DOI: 10.1111/ejh.13526

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Haematology

WILEY

# VEGFR2 and VEGFA polymorphisms are not associated with an inferior prognosis in Caucasian patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma

Dominic Kaddu-Mulindwa<sup>1</sup> Haciej Rosolowski<sup>2</sup> | Marita Ziepert<sup>2</sup> | Evi Regitz<sup>1</sup> | Gunter Assmann<sup>1</sup> | Moritz Bewarder<sup>1</sup> | Gerhard Held<sup>1</sup> | Michael Pfreundschuh<sup>1</sup> | Jörg Thomas Bittenbring<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology and Oncology, Saarland University Medical School, Homburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Medical Informatics, Statistics and Epidemiology (IMISE), University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

#### Correspondence

Dominic Kaddu-Mulindwa, Department of Hematology and Oncology, Saarland University Medical School, Kirrberger Str. 100, 66 424 Homburg, Germany. Email: dominic.kaddu@uks.eu

## Abstract

**Purpose:** Previous published data showed an impact of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the VEGF A and VEGFR2 genes on the survival of patients with various malignancies, among others diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL).

**Patients and Methods:** We investigated the role of four VEGF-A and two VEGFR-2 gene polymorphisms on the outcome of 273 patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma who were treated with R-CHOP within a prospective, randomized trial of the German High-Grade Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Study Group (DSHNHL). The genomic DNA samples were analyzed using commercial DNA Probes (Applied Biosystems, USA) to detect single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the VEGF A rs699947, rs1570360, rs2010963, rs3025039 and rs1870377, and rs2305948 in the VEGFR2 receptor. Hundred healthy blood donors served as a control.

**Results:** There was no difference between the SNP allele frequencies in lymphoma patients compared to the control group for all investigated SNPs. None of the investigated SNPs was significantly associated with EFS or OS. After adjusting for the International Prognostic Index risk factors in a multivariate analysis, these results could be confirmed.

**Conclusion:** Single-nucleotide polymorphisms of the VEGF and VEGFR2 were not associated with a worse outcome in Caucasian patients with DLBCL.

## KEYWORDS

diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, polymorphism, single nucleotide polymorphisms, vascular endothelial growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor type 2, VEGF gene

Kaddu-Mulindwa and Rosolowski equally contributed to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2020 The Authors. *European Journal of Haematology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. The addition of the CD20 antibody rituximab to conventional chemotherapy has substantially improved the outcome of these patients in the last 15 years and is now the standard of care.<sup>1-5</sup> Despite this improvement, more than 30% of patients with DLBCL will ultimately relapse and are in need for a salvage treatment.<sup>6</sup> The International Prognostic Index (IPI), which was introduced in 1993.<sup>7</sup> is still the standard clinical tool to predict outcomes in patients with aggressive lymphomas.<sup>8</sup> Nevertheless, there is a constant pursuit of new prognostic markers, for example, ABC and GCB,<sup>9</sup> vitamin D,<sup>10</sup> or Fc-gamma receptor.<sup>11</sup> Angiogenesis is of utmost importance in the progression of many malignancies. The vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is known as a regulator of endothelial cell proliferation and plays a major role in angiogenesis.<sup>12</sup> Furthermore, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) of the VEGF pathway have been associated with incidence and prognosis of many solid and hematologic malignancies,<sup>13,14</sup> and the inhibition of angiogenesis pathways for example by VEGF antibodies or tyrosine kinase inhibitors has shown clinical benefit in colon <sup>15</sup> and kidnev cancer.<sup>16,17</sup> In addition, VEGF and its cellular receptor, and the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor type 2 (VEGFR2) play a key role in leukemia-associated angiogenesis,<sup>18</sup> and the VEGF gene (VEGFA) polymorphism was reported to predict the prognosis in patients with acute myeloid leukemia patients.<sup>19</sup> Despite the success of VEGF antibodies for the treatment of solid tumors, it failed to prove its efficacy in hematologic neoplasias like DLBCL. Previously published studies showed no significant treatment effect of bevacizumab whether as a single agent in patients with relapsed, aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma<sup>20</sup> or in combination with R-CHOP as first-line treatment for patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma.<sup>21</sup> There have been several reports in the literature<sup>22-24</sup> that in aggressive lymphoma, in particular in DLBCL, high VEGF serum levels, and elevated expression of VEGF in tissue biopsies are associated with a higher tumor burden and inferior overall survival (OS). Kim et al observed in a Korean population of lymphoma patients that the VEGFreceptor 2 polymorphism rs1870377 major allele TT had an inferior prognosis. It was hypothesized that the inferior binding of minor allele AA to VEGF impaired lymphoma angiogenesis and conferred the benefit.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the effects of VEGF and VEGF-receptor polymorphisms on the prognosis of Caucasian patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP protocol within the prospective RICOVER-60 trial of the German High-Grade Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Study Group (DSHNHL).

# 2 | MATERIALS, METHODS AND PATIENTS

In the RICOVER-60 trial of the DSHNHL, 1222 patients aged 61-80 years with aggressive B-cell lymphoma were recruited between July 2000 and June 2005 by 203 institutions. The patients 101

## **Novelty Statements**

1. What is the NEW aspect of your work?

VEGF polymorphisms in Caucasian patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma.

2. What is the CENTRAL finding of your work?

We investigated the prognostic impact of six potentially functional polymorphisms in the VEGF and VEGFR2 genes in Caucasian patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma.

3. What is (or could be) the SPECIFIC clinical relevance of your work?

VEGF polymorphisms do not have a prognostic value in Caucasian patients with diffuse aggressive B-cell lymphoma.

were randomized to 6 or 8 cycles of CHOP-14 (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and prednisone) with and without eight cycles of rituximab in a  $2 \times 2$  factorial design. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All protocols had been approved by the ethics committee of each participating institution, and all patients had given written informed consent.<sup>5</sup> From these 1222 patients, 533 genomic DNA samples were available for further analysis. From these 533 patients, 273 received rituximab and were included in the final analysis. Patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL) and post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD) were excluded in the RIVOCER-60 trial and therefore not included in our analysis. The DNA samples were analyzed using commercial DNA Probes (Applied Biosystems, USA) to detect single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the VEGF A- (rs699947, rs1570360, rs2010963, and rs3025039) and in the VEGFR2 receptor (rs1870377 and rs2305948). Minor allele frequencies were compared with a control cohort of 100 healthy blood donors.

## 2.1 | Statistics

Event-free survival (EFS) was defined as time from randomization to disease progression, start of salvage treatment, additional (unplanned) treatments, relapse, or death from any cause. Overall survival (OS) was defined as time from randomization to death from any cause. EFS and OS according to SNPs were estimated according to the Kaplan-Meier method, and global log-rank tests were performed. Cox regression models to analyze the SNPs adjusted for the factors of the IPI were used for EFS and OS. Hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and *P*-values are presented. For differences regarding patient characteristics, we used chi-square tests and for age the Mann-Whitney *U* test. The two-sided significance level was P < .05. Haplotype analysis was performed for SNPs with high linkage disequilibrium. Individual haplotypes were inferred using the PHASE program (available at http://stephenslab.uchicago. ILEY—Haematology

edu/phase/download.html). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 11.5) and R (version 3.6.3).

# 3 | RESULTS

The characteristics of the 273 patients included in this study are shown in Table 1. This cohort did not significantly differ from the entire RICOVER-60 population (n = 1222) with respect to demographics and IPI risk factors.

SNP allele frequencies were not different in lymphoma patients compared to healthy controls (data not shown). In univariate analysis, no significant impact of the SNPs on EFS and OS was observed (Figure S1). Similarly, a multivariate analysis for EFS and OS adjusted for the factors of the IPI showed no impact of VEGF and VEGFreceptor polymorphisms on prognosis of this series of patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma (Table 2).

The three neighboring VEGFA SNPs rs699947, rs1570360, and rs2010963 were closely linked with each other but not with

**TABLE 1** Patient characteristics

Analyzed cohort n = 273RICOVER-60 trial n = 1222P- value³Age median (range) $68 (61-80)$ $68 (61-80)$ $.550$ Male $147 (54\%)$ $650 (53\%)$ $.550$ Female $126 (46\%)$ $572 (47\%)$ $.859$ LDH $\leq N$ $146 (53\%)$ $618 (51\%)$ $.003 (49\%)$ LDH $> N$ $127 (47\%)$ $604 (49\%)$ $.307$ Stages I, II $140 (51\%)$ $603 (49\%)$ $.511$ ECOG 0,1 $239 (88\%)$ $1046 (86\%)$ $.511$ ECOG > 1 $34 (12\%)$ $176 (14\%)$ $.346$ Extralymphatic sites > 1 $No$ $223 (82\%)$ $1006 (82\%)$ Yes $50 (18\%)$ $216 (18\%)$ $.823$ IPI score $1$ $96 (35\%)$ $372 (30\%)$ 2 $67 (25\%)$ $339 (28\%)$								
(range)    Male  147 (54%)  650 (53%)    Female  126 (46%)  572 (47%)  .859    LDH ≤ N  146 (53%)  618 (51%)				•				
Female126 (46%)572 (47%).859LDH $\leq$ N146 (53%)618 (51%)LDH $>$ N127 (47%)604 (49%).307Stages I, II140 (51%)603 (49%)Stages III, IV133 (49%)619 (51%).511ECOG 0,1239 (88%)1046 (86%)ECOG > 134 (12%)176 (14%).346Extralymphatic sites > 1No223 (82%)1006 (82%)Yes50 (18%)216 (18%).823IPI score196 (35%)372 (30%)	0	68 (61-80)	68 (61-80)	.550				
LDH $\leq$ N  146 (53%)  618 (51%)    LDH $>$ N  127 (47%)  604 (49%)  .307    Stages I, II  140 (51%)  603 (49%)  .511    ECOG 0,1  239 (88%)  1046 (86%)  .511    ECOG > 1  34 (12%)  176 (14%)  .346    Extralymphatic sites > 1	Male	147 (54%)	650 (53%)					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } LDH > N & 127 (47\%) & 604 (49\%) & .307 \\ \hline Stages I, II & 140 (51\%) & 603 (49\%) \\ \hline Stages III, IV & 133 (49\%) & 619 (51\%) & .511 \\ \hline ECOG 0,1 & 239 (88\%) & 1046 (86\%) \\ \hline ECOG > 1 & 34 (12\%) & 176 (14\%) & .346 \\ \hline Extralymphatic sites > 1 \\ \hline No & 223 (82\%) & 1006 (82\%) \\ \hline Yes & 50 (18\%) & 216 (18\%) & .823 \\ \hline IPI score \\ \hline 1 & 96 (35\%) & 372 (30\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$	Female	126 (46%)	572 (47%)	.859				
Stages I, II  140 (51%)  603 (49%)    Stages III, IV  133 (49%)  619 (51%)  .511    ECOG 0,1  239 (88%)  1046 (86%)  ECOG > 1  34 (12%)  176 (14%)  .346    Extralymphatic sites > 1  No  223 (82%)  1006 (82%)  .823    IPI score  1  96 (35%)  372 (30%)  .823	LDH ≤ N	146 (53%)	618 (51%)					
Stages III, IV  133 (49%)  619 (51%)  .511    ECOG 0,1  239 (88%)  1046 (86%)    ECOG > 1  34 (12%)  176 (14%)  .346    Extralymphatic sites > 1	LDH > N	127 (47%)	604 (49%)	.307				
ECOG 0,1  239 (88%)  1046 (86%)    ECOG > 1  34 (12%)  176 (14%)  .346    Extralymphatic sites > 1	Stages I, II	140 (51%)	603 (49%)					
ECOG > 1  34 (12%)  176 (14%)  .346    Extralymphatic sites > 1	Stages III, IV	133 (49%)	619 (51%)	.511				
Extralymphatic sites > 1  1006 (82%)    No  223 (82%)  1006 (82%)    Yes  50 (18%)  216 (18%)  .823    IPI score  1  96 (35%)  372 (30%)	ECOG 0,1	239 (88%)	1046 (86%)					
No    223 (82%)    1006 (82%)      Yes    50 (18%)    216 (18%)    .823      IPI score    1    96 (35%)    372 (30%)	ECOG > 1	34 (12%)	176 (14%)	.346				
Yes    50 (18%)    216 (18%)    .823      IPI score    1    96 (35%)    372 (30%)	Extralymphatic sites > 1							
IPI score 1 96 (35%) 372 (30%)	No	223 (82%)	1006 (82%)					
1 96 (35%) 372 (30%)	Yes	50 (18%)	216 (18%)	.823				
	IPI score							
2 67 (25%) 339 (28%)	1	96 (35%)	372 (30%)					
	2	67 (25%)	339 (28%)					
3 64 (23%) 313 (26%)	3	64 (23%)	313 (26%)					
4, 5 46 (17%) 198 (16%) .203	4, 5	46 (17%)	198 (16%)	.203				
No bulk 168 (62%) 759 (62%)	No bulk	168 (62%)	759 (62%)					
Bulk 105 (38%) 463 (38%) .880	Bulk	105 (38%)	463 (38%)	.880				
B-symptoms 187 (68%) 823 (67%) no	· ·	187 (68%)	823 (67%)					
B-symptoms 86 (32%) 399 (33%) .699 yes	, ,	86 (32%)	399 (33%)	.699				
6× CHOP-14 128 (47%) 613 (50%)	6× CHOP-14	128 (47%)	613 (50%)					
8× CHOP-14 145 (53%) 609 (50%) .246	8× CHOP-14	145 (53%)	609 (50%)	.246				

<sup>a</sup>P-value for comparison of patients analyzed (n = 273) and not analyzed (n = 949) from RICOVER-60 trial.

the VEGFA SNP rs3025039 (Table S1). VEGFR rs1870377 and rs2305948 polymorphisms were moderately linked ( $r^2 = .078$ , Lewontin's D' = 0.524). Four haplotypes of the gene VEGFA for alleles rs699947, rs1570360 and rs2010963 were estimated and considered for further analysis: AAG (34.2%), CGC (31.1%), AGG (16.7%), and CGG (17.8%) (AAC was not analyzed, because only one its copy was inferred in one patient). None of the haplotypes was significantly associated with EFS, which was the primary endpoint of the RICOVER-60 trial. Only the haplotype CGG was significantly associated with OS in a univariate analysis (P = .034) as well as adjusting for IPI factors (haplotype copy number 1 (n = 79) vs. 0 (n = 181), HR, 0.5; 95% CI, 0.2-0.9; P = .019; Figure S2, Table S2). However, this association would no longer be significant, if multiple testing was taken into account.

# 4 | DISCUSSION

We have investigated the prognostic impact of six potentially functional polymorphisms in the VEGF and VEGFR2 genes in Caucasian patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma who were treated with R-CHOP. We could not find any impact of these polymorphisms on prognosis within these uniformly treated patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma. Similarly, to certain solid malignancies for example ovarian <sup>26</sup> or head and neck cancers,<sup>27</sup> VEGF polymorphisms do not have any prognostic value in Caucasian patients with DLBCL.

This is in contrast to previously published data by Kim et al which showed a significant impact on OS and PFS for the polymorphism VEGFR2 rs1870377T > A. In this Korean cohort, which had a comparable IPI risk and had been uniformly treated with R-CHOP as well, the three-year PFS for AA was 76,7%, for TA 68,0% and TT 59,7%, the OS for AA was 81,6%, for TA 73,4% and for TT 63,7%. This was independent of the IPI score in a multivariate analysis.<sup>25</sup> In our cohort the three-year EFS for AA was 53.8%, for TA 73.5% and TT 71,6%, the overall survival for AA was 64.1%, for TA 82% and for TT 77%. Contrary to the expectation the AA genotype in our cohort had a trend to a worse OS compared to AT + TT. However, this polymorphism had no impact on EFS and OS in our multivariate analysis.

The other assessed polymorphism (VEGFA rs699947, rs2010963 and rs3025039, and VEGFR2 rs2305948) by Kim et al did not show any significant impact on OS or PFS supporting our own results. The EFS and OS were in a similar range in both cohorts indicating similar IPI risk and treatment conformity.

A validation cohort was missing in the publication by Kim et al, which underlines the necessity of validation cohorts for confirmation of prognostic factors in hematologic malignancies. However, we cannot exclude that ethnicity (Korean vs Caucasian) may play a role in these findings even though there is no evidence in the literature described yet. We therefore would encourage a validation of the results of Kim et al to clarify this point because according to our results VEGF polymorphism do not have a prognostic value for patients with DLBCL.

	EFS		OS	
	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P- value
VEGFR2 (RS1870377)				
AA (n = 13) vs. TT (n = 155)	2.0 (0.9; 4.7)	.103	1.4 (0.5; 3.8)	.481
AT (n = 105) vs. TT (n = 155)	1.4 (0.9; 2.2)	.197	1.2 (0.7; 2.0)	.594
VEGFR2 (rs2305948)				
CC (n = 228) vs. CT (n = 45)	0.7 (0.4; 1.3)	.250	0.8 (0.4; 1.4)	.392
VEGFA (rs699947)				
AA (n = 72) vs. CC (n = 80)	1.2 (0.7; 2.1)	.538	1.8 (0.9; 3.4)	.09
AC (n = 121) vs. CC (n = 80)	0.8 (0.5; 1.4)	.397	1.0 (0.5; 1.9)	.99
VEGFA (rs15707360)				
AA (n = 33) vs. GG (n = 126)	0.9 (0.5; 1.8)	.774	1.2 (0.6; 2.5)	.598
AG (n = 114) vs. GG (n = 126)	0.7 (0.5; 1.2)	.210	0.9 (0.5; 1.5)	.651
VEGFA (rs2010963)				
CC (n = 27) vs. GG (n = 124)	1.4 (0.7; 2.9)	.390	1.3 (0.6; 3.1)	.489
CG (n = 122) vs. GG (n = 124)	1.1 (0.7; 1.8)	.668	0.9 (0.5; 1.5)	.660
VEGFA (rs3025039)				
CC (n = 196) vs. CT (n = 74) <sup>a</sup>	0.9 (0.5; 1.4)	.516	0.7 (0.4; 1.3)	.278

<sup>a</sup>Three samples with TT were excluded due to the small sample size.

Nevertheless, our study has some limitations. First, it is small sample size in comparison to the Korean cohort (n = 494 vs. n = 273) and the fact that only six SNPs were studied even though nowadays genome-wide association study offers the opportunity to analyze a large number of SNPs at the same time. Second, due to the design of the RICOVER-60 trial gene-expression profiling information about the cell of origin (COO) was not available in all patients, which did not allow us to correlate our findings with the COO. Earlier studies showed that this phenotypic distinction is associated with overall survival after R-CHOP chemotherapy.<sup>28</sup> Nevertheless, an already published analysis including patients from the RICOVER-60 and other German trials showed no clinical impact of COO on patients with DLBCL.<sup>29</sup> Furthermore, we were not able to correlate our findings with soluble isoform of VEGF, which has been shown to be a predictor for outcome in patients with de novo DLBCL,<sup>30</sup> or relapsed/ refractory DBLCL<sup>31</sup>-this should be addressed in future studies.

The strengths of our study are its collection of patient samples within a randomized controlled trial with uniform and well-documented treatment and clinical outcome data, which minimize a probably existing selection bias in previous published data addressing this issue.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. [Correction added on 17 November 2020, after first online publication: Projekt Deal funding statement has been added.]

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## ORCID

Dominic Kaddu-Mulindwa Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-8832-252X

## REFERENCES

 Pfreundschuh M, Trümper L, Osterborg A, et al. CHOP-like chemotherapy plus rituximab versus CHOP-like chemotherapy alone in young patients with good-prognosis diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma: a randomised controlled trial by the MabThera International Trial (MInT) Group. *Lancet Oncol.* 2006;7:379-391.

103

**TABLE 2**Cox regression models forSNPs adjusted for IPI factors

Haematology

WILEY-Haematology

- 2. Coiffier B, Lepage E, Briere J, et al. CHOP chemotherapy plus rituximab compared with CHOP alone in elderly patients with diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346:235-242.
- Feugier P, Van Hoof A, Sebban C, et al. Long-term results of the R-CHOP study in the treatment of elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a study by the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(18):4117-4126.
- Habermann TM, Weller EA, Morrison VA, et al. Rituximab-CHOP versus CHOP alone or with maintenance rituximab in older patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(19):3121-3127.
- Pfreundschuh M, Schubert J, Ziepert M, et al. Six versus eight cycles of bi-weekly CHOP-14 with or without rituximab in elderly patients with aggressive CD20+ B-cell lymphomas: a randomised controlled trial (RICOVER-60). *Lancet Oncol.* 2008;9(2):105-116.
- Gisselbrecht C, Glass B, Mounier N, et al. Salvage regimens with autologous transplantation for relapsed large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:4184-4190.
- Shipp MA, Harrington DP, Anderson JR, et al. A predictive model for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. The International Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Prognostic Factors Project. N Engl J Med. 1993;329:987-994.
- Ziepert M, Hasenclever D, Kuhnt E, et al. Standard International prognostic index remains a valid predictor of outcome for patients with aggressive CD20+ B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(14):2373-3280.
- Hans CP, Weisenburger DD, Greiner TC, et al. Confirmation of the molecular classification of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma byimmunohistochemistry using a tissue microarray. *Blood*. 2004;103(1):275-282.
- Bittenbring JT, Neumann F, Altmann B, et al. Vitamin D deficiency impairs Rituximab-mediated cellular cytotoxicity and outcome of Patients with Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma treated with but not without Rituximab. J Clin Oncol. 2004;32:3242-3248.
- Ahlgrimm M, Pfreundschuh M, Kreuz M, Regitz E, Preuss KD, Bittenbring JT. Impact of Fc-gamma receptor polymorphisms in elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with CHOP with or without rituximab. *Blood.* 2011;118(17):4657-4662.
- 12. Ferrara N, Gerber HP, LeCouter J. The biology of VEGF and its receptors. *Nat Med.* 2003;9:669-676.
- Gerber HP, Ferrara N. The role of VEGF in normal and neoplastic hematopoiesis. J Mol Med. 2003;81:20-31.
- Kim JG, Chae YS, Sohn SK, et al. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Gene Polymorphisms Associated with Prognosis for Patients with Colorectal Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14(1):62-66.
- Kabbinavar F, Hurwitz HI, Fehrenbacher L, et al. Phase II, randomized trial comparing bevacizumab plus fluorouracil (FU)/leucovorin (LV) with FU/LV alone in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21:60-65.
- Escudier B, Pluzanska A, Koralewski P, et al. Bevacizumab plus interferon alpha-2a for treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a randomized, double-blind phase III trial. *Lancet*. 2007;370:2103-2111.
- Rini BE, Escudier B, Tomczak P, et al. Comparative effectiveness of axitinib versus sorafenib in advanced renal cell carcinoma (AXIS): a randomized phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2011;378:1931-1939.
- Padró T, Bieker R, Ruiz S, et al. Overexpression of vascular endothelial growth factor and its cellular receptor KDR (VEGFR-2) in the bone marrow of patients with acute leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2002;16:1302-1310.
- 19. Kim DH, Lee NY, Lee MH, Sohn SK, Do YR, Park JY. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene (VEGFA) polymorphism can

predict the prognosis in acute myeloid leukaemia patients. Br J Haematol. 2008;140:71-79.

- Stopeck AT, Unger JM, Rimsza LM, et al. A phase II trial of single agent bevacizumab in patients with relapsed, aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma: Southwest Oncology Group Study S0108. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2009;50(5):728-735.
- Seymour JF, Pfreundschuh M, Trněný M, et al. R-CHOP with or without bevacizumab in patients with previously untreated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: final MAIN study outcomes. *Haematologica*. 2014;99(8):1343-1349.
- 22. Ganjoo KN, Moore AM, Orazi A, Sen JA, Johnson CS, An CS. The importance of angiogenesis markers in the outcome of patients with diffuse large B cell lym- phoma: a retrospective study of 97 patients. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2008;134(3):381-387.
- Gratzinger D, Zhao S, Tibshirani RJ, et al. Prognostic significance of VEGF, VEGF receptors, and microvessel density in diffuse large B cell lymphoma treated with anthracycline- based chemotherapy. *Lab Invest*. 2008;88(1):38-47.
- Paydas S, Seydaoglu G, Ergin M, Erdogan S, Yavuz S. The prognostic significance of VEGF-C and VEGF-A in non-Hodgkin lymphomas. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2009;50(3):366-373.
- 25. Kim MK, Suh C, Chi HS, et al. VEGFA and VEGFR2 genetic polymorphisms and survival in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Cancer Sci.* 2012;103:497-5003.
- Polterauer S, Grimm C, Mustea A, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor gene polymorphisms in ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2007;105:385-389.
- Formento JL, Etienne-Grmialdi MC, Francoual M, et al. Influence of the VEGF-A 936C>T germinal polymorphism on tumoral VEGF expression in head and neck cancer. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2009;10:1277-1283.
- Lenz G, Wright G, Dave SS, et al. Stromal gene signatures in large-B-cell lymphomas. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:2313-2323.
- Staiger A, Ziepert M, Horn H, et al. Clinical impact of the cell-of-origin classification and the MYC/BCL2 dual expresser status in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated within prospective clinical trials of the German high-grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma study group. *Clin Oncol.* 35:2515–2526.
- Niitsu N, Okamato M, Nakamine H, et al. Simultaneous elevation of the serum concentrations of vascular endothelial growth factor and interleukin-6 as independent predictors of prognosis in aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Eur J Haematol.* 2002;68(2):91-100.
- Broséus J, Mourah S, Ramstein G, et al. VEGF 121, is predictor for survival in activated B-cell-like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and is related to an immune response gene signature conserved in cancers. Oncotarget. 2017;8(53):90808-90824.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Kaddu-Mulindwa D, Rosolowski M, Ziepert M, et al. VEGFR2 and VEGFA polymorphisms are not associated with an inferior prognosis in Caucasian patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma. *Eur J Haematol.* 2021;106:100–104. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejh.13526