Title	Global existence of solutions to multiple speed systems of quasilinear wave equations in exterior domains (Studies on nonlinear waves and dispersive equations)
Author(s)	Nakamura, Makoto
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2005), 1417: 8-35
Issue Date	2005-02
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/26282
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

数理解析研究所講究録 1417 巻 2005 年 8-35

8

Global existence of solutions to multiple speed systems of quasilinear wave equations in exterior domains *

中村　誠 (Makoto Nakamura)
東北大・情報 (GSIS Tohoku University)

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to prove global existence of solutions to quadratic quasilinear Dirichlet-wave equations exterior to a class of compact obstacles. As in Metcalfe-Sogge [23], the main condition that we require for our class of obstacles is exponential local energy decay. Our result improves upon the earlier one of Metcalfe-Sogge [23] by allowing a more general null condition which only puts restrictions on the self-interaction of each wave family. In Minkowski space, such equations were studied and shown to have global solutions by Sideris-Tu [30], Agemi-Yokoyama [1], and Kubota-Yokoyama [18].

We use Klainerman's commuting vector fields method [16]:

$$\partial_0 = \partial_t, \quad \Omega_{ij} = x_i\partial_j - x_j\partial_i, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3, \quad L = t\partial_t + \sum_{1\leq j\leq 3} x_j\partial_j.$$

L is called the scaling operator. We denote $\{\partial_j\}_{0\leq j\leq 3}$ by ∂, $\{\Omega_{ij}\}_{1\leq i\neq j\leq 3}$ by Ω, $\{\partial, \Omega\}$ by Z, and $\{L, Z\}$ by Γ. For functions u, u' denotes ∂u. These operators have the commuting relations with d'Alembertian $\Box$:

$$\Box\Omega_{ij} = \Omega_{ij}\Box, \quad \Box L = (L+2)\Box, \quad L\Omega_{ij} = \Omega_{ij}L, \quad \partial_j L = (L+1)\partial_j. \tag{1.1}$$

Using Z, we can earn one weight by Klainerman-Sobolev inequality :

*A note on the joint work with Jason Metcalfe and Christopher D. Sogge [22]

Lemma 1.1 *[16] [13, Lemma 2.4] [28, Lemma 3.3] Suppose that $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, for $R > 2$,*

$$\|h\|_{L^\infty(R<|x|<R+1)} \le CR^{-1} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\le 2} \|\Omega^\alpha \partial_x^\beta h\|_{L^2(R-1<|x|<R+2)}. \tag{1.2}$$

We describe our assumptions on our obstacles $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. We shall assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is smooth and compact, but not necessarily connected. By scaling, without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\mathcal{K} \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < 1\},\ 0 \in \mathcal{K} \backslash \partial\mathcal{K}.$$

The only additional assumption states that there is exponential local energy decay with a possible loss of regularity. That is, if u is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Box u(t,x) = 0, & (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K} \\ u(t,\cdot)|_{\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0 & \\ u(0,\cdot) = f,\ \partial_t u(0,\cdot) = g, & \text{supp } f \cup \text{supp } g \subset \{\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K}, |x| \le 4\}, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

then there must be constants $c, C > 0$ so that

$$\|u'(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(x\in\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K},|x|\le 4)} \le Ce^{-ct} \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \|\partial_x^\alpha u'(0,\cdot)\|_2. \tag{1.4}$$

Throughout this paper, we assume this local energy decay estimate for $\mathcal{K}$.

Lax, Morawetz and Phillips have shown (1.4) without a loss of regularity, namely $|\alpha| = 0$ in the RHS, when $\mathcal{K}$ is star-shaped in [19] (see also [20, Theorem 3.2]).

Morawetz, Ralston and Strauss have shown (1.4) without a loss of regularity ($|\alpha| = 0$) when $\mathcal{K}$ is bounded connected and nontrapping in [25, (3.1)]. Here if the lengths of all rays in $B_1(0)\backslash\mathcal{K}$ are bounded, then waves are not trapped and (1.4) holds without a loss of regularity. They also treat the multi-dimensional cases. See Melrose [21] for further results. Ralston [26] has shown that (1.4) could not hold without a loss of regularity when there are trapped rays..

Ikawa has shown (1.4) with an additional loss of regularity, namely $|\alpha| \le \ell$ with $\ell \ge 1$ in the RHS, when $\mathcal{K}$ is trapping. He has shown (1.4) with $\ell = 6$ when $\mathcal{K}$ consists of two disjoint strictly convex bodies in [9], and (1.4) with $\ell = 2$ when $\mathcal{K}$ consists of sufficiently separated several disjoint strictly convex bodies in [10]. Since we have the standard energy preservation

$$\|u'(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K})} = \|u'(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K})}$$

(see (3.3) with $\gamma = 0$), we can reduce the estimate (1.4) with an additional regularity, $\ell \geq 1$, to the estimate for $\ell = 1$ with different constants c and C by the interpolation. Therefore we can treat the above obstacles by the condition (1.4).

We note that we do not require exponential decay; in fact, $O((1+t)^{-1-\delta-m})$ with $\delta > 0$ and $m \geq 0$ may be sufficient with a tighter argument, where we need $1+\delta$ for the integral ability and m is the number of L we need in our argument (see the argument below (4.4) to bound $t^{\mu}e^{-ct/2}$). Currently, the authors are not aware of any 3-dimensional example that involves polynomial decay, but does not have exponential decay.

We consider quadratic, quasilinear systems of the form

$$\begin{cases} \Box u = F(\partial u, \partial^2 u), \ (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K} \\ u(t,\cdot)|_{\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0 \\ u(0,\cdot) = f, \quad \partial_t u(0,\cdot) = g. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Here $\Box$ denotes a vector-valued multiple speed d'Alembertian :

$$\Box u = (\Box_{c_1} u^1, \Box_{c_2} u^2, \dots, \Box_{c_D} u^D), \quad F = (F^1, \cdots, F^D), \ D \geq 1, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\Box_{c_I} = \partial_t^2 - c_I^2 \Delta, \quad 1 \leq I \leq D.$$

We assume that the wave speeds c_I are positive and distinct:

$$0 < c_1 < \cdots < c_D.$$

Straightforward modifications of the argument give the more general case where the various components are allowed to have the same speed.

We shall assume that $F(\partial u, \partial^2 u)$ is of the form

$$F^I(\partial u, \partial^2 u) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq J,K \leq D \\ 0 \leq j,k \leq 3}} A_{jk}^{IJK} \partial_j u^J \partial_k u^K + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j,k,l \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq J,K \leq D}} B_{jkl}^{IJK} \partial_j u^J \partial_k \partial_l u^K, \ 1 \leq I \leq D. \tag{1.7}$$

For the energy estimates, we require the symmetry condition:

$$B_{jkl}^{IJK} = B_{jkl}^{KJI} = B_{jlk}^{IJK}.$$

To obtain global existence, we also require that the equations satisfy the following null condition which only involves the self-interactions of each wave family :

$$\sum_{0\le j,k\le 3} A^{II}_{jk}\xi_j\xi_k = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \xi_0^2 = c_I^2(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2), \quad I=1,\dots,D, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\sum_{0\le j,k,l\le 3} B^{III}_{jkl}\xi_j\xi_k\xi_l = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \xi_0^2 = c_I^2(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2), \quad I=1,\dots,D. \tag{1.9}$$

The terms which satisfy the above null conditions are treated by the following estimates :

Lemma 1.2 *[30, 33] If the semilinear null condition* (1.8) *holds, then*

$$\Big|\sum_{0\le j,k\le 3} A^{II}_{jk}\partial_j u\partial_k v\Big| \le C\frac{|\Gamma u||\partial v|+|\partial u||\Gamma v|}{\langle r\rangle} + C\frac{\langle c_I t-r\rangle}{\langle t+r\rangle}|\partial u||\partial v|. \tag{1.10}$$

Suppose that the quasilinear null condition (1.9) *holds. Then,*

$$\Big|\sum_{0\le j,k,l\le 3} B^{III}_{jkl}\partial_l u\partial_j\partial_k v\Big| \le C\frac{|\Gamma u||\partial^2 v|+|\partial u||\partial\Gamma v|}{\langle r\rangle} + C\frac{\langle c_I t-r\rangle}{\langle t+r\rangle}|\partial u||\partial^2 v|. \tag{1.11}$$

We refer to compatibility conditions. For the solution u of (1.5), the functions $\{\partial_t^j u(0,x)\}_{j\ge 0}$ are called compatible functions. The compatible functions are functions of spatial variables and $\partial_t^j u(0,x)$ are expressed by $\{\partial_x^\alpha f\}_{|\alpha|\le j}$ and $\{\partial_x^\alpha g\}_{|\alpha|\le j-1}$. We say that the compatibility conditions of order s are satisfied if $\partial_t^j u(0,x)|_{\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0$ for all $0\le j\le s$ (See [12, Definition 9.2]). Additionally, we say that $(f,g)\in C^\infty$ satisfies the compatibility conditions to infinite order if the compatibility conditions are satisfied to any order $s\ge 0$.

We can now state our main result:

Theorem 1.3 *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a fixed compact obstacle with smooth boundary that satisfies* (1.4). *Assume that $F(\partial u,\partial^2 u)$ and $\Box$ are as above and that $(f,g)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K})$ satisfy the compatibility conditions to infinite order. Then there is a constant $\varepsilon_0>0$, and an integer $N>0$ so that for all $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_0$, if*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\le N}\|\langle x\rangle^{|\alpha|}\partial_x^\alpha f\|_2 + \sum_{|\alpha|\le N-1}\|\langle x\rangle^{1+|\alpha|}\partial_x^\alpha g\|_2 \le \varepsilon \tag{1.12}$$

then (1.5) *has a unique solution $u\in C^\infty([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K})$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we will collect some preliminary results which are frequently used in this paper. We put several sections for energy estimates, L^2 estimates in space and time, and Sobolev embeddings, respectively. We will show the continuity argument in the last section to prove Theorem 1.3.

2 Preliminaries

We use the following Poincaré inequalities to bound u by u' near the obstacle:

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K},|x|<R)} \le C_R\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K},|x|<R)} \quad \text{if} \quad u|_{\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where C_R is a constant dependent on $R \ge 1$ (cf. [4, (7.44)]).

We also use the following elliptic regularity : for any fixed $M \ge 0$

$$\sum_{2\le|\alpha|\le M+2} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K},|x|<R)} \le C_R\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\le M} \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K},|x|<R+1)} + \sum_{|\alpha|\le M} \|\partial_x^\alpha \Delta u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K},|x|<R+1)}\Big) \tag{2.2}$$

if $u|_{\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0$ (cf. [4, Theorem 8.13]).

Here we briefly sketch the elementary method to treat the nonlinearity.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $u \in C^\infty((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K})$. Suppose u has the bound*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0} \|Z^\alpha u'(t,x)\|_{L^\infty_x} \le \frac{C_0\varepsilon}{1+t} \tag{2.3}$$

for some constants $M_0 \ge 0$ and $C_0 \ge 0$. Then for any $M \ge 0$ and $\mu_0 \ge 0$, there exists a constant C such that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha(u'u')(t)\|_{L^2_x} &\le \frac{C_0\varepsilon}{1+t} \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'(t)\|_{L^2_x} \\
&+ C \sum_{M_0+1\le|\alpha|\le M-M_0+1} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} Z^\alpha u'(t)\|_{L^2_x} \sum_{M_0+1\le|\alpha|\le M-M_0-1} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}\partial^\alpha u'(t)\|_{L^2_x} \\
&+ C \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M-M_0+1\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} L^\mu Z^\alpha u'(t)\|_{L^2_x} \sum_{|\alpha|\le M-1} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}\partial^\beta u'(t)\|_{L^2_x} \\
&+ C \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M/2+2\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0-1}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} L^\mu Z^\alpha u'(t)\|_{L^2_x} \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M-1\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0-1}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'(t)\|_{L^2_x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Here ∂ can be replaced by Z in the above inequality.

Proof of Lemma 2.1 : We use the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha(u'u')\|_2\\
\lesssim\ &\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|+\nu+|\beta|\le M\\ \mu+\nu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u' L^\nu\partial^\beta u'\|_2\\
\lesssim\ &\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'\|_2 \sum_{|\beta|\le M_0}\|\partial^\beta u'\|_\infty + \sum_{\substack{M_0+1\le|\alpha|\le M-M_0-1\\ M_0+1\le|\beta|\le M-M_0-1}} \|\partial^\alpha u'\partial^\beta u'\|_2 \qquad (2.5)\\
&+\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M-M_0-1\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0}}\ \sum_{M_0+1\le|\beta|\le M-1} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'\partial^\beta u'\|_2\\
&+\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M/2\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0-1}}\ \sum_{\substack{\nu+|\beta|\le M-1\\ 1\le\nu\le\mu_0-1}} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u' L^\nu\partial^\beta u'\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we have by (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'(t,x)| &\lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-1}\sum_{|\beta|\le 2}\|Z^\beta L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'(t,x)\|_{L^2(|x|-1\le|y|\le|x|+1)}\\
&\lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-1/2}\sum_{\nu+|\beta|\le\mu+|\alpha|+2}\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}L^\mu Z^\beta u'\|_2,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain the required result using (2.3). □

3 Energy Estimates

Since we are considering the quasilinear wave equation, we need associated energy estimates as follows. Let $\gamma = \{\gamma^{IJ,jk}\}_{1\le I,J\le D,0\le j,k\le 3}$ be any smooth functions on $[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K}$. We consider $\Box_\gamma$ which is defined by

$$(\Box_\gamma u)^I(t,x) = (\partial_t^2 - c_I^2\Delta)u^I(t,x) + \sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{j,k=0}^{3}\gamma^{IJ,jk}(t,x)\partial_j\partial_k u^J(t,x),\quad 1\le I\le D.$$

And we define the energy form associated with $\Box_\gamma$ as follows :

$$e_0^I(u) = (\partial_0 u^I)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{3}c_I^2(\partial_k u^I)^2 + 2\sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{k=0}^{3}\gamma^{IJ,0k}\partial_0 u^I\partial_k u^J - \sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{j,k=0}^{3}\gamma^{IJ,jk}\partial_j u^I\partial_k u^J \qquad (3.1)$$

$$e_0 = e_0(u) = \sum_{I=1}^{D} e_0^I(u).$$

We define the other components of the energy-momentum vector. For $I = 1, 2, \cdots, D$, and $k = 1, 2, 3$, let

$$e_k^I = e_k^I(u) = -2c_I^2 \partial_0 u^I \partial_k u^I + 2\sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{j=0}^{3} \gamma^{IJ,jk} \partial_0 u^I \partial_j u^J$$

$$e_j = e_j(u) = \sum_{I=1}^{D} e_j^I, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

$$R_0^I(u) = 2\sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{k=0}^{3} (\partial_0 \gamma^{IJ,0k}) \partial_0 u^I \partial_k u^J - \sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{j,k=0}^{3} (\partial_0 \gamma^{IJ,jk}) \partial_j u^I \partial_k u^J$$

$$R_k^I(u) = 2\sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{j=0}^{3} (\partial_k \gamma^{IJ,jk}) \partial_0 u^I \partial_j u^J$$

$$R(u) = \sum_{I=1}^{D}\sum_{k=0}^{3} R_k^I(u).$$

Then we have the following most fundamental energy estimates (See [32], p13) :

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that the functions $\gamma^{IJ,jk}$ satisfy the symmetry conditions*

$$\gamma^{IJ,jk} = \gamma^{JI,jk} = \gamma^{IJ,kj} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le I, J \le D, \quad 0 \le j, k \le 3. \tag{3.2}$$

For any function u in $C^2((0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K})$, the following equation holds:

$$\partial_t e_0 + \operatorname{div}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 2\partial_t u \cdot \Box_\gamma u + R(u). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1: By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 e_0^I = {} & 2\partial_0 u^I \partial_0^2 u^I + 2\sum_{k=1}^{3} c_I^2 \partial_k u^I \partial_0 \partial_k u^I + 2\partial_0 u^I \sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{k=0}^{3} \gamma^{IJ,0k} \partial_0 \partial_k u^J \\ & + 2\sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{k=0}^{3} \gamma^{IJ,0k} \partial_0^2 u^I \partial_k u^J - \sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{j,k=0}^{3} \gamma^{IJ,jk} (\partial_0 \partial_j u^I \partial_k u^J + \partial_j u^I \partial_0 \partial_k u^J) + R_0^I \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \partial_k e_k^I = {} & -2\partial_0 u^I c_I^2 \Delta u^I - 2\sum_{k=1}^{3} c_I^2 \partial_k u^I \partial_0 \partial_k u^I \\ & + 2\partial_0 u^I \sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{j=0}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma^{IJ,jk} \partial_j \partial_k u^J + 2\sum_{J=1}^{D}\sum_{j=0}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma^{IJ,jk} \partial_0 \partial_k u^I \partial_j u^J + \sum_{k=1}^{3} R_k^I. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We obtain the required result using the symmetry condition (3.2). □

We use (3.3) to show the energy estimates for $L^\mu Z^\alpha u$. However, direct application causes derivative losses from $\mathrm{div}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ since L, Ω, ∂_x don't preserve the Dirichlet condition. To avoid it, we cut L near the obstacle and construct the energy estimates for $\partial_t^j u$. Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a smooth function with $\eta(x) = 0$ for $|x| \le 1$ and $\eta(x) = 1$ for $|x| \ge 2$. We define $\tilde{L}$ by $\tilde{L} = t\partial_t + \eta r \partial_r$. By simple calculation, we have for any $\mu \ge 0$

$$\tilde{L}^\mu = L^\mu + \sum_{j+|\alpha|\le\mu-1} C_{\mu,j,\alpha}\chi_{\mu,j,\alpha}(x) L^j \partial_x^\alpha \partial_x, \quad \chi_{\mu,j,\alpha} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \mathrm{supp}\ \chi_{\mu,j,\alpha} \subset B_2(0), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\{C_{\mu,j,\alpha}\}$ are constants dependent on lower indices.

Our first task is to show the energy estimates for $\tilde{L}^\mu \partial_t^j u$. We put

$$E_{M,\mu_0}(t) = E_{M,\mu_0}(u)(t) = \int \sum_{\substack{\mu+j\le M \\ \mu\le\mu_0}} e_0(\tilde{L}^\mu \partial_t^j u)(t,x)\, dx.$$

The estimate for $E_{M,\mu_0}(t)$ is given by the following lemma. And the energy estimates for $L^\mu \partial^\alpha u$ follows from it due to the elliptic regularity :

Lemma 3.2 *Assume that the perturbation terms $\gamma^{IJ,jk}$ satisfy* (3.2) *and the size condition*

$$\sum_{I,J=1}^{D} \sum_{j,k=0}^{3} \|\gamma^{IJ,jk}(t,x)\|_{L^\infty_{t,x\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}}} \le \delta \tag{3.7}$$

for δ sufficiently small. Then for any $M \ge 0$ and $\mu_0 \ge 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(M, \mu_0, \mathcal{K})$ so that for any smooth function u in $[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}$ with $u(t,x)|_{x\in\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0$, the following estimates hold.

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M \\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha u'(t,\cdot)\|_2 \le C E_{M,\mu_0}^{1/2} + C \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M-1 \\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha \Box u(t,\cdot)\|_2. \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t E^{1/2}_{M,\mu_0}(t) &\le C\sum_{\substack{\mu+j\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|\Box_\gamma \tilde{L}^\mu \partial_t^j u(t,\cdot)\|_2 + C\|\gamma'(t,\cdot)\|_\infty E^{1/2}_{M,\mu_0}(t) \\ &\le C\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha \Box_\gamma u(t,\cdot)\|_2 + C\|\gamma'(t,\cdot)\|_\infty E^{1/2}_{M,\mu_0}(t) \\ &\quad + C\sum_{\substack{\mu_1+|\alpha_1|+\mu_2+|\alpha_2|\le M\\ \mu_1+\mu_2\le\mu_0\\ \mu_2+|\alpha_2|\le M-1}} \|(L^{\mu_1}\partial^{\alpha_1}\gamma(t,\cdot))(L^{\mu_2}Z^{\alpha_2}\partial^2 u(t,\cdot))\|_2 \\ &\quad + C\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0-1}} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'(t,x)\|_{L^2(|x|<2)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

When we apply Gronwall's inequality to (3.9), we need the following lemma to bound the last term in (3.9).

Lemma 3.3 *For any $M \ge 0$ and μ_0, there exists a constant $C = C(M,\mu_0,\mathcal{K})$ such that for any smooth function u in $[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K}$ with the Dirichlet condition $u(t,x)|_{x\in\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0$ the following estimate holds.*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\mu+j\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}\int_0^t \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'(s,x)\|_{L^2(|x|<2)}ds &\le C\sum_{\substack{\mu+j\le M+2\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|\langle x\rangle(L^\mu\partial^\alpha u)(0,\cdot)\|_2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M+1\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}\int_0^t\int_0^s \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha G(\tau,y)\|_{L^2(|\|y|-(s-\tau)|<10)}d\tau ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M+1\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}\int_0^t \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha\Box u(s,y)\|_{L^2(|y|<4)}ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

For the energy estimates for $L^\mu Z^\alpha u$, we need the following estimates. Begin by setting

$$Y_{M,\mu_0}(t) = \int \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} e_0(L^\mu Z^\alpha u)(t,x)\,dx. \tag{3.11}$$

We, then, have the following lemma which shows how the energy estimates for $L^\mu Z^\alpha u$ can be obtained from the ones involving $L^\mu\partial^\alpha u$.

Lemma 3.4 *Assume* (3.2), (3.7) *and*

$$\|\gamma'(t,\cdot)\|_\infty \equiv \sum_{I,J=1}^{D}\sum_{j,k,l=0}^{3} \|\partial_l\gamma^{IJ,jk}(t,\cdot)\|_\infty \le \delta \tag{3.12}$$

for sufficiently small δ. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t Y_{M,\mu_0} &\le C Y_{M,\mu_0}^{1/2} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|\Box_\gamma L^\mu Z^\alpha u(t,\cdot)\|_2 \qquad (3.13)\\
&\quad + C\|\gamma'(t,\cdot)\|_\infty Y_{M,\mu_0} + C \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M+1\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha u'(s,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(|x|<2)}\\
&\le C Y_{M,\mu_0}^{1/2}\{ \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu Z^\alpha \Box_\gamma u(t,\cdot)\|_2\\
&\qquad + \sum_{\substack{\mu_1+|\alpha_1|+\mu_2+|\alpha_2|\le M\\ \mu_1+\mu_2\le\mu_0\\ \mu_2+|\alpha_2|\le M-1}} \|(L^{\mu_1} Z^{\alpha_1}\gamma)(L^{\mu_2} Z^{\alpha_2}\partial^2 u)\|_2\}\\
&\quad + C\|\gamma'(t,\cdot)\|_\infty Y_{M,\mu_0} + C \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M+1\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha u'(s,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(|x|<2)}
\end{aligned}$$

4 Local energy estimates and L^2 estimates in space and time

First we derive local energy estimates for inhomogeneous wave equations near the obstacle.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{K}$ satisfy the local energy decay* (1.4). *Let u be the solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \Box u = F, \quad \mathrm{supp}_x F(t,x) \subset B_4(0)\\ u|_{\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0\\ u(0) = f,\ \partial_t u(0) = g, \quad \mathrm{supp}\ f \cup \mathrm{supp}\ g \subset B_4(0). \end{cases} \qquad (4.1)$$

Then for any $M \ge 0$ and $\mu_0 \ge 0$, the following estimates holds :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha u'(t,x)\|_{L^2(|x|<4)} &\le C e^{-ct/2} \sum_{|\alpha|\le M+1} \|\partial^\alpha u'(0,x)\|_{L^2(|x|<4)}\\
&\quad + C\int_0^t e^{-c(t-s)/2} \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M+1\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha F(s,\cdot)\|_2 ds + \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M-1\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha F(t,\cdot)\|_2. \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1 : First we show (4.2) for $\mu_0 = 0$ using induction. The estimate for $M = 0$ follows from (1.4) and the Duhamel priciple. Let's assume that the estimate for $M \ge 0$, and we

consider the case $M+1$. We have

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\le M+1} \|\partial^\alpha u'\|_{L^2(|x|<4)} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|\le M} \|\partial^\alpha u'\|_{L^2(|x|<4)} + \sum_{\substack{j+|\alpha|\le M+2 \\ j\ge 1}} \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L^2(|x|<4)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=M+2} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L^2(|x|<4)}. \quad (4.3)$$

The first two terms in the RHS are treated by induction since $\partial_t u$ satisfies the Dirichlet condition. Applying (2.1) and (2.2) to the last term, we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=M+2} \|\partial_x^\alpha u(t)\|_{L^2(|x|<4)} \lesssim \|u'\|_{L^2(|x|<5)} + \sum_{|\alpha|\le M} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^2 u\|_{L^2(|x|<5)} + \sum_{|\alpha|\le M} \|\partial_x^\alpha \Box u\|_{L^2(|x|<5)}.$$

Again by induction, we obtain the required estimate for $M+1$. Here we can replace $c/2$ with c in (4.2) when $\mu_0 = 0$.

Next we show (4.2) for $\mu_0 \ge 1$ by induction. Let's assume that (4.2) holds for M and μ_0. We consider the case $\mu_0 + 1$. Since we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M \\ \mu\le \mu_0+1}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha u'\|_{L^2(|x|<4)} \lesssim \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M \\ \mu\le \mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha u'\|_{L^2(|x|<4)} + \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M \\ 1\le \mu\le \mu_0+1}} t^\mu \|\partial_t^\mu \partial^\alpha u'\|_{L^2(|x|<4)}, \quad (4.4)$$

it suffices by induction to show the last term in the RHS is bounded by the RHS in (4.2). If we use (4.2) for $\mu_0 = 0$ for $\partial_t^j u$ which satisfies the Dirichlet condition, and we use that $t^\mu e^{-ct/2}$ is bounded, then we obtain the required estimate. □

We need weighted L^2 estimates. Put

$$S_T = \{[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K}\}$$

to denote the time strip of height T in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K}$.

Lemma 4.2 *(1) (Boundaryless case [13, Proposition 2.1]) There exists a constant $C > 0$ so that for any function u in $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$, the following estimate holds.*

$$(\log(2+T))^{-1/2} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} u'\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} \|\partial^\alpha u(0,\cdot)\|_2 + C \int_0^T \|\Box u(t,\cdot)\|_2 \, dt. \quad (4.5)$$

(2) (Exterior domain case [14, (6.8), (6.9)]) There exists a constant C so that for any function u in $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K}$ with the Dirichlet condition $u(t,x)|_{x\in\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0$, the following estimate holds.

For any $M \geq 0$ and $\mu_0 \geq 0$

$$(\log(2+T))^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu \leq M \\ \mu \leq \mu_0}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} L^\mu \partial^\alpha u'\|_{L^2(S_T)} \leq C \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu \leq M+2 \\ \mu \leq \mu_0}} \|(L^\mu \partial^\alpha u)(0,\cdot)\|_2$$
$$+ C \int_0^T \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu \leq M+1 \\ \mu \leq \mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha \Box u(t,\cdot)\|_2 \, dt + C \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu \leq M \\ \mu \leq \mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha \Box u\|_{L^2(S_T)} \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$(\log(2+T))^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu \leq M \\ \mu \leq \mu_0}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} L^\mu Z^\alpha u'\|_{L^2(S_T)} \leq C \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu \leq M+2 \\ \mu \leq \mu_0}} \|L^\mu Z^\alpha u(0,x)\|_{L^2_x}$$
$$+ C \int_0^T \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu \leq M+1 \\ \mu \leq \mu_0}} \|\Box L^\mu Z^\alpha u(t,\cdot)\|_2 \, dt + C \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu \leq M \\ \mu \leq \mu_0}} \|\Box L^\mu Z^\alpha u\|_{L^2(S_T)} \quad (4.7)$$

5 Pointwise Estimates

We consider pointwise estimates in this section.

Lemma 5.1 *Let F, f and g be any functions.*

(1) (Boundaryless case) Let u be a solution to

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta) u(t,x) = F(t,x), & (t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ u(0,x) = f(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = g(x). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(1+t+|x|)|u(t,x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha| \leq 3 \\ \mu \leq 1, j \leq 1}} \|(\langle x\rangle^j \partial_{t,x}^j L^\mu Z^\alpha u)(0,x)\|_{L^2_x}$$
$$+ C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha| \leq 3 \\ \mu \leq 1}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha F(s,y)| \, \frac{dy\, ds}{\langle y\rangle}. \quad (5.1)$$

(2) (Exterior domain case) Let u be a solution to

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta) u(t,x) = F(t,x), & (t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K} \\ u(t,x)|_{x \in \partial\mathcal{K}} = 0 \\ u(0,x) = f(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = g(x). \end{cases}$$

Then for any $M \geq 0$ and $\mu_0 \geq 0$

$$(1+t+|x|)\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\leq M\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha u(t,x)| \leq C\sum_{\substack{j+\mu+|\alpha|\leq M+8\\ \mu\leq\mu_0+2,\ j\leq 1}}\|(\langle x\rangle^j\partial_{t,x}^j L^\mu Z^\alpha u)(0,x)\|_{L^2_x}$$
$$+C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K}}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\leq M+7\\ \mu\leq\mu_0+1}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha F(s,y)|\,\frac{dy\,ds}{|y|}$$
$$+C\int_0^t\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\leq M+4\\ \mu\leq\mu_0+1}}\|L^\mu\partial^\alpha F(s,y)\|_{L^2(|y|<4)}\,ds. \quad (5.2)$$

Here and throughout $\{|y|<4\}$ is understood to mean $\{y\in\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K} : |y|<4\}$.

The proof of the above lemma for vanishing Cauchy data has been shown by Keel-Smith-Sogge in [14, (2.3), (2.4) and (4.2)] and Metcalfe-Sogge in [23, (3.2)].

The following estimates are the special version to treat the inhomogeneity F near the light cones, which follows from the Huygens principle.

Lemma 5.2 *Let F be any function.*

(1) (Boundaryless case) Let u be a solution to

$$\begin{cases}(\partial_t^2-c_I^2\Delta)u(t,x)=F(t,x), & (t,x)\in[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\\ u(0,\cdot)=0, \quad \partial_t u(0,\cdot)=0.\end{cases}$$

Assume

$$\mathrm{supp}F\subset\{(t,x);t\geq 1,\ \frac{c_1 t}{10}\leq|x|\leq 10c_D t\}.$$

Then

$$\sup_{|x|\leq c_1 t/2}(1+t)|u(t,x)|\leq C\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq 3\\ \mu\leq 1}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha F(s,y)|dy. \quad (5.3)$$

(2) (Exterior domain case) Let u be a solution to

$$\begin{cases}(\partial_t^2-c_I^2\Delta)u(t,x)=F(t,x), & (t,x)\in[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K}\\ u(t,x)|_{x\in\partial\mathcal{K}}=0\\ u(t,\cdot)=0 \ \ \textit{for} \ \ t\leq 0.\end{cases}$$

Assume

$$\mathrm{supp}F\subset\{(t,x);t\geq 1\vee\frac{6}{c_1},\ \frac{c_1 t}{10}\leq|x|\leq 10c_D t\}.$$

Then for any $M \geq 0$ *and* $\mu_0 \geq 0$

$$\sup_{|x|\leq c_1 t/2}(1+t)\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq M\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha u(t,x)| \leq C\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K}}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\leq M+7\\ \mu\leq\mu_0+1}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha F(s,y)|dy$$
$$+\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}(1+s)\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\leq M+3\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}}\|L^\mu\partial^\alpha F(s,y)\|_{L^2(|y|<4)}. \quad (5.4)$$

We also need the following $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimates to treat the inhomogeneity away from the light cones, which are special (more elementary) version of Kubota-Yokoyama estimates (see Kubota-Yokoyama [18, Theorem 3.4] for the boundaryless case).

Lemma 5.3 *Let* F, f *and* g *be any functions.*

(1) (Boundaryless case) Let u *be a solution to*

$$\begin{cases}(\partial_t^2 - c_I^2\Delta)u(t,x) = F(t,x), \quad (t,x)\in[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\\ u(0,x) = f(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = g(x).\end{cases}$$

Assume

$$\mathrm{supp}F \subset \{(t,x); 0\leq t\leq 2,\ |x|\leq 2\}\cup\{(t,x); |x|\leq \frac{c_I t}{5} \ \ or \ \ |x|\geq 5c_I t\ \}. \quad (5.5)$$

Then for any $\theta > 0$, *there exists a constant* $C = C(\theta)$ *such that*

$$\sup_{|x|\leq c_I t/2}(1+t)|u(t,x)| \leq C\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq 3\\ \mu\leq 1, j\leq 1}}\|(\langle x\rangle^j\partial_{t,x}^j L^\mu Z^\alpha u)(0,x)\|_{L^2_x}$$
$$+C\sup_{\substack{s\geq 0\\ y\in\mathbb{R}^3}}\langle y\rangle^{2-\theta}(1+s+|y|)^{1+\theta}|F(s,y)|. \quad (5.6)$$

(2) (Exterior domain case) Let u *be a solution to*

$$\begin{cases}(\partial_t^2 - c_I^2\Delta)u(t,x) = F(t,x), \quad (t,x)\in[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{K}\\ u(t,x)|_{x\in\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0\\ u(0,x) = f(x), \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = g(x).\end{cases}$$

Assume (5.5). *Then for any* $\theta > 0$, $M \geq 0$ *and* $\mu_0 \geq 0$, *there exists a constant* $C =$

$C(\theta, M, \mu_0, \mathcal{K})$ *such that*

$$\sup_{|x|\le c_I t/2}(1+t)\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha u(t,x)| \le C\sum_{\substack{j+\mu+|\alpha|\le M+8\\ \mu\le\mu_0+2,\ j\le 1}}\|(\langle x\rangle^j\partial_{t,x}^j L^\mu Z^\alpha u)(0,x)\|_{L^2_x}$$
$$+C\sup_{\substack{s\ge 0\\ y\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}}}\langle y\rangle^{2-\theta}(1+s+|y|)^{1+\theta}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha F(s,y)|$$
$$+C\sup_{\substack{s\ge 0\\ y\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}}}\langle y\rangle^{2-\theta}(1+s+|y|)^{1+\theta}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M+4\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}|L^\mu\partial^\alpha F(s,y)|. \quad (5.7)$$

6 Sobolev-type Estimates

We need the following Sobolev inequalities. The first inequality is due to Klainerman-Sideris [17], Sideris [28], and Hidano-Yokoyama [6]. The second one is the exterior domain analog of the first one.

Lemma 6.1 *Let $c > 0$, $0 \le \theta \le 1/2$ be any constants.*

(1) (Boundaryless case) For any function $u \in C_0^\infty((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\langle x\rangle^{1/2+\theta}\langle ct-|x|\rangle^{1-\theta}|u'(t,x)| \le C\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le 2\\ \mu\le 1}}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'(t,x)\|_{L^2_x}+C\sum_{|\alpha|\le 1}\|\langle t+|x|\rangle Z^\alpha\Box_c u(t,x)\|_{L^2_x}. \quad (6.1)$$

(2) (Exterior domain case) For any function $u \in C_0^\infty((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K})$ with the Dirichlet condition $u|_{\partial\mathcal{K}} = 0$, and any $M \ge 0$, $\mu_0 \ge 0$

$$\langle x\rangle^{1/2+\theta}\langle ct-|x|\rangle^{1-\theta}\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'(t,x)| \le C\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M+2\\ \mu\le\mu_0+1}}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'(t,x)\|_{L^2_x}$$
$$+C\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M+1\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}\|\langle t+|x|\rangle L^\mu Z^\alpha\Box_c u(t,x)\|_{L^2_x}$$
$$+C(1+t)\sum_{\mu\le\mu_0}\|L^\mu u'(t,x)\|_{L^\infty(|x|<2)}. \quad (6.2)$$

Proof of Lemma 6.1 : By (3.14c) in [28], and (4.2) in [18], we have

$$\langle x\rangle^{1/2+\theta}\langle ct-|x|\rangle^{1-\theta}|u'(t,x)| \le C\sum_{|\alpha|\le 2}\|Z^\alpha u'(t,x)\|_{L^2_x} + C\sum_{|\alpha|\le 1}\|\langle ct-|x|\rangle Z^\alpha \partial^2 u(t,x)\|_{L^2_x}$$

for any θ with $0 \le \theta \le 1/2$. By (2.10) and (3.1) in [17], we have

$$\|\langle ct-|x|\rangle\partial^2 u(t,x)\|_{L^2_x} \le C\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le 1\\ \mu\le 1}}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'(t,x)\|_{L^2_x} + C\|\langle t+|x|\rangle\Box_c u(t,x)\|_{L^2_x}.$$

Combining the above two estimates, we obtain (6.1). The proof of (2) can be found as (4.7) in [22]. □

7 A sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we show a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.3. To prove our global existence theorem, we need a standard local existence theorem (See [7, Theorem 6.4.11] for the local existence theorem for the boundaryless case).

Theorem 7.1 *[12, Theorem 9.4] Let $s \ge 7$. Let $(f,g) \in H^s \oplus H^{s-1}$ satisfy the compatibility conditions of order $s-1$. Then* (1.5) *has a local solution $u \in C([0,T);H^s)$, where T depends on s and the norms of f and g. Moreover if $\|f\|_{H^s}+\|g\|_{H^{s-1}}$ is sufficiently small, then there exists C and T independent of f and g so that the solution of* (1.5) *exists for $0 \le t \le T$ and satisfies*

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\sum_{j=0}^{s}\|\partial_t^j u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{s-j}} \le C(\|f\|_{H^s}+\|g\|_{H^{s-1}}).$$

Let M_0 be sufficiently large number which is determined later so that the following all argument holds. We assume the smallness of the data (1.12) with $N = 2M_0$. By the same argument for (10.2) in [14], we can show that there exists C independent of u such that

$$\sup_{t\ge 0}\sum_{|\alpha|\le N}\|\langle x\rangle^{|\alpha|}\partial^\alpha u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K};|x|>5c_D t)} \le C\varepsilon. \tag{7.1}$$

This inequality and the Klainerman-Sobolev inequality (1.2) yield

$$\sup_{\substack{t\ge 0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}\\ |x|\ge 6c_D t}}\sum_{|\alpha|\le N-2}(1+|x|)^{1+|\alpha|}|\partial^\alpha u(t,x)| \le C'\varepsilon \tag{7.2}$$

for some constant $C' > 0$. Indeed, for x with $|x| > 6c_D t$, if $|x| - 1 \geq 5c_D t$, then the result follows from (7.1) and (1.2). If $|x| - 1 \leq 5c_D t$, then the result follows from the standard embedding $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \mathcal{K})$ since such x is in a bounded set.

And we also have

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-2} \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/4+|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2(S_T, |x| \geq 6c_D t)} \leq C\varepsilon (\log(1+T))^{1/2}. \tag{7.3}$$

Indeed, by (7.2), the square of the LHS is bounded by

$$C\varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-2} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \geq 6c_D t} \langle x \rangle^{-5/2+|\alpha|} |\partial^\alpha u| dx dt,$$

so that by the Schwarz inequality and (7.1), we obtain (7.3).

Fix a cutoff function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\chi(s) = 1$ if $s \leq 1/(12c_D)$ and $\chi(s) = 0$ if $s \geq 1/(6c_D)$, and set

$$u_0(t,x) \equiv \eta(t,x) u(t,x), \quad \eta(t,x) \equiv \chi(t/|x|).$$

Then by (7.1) and (7.2), we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha u_0\|_2 + (1 + t + |x|) \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-2} |\langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha u_0| \leq C\varepsilon. \tag{7.4}$$

And, by (7.3), we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-2} \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/4+|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha u_0\|_{L^2_{t,x}} \leq C\varepsilon (\log(1+T))^{1/2}.$$

We put $w \equiv u - u_0$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \Box w = (1-\eta) F(\partial u, \partial^2 u) - [\Box, \eta] u \\ w|_{\partial \mathcal{K}} = 0 \\ w(t,x) = 0, \quad t \leq 0 \end{cases} \tag{7.5}$$

for $0 < t < T$. Let v be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Box v = -[\Box, \eta] u \\ v|_{\partial \mathcal{K}} = 0 \\ v(t,x) = 0, \quad t \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{7.6}$$

Then we have $u = u_0 + v + (w - v)$, and

$$(1+t+|x|)\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-8}|L^\mu Z^\alpha v(t,x)| + \sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha v'(t,\cdot)\|_2 \le C\varepsilon. \tag{7.7}$$

Indeed, by (5.2) and the fact $|L^\mu Z^\alpha \partial^\beta \eta| \le C|x|^{-|\beta|}$, the first term is bounded by

$$\int_0^t \int_{6c_D s\le|y|\le 12c_D s} (1+s)^{-3} \sum_{|\alpha|\le N} \langle y\rangle^{|\alpha|}|\partial^\alpha u|dyds,$$

which is bounded by the LHS of (7.1) by the Schwarz inequality. For the second term, we apply (3.3) with $\gamma = 0$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10}\partial_t \int e_0(L^\mu Z^\alpha v)dx \le C\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-9}\|L^\mu\partial^\alpha v'\|^2_{L^2(|x|\le 2)} + \sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10}\left|\int(\partial_t L^\mu Z^\alpha v)(\Box L^\mu Z^\alpha v)dx\right|. \tag{7.8}$$

The estimate for the first term and (1.1) show that the RHS is bounded by

$$\varepsilon^2\langle t\rangle^{-2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{1+t}\int_{6c_D t\le|y|\le 12c_D t}\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10}|L^\mu Z^\alpha([\Box,\eta]u)|dy,$$

which is bounded by

$$\varepsilon^2\langle t\rangle^{-2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{(1+t)^3}\int_{6c_D t\le|y|\le 12c_D t}\sum_{|\alpha|\le N-9}|\langle x\rangle^{|\alpha|}\partial^\alpha u|dy.$$

So that (7.1) shows that

$$\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha v'\|_2^2 \le C\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10}\int e_0(L^\mu Z^\alpha v)dx \le C\varepsilon^2,$$

which shows the estimate for the second term in (7.7) holds.

And we also have

$$\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-2}\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}L^\mu Z^\alpha v'\|_{L^2(S_T)} \le C(\log(1+T))^{1/2}. \tag{7.9}$$

Indeed, by (4.7), and (1.1), the LHS is bounded by

$$C(\log(1+T))^{1/2}\int_0^T \sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-1}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha[\Box,\eta]u(t,\cdot)\|_2 dt.$$

By the homogenuity of η, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-1}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha[\Box,\eta]u(t,\cdot)\|_2 &\le C\langle t\rangle^{-1}\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-1}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(6c_Dt\le|x|\le 12c_Dt)}\\ &\quad +C\langle t\rangle^{-2}\sum_{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-1}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(6c_Dt\le|x|\le 12c_Dt)}\\ &\le C\varepsilon\langle t\rangle^{-2},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (7.1). So that we obtain (7.9).

Especially, we have shown that there exists a constant $C_0>0$ such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\le N-10}\Big\{\|\Gamma^\alpha(u_0+v)'\|_2+(\log(2+t))^{-1/2}\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}\Gamma^\alpha(u_0+v)'\|_{L^2_{t,x}} +\sup_x(1+t+|x|)|\Gamma^\alpha(u_0+v)|\Big\}\le C_0\varepsilon. \quad (7.10)$$

The function $w-v$ satisfies the equation :

$$\begin{cases}\Box(w-v)=(1-\eta)F(\partial u,\partial^2 u)\\ (w-v)|_{\partial K}=0\\ (w-v)(t,x)=0,\quad t\le 0.\end{cases} \quad (7.11)$$

Since $w-v$ has vanishing Cauchy data, it would be easy to handle when we apply the series of L^2 and pointwise estimates to $w-v$. We show the global existence of u by the continuity argument. Let us assume

$$(1+t+|x|)\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0}|Z^\alpha(w-v)'|\le C_0\varepsilon. \quad (7.12)$$

Then we can show that for $0\le\mu_0\le 3$ and any constant $\sigma>0$, there exist positive constants

A_{μ_0} and D_{μ_0} such that the following estimates hold :

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10-8\mu_0\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|(\tilde{L}^\mu\partial_t^j u)'(t,\cdot)\|_2 + \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10-8\mu_0\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'(t,\cdot)\|_2$$
$$+\varepsilon^{-1}(\log(2+t))^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10-8\mu_0-2\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}L^\mu\partial^\alpha(w-v)'\|_{L^2(S_t)}$$
$$+ \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10-8\mu_0-3\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'(t,\cdot)\|_2$$
$$+\varepsilon^{-1}(\log(2+t))^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10-8\mu_0-5\\ \mu\le\mu_0}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}L^\mu Z^\alpha(w-v)'\|_{L^2(S_t)} \le A_{\mu_0}\varepsilon(1+t)^{D_{\mu_0}(\varepsilon+\sigma)}. \tag{7.13}$$

The above estimates (7.13) lead to the pointwise and Sobolev type estimates of high order such as

$$\varepsilon^{-1}(1+t+|x|) \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10-8\times3-13\\ \mu\le2}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha(w-v)|$$
$$+ \sum_{1\le I\le D} |x|^{1/2+\theta}\langle c_I t-|x|\rangle^{1-\theta} \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le N-10-8\times3+3\\ \mu\le2}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha u'| \le C\varepsilon(1+t)^{2D_3(\varepsilon+\sigma)} \tag{7.14}$$

for any $0\le\theta\le 1/2$. Using (7.14), we can show

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le1}} \|L^\mu Z^\alpha(w-v)'\| + (1+t+|x|)\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0} |Z^\alpha(w-v)'| \le C\varepsilon^{3/2} \tag{7.15}$$

for some constants $C>0$. The last estimate shows that if we take ε sufficiently small, then we can replace C_0 in (7.12) with $C_0/2$, which means the boundedness of pointwise estimate and moreover the energy of u such as

$$(1+t+|x|)\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0} |Z^\alpha u'| + \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le1}} \|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'\| \le 2C_0\varepsilon.$$

Therefore we can conclude that the local solution is a global solution.

We give a sketch of the proof of the above estimates in the following. The new term which appears in the exterior domain case compared with the boundaryless case is the first term in

the LHS of (7.13). By cutting L near the obstacle, we can avoid the derivative loss which comes from the boundary of the obstacle. We show (7.13) by an induction. We show for $\mu_0 \geq 0$ and $0 \leq M \leq N - 10 - 8\mu_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq M\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}} \|(\tilde{L}^\mu \partial_t^j u)'(t,\cdot)\|_2 + \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq M\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha u'(t,\cdot)\|_2 \\
&\qquad + \varepsilon^{-1}(\log(2+t))^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq M-2\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} L^\mu \partial^\alpha (w-v)'\|_{L^2(S_t)} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq M-3\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}} \|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'(t,\cdot)\|_2 \\
&+ \varepsilon^{-1}(\log(2+t))^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq M-5\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}} \|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2} L^\mu Z^\alpha (w-v)'\|_{L^2(S_t)} \leq A_{M,\mu_0}\varepsilon(1+t)^{D_{M,\mu_0}(\varepsilon+\sigma)}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

asuuming the estimates holds when M and μ_0 are replaced by $M-1$ or $\mu_0 - 1$, where A_{M,μ_0} and D_{M,μ_0} are positive constants. Let us focus on the first term in the LHS of (7.16). Let γ be set by

$$\gamma^{IK,kl}(t,x) \equiv \sum_{1\leq J\leq D} \sum_{0\leq j\leq 3} B_{jkl}^{IJK} \partial_j u^J(t,x). \tag{7.17}$$

By (3.3), we have

$$\partial_t \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq M\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}} \Big\{\int e_0(\tilde{L}^\mu \partial_t^j u)dx\Big\}^{1/2} \leq C \sum_{\substack{\mu+j\leq M\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}} \|\Box_\gamma \tilde{L}^\mu \partial_t^j u\|_2 + C\|\gamma'\|_\infty \sum_{\substack{\mu+j\leq M\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}} \Big\{\int e_0(\tilde{L}^\mu \partial_t^j u)dx\Big\}^{1/2}. \tag{7.18}$$

Using the commuting property (1.1), the first term in the RHS of (7.18) is estimated by

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq M\\ \mu\leq\mu_0}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha \Box_\gamma u\|_2 + \sum_{\substack{\mu_1+|\alpha_1|+\mu_2+|\alpha_2|\leq M\\ \mu_1+\mu_2\leq\mu_0\\ \mu_2+|\alpha_2|\leq M-1}} \|(L^{\mu_1}\partial^{\alpha_1}\gamma)(L^{\mu_2}\partial^{\alpha_2}\partial^2 u)\|_2 + \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\leq M\\ \mu\leq\mu_0-1}} \|L^\mu \partial^\alpha u'\|_{L^2(|x|<2)}, \tag{7.19}$$

where the last term is the additional term when Γ hits the cut-off function η in $\tilde{L}$. The first two

terms in (7.19) are estimated by

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0}\|\partial^\alpha u'\|_\infty \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}\|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'\|_2$$
$$+\sum_{M_0+1\le|\alpha|\le M}\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}\partial^\alpha u'\|_2 \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M-M_0+2\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0}}\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}L^\mu Z^\alpha u'\|_2$$
$$+\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le (M+1)/2+2\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0-1}}\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}L^\mu Z^\alpha u'\|_2 \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0-1}}\|\langle x\rangle^{-1/2}L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'\|_2, \quad (7.20)$$

where we have used (1.2) for the lower order regularity terms. The first term in (7.20) can be estimated by (3.8) such as

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}\|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'\|_2 \le C\sum_{\substack{\mu+j\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}\|(\tilde{L}^\mu\partial_t^j u)'\|_2+\frac{C\varepsilon}{1+t}\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}\|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'\|_2$$
$$+\sum_{M_0+1\le|\alpha|\le M}\|\partial^\alpha u'\|_2 \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M-M_0+1\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0}}\|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'\|_2$$
$$+\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M/2+2\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0-1}}\|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'\|_2 \sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M\\ 1\le\mu\le\mu_0-1}}\|L^\mu\partial^\alpha u'\|_2, \quad (7.21)$$

where we have used the standard Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ instead of (1.2). With the second term in the RHS in (7.21) moved to the LHS for sufficiently small ε, we also have the estimate to bound the second term in (7.16) by the first term. Using the above estimates (7.18), (7.19), (7.20) and (7.21), and applying the Gronwall inequality to (7.18), and (3.10) to the last term in (7.19) similarly, we can consequently conclude that the term

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu+j\le M\\ \mu\le\mu_0}}\{\int e_0(\tilde{L}^\mu\partial_t^j u)dx\}^{1/2},$$

which bounds the first term in (7.16), is deduced from the induction on (7.16).

The most technically important improvement in [22] is the estimate for the first term in (7.15).

By (3.3) with $\gamma = 0$, we have that the first term in (7.15) is bounded by

$$C \sum_{1\le I\le D} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\nu\le M_0+9\\ \nu\le 1}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}} \left|\left\langle \partial_0 L^\nu Z^\alpha (w-v)^I, \Box L^\nu Z^\alpha (w-v)^I \right\rangle\right| dy\, ds$$

$$+ C \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\nu\le M_0+9\\ \nu\le 1}} \left| \int_0^t \sum_{a=1}^3 \int_{\partial\mathcal{K}} \partial_0 L^\nu Z^\alpha (w-v) \partial_a L^\nu Z^\alpha (w-v)\, n_a\, d\sigma\, ds \right| \quad (7.22)$$

where $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$ is the outward normal at a given point on $\partial\mathcal{K}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}^D$. Since $\mathcal{K} \subset \{|x| < 1\}$, we have that the last term is bounded by

$$C \int_0^t \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}, |x|<1\}} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\nu\le M_0+10\\ \nu\le 1}} |L^\nu \partial^\alpha (w-v)'(s,y)|^2\, dy\, ds.$$

Since we also have that $[\Box, L] = 2\Box$ and $[\Box, Z] = 0$ and that $\Box(w-v) = (1-\eta)\Box u$, we see that (7.22) is controlled by

$$C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\nu\le M_0+9\\ \nu\le 1}} |L^\nu Z^\alpha \partial (w-v)^I| \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\nu\le M_0+9\\ \nu\le 1}} |L^\nu Z^\alpha \Box u^I|\, dy\, ds$$

$$+ C \int_0^t \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}, |x|<1\}} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\nu\le M_0+10\\ \nu\le 1}} |L^\nu \partial^\alpha (w-v)'(s,y)|^2\, dy\, ds. \quad (7.23)$$

Since we have the bound

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu+|\alpha|\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha \Box u^I| \le \langle y\rangle^{-1} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+11\\ \mu\le 2}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha u^I| \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+10\\ \mu\le 1}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha \partial(u^I)|$$

$$+ \frac{\langle c_I s - |y|\rangle}{\langle s+|y|\rangle} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha \partial(u^I)| \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+10\\ \mu\le 1}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha \partial(u^I)|$$

$$+ \sum_{(J,K)\ne(I,I)} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha \partial(u^J)| \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+10\\ \mu\le 1}} |L^\mu Z^\alpha \partial(u^K)|, \quad (7.24)$$

where we have used that the null condition has the commuting property with Γ (see [30, Lemma

4.1]) and the estimates (1.10) and (1.11), the first term in (7.23) is bounded by

$$C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}}\Big(\langle y\rangle^{-1}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\nu\le M_0+11\\ \nu\le 2}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha u|+\frac{\langle c_I s-|y|\rangle}{\langle s+|y|\rangle}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha\partial(w-v)^I|\Big)$$
$$\cdot\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha(w-v)'|^2+\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+10\\ \mu\le 1}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'|^2\Big)$$
$$+\sum_{1\le J,K\le D}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha\partial(w-v)^I|\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+10\\ \mu\le 1\\ J\ne I}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha\partial(u^J)|\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+10\\ \mu\le 1}}|L^\mu Z^\alpha\partial(u^K)|dyds \tag{7.25}$$

Applying (7.14) to the integral of the first term in (7.25), we have it is bounded by

$$C\varepsilon\int_0^t(1+s)^{-1/2+}\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}}\|\langle y\rangle^{-1/2}L^\mu Z^\alpha(w-v)'\|_2^2+\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+10\\ \mu\le 1}}\|\langle y\rangle^{-1/2}L^\mu Z^\alpha u'\|_2^2\Big)ds, \tag{7.26}$$

which is $O(\varepsilon^3)$ by (7.10) and (7.13). For the second integral in (7.25), we split $\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}$ into two sets Λ_I^c and Λ_J^c, and apply the second estimate in (7.14) for each cases, then we have the same bounds of (7.26) for it. Here we note that $1+t+|x|\sim\langle c_I t-|x|\rangle$ when $(t,x)\in\Lambda_I^c$. This completes the proof of (7.15) for the the first term. Here we note that this estimate yields

$$\begin{aligned}&|x|^{1/2+\theta}\langle c_I t-|x|\rangle^{1-\theta}\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0+7}|Z^\alpha u'| \qquad (7.27)\\ &\le C\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'\|_2+\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0+8}\|(1+t+|x|)Z^\alpha\Box u\|_2+(1+t)\|u'\|_{L^\infty(|x|<2)}\\ &\le C\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'\|_2+C\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.2), (7.10) and (7.12).

For the estimate for the second term in (7.15), we use the smooth functions $\rho,\ \beta\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies $\rho(r)=1$ for $c_1t/5\le r\le 5c_D$, and $\rho(r)=0$ for $r\le c_1/10$ or $r\ge 10c_D$, $\beta(r)=1$ for $r\ge 2\vee(12/c_1)$, and $\rho(r)=0$ for $r\le 1\vee(6/c_1)$. And we put

$$\phi(t,x)\equiv\beta(t)\rho(|x|/t).$$

The function ϕ has its support near the light cones. Applying (5.4) and (5.7) to the second term in (7.15), we have

$$\sup_{|x|\le c_1 t/2}(1+t+|x|)\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0}|Z^\alpha(w-v)'| \le C\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+8\\ \mu\le 1}}\int |L^\mu Z^\alpha(\phi\Box(w-v))|dy$$

$$+C\sup_{\substack{0\le s\le t\\ y\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{K}}}|y|^{2-\theta}(1+s+|y|)^{1+\theta}\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0+5}|L^\mu Z^\alpha((1-\phi)\Box(w-v))|, \quad (7.28)$$

which is bounded by

$$C\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+\mu\le M_0+9\\ \mu\le 1}}\|L^\mu Z^\alpha u'\|_2 + C\varepsilon^2$$

where we have used (7.27). Since we have by (1.2)

$$\sup_{|x|\ge c_1 t/2}(1+t+|x|)\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0}|Z^\alpha(w-v)'| \le C\sum_{|\alpha|\le M_0+2}\|Z^\alpha(w-v)'\|_2, \quad (7.29)$$

the estimate for the second term in (7.15) follows from that for the first term.

参考文献

[1] R. Agemi and K. Yokoyama: *The null condition and global existence of solutions to systems of wave equations with different speeds*, Advances in Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Stochastics, (1998), 43–86.

[2] N. Burq: *Décroissance de lénergie locale de l'équation des ondes pour le problme extérieur et absence de rśonance au voisinage du réel*, Acta Math. **180** (1998), 1–29.

[3] D. Christodoulou: *Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), 267-282.

[4] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger: *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer, Second Ed., Third Printing, 1998.

[5] K. Hidano: *An elementary proof of global or almost global existence for quasi-linear wave equations*, preprint.

[6] K. Hidano and K. Yokoyama: *A remark on the almost global existence theorems of Keel, Smith, and Sogge*, preprint.

[7] L. Hörmander: *Lectures on nonlinear hyperbolic equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[8] L. Hörmander: L^1, L^∞ *estimates for the wave operator*, Analyse Mathematique et Applications, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1988, pp. 211-234.

[9] M. Ikawa: *Decay of solutions of the wave equation in the exterior of two convex bodies*, Osaka J. Math. **19** (1982), 459–509.

[10] M. Ikawa: *Decay of solutions of the wave equation in the exterior of several convex bodies*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **38** (1988), 113–146.

[11] F. John, *Nonlinear wave equations, formation of singularities*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1990.

[12] M. Keel, H. Smith, and C. D. Sogge: *Global existence for a quasilinear wave equation outside of star-shaped domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **189** (2002), 155–226.

[13] M. Keel, H. Smith, and C. D. Sogge: *Almost global existence for some semilinear wave equations*, J. D'Analyse **87** (2002), 265–279.

[14] M. Keel, H. Smith, and C. D. Sogge: *Almost global existence for quasilinear wave equations in three space dimensions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), 109–153.

[15] S. Klainerman: *Uniform decay estimates and the Lorentz invariance of the classical wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 321–332.

[16] S. Klainerman: *The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations*, Lectures in Applied Math. **23** (1986), 293–326.

[17] S. Klainerman and T. Sideris: *On almost global existence for nonrelativistic wave equations in 3d* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **49** (1996), 307–321.

[18] K. Kubota and K. Yokoyama: *Global existence of classical solutions to systems of nonlinear wave equations with different speeds of propagation*, Japan. J. Math. **27** (2001), 113–202.

[19] P. D. Lax, C. S. Morawetz, and R. S. Phillips: *Exponential decay of solutions of the wave equation in the exterior of a star-shaped obstacle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 477–486.

[20] P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips: *Scattering theory, revised edition*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1989.

[21] R. B. Melrose: *Singularities and energy decay of acoustical scattering*, Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), 43–59.

[22] J. Metcalfe, M. Nakamura and C. D. Sogge: *Global existence of solutions to multiple speed systems of quasilinear wave equations in exterior domains*, to appear in Forum Mathematicum.

[23] J. Metcalfe and C. D. Sogge: *Hyperbolic trapped rays and global existence of quasilinear wave equations*, to appear in Invent. Math.

[24] C. S. Morawetz: *The decay of solutions of the exterior initial-boundary problem for the wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **14** (1961), 561–568.

[25] C. S. Morawetz, J. Ralston, and W. Strauss: *Decay of solutions of the wave equation outside nontrapping obstacles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1977), 447–508.

[26] J. V. Ralston: *Solutions of the wave equation with localized energy*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **22** (1969), 807–923.

[27] Y. Shibata and Y. Tsutsumi: *On a global existence theorem of small amplitude solutions for nonlinear wave equations in an exterior domain*, Math. Z. **191** (1986), 165-199.

[28] T. Sideris: *Nonresonance and global existence of prestressed nonlinear elastic waves*, Ann. of Math. **151** (2000), 849–874.

[29] T. Sideris: *The null condition and global existence of nonlinear elastic waves.*, Inven. Math. **123** (1996), 323–342.

[30] T. Sideris, S.Y. Tu: *Global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations in 3D with multiple speeds*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2001), 477–488.

[31] H. Smith and C. D. Sogge: *Global Strichartz estimates for nontrapping perturbations of the Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **25** (2000), 2171–2183.

[32] C. D. Sogge: *Lectures on nonlinear wave equations*, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.

[33] C.D. Sogge: *Global existence for nonlinear wave equations with multiple speeds*, Harmonic analysis at Mount Holyoke (South Hadley, MA, 2001), 353–366, Contemp. Math., 320, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[34] K. Yokoyama: *Global existence of classical solutions to systems of wave equations with critical nonlinearity in three space dimensions* J. Math. Soc. Japan **52** (2000), 609–632.