



# THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Early Paleocene Magnetostratigraphy and Revised Biostratigraphy of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Lower Nacimiento Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico, USA

**Citation for published version:**

Flynn, AG, Davis, AJ, Williamson, TE, Heizler, M, Fenley IV, CW, Leslie, C, Secord, R, Brusatte, S & Peppe, DJ 2020, 'Early Paleocene Magnetostratigraphy and Revised Biostratigraphy of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Lower Nacimiento Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico, USA', *Geological Society of America Bulletin*. <https://doi.org/10.1130/B35481.1>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1130/B35481.1](https://doi.org/10.1130/B35481.1)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Published In:**

Geological Society of America Bulletin

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

For permission to copy, contact [editing@geosociety.org](mailto:editing@geosociety.org)  
© 2020 Geological Society of America

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 Early Paleocene Magnetostratigraphy and Revised Biostratigraphy of the  
2 Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Lower Nacimiento Formation, San Juan  
3 Basin, New Mexico, USA

4  
5 Andrew G. Flynn<sup>1\*</sup>, Adam J. Davis<sup>1</sup>, Thomas E. Williamson<sup>2</sup>, Matthew Heizler<sup>3</sup>, C. William  
6 Fenley IV<sup>1</sup>, Caitlin Leslie<sup>1</sup>, Ross Secord<sup>4</sup>, Stephen L. Brusatte<sup>5</sup>, and Daniel J. Peppe<sup>1\*</sup>

7 <sup>1</sup>Terrestrial Paleoclimate Research Group, Department of Geosciences, Baylor University, Waco,  
8 Texas, USA, 76706; \*Corresponding author: [andrew\\_flynn@baylor.edu](mailto:andrew_flynn@baylor.edu)

9 <sup>2</sup>New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA, 87104

10 <sup>3</sup>New Mexico Bureau of Geology, New Mexico Tech, Socorro, New Mexico, USA, 87801

11 <sup>4</sup>Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences and University of Nebraska State Museum,  
12 University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA, 68588

13 <sup>5</sup>School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Grant Institute, James Hutton Road,  
14 Edinburgh EH9 3FE, UK

15

16 **ABSTRACT**

17 The lower Paleocene Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Nacimiento Formation from the San Juan Basin  
18 (SJB) in northwestern New Mexico preserve arguably the best early Paleocene mammalian  
19 record in North America and is the type location for the Puercan (Pu) and Torrejonian (To) North  
20 American Land Mammal ages (NALMA). However, the lack of precise depositional age  
21 constraints for the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and lower Nacimiento Formation has hindered our  
22 understanding of the timing and pacing of mammalian community change in the SJB following  
23 the Cretaceous-Paleogene mass extinction. Here we produced a high-resolution age model for  
24 the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and lower Nacimiento formation combining magnetostratigraphy and  
25  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  geochronology spanning the first ~3.5 Myr of the Paleocene. Mean sediment  
26 accumulation rates during C29n were relatively low (<50 m/Myr) and equalized from basin  
27 center to basin margin indicating an accommodation minimum; sediment accumulation rates  
28 roughly double (> 90m/Myr) during C28r and are highest in the basin center and lowest on basin  
29 margin indicating high accommodation and an increase in basin subsidence near the C29n/C28r  
30 boundary (~64.96 Ma). Puercan fossil localities were restricted to C29n, Torrejonian 1 localities  
31 to C28n, and lower Torrejonian 2 localities to C27r. Our revised age model for the SJB suggests  
32 that the first appearance of To1 mammals may have been diachronous across North America,  
33 with the Torrejonian 1 mammals first appearing in the north (Montana and North Dakota) during  
34 C29n, then in middle latitudes (Utah) in C28r, and lastly in southern North America (New  
35 Mexico) in C28n.

## 36 INTRODUCTION

37           The Ojo Alamo Sandstone and the Nacimiento Formation from the San Juan Basin (SJB)  
38 in northwestern New Mexico and southwestern Colorado (fig. 1) preserve a nearly continuous  
39 succession of lower Paleocene terrestrial deposits (e.g., Baltz et al., 1966; O’Sullivan et al.,  
40 1972; Williamson, 1996; Williamson et al., 2008; Cather et al., 2019). The SJB also preserves  
41 one of the most complete records of early Paleocene mammalian evolution following the  
42 Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) mass extinction and has been extensively studied for over a  
43 century (e.g., Granger, 1917; Matthew, 1937; Simpson, 1959; Williamson and Lucas, 1992;  
44 Williamson, 1996; Williamson et al., 2015). The early Paleocene Puercan and Torrejonian North  
45 American Land Mammal ages (NALMAs) were defined using SJB fossil mammalian faunas  
46 (Wood et al., 1941). These land mammal ages were subsequently divided into biochrons, based  
47 largely on the SJB record, and are used for early Paleocene correlation across North America  
48 (Lindsay, 2003; Lofgren et al., 2004).

49           Two intervals of potentially rapid mammalian turnover, between Puercan 2 (Pu2) and  
50 Puercan 3 (Pu3), and between Torrejonian 2 (To2) and Torrejonian 3 (To3) faunas, were  
51 documented by Williamson (1996). Additionally, the boundary between Pu3 and Torrejonian 1  
52 (To1) records the near total replacement of mammalian communities with new species and  
53 potentially represents another time period of high mammalian turnover (Williamson, 1996).  
54 While Leslie et al. (2018b) were able to develop a high resolution age model for the To2-To3  
55 transition, no high resolution age models exist for the Pu2-Pu3 and Pu3-To1 transitions in the  
56 SJB, limiting our understanding of the timing and rate of faunal change through this crucial time  
57 interval.

58 Previous work has used magnetostratigraphy to develop an age model for the Ojo Alamo  
59 Sandstone and lower Nacimiento Formation with the goal of identifying the K-Pg boundary and  
60 evaluating the chronology of mammalian evolution within the SJB (e.g., Butler et al., 1977;  
61 Butler and Taylor, 1978; Lindsay et al., 1978, 1981; Taylor and Butler, 1980; Butler and  
62 Lindsay, 1985; Fassett, 2009). However, sample spacing was at relatively large and mammal  
63 localities were not always precisely correlated to within the magnetostratigraphic sections, which  
64 has meant that there is not a high-resolution age model for Ojo Alamo Sandstone or lower  
65 Nacimiento Formation. Additionally, the age and duration of type section of the Nacimiento  
66 Formation at Mesa de Cuba (Cope, 1875) has never been determined.

67 The lack of detailed geochronology for the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and confusion about its  
68 stratigraphic terminology has meant that the age determinations for this unit have been  
69 contentious (see discussions in, Williamson and Weil, 2008a, 2008b). The lack of an age model  
70 for the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and confusion about stratigraphic terminology has also lead some  
71 previous authors to suggest the existence of early Paleocene dinosaurs from the San Juan Basin  
72 (e.g., Fassett et al., 2002, 2011; Fassett, 2009). However, these interpretations were made based  
73 on an incorrect interpretation of previously published magnetostratigraphy and the purported  
74 presence of Paleocene pollen, which has not been replicated, casting doubts upon their validity  
75 (e.g., Lucas et al., 2009)

76 In this study, we developed a high-resolution magnetostratigraphic age model for the Ojo  
77 Alamo Sandstone and lower Nacimiento Formation spanning the Puercan and early Torrejonian  
78 (To1-To2) interval from seven measured sections encompassing the first ~3.5 Myr of the  
79 Paleocene. These sections, from northwest to southeast, are (1) Kutz Canyon, (2) Gallegos  
80 Canyon, (3) Chico Springs, (4) De-Na-Zin, (5) Kimbeto Wash, (6) Betonnie Tsosie Wash, and

81 (7) Mesa de Cuba (fig. 1). Local polarity zones from each section, constrained by  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  ash  
82 and detrital sanidine ages, were correlated to the global geomagnetic polarity time scale (GPTS)  
83 (Ogg, 2012). Sediment accumulation rates were calculated for each section and/or magnetic  
84 chrons within the sections and used to develop an age model for the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and  
85 the base of the Nacimiento Formation. This age model presented was then correlated with the  
86 magnetostratigraphic sections of Leslie and others (2018b) to develop a basin-wide age model  
87 for the entire lower Paleocene in the SJB. This age model was then used to assess the basin  
88 evolution of the SJB during the early Paleocene. The age model was then used to constrain the  
89 age of Puercan and early Torrejonian (To1 – To2) fossil localities across the SJB. These revised  
90 ages for the Puercan and Torrejonian mammal sites have important implications for  
91 understanding the tempo of mammalian turnover after the end-Cretaceous mass extinction and  
92 the timing of key events in early mammal evolution.

## 93 **PREVIOUS STUDIES**

### 94 **Geologic Background**

95 The SJB is a Laramide foreland basin in northwest New Mexico and southwest Colorado  
96 that preserves a nearly continuous succession of Upper Cretaceous (Campanian) to lower Eocene  
97 terrestrial deposits (Baltz et al., 1966; Chapin and Cather, 1983). Cather (2004) argued for three  
98 distinct subsidence phases in the SJB: (1) an early phase during the late Campanian – early  
99 Maastrichtian, (2) a middle phase during the late Maastrichtian – early Paleocene, and (3) a late  
100 phase during the Eocene. The middle phase of subsidence was hypothesized to allow for  
101 deposition of lower Paleocene sediments in the basin (Cather, 2004).

102           The SJB preserves two lower Paleocene formations: (1) the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and (2)  
103 the Nacimiento Formation (Baltz et al., 1966; O’Sullivan et al., 1972). The Ojo Alamo  
104 Sandstone unconformably overlies the Maastrichtian Naashoibito Member of the Kirtland  
105 Formation and is composed of gold to yellow colored, cross-bedded, medium to coarse-grained  
106 sandstone with interbedded sandstone and siltstone deposits, and localized carbonaceous shale  
107 beds. These deposits have been interpreted to represent an alluvial plain in a seasonally dry, sub-  
108 tropical climate with one or more sediment sources in the southern Rocky Mountains (Flynn and  
109 Peppe, in press; Baltz et al., 1966; O’Sullivan et al., 1972; Powell, 1973; Tidwell et al., 1981;  
110 Chapin and Cather, 1983; Sikkink, 1987; Cather, 2004). Herein we recognize the Ojo Alamo  
111 Sandstone as a separate stratigraphic formation of Baltz et al. (1966). For clarity, the Ojo Alamo  
112 Sandstone is equivalent to the Kimbeto Member of the Ojo Alamo Formation proposed by  
113 Sullivan et al. (2005).

114           The Nacimiento Formation conformably overlies the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and  
115 unconformably underlies the Eocene San Jose Formation. The Nacimiento Formation is  
116 subdivided into six members: (1) The Kutz , (2) Tsosie, (3) Angel Peak, (4) Arroyo Chijuillita,  
117 (5) Ojo Encino, and (6) Escavada Members (Williamson and Lucas, 1992; Williamson, 1996;  
118 Cather et al., 2019). This study focuses on the Kutz, Tsosie, Arroyo Chijuillita and Ojo Encino  
119 Members. The Arroyo Chijuillita and Ojo Encino Members are confined to the southern portion  
120 of the basin. The Arroyo Chijuillita Member is composed of drab mudstones and small lenticular  
121 sandstone beds (Davis et al., 2016). The Ojo Encino Member contains variegated red and drab  
122 mudstones, large sheet and channel sandstone units, and three persistent intervals consisting of  
123 numerous “black” paleosol horizons referred to as the “lower”, “middle”, and “upper black  
124 mudstone” (Leslie et al., 2018b). Both members have been interpreted to represent meandering

125 fluvial systems deposited in a subtropical climate, with an increase in depositional energy from  
126 the Arroyo Chijuillita to Ojo Encino Member (Flynn and Peppe, in press; Tidwell et al., 1981;  
127 Williamson, 1996; Davis et al., 2016). The Kutz Member is equivalent to the “main body” of  
128 Williamson (1996) and is primarily exposed in Kutz Canyon. It is a thick succession of cross-  
129 bedded channel sandstones, splay sandstones, and floodplain mudstones. The lower Kutz  
130 Member tends to be drab in color and becomes more variegated with reddish mudstones in the  
131 upper portion (Cather et al., 2019). The Tsosie Member is exposed in the southwestern part of  
132 the basin and is characterized by thick, cross-bedded channel sandstone complexes separated by  
133 mostly drab floodplain mudstones. The channel sandstones were interpreted as deposits from a  
134 river with a maximum depth of at least 5 m (Cather et al., 2019).

135

## 136 **Mammalian Biostratigraphy**

### 137 ***Puercan Mammalian Biostratigraphy***

138 The first mammalian biostratigraphy for the lower Nacimiento Formation was proposed  
139 by Sinclair and Granger (1914), who identified two zones - the lower *Ectoconus* zone and the  
140 upper *Taeniolabis* zone – in exposures from De-Na-Zin, Kimbeto, and Betonnie Tsosie washes.  
141 They distinguished the two zones by the presence of the large-bodied multituberculate  
142 *Taeniolabis* in the upper horizon, but noted that *Ectoconus* was known from both horizons  
143 (Sinclair and Granger, 1914). Wood et al. (1941) later placed both the *Ectoconus* and  
144 *Taeniolabis* zones from Sinclair and Granger (1914) in the Puercan NALMA and designated the  
145 Nacimiento Formation fauna as representative of the Puercan. The significance of the *Ectoconus*  
146 and *Taeniolabis* zones as defined by Sinclair and Granger (1914) was debated due to both zones



147 being present superpositionally only in De-Na-Zin and because the difference between the two  
148 zones may reflect differences in facies and/or collection intensity (Lindsay et al., 1981;  
149 Archibald et al., 1987; Williamson, 1996).

150 Archibald et al. (1987) redefined the early Paleocene NALMAs and subdivided the  
151 Puercan into three biochronologic zones (see Lindsay, 2003) defined by the succession of  
152 unrelated taxa. In this revision, only the younger two zones, Pu2 and Pu3, are recognized in the  
153 SJB, and the earliest zone (Pu1) is absent. The *Ecotconus* and *Taeniolabis* biostratigraphic zones  
154 of Sinclair and Granger (1914) approximately correlate temporally with the Pu2 and Pu3  
155 biochrons of Archibald et al. (1987). Archibald et al. (1987) equated the Pu2 interval with the  
156 *Ecotconus-Taeniolabis taoensis* zone and the Pu3 interval with the *Taeniolabis taoensis-*  
157 *Periptychus* zone in the SJB. Williamson (1996) later revised the SJB biostratigraphy based on  
158 taxon ranges not included in Archibald et al. (1987) and approximately equated their Pu2 interval  
159 to the *Hemithlaeus kowalevskianus-Taeniolabis taoensis* zone (H-T Zone) and the Pu3 interval to  
160 the *Taeniolabis taoensis-Periptychus carinidens* zone (T-P Zone). We here refer to these last two  
161 biostratigraphic zones as Pc1 and Pc2, respectively, to distinguish them from the  
162 biochronological Puercan Pu2 and Pu3 subzones. The revision of early Paleocene NALMAs by  
163 Lofgren et al. (2004) used the same biozones defined by Archibald et al. (1987). Multiple authors  
164 (e.g., Archibald et al., 1987; Williamson and Lucas, 1992; Williamson, 1996) have noted that the  
165 fossil horizons in the SJB that yield both Pu2 and Pu3 mammalian faunas occur in C29n, which  
166 has made precise age control difficult and has inhibited temporal correlation both within the  
167 basin and across North America for each NALMA interval.

168 Williamson (1996) observed a potential decrease in species and generic diversity between  
169 the Pu2 and Pu3 mammalian faunas. Several mammalian taxa which are abundant in Pu2 faunas,

170 mostly periptychid “condylarths”, are absent from the succeeding Pu3 faunal interval  
171 (Williamson, 1996). Williamson (1996) also noted a relatively high rate of origination in the Pu3  
172 interval, but attributed this observation to immigration of taxa from northern North America.  
173 However, previous relatively low precision temporal constraints on this turnover have obscured  
174 the timing and rate of mammalian faunal changes.

### 175 ***Torrejonian Mammalian Biostratigraphy***

176 Sinclair and Granger (1914) recognized two biostratigraphic faunal zones – a lower  
177 *Deltatherium* and an upper *Pantolambda* zone – stratigraphically above the Puercan faunas from  
178 Torreon Wash. Osborn (1929) treated the *Deltatherium* and *Pantolambda* zones as temporally  
179 distinct “life zones.” Wood et al. (1941) placed the *Deltatherium* and *Pantolambda* zones within  
180 the Torrejonian NALMA, which was separated from the Puercan NALMA by the Dragonian  
181 NALMA. Tomida (1981) proposed a further subdivision of the Torrejonian NALMA with the  
182 retention of the previous *Deltatherium* and *Pantolambda* zones and the addition of an older  
183 *Periptychus-Loxolophus* zone. Additionally, because the “Dragonian” NALMA was shown to  
184 overlap with their *Periptychus-Loxolophus* zone (Tomida and Butler, 1980; Tomida, 1981;  
185 Archibald et al., 1987) and Archibald et al. (1987) considered the “Dragonian” to be part of the  
186 Torrejonian NALMA.

187 Archibald et al. (1987) proposed three Torrejonian biochronologic interval zones in their  
188 revision of the early Paleocene: the *Periptychus carinidens-Tetraclaenodon* interval zone (To1),  
189 *Tetraclaenodon-Pantolambda* interval zone (To2), and *Pantolambda-Plesiadapis praecursor*  
190 interval zone (To3). The To1 zone was equivalent to the *Periptychus-Loxolophus* zone and the  
191 “Dragonian” interval (Wood et al., 1941; Tomida, 1981), and the To2 and To3 interval zones  
192 were approximately equivalent to the previous *Deltatherium* and *Pantolambda* zones (Osborn,

193 1929; Tomida, 1981). Williamson (1996) redefined and further subdivided the Torrejonian  
194 interval zones into six local biostratigraphic zones in the SJB based on new fossil discoveries —  
195 (1) *Periptychus carinidens-Protoselene opisthacus* Zone (P-P Zone; referred to here as Tj1), (2)  
196 *Protoselene opisthacus-Ellipsodon grangeri* Zone (P-E Zone; referred to here as Tj2), (3)  
197 *Ellipsodon grangeri-Arctocyon ferox* Zone (E-A Zone; referred to here as Tj3), (4) *Arctocyon*  
198 *ferox-Pantolamda cavirictum* Zone (A-P Zone; referred to here as Tj4), (5) *Pantolamda*  
199 *cavirictum-Mixodectes pugens* Zone (P-M Zone; referred to here as Tj5), and (6) *Mixodectes*  
200 *pugens* Zone (M Zone; referred to here as Tj6). This new zonation showed some temporal  
201 overlap in the mammalian taxa used to define the Torrejonian interval zones by Archibald et al.  
202 (1987). Subsequently, high-resolution stratigraphic correlation of the upper Nacimiento  
203 Formation, discovery of new mammalian taxa, and stratigraphic range extensions for some  
204 mammalian taxa has led to the revisions of some of these biozone (Leslie et al., 2018b).

205 In their update of early Paleocene NALMAs, Lofgren et al. (2004) redefined the To1  
206 biochronologic interval zone as the *Periptychus carinidens-Protoselene opisthacus* zone  
207 (approximately equivalent to the P-P [Tj1] zone from Williamson, 1996), the To2 interval zone  
208 as the *Protoselene opisthacus-Mixodectes pugens* Zone (approximately equivalent to the P-E  
209 [Tj2], E-A [Tj3], A-P [Tj4], and P-M [Tj5] zones from Williamson, 1996), and To3 interval zone  
210 as the *Mixodectes pugens-Plesiadapis praecursor* Zone (approximately equivalent to the M [Tj6]  
211 Zone from Williamson, 1996).

212 In the SJB, the Tj1 zone (approximately temporally equivalent to To1) is poorly  
213 fossiliferous (Williamson, 1996), with fossil mammal collections existing from Kutz Canyon,  
214 De-Na-Zin, Kimbeto Wash, Betonnie Tsosie Wash, and Mesa de Cuba. Biozones Tj2 – Tj5  
215 (approximately temporally equivalent to To2) are significantly more fossiliferous and collections

216 have been made primarily from Kutz Canyon, Gallegos Canyon, Kimbeto Wash, Escavada  
217 Wash, and Torreon Wash (Williamson, 1996; Leslie et al., 2018b). The Tj6 zone (approximately  
218 temporally equivalent to To3) is also relatively well collected, with samples primarily from  
219 Escavada, Alemita Arroyo, Torreon Wash, and San Isidro Arroyo (Williamson, 1996; Leslie et  
220 al., 2018b). Previous magnetostratigraphy in the SJB has constrained Tj1 fossil localities to  
221 within C28n, Tj2-Tj5 fossil localities to within C27r, and Tj6 fossil localities to within C27n  
222 (Lindsay et al., 1978; Butler and Lindsay, 1985; Williamson and Lucas, 1992; Leslie et al.,  
223 2018b).

## 224 **Magnetostratigraphy and Rock Magnetism**

225         There is a long history of magnetostratigraphy and rock magnetism research focused on  
226 the Ojo Alamo and Nacimiento Formations in the SJB. In the original magnetostratigraphic  
227 studies of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Nacimiento Formation from measured sections in De-  
228 Na-Zin, Kimbeto Wash, Betonnie Tsosie Wash (also referred to as Tsosie Wash), and Kutz  
229 Canyon, the local polarity stratigraphy was incorrectly correlated to the GPTS (Butler et al.,  
230 1977; Lindsay et al., 1978, 1981). In this work, the K-Pg boundary was interpreted to be in  
231 C29n, the Ojo Alamo Sandstone was correlated with C28r, Puercan mammalian localities  
232 (*Ectoconus* and *Taeniolabis* Zones) with C28n, and the Torrejonian aged strata were correlated  
233 with C27n to C26n (Butler et al., 1977; Lindsay et al., 1978, 1981; Taylor and Butler, 1980).  
234 Butler and Lindsay (1985) later documented previously unrecognized magnetic overprinting in  
235 the Lower Cretaceous and lower Paleocene samples and revised these magnetostratigraphic  
236 interpretations for the SJB, placing the K-Pg boundary and deposition of the Ojo Alamos  
237 Sandstone in C29r, the Puercan zone mammalian localities in C29n, and the Torrejonian  
238 mammalian localities from Kutz Canyon in C28n-26n. However, the sample spacing of these

239 studies was relatively coarse, making it difficult to precisely determine the stratigraphic position  
240 of chron boundaries or to calculate reasonable sediment accumulation rates. Thus, it has not been  
241 possible to estimate the age of the fossil localities in the SJB more precisely than an estimated  
242 position within a chron. Further, although Mesa de Cuba is the type section for the Nacimiento  
243 Formation (Cope, 1875), no previous magnetic polarity stratigraphy has been constructed there.

244 Butler and Lindsay (1985) analyzed the rock magnetism of SJB samples from nine  
245 stratigraphic levels from the Upper Cretaceous through middle Paleocene. The results from  
246 Butler and Lindsay (1985) indicated that titanohematite of intermediate composition was the  
247 dominant magnetic mineral in the Nacimiento Formation. They suggested that the most likely  
248 source for this was the Cretaceous volcanics of the San Juan Mountains to the north that were  
249 eroded during the Paleocene. The anisotropy of remanence of Nacimiento Formation samples  
250 from Kutz Canyon measured by Kodama (1997) supported primary detrital magnetization for the  
251 Nacimiento Formation. Leslie et al. (2018b) found the upper Nacimiento Formation had a mixed  
252 magnetic mineralogy with titanohematite and maghemite as the characteristic remanent  
253 magnetization carriers. Goethite was also present in all upper Nacimiento lithologies and  
254 dominated low-temperature magnetic measurements, but was not found to contribute to the  
255 characteristic remanence measurements (Leslie et al., 2018b).

## 256 **METHODS**

### 257 **Lithostratigraphy**

258 Seven lithologic and magnetostratigraphic sections were measured across ~110 km  
259 northwest to southeast transect: (1) a 129 m section from Kutz Canyon, (2) a 68 m section at  
260 Gallegos Canyon, (3) a 58 m section at Chico Springs, (4) a 125 m section from De-Na-Zin, (5)

261 an 82.1 m section from Kimbeto Wash, (6) a 115 m section from Betonnie Tsosie Wash, and (7)  
262 a 156 m section from Mesa de Cuba (figs. 1-3). At Gallegos Canyon, De-Na-Zin, Betonnie  
263 Tsosie Wash, and Mesa de Cuba, the base of the sections were measured from the lithologic  
264 contact between the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Nacimiento Formation (figs. 2 and 3). The  
265 section at Kimbeto Wash began above the Ojo Alamo Sandstone-Nacimiento Formation contact  
266 and was ~8 m below vertebrate horizon 2 (table 1, fig. 3) (Williamson, 1996). The Kutz Canyon  
267 section began ~4 m below vertebrate horizon 11 (Williamson, 1996) to ensure overlap with  
268 correlative biozones. The top of the Kutz Canyon section was measured to the vertebrate locality  
269 “Bab’s Basin”, which is at the base of the Kutz Canyon measured section of Leslie et al. (2018b).  
270 The Chico Springs lithostratigraphic and magnetostratigraphic section began approximately 8 m  
271 below fossil horizon 13 (table 1, fig. 4). Williamson (1996) produced relatively detailed  
272 measured sections through Kutz Canyon, De-Na-Zin, Kimbeto Wash, and Betonnie Tsosie  
273 Wash. Where possible, those sections were correlated to the measured sections presented here.

274 Each measured section was trenched to remove weathered material and to allow  
275 recording of lithologic contacts. The stratigraphic sections were measured at ~0.5 – 1.0 m  
276 resolution and the lithology and sedimentary structures of each sampling horizon were  
277 documented. Additionally, the nature of lithologic contacts was documented. For relatively thick  
278 (> 3 m) heterolithic units, the major rock types were recorded. For sandstones, the grain size(s)  
279 and relationship to surrounding strata were recorded. Potential unconformities were recognized  
280 by erosive contact and abrupt increases in grain size between adjacent strata.

281 The stratigraphic position of most vertebrate fossil intervals (table 1, Table DR4) within  
282 each measured section was documented in the field; for those whose stratigraphic position was  
283 not measured for this study, their stratigraphic position relative to major lithologic contacts from

284 Williamson (1996) was used and correlated with our measured sections (figs. 2-4). Table 1  
285 documents the 14 vertebrate fossil-bearing intervals, the generalized area, the associated  
286 biochronologic interval zone (Lofgren et al., 2004), San Juan Basin biostratigraphic zone  
287 (Williamson, 1996), and the age of the vertebrate horizon calculated in this study using sediment  
288 accumulation rates. For vertebrate horizons 15-23, their generalized area, the associated  
289 biochronologic interval zone (Lofgren et al., 2004), San Juan Basin biozone (Williamson, 1996),  
290 and the calculated age of the vertebrate horizon are from Leslie et al. (2018b). The stratigraphic  
291 position of and locality number(s) within each vertebrate horizon is included in Table DR4.

## 292 **Magnetostratigraphy and Magnetic Mineralogy**

293 Four paleomagnetic samples were collected from a single stratigraphic horizon from  
294 mudstones, shales, paleosols, and fine-grained sandstones at ~1.5 to 3 m intervals (0.20 m  
295 minimum, 20.75 m maximum) in each measured section. Lithologies coarser than fine-grained  
296 sandstones were avoided if possible and site spacing was primarily dictated by both lithology and  
297 rock exposure. To generate paleomagnetic samples, a flat face was created *in situ* on  
298 unweathered rock surfaces using a hand rasp and the orientation of the created surface was  
299 measured using a Brunton Pocket Transit Compass. The samples were then cut into  
300 approximately 2-4 cm<sup>3</sup> cubes using a diamond-bit saw at Baylor University with each sample  
301 producing one cube.

302 Samples were collected from Gallegos Canyon, De-Na-Zin, Kimbeto Wash, and  
303 Bettonie Tsosie Wash across the entire Puercan interval; additionally, samples were collected  
304 from De-Na-Zin, Kimbeto Wash, and Bettonie Tsosie Wash from Tj1 strata (figs. 2 and 3)  
305 (Williamson, 1996). Samples were collected from Kutz Canyon spanning upper Tj1 to Tj3 strata  
306 (fig. 4) (Williamson, 1996). Samples were collected from Chico Springs spanning lower Tj2

307 strata (fig. 4) (Williamson and Lucas, 1997). While the Mesa de Cuba section is poorly  
308 fossiliferous, and the position of the fossil localities relative to the biostratigraphic and  
309 biochronologic intervals is unclear, samples were collected from strata presumed to represent  
310 late Pc2 to Tj3 zones (fig. 4) (Williamson, 1996).

311 Specimens were measured at Baylor University using a 2G Enterprises (Mountain View,  
312 California) cryogenic DC-SQUID magnetometer located in a 2-layer magneto-static shielded  
313 room with a background field typically less than 300 nT. Thermal demagnetization steps were  
314 performed in 25-50 °C increments to a maximum unblocking temperature or until magnetization  
315 became erratic and unreliable, typically ranging between 250-580 °C. To minimize oxidation  
316 reactions, thermal demagnetization was conducted in a nitrogen atmosphere using an ASC  
317 (Carlsbad, California) controlled atmosphere thermal demagnetizer.

318 Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to determine the characteristic remanent  
319 magnetism for each demagnetized sample at each thermal demagnetization step (Kirschvink,  
320 1980). A best fit line was calculated for samples with at least 3 stable demagnetization steps that  
321 trended towards the origin and a had maximum angle of deviation (MAD) < 20° (figs. 5A-E and  
322 6A; Table DR1). Great circles were calculated for samples that did not have at least 3 stable  
323 demagnetization steps trending towards the origin, but did trend towards the origin before  
324 complete demagnetization; only great circles with a MAD < 20° were used (Table DR3). Virtual  
325 geomagnetic pole directions for great circles were calculated using the last stable end point from  
326 the great circle calculation. Samples with erratic demagnetizations trajectories were excluded  
327 from all further analyses (fig. 5F). Site mean directions were calculated from sampling horizons  
328 with three significant sample directions using Fisher Statistics (Fig. 5A; Table DR2) (Fisher,  
329 1953). Site means with a 95% confidence circle  $\alpha_{95} > 35^\circ$  were not used (Watson, 1956). When



330 no depositional unconformity was interpreted, reversal boundaries were placed at the  
331 stratigraphic midpoint between samples with opposite polarity. In instances where an  
332 unconformity was interpreted, reversal boundaries were placed at the lithologic contact  
333 equivalent with the unconformity. The local polarity stratigraphy for each section was correlated  
334 with the geomagnetic polarity timescale (GTPS) (Ogg, 2012).

335 To determine the primary and secondary magnetic carriers in mixed mineralogy samples,  
336 a triaxial isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) Lowrie test (Lowrie, 1990) was performed  
337 on 10 samples that represented the range of lithologies that occur within the Ojo Alamo  
338 Sandstone and lower Nacimiento Formation at Baylor University. A 1T, 300 mT, and 100 mT  
339 field was imparted along the X, Y, and Z axes, respectively, using an ASC pulse magnetizer.  
340 Samples were then thermally demagnetized in 25 °C increments from 100 to 200 °C and 50 °C  
341 increments from 200 to 700 °C using an ASC controlled atmosphere thermal demagnetizer in an  
342 N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The magnetization in the X, Y, and Z axes was measured at each temperature  
343 step using the 2G cryogenic DC-SQUID magnetometer.

344 Sediment accumulation rates were calculated for each complete chron (i.e., both the  
345 lower and upper reversal was present) in each measured section and then used the sediment  
346 accumulation rates to estimate total section duration and age of mammal fossil horizons (Tables  
347 1, 3-5). We used the duration and uncertainty of each magnetic chron Ogg (2012) and the  
348 stratigraphic thickness and associated measurement uncertainties (table 3) to calculate sediment  
349 accumulation rates. The rates are asymmetrical due to different stratal thicknesses and chron  
350 durations used in their calculations. The maximum sediment accumulation rate was calculated by  
351 dividing the maximum thickness and the minimum duration of the magnetic chron while the  
352 minimum sediment accumulation rates was calculated using the minimum thickness and the

353 maximum duration of the magnetic chron (table 4). We were able to calculate reliable sediment  
354 accumulation rates for C29n from De-Na-Zin and Bettonnie Tsosie Wash, for C28r from De-Na-  
355 Zin, Kimbeto Wash, Bettonnie Tsosie Wash, and Mesa de Cuba, and for C27r from Kutz Canyon  
356 (table 4).

357         The duration of the Gallegos Canyon measured section was calculated by extrapolating  
358 the C29n and C28r sediment accumulation rates from De-Na-Zin and applying them to the  
359 measured C29r and C28r measured thickness respectively (table 5). The duration of the De-Na-  
360 Zin and Bettonnie Tsosie Wash measured sections was calculated by extrapolating the C29n and  
361 C28r sediment accumulation rates from each location to the C29r and C28n measured section  
362 thickness respectively (table 5). The Kimbeto Wash measured section duration was calculated by  
363 extrapolating the average C29n sedimentation rate from De-Na-Zin and Bettonnie Tsosie Wash to  
364 the C29r sediment thickness for the base of the section and extrapolating the C28r sediment  
365 accumulation rate from Kimbeto Wash to C28n sediment thickness (table 5). The duration of the  
366 Kutz Canyon measured section was done by extrapolating the C27r sediment accumulation rate  
367 down to the C28n sediment thickness and applying the C27r sedimentation rate to the total  
368 thickness of C27r sediments within the measured section (table 5). The duration of the Chico  
369 Springs measured section was calculated by extrapolating the C27r sediment accumulation rate  
370 from Kutz Canyon to the C28n and C27r sediment thickness (table 5). The Mesa de Cuba  
371 Measured section duration was calculated by extrapolating the average C29n sedimentation rate  
372 from De-Na-Zin and Bettonnie Tsosie Wash to the Mesa de Cuba C29n thickness and by  
373 correlating the “lower black mudstone” to the same lithologic marker bed from Torreon West  
374 (Leslie et al., 2018b) (table 5).

#### 375 **<sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar Geochronology**

376 Fine- to medium-grained sandstones were collected from De-Na-Zin, Betonnie Tsosie  
377 and Mesa de Cuba sections and prepared for  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  detrital sanidine geochronology. The  
378 argon data are presented in Tables DR5-8 and table footnotes provide information of calculation  
379 methods for maximum deposition ages (MDA), correction factor data, flux monitor and decay  
380 constants. Recovery of sanidine evolved over the course of the project, however all samples were  
381 either gently crushed in a jaw crusher and ground in a disc grinder or hand crushed using a  
382 mortar and pestle. Samples were washed ultrasonically in dilute HCl until signs of calcite were  
383 no longer present, though most samples showed no evidence of calcite. Samples were further  
384 ultrasonically treated in distilled water and rinsed in acetone to expedite drying. Samples were  
385 then inspected for sanidine content under a petrographic microscope while immersed in  
386 wintergreen oil and based on this a grain size (typically between 45 and 120 mesh) was chosen to  
387 maximize sanidine recovery. K-feldspar was concentrated by heavy liquid floatation and from  
388 this concentrate we initially picked for sanidine based on optical clarity under a standard  
389 binocular microscope. This method was not fully effective at distinguishing sanidine from clear  
390 plutonic and/or metamorphic K-feldspar and we suggest that most detrital grain ages older than  
391 at least 500 Ma are likely not sanidine. To improve sanidine recovery for samples analyzed later  
392 in the study (i.e., Betonnie Tsosie section), K-feldspar concentrates were placed in a petri dish,  
393 covered in wintergreen oil and viewed under a polarizing binocular microscope with transmitted  
394 light. This allowed us to pick mostly sanidine by avoiding K-feldspars with microtextures that  
395 could not be easily observed under a standard binocular microscope.

396 A total of 13 detrital sanidine concentrates were irradiated in several packages at the  
397 USGS Triga reactor in Denver, CO, along with flux monitor standard Fish Canyon sanidine (FC-  
398 2). FC-2 was assigned an age of 28.201 Ma (Kuiper et al. 2008) and a total  $^{40}\text{K}$  decay constant of

399  $5.463 \times 10^{-10}$  /a was used (Min et al., 2000). Following irradiation, argon was extracted from single  
400 grains by either total fusion (SCLF) or low resolution (2-6 step) incremental heating with a CO<sub>2</sub>  
401 laser. Typical heating was 30 seconds followed by gas cleanup for 30-180 seconds. Argon  
402 isotopes were measured on ARGUS VI mass spectrometers with various Faraday resistor  
403 configurations (see details Tables DR5-8) for masses 40, 39, 38, and 37 whereas mass 36 was  
404 measured with a CDD ion counter. Typically masses 40 and 39 were determined using 1E13  
405 Ohm resistors whereas masses 38 and 37 were measured using 1E12 Ohm resistors. Procedural  
406 blanks, air standards and calibration gas (enriched in radiogenic <sup>40</sup>Ar and <sup>39</sup>Ar) were measured  
407 numerous times during the course of data collection. These measurements were fit with a time-  
408 series analysis (typically averaged or fit with linear regression) and applied to the sample  
409 analysis to correct for blank, atmospheric argon and detector drift. All data are relative to flux  
410 monitor standard Fish Canyon sanidine (FC-2) with an age of 28.201 Ma (Kuiper et al., 2008)  
411 and use a <sup>40</sup>K total decay constant of  $5.463 \times 10^{-10}$  /a (Min et al., 2000). Since our primary goal  
412 was to find Paleocene grains to define maximum deposition ages, many analyses were truncated  
413 during the data collection when the calculated age was substantially older than 66 Ma, thus many  
414 of these older grains have overall lower precision due to shorter counting times in the mass  
415 spectrometer. Maximum deposition ages were calculated from the youngest mode of grain ages  
416 based on an inverse variance weighted mean (Taylor, 1982). In some cases, only one grain  
417 defines the youngest mode and in these cases the apparent age of the single grain is reported.

## 418 **RESULTS**

### 419 **Magnetostratigraphy**

420 Six hundred and ninety-one sample from 241 sampling horizons were analyzed during  
421 this study: 68 samples were analyzed from 25 sampling horizons from Kutz Canyon, 36 samples  
422 from 12 sampling horizons from Chico Springs, 73 samples from 24 sampling horizons from  
423 Gallegos Canyon, 146 samples from 50 sampling horizons from De-Na-Zin, 93 samples from 32  
424 sampling horizons from Kimbeto Wash, 150 samples from 52 sampling horizons at Betonnie  
425 Tsosie Wash, and 124 samples from 46 sample horizons at Mesa de Cuba. Most samples were  
426 fully demagnetized by 150° to 400°C and their demagnetization trajectory trended towards the  
427 origin after few heating steps (fig. 5A-E). All specimens with reliable demagnetization  
428 trajectories and stable endpoints were characterized by line fits. This generated reliable  
429 paleomagnetic directions for 473 samples from 195 sampling horizons (fig. 6A; Table DR1): 47  
430 samples (9.9 % of reliable samples) from 22 sampling horizons at Kutz Canyon, 48 samples  
431 (10.1% of reliable samples) from 18 sampling horizons at Gallegos Canyon, 17 samples (3.6% of  
432 reliable samples) from 8 sampling horizons at Chico Springs, 112 samples (23.7 % of reliable  
433 samples) from 43 sampling horizons at De-Na-Zin, 63 samples (13.3 % of reliable samples) from  
434 25 sampling horizons at Kimbeto Wash, 102 samples (21.6 % of reliable samples) from 42  
435 sampling horizons at Betonnie Tsosie, and 84 samples (27.6 % of reliable samples) from 37  
436 sampling horizons at Mesa de Cuba. The remaining 217 samples (31.4% of total samples) had  
437 incoherent demagnetization trajectories and reliable directions could not be generated (fig. 5F).

438 Ninety-five of these sampling horizons (39.4% of total sampling horizons) had at least 3  
439 samples with statistically significant directions that could be used to calculate site-mean  
440 directions with an  $\alpha_{95} < 35^\circ$ : 10 from Kutz Canyon, 12 from Gallegos Canyon, 2 from Chico  
441 Springs, 26 from De-Na-Zin, 9 from Kimbeto, 24 from Betonnie Tsosie, and 13 from Mesa de  
442 Cuba (fig. 5B; Table DR2).

443 The site-mean directions for each section were calculated according to their polarity (fig.  
444 5C) and also calculated at the formation level for each interpreted magnetic chron and for total  
445 reversed (chrons 29r, 28r, and 27r) and normal (chrons 29n and 28n) directions, generating mean  
446 Ojo Alamo Sandstone and lower Nacimiento Formation directions (fig. 5D). The site mean  
447 directions were then used to calculate VGP latitude and longitude for each magnetic chron and  
448 for all reversed and normal direction site means (table 2). The average Ojo Alamo Sandstone and  
449 lower Nacimiento Formation normal site-mean directions was oriented at  $349.9^\circ$ ,  $52.9^\circ$  ( $n = 66$ ,  
450  $\alpha_{95} = 3.9^\circ$ ) and the average reversed site-mean direction was oriented at  $164.9^\circ$ ,  $-51.1^\circ$  ( $n = 29$ ,  
451  $\alpha_{95} = 5.1^\circ$ ). The reversal test of McFadden and McElhinny (1990) returned a positive, class A  
452 reversal test, indicating that it is not possible to reject the hypothesis that the two distributions  
453 share a common mean direction at with 95% confidence (i.e., passed reversals test). These  
454 directions overlap within uncertainty with the expected early Paleocene (65.5 Ma) direction of  
455  $343.0^\circ$ ,  $49.7^\circ$  recalculated from Torsvik et al. (2008) and the mean characteristic remanent  
456 direction of  $342.1^\circ$ ,  $49.6^\circ$  ( $n = 20$ ,  $\alpha_{95} = 7.1^\circ$ ) for the Nacimiento Formation from Kodama  
457 (1997) (fig. 6D; table 2). The mean VGP latitude and longitude calculated from all normal  
458 polarity site means was  $81.4^\circ$  N,  $161.6^\circ$  E ( $N = 66$ ,  $A_{95} = 5.8^\circ$ ) and for all reversed polarity site  
459 means was  $76.9^\circ$  N,  $152.7^\circ$  E ( $N = 29$ ,  $A_{95} = 6.1$ ), which is very similar to the early Paleocene  
460 (65.5 Ma) expected paleopole of  $74.7^\circ$  N,  $190.6^\circ$  E recalculated from Torsvik et al. (2008) (table  
461 2).

462 Figure 2 shows the lithostratigraphy, local polarity stratigraphy, specimen and site-mean  
463 polarity, and specimen and site-mean VGP latitude for the Gallegos Canyon and De-Na-Zin  
464 measured sections. The reversal between local polarity zones A- and B+ is constrained to 3.0 m  
465 at Gallegos Canyon and 1.75 m at De-Na-Zin (fig. 2; table 3). The reversal between local

466 polarity zones B+ and C- is constrained to 1.5 m at Gallegos Canyon and 0.7m at De-Na-Zin  
467 (fig. 2; table 3). The reversal between local polarity zones C- and D+ at De-Na-Zin is constrained  
468 to 3.0 m (fig. 2; table 3).

469 Figure 3 shows the lithostratigraphy, local polarity stratigraphy, specimen and site-mean  
470 polarity, and specimen and site-mean VGP latitude for the Kimbeto and Betonnie Tsosie Wash  
471 measured sections. The reversal between local polarity zones A- and B+ is constrained to 1.5 m  
472 at both Kimbeto and Betonnie Tsosie Washes (fig. 3, table 3). The reversal between local  
473 polarity zones B+ and C- is constrained to 1.5 m at Kimbeto Wash and 0.55 m at Betonnie  
474 Tsosie Wash (fig. 3, table 3). The reversal between local polarity zones C- and D+ is constrained  
475 to 3.0 m at Kimbeto Wash and 4.5 m at Betonnie Tsosie Wash.

476 Figure 4 shows the lithostratigraphy, local polarity stratigraphy, specimen and site-mean  
477 polarity, and specimen and site-mean VGP latitude for the Kutz Canyon, Chico Springs, and  
478 Mesa de Cuba measured sections. The reversal in the Chico Springs section is constrained to 3.0  
479 m and is constrained in the Kutz Canyon section to 1.5 m (fig. 4; table 3). The reversal between  
480 local polarity zones A+ and B- at Mesa de Cuba is constrained to 1.5 m, the reversal between  
481 local polarity zones B- and C+ is constrained to 1.5 m, and the reversal between local polarity  
482 zones C+ and D- is constrained to 2.0 m (fig. 3; table 3). The reversal between local polarity  
483 zones C+ and D- is positioned at the base of a large channel complex presumed to represent an  
484 unconformity within the section; without the conformity, the reversal is constrained to 9.0 m due  
485 to being coarse-grained sandstones in this interval (fig. 4).

#### 486 **Magnetic Mineralogy**

487           The Triaxial IRM Lowrie tests for all samples indicated mixed mineralogy with the  
488 majority of IRM held by grains with coercivities of less than 100 mT (fig. 6). The large  
489 remanence drop between 100-200 °C in the low coercivity fraction suggests titanohematite as the  
490 most common magnetic mineral in all samples, which is similar to what was found in previous  
491 work in the San Juan Basin and in contemporaneous Laramide basins (Butler and Lindsay, 1985;  
492 Force et al., 2001; Sprain et al., 2016) (fig. 6). The secondary magnetic mineralogy of samples  
493 P13NZ07 and P16BT19 is likely hematite and/or maghemite due to the relatively high  
494 proportion of remanence held in the > 1T and 100-300 mT coercivities, loss of remanence at 700  
495 °C, and the red coloring (fig. 6A-B). For sample P13OJ1 (fig. 6C), the secondary magnetic  
496 mineralogy is possibly the iron sulfide mineral greigite becoming magnetite >400 °C due to a  
497 large drop in 0-300 mT coercivities between 200-350 °C and the presence of numerous sulfur  
498 bearing layers in this lithology (Roberts, 1995). In the remaining samples, remanence held from  
499 100 – 300 mT drops starting at 200 °C and is demagnetized by 400 °C, indicating the presence of  
500 intermediate titanohematite (Sprain et al., 2016), and/or demagnetized between 550 to 600 °C,  
501 which indicates the presence of magnetite (Dunlap and Özdemir, 1997).

502           At Chico Springs, Betonnie Tsosie Wash, and Mesa de Cuba, local polarity zones B-, C-  
503 and, B- respectively are dominated by samples with erratic demagnetization behavior and we  
504 were only able to calculate good directions for a small subset of samples. We interpret these  
505 intervals to be reversed, with a large subset of samples recording an overprint direction (figs. 2-  
506 3). We analyzed four samples from Mesa de Cuba that span the predominantly overprinted  
507 section corresponding with the top of magnetozone A+, B-, and the bottom of C+ (fig. 6E-H).  
508 Two lines with a reliable normal demagnetization trajectory were produced from sample  
509 P14MC02 (fig. 6E), sample P14MC04 had an incoherent demagnetization trajectory (fig. 6F), a



510 reversed great circle could be calculated from sample P14MC06 (fig. 6G), and a reliable normal  
511 site mean was calculated from sample P14MC12 (fig. 6H; Tables DR1-3). In all of these  
512 samples, the dominated magnetic carrier is titanohematite, which is frequently overprinted  
513 (Dunlop and Ozdemir, 1997; Sprain et al., 2016). In all samples, there is evidence that magnetite  
514 is a secondary magnetic mineral. Samples with a relatively high proportion of magnetite (i.e.,  
515 P14MC02, P14MC06, P14MC12; fig. 6E, G-H) produced reliable magnetic directions while  
516 samples with relatively little magnetite (P14MC04, fig. 6F) produced incoherent directions.  
517 Thus, we hypothesize that while titanohematite is the most common magnetic mineral in these  
518 Bettonie Tsosie and Mesa de Cuba samples, magnetite is the characteristic remanent  
519 magnetization carrier, and that in the overprinted interval, samples with relatively small  
520 proportions of magnetite were either overprinted or had erratic demagnetization behavior.

#### 521 **$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ Geochronology**

522 The detrital sanidine (DS) results are presented on age probability plots arranged in  
523 stratigraphic order and separated into their three measured sections (fig. 8). Also, we include the  
524 probability plot of sanidine from a minimally reworked volcanic ash (sample SJ-Ash-2) from the  
525 Da-Na-Zin section that is published in Cather et al. (2019) for comparison with the DS spectra.  
526 Sample A1070606 from Mesa de Cuba overall has individual crystal ages range between  
527 Paleocene and Precambrian with many grains being late Cretaceous in age. As mentioned, grains  
528 older than ~500 Ma are likely non-sanidine whereas grains younger than 300 Ma are likely  
529 sanidine. Age spectrum analyses of single grains (Tables DR5-7) are generally flat and thus yield  
530 plateau ages equal to total gas ages, supporting the validity of the total fusion ages.

531 Two samples (SJ-SS5 and CM-SS4) from the Ojo Alamo Sandstone (one from De-Na-  
532 Zin and one from Mesa de Cuba) yield mostly Upper Cretaceous or older grains (fig. 8). A single

533 grain from CM-SS4 is  $65.69 \pm 0.09$  Ma and represents the only Paleocene DS grain recovered  
534 from Ojo Alamo samples. For the Nacimiento Formation, a minor component of Paleocene  
535 grains were recovered from many of the samples and provide useful maximum deposition ages  
536 (MDA) (fig. 8). Generally, the mode of youngest Paleocene grains consists of less than five  
537 grains, however the Paleocene mode from A16-BTW-MH2 has 13 grains and CM-SS2 has  
538 seven. Three of the five samples from Betonnie Tsosie did not yield Paleocene grains, but rather  
539 have a substantial component around 68 Ma, as well as many older late Upper Cretaceous DS  
540 grains. In a single instance, a DS grain appears anomalously young based on other information  
541 that will be discussed below. This grain comes from sample A16-BTW-MH5 and yields an  
542 apparent age of  $64.48 \pm 0.16$  Ma and although imprecise it is statistically younger than the  
543 preferred MDA of  $65.17 \pm 0.06$  Ma defined by three grains (fig. 8, Appendix 5).

544 Sample A1070606 is a recollection of what appears to be significantly reworked ash from  
545 Mesa de Cuba. Fassett et al. (2010) first reported an age of  $64.5 \pm 0.2$  ( $1\sigma$ ) Ma for this unit and  
546 new analysis yields a more precise age of  $64.61 \pm 0.06$  Ma (Fig. 7). CM-Ash-1 was collected at  
547 the same stratigraphic level as A1070606, but about 1 km to the west and analysis did not yield  
548 any Paleocene grains perhaps emphasizing the reworked nature of the unit. These “ash” samples  
549 have a significant component of microcline and contrasts for SJ-SS-2 ash that is dominated by  
550 sanidine with very minor inherited microcline.

### 551 **Relationship of Polarity Stratigraphy to GPTS**

552 Based on lithostratigraphic correlations between the sections, the similarity of the  
553 patterns and stratigraphic position of reversal boundaries, and the ash and detrital dates, we  
554 correlated the A-, B+, C- local polarity zones from Gallegos Canyon, De-Na-Zin, Kimbeto  
555 Wash, and Betonnie Tsosie Wash with C29r-28r and local polarity zone D+ from De-Na-Zin,

556 Kimbeto Wash, and Betonnie Tsosie Wash with C28n. These correlations are the same as the  
557 interpretations from Butler and Lindsay (1985) (figs. 2-3). Using the polarity interpretations from  
558 Kutz Canyon of Leslie et al. (2018b) and Taylor and Butler (1980), which correlates to the top of  
559 our section, we correlated the local polarity zones A+ and B- from Kutz Canyon and Chico  
560 Springs to C28n-27r (fig. 4).

561 No polarity stratigraphy from Mesa de Cuba has previously been published. Our section  
562 contains A+, B-, C+, and D- magnetozones. Reworked ash sample A1070606 from an ash layer  
563 at 65 m in the section yields an  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  age of  $64.61 \pm 0.06$  Ma. This sample is within  
564 magnetozone C+, which indicates correlation with C28n (fig. 3). Sample CM-SS3 is from ~3 m  
565 in the section and within magnetozone A+. The detrital sanidine MDA is  $65.67 \pm 0.08$  Ma, and  
566 because there is a magnetically reversed interval between A1070606 and CM-SS3 we can  
567 confirm that the MDA of this sample places this interval within C29n. Thus, we correlate  
568 magnetozones A+, B-, C+, and D- at Mesa de Cuba to C29n-27r (fig. 3).

### 569 **Sediment Accumulation Rates and Measured Section Duration**

570 Sedimentation accumulation rates we calculated for C29n from De-Na-Zin ( $43.3 +6.5/-$   
571  $5.4$  m/Myr) and Betonnie Tsosie Wash ( $41.6 +7.9/-6.5$  m/Myr) were nearly identical and overlap  
572 within uncertainty (table 4). The C29n sediment accumulation rates from Gallegos Canyon ( $31.6$   
573  $+6.8/-5.6$  m/Myr) and Kimbeto Wash ( $27.7 +7.5/-6.9$  m/Myr) overlap within uncertainty of each  
574 other and were approximately half the average sedimentation rate from De-Na-Zin and Betonnie  
575 Tsosie (fig. 2; table 4). The Gallegos Canyon section has an interval of significant channelization  
576 ~27 m above the Ojo Alamo Sandstone-Nacimiento contact. Additionally, the base of C29n at  
577 Kimbeto Wash occurs in a channelized sandstone complex (fig. 2). Based on the sedimentology  
578 and sediment accumulation rates, we interpret unconformities to occur in Gallegos Canyon at the

579 channelized interval (fig. 2) and in the Kimbeto Wash section at the channelized sandstone  
580 complex (fig. 3). Applying the C29n sedimentation rate of 43.3 m/Myr (+6.5/-5.4 m/Myr) from  
581 De-Na-Zin to the Gallegos Canyon section, we estimate that the duration of C29n sedimentation  
582 at Gallegos Canyon is 0.53 Myr (+0.14/-0.12 Myr), indicating an unconformity duration of 0.20  
583 Myr. We estimate that the unconformity occurs from 65.39 – 65.19 Ma (fig. 8, table 5). When  
584 the C29n sedimentation rate from Betonnie Tsosie Wash of 41.6 m/Myr (+7.9/-6.5 m/Myr) is  
585 applied to the Kimbeto Wash section, the duration of C29n sedimentation is estimated at 0.51  
586 Myr (+0.14/-0.11 Myr), indicating an estimated unconformity duration of 0.22 Myr between  
587 65.69 – 65.47 Ma (fig. 8; table 5).

588 Sedimentation accumulation rates we calculated for C28r from De-Na-Zin (92.3 +16.9/-  
589 13.9 m/Myr), Kimbeto Wash (101.0 +22.2/-18.3 m/Myr), Betonnie Tsosie Wash (138.8 +14.8/-  
590 21.6 m/Myr), and Mesa de Cuba (92.8 +15.6/-12.8 m/Myr) were similar to each other and  
591 roughly double the sedimentation rates from C29n (table 4). Additionally, sedimentation rates  
592 are highest in Betonnie Tsosie Wash near the basin center where thick channel sandstone are  
593 present. The only measured section which constrains the lower and upper reversals of C28n is at  
594 Mesa de Cuba (fig. 3). The calculated C28n mean sediment accumulation rate using the chron  
595 thickness from Mesa de Cuba was 39.6 m/Myr (+12.8/-10.5 m/Myr), which is significantly lower  
596 than the C28r sedimentation rates from the same section. The basal contact between  
597 magnetozone C+ and D- at Mesa de Cuba occurs at the base of a large channel sandstone  
598 complex that has an erosive basal contact (fig. 3). Based on the erosive nature of the basal  
599 contact of the sandstone channel complex and the very low sediment accumulation rates, we  
600 infer the presence of an unconformity within C28n at Mesa de Cuba (table 4). We placed the  
601 unconformity at the base of a large channel complex, which erosively overlies the last normal

602 polarity points in C28n (fig. 3). When the C28r sedimentation rate from Mesa de Cuba is applied  
603 to the C28n section thickness, the C28n duration at Mesa de Cuba is estimated to be 0.420 Myr  
604 (+0.07/-0.06 Myr) (table 5).

605 The C27r sedimentation accumulation rate we calculated from Kutz Canyon is 121.8  
606 m/Myr (+24.8/-18.8 m/Myr), similar to the estimated C27r sediment accumulation rates from  
607 Taylor (1977) and nearly identical to those calculated by Leslie et al. (2018b) for C27n from the  
608 same location (table 4). Since the upper most sample in the Kutz Canyon measured section  
609 presented in this paper (fig. 3) is the lower most sample in the Kutz Canyon section from Leslie  
610 et al. (2018b), we could estimate a total thickness for C27r.

611 Based on its lithology and polarity, the upper 3.0 m of the Mesa de Cuba measured  
612 section can be correlated with the “lower black mudstone” from Leslie et al. (2018b). Using the  
613 age estimate of 62.82 Ma for the “lower black mudstone” from Torreon West in Leslie et al.  
614 (2018b) for the top of the Mesa de Cuba section and the C27r sediment accumulation rate from  
615 Kutz Canyon, the duration of C27r at Mesa de Cuba is estimated to be 0.48 Myr (+0.09/-0.08  
616 Myr) and the base of the C27r portion of the section is estimated to be 63.30 Ma (fig. 3, 8; table  
617 5). Thus, we estimate the total unconformity duration between C28n and C27r at Mesa de Cuba  
618 to be 0.95 Myr from 64.25 – 63.30 Ma (fig. 8; table 5).

619 The Gallegos Canyon section spans 66.05 Ma (+0.11/-0.08 Myr) to 64.71 Ma (+0.07/-  
620 0.08 Myr) for a total section duration of 1.35 Myr (+0.19/-0.21 Myr) (fig. 8; table 5). The De-  
621 Na-Zin section spans 66.15 Ma (+0.12/-0.15 Myr) to 64.26 Ma (+0.18/-0.20 Myr) for a total  
622 section duration of 1.89 Myr (+0.32/-0.30 Myr) (fig. 8, table 5). The Betonnie Tsosie Wash  
623 section spans 65.83 Ma (+0.10/-0.12 Myr) to 64.43 Ma (+0.15/-0.16 Myr) for a total section  
624 duration of 1.39 Myr (+0.26/-0.24 Myr) (fig. 8; table 5). The duration of the Kimbeto Wash

625 section is 65.82 Ma (+0.06/-0.09 Myr) to 64.45 Ma (+0.15/-0.18 Myr) for a total section duration  
626 of 1.37 Myr (+0.22/-0.24 Myr) (fig. 8; table 5). The Kutz Canyon section spans 63.82 Ma  
627 (+0.20/-0.20 Myr) to 62.76 Ma (+0.26/-0.28) for a total section duration of 1.06 Myr (+0.48/-  
628 0.46 Myr) (fig. 8; table 5). The Chico Springs section spans 63.51 Ma (+0.15/-0.15) to 63.03 Ma  
629 (+0.22/-0.23 Myr) for a total section duration of 0.48 Myr (+0.39/-0.37 Myr) (fig. 8; table 5).  
630 The Mesa de Cuba section spans 65.552 Ma (+0.108/-0.082 Myr) to 62.820 Ma (+0.175/-0.143  
631 Myr) for a total section duration of 2.732 Myr (+0.251/-0.257 Myr) (fig. 8; table 5).

## 632 **DISCUSSION**

### 633 **San Juan Basin Evolution**

#### 634 *Age and depositional model of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone*

635 The precise age of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone has been contentious, with disagreements about the  
636 duration of the underlying unconformity with the Naashoibito Member and how far into the  
637 lower Paleocene the Ojo Alamo Sandstone extends (Sullivan and Lucas, 2003; Sullivan et al.,  
638 2005; Williamson et al., 2008; for Fassett, 2009). Previous palynostratigraphy placed the Ojo  
639 Alamo Sandstone in the lower Paleocene palynostratigraphic zones P1 or P2 (Anderson, 1959;  
640 Williamson et al., 2008) and analyses of the megaf flora also suggests an early Paleocene age  
641 (Flynn and Peppe, in press). The Ojo Alamo Sandstone is an average of 12 m thick and varies  
642 from 5 – 17 m in De-Na-Zin. Using the average thickness for the Ojo Alamo and the C29n  
643 sediment accumulation rates of the overlying Nacimiento Formation, we estimate that the base of  
644 the Ojo Alamo is 66.15 Ma (+0.012/-0.15 Myr), which is approximately 150 Kyr before the  
645 K/Pg boundary (Renne et al., 2013). There are two important caveats to this age estimate: first  
646 there are dramatic sedimentological differences between the Ojo Alamo and the Nacimiento

647 Formation, and sediment accumulation rates were likely much higher during deposition of the  
648 Ojo Alamo Sandstone, which is a massive multi-stored channel complex (for example, Baltz et  
649 al., 1966; Cather, 2004; Chapin and Cather, 1983; Flynn and Peppe, in press), than the  
650 Nacimiento Formation, which is comprised of paleosols, floodplain, overbank, back swamp, and  
651 ponded deposits, and channels of varying size and dimensions (for example Cather et al., in  
652 press; Davis et al., 2006; Williamson, 1996). Second, there is an erosive basal contact between  
653 the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Naashoibito Member, indicating that there is an unconformity  
654 between the Paleocene Ojo Alamo Sandstone and the Cretaceous Naashoibito. Thus, this method  
655 almost certainly overestimates the duration of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and is a maximum  
656 depositional age. The onset of deposition of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone probably post-dates the  
657 K/Pg boundary and the formation likely samples much of the lower Paleocene C29r.

658         When compared across the basin, the polarity stratigraphy, sediment accumulation rates,  
659 and a detrital sanidine date from the Ojo Alamo Sandstone all indicate that the Ojo Alamo-  
660 Nacimiento formational contact is time transgressive from northwest to southeast (fig. 2-4). The  
661 Ojo Alamo Sandstone-Nacimiento Formation contact is in C29r in Gallegos Canyon, De-Na-Zin,  
662 and Betonnie Tsosie Wash, but in C29n at Mesa de Cuba. The C29n polarity interpretation for  
663 Mesa de Cuba is supported by a detrital sanidine  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  date of 65.68 Ma  $\pm$  0.09 Myr just  
664 below the Ojo Alamo-Nacimiento formational contact near Mesa de Cuba (fig. 8). Based on  
665 these polarity interpretations and local sediment accumulation rates for C29n of the Nacimiento  
666 Formation, we constrain the age of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone-Nacimiento Formation contact at  
667 Gallegos Canyon to 66.02 Ma (+0.05/-0.04 Myr), 65.87 Ma (+0.03/-0.02 Myr) at De-Na-Zin,  
668 65.83 Ma (+0.03/-0.02 Myr) at Betonnie Tsosie Wash, and 65.63 Ma (+0.12/-0.11 Myr) at Mesa  
669 de Cuba (fig. 2-4). Using these age constraints for the formational contact, we interpret

670 deposition of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone to have occurred during the first ~350 Kyr of the lower  
671 Paleocene in the northern parts of the basin and within the first ~500 Kyr of the lower Paleocene  
672 in the southern parts of the basin.

673         Based on the time transgressive nature of the contact and the sedimentology of the unit,  
674 we interpret the Ojo Alamo Sandstone to represent the progradational proximal deposits of a  
675 distributed fluvial system (DFS) (Weissmann et al., 2013; Hobbs, 2016) and the basal  
676 Nacimiento Formation to represent the distal deposits of a later DFS. Thus, we suggest that the  
677 time transgressive nature of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone-Nacimiento Formation contact is the  
678 result of basin infilling in the early Paleocene via the progradation of a large DFS.

#### 679 *Age and depositional model of the lower Nacimiento Formation*

680         The calculated sediment accumulation rates for C29n were relatively low suggesting limited  
681 available accommodation space in the SJB prior to and during C29n (table 4). Interestingly,  
682 sediment accumulation rates roughly double in C28r and are not equal across the basin with  
683 sedimentation rates highest in Betonnie Tsosie Wash and lowest in De-Na-Zin and Mesa de  
684 Cuba on the basin margin suggesting creation of additional accommodation near the C29n-C28r  
685 boundary and remain >90 m/Myr for at least the succeeding ~2.75 Myr (e.g., through chron 27n;  
686 table 4; this study and Leslie et al., 2018b). We interpret this increase in sedimentation rates to  
687 have been caused by a significant increase in accommodation created by increased subsidence  
688 rates in C28r through C27n.

689         Cather (2004) hypothesized a three-phase subsidence model for the Upper Cretaceous –  
690 Eocene deposits of the San Juan Basin. In particular, Cather (2004) argued for a phase of  
691 subsidence from ~74-67 Ma that allowed for the deposition of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and



692 Nacimiento Formations. Based on our age constraints for the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and  
693 Nacimiento Formation, we modify Cather's (2004) subsidence model. We propose that the initial  
694 onset of subsidence and creation of accommodation space in the Maastrichtian allowed the  
695 deposition of the Maastrichtian Naashoibito Member of the Kirtland/Fruitland Formations, the  
696 Ojo Alamo Sandstone, and the lower (C29n) portion of the Nacimiento Formation. Later, in  
697 C28r, a second pulse of increased subsidence created accommodation space allowing the  
698 deposition of the upper portion of the Nacimiento Formation before subsidence slowed in the  
699 middle Paleocene, ultimately creating the unconformity between the Nacimiento Formation and  
700 the overlying San Jose Formation. The third phase of subsidence then occurred at some point in  
701 the late Paleocene to early Eocene, allowing the deposition of the Eocene San Jose Formation.  
702 Determining the age of the third phase of subsidence will require precise dating of both the  
703 uppermost Escavada Member of the Nacimiento Formation and the San Jose Formation, which  
704 should be the focus of future work in the San Juan Basin.

705         Using this refined model of basin subsidence, we can make interpretations about the  
706 drivers of deposition of the Nacimiento Formation. The lower Nacimiento Formation, correlated  
707 with C29n, is dominated by poorly developed paleosols and pond deposits (Davis et al., 2016).  
708 Channels in this interval tend to be small and/or isolated, with an overall increase in  
709 channelization towards the end of C29n (fig. 2-4). In C28r and C28n, channelization becomes  
710 more common and paleosols become better developed (fig. 2-4). The approximate doubling of  
711 sedimentation rates in C28r agrees with the basin subsidence model by Cather et al. (2019)  
712 which found an increase in basin subsidence during the early Torrejonian and the development of  
713 the large Tsosie paleoriver during this time interval. The high degree of channelization during  
714 C28r, especially in the Kimbeto and Betonnie Tsosie Wash sections, likely reflect the

715 emplacement of the Tsoosie paleoriver (Cather et al., 2019). Towards the top of C28n in Kutz  
716 Canyon, large sheet sands become common (fig. 4). In C27r, grain size is the greatest with a high  
717 amount of sheet sands near the basin center at Kutz Canyon, while smaller sheet sands and  
718 paleosols are present at the basin margin at Mesa de Cuba (fig. 4). The presence of large-scale  
719 sheet sands in C27r suggest an accommodation minimum resulting in unconfined flow when the  
720 sediment transport capacity of the channel was exceeded, which is consistent with the  
721 conclusions of Leslie et al. (2018b) for the upper Nacimiento Formation. We hypothesize that the  
722 Nacimiento Formation represents a progradational DFS, overlying the previous Maastrichtian-  
723 earliest Paleocene DFS capped by the Ojo Alamo Sandstone, with the C29n deposits  
724 representing the distal portion of the DFS and the C27r deposits representing the more proximal  
725 part (e.g., Trendell et al., 2013; Weissmann et al., 2013). However, the sedimentological and  
726 mineralogical data needed to test this hypothesis fully is beyond the scope of this paper and  
727 further research is needed.

### 728 **Age Constraints on San Juan Basin Mammalian Biozones**

729 We constrained the age of Puercan and earliest Torrejonian vertebrate fossil horizons of  
730 the Nacimiento Formation within our measured sections (table 1) using our estimated sediment  
731 accumulation rates (table 4). Using our magnetostratigraphic correlations among sections and the  
732 age constraints for each fossil locality, we were able to calculate the ages and durations of the  
733 Nacimiento Formation mammalian biostratigraphic zones (Pc1, Pc2, Tj1-Tj5) (fig. 9, table 1).  
734 These results indicate that strata in which the Pc1 and Pc2 faunas have been found are restricted  
735 to C29n, Tj1 faunas occur only in C28n, and the Tj2 and Tj3 faunas in C27r (fig. 8, table 1).  
736 Combining our results with the work of Leslie et al. (2018b), which determined that Tj4 and Tj5  
737 faunas occurred within C27r and the Tj6 faunas occurred within C27n, corroborates previous

738 interpretations of the early Paleocene NALMA biochronologic interval zones for the SJB (e.g.,  
739 Lofgren et al., 2004). Importantly it also provides more precise age constraints for the first and  
740 last occurrences and durations of the Puercan and Torrejonian equivalent biozones in the SJB.  
741 This has global implications for understanding mammal evolution after the end-Cretaceous mass  
742 extinction.

743         Because both Pc1 and Pc2 faunas (i.e., Pu2 and Pu3) in the SJB occur within C29n, the  
744 duration of each biozone was previously uncertain (Williamson and Lucas, 1992; Williamson,  
745 1996). We constrained Pc1 fossil horizons from De-Na-Zin, Kimbeto Wash, and Betonnie Tsosie  
746 Wash to 65.68 – 65.34 Ma (+0.04/-0.01 Myr) for a total duration of  $340 \pm 50$  Kyr (fig. 9, table  
747 1). This demonstrates that, at maximum, the Pc1 mammals occurred within 380 kyr of the K-Pg  
748 boundary. We were also able to constrain the Pc2 fossil horizons from Gallegos Canyon and De-  
749 Na-Zin to 65.27 – 65.03 Ma (+0.03/-0.01 myr) for a total duration of  $240 \pm 40$  Kyr (fig. 9, table  
750 1). The only section where Pc1 and Pc2 are found in superposition is in De-Na-Zin (fig. 9, table  
751 1). The duration in De-Na-Zin between strata with Pc1 and Pc2 faunas was  $360 \pm 70$  Kyr (table  
752 1). However, the Pc1 fossil site in De-Na-Zin is the oldest horizon in the basin and if the full  
753 duration of Pc1 fossil-bearing interval is used, the gap between Pc1 and Pc2 fossil horizons was  
754  $70 \pm 90$  Kyr (fig. 9, table 1).

755         We were able to constrain the Tj1 fossil-bearing interval in the SJB to C28n from 64.66 –  
756 63.76 Ma (+0.07/-0.09 Myr) for a total duration of 900 Kyr (+70/-90 Kyr) (fig. 9, table 1).  
757 Interestingly, fossil horizon 5 (locality AMNH 230; Simpson, 1969) at Mesa de Cuba (table 1),  
758 contains diagnostic Tj1 mammals, but unfortunately the stratigraphic position of the locality is  
759 uncertain. Using measurements and descriptions from Simpson (1959) for the locality (AMNH  
760 230), we estimate that it most likely occurs between 40 and 50 m in our Mesa de Cuba section,

761 which would suggest an age of 64.80-6474 Ma (+0.04/-0.02 Myr) making the base of  
762 Tj1 considerably older. However, because we were unable to relocate the site, it was not used to  
763 determine either SJB mammal biozone or biochronologic interval zone boundaries (fig. 9, table  
764 1). Even without the Simpson (1959) site, these results indicate that the base of the Tj1 biozone  
765 is considerably older than previously suggested (e.g., Williamson, 1996; Lofgren et al., 2004)  
766 and that the ‘barren interval’ is much shorter (Williamson, 1996). Using our revised chronology,  
767 the interval between Pc2 and Tj1 faunas (i.e., the “barren interval”) is 380 kyr (+120/-110 kyr)  
768 (fig. 9, table 1).

769 Combining our magnetostratigraphy with that of Leslie et al. (2018b) allowed us to  
770 determine that SJB biozones Tj2-5, which are equivalent to the To2 biochron of Lofgren et al.  
771 (2004), occurred within C27r from 63.48 – 62.59 Ma (+0.03/-0.04 Myr) for a total duration of  
772 890 kyr (+30/-40 kyr) (fig. 9, table 1). In Kutz Canyon, To1 and To2 faunas occur in  
773 superposition, and this is the type area for the NALMA zone interval change (Lofgren et al.,  
774 2004). Using the uppermost Tj1 horizon (vertebrate horizon 8; table 1) and the lowermost Tj2  
775 horizon (vertebrate horizon 9; table 1), the transition between Tj1 and Tj2 faunas occurred over  
776 280 Kyr (+80/-90 Kyr) (table 1, fig. 9).

### 777 ***Regional NALMA interval zone chronology comparison***

778 The revised age model for SJB faunal zones has important implications for regional  
779 patterns of first and last occurrences of the biochronologic zones of Lofgren et al. (2004) across  
780 North America. It should be noted, though, that these biochrons are based on the first  
781 occurrences of consecutive taxa (interval zones) and that by definition each zone lasts until the  
782 first occurrence of the next younger index taxon. For this reason, the zones extend through  
783 unfossiliferous intervals in the SJB, which adds uncertainty about the placement of upper and

784 lower boundaries. The magnitude of this uncertainty is dependent on the duration of the  
785 unfossiliferous interval (fig. 9). We proceed by describing where the placement of zone  
786 boundaries lie chronostratigraphically based solely on the occurrences of fossils.

787         Our results indicate that biochrons Pu2 and Pu3 occur within C29n in the San Juan Basin,  
788 which is consistent with interpretations from the Williston Basin (LeCain et al., 2014; Sprain et  
789 al., 2015, 2018), Crazy Mountain Basin (Buckley, 2018), the Wasatch Plateau (Tomida and  
790 Butler, 1980) and the Denver Basin (Eberle, 2003; Hicks et al., 2003) (fig. 10). Previous work  
791 from the Williston Basin suggests that Pu2 first occurred in upper C29r (Peppe et al., 2009) in  
792 the Northern Great Plains, which indicates that the first occurrence of Pu2 taxa was diachronous  
793 across North America (fig. 10), suggesting differential regional responses to the K-Pg extinction,  
794 and possible time transgressive immigration of mammalian taxa. However, it is difficult to test  
795 this hypothesis because no *in situ* vertebrate remains have been found in strata correlative to  
796 C29r in the SJB. The Ojo Alamo Sandstone, which has yet to yield any mammal fossils,  
797 comprises most of the C29r strata in the basin and the lowermost occurrence of Pu2 occurs  
798 within 2 m of the C29r-C29n boundary (figs. 2, 9, 10). Given the dramatic change in depositional  
799 environments between the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and the Nacimiento Formation, the lack of  
800 mammals in C29r in the San Juan Basin is probably the result of taphonomic constraints. Thus, it  
801 is possible that taphonomy could explain the restriction of Pu2 taxa to C29n in the SJB, and that  
802 the first appearance of Pu2 taxa may not have been regionally diachronous (fig. 10).

803         Our results indicate that in the SJB the duration between Pu2 and Pu3 fossil localities was  
804 very short. Furthermore, age constraints for Pu1 localities from other basins (e.g., Eberle, 2003;  
805 Hicks et al., 2003; Peppe et al., 2009; Sprain et al., 2015, 2018) combined with either the  
806 maximum age of Pu2 fossil sites (i.e., in C29r, Peppe et al., 2009), or the age of Pu2 sites from

807 the SJB (65.68 Ma), also imply a rapid turnover between Pu1 and Pu2 faunas (fig. 9). Both the  
808 Pu1-Pu2 and the Pu2-Pu3 turnovers are characterized by the extinction of important zone taxa  
809 (e.g., Lofgren et al., 2004). The short durations between Pu1-Pu2 and Pu2-Pu3 fossil sites  
810 suggest that the Puercan is characterized by the relatively rapid turnover of earliest Paleocene  
811 “disaster taxa” to more diverse recovery faunas (Smith et al., 2018).

812         The Puercan-Torrejonian boundary has been interpreted to have been nearly synchronous  
813 across North America, occurring in either late C28r or early C28n (Lofgren et al., 2004).  
814 However, To1 mammals occur in upper C29n in the Williston Basin of North Dakota and  
815 Montana (Peppe et al., 2009; Sprain et al., 2018) and the Crazy Mountain Basin of Montana  
816 (Buckley, 2018), in C28r from the Wasatch Plateau of Utah (Tomida and Butler, 1980), and from  
817 the base of C28n in the San Juan Basin (this study) (fig. 10). This suggests that the Puercan-  
818 Torrejonian boundary may be diachronous across North America, with the boundary being older  
819 in the north and younger in the south (fig. 10). Interestingly, in the SJB, the boundary between  
820 Pu3 and To1 faunas coincides with a major turnover in the mammalian fauna hypothesized to be  
821 caused by the immigration of northern taxa into the SJB from further north (Williamson, 1996)  
822 consistent with our finding of continent-wide diachronicity.

823         The To1, To2, and To3 faunas are constrained to C28r, C27r, and C27n in the SJB, and  
824 as documented here, and by Leslie et al. (2018b), the turnover between faunas in the SJB is  
825 rapid. However, much like in the Puercan, it is difficult to determine if the patterns of faunal  
826 turnover documented in the SJB during the Torrejonian are representative of local or regional  
827 phenomena. This is because the SJB is the only basin in which faunas representing the entire  
828 Torrejonian occur in superposition (fig. 10), and even though there are collections of early and  
829 late Torrejonian mammals from outside the SJB (Butler et al., 1987; Leslie et al., 2018a;

830 Buckley, 2018), these faunas typically occur in isolation and are difficult to correlate precisely to  
831 the SJB record. Furthermore, in some cases, faunas have been recognized as being Torrejonian  
832 based on the occurrence of typical Torrejonian taxa, but not the diagnostic index species for the  
833 biochrons (for example, Hunter and Hartman, 2003). Thus, it is possible that these apparently  
834 older Torrejonian faunas may not be Torrejonian, and instead document the transition between  
835 the Puercan and Torrejonian. Nonetheless, our data combined with previously published work  
836 suggests that the timing of the Puercan-Torrejonian is unlikely to be time equivalent across North  
837 America and should be the focus of future work. Finally, given the occurrence of almost the  
838 entirety of the Puercan and Torrejonian in superposition at multiple sites, our work highlights the  
839 importance of the SJB for understanding early Paleocene mammalian evolution following the K-  
840 Pg mass extinction.

## 841 **CONCLUSIONS**

842 We correlate the lower Paleocene Ojo Alamo Sandstone and lower Nacimiento  
843 Formation to magnetic chrons C29r-C27r of the GTPS, based on seven measured sections across  
844 the SJB. This includes the first magnetostratigraphy for the type section of the Nacimiento  
845 Formation at Mesa de Cuba. We identified titanohematite as the most common magnetic  
846 mineral, but infer that (titano)magnetite, hematite, and possibly greigite are the characteristic  
847 remanence carriers. Our results indicate relatively low sediment accumulation rates in C29r and  
848 C29n and a roughly doubling of sedimentation rates in C28r, which remained consistently high  
849 through C27r and were similar to sedimentation rates reported by Leslie and others (2018a) for  
850 C27n. We amend the SJB basin evolution hypothesis from Cather (2004), and instead  
851 hypothesize that the onset of the middle phase of relatively slow subsidence started in the  
852 Maastrichtian. This phase allowed for deposition of the Naashoibito Member, Ojo Alamo

853 Sandstone, and the C29n portion of the Nacimiento Formation. This was followed by a pulse of  
854 high subsidence and likely development of the Tsosie paleo-river starting in C28r (Cather and  
855 others, 2019) allowing for the deposition of the remainder of the Nacimiento Formation. We find  
856 that the contact between the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Nacimiento Formation is time  
857 transgressive, with the contact occurring in C29r in the northwest part of the basin (Gallegos  
858 Canyon, De-Na-Zin, Kimbeto Wash, and Betonnie Tsosie Wash) and in C29n in the southeast  
859 part of the basin (Mesa de Cuba). This time transgressive nature of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone  
860 indicates progradation from northwest to southeast during the early Paleocene. These results are  
861 consistent with the interpretation that the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and the Nacimiento Formation  
862 represent the proximal and distal deposits of two different distributive fluvial systems,  
863 respectively.

864 Our revised age model constrains the intervals in which Pu2 faunas occur in the SJB to  
865 65.68 – 65.34 Ma (+0.04/-0.01 Myr), Pu3 faunas to 65.27 – 65.03 Ma (+0.03/-0.01 Myr), To1  
866 faunas to 64.66 – 63.76 Ma (+0.07/-0.12 Myr), and the To2 faunas to 63.48 – 62.59 Ma (+0.03/-  
867 0.04 Myr). Our results indicate that Pu2 and Pu3 faunas are separated by ~70 Kyr and that Pu3  
868 and To1 faunas in the SJB are separated by ~370 Kyr (+40 Kyr/-30Kyr). Our revised age model  
869 for the SJB suggests that the first appearance of To1 mammals was diachronous across North  
870 America, with the To1 mammals first appearing in the north (Montana and North Dakota) during  
871 C29n, then the middle latitudes (Utah) in C28r, and lastly in southern North America (New  
872 Mexico) in C28n. These findings have broad implications for understanding the tempo of  
873 mammal evolution after the end-Cretaceous extinction and suggest that a complex interplay of *in*  
874 *situ* rapid diversification, immigration, climatic changes, and regional tectonics produced rapid



875 turnover in some of the first, and best-known, communities dominated by placental mammals  
876 after the dinosaur extinction.

## 877 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

878 This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (EAR-132552 to DP, EAR  
879 1325544 and DEB 1654952 to TEW and SLB, EAR 1325612 to RS), the American Chemical  
880 Society Petroleum Research Fund (PRF#52822-DN18 to DP), The US Bureau of Land  
881 Management (TEW and SLB), the Geological Society of America (AF), Dallas Paleontological  
882 Society (AF), and Baylor University Department of Geosciences' Dixon Fund (AF). SLB's work  
883 on mammal evolution is supported by a European Research Council Starting Grant (ERC StG  
884 2017, 756226, PalM). We thank H. Anderson, J. Geng, J. Milligan, P. Wright, A. Baumgartner,  
885 D. Futcher, U. Denetclaw, R. Williamson, T. Williamson, and B. Abbuhl for their assistance in  
886 section measuring, sample collection, and sample preparation, and G. Brown and R. Skolnick for  
887 fossil preparation at the University of Nebraska State Museum. We would also like to thank S.  
888 Atchley, S. Dworkin, B. Hockaday, and J. White for their helpful comments on previous versions  
889 of this manuscript, and S. Shelley for her collaborative work on San Juan Basin mammals.

## 890 **REFERENCES**

891 Anderson, R.Y., 1959, Cretaceous-Tertiary palynology, eastern side of the San Juan Basin, New  
892 Mexico [Ph.D. Dissertation]: Stanford University, 166 p.

893 Archibald, J.D., Clemens, W.A., Gingerich, P.D., Krause, D.W., and Lindsay, E.H., 1987, First  
894 North American land mammal ages of the Cenozoic Era, *in* Woodburne, M.O. ed.,  
895 Cenozoic Mammals of North America, Berkley, University of California Press, p. 24–76.

896 Baltz, E.H., Ash, S.R., and Anderson, R.Y., 1966, History of nomenclature and stratigraphy of  
897 rocks adjacent to the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary, western San Juan Basin, New  
898 Mexico: United States Geological Survey Professional Paper 524-D, p. 1–23.

899 Buckley, G.A., 2018, Magnetostratigraphy of Upper Cretaceous (Lancian) to Middle Paleocene  
900 (Tiffanian) strata in the northeastern Crazy Mountains Basin, Montana, U.S.A.: Rocky  
901 Mountain Geology, v. 53, p. 59–74, doi:10.24872/rmgjournal.53.2.59.

902 Butler, R.F., Krause, D.W., and Gingerich, P.D., 1987, Magnetic Polarity Stratigraphy and  
903 Biostratigraphy of Middle-Late Paleocene Continental Deposits of South-Central  
904 Montana: The Journal of Geology, v. 95, p. 647–657.

905 Butler, R.F., and Lindsay, E.H., 1985, Mineralogy of Magnetic Minerals and Revised Magnetic  
906 Polarity Stratigraphy of Continental Sediments, San Juan Basin, New Mexico: The  
907 Journal of Geology, v. 93, p. 535–554, doi:10.1086/628979.

908 Butler, R.F., Lindsay, E.H., Jacobs, L.L., and Johnson, N.M., 1977, Magnetostratigraphy of the  
909 Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in the San Juan Basin, New Mexico: Nature, v. 267, p.  
910 318–323.

911 Butler, R.F., and Taylor, L.H., 1978, A middle Paleocene paleomagnetic pole from the  
912 Nacimiento Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico: Geology, v. 6, p. 495–498.

913 Cather, S.M., 2004, The Laramide orogeny in central and northern New Mexico and southern  
914 Colorado, *in* Mack, G.H. and Giles, K.A. eds., The Geology of New Mexico - A  
915 Geologic History, New Mexico Geological Society Special Publication, v. 11, p. 203–  
916 248.

917 Cather, S.M., Heizler, M.T., and Williamson, T.E., 2019, Laramide fluvial evolution of the San  
918 Juan Basin, New Mexico and Colorado: Paleocurrent and detrital-sandine age constraints  
919 from the Paleocene Nacimiento and Animas formations: *Geosphere*, v. 15, p. 1–24.

920 Chapin, C.E., and Cather, S.M., 1983, Eocene tectonics and sedimentation in the Colorado  
921 Plateau-Rocky Mountain area: *Arizona Geological Society Digest*, v. 14, p. 173–198.

922 Cope, E.D., 1875, Report on the geology of that part of northwestern New Mexico examined  
923 during the field season of 1874, *in Annual Report to the Chief of Engineering*,  
924 Washington, D. C., U. S. Army, War Department, p. 981–1017.

925 Dahlberg, E.L., Eberle, J.J., Sertich, J.J.W., and Miller, I.M., 2016, A new earliest Paleocene  
926 (Puercan) mammalian fauna from Colorado’s Denver Basin, U.S.A.: *Rocky Mountain*  
927 *Geology*, v. 51, p. 1–22, doi:10.2113/gsrocky.51.1.1.

928 Davis, A.J., Peppe, D.J., Atchley, S.C., Williamson, T.E., and Flynn, A.G., 2016, Climate and  
929 landscape reconstruction of the Arroyo Chijuillita Member of the Nacimiento Formation,  
930 San Juan Basin, New Mexico: Providing environmental context to early Paleocene  
931 mammal evolution: *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, v. 463, p. 27–  
932 44, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2016.09.011.

933 Dunlap, D.J., and Özdemir, Ö., 1997, *Rock Magnetism: Fundamentals and Frontiers*: New York,  
934 Cambridge University Press, 573 p.

935 Eberle, J.J., 2003, Puercan mammalian systematics and biostratigraphy in the Denver Formation,  
936 Denver Basin, Colorado: *Rocky Mountain Geology*, v. 38, p. 143–169,  
937 doi:10.2113/gsrocky.38.1.143.

938 Fassett, J.E., 2009, Revised geochronology and paleomagnetic interpretations of uppermost  
939 Cretaceous and lowermost Paleocene rocks in the southern San Juan Basin, New Mexico:  
940 *Palaeontologia Electronica*, v. 12, p. 1–146.

941 Fassett, J.E., Heaman, L.M., and Simonetti, A., 2011, Direct U-Pb dating of Cretaceous and  
942 Paleocene dinosaur bones, San Juan Basin, New Mexico: *Geology*, v. 39, p. 159–162,  
943 doi:10.1130/G31466.1.

944 Fassett, J.E., Heizler, M.T., and McIntosh, W.C., 2010, Geologic implications of a  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$   
945 single crystal sanidine age for an altered volcanic ash bed in the Paleocene Nacimiento  
946 Formation in the Southern San Juan Basin, *in* Fassett, J.E., Zeigler, K.E., and Lueth,  
947 V.W. eds., *New Mexico Geological Society 61st Field Conference Guide Book*, Socorro,  
948 NM, New Mexico Geological Society, p. 147–156.

949 Fassett, J.E., Zielinski, R.A., and Budahn, J.R., 2002, Dinosaurs that did not die: Evidence for  
950 Paleocene dinosaurs in the Ojo Alamo Sandstone, San Juan Basin, New Mexico:  
951 *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, v. 356, p. 307–336.

952 Fisher, R.A., 1953, Dispersion of a sphere: *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, v. 217, p. 295–  
953 305, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1953.0064>.

954 Flynn, A.G., and Peppe, D.J., in press, Early Paleocene tropical forest from the Ojo Alamo  
955 Sandstone, San Juan Basin, New Mexico, USA: *Paleobiology*,.

956 Force, E.R., Butler, R.F., Reynolds, R.L., and Houston, R.S., 2001, Magnetic Ilmenite-Hematite  
957 Detritus in Mesozoic-Tertiary Placer and Sandstone-Hosted Uranium Deposits of the

958 Rocky Mountains: *Economic Geology*, v. 96, p. 1445–1453,  
959 doi:10.2113/gsecongeo.96.6.1445.

960 Granger, W., 1917, Notes on Paleocene and lower Eocene mammal horizons of northern New  
961 Mexico and southern Colorado: *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, v.  
962 37, p. 821–830.

963 Hicks, J.F., Johnson, K.R., Obradovich, J.D., Miggins, D.P., and Tauxe, L., 2003,  
964 Magnetostratigraphy of Upper Cretaceous (Maastrichtian) to lower Eocene strata of the  
965 Denver Basin, Colorado: *Rocky Mountain Geology*, v. 38, p. 1–27,  
966 doi:10.2113/gsrocky.38.1.1.

967 Hobbs, K.M., 2016, Sedimentation, pedogenesis, and paleoclimate conditions in the Paleocene  
968 San Juan Basin, New Mexico, USA [Ph.D. Dissertation]: University of New Mexico, 160  
969 p.

970 Kirschvink, J.L., 1980, The least-squares line and plane and the analysis of paleomagnetic data:  
971 *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 62, p. 699–718, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1980.tb02601.x)  
972 [246X.1980.tb02601.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1980.tb02601.x).

973 Kodama, K.P. A successful rock magnetic technique for correcting paleomagnetic inclination  
974 shallowing: Case study of the Nacimiento Formation, New Mexico: *Journal of*  
975 *Geophysical Research - Solid Earth*, v. 102, p. 5193–5205,  
976 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/96JB03833>.

977 Kuiper, K.F., Deino, A., Hilgen, F.J., Krijgsman, W., Renne, P.R., and Wijbrans, J.R., 2008,  
978 Synchronizing Rock Clocks of Earth History: *Science*, v. 320, p. 500–504,  
979 doi:10.1126/science.1154339.

980 LeCain, R., Clyde, W.C., Wilson, G.P., and Riedel, J., 2014, Magnetostratigraphy of the Hell  
981 Creek and lower Fort Union Formations in northeastern Montana, *in* Wilson, G.P.,  
982 Clemens, W.A., Horner, J.R., and Hartman, J.H. eds., *Through the End of the Cretaceous*  
983 *in the Type Locality of the Hell Creek Formation in Montana and Adjacent Areas*,  
984 Geological Society of America Special Paper 503, Geological Society of America Special  
985 Paper, v. 503, p. 137–147, doi:10.1130/2014.2503(04).

986 Leslie, C.E., Peppe, D.J., Williamson, T.E., Heizler, M., Jackson, M., Atchley, S.C., Nordt, L.,  
987 and Standhardt, B., 2018a, Revised age constraints for Late Cretaceous to early  
988 Paleocene terrestrial strata from the Dawson Creek section, Big Bend National Park, west  
989 Texas: *GSA Bulletin*, v. 130, p. 1143–1163, doi:10.1130/B31785.1.

990 Leslie, C.E., Peppe, D., Williamson, T., Heizler, M., Secord, R., and Leggett, T., 2018b, High-  
991 resolution magnetostratigraphy of the upper Nacimiento Formation, San Juan Basin, New  
992 Mexico, USA: implications for basin evolution and mammalian turnover: *American*  
993 *Journal of Science*, v. 318, p. 300–334, doi:https://doi.org/10.2475/3.2018.02.

994 Lindsay, E.H., 2003, Chronostratigraphy, biochronology, datum events, land mammal ages,  
995 stage of evolution, and appearance event ordination, *in* Flynn, L.J. ed., *Vertebrate fossils*  
996 *and their context: contributions in honor of Richard H. Tedford*, New York, *Bulletin of*  
997 *the American Museum of Natural History*, v. 279, p. 212–230.

- 998 Lindsay, E.H., Butler, R.F., and Johnson, N.M., 1981, Magnetic polarity zonation and  
999 biostratigraphy of Late Cretaceous and Paleocene continental deposits, San Juan Basin,  
1000 New Mexico: *American Journal of Science*, v. 281, p. 390–435.
- 1001 Lindsay, E.H., Jacobs, L.L., and Butler, R.F., 1978, Biostratigraphy and magnetostratigraphy of  
1002 Paleocene terrestrial deposits, San Juan Basin, New Mexico: *Geology*, v. 6, p. 425–429,  
1003 doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1978)6<425:BAMOPT>2.0.CO;2.
- 1004 Lofgren, D.L., Lillegraven, J.A., Clemens, W.A., Gingerich, P.D., and Williamson, T.E., 2004,  
1005 Paleocene Biochronology: The Puercan Through Clarkforkian Land Mammal Ages, *in*  
1006 Woodburne, M.O. ed., *Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic Mammals of North America*, New  
1007 York, Columbia University Press, p. 43–105.
- 1008 Lowrie, W., 1990, Identification of ferromagnetic minerals in a rock by coercivity and  
1009 unblocking temperature properties: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 17, p. 159–162,  
1010 doi:10.1029/GL017i002p00159.
- 1011 Lucas, S.G., Sullivan, R.M., Cather, S.M., Jasinski, S.E., Fowler, D.W., Heckbert, A.B.,  
1012 Spielmann, J.A., and Hunt, A.P., 2009, No Definitive Evidence of Paleocene Dinosaurs  
1013 in the San Juan Basin: *Palaeontologia Electronica*, v. 12, p. 1–10.
- 1014 Matthew, W.D., 1937, Paleocene faunas of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico: *Transactions of the*  
1015 *American Philosophical Society*, v. 30, p. 1–510.
- 1016 McFadden, P.L., and McElhinny, M.W., 1990, Classification of the reversal test in  
1017 palaeomagnetism: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 103, p. 725–729.

- 1018 Min, K., Mundil, R., Renne, P.R., and Ludwig, K.R., 2000, A test for systematic errors in  
1019  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  geochronology through comparison with U/Pb analysis of a 1.1-Ga rhyolite:  
1020 *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, v. 64, p. 73–98, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00204-  
1021 5.
- 1022 Ogg, J.G., 2012, Geomagnetic Polarity Timescale, *in* Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J.G., Schmitz, M.,  
1023 and Ogg, G. eds., *The Geologic Timescale*, Oxford, United Kingdom, Elsevier Science,  
1024 p. 85–113.
- 1025 Osborn, H.F., 1929, The titanotheres of ancient Wyoming, Dakota, and Nebraska: United States  
1026 Geological Survey Monograph, v. 55, p. 1–701.
- 1027 O’Sullivan, R.B., Repenning, C.A., Beaumont, E.C., and Page, H.G., 1972, Stratigraphy of the  
1028 Cretaceous Rocks and the Tertiary Ojo Alamo Sandstone, Navajo and Hopi Indian  
1029 Reservations, Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah: United States Geological Survey  
1030 Professional Paper 521-E, p. 1–65.
- 1031 Peppe, D.J., Evans, D. a. D., and Smirnov, A.V., 2009, Magnetostratigraphy of the Ludlow  
1032 Member of the Fort Union Formation (Lower Paleocene) in the Williston Basin, North  
1033 Dakota: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 121, p. 65–79,  
1034 doi:10.1130/B26353.1.
- 1035 Powell, J.S., 1973, Paleontology and Sedimentation Models of the Kimbeto Member of the Ojo  
1036 Alamo Sandstone, *in* Fassett, J.E. ed., *Cretaceous and Tertiary Rocks of the Southern*  
1037 *Colorado Plateau: a Memoir of the Four Corners Geological Society*, Four Corners  
1038 Geological Society, p. 111–122,



1039 [http://archives.datapages.com/data/fcgs/data/011/011001/111\\_four-corners110111.htm](http://archives.datapages.com/data/fcgs/data/011/011001/111_four-corners110111.htm)  
1040 (accessed August 2016).

1041 Renne, P.R., Hilgen, F.J., Mark, D.F., Smit, J., Mundil, R., Deino, A.L., Mitchell III, W.S.,  
1042 Kuiper, K.F., and Morgan, L., 2013, Time scales of critical events around the Cretaceous-  
1043 Paleogene boundary: *Science*, v. 339, p. 684–687, doi:10.1126/science.1230492.

1044 Roberts, A.P., 1995, Magnetic properties of sedimentary greigite (Fe<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>): *Earth and Planetary*  
1045 *Science Letters*, v. 134, p. 227–236, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(95)00131-U.

1046 Sikkink, P.G.L., 1987, Lithofacies relationships and depositional environment of the Tertiary  
1047 Ojo Alamo Sandstone and related strata, San Juan Basin, New Mexico and Colorado:  
1048 *Special Paper of the Geological Society of America*, v. 209, p. 81–104,  
1049 doi:10.1130/SPE209-p81.

1050 Simpson, G.G., 1959, Fossil Mammals from the Type Area of the Puerco and Nacimiento Strata,  
1051 Paleocene of New Mexico: *American Museum Novitates*, v. 1957, p. 1–22.

1052 Sinclair, W.J., and Granger, W., 1914, Paleocene deposits of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico:  
1053 *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, v. 33, p. 297–316.

1054 Smith, S.M., Sprain, C.J., Clemens, W.A., Lofgren, D.L., Renne, P.R., and Wilson, G.P., 2018,  
1055 Early mammalian recovery after the end-Cretaceous mass extinction: A high-resolution  
1056 view from McGuire Creek area, Montana, USA: *GSA Bulletin*, v. 130, p. 2000–2014,  
1057 doi:10.1130/B31926.1.

- 1058 Smith, B., and Wilson, J.B., 1996, A Consumer's Guide to Evenness Indices: *Oikos*, v. 76, p. 70,  
1059 doi:10.2307/3545749.
- 1060 Sprain, C.J., Feinberg, J.M., Renne, P.R., and Jackson, M., 2016, Importance of titanohematite in  
1061 detrital remanent magnetizations of strata spanning the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary,  
1062 Hell Creek region, Montana: *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, v. 17, p. 660–678,  
1063 doi:10.1002/2015GC006191.
- 1064 Sprain, C.J., Renne, P.R., Clemens, W.A., and Wilson, G.P., 2018, Calibration of chron C29r:  
1065 New high-precision geochronologic and paleomagnetic constraints from the Hell Creek  
1066 region, Montana: *GSA Bulletin*, v. 130, p. 1615–1644, doi:10.1130/B31890.1.
- 1067 Sprain, C.J., Renne, P.R., Wilson, G.P., and Clemens, W.A., 2015, High-resolution  
1068 chronostratigraphy of the terrestrial Cretaceous-Paleogene transition and recovery  
1069 interval in the Hell Creek region, Montana: *GSA Bulletin*, v. 127, p. 393–409,  
1070 doi:10.1130/B31076.1.
- 1071 Steiger, R.H., and Jäger, E., 1977, Subcommittee on geochronology: Convention on the use of  
1072 decay constants in geo- and cosmochronology: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v.  
1073 36, p. 359–362, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(77)90060-7.
- 1074 Sullivan, R.M., and Lucas, S.G., 2003, The Kirtlandian, a new land vertebrate “age” for the Late  
1075 Cretaceous of Western North America: *Guidebook of the New Mexico Geological  
1076 Society*, v. 54, p. 369–377.

- 1077 Sullivan, R.M., Lucas, S.G., and Braman, D.R., 2005, Dinosaurs, pollen, and the Cretaceous-  
1078 Tertiary boundary in the San Juan Basin: Guidebook of the New Mexico Geological  
1079 Society, v. 56, p. 395–407.
- 1080 Taylor, J.R., 1982, An Introduction to Error Analysis: The Study of Uncertainties in Physical  
1081 Measurements: Mill Valley, CA, University Science Books, 270 p.
- 1082 Taylor, L.H., 1977, Geochronology of Torrejonian sediments, Nacimiento Formation, San Juan  
1083 Basin, New Mexico [M.S. Thesis]: University of Arizona, 93 p.
- 1084 Taylor, L.H., and Butler, R.F., 1980, Magnetic-polarity stratigraphy of Torrejonian sediments,  
1085 Nacimiento Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico: American Journal of Science, v.  
1086 280, p. 97–115.
- 1087 Tidwell, W.D., Ash, S.R., and Parker, L.R., 1981, Cretaceous and Tertiary floras of the San Juan  
1088 Basin, *in* Lucas, S.G., Rigby, J.K., and Kues, B.S. eds., Advances in San Juan  
1089 Paleontology, Albuquerque, New Mexico, University of New Mexico Press, p. 307–332.
- 1090 Tomida, Y., 1981, “Dragonian” fossils from the San Juan Basin and the status of “Dragonian”  
1091 land mammal “age,” *in* Lucas, S.G., Rigby, J.K.Jr., and Kues, B.S. eds., Advances in San  
1092 Juan Basin Paleontology, Albuquerque, New Mexico, University of New Mexico Press,  
1093 p. 222–241.
- 1094 Tomida, Y., and Butler, R.F., 1980, Dragonian mammals and Paleocene magnetic polarity  
1095 stratigraphy, North Horn Formation, central Utah: American Journal of Science, v. 280,  
1096 p. 787–811.

- 1097 Torsvik, T.H., Müller, R.D., Van der Voo, R., Steinberger, B., and Gaina, C., 2008, Global plate  
1098 motion frames: Toward a unified model: *Reviews of Geophysics*, v. 46, p. 44,  
1099 doi:10.1029/2007RG000227.
- 1100 Trendell, A.M., Atchley, S.C., and Nordt, L.C., 2013, Facies analysis of a probable large fluvial  
1101 fan depositional system: The Upper Triassic Chinle Formation at Petrified Forest  
1102 National Park, Arizona, USA: *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, v. 83, p. 873–895.
- 1103 Watson, G.S., 1956, A test for randomness: *Royal Astronomical Society Geophysical*  
1104 *Supplement: Monthly Notices*, v. 4, p. 160–161, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1956.tb05561.x)  
1105 [246X.1956.tb05561.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1956.tb05561.x).
- 1106 Weissmann, G.S. et al., 2013, Prograding distributive fluvial systems: geomorphic models and  
1107 ancient examples, *in* Driese, S.G. and Nordt, L.C. eds., *New Frontiers in Paleopedology*  
1108 *and Terrestrial Paleoclimatology*, SEPM Special Publication, v. 104, p. 131–147.
- 1109 Williamson, T.E., 1996, The beginning of the age of mammals in the San Juan Basin, New  
1110 Mexico: Biostratigraphy and evolution of Paleocene mammals of the Nacimiento  
1111 Formation: *New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin*, v. 8, p. 1–141.
- 1112 Williamson, T.E., Brusatte, S.L., Secord, R., and Shelley, S., 2015, A new taeniolabidoid  
1113 multituberculate (Mammalia) from the middle Puercan of the Nacimiento Formation,  
1114 New Mexico, and a revision of taeniolabidoid systematics and phylogeny: *Zoological*  
1115 *Journal of the Linnean Society*, v. 177, p. 183–208.

- 1116 Williamson, T.E., and Lucas, S.G., 1992, Stratigraphy and mammalian biostratigraphy of the  
1117 Paleocene Nacimiento Formation, southern San Juan Basin, New Mexico: New Mexico  
1118 Geological Society Guidebook, v. 43, p. 265–296.
- 1119 Williamson, T.E., and Lucas, S.G., 1997, The Chico Springs locality, Nacimiento Formation,  
1120 San Juan Basin, New Mexico: New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook, v. 48, p.  
1121 259–265.
- 1122 Williamson, T.E., Nichols, D.J., and Weil, A., 2008, Paleocene palynomorph assemblages from  
1123 the Nacimiento Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico, and their biostratigraphic  
1124 significance: *New Mexico Geology*, v. 30, p. 3–11.
- 1125 Williamson, T.E., and Weil, A., 2008a, Metatherian Mammals from the Naashoibito Member,  
1126 Kirtland Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico and Their Biochronologic and  
1127 Paleobiogeographic Significance: *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, v. 28, p. 803–815.
- 1128 Williamson, T.E., and Weil, A., 2008b, Stratigraphic Distribution of Sauropods in the Upper  
1129 Cretaceous of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico, with Comments on North America’s  
1130 Cretaceous “Sauropod Hiatus”: *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, v. 28, p. 1218–1223,  
1131 doi:10.1671/0272-4634-28.4.1218.
- 1132 Wood, H.E., Chaney, R.W., Clark, J., Colbert, E.H., Jepsen, G.L., Reeside, J.B., and Stock, C.,  
1133 1941, Nomenclature and correlation of the North American Continental Tertiary: *Bulletin*  
1134 *of the Geological Society of America*, v. 52, p. 1–48.
- 1135

1136 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

1137 Fig. 1. Geologic map of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico showing Upper Cretaceous through  
1138 Lower Eocene strata and the locations of the 7 measured sections use in this study — (1) Kutz  
1139 Canyon, (2) Gallegos Canyon, (3) Chico Springs, (4) De-Na-Zin Wilderness Area, (5) Kimbeto  
1140 Wash, (6) Betonnie Tsosie Wash, and (7) Mesa de Cuba — indicated by white squares (modified  
1141 from Williamson et al., 2008).

1142  
1143 Fig. 2. Measured sections from Gallegos Canyon and De-Na-Zin showing major lithologic units,  
1144 vertebrate fossil horizons (described in table 1), VGP latitude, and interpreted polarity zonation.  
1145 The base of local polarity zone C- was used as a datum. The UTM coordinates (NAD27 datum)  
1146 of the section base and top are shown below section names.

1147  
1148 Fig. 3. Measured sections from Kimbeto and Betonnie Tsosie Washes showing major lithologic  
1149 units, vertebrate fossil horizons (described in table 1), VGP latitude, and interpreted polarity  
1150 zonation. The base of polarity zone C- was used as a datum. The UTM coordinates (NAD27  
1151 datum) of the section base and top are shown below section names.

1152  
1153 Fig. 4. Measured sections from Kutz Canyon, Chico Springs, and Mesa de Cuba showing major  
1154 lithologic units, vertebrate fossil horizons (described in table 1), VGP latitude, and interpreted  
1155 polarity zonation. The base of local polarity zone B- at Kutz Canyon, B- at Chico Springs, and

1156 D- at Mesa de Cuba was used as a datum. The UTM coordinates (NAD27 datum) of the section  
1157 base and top are shown below section names.

1158

1159 Fig. 5. Representative orthogonal end vector demagnetization and equal area diagrams for each  
1160 subset of data. (A-E) Demagnetization trajectories of reversed (A, C, E) and normal (B, D)  
1161 polarity samples from C29r – C27r that allowed line-fitting to a determine characteristic  
1162 direction. (F) Representative sample where line-fitting was not possible due to the erratic nature  
1163 of the data and was not used in any interpretations.

1164

1165 Fig. 6. (A) Equal-area plot of all line-fitted characteristic magnetization direction obtained (see  
1166 Table DR1 1 for full list). (B) Equal area plot of all site-mean directions calculated from this  
1167 study (see Table DR2 for full list). (C) Equal area plot of all normal and reversed site-mean  
1168 directions averaged by sections — KC: Kutz Canyon, DNZ: De-Na-Zin, KW: Kimbeto Wash,  
1169 BT: Betonnie Tsosie Wash, and MDC: Mesa de Cuba. The ellipse surrounding each mean  
1170 direction represents the 95% confidence cone (see table 2 for details) (Fisher, 1953). (D) The  
1171 site-mean average for each magnetic chron (C29r-C27r) and total Ojo Alamo Sandstone and  
1172 lower Nacimiento Formation mean normal and reversed directions. The mean Nacimiento  
1173 formation direction reported by Kodama (1997) is also shown. The ellipse surrounding each  
1174 mean direction represents the 95% confidence cone (see table 2 for details) (Fisher, 1953). The  
1175 modern dipole, the expected early Paleocene direction recalculated from Torsvik et al. (2008),  
1176 and the antipode of the early Paleocene expected direction are shown in each equal-area plot.

1177

1178 Fig. 7. Thermal demagnetization curves of orthogonal IRM imparted along the X, Y, and Z axes  
1179 for 8 samples following the approach of Lowrie (1990). All samples had mixed magnetic  
1180 mineralogy with titanohematite as the dominant magnetic carrier.

1181

1182 Fig. 8.  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  sanidine probability distribution diagrams. Apparent ages between 60 and 80  
1183 Ma are shown and solid symbols delineate dates used to determine maximum deposition ages.  
1184 The data are arranged in stratigraphic order and divided into the 3 dated stratigraphic sections.  
1185 DNZ is the De-Na-Zin section, BT represent the Betonnie Tsosie Wash section and MDC is the  
1186 Mesa de Cuba section. Figures highlighted in yellow are Nacimiento Formation whereas pink are  
1187 from the Ojo Alamo Sandstone. Errors are reported at  $1\sigma$ .

1188

1189 Fig. 9. Chronostratigraphy of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Nacimiento Formation showing the  
1190 age, calculated duration, and associated NALMA intervals for the sections in this study (lower  
1191 portion of Kutz Canyon, Gallegos Canyon, Chico Springs De-Na-Zin, Kimbeto Wash, Betonnie  
1192 Tsosie Wash, and Mesa de Cuba) and sections from Leslie and others (2018a) (upper portion of  
1193 Kutz Canyon, Escavada Wash, Torreon West, and Torreon East). GTPS is from Ogg (2012). The  
1194 estimated duration of unconformities is indicated by dark grey boxes. Fossil vertebrate horizons  
1195 described in table 1 are shown (1-14 this study, 15-23 Leslie et al., 2018b). Biozones following  
1196 the biostratigraphic zonation of Williamson (1996) and duration of SJB fossil horizons within  
1197 each NALMA biochron are shown. The “lower black mudstone” lithology from Leslie and  
1198 others (2018b) is indicated beside the sections where it is present.

1199



1200 Fig. 10. Regional comparison of NALMA interval zones across western North America: Big  
1201 Bend, TX (Leslie et al., 2018a), San Juan Basin, NM (this study; Leslie et al. 2018b), Denver  
1202 Basin, CO (Eberle, 2003; Hicks et al., 2003; Dahlberg et al., 2016), Wasatch Plateau, UT  
1203 (Tomida and Butler, 1980), Bighorn and Clark's Fork Basin, WY and MT (Butler et al., 1987),  
1204 Crazy Mountain Basin, MT (Buckley, 2018), Williston Basin, MT (Sprain et al., 2018), and  
1205 Williston and Powder River Basins, ND (Peppe et al., 2009). NALMA zones from Lofgren et al.  
1206 (2004) are indicated.

1207