

Title	ON THE MOMENT MATRIX $E(n)$ (Current topics on operator theory and operator inequalities)
Author(s)	Li, Chunji
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2002), 1259: 60-65
Issue Date	2002-04
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/41966">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/41966</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# ON THE MOMENT MATRIX $E(n)$

Chunji Li

Yanbian University, P. R. China

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Consider a collection of complex numbers

$$\gamma \equiv \gamma^{(2n)} : \gamma_{00}, \gamma_{01}, \gamma_{10}, \dots, \gamma_{0,2n}, \gamma_{1,2n-1}, \dots, \gamma_{2n-1,1}, \gamma_{2n,0},$$

with  $\gamma_{00} > 0$  and  $\gamma_{ji} = \bar{\gamma}_{ij}$ . The *truncated complex moment problem* entails finding a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  supported in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\gamma_{ij} = \int \bar{z}^i z^j d\mu(z) \quad (0 \leq i + j \leq 2n); \tag{1.1}$$

$\mu$  is called a *representing measure* for  $\gamma$ . This truncated complex moment problem has been well-established ([CuF1], [CuF2], [JLLL]).

We recall first some notation from [CuF1] and [CuF2]. For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $m \equiv m(n) = (n + 1)(n + 2)/2$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$  (the  $m \times m$  complex matrices), we denote the successive rows and columns according to the following lexicographic-functional ordering:

$$1, Z, \bar{Z}, Z^2, \bar{Z}Z, \bar{Z}^2, \dots, Z^n, \bar{Z}Z^{n-1}, \dots, \bar{Z}^{n-1}Z, \bar{Z}^n.$$

We define  $M(n) := M(n)(\gamma) \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$  as follows: for  $0 \leq k + l \leq n, 0 \leq i + j \leq n$ , the entry in row  $\bar{Z}^k Z^l$  and column  $\bar{Z}^i Z^j$  is  $M(n)_{(k,l)(i,j)} = \gamma_{l+i,j+k}$ . These matrices come from Bram-Halmos characterization for a cyclic operator  $T$  satisfying  $\gamma_{ij} = (T^{*i}T^j x_0, x_0)$ , where  $x_0$  is a cyclic vector for  $T$  (cf. [Br] or [Con]). So it is nature to consider moment matrices corresponded by Embry characterization for subnormality of such operators (cf. [Em]. or [Con]). We will write such matrices by  $E(n)$ .

Consider a collection of complex numbers

$$\gamma \equiv \{\gamma_{ij}\} (0 \leq i + j \leq 2n, |i - j| \leq n) \text{ with } \gamma_{00} > 0 \text{ and } \gamma_{ji} = \bar{\gamma}_{ij}.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$m = m[n] = \left( \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] + 1 \right) \left( \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] + 1 \right).$$

For  $A \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ , we first introduce the following order on the rows and columns of  $A$ :  $1, Z, Z^2, \bar{Z}Z, Z^3, \bar{Z}Z^2, Z^4, \bar{Z}Z^3, \bar{Z}^2Z^2, Z^5, \dots$ . We denote the entry of  $A$  in row  $\bar{Z}^k Z^l$  and column  $\bar{Z}^i Z^j$  by  $A_{(k,l)(i,j)}$ . If  $n = 2k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$\mathcal{SP}_n = \{p(z, \bar{z}) = a_{00} + a_{01}z + a_{02}z^2 + a_{11}\bar{z}z + a_{03}z^3 + a_{12}\bar{z}z^2 + \dots + a_{kk}\bar{z}^k z^k\};$$

if  $n = 2k + 1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$\mathcal{SP}_n = \{p(z, \bar{z}) = a_{00} + a_{01}z + a_{02}z^2 + a_{11}\bar{z}z + a_{03}z^3 + a_{12}\bar{z}z^2 + \dots + a_{k,k+1}\bar{z}^k z^{k+1}\},$$

where  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{SP}_n$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ , the vector space of all complex polynomials in  $z, \bar{z}$  of total degree  $\leq n$ . For  $p \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ , let  $\hat{p} = [a_{00}, a_{01}, \dots, a_{kk}]^T$  (which means the transposed) or  $[a_{00}, a_{01}, \dots, a_{k,k+1}]^T$  in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . We define a sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$  on  $\mathcal{SP}_n$  by  $\langle p, q \rangle_A := \langle A\hat{p}, \hat{q} \rangle$  ( $p, q \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ ). In particular,  $\langle \bar{z}^i z^j, \bar{z}^k z^l \rangle_A = A_{(k,l)(i,j)}$ , for  $0 \leq i + j \leq n, i \leq j$  and  $0 \leq k + l \leq n, k \leq l$ . For  $\gamma$ , we define the moment matrix  $E(n) \equiv E(n)(\gamma) \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$  as follows:  $E(n)_{(k,l)(i,j)} := \gamma_{l+i, j+k}$ .

The following provides a motivation to study the truncated moment theory of  $E(n)$ , whose proof can be found in [JKLP].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $S$  be a contractive subnormal operator with a cyclic vector  $x_0$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $\gamma_{ij} = (S^{*i}S^j x_0, x_0)$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $M(n) \geq 0$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $E(n) \geq 0$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii) *there exists a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  supported in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$\gamma_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \bar{z}^i z^j d\mu(z) \quad \text{for any } i, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

where  $\mathbb{D}$  is the closed unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We may give the following conjecture, as in [CuF1].

**Conjecture 1.2.** *Let  $\gamma \equiv \{\gamma_{ij}\} (0 \leq i+j \leq 2n, |i-j| \leq n)$  be a truncated moment sequence. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\gamma$  has a rank  $E(n)$ -atomic representing measure;
- (ii)  $E(n) \geq 0$  and  $E(n)$  admits a flat extension  $E(n+1)$ .

In this article, we will consider the conjecture concretely and give the double flat extension theorem.

## 2 Moment matrices $E(n)$ and representing measures

If  $\mu$  is the representing measure for  $\gamma$ , then  $\langle E(n)\hat{p}, \hat{p} \rangle = \int |p(z, \bar{z})|^2 d\mu$ , for  $p(z, \bar{z}) \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ . Hence  $E(n) \geq 0$ . But the converse implication is not always true (see Example 2.2 below). We first introduce an analogous statement with that of  $M(n)$ . For  $p \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ , let  $\mathcal{Z}(p) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : p(z, \bar{z}) = 0\}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** ([JKLP]) *Let  $\gamma \equiv \{\gamma_{ij}\} (0 \leq i+j \leq 2n, |i-j| \leq n)$ . Assume that  $\gamma$  has a representing measure  $\mu$ . For  $p \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ ,  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(p) \iff p(Z, \bar{Z}) = 0$ .*

**Example 2.2.** Consider

$$M := E(3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1+i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1+i & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1-i & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1+i & 2 & 1+i & 0 \\ 1-i & 1-i & 0 & 1-i & 4 & 2(1-i) \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2(1+i) & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to show that  $E(3)$  is positive and  $\text{rank } E(3) = 3$ . In fact,  $\det([M]_4) = \det([M]_5) = \det M = 0$ , where  $[M]_k$  is the left upper  $k \times k$  submatrix. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}Z &= 1 + \frac{1-i}{2}Z^2, \\ \bar{Z}Z^2 &= 2Z, \\ Z^3 &= (1+i)1 + (1+i)Z. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1(z, \bar{z}) &= 1 + \frac{1-i}{2}z^2 - \bar{z}z, \\
p_2(z, \bar{z}) &= 2z - \bar{z}z^2, \\
p_3(z, \bar{z}) &= (1+i) + (1+i)z - z^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{Z}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : p_i(z, \bar{z}) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3\} = \emptyset$ . Thus for the given moment sequence  $\gamma$  in  $E(3)$ , there is no representing measure for  $\gamma$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** ([CuF1]) *If  $\gamma \equiv \{\gamma_{ij}\} (0 \leq i + j \leq 2n)$  is flat and  $M(n) \geq 0$ , then  $M(n)$  admits a unique flat extension of the form  $M(n+1)$ .*

The above theorem produces Conjecture 1.2.

We showed this conjecture is true in the case of even numbers [JKLP]. We can provide a counter example for Conjecture 1.2 in the case  $n = 3$ .

**Example 2.4.** (Example 2.2 revisited) Since  $\text{rank } E(2) = \text{rank } E(3) = 3$ ,  $E(3)$  is flat. If  $E(3)$  admits a flat extension  $E(4)$ , then

$$Z^4 = (1+i)Z + (1+i)Z^2, \quad \bar{Z}Z^3 = 2Z^2. \quad (2.1)$$

From the first equality of (2.1), we obtain  $\gamma_{34} = 2$ , and from the second equality of (2.1), we obtain  $\gamma_{34} = 0$ . Hence  $E(3)$  has no flat extension of  $E(4)$ .

So we have the following theorems in sharpness whose proof can be found in [JKLP].

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . If  $\gamma$  is double flat (i.e.,  $\text{rank } E(n) = \text{rank } E(n-2)$ ) and  $E(n) \geq 0$ , then  $E(n)$  admits a unique flat extension of the form  $E(n+1)$ .*

**Theorem 2.6.** *The truncated complex moment sequence  $\gamma \equiv \{\gamma_{ij}\} (0 \leq i + j \leq 2n, |i - j| \leq n)$  has a rank  $E(n)$ -atomic representing measure if and only if  $E(n) \geq 0$  and  $E(n)$  admits a double flat extension  $E(n+2)$ , i.e.,  $\text{rank } E(n) = \text{rank } E(n+2)$ .*

Finally, we give the following example that affirm Theorem 2.6.

**Example 2.7.** Let

$$E(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & i & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1+i & 1-i \\ -i & 1-i & 3 & -3i \\ 1 & 1+i & 3i & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $E(2)$  admits a double flat extension  $E(4)$  as the following

$$E(4) = \begin{bmatrix} E(3) & B^* \\ B & C \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$E(3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & i & 1 & i-1 & 1+i \\ 0 & 1 & 1+i & 1-i & 3i & 3 \\ -i & 1-i & 3 & -3i & 4+4i & 4-4i \\ 1 & 1+i & 3i & 3 & -4+4i & 4+4i \\ -1-i & -3i & 4-4i & -4-4i & 11 & -11i \\ 1-i & 3 & 4+4i & 4-4i & 11i & 11 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4-4i & -11i & -11 & 15(1-i) & -15(1+i) \\ -3i & 4-4i & 11 & -11i & 15(1+i) & 15(1-i) \\ 3 & 4+4i & 11i & 11 & -15(1-i) & 15(1+i) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 41 & -41i & -41 \\ 41i & 41 & -41i \\ -41 & 41i & 41 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In fact,  $\text{rank } E(2) = \text{rank } E(4) = 2$ . Since

$$\begin{cases} z^2 = i + (1+i)z, \\ \bar{z}z = 1 + (1-i)z, \end{cases}$$

we obtain two atoms  $z_0 = (1 - \sqrt{3})(1+i)/2$  and  $z_1 = (1 + \sqrt{3})(1+i)/2$ . According to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ z_0 & z_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \rho_0 \\ \rho_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{00} \\ \gamma_{01} \end{bmatrix},$$

we have  $\rho_0 = \frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3}}$ ,  $\rho_1 = \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3}}$ . Thus we obtain the representing measure  $\mu = \rho_0\delta_{z_0} + \rho_1\delta_{z_1}$ .

## References

- [Br] J. Bram, *Subnormal operators*, Duke Math. J. **22**(1955), 75-94.  
 [Con] J. Conway, *The Theory of Subnormal Operators*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc. **36**(1991).

- [CuF1] R. Curto and L. Fialkow, *Solution of the truncated complex moment problems for flat data*, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **568**(1996).
- [CuF2] \_\_\_\_\_, *Flat extensions of positive moment matrices: recursively generated relations*, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **648**(1998).
- [CuP] R. Curto and M. Putinar, *Nearly subnormal operators and moment problems*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **115**(1993), 480-497.
- [Em] M. Embry, *A generalization of the Halmos-Bram criterion for subnormality*, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Sz)*, **31**(1973), 61-64.
- [JKLP] Il Bong Jung, Eungil Ko, Chunji Li and Sang Soo Park, *Embry truncated complex moment problem*, preprint.
- [JLLL] Il Bong Jung, Sang Hoon Li, Woo Young Lee and Chunji Li, *The quartic moment problem*, submitted to *Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc.*