



University of Dundee

Risk of adverse outcomes in patients with underlying respiratory conditions admitted to hospital with COVID-19

Bloom, Chloe I.; Drake, Thomas M.; Docherty, Annemarie B.; Lipworth, Brian J.; Johnston, Sebastian L.; Nguyen-Van-Tam, Jonathan S.

Published in: The Lancet Respiratory Medicine

DOI 10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00013-8

Publication date: 2021

Licence: CC BY-NC-ND

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Bloom, C. I., Drake, T. M., Docherty, A. B., Lipworth, B. J., Johnston, S. L., Nguyen-Van-Tam, J. S., Carson, G., Dunning, J., Harrison, E. M., Baillie, J. K., Semple, M. G., Cullinan, P., Openshaw, P. J. M. (2021). Risk of adverse outcomes in patients with underlying respiratory conditions admitted to hospital with COVID-19: a national, multicentre prospective cohort study using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol UK. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, *9*(7), 699-711. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00013-8

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Risk of adverse outcomes in patients with underlying respiratory conditions hospitalised with COVID-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: a national, multicentre prospective cohort

*Chloe I Bloom¹, *Thomas M Drake², Annemarie B Docherty², Brian J Lipworth³, Sebastian L Johnston¹, Jonathan S **Nguyen-Van-Tam**⁴, Gail Carson⁵, Jake Dunning^{1,6}, Ewen M Harrison², J Kenneth Baillie⁷, Malcolm G Semple^{8,9}, **Paul Cullinan¹, **Peter Openshaw¹, on behalf of ISARIC4C investigators

*Joint first authors

** Joint senior authors

¹ National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, UK

² Centre for Medical Informatics, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, UK

³ Scottish Centre for Respiratory Research, Ninewells Hospital, University of Dundee, UK

⁴Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Nottingham School of Medicine, UK

⁵Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁶National Infection Service, Public Health England, London, UK

⁷Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

⁸ Health Protection Research Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections, Institute of Infection Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

⁹ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Liverpool, UK

Preferred degree and 'Professor' used for people with full professorship.

Chloe I Bloom; PhD Thomas M Drake; MBChB Annemarie B Docherty; PhD Professor Brian J Lipworth; MD Professor Sebastian L Johnston; PhD Professor Jonathan S **Nguyen-Van-Tam**; DM Gail Carson; MBChB Jake Dunning; PhD Professor Ewen M Harrison; PhD J Kenneth Baillie; PhD Professor Malcolm G Semple; PhD Professor Paul Cullinan; MD Professor Peter Openshaw; PhD

Data sharing

ISARIC4C welcomes applications for data and material access through our Independent Data and Material Access Committee (https://isaric4c.net). Data collected for the study, including individual anonymised participant data and a data dictionary defining each field in the set will be available, including the ISARIC WHO CCP-UK study protocol and consent forms. Data will be shared after approval of the proposal and with a signed data access agreement.

Abstract

Background

Studies of hospitalised COVID-19 patients have found inconsistencies in mortality associated with underlying respiratory conditions and inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) use. We sought to investigate this relationship using a national, multicentre, prospective cohort.

Methods

Prospective, multicentre UK cohort of hospitalised COVID-19 patients. Patients with asthma, chronic pulmonary disease (CPD), or both, were identified and stratified by age (years): <16, 16-49 and \geq 50. In-hospital mortality was measured using multilevel Cox proportional hazards, adjusting for demographics, comorbidities and medications (ICS, short-acting-beta-agonists (SABA), long-acting-beta-agonists (LABA)). Asthma patients using ICS+LABA+another asthma medication were considered 'severe'.

Findings

75,463 patients were included: <16 years, 860 patients (8.6% asthma); 16-49 years, 8,950 patients (20.9% asthma), \geq 50 years, 65,653 patients (9.0% asthma, 15.6% CPD, 3.2% asthma & CPD). Asthma patients were significantly more likely to receive critical care, CPD patients were significantly less likely to. In patients 16-49 years, only those with severe asthma had a significant increase in mortality (adjusted HR (95%CI): no therapy=1.21 (0.78-1.88), SABA-only=1.03 (0.66-1.62), ICS-only=1.01 (0.68-1.51), ICS+LABA=1.06 (0.70-1.61), severe=2.07 (1.35-3.18)). In patients \geq 50 years, there was increased mortality associated with CPD and severe asthma. ICS use was associated with lower mortality (adjusted HR (95% CI): asthma+no_ICS=0.97 (0.90-1.04), asthma+ICS=0.87 (0.81-0.93), CPD+no_ICS=1.16 (1.11-1.21), CPD+ICS=1.10 (1.04-1.17), asthma+CPD+no_ICS=1.13 (1.00-1.27), asthma+CPD+ICS=0.98 (0.89-1.07).

Interpretation

Underlying respiratory conditions are common in hospitalised COVID-19 patients. Regardless of admission severity and comorbidities, asthma patients were more likely to receive critical care than patients without underlying respiratory disease; CPD patients were less likely to. Severe asthma in \geq 16-year olds was associated with increased mortality relative to other asthma patients. In patients \geq 50 years, ICS use in asthma was associated with lower mortality relative to patients without an underlying respiratory condition; CPD patients had significantly increased mortality but ICS use did not have a significant effect on mortality.

Funding

This work is supported by grants from the National Institute for Health Research [NIHR award CO-

CIN-01], the Medical Research Council [grant MC_PC_19059] and by the NIHR Health Protection

Research Units (HPRUs) in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections at University of Liverpool and in

Respiratory Infections at Imperial College London in partnership with Public Health England (PHE).

Support is acknowledged from the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine and the University of Oxford [NIHR award 200907], the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Imperial College, the Wellcome Trust and Department for International Development [215091/Z/18/Z], and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation [OPP1209135]. We thank the Liverpool Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre for providing infrastructure support (Grant Reference: C18616/A25153). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Evidence before this study

Early case series, at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, suggested the prevalence of hospitalised COVID-19 patients with chronic respiratory disease was lower than the prevalence in the local population. Subsequently, several studies have specifically addressed the risk of adverse COVID-19 outcomes, including hospitalisation and death, in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Few observational studies have examined the effect of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) on mortality. One large study, using the OpenSafely consortium which included primary care records linked to COVID-19 death data, found high-dose ICS use in asthma patients and any ICS use in COPD patients was associated with an increased mortality. They suggested the association could be explained, fully or in part, by unmeasured confounding due to disease severity.

Added value of this study

Our study uses the largest cohort of hospitalised COVID-19 patients worldwide, the International Severe Acute Respiratory and emerging Infection Consortium (ISARIC) WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol UK (CCP-UK) study. We inform on two major questions for people with underlying respiratory conditions. First, we describe the patients including the level of care they received and their mortality risk, comparing across ages and between common respiratory conditions. We found asthma patients were significantly more likely to receive critical care and ventilatory support even after adjusting for severity on admission, age and comorbidities; in contrast, CPD patients were significantly less likely to receive critical care. CPD patients and those with severe asthma had increased mortality. Second, we measured the association of mortality with ICS use. In contrast to OpenSafely, we demonstrated that use of ICS within two weeks of admission was associated with lower mortality in asthma but had no effect on mortality in CPD.

Implications of all the available evidence

In the UK, there appears to be a disparity between patients with different respiratory conditions and the level of in-hospital care received, not accounted for by clinical severity, age or comorbidities. Both our secondary care study and the primary care OpenSafely study found patients with more severe asthma were at risk of increased mortality. Our study suggests that use of ICS within two weeks of admission may be beneficial, perhaps via a putative anti-inflammatory effect. The evidence from these two large observational cohorts report contrasting effects from ICS, although the timing of ICS use may have differed. Both studies are at risk of misclassification and confounding; the results of ongoing prospective randomised clinical trials evaluating the efficacy of ICS will be informative.

Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the virus SARS-CoV-2, was declared a pandemic on March 11th 2020, by the World Health Organisation (WHO). By the end of September 2020, there were over 1 million deaths, significant concern for a second wave of infections and a belief that the pandemic will not end for another two years.

People with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma were initially judged to be at substantial risk of developing severe COVID-19(1, 2). These putative risk factors were based on knowledge that respiratory viruses are a major cause of disease exacerbations and because both diseases are associated with deficient innate immune responses to respiratory virus infections, likely vital in defence against a novel virus(1, 3). With increasing time and an accumulation of observational data, it appears that COPD is a definitive risk factor for severe COVID-19 outcomes(4, 5). For people with asthma, the predisposition to morbidity and mortality from COVID-19 is less straightforward. Early large case series of patients hospitalised with COVID-19 found the proportion with asthma to be lower than that of the local population(6-8), unlike during the 2009 influenza pandemic(9). These findings suggested asthma was associated with reduced susceptibility to severe COVID-19, with behavioural, pharmacological and immunopathological explanatory mechanisms proposed(10, 11). More recent epidemiological studies have further complicated understanding, as some signify an association with severe COVID-19 disease(5, 12, 13), while others do not(4, 14-17).

One mechanism postulated to correlate with protection is inhaled corticosteroid use (ICS)(10, 18). Experimental human and animal studies have shown that ICS use attenuates the expression of key SARS-CoV-2 related genes, in both asthma and COPD(19-21). Whilst two U.S. asthma cohorts found no association between ICS use and COVID-19 related hospitalisation, a large U.K. cohort found an increase in COVID-19 related mortality associated with high dose ICS use in asthma, and any ICS use in COPD(15, 22, 23). Understanding the impact of ICS is critical for respiratory patients. It was notable that at the beginning of the pandemic, in the UK, the demand for ICS prescriptions escalated to such an extent it caused distressing shortages.

Using data from the International Severe Acute Respiratory and emerging Infection Consortium (ISARIC) WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol UK (CCP-UK) study, we aimed to characterise people admitted with underlying respiratory disease, the level of care received, measure in-hospital mortality, and the effect of ICS use.

Methods

Data source

The ISARIC WHO CCP-UK protocol was developed in 2009, with regular review and updates in response to potential threats posed by emerging infections. The study was activated in response to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on 17th January 2020. This is an actively recruiting, prospective cohort study of hospitalised patients with strongly suspected or confirmed COVID-19 recruiting across England, Scotland and Wales. The protocol, revision history, case report forms, study information and consent forms are available online (https://isaric4c.net). Data and analysis scripts are available on request.

Study population

From 17th January until 3rd August 2020, patients admitted to hospital with a confirmed or highly suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection leading to COVID-19 disease were eligible for inclusion in this study.

Confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 was performed using reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), the only testing modality available in the UK during the study period. Highly suspected but unproven cases were eligible for inclusion, given that SARS-CoV-2 was an emergent pathogen at time of protocol activation and confirmatory RT-PCR was contingent on local availability of tests. Patients who were admitted past the 3rd August 2020 were excluded to avoid bias from those who had not had adequate time to accrue an outcome. Follow-up of patients ended on 17th August.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was given by the South Central-Oxford C Research Ethics Committee in England (reference 13/SC/0149), and by the Scotland A Research Ethics Committee (reference 20/SS/0028). The study was registered at https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN66726260.

Variables

Data were collected by clinical research staff using a standardised case report form and entered into a secure Research Electronic Data Capture secure online database. Data were captured across multiple timepoints, including at admission, during hospital stay (day 1, 3, 6 and 9) and discharge. Characteristics including age, sex, comorbidities; asthma, chronic pulmonary disease (CPD), chronic cardiac disease, chronic haematologic disease (excluding malignancy), chronic kidney disease, chronic neurological disease, HIV/AIDs, malignancy, liver disease, obesity, rheumatologic disorder and smoking history were captured. Physiological parameters at admission were recorded, including the components of the National Early Warning Score 2 (NEWS2); these last were categorised as low (<5), moderate (5-6) and severe (\geq 7) clinical risk. We captured medications entered as free-text and subsequently mapped this to drug preparations using data provided by the NHS Technology Reference data Update Distribution Service. Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD, an area-based measure of relative deprivation) was determined using the area of the patient's home (where the most deprived are represented by the 5th quintile). Where that was missing, a hospital-weighted average deprivation score was used.

Patients with respiratory disease, recorded in the case report form as asthma or CPD (no asthma), were stratified into three age groups: <16 years, 16- 49 years, and \geq 50 years. Asthma spans all ages but the potential for misclassification due to other similar conditions increases from around 50 years of age. Stratifying by age reduces the risk of this bias and helps control for age, one of the main confounders for assessing COVID-19 outcomes. Due to the small number of children, descriptive analysis only was carried out. Asthma patients under 50 years old were identified using the case report form, or by patients without CPD who were taking inhaled asthma medication (ICS, short-acting β 2-agonists (SABA), long-acting β 2-agonists (LABA), theophylline or leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA)) within two weeks of admission. Patients prescribed ICS + LABA + another asthma medication (LAMA, LTRA or theophylline) were considered to have 'severe' asthma (equivalent to the highest steps in the asthma management stepwise approach). CPD patients were those that had entered CPD (no asthma) on the case report form. In patients over 50 years, those that had not entered asthma or CPD (no asthma) were classified as having no respiratory condition (Supplementary Table 1).

Further breakdown of the respiratory diagnoses within the CPD category were not recorded but were mostly likely to be predominantly COPD.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was in-hospital survival from onset of symptoms, measured as time in days to death. Secondary outcomes, during the index hospital admission, included any admission to critical

care (Level 3- Intensive Care Unit or Level 2 – High Dependency Unit), and whether the patient received invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV), non-invasive ventilation (NIV) or oxygen (O_2).

Statistical Analysis

Categorical data were summarised as frequencies and percentages and tested using the Chi-square statistic, except for when cell counts were five or fewer, where Fisher's exact was used (all p-values are based on non-missing values). For continuous data, normally distributed variables were summarised as mean (SD, standard deviation) and non-normally distributed variables as median (IQR, interquartile range). Continuous variables where appropriate were analysed using a two-sample Welch's T-test for normally distributed data, or a Mann-Whitney U or Kruskall-Wallis for data that was not normally distributed.

Time to in-hospital death (survival) was modelled using Cox proportional hazards regression and logistic regression was used for modelling of binary outcomes. For survival models, the reported date of symptom onset was taken as day zero and discharge from hospital was considered an absorbing state (once discharged, patients were considered no longer at risk of death). Discharge did not compete with death as discharged patients were not censored and included in the risk set until the end of follow-up. After building the survival models we confirmed the assumptions of proportional hazards were met by plotting the Schoenfeld residuals and inspecting for symmetry over time. An iterative modelling approach was adopted where clinically plausible variables which could explain differences in mortality were entered into preliminary multivariable models. We selected the final models through minimisation of the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). Variables that did not reduce AIC were dropped to minimise over-fitting. Where data on predictor (explanatory) variables were missing, we used multiple imputation by chained equations to generate 5 imputed datasets with 5 iterations of imputation per dataset. Models were then fitted on each imputed dataset and the final models pooled using Rubin's rules.

First order interactions were checked, and any significant interactions retained. Covariates included were age, sex, ethnicity, deprivation, obesity, smoking, chronic cardiac disease, chronic kidney disease and malignancy.

Both survival models and logistic regression models took variation across healthcare facilities into account by using mixed effects models. Patient level risk factors were modelled as fixed effects and the healthcare facility as a random effect. We performed three post-hoc sensitivity analyses, first, excluding patients with obesity, second, excluding patients without a positive RT-PCR test result. Third, in the \geq 50 years, including all medication strata (not only ICS) but excluding patients with missing variable for asthma or CPD. Effect estimates are presented as hazard ratios (HR) for time to death and odds ratios (OR) for binary outcome data, alongside their corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Statistical significance was taken at the level of P<0.05.

Data were analysed using R version 3.6.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, AUT) using the tidyverse, finalfit and mice packages.

Role of the funding source

The sponsor had no role in the study design, collection, analysis, data interpretation or report writing. TMD, CIB, ABD and EMH had access to the raw data. The corresponding author had full access to all of the data and the final responsibility to submit for publication.

Results

Up to 17th August 2020, 78,674 patients were enrolled into the ISARIC CCP-UK cohort across 258 healthcare facilities; 75,463 patients had comorbidity data available (**Figure 1**). Chronic respiratory disease (including asthma and/or CPD) was the most prevalent comorbidity (20,196/75,463; 26.8%). 97.4% of patients (73,500) had PCR positive SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Patient characteristics

Under 16 years old

There were 860 children, <16 years, 786 (91.4%) without asthma and 74 (8.6%) with asthma. Patients with asthma were older, more likely to be of white ethnicity, but had similar clinical characteristics to children without asthma (**Supplementary Table 2**). Around forty percent were using ICS (41.9%). Five patients were using monoclonal antibodies for severe asthma.

16 to 49 years old

There were 8,950 patients, 16-49 years, 7,083 (79.1%) without asthma and 1,867 (20.9%) with asthma. Age was similar for patients with and without asthma (mean, years (SD): asthma=3879 (8.6), no asthma=38.9 years (8.6)) but there were more females, higher proportions of white ethnicity and obese, and similar proportion of smokers in those with asthma (**Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3**). The prevalence of other co-morbidities was low, with small differences between those with and without asthma. Just over half of asthma patients were using ICS (ICS only=22.7%, combination ICS+LABA=22.7%, severe=10.8%). Five patients were using monoclonal antibodies for severe asthma.

50 years old and over

There were 65,653 patients, ≥50 years, 47,398 (72.2%) patients without a respiratory condition, 5,918 (9.0%) with asthma alone, 10,266 (15.6%) with CPD (no asthma) and 2,071 (3.2%) with co-diagnosis of asthma and CPD. Patients with asthma were slightly younger, more likely to be female and obese but less likely to be of white ethnicity or a current smoker, than patients with CPD (no asthma) (**Table 2** and **Supplementary Table 4**). Those with asthma were less likely to have chronic cardiac disease, but other comorbidities were of similar prevalence. There was a higher proportion of patients using ICS in those with asthma than those with CPD alone (asthma alone=61.7%, asthma & CPD=68.1%, CPD (no asthma)=41.3%).

Symptoms and severity on admission (all age groups)

Patients with a respiratory condition were significantly more likely to have dyspnoea, wheeze and cough, but in those aged ≥ 16 years, only around 1 in 7 presented with wheeze (**Table 3 & Supplementary Table 5**). In children, <16 years, 40% presented with wheeze (**Supplementary Table 5**). In those aged ≥ 50 years, over two-thirds presented with dyspnoea, as compared to just over half of patients without a respiratory condition (**Table 3**).

Asthma patients, aged \geq 16 years, had a similar level of severity on admission to patients with no respiratory condition, whereas patients with CPD (with or without asthma) presented with higher NEWS2 scores (**Table 3**).

Level of care during hospital admission (all age groups)

No children (aged <16 years) with asthma died but ten were transferred to critical care (**Supplementary Table 5**). Asthma patients, aged 16-50 years and \geq 50 years, were significantly more likely to receive critical care, NIV and oxygen than patients without a respiratory condition after

adjusting for age, gender, ethnicity, obesity, smoking and comorbidities (**Table 4**). Whereas, CPD patients, aged \geq 50 years, were significantly less likely to receive critical care or IMV, but there was an increased association with NIV and oxygen supplementation, as compared to patients without a respiratory condition (**Table 4**). On additionally adjusting for NEWS2 score there was minimal change to effect estimates, except a reduction in adjusted OR for NIV use in CPD (no asthma) (adjusted OR=1.04, 95% CI 0.97-1.12) and CPD & asthma patients (adjusted OR=1.09, 95% CI 0.95-1.25).

Survival

In asthma (16-49 years) by asthma therapy

6.5% of patients with asthma and 5.4% of patients without asthma died (Supplementary Figure 1). After adjusting for multiple risk factors, only asthma patients with severe asthma had higher rates of in-hospital mortality (adjusted HR (95%CI): no therapy=1.17 (0.73-1.86), SABA-only=0.99 (0.61-1.58), ICS-only=0.94 (0.62-1.43), ICS+LABA=1.02 (0.67-1.54), severe=1.96 (1.25-3.08)) (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 6).

In respiratory conditions (≥50 years) by ICS use only

A high proportion of patients with CPD died (41.6%) during their hospital admission, compared to those with asthma (28.2%) or no underlying respiratory condition (34.0%) (**Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 2**). Asthma patients had a 13% significant reduction in mortality risk if they were using ICS compared to those with no underlying respiratory condition, but no reduction if they were not using ICS (asthma-no ICS: adjusted HR=0.97, 95% CI 0.89-1.05; asthma+ICS: adjusted HR=0.86, 95% CI 0.80-0.92) (**Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 7**). When stratifying on patients with asthma alone, patients with severe asthma had an increased mortality compared to those on no therapy (**Supplementary Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 8**). CPD patients (no asthma) had a statistically significant increase in mortality risk, regardless of ICS use, as compared to patients without an underlying respiratory condition (CPD-no-asthma-no-ICS: adjusted HR=1.16, 95% CI 1.12-1.22; CPD-no-asthma + ICS: adjusted HR=1.10, 95% CI 1.04-1.16) (**Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 7**). Patients with CPD and asthma, had a non-significant increased mortality risk if they were not using ICS, as compared to patients without an underlying respiratory condition (CPD-with-asthma-no-ICS: adjusted HR=1.13, 95% CI 1.01-1.28; CPD-with-asthma + ICS: adjusted HR=0.97, 95% CI 0.89-1.06).

On stratifying by NEWS2 score, in all strata and respiratory condition, there was a lower mortality rate in patients using ICS as compared to patients not using ICS (**Supplementary Table 9**).

Sensitivity analyses

After removing patients with obesity, associations with mortality did not differ from those found in the main analyses (**Supplementary Figures 4 and 5**). Only including patients with positive RT-PCR, did not affect associations found in the main analyses (**Supplementary Figures 6 and 7**). Bronchodilators, or number of long-acting inhalers used, did not affect the effect estimates in the CPD patients (**Supplementary Figure 8**). Modelling age as a continuous transformed variable, did not affect associations as compared to including age as a categorical variable (Supplementary Tables 10 & 11, Supplementary Figures 9 and 10).

Effect of different ICS on survival (grouping together all adults with an underlying respiratory condition)

After adjusting for mortality risk factors, beclometasone had a 9% significantly reduced risk of inhospital mortality compared to no ICS (adjusted HR=0.93, 95% CI 0.89-0.98); fluticasone and ciclesonide did not have a significant association with mortality (Supplementary Figure 11 and Supplementary Table 12).

Discussion

The ISARIC CCP-UK is to our knowledge, the largest cohort of hospital admissions for COVID-19 worldwide. In contrast to some other cohorts, we found that the proportion of people with asthma admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (8.6% <16 years, 20.9% in 16-49 years and 12.2% in \geq 50 years) was considerably higher than the national prevalence of asthma which is around 7% for each age group(24). Patients with underlying respiratory conditions were more likely to present with respiratory symptoms, dyspnoea and cough, but wheeze was uncommon except in children with asthma (<16 years old).

CPD patients had a high mortality rate of around 40% but were significantly less likely to receive critical care or invasive ventilatory support than patients with no underlying respiratory condition, even after considering age and comorbidities. In contrast, adult asthma patients were significantly more likely to receive critical care and ventilatory support. This did not seem to be associated with clinical severity on admission, as measured by NEWS2 score, suggesting other factors were contributing towards the decision to provide critical care. For example, it is likely these markers did not fully account for frailty, when treatment escalation discussions were held, and a low threshold for admitting patients with asthma to critical care for observation, given their potential to deteriorate quickly. All respiratory patients were more likely to receive NIV and oxygen supplementation than patients without an underlying respiratory condition, even after accounting for age, comorbidities and admission severity.

Patients with the severe asthma (denoted by their maintenance medication use prior to admission), had worse survival than patients without an underlying respiratory condition. In all other asthma patients, there was no significant difference in mortality except in those using ICS, aged 50 years or more, who had decreased mortality. It is possible these differential effects with age and mortality are related to immune senescence, which can occur from 55 years of age(25). One aspect includes an increase in inflammation that may inhibit immunity to viruses or worsen hyperinflammation at the time of infection; ICS has been postulated to reverse this affect(26, 27). Another possibility is that ICS exhibits its apparent age preferential effect through inhibition of ACE2 as expression of ACE2 is relatively higher with older age(28).

Our findings in asthma patients correspond with work from the OpenSafely primary care consortium. Using over 17 million UK medical records linked to COVID-19 deaths, they found only patients with severe asthma (defined by the prescription of oral corticosteroids in the past year) were predisposed to increased mortality(23). Another large study, using UK Biobank data from over 65,000 people with asthma (enrolled at ages 40-59 years), found non-allergic asthma, or asthma with a co-diagnosis of COPD, to be associated with increased risk of hospitalisation for COVID-19; while allergic asthma showed a non-significant association with reduced hospitalisation(13). These studies engaged large numbers but being observational were all susceptible to inherent misclassification of asthma, due to self-reporting or diagnosis by a non-specialist, as well as limited information on underlying asthma severity and phenotypes. Small case series, using well defined asthma patients (diagnosed by specialists with detailed medical records) found asthma to be associated with an increased risk of severe COVID-19(29, 30). We found asthma to be associated with an increased level of care, even after adjusting for clinical severity on admission, but not with an increased risk of death (except for those

with severe asthma); similar to findings with the 2009 influenza pandemic(9). In our study, we attempted to further refine asthma classification by using an age cut-off and, in the older cohort, separating those documented as having asthma alone from patients with asthma and another CPD.

The first published cohorts of hospitalised COVID-19 patients from China, US and Europe, found a variable prevalence of COPD, sometimes below the expected population prevalence, leading to an initial debate about possible protection(10). Subsequently, the OpenSafely collaborative found COPD to be a highly significant risk factor, seemingly in agreement with the findings from ISARIC-CCP-UK which addressed patients with CPD, rather than COPD(4, 5). A possible mechanism for the increased susceptibility may be related to the elevated expression of ACE2 in the airway epithelial cells in COPD patients and smokers(31, 32).

The question of whether ICS use is protective is raised by studies demonstrating decreased ACE2 in sputum with ICS use in asthma and ICS-induced downregulation of ACE2 at gene and protein levels in COPD(19-21). The OpenSafely collaborative conducted an analysis, using an asthma cohort and a separate COPD cohort, and reported that ICS was not protective for COVID-19 related survival(23). Indeed, they reported worse outcomes associated with high dose ICS use in asthma, and all ICS use in COPD. However, further analyses revealed considerable residual confounding, thought to be unaccounted for respiratory disease severity(23). Their asthma findings are potentially in keeping with this study as although we could not address ICS dose, we found patients with more severe disease (more likely to be using high-dose ICS) had reduced survival. It is postulated that the timing of ICS use may be critical in terms of the effect of ICS on infection versus the effect on the host response to infection(18). In this regard our study patients reported using their medication within two weeks of admission, i.e. at the time of severe COVID-19. Pointedly, in the OpenSafely study patients could be prescribed ICS any time within four months before entering the study cohort. Our study also includes a different population, ISARIC CCP-UK only includes hospitalised patients with COVID-19, OpenSafely included the general population, those infected and not infected by SARS-CoV-2. Two US studies using electronic medical records from asthma patients only (n=1,526 and n=1,827) addressed the risk of COVID-19 hospitalisation(14, 22) - Wang et al also addressed critical care admission and death(33). Both studies found ICS use was not associated with hospitalisation, but Wang et al found there was a non-significant effect for reduced critical care admission and improved survival. A Korean study including 218 hospitalised asthma patients, found no significant association between mortality and any asthma medications in COVID-19 hospitalised patients(34).

To date, there is no prior observational data addressing the impact of different ICS drugs. In-vitro data indicates that ciclesonide can suppress SARS-CoV-2 replication(35). Due to the small number of patients prescribed ciclesonide inhalers in the UK this analysis did not have sufficient power to assess its association with mortality.

Limitations

The dataset is not currently linked to primary or secondary care records, and where data were obtained from self-reporting (medication and diagnoses of conditions, including asthma), these could not be confirmed. This could have resulted in misclassification of the prescriptions and diagnoses. We also did not have information on the severity of the underlying respiratory condition, except by their admission medications. Information on the dose of inhaled corticosteroids was often missing, such that this variable was not used. We have assumed patients were using their prescribed medications on admission, this may not have been the case for some. For this reason, we were unable to classify the severity of asthma, except to assume those on three maintenance asthma medications in the two weeks before admission had more severe asthma than the other patients. We did not know the reason

for any oral corticosteroids that may have been prescribed pre-admission. Conditions not included on the study case report forms could not be evaluated separately, including atopy, and COPD and bronchiectasis which were likely included in the CPD (no asthma) category. Physiological measurements for clinical severity were limited to ones included in the NEWS2 score and were only available on admission. Finally, we were interested in the outcome of in-hospital mortality (incorporating palliative discharge), which could have led to some patients who were discharged and died in the community being missed. Our analysis used discharge as an absorbing state, considering all these patients as being alive, discharge is associated with outcome (i.e. is informative) and therefore should not be used as a censoring event in this analysis. This is a reasonable assumption give our methods of data collection, but it is a limitation.

Conclusion

Chronic respiratory disease was the most prevalent comorbidity in the ISARIC CCP-UK cohort. However, there was a disparity between different respiratory conditions and the level of in-hospital care received. CPD patients had a high level of mortality with a prevalence of 40% for in-hospital death. Only asthma patients with severe asthma had increased mortality compared to those without an underlying respiratory condition. Patients with asthma (aged 50 years or more) had a lower mortality risk if they had used ICS within two weeks of admission. These results confirm that many patients with existing respiratory conditions are high risk and should continue to take precautions against exposure to SARS-CoV-2. The role of ICS in COVID-19 remains unclear, but ICS protect against exacerbations of respiratory disease and may protect against severe COVID-19.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flow diagram of patient by inclusion and exclusion criteria

Figure 2. Association between asthma and COVID-19 related mortality in 16-50 years old. SABA=short-acting beta-agonist, LABA=long-acting beta-agonist, ICS=inhaled corticosteroid, IMD=index of multiple deprivation

Figure 3. Association between ICS use, respiratory condition and in-hospital mortality in ≥50 years old. CPD= chronic pulmonary disease, ICS=inhaled corticosteroid, IMD=index of multiple deprivation

Supplementary Figure 1. Time to in-hospital death by asthma and asthma treatment.

Supplementary Figure 2. Time to in-hospital death by respiratory condition and ICS.

Supplementary Figure 3. Association between asthma and COVID-19 related mortality, by their asthma treatment, in patients aged 50 years and over. SABA=short-acting beta-agonist, LABA=long-acting beta-agonist, ICS=inhaled corticosteroid, IMD=index of multiple deprivation

Supplementary Figure 4. Association between asthma and COVID-19 related mortality in 16-50 years old; removing obese patients.

Supplementary Figure 5. Association between ICS use, respiratory condition and in-hospital mortality in \geq 50 years; removing obese patients.

Supplementary Figure 6. Association between asthma and COVID-19 related mortality in 16-50 years old; including only RT-PCR positive patients.

Supplementary Figure 7. Association between ICS use, respiratory condition and in-hospital mortality in ≥50 years; including only RT-PCR positive patients.

Supplementary Figure 8. Association between ICS use, respiratory condition and in-hospital mortality in ≥50 years including all medication strata.

Supplementary Figure 9. Association between ICS use, respiratory condition and in-hospital mortality in 16-50 years old, including age as a continuous transformed variable

Supplementary Figure 10. Association between ICS use, respiratory condition and in-hospital mortality in \geq 50 years old, including age as a continuous transformed variable

Supplementary Figure 11 - Effect of different ICS on in-hospital mortality.

Author's Contributions

Conceptualisation: PJMO, PC, CIB, BJL, SLJ, JKB, JD, GC, JSN-V-T, MGS

Data curation: TMD, CIB

Formal analysis and methodology: TMD, CIB, PC, EMH, ABD, BJL, SLJ

Supervision: PC, PJMO, ABD, CIB, EMH, MGS

Writing original draft: CIB, TMD

Writing reviewing and editing: PC, ABD, BJL, SLJ, EMH, MGS, JSN-V-T, PJMO Funding acquisition: JKB, GC, PWH, PJMO, MGS TMD and CIB confirm that they have verified the data used in the study.

References

1. Johnston SL. Asthma and COVID-19: Is asthma a risk factor for severe outcomes? Allergy. 2020;75(7):1543-5.

2. Who's at higher risk from coronavirus (COVID-19) - NHS. 2020.

3. Wark PAB, Johnston SL, Bucchieri F, Powell R, Puddicombe S, Laza-Stanca V, et al. Asthmatic bronchial epithelial cells have a deficient innate immune response to infection with rhinovirus. Journal of Experimental Medicine. 2005;201(6):937-47.

4. Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, Hardwick HE, Pius R, Norman L, et al. Features of 20 133 UK patients in hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: Prospective observational cohort study. The BMJ. 2020;369.

5. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, Bacon S, Bates C, Morton CE, et al. OpenSAFELY: factors associated with COVID-19 death in 17 million patients. Nature. 2020;584(7821).

6. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, Antonelli M, Cabrini L, Castelli A, et al. Baseline Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy. JAMA. 2020.

7. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. JAMA. 2020.

8. Li X, Xu S, Yu M, Wang K, Tao Y, Zhou Y, et al. Risk factors for severity and mortality in adult COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2020.

9. Jha A, Dunning J, Tunstall T, Thwaites RS, Hoang LT, Kon OA-O, et al. Patterns of systemic and local inflammation in patients with asthma hospitalised with influenza. LID - 1900949 [pii] LID - 10.1183/13993003.00949-2019 [doi]. (1399-3003 (Electronic)).

10. Halpin DMG, Faner R, Sibila O, Badia JR, Agusti A. Do chronic respiratory diseases or their treatment affect the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection? : Lancet Publishing Group; 2020. p. 436-8.

11. Panettieri RA, Carson J, Horton D, Barrett E, Roy J, Radbel J. Asthma and COVID: What Are the Important Questions? : American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology; 2020.

12. Yang JM, Koh HY, Moon SY, Yoo IK, Ha EK, You S, et al. Allergic disorders and susceptibility to and severity of COVID-19: a nationwide cohort study. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2020.

13. Zhu Z, Hasegawa K, Ma B, Fujiogi M, Camargo CA, Liang L. Association of asthma and its genetic predisposition with the risk of severe COVID-19. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2020;146(2):327-9.e4.

14. Cummings MJ, Baldwin MR, Abrams D, Jacobson SD, Meyer BJ, Balough EM, et al. Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort study. The Lancet. 2020;395(10239):1763-70.

15. Chhiba KD, Patel GB, Vu THT, Chen MM, Guo A, Kudlaty E, et al. Prevalence and characterization of asthma in hospitalized and nonhospitalized patients with COVID-19. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2020;146(2):307-14.e4.

16. Lovinsky-Desir S, Deshpande DR, De A, Murray L, Stingone JA, Chan A, et al. Asthma among hospitalized patients with COVID-19 and related outcomes. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2020.

17. Goyal P, Choi JJ, Pinheiro LC, Schenck EJ, Chen R, Jabri A, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Covid-19 in New York City. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020;382(24):2372-4.

18. Halpin DMG, Singh D, Hadfield RM. Inhaled corticosteroids and COVID-19: A systematic review and clinical perspective. European Respiratory Society; 2020.

19. Finney LJ, Glanville N, Farne H, Aniscenko J, Fenwick P, Kemp S, et al. Inhaled corticosteroids downregulate the SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 in COPD through suppression of type I interferon. bioRxiv. 2020:2020.06.13.149039-2020.06.13.

20. Milne S, Li X, Yang CX, Hernandez Cordero AI, Leitao Filho FS, Yang CWT, et al. Inhaled corticosteroids downregulate SARS-CoV-2-related gene expression in COPD: results from a RCT. medRxiv. 2020.

21. Peters MC, Sajuthi S, Deford P, Christenson S, Rios CL, Montgomery MT, et al. COVID-19related genes in sputum cells in asthma: Relationship to demographic features and corticosteroids. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 2020;202(1):83-90.

22. Wang L, Foer D, Bates DW, Boyce JA, Zhou L. Risk factors for hospitalization, intensive care and mortality among patients with asthma and COVID-19. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2020.

23. Schultze A, Walker AJ, MacKenna B, Morton CE, Bhaskaran K, Brown JP, et al. Inhaled corticosteroid use and risk COVID-19 related death among 966,461 patients with COPD or asthma: an OpenSAFELY analysis. medRxiv. 2020:2020.06.19.20135491-2020.06.19.

24. Bloom CI, Saglani S, Feary J, Jarvis D, Quint JK. Changing prevalence of current asthma and inhaled corticosteroid treatment in the UK: population-based cohort 2006-2016. Eur Respir J. 2019;53(4).

25. Qin L, Jing X, Qiu Z, Cao W, Jiao Y, Routy JP, et al. Aging of immune system: Immune signature from peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets in 1068 healthy adults. Aging (Albany NY). 2016;8(5):848-59.

26. British Society for Immunology. The ageing immune system and COVID-19. 2020.

27. Nicolau DV, Bafadhel M. Inhaled corticosteroids in virus pandemics: a treatment for COVID-19? Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(9):846-7.

28. Bunyavanich S, Do A, Vicencio A. Nasal Gene Expression of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 in Children and Adults. Jama. 2020;323(23):2427-9.

29. Butler M, O'Reilly A, Dunican E, Mallon P, Feeney E, Keane M, et al. Prevalence of comorbid asthma in COVID-19 patients. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2020;146:334-5.

30. Beurnier A, Jutant E-M, Jevnikar M, Boucly A, Pichon J, Preda M, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of asthmatic patients with COVID-19 pneumonia who require hospitalisation. European Respiratory Journal. 2020:2001875-.

31. Jacobs M, Van Eeckhoutte HP, Wijnant SRA, Janssens W, Joos GF, Brusselle GG, et al. Increased expression of ACE2, the SARS-CoV-2 entry receptor, in alveolar and bronchial epithelium of smokers and COPD subjects. European Respiratory Journal. 2020;56(2):2002378-.

32. Leung JM, Yang CX, Tam A, Shaipanich T, Hackett TL, Singhera GK, et al. ACE-2 expression in the small airway epithelia of smokers and COPD patients: implications for COVID-19. LID - 10.1183/13993003.00688-2020 [doi] LID - 2000688. European Respiratory Journal. 2020;55(5)(1399-3003 (Electronic)).

33. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients with 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association. 2020;323(11):1061-9.

34. Choi YJ, Park J-Y, Lee HS, Suh J, Song Y, Kwang Byun M, et al. Effect of Asthma and Asthma Medication on the Prognosis of Patients with COVID-19. European Respiratory Journal. 2020.

35. Matsuyama S, Kawase M, Nao N, Shirato K, Ujike M, Kamitani W, et al. The inhaled steroid ciclesonide blocks SARS-CoV-2 RNA replication by targeting the viral replication-transcription complex in cultured cells. J Virol. 2020.

Acknowledgements This work uses data provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and support #DataSavesLives. We are extremely grateful to the 2,648 frontline NHS clinical and research staff and volunteer medical students, who collected this data in challenging circumstances; and the generosity of the participants and their families for their individual contributions in these difficult times. We also acknowledge the support of Jeremy J Farrar and Nahoko Shindo.

Conflict of interest

BJL has received funding from Astrazeneca, Chiesi and Teva for research, consulting, advisory boards and giving talks, from Novartis Glenmark, Cipla and Vectura for consulting, and from Sanofi for research and consulting. SLJ reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) and Novartis, outside the submitted work. SLJ is the Asthma UK Clinical Chair (grant CH11SJ) and a NIHR Emeritus Senior Investigator and is funded in part by European Research Council Advanced Grant 788575. JKB reports grants from Medical Research Council UK (MRC). MGS reports grants from DHSC NIHR UK, grants from MRC UK, grants from HPRU in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections, University of Liverpool, during the conduct of the study, other from Integrum Scientific LLC, Greensboro, NC, USA, outside the submitted work. PJMO reports personal fees from Janssen (J&J) and Pfizer, and collaborative grant finding from GSK within an MRC consortium and is an NIHR Senior Investigator. ABD reports grants from DHSC during the conduct of the study; grants from Wellcome Trust outside the submitted work. JSN-V-T reports grants from DHSC during the conduct of the study and is seconded to DHSC. CIB, TMD, PC, JD, EMH, and GC report no conflicts.