1

# Title page

Chapter No 4

### Surface chemistry of nanocellulose and its composites

Jorge Padrão, Andrea Zille\*

Centre for Textile Science and Technology (2C2T), University of Minho, 4800-058 Guimarães,

Portugal

Email ID: padraoj@2c2t.uminho.pt ; azille@2c2t.uminho.pt

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-5630-6621; 0000-0001-5299-4164

### Chapter 4

## Surface chemistry of nanocellulose and its composites

Jorge Padrão, Andrea Zille\*

Centre for Textile Science and Technology (2C2T), University of Minho, 4800-058 Guimarães, Portugal

#### Abstract

Cellulose is recurrently defined as the most abundant biopolymer on planet Earth, displaying an overall estimated production rate of more than 0.2 billion tons in a single day. Cellulose prompt availability allied to it its mechanical properties made it virtually indissociable from the majority of anthropogenic commodities. Nevertheless, continuous progress demands superior features form daily common materials, being most of them adequately suited by low-cost petrochemical polymers. Fortunately, the higher Environmental awareness of the global population as well as

the remarkable properties of biosynthesized polymers, has driven an extensive research on a plethora of biopolymers, including cellulose. Cellulose most notable features are associated to its crystal domains, which are impressively underscored with the development of cellulose nanotechnology. Moreover, at nanoscale the cellulose surface richness in hydroxyl groups is comprehensively more available, considerably t broadening he effectiveness and potential of their interaction per se, but also by enhancing the efficacy of surface modification and functionalization. Nanocellulose surface modification was implemented almost contemporary to its discovery and characterization, and its objectives ranged between improving yield of nanocellulose production, lower its production costs, and to provide nanocellulose a completely distinct surface properties by changing its polarity, generating different functional groups, decorating it with adsorbed or tightly bound nanoparticles, and to provide additional chemical compatibility with distinct compounds to generate advanced nanocomposites. The plethora of successfully reported modifications and functionalizations underscore notable properties of both modified nanocellulose and its composites. This Chapter intends to highlight these remarkable features, hopefully widening the scope of novel applications of these impressive bio-based polymers.

## CONTENTS

- 4.1 Introduction
- 4.2 Nanocellulose surface and nanocellulose particularities
- 4.3 Nanocellulose surface modification and nanocellulose composites
- 4.5 Conclusion

### References

#### 4.1 Introduction

Cellulose was firstly described in 1838 by the French chemist Anselme Payen (Klemm et al. 2005). Cellulose is indubitably the most abundant biopolysaccharide on planet Earth, and is exclusively composed of D-glucose units (elemental chemical composition:  $C_6H_{10}O_5$ ) covalently bounded by acetal bounds formed between the C1 atom carbon and the equatorial OH group of C4 (commonly named as  $\beta$ -1,4 glycosidic links) which define cellobiose (elemental chemical composition: C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>11</sub>) (Figure 4.1.)(Saxena and Brown JR 2005). This homopolymer is only considered cellulose once the glucan chains reach at least 30 kDa, in other words, when it is composed of roughly 90 repeating units of cellobiose. Glucan chain aggregation forms an insoluble polymer due to its polymerization degree superior to 6. Cellulose encompasses amorphous domains and highly ordered regions. The ratio between amorphous and crystal domains has considerable variations, particularly between organisms, species, synthesis conditions and circumstantial damage events. However, the exact factors that determine the occurrence and abundance of the cellulose amorphous regions is still widely unknown. More importantly, the assembly process of the cellulose protofibrils into cellulose itself is yet to be unraveled (Brown Jr 2004). Cellulose displays three crystallization forms, or allomorphs: cellulose I, cellulose II and cellulose III. Cellulose I is the most common allomorph present in nature, comprising a metastable parallel cellulose polysaccharide chains, and encompasses two sub-allomorphs:  $I_{\alpha}$  and  $I_{\beta}$ . The  $I_{\alpha}$  structure is compose by single chain triclinic cell unit. On the other hand, I<sub>β</sub> comprises a two-chain monoclinic cell unit, being synthesized in its pure form only by tunicates. I<sub>b</sub> has more thermodynamic stability than  $I_{\alpha}$  (Klemm et al. 2005; Saxena and Brown JR 2005). The ratio between I<sub>a</sub> and I<sub>b</sub> in cellulose depends on the organism species, namely  $I_{\alpha}$  is dominant in algae and BNC, whereas  $I_{\beta}$  is the principal sub-allomorph in higher

plants. Cellulose II is constituted by anti-parallel polysaccharide chains, being even more stable due to the existence of one extra hydrogen bound per glucose residue (Brown Jr 2004). Cellulose II synthesis in nature is uncommon, generally only being synthesized by some algae and bacteria (Saxena and Brown JR 2005). Cellulose III allomorph, similarly to cellulose I, displays parallel chains. The addition liquid ammonia to cellulose I and cellulose II will generate cellulose III<sub>I</sub> and III<sub>II</sub>, respectively (Wada et al. 2004). Despite the differences of hydrogen bounds, crystal structure or chain orientation, all allomorphs of cellulose comprise a rich surface of hydroxyl functional groups.

Anthropogenic applications containing cellulose dates the most recondite of prehistorical times, nevertheless, during the last five decades, cellulose has been subjected to an interesting novel approach: nano size cellulose, or nanocellulose. Nano size materials (ranging from 1 to 100 nm in one dimension) encompass an undeniable set of advantages and enhanced properties, since their shape and volume depicts a superior importance in their properties than just their absolute size (Paul and Robeson 2008). Nanocellulose is commonly divided into five types: bacterial nanocellulose (BNC), cellulose nanocrystals (CNC), cellulose nanofibrils (CNF), electrospuned nanocellulose (ESNC) and wet-spun nanocellulose (WSNC). In all cases, their nano size considerably enhances the surface area, thus the availability of its surface hydroxyl groups is far superior. Despite its remarkable properties and wide range of applications, nanocellulose still possesses several limitations, which can be overcome by the selective combination with other materials. A composite is generated by the combination of different materials to achieve an end product with enhanced properties. Composites are not novel, being the first known composites the papyrus paper (4000 B.C.) and mud bricks reinforced using straw (1300 B.C.). Interestingly, both composites contain cellulose in their formulation (Herakovich 2012). The first reports of

nanocellulose used as a reinforcing material of a polymeric matrix, depict the substantial improvement of the nanocomposite mechanical properties (up to two-fold). To achieve similar results with regular macroscopic size cellulose as a reinforcing agent, considerably higher quantities of cellulose had to be used, which would compromise the overall performance and viability of the composite (Favier et al. 1995). For a more straight forward comparison, Table 4.1 denotes relevant properties of BNC, CNC, CNF, fibrous cellulose (cotton and wood) and para-aramid, better known through its commercial designation: Kevlar. It is clearly observable that the properties of the different types of nanocellulose are much closer to the aramid than to macroscopic cellulose. These notable features sparked a race for nanocomposite development encompassing nanocellulose form a plethora of different origins that encompass: plant, tunicates, algae, fungi and bacteria. Each source generates nanocellulose with distinct properties and provides different levels of surface modification and functionalization (Shak, Pang, and Mah 2018).

#### 4.2 Nanocellulose surface and nanocellulose particularities

Cellulose and nanocellulose surface is ubiquitously densely populated with hydroxyl groups, independently of its origin or allomorph type, as depicted in cellulose monomer cellobiose (Figure 4.1). Nevertheless, in nanocellulose the availability of the hydroxyl groups is extensively enhanced by the dramatic increase of the surface area in comparison to macroscopic cellulose. The availability of hydroxyl groups associated to carbon 1, 2 and 6 of each glucose monomer of cellobiose (named as O(2)H, O(3)H and (O(6)H), greatly depends on the extent of hydrogen bonding within the nanocellulose structure (Rowland and Howley 1988). Therefore, cellulose allomorph and sub-allomorph types and abundance represent an important factor to consider not

only in terms of hydroxyl group availability (were cellulose II has less hydroxyls available), but also for modification reactions complexity (cellulose  $I_{\alpha}$  is the most easily modifiable) (Ling et al. 2017). Cellulose  $I_{\alpha}$  possess a dislocation of cellulose sheets within the (110) lattice plane, thus in cellulose  $I_{\alpha}$  displaces +c/4 crystallographic point in each succeeding hydrogen bounded cellulose sheet. Whereas  $I_{\beta}$  sub-allomorph exhibits a displacement in the (200) lattice plane with a hydrogen bounding sheets formed in alternating + c/4 and - c/4 crystallographic points (Poletto, Pistor, and Zattera 2013). Therefore, a brief description of BNC, CNC and CNF is pivotal to discern the ideal nanocellulose to be used. BNC can be considered ideal for modifications, since it does not require a delignification pretreatment and is mainly composed of sub-allomorph cellulose  $I_{\alpha}$ , ranging from 70 to 60 % whether is synthesized in static or agitated culture conditions, respectively. BNC complete detailed metabolomics is not yet fully unraveled due to its plausible complexity, nevertheless several biosynthetic mechanisms were already soundly described (Valla et al. 2009; Jacek et al. 2019). Briefly, BNC producing bacteria use intracellular enzymatic machinery to produce the cellulose precursors uridine diphosphateglucose, which are subsequently assembled into cellulose in terminal enzymatic, complexes usually located at outer envelope of the bacteria. Each BNC nanofiber produced presents different thickness depending not only on the bacteria species but also on the culture environmental conditions which include: temperature, culture medium formulation, dissolved oxygen concentration, light, and if the culture is performed in static or shaking conditions (Jacek et al. 2019). Therefore, the width of BNC may vary between 10 to 100 nm and achieve a length of 50 nm to 100  $\mu$ m (Nagashima, Tsuji, and Kondo 2016). The buildup of multiple fibrils eventually forms a macroscopic three dimensional nanomesh containing pores ranging between 20 to 300 nm. BNC is often regarded as a hydrogel due to its high water holding capacity (99%) (Torres, Commeaux, and Troncoso

2012). To remove bacterial cells, medium culture components and other debris, BNC usually undergoes a mercerization treatment with sodium hydroxide which reorganizes the packing of some BNC fibrils into allomorph cellulose type II, and reduces the endotoxin level to approximately 1 EU L<sup>-1</sup>. This value is comfortably below the threshold level defined by Food and Drug Administration for materials that will contact with cerebrospinal fluid (60 EU  $L^{-1}$ ) (Gonçalves et al. 2015). Therefore, BNC possess staggering differences from plant cellulose in terms of purity, crystallinity and obviously size. BNC is comprised of 100 % cellulose, without a single molecule of hemicellulose, lignin or pectin in its formulation, and its crystallinity ranges between 75 to 90 %. Due to its high crystallinity and nanometric architecture BNC displays remarkable mechanical properties. All these features quickly caught the attention of numerous Research Centers and manufacturers and several applications were developed in a wide range of different areas (Table 4.2.). The field of knowledge which encompasses the most interesting solutions is the medical field, since BNC allows an effective gas and nutrient diffusion, has no sensitization reports, and notable biocompatibility. In fact, BNC structure semblances that of collagen, which may be a strong adjuvant for its biocompatibility (Torres, Commeaux, and Troncoso 2012). Nevertheless, BNC has also been recently used as a wastewater treatment filtration membrane, exhibiting the complete removal of soybean oil from a solution when BNC was incorporated in a polyvinyl chloride filtration system (Galdino et al. 2020). On the other hand, CNC can be roughly described as the collection and purification of crystalline cellulose sections as nanocellulose needles, or whiskers (due to similar dimensional size ratio) encompassing a width of 3 nm to 25 nm and a length between 70 nm to 150 nm (George and Sabapathi 2015). CNC appear as rigid needles, usually with very little agglomeration due to its synthesis process. CNC are obtained through the chemical hydrolysis of pure or delignified

cellulose and classical CNC production uses strong acids (particularly, sulfuric acid or hydrochloric acid) to readily hydrolyze the amorphous sections of cellulose and leave solely the crystalline regions intact due to their higher resistance to acid digestion (Habibi, Lucia, and Rojas 2010). Sulfuric acid digestion for periods superior to 30 minutes impedes the clustering of CNC by providing a negative surface charge to the CNC, otherwise the abundant presence of available hydroxyl groups, due its high surface area, would lead to their prompt aggregation (Dufresne 2013). Nevertheless, the use of strong acids inevitably results in health safety and wastewater treatment issues, particularly at industrial scale. Therefore, additional CNC production processes are now well established, namely the use of enzymes, 2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) oxidation, ionic liquids and subcritical water (Novo et al. 2016). The reported CNC properties include a Young's modulus of approximately 130 GPa and a low degree of polymerization due to their short size. CNC is known by its high crystallinity index, however Tan and co-workers reported an impressive 96 % crystallinity index when digested microcrystalline cellulose with an ionic liquid (3-methylimidazolium hydrogen sulfate). In addition, CNC solutions display liquid crystal properties, which when assembled in a paper through vacuum filtration exhibited a transmittance of visible light up to 90 % (George and Sabapathi 2015). Finally, to date, all studies focused on assessing the cytotoxicity of CNC shown negligible values and no genotoxicity (Ventura et al. 2020). In opposition to CNC that are synthesized through a chemical treatment, CNF are obtained through using mechanical process (with or without the combination of a chemical or enzymatic process). After cellulose delignification and bleaching there is vast plethora of process to produce CNF, which include: supermasscolloider, ball milling, blending, cryocrushing, extrusion, grinding, homogenization, microfluidization, steam explosion and ultrasonication (Nagano et al. 2020). CNF produced

commonly exhibit width between 20 to 60 nm and a length of 500 to over than 1 µm. The larger length of CNF in comparison to CNC, makes CNF to appear as an entangled mesh of nanofibres. All the referred CNF producing methods consume relevant quantities of energy (more than 200 W per each gram of CNF), and some require the expenditure of considerable quantities of additional products, namely liquid nitrogen for cryocrushing (Ventura et al. 2020). An additional major limitation of CNF production is the solid content yield which leads to important limitations by reducing the feasibility of some processes, in addition to requiring larger storage areas and implying greater transportation costs. Only the twin-screw extruder is capable of producing CNF with a high of solid content (20%), whereas the remaining processes display a problematic low CNF solid content of less than 5 %. (Rol et al. 2019; Trigui et al. 2020). Several strategies have been applied to reduce the energy requirements, that can be resumed as distinct pretreatments with a common goal, that is to improve the availability of the crystalline groups. These pretreatments include: alkaline, enzymatic and chemical pretreatments. Each has particular limitation that must be pondered. Alkaline pretreatments usually generate hazardous wastewaters, enzymatic treatments require long periods of time and the most successful chemical pretreatment is mediated by TEMPO, which implies considerable purchase costs. However, CNF exhibits higher plasticity than CNC, it also has a higher Young's modulus of approximately 140 GPa, a tensile strength of nearly 1700 MPa, exhibits enhanced rheological performance and good optical properties (Kandhola et al. 2020). Interestingly, CNF display a highly viscous behavior, even with low solid content (between 1 and 2%), making it a very effective edible viscosifying agent (without calories) for the food industry (Ventura et al. 2020; Heggset et al. 2020). Nevertheless, despite these impressive values per se, when used as reinforcing material in nanocomposites its performance may drop to less than 0.05 % and 4 % of its Young's modulus

and tensile strength, respectively (Takagi et al. 2016). Lack of nanofiber orientation may be a major parameter influencing these key mechanical properties. This is an important issue, that has been recently approached during de synthesis of ESNC (Kalantari et al. 2020). ESNC and WSNC and their composites are not covered within this chapter. Despite their promising properties, the relevant CNC and CNF production costs currently drive their manufacture solely to high end applications (Teixeira et al. 2020). In addition, the optimization of their properties is still in its infancy. As an example the Young's modulus of WSNC is only approximately 25 GPa (Kim et al. 2019). Nevertheless, the authors would like to recommend a recent review on the subject (Niinivaara and Cranston 2020).

#### 4.2 Nanocellulose surface modification and nanocellulose composites

Independently of each type of nanocellulose, the ubiquitous chemical structure of their surface compiles a series of transversal surface modifications. These modifications may be directed to the hydroxyl groups or to break the β-D-anhydroglucopyranose (AUG) rings. Reported nanocellulose modifications include: chemical oxidation (Jorge Padrão et al. 2020), plasma treatment (Bhanthumnavin et al. 2016), acetylation (Gonçalves et al. 2016), carboxymethylation (Gonçalves et al. 2015), phosphorylation (Basta and El-Saied 2009), cationisation, ozonation, and sulfoethylation (Eyley and Thielemans 2014; Rol et al. 2019) (Figure 4.2). Acid hydrolysis may also be ascribed as nanocellulose modification, due to the generation of several impurities (namely: xylobiose, 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenol, 1,6-anhydroglucose and vanillic acid) during sulfuric acid treatment for CNC production, leading to the presence of sulfate esters which considerably hinder the reproducibility of surface modifications (Eyley and Thielemans 2014). Chemical oxidation is usually undertaken to obtain aldehyde and carboxylic groups on cellulose surface. Periodate oxidation opens the AUG ring forming an aldehyde in C-2 and C-3. These vicinal aldehydes are prompt to generate, among others, carbinolamines through nucleophilic attack of  $\varepsilon$ -NH<sub>2</sub> present in lysine of proteins. Therefore, this oxidation strategy represents a straightforward production of nanocellulose functionalized with proteins (Jorge Padrão et al. 2020). After periodate oxidation, chlorite oxidation may be used to further oxidize the aldehydes formed, enhancing their stability. The introduction of sulphite groups into nanocellulose surface is possible through the reaction of aldehydes produced during periodate oxidation and metabisulfite or taurine, through sulfonation (Sirviö et al. 2014). Phosphorylation of nanocellulose surface was also successfully reported using diammonium phosphate. Sulfonation and phosphorylation, are two examples of esterification of nanocellulose and represent strategies to negatively charge the surface of nanocellulose (Rol et al. 2019; Eyley and Thielemans 2014). In particular, phosphorylation is applied to provide fire retardant properties (Basta and El-Saied 2009). In opposition, cationization provides a positive charge to nanocellulose surface, conferring it, among others, antimicrobial properties. However, cationization still requires highly toxic reactants and its process is are highly complex, thus several optimizations are still warranted. Ozonation may represent a less toxic procedure to generate aldehydes into nanocellulose and is easily scalable. Plasma treatment also generates ozone which, at least partially, is the responsible for nanoellulose oxidation. Ozone directly oxidizes nanocellulose surface or through the action of its radicals, and possesses two major limitations, it is difficult to precisely control its oxidation degree and usually results in an unsuitable loss of the degree of polymerization. TEMPO mediated oxidation introduces a carboxylic group at C6 conferring a negative charge to the nanocellulose surface, represents one of the most common nanocellulose treatment. This modification has been target of several optimizations to prevent the initial

considerable loss of polymerization degree and to use cheaper and more environmental friendly compounds. Nevertheless, the attempts to scale up this oxidation to industrial scale is still unforeseeable. On the other hand, carboxymethylation was firstly applied in the beginning of the twentieth century, although it requires several complex processes and multiple hazardous chemicals, it is a reliable method to introduce a carboxyl group into the nanocellulose surface, and it was successfully implemented at pilot scale (Rol et al. 2019).

All the referred modifications generate anions or cations throughout the nanocellulose surface promoting inter-plane repulsive forces, loosening nanocellulose hydrogen bonds and Van der Waals interactions, improving the nanocellulose swelling and further enhancing nanocellulose surface area. However, these charge generated forces are subjugated to ambient variations, namely to pH and medium ionic strength. This may limit the application or further treatments of the modified nanocellulose. Sulfoethylation of nanocellulose depicted an enhanced buffering capability to this important factors due to the low pKa values of the sulfonate group. Moreover, sulfoethylation exhibits a good scale up potential (Rol et al. 2019; Eyley and Thielemans 2014). The referred nanocellulose surface modifications represent the doorway to a plethora of further modifications able to provide an impressive number of novel capabilities to nanocellulose. Surface modified nanocellulose may be functionalized through the adsorption of active molecules, polymer grafting and molecules grafting, and novel nanocomposite development. BNC, CNC and CNF display several composite applications as observable in Table 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 respectively.

BNC composites cover a wide range of applications, nevertheless, its production costs until the beginning of the century promoted a focused development of biomedical applications, where the productions costs could be easily covered by the application justifiable higher prices.

Nevertheless, recently BNC production costs have been considerably lowered by successfully using low cost formulations comprising ethanol, corn steep liquor, molasses and ammonium sulfate and still maintain a high yield (approximately 6.5 g L<sup>-1</sup>), and by using supplemented banana peel medium (Rodrigues et al. 2019; Sijabat et al. 2019, 2020). In addition, the wastewater generated during the production of BNC, the remaining culture medium after fermentation and the washing wastewaters, depicted an interesting biogas production potential, which can further mitigate production costs (da Silva et al. 2020). The BNC lower production costs provided sustainable development of textile and filtration applications, which possess less strict requirements than biomedical devices. BNC exhibited "waterproof" without hampering breathability when saturated with a common fabric hydrophobizer, and was successful used as a matrix of a nanocomposite able to mimic leather (Fernandes, Gama, et al. 2019; Fernandes, Souto, et al. 2019). To the authors knowledge BNC use as a filtration device started roughly at 20 years ago (Tiongson et al. 2002; Espiritu, Navarro, and Del Rosario 2004). Actelayated pulverized BNC was used as membrane o remove copper ions through polyelectrolyte-enhanced ultrafiltration, and BNC-graphene nanocomposite membrane was able to selectively permeate inorganic ions and (Fang et al. 2016; Espiritu, Navarro, and Del Rosario 2004) Finally, BNC has recently been used to synthesize Voronoi-nanonets when welded to electrospuned nanonets of polyacrylonitrile, displaying a rejection efficiency of nearly of 100 % titanium oxide microparticles of 0.3 µm (Tang et al. 2019). These ultrathin membranes possessed high porosity, exhibited promising antifouling properties, and reusability, all highly relevant features for effective and sustainable water filtration process. As for CNC, it is quite clear that CNC are commonly used as a reinforcing material of construction, packaging and wearable devices, displaying a clear shift from the biomedical applications to a common and wide spread

commodities application. Nevertheless, the main limitation of CNC is its brittleness, that still remains to be surpassed. CNF clearly displays an important role in food industry as a viscosifying agent or a food package reinforcement, nevertheless additional emerging applications are focused on low cost effective bioremediation.

#### 4.6 Conclusion

Cellulose is ubiquitous and a key material of the circular economy, in a World that urgently struggles to reduce its dependence on petrochemical based commodities. Nanocellulose, independently from its origin, modification or production process will certainly play an important role in this transition. The existing main nanocellulose limitations, which can be summarized by: CNC - low yield and chemical hazardous production, CNF – high production costs and BNC – inadequate production time for its industrial demand, must be progressively mitigated. Despite CNC and CNF possess an additional advantage over BNC, which corresponds to the already established industrial infrastructures completely adapted to process their main sources, nevertheless the biotechnological potential of BNC should not be underestimated.

The estimates for the market size previous to the COVID-19 Pandemic clearly depicted the overwhelming expansion of nanocellulose: estimated to increase its production over than more than 35 million metric tons per year and reach a value of more than half a billion euros by 2023. Naturally, this value requires a revision on its estimate, however, it may not represent a downturn. General population Environmental awareness is constantly increasing, and the use of sustainable products not only for everyday commodities but also for bioremediation and pollution control, are evermore commendable. These facts allied to current overwhelming need for highly efficient filtration materials for protective personal equipment, air purification units

and wastewater treatment without further compromising the Environment, can be a strong drive to further widespread the massive application of nanocellulose per se and in composite formulation. Denoting the particularly development of nanocomposites with Voronoi-nanonets design using BNC, which exhibit a high water permeability flux and can be designed to achieve an impressive small particle rejection.

## References

Barnhardt. 2020. "Properties of Cotton." Accessed June 30.

https://www.barnhardtcotton.net/technology/cotton-properties/.

- Basta, A.H., and H. El-Saied. 2009. "Performance of Improved Bacterial Cellulose Application in the Production of Functional Paper." *J Appl Microbiol.* 107 (6): 2098–2107. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04467.x.
- Bhanthumnavin, W, P Wanichapichart, W Taweepreeda, S Sirijarukula, and B
  Paosawatyanyong. 2016. "Surface Modification of Bacterial Cellulose Membrane by
  Oxygen Plasma Treatment." *Surface and Coatings Technology* 306: 272–78.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2016.06.035.
- Bisla, Vivek, Gaurav Rattan, Sonal Singhal, and Anupama Kaushik. 2020. "Green and Novel Adsorbent from Rice Straw Extracted Cellulose for Efficient Adsorption of Hg (II) Ions in an Aqueous Medium." *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 161: 194–203. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.06.035.
- Brown Jr, R Malcolm. 2004. "Cellulose Structure and Biosynthesis: What Is in Store for the 21st Century?" *Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry* 42 (3): 487–95.

doi:10.1002/pola.10877.

- Correa, Ana Carolina, Luiz A. Pessan, Eliangela M. Teixeira, Jose M. Marconcini, and Luiz H.C. Mattoso. 2020. "Cellulose Nanofibers from Curaua Fibers." Accessed July 1. https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig q=RN:41123587.
- Dufresne, Alain. 2013. "Nanocellulose: A New Ageless Bionanomaterial." *Materials Today* 16 (6): 220–27. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2013.06.004.
- Eardley, William G P, Sarah A Watts, and Jon C Clasper. 2012. "Extremity Trauma, Dressings, and Wound Infection: Should Every Acute Limb Wound Have a Silver Lining?" *The International Journal of Lower Extremity Wounds* 11 (3). SAGE Publications: 201–12. doi:10.1177/1534734612457028.
- Erbas Kiziltas, Esra, Alper Kiziltas, Kevin Rhodes, Nuri W Emanetoglu, Melanie Blumentritt, and Douglas J Gardner. 2016. "Electrically Conductive Nano Graphite-Filled Bacterial Cellulose Composites." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 136: 1144–51. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.10.004.
- Espiritu, R. Dv, R. R. Navarro, and E. J. Del Rosario. 2004. "Development of Membranes from Bacterial Cellulose (Nata de Coco) for the Separation of Copper (II) Ions Using Polyelectrolyte-Enhanced Ultrafiltration." *Philippine Agricultural Scientist* 87 (1): 87–95.
- Evans, Barbara R, Hugh M O'Neill, Valerie P Malyvanh, Ida Lee, and Jonathan Woodward.
  2003. "Palladium-Bacterial Cellulose Membranes for Fuel Cells." *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 18 (7): 917–23. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-5663(02)00212-9.
- Eyley, Samuel, and Wim Thielemans. 2014. "Surface Modification of Cellulose Nanocrystals."
   *Nanoscale* 6 (14). The Royal Society of Chemistry: 7764–79. doi:10.1039/C4NR01756K.
   Fang, Qile, Xufeng Zhou, Wei Deng, Zhi Zheng, and Zhaoping Liu. 2016. "Freestanding

Bacterial Cellulose-Graphene Oxide Composite Membranes with High Mechanical Strength for Selective Ion Permeation." *Scientific Reports* 6 (1): 33185. doi:10.1038/srep33185.

- Favier, V, G R Canova, J Y Cavaillé, H Chanzy, A Dufresne, and C Gauthier. 1995.
  "Nanocomposite Materials from Latex and Cellulose Whiskers." *Polymers for Advanced Technologies* 6 (5). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 351–55. doi:10.1002/pat.1995.220060514.
- Fernandes, Marta, Miguel Gama, Fernando Dourado, and António Pedro Souto. 2019.
  "Development of Novel Bacterial Cellulose Composites for the Textile and Shoe Industry." *Microbial Biotechnology* 12 (4): 650–61. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.13387.
- Fernandes, Marta, António Pedro Souto, Miguel Gama, and Fernando Dourado. 2019. "Bacterial Cellulose and Emulsified AESO Biocomposites as an Ecological Alternative to Leather." *Nanomaterials* 9 (12). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute: 1710. doi:10.3390/nano9121710.
- Galdino, Claudio José S, Alexandre D Maia, Hugo M Meira, Thais C Souza, Julia D P Amorim,
  Fabiola C G Almeida, Andréa F S Costa, and Leonie A Sarubbo. 2020. "Use of a Bacterial
  Cellulose Filter for the Removal of Oil from Wastewater." *Process Biochemistry* 91: 288–
  96. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2019.12.020.
- George, Johnsy, and S N Sabapathi. 2015. "Cellulose Nanocrystals: Synthesis, Functional Properties, and Applications." *Nanotechnology, Science and Applications* 8 (November). Dove Medical Press: 45–54. doi:10.2147/NSA.S64386.
- Gholami Derami, Hamed, Prashant Gupta, Rohit Gupta, Priya Rathi, Jeremiah J Morrissey, and
  Srikanth Singamaneni. 2020. "Palladium Nanoparticle-Decorated Mesoporous
  Polydopamine/Bacterial Nanocellulose as a Catalytically Active Universal Dye Removal
  Ultrafiltration Membrane." ACS Applied Nano Materials 3 (6). American Chemical Society:

5437–48. doi:10.1021/acsanm.0c00787.

- Gonçalves, S., J. Padrão, I.P. Rodrigues, J.P. Silva, V. Sencadas, S. Lanceros-Mendez, H. Girão,
  F. Dourado, and L.R. Rodrigues. 2015. "Bacterial Cellulose as a Support for the Growth of
  Retinal Pigment Epithelium." *Biomacromolecules* 16 (4). doi:10.1021/acs.biomac.5b00129.
- Gonçalves, S., I.P. Rodrigues, J. Padrão, J.P. Silva, V. Sencadas, S. Lanceros-Mendez, H. Girão,
  F.M. Gama, F. Dourado, and L.R. Rodrigues. 2016. "Acetylated Bacterial Cellulose Coated
  with Urinary Bladder Matrix as a Substrate for Retinal Pigment Epithelium." *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* 139. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2015.11.051.
- Habibi, Youssef, Lucian A Lucia, and Orlando J Rojas. 2010. "Cellulose Nanocrystals:
  Chemistry, Self-Assembly, and Applications." *Chemical Reviews* 110 (6). American Chemical Society: 3479–3500. doi:10.1021/cr900339w.
- Heggset, Ellinor B, Ragnhild Aaen, Trinelise Veslum, Marielle Henriksson, Sébastien Simon, and Kristin Syverud. 2020. "Cellulose Nanofibrils as Rheology Modifier in Mayonnaise – A Pilot Scale Demonstration." *Food Hydrocolloids* 108: 106084. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.106084.
- Herakovich, Carl T. 2012. "Mechanics of Composites: A Historical Review." Mechanics Research Communications 41: 1–20. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechrescom.2012.01.006.
- Hsieh, Y.-C., H Yano, M Nogi, and S J Eichhorn. 2008. "An Estimation of the Young's Modulus of Bacterial Cellulose Filaments." *Cellulose* 15 (4): 507–13. doi:10.1007/s10570-008-9206-8.
- Jacek, Paulina, Fernando Dourado, Miguel Gama, and Stanisław Bielecki. 2019. "Molecular Aspects of Bacterial Nanocellulose Biosynthesis." *Microbial Biotechnology* 12 (4). John

Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 633–49. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.13386.

- Jia, Yuanyuan, Chunrui Hu, Peidong Shi, Qianqian Xu, Wenjing Zhu, and Rui Liu. 2020.
  "Effects of Cellulose Nanofibrils/Graphene Oxide Hybrid Nanofiller in PVA Nanocomposites." *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 161: 223–30. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.06.013.
- Jiang, Qimeng, Bichong Luo, Zhengguo Wu, and Xiaoying Wang. 2020. "Antibacterial Composite Paper with Corn Stalk-Based Carbon Spheres Immobilized AgNPs." *Materials Science and Engineering: C* 113: 111012. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2020.111012.
- Jiji, Swaminathan, Sukumar Thenmozhi, and Krishna Kadirvelu. 2018. "Comparison on Properties and Efficiency of Bacterial and Electrospun Cellulose Nanofibers." *Fibers and Polymers* 19 (12): 2498–2506. doi:10.1007/s12221-018-8527-y.
- Kalantari, Mahsa, Mark McDermott, Cagri Ayranci, and Yaman Boluk. 2020. "Fabrication of Oriented Electrospun Cellulose Nanocrystals–Polystyrene Composite Fibers on a Rotating Drum." *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 137 (32). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 48942. doi:10.1002/app.48942.
- Kandhola, Gurshagan, Angele Djioleu, Kalavathy Rajan, Nicole Labbé, Joshua Sakon, Danielle Julie Carrier, and Jin-Woo Kim. 2020. "Maximizing Production of Cellulose Nanocrystals and Nanofibers from Pre-Extracted Loblolly Pine Kraft Pulp: A Response Surface Approach." *Bioresources and Bioprocessing* 7 (1): 19. doi:10.1186/s40643-020-00302-0.
- Kim, Hyun Chan, Debora Kim, Ji Yun Lee, Lindong Zhai, and Jaehwan Kim. 2019. "Effect of Wet Spinning and Stretching to Enhance Mechanical Properties of Cellulose Nanofiber Filament." *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing-Green Technology* 6 (3): 567–75. doi:10.1007/s40684-019-00070-z.

- Klemm, Dieter, Brigitte Heublein, Hans-Peter Fink, and Andreas Bohn. 2005. "Cellulose: Fascinating Biopolymer and Sustainable Raw Material." *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 44 (22): 3358–93. doi:10.1002/anie.200460587.
- Kompella, M K, and J Lambros. 2002. "Micromechanical Characterization of Cellulose Fibers." *Polymer Testing* 21 (5): 523–30. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9418(01)00119-2.
- Kong, Haijuan, Qian Xu, and Muhuo Yu. 2019. "Microstructural Changes of Aramid Fiber Due to Reaction with Toluene 2,4-Diisocyanate under Tension in ScCO(2)." *Polymers* 11 (7). MDPI: 1110. doi:10.3390/polym11071110.
- Kopeliovich, Dmitri. 2020. "Kevlar (Aramid) Fiber Reinforced Polymers." Accessed June 30. https://www.substech.com/dokuwiki/doku.php?id=kevlar\_aramid\_fiber\_reinforced\_polyme rs.
- Li, Shaohui, Dekang Huang, Bingyan Zhang, Xiaobao Xu, Mingkui Wang, Guang Yang, and Yan Shen. 2014. "Flexible Supercapacitors Based on Bacterial Cellulose Paper Electrodes." *Advanced Energy Materials* 4 (10). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 1301655. doi:10.1002/aenm.201301655.
- Li, Xia, Jun Li, Jie Gong, Yishan Kuang, Lihuan Mo, and Tao Song. 2018. "Cellulose Nanocrystals (CNCs) with Different Crystalline Allomorph for Oil in Water Pickering Emulsions." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 183: 303–10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.12.085.
- Li, Xin, Pengfei Lv, Yixin Yao, Quan Feng, Alfred Mensah, Dawei Li, and Qufu Wei. 2020. "A Novel Single-Enzymatic Biofuel Cell Based on Highly Flexible Conductive Bacterial Cellulose Electrode Utilizing Pollutants as Fuel." *Chemical Engineering Journal* 379: 122316. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122316.

- Ling, Zhe, Sheng Chen, Xueming Zhang, Keiji Takabe, and Feng Xu. 2017. "Unraveling Variations of Crystalline Cellulose Induced by Ionic Liquid and Their Effects on Enzymatic Hydrolysis." *Scientific Reports* 7 (1): 10230. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-09885-9.
- Nagano, Takao, Yuya Arai, Hiromi Yano, Takafumi Aoki, Shin Kurihara, Rika Hirano, and Katsuyoshi Nishinari. 2020. "Improved Physicochemical and Functional Properties of Okara, a Soybean Residue, by Nanocellulose Technologies for Food Development – A Review." *Food Hydrocolloids* 109: 105964.

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105964.

- Nagashima, Aya, Tsubasa Tsuji, and Tetsuo Kondo. 2016. "A Uniaxially Oriented Nanofibrous Cellulose Scaffold from Pellicles Produced by Gluconacetobacter Xylinus in Dissolved Oxygen Culture." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 135: 215–24. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.08.077.
- Niinivaara, Elina, and Emily D Cranston. 2020. "Bottom-up Assembly of Nanocellulose Structures." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 247: 116664. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.116664.
- Novo, Lísias Pereira, Julien Bras, Araceli García, Naceur Belgacem, and Antonio Aprigio da Silva Curvelo. 2016. "A Study of the Production of Cellulose Nanocrystals through Subcritical Water Hydrolysis." *Industrial Crops and Products* 93: 88–95. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.01.012.
- Olad, Ali, Fatemeh Doustdar, and Hamed Gharekhani. 2020. "Fabrication and Characterization of a Starch-Based Superabsorbent Hydrogel Composite Reinforced with Cellulose Nanocrystals from Potato Peel Waste." *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* 601: 124962. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2020.124962.

- Padrão, J., S. Gonçalves, J.P. Silva, V. Sencadas, S. Lanceros-Méndez, A.C. Pinheiro, A.A.
  Vicente, L.R. Rodrigues, and F. Dourado. 2016. "Bacterial Cellulose-Lactoferrin as an
  Antimicrobial Edible Packaging." *Food Hydrocolloids* 58.
  doi:10.1016/j.foodhyd.2016.02.019.
- Padrão, Jorge, Sylvie Ribeiro, Senentxu Lanceros-Méndez, Lígia R Rodrigues, and Fernando Dourado. 2020. "Effect of Bacterial Nanocellulose Binding on the Bactericidal Activity of Bovine Lactoferrin." *Heliyon* 6 (7): e04372. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04372.
- Paul, D R, and L M Robeson. 2008. "Polymer Nanotechnology: Nanocomposites." *Polymer* 49 (15): 3187–3204. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2008.04.017.
- Poletto, Matheus, Vinícios Pistor, and Ademir J. Zattera. 2013. "Structural Characteristics and Thermal Properties of Native Cellulose." In *Cellulose - Fundamental Aspects*, edited by Theo van de Ven and Louis Godbout.
- Rodrigues, Ana Cristina, Ana Isabel Fontão, Aires Coelho, Marta Leal, Francisco A G Soares da Silva, Yizao Wan, Fernando Dourado, and Miguel Gama. 2019. "Response Surface
  Statistical Optimization of Bacterial Nanocellulose Fermentation in Static Culture Using a Low-Cost Medium." *New Biotechnology* 49: 19–27.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2018.12.002.
- Rol, Fleur, Mohamed Naceur Belgacem, Alessandro Gandini, and Julien Bras. 2019. "Recent Advances in Surface-Modified Cellulose Nanofibrils." *Progress in Polymer Science* 88: 241–64. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2018.09.002.
- Rowland, Stanley P., and Phyllis S. Howley. 1988. "Hydrogen Bonding on Accessible Surfaces of Cellulose from Various Sources and Relationship to Order within Crystalline Regions."

Journal of Polymer Science: Part A: Polymer Chemistry 26: 1769–78.

- Sampaio, L.M.P., J. Padrão, J. Faria, J.P. Silva, C.J. Silva, F. Dourado, and A. Zille. 2016.
  "Laccase Immobilization on Bacterial Nanocellulose Membranes: Antimicrobial, Kinetic and Stability Properties." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 145. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.03.009.
- Saxena, Inder M, and R Malcom Brown JR. 2005. "Cellulose Biosynthesis: Current Views and Evolving Concepts." *Annals of Botany* 96 (1): 9–21. doi:10.1093/aob/mci155.
- Schumann, Dieter A, Jens Wippermann, Dieter O Klemm, Friederike Kramer, Daniel Koth,
   Hartwig Kosmehl, Thorsten Wahlers, and Schariar Salehi-Gelani. 2009. "Artificial Vascular
   Implants from Bacterial Cellulose: Preliminary Results of Small Arterial Substitutes."
   *Cellulose* 16 (5): 877–85. doi:10.1007/s10570-008-9264-y.
- Shak, Katrina Pui Yee, Yean Ling Pang, and Shee Keat Mah. 2018. "Nanocellulose: Recent Advances and Its Prospects in Environmental Remediation." *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology* 9 (September). Beilstein-Institut: 2479–98. doi:10.3762/bjnano.9.232.
- Sijabat, Edwin K, Ahmad Nuruddin, Pingkan Aditiawati, and Bambang Sunendar Purwasasmita.
  2019. "Synthesis and Characterization of Bacterial Nanocellulose from Banana Peel for
  Water Filtration Membrane Application." *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1230. IOP
  Publishing: 12085. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1230/1/012085.
- Sijabat, Edwin K, Ahmad Nuruddin, Pingkan Aditiawati, and Bambang Sunendar Purwasasmita.
  2020. "Optimization on the Synthesis of Bacterial Nano Cellulose (BNC) from Banana Peel
  Waste for Water Filter Membrane Applications." *Materials Research Express* 7 (5). IOP
  Publishing: 55010. doi:10.1088/2053-1591/ab8df7.
- Silva, Francisco A.G.Soares da, João V. Oliveira, Catarina Felgueiras, Fernando Dourado, Miguel Gama, and M. Madalena Alves. 2020. "Study and Valorisation of Wastewaters

Generated in the Production of Bacterial Nanocellulose." *Biodegradation* 31 (1–2): 47–56. doi:10.1007/s10532-020-09893-z.

- Sirviö, Juho Antti, Aleksi Kolehmainen, Miikka Visanko, Henrikki Liimatainen, Jouko
  Niinimäki, and Osmo E O Hormi. 2014. "Strong, Self-Standing Oxygen Barrier Films from
  Nanocelluloses Modified with Regioselective Oxidative Treatments." *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* 6 (16). American Chemical Society: 14384–90.
  doi:10.1021/am503659j.
- Sonker, Amit Kumar, Naveen Tiwari, Rajaram Krishna Nagarale, and Vivek Verma. 2016.
   "Synergistic Effect of Cellulose Nanowhiskers Reinforcement and Dicarboxylic Acids Crosslinking towards Polyvinyl Alcohol Properties." *Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry* 54 (16). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 2515–25. doi:10.1002/pola.28129.
- Sweet, M S, and J E Winandy. 1999. "Influence of Degree of Polymerization of Cellulose and Hemicellulose on Strength Loss in Fire-Retardant-Treated Southern Pine." *Holzforschung* 53 (3). Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter: 311–17. doi:https://doi.org/10.1515/HF.1999.051.
- Tabuchi, Mari. 2007. "Nanobiotech versus Synthetic Nanotech?" *Nature Biotechnology* 25 (4): 389–90. doi:10.1038/nbt0407-389.
- Takagi, H., A. N. Nakagaito, K. Nishimura, and T. Matsui. 2016. "Mechanical Characterisation of Nanocellulose Composites after Structural Modification." In *High Performance and Optimum Design of Structures and Materials II*, 1:335–41. doi:10.2495/hpsm160311.
- Tan, Xiao Yun, Sharifah Bee Abd Hamid, and Chin Wei Lai. 2015. "Preparation of High Crystallinity Cellulose Nanocrystals (CNCs) by Ionic Liquid Solvolysis." *Biomass and Bioenergy* 81: 584–91. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2015.08.016.

Tang, Ning, Shichao Zhang, Yang Si, Jianyong Yu, and Bin Ding. 2019. "An Ultrathin Bacterial

Cellulose Membrane with a Voronoi-Net Structure for Low Pressure and High Flux Microfiltration." *Nanoscale* 11 (38). The Royal Society of Chemistry: 17851–59. doi:10.1039/C9NR06028F.

- Tazi, Neftaha, Ze Zhang, Younès Messaddeq, Luciana Almeida-Lopes, Lisinéia M Zanardi,
  Dennis Levinson, and Mahmoud Rouabhia. 2012. "Hydroxyapatite Bioactivated Bacterial
  Cellulose Promotes Osteoblast Growth and the Formation of Bone Nodules." *AMB Express*2 (1): 61. doi:10.1186/2191-0855-2-61.
- Teixeira, Marta A., Maria C. Paiva, M. Teresa P. Amorim, and Helena P. Felgueiras. 2020.
  "Electrospun Nanocomposites Containing Cellulose and Its Derivatives Modified with Specialized Biomolecules for an Enhanced Wound Healing." *Nanomaterials* 10 (3).
  Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute: 557. doi:10.3390/nano10030557.
- Timm, D C, A J Ayorinde, C H Lee, L F Steele, and N C Plass. 1984. "Kevlar 49 Composite Performance: Dependence on Thermoset Resin Microstructure." *Polymer Engineering & Science* 24 (11). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 930–35. doi:10.1002/pen.760241113.
- Tiongson, J.M., E.C. Bugante, E.C. Ugante, and E.J. Del Rosario. 2002. "Development of Ultrafiltration Membranesfrom Bacterial Cellulose (Nata de Coco) for Theseparation of Mango Volatile Organic Compounds." *The Philipp Agric Scientist* 85 (3): 256–65.
- Torres, G Fernando, Solene Commeaux, and P Omar Troncoso. 2012. "Biocompatibility of Bacterial Cellulose Based Biomaterials." *Journal of Functional Biomaterials*. doi:10.3390/jfb3040864.
- Trigui, Khadija, Clément De Loubens, Albert Magnin, Jean-Luc Putaux, and Sami Boufi. 2020."Cellulose Nanofibrils Prepared by Twin-Screw Extrusion: Effect of the Fiber Pretreatment on the Fibrillation Efficiency." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 240: 116342.

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.116342.

- Uryu, Chiba Masaru, and Noboru Kanagawa Kurihara. 1993. Acostic diaphragm and method for producing same. 5274199, issued 1993.
- Valla, S., Helga Ertesvåg, Naoto Tonouchi, and Espen Fjaervik. 2009. "Bacterial Cellulose Production: Biosynthesis and Applications." In *Microbial Production of Biopolymers and Polymer Precursors - Applications and Prespectives*, edited by Bernd Rehm, 43–77. Norfolk: Caister Academic Press.
- Ventura, Célia, Fátima Pinto, Ana Filipa Lourenço, Paulo J T Ferreira, Henriqueta Louro, and Maria João Silva. 2020. "On the Toxicity of Cellulose Nanocrystals and Nanofibrils in Animal and Cellular Models." *Cellulose* 27 (10): 5509–44. doi:10.1007/s10570-020-03176-9.
- Wada, Masahisa, Henri Chanzy, Yoshiharu Nishiyama, and Paul Langan. 2004. "Cellulose IIII Crystal Structure and Hydrogen Bonding by Synchrotron X-Ray and Neutron Fiber Diffraction." *Macromolecules* 37 (23). American Chemical Society: 8548–55. doi:10.1021/ma0485585.
- Wei, Bin, Guang Yang, and Feng Hong. 2011. "Preparation and Evaluation of a Kind of Bacterial Cellulose Dry Films with Antibacterial Properties." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 84 (1): 533–38. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.12.017.
- Wu, Meiyan, Prakit Sukyai, Dong Lv, Fang Zhang, Peidong Wang, Chao Liu, and Bin Li. 2020.
  "Water and Humidity-Induced Shape Memory Cellulose Nanopaper with Quick Response, Excellent Wet Strength and Folding Resistance." *Chemical Engineering Journal* 392: 123673. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123673.

Wu, Xinfeng, Yuan Gao, Hang Yao, Kai Sun, Runhua Fan, Xiaofeng Li, Yan An, Yanhua Lei,

and Yuliang Zhang. 2020. "Flexible and Transparent Polymer/Cellulose Nanocrystal Nanocomposites with High Thermal Conductivity for Thermal Management Application." *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 137 (28). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 48864. doi:10.1002/app.48864.

- Wu, Ying, Simiao Sun, Aobo Geng, Linjie Wang, Chi Song, Lijie Xu, Chong Jia, Jiangtao Shi, and Lu Gan. 2020. "Using TEMPO-Oxidized-Nanocellulose Stabilized Carbon Nanotubes to Make Pigskin Hydrogel Conductive as Flexible Sensor and Supercapacitor Electrode: Inspired from a Chinese Cuisine." *Composites Science and Technology* 196: 108226. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2020.108226.
- Xie, Bing, Xingzhong Zhang, Xiaogang Luo, Yixiang Wang, Yan Li, Bin Li, and Shilin Liu.
  2020. "Edible Coating Based on Beeswax-in-Water Pickering Emulsion Stabilized by
  Cellulose Nanofibrils and Carboxymethyl Chitosan." *Food Chemistry* 331: 127108.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127108.
- Xu, Junfei, Xiaolei Deng, Yunyuan Dong, Zhaozhong Zhou, Yuliang Zhang, Jianping Yu,
  Jianchen Cai, and Yuanxiang Zhang. 2020. "High-Strength, Transparent and
  Superhydrophobic Nanocellulose/Nanochitin Membranes Fabricated via Crosslinking of
  Nanofibers and Coating F-SiO2 Suspensions." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 247: 116694.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.116694.
- Yamanaka, S, K Watanabe, N Kitamura, M Iguchi, S Mitsuhashi, Y Nishi, and M Uryu. 1989.
  "The Structure and Mechanical Properties of Sheets Prepared from Bacterial Cellulose." *Journal of Materials Science* 24 (9): 3141–45. doi:10.1007/BF01139032.
- Yang, Jie, Chengxiao Ma, Junhong Tao, Junfeng Li, Keqing Du, Zhen Wei, Cuizhong Chen, Zhaoyang Wang, Chun Zhao, and MingGuo Ma. 2020. "Optimization of Polyvinylamine-

Modified Nanocellulose for Chlorpyrifos Adsorption by Central Composite Design." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 245: 116542. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.116542.

- Yang, Weijun, Xiaoyan He, Francesca Luzi, Weifu Dong, Ting Zheng, José M Kenny, Debora Puglia, and Piming Ma. 2020. "Thermomechanical, Antioxidant and Moisture Behaviour of PVA Films in Presence of Citric Acid Esterified Cellulose Nanocrystals." *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 161: 617–26. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.06.082.
- Yang, Yang, Handong Liu, Min Wu, Jinxia Ma, and Peng Lu. 2020. "Bio-Based Antimicrobial Packaging from Sugarcane Bagasse Nanocellulose/Nisin Hybrid Films." *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 161: 627–35. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.06.081.
- Yin, Dexian, Jianguo Mi, Hongfu Zhou, Xiangdong Wang, and Huafeng Tian. 2020.
  "Fabrication of Branching Poly (Butylene Succinate)/Cellulose Nanocrystal Foams with Exceptional Thermal Insulation." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 247: 116708. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.116708.
- Young, H.H. 1999. Kevlar Aramid Fiber. New Jersey: Wiley.

Zhang, Liang, Sen Zheng, Zhihui Hu, Lvling Zhong, Yao Wang, Xiaomin Zhang, and Juanqin Xue. 2020. "Preparation of Polyvinyl Alcohol/Bacterial-Cellulose-Coated Biochar–
Nanosilver Antibacterial Composite Membranes." *Applied Sciences* 10 (3).
Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute: 752. doi:10.3390/app10030752.

Zhang, Mingquan, Xiao Wu, Zhenhua Hu, Zhouyang Xiang, Tao Song, and Fachuang Lu. 2019."A Highly Efficient and Durable Fluorescent Paper Produced from Bacterial Cellulose/EuComplex and Cellulosic Fibers." *Nanomaterials (Basel, Switzerland)* 9 (9).

doi:10.3390/nano9091322.

- Zhang, Yapei, Qing Zhao, Huashan Wang, Xingyu Jiang, and Ruitao Cha. 2017. "Preparation of Green and Gelatin-Free Nanocrystalline Cellulose Capsules." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 164: 358–63. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.01.096.
- Zhao, Junchai, Shichao Li, Yujing Zhao, and Zheng Peng. 2020. "Effects of Cellulose Nanocrystal Polymorphs and Initial State of Hydrogels on Swelling and Drug Release Behavior of Alginate-Based Hydrogels." *Polymer Bulletin* 77 (8): 4401–16. doi:10.1007/s00289-019-02972-z.
- Zhao, Yadong, and Jiebing Li. 2020. "Unique and Outstanding Quantum Dots (QD)/Tunicate
  Cellulose Nanofibrils (TCNF) Nanohybrid Platform Material for Use as 1D Ink and 2D
  Film." *Carbohydrate Polymers* 242: 116396.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.116396.



**Figure 4.1.** Cellobiose molecular structural formula. Atom color scheme: carbon – green, oxygen – red and hydrogen – white. Image obtained using Pymol PyMOL<sup>™</sup> software (DeLano Scientific LLC 2006) to highlight cellobiose present in the crystallographic image of cellobiose phosphorylase from *Cellulomonas uda* (Protein Data Bank file: 3S4A).



Figure 4.2. Nanocellulose surface modifications.

	Young's	Density	Crystallinity	Degree of	Reference
	modulus	(g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	(%)	polymerization	
	(GPa)				
BNC	114	0.86	60 - 90	2000 - 8000	(Hsieh et al.
					2008)(J. Padrão
					et al.
					2016)(Tabuchi
					2007)(Klemm et
					al. 2005)
CNC	130	Variable	71 – 96	250 - 350	(Tan, Abd
					Hamid, and Lai
					2015)(Xia Li et
					al.
					2018)(Dufresne
					2013)
CNF	100	Variable	77 – 86	750	(Dufresne
					2013)(Correa et
					al. 2020)
Para-aramid (Kevlar 49)	131	1.44	75	84	(Kopeliovich
					2020)(Kong,
					Xu, and Yu
					2019)(Timm et
					al. 1984)(Young
					1999)
Cellulose fiber (cotton)	4	1.54	73	9000 - 15000	(Kompella and

 Table 4.1. Nanocellulose, cellulose and para-aramid properties.

				Lambros
				2002)(Barnhardt
				2020)
2	0.25	30	1190 - 1720	(Kompella and
				Lambros
				2002)(Sweet
				and Winandy
				1999)
	2	2 0.25	2 0.25 30	2 0.25 30 1190 - 1720

Application Field	Commodity	Reference
Food	Nata de coco	(Yamanaka et al.
		1989)
Home appliances	High fidelity acoustic diaphragm	(Uryu and
		Kurihara 1993)
Medical	Artificial skin, artificial blood vessel	(Schumann et al.
		2009)
Wastewater treatment	Filtration membrane	(Galdino et al.
		2020)

 Table 4.1. BNC per se applications.

Application	Nanocellulose	Matrix	Filler	Nanocellulose surface modification	Objective	Reference
Electronic	Ex situ	BNC	Multiwalled carbon nanotubes and polyaniline	None	Flexible super capacitor	(S. Li et al. 2014)
Electronic device	Ex situ	BNC	Nano-graphite	None	Conductive and enhanced thermal properties	(Erbas Kiziltas et al. 2016)
Electronic device	Ex situ	BNC	Paladium	None	Electrode	(Evans et al. 2003)
Electronic device	Ex situ	BNC	Multi-walled carbon nanotubes and laccase	Cyanoethylation	Electrode capable of degrading recalcitrant pollutants and generate electricity	(Xin Li et al. 2020)
Filtration membrane	Ex situ	BNC	Graphene	None	Selectively permeation	(Fang et al. 2016)
Filtration membrane	Ex situ	BNC	Polyvinyl alcohol and biochar with nanosilver	None	Potential filtration membrane with bacteriostatic properties	(L. Zhang et al. 2020)
Filtration membrane	In situ	BNC	Palladium decorated mesoporous	None	Dye removal	(Gholami Derami et

 Table 4.2. Nanocellulose composites

			polydopamine			al. 2020)
Food film	Ex situ	BNC	Lactoferrin	None	Antibacterial activity	(J. Padrão et al. 2016)
Medical device	In situ /ex situ	BNC	Silver nanoparticles	None	Wound dressing with antibacterial activity	(Eardley, Watts, and Clasper 2012)
Medical device	Ex situ	BNC	Catalase	None	Wound dressing Antibacterial activity	(Sampaio et al. 2016)
Medical device	Ex situ	BNC	Benzalkoniumchloride	None	Antibacterial activity	(Wei, Yang, and Hong 2011)
Medical device	Ex situ	BNC	Chitosan and carboxymethyl cellulose	Carboxymethylation	Corneal regeneration	(Gonçalves et al. 2015)
Medical device	Ex situ	BNC	Urinary bladder matrix	Acetylation	Corenal regenaration	(Gonçalves et al. 2016)
Medical device	Ex situ	BNC	Hydroxyapatite	None	Bone regenetration	(Tazi et al. 2012)
Pharmaceutical	Ex situ	BNC	Ibuprofen	None	Controlled drug release	(Jiji, Thenmozhi, and Kadirvelu 2018)

Paper	Ex situ	Cotton line pulp	BNC pulp	None	High quality paper	(Yamanaka et al. 1989)
Paper	Ex situ	BNC	Europium	None	Resilient florescent paper	(M. Zhang et al. 2019)
Paper	In situ	BNC	Phosphate	None	Fire retardant	(Basta and El-Saied 2009)
Textile	Ex situ	BNC	Acrylated epoxidized soybean oil	None	Leather replacement	(Fernandes, Souto, et al. 2019)
Textile	Ex situ	BNC	Polydimethylsiloxane and perfluorocarbon	None	Hydrophobization	(Fernandes, Gama, et al. 2019)

			Nanocellulose		
Application	Matrix	Filler	surface	Objective	Reference
			modification		
Biodegradable polymer	Poly(vinyl alcohol)	CNC	None	Petrochemical- based plastic replacement	(Sonker et al. 2016)
Packaging and construction	Poly (butylene succinate)	CNC	Acetylation	Enhanced thermal insulation	(Yin et al. 2020)
Packing material	CNC	Nanochitin and Heptadecafluoro- 1,1,2,2- tetrahydrodecyl dimethylchlorosilane modified nano SiO2	TEMPO oxidation	Superhydrophobic and transparent	(Xu et al. 2020)
Packaging Material	Poly(vinyl alcohol)	CNC	Citric acid	Food active film	(W. Yang et al. 2020)
Bioremediation	CNC	Polyvinylamine	Periodate oxidation	Pesticide adsoption	(J. Yang et al. 2020)
Agronomy	Starch, poly(acrylic acid (AA)-co- acrylamide and polyvinyl alcohol	CNC	None	Superabsorbent hydrogel for agronomical applications	(Olad, Doustdar, and Gharekhani 2020)
Pharmaceutical	CNC	Glycerol and	None	Drug capsule	(Y. Zhang

Table 4.3. Recent CNC nanocomposites

		polyethylene glycol			et al. 2017)
Electronic device	Pig skin	CNC and carbon nanotubes	TEMPO oxidation	Flexible sensor and supercapacitor electrode	(Y. Wu et al. 2020)
Pharmaceutical	Alginate	CNC	None	Drug carrier	(J. Zhao et al. 2020)
Textile and electronic devices	Poly(vinyl alcohol)	CNC	None	Wearable devices	(X. Wu et al. 2020)

			CNF	Nanocellulose		
Application	Matrix	Filler	production	surface	Objective	Reference
			equipment	modification		
Food	Beeswax-	CNF and	High pressure		Edible food	(Xie et al.
roou	in-water	carboxymethyl chitosan	homogenizer	None	coating	2020)
Food	Mayonnaise	CNF	Microfluidizer	None	Viscosifying agent	(Heggset et al. 2020)
Destauries	Poly(vinyl	CNF and graphene	High-pressure	TEMPO	Ultra violet	(Jia et al.
Packaging	alcohol)	oxide	homogenizer	oxidation	shield	2020)
	CNIE	T and it is in	Steam	Methionine	Mercury	(Bisla et
Bioremediation	CNF	L-methionine	explosion	grafting	adsorption	al. 2020)
Active packaging	CNF	Nisin	Disk refiner nano-grinder	None	Antibacterial and low oxygen and vapor premaeability	(Y. Yang et al. 2020)
Security paper	CNF	Cadium/selenium/sulfur quantum dots	Ultrasonicator	None	1D ink application and 2D film preparation	(Y. Zhao and Li 2020)
Smart packaging	CNF	Chitosan	High-pressure homogenizer	None	Enhanced barrier and antibacterial properties	(M. Wu et al. 2020)

Table 4.4. Recent CNC nanocomposites

		Carbon spheres	Not available			
Madical davias	CNE	aantaining silvan	(commercially		Antibacterial	(Jiang et
Wedical device	CINI	containing sirver	(commercially	None	paper	al. 2020)
		nanoparticles	purchased)			