

# Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis screening: Could a school-based assessment protocol be useful for an early diagnosis?

Dalila Scaturro<sup>a</sup>, Alessandro de Sire<sup>b,c,\*</sup>, Pietro Terrana<sup>a</sup>, Claudio Costantino<sup>d</sup>, Lorenza Lauricella<sup>e</sup>,  
Claudia Emilia Sannasardo<sup>a</sup>, Francesco Vitale<sup>d</sup> and Giulia Letizia Mauro<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Dipartimento di Discipline Chirurgiche, Oncologiche e Stomatologiche, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Palermo, Italy*

<sup>b</sup>*Physical and Rehabilitative Medicine, Department of Health Sciences, University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara, Italy*

<sup>c</sup>*Rehabilitation Unit, Mons. L. Novarese Hospital, Moncrivello, Vercelli, Italy*

<sup>d</sup>*Dipartimento di Promozione della Salute, Materno-Infantile, di Medicina Interna e Specialistica di Eccellenza “G. D’Alessandro”, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Palermo, Italy*

<sup>e</sup>*Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Unit, “P. Giaccone” University Hospital, Palermo, Italy*

Received 21 July 2020

Accepted 18 October 2020

## Abstract.

**BACKGROUND:** Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis screening still needs a considerable implementation, particularly throughout a school-based assessment protocol.

**OBJECTIVE:** This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of clinical examinations currently in use for the diagnosis of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, through a survey carried out in secondary schools to standardize a screening protocol that could be generalized.

**METHODS:** In their classrooms, the adolescents underwent an idiopathic scoliosis screening through three examinations: Adam’s test, axial trunk rotation (ATR) and plumb line. In case of single positivity to one of the three examinations, a column X-ray examination was recommended.

**RESULTS:** The sensitivity and diagnostic specificity of Adam’s test or ATR were 56.3% and 92.7%, respectively. The positivity to at least one between ATR or plumb line showed that sensitivity was higher than specificity: 91.3% versus 80.8%; the positivity to at least one between Adams’s test or plumb line showed a sensitivity of 95.2% and a specificity of 81.5%. Finally, the positivity to all three examinations showed an increase in specificity (99.7%).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Taken together, our findings show that this school-based screening protocol had a very high specificity in early diagnosis of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis.

Keywords: Scoliosis, spine deformities, adam’s test, axial trunk rotation, plumb line

## 1. Background

Scoliosis is a complex structural deformity of the spinal column on the three planes of space. On the frontal plane a lateral bending movement occurs, as well as an alteration of the curves on the sagittal plane.

\*Corresponding author: Alessandro de Sire, Physical and Rehabilitative Medicine, Department of Health Sciences, University of Eastern Piedmont, Viale Piazza D’Armi, 1 - 28100 Novara, Italy. Tel.: +39 3213734800; E-mail: alessandro.desire@gmail.com

6 most often causing a curve inversion. On the axial plane  
7 a rotational movement still occurs [1–3].

8 Vertebral deformity, caused by scoliosis, can be de-  
9 fined as a sign of a complex syndrome with a mul-  
10 tifactorial etiology [4,5]. Possible etiological factors  
11 such as female sex, familiarity, firstborn, genetic back-  
12 ground, biomechanical or neurological disorders, aber-  
13 rant hormones functioning such as growth hormone and  
14 melatonin, and body schema disorders are mentioned  
15 in several studies. Behind this etiological heterogene-  
16 ity, clear scenarios of autosomal dominant or autosomal  
17 recessive transmission are described together with  
18 a multifactorial heredity background [4]. The preva-  
19 lence of scoliosis varies from 0.47–5.2%, although a  
20 2–3% occurrence of the disease is commonly accepted  
21 in the general population, with a female to male ratio  
22 of 4:1 [6].

23 Scoliosis might be classified as congenital and ac-  
24 quired scoliosis, which is classified into idiopathic ac-  
25 quired scoliosis (around 80% of cases) and scoliosis  
26 secondary to other causes (e.g. neurological diseases  
27 and connective systemic diseases). Idiopathic scoliosis  
28 is the definition for cases with an unknown cause, re-  
29 sults from a combination of genetic and environmental  
30 risk factors [4].

31 Furthermore, scoliosis follows different classifica-  
32 tions: i) based on age at diagnosis: infantile idiopathic  
33 scoliosis in children aged from 0 to 3 years; juvenile  
34 idiopathic scoliosis in subjects aged from 4 to 10 years;  
35 adolescent idiopathic scoliosis in those aged from 11 to  
36 18 years; adult idiopathic scoliosis in people older than  
37 18 years; ii) based on radiological criterion: mild with a  
38 Cobb's angle  $< 15^\circ$ , mild-moderate  $16\text{--}24^\circ$ , moderate  
39  $25\text{--}34^\circ$ , moderate-severe  $35\text{--}44^\circ$ , severe  $45\text{--}59^\circ$ , and  
40 very severe  $> 60^\circ$ ; iii) based on a topographic criterion  
41 of the siting of the curves: cervical (C6–C7), cervical-  
42 dorsal (C7–T1), dorsal (T1–T12), dorsal-lumbar (T12-  
43 L1); lumbar (L1–L5) [7]. According to the Society On  
44 Scoliosis Orthopaedic and Rehabilitation Treatment  
45 (SOSORT) [8], a Cobb's angle  $\geq 10^\circ$  defines scoliosis  
46 and  $>30^\circ$  the probability of anatomical damage in-  
47 creases significantly.

48 Scoliosis can lead to a higher chance to develop back  
49 pain in adolescents with a resulting reduction of health-  
50 related quality of life (HRQoL) [9]; furthermore, it has  
51 been shown that scoliosis might cause an altered body  
52 image development with detrimental consequences on  
53 posture, coordination, and balance [10–12]. Moreover,  
54 in severe cases, scoliosis can cause cardio-pulmonary  
55 disability characterized by restrictive ventilatory syn-  
56 drome, deformation of the thoracic cavity, compression

57 of the lungs, and reduction of vital capacity [13]. Scol-  
58 iosis should be diagnosed at an early stage in order to  
59 start a prompt and adequate treatment, avoiding respira-  
60 tory, psychological, and social complications that could  
61 characterize this disease [12,14,15].

62 Among the several screening tests for adolescent  
63 idiopathic scoliosis, Adam's test, axial trunk rotation  
64 (ATR) and plumb line are the most used in common  
65 clinical practice. The Adam's test has shown to be more  
66 sensitive than the scoliometer (used for assessing ATR)  
67 and is still considered as the best non-invasive clini-  
68 cal test for screening scoliosis [16]. ATR has been re-  
69 cently investigated by Moalej et al. [17] for screening  
70 idiopathic scoliosis in a sample of 144 children (aged  
71 7–12 years) from primary school. Lastly, plumb line is  
72 commonly used in the clinical practice and included in  
73 the latest Istituto Scientifico Italiano Colonna Vertebrale  
74 (ISICO) screening protocol [18].

75 However, to date, there is still no agreement on a  
76 proper and early detection of adolescent idiopathic scol-  
77 iosis that still needs a considerable implementation, par-  
78 ticularly throughout a school-based screening. More-  
79 over, there is a lack of evidence on the most appropriate  
80 and reliable screening methods in terms of sensitivity  
81 and specificity. In this context, we sought to evaluate the  
82 usefulness of a specific assessment protocol, using clini-  
83 cal examinations currently used in the common clini-  
84 cal practice for the diagnosis of adolescent idiopathic  
85 scoliosis in secondary schools.

## 86 2. Methods

### 87 2.1. Participants

88 In this cross-sectional study, adolescents attending  
89 secondary schools in the Province of Palermo, Italy,  
90 were recruited under the supervision of the Rehabilita-  
91 tion and Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine Hos-  
92 pital Units, University of Palermo, Italy.

93 Inclusion criteria were: a) male and female adoles-  
94 cents; b) age from 11 to 14 years; c) subjects whose  
95 parents had signed their informed consent, after having  
96 received detailed information by the physicians. Ex-  
97 clusion criteria were: a) congenital scoliosis; b) scolio-  
98 sis secondary to neuromuscular diseases and connec-  
99 tive systemic disease; c) other postural disabilities (e.g.  
100 Scheuerman disease).

101 The study was approved by the Ethical Committee  
102 Palermo I of the University Hospital of Palermo (5/2019  
103 of May 22<sup>th</sup> 2019) with the frame of rules specified by  
104 the Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent amend-  
105 ments, and the principles of good clinical practice.



Fig. 1. School-based adolescent idiopathic scoliosis screening protocol (constituting of Adam's test, axial trunk rotation, and plumb line).

## 2.2. Idiopathic scoliosis screening protocol

All the adolescents underwent a specialist clinical examination by a 10-year experienced physiatrist in classrooms (as depicted in Fig. 1), undergoing: i) Adam's test; ii) ATR; iii) plumb line.

- i) Adam's test: the patient should bend forward, with the head bent and lower limbs extended. This test is considered as positive when the asymmetry of the trunk appears [18].
- ii) ATR: the patient should bend forward, with the head bent and lower limbs extended, and the physician measures the ATR through a scoliometer (Gima Professional Medical Products, Gima S.p.A., Gessate, Milan, Italy). In presence of more than 5 degrees, a column X-ray examination is recommended [19].
- iii) plumb line: patient standing in a correct posture with a straight gaze, position the tangent line until it reaches the intergluteal fold to obtain a correct reference vertical for measurements. From the plumb line, once immobile, it is possible to calculate the distance up to the three reference points C7-D12-L3. The values considered normal for kyphosis are given by the sum of the arrow of C7 and L3 up to 90 mm and at L3 level up to 55 mm. Values above 90 mm and 55 mm should lead to a specialist investigation according to the latest

ISICO screening protocol [18], however, there is no unanimous consensus.

The positivity to one of the three examinations, together with the clinical examination, indicated the execution of column X-ray examination to prescribe the most suitable treatment. This instrumental exam will allow the measurement of the Cobb's angle, measured by the angle between perpendicular lines erected from lines parallel to the superior endplate of the superior vertebra and the inferior endplate of the inferior vertebra of curvature, and Risser grade, an indirect measurement of skeletal maturity based on the ossification degree of the iliac apophysis by X-ray examination. Scoliosis is described as a curve with  $10^\circ$  or more and rotation of the vertebral body, according to the Scoliosis Research Society (SRS) and the International Scientific Society on Scoliosis SOSORT (Society On Scoliosis Orthopaedic and Rehabilitation Treatment). Patients with a confirmed diagnosis of radiographic scoliosis were then given an aesthetic evaluation through TRACE (Trunk Aesthetic Clinical Evaluation) developed by ISICO [20].

## 2.3. Statistical analysis

The parameters taken into consideration by our study for the evaluation of the effectiveness of the diagnostic examinations assessed (see Table 1 for further details) were: sensitivity = true positives/(true positives + false negatives); specificity = true negatives/(false positives + true negatives). Furthermore, we defined as a likelihood ratio (LR) the ratio between sensitivity and  $(1 - \text{specificity})$ . In short, LR+ is the ratio between the probability that a patient is positive and the probability that a healthy person is positive. In other words, in the case of a positive result, LR+ is times more likely that the subject is sick than healthy. On the other hand, LR- is considered as the ratio between the probability that a patient is negative and the probability that a healthy person is negative. In other words, in the case of a negative result, LR- is times more likely that the subject is ill than healthy. Further details are illustrated in Table 1.

## 3. Results

Of the 447 subjects recruited, 19 did not meet the eligibility criteria (10 had congenital scoliosis and nine had secondary scoliosis). Thus, we included 428 adolescents in the analysis, aged between 11 and 14 years (mean age = 11.9 years), including 228 males (53.3%)

Table 1  
Sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and likelihood ratio (LR) of the scoliosis screening protocol

	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive predictive value (%)	Negative predictive value (%)	LR+ (n)	LR- (n)
Adam's test	50.8	94.4	79.0	82.1	9.02	0.52
Axial trunk rotation	46.0	93.4	74.4	80.6	6.95	0.58
Plumb line	61.1	86.8	65.8	84.2	4.61	0.45
Adam's test or axial trunk rotation	56.3	92.7	76.3	83.6	7.74	0.47
Axial trunk rotation or plumb line	91.3	80.8	66.5	95.7	4.75	0.11
Adam's test or plumb line	95.2	81.5	68.2	97.6	5.14	0.06
Adam's test + axial trunk rotation	40.5	95.0	77.3	79.3	8.15	0.63
Axial trunk rotation + plumb line	15.9	99.3	90.9	73.9	23.97	0.85
Adam's test + plumb line	16.7	99.7	95.5	74.1	50.33	0.84
Positive to at least one examination	100.0	80.1	67.7	100.0	5.03	–
Positive to all three examinations	15.1	99.7	95.0	73.8	45.54	0.85

and 200 females (46.7%). Out of these 428 adolescents, 186 (43.5%) tested positive for at least one among Adam's test, ATR, and plumb line. Of these, 20 subjects (10.8%) were positive in all three examinations.

Thirteen subjects (7.0%) were positive only at Adam's test, 46 (24.7%) at both Adam's test and ATR, two subjects (1.1%) were positive to both Adam's test and plumb line, two at both ATR and plumb line examinations (1.1%), 93 subjects (50%) were positive at the plumb line only, and 10 (5.4%) were positive at inclinometer only. Associating the variables, a total of 81 adolescents (43.5%) were positive for Adam's test, 78 (41.9%) for the ATR, and 117 (62.9%) for the plumb line.

After the radiographic study, we observed that, among the 186 patients tested positive to at least one screening examination, 126 of them (66.7%) had a Cobb's angle greater than 10° and therefore considered affected by idiopathic scoliosis; moreover 46 (24.7%) adolescents had an angle greater than 8 and less than 10.

Analyzing and cross-referencing the data described above, we experienced that the Adam's test sensitivity and specificity were of 50.8% and 94.4%, respectively, with a positive predictive value of 79%, representing, among the three examinations performed, the one with the highest specificity, sensitivity, and positive predictive value. ATR showed a sensitivity of 46%, a specificity of 93.4%, and a positive predictive value of 74.4%. Finally, the plumb line showed the lowest results in terms of sensitivity (61.1%), specificity (86.8%), and positive predictive value (65.8%).

Then, we examined the sensitivity and diagnostic specificity of the group of positive subjects to at least one examination between Adam's test or ATR, respectively: 56.3% and 92.7%. On the other hand, the positivity to at least one examination between ATR or plumb line, sensitivity was higher than the specificity: 91.3%

versus 80.8%. The same happened for the positivity to at least one examination between Adam's test or plumb line, which showed a sensitivity of 95.2% compared to a specificity of 81.5%. Finally, taking into consideration patients with positivity at the three examinations, there was a clear increase in specificity reaching 99.7% (see Table 1 for all these data).

#### 4. Discussion

Our findings demonstrated the usefulness of a school-based screening program standardizing a protocol for the early diagnosis of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Individually performing the Adam's test, ATR, and plumb line could not be considered as sufficient tools aiming to detect potential scoliotic subjects due to the low sensitivity of each examination. Analyzing the high LR value of Adam's test and ATR, these examinations if administered individually might fail to intercept potential subjects with scoliosis. Hence, they can be singularly considered useful but not diagnostic. Conversely, the single plumb line is not indicated both in the diagnosing and screening process, having a lower sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value. Notably, the association between Adam's test/plumb line or ATR / plumb line significantly increases the sensitivity while maintaining high specificity. The high LR and a positive predictive value obtained from these combinations may suggest the use of both matches as a screening tool to perform early diagnosis of scoliosis.

In the United States, school screening for scoliosis has been a practice for years. In this context, the Scoliosis Research Society, the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons, and the American Academy of Pediatrics and the Pediatric Orthopedic Society of North America suggest that early detection of scoliosis upholds screening programs, however, there is no agree-

252 ment on the screening examination [21]. Dunn et al.  
253 recently reported that screening can detect juvenile idi-  
254 opathic scoliosis [22] without indicating the best and  
255 most effective method.

256 In fact, in the literature, there is no clear agreement  
257 on the most effective screening examinations for ado-  
258 lescent idiopathic scoliosis. Our study has demonstrated  
259 the existence of a valid association set, constituting of  
260 Adam's test and plumb line, ATR, and plumb line, and  
261 that the execution of a single screening examination  
262 exposes to the risk of false negatives. Furthermore, the  
263 insertion of an easy and intuitive questionnaire for the  
264 identification of physical characteristics, habits, and at-  
265 titudes allows us to identify new potential risk factors  
266 for the pathology and its evolution. It should be high-  
267 lighted that early diagnosis of scoliosis might lead to  
268 less invasive conservative treatments [23,24] to avoid  
269 detrimental complications [13,25]. In this context, reha-  
270 bilitation experts play a crucial role in this race against  
271 time to stop the disease evolution [12,26].

272 This study is not free from limitations. First, the study  
273 design did not consent to describe the main risk factors  
274 for developing idiopathic scoliosis. Second, there was  
275 an absence of data on the X-ray examinations for sub-  
276 jects screened in their follow-up evaluations. Third, the  
277 examinations had low specificity and sensitivity, indi-  
278 vidualy. Lastly, taking into account that only subjects  
279 with ATR ranging from 0 to 3 degrees are commonly  
280 considered as healthy [27], it should be noted that there  
281 is still no agreement in the literature on people with  
282 ATR ranging from 3 to 5 degrees.

## 283 5. Conclusions

284 In conclusion, our findings show that a school-based  
285 screening protocol (a combination of Adam's test, ATR,  
286 and plumb line) had a very high specificity in the early  
287 diagnosis of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Future  
288 studies on screening of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis  
289 through other possible examinations are warranted to  
290 better detect this pathological condition to manage its  
291 treatment and avoid severe complications.

## 292 Conflict of interest

293 The authors certify that there is no conflict of interest  
294 with any financial organization regarding the material  
295 discussed in the manuscript.

## References

- 296
- [1] Stagnara P. Les déformations du rachis. Paris: Masson, 1985. 297
  - [2] Perdriolle R. La scoliose: son étudetridimensionnelle. Paris: 298  
Maloine, 1979. 299
  - [3] Selleri U, Negrini S La riabilitazione del paziente affetto da 300  
scoliosi idiopatica. Trattato di Medicina Riabilitativa, Medicina 301  
Fisica e Riabilitazione. Napoli: Idelson Gnocchi: 1123-47, 302  
1999. 303
  - [4] Nachemson, Alf L., Sahlstrand, Tage, Md Etiologic Factors 304  
in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis, Spine. 1977 Sep; 2(3): 305  
176-184. 306
  - [5] Moen KY, Nachemson AL. Treatment of scoliosis. An histori- 307  
cal perspective. Spine (PhilaPa 1976). 1999 Dec 15; 24(24): 308  
2570-5. doi: 10.1097/00007632-199912150-00003. 309
  - [6] Konieczny MR, Senyurt H, Krauspe R. Epidemiology of ado- 310  
lescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Child Orthop. 2013 Feb; 7(1): 311  
3-9. doi: 10.1007/s11832-012-0457-4. 312
  - [7] Konieczny MR, Senyurt H, Krauspe R. Epidemiology of ado- 313  
lescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Child Orthop. 2013 Feb; 7(1): 314  
3-9. doi: 10.1007/s11832-012-0457-4. 315
  - [8] Negrini S, Donzelli S, Aulisa AG, Czaprowski D, Schreiber S, 316  
de Mauroy JC, et al. 2016 SOSORT guidelines: orthopaedic 317  
and rehabilitation treatment of idiopathic scoliosis during 318  
growth. Scoliosis Spinal Disord. 2018 Jan 10; 13: 3. doi: 319  
10.1186/s13013-017-0145-8. 320
  - [9] Polastri M, Romano M. Lumbar scoliosis: Reducing lower 321  
back pain and improving function in adulthood. A case report 322  
with a 2-year follow-up. J Bodyw Mov Ther. 2017 Jan; 21(1): 323  
81-85. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2016.05.004. 324
  - [10] Fällström K, Cochran T, Nachemson A. Long-term effects on 325  
personality development in patients with adolescent idiopathic 326  
scoliosis. Influence of type of treatment. Spine (Phila Pa 1976), 327  
1986 Sep; 11(7): 756-8. doi: 10.1097/00007632-198609000- 328  
00018. 329
  - [11] Schwieger T, Campo S, Weinstein SL, Dolan LA, Ashida 330  
S, Steuber KR. Body Image and Quality of Life and Brace 331  
Wear Adherence in Females With Adolescent Idiopathic Sco- 332  
liosis. J Pediatr Orthop. 2017 Dec; 37(8): e519-e523. doi: 333  
10.1097/BPO.0000000000000734. 334
  - [12] Yagci G, Ayhan C, Yakut Y. Effectiveness of basic body 335  
awareness therapy in adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis: A 336  
randomized controlled study. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. 337  
2018; 31(4): 693-701. doi: 10.3233/BMR-170868. 338
  - [13] Johari J, Sharifudin MA, Ab Rahman A, Omar AS, Abdullah 339  
AT, Nor S, Lam WC, Yusof MI. Relationship between pul- 340  
monary function and degree of spinal deformity, location of 341  
apical vertebrae and age among adolescent idiopathic scol- 342  
iosis patients. Singapore Med J. 2016 Jan; 57(1): 33-8. doi: 343  
10.11622/smedj.2016009. 344
  - [14] Cheung JPY, Luk KD. Managing the Pediatric Spina: Growth 345  
Assessment. Asian Spine J. 2017 Oct; 11(5): 804. doi: 346  
10.4184/asj.2017.11.5.804. 347
  - [15] Fusco C, Donzelli S, Lusini M, Salvatore M, Zaina F, Ne- 348  
grini S. Low rate of surgery in juvenile idiopathic scoliosis 349  
treated with a complete and tailored conservative approach: 350  
end-growth results from a retrospective cohort. Scoliosis. 2014 351  
Aug 18; 9: 12. doi: 10.1186/1748-7161-9-12. 352
  - [16] Côté P, Kreitz BG, Cassidy JD, Dzus AK, Martel J. A study of 353  
the diagnostic accuracy and reliability of the Scoliometer and 354  
Adam's forward bend test. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1998 Apr 355  
1; 23(7): 796-802; discussion 803. doi: 10.1097/00007632- 356  
199804010-00011. 357

- 358 [17] Moalej S, Asadabadi M, Hashemi R, Khedmat L, Tavacol- 383  
359 izadeh R, Vahabi Z, Shariatpanahi G. Screening of scoliosis 384  
360 in school children in Tehran: The prevalence rate of idiopathic 385  
361 scoliosis. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2018; 31(4): 767-774. 386  
362 doi: 10.3233/BMR-171078. 387
- 363 [18] Protocollo Scientifico Screening ISICO Rev.10 [2018 Mar 388  
364 3]. Available at: [https://www.gss.it/gss/scoliosi2013/docs/Proto](https://www.gss.it/gss/scoliosi2013/docs/Protocollo_Screening.pdf) 389  
365 [collo\\_Screening.pdf](https://www.gss.it/gss/scoliosi2013/docs/Protocollo_Screening.pdf). 390
- 366 [19] Coelho DM, Bonagamba GH, Oliveira AS. Scoliometer mea- 391  
367 surements of patients with idiopathic scoliosis. *Braz J Phys* 392  
368 *Ther.* 2013 Mar-Apr; 17(2): 179-84. doi: 10.1590/S1413- 393  
369 35552012005000081. 394
- 370 [20] Zaina F, Negrini S, Atanasio S. TRACE (Trunk Aesthetic 395  
371 Clinical Evaluation), a routine clinical tool to evaluate aes- 396  
372 thetics in scoliosis patients: development from the Aesthetic 397  
373 Index (AI) and repeatability. *Scoliosis.* 2009 Jan 20; 4: 3. doi: 398  
374 10.1186/1748-7161-4-3. 399
- 375 [21] Horne JP, Flannery R, Usman S. Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: 400  
376 diagnosis and management. *Am Fam Physician.* 2014 Feb 401  
377 1; 89(3): 193-8. 402
- 378 [22] Dunn J, Henrikson NB, Morrison CC, Blasi PR, Nguyen M, 403  
379 Lin JS. Screening for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: Evi- 404  
380 dence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive 405  
381 Services Task Force. *JAMA.* 2018 Jan 9; 319(2): 173-187. doi: 406  
382 10.1001/jama.2017.11669. 407
- [23] Kavyani M, Nasiri E, Karimi MT, Fatoye F. The effect of 383  
spinal bracing on stability in patients with adolescent idio- 384  
pathic scoliosis. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2020; 33(1): 385  
139-143. doi: 10.3233/BMR-170908. 386
- [24] Noh DK, You JS, Koh JH, Kim H, Kim D, Ko SM, Shin 387  
JY. Effects of novel corrective spinal technique on adoles- 388  
cent idiopathic scoliosis as assessed by radiographic imag- 389  
ing. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2014; 27(3): 331-8. doi: 390  
10.3233/BMR-130452. 391
- [25] Lippi L, de Sire A, Desilvestri M, Baricich A, Barbanera A, 392  
Cattalani A, Invernizzi M, Perrero L. Can scoliosis lead to 393  
spinal cord ischaemia? Early diagnosis and rehabilitation: a 394  
paradigmatic case report and literature review. *J Back Muscu-* 395  
*loskelet Rehabil.* 2020, In press. 396
- [26] Levi D, Springer S, Parmet Y, Ovadia D, Ben-Sira D. Acute 397  
muscle stretching and the ability to maintain posture in females 398  
with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *J Back Musculoskelet* 399  
*Rehabil.* 2019; 32(4): 655-662. doi: 10.3233/BMR-181175. 400
- [27] Linek P, Saulicz E, Wolny T, Myśliwiec A. Body Mass Nor- 401  
malization for Ultrasound Measurements of Adolescent Lat- 402  
eral Abdominal Muscle Thickness. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2017 403  
Apr; 36(4): 775-782. doi: 10.7863/ultra.16.03086. 404