

Title	Forest, Bateks, and Degradation: Environmental Representations in a Changing World
Author(s)	Lye, Tuck-Po
Citation	東南アジア研究 (2000), 38(2): 165-184
Issue Date	2000-09
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/56750
Right	
Туре	Journal Article
Textversion	publisher

Forest, Bateks, and Degradation: Environmental Representations in a Changing World

Lye Tuck-Po*

Abstract

This paper offers an alternative way of thinking about the tropical forest, that of the Bateks of Pahang state, Peninsular Malaysia. I will argue that environmental representations are as much about being part of the larger world as they are about the intimate particularities of the local environment. Further, the Bateks' responses to environmental changes are less a sprig of global environmentalism than an independently constructed position, as mediated through their concrete knowledge and sentiments of the place and its history. It is this knowledge, its cognitive and imaginative dimensions, that I explore in this paper. With growing degradation, there is the possibility that people will become more estranged from their geography of knowledge and that, ultimately, landscape lore becomes just lore, history without a place. The conceptual aim is to offer a more imaginative and sensitive understanding of the effects of forest degradation on local communities, their histories, and knowledge.

Introduction

This paper offers an alternative way of thinking about the tropical forest. The ethnographic focus is the environmental idioms and representations of the Bateks of Pahang state, Peninsular Malaysia, who call themselves *batek həp* 'people of the forest'. My assumptions are: environmental knowledge is grounded in experience and perception; the definitions and attributed meanings of the environment are historically and politically situated; local and extra-local knowledge of place are mutually informative and, to a large degree, mutually constitutive. Finally, as environments change so will the conceptual frameworks (indigenous and exogenous) used to think about them. My own conceptual framework is informed by theoretical developments in "landscape" and "place" studies [Feld and Basso 1996; Hirsch and Hanlon 1995].

Current knowledge of the forest is heavily filtered through the protocols and idioms of institutional science. Anthropological contributions, however, have helped to naturalize the position that local concepts can usefully inform scientific investigations [Croll and Parkin 1992; Ellen and Fukui 1996]. There have, for example, been effective challenges to common assumptions about forest dynamics and forest-people relations [Dove 1983; Fairhead and Leach 1996]. Local representations can reveal gaps and weaknesses in the more standard scientific approaches. My study follows in the anthropological tradition of privileging the local. I take

^{*} Visiting Project Researcher, Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto University, from October 1998 to October 2000

the position that people shape (modify, alter) the environments they live in. However, local peoples are not isolates; their environments include social and political actors from other places. Environmental representations are as much about being part of this larger world as they are about the intimate particularities of the local environment. In short, I privilege the *processes* of knowing an environment rather than the *products* (bodies of information) customarily associated with studies of local knowledge.

The political context of this study is the trajectory of development, deforestation, and land transformation in Malaysia [the details of the development process may be found in, among others, Aiken and Leigh 1995]. The Bateks' perceptions of their forest home necessarily include many images and scenes of landscape changes and degradation. Unlike Sarawak's Penans [Brosius 1997a; 1999], the Bateks are firmly off the beaten track of international environmentalists and community organizers.¹⁾ Their responses to environmental changes are less a sprig of global environmentalism than an independently constructed position, as mediated through their concrete knowledge and sentiments of the place and its history. It is this knowledge, its cognitive and imaginative dimensions, that I explore in this paper.

My aim is to examine the various representations and experiences of the *həp*, the forest which is the basis of identity. The preliminary discussion will focus on the definitions, roles, and functions of the forest, and the sentiments of home and losing home. The second part of this paper is concerned with belonging: who belongs and who doesn't. This part begins with a sketch of some images of forest and contextualizes forest representations within the Bateks' ecocosmology, their inter-ethnic frames of reference, and the representations by which external actors know the Bateks' forest. I close this discussion with some thoughts on the linkage between forest degradation and the changing nature of local knowledge.

I Ethnographic Profile

The Bateks²⁾ are one of the 21 or so indigenous ethnic minorities of Peninsular Malaysia known collectively as Orang Asli (Malay: original people).³⁾ They are a lowland forest people and probably the last remaining mobile hunter-gatherer society in the Peninsula. The total popula-

¹⁾ Other than the Governmental controls placed on research with Malaysian indigenes, one reason is the Bateks' relatively weak interest in political movements.

²⁾ The autonym Batek is shared by three culturally distinct groups of people: the Bateks of this study, the Batek Nons, and the Batek Tanums (who are sometimes incorrectly identified as Mintil). Administrative practice, however, is to "lump" these groups together in a generic "Batek" category, hence the unreliability of official census results. The Batek Tanums and the Bateks sometimes intermarry; they speak different languages and have separate but converging cultural histories. They are aware of, but unrelated to, the Batek Nons to the south. My study is drawn from information gathered only from the Bateks of Pahang (excluding the Non and Tanum peoples), who are closely related to the more northerly groups studied by the Endicotts (see below). My remarks on the changing nature of perceptions strictly pertain only to this study group.

³⁾ This administrative term screens out a great deal of social, ecological, and political heterogeneity, both within and amongst the societies.

tion, about 800–900, is spread over three states, Pahang, Kelantan, and Terengganu. Before the advent of large-scale logging and land transformation (which began in the early 1970s in Kelantan), the Batek territory⁴⁾ was contiguously forested; roughly two-thirds of that area, Endicott [2000: 110] estimates, is now lost beyond regeneration.

About 450 persons live in Pahang forests (at an altitude of about 500–600 m a.s.l.), though some often move back and forth north to Kelantan. Due to forest loss and Government pressure, half the people in Kelantan and most in Terengganu have become semi-sedentary cash crop farmers.⁵⁾ Of those in Pahang, just over half spend a majority of time in the 4,343 sq. km national park, Taman Negara. Most of the park overlaps with the Bateks' historical territory and it remains the largest unbroken tract of forest still available to them. Outside the park, forest conditions range from advanced secondary to recently logged-over; so long as forest cover remains, the Bateks continue to regard these areas as home.

The Bateks are not restricted by administrative boundaries and all freely travel in and out of the park.⁶⁾ As befitting their politically and sexually egalitarian social structure, inter-group boundaries barely exist, if at all. Groups materialize in the setting of the camp (on which, see below). These camp groups (with an average population of about 38) are not political entities but, rather, associations of people who are united by kinship bonds, friendship, and shared interests; membership is open and fluid [Endicott and Endicott 1986]. Flux is a central characteristic of daily life in camp. Encampments are both fresh ones (set up because people have discovered new resource zones) and established sites where people have lived in the past. A camp group may average two weeks per encampment; the distance between successive camps is about two walking hours or roughly 9–10 km. After three or four months, camp groups disband and splinter groups may disperse to other river valleys, joining and forming groups anew. They do not move randomly through the forest, and follow a well-established network of walking trails (halbəw; harbow⁷⁾) and other pathways, which incorporate rivers, streams, and logging roads. The encampments and trails are central features and symbols of the landscape.

The Bateks' economy is characterized by flexible and opportunistic shifting from one suite of activities to another as conditions change. As Kirk Endicott [e.g., 1984] has often argued,

⁴⁾ I use the word "territory" for convenience, to refer to that tract of land which the Bateks recognize as their traditional home and to which they have the strongest claim to ownership (based on transgenerational residence, emotional attachment, and social identity). I agree with the Endicotts [1986], however, that the Bateks' indigenous notion of "territory" (if defined as a "clearly defensible political space") is so weak as to be non-existent and that historically they do not regard forest land as disposable property. Their word for land, "te" (see fn. 12 below), indeed does not refer to a type of property but rather the physical stuff, including the soil, on which we stand.

⁵⁾ The Government, through its agency Jabatan Hal-Ehwal Orang Asli (JHEOA; Department of Orang Asli Affairs), favors the sedentarization policy for hunter-gatherer peoples and encourages swidden farming for hunter-gatherers and permanent farming for swidden farmers. For more specific policy details, see Carey [1976], Jimin *et al.* [1983] and Nicholas [2000].

⁶⁾ The park was formally enacted in 1938–1939. Official policy (which is not a statutory provision) is to permit the Bateks to continue living in the park, but not to harvest forest products for sale [Department of Wildlife and National Parks 1987].

⁷⁾ These are alternative pronunciations; "route" is an additional meaning of the word.

the Bateks like variation in their work and shun monotonous routine. Their ability to mesh new and old economic niches has the added advantage of protecting them against the vagaries, the "busts and booms," of international resource flows. Throughout the daily, seasonal, and annual changes in production activities, hunting and gathering of forest foods remain important, both as a preferred alternative to buying store-bought foods,⁸⁾ and as valued activities in their own right. These subsistence activities (especially blowpipe hunting and digging for wild tubers) are also central symbols of cultural and gender identity. Importantly, they constitute a critical route through which children develop early foraging skills and come to know the forest.

The important seasonal activities are honey-collecting (both to eat and trade) from May to June/July and fruit-harvesting from June/July to October. Fruits are the Bateks' favorite foods. Collection and trade of forest products, especially 'awey 'rattan; Calamus spp.' and baŋkol 'gaharu or aromatic woods; Aquilaria spp.', provide the major source of income. Other, lesser work activities include guiding for tourists, day laboring, and planting fast-growing food crops (mainly cassava, bananas, and a variety of vegetables). Farming, however, is decidedly the least preferred of the economic options; the Bateks dislike the monotony of the work.

My fieldwork (1993, 1995–1996, 1998, 1999) was limited to Pahang. Kirk and Karen Endicott (who undertook four field trips from 1971 to 1990) worked mostly in Kelantan.

II Approaching the Forest

1. Defining Hap

Before going further, the central word of this paper, $h \ni p$, needs more explicit clarification. As with English "forest," it is an ecological category. It refers to woodlands: a tree-dominated landscape with certain biophysical and floristic attributes that render it distinct from the nonforested world. As my translation suggests, the category $h \ni p$ condenses a great deal of information (still not fully studied) about ecological process, vegetational cover, modes of classification and taxonomy, biotic and landform distinctions, and so on. Because this definition is conceptualized from "inside" the forest, it is always easier to say what forest is *not* than what it is. Following the Bateks' discursive approach, the meaning of forest is more clearly revealed by contrast to the world outside. The primary outside reference markers are from the world of the Malays (gob), the politically dominant ethnic majority in Malaysia [Endicott 1979: 86–88].

Cognitively, *həp* is understood at different levels of contrast, which also overlap to some extent with each other:

⁸⁾ The Bateks often dismiss store-bought foods (other than the critical staple, rice) as *bab habat habat* 'casual foods'. *Habat habat* is the general purpose adjective to describe anything that is "casual, of no consequence, trivial."

⁹⁾ What follows is abbreviated from longer discussions in Lye [1997: 211-217; 2000].

- həp versus dun 'Malay house; village'; 10)
- həp versus təm 'river'; and
- $h \ni p$ versus $hay\tilde{a}^{g}$ 'lean-to; camp'.

Dum in the sense of "house" contrasts with $hay\tilde{a}$? 'lean-to'. These are ethnic markers, considered the prototypical architectural forms of, respectively, the agrarian and forested environments. This contrast is morally charged with ideas of value.

Rivers, in the sense that they carve out the landscape into distinct conceptual units, are of the forest and yet also apart from it. They are territorial and orientation markers, convenient for the purpose of reckoning direction and position (following the regional pattern in Southeast Asia). Encampments are usually referred to by the names of the rivers or streams flowing by them. Orientation terms overlap with the different levels of contrast and, again, with social and ethnic considerations (most fundamentally, forest=upriver and village=downriver). Hence:

- · sal ba dun 'go down to village' contrasts with cowoh ba hop 'go up to the forest'
- wek ba hayã? 'return to camp' contrasts with cup ba həp 'go to forest'.

To sum up in spatial terms, forest is contrasted to the world "out-there" (village) and the home "in-here" (lean-to; camp). And since the "in-here" is by definition always of *the forest*, never outside it, the forest can never truly be externalized — so long as the perceiver remains in the forest.

2. Roles and Functions of the Forest

My point of departure is the Bateks' conception that the forest is *təmpet 'ipah gɔs* 'place where we dwell'. Most evidently, they think of the forest in practical and utilitarian terms. The forest is a source of sustenance and useful materials; it provides the necessary shelter (in particular, protection from heat) and enables them to escape external interference by, for example, Government administrators. Probably as a conditioned response to the long history of slaveraiding in the Peninsula [Endicott 1983], followed by the exigencies of war and the Emergency (1948–1960), the Bateks have a highly developed sense of vulnerability against invasive forces. The forest is, in this respect, a refuge to '2st' 'hide' in [Dentan 1992].

Withdrawing farther into the forest, drawing on their unrivalled knowledge of its topography and walking routes (*halbaw*), remains the Bateks' major mode of resolving disagreements with others (e.g., irate employers, forest-edge villagers, or Government administrators). As

¹⁰⁾ This word also means "town, city" (in which sense it overlaps with the borrowed term *banar*, from the Malay *bandar*). As a Mon-Khmer etymon, the original meaning of *dun* is "town, city, village" [Diffloth 1984: 122]. I am indebted to Geoffrey Benjamin for bringing this point to my attention.

¹¹⁾ The word *hayà* 'is cognate with the Vietnamese *nhà* 'house' (Geoffrey Benjamin 2000: personal communication).

¹²⁾ The word təmpet is, in fact, borrowed from the Malay (tempat), perhaps to express a covert feeling of an "ontological space." The closest equivalent Batek term is te"; its meanings include: ground, soil (the physical stuff), land, and (controversially) the world. Te" appears in such expressions as: te" baros 'flatlands'; te" laneh 'montane forestland'; te" təm hal 'land river tracks, a metaphor meaning "widely traveled"; and te" təran 'hillslope forestlands'.

with hunter-gatherers the world over [Lee and Daly 1999: 4], withdrawal is a survival strategy that evades control by outsiders, preserves political autonomy, and protects physical freedom. However, it feeds into the prejudice that the Bateks are free-roaming nomads without a developed sense of civilization or place [critiqued in Carey 1976: 65]. To anticipate a later discussion, in the critics' admission of themselves as people who could never follow, let alone track down the Bateks, this prejudice also reveals much about outsiders' failure, conceptually or practically, to "penetrate" the forest. In short, the forest is important to the Bateks' need for freedom of movement and also sustains the reproduction of a characteristically hunter-gatherer mode of social being. That reproduction is linked to the reproduction of the knowledge base: the forest is of abiding intellectual interest. The pursuit and gathering of knowledge both to serve short-term ends and for its own sake is an eminently satisfying source of meaning [Lye 1997].

3. Narratives of Place

What does it mean to gos, dwell in the forest? Here I focus on *sentiments*, the bonds and attachments to places. The mobile way of life, I will show, does not militate against having a strong attachment to place and appreciation of history [Endicott 1997]. Sentiments are at least as important as practical knowledge to the conception of forest. However, at least for Southeast Asia, they are implicitly dismissed, rarely incorporated into studies of forest and knowledge. Accordingly, there is little systematic discussion (above the level of anecdote) of the effects of forest degradation on local sentiments.¹⁴⁾

Affection is implied in the Bateks' sense of what forest is; they use the word, borrowed from the Malay, sayen 'love'. Sayen, however, also implies the meaning of "not wanting to give up or lose" a thing or person. For example, a request for my machete might be "Moh sayen ba?? 'Do you love it?" rather than the more direct gestures of claiming (without requesting) "Wen ye? 'my machete" or "Yem pani? 'I want it'." Further, the Bateks also say "?Ipah gəh 'we refuse to give" in response to encroachment and forest loss. The word gəh is commonly used in material transactions (giving and taking of things). Its appearance in this discursive context is a little startling and may suggest an incipient awareness that the forest is a "thing" up for grabs. In short, the Bateks' sentiments of place now include perceptions of loss and bereavement.

In 1993, I was with some people, riding on the back of the rattan trader's truck as it trundled over a vast oil palm plantation estate. The Bateks recalled that this estate used to be forest; that was not too long ago. Neat rows of oil palm trees stood there now, a spread of lines and angles of vegetative sameness. Though shorn of the original floristic diversity and somewhat corroded, the landscape discontinuities (dips, rises, bends, slopes, gullies, stream-

¹³⁾ This sentiment was often expressed to me or in my presence.

¹⁴⁾ An important exception to this trend is Brosius' writings on the Penans of Sarawak [for example, Brosius 1997b].

¹⁵⁾ That is, the intended meaning of the sentence is "Are you willing to give this to me?"

beds) were still discernible. Sometimes there was a break from the visual monotony; the faroff mountains would come into view. The men were calling out to each other, pointing here,
gesturing there. Ey Toh (a middle-aged man, my then-host) turned to me. They had just
identified the spot where his mother's body lay buried. With the outline of the distant hills as
the background visual anchor, they had fixed our position by remembering the layout of the old
forest routes. While apparently staring blankly out at the passing scenery, some among them,
at least, had been recalling the old associations of routes and topographic relief. There was
much consultation. In forested conditions the trail is a lot more distinct and the above-ground
vegetation provides added landmark features to guide passage. Now, only the most able navigator, Ey Toh's nephew, had the spatial acuity to embed the old conceptual map in this impoverished landscape — to accurately recognize the old locations. As the trader drove us on, Ey
Toh muttered, "What a waste, they have cut down our forest."

Three years later, I was moving camp (jok) with another group. We were foot-trekking through logged-over forest this time. We would emerge from forest, cross a loggers' access road, enter the forest again. There was a well-defined trail that merged with and branched off from the logging road (halbəw wiŋ); we were heading for hillsides that the loggers had long since abandoned. We cut through (rigel) an old encampment. The women with me remembered that their friend Na' Kadoy had given birth there. Talk continued; the baby had not survived, was buried elsewhere. One of the young women walking alongside me frowned and announced indignantly that the loggers later clear-cut the baby's place of burial.

The point of these stories is that places are rich with biographical and sentimental associations. Narratives of place also help in cartography, because they provide information that quickly identifies a place and shows how that place is different from any other. There are many place-names which have narrative information encoded in them. But many more places, including the all-important *halbaw*, are unnamed. When people see (*tɔt*), move through (*cup*), or think about (*hulkah*) the places where particular activities or incidents occurred, they recollect: "Here is where this happened, there is where that happened." Places give geographic concreteness to memories. Mothers often say that they cannot bear to "see" the places where deceased children once walked and played. The death of a beloved spouse or child usually initiates a move away from the place of death (*dənəm*), at least until the period of grieving is over, and sometimes permanently. A place, then, condenses a wealth of kinship, historical, and iconographic information (on which, see below) which is part of the collective lore of the forest. This relationship between people, memory, and landscape may be realized even more intimately. For example, when children are named for their places of birth (*təmpet jənadi*"

¹⁶⁾ Among the group, he had also spent the most time working outside the forest. He was both old enough to be intimately familiar with the forest routes and young enough to make sense of the new landscape. In 1996, I realized that his knowledge of routes was well regarded by the rest. The talent ran in the family: three brothers, close in age, were superb hunters and natural leaders at whatever they undertook. The point is that there is much inter-individual variation even in this fundamental domain of wayfinding and the knowledge is also dependent on life experience.

'place of becoming'¹⁷⁾), the name becomes an easy "information storage device." As long as people think about the person, they remember the place of birth and, through chains of association [Lye 1997: 169–171], the movements of kinship groups; the person embodies the land-scape history. And as long as the place itself is thought about, so too the long succession of people who lived, worked, and died there.

There is, then, a reciprocal relationship between places and narratives. As in the examples above, going to or passing through a place "calls up" the lore; the people tell the stories to one another, remember the friends featuring in their stories and the things that happened there. They give concrete social meaning to the place, which is as much a part of recognizing the place as the cognitive activity of wayfinding. And the place itself may be well loved for its own aesthetic qualities, pulling people back time and time again, thus setting the scene for more story-making and becoming ever more familiar. "Ipah jok, 'ipah 'init, 'ipah wek 'We move away, we remember, we go back'," says Tebu, a shaman, criticizing a common insult that they are "wild people" who have no landscape attachment. But as landscape deteriorates (the wider context for Tebu's resentment), the places may be left behind for good. The knowledge becomes cognitive activity alone, bereft of the practical and physical base that gives it continued meaning. The biographical associations, memories, narratives, and conceptual maps are detached from the landscape, becoming history without a place.

III Belonging

In this part of the paper, I will draw out the implications of history with a place, as encapsulated in the theme of belonging: the modes and claims of belonging. Following on some issues raised in the foregoing discussion, I will explore some central forest representations to greater depth. These are part of what I have called the iconography of landscape: the images and symbols of forest. In particular, I focus on the most dramatic of these, things that cause worry and fear. These images are then contextualized within the frame of the ecocosmology and the Bateks' claims, which are related to the changing nature of the forest, that they look after the forest. In the final section of this part, I briefly sketch out some representations implicit or incipient in the claims by which outsiders come to know the forest. The conceptual movement, therefore, is from the immediate experiences of the forest to the global context of biodiversity conservation.

The first concern, however, is the Batek-Malay theme that has recurred throughout this discussion. As I wrote at the outset, environmental representations are as much about being part of the broader world as they are about the environment. As such, we begin with a discussion about the transition from *gob* to *kaben* — from stranger to friend. Since Malays are the primary reference group, they should take precedence in this discussion.

¹⁷⁾ Note that the Bateks have a verb, *bŋlus* 'to be born'. *Jənadi*', which is used exclusively in this context, is an infixal derivative of the Malay word *jadi* 'to become'.

1. Kin and Stranger

An important component of identity is having kaben 'kin; friend' in the forest. The Bateks clearly abhor solitary life; to be an orphan $(b \ni k \ni pok)$ is a condition to be pitied. One who is not kaben is by definition gob 'stranger; outsider'. However, a gob is always a potential friend. The transition from one status to another is hedged by pre-established conditions, as will be discussed shortly. If, as we saw earlier, gob belongs to the agrarian world, kaben belongs of the forest. The chain of reasoning (which is my interpretation) goes: if kaben equals kin (definition), kin is Batek (biology and social relations), and the Bateks call themselves $batek\ h \ni p$ 'people of the forest' (identity), then kaben is also of the forest. Most commonly, kaben are, indeed, relatives from birth and marriage, but there are connotations of strong friendship, bonding, and companionship: kaben are preferred travelling and working companions.

The network of *kaben* is the community that one belongs to, that gives one an identity (most emphasized linguistically in teknonymy, which identifies people through parent-child relationships). But this community, as I remarked earlier on the nature of groups, has to have porous social boundaries. Otherwise, it would be an infinitely closed society, which it has not been historically or genetically [Endicott 1997]. Hence, there are elements of extended kinship in the *kaben* concept, which would permit the Bateks to confer (if fictively) a Batek identity on *gob*.

Without going into the social dynamics and ramifications of this process, it is worth considering the role of the environment in this process. To become *kaben* is not only to belong to the forest but to be accepted by, and ipso facto become *part* of, it. When a stranger moves into camp, the initial reserve of the Batek hosts is more than made up for by the thunder god Gubar; either that day or soon afterwards, the arrival of the stranger is marked by thunderstorms. The natural forces, in other words, objectively express what the Bateks, in their social demeanor, cannot directly show: their initial sense of disquiet. The arrival of the stranger, then, is fraught with risk for the Bateks. The stranger, to become *kaben*, should adopt the norms and practices that obtain in the forest. Of most relevance here are those pertinent to the ingestion of substances: eating forest foods.

Dietary issues become pressing when strangers stay with the Bateks. The latter eagerly probe their guests about their food preferences, usually framing the questions in a comparative context. Most pertinently, they want to know if the guests have any dietary restrictions like the Malays' Islamic prohibitions. To them, Malays will remain the prototypical *gob*. For the Malays' food prohibitions effectively limit the extent to which they could adopt Batek practices. Since they cannot partake of wild game, they symbolically have to reject the forest from which that food comes. So long as *any* stranger resists participating in food-related

¹⁸⁾ I was told that Gubar registered a most clamorous complaint the night after my first visit to the Bateks.

¹⁹⁾ It is expressed in body language and a shyness with face-to-face contact, but the Bateks do not turn strangers away. Verbal insults are practically taboo.

norms and practices, they are not kaben and cannot belong.

2. Tigers, Trees, and Threats

This section focuses on some images and symbols of forest: things that indubitably belong, but cause worry and fear. Again, *gob* will serve as a point of contrast.

The tiger is viewed, like other animals of its class, with fear, circumspection, curiosity, and the occasional mockery ("Smelly Claws," referring to its habit of marking scent with its paws, is one insult that comes to mind). As in their relationship with *gob*, the Bateks' relationship with the tiger is fraught with ambivalence and ambiguity. *Gob* may be alien, but are providers of goods and jobs. They also have the symbols of wealth: things and property that the Bateks in some sense covet. *Gob* are not disliked for what they are (essential ethnic qualities) but for their rejection of the forest, as shown in their obvious distaste for the Bateks' food (behavior) and their tendency to want to control the Bateks (politics and economics).

As for the tiger, Endicott [1979: 141] explains:

On the one hand, [the Bateks] they greatly admire [the tigers] for their awesome strength and speed. These qualities make the tiger a very appropriate symbol for the notion of superhuman power on earth, which is personified in man by the shaman. But the Batek greatly fear tigers as well. The identification of the shaman with the tiger seems to be a way of converting the danger of tigers to power for man.

Further, the tiger shares too many characteristics with the Bateks. Belonging to animal society, the tiger properly belongs to the game category and yet it preys and is not preyed upon. Eating tiger meat is not unknown but hunting tigers is simply not done. The tiger also occupies the Bateks' preferred habitat; it travels along waterways, loves to play in the water, and belongs to the foothills. Not only do the Bateks not hunt tigers, they also situate their camps away from known tiger routes. Hence, though without intending to, they practice game

conservation.²⁰⁾ The conservation problem arising from a man/tiger fight for space does not apply in this case. The Bateks and the tiger inhabit complementary but, as far as possible, not overlapping niches.

A number of myths posit the problems of were-tigers: sometimes a human is revealed to be a tiger in disguise, at other times, a tiger longs for human relationships and assumes human form to achieve it. It is one thing, as with the shamans, for the Bateks to appropriate the tiger's power for benign purposes; it is quite another when the tiger turns that power against people. For then the control is coming from the tiger: the more or less equal co-existence — the partnership between people and tigers — is upset. Things become upside down. The general problem, then, is that the boundary between human and tiger societies is extremely thin, and there is a deeply embedded horror of what would happen should this boundary be crossed for evil purposes [Gianno 1997; Wessing 1986].

I go on now to another problem of living in the forest, which is more immediate: treefall. Nothing is so terrifying in the forest as the sudden collapse of a giant tree [Endicott 1979: 6, 8–9; Needham 1967]. To be killed in a treefall is to the Bateks a prospect filled with horror, linguistically equivalent to death by tiger-attack, interpersonal violence, and a fatal fall. Rainstorms are greeted with constant vigilance and the readiness to flee at the first sound and sign of danger. Running into the rain to seek a clearing far from tall trees is the standard strategy. Siting encampments away from old forest, avoiding the possibility of accident in the first place, is the general coping technique [for a longer discussion, see Lye 1997: Chapter 5].

To talk about the forest is, almost by definition, to talk about trees and other plants [Lye 2000]. As Dentan [1991: 423] points out, there is an unmistakable "arboricentricity" in the intellectual and foraging traditions of Borneo and the Peninsula, as shown in, for example, taste preference for tree crops (especially fruit trees) and the uses of trees as markers of land, property, kinship, and time [see also Dove 1998; Peluso 1996]. There is a depth of cultural sentiment towards trees and the paradox is that the symbols of danger are also things of shelter. According to one origin myth, there was not enough to eat for all the people on earth so half the people became trees for the sustenance of their friends. Trees, from this perspective, share substance with the people — and history and identity. Earlier, I referred to the anxiety that the boundary between humans and tigers could break down. This unease is not extended to plants. It is the crossing of the boundary that originated the forest; one imagines that boundary to be crossed, and the human-plant relationship reiterated, whenever people harvest food to eat [Endicott 1979: 67]. If, originally, people contributed themselves to improve the vitality of the forest, then the continuous harvesting of those plants returns vitality back to the people. Thus, so long as this interaction continues, there is a circulation of nutrients linking people and plants in a relationship going back to the beginning of time.

²⁰⁾ They do not hunt most of the larger fauna either — elephants, bears, rhinoceros, etc. They also rarely hunt wild pigs. They do not collect animal products for the wildlife trade, though if they find animal carcasses, they might offer the commercial parts for sale.

3. Looking after the Forest

The forest is subject to a range of normative prescriptions and proscriptions. Endicott [1979: 53] points out that the Bateks "consider their living in the forest to be part of the natural order of things as established by the superhuman beings." Two clarifying points need to be stated here. One is that the Bateks recognize that human and natural history are part of the *same* "natural order." In other words, as with many non-industrial societies around the world, the objectification and distancing of nature is taken to be somewhat suspect [Dove 1998; Ingold 1996]. The second point is the prominent role of the superhumans in preserving the natural order and, more specifically, in the generation and regeneration of the forest. It is useful to think of people and forest (that is, all the biotic life associated with it) as constituting a moral community, with the omniscient and omnipotent superhumans squarely in charge. Here the emphasis is on the relational dynamics of *belonging* to the same natural order. This fabric of relationships could not exist without the superhumans, who are protectors, providers, and "moral watchdogs" [see also Endicott 1979: 124–160; Lye 2000].²¹⁾

The relational dynamics, and the lack of distance between people and forest, "culture" and "nature," was amply demonstrated in the foregoing section. Because these various entities of the forest are members of the same community, they have social qualities that humans can apprehend and empathize with. This is indicated, for example, by the way they are discussed. Thus, if one wanted to know what animals cluster together in the Bateks' system of classification, the best way to ask is: what are its *kaben*? This is not to deny that these natural entities have objective qualities independent of their sociality; there is even a word, *pisin*, that means "species of." Rather, it is that the sociality gives them an added identity.

With plants, the sociality can be effectively demonstrated, particularly in descriptions of wild yam roots (takop; generally but not exclusively Dioscorea spp.). These are indelible features of the Peninsular forests and the main staple if rice were not available. Gathering expeditions are approached with the anticipation we more customarily associate with the hunt. One reason is that the plants have sentient properties as well. The roots do not "grow" in this or that direction, they cup 'walk'. And when a root doesn't want to cup anymore, that's when you get a stub. And when it changes its mind in mid-course, it cam 'looks for' another place to cup. So these plants even move like the hunter-gatherers that seek them. More than simply have a mind to move along a path, however, the root may have the capacity to apprehend the harvester's intentions as well: when a dig fails, the yam is deemed to have tala? 'fled'.

The whole moral community works because there are ongoing relations with the superhuman beings. To abuse these relations, performing proscribed behavior, could bring on ecological danger: unnatural rainstorms and gusts of winds, visits by tigers, flooding, and ground subsidence [Endicott 1979: Chapter 3]. Many of these proscriptions (e.g., the mockery of

²¹⁾ I make no assumptions here about the political distance or status of the superhumans relative to the Bateks. It is enough for present purposes to recognize that the former are very much present in this community.

²²⁾ There is a vast range of proscriptions that are of varying importance and significance. Some of the A

animals) are not logical when considered out of their cultural context. Without stopping for a more detailed examination, we can find an underlying theme, which is that the prohibitions are about *respecting* the natural order of the world. That humans are capable of hubris is shown, for example, in one petrification myth. Two women built a lean-to so enormous that it blocked out the sky. A superhuman being cut down the lean-to and it was turned into stone. Thus, the myth is both etiology (explaining why this stone pillar exists) and a cautionary lesson against ruining the structure of the world. There is a code of conduct, in short, that mediates relations between people and forest and ensures that human actions do not disrupt the relational complex of the forest.

The Bateks recognize that the forest would collapse if they, its primary dwellers, do not observe the moral codes. Hence they feel, as Endicott [*ibid*.: 82] writes, that they have "a well defined part to play" in the forest. The world of people is never disengaged from the world of the spirits. The Bateks say that they $jaga^{\circ} h \Rightarrow p$ 'look after the forest'. This assertion shows that they recognize the forest to be a "thing" worth looking after and therefore vulnerable to misuse and/or predation. The claim to stewardship is more forcefully advanced in these times of ecological degradation and tremendous social change, which exacerbate the Bateks' sense of threat and vulnerability. Thus, as conditions worsen, their notion of the forest as lifeworld is increasingly complemented with a political notion of forest as abstract space.

To render the notion of stewardship more concrete, they cast it in oppositional terms. In response to my probes, some Bateks say that urban peoples are not the stewards, the forest people are. Most particularly, they single out the Malays. "They kill the world the way they live," says one man. "They only care to get rich," says another. They point out how they, the Bateks, never clear-cut the forest, only $b \circ t$ 'take' what they need, and strive to live within ecological constraints. They argue that there is a difference between their dwelling practices and the Malays' increasing urbanization and promotion of mono-cropping, resource commodification, land transformation, and industrialization. This is, of course, a commentary on the problem of scale, from the point of view of a minority people who are constantly on the edges of that development, feeling its impacts, and thinking of long-term consequences. Thus the conceptual division is here established as one between "us," people who know the forest best and how to take care of it, looking out at "them," people who are ignorant and care only to take as much as they want.

One problem for the Bateks is that all peoples properly should observe the behavioral codes discussed earlier: the beings are omniscient everywhere, even outside the forest. But outsiders, whether in their own territories or visiting the forest, have no knowledge of, belief in, or respect for their cosmological rules. The Bateks assert that when people come "up"²³⁾ to the

major complexes include *lawa*c (which prohibits the mockery of animals, the mixing of incompatible foods, rowdy noise-making, incest, verbal abuse of natural forces; see Endicott [1979: 70-82]); *tolah* (a loose set incorporating unseemly behavior towards other persons, like addressing them by the wrong name); and *dos* (crushing head lice after eating certain foods).

²³⁾ The reference in "up" is to the spatial contrast between upriver and downriver, discussed earlier.

forest, they should observe the norms that obtain therein.²⁴⁾ Otherwise, they endanger themselves, the forest people, and the forest. The poignancy of this assertion is that only in the forest do the Bateks have any confidence that they are in charge.

4. Making Representations

A major premise of this discussion is that the Bateks' environmental representations, sense of place, and claims to guardianship are not ahistorical. There are other peoples and other representations. As I have just pointed out, the Bateks' claim to stewardship is both a concrete expression of their cosmology and a response to the growing degradation of their world. The strongest point in favor of the latter is that they asked me, their ethnographer, to tell the world about their problems [Lye 1997: 9–15]. Historically, visitors have turned up frequently; they leave behind physical tracks, memories, stories, and shape the Bateks' impression of how the global community views the forest. The fact that the Bateks' plea for environmental sustainability comes at this historical moment shows their awareness of growing outside sympathy for them, which in turn is in response to the growth of environmentalism and increased accessibility of the forest, both practically and conceptually.

The Batek landscape is one of the final remaining stretches of unbroken lowland forests in Peninsular Malaysia. It has a special significance to many who may never even have experienced or seen it. Taman Negara, in particular, is often touted as a haven for biodiversity protection. The Bateks are publicly known as the park's "aboriginal population" but this is as far as the recognition goes. It is "biodiversity" and not people that the park is associated with [Lye in prep.]. That is, though the park as the Bateks' home has a very local character, its wider reputation rests on its national and global status. When one goes to Taman Negara, there is very little symbolic indication of the Bateks' presence. Only some of the many placenames, for example, are recorded as official trail and camp names. Topographic maps clearly mark out the main river systems but the tributary systems between them might as well be a terra incognito.

Thus, perhaps unwittingly, one outside construction is the image of the forest as a wilderness, which belies ecological history. The Peninsular forest as we know it today is arguably the product of an ancient history of interaction, exchange, and extraction [Dunn 1975], not only between societies [Benjamin 1985; Noone 1954] but between specific production scenarios and the biophysical environment [Hutterer 1983]. The view that the forests, as empty lands, are only awaiting conversion to a higher use [critiqued in Rambo 1982] can only be taken as a political representation, an ideological support for development and modernization.

It is also a convenient legacy from the colonial experience. Aiken's [1973] exegesis of Frank Swettenham's diaries is revealing in this respect. Swettenham (and other 19th century colonial civil servants and administrators) confronted a variety of emotions towards the

²⁴⁾ Variations on this theme are often reiterated in many different contexts by different individuals, with or without my probing. The idea that only a certain kind of behavior is appropriate in the forest can be considered as a "collective representation," generally held by *all* the Bateks.

forest. Aiken suggests that the observer's physical location was significant. Men like Swettenham enjoyed the forest when they could obtain a view "from *afar*, from a vantage point, while floating on a river or standing in a clearing" [*ibid*.: 143; italics in the original]. At such moments, feelings soared and aesthetic appreciation was possible. At other times, Swettenham found the forest "a weird gloomy looking place" [cited in *ibid*.: 142] — "impenetrable gloom" being another phrase he used.

It is one thing, as with the newly arrived colonial, the rank outsider coming from an alien cultural context, to see the forest as sheer emptiness. But long-settled farmers living on forest fringes did not see *nothing*; often, they believed in and respected the power of malevolent spirits living just beyond the pale of human community [see Kato 1991]. They were ambivalent too: the forest to them was distant, deculturated, and yet close enough to pose an ontological danger:

... when a party of Malays sets out to drive deer, the commonest of all game, they may go no more than a few hundred yards away from the village; but none the less the leader of the party will utter this preface to his prayer to the spirits:

```
Hail! All hail!
We crave permission to enter on this domain and to tie our noose to these trees. [Maxwell 1982 [1907]: 9]
```

Thus these Malays also had a respect for the powers of the forest, which may be all but lost today.

Part of the Malay classification system very neatly linked forest peoples with their environment, a kind of folk ecological determinism. The Negrito (or Semang) peoples, for example, were considered less than fully human [Endicott 1970: 80–81] and viewed with ambivalence borne of horror, respect, suspicion, and distaste: "The people living around the dwarfs relate among themselves very extraordinary things about these tribes, but always in whispers, lest a dwarf hear. The story goes that they are not men at all, for they suddenly bob out of the ground in the most unexpected places; that they have glowing eyes, are ignorant of the use of fire, and eat everything raw" [Schebesta 1973: 13]. If ordinary folk rarely "penetrated" the forest interior, rare indeed was their intercourse with the forest people, who were cast starkly as either *liar* 'wild' or *jina*' 'tame' [Mikluho-Maclay 1878]. "Tame" referred to established trading partners, slaves, or former slaves [Couillard 1984; Dentan 1997]. "Wild" were those unacculturated groups that valued (and feared for) their freedom and autonomy. As I noted above, these images have stuck, and "wild" remains among the insults the Bateks bristle at.

With growing land conversion, the look, shape, sensibility, and perhaps even the biophysical properties of the ecosystems are being altered [Aiken and Leigh 1995; Brookfield *et al.*

²⁵⁾ Geoffrey Benjamin (2000: personal communication) points out that many Temiars (who are highland peoples living to the north of the Bateks) share this colonial appreciation of a good view or opened-up forest: to these swiddeners "tε" lalah 'clear land' is a general expression of approval."

1997]. As forestland diminishes, perceptions change. Danger now has a romantic air — for that sector of the general public with cash to spend, the forest is inviting. The forest is no longer an indomitable expanse. It is also more accessible, partly because of Government policy to promote tourism (domestic and international) as a revenue earner, the massive advertising campaigns launched to that end, and the infrastructural developments (roads, airports, etc.) that have been laid down [Dentan et al. 1997: 103–106]. The sense of space and time — of how easy and desirable it is to get from one place to another — has changed. The forest is no longer just a thing "out there." There is a growing consciousness of forest as a threatened refuge for wildlife, indigenous peoples, and the pollution-weary urbanite alike. Thus the popularity of ecotourism or adventure tourism. Administrative worries with this developing industry were clearly evident in the mid-1980s [Department of Wildlife and National Parks 1987: 108]. In the 1990s, there is considerably more interaction than ever before. If before the Bateks' contacts with visitors were limited to the local gob, soldiers, terrorists, and aberrant types, nowadays, depending on where they are, they might encounter a pack of urban Malaysian youths instead.

Consonant with the development of scientific forestry, the forest has for a long time now been viewed as a "cornucopia" [Aiken 1973: 144–146] of resource wealth. Anticipating the modern-day tourist, surveyors, geologists, foresters, researchers, loggers, and assorted entrepreneurial types have long found their way up there [Cooke 1999]. Gazing, mapping, collecting, classifying, extracting, and issuing records and pronouncements, these people have contributed to the contemporary definition of the forest. Most definitive in terms of ordering public perceptions have been the land use classifications (e.g., the instatement of different areas for different forestry, extractive, amenity and protective purposes). It is not too unlikely a stretch to compare these classifications and typologies to the Bateks' moral codes: both serve the goal of naturalizing a particular way to behave in a particular kind of forest (although, of course, it is debatable the extent to which we could compare *compliance* with the respective rules and codes).

IV Conclusions

This discussion has shown that, just as people's biographies are grounded in location and geography, so is landscape biography — its history — intimately connected to the people's capacity to keep in touch with different places. A major theme was that the Bateks' environmental representations are both a concrete expression of local knowledge of place and a response to the sweeping changes that are altering the landscape. With growing degradation, there is the possibility that people will become more estranged from their geography of knowledge and that, ultimately, landscape lore becomes just lore, history without a place. This the Bateks seem cognizant of. They argue that they know the forest and how to use it because they live in

²⁶⁾ My calculations from 1980–1996 visitor statistics to Taman Negara indicate that the average annual increase of Malaysian tourists was about 18%.

it. Namely, that knowledge of place is contingent upon being *present* and *resident*. This knowledge is a way to assert belonging and authority and therefore is constitutive of identity. Identity, in turn, justifies the claim to stewardship.

But the Bateks are rarely heard. Their "silence" is part of a long history of official dismissal. In official construction of the environment, local communities present a "problem," either for governance or against forest degradation. Their presence in the forest is deemed to be a threat to sustainability and a problem that prevents them from "progressing." The "problem" may be constructed for short-term ends (to facilitate land and resource exploitation) or because officials genuinely believe that living in the forest, set apart from the development trajectory, offers the people no reasonable future. For the official position to make sense, then, the forest cannot be perceived as a dwelling place, it has to be peripheralised and conceptualized as culture-free. The problem is that, if one thinks of the forest as culture-free, then one is forced to think of the forest people in the same terms: wild, stupid, ignorant, primitive, and brutish [Ingold 1994: 10–11]. Further, this position seems to be scaffolded by the Malay distrust and abhorrence of the mobile way of life, discussed earlier [Carey 1976]. It becomes natural not to engage in the forest people's conceptions and somewhat ridiculous to do otherwise. The lack of attention to the Bateks' voices and concerns, then, is hardly surprising.

Conceptually, my study has demonstrated two issues. First, the customary dichotomy between culture and nature, society and environment, does not take us very far into the study of local knowledge. Analyses that begin by taking people out of the environment, and thinking of that environment as a human-free zone, are also representations that deny both landscape biographies and the biographies of the people who live in them. The Bateks see themselves as integral members of their environment and do not have language even to describe "wilderness" qualities. Second, that local knowledge is consistent with change. The Bateks are always looking out, comparing, contrasting, grouping and classifying ideas, people, and phenomena, thinking about what it all means in relation to themselves. And as the landscape changes, there is just a hint that their knowledge base also begins to change. As trees are cut down and forest opened up, the visual qualities of the environment change. New things come into experience, and this would be reflected in local history as well. And new actors come into the scene, giving the Bateks a more developed sense of the global implications of their ideas. Thus the reality is that Batek lives do not fit the popular conception that they are "primitives" lost in time. It is worth challenging these outside constructions, if only to craft a more imaginative and sensitive understanding of the effects of forest degradation.

Acknowledgements

This study is based primarily on fieldwork supported by the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research, the East-West Center, and the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation (1995–1996). Research permissions were granted by the Economic Planning Unit in Kuala Lumpur, the State Economic Planning Unit in Kuantan, Pahang, and the Department of Wildlife and National Parks. A postdoctoral fellowship from the Japan Society for Promotion of Science (1998–2000) has made possible the

conditions for writing this paper and my participation in the Center of Excellence project of Kyoto University's Center for Southeast Asian Studies. I am indebted to these institutions for their support and many teachers and colleagues for ideas and feedback. In particular, I thank Kirk and Karen Endicott and Christian Vogt for sharing their knowledge and insights, and Geoffrey Benjamin and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on this paper. My primary thanks go, as always, to Batek friends who did everything possible to keep me safe from harm and risk and tried gallantly, if vainly, to make a hunter-gatherer out of me.

References

- Aiken, S. R. 1973. Images of Nature in Swettenham's Early Writings: A Prolegomenon to a Historical Perspective on Peninsular Malaysia's Ecological Problems. *Asian Studies* 11: 135–149.
- Aiken, S. R.; and Leigh, C. H. 1995. Vanishing Rain Forests: The Ecological Transition in Malaysia.

 Oxford Biogeography Series No. 5. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Benjamin, G. 1985. In the Long Term: Three Themes in Malayan Cultural Ecology. In *Cultural Values and Human Ecology in Southeast Asia*, edited by K. L. Hutterer, A. T. Rambo, and G. Lovelace, pp. 219–278. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Center for South and Southeast Asian Studies.
- Brookfield, H. et al. 1997. In Place of the Forest: Environmental and Socio-Economic Transformation in Borneo and the Eastern Malay Peninsular. New York: United Nations.
- Brosius, J. P. 1997a. Endangered Forest, Endangered People: Environmentalist Representations of Indigenous Knowledge. *Human Ecology* 25: 47–69.
- _____. 1997b. Prior Transcripts, Divergent Paths: Resistance and Acquiescence to Logging in Sarawak. *Comparative Studies of Society and History* 39: 468-510.
- _____. 1999. Green Dots, Pink Hearts: Displacing Politics from the Malaysian Rain Forest. American Anthropologist 10: 36-57.
- Carey, I. 1976. Orang Asli: The Aboriginal Tribes of Peninsular Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur: Oxford University Press.
- Cooke, F. M. 1999. The Challenge of Sustainable Forests: Forest Resource Policy in Malaysia, 1970–1995. St. Leonards, NSW, Australia: Allen & Unwin and Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Couillard, M.-A. 1984. The Malays and the Sakai: Some Comments on Their Social Relations in the Malay Peninsula. *Kajian Malaysia: Journal of Malaysian Studies* 2: 81-108.
- Croll, E.; and Parkin, D., eds. 1992. Bush Base: Forest Farm. Culture, Environment and Development. London: Routledge.
- Dentan, R. K. 1991. Potential Food Sources for Foragers in Malaysian Rainforest: Sagos, Yams and Lots of Little Things. *Bijdragen Tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 147: 420-444.
- Political Ecology. In Aggression and Peacefulness in Humans and Other Primates, edited by J. Silverberg and J. P. Gray, pp. 214-270. New York: Oxford University Press.
- _____. 1997. The Persistence of Received Truth: How Ruling Class Malays Construct Orang Asli Identity. In *Indigenous Peoples and the State: Politics, Land, and Ethnicity in the Malayan Peninsula and Borneo*, edited by R. L. Winzeler, pp. 98-134. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Dentan, R. K. et al. 1997. Malaysia and the Original People: A Case Study of the Impact of Development on Indigenous Peoples. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Department of Wildlife and National Parks, Malaysia. 1987. Taman Negara Master Plan. Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment.
- Diffloth, G. 1984. *The Dvaravati Old Mon Language and Nyah Kur*. Bangkok: Chulalongkorn University Printing House.
- Dove, M. R. 1983. Theories of Swidden Agriculture and the Political Economy of Ignorance. *Agroforestry Systems* 1: 85–99.
- _____. 1998. Living Rubber, Dead Land, and Persisting Systems in Borneo: Indigenous Representations of Sustainability. *Bijdragen Tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 154: 20-54.
- Dunn, F. L. 1975. Rainforest Collectors and Traders: A Study of Resource Utilization in Modern and

- Ancient Malaya. Monograph No. 5. 2nd edition 1982. Kuala Lumpur: Malayan Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society.
- Ellen, R. F.; and Fukui, K., eds. 1996. Redefining Nature: Ecology, Culture and Domestication. Oxford: Berg.
- Endicott, K. 1970. An Analysis of Malay Magic. Singapore: Oxford University Press.
- _____. 1979. Batek Negrito Religion: The World-View and Rituals of a Hunting and Gathering People of Peninsular Malaysia. Oxford: Clarendon.
- _____. 1983. The Effects of Slave Raiding on the Aborigines of the Malay Peninsula. In *Slavery*, *Bondage*, *and Dependency in Southeast Asia*, edited by A. Reid and J. Brewster, pp. 216–245. Brisbane, Australia: University of Queensland Press.
- _____. 1984. The Economy of the Batek of Malaysia: Annual and Historical Perspectives. Research in Economic Anthropology 6: 29-52.
- _____. 1997. Batek History, Interethnic Relations, and Subgroup Dynamics. In *Indigenous Peoples* and the State: Politics, Land, and Ethnicity in the Malayan Peninsula and Borneo, edited by R. L. Winzeler, pp. 30-50. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- 2000. The Batek of Malaysia. In Endangered Peoples of Southeast and East Asia: Struggles to Survive and Thrive, edited by L. Sponsel, pp. 101–122. Westport, CT: Greenwood Publishing Group.
- Endicott, K. L. 1981. The Conditions of Egalitarian Male-Female Relationships in Foraging Societies. *Canberra Anthropology* 4: 1–10.
- Endicott, K. L.; and Endicott, K. 1986. The Question of Hunter-Gatherer Territoriality: The Case of the Batek of Malaysia. In *The Past and Future of !Kung Ethnography: Critical Reflections and Symbolic Perspectives*, edited by M. Biesele, R. Gordon, and R. Lee, pp. 137–162. Hamburg: Helmut Buske Verlag.
- Fairhead, J.; and Leach, M. 1996. Misreading the African Landscape: Society and Ecology in a Forest-Savanna Mosaic. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Feld, S.; and Basso, K. H., eds. 1996. Senses of Place. Sante Fe, NM: School of American Research.
- Gianno, R. 1997. Malay, Semelai, Temoq: Semelai Concepts of Ethnicity in South-Central Malaya. In *Indigenous Peoples and the State: Politics, Land, and Ethnicity in the Malayan Peninsula and Borneo*, edited by R. L. Winzeler, pp. 51–83. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Hirsch, E.; and Hanlon, M. O., eds. 1995. The Anthropology of Landscape: Perspectives on Space and Place. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Hutterer, K. L. 1983. The Natural and Cultural History of Southeast Asian Agriculture: Ecological and Evolutionary Considerations. *Anthropos* 78: 169–212.
- Ingold, T. 1994. From Trust to Domination: An Alternative View of Human-Animal Relations. In *Animals and Human Society: Changing Perspectives*, edited by A. Manning and J. Serpell, pp. 1-22. London: Routledge.
- _____. 1996. Hunting and Gathering as Ways of Perceiving the Environment. In *Redefining Nature:* Ecology, Culture and Domestication, edited by R. F. Ellen and K. Fukui, pp. 117–155. Oxford: Berg.
- Jimin b. Idris et al. 1983. Planning and Administration of Development Programmes for Tribal Peoples (The Malaysian setting). Jabatan Hal-Ehwal Orang Asli. CIRDAP Country Report, Malaysia.
- Kato, T. 1991. When Rubber Came: The Negeri Sembilan Experience. *Tonan Ajia Kenkyu* [Southeast Asian Studies] 29 (2): 109-157.
- Lee, R. B.; and Daly, R. 1999. Foragers and Others. In *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Hunters and Gatherers*, edited by R. B. Lee and R. Daly, pp. 1–19. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lye T.-P. 1997. Knowledge, Forest, and Hunter-gatherer Movement: The Batek of Pahang, Malaysia. Ph. D. dissertation, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu.
- ______. 2000. The Meanings of Trees: Forest and Identity in Pahang, Malaysia. Paper presented at the 8th International Symposium for Society and Resource Management, session on "Forest peoples, identity, and development." Bellingham, WA, USA. June 19–22.
- ______ in prep. Uneasy Bedfellows: Contrasting Models of Conservation in Malaysia. In *The Conditions of Biodiversity Maintenance in Asia*, edited by M. R. Dove and P. S. Sajise.
- Maxwell, G. 1982 [1907]. In Malay Forests. 3rd ed. Singapore: Eastern Universities Press.
- Mikluho-Maclay, N. 1878. Ethnological Excursions in the Malay Peninsula. *Journal of the Straits Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society* 2: 205-221.

東南アジア研究 38巻2号

- Needham, R. 1967. Blood, Thunder, and the Mockery of Animals. In *Myth and Cosmos: Readings in Mythology and Symbolism*, edited by J. Middleton, pp. 271-286. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.
- Nicholas, C. 2000. The Orang Asli and the Contest for Resources: Indigenous Politics, Development and Identity in Malaysia. IWGIA Document No. 95. Copenhagen: International Work Group for Indigenous Affairs and Kuala Lumpur: Center for Orang Asli Concerns.
- Noone, R. O. D. 1954. Notes on the Trade in Blowpipes and Blowpipe Bamboo in North Malaya. *Federation Museums Journal* (new series) I and II: 1-18.
- Peluso, N. L. 1996. Fruit Trees and Family Trees in an Anthropogenic Forest: Ethics of Access, Property Zones, and Environmental Change in Indonesia. *Comparative Studies of Society and History* 38: 510–548.
- Rambo, A. T. 1982. Orang Asli Adaptive Strategies: Implications for Malaysian Natural Resource Development Planning. In *Too Rapid Rural Development: Perceptions and Perspectives from Southeast Asia*, edited by C. MacAndrews and L. S. Chia, pp. 251–299. Ohio: Ohio University Press.
- Schebesta, P. 1973. Among the Forest Dwarfs of Malaya. Reprint of 1928 ed. London: Oxford University Press.
- Wessing, R. 1986. *The Soul of Ambiguity: The Tiger in Southeast Asia*. Special Report No. 24. Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Northern Illinois University.