \left\{ z \in K : r(z, \mathcal{F}) \leq r + \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Then $\{K_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of bounded closed convex subsets of K . By the previous theorem, we have

$$\bigcap K_n \neq \phi.$$

So there exists $u_0 \in K$ such that

$$r(u_0, \mathcal{F}) = \inf_{x \in K} r(x, \mathcal{F}).$$

The uniqueness of u_0 follows from uniformly convexity of X .

Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 7 *Let X be a bounded, complete and uniformly convex metric space. If T is a multivalued nonexpansive mapping of X into $K(X)$. Then T has a fixed point.*

We sketch the outline of the proof. By theorem 2, there exists $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_n d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0.$$

Put $A_n = \{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\}$ for every $n \geq 1$. Since $\{A_n\}$ is a filterbase on X , it generates the filter \mathcal{F} on X . Hence there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on X and $\inf_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{x \in A} d(x, Tx) = 0$. On the other hand, by lemma 1, there exists a unique $u_0 \in X$ such that

$$r(u_0, \mathcal{U}) = \inf_{x \in X} r(x, \mathcal{U}).$$

Since Tx is nonempty compact for all $x \in X$, there exist $Sx \in Tx$ and $Px \in Tu_0$ such that

$$d(x, Sx) = d(x, Tx) \quad \text{and} \quad d(Sx, Px) = d(Sx, Tu_0).$$

Since P is a mapping of X into Tu_0 , $P(\mathcal{U})$ is a filterbase on Tu_0 and generates an ultrafilter on Tu_0 . Since Tu_0 is compact, $P(\mathcal{U})$ converges to a point $p_0 \in Tu_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} r(p_0, \mathcal{U}) &= \inf_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{x \in A} d(p_0, x) \\ &\leq \inf_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{x \in A} \{d(p_0, Px) + d(Px, Sx) + d(Sx, x)\} \\ &= \inf_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{x \in A} \{d(p_0, Px) + d(Sx, Tu_0) + d(x, Tx)\} \\ &\leq \inf_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{x \in A} \{d(p_0, Px) + \mathcal{H}(Tx, Tu_0) + d(x, Tx)\} \\ &\leq \inf_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{x \in A} \{d(p_0, Px) + d(x, u_0) + d(x, Tx)\} \\ &= \inf_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{x \in A} d(x, u_0) \\ &= r(u_0, \mathcal{U}). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 1, we have

$$u_0 = p_0 \in Tu_0.$$

References

- [1] L.M. Blumenthal, *Theory and Applications of Distance Geometry*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1953.
- [2] F.E. Browder, *Nonlinear operators and nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces*, Proc. Symp. Pure. Math. 18, pt. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., (1976).
- [3] D. Downing and W.O. Ray, *Some remarks on set valued mappings*, Nonlinear Analysis 5 (1981), 1367-1377.
- [4] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Part I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [5] Y. Kijima, *Fixed points of nonexpansive self-maps of a compact metric space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 123 (1987), 114-116.
- [6] Y. Kijima, *A fixed point theorem for nonexpansive self-maps of a metric space with some convexity*, Math. Japon. 37 (1992), 707-709.
- [7] W.A. Kirk, *Krasnoselskii's iteration process in hyperbolic space*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 4 (1981/82), 371-381.
- [8] T.C. Lim, *A fixed point theorem for multivalued nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex Banach space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 1123-1126.
- [9] H.V. Machado, *Fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings in metric spaces with normal structure*, Thesis, The University Chicago, 1971.
- [10] J.T. Markin, *A fixed point theorem for set valued mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 639-640.
- [11] K. Menger, *Untersuchungen über allgemeine Metric*, Mathematische Annalen 100 (1928), 75-163.
- [12] T. Shimizu and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems in certain convex metric spaces*, Math. Japon. 37 (1992), 855-859.

- [13] T. Shimizu and W. Takahashi, *Fixed points of multivalued mappings in certain convex metric spaces*, TMNA 8 (1996), 197-203.
- [14] W. Takahashi, *A convexity in metric space and nonexpansive mappings, I*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 22 (1970), 142-149.
- [15] L.A. Talman, *Fixed points for condensing multifunctions in metric spaces with convex structure*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 29 (1977), 62-70.
- [16] K. Yanagi, *On some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings*, Pacific J. Math., 87 (1980), 233-240.