

Title	SOME REMARKS ON THE DUGUNDJI EXTENSION THEOREMS(General Topology and Related Problems)
Author(s)	Hattori, Yasunao; Ohta, Haruto
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1996), 953: 19-23
Issue Date	1996-06
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/60401">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/60401</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

## SOME REMARKS ON THE DUGUNDJI EXTENSION THEOREMS

島根大学総合理工 服部泰直 (Yasunao Hattori)  
静岡大学教育学部 大田春外 (Haruto Ohta)

### 1. RESULTS THAT ARE KNOWN OR EASILY PROVED

Let  $X$  be a space,  $A$  a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $Z$  a locally convex linear topological space. Let  $C(X, Z)$  be the linear space of all continuous mappings from  $X$  to  $Z$ . A linear transformation  $u : C(A, Z) \rightarrow C(X, Z)$  is said to be a *Dugundji extender* if  $u$  satisfies the following conditions: For each  $f \in C(A, Z)$ ,

- (a)  $u(f)$  is an extension of  $f$ , and
- (b) the range of  $u(f)$  is contained in the closed convex hull of the range of  $f$ .

The study of this area is initiated by Dugundji [2]. He proved that for every closed subspace  $A$  of a metrizable space  $X$  there exists a Dugundji extender  $u : C(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$ . Michael ([8]) noticed that the Dugundji extender constructed by Dugundji is continuous with respect to the pointwise convergence topology, the compact-open topology and the uniform convergence topology.

We shall consider the Dugundji extension theorems on product spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a space,  $A$  a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $Z$  a locally convex linear topological space. Then we say that  $A$  is  *$D(Z)$ -embedded* in  $X$  if there is a Dugundji extender  $u : C(A, Z) \rightarrow C(X, Z)$ . Furthermore, we say that  $A$  is  *$D$ -embedded* in  $X$  if  $A$  is  $D(Z)$ -embedded in  $X$  for every locally convex linear topological space  $Z$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a space,  $A$  a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $Z$  a locally convex linear topological space. Then we say that  $A$  is  *$\pi_{D(Z)}$ -embedded* in  $X$  if for every space  $Y$  there is a Dugundji extender  $u : C(A \times Y, Z) \rightarrow C(X \times Y, Z)$ . Furthermore,  $A$  is said to be  *$\pi_D$ -embedded* in  $X$  if  $A$  is  $\pi_{D(Z)}$ -embedded in  $X$  for every locally convex linear topological space  $Z$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a space,  $A$  a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $Z$  a locally convex linear topological space. Then we say that  $A$  is *continuously  $\pi_{D(Z)}$ -embedded (resp.  $\pi_D$ -embedded)* in  $X$  if we can choose the Dugundji extender  $u$  as is continuous with respect the pointwise convergence topology, the compact-open topology and the uniform convergence topology.

For a space  $X$  and a locally convex linear topological space  $Z$  we denote  $C_u(X, Z)$  the linear topological space of all continuous mappings from  $X$  to  $Z$  with the uniform convergence topology, i.e., the sets of the form  $V(f) = \{g \in C(X, Z) : g(x) - f(x) \in V\}$ , where  $V$  is a neighborhood of the origin of  $Z$  consists a basic neighborhoods of  $f \in C_u(X, Z)$ . Let  $C_{co}(X, Z)$  be the linear topological space of all continuous mappings from  $X$  to  $Z$  with the compact-open topology.

A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *Z-map* if  $f(Z)$  is closed for every zero-set  $Z$  of  $X$ . Then we have the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be spaces and  $A$  a  $D$ -embedded subspace of  $X$ . Let  $p_A : A \times Y \rightarrow A$  and  $p_Y : A \times Y \rightarrow Y$  be the projections. If either of the following conditions is satisfied, then  $A \times Y$  is  $D$ -embedded in  $X \times Y$ :*

(1)  $p_A$  is a  $Z$ -map.

(2)  $p_Y$  is a  $Z$ -map and there is a continuous Dugundji extender  $u : C_u(A, Z) \rightarrow C_u(X, Z)$  for every locally convex linear topological space  $Z$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** ([4]) *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be spaces,  $A$  a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $Z$  a locally convex linear topological space. Suppose that  $X$  is locally compact or  $X \times Y$  is a  $k$ -space. If there exists a continuous Dugundji extender  $u : C_{co}(A, Z) \rightarrow C_{co}(X, Z)$ , then  $A \times Y$  is  $D(Z)$ -embedded in  $X \times Y$ .*

**Remark.** In Theorem 1.2, the continuity of the Dugundji extender  $u$  can not be dropped. In fact, let  $X = [0, \omega_1] \times [0, \omega] - \{(\omega_1, \omega)\}$  and  $A = [0, \omega_1] \times \{\omega\}$  be the closed subspace of  $X$ . It is clear that  $A$  is  $D(\mathbb{R})$ -embedded in  $X$ . Let  $Y = [0, \omega_1]$  be the space with the following topology: For each  $y < \omega_1$   $y$  is an isolated point of  $Y$  and  $\omega_1$  has a neighborhood base of the usual order topology. It follows that  $A \times Y$  is not  $C$ -embedded in  $X \times Y$ , and hence  $A \times Y$  is not  $D(\mathbb{R})$ -embedded in  $X \times Y$ .

In [9] and [10], Stares proved that every closed subspace of spaces satisfying the decreasing (G) is  $\pi$ -embedded and every such space has the Dugundji extension property. Before stating the theorem, we recall the definition of spaces satisfying the decreasing (G) from [1]. Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{W}(x) : x \in X\}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{W}(x) = \{W(x, n) : n \in \omega\}$  such that  $x \in W(x, n)$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \omega$ . Then we say that  $\mathcal{W}$  is decreasing if  $W(x, n+1) \subset W(x, n)$  for every  $n \in \omega$ , and  $\mathcal{W}$  satisfies (G) if

(G) for each  $x \in X$  and each open set  $U$  with  $x \in U$  there is an open neighborhood  $V = V(x, U)$  of  $x$  such that  $y \in V$  implies  $x \in W(y, s) \subset U$  for some  $s \in \omega$ .

We say that a space  $X$  satisfies the decreasing (G) if there is a collection  $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{W}(x) : x \in X\}$  satisfying decreasing (G). We notice that every stratifiable space satisfies the decreasing (G) ([10]). Now, we have the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a regular space satisfying the decreasing (G) and  $A$  a closed subspace of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is continuously  $\pi_D$ -embedded in  $X$ .*

## 2. RESULTS ABOUT GO-SPACES

In [7], we proved that for a perfectly normal GO-space  $X$  with  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $X$ , a closed subspace  $A$  of  $X$  and  $Z$  a locally convex linear topological space  $Z$ , there is a Dugundji extender  $u$  from  $C(A, Z)$  to  $C(X, Z)$ , where  $E(X) = \{x \in X : (\leftarrow, x] \text{ or } [x, \rightarrow) \text{ is open in } X\}$ . We extend the theorem above as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a perfectly normal GO-space such that  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $X$ . Then every closed subspace  $A$  of  $X$  is continuously  $\pi_D$ -embedded in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ . Then  $X - A$  is the union of a disjoint family  $\mathcal{U}$  of convex components of  $X - A$ . Since  $X$  is perfectly normal, it follows from [3, Theorem 2.4.5] that  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_n$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is discrete in  $X$ . Similarly, let  $\text{Int } A = \bigcup \mathcal{V}$ , where  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_n$  is a disjoint and  $\sigma$ -discrete family of convex components of  $\text{Int } A$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  we choose  $x(U) \in U$ . We put  $M_{\mathcal{U}} = \{x(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . For each convex open set  $C$  in  $X$ , we put

- $l(C) = \max\{a \in A : a < x \text{ for all } x \in C\}$ , and
- $r(C) = \min\{a \in A : a > x \text{ for all } x \in C\}$ ,

if the righthand of the above equations exist.

Then for each  $n$ , we put  $\mathcal{U}_n^l = \{U \in \mathcal{U}_n : l(U) \text{ exists}\}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_n^r = \{U \in \mathcal{U}_n : r(U) \text{ exists}\}$ . Similarly, we define  $\mathcal{V}_n^l$  and  $\mathcal{V}_n^r$ . Furthermore, we put

- $L_n = \{l(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_n^l\}$ ,
- $R_n = \{r(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_n^r\}$ ,
- $L'_n = \{l(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_n^l\}$ , and
- $R'_n = \{r(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_n^r\}$ .

It is easy to see that all of  $L_n, R_n, L'_n$  and  $R'_n$  are closed discrete in  $X$ . Let  $L = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n$ ,  $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n$ ,  $L' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L'_n$  and  $R' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R'_n$ . Furthermore, we put

$$B = \{a \in A - (L \cup R) : a \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{U}^-(a)}^X \cup \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{U}^+(a)}^X\},$$

where  $\mathcal{U}^-(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : x(U) < a\}$  and  $\mathcal{U}^+(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : x(U) > a\}$ . Let

$$M = M_{\mathcal{U}} \cup L \cup R \cup L' \cup R' \cup (E(X) \cap A) \cup B.$$

Then  $M$  is a GO-space and  $D = M - B$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $M$ . Since  $E(M) \subset D$  and  $D$  is dense in  $M$ , it follows from [3, Theorem 3.1] that  $M$  is metrizable. Then there exists a compatible metric  $\rho$  on  $M$  bounded by 1.

We shall define a mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^A$ . Let  $x \in X$ . If  $x \in A$ , then we put  $\varphi(x) = \{x\}$ . Let  $x \in X - A$ . Then there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $x \in U$ .

*Case 1.* Suppose that  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n^l \cap \mathcal{U}_n^r$ . If  $U = \{x\}$ , we put  $\varphi(x) = \{l(U)\}$ . If  $U$  contains at least two points, we choose points  $s(U)$  and  $t(U)$  of  $U$  such that  $s(U) < t(U)$ . We put

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \{l(U)\}, & \text{if } x < s(U), \\ \{l(U), r(U)\}, & \text{if } s(U) \leq x \leq t(U), \\ \{r(U)\}, & \text{if } x > t(U). \end{cases}$$

*Case 2.* If  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n^l$  and  $U \notin \mathcal{U}_n^r$ , then we put  $\varphi(x) = \{l(U)\}$ .

*Case 3.* If  $U \notin \mathcal{U}_n^l$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n^r$ , then we put  $\varphi(x) = \{r(U)\}$ .

*Case 4.* Finally, we suppose that  $U \notin \mathcal{U}_n^l \cup \mathcal{U}_n^r$ . Then we put  $\varphi(x) = \{a(U)\}$ , where  $a(U)$  is defined in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [7]. Then we can see that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^A$  is upper semicontinuous.

To define an extender  $u : C(A \times Y, Z) \rightarrow C(X \times Y, Z)$ , let  $f \in C(A \times Y, Z)$ . First, for each  $n$  and each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  we shall define a continuous function  $f_U : U \times Y \rightarrow Z$ . We consider the following four cases.

*Case 1.* Suppose that  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n^l \cap \mathcal{U}_n^r$ . If  $U = \{x\}$ , we define  $f_U(x, y) = f(l(U), y)$  for each  $y \in Y$ . If  $U$  contains at least two points, we define

$$f_U(x, y) = \begin{cases} f(l(U), y), & \text{if } x < s(U), \\ (1 - \psi_U)(x) \cdot f(l(U), y) + \psi_U(x) \cdot f(r(U), y), & \text{if } s(U) \leq x \leq t(U), \\ f(r(U), y), & \text{if } x > t(U), \end{cases}$$

for each  $(x, y) \in U \times Y$ , where  $\psi_U : X \rightarrow I$  is a continuous mapping such that  $(\leftarrow, l(U)] \subset \psi_U^{-1}(0)$  and  $[r(U), \rightarrow) \subset \psi_U^{-1}(1)$ .

*Case 2.* If  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n^l$  and  $U \notin \mathcal{U}_n^r$ , then we put  $f_U(x, y) = f(l(U), y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in U \times Y$ .

*Case 3.* If  $U \notin \mathcal{U}_n^l$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n^r$ , then we put  $f_U(x, y) = f(r(U), y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in U \times Y$ .

*Case 4.* If  $U \notin \mathcal{U}_n^l \cup \mathcal{U}_n^r$ ,  $f_U(x, y) = f(a(U), y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in U \times Y$ .

We define a function  $u(f) : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  as follows:

$$u(f)(x, y) = \begin{cases} f(x, y), & \text{if } x \in A, \\ f_U(x, y), & \text{if } x \in U \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}. \end{cases}$$

In a similar fashion to [7], we can see that  $u(f)$  is a continuous extension of  $f$  and the range of  $u(f)$  is contained in the closed convex hull of the range of  $f$ .

By use of the upper semicontinuity of  $\varphi$ , we can show that the extender  $u$  above is continuous with respect to the point convergence topology, compact-open topology and uniform convergence topology (cf. [8]).

In a similar fashion as the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following (in fact, the proof of this case is more simple than Theorem 2.1).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a GO-space,  $A$  a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $X - A = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a disjoint family of convex components of  $X - A$ . If  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \text{ has neither } l(U) \text{ nor } r(U)\}$  is discrete in  $X$ , then  $A$  is continuously  $\pi_D$ -embedded in  $X$ .*

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact GO-space. Then every closed subspace  $A$  of  $X$  is continuously  $\pi_D$ -embedded in  $X$ .*

**Corollary 2.2.** *Every closed subspace of the Sorgenfrey line  $\mathbb{S}$  is continuously  $\pi_D$ -embedded.*

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a GO-space such that the underlining ordered set is well-ordered. Then every closed subspace  $A$  of  $X$  is continuously  $\pi_D$ -embedded.*

Now, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $X_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  be perfectly normal GO-spaces with  $E(X_i)$   $\sigma$ -discrete in  $X_i$  and  $A_i$  are closed subsets in  $X_i$ . Then,  $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i$  is  $D$ -embedded in  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ .*

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $\kappa$  be an ordinal and  $A_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  are closed subsets of  $\kappa$ . Then  $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i$  is  $D$ -embedded in  $\kappa^n$ .*

**Remark.** In [5], Heath and Lutzer proved that for every closed subspace  $A$  of a GO-space  $X$  there is a simultaneous extender  $u : C^*(A) \rightarrow C^*(X)$ . However, Heath, Lutzer and Zenor [6] proved that there is no Dugundji extender  $u : C^*(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathbb{M})$  which is continuous when both function spaces are equipped with the compact-open topology nor the pointwise convergence topology, where  $\mathbb{M}$  is the Michael line and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{M}$  consisting of all rationals.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. J. Collins and A. W. Roscoe, Criteria for metrisability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 90 (1984), 631–640.
2. J. Dugundji, An extension of Tietze's theorem, *Pacific J. Math.* 1 (1951), 353–367.
3. M. J. Faber, Metrizability in generalized ordered spaces, *Math. Center Tracts* 53 (1974), 1–120.
4. S. Fujii,  $\pi$ -embedding and Dugundji extension theorem, Master Thesis, University of Tsukuba (1984).
5. R. W. Heath and D. J. Lutzer, Dugundji extension theorems for linearly ordered spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 55 (1974), 419–425.
6. R. W. Heath, D. J. Lutzer and P. L. Zenor, On continuous extenders, in : H. M. Starrakas and K. R. Allen, eds., *Studies in Topology* (Academic Press, New York, 1975), 203–213.
7. Y. Hattori,  $\pi$ -embeddings and Dugundji extension theorems for generalized ordered spaces, preprint.
8. E. A. Michael, Some extension theorems for continuous functions, *Pacific J. Math.* 3 (1953), 789–806.
9. I. S. Stares, Extension of functions and generalized metric spaces, D. Phil. Thesis, Oxford University, Oxford (1994).
10. I. S. Stares, Concerning the Dugundji extension property, *Topology Appl.* 63 (1995), 165–172.