

Medical Mycology, 2021, 59, 93–101 doi:10.1093/mmy/myaa079 Advance Access Publication Date: 8 September 2020

Original Article



### **Original Article**

# Future challenges and chances in the diagnosis and management of invasive mould infections in cancer patients

Jörg Janne Vehreschild<sup>1,\*</sup>, Philipp Koehler<sup>2,3</sup>, Frédéric Lamoth<sup>4,5</sup>, Juergen Prattes<sup>6</sup>, Christina Rieger<sup>7</sup>, Bart J.A. Rijnders<sup>8</sup> and Daniel Teschner<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Hematology, and Oncology, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; Department I for Internal Medicine, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; German Centre for Infection Research, partner site Bonn-Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, <sup>2</sup>University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Department I of Internal Medicine, Center for Integrated Oncology Aachen Bonn Cologne Duesseldorf (CIO ABCD), Excellence Center for Medical Mycology (ECMM), Cologne, Germany, <sup>3</sup>University of Cologne, Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), Cologne, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Infectious Diseases Service, Department of Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland, <sup>5</sup>Institute of Microbiology, Department of Laboratories, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland, <sup>6</sup>Section of Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria, <sup>7</sup>Praxiszentrum Germering, Germany, <sup>8</sup>Internal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands and <sup>9</sup>Department of Hematology, Medical Oncology, and Pneumology, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Jörg Janne Vehreschild, MD, Department I for Internal Medicine, Cohorts in Infection Research, Herderstr. 52, 50931 Köln, Germany; E-mail: janne.vehreschild@uk-koeln.de

Received 4 May 2020; Revised 31 July 2020; Accepted 18 August 2020; Editorial Decision 11 August 2020

#### **Abstract**

Diagnosis, treatment, and management of invasive mould infections (IMI) are challenged by several risk factors, including local epidemiological characteristics, the emergence of fungal resistance and the innate resistance of emerging pathogens, the use of new immunosuppressants, as well as off-target effects of new oncological drugs. The presence of specific host genetic variants and the patient's immune system status may also influence the establishment of an IMI and the outcome of its therapy. Immunological components can thus be expected to play a pivotal role not only in the risk assessment and diagnosis, but also in the treatment of IMI. Cytokines could improve the reliability of an invasive aspergillosis diagnosis by serving as biomarkers as do serological and molecular assays, since they can be easily measured, and the turnaround time is short. The use of immunological markers in the assessment of treatment response could be helpful to reduce overtreatment in high risk patients and allow prompt escalation of antifungal treatment. Mould-active prophylaxis could be better targeted to individual host needs, leading to a targeted prophylaxis in patients with known immunological profiles associated with high susceptibility for IMI, in particular invasive aspergillosis. The alteration of cellular antifungal immune response through oncological drugs and immunosuppressants heavily influences the outcome and may be even more important than the choice of the antifungal treatment. There is a need for the development of new antifungal strategies, including individualized approaches for prevention and treatment of IMI that consider genetic traits of the patients.

#### Lay Abstract

Anticancer and immunosuppressive drugs may alter the ability of the immune system to fight invasive mould infections and may be more important than the choice of the antifungal treatment. Individualized approaches for prevention and treatment of invasive mold infections are needed.

**Key words:** invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, immunological status, hematology, hemato-oncological malignancies, mucormycosis.

#### Introduction

Managing invasive mould infections (IMI) has proven to be a daunting task: diagnosis and treatment are, at times, difficult, and their management also often interferes with the therapy of the underlying disease. For instance, the often severe and long-lasting neutropenia as well as genetic host factors, comorbidities, and exposure to an elevated fungal spore burden are known risk factors for IMI acquisition in hemato-oncological patients.<sup>1</sup> In addition, immunological factors,<sup>2</sup> the emergence of resistant fungal strains,<sup>3–5</sup> and the widespread use of novel therapeutic agents such as tyrosine kinase inhibitors,<sup>6</sup> have complicated matters further. In solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients, immunosuppression is often linked to the occurrence of IMI, and toxicity and interactions of antifungals may lead to graft loss, morbidity, and death.<sup>7</sup>

Several guidelines define the diagnostic workup and the treatment to be used when IMI are suspected.<sup>8–11</sup> Some authors have addressed more specifically diagnosis and treatment of mucormycoses,<sup>12–15</sup> for which a specific guideline has recently been published.<sup>16</sup> Recent work has also discussed the use of (pro)inflammatory parameters for the diagnosis and evaluation of treatment outcome in IMI,<sup>15,17–19</sup> underlining the need for a multifactorial approach that must include a set of diagnostically relevant markers<sup>20</sup> in addition to the patient's own clinical characteristics.<sup>17</sup>

Presently, IMI management is further challenged by new risk factors, the emergence of fungal resistance in *Aspergillus* and other moulds and yeasts, as well as the innate resistance of selected emerging pathogens. <sup>21–23</sup> Breakthrough mould infections after prophylaxis, new immunosuppressants, as well as potential off-target effects of new anti-cancer drugs that may increase the risk for IMI in patients previously not considered at risk are additional challenges. On the other hand, new immune-based diagnostic tools as well as the possibility of determining the host's genetic risk factors, potentially leading to personalized treatment approaches, are opportunities that will facilitate individual management of IMI.

Invasive aspergillosis (IA) is still the main cause of IMI and is associated with high mortality rates in hematological/oncological patients and SOT recipients alike.<sup>11</sup> This review addresses the challenges and chances in the diagnosis and man-

agement of IMI, mainly IA and to a lesser extent mucormycoses, in cancer patients.

#### Risk assessment

Risk factors for IMI in hemato-oncological patients and solid organ transplant recipients have been summarized,<sup>24</sup> but the list is continuously increasing. An emerging risk factor for IMI acquisition is the widespread use of new immunosuppressants, particularly in older and therefore more comorbid patients. There is also a lack of well performed epidemiological studies with sufficient sample size, high quality data, and state-of-the-art statistical analysis to allow weighting and balancing the various, often strongly interconnected risk factors such as age and comorbidities against each other. The changing epidemiology of IMI and the occurrence of resistance in opportunistic pathogens are factors that heavily influence the diagnostic and therapeutic workup in patients suspected of being infected by opportunistic fungal pathogens.

In addition, while the risk ranking so far proposed<sup>24</sup> considers implicitly the patient's immune status, the complex interactions between the host's immune system and the fungal pathogen should receive more attention. Cellular response, with the innate immune system being probably the most important structure involved,<sup>25–27</sup> is key in the host defense to fungal infections, but interactions between other components of the immune system and the fungal pathogens are also important and more complex than so far assumed.

Different receptors play a relevant role in the cellular antifungal immune response and their malfunction can lead to a higher susceptibility to IMI. For example, the C-type lectin receptor dectin-1 is present on myelomonocytic cells and mediates β-glucan recognition and cytokine production, for example, interleukin (IL)-17 triggering Th-17 differentiation. Mutations in this receptor, for example, by Y238X early stop codon polymorphism, favor IA onset, as it has been shown for patients after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT).<sup>28</sup> The β-glucan receptor CR3 (CD11b/CD18b) is known to contribute to the production of polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN) reactive oxygen species (ROS) and formation of neutrophil extracellular trap (NET).<sup>29</sup> It also plays a role in executing PMN

phagocytosis towards fungal pathogens<sup>30</sup> and could thus exert a negative impact on antifungal defense.

After receptor activation, different signaling pathways are involved in antifungal immune response. Innate immune cells such as the natural killer cells,<sup>31</sup> dendritic cells,<sup>32</sup> and innate lymphoid cells,<sup>33</sup> have been shown to influence host response to fungal infections as well. The adaptive immune system (mainly CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells subsets and B cells) contributes also substantially to antifungal defence.<sup>34</sup> In particular, type 2 (Th2) and type 17 (Th17) T-helper cells play a relevant role in coordinating and enhancing the cellular antifungal defence.<sup>34</sup>

The signaling pathways mentioned above may also be altered by immunomodulating drugs, for example, calcineurin/NFAT inhibitors<sup>35</sup> such as cyclosporine A and tacrolimus, new anticancer drugs,<sup>36</sup> or possibly the antifungals themselves,<sup>37–40</sup> leading to impaired effector functions. For example, calcineurin/nuclear factor of activated T cell (NFAT) signaling negatively regulates myeloid lineage development 41 and may influence macrophage effector functions through the TLR9-BTK signaling pathway as described in SOT-related IA.42-44 Calcineurin has also been shown to influence pentraxin-3 (PTX3) expression, resulting in an impaired antifungal-defense of CD11-expressing PMN cells and increased susceptibility to Aspergillus fumigatus infections. 45 PTX3 acts as an opsonin against conidia, facilitating their phagocytosis and activating the complement system.<sup>46</sup> Mutations in PTX3 genes induce an increased susceptibility to IMI in knockout mice and in stem cell transplant recipients if these mutation are present in donor-derived immune cells.<sup>47</sup>

Small molecule kinase inhibitors (SMI) such as BTK, JAK, and PI3K inhibitors are increasingly used in hematological cancer therapy and have been shown to cause immunological off-target effects that can lead to IMI.<sup>36</sup> IMI have been described with a number of SMI,<sup>6,48</sup> in particular ibrutinib.<sup>6,36,49,50</sup> IMI during ibrutinib therapy are caused by several species, *Aspergillus* spp. being prominent (80%), and are frequently associated with dissemination, brain infections, and poor prognosis for the patients involved.<sup>49,51</sup> It is not clear whether second generation BTK-inhibitors currently under development (e.g., acalabrutinib)<sup>52–54</sup> will be more selective and associated with a lower IFI incidence.

Overall, the incidence of IMI is poorly investigated, and a comprehensive and effective prophylactic or therapeutic approach has not yet been defined. Selected patients at risk, however, might benefit from an antifungal prophylaxis, but the known interactions of SMI with some triazoles<sup>55</sup> in a population composed mainly of outpatients, sometimes only seen by general practitioners and only at longer intervals by the hematologist or oncologist, render it problematic. In addition, the long half-life of some SMIs and the consequent potentially permanent cell damage need to be taken also into consideration, because stopping the SMI treatment to fight the underlying IMI may not preclude the possibility of interactions. Finally, the risk of relapse of the underlying disease when the SMI treatment is interrupted implies

the need for close monitoring. Reevaluation of existing phase III trials is thus essential to identify patients at special risk, to select patients who might profit from prophylaxis, and to define second-line risk factors.

#### Breakthrough infections during prophylaxis

Breakthrough fungal infections result from a failure of prophylaxis. They are relatively rare, but they may occur and are generally associated with a poor outcome.<sup>56</sup> In patients with hematological malignancies, breakthrough fungal infections under triazoles, in particular posaconazole, <sup>11,57</sup> have been reported to be less than 5%.<sup>57,58</sup> In most studies, mainly dealing with patients with hematological malignancies, <sup>56,57,59-64</sup> fungal infections were attributable to *Aspergillus* spp., but they are quite often also caused by *Mucorales*, sometimes as mixed infections with *Aspergillus*.<sup>59,63</sup>

Local epidemiology probably determines the spectrum of species involved in IMI,56-60,62-65 while risk factors such as the host's immune status and environmental exposure to moulds may be the main factors determining their incidence and prevalence.<sup>66</sup> Clinical presentation of IMI is often nonspecific and may reflect the involved fungal pathogens. Necrotic, disseminated and/or painful skin or nail lesions, fever, and mvalgia should raise suspicion of disseminated fungal infection, especially fusariosis.<sup>67</sup> Fever, cough, hemoptysis, and sinusitis have often been observed in cases of mucormycoses, but they can be seen in other IMI as well.<sup>56</sup> Mucorales infections are increasingly frequent in clinical settings, and in one study their incidence reached 37% of all breakthrough infections observed in patients treated prophylactically with either posaconazole or voriconazole,<sup>21</sup> two drugs that have variable efficacy against Mucormycota. 16 Real-life data show variable rates of breakthrough infections, 56,59,60,62-64,68,69 with opportunistic, generally saprophytic fungi such as Hormographiella aspergillata (Coprinus cinereus) also being recorded.<sup>70</sup>

Some moulds, for example, A. terreus, A. ustus, and other rare Aspergillus spp., are intrinsically resistant to selected antifungals, 71,72 as are some Mucorales, Lomentospora prolificans and Fusarium spp. 73 It cannot be excluded that intensive prophylaxis in patients at risk may cause a shift toward resistant species and strains. One hypothesis is that antifungal prophylaxis might create ecological niches for opportunistic fungi. 21,72,73 These organisms are difficult to distinguish in the microbiological routine laboratory, and clinical data are usually lacking. Based on current insight, however, the occurrence of breakthrough infections could be primarily driven by a change in the local spectrum of pathogenic opportunistic fungal species rather than the development of resistant strains in most countries; future study of the mycobiome present not only in the hospital but also at the patients' homes and surroundings may be key to understanding their insurgence.

Samples of culture-positive breakthrough infections should always be sent to reference centers for species identification and resistance testing. For many breakthrough infections with intrinsically resistant or azole-resistant moulds, polyenes are the first line of treatment, but echinocandins and combination therapy are important options for selected cases.<sup>73</sup> No high-level clinical evidence, however, is yet available to support the use of a combination therapy as primary treatment option as opposed to monotherapy.<sup>11</sup>

## Emerging and innate resistance in *Aspergillus* species

The last decade has seen an abrupt increase in the isolation of azole-resistant Aspergilli. 4,74,75 In one study in The Netherlands, 19% of all isolated strains were azole resistant, with an excess overall mortality of 21% at day 42 and 25% at day 90 as compared to nonresistant strains.<sup>76</sup> The prevalence in other countries is much lower: in Germany, for instance, it reached 6.4% in acute myeloid leukemia and 3.8% in acute lymphocytic leukaemia.<sup>77</sup> Overall, cases have occurred in many countries with varying prevalence, 78-84 and infections are often observed in patients without prior azole exposure.<sup>3</sup> A low prevalence has been reported from the USA,81 France,85 and Germany, 77,79,86 but higher rates of resistant strains have been reported from countries (The Netherlands, Denmark, Colombia) with extensive flower cultivation. 87-89 Occurrence of resistant strains seem also to be tightly linked to the local epidemiology: in The Netherlands, a gradient has been observed that seems to be correlated with the extent of flower cultivation.<sup>89</sup> thus supporting the hypothesis that azole resistance in Aspergillus is correlated with fungicide use in agriculture.<sup>5</sup>

Azole resistance seems to be mainly determined by the TR<sub>34</sub>/TR<sub>46</sub> mutations in CYP51A,<sup>75,90–92</sup> but other mutations in the same gene have also been reported.<sup>74,81</sup> Azole resistance in *A. fumigatus* develops mainly during exposure of the fungus to azoles in the natural environment and not in the patient,<sup>5</sup> but resistance is also apparently associated with the use of long-term azole therapy and switching between antifungal azoles in patients with chronic pulmonary aspergillosis.<sup>93</sup>

The impact of the occurrence of azole resistant *Aspergillus* isolates on the patient outcome is not yet entirely clear, but high mortality rates, up to 2.7 times higher than in nonresistant IA, have been reported.<sup>94</sup> Identification of azole resistant *Aspergillus* strains at the time of diagnosis helps predict azole treatment failure,<sup>95</sup> and should prompt an immediate switch to an appropriate therapy. No clinical data on the best therapeutic approach are available, and there may be a need to develop new treatment strategies, considering that echinocandins might not be sufficiently effective in patients with continued immunosuppression.<sup>96–99</sup> The use of upfront azoles in combination with liposomal AmB (L-AmB) or an echinocandin if local resistance

rates exceed 10% 100 has been suggested, but no clinical evidence exists to support this recommendation. A guideline from The Netherlands<sup>101</sup> recommends the use of voriconazole combined with L-AmB or an echinocandin as first line therapy until resistance has been excluded (Recommendation 12), but clinical data on efficacy and safety of these combinations are limited. Until additional data are available, azole monotherapy remains the treatment of choice, and there is no agreed threshold for local resistance rates to define an alternative. In cases of reasonable doubt, such as an increase in the local epidemiology of resistance, real-time phenotypic and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)based detection of the most frequent CYP51A resistance associated mutation patterns TR34/L98H and TR46/T289A/Y121F (the latter directly on bronchoalveolar lavage fluid) should be performed to rule out resistance as early as possible. In such cases, existing international guidelines list liposomal amphotericin B (L-AmB) as an alternative to isavuconazole and voriconazole for treatment of IA, 10,11 thus L-AmB monotherapy is also an accepted option when triazoles cannot be used.

Studies are currently underway to define a sensible threshold when primary monotherapy with an azole is no longer acceptable and to determine an appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic scheme in the presence of high azole resistance prevalence. <sup>102</sup> Additional, pragmatic trials using overall and attributable mortality as endpoints are needed to help shed light on this increasingly important issue, and algorithms must be developed and evaluated to handle complexity in the context of increasing azole resistance. New drugs currently under development <sup>103–105</sup> may also become an option but, so far, only limited data with regard to safety and efficacy of these new compounds in patients are available.

#### **Diagnostics**

IMI diagnosis relies on the use of imaging, biomarkers (e.g., galactomannan and PCR), and culture. <sup>106–111</sup> The methods used for IA, in particular culture, imaging, and PCR, are applicable also to suspected mucormycoses and rare mould infections. <sup>10,11,14,112–114</sup> The diagnosis of *Mucorales* and other rare IMI caused by moulds remains challenging because phenotypic identification is not always possible as cultures can remain negative and their evaluation is often possible only after a comparatively long time.

The GM test has been shown to be a reliable diagnostic tool in a number of clinical trials, <sup>106,111,115-118</sup> although a recent study has reported a high rate of false positives in BAL samples of hematological and SOT patients using the standard cut-off value of 0.5. <sup>119</sup> Another problem with the use of galactomannan testing on serum is its low sensitivity, in particular in nonneutropenic patients. <sup>120,121</sup> PCR has the advantage to provide a reliable species identification in a relatively short time, but its sensitivity is limited when used on serum or plasma and, even

on galactomannan positive BAL fluid, the sensitivity is not optimal. After its introduction as a diagnostic test, 1-3-ß-glucan (BDG) has received considerable attention, but based on disappointing sensitivity, high workload and costs, and many false positives, it has not become a generally recommended test for IMI detection. <sup>116</sup>,117,122

IMI patients have been shown to have increased levels of mould-reactive *Aspergillus*- or *Mucorales*-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> cells compared to healthy controls, <sup>123</sup> but scant data are available on *Mucorales*-reactive T cells, with only a small patients cohort studied so far. <sup>124–126</sup> *Mucorales*-reactive T cells producing IL-10 and IL-4 have been detected at high rates in patients with mucormycosis <sup>124</sup>, <sup>125</sup> and are currently evaluated as potential surrogate diagnostic markers in the diagnosis of mucormycoses.

Immune parameters for potentially more specific diagnoses have so far been given little consideration but they are likely to provide directions about diagnosis, when a decision needs to be made regarding the use of a mould-active prophylaxis, the start of empirical antifungal treatment, early escalation, or switch to a more appropriate antifungal agent. Several cytokines may allow improving IMI diagnosis. Serum C-reactive protein (CRP) and IL-6 levels are increased at the time of diagnosis and decline in case of response to antifungal treatment. IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, IL-8, IL-17A, IL-23, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) $\alpha$  were significantly increased among patients with IPA, confirming that the combination of specific cytokines with other biomarkers such as GM may not only facilitate diagnosis but also improve the ability to predict the disease outcome. 128

The use of lateral-flow immunoassays has shown promising results in patients with a suspected IA, <sup>129</sup> and a similar immunoassay is currently under development also for *Mucorales*. <sup>112</sup> Compared to conventional GM testing on serum with the Platelia assay, these tests can be done on demand on patient samples and lead to results in 1–2 hours instead of the typical sampling to result time of several days for diagnostic tests that are typically pooled and performed only 2 or 3 times a week and in dedicated laboratories only. A combination of serum IL-8 levels with the BAL *Aspergillus* lateral-flow device test or BAL PCR may also allow differentiating specifically IA from non-IA pulmonary infections in hematological malignancy patients. <sup>130</sup>, <sup>131</sup>

The effects of genetic variants of risk-associated factors on the cytokine levels are still unknown and additional prospective studies are needed to understand the relationship between cytokine levels and the mechanisms underlying IA, including the role of immunomodulation in IA therapy.<sup>132</sup>

New immunological assays are under development to quickly and reliably diagnose IMI, and *Aspergillus* spp. and *Mucorales*-reactive T cells have also the potential to become interesting markers, but many confounders probably influence rare cell analysis. Published data are scant, and further work is needed to show whether these assays might be useful as alternative, noninvasive diagnostic markers, particularly for mucormycosis.

#### **Assessment of treatment response**

Predictors of treatment outcome for IA include imaging, <sup>133</sup>, GM baseline levels and kinetics, <sup>133–141</sup> inflammatory parameters and pro-inflammatory cytokines. <sup>18,127,142</sup> PCR is apparently of limited utility as a predictor of outcome. <sup>17</sup>. A recent meta-analysis <sup>12</sup> has not provided additional information on treatment outcome. In this analysis, HSCT and *Rhizopus* infection were predictors of adverse outcome; surgery combined with antifungal therapy (mostly conventional or liposomal AmB) was associated with a reduction in overall mortality. <sup>12</sup>

On the other hand, changes in the levels of selected cytokines seem to provide useful information on IMI progression and resolution. High initial IL-8 and persistently high IL-6, IL-8, and CRP level have been described as predictors of adverse outcome in IA. 127 Haptoglobin, CRP, and annexin A1, three host proteins, have also been shown to have predictive values in an animal IMI model, 18 and this has been confirmed also in IA patients, 19 but the usefulness of these biomarkers in the clinical routine is not yet established.

Overall, the evaluation of response to antifungal treatment has to rely on the observation of a combination of parameters that include clinical course and the current immunological status of the patient, imaging and kinetics of biomarkers and possibly cytokines.<sup>17</sup>

#### **Discussion**

IMI onset is dependent on several factors, which include also local epidemiological characteristics and the increased use of new anticancer drugs targeting the immune system. The presence of specific genetic variants and the immune system status of a patient may also influence the establishment of an IMI and, together with the potential emergence of resistant strains among the pathogens, the outcome of the antifungal therapy.

Immunological components can thus be expected to play a pivotal role not only as biomarkers in the risk assessment and diagnosis but also in the treatment of IMI. Recent work, in fact, has suggested that fungus-specific T cells could be used for cellular therapeutic approaches to IMI. 143,144

Immunological biomarkers may facilitate clinical decision making in different scenarios. They could improve the reliability of IA diagnosis by serving as biomarkers as do GM or PCR, because cytokines can be easily measured, and the turnaround time is quite short. Their use as immunological markers in the assessment of treatment response could be helpful to reduce overtreatment in high-risk patients and on the other hand allow prompt escalation of antifungal treatment, for example, in the case of persistently high IL-6 levels. Mould-active prophylaxis could be better targeted to the individual host characteristics, leading to a targeted prophylaxis (as opposed to universal antifungal prophylaxis) in patients with known immunological profiles associated

with high susceptibility for IA (e.g., PTX3, TLR or dectin-1 deficiencies).

In cancer patients, the drugs used to treat the underlying and concomitant diseases may have considerable off-target effects on the immune system. In leukemia patients undergoing SMI treatment, no well-designed studies exist that investigate the complex interactions among SMIs and the immune system. Interactions of antifungals such as Amphotericin B with the immune system have also been reported<sup>37,40</sup> and need also to be studied in more detail. The alteration of the cellular antifungal immune response through drugs (anticancer drugs, immunosuppressants, or even antifungals) influences heavily the outcome and may be even more important than the choice of the antifungal treatment. With regard to these complex interactions, there is a need for the development of new antifungal strategies, including individualized approaches for prevention and treatment of IFI that consider also genetic traits of the patients. This means that the diagnostic and therapeutic workup must include expert consultation, in particular by infectious disease specialists. 146 Multidisciplinary teams with extensive knowledge of fungal epidemiology and antifungal treatment options will be instrumental to optimize care for patients and implement antifungal stewardship programmes. 147-149

#### **Acknowledgments**

#### Financial support

This review is the outcome of an expert meeting supported by Gilead GmbH for which the authors have received an honorarium and compensation for travel expenses.

#### **Declaration of Interest**

J.J.V. has received personal fees from Merck/MSD, Gilead, Pfizer, Astellas Pharma, Basilea, Deutsches Zentrum für Infektionsforschung, Uniklinik Freiburg/Kongress und Kommunikation, Akademie für Infektionsmedizin, University of Manchester, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Infektiologie, Ärztekammer Nordrhein, Uniklinik Aachen, Back Bay Strategies, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Innere Medizin and grants from Merck/MSD, Gilead, Pfizer, Astellas Pharma, Basilea, Deutsches Zentrum für Infektionsforschung, and Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung. P.K. has received nonfinancial scientific grants from Miltenyi Biotec GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany, and the Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in Aging-Associated Diseases, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, and received lecture honoraria from Akademie für Infektionsmedizin eV, Astellas Pharma, Gilead Sciences, and MSD Sharp & Dohme GmbH outside the submitted work. F.L. has received honoraria for participating to advisory boards from MSD, Basilea and Gilead. C.L. has received personal fees from Merck/MSD, Gilead, Pfizer, and Astellas Pharma. JP reports personal fees from Gilead Sciences and is a stockholder of AbbVie Inc. and Novo Nordisk. C.R. has received honoraria and has served as a speaker for Gilead Sciences, AbbVie, Janssen, Roche, Merck Sharp & Dohme, and Takeda Pharma. B.R. received research grants from Gilead Sciences and MSD outside the context of the submitted work and served as a speaker or was member of an advisory board for Gilead, abbvie, Janssen-Cilag, Roche, MSD, F2G, BMS, ViiV and Pfizer. D.T. reports grants and personal fees from Gilead Sciences, grants and personal fees from IQone, MSD, and Pfizer, grants from Abbvie, Astellas, Celgene, and Jazz.

#### References

- Mellinghoff SC, Panse J, Alakel N et al. Primary prophylaxis of invasive fungal infections in patients with haematological malignancies: 2017 update of the recommendations of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society for Haematology and Medical Oncology (DGHO). Ann Hematol. 2018: 97: 197–207.
- Gow NA, Netea MG. Medical mycology and fungal immunology: new research
  perspectives addressing a major world health challenge. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2016; 371.
- Verweij PE, Chowdhary A, Melchers WJ, Meis JF. Azole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus: can we retain the clinical use of mold-active antifungal azoles? Clin Infect Dis. 2016; 62: 362–368.
- Verweij PE, Mellado E, Melchers WJ. Multiple-triazole-resistant aspergillosis. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356: 1481–1483.
- Verweij PE, Snelders E, Kema GH, Mellado E, Melchers WJ. Azole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus: a side-effect of environmental fungicide use? Lancet Infect Dis. 2009; 9: 789–795.
- Chamilos G, Lionakis MS, Kontoyiannis DP. Call for action: invasive fungal infections associated with Ibrutinib and other small molecule kinase inhibitors targeting immune signaling pathways. Clin Infect Dis. 2018; 66: 140–148.
- Farmakiotis D, Kontoyiannis DP. Emerging issues with diagnosis and management of fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2015: 15: 1141–1147.
- Cornely OA, Koehler P, Arenz D, S CM. EQUAL aspergillosis score 2018: an ECMM score derived from current guidelines to measure QUALity of the clinical management of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. *Mycoses*. 2018; 61: 833– 836.
- Maschmeyer G, Carratala J, Buchheidt D et al. Diagnosis and antimicrobial therapy of lung infiltrates in febrile neutropenic patients (allogeneic SCT excluded): updated guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society of Hematology and Medical Oncology (DGHO). Ann Oncol. 2015; 26: 21–33.
- Patterson TF, Thompson GR, 3rd, Denning DW et al. Practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of aspergillosis: 2016 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2016; 63: e1–e60.
- Ullmann AJ, Aguado JM, Arikan-Akdagli S et al. Diagnosis and management of Aspergillus diseases: executive summary of the 2017 ESCMID-ECMM-ERS guideline. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2018; 24: e1–e38.
- Jeong W, Keighley C, Wolfe R et al. The contemporary management and clinical outcomes of mucormycosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of case reports. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2019.53589
- Koehler P, Mellinghoff SC, Lagrou K et al. Development and validation of the European QUALity (EQUAL) score for mucormycosis management in haematology. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2019.741704
- Millon L, Herbrecht R, Grenouillet F et al. Early diagnosis and monitoring of mucormycosis by detection of circulating DNA in serum: retrospective analysis of 44 cases collected through the French Surveillance Network of Invasive Fungal Infections (RESSIF). Clin Microbiol Infect. 2016; 22: 810: e811–810
- Roques M, Chretien ML, Favennec C et al. Evolution of procalcitonin, C-reactive protein and fibrinogen levels in neutropenic leukaemia patients with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis or mucormycosis. Mycoses. 2016; 59: 383– 390.
- Cornely OA, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Arenz D et al. Global guideline for the diagnosis and management of mucormycosis: an initiative of the European Confederation of Medical Mycology in cooperation with the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019.19e405
- Heinz WJ, Vehreschild JJ, Buchheidt D. Diagnostic work up to assess early response indicators in invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in adult patients with haematologic malignancies. Mycoses. 2019; 62: 486–493.

- Krel M, Petraitis V, Petraitiene R et al. Host biomarkers of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis to monitor therapeutic response. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014; 58: 3373–3378.
- Zhao Y, Nagasaki Y, Paderu P et al. Applying host disease status biomarkers to therapeutic response monitoring in invasive aspergillosis patients. *Med Mycol*. 2019; 57: 38–44.
- Rawlings SA, Heldt S, Prattes J et al. Using interleukin 6 and 8 in blood and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid to predict survival in hematological malignancy patients with suspected pulmonary mold infection. Front Immunol. 2019; 10.
- Lamoth F, Chung SJ, Damonti L, Alexander BD. Changing epidemiology of invasive mold infections in patients receiving azole prophylaxis. Clin Infect Dis. 2017; 64: 1619–1621.
- Lamoth F, Kontoyiannis DP. Therapeutic challenges of non-Aspergillus invasive mold infections in immunosuppressed patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019; 63: e01244–01219.
- Pristov KE, Ghannoum MA. Resistance of Candida to azoles and echinocandins worldwide. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2019; 25: 792–798.
- Herbrecht R, Bories P, Moulin JC, Ledoux MP, Letscher-Bru V. Risk stratification for invasive aspergillosis in immunocompromised patients. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2012; 1272: 23–30.
- Espinosa V, Rivera A. First line of defense: innate cell-mediated control of pulmonary aspergillosis. Front Microbiol. 2016; 7: 272.
- Feldman MB, Vyas JM, Mansour MK. It takes a village: phagocytes play a central role in fungal immunity. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2018.
- Hunniger K, Kurzai O. Phagocytes as central players in the defence against invasive fungal infection. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2018.
- Cunha C, Di Ianni M, Bozza S et al. Dectin-1 Y238X polymorphism associates with susceptibility to invasive aspergillosis in hematopoietic transplantation through impairment of both recipient- and donor-dependent mechanisms of antifungal immunity. *Blood.* 2010; 116: 5394–5402.
- Clark HL, Abbondante S, Minns MS, Greenberg EN, Sun Y, Pearlman E. Protein deiminase 4 and CR3 regulate *Aspergillus fumigatus* and beta-glucan-induced neutrophil extracellular trap formation, but hyphal killing is dependent only on CR3. *Front Immunol*. 2018; 9: 1182.
- Teschner D, Cholaszczynska A, Ries F et al. CD11b regulates fungal outgrowth but not neutrophil recruitment in a mouse model of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Front Immunol. 2019; 10: 123.
- Schmidt S, Tramsen L, Lehrnbecher T. Natural killer cells in antifungal immunity. Front Immunol. 2017; 8: 1623–1623.
- Ramirez-Ortiz ZG, Means TK. The role of dendritic cells in the innate recognition of pathogenic fungi (A. fumigatus, C. neoformans and C. albicans). Virulence. 2012; 3: 635–646.
- Eberl G, Colonna M, Di Santo JP, McKenzie AN. Innate lymphoid cells: a new paradigm in immunology. Science. 2015; 348: aaa6566.
- Verma A, Wuthrich M, Deepe G, Klein B. Adaptive immunity to fungi. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2015; 5: a019612.
- Fric J, Zelante T, Wong AY, Mertes A, Yu HB, Ricciardi-Castagnoli P. NFAT control of innate immunity. *Blood*. 2012; 120: 1380–1389.
- Grommes C, Younes A. Ibrutinib in PCNSL: the curious cases of clinical responses and aspergillosis. Cancer Cell. 2017; 31: 731–733.
- Bellocchio S, Gaziano R, Bozza S et al. Liposomal amphotericin B activates antifungal resistance with reduced toxicity by diverting Toll-like receptor signalling from TLR-2 to TLR-4. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2005; 55: 214–222.
- Ben-Ami R, Lewis RE, Kontoyiannis DP. Immunocompromised hosts: immunopharmacology of modern antifungals. Clin Infect Dis. 2008; 47: 226–235.
- Lewis RE, Chamilos G, Prince RA, Kontoyiannis DP. Pretreatment with empty liposomes attenuates the immunopathology of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in corticosteroid-immunosuppressed mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007; 51: 1078–1081.
- Perrella A, Esposito C, Amato G et al. Antifungal prophylaxis with liposomal amphotericin B and caspofungin in high-risk patients after liver transplantation: impact on fungal infections and immune system. *Infect Dis.* 2016; 48: 161–166.
- Fric J, Lim CX, Koh EG et al. Calcineurin/NFAT signalling inhibits myeloid haematopoiesis. EMBO Mol Med. 2012; 4: 269–282.
- Herbst S, Shah A, Mazon Moya M et al. Phagocytosis-dependent activation of a TLR9-BTK-calcineurin-NFAT pathway co-ordinates innate immunity to Aspergillus fumigatus. EMBO Mol Med. 2015; 7: 240–258.

 Imbert S, Bresler P, Boissonnas A et al. Calcineurin inhibitors impair neutrophil activity against Aspergillus fumigatus in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016; 138: 860–868.

- Shah A, Kannambath S, Herbst S et al. Calcineurin orchestrates lateral transfer of Aspergillus fumigatus during macrophage cell death. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016; 194: 1127–1139.
- Zelante T, Wong AY, Mencarelli A et al. Impaired calcineurin signaling in myeloid cells results in downregulation of pentraxin-3 and increased susceptibility to aspergillosis. *Mucosal Immunol*. 2017; 10: 470–480.
- Garlanda C, Hirsch E, Bozza S et al. Non-redundant role of the long pentraxin PTX3 in anti-fungal innate immune response. *Nature*. 2002; 420: 182–186.
- 47. Cunha C, Aversa F, Lacerda JF et al. Genetic PTX3 deficiency and aspergillosis in stem-cell transplantation. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 370: 421–432.
- Arthurs B, Wunderle K, Hsu M, Kim S. Invasive aspergillosis related to ibrutinib therapy for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Respir Med Case Rep. 2017; 21: 27– 29.
- Lionakis MS, Dunleavy K, Roschewski M et al. Inhibition of B cell receptor signaling by Ibrutinib in primary CNS lymphoma. Cancer Cell. 2017; 31: 833– 843.
- Varughese T, Taur Y, Cohen N et al. Serious infections in patients receiving ibrutinib for treatment of lymphoid cancer. Clin Infect Dis. 2018; 67: 687–692.
- Ghez D, Calleja A, Protin C et al. Early-onset invasive aspergillosis and other fungal infections in patients treated with ibrutinib. *Blood*. 2018; 131: 1955– 1959.
- Kaur V, Swami A. Ibrutinib in CLL: a focus on adverse events, resistance, and novel approaches beyond ibrutinib. Ann Hematol. 2017; 96: 1175–1184.
- Rodgers TD, Reagan PM. Targeting the B-cell receptor pathway: a review of current and future therapies for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs. 2018; 23: 111–122.
- Wu J, Zhang M, Liu D. Acalabrutinib (ACP-196): a selective second-generation BTK inhibitor. J Hematol Oncol. 2016; 9: 21.
- de Zwart L, Snoeys J, De Jong J, Sukbuntherng J, Mannaert E, Monshouwer M. Ibrutinib dosing strategies based on interaction potential of CYP3A4 perpetrators using physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2016: 100: 548–557.
- Lerolle N, Raffoux E, Socie G et al. Breakthrough invasive fungal disease in patients receiving posaconazole primary prophylaxis: a 4-year study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2014; 20: O952–959.
- Ullmann AJ, Lipton JH, Vesole DH et al. Posaconazole or fluconazole for prophylaxis in severe graft-versus-host disease. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356: 335–347.
- Cornely OA, Maertens J, Winston DJ et al. Posaconazole vs. fluconazole or itraconazole prophylaxis in patients with neutropenia. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356: 348–359
- 59. Auberger J, Lass-Florl C, Aigner M, Clausen J, Gastl G, Nachbaur D. Invasive fungal breakthrough infections, fungal colonization and emergence of resistant strains in high-risk patients receiving antifungal prophylaxis with posaconazole: real-life data from a single-centre institutional retrospective observational study. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012; 67: 2268–2273.
- Biehl LM, Vehreschild JJ, Liss B et al. A cohort study on breakthrough invasive fungal infections in high-risk patients receiving antifungal prophylaxis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2016; 71: 2634–2641.
- Cornely OA, Maertens J, Bresnik M et al. Liposomal amphotericin B as initial therapy for invasive mold infection: a randomized trial comparing a high-loading dose regimen with standard dosing (AmBiLoad trial). Clin Infect Dis. 2007: 44: 1289–1297.
- Corzo-Leon DE, Satlin MJ, Soave R et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of invasive fungal infections in allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients in the era of antifungal prophylaxis: a single-centre study with focus on emerging pathogens. *Mycoses*. 2015; 58: 325–336.
- Rausch CR, DiPippo AJ, Bose P, Kontoyiannis DP. Breakthrough fungal infections in leukemia patients receiving isavuconazole. Clin Infect Dis. 2018.
- Winston DJ, Bartoni K, Territo MC, Schiller GJ. Efficacy, safety, and breakthrough infections associated with standard long-term posaconazole antifungal prophylaxis in allogeneic stem cell transplantation recipients. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2011; 17: 507–515.
- Caira M, Candoni A, Verga L et al. Pre-chemotherapy risk factors for invasive fungal diseases: prospective analysis of 1,192 patients with newly diagnosed

- acute myeloid leukemia (SEIFEM 2010-a multicenter study). *Haematologica*. 2015; 100: 284–292.
- Schweer KE, Jakob B, Liss B et al. Domestic mould exposure and invasive aspergillosis-air sampling of *Aspergillus* spp. spores in homes of hematological patients, a pilot study. *Med Mycol.* 2016; 54: 576–583.
- Lionakis MS, Lewis RE, Kontoyiannis DP. Breakthrough invasive mold infections in the hematology patient: current concepts and future directions. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018; 67: 1621–1630.
- Kuster S, Stampf S, Gerber B et al. Incidence and outcome of invasive fungal diseases after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a Swiss transplant cohort study. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 2018: e12981.
- Pagano L, Caira M, Candoni A et al. Evaluation of the practice of antifungal prophylaxis use in patients with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia: results from the SEIFEM 2010-B registry. Clin Infect Dis. 2012; 55: 1515–1521.
- Conen A, Weisser M, Hohler D, Frei R, Stern M. Hormographiella aspergillata: an emerging mould in acute leukaemia patients? Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011; 17: 273–277.
- Lamoth F. Aspergillus fumigatus-related species in clinical practice. Front Microbiol. 2016; 7: 683.
- Seroy J, Antiporta P, Grim SA, Proia LA, Singh K, Clark NM. Aspergillus calidoustus case series and review of the literature. Transpl Infect Dis. 2017; 19: e12755
- Jenks JD, Reed SL, Seidel D et al. Rare mould infections caused by *Mucorales*, *Lomentospora prolificans* and *Fusarium*, in San Diego, CA: the role of antifungal combination therapy. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2018; 52: 706–712.
- Mellado E, Garcia-Effron G, Alcazar-Fuoli L et al. A new Aspergillus fumigatus resistance mechanism conferring in vitro cross-resistance to azole antifungals involves a combination of cyp51A alterations. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007; 51: 1897–1904.
- van der Linden JWM, Camps SMT, Kampinga GA et al. Aspergillosis due to voriconazole highly resistant Aspergillus fumigatus and recovery of genetically related resistant isolates from domiciles. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57: 513–520.
- Lestrade PP, Bentvelsen RG, Schauwvlieghe A et al. Voriconazole resistance and mortality in invasive aspergillosis: a multicenter retrospective cohort study. Clin Infect Dis. 2019; 68: 1463–1471.
- Koehler P, Hamprecht A, Bader O et al. Epidemiology of invasive aspergillosis and azole resistance in patients with acute leukaemia: the SEPIA Study. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2017; 49: 218–223.
- Bader O, Weig M, Reichard U et al. CYP51A-based mechanisms of Aspergillus fumigatus azole drug resistance present in clinical samples from Germany. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013; 57: 3513–3517.
- Fischer J, van Koningsbruggen-Rietschel S, Rietschel E et al. Prevalence and molecular characterization of azole resistance in *Aspergillus* spp. isolates from German cystic fibrosis patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014; 69: 1533–1536.
- 80. Howard SJ, Cerar D, Anderson MJ et al. Frequency and evolution of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* associated with treatment failure. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2009; 15: 1068–1076.
- Lockhart SR, Frade JP, Etienne KA, Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Balajee SA. Azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolates from the ARTEMIS global surveillance study is primarily due to the TR/L98H mutation in the CYP51A gene. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011; 55: 4465–4468.
- Ozmerdiven GE, Ak S, Ener B et al. First determination of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* strains carrying the TR34/L98H mutations in Turkey. J *Infect Chemother*. 2015; 21: 581–586.
- van der Linden JW, Arendrup MC, Warris A et al. Prospective multicenter international surveillance of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2015; 21: 1041–1044.
- van der Linden JW, Snelders E, Kampinga GA et al. Clinical implications of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*, The Netherlands, 2007–2009. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2011: 17: 1846–1854.
- Choukri F, Botterel F, Sitterle E et al. Prospective evaluation of azole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus clinical isolates in France. Med Mycol. 2015; 53: 593– 596
- Seufert R, Sedlacek L, Kahl B et al. Prevalence and characterization of azoleresistant Aspergillus fumigatus in patients with cystic fibrosis: a prospective multicentre study in Germany. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018; 73: 2047–2053.

- Alvarez-Moreno C, Lavergne RA, Hagen F, Morio F, Meis JF, Le Pape P. Azoleresistant Aspergillus fumigatus harboring TR34/L98H, TR46/Y121F/T289A and TR53 mutations related to flower fields in Colombia. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 45631
- Jensen RH, Hagen F, Astvad KM, Tyron A, Meis JF, Arendrup MC. Azoleresistant Aspergillus fumigatus in Denmark: a laboratory-based study on resistance mechanisms and genotypes. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2016; 22: 570.e1.
- Vermeulen E, Maertens J, De Bel A et al. Nationwide surveillance of azole resistance in Aspergillus diseases. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015; 59: 4569–4576.
- Fuhren J, Voskuil WS, Boel CH et al. High prevalence of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolates from high-risk patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015,702894
- Van der Linden JW, Warris A, Verweij PE. Aspergillus species intrinsically resistant to antifungal agents. Med Mycol. 2011; 49: S82–89.
- van Ingen J, van der Lee HA, Rijs TA et al. Azole, polyene, and echinocandin MIC distributions for wild-type, TR34/L98H and TR46/Y121F/T289A Aspergillus fumigatus isolates in The Netherlands. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2015; 70: 178–181.
- van Ingen J, van der Lee HA, Rijs AJ, Snelders E, Melchers WJ, Verweij PE. High-level pan-azole-resistant sspergillosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2015; 53: 2343–2345
- 94. Chong GM, van der Beek MT, von dem Borne PA et al. PCR-based detection of Aspergillus fumigatus Cyp51A mutations on bronchoalveolar lavage: a multicentre validation of the AsperGenius assay(R) in 201 patients with haematological disease suspected for invasive aspergillosis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2016; 71: 3528–3535.
- 95. Chong GM, Van der Beek MT, Von dem Borne PA et al. PCR-based detection of A. fumigatus cyp51A mutations on bronchoalveolar lavage can readily predict azole treatment failure: a multi-center validation study in 201 hematology patients with suspected invasive aspergillosis. Mycoses. 2015; 58: 77.
- Candoni A, Mestroni R, Damiani D et al. Caspofungin as first line therapy of pulmonary invasive fungal infections in 32 immunocompromised patients with hematologic malignancies. Eur J Haematol. 2005; 75: 227–233.
- Cornely OA, Vehreschild JJ, Vehreschild MJ et al. Phase II dose escalation study of caspofungin for invasive aspergillosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011; 55: 5798–5803.
- Herbrecht R, Maertens J, Baila L et al. Caspofungin first-line therapy for invasive aspergillosis in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients: an European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer study. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2010; 45: 1227–1233.
- Viscoli C, Herbrecht R, Akan H et al. An EORTC Phase II study of caspofungin as first-line therapy of invasive aspergillosis in haematological patients. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009; 64: 1274–1281.
- Lestrade PPA, Meis JF, Melchers WJG, Verweij PE. Triazole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus: recent insights and challenges for patient management. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2019; 25: 799–806.
- 101. SWAB. SWAB Guidelines for the Management of Invasive Fungal Infections. The Netherlands: Bergen, 2017.
- 102. Schauwvlieghe A, de Jonge N, van Dijk K et al. The diagnosis and treatment of invasive aspergillosis in Dutch haematology units facing a rapidly increasing prevalence of azole-resistance: a nationwide survey and rationale for the DB-MSG 002 study protocol. *Mycoses*. 2018; 61: 656–664.
- 103. du Pre S, Beckmann N, Almeida MC et al. Effect of the novel antifungal drug F901318 (Olorofim) on growth and viability of Aspergillus fumigatus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018; 62.
- 104. Lackner M, Birch M, Naschberger V et al. Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase inhibitor olorofim exhibits promising activity against all clinically relevant species within Aspergillus section Terrei. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018; 73: 3068– 3073.
- 105. Zhao M, Lepak AJ, Marchillo K et al. APX001 pharmacokinetic/ pharmacodynamic target determination against Aspergillus fumigatus in an in vivo model of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019; 63.
- 106. Boch T, Spiess B, Cornely OA et al. Diagnosis of invasive fungal infections in haematological patients by combined use of galactomannan, 1,3-beta-D-glucan, Aspergillus PCR, multifungal DNA-microarray, and Aspergillus azole resistance

PCRs in blood and bronchoalveolar lavage samples: results of a prospective multicentre study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2016; 22: 862–868.

- 107. Buchheidt D, Reinwald M, Hoenigl M, Hofmann W-K, Spiess B, Boch T. The evolving landscape of new diagnostic tests for invasive aspergillosis in hematology patients: strengths and weaknesses. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2017; 30: 539– 544
- 108. Miceli MH, Goggins MI, Chander P et al. Performance of lateral flow device and galactomannan for the detection of *Aspergillus* species in bronchoalveolar fluid of patients at risk for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. *Mycoses*. 2015; 58: 368–374.
- Neofytos D. Chest computed tomography versus serum galactomannan enzyme immunoassay for the diagnosis of probable invasive aspergillosis: to be decided. Clin Infect Dis. 2010; 51: 1281–1283.
- 110. Pini P, Bettua C, Orsi CF et al. Clinical performance of a commercial real-time PCR assay for Aspergillus DNA detection in serum samples from high-risk patients: comparison with a galactomannan enzyme immunoassay. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2015; 34: 131–136.
- 111. Zhou W, Li H, Zhang Y et al. Diagnostic value of galactomannan antigen test in serum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples from patients with nonneutropenic invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2017; 55: 2153– 2161.
- Dadwal SS, Kontoyiannis DP. Recent advances in the molecular diagnosis of mucormycosis. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. 2018; 18: 845–854.
- 113. Legouge C, Caillot D, Chretien ML et al. The reversed halo sign: pathognomonic pattern of pulmonary mucormycosis in leukemic patients with neutropenia? Clin Infect Dis. 2014; 58: 672–678.
- 114. Tissot F, Agrawal S, Pagano L et al. ECIL-6 guidelines for the treatment of invasive candidiasis, aspergillosis and mucormycosis in leukemia and hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients. *Haematologica*. 2017; 102: 433–444.
- 115. Eigl S, Prattes J, Reischies FM et al. Galactomannan testing and Aspergillus PCR in same-day bronchoalveolar lavage and blood samples obtained from patients with hematological malignancies at risk for invasive mould infection. Mycoses. 2015; 58: 157.
- 116. Koo S, Bryar Julie M, Page John H, Baden Lindsey R, Marty Francisco M. Diagnostic performance of the (1→3) beta-D-Glucan assay for Invasive fungal disease. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009; 49: 1650–1659.
- 117. Marty FM, Koo S. Role of  $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -beta-D-glucan in the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. *Med Mycol*. 2009; 47: S233–240.
- 118. Pazos C, Ponton J, Del Palacio A. Contribution of (1→3)-beta-D-glucan chromogenic assay to diagnosis and therapeutic monitoring of invasive aspergillosis in neutropenic adult patients: a comparison with serial screening for circulating galactomannan. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2005; 43: 299–305.
- 119. Farmakiotis D, Le A, Weiss Z, Ismail N, Kubiak DW, Koo S. False positive bronchoalveolar lavage galactomannan: effect of host and cut-off value. *Mycoses*. 2019; 62: 204–213.
- Buchheidt D, Reinwald M, Hofmann WK, Boch T, Spiess B. Evaluating the use of PCR for diagnosing invasive aspergillosis. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. 2017; 17: 603–610.
- 121. Hummel M, Spiess B, Cornely OA, Dittmer M, Morz H, Buchheidt D. Aspergillus PCR testing: results from a prospective PCR study within the Am-BiLoad trial. Eur J Haematol. 2010; 85: 164–169.
- 122. Lamoth F. Galactomannan and 1,3-beta-d-glucan testing for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 2016; 2.
- Bacher P, Steinbach A, Kniemeyer O et al. Fungus-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells for rapid identification of invasive pulmonary mold infection. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2015; 191: 348–352.
- 124. Potenza L, Vallerini D, Barozzi P et al. Mucorales-specific T cells emerge in the course of invasive mucormycosis and may be used as a surrogate diagnostic marker in high-risk patients. Blood. 2011; 118: 5416–5419.
- Potenza L, Vallerini D, Barozzi P et al. Mucorales-specific T cells in patients with hematologic malignancies. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11: e0149108.
- 126. Steinbach A, Cornely OA, Wisplinghoff H et al. Mould-reactive T cells for the diagnosis of invasive mould infection: a prospective study. *Mycoses*. 2019; 62: 562–569.
- 127. Chai L, Netea MG, Teerenstra S et al. Early proinflammatory cytokines and C-reactive protein trends as predictors of outcome in invasive aspergillosis. *J Infect Dis*. 2010; 202: 1454–1462.

 Goncalves SM, Lagrou K, Rodrigues CS et al. Evaluation of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid cytokines as biomarkers for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in atrisk patients. Front Microbiol. 2017; 8: 2362.

- Hoenigl M, Koidl C, Duettmann W et al. Bronchoalveolar lavage lateral-flow device test for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis diagnosis in haematological malignancy and solid organ transplant patients. J Infect. 2012; 65: 588–591.
- 130. Heldt S, Eigl S, Prattes J et al. Levels of interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-8 are elevated in serum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of haematological patients with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Mycoses. 2017; 60: 818–825.
- 131. Heldt S, Prattes J, Eigl S et al. Diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in hematological malignancy patients: performance of cytokines, Asp LFD, and Aspergillus PCR in same day blood and bronchoalveolar lavage samples. J Infect. 2018; 77: 235– 241.
- Carvalho A, Cunha C, Bistoni F, Romani L. Immunotherapy of aspergillosis. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012; 18: 120–125.
- Vehreschild JJ, Heussel CP, Groll AH et al. Serial assessment of pulmonary lesion volume by computed tomography allows survival prediction in invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. *Eur Radiol*. 2017; 27: 3275–3282.
- 134. Bergeron A, Porcher R, Menotti J et al. Prospective evaluation of clinical and biological markers to predict the outcome of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in hematological patients. J Clin Microbiol. 2012; 50: 823–830.
- Chai LY, Kullberg BJ, Johnson EM et al. Early serum galactomannan trend as a predictor of outcome of invasive aspergillosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2012; 50: 2330–2336.
- 136. Fisher CE, Stevens AM, Leisenring W, Pergam SA, Boeckh M, Hohl TM. The serum galactomannan index predicts mortality in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients with invasive aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57: 1001– 1004.
- 137. Kovanda LL, Desai AV, Hope WW. Prognostic value of galactomannan: current evidence for monitoring response to antifungal therapy in patients with invasive aspergillosis. J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn. 2017; 44: 143–151.
- 138. Maertens J, Buve K, Theunissen K et al. Galactomannan serves as a surrogate endpoint for outcome of pulmonary invasive aspergillosis in neutropenic hematology patients. *Cancer*. 2009; 115: 355–362.
- Mercier T, Guldentops E, Lagrou K, Maertens J. Galactomannan, a surrogate marker for outcome in invasive aspergillosis: finally coming of age. Front Microbiol. 2018; 9: 661.
- 140. Nouér SA, Nucci M, Kumar NS, Grazziutti M, Barlogie B, Anaissie E. Earlier response assessment in invasive aspergillosis based on the kinetics of serum Aspergillus Galactomannan: proposal for a new definition. Clin Infect Dis. 2011; 53: 671–676.
- 141. Woods G, Miceli MH, Grazziutti ML, Zhao W, Barlogie B, Anaissie E. Serum Aspergillus galactomannan antigen values strongly correlate with outcome of invasive aspergillosis: a study of 56 patients with hematologic cancer. Cancer. 2007; 110: 830–834.
- Cho HJ, Jang MS, Hong SD, Chung SK, Kim HY, Dhong HJ. Prognostic factors for survival in patients with acute invasive fungal rhinosinusitis. *Am J Rhinol Allergy*. 2015; 29: 48–53.
- Castellano-Gonzalez G, Clancy LE, Gottlieb D. Prospects for adoptive T-cell therapy for invasive fungal disease. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2017; 30: 518–527.
- 144. Papadopoulou A, Kaloyannidis P, Yannaki E, Cruz CR. Adoptive transfer of Aspergillus-specific T cells as a novel anti-fungal therapy for hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: Progress and challenges. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2016; 98: 62–72.
- 145. Wang Q, Yang M, Wang C, Cui J, Li X, Wang C. Diagnostic efficacy of serum cytokines and chemokines in fungal bloodstream infection in febrile patients. J Clin Lab Anal. 2020; 34: e23149.
- 146. Menichetti F, Bertolino G, Sozio E et al. Impact of infectious diseases consultation as a part of an antifungal stewardship programme on candidemia outcome in an Italian tertiary-care, University hospital. J Chemother. 2018; 30: 304–309.
- Aguado JM, Silva JT, Bouza E. Conclusion and future perspectives on antifungal stewardship. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2016; 71: ii43-ii44.
- 148. Maertens JA, Blennow O, Duarte RF, Munoz P. The current management landscape: aspergillosis. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016; 71: ii23–ii29.
- 149. Munoz P, Bouza E,group Cs. The current treatment landscape: the need for antifungal stewardship programmes. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016; 71: ii5–ii12.