

Title	Low energy effective action for Horava-Witten cosmology
Author(s)	Kanno, S
Citation	PHYSICAL REVIEW D (2005), 72(2)
Issue Date	2005-07
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/49937
Right	Copyright 2005 American Physical Society
Туре	Journal Article
Textversion	publisher

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 72, 024009 (2005)

Low energy effective action for Hořava-Witten cosmology

Sugumi Kanno

Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan (Received 14 April 2005; published 8 July 2005)

As a supersymmetric extension of the Randall-Sundrum model, we consider a 5-dimensional Hořava-Witten type theory and derive its low energy effective action. The model we consider is a two-brane system with a bulk scalar field satisfying the Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) condition. We solve the bulk equations of motion using a gradient expansion method, and substitute the solution into the original action to get the 4-dimensional effective action. The resultant effective theory can be cast into the form of Einstein gravity coupled with two scalar fields, one arising from the radion, the degree of freedom of the interbrane distance, and the other from the bulk scalar field. We also clarify the relation between our analysis and the moduli approximation.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.72.024009 PACS numbers: 04.50.+h

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, it is believed that superstring theory is the most promising candidate for quantum theory of gravity. Remarkably, it can be consistently formulated only in 10 dimensions [1]. This fact requires a mechanism to fill the gap between our real world and the higher dimensions. Conventionally, the extra dimensions are considered to be compactified to a small compact space of the Planck scale. However, recent developments in superstring theory have led to a new idea, the so-called braneworld. The braneworld scenario has been a subject of intensive investigations for the past few years [2]. The simplest toy model of the braneworld is constructed by Randall and Sundrum in which the bulk is empty [3]. However, in superstring theory, scalar fields are ubiquitous. Indeed, generically a dilaton and moduli exist in the bulk because they correspond to modes associated with a closed string. Thus, including a bulk scalar field is more natural from the string theory point of view.

In addition to the above stringy point of view, a model with a bulk scalar field is interesting from the cosmological point of view as well. For example, a bulk scalar field can drive inflation on the brane although the bulk spacetime never inflates [4]. This kind of inflationary scenario certainly deserves further investigations.

In the presence of a bulk scalar field, the physics of branes is complicated. However, when both the bulk potential and the effective brane tension are related and under control, the effective 4-dimensional cosmological constant vanishes. This is the so-called Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield monopoles (BPS) condition and the system becomes tractable under this condition. As an example of a system with the BPS condition, we can take the Randall-Sundrum model where the negative cosmological constant $-6/(\kappa^2\ell^2)$ and brane tension σ has the relation $\kappa^2\sigma = 6/\ell$. Assuming this relation, and using a gradient expansion method we developed previously [5], we constructed

the effective action for the Randall-Sundrum model with a bulk scalar field [6].

In a supersymmetric extension of the Randall-Sundrum model obtained by the dimensional reduction of the Hořava-Witten *M*-theory to 5-dimensions [7], the bulk potential takes an exponential form with parameters satisfying the BPS condition. In the case of homogeneous cosmology, the field equations are derived and discussed in [8]. For a more thorough analysis, it would be nice if a purely 4-dimensional description of the braneworld exists. Aiming for this direction, the effective action for the Hořava-Witten cosmology is obtained by using the moduli approximation [9] (see [10] for other approaches).

The moduli approximation assumes the metric in the form of a static solution, but replaces the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ on the brane with a spacetime dependent metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$. This leads to the metric in a factorized form. The position of each brane is described by a spacetime dependent function $\phi(x)$ [11]. With this form of the metric, the effective action is derived by a simple dimensional reduction. However, this factorized metric is not obtained by solving the bulk equations of motion. Hence there is no justification in using it. We should solve the bulk geometry and substitute the result into the action to obtain a proper 4-dimensional effective theory.

In this paper, we will solve the bulk equations of motion using a gradient expansion method and derive the 4-dimensional effective action for the BPS braneworld with a bulk scalar field. In the course of analysis, we clarify the relation between the gradient expansion method and the moduli approximation method. The implications of our result to string cosmology are also discussed.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we present the starting action for our Hořava-Witten type model, that is, a BPS braneworld with a bulk scalar field. We then derive basic equations. In Sec. III, we explain our iteration scheme of gradient expansion to solve the Einstein equations, which corresponds to a low energy approximation, and the background solution is presented. In Sec. IV, we derive the 4-dimensional effective action. In

^{*}Electronic address: sugumi@tap.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Sec. V, the relation to the moduli approximation is investigated. The final section is devoted to conclusion. In the appendix, calculation of the extrinsic curvature is given.

II. MODEL AND BASIC EQUATIONS

We consider a Z_2 symmetric 5-dimensional spacetime with two branes at the fixed points of the symmetry, and a bulk scalar field φ coupled to the brane tension $\sigma(\varphi)$ but not to the matter $\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}$ on the brane. The model is described by the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{R} - \int d^5x \sqrt{-\mathcal{G}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}^{AB} \partial_A \varphi \partial_B \varphi \right]$$

$$+ U(\varphi) \left[-\int d^4x \sqrt{-g_+} [\sigma(\varphi_+) - \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^+] \right]$$

$$+ \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_-} [\sigma(\varphi_-) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^-]$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_+} \mathcal{K}_+ - \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_-} \mathcal{K}_-, \quad (1)$$

where κ^2 is the 5-dimensional gravitational coupling constant and \mathcal{R} is the 5-dimensional scalar curvature. We denoted the induced metric on the positive and negative tension branes by $g_{\mu\nu}^+$ and $g_{\mu\nu}^-$, respectively. In the last line, we have taken into account the Gibbons-Hawking boundary terms instead of introducing delta-function singularities in the curvature. The factor 2 in the Gibbons-Hawking term comes from the Z_2 symmetry of this spacetime. \mathcal{K}_{\pm} is the trace part of the extrinsic curvature of each boundary brane. The potential of the bulk scalar field and brane tensions satisfy the BPS condition,

$$U(\varphi) = \frac{1}{8}\sigma^{\prime 2}(\varphi) - \frac{\kappa^2}{6}\sigma^2(\varphi), \tag{2}$$

where the prime denotes a derivative with respect to the scalar field φ . The effective 4-dimensional cosmological constant on the brane will vanish under this condition. The brane tension is assumed to have the form

$$\sigma = \frac{6\mu}{\kappa^2} \exp\left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}p}\,\varphi\right),\tag{3}$$

where μ and p are model parameters. The Hořava-Witten model corresponds to $p = 1/\sqrt{6}$. The limit $p \to \infty$ reduces to the Randall-Sundrum model with the bulk curvature radius $1/\mu$.

We take the metric in the bulk as

$$ds^{2} = \gamma_{\mu\nu}(x, y)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + e^{2\psi(x)}dy^{2}.$$
 (4)

The positive and negative tension branes are, respectively, placed at

$$y = \phi_{+}(x), \qquad y = \phi_{-}(x),$$
 (5)

which are often referred to as the moduli fields. In the bulk scalar field model, we have two scalar degrees of freedom, that is, the bulk scalar field and the interbrane distance. Here we introduced an extra scalar field $\psi(x)$ as a coordinate choice which is convenient to solve the bulk equations of motion. Now we give the basic equations in the bulk. When solving the bulk equations of motion, it is convenient to introduce a tensor on the y= constant slicing by $K_{\mu\nu}=-1/2\partial_y\gamma_{\mu\nu}$. Decomposing the extrinsic curvature into the traceless part and the trace part

$$e^{-\psi}K^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \Sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}Q, \qquad Q = e^{-\psi}K, \quad (6)$$

we obtain the basic equations which hold in the bulk;

$$e^{-\psi} \Sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu,y} - Q \Sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = -[R^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(\gamma) - \nabla^{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \psi - \nabla^{\mu} \psi \nabla_{\nu} \psi - \kappa^2 \nabla^{\mu} \varphi \nabla_{\nu} \varphi]_{\text{traceless}}, \tag{7}$$

$$e^{-\psi}Q_{,y} - Q^2 = \frac{8}{3}\kappa^2 U(\varphi) - R(\gamma) + \nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}\psi + \nabla^{\alpha}\psi\nabla_{\alpha}\psi + \kappa^2\nabla^{\alpha}\varphi\nabla_{\alpha}\varphi, \quad (8)$$

$$e^{-\psi}Q_{,y} - \frac{1}{4}Q^2 - \Sigma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}\Sigma^{\beta}{}_{\alpha} = \nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}\psi + \nabla^{\alpha}\psi\nabla_{\alpha}\psi + \kappa^2 \left[e^{-2\psi}(\partial_{y}\varphi)^2 + \frac{2}{3}U(\varphi)\right],$$
(9)

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \Sigma_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} - \frac{3}{4} \nabla_{\mu} Q = -\kappa^{2} e^{-\psi} (\partial_{y} \varphi) \nabla_{\mu} \varphi, \qquad (10)$$

$$e^{-\psi}\partial_{y}[e^{-\psi}\partial_{y}\varphi] - Qe^{-\psi}\partial_{y}\varphi + \nabla_{\alpha}\psi\nabla^{\alpha}\varphi + \nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}\varphi - U'(\varphi) = 0, \quad (11)$$

where ∇_{μ} denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ and $R^{\mu}_{\ \nu}(\gamma)$ is the corresponding 4-dimensional curvature. The subscript "traceless" represents the traceless part of the quantity in the square brackets.

III. GRADIENT EXPANSION METHOD

The effective action has to be derived by substituting the solution of Eqs. (7)–(11) into the action (1) and integrating out the result over the bulk coordinate y. In reality, it is difficult to perform this general procedure. However, what we need is a low energy effective theory. At low energy, the energy density of the matter ρ on the brane is smaller than the brane tension, i.e., $\rho/|\sigma| \ll 1$. If we denote the characteristic length scale of the bulk as ℓ and on the brane as L, in this regime, a simple dimensional analysis, $\rho/|\sigma| \sim \ell^2/L^2 \ll 1$, implies that the 4-dimensional curvature can be neglected compared with the extrinsic curvature. Thus, the anti-Newtonian or gradient expansion method used in the cosmological context [12] is applicable to our problem.

LOW ENERGY EFFECTIVE ACTION FOR HOŘAVA-...

The iteration scheme is to write the metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ as a sum of local tensors built out of $g_{\mu\nu}$, with the number of derivatives increasing with the order of iteration, that is, $O((\ell/L)^{2n})$, $n=0,1,2,\cdots$. Hence, we express the metric as a perturbative series

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu}(y,x) = a^2(y) [g_{\mu\nu}(x) + f_{\mu\nu}(y,x) + g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} + \cdots].$$
(12)

Other quantities can be also expanded as

$$Q^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = Q^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + Q^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + Q^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + Q^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \cdots,$$

$$\Sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \Sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \Sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \Sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \cdots.$$
(13)

The bulk equations of motion are to be solved order by order [5,13].

A. Zeroth order

At zeroth order, we can neglect the curvature terms in Eqs. (7)–(11). Moreover, the tension term only induces the isotropic bending of the brane. Thus, an anisotropic term

vanishes at this order, $\Sigma^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0$. For simplicity, we write the trace part of the extrinsic curvature at zeroth order as

$$\overset{\scriptscriptstyle{(0)}}{Q} = W(\overset{\scriptscriptstyle{(0)}}{\varphi}),\tag{14}$$

where W is a function of bulk scalar field at zeroth order. Combining Eqs. (8) and (9) at this order gives

$$\frac{3}{4}W^{2} = \kappa^{2}e^{-2\psi} \left(\partial_{y} \varphi^{(0)}\right)^{2} - 2\kappa^{2}U(\varphi^{(0)}), \tag{15}$$

$$W' = \frac{4}{3} \kappa^2 e^{-\psi} \partial_y \varphi. \tag{16}$$

Eliminating $\partial_{\nu}\varphi$ from Eq. (15) and (16), we have

$$W^{2} = \frac{3}{4\kappa^{2}}W^{2} - \frac{8}{3}\kappa^{2}U(\varphi). \tag{17}$$

The remaining Eqs. (10) and (11) are automatically satisfied. Comparing Eq. (17) with Eqs. (2) and (3), we get the trace part of the extrinsic curvature in the form

$$W = 4\mu \exp\left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}p}\varphi^{(0)}\right). \tag{18}$$

As we have obtained the trace part and traceless part of the extrinsic curvature, the extrinsic curvature at this order is given by using Eq. (6)

$$e^{-\psi} \overset{(0)}{K}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \mu \exp\left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}p} \overset{(0)}{\varphi}\right) \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}. \tag{19}$$

Substituting Eq. (19) into Eq. (16) and integrating with respect to y, we obtain the zeroth order scalar field

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 72, 024009 (2005)

$$\varphi^{(0)} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}p}{\kappa} \log\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{p^2}y\right) + \eta(x),$$
 (20)

where $\eta(x)$ is a constant of integration and we choose it as

$$\eta(x) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}p}{\kappa}\psi(x),\tag{21}$$

to make the solution separable. Using Eqs. (19) and (20), and the definition

$$K_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_y \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{(0)},$$
 (22)

we get the zeroth order metric as

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(y)g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + e^{2\psi(x)}dy^{2},$$

$$a(y) = \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{p^{2}}y\right)^{p^{2}},$$
(23)

where the tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ is a constant of integration which weakly depends on the brane coordinates x^{μ} .

B. First order

The first order solutions are obtained by taking into account the terms neglected at zeroth order. At first order, Eqs. (7)–(11) become

$$e^{-\psi \overset{(1)}{\Sigma}^{\mu}_{\nu,y}} - W \overset{(1)}{\Sigma}^{\mu}_{\nu} = -[R^{\mu}_{\nu}(\gamma) - \nabla^{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\psi - \nabla^{\mu}\psi\nabla_{\nu}\psi - \kappa^{2}\nabla^{\mu}\varphi\nabla_{\nu}\varphi]^{(1)}_{\text{traceless}}, \tag{24}$$

$$e^{-\psi} \overset{\text{(1)}}{Q}_{,y} - 2W \overset{\text{(1)}}{Q} = \frac{8}{3} \kappa^2 U' \overset{\text{(0)}}{\varphi} \overset{\text{(1)}}{\varphi} - [R(\gamma) - \nabla^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \psi - \nabla^{\alpha} \psi \nabla_{\alpha} \psi - \kappa^2 \nabla^{\alpha} \varphi \nabla_{\alpha} \varphi]^{(1)}. \tag{25}$$

$$e^{-\psi} \overset{(1)}{Q}_{,y} - \frac{1}{2} W \overset{(1)}{Q} = \left[\nabla^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \psi + \nabla^{\alpha} \psi \nabla_{\alpha} \psi \right]^{(1)} + \kappa^{2} \left[2e^{-2\psi} (\partial_{y} \overset{(0)}{\varphi}) (\partial_{y} \overset{(1)}{\varphi}) + \frac{2}{3} U' \overset{(0)}{\varphi}) \overset{(1)}{\varphi} \right],$$
(26)

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \Sigma_{\mu}^{(1)}{}^{\lambda} - \frac{3}{4} \nabla_{\mu} \overset{(1)}{Q} = -\kappa^{2} e^{-\psi} (\partial_{y} \overset{(0)}{\varphi} \partial_{\mu} \overset{(1)}{\varphi} + \partial_{y} \overset{(1)}{\varphi} \partial_{\mu} \overset{(0)}{\varphi}), \tag{27}$$

$$e^{-\psi}\partial_{y}[e^{-\psi}\partial_{y}\overset{(1)}{\varphi}] - \overset{(1)}{Q}e^{-\psi}\partial_{y}\overset{(0)}{\varphi} - We^{-\psi}\partial_{y}\overset{(1)}{\varphi} + \nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}\overset{(0)}{\varphi} + \partial_{\mu}\psi\partial^{\mu}\overset{(0)}{\varphi} - U''(\overset{(0)}{\varphi})\overset{(1)}{\varphi} = 0, \quad (28)$$

where the superscript (1) represents the order of the derivative expansion. Here, $[R^{\mu}_{\ \nu}(\gamma)]^{(1)}$ means that the curvature is approximated by taking the Ricci tensor of $a^2(y)g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ in place of the full metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}(x,y)$. It is also convenient to write it in terms of the Ricci tensor of $g_{\mu\nu}$, denoted by $R^{\mu}_{\ \nu}(g)$.

Combining Eq. (25) and (26), we get

$$\stackrel{(1)}{Q} = \frac{4\kappa^2}{3W} \left[e^{-2\psi} (\partial_y \stackrel{(0)}{\varphi}) (\partial_y \stackrel{(1)}{\varphi}) - U'(\stackrel{(0)}{\varphi}) \stackrel{(1)}{\varphi} \right]
+ \frac{2}{3W} \left[R(\gamma) - \kappa^2 \nabla^\alpha \stackrel{(0)}{\varphi} \nabla_\alpha \stackrel{(0)}{\varphi} \right]^{(1)}.$$
(29)

Using Eq. (16) and substituting Eq. (29) into Eq. (28), we obtain

$$e^{-2\psi}\partial_{y}^{2^{(1)}}\varphi - \left(\frac{3}{4\kappa^{2}}\frac{W'^{2}}{W} + W\right)e^{-\psi}\partial_{y}\varphi + \left(\frac{W'}{W}U' - U''\right)$$

$$\times \varphi + \nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}\varphi + \partial_{\alpha}\psi\partial^{\alpha}\varphi - \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}}\frac{W'}{W}$$

$$\times \left[R(\gamma) - \kappa^{2}\nabla^{\alpha}\varphi \nabla_{\alpha}\varphi\right]^{(1)} = 0, \quad (30)$$

where the potential $U(\varphi)$ appearing in front of the first order quantity is given by Eq. (2)

$$U(\varphi) = -\frac{6\mu^2}{\kappa^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4p^2} \right) \exp\left(\frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{3}p} \frac{\varphi}{\varphi} \right). \tag{31}$$

The last term is approximated by substituting the zeroth order metric (23),

$$[R(\gamma) - \kappa^2 \nabla^{\alpha} \overset{(0)}{\varphi} \nabla_{\alpha} \overset{(0)}{\varphi}]^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a^2(y)} [R(g) - 3p^2 \psi^{|\alpha} \psi_{|\alpha}],$$
(32)

where | denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Hereafter we omit the argument of the curvature and describe $\psi^{|\alpha}\psi_{|\alpha}$ as $(\partial\psi)^2$ for simplicity.

Substituting the zeroth order solutions (19), (20), and (23) into Eq. (30), we obtain the scalar field at this order

$$\varphi^{(1)} = \beta(x)a^{-2+2/p^2}(y) + C_1(x)a^{-1/p^2}(y)
+ C_2(x)a^{-4+1/p^2}(y),$$
(33)

where C_1 and C_2 are constants of integration which are functions of x. The function β is a particular solution given by

$$\beta(x) = -\frac{p^4}{16\mu^4 (2p^2 + 1)(2p^2 - 3)} \times e^{2\psi} \left[\frac{\sqrt{3}p}{\kappa} \Box \psi + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}p\kappa} (R + 3p^2 (\partial \psi)^2) \right],$$
(34)

where the d'Alembertian \square is with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. We note that C_1 and C_2 are determined by the junction conditions at the two boundary branes. It may be noted that because we have introduced an auxiliary field ψ in the metric, we may choose to set $\varphi^{(0)} = 0$ at the positive tension brane, if desired. Substituting this solution into Eq. (29), we get

$$\stackrel{(1)}{Q} = \frac{1}{6\mu} e^{\psi} a^{1/p^2 - 2} [R - 3p^2 (\partial \psi)^2] + \frac{\kappa \mu}{\sqrt{3}p^3}
\times e^{-\psi} [(6p^2 - 3)a^{1/p^2 - 2}\beta + 4p^2 a^{-2/p^2} C_1
+ (8p^2 - 2)a^{-4} C_2].$$
(35)

Using Eq. (24), we obtain

$$\sum_{\mu}^{(1)} \mu_{\nu} = \frac{p^2}{\mu(2p^2 + 1)} e^{\psi} a^{1/p^2 - 2} [R^{\mu}_{\nu}(g) - \Box \psi - (3p^2 + 1)\psi^{\mu}\psi_{\nu}]_{\text{traceless}} + a^{-4} \chi^{\mu}_{\nu}(x), \quad (36)$$

where χ^{μ}_{ν} is a constant of integration which satisfies

$$\chi^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = 0, \quad \chi^{\mu}_{\ \nu|\mu} = 0.$$
 (37)

Here, the latter condition came from Eq. (27). We note that χ^{μ}_{ν} is by itself a physical quantity, and determined by the junction condition [5,6]. In fact, this term corresponds to the dark radiation at this order [5]. We also note that, unlike the other quantities, due to the traceless property, χ^{μ}_{ν} does not appear in the derivation of the effective action at first order.

The definition of the extrinsic curvature at this order is expressed as

$$e^{-\psi} K^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\alpha} e^{-\psi} \partial_{y} f_{\alpha\nu}. \tag{38}$$

From Eqs. (35) and (36), the correction to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ at this order can be obtained as

$$f_{\mu\nu}(y,x) = -\frac{p^4}{\mu^2(p^2 - 1)(2p^2 + 1)} e^{-2\psi} a^{-2+2/p^2} [R_{\mu\nu} - \psi_{|\mu\nu} - (3p^2 + 1)\psi_{|\mu}\psi_{|\nu}]_{\text{traceless}}$$

$$-\frac{p^2}{24\mu^2(p^2 - 1)} a^{2\psi} g_{\mu\nu} (R - 3p^2(\partial\psi)^2) - \frac{2p^2}{\mu(4p^2 - 1)} e^{\psi} a^{-4+1/p^2} \chi_{\mu\nu} + C_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

$$-\frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{3}} g_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{3(2p^2 - 1)}{2p(p^2 - 1)} a^{-2+2/p^2} \beta + 3pa^{-1/p^2} C_1 + \frac{2}{p} a^{-4+1/p^2} C_2\right), \tag{39}$$

where $C_{\mu\nu}$ is another constant of integration. Together with $\chi_{\mu\nu}$, it is also determined by the junction conditions. However, since there is freedom to specify the metric form at either of the branes, we may choose it to set the induced metric on, say, the positive tension brane as

$$g_{\mu\nu}^+(x) = \gamma_{\mu\nu}(\phi_+(x), x) = a_+^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x).$$
 (40)

Substituting the metric up to first order, as given by Eq. (12), into the original action (1), we get the 4-dimensional effective action at leading order. The Kaluza-Klein (KK) corrections start from the 2nd order in our method. In principle, it is possible to solve the bulk equations of motion up to second order [5,14]. However, it is beyond the scope of this paper. In the next section, we will focus on constructing the effective action at leading order.

IV. EFFECTIVE ACTION

The effective action can be constructed with the knowledge of the leading order metric $f_{\mu\nu}(y,x)$. Now, up to the first order, we have

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu}(y,x) = a^2(y)[g_{\mu\nu}(x) + f_{\mu\nu}(y,x)]. \tag{41}$$

In the following, we will calculate the bulk action S_{bulk} , the

actions for each brane tensions S_{\pm} , brane matter $S_{\rm m}^{\pm}$ and the Gibbons-Hawking term $S_{\rm GH}$, separately. After that, we collect all of them and obtain the 4-dimensional effective action.

In order to calculate the bulk action, we need the determinant of the bulk metric

$$\sqrt{-\mathcal{G}} = e^{\psi} a^4(y) \sqrt{-g} \sqrt{1 + \operatorname{tr} f}$$

$$\approx e^{\psi} a^4(y) \sqrt{-g} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} f \right), \tag{42}$$

where

$$\operatorname{tr} f = -\frac{p^2}{6\mu^2(p^2 - 1)} e^{2\psi} a^{-2+2/p^2} (R - 3p^2(\partial\psi)^2)$$
$$-\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa(2p^2 - 1)}{p(p^2 - 1)} a^{-2+2/p^2} \beta - \frac{8\kappa}{\sqrt{3}} p a^{-1/p^2} C_1$$
$$-\frac{4\kappa}{\sqrt{3}p} a^{-4+1/p^2} C_2 + C_{\mu}^{\mu}. \tag{43}$$

As we have solved the bulk equations of motion, we can use the the equation $\mathcal{R} = \kappa^2 (\mathcal{G}^{AB} \partial_A \varphi \partial_B \varphi + 10/3U(\varphi))$ which holds in the bulk. Then, the bulk action becomes

$$S_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{R} - \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}^{AB} \partial_{A} \varphi \partial_{B} \varphi + U(\varphi) \right]$$

$$= -\frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{p^{2}(4p^{2} - 1)}{12\mu(p^{2} - 1)(2p^{2} + 1)} e^{\psi} (R - 3p^{2}(\partial\psi)^{2}) + \frac{\kappa\mu(4p^{2} - 1)}{2\sqrt{3}p(p^{2} - 1)} e^{-\psi} \beta \right] a^{2+1/p^{2}}(y)$$

$$- \mu e^{\psi} a^{4-1/p^{2}}(y) + \frac{\kappa\mu(4p^{2} - 1)}{\sqrt{3}p} e^{-\psi} C_{1} a^{4-2/p^{2}}(y) \right]_{\phi_{+}}^{\phi_{-}} \left(1 + \frac{C_{\mu}^{\mu}}{2} \right), \tag{44}$$

where the factor 2 over κ^2 comes from the Z_2 symmetry of this spacetime and we neglected the second order quantities. Notice that the Ricci scalar came from ${\rm tr} f_{\mu\nu}$ in $\sqrt{-G}$.

Next, let us calculate the action for the brane tension. The induced metric on each brane is written by

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}(\phi_{\pm}, x) = a_{\pm}^{2} g_{\mu\nu}(x) + a_{\pm}^{2} f_{\mu\nu}(\phi_{\pm}, x) + \partial_{\mu} \phi_{\pm} \partial_{\nu} \phi_{\pm},$$
(45)

where $a_{\pm}=a(\phi_{\pm})$. The determinant of the induced metric can be calculated as

$$\sqrt{-g_{\pm}} = a_{\pm}^4 \sqrt{-g} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{a_{\pm}^2} e^{2\psi} (\partial \phi_{\pm})^2 + \text{tr} f}$$

$$\approx a_{\pm}^4 \sqrt{-g} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2a_{\pm}^2} e^{2\psi} (\partial \phi_{\pm})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} f \right), \quad (46)$$

The brane tension given by Eq. (2) is approximated up to first order as

$$\sigma(\varphi) \approx e^{-\psi} a^{-1/p^2} + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}p} e^{-\psi} (a^{-2+1/p^2} \beta + a^{-2/p^2} C_1 + a^{-4} C_2). \tag{47}$$

Thus, the action for each brane becomes

$$S_{\pm} \equiv \mp \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g_{\pm}} \sigma(\varphi)$$

$$= \mp \frac{1}{\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{p^{2}}{2\mu(p^{2}-1)} e^{\psi} a_{\pm}^{2+1/p^{2}} (R - 3p^{2}(\partial\psi)^{2}) + 6\mu e^{-\psi} a_{\pm}^{4-1/p^{2}} + 3\mu e^{\psi} a_{\pm}^{2-1/p^{2}} (\partial\phi_{\pm})^{2} \right]$$

$$- \frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa\mu(4p^{2}-1)}{p(p^{2}-1)} e^{-\psi} a_{\pm}^{2+1/p^{2}} \beta - \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa\mu(4p^{2}-1)}{p} e^{-\psi} a_{\pm}^{4-2/p^{2}} C_{1} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa\mu}{p} e^{-\psi} C_{2} \left[1 + \frac{C_{\mu}^{\mu}}{2} \right]. \tag{48}$$

Note that the Ricci scalar came from $\operatorname{tr} f_{\mu\nu}$ in $\sqrt{-g_{\pm}}$.

As to the brane matter which corresponds to a first order quantity, we take the zeroth order metric in Eq. (46)

$$S_{\rm m}^{\pm} \equiv \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{\pm}} \mathcal{L}_{\rm matter} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} a_{\pm}^4 \mathcal{L}_{\rm matter}. \tag{49}$$

In order to calculate the Gibbons-Hawking term, we need the extrinsic curvature on the $y = \phi(x)$ slicing defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \equiv n_A \left(\frac{\partial^2 x^A}{\partial \xi^{\mu} \partial \xi^{\nu}} \right) + \Gamma^A_{BD} \frac{\partial x^B}{\partial \xi^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^D}{\partial \xi^{\nu}}, \quad (50)$$

where x^A is the coordinate of the brane, $\xi^\mu = x^\mu$ is the coordinate on the brane, and n_A is the normal vector to the brane. Note that $\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}$ is different from $K_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (6). For the detailed calculation see the appendix. The trace part of the extrinsic curvature on each brane is obtained as

$$\mathcal{K}_{\pm} = g_{\pm}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}
= n_{y} \left[4\mu e^{-2\psi} a_{\pm}^{-1/p^{2}} + a_{\pm}^{-2} \Box \phi_{\pm} + \mu a_{\pm}^{-2-1/p^{2}} (\partial \phi_{\pm})^{2} + 2a_{\pm}^{-2} (\partial_{\alpha} \phi) (\partial^{\alpha} \psi) + \frac{1}{6\mu} a_{\pm}^{-2+1/p^{2}} (R - 3p^{2} (\partial \psi)^{2}) \right]
+ \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-2\psi} \left\{ \frac{3(2p^{2} - 1)\mu}{p^{3}} a_{\pm}^{-2+1/p^{2}} \beta + \frac{4\mu}{p} a_{\pm}^{-2/p^{2}} C_{1} + \frac{2(4p^{2} - 1)\mu}{p^{3}} a_{\pm}^{-4} C_{2} \right\} \right\}.$$
(51)

Thus, the Gibbons-Hawking term is obtained as

$$S_{\text{GH}} = \frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g_{+}} \mathcal{K}_{+} - \frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g_{-}} \mathcal{K}_{-}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{(p^{2}+1)}{6\mu(p^{2}-1)} e^{\psi} a_{+}^{2+1/p^{2}} (R - 3p^{2}(\partial\psi)^{2}) + 3\mu e^{\psi} a_{+}^{2-1/p^{2}} (\partial\phi_{+})^{2} + e^{\psi} a_{+}^{2} (\partial_{\alpha}\phi) (\partial^{\alpha}\psi) \right]$$

$$+ 4\mu e^{-\psi} a_{+}^{4-1/p^{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa\mu(p^{2}+1)(2p^{2}-1)}{p^{3}(p^{2}-1)} e^{-\psi} a_{+}^{2+1/p^{2}} \beta - \frac{4\kappa\mu(4p^{2}-1)}{\sqrt{3}p} e^{-\psi} a_{+}^{4-2/p^{2}} C_{1} - \frac{2\kappa\mu}{\sqrt{3}p^{3}} e^{-\psi} C_{2} \right]$$

$$\times \left[1 + \frac{C_{\mu}^{\mu}}{2} \right] + (\phi_{+} \to \phi_{-}). \tag{52}$$

Note that the Ricci scalar came from $\operatorname{tr} f_{\mu\nu}$ in $\sqrt{-g_{\pm}}$ and $\operatorname{tr} f_{\mu\nu,\nu}$ in \mathcal{K}_{\pm} .

Substituting Eqs. (44), (48), (49), and (52) into the 5-dimensional action Eq. (1), we get the 4-dimensional effective action

$$S = S_{\text{bulk}+\varphi} + S_{+} + S_{-} + S_{\text{m}}^{+} + S_{\text{m}}^{-} + S_{\text{GH}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}\mu} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} e^{\psi} \left[(Y_{+}^{2} - Y_{-}^{2})R + \frac{12p^{2}}{2p^{2} + 1} \{ (\partial Y_{+})^{2} - (\partial Y_{-})^{2} \} - 3p^{2} (Y_{+}^{2} - Y_{-}^{2})(\partial \psi)^{2} \right]$$

$$\times \left[1 + \frac{C^{\mu}_{\mu}}{2} \right] + \left(\frac{(2p^{2} + 1)}{2p^{2}} \right)^{4p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[Y_{+}^{8p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{+} - Y_{-}^{8p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{-} \right]. \tag{53}$$

Here we have used Eq. (34) and introduced

$$\Upsilon_{+}^{2} = \frac{2p^{2}}{(2p^{2}+1)}a_{+}^{2+1/p^{2}}, \qquad \Upsilon_{-}^{2} = \frac{2p^{2}}{(2p^{2}+1)}a_{-}^{2+1/p^{2}}.$$
(54)

Note that $C^{\mu}_{\ \mu}$ is a first order quantity, so we can ignore this term at leading order. It should be stressed that the Einstein-Hilbert term is originated from the contributions of $f_{\mu\nu}$ in each $S_{\rm bulk}$, S_{\pm} , and $S_{\rm GH}$, so the correction $f_{\mu\nu}$ to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ plays an important role. The kinetic term of the moduli field arising from the position of the positive tension brane seems to have a wrong sign. However, this may be simply because the action is not in the Einstein-Hilbert form. To see if it is a ghost or not, we have to go to the Einstein frame by performing a conformal transforma-

tion. It is convenient to introduce the new moduli fields Ψ and Φ , defined by

$$\Upsilon_{+} = e^{-(p^{2}+1/2)\psi}\Psi \cosh \Phi,$$

$$\Upsilon_{-} = e^{-(p^{2}+1/2)\psi}\Psi \sinh \Phi.$$
(55)

and introduce the metric in the Einstein frame,

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2p^2\psi} \Psi^2 g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{56}$$

Then, we find

LOW ENERGY EFFECTIVE ACTION FOR HOŘAVA-...

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}\mu} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[R(\tilde{g}) - \frac{6}{2p^{2} + 1} \frac{(\partial \Psi)^{2}}{\Psi^{2}} - \frac{12p^{2}}{2p^{2} + 1} (\partial \Phi)^{2} \right] + \left(\frac{(2p^{2} + 1)}{2p^{2}} \right)^{4p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)}$$

$$\times \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \Psi^{-4/(2p^{2} + 1)} \left[(\cosh \Phi)^{8p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{+} - (\sinh \Phi)^{8p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{-} \right].$$
 (57)

Therefore, both moduli have positive kinetic terms in the Einstein frame. It should be noted that in going to the Einstein frame, the metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is written by the induced metric with a conformal factor,

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \left(\frac{(2p^2 + 1)}{2p^2}\right)^{-2p^2/(2p^2 + 1)} \\
\times \Psi^{2/(2p^2 + 1)}(\cosh \Phi)^{-4p^2/(2p^2 + 1)} g_{\mu\nu}^+, \tag{58}$$

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \left(\frac{(2p^2 + 1)}{2p^2}\right)^{-2p^2/(2p^2 + 1)} \\
\times \Psi^{2/(2p^2 + 1)}(\sinh \Phi)^{-4p^2/(2p^2 + 1)} g_{\mu\nu}^-.$$

Then, in the Einstein frame the action for matter has the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{+} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{+}(\tau_{i}, g_{+}(\Psi, \Phi, \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu})),
\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{-} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{-}(\tau_{i}, g_{-}(\Psi, \Phi, \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}))$$
(59)

where τ_i denotes the matter fields on each brane which do not couple to the bulk scalar field.

V. COMPARISON WITH MODULI APPROXIMATION

In our previous paper [15], we investigated a toy problem in the Randall-Sundrum two-brane model to confirm the validity of the factorizable metric ansatz used in the moduli approximation. Here we also investigate the validity of the factorizable metric ansatz in more realistic models from the stringy point of view. As we see from Eqs. (23) and (39), the metric to first order looks quite different from the factorizable form. Now let us recapitulate the derivation of the 4-dimensional effective action used in the moduli approximation.

In the moduli approximation, one assumes the following factorizable metric which is obtained by replacing the 4-dimensional Minkowski metric on the brane of the static solution with a spacetime dependent metric $g_{\mu\nu}$,

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(y)g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + dy^{2}.$$
 (60)

The positions of the branes are assumed to fluctuate as

$$y = \phi_{+}(x), \qquad y = \phi_{-}(x),$$
 (61)

For the metric (60), using the Gauss equation, it is straightforward to relate the bulk Ricci scalar to the 4-dimensional Ricci scalar,

$$\mathcal{R} = a^{-2}R(g) + \left(\frac{A^2}{2p^2} - \frac{5A^2}{4}\right)a^{-2/p^2}$$
 (62)

Following the same procedure that leads to Eq. (53) with the factorized metric (60), we get the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}\mu} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[(Y_{+}^{2} - Y_{-}^{2})R(g) + \frac{12p^{2}}{2p^{2} + 1} \right]$$

$$\times \left\{ (\partial Y_{+})^{2} - (\partial Y_{-})^{2} \right\} + \left(\frac{(2p^{2} + 1)}{2p^{2}} \right)^{4p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)}$$

$$\times \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[Y_{+}^{8p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{+} \right]$$

$$- Y_{-}^{8p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{-} \right].$$
(63)

where we used the same variable defined in (54). Apparently, the effective action (63) looks different from the action (53). However we should not jump to conclusions. We should compare the results in the Einstein frame. Then using the variables,

$$Y_{+} = \Psi \cosh \Phi, \qquad Y_{-} = \Psi \sinh \Phi \qquad (64)$$

and performing a conformal transformation

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Psi^2 g_{\mu\nu},\tag{65}$$

we have the action in the Einstein frame

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}\mu} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[R(\tilde{g}) - \frac{6}{2p^{2} + 1} \frac{(\partial \Psi)^{2}}{\Psi^{2}} - \frac{12p^{2}}{2p^{2} + 1} (\partial \Phi)^{2} \right] + \left(\frac{(2p^{2} + 1)}{2p^{2}} \right)^{4p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)}$$

$$\times \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \Psi^{-4/(2p^{2} + 1)} [(\cosh \Phi)^{8p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{+} - (\sinh \Phi)^{8p^{2}/(2p^{2} + 1)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}^{-}].$$
(66)

We see that this effective action (66) is indistinguishable from Eq. (57) obtained by solving the bulk equations of motion. Thus, we have shown that the action obtained from the moduli approximation is correct at the leading order.

It may be noted that, because the zeroth order term, that is, a cosmological constant is absent in the effective action as a result of the BPS condition, the difference between the induced metric on each of the branes obtained from the factorized metric (60) in the moduli approximation and the induced metric in our gradient expansion approach, which is of first order, turns out to be irrelevant [15].

Recent intense research on inflationary models in string theory has stemmed from the success of constructing a model of brane inflation by moduli stabilization [16]. Almost all studies which compute potentials for moduli in type IIB string theory start from the factorizable ansatz for the 10-dimensional metric. Hence it is important to verify the factorizable ansatz or the moduli approximation in general. Our calculation supports the validity of the

factorizable metric ansatz and the moduli approximation in string theory at sufficiently low energies.

VI. CONCLUSION

As a supersymmetric extension of the Randall-Sundrum model, we have considered a 5-dimensional Hořava-Witten type theory, and derived its low energy effective action. The model consists of two boundary branes and a bulk scalar field and the potential of the bulk scalar field is related to the tension of the branes in such a way that the BPS condition is satisfied.

The effective action is obtained by solving the bulk equations of motion and substituting the result into the original action. We have used the gradient expansion method [5,6], which is valid at low energies, and solved the bulk to first order in the expansion. The resultant theory can be cast into the form of Einstein gravity coupled with two scalar fields, one arising from the interbrane distance degree of freedom, the radion, and the other from the bulk scalar field. It is known that the Hořava-Witten type theory can be derived from the 6-dimensional Randall-Sundrum model [17]. It would be of interest to derive our effective action from the 6-dimensional point of view.

We have also shown that the 4-dimensional effective action we obtained by the gradient expansion to first order is equivalent to the one by the moduli approximation. Hence, our result supports the moduli approximation and also supports the factorizable metric ansatz used in string cosmology at sufficiently low energies.

In the current study of string cosmology, only the leading order effect has been discussed. At this order, as we have shown, the moduli approximation seems to be valid and useful. Undoubtedly, however, the next order effect is of great interest because it is where the genuine bulk degrees of freedom, the so-called Kaluza-Klein modes, start to play a role. In such a case, neither the moduli approximation nor any extension of it is reliable. One of the reasons is that the factorizable metric ansatz is no longer valid [14]. Our approach, based on the gradient expansion, will be very useful for the evaluation of high energy corrections.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am very grateful to Jiro Soda for invaluable discussions, advice and continuous encouragement. I would like

to thank Misao Sasaki for useful discussions and suggestions. This work was initiated while I was visiting ICG at Portsmouth University. I am grateful to ICG for financial support and the ICG members for warm hospitality. I have especially benefited from discussions with Roy Maartens and David Wands. This work was supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 155476 and also by the 21COE program "Center for Diversity and Universality in Physics", Kyoto University.

APPENDIX: EXTRINSIC CURVATURE

The Christoffel symbols we need are

$$\Gamma_{y\mu}^{y} = \psi_{,\mu},
\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{y} = \mu e^{-2\psi} a^{2-1/p^{2}} (g_{\mu\nu} + f_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\psi} a^{2} f_{\mu\nu,y},$$
(A1)

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{yy} = -e^{2\psi}\psi^{\mu}, \qquad \Gamma^{\alpha}_{y\mu} = -\mu a^{-1/p^2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}f_{\beta\mu,y},$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda} = \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda}(\gamma). \tag{A2}$$

The tangent basis on the brane is given by

$$\frac{\partial x^A}{\partial \xi^{\mu}} = (\delta^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \partial_{\mu} \phi_{\pm}). \tag{A3}$$

Thus, the normal vector takes the form

$$n_A = (-n_v \partial_\alpha \phi_{\pm}, n_v). \tag{A4}$$

From the normalization condition $n_A n^A = 1$, we have

$$n_{y} = e^{\psi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + a_{\pm}^{-2} e^{2\psi} (\partial \phi_{\pm})^{2}}},$$
 (A5)

where $a_{\pm} = a(\phi_{\pm})$ as defined in the text. Then the extrinsic curvature is calculated as

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm} = n_{y} \left[\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \phi_{\pm} + 2 \partial_{\mu} \psi \partial_{\nu} \phi_{\pm} + \mu e^{-2\psi} a_{\pm}^{2-1/p^{2}} (g_{\mu\nu} + f_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\psi} a_{\pm}^{2} f_{\mu\nu,y} + \mu a_{\pm}^{-1/p^{2}} \partial_{\mu} \phi_{\pm} \partial_{\nu} \phi_{\pm} \right]. \tag{A6}$$

Thus the trace part of extrinsic curvature on each brane is obtained as

$$\mathcal{K}_{\pm} = g_{\pm}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}
= n_{y} \left[4\mu e^{-2\psi} a_{\pm}^{-1/p^{2}} + a_{\pm}^{-2} \Box \phi_{\pm} + \mu a_{\pm}^{-2-1/p^{2}} (\partial \phi_{\pm})^{2} + 2a_{\pm}^{-2} (\partial_{\alpha} \phi) (\partial^{\alpha} \psi) + \frac{1}{6\mu} a_{\pm}^{-2+1/p^{2}} (R - 3p^{2}(\partial \psi)^{2}) \right]
+ \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-2\psi} \left[\frac{3(2p^{2} - 1)\mu}{p^{3}} a_{\pm}^{-2+1/p^{2}} \beta + \frac{4\mu}{p} a_{\pm}^{-2/p^{2}} C_{1} + \frac{2(4p^{2} - 1)\mu}{p^{3}} a_{\pm}^{-4} C_{2} \right] \right].$$
(A7)

- [1] J. Polchinski, *String Theory I and II* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [2] R. Maartens, Living Rev. Relativity 7, 7 (2004); P. Brax, C. van de Bruck, and A. C. Davis, Rep. Prog. Phys. 67, 2183 (2004).
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3370 (1999); 83, 4690 (1999).
- [4] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut, and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D 61, 023506 (2000); S. Kobayashi, K. Koyama, and J. Soda, Phys. Lett. B 501, 157 (2001); Y. Himemoto and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D 63, 044015 (2001).
- [5] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D 66, 043526 (2002); 66, 083506 (2002); Astrophys. Space Sci. 283, 481 (2003); TSPU Vestnik 44N7, 15 (2004).
- [6] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 36, 689 (2004).
- [7] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B460, 506 (1996);
 B475, 94 (1996); A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut, K. S. Stelle, and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D 59, 086001 (1999); A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D 61, 023506 (2000).
- [8] S. Kobayashi and K. Koyama, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2002) 056; K. Benakli, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 8, 153 (1999).
- [9] J. Garriga, O. Pujolas, and T. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B655,
 127 (2003); P. Brax, C. van de Bruck, A. C. Davis, and
 C. S. Rhodes, Phys. Rev. D 67, 023512 (2003); G. A.
 Palma and A. C. Davis, Phys. Rev. D 70, 106003 (2004);

- J.L. Lehners and K.S. Stelle, Nucl. Phys. **B661**, 273 (2003)
- [10] G. A. Palma and A. C. Davis, Phys. Rev. D 70, 064021 (2004); J. E. Kim, G. B. Tupper, and R. D. Viollier, Phys. Lett. B 612, 293 (2005).
- [11] J. Khoury, B.A. Ovrut, P.J. Steinhardt, and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D 64, 123522 (2001).
- [12] K. Tomita, Prog. Theor. Phys. 54, 730 (1975); G. L. Comer, N. Deruelle, D. Langlois, and J. Parry, Phys. Rev. D 49, 2759 (1994); D. S. Salopek and J. M. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D 47, 3235 (1993); J. Soda, H. Ishihara, and O. Iguchi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 94, 781 (1995).
- T. Wiseman, Classical Quantum Gravity 19, 3083 (2002);
 T. Shiromizu and K. Koyama, Phys. Rev. D 67, 084022 (2003).
- [14] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Phys. Lett. B 588, 203 (2004).
- [15] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D 71, 044031 (2005).
- [16] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru, and J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. D 66, 106006 (2002); S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and S. P. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D 68, 046005 (2003); S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister, and S. P. Trivedi, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 10 (2003) 013; E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. D 70, 103505 (2004); M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein, and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. D 70, 123505 (2004).
- [17] T. Kobayashi and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 69, 064037 (2004).