Supplementary Information for

"Western gorilla space use suggests territoriality"

Robin E Morrison^{1,2,3*} Jacob C Dunn^{1,4,5}, Germán Illera², Peter D Walsh^{6**}, Magdalena Bermejo^{2,7**}

¹Biological Anthropology, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

²SPAC Scientific Field Site Network, GgmbH, Germany

³Karisoke Research Center, The Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund, Rwanda

³Behavioural Ecology Research Group, Anglia Ruskin University, United Kingdom

⁴Department of Cognitive Biology, University of Vienna, Austria

⁵Apes Incorporated, USA

⁶Ecology and Environmental Sciences, University of Barcelona, Spain

*Correspondence to robinemilymorrison@gmail.com

**Joint Senior Author

Files:

Visit Data Set (SI File 1): For all identified groups, and for unidentified groups and solitaries, across all camera trap days at all locations, the number of times they visited that day.

Hotspot Locations (SI File 2): Relative locations of hotspots at which camera traps were deployed (decimal longitude and latitude values relative to the lowest longitude and latitude values at which a camera was deployed).

Supplementary Figures:







Figure S2. Distribution of recorded visits by each focal group across the study period demonstrates that focal groups were observed in a roughly even manner across the study period. Detection frequencies did not appear to follow any overall seasonal variation although, as expected were strongly influenced by the number of active camera traps.





Supplementary Tables:

Table S1. A) Total number of identified groups and solitaries, and the number of visits by each category type. B) Number of visits and the number of locations those visits took place at for each focal group.

Α		
	Number of	Visits by
Known groups	24	386
Known Solitaries	6	51
Unknown groups	-	90
Unknown solitaries	-	41
Total		568

В				
Gı	oup	Visits	Locations	Size
1	GR	104	7	22
2	JP*	65	7	19
3	NN*	32	6	15
4	US	22	6	8
5	ND	20	3	12
6	VL	16	3	18
7	BC	12	3	3
8	PL*	11	5	16

*groups undergoing habituation

 Table S2. Model comparison of baseline distance discounting by focal groups. Best model fit (lowest AIC)
 indicated in bold.

Model	Group-specific α	Group-specific β	AIC
A1 Linear	No	No	2349.90
A2 Gaussian	No	No	3431.356
A3 Polynomial	No	No	2475.792
A1 Linear	No	Yes	2494.93*
A1 Linear	Yes	No	2272.75
A1 Linear	Yes	Yes	2422.074*

*could not converge

Table S3. Posterior variable values (mean with 95% confidence intervals in brackets) for all combinations of variables in the Gorilla Avoidance Model A and their AIC scores. Best fitting model indicated in bold.

Model	Solitary presence (ε)	Group presence (ζ)	AIC	Akaike weight
Baseline Model + ζG	-0.51 (-1.47, 0.32)	-	2080.98	4.05E-09
Baseline Model + εS	-	-2.87 (-4.02,-1.81)	2042.33	0.612
Baseline Model + ζG + εS	-0.70 (-1.60, 0.12)	-2.90 (-3.98,-1.83)	2043.24	0.388

Table S4. Posterior variable values (mean with 95% confidence intervals in brackets) for Gorilla

 Avoidance Model B and AIC scores when predicting group presence based on the same day presence of

 only other focal groups (as distance from their centroid is known). Best fitting model indicated in bold.

Model	Group presence on Avoidance with distance		AIC
	day (ζ)	from other group's	
		range centroid (ε)	
Baseline Model + ζG	-0.83 (-1.98, 0.05)	-	2260.25
Baseline Model + ζG + ϵRD	-8.50 (-16.97, -3.08)	1.95 (0.78, 3.65)	2244.38

Table S5. Posterior variable values (mean with 95% confidence intervals in brackets) for all combinations of additional variables in the home range avoidance model with their AIC scores and Akaike weights. Best fitting model indicated in bold.

Group presence	Distance from centroid	Relative size	Combined size	AIC	Akaike
on day (ζ)	of another group (η)	(territoriality)	(scramble competition)		weight
-2.82 (-4.00, -1.78)	4.80 (1.39, 8.54)	-	-	2036.68	0.002
-2.80 (-3.95, -1.73)	3.22 (-0.49, 7.02)	6.66 (3.85, 965)	-	2024.49	0.696
-2.79 (-3.91, -1.80)	4.72 (0.61, 8.59)	-	-18.11 (-29.81, -5.61)	2031.25	0.024
-2.83 (-4.01, -1.70)	4.53 (0.48, 8.78)	5.15 (0.15,	-4.63 (-23.85, 12.06)	2027.78	0.134
		10.50)			
-2.89 (-4.21, -1.85)	-	6.16 (3.36, 9.00)	-	2028.35	0.101
-2.92 (-4.10, -0.10)	-	-	-15.82 (-27.80, -2.78)	2037.07	0.001
-2.90 (-4.00, -1.89)	-	6.16 (2.57, 9.83)	-1.28 (-19.15, 13.71)	2030.12	0.042

```
Example python code for MCMC analysis
import numpy as np
import random
import os
replicates = 200000
burnin = 500
autocorrelation = 200
#set priors
alpha = [25.65, 25.65, 25.65, 25.65, 25.65, 25.65, 25.65]
beta = -0.58
gamma = 0.01
delta = 0.01
#mean X and Y coordinates of hotspots set as centroid priors
CentroidX = [0.039, 0.039, 0.039, 0.039, 0.039, 0.039, 0.039]
CentroidY = [0.032, 0.032, 0.032, 0.032, 0.032, 0.032, 0.032]
like = 0
for i in range(numdays):
  for j in range(numgroups):
       # distance of centroid from hotspot
       distance = ((CentroidX[j] - HotspotX[i])**2 + (CentroidY[j] - HotspotY[i])**2) ** 0.5
       # Model
       effect = beta - (alpha[i] * distance) + (gamma * OverallQuality[i,j]) + (delta * CurrentQuality[i,j])
        if VisitData[i,j]==1:
        like = like + np.log(np.exp(effect) / (1 + np.exp(effect)))
        else:
        like = like + np.log(1 - (np.exp(effect) / (1 + np.exp(effect))))
SupportDist = open("SupDist.txt", "w")
for z in range(replicates):
  newalpha[0] = alpha[0] + 0.5 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newalpha[1] = alpha[1] + 0.5 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newalpha[2] = alpha[2] + 0.5 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newalpha[3] = alpha[3] + 0.5 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newalpha[4] = alpha[4] + 0.5 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newalpha[5] = alpha[5] + 0.5 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newalpha[6] = alpha[6] + 0.5 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newalpha[7] = alpha[7] + 0.5 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newbeta = beta + 0.1 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newgamma = gamma + 0.2 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  newdelta = delta + 0.2 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  NewCentroidX[0] = CentroidX[0] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  NewCentroidX[1] = CentroidX[1] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  NewCentroidX[2] = CentroidX[2] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
  NewCentroidX[3] = CentroidX[3] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
```

```
NewCentroidX[4] = CentroidX[4] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidX[5] = CentroidX[5] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidX[6] = CentroidX[6] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidX[7] = CentroidX[7] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidY[0] = CentroidY[0] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidY[1] = CentroidY[1] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidY[2] = CentroidY[2] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidY[3] = CentroidY[3] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidY[4] = CentroidY[4] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidY[5] = CentroidY[5] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidY[6] = CentroidY[6] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
NewCentroidY[7] = CentroidY[7] + 0.00005 * (2 * random.random() - 1)
newlike = 0
for i in range(numdays):
  for j in range(numgroups):
      distance = ((NewCentroidX[j] - HotspotX[i]) ** 2 + (NewCentroidY[j] - HotspotY[i]) ** 2) ** 0.5
      effect = newbeta - (newalpha[j] * distance)+ (newgamma * OverallQuality[i,j]) + (newdelta *
     CurrentQuality[i,j])
      if VisitData[i,j]==1:
        newlike = newlike + np.log(np.exp(effect) / (1 + np.exp(effect)))
      else:
        newlike = newlike + np.log(1 - (np.exp(effect) / (1 + np.exp(effect))))
if random.random()<(np.exp(newlike-like)):
  like=newlike
  alpha[0]=newalpha[0]
  alpha[1] = newalpha[1]
  alpha[2] = newalpha[2]
  alpha[3] = newalpha[3]
  alpha[4] = newalpha[4]
  alpha[5] = newalpha[5]
  alpha[6] = newalpha[6]
  alpha[7] = newalpha[7]
  beta=newbeta
  gamma=newgamma
  delta=newdelta
  CentroidY[0]=NewCentroidY[0]
  CentroidY[1] = NewCentroidY[1]
  CentroidY[2] = NewCentroidY[2]
  CentroidY[3] = NewCentroidY[3]
  CentroidY[4] = NewCentroidY[4]
  CentroidY[5] = NewCentroidY[5]
  CentroidY[6] = NewCentroidY[6]
  CentroidY[7] = NewCentroidY[7]
  CentroidX[0] = NewCentroidX[0]
  CentroidX[1] = NewCentroidX[1]
  CentroidX[2] = NewCentroidX[2]
```

```
CentroidX[3] = NewCentroidX[3]
CentroidX[4] = NewCentroidX[4]
CentroidX[5] = NewCentroidX[5]
CentroidX[6] = NewCentroidX[6]
CentroidX[7] = NewCentroidX[7]
```

if z >= burnin:

if z%autocorrelation==0:

alpha[0],alpha[1],alpha[2],alpha[3],alpha[4],alpha[5],alpha[6],alpha[7], beta, gamma, epsilon, zeta, eta, theta, CentroidX[0], CentroidY[0], CentroidX[1], CentroidY[1], CentroidX[2], CentroidY[2], CentroidX[3], CentroidY[3], CentroidX[4], CentroidX[4], CentroidX[5], CentroidY[5], CentroidX[6], CentroidY[6], CentroidX[7], CentroidY[7])) SupportDist.close()