

Landscape ecology and wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) habitat modeling in the Mediterranean region

M. Narce, R. Meloni, T. Beroud, A. Pléney & J. C. Ricci

Narce, M., Meloni, R., Beroud, T., Pléney, A. & Ricci, J. C., 2012. Landscape ecology and wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) habitat modeling in the Mediterranean region. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, 35.2: 277–283.

Abstract

Landscape ecology and wild rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus) habitat modeling in the Mediterranean region.—Landscape modification is one of the reasons for the decrease in rabbit populations. The objective of this study was to model wild rabbit habitat using landscape ecology to create a diagnosis method able to assess habitat quality at a large scale. Rabbit presence/absence was recorded on 536 plots of 1 ha. Spotlight transect counts indicated a low relative abundance (KIA = 2.3 rabbits/km). We produced a land use map with metric precision using remote sensing. Water, bare soil, herbaceous, shrubs and trees were identified. Landscape structure and diversity were evaluated using variables available in FRAGSTATS. A logistic regression was performed to assess the link between rabbit presence/absence and landscape structure. Our results indicate that a suitable habitat has a high diversity, a medium number of patches and a small proportion of shrubs. These results could be used to diagnose the landscape prior to any management action to enhance rabbit populations and conversely be helpful as a tool of integrated control in the cases of local outbreaks with agricultural damages.

Key words: Wild rabbit, Habitat modeling, Landscape pattern, Remote sensing, Mediterranean region.

Resumen

Ecología del paisaje y modelización del hábitat del conejo (Oryctolagus cuniculus) en la región mediterránea.—La modificación del paisaje es una de las razones de la disminución de las poblaciones de conejo. El objetivo de este estudio era la modelización del hábitat del conejo, usando la ecología del paisaje para crear un método de diagnóstico capaz de evaluar la calidad del hábitat a gran escala. Se observó la presencia/ausencia de conejos en 536 parcelas de 1 ha. Los recuentos nocturnos mediante transectos indicaron una abundancia relativa baja (IKA = 2,3 conejos/km). Por otra parte se realizó, mediante teledetección, una cartografía de uso del suelo con precisión métrica. Se identificaron el agua, el suelo desnudo, las plantas herbáceas, arbustos y los árboles. Se evaluaron la estructura del paisaje y su diversidad utilizando las variables disponibles en FRAGSTATS. Para evaluar la relación entre la presencia/ausencia del conejo y la estructura del paisaje se utilizó una regresión logística. Nuestros resultados indican que un hábitat adecuado tiene una gran diversidad, un número medio de parcelas y una pequeña proporción de arbustos. Estos resultados podrían ser utilizados para diagnosticar el paisaje antes de cualquier acción de gestión enfocada a aumentar las poblaciones de conejo, y a la inversa, ser un instrumento de control integrado en los casos de invasiones locales que causan daños a la agricultura.

Palabras clave: Conejo, Modelización del hábitat, Estructura del paisaje, Teledetección, Región mediterránea.

(Received: 22 XII 11; Conditional acceptance: 12 III 12; Final acceptance: 25 IV 12)

Mathieu Narce, Timothée Beroud, Anne Pléney & Jean-Claude Ricci, *Inst. Méditerranéen du Patrimoine Cynégétique et Faunistique, Domaine expérimental agri-environnement, Villa 'Les Bouillens', 30310 Vergèze, France.*— Roberto Meloni, *CEMAGREF, UMR TETIS, 34093 Montpellier, France.*

Corresponding author: Mathieu Narce. E-mail: instmed@impcf.fr

Introduction

Populations of European wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) have decreased in France since the 1950s (Marchandeu, 2000). This decline is mainly due to diseases (myxomatosis and rabbit viral haemorrhagic disease), evolution of agricultural practices and excessive hunting (Trout et al., 1992; Villafuerte et al., 1995; Marchandeu et al., 1998). Rabbits are considered a keystone species in the Mediterranean region (Delibes–Mateos et al., 2007). Because they are prey for many threatened species such as Bonelli's eagle *Aquila fasciata* or eagle owl *Bubo bubo* (Delibes & Hiraldo, 1981) recovery of rabbit populations could have a positive effect on the conservation of these species (Moreno & Villafuerte, 1995). Furthermore, the rabbit was the principal game species in France until the 1950s and it continues to be a main target species.

To enhance rabbit populations, detailed knowledge of habitat requirements is fundamental. In recent years, many studies have been carried out along these lines (Rogers & Myers, 1979; Calvete et al., 2004; Carvalho & Gomes, 2004; Beja et al., 2007; Serrano Pérez et al., 2008) and several reports have pointed out the importance of the landscape structure. More specifically, they have observed that the interspersed shelter and open areas is linked to the predation risk (Palomares & Delibes, 1997; Villafuerte & Moreno, 1997).

The aim of this study was to create a diagnostic method able to assess habitat quality at a finer spatial scale than in previous works and in large areas (several hundred or thousand hectares). This could allow us to develop guidelines for managers and help them to increase rabbit populations. We first developed a high definition (metric precision) land–use map using remote sensing and automated photo–interpretation (Lucas et al., 2007). We then analysed the landscape structure to create a habitat suitability model in order to identify factors related to rabbit distribution.

Material and methods

Study area

The study area is located in the southeast of France and covers the Natura 2000 (ZPS) area of Durance valley (figs. 1A, 1B). It is 240 km long and 2 km wide (1 km on both sides of the river) for an area of 46,500 ha. The altitude varies from 15 m to 780 m a.s.l. and there is a Mediterranean climate (average annual temperature and rainfall, 12.4°C and 720 mm respectively) (Benichou & Le Breton, 1987). The land use in the study area is: 12% of artificial area, 38% of agricultural area, 42% of forest and semi–natural area and 8% of wetland and water (Union européenne–SOEs, 2006). The main natural areas are riparian forest with *Populus* sp., *Alnus* sp., *Salix* sp. and *Quercus* sp. Agricultural practices are mainly mixed farming with crop, arboriculture and breeding.

Six pilot areas of about 100 ha each were demarcated and divided into plots of 1 ha. Using spotlight transect counts, an average kilometric abundance

index of 2.3 rabbits/km was obtained (Ballinger & Morgan, 2002). It indicated a low relative abundance of rabbit.

Determination of presence/absence areas

Rabbit presence/absence was determined on 536 plots of 1 ha distributed in the various pilot areas. The plot area was close to the size of the home range of the rabbit (Lombardi et al., 2007; Marchandeu et al., 2007). Each plot was randomly prospected during 15' noting each sign of rabbit presence: pellets, latrines, burrows and scratches. Two field sessions were conducted during the third quarter of 2008 and 2009 and two others during the first quarter of 2009 and 2010. Thus, each plot was prospected four times. The information was synthesized by considering that rabbits were present on a plot if their presence was noted at least once. Rabbits were considered absent if their absence was noted four times on a plot.

Land use cartography

In order to quantify landscape structure by calculating several metrics, a land use map with metric precision was performed using remote sensing technology. Aerial photographs with dot pitch of 50 cm were taken in July 2009 using the near infrared channel and the red, green and blue channel. An automated photo–interpretation was made in two steps with eCognition (Trimble) software (Baatz et al., 2001) using the object–oriented classification method which is suitable for very high resolution (Xiaoxia et al., 2005). First, we performed a segmentation consisting of delimiting polygons with homogenous land use. Each polygon was then classified as one of the land use types. The five different land use types identified were: water, bare soil, herbaceous (< 1 m), shrubs (1 << 7 m) and trees (> 7 m). Finally, the data were rasterized with cells of 4 m² (2 m x 2 m) (Xiaoxia et al., 2005).

Calculation of landscape metrics

Landscape structure and diversity were evaluated using 20 variables. Eleven were calculated using ArcMap™ 9.1 software using FRAGSTATS interface (McGarigal & Marks, 1994): Number of Patches (Nump), Patch Richness (PR), Largest Patch Index (LPI), Mean Patch Size (MPS), Edge Density (ED), Area Weighted Mean Shape Index (AWMSI), Area Weighted Mean Patch Fractal Dimension (AWMPFD), Mean Nearest Neighbor (MNN), Interspersion Juxtaposition Index (IJI), Total Core Area (TCA) and Core Area Density (CAD). The width of the buffer needed to define the core area of the patches was set at 25 m.

The nine others variables were directly calculated with ArcMap. PROPWATER, PROPBARESOIL, PROPHERB, PROPSHRUBS and PROPTREES indicate the proportion of the different land use types in the landscape. PROPOPEN and PROPCOVER, respectively, indicate the proportion of open areas (bare soil and herbaceous) and cover (shrubs and trees). NEARESTOPENAREA is the mean distance between points

randomly positioned in cover areas and the nearest open area. For each plot of 1 ha, the surface of cover was calculated and one point was used for 100 m² of cover with a minimum of 30 points. The same was applied for the NEARESTCOVER which indicates the average mean distance to the nearest cover. All the variables are quantitative except PR which is qualitative with three modalities (one or two patch types: PR = a, three patch types: PR = b, four or five patch types: PR = c).

Statistical analysis

All the statistical analysis were performed using R® software (2.12.2 version) (R Development Core Team, 2011). The descriptive analysis reveals the presence of plots with very high values of NUMP and AWMSI. The specific study of these plots indicates that they were composed of orchards and row crops. Because of this particular landscape structure, remote sensing was poor. Thus, they were considered as outliers and removed from the data set which was finally composed of 516 measures. The correlation matrix indicates some colinearity and this was taken into account by computing the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) with a threshold of 3 to test colinearity among the variables included in the different models.

First, we compared the means of the variables from presence versus absence areas. Homoscedasticity was tested by an *F*-test. In cases of homoscedasticity, a *t*-test was performed. Otherwise, a Welch's test was carried out.

A generalized linear model was then used with Logit as a link function to assess the link between rabbit presence/absence (binary independent variable) and the landscape metrics (dependant variables) (Traissac et al., 1999). The data set was randomly divided in two parts: 80% of the sample for model calibration and the remaining 20% for validation.

The first selection was done by minimizing Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Akaike, 1974; Lebreton et al., 1992; Posada & Buckley, 2004) with a stepwise selection starting with null model (function 'step' under R software with argument direction = 'both') (R Development Core Team, 2011). It consists of adding variables one by one and trying to remove those of the previous steps in order to minimize AIC. On the first model obtained by stepwise selection, Wald's test was used to identify variables whose coefficient was not significantly different from zero (Hauck & Donner, 1977); these variables were removed. Finally, the sign of the coefficient of the different variables was examined. When we failed to find a logical and biological explanation (inconsistencies with other results in this study and with literature, no colinearity), the variable was removed. Interactions of first degree were tested with Wald's test in the model obtained by stepwise selection and in the final model. The goodness of fit was tested with Hosmer–Lemeshow test (Lemeshow & Hosmer, 1982; Hosmer & Lemeshow, 2000) and McFadden's pseudo *r*-squared. The discrimination capacity of the model was evaluated by means of a confusion matrix and Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve (Agresti, 2002).




Fig. 1. Study area in the southeast of France (A) and location of the six pilot areas (B).

Fig. 1. Área de estudio en el sureste de Francia (A) y localización de las seis áreas experimentales (B).

Results

Mean difference between presence and absence areas are summarized in table 1. A significant difference was found for 15 variables. Seven variables had a higher value in the presence areas: IJI, NUMP, ED, AWMSI, AWMPFD, PR and PROPTREES. In contrast, MNN, MPS, TCA, CAD, LPI, PROPSHRUBS, NEARESTCOVER and NEARESTOPENAREA were significantly higher in areas of absence.

The first model obtained by stepwise selection was composed of the following variables: NUMP, NUMP², PR, PROPSHRUBS, IJI and TCA. The VIF indicates that there was no colinearity among these variables. According to the Wald test, the coefficient of IJI was not significantly different from 0 ($P = 0.151$), so this variable was removed from the model. The positive coefficient of TCA seems to specify that large patches with an important core area would be more suitable for rabbit. This result was not due to colinearity and it was not consistent with other results of the present study or with the literature; so this variable was also removed. The final model was made up of four variables: NUMP,

Table 1. Mean difference between variables in absence areas (Aa) vs. presence areas (Pa). (For abbreviations of variables see Material and methods.)

Tabla 1. Diferencias entre las medias de las variables en las zonas de ausencia (Aa) vs. presencia (Pa). (para las abreviaturas de las variables, ver Material and methods.)

Variables	Aa (N = 132)	Pa (N = 384)	P-value
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
MNN	6.84 ± 5.44	4.64 ± 2.17	1.28 x 10 ⁻⁰⁵ ***
IJI	50.2 ± 30.0	65.9 ± 19.2	7.69 x 10 ⁻⁰⁸ ***
NUMP	38.7 ± 37.2	65.7 ± 35.6	4.41 x 10 ⁻¹³ ***
MPS	0.06 ± 0.08	0.03 ± 0.03	2.43 x 10 ⁻⁰⁷ ***
ED	1,419.5 ± 758	1,939.8 ± 724	6.37 x 10 ⁻¹² ***
AWMSI	2.31 ± 0.85	2.82 ± 0.84	3.97 x 10 ⁻⁰⁹ ***
AWMPFD	1.19 ± 0.09	1.25 ± 0.07	1.13 x 10 ⁻⁰⁹ ***
TCA	0.04 ± 0.07	0.01 ± 0.04	3.06 x 10 ⁻⁰⁵ ***
CAD	61.4 ± 61.3	31.8 ± 59.9	1.50 x 10 ⁻⁰⁶ ***
LPI	64.1 ± 23.8	53.7 ± 21.5	4.74 x 10 ⁻⁰⁶ ***
PR	3.22 ± 1.22	3.79 ± 0.84	1.20 x 10 ⁻⁰⁷ ***
PROPSHRUBS	0.25 ± 0.26	0.17 ± 0.16	1.15 x 10 ⁻⁰³ **
PROPTREES	0.20 ± 0.27	0.29 ± 0.26	4.65 x 10 ⁻⁰⁴ ***
NEARESTCOVER	11.84 ± 11.3	8.64 ± 8.82	3.42 x 10 ⁻⁰³ **
NEARESTOPENAREA	9.8 ± 11.49	7.3 ± 8.28	0.023 *
PROPPERB	0.42 ± 0.35	0.35 ± 0.25	0.063
PROPBARESOIL	0.11 ± 0.21	0.16 ± 0.23	0.068
PROPWATER	0.02 ± 0.05	0.02 ± 0.07	0.396
PROPOPEN	0.54 ± 0.34	0.52 ± 0.29	0.600
PROPCOVER	0.46 ± 0.34	0.48 ± 0.29	0.600

NUMP², PR and PROPSHRUBS (table 2). All of them were significant according to the Wald's test. Interactions were tested in the various previous models but were not significant.

Figure 2 (A and B) illustrates the effect of the variables on the probability of rabbit presence. Figure 2A indicates that the probability of presence is higher when there are three or more patch types in the landscape, suggesting it should be diversified to be favourable. Figure 2B summarises the effect of the proportion of shrubs and the number of patches on the probability of presence. The probability decreased when the proportion of shrubs increased. Because of the presence of the square term of NUMP, the probability of presence correlated positively with the number of patches up to about 100 patches/ha, after which it was negatively correlated. It thus reaches its maximum when there are about 100 patches per hectare.

Table 2. Coefficients of the variables retained in the model and P-value of Wald test.

Tabla 2. Coeficientes de las variables utilizadas en el modelo y P-value del test de Wald.

Term	Estimates	P-value Wald
Constant	-1.841	3.6 x 10 ⁻⁴ ***
NUMP	0.066	4.12 x 10 ⁻⁸ ***
NUMP ²	-3.58 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.76 x 10 ⁻⁶ ***
PR = b	1.323	1.92 x 10 ⁻² *
PR = c	1.339	1.54 x 10 ⁻² *
PROPSHRUBS	-1.756	3.26 x 10 ⁻³ **




Fig. 2. Relationship between probability of presence and patch richness (A) and relationship between probability of presence, proportion of shrubs and number of patches for PR = b (B).

Fig. 2. Relación entre la probabilidad de presencia y la diversidad de parcelas (A) y relación entre la probabilidad de presencia, la proporción de arbustos y el número de parcelas para PR = b (B).

The Hosmer–Lemeshow test (3.99, $P = 0.86$) indicated that the model correctly fitted the data. McFadden's pseudo r -square (0.19) was also computed and indicated an adequate fit. The Variance Inflation Factor revealed no collinearity. Using the validation data set, the area under the ROC curve (AUC) was 0.79. This value shows that the model had a high capacity for discrimination. Sensitivity and the specificity were calculated using the confusion matrix and were 76% and 73%, respectively.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to identify landscape factors that influence rabbit distribution. Climatic conditions and altitude were thus not used as predictor variables. However, even if there is a large range of altitude on the study area, climatic conditions are consistent with rabbit requirements. To detect the presence of rabbits, all the signs of presence were taken into account rather than only the traditionally used pellets. The risk of declaring an absence where the rabbit was present was therefore minimal. The endpoint was to identify suitable habitats on the basis of rabbit distribution. Their distribution also depends on other factors, however, such as disease impact, and it can vary from one year to the next. To minimize these biases, four field sessions were conducted, spread over three years and an area was considered as suitable if rabbit presence was recorded at least once. However, the

absence of rabbit from some suitable areas cannot be totally ruled out.

The probability threshold used to discriminate rabbit presence and absence was set at 0.75. This value permitted to maximise the discrimination capacity of the model. It only discriminated between suitable and unsuitable habitats because it works as a binary variable. However, when the model is used for prediction, it can be modified according to the risk to be minimised. Increasing the threshold would limit the number of false positive cases and decreasing it would limit false negative cases.

In this study, the land use map was created using remote sensing which combines high definition aerial photography with automated photo-interpretation. Aerial photography with a dot pitch of 50 cm allowed us to make a more precise map than those using classical aerial photography or 1:25,000 maps. Thus, the map was composed of pixels of 4 m² which seem to be consistent with a rabbit habitat study. This high precision could be interesting to precisely evaluate habitat structure in relation to the perception scale of the rabbit. Moreover, the use of remote sensing can work on very large areas. This technique avoids manual digitalisation which is very time consuming on areas of several hundred hectares. However, it has a disadvantage because it only takes into account the highest vegetation layer. For example, it ignores the presence of herbaceous or shrubs under trees.

The results presented in table 1 suggest that fifteen variables could be useful to describe rabbit habitat,

even if only four of them are used in the final model. The variables IJI, AWMSI and AWMPFD underline the importance of the fractal dimension (Jimenez et al., 2006) and interspersed (Carvalho & Gomes, 2004) between patches.

The statistical significance of the variables NUMP, PROPSHRUBS and NEARESTCOVER indicates that a suitable habitat is a patchwork with some evenly distributed shrub cover. This is consistent with the results of Moreno & Villafuerte (1995) that indicated that rabbit abundance was greatest at less than 20 m from scrub cover. The importance of the arrangement of cover and open areas can be considered an anti-predator strategy. Cover is safe for the rabbit to hide from the birds of prey during the day. On the contrary, open areas are safer at night because carnivorous mammals use cover for hunting (Moreno et al., 1996).

This study provides some characteristics of rabbit habitat obtained using remote sensing using a fine spatial scale. Based on our results, we have a diagnostic method which could be used to assess habitat quality concerning rabbit requirements. This process could enable accurate discrimination between suitable and unsuitable areas over areas of several hundred or thousand hectares. Evaluation of unsuitable areas might identify variables of inadequate value. Such information would be of valuable help for managers trying to improve habitat quality in order to enhance rabbit populations. Conversely, this method could be used as a tool of integrated control to reduce rabbit populations (Boag, 1987). In the case of local outbreaks causing agricultural damage, modification of habitat structure could create an unsuitable habitat and lead to a long-term decrease of rabbit populations.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all colleagues and those who contributed to this study, especially the *Fédérations Départementales de Chasseurs* (04, 05, 13, 83, 84) for technical services and the *Fédération Nationale des Chasseurs* (FNC) and the *Fédération Régionale des Chasseurs de Provence-Alpes-Côte-d'Azur* (FRC PACA) for financial support. We are also indebted to an anonymous referee for helpful comments and critical review of the manuscript.

References

- Agresti, A., 2002. *Categorical data analysis*. 2nd edn. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken.
- Akaike, H., 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 19: 716–723.
- Baatz, M., Benz, U., Dehghani, S., Heynen, M., Höltje, A., Hofmann, P., Lingenfelder, I., Mimler, M., Sohlbach, M., Weber, M. & Willhauck, G., 2001. *eCognition Object Oriented Image Analysis*. User Guide, Definiens Imaging, Munich.
- Ballinger, A. & Morgan, D. G., 2002. Validating two methods for monitoring population size of the European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). *Wildlife Research*, 29: 431–437.
- Beja, P., Pais, M. & Palma, L., 2007. Rabbit *Oryctolagus cuniculus* habitats in Mediterranean scrubland: the role of scrub structure and composition. *Wildlife Biology*, 13: 28–37.
- Benichou, P. & Le Breton, O., 1987. Prise en compte de la topographie pour la cartographie des champs pluviométriques statistiques. *La Météorologie*, 19: 23–34.
- Boag, B., 1987. Reduction in numbers of the wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) due to changes in agricultural practices and land use. *Crop Protection*, 6: 347–351.
- Calvete, C., Estrada, R., Angulo, E. & Cabezas-Ruiz, S., 2004. Habitat factors related to wild rabbit conservation in an agricultural landscape. *Landscape Ecology*, 19: 531–542.
- Carvalho, J. C. & Gomes, P., 2004. Influence of herbaceous cover, shelter and land cover structure on wild rabbit abundance in NW Portugal. *Acta Theriologica*, 49: 63–74.
- Delibes, M. & Hiraldo, F., 1981. The rabbit as prey in the Iberian Mediterranean ecosystem. In: *Proceedings of the 1 World Lagomorph Conference*: 614–622 (K. Myers & C. D. MacInnes, Eds.). Univ. of Guelph, Canada.
- Delibes-Mateos, M., Redpath, S. M., Angulo, E., Ferreras, P. & Villafuerte, R., 2007. Rabbits as a keystone species in southern Europe. *Biological Conservation*, 137: 149–156.
- Hauck, W. W. & Donner, A., 1977. Wald's test as applied to hypotheses in logit analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 72: 851–853.
- Hosmer, D. W. & Lemeshow, S., 2000. *Applied Logistic Regression*, 2nd edn. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- Jimenez, D., Martinez, J. E. & Peiro, V., 2006. Relationship between game species and landscape structure in the SE of Spain. *Wildlife Biology in Practice*, 2: 48–62.
- Lebreton, J. D., Burnham, K. P., Clobert, J. & Anderson, D. R., 1992. Modeling survival and testing biological hypotheses using marked animals: a unified approach with case studies. *Ecological monographs*, 62: 67–118.
- Lemeshow, S. & Hosmer, D. W., 1982. A review of goodness of fit statistics for use in the development of logistic regression models. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 115: 92–106.
- Lombardi, L., Fernandez, N. & Moreno, S., 2007. Habitat use and spatial behaviour in the European rabbit in three Mediterranean environments. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 8: 453–463.
- Lucas, R., Rowlands, A., Brown, A., Keyworth, S. & Bunting, P., 2007. Rule-based classification of multi-temporal satellite imagery for habitat and agricultural land cover mapping. *ISPRS Journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing*, 62: 165–185.
- Marchandeu, S., 2000. Enquête nationale sur les tableaux de chasse à tir saison 1998–1999. Le lapin de garenne. *Faune Sauvage*, 251: 18–25.
- Marchandeu, S., Chantal, J., Portejoie, Y., Barraud,

- S. & Chaval, Y., 1998. Impact of viral haemorrhagic disease on a wild population of European rabbits in France. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 34: 429–435.
- Marchandeau, S., Devillard, S., Aubineau, J., Berger, F., Léonard, Y. & Roobrouck, A., 2007. Domaine vital chez le lapin de garenne dans trois populations contrastées. *Rapport scientifique, ONCFS*: 33–37.
- McGarigal, K. & Marks, B. J., 1994. *Fragstats: spatial pattern analysis program for quantifying landscape structure (version 2.0)*. Forest Science Dept., Oregon State Univ.
- Moreno, S. & Villafuerte, R., 1995. Traditional management of scrubland for the conservation of rabbits *Oryctolagus cuniculus* and their predators in Doñana National Park, Spain. *Biological Conservation*, 73: 81–85.
- Moreno, S., Villafuerte, R. & Delibes, M., 1996. Cover is safe during the day but dangerous at night: the use of vegetation by European wild rabbits. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 76: 1656–1660.
- Palomares, F. & Delibes, M., 1997. Predation upon European rabbits and their use of open and closed patches in Mediterranean habitats. *Oikos*, 80: 407–410.
- Posada, D. & Buckley, T. R., 2004. Model Selection and Model Averaging in Phylogenetics: Advantages of Akaike Information Criterion and Bayesian Approaches Over Likelihood Ratio Tests. *Systematic Biology*, 53: 793–808.
- R Development Core Team, 2011. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Available at: <http://www.R-project.org/> [accessed 28 IX 11].
- Rogers, P. M. & Myers, K., 1979. Ecology of the European wild rabbit, *Oryctolagus cuniculus* (L.) in Mediterranean habitats. I. Distribution in the landscape of Coto Doñana, S. Spain. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 16: 691–703.
- Serrano Pérez, S., Jacksic, D., Meriggi, A. & Vidus Rosin, A., 2008. Density and habitat use by the European wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) in an agricultural area of northern Italy. *Hystrix, The Italian Journal of Mammalogy*, 19: 143–156.
- Traissac, P., Martin-prével, Y., Delpuech, F. & Maire, B., 1999. Régression logistique vs autres modèles linéaires généralisés pour l'estimation de rapports de prévalences. *Revue d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique*, 47: 593–604.
- Trout, R. C., Ross, J., Tittensor, A. M. & Fox, A. P., 1992. The effect on a British wild rabbit population (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) of manipulating myxomatosis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 29: 679–686.
- Union européenne–SOeS, 2006. *Corine Land Cover*. Villafuerte, R., Calvete, C., Blanco, J. C. & Lucientes, J., 1995. Incidence of viral hemorrhagic disease in wild rabbit populations in Spain. *Mammalia*, 59: 651–659.
- Villafuerte, R. & Moreno, S., 1997. Predation risk, cover type and group size in European rabbits in Doñana (SW Spain). *Acta Theriologica*, 42: 225–230.
- Xiaoxia, S., Jixian, Z. & Zhengjun, L., 2005. A comparison of object-oriented and pixel-based classification approaches using QuickBird Imagery. In: ISPRS STM 2005 (ISSTM): *Proceedings of International Symposium on Spatio-temporal Modeling, Spatial Reasoning, Analysis, Data Mining and Data Fusion*. Peking Univ., China.
-

