

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Cortical Thickness in Individuals at High Familial Risk of Mood Disorders as They Develop Major Depressive Disorder

Citation for published version:

Papmeyer, M, Giles, S, Sussmann, JE, Kielty, S, Stewart, T, Lawrie, SM, Whalley, HC & McIntosh, AM 2014, 'Cortical Thickness in Individuals at High Familial Risk of Mood Disorders as They Develop Major Depressive Disorder', *Biological Psychiatry*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.10.018

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.10.018

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Published In: Biological Psychiatry

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Our reference: BPS 12367

XML-IS

P-authorquery-vx

	AUTI	HOR QUERY FORM				
ELSEVIER	Jaumah DDC	Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to:				
	Journal: DrS	E-mail: K.Pfaff@elsevier.com				
	Article Number: 12367	Fax: 215-239-3388				

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the \underline{Q} link to go to the location in the proof.

Location in	Query / Remark: click on the Q link to go
article	Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof
<u>Q1</u>	Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly and are presented in the desired order.
<u>Q2</u>	 Author: If there are any drug dosages in your article, please verify them and indicate that you have done so by initialing this query. Please review and confirm the accuracy and completeness of any affiliations.
<u>Q3</u>	Author: Please confirm text "Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders" is correct as edited.
<u>Q4</u>	Author: Please provide name and location (city, state) of manufacturer of SPSS version 19.
<u>Q5</u>	Author: Please provide name and location of manufacturer of FreeSurfer version 5.1.0.
<u>Q6</u>	Author: Please provide the name and location of manufacturer of R version 2.13.0.
<u>Q7</u>	Author: Please confirm that gene symbols and proteins are formatted in the correct style throughout manuscript (human genes all caps, italic; mouse/rat genes initial cap italic; proteins are same as gene symbols but all cap regardless of species and no italic).
<u>Q8</u>	Author: Please confirm text "and right fusiform thinning has been observed in a BD cohort" is correct as edited.
<u>Q9</u>	Author: Please confirm text "highlighted that hippocampal volume in MDD patients increased in response to a 3-year antidepressant therapy and that relatively small hippocampal volumes were found in nonremitted as compared with remitted patients" is correct as edited.
<u>Q10</u>	Author: Please update reference 54.

Thank you for your assistance.

Please check this box or indicate your approval if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file

Archival Report

Cortical Thickness in Individuals at High Familial Risk of Mood Disorders as They Develop Major Depressive Disorder

Martina Papmeyer, Stephen Giles, Jessica E. Sussmann, Shauna Kielty, Tiffany Stewart, of Stephen M. Lawrie, Heather C. Whalley, and Andrew M. McIntosh

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Frontal and temporal cortical thickness abnormalities have been observed in mood disorders. However, it is unknown whether cortical thickness abnormalities reflect early adverse effects of genetic and environmental risk factors predisposing to mood disorders or emerge at illness onset.

METHODS: Magnetic resonance imaging was conducted at baseline and after a 2-year follow-up interval in 111 initially unaffected young adults at high familial risk of mood disorders and 93 healthy control subjects (HC). During the follow-up period, 20 high-risk subjects developed major depressive disorder (HR-MDD), with the remainder remaining well (HR-well). Cortical surface reconstruction was applied to measure cortical thickness of frontal and temporal regions of interest. Mixed-effects models were used to investigate differences and longitudinal changes in cortical thickness.

RESULTS: Reduced cortical thickness in the right parahippocampal and fusiform gyrus across both time points was found in both high-risk groups. HR-MDD also had thinner parahippocampi than HR-well individuals. Over time, HR-well and HC individuals had progressive thickness reductions in the left inferior frontal and precentral gyrus, which were greater in HR-well subjects. HR-MDD showed left inferior frontal gyrus thickening relative to HR-well subjects and left precentral gyrus thickening relative to HR-well and HC individuals.

CONCLUSIONS: Reduced right parahippocampal and fusiform gyrus thickness are familial trait markers for vulnerability to mood disorders. Increased risk for mood disorders is associated with progressive cortical thinning in the left inferior frontal and precentral gyri in subjects who remain well. In contrast, onset of depression is associated with increasing left inferior frontal and precentral thickness.

Keywords: Bipolar disorder, Cortical thickness, High risk, Longitudinal, Magnetic resonance imaging, Major depressive disorder

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.10.018

Mood disorders including bipolar disorder (BD) and major depressive disorder (MDD) are among the most common mental disorders and a leading cause of disability worldwide (1). Firstdegree relatives of BD patients have a tenfold excess risk of BD compared with the general population and a threefold increased risk of MDD (2). The aggregation of mood disorders within families together with moderate to high heritability estimates and evidence of a shared genetic architecture provide strong support for overlapping causal pathways in BD and MDD (3–6).

Accumulating evidence from structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies indicates that neuroanatomical changes in frontal and temporal lobes are associated with mood disorders (7–10). Volumetric gray matter reductions of the prefrontal lobe have been consistently found in MDD and BD, predominantly in the orbitofrontal gyrus (8,11) and anterior cingulate cortex (10,12) but also in the inferior (10,12), middle (10,13), and superior frontal gyrus (10,14). Furthermore, gray matter decreases have been observed in the precentral gyrus (10,13), superior temporal gyrus (15,16), and medial temporal lobe, particularly in the parahippocampal gyrus (10,17).

Gray matter volume is a composite of cortical thickness and surface area, and research suggests that gray matter volume is more closely linked to surface area than to thickness (18). Cortical surface area and thickness are also genetically and phenotypically independent (18), but the contribution of cortical thickness toward structural brain abnormalities in mood disorders remains largely unknown. A small number of studies have, however, reported cortical thickness abnormalities in MDD and BD patients in the frontal lobe (19–31) and superior temporal gyrus (22,23,29,31). Moreover, thickness reductions in the inferior and middle temporal (31), parahippocampal (22), and fusiform gyrus (21,23) have been found in BD.

Prefrontal brain regions are closely involved in emotion processing and affect regulation-functions clearly disturbed in mood disorders (32,33). Distinct frontal lobe structures

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

maintain reciprocal connections to temporal brain areas and are intensively interconnected with limbic regions. Accordingly, it has been postulated that a medial prefrontal network, highly connected to superior and medial temporal lobe, is centrally involved in mood disorders (34,35).

Most imaging studies of mood disorders have assessed brain structure in affected individuals. These studies cannot discern whether structural brain abnormalities reflect early neurodevelopmental disruptions predisposing to illness, events linked to illness onset, or whether they are adaptive or secondary to the effects of chronic illness or its treatment. Neuroimaging studies of individuals at high risk of mood disorders because of a close family history of BD hold the potential to identify structural brain abnormalities related to enhanced familial vulnerability, unconfounded by the presence of illness and medication. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has yet examined the effects of familial risk on cortical thickness in a prospective longitudinal study.

100 The Bipolar Family Study is designed to examine the timing 101 of structural brain abnormalities in mood disorders and their 102 relationship to familial risk and onset of illness. Based on the 103 above literature, we compared cortical thickness of frontal and 104 temporal regions of interest (ROI) over a 2-year time interval 105 between three groups: high-risk of mood disorders individuals 106 who were well at baseline but developed MDD during 107 the follow-up period (HR-MDD), high-risk individuals who 108 remained well over the same time period (HR-well), and 109 unaffected healthy control subjects (HC). We hypothesized 110 that frontotemporal cortical thickness reductions related to 111 familial risk of mood disorders are present before the onset of 112 illness. Furthermore, we hypothesized that these regions 113 reduce in thickness progressively in the 2-year period before 114 illness and that an onset of MDD is associated with more 115 pronounced thickness reductions as compared with individu-116 als who remain well. 117

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants

Participants were recruited as part of the Bipolar Family Study (36). High-risk of mood disorder individuals because of a close family history of BD were identified via affected relatives (Supplement 1). Unaffected, unrelated control subjects with no personal or family history of BD were identified from the social contacts of the high-risk subjects and group-matched for age, sex, and premorbid intelligence estimated with the National Adult Reading Test (37). Comparison subjects were screened for Axis I disorders using the Structured Clinical Q3 Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders.

At baseline, exclusion criteria for all study groups included a personal history of MDD, mania or hypomania, psychosis, or any major neurological or psychiatric disorder; substance dependence; learning disability; head injury that included loss of consciousness; and any contraindications to MRI.

Approximately 2 years after the initial baseline examination, all participants were invited for a follow-up assessment. Written informed consent was acquired from all subjects and the study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee for Scotland.

Clinical Assessment

Clinical assessments were conducted at the time of the first and second MRI scans. The mean interval in years between assessments was 2.13 (SD .22), 2.15 (SD .22), and 2.10 (SD .13) for the HC, HR-well, and HR-MDD groups, respectively. The diagnostic status of consenting subjects not returning for a second assessment was determined through written contact with the National Health Service. Clinical interviews were conducted by experienced psychiatrists (A.M.M., J.E.S.). Based on the follow-up clinical examination or information from case notes, high-risk subjects were grouped into those who remained well (HR-well) and those who subsequently developed MDD (HR-MDD). At both assessments, current manic and depressive symptoms were rated using the Young Mania Rating Scale (38) and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) (39).

Statistical analyses of demographic and clinical data were conducted using one-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs), chi-squares tests, or Kruskal-Wallis tests where appropriate in SPSS version 19 (http://www.spss.com). Q4

Magnetic Resonance Imaging Acquisition

Imaging at baseline and follow-up assessment were carried out at the Brain Imaging Research Centre for Scotland on a GE 1.5 T Signa scanner (GE Medical, Milwaukee, Wisconsin). The T1 sequence was a coronal gradient echo sequence with magnetization preparation (magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient-echo) and yielded 180 contiguous 1.2 mm coronal slices (inversion time = 500 msec; echo time = 4 msec; matrix = 192 \times 192; flip angle = 8°).

Cortical Thickness Measurement

The acquired T1 images were processed using the surfacebased stream in FreeSurfer version 5.1.0 (http://surfer.nmr. Q5 mgh.harvard.edu/fswiki/recon-all/) (40,41), fully described in Supplement 1.

The following ROIs were selected for each hemisphere (Figure 1): anterior cingulate cortex (rostral and caudal anterior cingulate cortex), frontal pole, inferior frontal gyrus (pars opercularis, triangularis, and orbitalis), middle frontal gyrus (rostral and caudal middle frontal gyrus), superior frontal gyrus, orbitofrontal gyrus (lateral and medial orbitofrontal gyrus), precentral gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, parahippocampal gyrus, and fusiform gyrus.

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were computed in SPSS version 19, except for the false discovery rate (FDR) corrections (42), which were conducted in R version 2.13.0 (http://www.r- Q6 project.org), using the p.adjust(BH) function of the stats package.

Given the longitudinal study design and the fact that the data consist of nonuniform numbers of repeated measurements, we performed linear mixed-effects models to investigate structural brain differences for each ROI over time. Linear mixed-effects modeling has several advantages over the commonly applied repeated-measures ANOVA, as casewise deletion of missing data is not necessary, which allows the analysis of all available data. Moreover, it handles the

```
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
```

200

201

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174 175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321



Figure 1. Cortical regions of interest.

correlation structures of repeated measurements nested within participants. In the linear mixed-effects model used, the intercept term is treated as a random effect that varies by individual so that intraindividual correlation among the structural brain measures of a particular individual is taken into account. The following independent variables were used as predictors of cortical thickness for the different ROIs: group, time (baseline versus follow-up assessment), and group-bytime interaction. Age and sex served as covariates. Accordingly, significant group effects represent differences in cortical thickness between the groups across both time points. Time effects represent differences in cortical thickness between baseline and follow-up examination. Group-by-time interactions represent differences in cortical thickness development over time between groups.

A statistical significance level of $p_{\text{FDR}} \leq .05$ was chosen, fully corrected for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini and Hochberg FDR procedure (42). Wherever significant between-group differences were found, pairwise comparisons were performed between the three groups for which *p* values were corrected according to Tukey's honest significance difference (HSD) method ($p_{\text{HSD}} \leq .05$). For significant interaction effects, subsequent pairwise comparisons were performed with *p* values being adjusted according to Bonferroni procedure ($p_{\text{Bonf}} \leq .05$).

Wherever significant between-group differences were found in the longitudinal analysis, an additional analysis of covariance was conducted between the groups for cortical thickness of the ROI at baseline, adjusted for age and sex. This analysis was intended to assess whether the observed longitudinal ROI abnormalities were also predictive at baseline for an onset of MDD.

To assess the relationship between severity of depressive symptoms and cortical thickness, we calculated the Spearman correlation coefficient between the HAM-D total score and the ROIs for each group. In each case, *p* values were corrected using the FDR procedure and considered significant when $p_{\text{FDR}} \leq .05$.

To examine potentially confounding effects of exposure to medication and relatedness of subjects on cortical thickness, we performed the following additional analyses for significant findings: we first repeated our analyses excluding medicated HR-MDD subjects (n = 4), followed by randomly excluding related subjects (n = 2 HC; n = 17 HR-well; n = 2 HR-MDD).

RESULTS

Demographic and Clinical Measures

In total, 114 high-risk individuals provided suitable FreeSurfer processed MRI data along with clinical information at baseline. Of these, two individuals developed BD during the 2-year follow-up period and were excluded from all analyses due to the small sample size. Overall, 20 high-risk participants received a diagnosis of MDD within the 2-year period, but one individual had to be excluded from baseline analysis due to unsatisfactory cortical parcellation of the MRI scan. Accordingly, our analyses included 92 HR-well and 19 HR-MDD subjects at baseline. Of the HC individuals, 96 provided suitable MRI data along with clinical information at baseline. Three developed MDD in the follow-up period and were therefore excluded from all analyses, leading to a sample size of 93 HC subjects. At follow-up, 63 HR-well, 20 HR-MDD, and 62 HC subjects provided suitable data. Four HR-MDD participants were prescribed antidepressant medication at followup. Three subjects were taking selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (1 fluoxetine, 1 citalopram, 1 sertraline) and one participant was on a tricyclic antidepressant (lofepramine). The remaining 16 HR-MDD subjects were unmedicated.

There were no significant differences between the groups in terms of age, gender, handedness, verbal intelligence, and Young Mania Rating Scale sum score at any assessment point (Table 1). There were, however, significant group differences at baseline ($p \leq .007$) and follow-up ($p \leq .023$) for clinical measures of depression from the HAM-D. At baseline, HR-well and HR-MDD subjects had significantly higher depression scores ($p \leq .047$ and $p \leq .003$, respectively) than HC individuals, with no significant differences between the high-risk groups. At follow-up, HR-MDD subjects had higher depression scores than HC and HR-well individuals ($p \leq .013$ and $p \leq .010$, respectively), as expected, with no significant differences between HC and HR-well individuals.

Cortical Thickness

Table 2 and Figure 2 depict the results of our linear mixedeffects model analyses. A significant group effect ($p_{FDR} \le .05$) was found for the right parahippocampal gyrus ($p \le .002$) and right fusiform gyrus ($p \le .005$). Post hoc analyses revealed that HR-well ($p_{HSD} \le .049$) and HR-MDD ($p_{HSD} \le .011$)

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

	Baseline					Follow-up						
	HC (n = 93)	HR-well (n = 92)	HR-MDD $(n = 19)$	Statistics		HC (n = 62)	HR-well $(n = 63)$	$\begin{array}{l} HR-MDD\\ (n=20) \end{array}$	Statistics			
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	F/χ^2	р	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	F/χ^2	р		
Age (Years)	21.01 (2.45)	21.20 (2.88)	21.10 (2.82)	.13	.88	22.82 (2.73)	23.71 (2.84)	23.33 (2.98)	1.77	.17		
Gender (M:F)	40:53	44:48	9:10	.46	.80	21:41	29:34	7:13	2.12	.35		
Handedness (Right:Other)	88:5	81:11	19:0	5.54	.24	61:1	57:6	20:0	5.43	.07		
NART IQ	110.31 (8.00)	108.39 (9.37)	107.26 (6.80)	1.64	.20	-	-	-	-	-		
ISI (Months)	2.13 (.22)	2.15 (.22)	2.10 (0.13)	.20	.82	-	-	-	-	-		
HAM-D ^a	0 (1)	0 (2)	1 (5)	9.79	.01	1 (3)	1 (2)	5 (12)	7.59	.02		
YMRS ^ª	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3.48	.18	0 (0)	0 (1)	0 (0)	.79	.68		

Bold indicates significant effect.

F, female; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; HC, unaffected healthy control subjects; HR, high risk; HR-MDD, individuals at high risk for mood disorders who were well at baseline but developed major depressive disorder during the follow-up period; HR-well, individuals at high risk for mood disorders who were well at baseline and remained well during the follow-up period; ISI, interscan interval; M, male; MDD, major depressive disorder; NART, National Adult Reading Test; YMRS, Young Mania Rating Scale.

^aKruskal-Wallis test, median and interquartile presented for skewed variables.

individuals have reduced parahippocampal thickness across time as compared with HC subjects. This brain region was, on average, 4.00% thinner in the HR-well and 10.89% thinner in the HR-MDD group relative to control subjects across both time points. Moreover, HR-MDD subjects displayed more pronounced thickness reductions in this region as compared with the HR-well ($p_{HSD} \leq .041$) group. For the right fusiform gyrus, HR-well ($p_{HSD} \leq .028$) and HR-MDD ($p_{HSD} \leq .014$) subjects have reduced cortical thickness as compared with HC individuals, with no significant difference between the high-risk groups. The fusiform gyrus was, on average, 2.11% thinner in the HR-well and 4.22% thinner in the HR-MDD

Table 2. Longitudinal Analysis of Cortical Thickness

HC			HR-well		HR-MDD		Statistics					
	Baseline	Follow-up	Baseline	Follow-up	Baseline	Follow-up	Group	Effect	Time I	Effect	Group	× Time
Region (Gyrus)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	F	р	F	р	F	р
_ Inf Frontal G	2.47 (.22)	2.44 (.17)	2.49 (.15)	2.40 (.17)	2.52 (.20)	2.55 (.22)	3.14	.05	3.22	.08	5.35	<.01
R Inf Frontal G	2.46 (.17)	2.44 (.19)	2.42 (.15)	2.42 (.17)	2.50 (.21)	2.45 (.18)	1.69	.19	3.51	.06	1.30	.28
L Mid Frontal G	2.38 (.18)	2.39 (.16)	2.42 (.16)	2.39 (.17)	2.43 (.19)	2.51 (.16)	3.41	.04	.93	.34	1.80	.1
R Mid Frontal G	2.35 (.16)	2.33 (.17)	2.34 (.14)	2.34 (.16)	2.39 (.20)	2.39 (.16)	1.54	.22	.57	.45	.47	.7
_ Sup Frontal G	2.70 (.18)	2.69 (.19)	2.70 (.18)	2.68 (.18)	2.72 (.18)	2.81 (.20)	2.40	.10	.10	.76	1.04	.3
R Sup Frontal G	2.65 (.19)	2.61 (.17)	2.64 (.19)	2.61 (.18)	2.70 (.19)	2.74 (.17)	3.11	.05	.34	.56	1.65	.1
L Orbitofrontal G	2.44 (.23)	2.39 (.20)	2.45 (.20)	2.41 (.17)	2.42 (.28)	2.48 (.20)	.73	.48	.39	.54	2.66	.0
R Orbitofrontal G	2.41 (.23)	2.39 (.20)	2.38 (.19)	2.38 (.19)	2.40 (.21)	2.42 (.19)	.24	.79	.04	.85	.79	.5
L Frontal Pole	2.95 (.40)	2.95 (.41)	3.03 (.43)	3.03 (.38)	3.06 (.38)	3.20 (.43)	3.00	.05	.73	.40	.44	.7
R Frontal Pole	2.90 (.41)	2.87 (.40)	2.84 (.36)	2.85 (.31)	3.08 (.34)	3.06 (.44)	2.94	.02	.20	.66	.22	.8
L Ant Cingulate	2.48 (.33)	2.46 (.29)	2.47 (.32)	2.44 (.28)	2.48 (.33)	2.54 (.29)	.22	.80	.00	1.00	1.57	.:
R Ant Cingulate	2.39 (.31)	2.37 (.26)	2.43 (.32)	2.35 (.29)	2.43 (.36)	2.42 (.23)	.25	.78	1.88	.17	1.90	
L Precentral G	2.49 (.14)	2.45 (.13)	2.49 (.13)	2.43 (.15)	2.48 (.18)	2.53 (.12)	2.10	.34	2.83	.10	8.67	<.(
R Precentral G	2.45 (.12)	2.43 (.14)	2.44 (.13)	2.42 (.15)	2.44 (.14)	2.46 (.16)	.51	.60	2.02	.16	2.19	.(
L Fusiform G	2.33 (.21)	2.29 (.18)	2.32 (.18)	2.27 (.18)	2.29 (.21)	2.24 (.30)	1.04	.35	3.35	.07	1.72	
R Fusiform G	2.37 (.18)	2.38 (.17)	2.31 (.22)	2.33 (.19)	2.25 (.19)	2.29 (.23)	5.20	<.01	.66	.42	.23	
L Sup Temporal G	2.42 (.22)	2.43 (.21)	2.45 (.19)	2.41 (.20)	2.45 (.18)	2.41 (.25)	.04	.96	.98	.32	1.03	
R Sup Temporal G	2.44 (.19)	2.50 (.18)	2.42 (.21)	2.433 (.21)	2.40 (.18)	2.40 (.18)	3.60	.03	.50	.48	1.55	
L Parahippoc G	2.24 (.34)	2.25 (.32)	2.19 (.34)	2.12 (.37)	2.14 (.37)	2.13 (.45)	1.76	.17	1.73	.19	1.43	
R Parahippoc G	2.23 (.34)	2.27 (.29)	2.15 (.35)	2.17 (.31)	1.98 (.32)	2.03 (.37)	6.27	<.01	.44	.51	.20	

Bold indicates significant effect after false discovery rate correction.

G, gyrus; HC, unaffected healthy control subjects; HR, high risk; HR-MDD, individuals at high risk for mood disorders who were well at baseline but developed major depressive disorder during the follow-up period; HR-well, individuals at high risk for mood disorders who were well at baseline and remained well during the follow-up period; inf, inferior; L, left; MDD, major depressive disorder; mid, middle; parahippoc, parahippocampal; R, right; sup, superior.

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

492

493

494

(mm)

thickness

cortical

Mean

(mm)

thickness

cortical

Mean



Figure 2. Significant group effects and group-by-time interactions. Significant group-by-time interactions were observed for the left inferior frontal gyrus (A) and the left precentral gyrus (B). Individuals at high risk (HR) for mood disorders who were well at baseline and remained well during the follow-up period (HR-well) showed more pronounced thinning of both brain regions as compared with the unaffected healthy control subjects (HC) over time, while the individuals at high risk for mood disorders who were well at baseline but developed major depressive disorder (MDD) during the follow-up period (HR-MDD) showed cortical thickening of these areas over time relative to the HR-well subjects. The thickening of the left precentral gyrus in the HR-MDD group was also significantly distinct from the observed thinning in the HC subjects. Significant group effects across time were observed for the right fusiform gyrus (C) and the right parahippocampal gyrus (D). HR-well and HR-MDD subjects displayed reduced cortical thickness in these regions relative to HC across time, with the HR-MDD group having more pronounced right parahippocampal thinning than the HR-well subjects.

group as compared with control subjects across both timepoints.

475 A significant group-by-time interaction ($p_{FDR} \leq .05$) was 476 detected for the left inferior frontal gyrus ($p \le .002$) and the left 477 precentral gyrus ($p \leq .001$). For the inferior frontal region, 478 HR-well subjects had a greater cortical thickness decline 479 (3.61% thickness decline) relative to HC individuals ($p_{Bonf} \leq$ 480 .002; 1.22% thickness decline) over time and exhibited a 481 distinct pattern of cortical thickness development as compared 482 with the HR-MDD group ($p_{Bonf} \leq .002$) that showed an 483 increasing thickening over time (1.19% thickness increase). 484 For the left precentral gyrus, HR-well subjects exhibited greater 485 cortical thickness decline (2.44% thickness decline) relative to 486 HC individuals ($p_{Bonf} \leq .032$; 1.61% thickness decline) over 487 time, while the HR-MDD group showed cortical thickness 488 expansions (2.02% thickness increase) over time, which was 489 in contrast to the regional thickness decline observed in HC 490 $(p_{Bonf} \le .001)$ and HR-well $(p_{Bonf} \le .001)$ subjects. 491

No significant effects of time were observed. Nominal significant group effects and group-by-time interactions are described in Supplement 1.

495 496 Prediction Analysis

To assess whether the observed longitudinal group effects for
 the right parahippocampal and fusiform gyrus were also
 predictive at baseline assessment for a subsequent onset of
 MDD, additional analyses of covariance were performed for
 cortical thickness of these ROIs at baseline (Table S1 in

Supplement 1). There was a significant group effect for right parahippocampal ($p \le .011$) and right fusiform thickness ($p \le .025$). Post hoc pairwise tests indicated that the HR-MDD group had reduced right parahippocampal thickness as compared with HC participants ($p_{Bonf} \le .010$), with no thickness differences between the HC and HR-well group ($p_{Bonf} \le .251$) and only at the trend level between the two high-risk groups ($p_{Bonf} \le .114$). Post hoc tests for the right fusiform gyrus revealed no significant pairwise group differences ($p_{Bonf} \le .086$ for HC versus HR-well; $p_{Bonf} \le .062$ for HC versus HR-MDD), $p_{Bonf} \le .559$ for HR-well versus HR-MDD).

Correlation Analysis

There were no significant FDR-adjusted correlations between the ROIs with depression severity as measured with the HAM-D total score (Table S2 in Supplement 1).

Analysis of Potential Confounders

All results remained significant after FDR correction when randomly excluding related subjects (Table S3 in Supplement 1). For the right parahippocampus and fusiform gyrus, there was a significant group effect ($p \le .002$ and $p \le .009$, respectively). Significant group-by-time interactions were observed for the left inferior frontal and precentral gyrus ($p \le .002$ and $p \le .001$, respectively).

Moreover, all results remained significant when excluding medicated subjects (Table S4 in Supplement 1). There was a significant group effect for the right parahippocampus 502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532 533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557 558

559

560

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

($p \le .001$) and fusiform gyrus ($p \le .009$). Group-by-time interactions for the left inferior frontal and precentral gyrus were observed ($p \le .005$ and $p \le .001$, respectively).

DISCUSSION

This is, to the best of our knowledge, the first prospective longitudinal study examining structural brain changes in individuals at high risk of mood disorders who were unaffected at initial assessment and either developed MDD or remained well during the 2-year follow-up period. We report reduced cortical thickness in the right parahippocampal and fusiform gyrus across the two time points in both high-risk groups relative to control subjects, with the HR-MDD group displaying a thinner parahippocampus gyrus than the HR-well group. Over time, HR-well subjects had progressive thickness reductions in the left inferior frontal and precentral gyrus relative to control subjects, while the HR-MDD group showed cortical thickening of these areas.

581 Our finding of a thinner parahippocampal and fusiform 582 gyrus in high-risk individuals suggests that thinning in these 583 temporal brain regions constitutes a familial trait marker for 584 vulnerability to mood disorders. Whether these structural brain 585 abnormalities are a consequence of shared genetic and/or 586 environmental effects cannot be determined from the data. 587 Given that they are already present in early adulthood, they are 588 unlikely to be of degenerative origin but likely represent 589 disturbances of normal brain development predisposing to 590 illness. Since the HR-MDD subjects displayed a thinner para-591 hippocampal gyrus than the HR-well group over time, these 592 reductions may, in addition, be related to risk of developing 593 MDD. In line with this, our subsequent analyses revealed that 594 reduced parahippocampal thickness in the HR-MDD group 595 was already evident to some degree at baseline assessment 596 before onset of depression. This cross-sectional analysis 597 revealed group-level significance; however, subsequent pair-598 wise comparisons indicated differences in parahippocampal 599 gyrus between the HR groups only at the trend level of 600 significance and therefore should be viewed as preliminary.

601 Previous studies support the possibility that right para-602 hippocampal and fusiform thickness reductions are linked to 603 increased vulnerability for mood disorders. Right parahippo-604 campal thinning has been associated with higher genetic 605 liability to BD (43), and research focusing on candidate genes 606 for mood disorders has detected associations between risk 607 Q7 allele carriers of the DISC1 or BDNF gene and reductions in 608 parahippocampal volume/thickness and fusiform volume 609 (44,45). Right parahippocampal thinning has been observed 610 in BD patients (22) and right fusiform thinning has been 611 Q8 observed in a BD cohort (21,23). The few studies investigating 612 cortical thickness in MDD have not detected similar findings 613 (20,24,25,27-30,46-48), but since they included predomi-614 nantly medicated and/or older adults, it is likely that age, 615 medication, or duration of illness effects accounted for this 616 discrepancy. In keeping with this, a recent voxel-based 617 morphometry meta-analysis indeed showed that only first-618 episode, mainly medication naïve MDD patients have 619 decreased gray matter in a cluster encompassing the right 620 parahippocampal gyrus (10). Longitudinal studies by Frodl 621 et al. (49,50) highlighted that hippocampal volume in MDD patients increased in response to a 3-year antidepressant therapy and that relatively small hippocampal volumes were found in nonremitted as compared with remitted patients. Q9 Moreover, fusiform thinning in high-risk of depression individuals because of a close family history of MDD has been found to be associated with higher depression severity (51). 622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

The parahippocampal gyrus is of particular interest for the etiology of mood disorders because of its potential role in emotional regulation. Functional MRI studies applying facial affect processing paradigms found that BD and MDD patients have increased activation in the right parahippocampus as compared with control subjects (52). Our research group has recently shown that individuals with a high risk of mood disorders who are homozygous for risk haplotype of the DGKH gene show relatively greater brain activation of the right parahippocampus during a verbal fluency task as compared with low-risk haplotype subjects, with the reverse pattern being observed for healthy control subjects (53). Furthermore, it has been shown that remitted depressed patients maintain an increased connectivity of the posterior cingulate cortex with the parahippocampal gyrus and that greater connectivity appears to represent a prognostic factor for future depressive episodes (54).

One plausible explanation for the right-lateralized findings may be related to the possible dominance of the right hemisphere in emotional processing [for a review, see (55)]. The reduced cortical thickness in the parahippocampus and fusiform gyrus may thus be reflecting early right hemispherespecific brain development abnormalities in regions that are playing a distinct role in emotional processing, thereby increasing vulnerability for mood disorders.

Our analysis yielded significant group-by-time interactions for the left inferior frontal and precentral gyrus. The finding of abnormal thinning in these brain areas in the HR-well group over time relative to control subjects suggests that thinning in regionally specific left frontal lobe areas forms a familial trait marker for vulnerability to mood disorders and that abnormal thinning already takes place in early adulthood, potentially reflecting early neurodegenerative processes.

Our results are in line with a twin study that found liability for BD to be associated with inferior frontal and precentral gray matter density reductions (56), with the precentral gray matter reductions being limited to the right hemisphere. Despite potential differences in underlying environmental and genetic risk factors, cortical thinning in both of these frontal areas has also been observed in a cohort of unaffected relatives of MDD patients, with the inferior frontal thinning being restricted to the right hemisphere (51). Also, reduced gray matter volumes of the left precentral gyrus have been detected in individuals at high risk of MDD because of negative cognitive styles (57). Moreover, our findings are in concert with several neuroimaging studies reporting cortical thinning or gray matter volume reductions in the circumscribed brain regions in BD (12,19,22,23,31,58) and MDD patients (20,57).

Importantly, we observed a distinct pattern of increasing relative cortical thickness over time in the HR-MDD as compared with the HR-well group due to an absence of regional thinning of the left inferior frontal and precentral gyrus in the HR-MDD cohort. For the precentral gyrus, the cortical thickness development in the HR-MDD group was also 682 significantly different from the HC subjects. Since our results 683 remained significant after excluding medicated individuals, the 684 observed findings in the HR-MDD group cannot be attributed 685 to medication effects but rather appear to be linked to the 686 onset of illness and underlying disease-associated processes. 687 Given that human brain maturation involves frontal gray matter 688 loss beyond adolescence (59), the absence of cortical thinning 689 in the HR-MDD group may reflect a lack or delay of normal 690 synaptic pruning processes.

691 Although these findings are in contrast to the frequently 692 observed thinning or gray matter decrease in MDD patients, 693 they are in line with two of the three existing longitudinal 694 studies of MDD patients that found cortical thickening of 695 frontal or temporal brain structures over time (46,60) and with 696 recent findings of cortical thickening of various brain areas in 697 MDD patients (24,26,27,30). Interestingly, our findings overlap 698 with a longitudinal study of pediatric prodromal BD subjects, 699 displaying gray matter increases in the left ventrolateral 700 prefrontal cortex (including the inferior frontal gyrus) over time 701 as they convert to BD (61).

702 The strengths of this study are its longitudinal nature, the 703 assessment of subjects before illness onset, the relatively 704 young age of the participants, and the comparatively large 705 sample size of high-risk subjects and control subjects. In 706 addition, all subjects underwent careful clinical assessment at 707 both time points and the effects of medication and relatedness 708 of subjects were ruled out. All brain scans were obtained at the 709 same scanner using the identical protocol at both visits and 710 the MRI data were processed in an identical way using 711 thoroughly validated methods.

712 Nevertheless, some limitations need to be addressed. First, 713 it remains unknown whether currently unaffected HR-well 714 subjects may develop MDD in the future. Second, previous 715 longitudinal studies have reported that the majority of the high-716 risk subjects who developed BD themselves experienced 717 depressive episodes years before conversion (62,63), so it 718 appears likely that some of our HR-MDD subjects may 719 develop BD in the future. The follow-up assessments of our 720 study cohort will clarify if some of the HR-MDD participants 721 will convert to BD and if some of our HR-well subjects will go 722 on to develop a mood disorder. Third, our study groups 723 differed with respect to depression symptom severity at 724 baseline. However, the median of the HAM-D total score 725 was only 1 in the HR-MDD group, suggesting only subsyn-726 dromal depression symptoms. Moreover, our correlation anal-727 ysis revealed no relationship between depression symptom 728 severity and our structural brain measures. Therefore, it 729 appears unlikely that general mood differences at baseline 730 between the groups have influenced our findings. Fourth, the 731 precise onset of MDD has not been assessed, so it remains 732 unknown if the duration of the depressive episode until the 733 second MRI scan was conducted might have influenced the 734 results.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that reduced cortical
thickness in right parahippocampal and right fusiform gyrus
across time constitutes a familial trait marker for vulnerability
to mood disorders. Moreover, enhanced liability to mood
disorders is associated with abnormal left inferior frontal and
precentral gyrus thinning in early adulthood, potentially reflecting early neurodegenerative processes. By contrast, the onset

of MDD is linked to initially thickening of these brain areas, possibly linked to disease-associated processes through a lack of synaptic pruning. Although further longitudinal studies are required to determine their validity, these findings advance our understanding of the neuropathological processes underlying mood disorders. Future longitudinal studies should particularly investigate the course of cortical thickness development before and after the onset of depression using longer time intervals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

This study was conducted at the Brain Research Imaging Centre (http:// www.bric.ed.ac.uk), which is supported by Scottish Imaging Network, a Platform for Scientific Excellence (http://www.sinapse.ac.uk). The investigators also acknowledge the financial support of the National Health Service Research Scotland through the Scottish Mental Health Research Network (www.smhrn.org.uk) who provided assistance with subject recruitment and cognitive assessments.

We thank all the participants and their families for taking part in this study and the radiographers who acquired the magnetic resonance imaging scans.

MP is supported by a studentship from the Medical Research Council. JES is supported by a Clinical Research Training Fellowship from the Wellcome Trust. HCW is supported by a Dorothy Hodgkin Fellowship from the Royal Society (DH080018). SML has received research funding from Abbvie and Roche for therapeutic studies of people with schizophrenia and has been paid by Janssen and Roche to speak at or chair educational meetings about schizophrenia, as well as to contribute to advisory boards about new antipsychotic treatments. AMM was supported by the Health Foundation through a Clinician Scientist Fellowship (Ref: 2268/4295), by the Brain and Behaviour Research Foundation through a National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression Independent Investigator Award, and currently by a Scottish Funding Council Senior Clinical Fellowship. SML, HCW, and AMM have received financial support from Pfizer (formerly Wyeth) in relation to imaging studies of people with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. The investigators also acknowledge the financial support of National Health Service Research Scotland through the Scottish Mental Health Research Network (www.smhrn.org.uk) who provided assistance with subject recruitment and cognitive assessments. All imaging aspects also received financial support from the Dr Mortimer and Theresa Sackler Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. MP, SG, JES, SK, and TS report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

From the Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

Address correspondence to Martina Papmeyer, University of Edinburgh, Division of Psychiatry, Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Morningside Park, Edinburgh, Midlothian EH105HF, United Kingdom; E-mail: Martina.Papmeyer@ ed.ac.uk.

Received Jun 25, 2014; revised Oct 9, 2014; accepted Oct 21, 2014. Supplementary material cited in this article is available online at http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.10.018.

REFERENCES

- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE (2005): Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry 62:593–602.
- Smoller JW, Finn CT (2003): Family, twin, and adoption studies of bipolar disorder. Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet 123C:48–58.

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

- McGuffin P, Rijsdijk F, Andrew M, Sham P, Katz R, Cardno A (2003): The heritability of bipolar affective disorder and the genetic relationship to unipolar depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 60:497–502.
 - Schulze TG, Akula N, Breuer R, Steele J, Nalls MA, Singleton AB, et al. (2012): Molecular genetic overlap in bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and major depressive disorder. World J Biol Psychiatry 15:200–208.
- Craddock N (2006): Genetics of mood disorders. Psychiatry 5: 170–174.
- Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2013): Identification of risk loci with shared effects on five major psychiatric disorders: A genome-wide analysis. Lancet 381: 1371–1379.
 - Hallahan B, Newell J, Soares JC, Brambilla P, Strakowski SM, Fleck DE, et al. (2011): Structural magnetic resonance imaging in bipolar disorder: An international collaborative mega-analysis of individual adult patient data. Biol Psychiatry 69:326–335.
- Kempton MJ, Salvador Z, Munafo MR, Geddes JR, Simmons A, Frangou S, Williams SC (2011): Structural neuroimaging studies in major depressive disorder. Meta-analysis and comparison with bipolar disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 68:675–690.
- Konarski JZ, McIntyre RS, Kennedy SH, Rafi-Tari S, Soczynska JK, Ketter TA (2008): Volumetric neuroimaging investigations in mood disorders: Bipolar disorder versus major depressive disorder. Bipolar Disord 10:1–37.
- Bora E, Fornito A, Pantelis C, Yucel M (2012): Gray matter abnormalities in major depressive disorder: A meta-analysis of voxel based morphometry studies. J Affect Disord 138:9–18.
- Stanfield AC, Moorhead TW, Job DE, McKirdy J, Sussmann JE, Hall J, et al. (2009): Structural abnormalities of ventrolateral and orbitofrontal cortex in patients with familial bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 11: 135–144.
- Bora E, Fornito A, Yucel M, Pantelis C (2010): Voxelwise metaanalysis of gray matter abnormalities in bipolar disorder. Biol Psychiatry 67:1097–1105.
- Lyoo IK, Kim MJ, Stoll AL, Demopulos CM, Parow AM, Dager SR, et al. (2004): Frontal lobe gray matter density decreases in bipolar I disorder. Biol Psychiatry 55:648–651.
- Lopez-Larson MP, DelBello MP, Zimmerman ME, Schwiers ML, Strakowski SM (2002): Regional prefrontal gray and white matter abnormalities in bipolar disorder. Biol Psychiatry 52:93–100.
 - Selvaraj S, Arnone D, Job D, Stanfield A, Farrow TF, Nugent AC, et al. (2012): Grey matter differences in bipolar disorder: A meta-analysis of voxel-based morphometry studies. Bipolar Disord 14:135–145.
 - Peng J, Liu J, Nie B, Li Y, Shan B, Wang G, Li K (2011): Cerebral and cerebellar gray matter reduction in first-episode patients with major depressive disorder: A voxel-based morphometry study. Eur J Radiol 80:395–399.
 - Abe O, Yamasue H, Kasai K, Yamada H, Aoki S, Inoue H, et al. (2010): Voxel-based analyses of gray/white matter volume and diffusion tensor data in major depression. Psychiatry Res 181:64–70.
- Winkler AM, Kochunov P, Blangero J, Almasy L, Zilles K, Fox PT, et al. (2009): Cortical thickness or grey matter volume? The importance of selecting the phenotype for imaging genetics studies. Neuroimage 53: 1135–1146.
 - Foland-Ross LC, Thompson PM, Sugar CA, Madsen SK, Shen JK, Penfold C, et al. (2011): Investigation of cortical thickness abnormalities in lithium-free adults with bipolar I disorder using cortical pattern matching. Am J Psychiatry 168:530–539.
 - Tu PC, Chen LF, Hsieh JC, Bai YM, Li CT, Su TP (2012): Regional cortical thinning in patients with major depressive disorder: A surfacebased morphometry study. Psychiatry Res 202:206–213.
 - Lyoo IK, Sung YH, Dager SR, Friedman SD, Lee JY, Kim SJ, et al. (2006): Regional cerebral cortical thinning in bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 8:65–74.
- Rimol LM, Hartberg CB, Nesvag R, Fennema-Notestine C, Hagler DJ Jr, Pung CJ, et al. (2010): Cortical thickness and subcortical volumes in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Biol Psychiatry 68:41–50.
- 23. Hartberg CB, Sundet K, Rimol LM, Haukvik UK, Lange EH, Nesvag R, et al. (2011): Brain cortical thickness and surface area correlates of

neurocognitive performance in patients with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and healthy adults. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 17:1080–1093.

- Grieve SM, Korgaonkar MS, Koslow SH, Gordon E, Williams LM (2013): Widespread reductions in gray matter volume in depression. Neuroimage Clin 3:332–339.
- Truong W, Minuzzi L, Soares CN, Frey BN, Evans AC, MacQueen GM, Hall GB (2013): Changes in cortical thickness across the lifespan in major depressive disorder. Psychiatry Res 214:204–211.
- van Eijndhoven P, van Wingen G, Katzenbauer M, Groen W, Tepest R, Fernandez G, et al. (2013): Paralimbic cortical thickness in firstepisode depression: Evidence for trait-related differences in mood regulation. Am J Psychiatry 170:1477–1486.
- Reynolds S, Carrey N, Jaworska N, Langevin LM, Yang XR, Macmaster FP (2014): Cortical thickness in youth with major depressive disorder. BMC Psychiatry 14:83.
- Lan MJ, Chhetry BT, Oquendo MA, Sublette ME, Sullivan G, Mann JJ, Parsey RV (2014): Cortical thickness differences between bipolar depression and major depressive disorder. Bipolar Disord 16: 378–388.
- van Tol MJ, Li M, Metzger CD, Hailla N, Horn DI, Li W, et al. (2013): Local cortical thinning links to resting-state disconnectivity in major depressive disorder. Psychol Med 1:1–13.
- Qiu L, Lui S, Kuang W, Huang X, Li J, Zhang J, et al. (2014): Regional increases of cortical thickness in untreated, first-episode major depressive disorder. Transl Psychiatry 4:e378.
- Elvsashagen T, Westlye LT, Boen E, Hol PK, Andreassen OA, Boye B, Malt UF (2013): Bipolar II disorder is associated with thinning of prefrontal and temporal cortices involved in affect regulation. Bipolar Disord 15:855–864.
- Phillips ML, Drevets WC, Rauch SL, Lane R (2003): Neurobiology of emotion perception I: The neural basis of normal emotion perception. Biol Psychiatry 54:504–514.
- Phillips ML, Drevets WC, Rauch SL, Lane R (2003): Neurobiology of emotion perception II: Implications for major psychiatric disorders. Biol Psychiatry 54:515–528.
- 34. Price JL, Drevets WC (2010): Neurocircuitry of mood disorders. Neuropsychopharmacology 35:192–216.
- 35. Drevets WC, Price JL, Furey ML (2008): Brain structural and functional abnormalities in mood disorders: Implications for neurocircuitry models of depression. Brain Struct Funct 213:93–118.
- Whalley HC, Sussmann JE, Chakirova G, Mukerjee P, Peel A, McKirdy J, et al. (2011): The neural basis of familial risk and temperamental variation in individuals at high risk of bipolar disorder. Biol Psychiatry 70:343–349.
- Nelson H (1982): The National Adult Reading Test Manual. Windsor, UK: NFER-Nelson.
- Young RC, Biggs JT, Ziegler VE, Meyer DA (2000): Young Mania Rating Scale. In: Handbook of Psychiatric Measures. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 540–542.
- Hamilton M (1960): A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 23:56–62.
- Fischl B, Sereno MI, Dale AM (1999): Cortical surface-based analysis. II: Inflation, flattening, and a surface-based coordinate system. Neuroimage 9:195–207.
- Dale AM, Fischl B, Sereno MI (1999): Cortical surface-based analysis.
 I. Segmentation and surface reconstruction. Neuroimage 9:179–194.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995): Controlling the false discovery rate a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol 57:289–300.
- Hulshoff Pol HE, van Baal GC, Schnack HG, Brans RG, van der Schot AC, Brouwer RM, et al. (2012): Overlapping and segregating structural brain abnormalities in twins with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 69:349–359.
- Carless MA, Glahn DC, Johnson MP, Curran JE, Bozaoglu K, Dyer TD, et al. (2011): Impact of DISC1 variation on neuroanatomical and neurocognitive phenotypes. Mol Psychiatry 16:1096–1104, 1063.
- 45. Montag C, Weber B, Fliessbach K, Elger C, Reuter M (2009): The BDNF Val66Met polymorphism impacts parahippocampal and

916

917

918

919

920

amygdala volume in healthy humans: Incremental support for a genetic risk factor for depression. Psychol Med 39:1831-1839.

- Jarnum H, Eskildsen SF, Steffensen EG, Lundbye-Christensen S. 46 924 Simonsen CW, Thomsen IS, et al. (2011): Longitudinal MRI study of 925 cortical thickness, perfusion, and metabolite levels in major depres-926 sive disorder. Acta Psychiatr Scand 124:435-446.
- 927 47. Koolschijn PC, van Haren NE, Schnack HG, Janssen J, Hulshoff Pol 928 HE, Kahn RS (2010): Cortical thickness and voxel-based morphometry 929 in depressed elderly. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 20:398-404.
- 48 Ajilore O, Narr K, Rosenthal J, Pham D, Hamilton L, Watari K, et al. 930 (2011): Regional cortical gray matter thickness differences asso-931 ciated with type 2 diabetes and major depression. Psychiatry Res 184: 932 63-70
- 933 49. Frodl T, Jager M, Smajstrlova I, Born C, Bottlender R, Palladino T, 934 et al. (2008): Effect of hippocampal and amygdala volumes on clinical 935 outcomes in major depression: A 3-year prospective magnetic 936 resonance imaging study. J Psychiatry Neurosci 33:423-430.
- 50. Frodl T, Meisenzahl EM, Zetzsche T, Hohne T, Banac S, Schorr C, 937 et al. (2004): Hippocampal and amygdala changes in patients with 938 major depressive disorder and healthy controls during a 1-year follow-939 up. J Clin Psychiatry 65:492-499.
- 940 51. Peterson BS, Warner V, Bansal R, Zhu H, Hao X, Liu J, et al. (2009): 941 Cortical thinning in persons at increased familial risk for major depression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:6273-6278. 942
- 52. Delvecchio G, Fossati P, Boyer P, Brambilla P, Falkai P, Gruber O, 943 et al. (2012): Common and distinct neural correlates of emotional 944 processing in bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: A voxel-945 based meta-analysis of functional magnetic resonance imaging 946 studies. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 22:100-113.
- 947 53 Whalley HC, Papmeyer M, Romaniuk L, Johnstone EC, Hall J, Lawrie SM, et al. (2012): Effect of variation in diacylglycerol kinase eta (DGKH) 948 gene on brain function in a cohort at familial risk of bipolar disorder. 949 Neuropsychopharmacology 37:919-928. 950

Scorfic

- 54. Zamoscik V, Huffziger S, Ebner-Priemer U, Kuehner C, Kirsch P (2014): Increased involvement of the parahippocampal gyri in a sad mood predicts future depressive symptoms [published online ahead Q10 of print February 24]. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci.
- 55. Demaree HA, Everhart DE, Youngstrom EA, Harrison DW (2005): Brain lateralization of emotional processing: Historical roots and a future incorporating "dominance". Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev 4:3-20.
- 56 van der Schot AC, Vonk R, Brouwer RM, van Baal GC, Brans RG, van Haren NE, et al. (2010): Genetic and environmental influences on focal brain density in bipolar disorder. Brain 133:3080-3092.
- Zhang X, Yao S, Zhu X, Wang X, Zhong M (2012): Gray matter volume abnormalities in individuals with cognitive vulnerability to depression: A voxel-based morphometry study. J Affect Disord 136:443-452.
- 58. Reduction of cingulate gray matter density in poor outcome bipolar illness. Psychiatry Res 130:153-159.
- 59 Toga AW (2003): Mapping cortical change across the human life span. Nat Neurosci 6:309-315.
- Ahdidan J, Hviid LB, Chakravarty MM, Ravnkilde B, Rosenberg R, Rodell A, et al. (2011): Longitudinal MR study of brain structure and hippocampus volume in major depressive disorder. Acta Psychiatr Scand 123:211-219.
- 61. Gogtay N, Ordonez A, Herman DH, Hayashi KM, Greenstein D, Vaituzis C, et al. (2007): Dynamic mapping of cortical development before and after the onset of pediatric bipolar illness. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 48:852-862.
- Duffy A (2010): From predisposition to illness: Genetically sensitive 62 intermediate pathways to mood disorders. Br J Psychiatry 197: 341-342
- Hillegers MH, Reichart CG, Wals M, Verhulst FC, Ormel J, Nolen WA 63. (2005): Five-year prospective outcome of psychopathology in the adolescent offspring of bipolar parents. Bipolar Disord 7:344-350.

951

922

923

Doris A, Belton E, Ebmeier KP, Glabus MF, Marshall I (2004): Sowell ER, Peterson BS, Thompson PM, Welcome SE, Henkenius AL,

966

967

968

975 976 977

974

978 979

980