

Publ. Mat. 55 (2011), 185-200

SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF STATIONARY SOLUTIONS OF THE NONLINEAR HEAT EQUATION

THIERRY CAZENAVE, FLÁVIO DICKSTEIN, AND FRED B. WEISSLER

 $Abstract_{-}$

In this paper, we prove that if Ψ is a radially symmetric, sign changing stationary solution of the nonlinear heat equation

(NLH)
$$u_t - \Delta u = |u|^{\alpha} u,$$

in the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^N , N=3, with Dirichlet boundary conditions, then the solution of (NLH) with initial value $\lambda\Psi$ blows up in finite time if $|\lambda-1|>0$ is sufficiently small and if $\alpha>0$ is sufficiently small. The proof depends on showing that the inner product of Ψ with the first eigenfunction of the linearized operator $L=-\Delta-(\alpha+1)|\Psi|^{\alpha}$ is nonzero.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we continue our study [1] [2], [3] of the instability of sign-changing stationary solutions of the nonlinear heat equation. Let Ω be a bounded, smooth domain of \mathbb{R}^N and $\alpha > 0$. Given an initial value $u_0 \in C_0(\Omega)$, consider the nonlinear heat equation

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = |u|^{\alpha} u, \\ u_{|\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the above initial value problem is locally well-posed. More precisely, there exists a maximal time $0 < T_{u_0} \le \infty$ and a (unique) function $u \in C([0,T_{u_0}),C_0(\Omega)) \cap C((0,T_{u_0}),C^2(\overline{\Omega})) \cap C^1((0,T_{u_0}),C_0(\Omega))$ which is a classical solution of (1.1) on $(0,T_{u_0})$ and such that $u(0)=u_0$. Furthermore, there is the blowup alternative: either $T_{u_0}=\infty$ (i.e. u is a global solution) or else $T_{u_0}<\infty$ and $\lim_{t\uparrow T_{u_0}}\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}=\infty$ (i.e. u blows up in finite time). An important question which has been studied

 $Key\ words.$ Semilinear heat equation, finite-time blowup, sign-changing stationary solutions, linearized operator.

Flávio Dickstein was partially supported by CNPq (Brasil).

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K55, 35B35, 35J60.

extensively over the past fifty years is to determine whether or not a solution blows up in finite time in terms of conditions on the initial value u_0 . The case of positive solutions is better understood than the case of sign-changing solutions. Early papers showing the existence of blowing-up solutions are Kaplan [9], Itô [7], [8] and Fujita [4], [5]. To the best of our knowledge, the first blow-up result which applies to sign-changing solutions is due to Levine [10].

The question of finite-time blowup is related to the properties of stationary solutions. In particular, in the subcritical case

$$(1.2) \qquad \qquad \alpha < \frac{4}{(N-2)^+},$$

it is well known that there exists a positive regular stationary solution Ψ of (1.1) and that if $u_0 \geq \Psi$, $u_0 \not\equiv \Psi$ then $T_{u_0} < \infty$. (See [11] and Section 19.2 in [12].) More recently, still in the subcritical case, Gazzola and Weth [6] proved that if $u_0 \geq \Psi$, $u_0 \not\equiv \Psi$ or if $u_0 \leq \Psi$, $u_0 \not\equiv \Psi$ where Ψ is a sign-changing stationary solution, then $T_{u_0} < \infty$.

The results in [1], [2], [3] show that there is a more subtle relationship between stationary solutions and blowup. More precisely, let $\Psi \in C_0(\Omega)$ be a stationary solution of (1.1), i.e.

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \Psi = |\Psi|^{\alpha} \Psi, \\ \Psi_{|\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and consider the linearized operator L on $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by

(1.4)
$$\begin{cases} D(L) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), \\ Lu = -\Delta u - (\alpha + 1) |\Psi|^{\alpha} u, \quad u \in D(L). \end{cases}$$

Let λ_1 be the first eigenvalue of L and let Φ be a corresponding positive eigenvector of L, i.e.

$$(1.5) L\Phi = \lambda_1 \Phi, \quad \Phi > 0.$$

The following theorem is a special case of Theorem 2.3 in [3].

Theorem 1.1 ([3]). Assume (1.2). Let Ψ and Φ satisfy (1.3)–(1.5) and assume, in addition, that Ψ is sign-changing. If

then the solution of (1.1) with the initial value $u_0 = \lambda \Psi$ blows up in finite time for all $\lambda \neq 1$, λ sufficiently close to 1.

Up until now, we have been able to establish (1.6) only for radially symmetric solutions in a ball. Recall that if Ψ is radially symmetric, then so is Φ . In [1], we proved the following result which concerns values of α close to 4/(N-2) for $N \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.2 ([1]). Let Ω be the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 3$. It follows that there exists $0 < \overline{\alpha} < 4/(N-2)$ with the following property. If $\overline{\alpha} < \alpha < 4/(N-2)$, Ψ and Φ satisfy (1.3)–(1.5), Ψ is sign-changing and radially symmetric, then (1.6) holds. Therefore, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $0 < |\lambda - 1| < \varepsilon$, then the solution of (1.1) with the initial value $u_0 = \lambda \Psi$ blows up in finite time.

In this paper we prove the following result concerning small $\alpha > 0$.

Theorem 1.3. Let Ω be the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^N with N=3. Given any positive integer ℓ , there exists $0 < \underline{\alpha} < 4/(N-2)$ with the following property. If $0 < \alpha < \underline{\alpha}$, Ψ and Φ satisfy (1.3)–(1.5), Ψ is radially symmetric and changes sign exactly ℓ times on (0,1) (as a function of r), then (1.6) holds. Therefore, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $0 < |\lambda - 1| < \varepsilon$, then the solution of (1.1) with the initial value $u_0 = \lambda \Psi$ blows up in finite time.

In light of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.3 is an immediate consequence of the following two results. Both statements use the Cauchy principal value p.v. (see Section 4). The first one is valid in any dimension $N \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.4. Let Ω be the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^N and let $\ell \geq 1$ be an integer. Assume that

(1.7) p.v.
$$\int_0^1 \frac{\varphi}{\psi} |\psi'|^2 r^{N-1} dr \neq 0$$
,

for any two radially symmetric eigenfunctions φ , ψ of $-\Delta$ with domain $H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi > 0$ and ψ changes sign exactly ℓ times on (0,1) (as a function of r). It follows that (1.6) holds for all sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$ provided Ψ and Φ satisfy (1.3)–(1.5) and Ψ is radially symmetric and changes sign exactly ℓ times.

Proposition 1.5. Let Ω be the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^N with N=3. Let φ , ψ be two radially symmetric eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ with domain $H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$. Suppose, in addition, that $\varphi > 0$ and that ψ is sign-changing. It follows that (1.7) holds.

Remark 1.6. In dimension N=1, much more can be said. Indeed, given any $\alpha > 0$, every solution of (1.3) extends in an obvious way to an anti-periodic solution on \mathbb{R} . Consequently, the solution of (1.1) with

the initial value $u_0 = \lambda \Psi$ is determined by the solution of (1.1), but on a subinterval where Ψ does not change sign (between two consecutive zeroes of Ψ). In particular, the situation reduces to the case where $\Psi > 0$. Thus we see that, even if Ψ is sign-changing, the solution of (1.1) with the initial value $u_0 = \lambda \Psi$ blows up if and only if $|\lambda| > 1$. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that

$$(1.8) \qquad \int_{\Omega} \Psi \Phi = 0,$$

for all $\alpha > 0$ and for all Ψ and Φ satisfying (1.3)–(1.5) with Ψ sign-changing. Since eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ in $\Omega = (0,1)$ are all multiples of $\sin(k\pi r)$, $k \ge 1$, we deduce from (1.8) and Theorem 1.4 that

(1.9)
$$\text{p.v.} \int_0^1 \frac{\sin(\pi r)}{\sin((\ell+1)\pi r)} |\cos((\ell+1)\pi r)|^2 dr = 0,$$

for all $\ell \geq 1$. Note that if ℓ is odd, then the integrand in (1.9) is anti-symmetric with respect to r=1/2, from which (1.9) easily follows. On the other hand, we could not find any straightforward, direct proof of (1.9) for even integers ℓ . Surprisingly, formula (1.9) plays an essential role in the proof of Proposition 1.5, which concerns the dimension N=3.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is based on the following idea. We consider the integral in (1.6) as a function of α , where Ω is a ball and Ψ is a radially symmetric solution of (1.3) which is positive at 0 and changes sign precisely ℓ times. If we denote by $g(\alpha)$ this integral, then it turns out that $g(\alpha) \approx C\alpha^2$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ where C is, up to a factor, given by the principal value integral (1.7). If N=3, the radially symmetric eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ are given explicitly by $r^{-1}\sin(\pi kr)$, which enables us to prove Proposition 1.5.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the following two sections, we prove respectively Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.5. The last section is an appendix where we recall the definition of principal value integrals and prove a convergence theorem which we use in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, we reformulate the problem in terms of ordinary differential equations in the radial variable r. For convenience, we introduce a rescaling of the problem, which in fact depends on α . More precisely, for any $0 < \alpha < 4/(N-2)$, we consider the solution ψ_{α}

of the equation

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} \psi_{\alpha}'' + \frac{N-1}{r} \psi_{\alpha}' + |\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha} = 0, \\ \psi_{\alpha}(0) = 1, \quad \psi_{\alpha}'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we consider the solution ψ_0 of

(2.2)
$$\begin{cases} \psi_0'' + \frac{N-1}{r} \psi_0' + \psi_0 = 0, \\ \psi_0(0) = 1, \quad \psi_0'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that for every $0 \le \alpha < 4/(N-2)$, ψ_{α} oscillates indefinitely as $r \to \infty$ and we denote by $(\rho_{\alpha,j})_{j\ge 1}$ the increasing sequence of the zeros of ψ_{α} . In other words, ψ_{α} changes sign exactly j-1 times in $(0, \rho_{\alpha,j})$. By local uniqueness of solutions of the ODE, it follows that

(2.3)
$$\psi_{\alpha}'(\rho_{\alpha,j}) \neq 0,$$

for all $j \ge 1$ and all $0 \le \alpha < 4/(N-2)$. We set

(2.4)
$$\Omega_{\alpha,j} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x| < \rho_{\alpha,j} \}.$$

Given $0 < \alpha < 4/(N-2)$, we denote by $L_{\alpha,j}$ the linearized operator $-\Delta - (\alpha + 1)|\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega_{\alpha,j})$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We let $\lambda_{\alpha,j}$ be the first eigenvalue of $L_{\alpha,j}$ and we call $\varphi_{\alpha,j}$ the corresponding eigenvector normalized by the condition $\varphi_{\alpha,j}(0) = 1$. It follows that

$$(2.5) \qquad \begin{cases} \varphi_{\alpha,j}'' + \frac{N-1}{r} \varphi_{\alpha,j}' + (\alpha+1) |\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha,j} + \lambda_{\alpha,j} \varphi_{\alpha,j} = 0, \\ \varphi_{\alpha,j}(0) = 1, \quad \varphi_{\alpha,j}'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we let $L_{0,j} = -\Delta - I$ in $L^2(\Omega_{0,j})$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We let $\lambda_{0,j}$ be the first eigenvalue of $L_{0,j}$ and we call $\varphi_{0,j}$ the corresponding eigenvector normalized by the condition $\varphi_{0,j}(0) = 1$, so that

(2.6)
$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{0,j}'' + \frac{N-1}{r} \varphi_{0,j}' + \varphi_{0,j} + \lambda_{0,j} \varphi_{0,j} = 0, \\ \varphi_{0,j}(0) = 1, \quad \varphi_{0,j}'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, given any $0 \le \alpha < 4/(N-2)$, $\varphi_{\alpha,j}$ is defined originally on $[0, \rho_{\alpha,j})$, but as a solution of (2.5) (or (2.6) if $\alpha = 0$) it can be extended to $[0, \infty)$.

Recall that the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\alpha,j}$ are given by the variational principle

$$\lambda_{\alpha,j} = \inf\{u \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\alpha,j}), \|u\|_{L^2} = 1; (L_{\alpha,j}u, u)_{H^{-1}, H_0^1}\}$$

(2.7)
$$= \inf \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\alpha,j}), \|u\|_{L^2} = 1; \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} \{ |\nabla u|^2 - (\alpha+1) |\psi_\alpha|^\alpha u^2 \} \right\}.$$

Note that $(L_{\alpha,j}\psi_{\alpha},\psi_{\alpha})_{L^2} = -\alpha \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} |\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha+2} < 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 4/(N-2)$, so that $\lambda_{\alpha,j} < 0$. Furthermore the function $w(x) = \psi_0(\frac{\rho_{0,1}}{\rho_{0,j}}x)$ belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega_{0,j})$, is positive on $\Omega_{0,j}$ and satisfies the equation $-\Delta w - w = (\frac{\rho_{0,1}^2}{\rho_{0,j}^2} - 1)w$, so that

(2.8)
$$\lambda_{0,j} = \frac{\rho_{0,1}^2}{\rho_{0,j}^2} - 1,$$

(2.9)
$$\varphi_{0,j}(x) \equiv \psi_0 \left(\frac{\rho_{0,1}}{\rho_{0,j}} x \right),$$

for all i > 1.

Lemma 2.1. $\psi_{\alpha} \to \psi_0$ in $C^2([0,R])$ for all R > 0 as $\alpha \downarrow 0$. Moreover, (2.10) $\rho_{\alpha,j} \xrightarrow[\alpha,10]{} \rho_{0,j},$

for all $j \geq 0$.

Proof: Note that $|x|^{\alpha}x \to x$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$, uniformly for x in a bounded subset of \mathbb{R} . It easily follows that $\psi_{\alpha} \to \psi_0$ in $C^2([0, R])$ for all R > 0. The estimate (2.10) then follows from the convergence of ψ_{α} to ψ_0 in C^1 and the nondegeneracy property (2.3).

Lemma 2.2. $\lambda_{\alpha,j} \to \lambda_{0,j}$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Proof: Let $\mu_{\alpha,j}$ be the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta - I$ in $L^2(\Omega_{\alpha,j})$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, i.e.

(2.11)
$$\mu_{\alpha,j} = \inf \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\alpha,j}), \|u\|_{L^2} = 1; \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} \{ |\nabla u|^2 - u^2 \} \right\}.$$

It follows from (2.10) and a straightforward rescaling argument that

$$\mu_{\alpha,j} \xrightarrow[\alpha \downarrow 0]{} \lambda_{0,j}.$$

It thus suffices to show that $\lambda_{\alpha,j} - \mu_{\alpha,j} \to 0$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$. Since $\psi_{\alpha} \to \psi_{0}$ in $C^{1}([0,R])$ for all R > 0 by Lemma 2.1, we see that $(\alpha+1)|\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} \to 1$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in $L^{p}(0,R)$ for every R > 0 and $p < \infty$. It then follows from

standard variational arguments that the infimum in (2.7) converges to the infimum in (2.11), and this completes the proof.

Lemma 2.3. $\varphi_{\alpha,j} \to \varphi_{0,j}$ in $C^1([0,R])$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ for all R > 0.

Proof: We deduce from equations (2.5) and (2.6) that

(2.13)

$$\varphi_{\alpha,j}(r) - \varphi_{0,j}(r) = -\int_0^r \frac{1}{s^{N-1}} \int_0^s \sigma^{N-1} [(\alpha+1)|\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} + \lambda_{\alpha,j}] (\varphi_{\alpha,j} - \varphi_{0,j})$$
$$-\int_0^r \frac{1}{s^{N-1}} \int_0^s \sigma^{N-1} [(\alpha+1)|\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} - 1 + \lambda_{\alpha,j} - \lambda_{0,j}] \varphi_{0,j},$$

for all r > 0. Since the last integral in (2.13) converges to 0 as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, it easily follows from Gronwall's inequality that $\varphi_{\alpha,j} \to \varphi_{0,j}$ in C([0,R]) as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ for all R > 0. Next, we deduce from the equations (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$(2.14) \quad \varphi'_{\alpha,j}(r) - \varphi'_{0,j}(r)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r s^{N-1} \{ [(\alpha+1)|\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} + \lambda_{\alpha,j}] \varphi_{\alpha,j} - [1+\lambda_{0,j}] \varphi_{0,j} \},$$

for all r > 0. Applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and the uniform convergence on bounded sets of $\varphi_{\alpha,j}$ to $\varphi_{0,j}$, we deduce that $\varphi'_{\alpha,j} \to \varphi'_{0,j}$ in C([0,R]) as $\alpha \downarrow 0$ for all R > 0. This completes the proof.

We now set

(2.15)
$$\mathcal{J}_{j}(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} \psi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha,j},$$

for all $j \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 4/(N-2)$. Note that, multiplying the equation for ψ_{α} by $\varphi_{\alpha,j}$ and the equation for $\varphi_{\alpha,j}$ by ψ_{α} ,

(2.16)
$$\frac{-\lambda_{\alpha,j}}{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_j(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} |\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha,j}.$$

Next, it follows from (2.5) that

$$(2.17) -\lambda_{\alpha,j}\varphi_{\alpha,j} = \Delta\varphi_{\alpha,j} + (\alpha+1)|\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha}\varphi_{\alpha,j},$$

so that by (2.16)

(2.18)
$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha,j}^{2}}{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{j}(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} |\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}(\Delta \varphi_{\alpha,j} + (\alpha+1)|\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha,j})$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} [\Delta(|\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}) + (\alpha+1)|\psi_{\alpha}|^{2\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}] \varphi_{\alpha,j}$$

$$= \alpha(\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} |\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha-2} \psi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha,j} |\nabla \psi_{\alpha}|^{2},$$

where we used the equation for ψ_{α} . Note that the last integral makes sense because ψ_{α} is a radial solution, so when ψ_{α} vanishes ψ'_{α} does not, thus $|\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha-2}\psi_{\alpha}$ is integrable. Therefore,

(2.19)
$$\frac{1}{\alpha+1} \frac{\lambda_{\alpha,j}^2}{\alpha^2} \mathcal{J}_j(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} |\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha-2} \psi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha,j} |\nabla \psi_{\alpha}|^2$$
$$= \int_{\Omega_{\alpha,j}} |\psi_{\alpha}|^{\alpha} \frac{\varphi_{\alpha,j}}{\psi_{\alpha}} |\nabla \psi_{\alpha}|^2.$$

Since the functions are radially symmetric, we obtain

$$(2.20) \qquad \frac{1}{c_N} \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \frac{\lambda_{\alpha,j}^2}{\alpha^2} \mathcal{J}_j(\alpha) = \int_0^{\rho_{\alpha,j}} |\psi_\alpha|^\alpha \frac{\varphi_{\alpha,j}}{\psi_\alpha} |\psi_\alpha'|^2 r^{N-1} dr,$$

where c_N is the (N-1)-dimensional measure of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N . We claim that

$$(2.21) \quad \int_0^{\rho_{\alpha,j}} |\psi_\alpha|^\alpha \frac{\varphi_{\alpha,j}}{\psi_\alpha} |\psi_\alpha'|^2 r^{N-1} \, dr \xrightarrow[\alpha\downarrow 0]{} \text{p.v.} \int_0^{\rho_{0,j}} \frac{\varphi_{0,j}}{\psi_0} |\psi_0'|^2 r^{N-1} \, dr,$$

where p.v. denotes the principal value. This can be proved using Lemma 4.1 with $f_{\alpha}(r) = \varphi_{\alpha,j} |\psi_{\alpha}'|^2 r^{N-1}$ and $g_{\alpha}(r) = \psi_{\alpha}$. The principal value integral in (2.21) needs to be expressed as the sum of integrals on smaller intervals, where each interval contains in its interior one zero of ψ_0 .

We now complete the proof of Theorem 1.4, and so we let Ω be the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^N , we fix an integer $\ell \geq 1$ and we set

$$i = \ell + 1$$

Let φ , ψ be two radially symmetric eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ with domain $H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ and suppose that $\varphi > 0$ and ψ changes sign exactly ℓ times on (0,1) (as a function of r). It follows that there exist two constants $a, b \neq 0$ such that

$$\varphi(r) \equiv a\varphi_{0,j}(\rho_{0,j}r), \quad \psi(r) \equiv b\psi_0(\rho_{0,j}r).$$

In particular (1.7) is equivalent to

(2.22) p.v.
$$\int_{0}^{\rho_{0,j}} \frac{\varphi_{0,j}}{\psi_0} |\psi_0'|^2 r^{N-1} dr \neq 0.$$

We deduce from (2.20), (2.21) and (2.22) that

$$(2.23) \mathcal{J}_i(\alpha) \neq 0,$$

for all sufficiently small $\alpha>0$. Finally, let Ψ and Φ satisfy (1.3)–(1.5) and suppose Ψ is radially symmetric and changes sign exactly ℓ times. It follows that there exist constants $c,d\neq 0$ such that

$$\Phi(r) \equiv c\varphi_{\alpha,j}(\rho_{\alpha,j}r), \quad \Psi(r) \equiv d\psi_{\alpha}(\rho_{\alpha,j}r).$$

Therefore, (1.6) is equivalent to (2.23). This proves Theorem 1.4.

3. Proof of Proposition 1.5

We continue with the notation introduced in Section 2 and we set

(3.1)
$$\mathcal{U} = \text{p.v.} \int_0^{\rho_{0,j}} \frac{\varphi_{0,j}}{\psi_0} |\psi_0'|^2 r^{N-1} dr.$$

Note that in dimension N=3,

$$\psi_0(r) \equiv rac{\sin r}{r},$$

$$ho_{0,j} = j\pi,$$

$$\lambda_{0,j} = -rac{j^2 - 1}{j^2},$$

$$arphi_{0,j}(r) \equiv j rac{\sin(r/j)}{r},$$

so that

(3.2)
$$\mathcal{U} = j \text{ p.v. } \int_0^{j\pi} \frac{\sin(r/j)}{\sin r} (r\cos r - \sin r)^2 \frac{dr}{r^2}.$$

Expanding the square, we deduce that

(3.3)
$$\mathcal{U} = j \text{ p.v. } \int_0^{j\pi} \frac{\sin(r/j)}{\sin r} \cos^2 r \, dr$$
$$-2j \int_0^{j\pi} \sin(r/j) \cos r \frac{dr}{r} + j \int_0^{j\pi} \sin(r/j) \sin r \frac{dr}{r^2}.$$

(We note that the last two integrals are ordinary integrals because there is no singularity.) Since

(3.4)
$$\text{p.v.} \int_0^{j\pi} \frac{\sin(r/j)}{\sin r} \cos^2 r \, dr = 0,$$

for all $j \geq 2$ by (1.9), it follows that

(3.5)
$$\mathcal{U} = -2j \int_0^{j\pi} \sin(r/j) \cos r \frac{dr}{r} + j \int_0^{j\pi} \sin(r/j) \sin r \frac{dr}{r^2}$$
$$= -j \int_0^{j\pi} \sin(r/j) \cos r \frac{dr}{r} - j \int_0^{j\pi} \sin(r/j) (r \cos r - \sin r) \frac{dr}{r^2}.$$

Furthermore, since

$$\left(\frac{\sin r}{r}\right)' = \frac{1}{r^2}(r\cos r - \sin r),$$

we deduce that

$$\mathcal{U} = -j \int_{0}^{j\pi} \sin(r/j) \cos r \frac{dr}{r} + \int_{0}^{j\pi} \cos(r/j) \sin r \frac{dr}{r}$$

$$= \frac{j^{2} - 1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{j - 1} \int_{0}^{j\pi} \sin((j - 1)r/j) \frac{dr}{r} - \frac{1}{j + 1} \int_{0}^{j\pi} \sin((j + 1)r/j) \frac{dr}{r} \right]$$

$$= \frac{j^{2} - 1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{j - 1} \int_{0}^{(j - 1)\pi} \sin r \frac{dr}{r} - \frac{1}{j + 1} \int_{0}^{(j + 1)\pi} \sin r \frac{dr}{r} \right]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{(j - 1)\pi} \sin r \frac{dr}{r} - \frac{j - 1}{2} \int_{(j - 1)\pi}^{(j + 1)\pi} \sin r \frac{dr}{r}.$$

Next, since the function $r\mapsto 1/r$ is decreasing, it follows from easy calculations that

$$(3.7) \qquad \qquad \int_{\ell\pi}^{x} \sin r \frac{dr}{r} > 0,$$

for all even integers ℓ and all $x > \ell \pi$ and that

$$(3.8) \qquad \qquad \int_{\ell\pi}^{x} \sin r \frac{dr}{r} < 0,$$

for all odd integers ℓ and all $x > \ell \pi$. If j is even, then applying (3.7) with $\ell = 0$ and $x = (j-1)\pi$ and (3.8) with $\ell = j-1$ and $x = (j+1)\pi$, we see that \mathcal{U} is the sum of two positive terms, thus $\mathcal{U} > 0$. If j is odd

(and so $j \geq 3$), then we apply (3.7) with $\ell = 2$ and $x = (j-1)\pi$ and we write

$$\mathcal{U} \ge \int_0^{2\pi} \sin r \frac{dr}{r} - \frac{j-1}{2} \int_{(j-1)\pi}^{(j+1)\pi} \sin r \frac{dr}{r}$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \sin r \frac{dr}{r} - \frac{j-1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin((j-1)\pi + r) \frac{dr}{(j-1)\pi + r}$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \sin r \frac{dr}{r} - \frac{j-1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin r \frac{dr}{(j-1)\pi + r}$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \sin r \left[\frac{2r + (j-1)(2\pi - r)}{2r(r + (j-1)\pi)} \right] dr.$$

We set

$$g(r) = \frac{2r + (j-1)(2\pi - r)}{2r(r + (j-1)\pi)},$$

so that

$$g'(r) = -\frac{(j-3)[2r(r+(j-1)\pi)] + [2r+(j-1)(2\pi-r)](4r+2(j-1)\pi)}{4r^2(r+(j-1)\pi)^2}.$$

Thus we see that g'(r) < 0 for all $0 < t < 2\pi$. Since $g(2\pi) > 0$, it follows that g is positive and decreasing on $(0, 2\pi)$. It follows easily that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin r g(r) \, dr > 0.$$

Applying (3.9), we conclude that $\mathcal{U} > 0$, which proves the proposition.

4. Appendix: principal value integrals

Let I be a closed interval of \mathbb{R} with 0 in its interior. We recall that if $f \in C^1(I)$, $g \in C^2(I)$, g(0) = 0, $g'(0) \neq 0$ and $g(x) \neq 0$ for $x \neq 0$, then the Cauchy principal value integral

(4.1)
$$\text{p.v.} \int_{I} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{I \setminus [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx,$$

is well defined. Moreover if I is symmetric around 0, i.e. I = [-a, a] with a > 0, then

(4.2) p.v.
$$\int_{-a}^{a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx = \int_{-a}^{a} \theta(x) dx$$
,

where

(4.3)
$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x h'(t) dt & x \neq 0, \\ h'(0) & x = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

(4.4)
$$h(x) = \frac{xf(x)}{g(x)},$$

for $x \neq 0$. To see this, note that the assumptions on f and g ensure that both h(x) and h'(x) have a limit as $x \to 0$, so that h extends to a C^1 function on I. Thus we see that θ is continuous and formula (4.2) follows by writing

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{h(0)}{x} + \theta(x).$$

The following lemma is crucial to our analysis. It may well follow from known facts about principal value integrals, but we could not find an appropriate reference. While the statement is natural, our proof is unfortunately somewhat technical. To see the essential ideas, we suggest that the reader set $g_{\alpha}(x) = x$ in both the statement and the proof. The key observation in the proof is the trivial identity (4.22). Everything else is just technical embellishment.

Lemma 4.1. Let I be a closed interval of \mathbb{R} with $0 \in \text{int } I$. For every $\alpha \in [0,1)$, let $x_{\alpha} \in \text{int } I$, $f_{\alpha} \in C^{1}(I)$, $g_{\alpha} \in C^{2}(I)$, $g_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = 0$, $g'_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) \neq 0$ and $g_{\alpha}(x) \neq 0$ for $x \neq x_{\alpha}$. Assume $f_{\alpha} \to f_{0}$ in $C^{1}(I)$, $g_{\alpha} \to g_{0}$ in $C^{2}(I)$ and $x_{\alpha} \to 0$ as $\alpha \downarrow 0$. It follows that

$$(4.5) \qquad \int_{I} |g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha} \frac{f_{\alpha}(x)}{g_{\alpha}(x)} dx \longrightarrow \text{p.v.} \int_{I} \frac{f_{0}(x)}{g_{0}(x)} dx,$$

as $\alpha \downarrow 0$.

Proof: We first assume that $x_{\alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [0,1)$. Fix a > 0 such that $[-a,a] \subset I$. For $\alpha \geq 0$ we set

(4.6)
$$\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{x f_{\alpha}(x)}{g_{\alpha}(x)},$$

and

(4.7)
$$h_{\alpha}(x) = \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)|g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha},$$

for $x \neq 0$. (Note that $h_0(x) = h_0(x)$ if $x \neq 0$.) The hypotheses on f_α and g_α imply that both h_α and h_α have a limit as $x \to 0$, so that h_α extends

to a C^1 function on I. In addition,

$$(4.8) h_{\alpha}'(x) = \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}'(x)|g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha} + \alpha \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)|g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha-2}g_{\alpha}(x)g_{\alpha}'(x),$$

for $x \neq 0$. It follows from the hypotheses on f_{α} and g_{α} and formulas (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) that there exists a constant C such that

$$(4.9) |\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(x)| + |x|^{-\alpha} |h_{\alpha}(x)| + |\widetilde{h}'_{\alpha}(x)| + |x|^{1-\alpha} |h'_{\alpha}(x)| \le C,$$

for all $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ and $x \in I$ and that

$$(4.10) \widetilde{h}_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\alpha \downarrow 0} \widetilde{h}_{0} \text{ in } C^{1}(I).$$

In particular, h'_{α} is in $L^{1}(I)$ (in $C^{1}(I)$ if $\alpha = 0$) and we set

(4.11)
$$\theta_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x h'_{\alpha}(t) dt,$$

for $x \neq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. It follows from (4.7) that if $\alpha > 0$, then h_{α} extends to a Hölder continuous function on I with $h_{\alpha}(0) = 0$ and that

$$(4.12) h_{\alpha}(x) = \int_0^x h'_{\alpha}(t) dt.$$

We deduce from (4.7), (4.12) and (4.11) that if $\alpha > 0$ then

(4.13)
$$\int_{-a}^{a} |g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha} \frac{f_{\alpha}(x)}{g_{\alpha}(x)} dx = \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} h_{\alpha}(x) dx = \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} h'_{\alpha}(t) dt dx$$
$$= \int_{-a}^{a} \theta_{\alpha}(x) dx.$$

It follows from (4.11) that

(4.14)
$$\int_{-a}^{a} \left[\theta_{\alpha}(x) - \theta_{0}(x)\right] dx = \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \left[h'_{\alpha}(t) - h'_{0}(t)\right] dt dx,$$

and using (4.8), we write for $\alpha > 0$

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{-a}^{a} [\theta_{\alpha}(x) - \theta_{0}(x)] dx = \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} [\widetilde{h}'_{\alpha}(t)|g_{\alpha}(t)|^{\alpha} - h'_{0}(t)] dt dx$$

$$+ \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \alpha \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(t) |g_{\alpha}(t)|^{\alpha-2} g_{\alpha}(t) g_{\alpha}'(t) dt dx =: A_{1}(\alpha) + A_{2}(\alpha).$$

It is clear that the operator $w \mapsto x^{-1} \int_0^x w(t) dt$ is continuous from $L^2(-a, a)$ onto $L^1(-a, a)$. Moreover, since $h_0 = \widetilde{h}_0$, it follows from (4.10) that $\widetilde{h}'_{\alpha} \to \widetilde{h}'_0$ in C([-a, a]), so that by dominated convergence,

$$(4.16) A_1(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\alpha \downarrow 0} 0.$$

Next, we write

(4.17)
$$\widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(t) = \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(0) + \int_{0}^{t} \widetilde{h}'_{\alpha}(s) \, ds,$$

so that

$$(4.18) \ A_{2}(\alpha) = \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \alpha \left(\int_{0}^{t} \widetilde{h}'_{\alpha}(s) \, ds \right) |g_{\alpha}(t)|^{\alpha - 2} g_{\alpha}(t) g'_{\alpha}(t) \, dt \, dx$$
$$+ \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \alpha \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(0) |g_{\alpha}(t)|^{\alpha - 2} g_{\alpha}(t) g'_{\alpha}(t) \, dt \, dx =: B(\alpha) + C(\alpha).$$

It follows from the hypotheses on f_{α} and g_{α} that $||g_{\alpha}(t)||^{\alpha-2}g_{\alpha}(t)g'_{\alpha}(t)| \leq C|t|^{\alpha-1}$ with C independent of α . Applying (4.10), we deduce that

$$(4.19) \qquad \left| \left(\int_0^t \widetilde{h}'_{\alpha}(s) \, ds \right) |g_{\alpha}(t)|^{\alpha - 2} g_{\alpha}(t) g'_{\alpha}(t) \right| \le C|t|^{\alpha},$$

with C independent of α . Thus

$$(4.20) |B(\alpha)| \le C\alpha \int_{-a}^{a} |x|^{\alpha} \underset{\alpha \downarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Next, we have

(4.21)

$$C(\alpha) = \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(0) (|g_{\alpha}(t)|^{\alpha})' dt dx = \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(0) \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} |g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha} dx$$
$$= \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(0) \left[\int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} [|g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha} - |g_{\alpha}'(0)|^{\alpha} |x|^{\alpha}] dx + \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} |g_{\alpha}'(0)|^{\alpha} |x|^{\alpha} dx \right].$$

The function $|x|^{\alpha}/x$ being in $L^{1}(-a,a)$ and odd, we see that

(4.22)
$$\int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} |g'_{\alpha}(0)|^{\alpha} |x|^{\alpha} dx = 0.$$

It follows from (4.21)–(4.22) that

$$C(\alpha) = \widetilde{h}_{\alpha}(0) \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{x} [|g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha} - |g_{\alpha}'(0)|^{\alpha}|x|^{\alpha}] dx.$$

Since $||g_{\alpha}(x)| - |g'_{\alpha}(0)||x|| \le C|x|^2$ and $\min\{|g_{\alpha}(x)|, |g'_{\alpha}(0)||x|\} \ge \eta|x|$ with C and η independent of α , we deduce that

$$||g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha} - |g_{\alpha}'(0)|^{\alpha}|x|^{\alpha}| \le C\alpha|x|^{1+\alpha},$$

with C independent of α . Therefore,

$$(4.23) |C(\alpha)| \le C\alpha \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{1}{|x|} |x|^{1+\alpha} \underset{\alpha \downarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

It follows from (4.15), (4.16), (4.18), (4.20) and (4.23) that

(4.24)
$$\int_{-a}^{a} \theta_{\alpha}(x) dx \xrightarrow{\alpha \downarrow 0} \int_{-a}^{a} \theta_{0}(x) dx.$$

Applying formulas (4.13) and (4.2), we conclude that

(4.25)
$$\int_{-a}^{a} |g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha} \frac{f_{\alpha}(x)}{g_{\alpha}(x)} dx \xrightarrow{\alpha \downarrow 0} \text{p.v.} \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{f_{0}(x)}{g_{0}(x)} dx.$$

Formula (4.5) follows, since clearly

$$(4.26) \qquad \int_{I\setminus[-a,a]} |g_{\alpha}(x)|^{\alpha} \frac{f_{\alpha}(x)}{g_{\alpha}(x)} dx \xrightarrow{\alpha\downarrow 0} \int_{I\setminus[-a,a]} \frac{f_{0}(x)}{g_{0}(x)} dx.$$

This completes the proof in the case $x_{\alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. The general case follows easily by translating the integrals so that x_{α} moves to 0. The error produced at the endpoints clearly converges to 0.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for a very careful reading of the manuscript and constructive remarks.

References

- [1] T. CAZENAVE, F. DICKSTEIN, AND F. B. WEISSLER, Sign-changing stationary solutions and blowup for the nonlinear heat equation in a ball, *Math. Ann.* **344(2)** (2009), 431–449.
- [2] T. CAZENAVE, F. DICKSTEIN, AND F. B. WEISSLER, On the structure of global solutions of the nonlinear heat equation in a ball, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **360(2)** (2009), 537–547.
- [3] T. CAZENAVE, F. DICKSTEIN, AND F. B. WEISSLER, Structural properties of the set of global solutions of the nonlinear heat equation, in: "Current Advances in Nonlinear Analysis and Related Topics", GAKUTO International Series, Mathematical Sciences and Applications 32, Gakkōtosho Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 2010, pp. 13–23.
- [4] H. FUJITA, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I 13 (1966), 109–124.
- [5] H. Fujita, On some nonexistence and nonuniqueness theorems for nonlinear parabolic equations, in: "Nonlinear Functional Analysis" (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. XVIII, Part 1, Chicago, Ill., 1968), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970, pp. 105–113.
- [6] F. GAZZOLA AND T. WETH, Finite time blow-up and global solutions for semilinear parabolic equations with initial data at high energy level, *Differential Integral Equations* 18(9) (2005), 961–990.

- [7] S. ITÔ, On blowing up of solutions of semilinear partial differential equations of parabolic type, (Japanese), \hat{Sugaku} 18 (1966), 44–47.
- [8] S. Itô, On blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear parabolic equations, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 37(2) (1990), 527–536.
- [9] S. Kaplan, On the growth of solutions of quasi-linear parabolic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **16** (1963), 305–330.
- [10] H. A. LEVINE, Some nonexistence and instability theorems for solutions of formally parabolic equations of the form $Pu_t = -Au + \mathcal{F}(u)$, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 51 (1973), 371–386.
- [11] P.-L. LIONS, Asymptotic behavior of some nonlinear heat equations, *Phys. D* **5(2–3)** (1982), 293–306.
- [12] P. QUITTNER AND P. SOUPLET, "Superlinear parabolic problems", Blow-up, global existence and steady states, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks], Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.

Thierry Cazenave:
Université Pierre et Marie Curie & CNRS
Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions
4 place Jussieu
75252 Paris Cedex 05
France
E-mail address: thierry.cazenave@upmc.fr

Flávio Dickstein: Instituto de Matemática Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro Caixa Postal 68530 21944-970 Rio de Janeiro, R.J.

 ${\bf Brazil}$

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: flavio@labma.ufrj.br}$

Fred B. Weissler: Université Paris 13 LAGA UMR CNRS 7539 99 Avenue J.-B. Clément 93430 Villetaneuse

France

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \texttt{weissler@math.univ-paris13.fr}$

Primera versió rebuda el 23 de març de 2010, darrera versió rebuda el 13 de setembre de 2010.