University of Mississippi

eGrove

Guides, Handbooks and Manuals

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Historical Collection

2002

SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program Manual: Instructions and Checklists

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. SEC Practice Section David R. Dacey

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/aicpa_guides



Part of the Accounting Commons, and the Taxation Commons



SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program Manual

Instructions and Checklists

Prepared by: The SECPS Peer Review Committee

Edited by: David R. Dacey, CPA Senior Technical Manager

Copyright © 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 by American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc.
New York, NY 10036-8775

All rights reserved. Checklists and sample documents contained herein may be reproduced and distributed as part of professional services or within the context of professional practice, provided that reproduced materials are not in any way directly offered for sale or profit. For information about permission to copy any part of this work for redistribution or inclusion in another document or manuscript, please call the AICPA Copyright Permissions Hotline at (201) 938-3245. A Permissions Request Form for e-mailing requests is available at www.aicpa.org by clicking on the copyright notice on any page. Otherwise, requests should be written and mailed to the Permissions Department, AICPA, Harborside Financial Center, 201 Plaza Three, Jersey City, NJ 07311-3881.

First Edition 1991. Seventeenth Edition 2002.





July 2002

To the SEC Practice Section Member Firms

Update Number 17 to the SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program Manual

In order to provide greater accessibility of the SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program Manual ("Program Manual") to member firms, the Program Manual is now available on the AICPA's website at http://www.aicpa.org/members/div/secps/programmanual.htm.

In connection with making the Program Manual available on the AICPA's website, the Program Manual has been reformatted. As a result, in connection with this update, instead of replacing certain pages, all pages for each section of the Program Manual should be replaced with this update. The tabs denoting the various sections of the Program Manual remain the same, except for Section 19000 – Other Matters, which has been removed; therefore, please keep them in the binder.

Update Number 17 contains revisions approved by the SEC Practice Section Executive Committee or SEC Practice Section Peer Review Committee, described in more detail below, and also includes reformatting changes.

All checklists have been revised to reflect the following:

- The elimination of procedures related to CART reviews.
- Revision of the membership requirements related to Independence and Procedures in Connection With an Alleged Audit Failure.
- Clarification of procedures related to a member firm's foreign associated firm(s).
- Revision of procedures related to Statement of Quality Control Standards No. 5, The Personnel Management Element of Quality Control.

In addition, the tab and all pages of Section 19000 – Other Matters has been removed.

If you have any questions concerning this update, please contact the SEC Practice Section staff at (201) 938-3033 or by e-mail at secps@aicpa.org.

017998

SEC Practice Section

Instructions and Checklists

The instructions, checklists and programs contained in this manual have been developed to assist reviewers in performing peer reviews of member firms of the SEC Practice Section of the AICPA Division for CPA Firms. The members of the Peer Review Committee of the SEC Practice Section review these checklists and programs from time to time to determine whether any modification, update, or amendment is required in light of future developments in practice. The checklists and programs are intended to be guides and in application may require modification and tailoring for each firm reviewed.

SECPS Peer Review Committee (2001–2002)

Richard L. Miller, Chair Spencer A. Coates John C. Compton Albert R. Denny Arthur I. Farber Robert E. Fleming Bradley R. Gabosh John W. Gribble Dale P. Lien John J. Lucas Linda J. McAninch Charles J. McElroy Guy W. Moore, Jr. Thomas J. Parry Edward F. Rockman Edward F. Smith Kim L. Tredinnick David W. Truesdell

AICPA Staff

Susan S. Coffey Vice President Self-Regulation & SECPS

Jennifer R. Roddy Director SEC Practice Section Albert Perazzo Senior Technical Manager SECPS Peer Review Program

David R. Dacey Senior Technical Manager SECPS Peer Review Program

SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program Manual

Table of Contents

Section		
11000	Introd	uction
	11100	Instructions to Firms
	11200	Instructions to Reviewers
12000	Team (Captain Checklists
13000	Peer R	eview Program Questionnaires and Guidelines
	13100	Instructions for Use of Peer Review Program Questionnaires and Guidelines
	13200	Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaires
	13300	Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures
	13400	Staff Interview Questionnaire
	13500	Quality Control Policies and Procedures Supplemental Questionnaire—Alternative Practice Structures
	13600	Exhibits
	13700	Supplemental Peer Review Program Guidelines—Alternative Practice Structures
17000	Review	vs of Firms With No A&A Practice
18000	Guida	nce for Performing an Inspection
20000	Engage	ement Review Checklists
	20100	Instructions for Use of Engagement Checklists
	20200	General Compilation Engagement Checklist
	20300	General Review Engagement Checklist
	20400	General Audit Engagement Checklist
	20500	Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist
	20600	Not-For-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist
	20700	Employee Benefit Plan Audit Engagement Checklist
	20800	Prospective Financial Statement Engagement Checklist
	20900	Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagement Checklist
	21000	Other Attestation Engagement Checklist
	22000	Engagement Checklist Supplements

Last Update Letter

Introduction

Table of Contents

Section	1	5	\vdash				Page
11000	Introduction			 	 	Τ Α	 11003
11100	Instructions to Firms			 	 		 11101
11200	Instructions to Review	ers		 	 		 11201

[The next page is 11003.]

Introduction

- .01 Members of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants who are engaged in the practice of public accounting in the United States or its territories are required to be practicing as proprietors, partners, shareholders, or employees of firms enrolled in an approved practice-monitoring program in order to retain their membership in the Institute beyond specified periods. A firm enrolled in the AICPA Division for CPA Firms is deemed to be enrolled in an approved practice-monitoring program (an enrolled firm). A firm auditing one or more SEC clients, as defined by counsel, should be enrolled in the SEC practice section. (See sections 2.2.3, 2.3.4, and 2.3.5 of the bylaws of the AICPA and the implementing Council resolutions under those sections.)
- .02 The Council of the AICPA has established an SEC Practice Section (SECPS) of the AICPA Division for CPA Firms, which is governed by executive committee having senior committee status with the authority to carry out the activities of the section. The Committee is called the AICPA SEC Practice Section Executive Committee.
- .03 This manual has been prepared by the SECPS peer review committee. The programs and checklists set forth in this manual have been developed to assist
 - a. Reviewers carrying out peer reviews.
 - b. Associations of CPA firms that assist their members in arranging and carrying out peer reviews.
 - c. State CPA societies that assist their members in arranging and carrying out peer reviews.
 - d. The AICPA Peer Review Division itself.
- .04 The manual is in loose-leaf format in anticipation of updating and expansion. Changes are expected to arise from three sources:
 - a. Comments and suggestions from individuals or groups in the program.
 - b. Needs identified by the AICPA Division for CPA Firms' executive committee or the SECPS peer review committee.
 - c. Issuance of new official pronouncements by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the AICPA Auditing Standards Board, and other senior AICPA committees.
 - .05 Comments and suggestions should be addressed to:

Peer Review Division American Institute of CPAs Harborside Financial Center 201 Plaza Three Jersey City, NJ 07311-3881

[*The next page is* 11101.]

Instructions to Firms

Table of Contents

Section 11100 Instructions to Firms	5	-						Pa	iragraph
11100 Instructions to Firms				11	11		1 4		
Introduction									.01
Prior to the Review.									.0221
During the Review									.2225
Completion of the R	Reviev	v							.2633
Appendix A—Chec	klist f	or Imp	olement	ation of	f You	r Peer R	eview		.34
Annondiy R_Trans	mitta	l I otto	r for Su	hmiccio	n of	SECPS P	Poor Roviou Documo	ate	35

[The next page is 11103.]

Instructions to Firms

Introduction

.01 The purpose of these instructions is to provide guidance to firms having peer reviews in accordance with provisions of the membership requirements of the SEC Practice Section (SECPS) of the AICPA Division for CPA Firms. References are to "Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews" (Section 2000 in the SECPS Reference Manual). All persons in your firm involved in the peer review should read and become familiar with the sections of these standards relative to their part of the review. These instructions should be used for reference on firm-on-firm, association, or state CPA society sponsored reviews. Questions regarding these instructions, other materials, or about the review in general should be directed to the SEC Practice Section at (201) 938-3030.

Prior to the Review

- .02 Your firm and the team captain should agree on an appropriate date for the review to take place.
- .03 The terms and conditions of the peer review should be summarized in an engagement letter. A copy of the engagement letter should be signed and returned to the AICPA prior to the start of the review.
 - .04 Accommodations for the review team should be coordinated with the team captain.
- .05 The firm is expected to have documented and implemented its quality control policies and procedures for its accounting and auditing practice for the period under review (*Standards*, SECPS §2000.04-.06). The firm should determine that this responsibility has been met.
- .06 The review team should be provided with certain background information about the reviewed firm (*Standards*, SECPS §2000.52–.53). You should determine that this background information is available and appropriately summarized. The background information for members of the SEC Practice Section should include:
 - a. A list of those SEC clients for which the fees for management advisory services exceed the audit fees.
 - b. A list of those SEC engagements accepted since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously had a peer review), where, as reported in a Form 8-K, in a similar public filing, such as a document filed with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or in a document filed with the Office of Thrift Supervision that is available to the successor auditor, the former accountant resigned (or declined to stand for reelection) or there was a reported disagreement over any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statements disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, or there was a "reportable event" as defined in item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K.
 - c. A list of all new SEC clients (1) for which there was a predecessor accountant or auditor, and (2) for which the reviewed firm's first report on accounting and auditing services related to a period that ended during the reviewed firm's peer review year.

- .07 Prior to the arrival of the review team, you should complete the "Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire" (Section 1 of the "Peer Review Program Guidelines" in PRM §13200 of this manual).
- .08 The team captain will arrange for the scheduling of interviews with selected members of the firm's professional staff. You should see that this schedule is communicated to the appropriate individuals and that they understand the importance and purpose of these interviews.
- .09 The team captain will select certain engagements for review (SECPS §2000.67-.76 of the SECPS Reference Manual) and ask your firm to prepare a profile sheet on each engagement selected. You should see that the profile sheets are appropriately completed and that the working papers and reports for those engagements are assembled and readily accessible to the review team.
- **.10** A partner, manager, or senior staff member should be designated as a liaison to provide administrative assistance to the review team and should be available throughout the review.
- .11 The firm should have prepared a monitoring report (which should be made available to the review team), indicating that the system has been tested, that it has been in place for the required length of time, and that it has been properly documented. The report should also summarize the findings and, if necessary, planned corrective actions. These findings should be communicated to all partners, and responsibility should be assigned to determine that planned corrective actions were taken.
 - .12 Have your latest independence confirmations available for review.
 - .13 Have documentation of all independence problems and their final resolution available for review.
- .14 Have all documentation regarding the independence of any correspondent firms used during the year available for review.
 - .15 Have personnel files available for review.
- .16 Have available for review appropriate CPE records for all professional staff members for the three most recent educational years. (See SECPS §8000 of the SECPS Reference Manual.) In addition, have available for review independence training records for all professional staff members.
- .17 Prepare a complete list of the firm's professional staff members showing name, position, and length of service with the firm (if practicable).
- .18 Have available for review documentation verifying that each proprietor, shareholder, or partner eligible for membership is a member of the AICPA.
- **.19** Have available for review copies of the firm's latest annual membership report filed with the SEC Practice Section.
 - .20 Provide a comfortable, adequate working area for the review team.
- **.21** If possible, send copies of relevant manuals, checklists, partners' resumes, as well as background information to the team captain.

During the Review

- .22 The designated liaison partner or staff member should meet with the reviewers at the beginning of the review to orient them to firm policies and procedures, introduce them to appropriate firm personnel, and provide them with a tour of the office.
- .23 During the course of the review, the review team may find it necessary to discuss matters with appropriate firm personnel (aside from PRM §11100.08). Firm personnel should be advised to make themselves available to the review team as necessary during the course of the review. Usually such interviews will not disrupt the firm's operations.
 - .24 The review team will usually discuss its findings as the review progresses.
- .25 The team captain will ask your firm to respond to "Matter for Further Consideration" forms prepared during the course of the review. The firm should carefully review the matters discussed on the forms and should provide a thorough written response to avoid any misunderstandings regarding the facts or the firm's position.

Completion of the Review

- .26 Upon completion of the peer review, the review team will communicate its findings through one or more exit conferences. These exit conferences should be attended by appropriate firm personnel as determined by the firm. (It is normally expected that the managing partner and the partners having firm-wide responsibility for quality control and accounting and auditing will attend the final firm-wide meeting.)
 - .27 The firm will receive a report on the peer review and may receive a letter of comments.
 - a. A peer review report contains a statement of the scope of the review, a description of the general characteristics of a system of quality control, and the review team's opinion on the reviewed firm's quality control system for its accounting and auditing practice and its compliance with SECPS membership requirements. (Note—If the firm does not have an auditing practice, the report will so state.) The report will also include a reference to the letter of comments, if such a letter is issued.
 - b. A letter of comments will be issued if the peer review report is qualified or if the team captain believes there are matters that resulted in conditions being created in which there was more than a remote possibility that the firm would not conform with professional standards on accounting and auditing engagements. These matters may relate to the design of your quality control policies and procedures, or the compliance with such policies and procedures, or with the SECPS membership requirements.
 - c. The SECPS specifically prohibits a reviewer from issuing a letter of suggestions.
- .28 Upon receipt of the written peer review report and letter of comments, the reviewed firm is required to respond in writing to the team captain's comments on matters in the letter of comments. The response should be addressed to the SECPS Peer Review Committee and should individually describe the action(s) taken or planned with respect to each matter in the letter. If the firm disagrees with one or more comments, it should describe the reasons for such disagreement.

- .29 The firm is required to submit a draft of its letter of response to the team captain for review and comment prior to submitting the response to the SECPS Peer Review Committee. This review process should be in sufficient time to allow the firm to submit the letter of response to the SECPS Peer Review Committee within 15 days of issuance of the report and letter of comments by the team captain.
- .30 The SECPS Peer Review Committee encourages the reviewed firm to work with the team captain to develop specific recommendations that both parties believe will be effective in correcting the matters noted during the peer review. Experience shows that improvement is more likely to occur when the letter of response describes specific actions to be taken. Therefore, actions such as the reviewed firm will emphasize or reemphasize a policy or procedure should be combined with more specific actions.
- .31 The firm must submit a copy of the peer review report, the letter of comments, and the firm's letter of response to the SECPS Peer Review Committee, AICPA, Harborside Financial Center, 201 Plaza Three, Jersey City, NJ 07311- 3881. Such submission is due within 15 days of issuance of the peer review report and letter of comments by the team captain. (*Note*—The report received by the firm is *not* official until it has been accepted by the SECPS Peer Review Committee. Therefore, it would not be prudent to have the report printed or published or to make any other reference to it in a public manner until that time.)
- .32 When submitting the peer review documents to the SECPS Peer Review Committee, the reviewed firm should also confirm in writing that (1) a draft of the letter of response was sent to the team captain, (2) the draft was discussed with the team captain, and (3) appropriate actions were taken on the comments, if any, received from the team captain on the draft. A suggested transmittal letter for communicating this information to the SECPS Peer Review Committee is included as Appendix B.
- .33 After it has been accepted by the SECPS Peer Review Committee, the report, the letter of comments, and the reviewed firm's response thereto, and the letter indicating that the SECPS Peer Review Committee has accepted the report will be placed in the public files of the Division for CPA Firms and will be retained until completion of the subsequent peer review.

Appendix A

Checklist for Implementation of Your Peer Review

.34 The following checklist is intended to assist your firm in preparing for the review team's visit. The completion and availability of all items listed will help to ensure a thorough review.

	PRACIICE	Initial	Date
1.	Obtain the engagement letter.		
2.	Set the dates of your peer review and establish the 12-month period to be covered by the review with the team captain.		
3.	Arrange for hotel accommodations for the review team and communicate details to the team captain.		
4.	Submit the firm's background information to the team captain.		
5.	Forward the following to the team captain when available:		
	 a. A completed "Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire." (This questionnaire, section 1 of the "Peer Review Program Guidelines," can be found in PRM §13200 of this manual) 		
	b. The firm's quality control document or summary statement of the firm's quality control policies. (This is not required if the firm elects to have the completed questionnaire referred to in 5a. above serve as the firm's quality control document or summary statement.)		
	c. The firm's written independence policies covering relationships between restricted entities and the member firm (including where applicable, its foreign-associated firms, its benefit plans and its professionals).		
	d. All relevant manuals, checklists, partners' resumes, etc.		
6.	Prepare separate lists of your firm's audit, review, and compilation engagements. The lists should include the following for each engagement:		
	a. Total number of auditing or accounting hours or the total fees		
	b. Partner-in-charge		
	c. Nature of business		
	d. Period reported on		

Date

Initial

	On the list of audit engagements, all SEC engagements, all audits of ERISA plans, audits subject to the <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> , and Federally Insured Depository Institutions with \$500 million or more in total assets should be highlighted.	
7.	Prepare a list of those SEC clients for which the fees for management advisory services exceed the audit fees.	
3.	Prepare a list of those SEC engagements accepted since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously had a peer review) where, as reported in a Form 8-K, in a similar public filing, such as a document filed with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or in a document filed with the Office of Thrift Supervision that is available to the successor auditor, the former accountant resigned (or declined to stand for reelection) or there was a reported disagreement over any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, or there was a "reportable event" as defined in item $304(a)(1)(v)$ of SEC Regulation S-K.	
9.	Prepare a list of all new SEC engagements (1) for which there was a predecessor accountant or auditor, and (2) for which the reviewed firm's first report on accounting and auditing services related to a period that ended during the reviewed firm's peer review year.	
10.	Prepare a list of the firm's personnel who have performed professional-level work on engagements showing name, position, and length of service with the firm. Have documentation available to verify that each partner, shareholder, or proprietor eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA.	
11.	Prior to the review, the review team will ask to interview members of your firm. Arrange for the selected individuals to be available.	
12.	Have all personnel files available for review.	
13.	Have available all independence confirmations obtained during the year.	
14.	Have available all documentation regarding the independence of any correspondent firms used during the year.	
15.	Have available all documentation supporting resolution of any independence problems encountered during the year.	
16.	Have available appropriate CPE records for all professional staff for the three most recent educational years. In addition, have available for review independence training records for all professional staff members.	

- 17. Have available the firm's latest monitoring report that documents the scope of the review, the findings, and any recommendations for corrective action.
- 18. Have available the latest annual membership report filed with the SECPS.
- 19. Have available invoices and cancelled checks supporting payment of annual dues to the SECPS.
- 20. If applicable, have available a list of the firm's foreign associated firms as listed on the firm's SECPS annual report that have advised by written representation that they have established policies and procedures consistent with Appendix K (SECPS §1000.45).
- 21. Have available supporting documentation pertaining to compliance with Appendix K (e.g., policies and procedures, inspection results, written representations, etc.) for the peer review team to obtain a sufficient understanding of how the firm has complied with the membership requirement set forth in SECPS §1000.08(n).

Initial

Date

Appendix B

Transmittal Letter for Submission of SECPS Peer Review Documents

SECFS	peer review committee.
Date:	
То:	SECPS Peer Review Committee
10:	SECTS Feet Review Committee SEC Practice Section
	American Institute of CPAs
	Harborside Financial Center
	201 Plaza Three
	Jersey City, NJ 07311-3881
From:	
110111.	(Name of the Review Firm)
Re:	Review Number
Atta	sched is the report, letter of comments, and letter of response on the peer review of the above firm. A
	f the letter of response was sent to the team captain for review and comment. Appropriate actions
	aken on the comments received from the team captain on the draft.
Firm S	ignature: Date:
	e reviewed firm did not send a draft of the letter of response to the team captain and discuss the
	vith the team captain, or if the reviewed firm did not make changes recommended by the team
captair	, the above letter should explain why.
	[The next page is 11201.]

Instructions to Reviewers

Section	Pa	aragraph
11200 Ir	nstructions to Reviewers	
	Introduction	.0102
	Independence and Conflict of Interest	.0306
	Organization of the Review Team	.07
	The Review	.0809
	Scope of Review	.1021
	Examples of Factors to Consider When Assessing Inherent Risk	.2223
	Extent of Engagement Review	.24–.27
	Engagement Review Technique	.28
	Approach to the Review	.2934
	Emphasis on Key Audit Areas	.35–.37
	Findings and Conclusions	.3843
	Expansion of Scope	.44
	Review Team Working Papers	.4547
	Completion of the Review	.4853
	Retention of Peer Review Working Papers	.54

[The next page is 11203.]

Instructions to Reviewers

Introduction

- .01 The purpose of these instructions is to provide guidance for reviewers assigned to peer reviews under the auspices of the SEC Practice Section of the Division for CPA Firms (SECPS). They should be read in conjunction with other guidance material issued to implement the SECPS Peer Review Program. Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials or about the review in general should be directed to the AICPA Practice Monitoring Department staff at either (888) 817-3277 or (201) 938-3030, or by email, secps@aicpa.org.
- .02 Peer reviews are intended to evaluate whether, during the year under review, a reviewed firm's system of quality control for its accounting and auditing practice met the objectives of quality control standards established by the AICPA (Statement on Quality Control Standards No. 2, paragraph 7) and was being complied with to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of conforming with professional standards. Peer reviews are also intended to evaluate the reviewed firm's compliance with the section's membership requirements.

Independence and Conflict of Interest

- .03 A peer review is to be conducted with due regard for the confidentiality requirements set forth in the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. Information obtained as a consequence of the review concerning the reviewed firm or any of its clients is confidential and should not be disclosed by review team members to anyone not associated with the review.
- .04 Independence with respect to the reviewed firm must be maintained by the reviewing firm, by review team members, and by consultants who may participate in the review. The AICPA Code of Professional Conduct does not specifically consider relationships between reviewers, reviewed firms, and clients of reviewed firms. However, the concepts pertaining to independence embodied in the Code should be considered for their application.
- **.05** A reviewing firm or a review team member should not have a conflict of interest with respect to the reviewed firm or to those clients of the reviewed firm that are the subject of engagements reviewed.
- .06 The personnel of a reviewing firm and the reviewing firm itself are not precluded from owning securities of clients of the reviewed firm. However, a review team member who owns securities of a reviewed firm's client shall not review the engagement of that client since the reviewer's independence would be considered to be impaired. In addition, the effect of family relationships (spouses, close relatives) and other relationships and the possible loss of the appearance of independence must be considered when assigning team members to review individual engagements.

Organization of the Review Team

.07 A review team is headed by a team captain who directs the organization and conduct of the review, supervises other reviewers, and is responsible for the preparation of a report on the review. The team captain will furnish instructions to the review team regarding the manner in which working papers and other notes relating to the review are to be accumulated to facilitate summarization of the review team's findings and conclusions. The team captain must notify the AICPA SEC Practice Section staff of changes, if any, in the composition of the review team and in the date of the exit conference.

The Review

.08 General Considerations

The review should include the following procedures:

a. Planning the Review

- 1. Obtain a sufficient understanding of the nature and extent of the firm's accounting and auditing practice to plan the review.
- 2. Obtain a sufficient understanding of the design of the firm's quality control system to plan the review.
- 3. Obtain a sufficient understanding to the potential effectiveness of the monitoring procedures performed since the prior peer review to plan the review.
- 4. Assess inherent risk and control risk (including determining whether the firm's inspection program for the current year is likely to enable the review team to reduce the number of offices or engagements to be reviewed or the extent of the functional area reviews, and, if so, perform tests of the findings and conclusions of the current year's inspection program).
- 5. Use the knowledge obtained from the foregoing to select the offices and the engagements to be reviewed, and to determine the nature and extent of the tests to be applied in the functional areas.

b. Performing the Review

- 1. Review compliance with the reviewed firm's quality control system at each organizational or functional level within the firm.
- 2. Review selected engagements, including the relevant working paper files and reports.
- 3. Review compliance with the Section's membership requirements.
- 4. Reassess the adequacy of the scope of the review based on the results obtained to determine if additional procedures are necessary.
- 5. Prepare a written report on the results of the review and, if applicable, a letter of comments.

.09 For the review of a firm that obtains quality control materials from a third party, the review team should obtain the most recent report, letter of comments (if any), and letter of response thereto on those materials, if such documents are available. (For association arranged reviews, see "Standards for Performing and Reporting on Reviews of Quality Control Materials," SECPS §2400 of the SECPS Reference Manual.) In addition to considering the report relating to the suitability of design of the quality control materials, reviewers should consider the applicability of such materials to the practice of the firm being reviewed. The report on the reviewed firm should not make reference to the review of the materials.

Scope of Review

.10 Reviewers should recognize that quality control policies and procedures will likely differ between small and large firms (for example, the necessity for job descriptions) and between small and large offices of multi-office firms (for example, the procedures for assigning personnel to engagements.) In testing a firm's quality control policies and procedures, the review should be tailored to the particular firm. Peer review program guidelines have been prepared and are included in PRM §13000.

- .11 Although the peer review standards do not suggest minimum or maximum percentages of the reviewed firm's accounting and auditing hours that should be reviewed, historical experience suggests that a range of between 5 and 15 percent of the hours for firms with 15 or fewer offices and between 3 and 6 percent for firms with more than 15 offices ordinarily will provide an adequate scope for a peer review. However, in situations where the combined assessed levels of inherent and control risk are relatively low, and the current year's inspection program is considered effective and will be considered to reduce the scope of the peer review, the application of the peer review standards may result in a scope that is lower than these ranges. Conversely, in situations where the combined assessed levels of inherent and control risk are relatively high, and the firm has not performed an inspection or other monitoring procedures for the review year or its inspection program or other monitoring procedures for the review year is ineffective, the application of the peer review standards may result in a scope that is higher than these ranges.
- .12 The scope of the review should cover a firm's accounting and auditing practice, which encompasses all audit, attest, accounting and review, and other services for which standards have been established by the AICPA Auditing Standards Board or the AICPA Accounting and Review Services Committee under Rule 201 or 202 of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct (AICPA *Professional Standards*, vol. 2, ET secs. 201 and 202). (Standards may also be established by other senior technical committees; engagements that are performed in accordance with those standards are not encompassed in the definition of an accounting and auditing practice.) Other segments of a firm's practice, such as providing tax services or management advisory services, are not encompassed by the scope of the review except (1) to the extent they are associated with financial statements (for example, reviews of tax provisions and accruals contained in financial statements are included in the scope of the review) or (2) as they relate to compliance with the membership requirements of the section. Review team members are not to have contact with, or access to, any client of the reviewed firm in connection with the review.
- .13 The review will be directed to the professional aspects of the reviewed firm's accounting and auditing practice; it will not include the business aspects of that practice. It may be difficult, however, to distinguish between these aspects of the practice since they may overlap. For example, in evaluating whether the supervision of an engagement was adequate, review team members would consider budgeted and actual time spent on the engagement by various categories or classifications of personnel but would not inquire as to fees billed to the client or the relationship of fees billed to time accumulated at usual or standard billing rates.
- .14 Further, when reviewing policies and procedures for advancement, review team members would concern themselves with whether professional personnel were promoted based on demonstrated competence and whether criteria for admission of individuals to the firm give appropriate weight to professional qualifications but would not review compensation of professional personnel.
- .15 The review team should discuss with the reviewed firm whether litigation, proceedings or investigations against the firm or its personnel reported to the SECPS Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) since the date of the firm's last peer review involve the same offices, industries, audit areas, or engagement personnel, and whether the firm has considered any such patterns in the scope of its own monitoring or other internal review programs. The review team, giving due regard to the fact that such litigation, proceedings, and investigations will ordinarily involve unproven allegations, should consider this information in setting the scope of the review. In this connection, review teams must recognize that it is not their function to evaluate the merits of litigation or the adequacy of corrective actions, if any, taken by the firm as a result thereof, nor is it their function to duplicate the work of the QCIC. However, a reviewer might decide that an office that is involved in several instances of litigation should be selected for visitation rather than a comparable office with no litigation. Similarly, if a firm is involved in several instances of litigation involving a specific industry, the reviewer might consider whether the scope of his work adequately considers the risk factors inherent in that industry. The review team's documentation of its performance in this regard should be limited to an indication that such matters (without identification of the litigation) were considered in setting the scope of the review.

- .16 The review team should also obtain a listing from the firm being reviewed of those SEC engagements accepted since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously had a peer review) where, as reported in a Form 8-K, in a similar public filing, such as a document filed with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or in a document filed with the Office of Thrift Supervision that is available to the successor auditor, the former accountant resigned (or declined to stand for reelection) or there was a reported disagreement over any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure or there was a "reportable event" as defined in item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K. For such engagements, the review team should:
 - *a.* Review the existing client-acceptance documentation that relates to the matters or procedures that were the subject of the resignation or disagreement or reportable event.
 - b. Review such current or prior periods' engagement working papers, financial statements, or auditor's reports to the extent considered necessary to be able to evaluate whether the matters or procedures were handled appropriately.
 - c. Determine whether, since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously had a peer review), any opinions on the application of generally accepted accounting principles were rendered to the entity prior to acceptance.
 - d. Determine whether any such opinion was issued pursuant to the firm's policies relating to the issuance of such opinions.

This may necessitate a review of engagement files related to the matters or procedures from any or all of the past three years. As part of its normal selection procedures, the review team should also determine whether to select such engagements for review.

- SEC clients (1) for which there was a predecessor accountant or auditor, and (2) for which the reviewed firm's first report on accounting and auditing services related to a period that ended during the reviewed firm's peer review year. In the selection of offices, greater weight should be given to those offices that had the most such SEC engagements. If there are any engagements in the offices selected that are on both this list and the list described in the preceding paragraph, those engagements (or portions of those engagements) should be selected for review. The existing client-acceptance documentation for all other engagements on this list in the offices selected should be reviewed and, based on the results of these reviews, the team should consider the need to select additional engagements (or portions of engagements) on this list for review, particularly in circumstances where the prior accountant's or auditor's most recent audit report was qualified or contained explanatory language not relating to consistency or the report of another auditor. In any event, at least one engagement on this list should be reviewed in each office visited.
- .18 The review team should obtain the reviewed firm's latest peer review review report and, if applicable, its letter of comments and response thereto, from the firm or from the AICPA and should consider whether matters discussed therein require additional emphasis in the current review. In all cases, the review team should evaluate the actions taken by the firm in response to the prior report and letter of comments.
- .19 The reviews of engagements should usually be directed toward the accounting and auditing work performed by the practice offices visited, including work performed for another office of the reviewed firm, for a correspondent firm, or for an affiliated firm. For those situations in which engagements selected in the practice office reviewed include the use of work of another office, correspondent, or affiliate (domestic or international), the reviewer, however, should evaluate the instructions for the engagement issued by the reviewed office to the parties responsible for performing the work. In addition, the scope of

the review should encompass the procedures by which the reviewed office maintained control over the engagement through supervision (including visits by its supervisory personnel to other locations) and review of the work performed by the other offices, correspondents, or affiliates.

- .20 There may be situations when information available to the review team is insufficient to evaluate whether the reviewed firm's quality control policies and procedures have been applied in supervising segments of engagements performed by other offices or firms. In these instances, it will be necessary to obtain documentation from such other offices or firms; usually this may be accomplished by arranging for the forwarding of the requested information to the reviewed office.
- .21 The review is office-oriented, not engagement-oriented. However, if the reviewed firm has multioffice engagements, the Sections' standards for performing and reporting on peer reviews require that, for
 at least one such engagement, the work performed by the office with primary responsibility for the
 engagement and the work performed on a significant segment of the engagement by at least one of the
 domestic offices should be reviewed. If the participating office is not selected for visit, the review can be
 accomplished by having the appropriate working papers sent to the primary office being visited.

Examples of Factors to Consider When Assessing Inherent Risk

- .22 Assessing inherent risk involves evaluating the likelihood the reviewed firm will perform engagements that do not comply with professional standards in the absence of a quality control system. The assessed level of inherent risk may be affected by circumstances arising (a) within the firm (for example, individual partners have engagements in numerous specialized industries), or (b) outside the firm that affect its clients (for example, new professional standards being applied for the first time, changes in regulatory requirements, or adverse economic developments in an industry). In addition, the assessed level of inherent risk may vary from engagement to engagement (for example, inherent risk ordinarily will be greater for an initial public offering than for a nondisclosure compilation of a small privately owned entity).
- .23 Examples of factors to consider when assessing inherent risk follow. These factors have been classified according to whether they pertain primarily to offices or to specific engagements. This list is for illustrative purposes only, and does not include all possible inherent risk factors, nor is the peer reviewer required to consider every item on the list when assessing inherent risk.
 - a. Office Selection
 - Number and size (in terms of accounting and auditing hours and personnel) of offices.
 - Offices with one or a few engagements comprising a significant portion of the office's accounting and auditing practice.
 - Offices with concentrations of high risk engagements (see next section).
 - Offices with a pattern of litigation or regulatory actions reported to the Quality Control Inquiry Committee.
 - Offices identified in the preceding peer review or through monitoring procedures as operating at a level significantly below the firm's quality standards.
 - Offices with many SEC clients.
 - Offices with concentrations of new engagements that are SEC registrants for which the firm's first report related to a period that ended during the peer review year.
 - Offices with new SEC engagements since the prior peer review where, as reported in a form 8-K, or a similar public offering, (1) the former accountant resigned or declined to stand for reelection, (2) there was a reported disagreement over accounting principles or practices,

- financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, or (3) there was a reportable event as defined in item 304(a)(1)(v)(A) through (D) of SEC Regulation S-K.
- Offices with an unreasonably large number of accounting and auditing hours per engagement partner.
- Offices with only one or a few engagements in a specialized industry.
- Offices recently merged, acquired or opened.
- Offices not subjected to monitoring procedures or not scheduled to be subject to monitoring procedures since the last peer review.
- Offices where individual partners practice in many industries.
- Offices in geographic areas that are experiencing economic hardships.
- Offices with numerous clients in industries experiencing economic hardships.

b. Engagement Selection

- Engagement size, in terms of the number of personnel assigned and the hours required to plan and perform it.
- Level of accounting and auditing services performed (for example, audit, review or compilation of historical financial statements).
- Engagements involving merged personnel, experienced personnel hired from other firms, and partners who also have office, regional or firm-wide management, administrative, or functional responsibilities.
- Engagements where work on segments has been referred to other firms, foreign offices, domestic or foreign affiliates, or correspondents.
- Engagements where one or more affiliated entities (for example, parent companies and subsidiaries or brother/sister companies) constitute a large portion of the firm's overall clientele.
- Engagements identified in the firm's quality control system or guidance material as having a high degree of risk.
- Engagements where departures from professional standards and failures to comply with the firm's quality control policies and procedures were noted in the preceding year's monitoring procedures.
- Engagements that might be affected by possible weaknesses in the design of or compliance with the firm's quality control system alleged in litigation, proceedings or investigations, particularly in matters reported to the Quality Control Inquiry Committee.
- Engagements affected by recently implemented revisions of the firm's quality control policies and procedures.
- Engagements affected by newly effective professional standards.
- Engagements performed by personnel not routinely assigned to accounting and auditing engagements.
- Clients in industries in poor financial condition.
- Clients in poor financial condition.
- Engagements with a high turnover of engagement personnel.
- Clients with complex or sophisticated transactions.

- Engagements from merged-in practices.
- Initial engagements.
- Engagements where reportable conditions in the client's internal controls were reported in the preceding year.
- Engagements where internal audit participation was extensive.
- Engagements where the work of specialists is used in significant areas.
- Engagements that might be affected by the same quality control deficiencies as those that may have been factors in losing other engagements.
- Engagements where MAS fees exceed audit fees.
- SEC Engagements.
- Engagements subject to Governmental Auditing Standards.
- Engagements subject to ERISA.
- Engagements subject to FDICIA.

Extent of Engagement Review

- .24 The objectives of the review of engagements are to obtain evidence of (1) whether the reviewed firm's system of quality control for its accounting and auditing practice met the objectives of quality control standards established by the AICPA to the extent such objectives are applicable to its practice, (2) whether the reviewed firm complied with the policies and procedures that constituted its system of quality control during the year under review, and (3) whether the reviewed firm complied in all material respects with the applicable membership requirements of the section during the year under review. To the extent necessary to achieve these objectives, the review of engagements should include review of financial statements, accountants' reports, working papers, and correspondence, and should include discussions with appropriate personnel of the reviewed firm.
- .25 Since, in most cases, the engagement personnel will not be responsible for establishing firm policies, the reviewer should not challenge firm policies in discussions with engagement personnel. If any questions or observations regarding the appropriateness of the firm's policies and procedures develop as a result of the engagement reviews, these matters should be discussed with the team captain.
- .26 On individual engagements, reports other than on the basic financial statements (special reports, limited reviews, etc.) may have been issued during the period under review. If such reports have been issued or if separate financial statements have been issued on subsidiaries, the team captain should be consulted regarding the amount of work to be done in these areas on each engagement.
- .27 If significant parts of the firm's practice include compilation or review services, other comprehensive basis of accounting financial statements, financial forecasts and projections, etc., certain of those reports and related working papers should be reviewed.

Engagement Review Technique

.28 Background information about an engagement should be obtained by discussion with the engagement partner and by reading the engagement profile sheet (which should be completed by the reviewed firm prior to commencement of the review of the engagement), the primary financial statements and any program sections, memoranda or other working papers describing the company and its business,

the firm's audit approach and problem areas. Work is most efficiently completed by first reviewing the "top files," applicable sections of the work programs, correspondence files, consolidating working papers and other key audit area working papers and then completing the engagement review checklist. Then, any unanswered questions on the checklist can be completed by additional reference to the engagement files.

Approach to the Review

- .29 The review should give primary emphasis to the reviewed firm's overall approach to the engagement, rather than the specific procedures performed. The engagement review checklists (which can be used for most engagements) contain the following sections:¹
 - a. The first section of each checklist contains questions on the accountant's report and the accompanying financial statements and footnotes. This section of the checklist ordinarily would be completed for engagement reviews. However, on peer reviews of firms that have their own report and financial statement disclosure checklist that is completed by the firm's personnel and filed with the engagement working papers, this section may not have to be completed for each engagement. In such situations, the comprehensiveness of the firm's checklist and the appropriateness of its use on specific engagements should be tested by the review team. Any disclosure or reporting deficiencies identified by the reviewer should be noted in the comments section of the engagement checklist or on a "Matter for Further Consideration" form.
 - b. The second section of the checklists contains questions concerning planning, preliminary and general procedures that normally should be performed for the applicable type of engagement. This section should be completed for each engagement reviewed.
 - c. The third section included in the audit engagement checklist contains questions relating to specific audit areas. Although frequently it will not be necessary to answer all of these questions for an audit engagement because of the emphasis on key audit areas, they should be used for guidance concerning the type of questions to be considered when reviewing the audit procedures performed.
 - d. The fourth section of the checklist for review of audit engagements and the third section of the checklists for review of review and compilation engagements contains questions concerning the functional areas of a firm's quality control system. These questions are based on the typical policies and procedures that might be established by a firm. All the policies and procedures included in these questions will not have been adopted by all firms. Therefore, the team captain should determine, before the engagement reviews are conducted, if modifications to the checklists are necessary to fit the policies and procedures adopted by the reviewed firm, as detailed in the completed peer review program guidelines. For example, a number of the questions are not applicable to sole practitioners without full-time professional staff or additional questions may have to be added regarding the use of required standard forms. These sections should be completed for each engagement reviewed.
 - e. The fifth section of the audit engagement questionnaire includes a separate list of questions to be answered on SEC engagements. In order to answer these questions it may be necessary for the reviewer to refer to an SEC or other specialized disclosure checklist.
- .30 All "no" answers for each type of engagement should be summarized to assist reviewers in answering questions in PRM §13300, "Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures," and in preparing the summary review memorandum.

-

¹ The "Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements of Not-For-Profit Organizations" and the "Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements of State or Local Governmental Entities," include the first four sections discussed herein. In addition, the former checklist includes an additional section for engagements subject to Government Auditing Standards. In addition, the "Checklist for Review of Compilation Engagements" includes an additional Section on Compilations that omit substantially all disclosures.

- .31 The general checklists for audit, review and compilation engagements were developed for use in reviewing engagements of "for-profit" companies and probably will require extensive modification or supplementation for engagements involving companies in specialized industries (for example, insurance and finance companies). Specialized checklists have been developed for review of audits of state or local government entities, including those receiving federal financial assistance, not-for-profit entities, prospective financial statements and employee benefit plans. In certain specialized industries/areas, supplemental checklists have been developed and should be used in addition to the primary checklists (Audit, Review and Compilation). Industries/areas that have supplemental checklists include: depository institutions, voluntary health and welfare organizations, construction contractors, common interest realty associations, providers of healthcare services and HUD.
- .32 Generally, a "no" answer to a question indicates possible noncompliance with a firm policy and/or professional standards. All "no" answers should be cross-referenced to either: (1) an MFC form, or (2) if no MFC was generated, to the standardized comment sheets provided at the end of each checklist. The MFC forms and standardized comment sheets should include a description of the disposition of each "no" answer.
- .33 The explanatory comments to "no" answers should be reviewed with the engagement partner to obtain the partner's agreement or to note a disagreement and the reasons.
- .34 Except where specifically requested, it is not necessary to document the work the reviewer performed to form an opinion on each question. The answer to the question and the signing of the checklist indicate that the reviewer has completed the necessary testing to answer the question through reading documents or discussions with firm personnel. Naturally, when documentary evidence is available, it should be reviewed. Discussions with personnel should be used only for background purposes, to clarify points, or to provide satisfaction when documentation is not available.

Emphasis on Key Audit Areas

- .35 The depth of the review of working papers for particular engagements is left to the judgment of the reviewers; however, the review should ordinarily include all the key areas of an engagement. Thus, a page-by-page review of all working papers is not contemplated. Points to consider in determining the key areas include
 - a. Key areas in the client's industry (for example, revenue recognition for construction companies; inventory and accounts receivable for manufacturing and retail concerns; policy reserves for insurance companies; or loan loss allowances for financial institutions).
 - b. Key areas noted during the review of the financial statements and discussions with engagement personnel (for example, review of loan defaults or follow-up of litigation matters).
 - c. Key areas identified by the firm in planning or conducting the engagement.
 - d. Recent accounting and auditing developments and pronouncements.
 - e. Weaknesses noted in other engagements reviewed.
 - *f*. Weaknesses noted by the firm through its monitoring procedures.
 - g. Weaknesses noted in the prior peer review.
- .36 The selection of the key areas should be directed toward maximizing the effectiveness of the review, as well as determining the extent to which the firm's personnel recognized the key areas. Ordinarily, in applying the "key area" concept, all key areas should be reviewed. However, to keep time requirements within reasonable limits, reviewers may decide not to review all key areas of a specific engagement. For example, in some of the initial audit engagements or specialized industry engagements

selected for review, attention might be limited to the special areas of the engagements since the engagements were specifically selected to test those areas. In such cases, the reviewer should document in the working papers the reasons why all key areas were not reviewed.² (See Appendix D, SECPS §2000 "Selecting Engagements for Review," in the SECPS Reference Manual for additional guidance on the application of the key area concept.)

.37 No definitive guidance can be provided regarding the depth of review to be given to these key areas, but the reviewer should evaluate whether the firm has obtained sufficient competent evidential matter to form conclusions concerning the validity of the assertions of material significance embodied in the financial statements (AICPA Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU Section 326.)

Findings and Conclusions

.38 For each SEC engagement accepted since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously had a peer review) which was reviewed because of a reported disagreement with or the resignation of the former accountant, or because there was a "reportable event" as defined in item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K, the review team should conclude, based upon its review of the existing client-acceptance documentation and current or prior periods' files, whether anything came to the review team's attention to cause it to believe the matters or procedures that were the subject of the resignation or disagreement may not have been handled appropriately by the reviewed firm.

.39 For each engagement reviewed, the review team must evaluate and document, based on its review of the engagement working papers and representations from the reviewed firm's personnel, whether anything came to the review team's attention that caused it to believe that (1) the financial statements were not presented in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, (2) the firm did not have a reasonable basis under the applicable professional standards for the report issued, (3) the documentation on the engagement did not support the report issued, or (4) the firm did not comply with its quality control policies and procedures in all material respects. Accordingly, a "conclusions" page must be completed for each engagement reviewed to summarize the results of that review.

.40 In performing engagement reviews, the review team may encounter (a) indications of significant failures by the reviewed firm to reach appropriate conclusions in the application of professional standards which include generally accepted auditing standards, standards for attestation engagements, standards for accounting and review services, and generally accepted accounting principles (for example, the reviewed firm may have issued an inappropriate report on a client's financial statements or omitted a necessary auditing procedure), or (b) situations in which the documentation on the engagement does not appear to support the report issued. In either case, the team captain shall promptly inform an appropriate authority within the reviewed firm (generally on an MFC form). In such circumstances the reviewed firm should investigate the matter questioned by the review team and determine what action, if any, should be taken.³

In such cases, the reviewer must exercise judgment in determining how many accounting and auditing hours to claim with respect to the engagement. If only one or a few specific key areas out of many key areas are reviewed on the engagement, such as only the referring office's supervision and control of the work performed by foreign offices or by domestic or foreign affiliates or correspondents, only the hours devoted to the specific area(s) should be claimed. Conversely, if all but one or two specific key areas out of many key areas are reviewed, the review team ordinarily would be justified in claiming all the hours devoted to the engagement except for those devoted to the key areas that were not reviewed. In situations that fall in between the preceding two cases—that is, when the reviewer has reviewed many, but not virtually all, of the key areas—the review team generally would be justified in claiming a percentage of the total hours on the engagement (or on the unit actually reviewed) equal to the hours on the key areas reviewed divided by the hours devoted to all the key areas on the engagement (or on the unit actually reviewed).

³ The reviewed firm is required under generally accepted auditing standards and the standards for accounting and review services to take appropriate action under certain circumstances with respect to (1) subsequently discovered information that relates to a previously issued report or (2) the omission of one or more procedures considered necessary to support a previously expressed opinion. (See *AICPA Professional Standards*, Vol. 1, AU Sections 561 and 390 and Vol. 2, AR Section 100.42.)

The reviewed firm should advise the review team of the results of its investigation and document the actions taken or planned or its reasons for concluding that no action is required.

- .41 If the reviewed firm believes after investigating the matter that it can continue to support its previously issued report, it should provide the review team with a written explanation of the basis for its conclusion (generally on an MFC form). If the explanation appears reasonable, the review team should consider whether the documentation of the engagement supports the report issued. In evaluating the responses, the review team should recognize that it has not performed an audit of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (or reviewed or compiled them in accordance with the standards for accounting and review services) and that it has not had the benefit of access to the client's records, discussions with the client, or specific knowledge of the client's business.
- .42 After reviewing the documentation supporting the actions planned or the documentation explaining why no action is required, the review team may continue to question whether there is a significant failure to reach appropriate conclusions in the application of professional standards. In such cases, the review team would promptly inform an appropriate authority in the reviewed firm and pursue any remaining questions. At this time, the reviewed firm should also be aware that any unresolved issues will be referred promptly to the SECPS Peer Review Committee for resolution.
- .43 When the reviewed firm concludes there is a significant failure to reach an appropriate conclusion on the application of professional standards on an engagement, the review team should review the firm's plan for addressing the questioned matter and document in the summary review memorandum whether the plan appears appropriate in the circumstances. If those actions are taken prior to the issuance of the peer review report (for example, the report and financial statements are reissued, omitted auditing procedures are performed, or a previously issued report is recalled), the review team should review the documentation supporting such actions. If the actions are not taken prior to the issuance of the report, the review team should advise the reviewed firm that it may be asked by the SECPS Peer Review Committee to allow the reviewer to review the documentation supporting such actions when those actions are completed.

Expansion of Scope

.44 If, during the course of the peer review, the reviewed firm concludes that there was a significant failure to reach an appropriate conclusion on the application of professional standards on one or more of its engagements, the review team should consider whether the application of additional review procedures is necessary. This consideration should be documented in the peer review working papers. The objective of the application of additional procedures would be to determine whether the significant failure is indicative of a pattern of such failures and/or of a significant weakness in the reviewed firm's system of quality control or in compliance with the system. Under some circumstances, the reviewer may conclude that, because of compensating controls, or for other reasons, further procedures are unnecessary. If, however, additional procedures are deemed necessary, they may include an expansion of scope to review all or relevant portions of one or more additional engagements. Such additional engagements may be in the same industry, or supervised by the same individual in the reviewed firm, or otherwise have characteristics associated with the failure to apply professional standards.

Review Team Working Papers

.45 The peer review working papers should include documentation, on an MFC form, of matters that, in the reviewer's opinion, could indicate (1) that one or more of the applicable objectives of quality control

⁴ See SECPS §2000.90 of the SECPS Reference Manual for action(s) required regarding the specific engagement involved.

standards were not accomplished by the reviewed firm's policies or procedures, or (2) that the reviewed firm did not comply with professional standards, or the policies and procedures that constitute its quality control system or (3) that the reviewed firm did not comply with a membership requirement. The MFC form should include the reviewer's description of the matters, the reviewed firm's agreement or disagreement with the description and its comments on the matter, and the reviewer's and team captain's comments. The MFC form is to be signed in the places indicated by the reviewer, the team captain and an appropriate partner in the reviewed firm (generally the engagement partner or the partner responsible for the applicable area).

- .46 On each MFC form, the reviewer should classify the matter into one of the following:
- a. Design—The reviewer believes that the firm's quality control policies and procedures, even if fully complied with, are not likely to accomplish an applicable quality control objective.
- b. Performance—The reviewer believes that the reviewed firm failed to adhere to professional standards, including generally accepted accounting principles, generally accepted auditing standards, statement on standards for accounting and review services, and statements on standards for attestation engagements, even if such deficiencies would not result in a situation where the firm should consider taking action pursuant to the AICPA Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU Sections 390 or 561.
- *c*. Compliance-Membership Requirement—The reviewer believes that the reviewed firm did not comply with an applicable membership requirement of the section.
- *d.* Compliance-Other—The reviewer believes that the reviewed firm did not comply with one of its prescribed policies or procedures even though it did comply with professional standards.
- *e*. Documentation—The reviewer believes that the work performed in a particular area was not documented but, through inquiry or other means, the reviewer is satisfied that the work was performed.
- .47 To the extent that there are disagreements or differences of opinion between the reviewed firm and the team captain with respect to the interpretation or effect of matters included on an MFC form, the staff of the AICPA Practice Monitoring Department should be consulted. The results of all consultations should be documented on the MFC form.

Completion of the Review

- .48 At the conclusion of field work, the reviewers should (1) summarize all of their findings (including all "no" answers to the individual engagement checklists and MFCs); (2) evaluate the nature, causes, pattern, pervasiveness, and significance of the deficiencies noted in the design of the firm's quality control system and in the firm's compliance with its system, with professional standards, and with the membership requirements of the section; and (3) consider whether such matters should result in a qualified report, be included in the letter of comments, or otherwise be communicated to the firm.
- .49 Prior to the issuance of its report and, if applicable, letter of comments, the review team should communicate its conclusions to the reviewed firm. This communication ordinarily would take place at a meeting (exit conference) attended by appropriate representatives of the review team and the reviewed firm. It is normally expected that the managing partner and the partners having firm-wide responsibility for quality control and accounting and auditing will attend this meeting. The review team should notify the AICPA Practice Monitoring Department staff of the date and time of the scheduled exit conference to permit representatives of the SECPS Peer Review Committee and/or staff of the Public Oversight Board to attend the exit conference, if they so elect. The parties should discuss the report and letter of comments, if any, as well as any suggestions. Accordingly, the review team, except in rare instances, should not hold the

exit conference until the results of the peer review have been summarized and the report and letter of comments, if any, have been drafted or a detailed outline has been prepared of the matters to be included in these documents. If there is uncertainty as to the opinion to be expressed, the review team should postpone the exit conference until a decision has been reached. When discussing its findings, recommendations and suggestions at the conference, the review team should give an in-depth explanation of each matter or suggestion.

- .50 For the review of a multi-office firm, in addition to the communication described in the preceding paragraph, the review team for a practice office should communicate its findings to appropriate individuals at the office reviewed.
- .51 The peer review program provides that, within 30 days of the date of the exit conference, the review team should submit to the reviewed firm a written report and, if applicable, a letter of comments. A copy of the report, the letter, and a response thereto should be submitted by the reviewed firm to the SECPS Peer Review Committee within 15 days of the date the report and letter were issued.
- .52 The reviewed firm is required to submit a draft of its letter of response to the team captain for review and comment prior to submitting the response to the SECPS Peer Review Committee. Because the reviewed firm is required to submit its letter of response to the SECPS Peer Review Committee within 15 days of issuance of the peer review report and letter of comments by the team captain, the team captain should provide his or her comments, if any, to the reviewed firm in a timely manner.
- .53 A disagreement regarding the type of report to be issued or the comments to be included in the letter of comments may arise among the review team members. When the members are unable to resolve such a disagreement, the matter should be documented and referred, through the AICPA Practice Monitoring Department staff, to the SECPS Peer Review Committee for resolution.

Retention of Peer Review Working Papers

- .54 The following materials should be retained by the entity that formed the review team until the completion of the subsequent review required for continued membership or until the time for such review has elapsed:
 - a. The peer review report.
 - b. The letter of comments and the firm's response thereto, if applicable.
 - c. The letter accepting the peer review report.
 - *d*. The engagement letter.
 - e. The scheduling information forms.
 - f. The team appointment acceptance letters.
 - g. Any extension requests.
 - *h*. The letter documenting the firm's compliance with actions taken as a result of the committee's consideration of the peer review report.

All other materials should be discarded 90 days after acceptance by the SECPS Peer Review Committee. If the peer review is intended to meet the requirements of Section 36 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, the review team should retain the working papers for a period of 120 days after the date that the reviewed firm files the peer review documents with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

[*The next page is* 12001.]

PRM Section 12000 Team Captain Checklists

Table of Contents

Section	SECTION	Page
12110	Guidelines for Testing Compliance With MAS Membership Requirements	12111
12120	Review Team Captain Checklist	12121
	Appendix A—Summary Review Memorandum Questionnaire	
	Appendix B—Comments to Improve Auditing and Quality Control Standards	
	Appendix C—Early Notification Form	
	Appendix D—Review Completion Notification Form	

[The next page is 12111.]

PRM Section 12110

Guidelines for Testing Compliance With MAS Membership Requirements

.01 This section describes the MAS membership requirements and provides suggested work programs for testing compliance with the requirements at a firm's executive office and as part of the engagement reviews of SEC audit clients.

MAS Membership Requirements

.02 The "Organizational Structure and Functions," SECPS §1000.8(h) and (i) of the SECPS Reference Manual requires that member firms:

- a. Adhere to the portions of the AICPA Code of Professional Ethics and Statements on Standards for Management Advisory Services dealing with independence in performing management advisory services for audit clients whose securities are registered with the SEC. Refrain from performing for such clients services that are inconsistent with the firm's responsibilities to the public¹ or that consist of the following types of services:
 - (1) Psychological testing
 - (2) Public opinion polls
 - (3) Merger and acquisition assistance for a finder's fee
 - (4) Executive recruitment as described in Appendix A²
 - (5) Actuarial services to insurance companies as described in Appendix A²
- b. Report annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client on the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered.³

Code of Professional Conduct

.03 When providing management advisory services, a firm must, as in all areas of practice, give consideration to its independence as set forth in the AICPA *Code of Professional Conduct* particularly in Rule 101. That rule precludes a firm from expressing an opinion on the financial statements of an enterprise which it services in any capacity equivalent to that of a member of management or of an employee. In rendering management advisory services to an audit client, a firm must take steps to ensure that such services do not place the firm in a position similar to that of a member of management or an employee.

¹ In evaluating whether a service is "inconsistent with the firm's responsibilities to the public," the SECPS Executive Committee has determined that reviewers should be concerned with the firm's role in providing that service and with the firm's independence.

² See Appendix A to the "Organizational Structure and Functions" section (SECPS §1000 of the SECPS Reference Manual).

³ See also SECPS §1000.8(g)(13) of the "Organizational Structure and Functions" section for the requirement that member firms provide in its annual report to the SEC practice section information concerning the fees for MAS services performed for SEC audit clients.

Role of MAS Practitioner

.04 The first Statement on Standards for Consulting Services issued by the AICPA Management Consulting Services Executive Committee specifically deals with independence: "In performing an MAS engagement, an MAS practitioner should not assume the role of management or take any positions that might impair the MAS practitioner's objectivity."

Evaluating a Firm's Role

- .05 To obtain a general familiarity with and to evaluate a firm's role in a particular MAS engagement, pertinent engagement documents should be reviewed. Considerations in evaluating a firm's role in a particular MAS engagement include
 - a. The firm's understanding with the client regarding the respective roles and responsibilities of the firm and the client.
 - b. Management's participation in the engagement.
 - *c*. The firm's communications to the client on the significant alternatives considered and the reasoning supporting any recommendations.

TESTING COMPLIANCE WITH MAS MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS SUGGESTED EXECUTIVE OFFICE WORK PROGRAM

	(Name of Firm)		
		Initial	Date
<u>Be</u>	fore Practice Office Reviews		
1.	If applicable, obtain from the firm and read a description of: a. The firm's policies and procedures established to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of compliance with the MAS membership requirements.		
	<i>b</i> . How the firm monitors compliance with such policies and procedures.		
2.	If the firm has monitoring procedures that test for compliance with the MAS membership requirements, review the scope, findings, and conclusions of the most recent monitoring procedures as they relate to the MAS membership requirements.		
3.	To the extent compliance can be tested at the executive office, test compliance with the firm's policies and procedures established to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of compliance with the MAS membership requirements.		
4.	Consider the results obtained from the above procedures and make appropriate changes to the suggested engagement work program.		
<u>Af</u>	ter Practice Office Reviews		
5.	Summarize the scope, findings, and conclusions of the engagement reviews.		

No

0	
Conc	lusions
COHO	lusioni

- 6. Based on the results obtained from the foregoing procedures, are the firm's policies and procedures established to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of conforming with the MAS membership requirements:
 - a. Appropriately comprehensive and suitably designed for the firm?*
 - b. Adequately documented and communicated to professional personnel?*
- 7. While performing the foregoing procedures, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that the firm:
 - a. Did not adhere to the portions of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct or the MAS Standards dealing with independence when performing management advisory services for SEC audit clients?**
 - b. Performed proscribed services for SEC audit clients?**

Although it may be desirable, a firm is not required to establish policies and procedures to provide it with reasonable assurance of conforming with the MAS membership requirements; accordingly, a "no" answer could result in a comment in the letter of comments, but it would not result in a modified report.

^{**} A "yes" answer probably would result in a comment in the letter of comments and perhaps in a modified report.

TESTING COMPLIANCE WITH MAS MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS SUGGESTED ENGAGEMENT WORK PROGRAM

This program should be completed for each SEC audit client reviewed if the reviewed practice office issued the firm's audit report. (If several SEC audit clients are selected for review, a sample of MAS engagements may be selected from all such engagements performed for these clients.)

th	e au	dit f	ewed practice office is responsible for SEC audit clients for which the MAS for each, this program should also be completed for a sample of the MAS engagements.		
			(Office Number) (Engagement Number	er)	
				Initial	Date
1.	req of tota	uire direc al fe	the firm's documentation of compliance with the membership ment that a member firm report annually to the audit committee or board ctors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client on the es received from the client for management advisory services during the der audit and a description of the types of such services rendered.		
2.	per aud	form dit r	the information obtained above, select a sample of MAS engagements and by U.S. practice offices. Include the practice office that issued the firm's export and other offices that performed MAS engagements for that SEC ient.		
3.	pro	oced	ch MAS engagement included in the sample, perform the following ures to obtain a general familiarity with the firm's role in the MAS ment:		
	a.	the me	the extent necessary, read the written report issued upon completion of engagement, or if no such report was issued, read the file morandum documenting the significant recommendations and other tinent information discussed with the client.		
	b.	per	you are unable to obtain a general familiarity with the firm's role by forming procedure a., perform one or more of the following procedures he extent necessary to obtain such familiarity:		
		i.	Read the documentation of the firm's initial understanding with the client and any significant changes made in the nature or scope of the engagement as the work progressed. The documentation may consist of a contract, a letter of understanding, or a file memorandum summarizing the terms of an oral agreement.		
		ii.	Read the engagement plan and any revisions to it.		
		iii.	Discuss the MAS engagement with the personnel responsible for the MAS engagement.		
		iv.	Read any interim reports.		

v. Review selected working papers.

		Initial	Date
4.	Conclusions—While performing the foregoing procedures, did anything come		
	to your attention that caused you to believe that the firm:		
	a. Did not adhere to the portions of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct		
	or the MAS Standards dealing with independence?*		
	b. Performed a proscribed service?*		

[The next page is 12121.]

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ If yes, explain the findings that led to this conclusion.

Initial

Date

PRM Section 12120

Review Team Captain Checklist

This checklist should be used on peer reviews in conjunction with the General Instructions to Reviewers and other guidance material issued to implement the peer review program of the SEC Practice Section of the AICPA Division for CPA Firms. A copy of this checklist should be submitted to the AICPA together with a copy of the firm-wide summary review memorandum (Appendix A), including copies of any documents incorporated by reference. References are to Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer *Reviews*. (Section 2000 in the SECPS Reference Manual, loose-leaf edition.)

Questions regarding the use of this checklist or any other materials or about the review in general should be directed to the AICPA SEC Practice Section at either (888) 817-3277 or (201) 938-3030, or by email, secps@aicpa.org.

Prior to the Review

- 1. Review background information furnished by the firm for completeness and obtain additional information, if needed (Standards, SECPS §2000.52). (If the information provided to the AICPA by the firm differs significantly from the information provided by the firm to you, please reconcile and notify the administrating entity, if necessary.) If the firm has had a significant acquisition of another practice, or divestiture of a portion of its practice including the sale of any portion of the firm's non-attest practice to a non-CPA owned entity during or subsequent to the peer review year, consult with the SECPS Peer Review Committee or its staff to determine the structure of the firm and the scope of the review (*Standards*, SECPS §2000.49).
- 2. Discuss with AICPA Practice Monitoring staff the composition of the review team. Consider the need for individuals with expertise in specialized areas (Standards, SECPS §2000.27-.31) and the requirement that reviewers be independent of the reviewed firm (Standards, SECPS §2000.12-.23 and Appendix A, SECPS §2000.142). Review and approve the composition of the review team.

Discuss with AICPA Practice Monitoring staff the estimated date of the firm-wide exit conference.1 Call (or visit) the firm sufficiently in advance (ordinarily three weeks) of the review in order to make necessary arrangements. During the call (or visit): Make certain that the reviewed firm has received a letter from the AICPA Practice Monitoring Department confirming that it has received the information about the firm and the review team. If the letter was not received, contact the AICPA Practice Monitoring Department. The review team ordinarily should not hold the exit conference until the results of the peer review have been summarized and the report and letter of comments, if any, have been drafted, or a detailed outline has been prepared of the matters to be included in these documents. If there is uncertainty about the type of report to be issued, the review team should postpone the exit conference

until a decision is reached (Standards, SECPS §2000.92-.93).

		Initial	Date
b.	Request the completed Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire portion of the <i>Peer Review Program Guidelines</i> (PRM §13200).		
с.	Request the firm's written independence policies covering relationships between restricted entities and the member firm (including where applicable, its foreign-associated firms, its benefit plans and its professionals). In addition, request the corrective steps taken and documentation maintained on all apparent violations reported by professionals within the U.S. member firm.		
d.	Request a copy of the firm's documentation maintained since its last peer review to demonstrate compliance with the monitoring element of quality control (Standards, SECPS §2000.52–.56).		
e.	If the firm uses quality control materials (e.g., an audit and accounting manual or standardized forms, checklists, or questionnaires) that have been purchased from another accounting firm or some other third party and that have been reviewed by an independent third party, obtain a copy of the most recent report, letter of comments, and response thereto issued in conjunction with the review of those materials (<i>Peer Review Program Guidelines</i> , PRM §13300).		
f.	Obtain the following lists from the firm: ²		
	 Those SEC audit clients for which the fees for management advisory services exceed the audit fees.[SECPS §2000.77(a)]. 		
	• New SEC engagements (1) for which there was a predecessor accountant or auditor, and (2) for which the reviewed firm's first report on accounting and auditing services related to a period that ended during the firm's peer review year [SECPS §2000.77(c)].		
	• Those SEC engagements accepted since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously had a review) where, as reported in a Form 8-K, in a similar public filing, such as a document filed with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board, or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or in a document filed with the Office of Thrift Supervision that is available to the successor auditor, the former accountant resigned (or declined to stand for reelection), or there was a reported disagreement over any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, or there was a reportable event as defined in item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation		

S-K [SECPS §2000.77(b)].

² In addition, the reviewer may wish to consider obtaining a list of SEC engagements where since the end of the last peer review year the firm ceased to be the auditor.

		Initial	Date
	 Those engagements that are subject to SEC independence rules, but are not SEC registrants as defined by the SEC Practice Section (e.g., brokers and dealers, commodity futures trading dealers). 		
<i>g</i> .	Inquire whether the firm has had a previous peer review and, if so, request a copy of the report, letter of comments, letter of response, and the letter accepting those documents from the reviewed firm (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.73).		
h.	In setting the scope of the review, consider litigation, proceedings, or investigations against the firm or its personnel reported to the Quality Control Inquiry Committee since the date of the firm's last peer review (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.72).		
i.	Request firm to designate a partner or senior staff member as liaison to provide administrative assistance to the review team.		
j.	Discuss the travel and hotel arrangements for the review, engagement letter, period to be reviewed (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.47), timing of exit conference, etc.		
k.	Ascertain which persons in the firm are responsible for the various quality control functions and arrange an interview schedule for the review team with such persons.		
l.	Inquire whether—		
	(1) The firm is being or has been investigated during the last three years by any state board of accountancy or AICPA or state society professional ethics committee in connection with the quality of the firm's accounting and auditing practice and, if available, the results thereof.		
	(2) There are any restrictions or limitations on the firm's or its personnel's ability to practice accounting and auditing, that were effective during the period since the firm's last review (or since joining the SECPS, whichever is later), and that were imposed by or agreed to with other regulatory, monitoring or enforcement bodies (such as the SEC, DOL, GAO). If yes, include in the scope of the peer review an evaluation of the adequacy of the firm's action to comply with such restrictions or limitations.		
	(3) There are any limits to access to records and systems of control (i.e., independence system), including but not limited to employee files of leased and per diem employees and client acceptance documentation. If such limitations do exist, consult with AICPA SECPS staff.		
	(4) There are any other situations that may affect the scope of the peer review.		

		Initial	Date
m.	For multi-office firms, determine which procedures must be reviewed at practice offices and select certain offices for visit (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.67–.75). Inform the reviewed firm of offices selected for visit as close to the scheduled review dates as practicable. However, the visits are not expected to be on a surprise basis.		
n.	Select a review period which covers a current period of one year. This review period should be mutually agreed upon by the reviewed firm and the review team captain (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.47 and Appendix B, SECPS §2000.145). The review should ordinarily be conducted within three or four months following the end of the year to be reviewed. The review period does not have to coincide with the reviewed firm's fiscal year-end.		
0.	Obtain a sufficient understanding of the following areas to plan the review:		
	(1) The nature and extent of the firm's accounting and auditing practice.		
	(2) The design of the firm's system of quality control, including any portion of the firm's system of quality control that reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of one or more non-CPA owned entities with which the firm is closely aligned through common employment, leasing of employees, equipment, facilities, etc., or other similar arrangements.		
	(3) The potential effectiveness of the monitoring procedures performed since the prior review.		
	(4) The impact of any regulatory requirements imposed on the firm due to its being closely aligned with a non-CPA owned entity.		
p.	Assess inherent risk and control risk (and determine whether the firm's inspection program for the current year is likely to enable the review team to reduce the number of offices or engagements to be reviewed or the extent of the functional area reviews, and if so, perform tests of the findings and conclusions of the current year's inspection program).		
q.	Make an initial selection of offices and engagements for review based upon the risk assessment. Engagements selected for review should be those with years ending during the period under review unless a more recent report has been issued at the time of selection. In addition, at least one of each of the following types of engagements should be selected for review:		
	SEC engagements.		
	• Engagements performed during the peer review year, or subsequently, in connection with a filing under the Securities Act		

of 1933 [Standards, SECPS §2000.77(d)].

		Initial	Date
•	SEC clients where the fees for management advisory services exceed the audit fees. [Standards, SECPS §2000.77(a)]		
•	Engagements subject to the Government Auditing Standards if the review is intended to satisfy the requirements of those standards [Standards, SECPS §2000.77(f)].		
•	Federally insured depository institution engagements with more than \$500 million in total assets subject to Section 36 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act if the peer review is intended to satisfy the requirements of that Act established by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 [Standards, SECPS §2000.77(g)].		
•	Multi-office engagements (the work performed by the office with primary responsibility for the engagement and by at least one of the domestic offices that performs work on a significant segment of the engagement) [Standards, SECPS §2000.77(e)].		
•	All engagements, or portions of all engagements, in the offices visited that are on both the list described in the second bullet (new SEC engagements) and the list described in the third bullet (resignations or reported disagreements on new SEC engagements) of step I.4(e) in PRM §12100. In any event, at least one engagement on the list described in the second bullet should be reviewed in each office visited [Standards, SECPS §2000.77(b) and (c)].		
•	In addition, because of the attention focused by regulators and legislators on audits conducted pursuant to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) of 1974, the peer review should include at least one such audit engagement if the firm intends to continue to perform such audits pursuant to that Act [Standards SECPS §2000.77(h)].		
	eviations from these requirements may be necessary. In such cases, nsider consultation with the AICPA SECPS staff.)		
pro ma eng loc	r those engagements selected, request the firm to complete the offile sheets of the engagement review checklists. ³ In order to sintain client confidentiality, code numbers should be assigned to gagements selected. If the engagement working papers are not rated at the practice office to be visited, request the firm to arrange of the working papers to be forwarded [Standards, SECPS §2000.148)].		

r.

³ To minimize any inference that advance selections may afford undue opportunities for last minute "clean-up" of the files, it is preferable that the selection of some engagements not be made known to the firm (or office) until the review team arrives (*Standards*, Appendix E SECPS §2000.147).

Date

Initial

		S.	If the firm states that certain engagements that you have selected are not to be reviewed, determine the reasonableness of the explanation. Consider what other actions may be appropriate in the circumstances and whether the engagements excluded from review place a limitation on the scope of the review (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.51).	
		t.	Contact all review team members (if any) to discuss arrangements with them.	
		и.	Ascertain if the firm reported in its recent SECPS annual report that it is a member of, has a correspondent relationship with, or is similarly associated with international firms or an international association of firms that have provided written representation of the adoption of certain policies and procedures consistent with Appendix K (SECPS 1000.45.)	
		v.	On a sample basis, request from the firm supporting documentation pertaining to compliance with Appendix K (e.g., policies and procedures, inspection results, written representations etc.) to obtain a sufficient understanding of how the firm has complied with the membership requirement set forth in SECPS 1000.08(n).	
II.	At	Beg	inning of Review (Before Starting)	
	1.		rive at the firm's office prior to the other review team members in order perform preliminary planning, as necessary.	
	2.	esp in s the	et with reviewers to orient them to firm policies and procedures, recially the information obtained or a result of performing the procedures step 1.4 of this checklist. Each team member should read the sections of firm's quality control policies and procedures questionnaire and the ality control document (if any) relative to their part of the review.	
	3.		roduce reviewers to appropriate firm personnel and tour the office. (A neral meeting of reviewers and firm personnel may be desirable.)	
	4.	que pre (State ma the corrince	truct the reviewers as to the manner in which working papers, estionnaires, checklists, and other notes relating to the review are to be spared during the course of the review to facilitate summarization andards, SECPS §2000.94–.97). Explain the method of documenting the tters that, in the reviewer's opinion, could be significant deficiencies in design of the firm's quality control procedures or significant lack of impliance therewith and that might affect the review team's report or be luded in the letter of comments. (The form provided for documentation such items is captioned "Matter for Further Consideration.")	
	5.	ass	ke final selection of engagements for review based upon the risk essment. Request the firm to fill out the profile sheets and to provide the rking papers and other client files	

			Initial	Date
	6.	Explain "key-area" concept of engagement reviews to reviewers. (See "Emphasis on Key Audit Areas" in the Instructions to Reviewers.)		
	7.	Assign responsibilities for review of the functional quality control areas, engagements, and membership requirements. (Engagement reviewers must be independent with respect to the engagement and not have a conflict of interest— <i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.12–.23 and Appendix A, SECPS §2000.144). Time must be scheduled to permit proper supervision and review of the work of the reviewers.		
	8.	If the firm was previously reviewed (Standards, SECPS §2000.73):		
		<i>a.</i> Document the review team's evaluation of the actions taken by the firm in response to the prior report and letter of comments.		
		<i>b</i> . Consider whether matters, if any, discussed in the firm's prior report, letter of comments, and response thereto require additional emphasis in the current review.		
III.	Dι	uring the Review		
	1.	Gain an understanding of the type of firm structure in all relevant matters, such as: the nature of the organization, arrangements with non-CPA owned entities, and utilization of leased and per diem staff, and the impact of such structure on the firm's system of quality control.		
	2.	Gain an understanding of the firm's professional management environment and the business environment in which the firm and its clients practice.		
	3.	Prepare or supervise the preparation of modifications to programs and tests of compliance with the firm's quality control system after studying and evaluating the system and the firm's monitoring procedures. Approve the nature and extent of tests to be performed.		
	4.	Ascertain that the scope of the peer review includes an adequate sample of audit and accounting engagements based upon the risk assessment and consider whether there is a need for further modifications in program or approach.		
	5.	Ascertain that for all engagements included on the listing obtained in the third bullet of item I.4 (e) the review procedures performed include a review of (1) the existing client-acceptance documentation that relates to the matters or procedures that were the subject of the resignation, disagreement or reportable event, and (2) such current or prior period's engagement working papers, financial statements or auditor's reports to the extent considered necessary to be able to evaluate whether the matters or procedures were handled appropriately.		

			Initial	Date
6.	For con nec			
7.		nsult with SECPS staff (and indicate name and date below) whenever of the following situations develop:		
	SEC	CPS Technical Manager Consulted:		
	Na	me Date		
	a.	When the firm has sold a portion of its non-attest practice to a non-CPA owned entity and entered into a service arrangement with that non-CPA owned entity to provide employees, office space, equipment, etc. for which the firm remits a percentage of its revenues or profits.		
	b.	When difficulties are encountered or circumstances appear to dictate departure from the guidelines—e.g., such as in selection of engagements for review.		
	с.	When difficulties are encountered in selecting a reasonable cross section of the firm's accounting and auditing practice based on the engagement selection criteria set forth in the peer review standards.		
	d.	When the review team feels it does not have the expertise required to satisfactorily accomplish the required engagement reviews.		
	e.	When consideration is being given to discontinuing the review.		
	f.	When the team encounters a situation where it and the reviewed firm disagree about whether there is a need to take action to prevent future reliance on a previously issued report, pursuant to the <i>AICPA Professional Standards</i> , vol. 1, AU section 561.		
	8.	When the review team encounters a situation where it and the reviewed firm disagree about whether there is a need for additional auditing procedures to provide a satisfactory basis for a previously expressed opinion, pursuant to the <i>AICPA Professional Standards</i> , vol. 1, AU section 390.		
	h.	When the review team encounters a situation where it and the reviewed firm disagree about whether the firm had a reasonable basis under the standards for accounting and review services for the report issued.		
	i.	When issuance of a modified or adverse report is being considered.		
	j.	When there is any uncertainty about whether a letter of comments should be issued.		

		Initial	Date
8.	Prepare a summary of "no" answers on engagements for each office reviewed. It may be helpful to classify comments as those relating to design, performance, compliance-membership, compliance-other, and		
	documentation.		
9.	Review the summary of "no" answers on engagements (Step 8) and ascertain that all items considered to be significant are included on a "Matter for Further Consideration" (MFC) form.		
10.	Review all MFC forms, including the reviewed firm's written responses. Make sure the reviewed firm agrees with the facts or explains its reasons for disagreement.		
11.	Prepare, or obtain from the individual in charge of the review of each office, a memorandum summarizing the results of the review of that office using the format suggested in Appendix A to this checklist.		
12.	Prepare the summary review memorandum (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.96 and Appendix A to this checklist).		
13.	Develop a list of points to be discussed at the exit conference. Give appropriate consideration to the distinction between matters that may require modification of the report, other matters that should be included in the letter of comments, and other comments or suggestions.		
14.	Notify SECPS staff promptly if there is a change in the date of the exit conference.		
IV. A	t Completion of Review		
1.	Communicate findings to appropriate individuals at the exit conference (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.92–.93). ⁴ The team captain should direct the conference to the maximum extent possible. Discuss with the firm:		
	<i>a</i> . The report and letter of comments, if any, are not final until accepted by the SECPS Peer Review Committee.		
	b. If applicable, until independence standards that define the independence requirements related to service arrangements with non-CPA owned entities, including the use of the personnel of such non-CPA owned entities that would be required to comply with those requirements when the firm audits the financial statements of clients subject to the SEC's securities laws, the letter of comments will include a finding that addresses that the firm and its owners are closely aligned with the non-CPA owned entity through common employment, employee leasing, and other service arrangements, and that independence rules do not currently exist.		

⁴ See footnote 1.

Date

Initial

	С.	It is the firm's responsibility to send the report, and, if applicable, the letter of comments and response thereto, to the SECPS within 15 days of the date the report and letter of comments are issued.				
	d.	The letter of response should be addressed to the SECPS Peer Review Committee and should describe the action(s) taken or planned with respect to each matter included in the letter of comments.				
	е.	It is the firm's responsibility to submit a draft of the letter of response to the team captain for review and comment prior to submitting the response to the SECPS.				
	f.	When submitting the peer review documents to the SECPS Peer Review Committee, the firm should also confirm in writing that:				
		(1) A draft of the letter of response was sent to the team captain.				
		(2) The draft was discussed with the team captain.				
		(3) Appropriate actions were taken on the comments, if any, received from the team captain on the draft.				
		(A suggested transmittal letter for the firm to communicate this information to the SECPS Peer Review Committee is included as Appendix B to PRM Section 11100 in the "Instructions to Firms.")				
	g.	The actual costs incurred to date (time and expenses) plus anticipated cost to complete all aspects of the review.				
2.	thre	mplete the "Early Notification Form" and mail to the SECPS within ee days of the exit conference. (Use attached notification form—pendix C)				
3.	Prepare appropriate report on results of the review on AICPA letterhead. (Standards, SECPS §2000.104–.115).					
4.	Prepare letter of comments, if any, on AICPA letterhead (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.116–.120).					
5.	ma	mplete the summary review memorandum which should cover the atters included in the attached Appendix A and should be placed in the orking papers. (Also, see <i>Standards</i> , SECPS §2000.96.)				
6.		thin 30 days of the exit conference, submit report and letter of mments, if any, to the firm.				

		Initial	Date
7.	Within 30 days of the exit conference, submit the following to AICPA Practice Monitoring Department:		
	a. This checklist.		
	b. Firm-wide summary review memorandum (Appendix A), including copies of the report, letter of comments, and any other documents incorporated by reference (<i>Standards</i> , SECPS §5000.23–.24).		
8.	Communicate any suggestions on how to improve auditing standards to the AICPA Auditing Standards Division. (See attached Appendix B.) (This communication is optional.)		
9.	Notify SECPS staff that the review has been completed and that report and letter of comments, if any, have been issued. (Use attached notification form—Appendix D.)		
10.	When completed and in condition for review, send all working papers to the SECPS by an insured carrier. The files should be segregated as follows and should be sent under separate cover:		
	 Working papers dealing with individual engagement reviews. 		
	• Remainder of working papers, including office and firm-wide summary review memorandums and summary engagement checklists.		
11.	Notify AICPA Practice Monitoring Department staff that review has been completed and that report and letter of comments, if any, have been issued. (Use attached notification form—Appendix D.)		

[The next page is 12133.]

Appendix A

Instructions for Use of Summary Review Memorandum Questionnaire

The "Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews" require that a summary review memorandum (SRM) be prepared. The purpose of the SRM is to document (1) the planning of the review, (2) the scope of the work performed, (3) the findings and conclusions supporting the report and letter of comments issued, and (4) the comments communicated to senior management of the reviewed firm that were not deemed of sufficient significance to include in the letter of comments.

Separate SRMs ordinarily should be prepared for each office visited, and a firm-wide SRM should be prepared describing the overall findings and conclusions.

The attached questionnaire, if properly completed, should provide the documentation necessary to meet the aforementioned objectives. If there is insufficient space in the questionnaire to fully describe any matters, additional sheets should be used and attached to the questionnaire.

Experience indicates that the questionnaire can best be utilized for peer reviews of firms with three or fewer offices. Peer reviews of firms with more than three offices may require a separately prepared and more detailed memorandum.

A copy of the firm-wide summary review memorandum and of an appropriate team captain checklist must be submitted to the staff of the SEC Practice Section for all reviews, whether conducted by committee-appointed review teams, firms, or teams appointed by authorized associations or state societies.

[*The next page is* 12135.]

SEC PRACTICE SECTION PEER REVIEW PROGRAM SUMMARY REVIEW MEMORANDUM

Firm-Wide

Review	ed Firm's Name	PRACTICE
Review	ed Firm's Address	SECTION
	view Year End	
	of Review:	
Issuanc Mailing I. De	of working papers	valent)
В.	Indicate extent of in	ndustry specializations, if any:

II. Scope of Work Performed

A. Accounting and		\))	es: 		OFFI	CES		
	Hrs.	No. of Engs.	Hrs.	No. of Engs.	Hrs.	No. of Engs.	Hrs.	No. of Engs.
Audits: SEC Clients ¹ Other SEC Engagements ² "Yellow Book" ³ ERISA Other Reviews ⁴		SE	СТ	I (N			
Compilations ⁴ Other Accounting Services ⁵								
B. Engagements Ro					OFFI	CES		
-	T	OTAL						
	Hrs.	No. of Engs.	Hrs.	No. of Engs.	Hrs.	No. of Engs.	Hrs.	No. of Engs.
Audits: SEC Clients ¹ Other SEC Engagements ² "Yellow Book" ³ ERISA Other Reviews ⁴ Compilations ⁴ Other Accounting Services ⁵								
Percentage of A&A Practice Reviewed								

¹ Includes clients for which the firm is the principal auditor-of-record pursuant to the first paragraph of the definition contained in Appendix D SECPS §1000.38 of the SECPS Reference Manual.

² Includes other engagements defined as SEC engagements pursuant to the second paragraph of the definition contained in Appendix D SECPS §1000.38 of the SECPS Reference Manual.

³ Includes audits of entities subject to *Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards* ("Yellow Book").

⁴ The number of engagements should include all monthly, quarterly, and annual reports issued.

⁵ Encompasses all other accounting and auditing services for which professional standards have been established, including engagements to report on an entity's system of internal accounting control, its financial forecast, the results of applying agreed-upon procedures to specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement, etc.

C.	million in total assets subject to Section 36 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act? Yes No If yes, how many were included in the scope of the peer review?	
D.	Did the Firm perform any auditing procedures, (including subsequent event procedures), during or subsequent to the peer review year in connection with any filing under the Sec Act of 1933? Yes No If yes, were any such engagements included in the scope peer review (See SECPS §2000.77d.)? Yes No If applicable, did such reviews include the review of the 1933 Act Filing? Yes No N/A And (2) the procedures requied AU 711? Yes No N/A	urities of the ıde (1)
E.	Has the firm had a significant increase in new clients and/or experienced personnel during peer review year or subsequently up to the date of the peer review report? Yes No _ yes, refer to the SECPS Practice Aid titled "Assessing the Effect on a Firm's System of Control Due to a Significant Increase in New Clients and/or Experienced Personnel", described these matters had on the scope of the peer review, and include your observations about the firm dealt with the types of matters described in the Practice Aid.	If Quality ibe the
III. P	nning the Review	
A.	Composition of Review Team:	
	1. Team Captain	
	Position	
	Areas of Experience ⁶	
	2. Team Member	
	Position	
	Areas of Experience ⁶	
	3. Team Member	
	Position	
	Areas of Experience ⁶	
В.	Describe basis for and degree of reliance on the firm's inspection program. (Reliance should placed on the firm's inspection program when one was not performed during the current year	
C.	If the firm was previously reviewed, indicate, based on your evaluation of the actions taken firm in response to the matters in the prior report and letter of comments, whether such n required additional emphasis in the current review.	
		-

 $^{^{6}\,}$ As it relates to the reviewed firm's practice.

D.	De	velopment of Review Program:							
	1.	Describe peer review programs used and indicate any deviations therefrom:							
		PRACILLE							
	2.	Describe the risk assessment of the firm's accounting and auditing practice and its quality control system, the number of offices and engagements selected for review, and the basis for that selection in relation to the risk assessment. (Attach a memorandum if more space is needed.)							
E.	Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements or present or former SEC client? Yes No								
	If y	es, please complete the following. <i>However, specific litigation should not be identified</i> :							
	1.	In planning the peer review, did the review team obtain permission from the firm and either:							
		a. Read the complaint(s) or							
		b. Review the QCIC file or otherwise contact the SECPS QCIC Staff to obtain further background on such matters and consider the alleged quality control issues cited in the allegations? Yes No							
	Ex	plain No answer or nature of consideration given:							
	2.	Describe the review team's (1) consideration of the effect of such matter(s) on the scope of the peer review and (2) the results of the firm's compliance with SECPS membership requirements (e.g. timeliness of notifying the SECPS Quality Control Inquiry Committee regarding the complaint(s), the firm's policies and procedures with respect to matters referred to the AICPA Professional Ethics Division and subsequently deferred, policies with respect to newly admitted partners, etc. (See SECPS Membership Requirement Appendix M §1000.47)):							

	F.	If the reviewed firm performs management advisory services for SEC audit clients and the fees for such services exceed the audit fees, did you select one or more such audit engagements for review? Yes No N/A If no, give reasons.
		PRACTICE
IV.	Fu	nctional Areas and Engagements Not Reviewed
	A.	Indicate functional areas not reviewed and give reasons:
	В.	Were you requested not to review any engagements? Yes No If yes, describe the reason for the request and whether you were satisfied as to the reason and the effect on the scope of the review.
v.	Ov	erall Findings and Conclusions:
	A.	Based on reading the information obtained from the questionnaire filled out by the reviewed firm and other relevant written firm materials, discussions with firm personnel, the results of the specific procedures performed and engagements reviewed and giving due consideration to factors such as the size of the firm, the degree of operating autonomy allowed its personnel and its practice offices, the nature of its practice, its organization, and appropriate cost-benefit considerations, do you conclude that the firm's policies and procedures were appropriately designed and the firm complied with its policies and procedures with respect to the following quality control elements? For any deficiencies uncovered by your review indicate below the effect of those deficiencies:

		Def	iciencies		porting Implicat	ions
	Conclusion	A Design Deficiency	Noncompliance with system of quality control	Result in modified or adverse report	Included in the letter of comments	Discussed at exit conference ⁷
	Yes No	Yes ⁸ No	Yes ⁸ No	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No
nd Objectivity sonnel Management ceptance and Continuance f Clients and Engagements gagement Performance	PR SE	AC				
Attach a copy of the	report issued	l.				
		? Yes N	o If yes, at	tach a copy.	If no, give rea	ason why no
repeated in the letter what the firm has co	er of commen done or plans	ts on the cu to do to pi	rrent review?	Yes No _	If yes, ple	ease describe
	If the firm was pre repeated in the letter what the firm has o	Rependence, Integrity and Objectivity assonnel Management ceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements gagement Performance onitoring ambership Requirements Attach a copy of the report issued letter of comments was issued. If the firm was previously peer repeated in the letter of comments what the firm has done or plans	Conclusion Yes No Yes ⁸ No Rependence, Integrity and Objectivity resonnel Management reptance and Continuance onitoring rembership Requirements Attach a copy of the report issued. Was a letter of comments issued? Yes N Retter of comments was issued.	Conclusion Conclusion Deficiency Deficiency With system of quality control	Result in modified or adverse report Yes No Yes8 No Yes8 No Yes8 No Yes No Yes No Idependence, Integrity and Objectivity Sonnel Management ceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements gagement Performance omitoring embership Requirements Attach a copy of the report issued. Was a letter of comments issued? Yes No If yes, attach a copy. letter of comments was issued. If the firm was previously peer reviewed, were any matters noted on repeated in the letter of comments on the current review? Yes No what the firm has done or plans to do to prevent a recurrence of the modified or adverse report are recommended.	Conclusion Yes No Yes N

 $^{^{7}}$ The nature and extent of the deficiency communicated should be summarized on page 12143 of this form.

⁸ Yes answers should be supported by Matters for Further Consideration Forms that are summarized on the Summary of Matters for Further Consideration and Conclusion form.

E.	Did the reviewed firm accept any SEC engagements since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review, whichever comes later, if the reviewed firm has not previously undergone a peer review) where, as reported in a Form 8-K, in a similar public filing, such as a document filed with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board, or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or in a document filed with the Office of Thrift Supervision that is available to the successor auditor, the former accountant resigned (or declined to stand for reelection) or there was a reported disagreement over any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, or there was a "reportable event" as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K? Yes No If yes, how many? For such engagements, did the review disclose any information that led the reviewers to question whether the matters or procedures that were the subject of the resignation, disagreement or reportable event were handled appropriately by the reviewed firm? Yes No If yes, describe such situations fully and indicate whether they led the reviewers to conclude that the reviewed firm should consider taking certain actions pursuant to AU Sections 561 or 390 [see questions V.I and J].
F.	If the firm performed monitoring procedures for the year covered by the peer review, or for a period close to the peer review year, do the findings differ in one or more significant respects from the findings of the peer review? Yes No If yes, briefly describe the general nature of the differences and their effects on the scope of the peer review.

G.	In a review of a multi-office firm, did the review team conclude that the degree of noncompliance at one or more offices was of such significance that a condition was created in which there was more than a remote possibility that the office(s) would not conform with professional standards of accounting and auditing engagements? Yes No If yes, briefly describe the nature and extens of the deficiencies noted in the office(s) or attach a copy of the summary review memorandum prepared on that office.						
	prepared on that office.						
	SECTION						
п.	If a letter of comments was issued, were there any matters included in the letter that did not result						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification was not warranted. ⁹						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						
	in a modified report for which the review team considered modifying the report? Yes No I yes, describe such matters fully, including the basis for the conclusion that a report modification						

 $^{^{9}\,}$ In such circumstances, AICPA Practice Monitoring staff should be consulted.

DD A CTLCE	
- PRACIICE	
CECTION	
SECTION	
	-

did app	If the review disclose any situations that led the reviewers to conclude that the financial statements in not conform in all material respects with generally accepted accounting principles (or, in policable, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP) and the auditor's/accountant's port was not appropriately modified? (AU561 and ET203) Yes No If yes:
1.	Describe such situations fully:
2.	Indicate whether the firm considered the matter.
3.	Describe the actions the firm has taken or plans to take.
4.	If the firm has taken the necessary actions, indicate whether you have reviewed documentation of such actions (for example, reissued report and financial statements or letter recalling previously issued reports) and whether the actions are appropriate.
5.	If the firm has not taken the necessary actions, indicate whether you concur with its planned actions. 10

¹⁰ If corrective actions are taken prior to the SECPS Peer Review Committee's acceptance of the peer review documents, review the documentation of such action and submit an addendum to this document describing that review and indicating whether you concur with the action.

1.	Describe such situations fully.
2.	Indicate whether the firm considered the matter.
3.	Describe the actions the firm has taken or plans to take.
4.	If the firm has taken the necessary actions, indicate whether you have review documentation of such actions (for example, reissued report and financial statements or let
	recalling previously issued reports) and whether the actions are appropriate.
5.	If the firm has not performed the necessary procedures, indicate whether you concur with planned actions. ¹¹

¹¹ See footnote 10.

Desc	ribe such situ	iations ru	lly.					
		ĐH) A					
		SI	$\Xi \in$		$\vdash \bigcirc$			
	7 4 1		d C		.1	1 1 1	1.	1
Desc	ribe the doctormed, and in	umentatio	on the fir	m has subs	sequently o	developed : fficient in th	regarding t	he prod
Desc	rribe the doctormed, and ir	umentatio	on the fir	m has subs	sequently of ation is su	developed : fficient in th	regarding t	he procances.
	ribe the doctormed, and in	umentatio	on the fir	m has subs	sequently of ation is su	developed : fficient in th	regarding t	he proc ances.
Desc	rribe the doctormed, and ir	umentatio	on the fir	m has subse document	sequently of tation is su	developed : fficient in th	regarding t	he proc ances.
	eribe the doctormed, and in	umentatio	on the fir	m has subs	sequently of	developed : fficient in th	regarding t	he proc ances.
	ribe the doctormed, and in	umentatio	on the fir	m has subs	sequently of	developed :	regarding t	he procances.
	rribe the doctormed, and in	umentatio	on the fir	m has subset document	sequently of	developed :	regarding t	he proc
	cribe the doctormed, and in	umentatio	on the fir	m has subset document	sequently of	developed :	regarding t	he proc
Desc	ribe the doctormed, and in	umentatio	on the fir	m has subset document	sequently of	developed :	regarding t	he proc
	ribe the doctormed, and in	umentatio	on the fir	m has subset document	sequently of	developed :	regarding t	he procances.
	rribe the doctormed, and in	umentatio	on the fir	m has subset document	sequently of	developed :	regarding t	he proc

1.	Describe such situations fully.
	PRACTICE
	SECTION
2.	Indicate whether the firm considered the matter.
3.	Describe the actions the firm has taken or plans to take.
4.	If the firm has completed the necessary actions, indicate whether you have reviewed the documentation of such actions and whether the actions are appropriate.
5.	If the firm has not yet taken the necessary actions, indicate whether you concur with i planned actions. ¹²

¹² See footnote 10.

1.	and L of this section? Yes No If yes:
1.	Describe such situations fully.
	SECTION
2.	Indicate whether the firm considered the matter.
3.	Describe the actions the firm has taken or plans to take.
4.	If the firm has completed the necessary actions, indicate whether you have reviewed the documentation of such actions and whether the actions are appropriate.
5.	If the firm has not yet taken the necessary actions, indicate whether you concur with its planned actions. 13

 13 See footnote 10.

D D A	CTICE	त २
SEC	TION	

[The next page is 12151.]

Appendix B

Comments to Improve Auditing and Quality Control Standards

A significant potential benefit of the AICPA peer review programs is the opportunity it provides to identify areas of practice where improvements can be made in the professional standards. Representatives of the SECPS Peer Review Committee and the AICPA Peer Review Board meet periodically with representatives of the Auditing Standards Board to discuss the implications of peer review results for standard setting. However, the committees believe the personal advice of those participating in peer reviews needs to be added to the process.

Your observations of the policies and practices in many different firms and your judgments about the efficient and effective application of professional standards are potentially valuable sources of input for the Auditing Standards Board. The Auditing Standards Board would appreciate receiving your comments on the attached questions as well as any other information that would be helpful to the standard-setting process. Responses should address areas where standards can be improved rather than specific peer review engagements and should not include the names of any reviewed firms. Responses should be sent directly to the SEC Practice Section along with the peer review working papers. The SECPS Peer Review Committee, the AICPA Peer Review Board and the Auditing Standards Board thanks you in advance for your contribution.

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants SEC Practice Section

Questionnaire

(use additional sheets for your comments, if necessary)

Is there particular guidance in any Statement on Auditing Standards, auditing interpretation Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements or Statements on Quality Control Standards to practitioners have difficulty applying? Yes No Please cite specific paragraph numbers of pronouncements to which the problems relate and descriptions of those problems.				
If you identified a problem above please make specific suggestions for making the pronouncement clearer or more useful.				
Have you identified any other areas of practice where additional guidance is needed? Yes No If yes, please provide a description of those areas.				
Team Captain				
Address				
Date				

Appendix C

Early Notification Form

Instructions

Team captains are asked to complete the following information after holding the exit conference and to mail the form to the address noted below within three days of the exit conference. Team captains are also asked to communicate any changes in the exit conference date on a peer review to the AICPA Practice Monitoring Department (201/938-3030) as soon as that change takes place.

Requested Information

1.	Firm Name	
2.	Firm Number or Review Number	
3.	The exit conference was held on (date)	
4.	The review team (circle) DOES/DOES NOT anticipate problems iss letter of comments within 30 days of the exit conference date, as requi	
5.	The review team anticipates issuing an (circle) UNQUALIFIED/MOD peer review.	DIFIED/ADVERSE report on this
6.	There (circle) ARE/ARE NOT unresolved questions about the firm standards for one or more engagements.	n's compliance with professiona
Tea	am Captain Signature:	Date:

When completed, this form should be immediately sent to:

SEC Practice Section American Institute of CPAs Harborside Financial Center 201 Plaza Three Jersey City, NJ 07311-3881 FAX: 201/521-5436

[*The next page is* 12155.]

Appendix D

Review Completion Notification Form

Date: To:	SEC Practice Section American Institute of CPAs Harborside Financial Center 201 Plaza Three Jersey City, NJ 07311-3881	
From	:	
	: (Name of the Team Captain)	
Re:	Review of	
	Firm Number Review Number	
1. O	On what date was the firm-wide exit conference held?	//
2. W	When was the report delivered to the reviewed firm?	/
3. W	What was the general nature of the report?*	
4. If	the report was qualified, what were the reasons for the qualification?*	
	When will the working papers be shipped to the AICPA Practice Monitoring Department?	/
	* * * * * * * *	
Team	Captain Signature: Date:	: / /

^{*} Please use the report codes on reverse. More than one reason can be indicated.

REPORT CODES

GENERAL NATURE OF THE REPORT

- 1 Unqualified Without a Letter of Comments
- 2 Unqualified With a Letter of Comments
- 3 Modified—Quality Control System (only)
- 4 Modified—Membership Requirements of the Division for CPA Firms (only)
- 5 Modified—Scope Limitation (only)
- 6 Modified—Quality Control System and Membership Requirements of the Division for CPA Firms
- 7 Adverse

REASONS FOR QUALITY CONTROL SYSTEM MODIFICATIONS

- 351 Independence, Integrity and Objectivity
- 352 Engagement Performance
- 353 Personnel Management
- 354 Acceptance and Continuance of Clients
- 355 Monitoring
- 401 Continuing Professional Education
- 402 Partner Rotation
- 403 Concurring Partner Review
- 404 Reporting to Audit Committees
- 405 Reporting to QCIC
- 406 Statement of Philosophy
- 499 Other

[The next page is 13001.]

17 4-02 **13001**



PEER REVIEW PROGRAM QUESTIONNAIRES AND GUIDELINES



Copyright © 1987, 1991, 1995 American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Inc., 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036-8775

PRM Section 13000

Peer Review Program Questionnaires and Guidelines

Table of Contents

Section		Page
13100	Instructions for Use of Peer Review Program Questionnaires and Guidelines	13101
13200	Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaires	13201
13300	Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures	13301
13400	Staff Interview Questionnaire	13401
13500	Quality Control Policies and Procedures Supplemental Questionnaire—Alternative Practice Structures	13501
13600	Exhibits	13601
	A—Matter for Further Consideration	
	B—Summary of Matter for Further Consideration Forms and Conclusions on the Review	
13700	Supplemental Peer Review Program Guidelines—Alternative Practice Structures	13701

[The next page is 13101.]

PRM Section 13100

Instructions for Use of Peer Review Program Questionnaires and Guidelines

General

17 4-02

- .01 A peer review is an independent evaluation of whether, during the year under review—
- a. The reviewed firm's system of quality control for its accounting and auditing practice met the objectives of quality control standards established by the AICPA (as set forth in Statement on Quality Control Standards No. 1, paragraph 7).
- b. The reviewed firm's quality control policies and procedures were being complied with in order to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of conforming with professional standards.
- c. The reviewed firm was complying with the applicable section's membership requirements.
- **.02** Peer reviews are to be conducted under the standards prescribed in the sections entitled "Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews" (section 2000, *SECPS Reference Manual*). These sections provide guidance for selecting the offices and engagements to be reviewed, and include examples of peer review reports and a letter of comments. Review team members are expected to be thoroughly familiar with the applicable section's standards prior to commencing a review.
- .03 These guidelines have been designed to facilitate evaluation of the reviewed firm's system of quality control, testing its compliance therewith, and testing compliance with the membership requirements of the applicable section. Although departures from these guidelines may occur in particular situations, with the approval of the team captain, reviewers should provide adequate documentation of the type contemplated in these guidelines.

Quality Control Policies and Procedures and Membership Requirements Questionnaire

.04 In advance of the review, the team captain should request that the reviewed firm complete the quality control policies and procedures questionnaire included in PRM §13200, and return it to the team captain prior to the review team's visit. Completion of the questionnaire assists the firm in accumulating and organizing the information regarding its quality control system and the section's membership requirements and expedites the work of the reviewers. Because the extent of documentation of quality control policies and procedures may vary from firm to firm, all firms should complete the questionnaire.¹

.05 In completing the questionnaire, the reviewed firm should use the response column or attach other sheets as necessary. Lengthy and elaborate answers are not expected. Rather, wherever practicable, the reviewed firm should provide references to policies and procedures in the firm's quality control document, staff manuals or other reference materials, which adequately convey the response to the particular

To assist it in evaluating whether it is ready for its initial peer review and in determining whether its quality control policies and procedures should be revised, a firm would be wise to complete the applicable questionnaire in PRM §13200 shortly after joining the AICPA Division for CPA Firms.

question. Such references will assist reviewers in finding the indicated policy or procedure in the reviewed firm's materials. In addition to responding to the questions, the reviewed firm should indicate any significant changes made in its quality control policies and procedures during the period or since the last peer review.

Suggested Review Procedures

- .06 To assist the review team in performing its work, suggested review procedures have been organized in two sections (PRM §13300 and PRM §13400) as hereinafter discussed. The team captain is responsible for the assignment of the various quality control elements for review to individual team members. Prior to performing the procedures suggested for the element assigned, the team member should compare the relevant sections of the firm's quality control policies and procedures with its responses to the quality control policies and procedures questionnaire and determine, to the extent applicable, the reasons for any significant differences between them. The extent of the review team's testing and the nature of its findings should be documented on appropriate pages of the guidelines and supplemented as necessary with additional pages.
- **.07** The team member(s) responsible for engagement reviews should, in particular, be familiar with the reviewed firm's policies and procedures for supervision and for consultation. If the AICPA engagement checklists are used, the questions should be augmented to include the reviewed firm's specific quality control policies and procedures applicable to engagements.
- **.08** The scope and adequacy of the reviewed firm's inspection program may affect the scope of the review. Therefore, the review of the firm's inspection program should be completed as soon as possible to determine whether the initial anticipated scope requires modification.

Peer Review Procedures

- .09 Suggested procedures for evaluating the appropriateness of the reviewed firm's quality control policies and procedures and for testing the firm's compliance therewith and with the applicable section's membership requirements have been included in PRM §13300 Series. The suggested procedures should be tailored by the reviewer as the circumstances may require. [For example, due to the size and nature of a firm's practice, a reviewer may decide to limit his review in such areas as assignment of personnel, hiring, or advancement to testing during engagement reviews. On all reviews, however, a reviewer must review in depth the functional areas of independence, supervision, professional development, inspection, consultation and acceptance and continuance of clients.]
- .10 Some of the suggested peer review procedures include interviewing personnel of the reviewed firm who are knowledgeable about particular quality control functions or have responsibility for a function (for example, assigning personnel to engagements, making hiring decisions, resolving independence questions). The objectives of these interviews include (a) corroborating the information provided to the reviewer in the firm's documented policies and procedures and in the questionnaire completed by the reviewed firm; (b) determining whether the firm's policies and procedures have been effectively communicated to the individual responsible for the particular quality control function; (c) determining as to whether the individual believes that he has sufficient authority to perform the assigned duties; and (d) obtaining additional information that may be deemed necessary.

Staff Interview Questionnaire

- .11 Certain of the suggested review procedures also call for interviewing selected staff other than those responsible for a particular quality control function. The objective of these interviews is to provide corroborative evidence that certain policies and procedures have been properly communicated. In evaluating the answers to the questions, the interviewer should carefully consider the interviewee's background, level of experience and position in the reviewed firm. The interviews are not necessarily determinative in regards to the nature of the report or the matters to be included in the letter of comments. Responses should be compared to other review findings.
- **.12** The individuals selected should have varying levels of experience and backgrounds. The number of individuals selected will be affected by the size and nature of the reviewed firm's practice.
- .13 Generally, the review team should select one individual at each level of responsibility below partner (for example, manager, supervisor, senior and staff accountant) in each office visited. If a firm does not designate levels of responsibility, the review team should select a cross section of the professional staff in each office visited based on other criteria, such as years of experience and responsibilities. A suggested questionnaire for such interviews is included in PRM §13400. To minimize disruptions to the reviewed firm's normal operations, all questions relative to the quality control system should be discussed, to the extent possible, at the same time with each of the individual(s) selected for interview. [The interviewer should not indicate the name of the individual(s) interviewed on the questionnaire.]

Matters for Further Consideration

- **.14** Exhibit A, PRM §13600, contains a sample form captioned "Matters for Further Consideration" (MFC). Reviewers should exercise professional judgment in determining whether a "no" answer is significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form. The purpose of the form is to communicate to the reviewed firm:
 - a. A matter that, in the reviewer's opinion, could represent a significant design deficiency in the reviewed firm's quality control policies and procedures, or significant noncompliance therewith, or with a membership requirement, and that might affect the report or letter of comments of the review team.
 - b. Other matters that, in the reviewer's opinion, should be communicated to the reviewed firm as matters that may require corrective action, and/or recommendations for improvement in the design of the quality control system.
- .15 Completion of the form requires a description of the matter, the reviewed firm's comments thereon, and information on the resolution of the matter. The form should be signed in the places indicated by the reviewer, the team captain, and an appropriate partner in the reviewed firm. The team captain should evaluate the substance of the matters described and their resolution and, after reviewing all such forms, should decide if, individually or collectively, they should affect the report of the review team or should be included in a letter of comments to the reviewed firm. (The team captain should be consulted when there are disagreements or differences in opinion between the reviewed firm and the review team members with respect to the interpretation of such matters.)
- **.16** The reviewer should also identify matters that, in his or her opinion, do not require corrective action, but that should be communicated to the reviewed firm as suggestions for improvements in the firm's quality control policies and procedures or compliance therewith. These suggestions should be summarized by the team captain, in whatever manner is most convenient, for communication to the appropriate partner(s) of the reviewed firm.

Summarization of Peer Review Findings

.17 The "Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews" requires that reviewers, at the conclusion of field work, summarize all of their findings, including all "no" answers to the individual engagement questions and MFCs. These summaries should be used to evaluate the nature, causes, pattern, pervasiveness, and significance of the deficiencies noted in (a) the design of the firm's quality control system, (b) compliance with the system or with professional standards, and (c) compliance with the membership requirements of the Section.

Reviews of Multi-Office Firms

- **.18** When a reviewed firm has more than one office, the procedures followed by both the reviewed firm and by the reviewers will be similar, but not identical, to the procedures followed in the review of a single office. Accordingly, the materials contained in these guidelines should be tailored as follows:
 - a. In preparing the questionnaire in PRM §13200, the reviewed firm should be careful to explain any variations among offices in policies and procedures, if they exist, and to identify locations where functions related to the entire firm are centralized.
 - b. When visits are made to more than one office, the team captain should prepare a copy of the appropriate portions of PRM §13300 for each office visited. Obviously, certain items will be addressed at only one location, such as evaluating firm policy or interviewing the individual responsible for a firm-wide function. Other items will be addressed at more than one location, such as reviewing certain types of files or interviewing persons responsible for functions administered on a decentralized basis.
 - c. PRM §13400 ordinarily should be used in each office visited.
 - d. Summaries of "no" answers and of MFCs ordinarily should be prepared so that findings at individual locations, as well as firm-wide totals, are readily identifiable.

[The next page is 13201.]

PRM Section 13200

Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaires

Table of Contents

Section		Page
13210	Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for a Sole Practitioner With No Professional Staff	13211
13240	Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for Firms With Two or More Professional Staff	13241

[The next page is 13211.]

PRM Section 13210¹

Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for a Sole Practitioner With No Professional² Staff

- .01 This section of the manual contains a questionnaire that a reviewed firm must complete prior to the commencement of the review. This questionnaire has been developed for a sole practitioner with no professional staff. Completion of the questionnaire assists a firm in accumulating and organizing the information regarding its quality control system.
- .02 The reviewed firm should respond directly with "Yes," "No," or "N/A" answers and briefly describe, where appropriate, the policies and procedures they have in effect that relate to the questions asked. Where appropriate, firms should make reference to any firm documents that describe those policies and procedures in more detail. Examples of such documents might be personnel manuals, audit and accounting manuals, a quality control document or manual, and firm forms and checklists. Lengthy and elaborate answers are not expected.
- .03 This questionnaire was developed from the AICPA *Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice.* The reviewed firm should be aware that each question does not relate to explicit requirements of professional standards; the questionnaire was prepared based on a model of suggested policies and procedures that firms are encouraged to consider in designing and maintaining a quality control system. As such, a "No" answer to a question does not necessarily indicate a problem with the firm's system of quality control. A firm's policies and procedures should be sufficient for it to obtain reasonable assurance of complying with professional standards.

.04 In the questionnaire there are a	number of questions that refer to SEC	PS member firms. If the
reviewed firm is not a member of the S	SEC Practice Section (SECPS), these ques	tions should be marked
N/A. However, if the reviewed firm has a	any SEC engagements, and is not a memb	er of the SECPS, they are
required to join SECPS and should contact	ct the AICPA for guidance.	-
•	Č	
Firm	Prepared By	Date

¹ This questionnaire is to be used on SECPS peer reviews of sole practitioners with no professional staff *only*.

² The term professional refers to all individuals who perform professional services for which the firm is responsible, whether or not they are CPAs (SQCS No. 2 par. 3, footnote 4).

Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for a Sole Practitioner With No Professional Staff

This questionnaire provides the reviewer with basic information. It is not necessarily a checklist of all the policies and procedures that might be applicable to a practice. Firms about to be reviewed should respond directly with "Yes," "No," or "N/A" answers and briefly describe, where requested, the policies and procedures they have in effect that relate to the questions asked. If necessary additional pages should be added. Where appropriate, make reference to any documents that describe those policies and procedures in more detail. Examples of such documents might be audit and accounting manuals and forms and checklists used in the practice.

		res	NO	N/A	Comments
A.	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity				
	Personnel will adhere to applicable independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements. These requirements include regulations, interpretations, and rulings of the AICPA, state CPA societies, state boards of accountancy, state statute, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other regulatory agencies where applicable.				
	1. Do you have a current edition of the AICPA <i>Professional Standards</i> which contains the profession's interpretations related to potential issues or situations related to independence, integrity, and objectivity? If "no," describe how you obtain reasonable assurance that you are aware of the applicable independence, integrity and objectivity rules.				
	2. Do you review relevant pronouncements related to independence, integrity, and objectivity in the <i>Journal of Accountancy</i> and retain copies of them? If "no," describe how you remain current.				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
3.	Do you document your independence on each engagement on a program step and require each per diem personnel to do the same? If "no," describe how independence is monitored?	r			
	PRACT	IC	E		
	SECTI		7		
		-			
4.	Do you review unpaid fees from clients to ascertain whether any outstanding amounts impair the firm's independence? If "no," describe how this is determined.	s			
		- - -			
		- - -			
		- - -			
5.	Are per diem personnel made aware that the following financial or other relationships may be prohibited, such as—				
	a. Business relationships with clients or with non- clients that have investor or investee relationships with clients?				
	b. Loans from client financial institutions?				
	c. Family members in director, officer, manager of audit sensitive positions with client entities including not-for-profit organizations?				
	d. Past-due fees for professional services?				
	e. Accounting or advisory services that have evolved into situations where the CPA has assumed some of the responsibilities of management?				
	f. Bookkeeping services to SEC clients?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	Describe any potential conflicts.		E		
6.	Did you establish written independence policies covering relationships between restricted entities and the member firm (including where applicable, its foreign-associated firms, its benefit plans and its professionals). If "no," describe how independence is monitored for these relationships.				
7. 8.	Did you periodically complete independence training as required by your firm's policies? A) Do you maintain a database ("Restricted Entity List") that includes all restricted entities? B) If "no," how do you monitor independence with restricted entities?				
9.	 Does your independence policies and procedures specifically require the following: Prior to you, your spouse, and your dependents acquiring any security or other financial interest in an entity, obtaining a loan from an entity, opening or modifying a brokerage account with an entity, did you review the Restricted Entity List? You shall certify initially and at least annually thereafter that you have read the firm's independence policies, understand their applicability to your activities and those of your spouse and dependents, and have complied with the requirements of the firm's independence policies since the prior certification? You shall report apparent violations of policies involving yourself and your spouse and dependents when identified and the corrective action taken or proposed to be taken on a timely basis? You shall have a monitoring system to determine that adequate corrective steps are taken and documented on all apparent violations? 				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
 You shall develop as part of your policies, guidelines for actions to be taken for violation of independence? 				
a. If "yes," are the above policies and procedures documented?	$\overline{\mathbb{C}}$	E		
b. If "no," describe how these policies and procedures are conveyed to you.				
c. If the above policies and procedures are not required by you, describe how independence is monitored for each policy and procedure.				
10. Does your monitoring system include procedures to ensure that information received from you is complete and accurate as to the requirements described in the second bullet in 9. above?				
a. If "yes," describe the procedures performed to ensure that the information is complete and accurate.				
b. If "no," describe how you ensure that the information is complete and accurate.				
When the sole practitioner acts as principal auditor, confirm the independence of another firm performing parts of an engagement.				
11. Does the sole practitioner have any engagements where it acts as principal auditor or accountant and another firm of CPAs is engaged to perform segments of the engagement? If "yes,"—				
 a. Describe how you confirm the independence of such other firm(s). The description should include the form and content of the confirmation. 				
				l

			ies	110	IN/A	Comments	
		b. If "no," how often is the confirmation obtain	ed?				
			-				
		D D A C	TIC	E			
				L			
		SECT					
В.	Per	sonnel Management					
		sole practitioner will maintain the degree of tecining and proficiency required in the circumstanc					
	1.	Do you evaluate the knowledge and ex required to perform an engagement prior to acc the engagement? If "no," describe how you dete that you can complete the engagement competer	epting ermine				
	2.	For each of the firm's accounting, auditing attestation engagements, have you determine you possess the following knowledge, skill abilities (competencies) to allow you to fulfil engagement responsibilities:	d that s and				
		 An understanding of the role of your system of quality control and the AICPA's of Professional Conduct. 					
		b. An understanding of the performance, super and reporting aspects of the engagement.	vision,				
		c. An understanding of the applicable according auditing, or attestation professional statincluding those standards directly related industry in which a client operates.	ndards				
		d. An understanding of the industry in which a operates, including the industry's organization operating characteristics, to identify the areas or unusual risk associated with an engagement of evaluate the reasonableness of industry estimates.	on and of high nt and				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
3.	e. f.	Skills that indicate sound professional judgment. An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information.	C	E		
3.	wh to des	you use per diem employees, have you set criteria nich the individuals employed must meet in order perform engagements competently? If "no," scribe how you determine if a per diem employee is bable of performing an engagement.				
spe det app	cific velop plica	e practitioner will participate in general and industry- continuing professional education and professional ment activities that satisfy responsibilities and fulfill ble continuing professional education requirements of CPA and regulatory agencies.				
4.	cor boa ma cor	you develop a professional development program asidering the requirements of the AICPA and state ards of accountancy? If "no," describe how you intain appropriate professional competency and appliance with AICPA, state boards, and other gulatory agencies' CPE requirements.				
5.	req wh soc Sec app	e you and, if applicable, per diem personnel in impliance with the professional education quirements of the board(s) of accountancy in state(s) here licensed, the AICPA (if applicable), the state CPA diety (if applicable), the Private Companies Practice ection (if applicable), the SEC Practice Section (if policable) and Government Auditing Standards—the fellow Book" (if applicable)? If the answer is "no,"—				
	a.	Explain instances of noncompliance.				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
6.	b.	Attach a list of those personnel who are not in compliance and indicate the firm's plan for correcting the situation.		E		
	a.	Participate in external professional development programs, describe:				
	b.	Participate in any professional organizations, describe:				
	с.	Serve on professional committees, write professional publications, describe:				
	d.	Consider changes to professional standards when determining professional development programs?				
7.	you star	you receive professional publications that keep a abreast of changes in accounting and auditing ndards, SEC rulings, and any client industry-ecific pronouncements? If "no," how do you keep rrent with changes, please describe:				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	PRACTI	C	E		
	SECTION OF THE SECTIO		\		
	ceptance and Continuance of Clients d Engagements				
	e sole practitioner will evaluate factors that have a cring on management's integrity.				
1.	Do you obtain information such as the following before accepting or continuing an engagement:				
	a. Available information regarding the client and its operations from sources such as prior-year reports, interim financial information, reports to regulatory agencies, enforcement actions by regulators, income tax returns, internally generated financial statements, credit reports, and for SEC registrants registration statements, Forms 10-K, Forms 8-K?				
	b. The nature and purpose of the services to be provided to the client by making inquiries of client management?				
	c. Information regarding the client and its management by making inquiries of third parties such as bankers, legal counsel, underwriters, and other members of the financial or business community who may have appropriate knowledge?				
2.	Do you document your communications with predecessor accountants, including inquiries regarding the nature of any disagreements and other events required to be reported on Form 8-K, and whether evidence of "opinion shopping" exists?				
3.	Do you evaluate the information obtained regarding management's integrity?				

			res	INO	IN/A	Comments
4.	If t	the answer is "no" to questions 1, 2, or 3 briefly				
1.						
	des	scribe the procedures you follow in making				
	acc	reptance and continuance decisions, including the				
			Salar Sa	1		
	inte	ormation obtained and considered. Also, describe				
	ant	y variations in those procedures based on factors				
	suc	ch as the nature and size of the engagement and				
		or experience with the client.				
	PH	or experience with the them.		100 0.03		
)			
				7 4		
·						
The	sol	le practitioner will evaluate whether the engagement				
		completed with professional competence and,				
acc	ordi	ingly, undertake only those engagements that can be				
	ıple					
anr	ron	riately consider the risk associated with providing				
pro	tess	ional services in particular circumstances.				
	,	•				
	_					
5.	Do	you consider conditions that require evaluation of a				
	cne	ent or a specific engagement and obtain relevant				
	info	ormation to determine whether a relationship should				
	be (continued? If "yes," do the conditions include:				
		•				
	a.	Establishing a time period for the evaluations?				
		O I				
	b.	Significant changes in the client (such as change in				
		ownership, senior personnel, directors, nature of				
		business, or the financial stability of the client)?				
		business, of the intartear stability of the elicity.				
	с.	Client delinquent in paying fees?				
	٠.	chem demiquent in paying ices.				
	d.	Engagements in specialized industries?				
	и.	Litgagements in specialized industries.				
	0	Engagements where there are hurdensome number				
	e.	Engagements where there are burdensome number				
		of hours required to complete the engagement?				
		1				
	f.	Engagements for entities in the development stage?				
	, .					
	If '	"no," how do you determine whether a client or				
	eng	gagement should be continued, please describe:				
		. 1				
			1	1	1	

D.

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
6.	Did you consider discontinuing any audit and accounting client relationships during the year under review but decide to continue? If "yes," explain:				
7.	For SECPS firms, if there has been a cessation of the				
7.	client-auditor relationship with an SEC registrant, did	7 7	T		
	you communicate in writing within 5 business days of)			
	the cessation to the SEC registrant with a copy to the		1		
	Office of the Chief Accountant of the SEC that the				
	client-auditor relationship has ceased [membership]				
	requirement SECPS §1000.08(m)]?				
The	e sole practitioner will obtain an understanding with				
the	client regarding services to be performed.				
8.	Do you adhere to all requirements set forth in				
	professional standards regarding obtaining an				
	understanding with the client?				
9.	Do you document your understanding with the client				
٦.	regarding the services to be performed by either				
	obtaining an engagement letter for all engagements,				
	thus minimizing the risk of misunderstandings				
	regarding the nature, scope, and limitation of the				
	services to be performed or documenting the				
	understanding in a memorandum? If not, describe				
	how you obtain assurance that your understanding is				
	in agreement with the client's understanding of the				
	work to be performed.				
	(P) (
Eng	gagement Performance				
The	sole practitioner will plan engagements to meet				
	fessional standards and the firm's requirements.				
Pie	jessionus sianumino unu ine jirm s requirementsi				
1.	Do your planning procedures include:				
	a. Developing or obtaining background information				
	on the client and the engagement?				
	b. Obtaining an understanding of the engagement				
	by use of an engagement letter or documentation				
	in the working papers?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
C	Reviewing prior financial statements and accountant/ auditor's report?				
ı	d. Using work programs?				
docu	sole practitioner will perform, supervise, review ment, and communicate in accordance with the irements of professional standards and the firm.		E		
1	Do you use purchased practice aids in the performance of the engagements? If "no," what do you use, please describe:				
1	Do you prepare workpapers and checklists to document the work performed on engagements? If 'no," how do you determine that appropriate work has been performed to justify the opinion expressed, please describe:				
4. I	Do you require documentation of:				
l	a. Consideration of internal control structure in planning and performing the engagement?				
l	a. Assessment of control risk?				
C	c. Consideration of audit risk and materiality when planning and performing an audit?				
l	d. Audit sampling techniques?				
ϵ	Consideration of fraud in the financial statement audit?				
f	Conduct of and degree of reliance placed on analytical procedures?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
5.	For per diem employees used, do you review and initial all workpapers prepared by them? If "no," how is the review documented, please describe:	C	Z II 🔻		
6.	Describe the steps you follow when you use other accounting firms for audit or accounting engagements? In the description, describe the form in which instructions are given to the other firms and the extent to which their work is reviewed, or indicate where your procedures for the supervision and control of that work is found.				
sitı	For SECPS firms, do you have a concurring partner review performed on all SEC engagements in accordance with SECPS §1000.08(f)? The sole practitioner will identify areas and specialized partions where consultation is required and will refer to				
con firm	horitative literature and practice aids and will sult, on a timely basis, with individuals outside the mean when appropriate (for example, when dealing with applex, unusual, or unfamiliar issues).				
8.	Do you maintain appropriate up-to-date technical reference materials? If "no," how do you determine that appropriate technical standards are followed, please describe:				

			res	INO	IN/A	Comments
9.	Do	you consult based on the following factors:				
	a.	The materiality of the matter?				
	b.	Your experience in a particular industry or functional area?		F		
	с.	Whether generally accepted accounting principles or generally accepted auditing standards in the area:		N		
		i. Are based on authoritative pronouncements that are subject to varying interpretations?				i
		ii. Are based on varied interpretations of prevailing practice?				
		iii. Have yet to be developed?				
		iv. Are under active consideration by an authoritative body?				Í
		v. Have not previously been interpreted by the firm, for example, in connection with another engagement?				
10.	AIC the	you consult with outside parties, such as the CPA Technical Hotline, or another CPA qualified in area, when a technical question arises? If "no," w are technical questions resolved, please describe:				
11.	rele pro doc	you document consultations including all of the evant facts and circumstances and references to offessional literature and where is this cumentation maintained? If "no," how do you tify your conclusions, please describe:				
Mo	nito	oring				
ong	oing	le practitioner will consider and evaluate, on an g basis, the relevance and adequacy of quality policies and procedures.				

E.

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
		165		IN/A	Comments
1.	Do you revise your policies and procedures that are ineffective due to changes in professional standards?				
2.	Do you improve your compliance, as needed, with your policies and procedures?	C	E		
ong	e sole practitioner will consider and evaluate, on an going basis, the appropriateness of guidance materials dany practice aids.				
3.	Do you review your practice aids to determine that they are up-to-date on a regular basis? How often is this done?				
ong	e sole practitioner will consider and evaluate, on an going basis, the effectiveness of professional pelopment activities.				
4.	Do you review your CPE records to determine that the courses you have taken are appropriate considering your firm's practice?				
5.	Do you review your CPE records to determine compliance with the requirements of the AICPA and other regulatory bodies?				
	e sole practitioner will consider and evaluate, on an going basis, compliance with policies and procedures.				
6.	Do you perform a timely postissuance review of selected engagements?				
7.	Do you summarize the findings noted on the postissuance reviews?				
8.	Do you place additional emphasis on deficient areas in future engagements?				
9.	Do you determine if existing policies and procedures should be modified so any deficiencies noted do not recur?				
10.	Do you, on an ongoing basis, review your compliance with your policies and procedures for independence, integrity and objectivity, personnel management, acceptance and continuance of clients and engagements, and engagement performance?				

F.

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	pro con pro kno you acc	review of your compliance with your policies and ocedures for personnel management, did you usider the results of the firm's monitoring ocedures to ensure that you possess the necessary owledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to allow a to fulfill your responsibilities related to your ounting, auditing, and attestation engagements?		E		
1.	Does the firm have policies and procedures to ensure that—					
	a.	Your membership with the AICPA is current [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(a)]?				
	b.	For each fiscal year of the firm an appropriate annual report is filed [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(g)]?				
	с.	You refrain from proscribed management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(h)]?				
	d.	You report annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]?				
	e.	Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters:				
		Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, have you been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client?				
	If yes,					
		i. Has the complaint(s) against you been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain No answer:				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	ii. In the event the QCIC referred the case to the AICPA Professional Ethics Division (PEEC), and the matter was subsequently deferred by the PEEC, did you comply with the SECPS Membership Requirement §1000.47 (Appendix M)? Explain No answer:				
	SECTI(
f.	Your statement of philosophy is communicated to all per diem personnel [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(l)]?				
g.	The Section's dues are paid yearly [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(j)]?				

[The next page is 13241.]

PRM Section 13240¹

Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for Firms With Two or More Professional² Staff

- .01 This section of the manual contains a questionnaire that a reviewed firm must complete prior to the commencement of the review. This questionnaire has been developed for firms with two or more professional staff. Completion of the questionnaire assists a firm in accumulating and organizing the information regarding its quality control system.
- .02 The reviewed firm should respond directly with "Yes," "No," or "N/A," answers and briefly describe, where appropriate, the policies and procedures they have in effect that relate to the questions asked. Where appropriate, firms should make reference to any firm documents that describe those policies and procedures in more detail. Examples of such documents might be personnel manuals, audit and accounting manuals, a quality control document or manual, and firm forms and checklists. Lengthy and elaborate answers are not expected.
- .03 This questionnaire was developed from the AICPA *Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice.* The reviewed firm should be aware that each question does not relate to explicit requirements of professional standards; the questionnaire was prepared based on a model of suggested policies and procedures that firms are encouraged to consider in designing and maintaining a quality control system. As such, a "No" answer to a question does not necessarily indicate a problem with the firm's system of quality control. A firm's policies and procedures should be sufficient for it to obtain reasonable assurance of complying with professional standards.
- .04 In the questionnaire, there are a number of questions that refer to SECPS member firms. If the reviewed firm is not a member of the SEC Practice Section (SECPS), these questions should be marked N/A. However, if the reviewed firm has any SEC engagements, and is not a member of the SECPS, they are required to join SECPS and should contact the AICPA for guidance.
- .05 There may be arrangements where certain portions of the reviewed firm's system of quality control reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of control of a non-CPA owned entity with which the reviewed firm is closely aligned through common employment, leasing of employees, equipment, facilities, etc., or other similar arrangements. This would generally include policies and procedures relating to the following elements of quality control: (1) independence, integrity and objectivity, (2) personnel management, and (3) monitoring of the elements noted in (1) and (2). If this arrangement applies to the reviewed firm, please also refer to and complete PRM Section 13500, Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm.

Firm	Prepared By	Date

¹ This questionnaire is to be used on SECPS peer reviews of firms with two or more professionals.

² The term professional refers to all individuals (including leased and per diem employees who devote at least 25% of their time at the reviewed firm in performing audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements, or those professionals who have the partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements) who perform professional services for which the firm is responsible, whether or not they are CPAs (SQCS No. 2 par 3, footnote 4).

SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program

Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for Firms With Two or More Professional Staff

This questionnaire provides the reviewer with basic information. It is not necessarily a checklist of all the polices and procedures that might be applicable to a practice. Firms about to be reviewed should respond directly with "Yes," "No," or "N/A" answers and briefly describe, where requested, the policies and procedures they have in effect that relate to the questions asked. If necessary additional pages should be added. Where appropriate, make reference to any documents that describe those policies and procedures in more detail. Examples of such documents might be audit and accounting manuals and forms and checklists used in the practice.

	_	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
A.	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (If the reviewed firm is closely aligned with a non-CPA owned entity, and certain portions of this element of the reviewed firm's system of quality control reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of control of the non-CPA owned entity, please also refer to and complete PRM Section 13500, Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm.)				
	Personnel will adhere to applicable independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements to the extent required. These requirements include regulations, interpretations, and rulings of the AICPA, state CPA societies, state boards of accountancy, state statute, the Independence Standards Board (ISB) the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and other regulatory agencies where applicable.				
	 Does the firm have a system for identifying all services performed for clients, including services performed by entities closely aligned through common employment, etc., including the effect on independence, integrity and objectivity of services provided to clients of the firm by entities with which the firm is closely aligned through the leasing of employees, facilities, etc. or other similar arrangements? a. If "yes," identify the relevant policies and procedures. 				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	b.	If "no," describe how the firm differentiates the types of services performed.				
		PRACTI	C	E		
2.	ens the	res the firm have policies and procedures in place to sure the independence of the firm as required by a AICPA, State CPA societies, state boards of countancy, state statute, the ISB, the SEC, and other gulatory bodies if applicable?				
	a.	If "yes," how is the information documented (e.g., memorandum, manuals, etc.)?				
	b.	If "no," how does the firm obtain reasonable assurance that its personnel are aware of the pertinent regulations, interpretations and rulings of regulatory agencies that impact the firm?				
3.	the req or obj into the three	es the firm have policies and procedures that contain e firm's interpretations of professional and regulatory quirements and guidance for resolving potential issues situations related to its independence, integrity and ectivity including the effect on independence, egrity and objectivity of services provided to clients of a firm by entities with which the firm is closely aligned ough the leasing of employees, facilities, etc. or other nilar arrangements?				
	a.	If "yes," are they documented?				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	b.	If "no," describe how the firm obtains reasonable assurance that its personnel comply with the independence, integrity and objectivity rules?	L C	E		
4.	pro cor	there an individual within the firm responsible for oviding guidance, answering questions, monitoring mpliance, and resolving matters with respect to dependence, integrity, and objectivity?				
	a.	If "yes," identify.				
	b.	If "no," describe how the firm handles these matters.				
5.		connection with the resolution of independence, egrity, and objectivity questions—				
	a.	Are there circumstances which would ordinarily cause the firm to document the resolution to such questions? If "yes," briefly describe				
		and indicate where the documentation is maintained (for example, the working paper files or other specific firm or client files).				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
		If "no," how does the firm determine compliance with professional standards for independence, integrity, and objectivity?				
		PRACTI	\mathbb{C}	E		
) 1	7		
	b.	Has the firm found it necessary within the last year to consult with individuals outside the firm on independence, integrity, or objectivity concerns? If "yes," please describe.				
6.	probas	bes the firm obtain written representations from all ofessional personnel, upon hire and on an annual sis, stating whether they are familiar with and are in impliance with professional standards and the firm's licies and procedures regarding independence, egrity, and objectivity?				
	<i>a</i> .	If "yes," where are the representations maintained and who is responsible for maintaining them?				
	b.	If "no," how does the firm monitor compliance with its independence, integrity, and objectivity policies?				
7.	asc	bes the firm review unpaid fees from clients to certain whether any outstanding amounts may pair the firm's independence?				
	a.	If "yes," please specify				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
		i. Who does this?				
		PRACTI	\mathbb{C}	E		
		ii. How often is it done?				
		iii. Whether there have been any such situations during the year under review?				
	b.	If "no," how does the firm monitor its independence with respect to clients with unpaid fees?				
8.	auc	des the firm communicate at least annually with dit committees (or the boards of directors in those ses where there is no audit committee) of its SEC ents? If "yes," do those communications include:				
	a.	All relationships between the auditor and its related entities that in the firm's professional judgment may reasonably be thought to bear on independence? If "yes," is this disclosure made in writing?				
	b.	A letter confirming that, in the firm's professional judgement, it is independent of the company within the meaning of the Securities Acts?				
	с.	A discussion regarding the firm's independence with the audit committee?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	"no" has the firm taken any remedial actions to				
pro	vide the appropriate communications to the audit				
con	nmittee?		1		
			-		
			<u> </u>		
0.044	nel will be familiar with policies and procedures				
			T		
uing	g to independence, integrity, and objectivity.)			
			4		
	e firm personnel and per diem employees made				
	are that the following financial or other				
rela	ationships may be prohibited, such as—				
a.	Business relationships with clients or with non-				
	clients that have investor or investee relationships				
	with clients?				
b.	Loans to and from clients, including loans from				
	financial institutions clients?				
С.	Family members who are employed by clients, or				
	who are in director, officer, manager, or audit				
	sensitive positions with clients, including not-for-				
	profit organizations?				
	prom organizations.				
d.	Past-due fees for professional services from clients?				
	F				
e.	Accounting or advisory services that have evolved into				
	situations where the service provider has assumed				
	some of the responsibilities of client management?				
	some of the responsionaes of elemental management:				
f.	Bookkeeping services to SEC clients, if applicable?				
J•	booksceping services to one chems, if applicables				
œ	Direct and material investments in clients?				
g.	Direct and material investments in chems:				
h	Client relationships with a non-CDA assert				
n.	Client relationships with a non-CPA owned				
	entity ³ with whom the firm may lease employees,				
	facilities, etc., if applicable?				
	D to the contract of the contr				
i.	Positions where personnel in a non-CPA owned				
	entity act as promoters, underwriters, voting				
	trustees, directors, or officers of the firm's clients?				
j.	Material investments of firm clients in a non-CPA				
,			1		
,	owned entity that allow the clients to exercise				

³ If this exists, please also complete Section 13510, "Quality Control Policies and Procedures Supplemental Questionnaire for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm."

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
If	"yes," describe any potential conflicts				
_			1		
_					
_					
_					
_			18		
_	CECTI				
			1		
If	"no," how does the firm determine that its				
ре	ersonnel are aware of prohibited relationships?				
_					
_					
_					
_					
10. D	oes the firm communicate its policies and				
	ocedures for independence, integrity, and				
	pjectivity to its personnel?				
	, , ,				
a.	If "yes," describe how the firm communicates its				
	policies and procedures for independence,				
	integrity, and objectivity to its professional				
	personnel				
	T				
b.	If "yes," do professional personnel have access to				
υ.	guidance materials regarding the applicable				
	independence, integrity, and objectivity				
	requirements? For example, through computer				
	software that has access to databases containing				
	subscribing to the AICPA <i>Professional Standards</i>				
	loose-leaf service and other services pertaining to the				
	firm's practice. If "yes," are professional personnel				
	encouraged to become familiar with these materials,				
	including discussing the implications on				
	engagements? For example, complying with				
	Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review				
	Services with respect to disclosing instances where				
	the firm is not independent in the accountant's				
	compilation report.				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	с.	If "no," describe how firm personnel would obtain this information.				
		PRACTI	\mathbb{C}	E		
11.		ness the firm inform its professional personnel on a nely basis as to any changes in the firm's client list?				
	<i>a</i> .	If "yes," describe how the firm communicates these changes to professional personnel				
	<i>b</i> .	If "no," how does the firm ensure that all				
		professional personnel are aware of any changes to the firm's client list?				
12.	tim pol	es the firm inform its professional personnel, on a nely basis of those entities to which independence licies apply? If "yes," how does the firm inform its ofessionals? For example, does the firm—				
	a.	Prepare and maintain lists of entities for which independence applies?				
	b.	Make lists available to personnel who need them to determine their independence?				
	с.	Other				
		'no," how does the firm determine that its personnel ow to which entities independence policies apply?				
	_					

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
13.	Did the firm establish independence policies covering relationships between the firm, its benefit plans, and its professionals (and the close relatives of such				
	professionals), and "restricted entities" of the firm?				
	a. If "yes," are they documented?	C	E		
	b. If "no," describe how independence is monitored for these relationships.				
14.	Are the firm's independence policies made available to each professional and are changes thereto communicated on a timely basis?				
	a. If "yes," where are they maintained and who is responsible for maintaining them?				
	b. If "no," how does the firm determine compliance with its independence policies?				
15.	Does each professional performing services for clients complete near the time of initial employment and periodically thereafter, independence training as required by the firm's policies?				
	a. If "yes," please describe the training.				
	b. If "no," describe how each professional remains current with independence issues.				
16.	Does the firm maintain a database ("Restricted Entity List") that includes all restricted entities? (For member firms with more than 500 SEC registrant audit clients, an automated tracking system and automated restricted entity database are required. The electronic tracking system must be in place by December 31, 2000 for all firms with more than 500 SEC registrant audit clients).				
	a. If "yes," please specify				
	i. Who is the senior-level partner responsible for keeping the Restricted Entity List updated on at least a monthly basis?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	ii. How is it made readily available?				
		<u> </u>			
		_			
	iii. How are additions communicated on a time	ly			
	basis?				
			T		
		$\neg()$			
	iv. How the senior-level partner oversees the	ne			
	adequate functioning of the independen				
	policies of and the consultation process with the firm?	ın			
		_			
		_			
1.	If " a " have do so the Come monitor in demanden				
b.	If "no," how does the firm monitor independen with restricted entities?				
		_			
		_			
		_			
17. Do	oes the firm's independence policies and procedur	es			
	ecifically require the following:				
•	Prior to acquiring any security in an entit				
	obtaining a loan from an entity, opening				
	modifying a brokerage account with an entit professionals required to comply with restriction				
	should review the Restricted Entity List?	.13			
•	Each professional shall certify near the time	of			
	initial employment and at least annually thereaft				
	that he or she has read the firm's independen				
	policies, understands their applicability to his her activities and those of his or her spouse ar				
	close relatives, and has complied with the				
	requirements of the firm's independence polici	es			
	since the prior certification?				
•	Each professional shall report apparent violation				
	of policies involving himself or herself and his				
	her spouse and dependents when identified ar corrective action taken or proposed to be taken or				
	a timely basis?				
•	The firm shall have a monitoring system und	er			
	the supervision of the senior-level partn				
	designated in 16 above to determine that adequa				
	corrective steps are taken and documented on a				
	apparent violations reported by professiona within the firm?	15			

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
 The firm shall develop as part of its policies, guidelines for actions to be taken against individuals for violation of independence by its professionals? 				
a. If "yes," are the above policies and procedures documented?				
b. If "no," describe how the firm conveys these policies and procedures to its professionals.		N		
c. If the above policies and procedures are not required by the firm, describe how independence is monitored for each policy and procedure.	r			
18. Does the firm's monitoring system include procedures to ensure that information received from its U.S. partners and managers is complete and accurate as to the requirements described in the second bullet in 17 above?				
 a. If "yes," describe the procedures performed to ensure that the information is complete and accurate. 				
b. If "no," describe how the firm ensures that the information is complete and accurate.				
When the firm acts as principal auditor confirm the independence of another firm performing parts of an engagement.				
19. Does the firm have any engagements where it acts as principal auditor or accountant and another firm of CPAs is engaged to perform segments of the engagement? If "yes"—	:			
 a. Describe how the firm confirms the independence of such other firm(s). The description should include the form and content of the confirmation. 				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	ŀ	Does the firm confirm the independence of such other firm(s) for each reporting engagement? If "no," how often is the confirmation obtained?		,		
		PRACTI	\mathbb{C}			
В.	align porti quali syste also <i>Cont</i>	ed with a non-CPA owned entity, and certain ons of this element of the reviewed firm's system of ty control reside at or operate in conjunction with the m of control of the non-CPA owned entity, please refer to and complete PRM Section 13500, Quality rol Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for Non-Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm.)				
		onnel who are hired will possess the appropriate acteristics to enable them to perform competently.				
	f r r	Does the firm have an individual who is responsible or the firm's hiring and human resources nanagement, including evaluation of personnel needs, establishment of hiring objectives and providing final approval?				
	а	. If "yes," please identify.				
	ŀ	If "no," how is this accomplished?				
	a	f "no," how does the firm determine that it has adequate staff to perform its professional engagements?				
	f a F	Does the firm have an arrangement with the non-CPA owned entity, whereby an individual is responsible or the firm's acquiring of or contracting with leased and per-diem employees, including evaluation of personnel needs, establishment of hiring objectives and providing final approval?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
b.	If "no," how is this accomplished for leased and per-diem employees?				
			-		
			100		
			1		
	If "no," how does the firm determine that it has				
	qualified leased and per-diem staff to perform its				
	professional engagements?				
	professional engagements.				
3. Do	es the firm have hiring criteria? If "yes," briefly				
	scribe—				
aci	SCIDE				
_	The official and the second of				
a.	The attributes, achievements, and experiences				
	desired in entry-level and experienced personnel				
	to enable them to perform completely within the				
	firm. Highlight any items which represent				
	requirements for hire.				
	1				
b.	How the firm evaluates the personal				
	characteristics such as integrity, competence, and				
	motivation of new hires.				
С.	Any additional information the firm requires for				
	experienced hires, such as background checks,				
	and inquiries about any outstanding regulatory				
	actions.				

17 4-02

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	If "no," how does the firm determine that the personnel hired are appropriate for the position they are hired to fill?				
	PRACTI	\mathbb{C}			
	S E C T L C				
4.	Does the firm have criteria for determining which individuals will be involved in the interviewing and hiring process?				
	a. If "yes," how are the individuals trained?				
	b. If "no," how does the firm determine who is appropriate for this role? Please describe				
deg ciro pra ano	e firm will make personnel assignments based on the cree of technical training and proficiency required in the cumstances, including the competencies of the cetitioner-in-charge of the firm's accounting, auditing, attestation engagements and the nature and extent of pervision to be provided.				
5.	Does the firm have policies and procedures to ensure professional personnel, assigned to engagements have the degree of technical training and proficiency required in the circumstances considering the nature and extent of supervision to be provided? If "yes," describe and in that description, include—				
	a. Who is responsible for the assignment of personnel to engagements, including high-risk engagements and industries?				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
b. What are the factors used to determine how personnel are assigned to engagements? For example, engagement size and complexity, specialized experience or expertise required, personnel availability and involvement of supervisory personnel, timing of the work to be performed, continuity and rotation of personnel, opportunities for on-the-job training, previous knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) gained through other experience, situations where independence or objectivity concerns exist.		EN		
If "no," how does the firm determine that the personnel assigned to engagements are qualified to perform the engagement?				
Does the firm specify the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) the practitioner in charge of the firm's accounting, auditing or attestation engagements (or other person who is responsible for supervising those type of engagements and signing or authorizing someone to sign the accountants' report on such engagements) (except for SEC engagements, whose accountants' reports must be signed by a partner) should possess to fulfill their engagement responsibilities?				
<i>a</i> . Do such competencies for the practitioner in charge include:				
 i. An understanding of the role of your firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's Code of Professional Conduct 				
ii. An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement				

6.

				Yes	No	N/A	Comments	_
		iii.	An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates		F			
		iv.	An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates		Z			
		v.	Skills that indicate sound professional judgment					
		vi.	An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information.					
con dev res _i pro	itinu velop pons fess	ing men sibili iona	vill participate in general and industry-specific professional education and other professional at activities that enable them to satisfy ities assigned and fulfill applicable continuing all education requirements of the AICPA and agencies.					
7.	for		ne firm have an individual who is responsible firm's CPE and professional development es?					
	<i>a</i> .	If "	yes," please identify					
	b.	and	no," how does the firm monitor the firm's CPE l professional development activities? Please blain.					

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
8.	acc	professional personnel assigned to audit and ounting engagements take courses related to those gagements?				
	a.	Please provide an approximation of the type of CPE taken		E		
		Self-study courses				
		In house-training program—				
		(I) Developed by the firm				
		(II) Obtained from outside vendors%				
		State society or AICPA programs%				
		Other programs				
	b.	Describe how the firm assures professional personnel participate in CPE related to accounting and auditing assignments including specialized industries.				
9.	accordance AIC	e all professional personnel in compliance with the ofessional education requirements of the board(s) of countancy in state(s) where they are licensed, the CPA (if applicable), the state CPA society (if plicable), the SEC Practice Section (if applicable) of Government Auditing Standards—the "Yellow ok" (if applicable)? If the answer is "no,"				
	<i>a</i> .	Explain why the personnel are not in compliance.				
	b.	Attach a list of those personnel who are not in compliance and indicate the firm's plan for correcting the situation.				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
10.	Does the firm have an individual who maintains CPE				
	records and course materials for professional				
	personnel? If "yes," please identify.				
		- 5			
	If "no," how does the firm determine that all professional personnel are in compliance with applicable CPE requirements?				
11.	Does the firm have an orientation and training policy for new hires?				
	a. If "yes," briefly describe the policy.				
	<i>b.</i> If "no," describe how the firm trains new hires.				
12.	Does the firm inform professional personnel of				
	changes in accounting and auditing standards,				
	independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements and the firm's technical policies and procedures with				
	respect to them?				
	1				
	For example, by distributing technical pronouncements				
	and holding training courses on recent changes and areas noted by the firm as needing improvement. If				
	"yes," briefly describe.				
	If "no," how does the firm determine that professional				
	personnel are informed of changes in professional				
	standards?				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
ersonnel encouraged to participate in other ional development activities, such as graduate ourses, membership in professional organizations, on professional committees, and writing for ional publications?		E		
selected for advancement will have the ons necessary to fulfill the responsibilities they led on to assume.				
he firm have different levels of responsibility the firm (e.g., partner, manager, senior)? If briefly describe.				
the firm have an individual responsible for				
rement and termination decisions?				
'yes," who is responsible for:				
Establishing evaluation and advancement criteria for personnel at all levels, including development of evaluation forms? Also, briefly describe whether criteria are documented. For example, in a personnel manual.				
Making advancement and termination decisions, including identifying responsibilities and requirements for evaluation at each level and deciding who will prepare evaluations?				
	ional development activities, such as graduate curses, membership in professional organizations, on professional committees, and writing for ional publications? selected for advancement will have the ons necessary to fulfill the responsibilities they ded on to assume. the firm have different levels of responsibility the firm (e.g., partner, manager, senior)? If oriefly describe. the firm have an individual responsible for rement and termination decisions? Tyes," who is responsible for: Establishing evaluation and advancement criteria for personnel at all levels, including development of evaluation forms? Also, briefly describe whether criteria are documented. For example, in a personnel manual. Making advancement and termination decisions, including identifying responsibilities and requirements for evaluation at each level	ersonnel encouraged to participate in other ional development activities, such as graduate purses, membership in professional organizations, on professional committees, and writing for ional publications? selected for advancement will have the cons necessary to fulfill the responsibilities they ded on to assume. the firm have different levels of responsibility the firm (e.g., partner, manager, senior)? If briefly describe. the firm have an individual responsible for rement and termination decisions? (yes," who is responsible for: Establishing evaluation and advancement criteria for personnel at all levels, including development of evaluation forms? Also, briefly describe whether criteria are documented. For example, in a personnel manual. Making advancement and termination decisions, including identifying responsibilities and requirements for evaluation at each level	ersonnel encouraged to participate in other ional development activities, such as graduate surses, membership in professional organizations, on professional committees, and writing for ional publications? selected for advancement will have the ons necessary to fulfill the responsibilities they led on to assume. the firm have different levels of responsibility the firm (e.g., partner, manager, senior)? If priefly describe. the firm have an individual responsible for rement and termination decisions? fyes," who is responsible for: Establishing evaluation and advancement criteria for personnel at all levels, including development of evaluation forms? Also, briefly describe whether criteria are documented. For example, in a personnel manual. Making advancement and termination decisions, including identifying responsibilities and requirements for evaluation at each level	ersonnel encouraged to participate in other ional development activities, such as graduate purses, membership in professional organizations, on professional committees, and writing for ional publications? selected for advancement will have the ons necessary to fulfill the responsibilities they ged on to assume. the firm have different levels of responsibility the firm (e.g., partner, manager, senior)? If priefly describe. the firm have an individual responsible for rement and termination decisions? (yes," who is responsible for: Establishing evaluation and advancement criteria for personnel at all levels, including development of evaluation forms? Also, briefly describe whether criteria are documented. For example, in a personnel manual. Making advancement and termination decisions, including identifying responsibilities and requirements for evaluation at each level

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
		iii. Development of the evaluation form for each professional classification, including partners?				
		PRACTI	C	E		
		SECTI(\		
	b.	If "no," how are these decisions made and implemented?				
16.	ow adv acq	es the firm have an arrangement with the non-CPA ned entity for an individual to be responsible for vancement and termination decisions concerning quired and contracted leased and per diem ployees?				
	a.	If "yes," who is responsible for:				
		i. Determining whether they performed adequately?				
		ii. Evaluating their abilities and qualifications based on performance?				
		iii. Determing how they should be used on future engagements?				
	b.	If "no," how are these decisions made and implemented?				
17.	of	es the firm periodically evaluate the performance professional personnel and advise them of their ogress in the firm? If "yes,"—				

		res	INO	IN/A	Comments
a.	Who is responsible for performing the evaluation?				
	DRACT		E		
b.	How often are these evaluations performed?		N		
с.	Are standard evaluations used? If not, briefly describe whether they are documented by another means.				
	"no," how are firm personnel informed of their erformance and progress in the firm?				
-					
	oes the firm counsel personnel regarding their rogress and career opportunities by—				
a.	Periodically summarizing and reviewing with personnel the evaluation of their performance, including an assessment of their knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) and progress with the firm, that includes a discussion regarding performance, future objectives of the firm and the individual, assignment preferences, and career opportunities.				
b.	Periodically evaluating owners including whether they possess the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) necessary to enable them to be qualified to perform the firm's accounting, auditing or attestation engagements)? For example, by means of counseling, peer evaluation, or self-appraisal.				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
C.	Ac	ceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements				
		e firm will evaluate factors that have a bearing on nagement's integrity.	\mathbb{C}	E		
	1.	Does the firm have documented policies and procedures for accepting prospective clients and the continuance of current clients? If "yes," describe where that documentation is located.				
		and whether the firm's policies and procedures include obtaining and evaluating—				
		a. Information regarding the client and its operations such as annual reports, interim financial information, reports to regulatory agencies, enforcement actions by regulators, income tax returns and for SEC registrants registration statements, Forms 10-K, Forms 8-K,?				
		b. The nature and purpose of the services to be provided to the client by making inquiries of client management?				
		c. Information regarding the client and its management by making inquiries of third parties such as bankers, legal counsel, underwriters, and other members of the financial or business community who may have appropriate knowledge?				
		If the answer is "no," describe the procedures the firm performs in making acceptance and continuance decisions, including the information obtained and considered. Also, describe any variations in those procedures based on factors such as the nature and size of the engagement and prior experience with the client.				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
2.	Does the firm document its communication with predecessor accountants, including inquiries regarding the nature of any disagreements and other events required to be reported on Form 8-K, and whether evidence of "opinion shopping" exists? If "yes," where is the documentation maintained? If "no," how does the firm document compliance with SAS 84?		EN		
3.	Does the firm's policies and procedures require information be obtained regarding management's integrity? If "no," how does the firm determine that management's integrity is appropriate?				
4.	Are personnel informed of the firm's acceptance and continuance policies and procedures? If "yes," briefly describe how they are informed.				
	If "no," how do personnel know what policies and procedures should be followed for accepting and continuing clients?				
con und wit the	e firm will evaluate whether the engagement can be appleted with professional competence and, accordingly, lertake only those engagements that can be completed in professional competence, and appropriately consider risk associated with providing professional services in ticular circumstances.				
5.	Does the firm evaluate whether it has obtained or can reasonably expect to obtain the knowledge and expertise necessary to perform the engagement? If "yes," how is this decision reached and is it documented?				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
If "no," how does the firm determine that it can perform engagements?				
		78-7		
		-		
Does the firm specify conditions that require evaluation of a client or engagement, obtaining relevant information to determine whether the relationship should be continued and establishing a specific time period to make that evaluation?				
If "yes," are the following conditions considered—				
a. Changes in the client, such as change in ownership, senior personnel, directors, advisors, the nature of its business or its financial stability?				
b. Changes in the nature or scope of the engagement, including requests for additional services?				
c. Changes in the strategic focus or composition of the firm, such as, the inability to replace the loss of key personnel or the decision to discontinue services to clients in a particular industry?				
d. The existence of conditions that would have caused the firm to reject the engagement had such conditions existed at the time of the initial acceptance such as unreliable processes for making accounting estimates, questionable estimates by management, questions regarding an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and any other factors that may increase the risk of being associated with the client?				
e. Client delinquent in paying fees?				
f. Engagements in specialized industries?				
g. Engagements where there are a burdensome number of hours required to complete the engagement?				
h. Engagements for entities in the developing stage?				
If "no," how does the firm obtain assurance that it is not continuing a client relationship which should be discontinued?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
7.	For SECPS firms, if there has been a cessation of the client-auditor relationship with an SEC registrant, does the firm communicate in writing within 5 business days of the cessation to the SEC registrant with a copy to the Office of the Chief Accountant of the SEC that the client auditor relationship has ceased [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(m)]? Does the firm designate individuals to evaluate and make a recommendation as to whether the client should be accepted or the engagement should be continued?		EN		
	 a. If "yes," do the individuals: i. Evaluate all the information obtained about the client or the specific engagement and make a recommendation about whether the client or engagement should be accepted or continued? ii. Document the decision and have an individual at an appropriate level approve the 				
	b. If "no," describe how the decision to accept or continue a client or engagement is made.				
9.	Did you consider discontinuing any audit and accounting client relationships during the year under review but decide to continue? If "yes," explain				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	firm will obtain an understanding with the client rding the services to be performed.				
: :	Does the firm document its understanding with the client regarding the services to be performed by obtaining an engagement letter for all engagements, thus minimizing the risk of misunderstandings regarding the nature, scope, and limitation of the services to be performed? If not, describe how the firm obtains assurance that its understanding is in agreement with the client's understanding of the work to be performed.		E		
D. Eng	agement Performance				
	ning for engagements will meet professional, latory, and the firm's requirements.				
	Does the firm provide its personnel with documented policies and procedures for planning audit and accounting engagements?				
,	a. If "yes," indicate where that documentation is located (e.g., in an audit and accounting manual).				
	b. If "no," briefly describe the procedures the firm performs in planning audit and accounting engagements, including the information obtained and considered and the nature, timing and extent of partner involvement in the planning process. Also, describe any variations in those procedures based on factors such as the nature and size of the engagement and prior experience on the engagement.				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
2. Do	the firm's policies and procedures include—				
a.	Who has responsibility for planning the engagement? If "yes," please indicate.		E		
b.	Developing or updating background information? If "yes," who is responsible?				
С.	Development of a proposed work program, tailored to the specific engagement?				
d.	Staffing requirements, and specialized knowledge?				
е.	Considering economic conditions affecting the client or its industry and their potential impacts on the conduct of the engagement?				
f.	Considering risks and how they may affect the procedures to be performed?				
<i>g</i> .	Preparing a budget that allocates a sufficient amount of time so the engagement will be performed in accordance with professional standards and the firm's quality control procedures?				
docum require	gagement will be performed, supervised, reviewed, ented, and communicated in accordance with the ements of professional standards, regulatory rities, and the firm.				
3. Do	the firm's policies and procedures-				
a.	Require that a written program be used on all engagements? If "yes," is someone with appropriate authority required to review and approve the program, and how is this approval documented?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	If "no," how are personnel made aware of the procedures to be performed on engagements?				
	PRACTI	C			
b.	Specify the form and content of working papers, including standardized forms, checklists, and questionnaires that are to be used in the performance of engagements and the method by which the firm integrates such aids into engagements? If "yes," describe and attach a list and indicate whether the use of each is required or discretionary. (Note that the reviewer will want to inspect these forms during the review.)		1		
	If "no," how does the firm determine that the working papers, including standardized forms, checklists, and questionnaires that are used in the performance of engagements and the method by which they are used is appropriate?				
с.	Require documentation of:				
	i. Consideration of internal control structure in planning and performing the engagement?				
	ii. Assessment of control risk?				
	iii. Consideration of audit risk and materiality when planning and performing an audit?				
	iv. Audit sampling technique?				
	v. Consideration of fraud in the financial statement audit?				
	vi. Conduct of and degree of reliance placed on analytical procedures?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	If "no," how does the firm determine that appropriate procedures were performed?				
	PRACT				
d.	Describe the steps to follow when the firm uses other offices or correspondents for audit or accounting engagements? If "yes," describe the form in which instructions are given to other offices or correspondents and the extent to which their work is reviewed, or indicate where the firm's procedures for the supervision and control of that work are found.		N		
	If "no," how does the firm determine that the work performed was appropriate?				
e.	Specify the extent of engagement review so that the financial statements, communications with management and the board of directors meet professional and firm presentation and disclosure standards? If "no," how does the firm determine that an appropriate review was performed and that communications were in accordance with firm and professional standards?				
res sta ser	the firm's policies and procedures assign ponsibility for review of all reports, financial tements, and working papers to a reviewer who is nior (when possible) to the preparer? If "yes," is that view designed to obtain reasonable assurance that—				
a.	The procedures performed are consistent with engagement planning and that exceptions are appropriately investigated?				

4.

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	<i>b</i> .	The appropriateness of planned procedures was reconsidered when significant changes in risk factors were identified during the engagement? Firm-prescribed forms, checklists, questionnaires,		F		
		and purchased practice aids (as applicable) were used in performing and reporting on the engagement?		7		
	fin	"no," how does the firm obtain assurance that the ancial statements and working papers are propriate?				
5.	eng res fin	bes the firm require that an owner of the firm be signed as the person ultimately responsible for each gagement (certain standards may require owner sponsibility)? If "no," who is responsible for the al approval for issuing the accountant's/auditor's port, please describe.				
6.	rot	r SECPS firms, does the firm require partner ration on SEC engagements in accordance with CPS §1000.08(e) of the membership requirements?				
7.	fin a j res wh des is o	pes the firm require a second review of the report, ancial statements, and selected working papers by partner or manager having no other significant sponsibility for the engagement? If "yes," indicate no performs such second reviews and briefly scribe the extent of the review and how the review documented, indicating the types of engagements to nich the procedures are applicable.				
8.	par acc	r SECPS firms, does the firm require a concurring rtner review be performed on SEC engagements in cordance with SECPS §1000.08(f) of the membership quirements?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
9.	Are the firm's policies and procedures regarding review of working papers, financial statements, and for documentation of the review process designed to ensure that—		F		
	a. All reviewers have appropriate experience, competence and responsibility?		N		
	b. All engagements performed comply with professional standards and firm policy?				
	c. Appropriate documentation is required on all engagements evidencing review of working papers, financial statements, and reports?				
10.	Has the firm established policies and procedures to follow to resolve differences of professional judgment within an engagement team (see AICPA <i>Professional Standards</i> , AU section 311.14)? If "yes"—	:			
	a. Are they documented? If "yes," where?				
	b. Do they identify who is responsible for resolving such matters?				
	c. Do those procedures allow an assistant to document his or her disagreement with the conclusion reached?				
	If "no," how do firm personnel know what procedures to follow in the event of a difference in professional judgment?				
11.	Has the firm merged with any other firm since the date of its last peer review or in the last three years? If "yes"—				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
a.	Did the firm acquire any professional personnel in the merger?				
b.	Did the firm acquire and retain any new offices in the merger (indicate the locations of any such offices)?	C D I	E		
c.	Have the personnel of the acquired firm adopted the firm's quality control policies and procedures? If "no," briefly describe on a separate page the plan for integrating the acquired firm.				
where to refe to con outsid dealing	rm will identify areas and specialized situations consultation is required and will require personnel er to authoritative literature and practice aids and sult, on a timely basis, with individuals within or le the firm when appropriate (for example, when g with complex, unusual, or unfamiliar issues). The personnel informed of the firm's consultation plicies and procedures? If "yes," briefly describe how ey are informed.				
pr	"no," how are personnel made aware of what cocedures to follow when they encounter areas or cuations where consultation is required?				
by ul	oes the firm, even though not specifically identified the firm such as in 14 below, require the person timately responsible for the engagement to determine e need to consult based on the following factors: The materiality of the matter?				
υ.	The experience of senior engagement personnel in a particular industry or functional area?				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	c.	Whether generally accepted accounting principles or generally accepted auditing standards in the area:				
		i. Are based on authoritative pronouncements that are subject to varying interpretations?		E		
		ii. Are based on varied interpretations of prevailing practice?				
		iii. Have yet to be developed?				
		iv. Are under active consideration by an authoritative body?				
		v. Have not previously been interpreted by the firm, for example, in connection with another engagement?				
		If "no," who determines the need to consult?				
14.	inc	s the firm appropriately identified circumstances, luding specialized situations when firm personnel expected to consult, for example—				
	a.	Application of newly issued technical pronouncements?				
	b.	Industries with special accounting, auditing, or reporting requirements?				
	с.	Emerging practice problems?				
	d.	Choices among alternative generally accepted accounting principles upon initial adoption or when an accounting change is made?				
	е.	Reissuance of a report, consideration of omitted procedures after a report has been issued, or subsequent discovery of facts that existed at the time a report was issued?				
	f.	Filing requirements of regulatory agencies?				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	g.	Meetings with the SEC and other regulators, at which the firm is to be called on to support the applications of generally accepted accounting principles which have been questioned? If "no," how are personnel informed of situations when they should consult?	C	E		
15.	out	es the firm designate individuals within and tside the firm as consultants in certain areas? If es,"—				
	<i>a</i> .	Attach a list of the individuals designated as consultants and what their specialties are and indicate how personnel have been made aware of this information.				
	b.	Indicate how differences of opinion between engagement personnel and consultants are resolved.				
	С.	Indicate how the firm determines when to consult with outside parties and with whom to consult.				
	d.	During the year under review, has the firm sought advice from outside parties to resolve questions involving professional standards or specialized industry practices? If "yes," please describe.				
		If "no," how are personnel made aware of whom they should consult?				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
16.	to a	es the firm maintain or provide its personnel access adequate and up-to-date reference materials which ludes materials related to the clients it serves? If es," indicate whether your materials include—				
	a.	AICPA Professional Standards?		L		
	b.	AICPA industry audit guides relevant to the firm's practice?				
	с.	FASB pronouncements?				
	d.	GASB pronouncements, <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> (the "Yellow Book") and other government audit guides relevant to the firm's practice?				
	e.	SEC pronouncements?				
		'no," how are firm personnel kept aware of current ofessional standards related to its clients?				
17.		es the firm require documentation of consultation? (yes," does that documentation include—				
	a.	All relevant facts and circumstances?				
	b.	References to professional literature used in the determination?				
	с.	Conclusions reached?				
	d.	Signatures of engagement partner and consultant?				
	e.	Reference to the engagement working papers?				
		'no," how does the firm justify the position taken the consultation?				

				Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	18.	Has	s the firm issued guidance to its professional				
		per	sonnel regarding reports on the application of				
			ounting principles as described in SAS 50? Also,		,		
			icate whether the firm issued any such reports				
			ring the year under review.				
		auı	ing the year ander review.		<u></u>		
		_					
					т.		
		_					
					A		
Ε.	Mo	nito	oring (If the reviewed firm is closely aligned with a				
	noi	n-CP	A owned entity, and certain portions of this element				
	of t	he r	eviewed firm's system of quality control reside at or				
			in conjunction with the system of control of the				
			A owned entity, please also refer to and complete				
			Section 13500, Quality Control and Procedures				
			onnaire for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely				
	-		,				
	All	gnea	With a CPA Firm.)				
		-	n will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis,				
	the	rele	vance and adequacy of its quality control policies				
	and	d pro	ocedures.				
	1.	Do	es the firm have a partner or a manager-level				
			ividual who is responsible for its quality assurance?				
			1 ,				
		a.	If "yes," please identify.				
			y · 1				
		b.	If "no," how does the firm determine that its				
		υ.	quality control policies and procedures are				
			1 1				
			adequate?				
	2.	Ar€	e the following quality assurance matters considered:				
		a.	The need to review the relevance and adequacy of				
			the firm's audit methodology for the following				
			factors—				
			i. Mergers and divestitures of portions of the				
			practice?				
			practice:				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
	ii. The impact on the firm's system of quality control that emanated from the sale of a portion of the firm's non-attest practice to a non-CPA owned entity, when the firm also engaged in a service arrangement with that non-CPA owned entity. (For example, the non-CPA owned entity provides employees, office space, equipment, etc. for which the firm remits a percentage of its revenues or profits.) Also, the impact on the controls in place at the non-CPA owned entity, that are part of the firm's system of quality control.		EN		
	iii. Changes in professional standards and SEC or other regulatory requirements applicable to the firm's practice?				
	iv. Results of annual inspections and peer reviews?				
	v. Review of litigation and regulatory enforcement actions against the firm and others?				
	vi. The impact that changes in technology may have on clients' methods of doing business?				
	vii. Changes in clients' industries that impact their operations?				
	viii. Changes in applicable AICPA and SECPS membership requirements?				
b.	The need to see whether personnel have been appropriately informed of their responsibilities for maintaining the firm's standards of quality in performing their duties?				
С.	The need to check the compliance, effectiveness and appropriateness of the other elements of quality control in the firm's practice?				
The firm will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the appropriateness of its guidance materials and any practice aids.					
tha for fee	bes the firm have policies and procedures to assure at the firm's practice aids, such as audit programs, rms, and checklists, are updated for new prossional pronouncements and are effective for the m's practice?				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
If "no," how does the firm determine that its practice				
aids are current?				
	lo			
4. Does the firm inform and provide guidance to its personnel regarding new professional standards, regulatory requirements, and related changes to firm policy or practice aids? If "no," how are personnel kept current?		Z		
The firm will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the effectiveness of professional development programs.				
5. Does the firm monitor its professional development programs? For example, does the firm—				
a. Evaluate training programs to determine whether they are achieving their objectives and whether those programs are appropriate for leased and per diem employees?				
b. Review summaries of CPE records to track an individual's compliance with the requirements of the AICPA and other regulatory bodies?				
c. Consider whether the firm's professional development programs should be revised based on the results of the firm's inspection or peer review?				
<i>d.</i> Solicit information from its personnel regarding effectiveness of the firm's training programs?				
If "no," how does the firm determine that its professional development programs are appropriate?				
The firm will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, compliance with its policies and procedures.				
6. Does the firm perform timely inspections to evaluate its compliance with its policies and procedures? If "no," skip to question 7.				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments
а.	per ma	"yes," does the firm assign responsibility for forming the inspections to a partner or mager-level individual? If "yes," do the pections include—				
	i.	Appropriate tests of compliance with the firm's policies and procedures on a sample basis?				
	ii.	Reviewing correspondence and documentation, and interviewing personnel to determine the firm's compliance with its policies and procedures regarding independence, integrity, and objectivity, personnel management, acceptance and continuation of clients, engagement performance and monitoring?				
	iii.	Reviewing a cross section of engagements considering the following criteria:				
		(a) All partners and managers with significant accounting and auditing responsibilities?				
		(b) Financial institution engagements?				
		(c) First-year engagements?				
		(<i>d</i>) Significant specialized industries with emphasis given to high-risk industries?				
		(e) Level of service performed (that is, audit, review, compilation, and attestation engagements)?				
		(f) SEC engagements?				
		(g) Engagements for employee benefit plans (ERISA)?				
		(h) Governmental engagements?				
b.	mu sug pro	s the firm timely summarized and com- micated the results of the inspection and any agested changes to the firm's policies and occdures to appropriate levels of professional assonnel?				
с.	ste _j	s the firm taken specific corrective actions or ps based upon the results of the inspection to ture compliance with its policies and ocedures? If "no," explain rationale				

7.

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
Does the firm perform pre- or postissuance reviews of selected engagements as part of its monitoring procedures? If "yes," do those procedures include:				
a. For a sufficiently comprehensive selection of engagements, designating a qualified partner or management-level individual not associated with the performance of those engagements to perform a preissuance review of the engagement working papers and financial statements or postissuance review of the engagement working papers and financial statements shortly after release of the report? ⁴				
 Periodically summarizing deficiencies noted as a result of the pre- or postissuance reviews and evaluate on a timely basis whether— 				
i. Additional emphasis should be placed on the specific areas or industries in future engage- ments?				
ii. Existing policies or procedures should be modified so any deficiencies noted do not recur?				
iii. Periodically summarizing deficiencies noted and the agreed upon quality control changes communicated to all professional personnel on a timely basis?				
iv. Has the firm taken specific corrective actions or steps based upon the results of the pre- or postissuance reviews to assure compliance with its policies and procedures? If "no," explain rationale.				

⁴ Per Statement on Quality Standards No. 3, par. 9, "In small firms with a limited number of qualified management-level individuals, postissuance review of engagement working papers, reports and client's financial statements by the person with final responsibility for the engagement may constitute inspection procedures."

F.

If the firm tests compliance with its policies and procedures through other monitoring procedures, please describe. Does the firm have policies and procedures in place to comply with all of the membership requirements of the SEC Practice Section, which include but are not limited to the following: a. Each member of the firm residing in the United States and eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(a)]? b. For each fiscal year of the United States firm an appropriate annual report is filed with the section [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(g)]? c. The firm refrains from proscribed management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(h)]? d. The firm reports annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]? e. Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters: • Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain No answer:			res	NO	IN/A	Comments
Does the firm have policies and procedures in place to comply with all of the membership requirements of the SEC Practice Section, which include but are not limited to the following: a. Each member of the firm residing in the United States and eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(a)]? b. For each fiscal year of the United States firm an appropriate annual report is filed with the section [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(g)]? c. The firm refrains from proscribed management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(h)]? d. The firm reports annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]? e. Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters: • Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain	ced	lures through other monitoring procedures, please				
Does the firm have policies and procedures in place to comply with all of the membership requirements of the SEC Practice Section, which include but are not limited to the following: a. Each member of the firm residing in the United States and eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(a)]? b. For each fiscal year of the United States firm an appropriate annual report is filed with the section [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(g)]? c. The firm refrains from proscribed management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(b)]? d. The firm reports annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]? e. Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters: • Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain	_					
Does the firm have policies and procedures in place to comply with all of the membership requirements of the SEC Practice Section, which include but are not limited to the following: a. Each member of the firm residing in the United States and eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA [membership requirement SECPS \$1000.08(a)]? b. For each fiscal year of the United States firm an appropriate annual report is filed with the section [membership requirement SECPS \$1000.08(g)]? c. The firm refrains from proscribed management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS \$1000.08(b)]? d. The firm reports annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS \$1000.08(i)]? e. Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters: • Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain		TO DACT				
comply with all of the membership requirements of the SEC Practice Section, which include but are not limited to the following: a. Each member of the firm residing in the United States and eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA [membership requirement SECPS \$1000.08(a)]? b. For each fiscal year of the United States firm an appropriate annual report is filed with the section [membership requirement SECPS \$1000.08(g)]? c. The firm refrains from proscribed management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS \$1000.08(h)]? d. The firm reports annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS \$1000.08(i)]? e. Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters: • Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain	mb	ership Requirements (SECPS Only)				
States and eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(a)]? b. For each fiscal year of the United States firm an appropriate annual report is filed with the section [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(g)]? c. The firm refrains from proscribed management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(h)]? d. The firm reports annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]? e. Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters: • Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain	cor the	mply with all of the membership requirements of SEC Practice Section, which include but are not				
appropriate annual report is filed with the section [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(g)]? c. The firm refrains from proscribed management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(h)]? d. The firm reports annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]? e. Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters: • Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain	a.	States and eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA [membership requirement				
visory services [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(h)]? d. The firm reports annually to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]? e. Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters: • Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain	b.	appropriate annual report is filed with the section				
or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]? e. Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters: • Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain	С.	visory services [membership requirement SECPS				
 (QCIC) matters: Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain 	d.	or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered				
Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client? If yes, i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain	е.					
i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain		Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial				
its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain		If yes,				
		i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments
ii. In the event the QCIC referred the case to the AICPA Professional Ethics Division (PEEC), and the matter was subsequently deferred by the PEEC, did the review team ascertain that the Firm complied with the SECPS Membership Requirement §1000.47 (Appendix M)? Explain No answer:		E		
Does the firm have policies and procedures to perform appropriate background investigations for newly admitted partners to determine whether there are any outstanding disciplinary actions against the individual prior to their admittance to the Firm (Appendix M §1000.47)? Explain No answer or nature of consideration given:				
Did such actions exist against newly admitted partners? If yes, did the Firm apply the actions as described in Appendix M §1000.47 on such partners? Explain No answer or nature of consideration given:				
The firm's statement of philosophy is communicated to firm personnel [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(l)]? The Section's dues are paid yearly [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(j)]?				
If the firm reports in its most recent annual report to the Section that it is subject to the membership requirement for member firms with foreign associated firms that have established certain policies and procedures consistent with Appendix K ISECPS \$1000.451				

f.

g.

h.

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments
i.	Has the firm obtained either the written representations from the foreign associated firms or from the international organization of which the firm is a member that the listed firms have established such policies and procedures?		E		
ii.	Are the policies and procedures established by the firm's international organization or the firm's individual foreign associated firms consistent with the following objectives (based on the review of supporting documentation pertaining to compliance with Appendix K, such as policies and procedures, inspection results, written representations, etc.) (a) The performance of procedures consistent with those described in Appendix K with		Z		
	respect to certain SEC filings by foreign registrants is done by a person or persons knowledgeable in accounting, auditing, independence standards generally accepted in the U.S., independence requirements of the SEC and ISB, and pertinent SEC rules and regulations?				
	(b) The firm reviews a sample of audit engagements performed by foreign associated firms for clients that are SEC registrants during the international organization's or the foreign associated firm's annual inspection? The performance of inspection reviews is done by a person or persons knowledgeable in accounting, auditing, independence standards generally accepted in the U.S., independence requirements of the SEC and ISB, and pertinent SEC rules and regulations?				
	(c) Disagreements between the filing or inspection reviewer and the audit partner-in-charge of the engagement while				

[The next page is 13301.]

performing the procedures for certain filings or inspection are appropriately

resolved?

PRM Section 13300

Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures

Table of Contents

Section		Page
13310	Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Sole Practitioners With No Professional Staff	13311
13340	Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Firms With Two or More Professionals	13341

[The next page is 13311.]

PRM Section 13310¹

Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Sole Practitioners With No Professional² Staff

- .01 This section of the manual contains a questionnaire that the reviewer should complete when reviewing the reviewed firm's responses to the *Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire*. This questionnaire has been developed for sole practitioners with no professional staff. Completion of this questionnaire assists the reviewer in analyzing the firm's quality control policies and procedures.
- .02 The reviewer should respond directly with "Yes," "No," or "N/A" answers and briefly describe, where appropriate, the results of his/her evaluation of the policies and procedures the firm has in effect. Lengthy and elaborate answers are not expected.
- .03 These guidelines should <u>not</u> be used for reviews of firms with two or more professional staff. Suggested review procedures for these firms are contained elsewhere in this section.
- .04 This questionnaire was developed from the AICPA Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice. The reviewer should be aware that each question does not relate to explicit requirements of professional standards; the questionnaire was prepared based on a model of suggested policies and procedures that firms are encouraged to consider in designing and maintaining a quality control system. As such, a "No" answer to a question does not necessarily indicate a problem with the firm's system of quality control. A firm's policies and procedures should be sufficient for it to obtain reasonable assurance of complying with professional standards.

Reviewed Firm	Period Covered

¹ These guidelines are to be used on SECPS peer reviews of sole practitioners with no professional staff <u>only.</u>

² The term professional refers to all individuals who perform professional services for which the firm is responsible, whether or not they are CPAs (SQCS No. 2 par 3, footnote 4).

SEC Practice Section³ Peer Review Program

Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Sole Practitioners With No Professional⁴ Staff

			Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
			168	NU	IN/A	Extent of Testing
4 .	Independence, In	ntegrity and Objectivity) [
	applicable inde objectivity requi include regulat- rulings of the A state boards of the Securities and	etitioner will adhere to ependence, integrity, and rements. These requirements ions, interpretations, and ICPA, state CPA societies, accountancy, state statutes, d Exchange Commission, and agencies where applicable.				
	practitioner's a review of independenct section (part Policies and it	ain an understanding of the policies and procedures by of the responses to the e, integrity, and objectivity A) of the Quality Control Procedures Questionnaire and ing the practitioner?				
	independenc policies and pand regulate	compare the practitioner's e, integrity, and objectivity procedures with professional ory requirements? Describe ies noted.				
		itioner have a current edition Professional Standards?				
	pronounceme dence, integr	etitioner have copies of any ents related to indepen- city and objectivity from the eccountancy or other profes- ations?				

 $^{^3}$ See footnote 1.

⁴ See footnote 2.

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
5.	Did you review the practitioner's and/or the per diem employee's documentation of independence on a sample of the engagements (indicate number)?				1
6.	Were any situations noted where the practitioner or the per diem employee was not independent? Did the practitioner withdraw from the engagement or appropriately qualify the report?				
7.	Did you review the practitioner's documentation of independence training completed?				
8.	Did you review the procedures performed by the practitioner to ensure that information received from him or her is complete and accurate as to the following requirements: The practitioner shall certify initially and at least annually thereafter that he or she has read the firm's independence policies, understands their applicability to his or her activities and those of his or her spouse and close relatives and has complied with the requirements of the firm's independence policies since the prior certification? An example of such a sample basis, selected information such as brokerage statements, etc.				
9.	Did you review the corrective action taken and documentation maintained on all ap- parent independence violations reported?				
10.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
aud	en the sole practitioner acts as principal litor, confirm the independence of another n performing parts of an engagement.				
11.	Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the independence, integrity, and objectivity section (part A, question 6) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?				

			1			Comments, Findings,
			Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
	12.	If part of any audit was performed by other auditor(s), did you on a test basis (indicate number), determine whether the firm made sufficient inquiries concerning the professional reputation and independence of the other auditor(s)?		I	C	E
	13.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
В.	Per	sonnel Management				
	of :	e sole practitioner will maintain the degree technical training and proficiency required the circumstances.				
	1.	Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the personnel management section (part B, questions 1 and 2) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?				
	2.	Did the practitioner have the knowledge and expertise required to perform an engagement prior to accepting the engagement or the ability to obtain the knowledge and expertise?				
	3.	Did the practitioner's policies and procedures require the practitioner to possess the following knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to allow him/her to fulfill their engagement responsibilities:				
		a. An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's Code of Professional Conduct?				
		b. An understanding of the performance, supervision and reporting aspects of the engagement?				

Comments, Findings,

			Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
	С.	An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?		I	C I	
	d.	An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates?		C)]\	
	е.	Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?				
	f.	An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?				
4.	kno	d the per diem employees have the owledge and expertise required to form engagements assigned to them?				
5.	to bas	e you satisfied that the system pertaining this policy is appropriately designed sed on the procedures performed above d the results of the engagements re- wed?				
and edu to con	l in cati satis stinu	le practitioner will participate in general adustry-specific continuing professional on and professional development activities sfy responsibilities and fulfill applicable ing professional education requirements of PA and regulatory agencies.				
6.	pra rev ma of t	d you obtain an understanding of the actitioner's policies and procedures by a riew of the responses to the personnel nagement section (part B, questions 3–6) the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures estionnaire</i> and by interviewing the actitioner?				

C.

			/ // _		Comments, Findings,
7.	Did you review the practitioner's professional development records for conformity with the requirements of the AICPA and state boards of	Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
8.	accountancy? Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?			C	E
	ceptance and Continuance of Clients d Engagements				
	e sole practitioner will evaluate factors that we a bearing on management's integrity.				
1.	Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the acceptance and continuance of clients and engagements section (part C, questions 1–4) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?				
2.	Did you select a sample (indicate number) of new engagements and continuing engagements and determine that the practitioner evaluated management's integrity and appropriately documented the evaluation?				
3.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
eng con the pro	e sole practitioner will evaluate whether the tagement can be completed with professional inpetence and accordingly undertake only use engagements that can be completed with fessional competence and appropriately usider the risk associated with providing fessional services in particular circumstances.				
4.	Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the acceptance and continuance of clients and engagements section (part C, questions 5–7) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?				

		1 /			Comments, Findings,
		Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
5.	Did you select a sample (indicate number) of acceptance and continuance decisions and review the appropriate documentation concerning those decisions?				/
6.	Did you select a sample (indicate number) of new engagements performed during the year to determine that the firm had the required expertise to perform the engagement?	I	C		
7.	For SECPS firms only, did you obtain a list from the firm of those SEC clients accepted since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously undergone a peer review) where, as reported in a Form 8-K, in a similar public filing, such as a document filed with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board, or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or in a document filed with the Office of Thrift Supervision that is available to the successor auditor, the former accountant resigned (or declined to stand for reelection) or there was a reported disagreement over any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, or there was a "reportable event" as defined in item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K? For such engagements, did you:				
	a. Review the existing client-acceptance documentation that relates to the matters or procedures that were the subject of the resignation or disagreement?				
	b. Review such current or prior periods' engagement working papers, financial statements, or auditor's reports to the extent considered necessary to be able to evaluate whether the matters or procedures were handled appropriately?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
	c. Determine whether, since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously undergone a peer review), any opinions on the application of generally accepted accounting principles were rendered to the entity prior to acceptance (for example, during the proposal process or before)?		I		E
	d. If any such opinions were rendered, determine whether they were issued pursuant to the firm's policies relating to the issuance of such opinions?				
8.	For SECPS firms only, did you obtain a list from the firm of all new SEC engagements (1) for which there was a predecessor accountant or auditor, and (2) for which the reviewed firm's first report on accounting and auditing services related to a period that ended during the reviewed firm's peer review year? Did you review the existing client-acceptance documentation for all engagements on this list in the offices selected for review? ⁵				
9.	For SECPS firms only, for any SEC engagements discontinued, did you review the five-day letter to the registrant with a copy to the SEC?				
10.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				

⁵ If there are any engagements in the offices selected for review that are on both this list and the list obtained at step 4. above, those engagements (or portions of those engagements) should be selected for review. In any event, at least one engagement on the list obtained in this step should be reviewed in each office visited.

					Comments, Findings,
		Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
	The sole practitioner will obtain an understanding with the client regarding services to be performed.				7
	11. Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the acceptance and continuance of clients and engagements section (part C, questions 8–9) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?				
	12. Did you select a sample of engagements (indicate number) and review the firm's understanding of the engagement to be performed?				
	13. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
D.	Engagement Performance				
	The sole practitioner will plan engagements to meet professional and the firm's requirements.				
	1. Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the engagement performance section (part D, question 1) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?				
	2. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				

	Yes N	lo N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
The sole practitioner will perform, supervise, review document, and communicate in accordance with the requirements of professional standards and the firm.		IC	E
3. Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the engagement performance section (part D, questions 2–7) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?			
4. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?			
The sole practitioner will identify areas and specialized situations where consultation is required and will refer to authoritative literature and practice aids and will consult, on a timely basis, with individuals outside the firm when appropriate (for example, when dealing with complex, unusual, or unfamiliar issues).			
5. Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the engagement performance section (part D, question 8–11) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?			
6. Did you review the firm's reference materials to determine that they were up-to-date?			
7. If the sole practitioner uses quality control materials (e.g., an audit and accounting manual or standardized forms, checklists, and questionnaires) developed internally or by some third party, were the materials suitably designed? (The reviewer may also wish to obtain the most recent peer review report on the review of the design of the third party materials.)			

Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Sole Practitioner With No Professional Staff

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
8.9.	Did you determine whether any standardized forms, checklists—especially, financial disclosure checklists—or questionnaires are appropriate and, if the use of any is discretionary, appropriate for the firm? Was the sole practitioner's procedures for review of the engagement reports, financial statements and working papers appropriate?		I	C F	Extent of Testing
10.	Did you review a sample (indicate number) of consultations with outside parties to determine that:				
	a. All relevant facts and circumstances appear to have been provided to the party or parties consulted? If not, why?				
	b. The advice given appears reasonable based on the relevant facts and circumstances and consistent with professional standards? If not, why?				
	c. The practitioner acted in a manner consistent with professional standards and with the firm's policies and procedures? If not, why?				
	d. The extent of required consultations were comprehensive enough for the practitioner?				

E.

	1			Comments, Findings,
	Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
11. Are you satisfied that the system	1	M		
pertaining to this policy is appropriately	1	10		1
designed based on the procedures	-40			
performed above and the results of the	7 / 1	- T		
engagements reviewed?	_ 1			
Manifesia	n-1 11		7	T
Monitoring) [
The sole practitioner will consider and	1.1		1	1
evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the relevance				
and adequacy of quality control policies and				
procedures.				
1. Did you obtain an understanding of the				
practitioner's policies and procedures by a				
review of the responses to the monitoring				
section (part E, questions 1-2) of the <i>Quality</i>				
Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire and by interviewing the practitioner?				
and by interviewing the practitioner:				
2. If anything occurred that would affect the				
practitioner's quality control policies and				
procedures were the necessary changes made?				
3. Are you satisfied that the system				
pertaining to this policy is appropriately				
designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the				
engagements reviewed?				
The sole practitioner will consider and evaluate,				
on an ongoing basis, the appropriateness of				
guidance materials and any practice aids.				
4 D:1 1:: 1: 6:1				
4. Did you obtain an understanding of the				
practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the monitoring				
section (part E, question 3) of the Quality				
Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire				
and by interviewing the practitioner?				
, , ,				
5. Did the sole practitioner review the practice				
aids to determine that they are up-to-date?				
C Are seen artistical that the				
6. Are you satisfied that the system				
pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures				
performed above and the results of the				
engagements reviewed?				
	1	ı	1	ı

	Vos	No	N/A	Comments, Findings,
The sole practitioner will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the effectiveness of professional development activities.	Yes	140	IVA	Extent of Testing
7. Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the monitoring section (part E, question 4-5) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?	Ī			
8. Did you review the practitioner's CPE records to determine that the courses taken were appropriate considering the firm's practice?				
9. Did you review the actions taken by the practitioner when there is noncompliance with the CPE requirements of the AICPA and other regulatory bodies?				
10. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
The sole practitioner will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, compliance with policies and procedures.				
11. Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the monitoring section (part E, questions 6–10) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?				
12. Did you review the practitioner's post-issuance review of selected engagements?				
13. Did you review the summarization of the findings noted on the postissuance reviews?				

	Yes No N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
14. Did you review changes made to the firm's policies and procedures due to deficiencies noted on the postissuance reviews?		
15. Did you review the practitioner's evaluation of his/her policies and procedures for independence, integrity and objectivity, personnel management, acceptance and continuance of clients and engagements, and engagement performance?	TICE TION	
16. Did you review the practitioner's summarization of compliance with his/her policies and procedures for independence, integrity and objectivity, personnel management, acceptance and continuance of clients and engagements, and engagement performance?		
17. Did you review changes made to the firm's policies and procedures due to deficiencies noted during the practitioner's review of his/her policies and procedures for independence, integrity and objectivity, personnel management, acceptance and continuance of clients and engagements, and engagement performance?		
18. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?		
Membership Requirements (SECPS Only)		
1. Did you obtain an understanding of the practitioner's policies and procedures by a review of the his/her responses to the membership requirements (for SECPS firms only) section (part G, question 1) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> and by interviewing the practitioner?		

F.

Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Sole Practitioner With No Professional Staff

		1 /1			Comments, Findings,
		Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
2.	Did you compare the practitioner's membership requirements' policies and procedures with AICPA and SECPS requirements? Describe any deficiencies noted.		I	C I	
3.	Are you satisfied that the system is designed appropriately?				
4.	Did you confirm that the practitioner is a member of the AICPA [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(a)]?				
5.	Did you review the annual report to the Section for each fiscal year of the firm and note any apparently inappropriate information that needs to be corrected [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(g)]?				
6.	Did you obtain an understanding of the scope of the practitioner's services in the area of management advisory services and the practitioner's familiarity with the Section's requirements proscribing the performance of certain management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(h)]?				
7.	Did you review the practitioner's annual report, if applicable, to the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client on the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
8.	Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters:				/
	Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the practitioner been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client?				
	If yes,				
	i. Has the complaint(s) against the practitioner been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain No answer:				
	ii. In the event the QCIC referred the case to the AICPA Professional Ethics Division (PEEC), and the matter was subsequently deferred by the PEEC, did the practitioner comply with the SECPS Membership Requirement §1000.47 (Appendix M)? Explain No answer or nature of consideration given:				
9.	Did you review the practitioner's statement of philosophy [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(l)]?				
10.	Did you review documentation evidencing payment of the applicable Section's dues for the current year [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(j)]?				

[The next page is 13341.]

PRM Section 13340¹

Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Firms With Two or More Professionals²

- .01 This section of the manual contains a questionnaire that the reviewer should complete when reviewing the reviewed firm's responses to the *Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire*. This questionnaire has been developed for firms with two or more professional staff. Completion of this questionnaire assists the reviewer in analyzing the firm's quality control policies and procedures.
- .02 The reviewer should respond directly with "Yes," "No," or "N/A" answers and briefly describe, where appropriate, the results of his/her evaluation of the policies and procedures the firm has in effect. Lengthy and elaborate answers are not expected.
- .03 These guidelines should <u>not</u> be used for reviews of sole practitioners with no professional staff. Suggested review procedures for these firms are contained elsewhere in this section.
- .04 This questionnaire was developed from the AICPA *Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice.* The reviewer should be aware that each question does not relate to explicit requirements of professional standards; the questionnaire was prepared based on a model of suggested policies and procedures that firms are encouraged to consider in designing and maintaining a quality control system. As such, a "No" answer to a question does not necessarily indicate a problem with the firm's system of quality control. A firm's policies and procedures should be sufficient for it to obtain reasonable assurance of complying with professional standards.
- .05 There may be arrangements where certain portions of the reviewed firm's system of quality control reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of control of a non-CPA owned entity with which the reviewed firm is closely aligned through common employment, leasing of employees, equipment, facilities, etc., or other similar arrangements. This would generally include policies and procedures relating to the following elements of quality control: (1) independence, integrity and objectivity, (2) personnel management, and (3) monitoring of the elements noted in (1) and (2). If this arrangement applies to the reviewed firm, the reviewer should refer to PRM Section 13700, Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With A CPA Firm. PRM Section 13700 can also be used by a CPA firm performing and reporting on an attest engagement under Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) No. 1.

Reviewed Firm	Period Covered

¹ These guidelines are to be used on SECPS peer reviews of firms with two or more professionals <u>only</u>.

² The term professional refers to all individuals (including leased and per diem employees who devote at least 25% of their time at the reviewed firm in performing audit, reviews compilations, or other attest engagements, or those professionals who have partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision and review of such engagements) who perform professional services for which the firm is responsible, whether or not they are CPAs (SQCS No. 2 par. 3, footnote 4).

SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program

Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Firms With Two or More Professionals

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
A.	Independence, Integrity and Objectivity (If the reviewed firm is closely aligned with non-CPA owned entity, and certain portions of this element of the reviewed firm's system of quality control reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of control of the non-CPA owned entity, the reviewer should refer to PRM Section 13700, Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With A CPA Firm.)				
	Personnel will adhere to applicable independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements to the extent required. These requirements include regulations, interpretations, and rulings of the AICPA, state CPA societies, state boards of accountancy, state statutes the Independence Standards Board (ISB), the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and other regulatory agencies where applicable.				
	1. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by a review of the firm's responses to the independence, integrity, and objectivity section (part A, questions 1–7) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> (the "Questionnaire") and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
	2. Did you compare the firm's independence, integrity, and objectivity policies and procedures with professional and regulatory requirements? Describe any deficiencies noted.				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
3.	Were any situations noted where the firm is not independent? Did the firm withdraw from the audit or accounting engagement or appropriately qualify its report?		I	CE	
4.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?			N	
pro	rsonnel will be familiar with policies and occdures relating to independence, integy, and objectivity.				
5.	Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by a review of the firm's responses to the independence, integrity, and objectivity section (part A, questions 8–11) of Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
6.	Were the procedures the firm follows to provide reasonable assurance that new or revised rules, interpretations, or rulings on independence, integrity and objectivity matters considered appropriate in its practice?				
7.	Did you identify by review of files or by interviewing the appropriate individual a selection of situations (indicate number) in which independence, integrity, and objectivity questions arose during the year being reviewed and consider whether the resolution of such questions appears appropriate?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, 1	Findings Noted	l
8.	Did you review a sample (indicate number) of the written representations prepared by each professional certifying near the time of initial employment and at least annually thereafter that he or she 1) has read the firm's independence policies, 2) understands their applicability to his or her activities and those of his or her spouse and close relatives, and 3) has complied with the requirements of the firm's independence policies since the prior certification?			CE			
9.	Did you determine by review of appropriate documentation and by interviews with selected staff (see separate interview guidelines) that the firm has advised all professional personnel, on a timely basis about entities to which the independence rules apply and that professional personnel are familiar with the firm's independence, integrity and objectivity policies and procedures?						
10.	Did you determine by review of appropriate documentation and interviews with selected staff (see separate interview guidelines) that the firm has advised all professional personnel on a timely basis as to any changes in the firm's client list?						
11.	Did you review documentation of independence training as required by the firm's policies completed by each professional performing professional sorvices for clients?						

services for clients?

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
12.	Did you review the procedures performed by the firm to ensure that information received from its U.S. partners and managers is complete and accurate as to the following requirements: Each professional shall certify near the time of initial employment and at least annually thereafter that he or she has read the firm's independence policies, understands their applicability to his or her activities and those of his or her spouse and dependents and has complied with the requirements of the firm's independence policies since prior certification? An example of such a procedure may include auditing, on a sample basis, selected information such as brokerage statements, etc.	Yes	I	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
13.	Did you review the corrective action taken and documentation maintained on all apparent violations reported by professionals within the U.S. member firm?				
14.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
con	nen the firm acts as principal auditor, ifirm the independence of another firm forming parts of an engagement.				
15.	Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the independence, integrity, and objectivity section (part A, questions 12–19) of the <i>Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire</i> (the "Questionnaire") and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				

		103	110	14/11	Comments, I manigs Noted
	16. Did you compare the firm's independence, integrity, and objectivity policies and procedures with professional and regulatory requirements? Describe any deficiencies noted.	C I		CE	
	17. If part of any audit was performed by other auditor(s), did you on a test basis (indicate number), determine whether the firm made sufficient inquiries concerning the professional reputation and independence of the other auditor(s)?				
	18. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
В.	Personnel Management (If the reviewed firm is closely aligned with a non-CPA owned entity, and certain portions of this element of the reviewed firm's system of quality control reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of control of the non-CPA owned entity, the reviewer should refer to PRM Section 13700, Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm).				
	Personnel who are hired will possess the appropriate characteristics to enable them to perform competently.				
	1. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the personnel management section (part B, questions 1–4) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
2.	Did you select a sample (indicate number) of new hires, including those joining the firm through mergers or at supervisory levels, and				
	obtain each individual's personnel file, and—			L	
	a. Review the documentation and evaluate whether the individual possesses the desired attributes, achievements, and experience required by the firm. If not, ascertain from other documentation or by inquiry why an exception was made?	T T		T .4	
	b. Determine whether the background information and other documentation required by firm policy was obtained?				
	c. Select one or more of these new hires for an interview? (See separate interview guidelines.)				
3.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
bas pro inc tio att	e firm will make personnel assignments sed on the degree of technical training and officiency required in the circumstances, luding the competencies of the practimer in charge of the firm's auditing and estation engagements and the nature and ent of supervision to be provided.				
4.	Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the personnel management section (part B, question 5) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				

5.	Does the firm have policies and
	procedures that specify the knowledge,
	skills and abilities (competencies) the
	practitioner in charge of accounting,
	auditing or attestation engagements (or
	other person who is responsible for
	supervising those type of engagements
	and signing or authorizing someone to
	sign the accountants report on such
	engagements (except for SEC engage-
	ments, whose accountants' reports must
	be signed by a partner)) should possess to
	fulfill their engagement responsibilities?
	Do such competencies for the practitioner
	in charge include:

- a. An understanding of the role of your firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's Code of Professional Conduct?
- b. An understanding of the performance, supervision and reporting aspects of the engagement?
- c. An understanding of the applicable accounting auditing or attest professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which the client operates?
- d. An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates?
- e. Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?
- f. An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
6.	Did you determine by interviews with selected professional staff and (indicate number), whether they believe they had the technical training and proficiency required to perform the assignments received? (See separate interview guidelines.)		I	CE	
7.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
ind edi act sib con	sonnel will participate in general and ustry-specific continuing professional acation and professional development ivities that enable them to satisfy responsilities assigned and fulfill applicable attinuing professional education requirements the AICPA and regulatory agencies.				
8.	Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the personnel management section (part B, questions 6–12) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
9.	Did you review the firm's CPE records on a test basis and consider whether they demonstrate that—				
	a. Professional personnel participated in CPE related to accounting and auditing assignments including specialized industries?				
	b. The firm was in compliance with its plans for its CPE program and with the CPE requirements of—				
	i. Board(s) of accountancy in state(s) in which the firm's professional staff is licensed?				
	ii. AICPA (if applicable)?				
	iii. State CPA society (if applicable)?				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
		iv. <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> the "Yellow Book" (if applicable)?				
		v. SEC Practice Section (if applicable)?				/
	С.	The firm is taking appropriate action to correct situations where professional personnel are not in compliance with CPE requirements?			CE	
10.	in-li (inc pro app	ne firm presents a significant amount of nouse training, did you select a sample dicate number) of such grams for review? By examination of propriate documentation did you ermine whether—				
	<i>a</i> .	The developer is qualified, and has obtained any necessary approvals? For example, a sponsor number from the appropriate state board of accountancy.				
	b.	The course is technically accurate, current, and contributes to the professional competence of the attendees?				
	с.	The instructor is qualified?				
	d.	The participants and instructor evaluate the course, and appropriate action is taken when the evaluations are not favorable?				
11.	stat firr trai fess ma a ti par acti	If you interview selected professional obtain their impressions of the n's CPE function and their on-the-job ning, determine whether new prosional standards and guidance terials are made available to them on mely basis, determine whether they ticipate in professional development vities? (See separate interview delines.)				
12.	per des per	e you satisfied that the system taining to this policy is appropriately signed based on the procedures formed above and the results of the gagements reviewed?				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
Personnel selected for advancement will have the qualifications necessary to fulfill the responsibilities they will be called on to assume.				
13. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the personnel management section (part B, questions 14–18) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?			TE N	
14. Did you review job descriptions and responsibilities, evaluate advancement criteria, and determine whether they are reasonable for the firm?				
15. For a sample of professional personnel (indicate number), did you review personnel files, personnel evaluations, or other documentation to determine whether staff members are reviewed, evaluated, and promoted in accordance with firm policy?				
16. Did you evaluate the effectiveness of the method used to evaluate owners and whether they fulfill the responsibilities assigned to them including whether they possess the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) necessary to enable them to be qualified to perform the firm's accounting, auditing and attestation engagements? In that connection, did you consider interviewing selected owners to assist in evaluating the effectiveness of the method used?				
17. Did you interview selected professional staff to determine their awareness of the firm's advancement policies and procedures and whether they are followed? (See separate interview guidelines).				
18. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				

	(),	res	INO	IN/A	Comments, Findings Noted
C.	Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements				
	The firm will evaluate factors that have a bearing on management's integrity.				/
	1. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the acceptance and continuance section (part C, questions 1–4) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
	2. Did you select a sample (indicate number) of new engagements and continuing engagements and determine that the firm evaluated management's integrity and appropriately documented the evaluation?				
	3. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
	The firm will evaluate whether the engagement can be completed with professional competence and accordingly undertake only those engagements that can be completed with professional competence and appropriately consider the risk associated with providing professional services in particular circumstances.				
	4. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the acceptance and continuance section (part C, questions 5–9) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
	5. Did you review the documentation maintained for selected acceptance and continuance decisions (indicate number) and determine that the firm is complying with its own policies and procedures and with the requirements of professional standards?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
6.	Did you select a sample (indicate number) of new engagements performed during the year to determine that the firm had the required expertise to perform the engagements?	H (
7.	For SECPS firms only, did you obtain a list from the firm of those SEC clients accepted since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously undergone a peer review) where, as reported in a Form 8-K, in a similar public filing, such as a document filed with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board, or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or in a document filed with the Office of Thrift Supervision that is available to the successor auditor, the former accountant resigned (or declined to stand for reelection) or there was a reported disagreement over any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, or there was a "reportable event" as defined in item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K? For such engagements, did you:			N	
	a. Review the existing client- acceptance documentation that relates to the matters or procedures that were the subject of the resignation or disagreement?				
	b. Review such current or prior periods' engagement working papers, financial statements, or auditor's reports to the extent considered necessary to be able to evaluate whether the matters or procedures were handled appropriately?				
	c. Determine whether, since the end of the last peer review year (or for the year under review if the reviewed firm has not previously undergone a peer review), any opinions on the application of generally accepted				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
	accounting principles were rendered to the entity prior to acceptance (for example, during the proposal process or before)?				/
	d. If any such opinions were rendered, determine whether they were issued pursuant to the firm's policies relating to the issuance of such opinions?	C I T I		CE	
8.	For SECPS firms only, did you obtain a list from the firm of all new SEC engagements (1) for which there was a predecessor accountant or auditor, and (2) for which the reviewed firm's first report on accounting and auditing services related to a period that ended during the reviewed firm's peer review year? Did you review the existing client-acceptance documentation for all engagements on this list in the offices selected for review? ³				
9.	For SECPS firms only, for any SEC engagements discontinued, did you review the five-day letter to the registrant with a copy to the SEC?				
10.	Did you select a sample (indicate number) of continuing engagements performed during the year to determine that the firm still had the required expertise to perform the engagement?				
11.	If any client relationships were discontinued during the year under review, were they handled appropriately and documented?				

³ If there are any engagements in the offices selected for review that are on both this list and the list obtained at step 7, above, those engagements (or portions of those engagements) should be selected for review. In any event, at least one engagement on the list obtained in this step should be reviewed in each office visited.

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
	12. Were selected professional staff interviewed to determine their awareness of the firm's acceptance and continuance of clients and engagements' policies and procedures and whether they are followed? (See separate interview guidelines).13. Are you satisfied that the system			J DE N	
	pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
	The firm will obtain an understanding with the client regarding services to be performed.				
	14. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the acceptance and continuance section (part C, question 10) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
	15. Did you select a sample of engagements (indicate number) and review the firm's understanding of the engagement to be performed?				
	16. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
D.	Engagement Performance				
	Planning for the engagements will meet professional, regulatory and the firm's requirements.				
	1. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the engagement performance section (part D, questions 1–2) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				

Yes N/A Comments, Findings Noted 2. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed? engagement will be performed, supervised, reviewed, documented and communicated in accordance with the requirements of professional standards, regulatory authorities and the firm. 3. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the engagement performance section (part D, questions 3–11) of Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties? 4. If the firm uses quality control materials (e.g., an audit and accounting manual or standardized forms, checklists, and questionnaires) developed internally or by some third party, were the materials suitably designed? (The reviewer may also wish to obtain the most recent peer review report on the review of the design of the third-party materials). 5. Did you determine whether standardized forms, checklists especially, financial disclosure checklists or questionnaires are appropriate and, if the use of any is discretionary, appropriate for the firm? 6. Were the firm's procedures for review of the engagement reports, financial statements and working papers appropriate? 7. Were any unusual accounting or auditing problems related to clients

obtained in a merger during the year under review encountered? If "yes," was the firm's assessment and treatment

of such matters adequate?

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
8.	Were the firm's policies and procedures adequate for training and integrating the professional personnel of the merged-in practice into the reviewed firm's quality control policies and procedures?		I	J E	
9.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?			N	
situ and aut and ind app wit	e firm will identify areas and specialized vations where consultation is required will require personnel to refer to horitative literature and practice aids to consult, on a timely basis, with ividuals within or outside the firm when propriate (for example, when dealing the complex, unusual, or unfamiliar ues).				
10.	Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the engagement performance section (part D, questions 12–18) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
11.	Did you identify by review of documentation (indicate number) and by reviewing some individual situations (if available) in which consultation has taken place, to determine that:				
	a. All relevant facts and circumstances appear to have been provided to the party or parties consulted? If not, why?				
	b. The advice given appears reasonable based on the relevant facts and circumstances and consistent with professional standards? If not, why?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
	c. The firm acted in a manner consistent with professional standards and with the firm's policies and procedures? If not, why?				/
	PRA	CI		CI	
	<i>d</i> . The extent of required consultations was appropriately comprehensive?				
12.	Were the firm's reference materials for its audit and accounting practice sufficiently comprehensive and current?				
13.	Did the reference materials include recent pronouncements and literature appropriate for the firm's specialties and are they updated on a timely basis?				
14.	Was the guidance issued regarding reports on the application of accounting principles, as described in SAS 50, appropriate? Did the firm:				
	a. Comply with its requirements and with professional standards?				
	<i>b</i> . Issue a report on the application of accounting principles that is appropriate in the circumstances?				
15.	Did you interview selected professional staff and per diem employees to determine their awareness of the firm's engagement performance policies and procedures and whether they are followed? (See separate interview guidelines).				
16.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
E.	Monitoring. (If the reviewed firm is closely aligned with a non-CPA owned entity, and certain portions of this element of the reviewed firm's system of quality control reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of control of the non-CPA owned entity, the reviewer should refer to PRM Section 13700, Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm).			CE N	
	The firm will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the relevance and adequacy of its quality control policies and procedures.				
	 Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the monitoring section (part E, questions 1–2) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties? 				
	2. If anything occurred (see part E, question 2.a of the Questionnaire) that would affect the firm's quality control policies and procedures, were the necessary changes made?				
	3. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
	The firm will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the appropriateness of its guidance materials and any practice aids.				
	4. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the monitoring section (part E, questions 3–4) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
	5. Did the firm review its practice aids and determine that they were up-to-date?				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
6. Did the firm review its method of informing personnel of changes to professional standards, regulatory requirements and any related changes to firm policy and practice aids?			C E	7
7. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
The firm will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the effectiveness of professional development programs.				
8. Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the monitoring section (part E, question 5) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
9. Did you ascertain whether actions were taken as a result of evaluations of inhouse training programs to determine if they were achieving their objectives?				
10. Did the firm interview a sample of its professional personnel regarding the effectiveness of its training programs?				
11. Did you review actions taken where staff were not in compliance with CPE requirements of the AICPA as well as other regulatory bodies?				
12. Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
The firm will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, compliance with its policies and procedures.				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
13.	Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by review of the firm's responses to the monitoring section (part E, questions 6–8) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?			J E	
14.	Did you review the available documentation supporting the monitoring procedures performed since the last peer review, if any, and evaluate whether—			N	
	a. Those who conducted monitoring procedures had sufficient training and experience?				
	b. The procedures performed were timely and covered:				
	i. Reviewing and testing compliance with firm quality control policies and procedures relating to all the elements of quality control?				
	ii. Reviewing an appropriate number of offices? (Reviewers should ask the reviewed firm about any requirements of relevant state boards of accountancy that must be met for the peer review to be accepted by such state boards as meeting its requirements).				
	iii. Reviewing an appropriate number and type of engage- ments for compliance with professional standards?				
	c. The findings from the monitoring procedures were appropriately summarized and documented?				
	d. The materials used in carrying out the monitoring procedures, such as questionnaires, programs, and checklists are adequate?				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
e. Appropriate corrective action was taken on monitoring procedures findings, including, if necessary, action pursuant to the requirements of AICPA Professional Standards, AU 390 and AU 561, or supplementing the working papers to document the procedures performed?		LIC	C E	
15. If the firm's monitoring procedures include the conduct of inspection procedures were those procedures timely performed? If yes, answer the following questions, if "N/A," skip to question 16.				
a. Were the criteria used in selecting offices and engagements for review and for selecting individuals within or outside the firm to carry out such procedures appropriate?				
b. Does the firm monitor whether planned corrective actions as a result of inspection procedures were actually implemented?				
c. Did you review the available documentation supporting annual inspections performed since the last peer review, if any, and evaluate whether—				
 The inspectors or reviewer had sufficient training and experience? 				
ii. The inspection procedures performed were timely and covered:				
(a) Reviewing and testing compliance with firm quality control policies and procedures relating to all the elements of quality control?				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
(b) Reviewing an appropriate number of offices? (Reviewers should ask the reviewed firm about any requirements of relevant state boards of accountancy that must be met for the peer review to be accepted by such state boards as meeting its requirements).			CE N	
(c) Reviewing an appropriate number and type of engagements for compliance with professional standards?				
iii. The findings from the inspection were appropriately summarized and documented?				
iv. The materials used in carrying out the inspection, such as questionnaires, programs, and checklists are adequate?				
v. Appropriate corrective action was taken on inspection findings, including, if necessary, action pursuant to the requirements of AICPA <i>Professional Standards</i> , AU 390 and AU 561, or supplementing the working papers to document the procedures performed?				
If the firm's monitoring procedures include either inspections or pre- or post issuance reviews, review a sample and determine:				
a. If the criteria used in selecting engagements for review and for selecting individuals within or outside the firm to carry out such procedures were appropriate?				
b. Whether planned corrective actions were actually implemented?				

16. If

F.

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
17.	Did you interview selected staff to determine whether the findings from the monitoring procedures under review were communicated and considered by staff? (See separate interview guidelines).			C E	/
18.	Are you satisfied that the system pertaining to this policy is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above and the results of the engagements reviewed?				
Me	embership Requirements (SECPS Only)				
1.	Did you obtain an understanding of the firm's policies and procedures by a review of the firm's responses to the membership requirements section (part G, question 1) of the Questionnaire and by interviewing the appropriate parties?				
2.	Did you compare the firm's membership requirements policies and procedures with AICPA and SECPS requirements? Describe any deficiencies noted.				
3.	Are you satisfied that the system is designed appropriately?				
4.	Did you obtain reasonable assurance that each owner of the firm residing in the United States and eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA by confirming membership with the Division's staff (indicate number), or examining invoices and canceled checks (indicate number) [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(a)]?				
5.	Did you review the annual report to the Section for each fiscal year of the United States firm and note any apparently inappropriate information that needs to be corrected [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(g)]?				

Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Firms With Two or More Professional Staff

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
6.	Did you determine that the firm's personnel involved in management advisory services had an appropriate understanding of the scope of the firm's services in that area and that they were familiar with the Section's requirements proscribing the performance of certain management advisory services [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(h)]?			JE N	
7.	Did you evaluate the adequacy of the firm's procedures for communicating at least annually with the audit committee or board of directors (or its equivalent in a partnership) of each SEC audit client on the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year under audit and a description of the types of such services rendered [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(i)]?				
8.	Regarding Quality Control Inquiry Committee (QCIC) matters:				
	a. Since the prior peer review, or since joining the Section if less than 3 years, has the Firm or its personnel been named in any litigation, proceeding or publicly announced investigation by a regulatory agency alleging deficiencies in the conduct of an audit of the financial statements of a present or former SEC client?				
	If yes,				
	i. Has the complaint(s) against the Firm or its personnel been reported to the QCIC within 30 days of being served? Explain No answer:				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
ii. In the event the QCIC referred the case to the AICPA Professional Ethics Division (PEEC), and the matter was subsequently deferred by the PEEC, did the review team ascertain that the Firm complied with the SECPS Membership Requirement §1000.47 (Appendix M)? Explain No answer or nature of consideration given:			C E	
b. Does the firm have policies and procedures to perform appropriate background investigations for newly admitted partners to determine whether there are any outstanding disciplinary actions against the individual prior to their admittance to the Firm (Appendix M §1000.47)?				
Explain No answer or nature of consideration given:				
Did such actions exist against newly admitted partners? If yes, did the Firm apply the actions as described in Appendix M §1000.47 on such partners?				
Explain No answer or nature of consideration given:				
Did you review the firm's statement of philosophy [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(l)]?				
Did you review documentation evidencing payment of the applicable Section's dues for the current year [membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(j)]?				

9.

10.

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings Noted
t t f 1 5	Did you review the firm's annual report to the Section to determine if the firm is subject to the SECPS membership requirement for firms foreign associated firms that audit SEC registrants [membership requirement SECPS 1000.08n]? If the firm is subject to the membership requirement, respond to questions 12–13 (a)–(c).			JE N	
6 1 t 1 f	On a sample basis, did you agree the name and country of the foreign associated firms isted in the SECPS annual report to either the individual written representation received from the firm's foreign associated firms or from the international organization of which the firm is a member of? Describe any deficiencies noted.				
1 1 1	Based on the firm's responses and the related supporting documentation provided with respect to the membership requirement section (part F, question 1(h) of the Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire)—				
a	through review of the inspection results, discussion with firm personnel, etc.) of how filing and inspection reviews for foreign associated firms were conducted and determine whether they were consistent with the requirement stated in Appendix K?				
l	were you able to determine whether the filing and inspection reviewers assigned to perform the procedures were knowledgeable in accounting, auditing and independence requirements of the SEC and the ISB and pertinent SEC rules and regulations [SECPS 1000.45]?				
C	c. If appropriate, were you able to determine whether disagreements, if any, between the filing or inspection reviewer and the audit partner-incharge of the engagement were appropriately resolved?				

[The next page is 13401.]

PRM Section 13400

Staff Interview Questionnaire

Table of Contents

Section	SECTION	Page
13410	Staff Interview Questionnaire	13411
13440	Staff Interview Questionnaire for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm	13441

[The next page is 13411.]

PRM Section 13410¹

Staff Interview Questionnaire

- .01 The review of a CPA firm's quality control policies and procedures requires that professional personnel be interviewed. The objective of these interviews is to provide corroborative evidence that certain policies and procedures have been properly communicated.
- .02 When soliciting information, reviewers should consider the nature of the topic, the level of the person being interviewed, and the size of the firm.
- .03 The questionnaire developed to guide the reviewer in conducting interviews is included in this section of the manual. It should be tailored as the interviewer deems appropriate.
- .04 The individuals interviewed should have varying levels of experience and background. The number of individuals interviewed will be affected by the size and nature of the reviewed firm's practice.

¹ This questionnaire is to be used on SECPS peer reviews of firms with two or more professionals.

SEC Practice Section² Peer Review Program

Staff Interview Questionnaire

The review of a CPA firm's quality control policies and procedures requires that professional personnel be interviewed. Interviews with firm personnel are generally contemplated as a corroborative technique rather than as a means for initially gathering information. Reviewers should consider the nature of the topic, the level of the person being interviewed, and the size of the firm when soliciting information. This questionnaire lists suggested interview questions that may be tailored as the interviewer deems appropriate. Interviews can also elicit reactions or perceptions of which the firm should be, but is not aware. The interviewee should be advised that no record is kept of his or her name.

	riate. Interviews can also elicit reac The interviewee should be advised the		which the firm should be, but is no is or her name.
	Office Code No.	Interviewee Code	Level of Interviewee
	Suggested Questions	1	Responses
A. In	ndependence, Integrity and Objectiv	rity	
1.	How does the firm inform you policies and procedures to wh firm's independence policies apply	ich the	
2.	If you had a question on an independent matter, what would you do?	endence	
3.	Are you aware of any engagem which you believe the firm w independent? Yes No If which engagements?	as not	
4.	Has another firm ever perfor segment of an engagement on wh have been involved and for which firm was the principal auditor? No If "yes," has the independent that firm been confirmed? Yes If "no," why?	ich you ch your Yes lence of	
5.	In the performance of profeservices you have been involved you believe firm members mai objectivity and integrity, stayed conflicts of interest, and diknowingly misrepresent factsubordinate judgment to others? No If "no," why?	with do ntained free of id not ts or	

 $^{^{2}\,}$ This questionnaire is to be used on SECPS peer review of firms with two or more professionals.

Responses

Staff Interview Questionnaire

- 6. Where applicable, did you adhere to the independence requirements including regulations, interpretations and rulings of the AICPA, state CPA society, state board of accountancy, state society and other regulatory agencies? Yes ___ No ___ If "no," why?
- 7. Prior to acquiring any security in an entity, obtaining a loan from an entity, opening or modifying a brokerage account with an entity, did you review the firm's Restricted Entity List?
- 8. Have you certified initially and at least annually thereafter that you 1) have read the firm's independence policies, 2) understand their applicability to your activities and those of your spouse and close relatives, and 3) have complied with the requirements of the firm's independence policies since the prior certification?
- 9. Have you reported any apparent violations of policies involving yourself and your spouse and dependents when identified and the corrective action taken or proposed to be taken on a timely basis?

B. Personnel Management

- 1. How were you informed about the quality control policies and procedures that are relevant to you?
- 2. If staff being interviewed is involved in the recruiting process inquire into
 - a. Whether he/she was informed about the firm's hiring objectives prior to becoming involved in the hiring process? Yes ___ No ___ If "yes," how were you apprised of this information?

Responses

- b. The attributes, achievements and experiences entry-level and experienced hires are expected to possess to enable them to perform competently within the firm.
- c. What training did he/she receive prior to becoming involved in the recruiting process?
- 3. What types of assignments have you had in the past year?
- 4. Did you believe that the assignments you have received were based on the degree of training and proficiency you possessed at the time, and were commensurate with the nature and extent of supervision to be provided?
- 5. What types of courses and industry-specific continuing professional education and professional development activities did you participate in during the last year, and do you believe that these activities contributed to your ability to perform the responsibilities assigned to you?
- 6. Have you considered the specific continuing professional education requirements of the AICPA, state board of accountancy, and regulatory agencies such as GAO, DOL, etc.? Yes ____ No ___ If "no," briefly describe why.
- 7. Do you believe that the on-the-job training that you received during the year under review was adequate to enable you to perform the responsibilities assigned to you on general and industry-specific engagements? Yes ___ No ___ If "no," briefly describe why.
- 8. Where applicable, are new professional standards, independence requirements, and guidance materials distributed on a timely basis?

Responses

- 9. What are the responsibilities of your position?
- 10. What are the qualifications deemed necessary for promotion to the level immediately above you?
- 11. How often have you been evaluated during the last year and do you believe that these evaluations, if any, were performed on a timely professional basis?
- 12. To what extent do you receive written or oral feedback on your performance? Do you believe that this is constructive?

C. Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements

- 1. What conditions on an engagement would cause you to bring them to the attention of your supervisor so that a decision could be made whether the firm's relationship with the client should be continued?
- 2. Does the firm obtain an understanding with its clients, whether written or oral, regarding the services to be performed?

D. Engagement Performance

- Do you believe that the engagements on which you have participated have been properly planned to meet professional, regulatory, and firm requirements? Yes ___ No __ If "no," explain why. Can you give some examples of planning considerations for engagements on which you have participated?
- 2. In planning an engagement, what forms should be prepared and what procedures should be performed? (Applicable only to staff with planning responsibilities.)

Responses

- 3. To what extent have you been supervised on the engagements on which you have participated and do you believe that the degree of supervision was adequate?
- 4. To what extent have you supervised other people on engagements on which you have participated? Were you adequately trained to carry out that responsibility?
- 5. Do you refer to authoritative literature or practice aids while performing engagements?
- 6. How do you determine the procedures to perform, and the form and content of working papers for engagements on which you participate?
- 7. If you do not know the answer to an accounting or auditing question, what would you do?
- 8. Has the firm identified any individuals within or outside the firm as (industry) specialist? Yes ___ No ___ If "yes," give a few examples. How were you apprised of them?
- 9. Has the firm identified any specialized situations requiring consultation? Yes ____ No ___ If "yes," give a few examples. If "no," what are some situations which you believe make it necessary to consult or refer to a technical practice aid? How have you been apprised of situations requiring consultation with a specialist? What degree of authority is accorded the opinion of specialists, if any, and how are any differences of opinion with such specialists resolved?
- 10. To what extent is your work reviewed?
- 11. How are differences of professional judgment among members of an engagement team resolved?

Responses

Suggested Questions

E.	Mo	onitoring	
	1.	If your firm performs annual inspections:	
		a. Were any of the engagements on which you worked selected for review during the most recent inspection and the one immediately proceeding it? Yes No If "yes," were you made aware of the findings concerning your work and were they considered on the subsequent engagement?	TICE ION
		b. What were the findings of the most recent inspection and how were these communicated to you?	
	2.	If your firm performs pre- or postissuance reviews of engagements:	
		a. Were any of the engagements on which you worked selected for review? Yes No If "yes," were you made aware of the findings concerning your work? If "yes,"	
		i. Were they immediately corrected for pre-issuance reviews?	
		ii. Were they considered on the subsequent engagement for post- issuance reviews?	
		iii. If "no," why?	
Data	of 1	Interview	
		Interview	
		ewer's Signature	
			ain
Tear	n Ca	'aptain's Signature	

PRM Section 13440

Staff Interview Questionnaire For Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm

- .01 The review of those portions of a CPA Firm's system of quality control that reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of control at a non-CPA owned entity requires that professional personnel who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements or who have the partner/manager level responsibility for overall supervision or review of such engagements be interviewed. Those portions would generally include policies and procedures relating to the following elements of quality control: (1) independence, integrity and objectivity, (2) personnel management, and (3) monitoring of the elements noted in (1) and (2). The objective of these interviews is to provide corroborative evidence that certain policies and procedures have been properly communicated to all personnel of the non-CPA owned entity.
- .02 When soliciting information, reviewers should consider the nature of the topic, the level of the person to be interviewed, and the size of the CPA firm. This questionnaire is designed to guide the reviewer in conducting interviews of selected personnel, and should be completed by the reviewer in conjunction with PRM Section 13700, Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm.
- .03 The individuals interviewed should have varying levels of experience and background. The number of individuals to be interviewed will be affected by the size and nature of the firm's practice. Personnel of the non-CPA owned entity who are not directly involved in the performance of audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements also should be interviewed, in order to determine whether they are familiar with the non-CPA owned entity's policies and procedures as related to independence, integrity and objectivity, and to the monitoring of that quality control element.
- .04 This questionnaire lists suggested interview questions that may be tailored as the interviewer deems appropriate. Interviews can also elicit reactions or perceptions of which the non-CPA owned entity should be, but is not aware. The interviewee should be advised that no record is kept of his or her name.

Office Code No.	Interviewee Code	Level of	Level of Interviewee		
Professional Staff?		Yes	No		
Other Non-CPA Entity Personnel?		Yes	No		

SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program

Staff Interview Questionnaire For Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm

A. Independence Integrity and Objectivity

- How does the non-CPA owned entity inform you of its policies and procedures to which the entity's independence policies apply?
- 2. Are you aware of those financial or other relationships that may be prohibited?
- 3. If you had a question on an independence matter, what would you do?
- 4. Have you represented whether you are independent with respect to the CPA firm's audit, review, compilation or attest clients? If so, how were the representations documented (e.g., memo, questionnaire, or some other form of documentation)?
- 5. How often do you provide representations to the non-CPA entity's representative, which states whether you are independent with respect to the CPA firm's clients (e.g., monthly, quarterly, annually, etc.)?
- 6. Were you involved in any situations during the peer review year where questions arose regarding independence, integrity and objectivity and, if so, do you believe that resolutions of those questions were appropriate?
- 7. Are you aware of engagements performed by the CPA firm, in which you believe that the non-CPA owned entity was not independent? Yes ___ No ___ If "yes," which engagements?
- 8. Does the non-CPA owned entity inform you on a timely basis of those entities that engage the CPA firm to which independence policies apply as well as any changes to the CPA firm's client list?

	Silve	7					
				Resp	onses		
			1(CE			
r	I						
1							

- 9. Where applicable, did you adhere to the independence requirements including regulations, interpretations and rulings of the AICPA, state CPA society, state board of accountancy, the Independence Standards Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other regulatory agencies? Yes ___ No ___ If "no" why?
- 10. Prior to acquiring any security in an entity, obtaining a loan from an entity, opening or modifying a brokerage account with an entity, did you review the firm's Restricted Entity List?
- 11. Have you certified initially and at least annually thereafter that you 1) have read the firm's independence policies, 2) understand their applicability to your activities and those of your spouse and close relatives, and 3) have complied with the requirements of the firm's independence policies since the prior certification?
- 12. Have you reported any apparent violations of policies involving yourself and your spouse and dependents when identified and the corrective action taken or proposed to be taken on a timely basis?

B. Personnel Management

- 1. How were you informed of the policies and procedures that are relevant to you?
- 2. If professional staff who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements, or who have the partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements being interviewed by the non-CPA owned entity are involved in the recruiting process, inquire into the following:



- a. Whether he/she was informed about the non-CPA owned entity's hiring objectives prior to becoming involved in the hiring process? Yes ___ No ___. If "yes," how were they apprised of this information?
- b. What attributes, achievements, and experiences are expected from entry level and experienced hires in order to enable them to perform competently?
- c. What training did he/she receive prior to becoming involved in the recruiting process?
- 3. What kind of assignments have you had in the past year?
- 4. Did you believe that the assignments you received were based on the degree of training and proficiency you possessed at the time, and were those assignments commensurate with the nature and extent of supervision to be provided?
- 5. What type of courses and industry specific continuing education development activities did you participate in during the last year, and do you believe that these activities contribute to your ability to perform the responsibilities assigned to you?
- 6. Are you encouraged by the non-CPA owned entity or by the CPA firm to participate in other professional activities, such as; graduate level courses, membership in professional organizations, serving on professional committees, and writing for publications?
- 7. Do you believe that the on-the-job training that you received from the CPA firm during the past year was adequate to enable you to perform the responsibilities assigned to you on general and industryspecific engagements?

Responses

- 8. If you received such on-the-job training from somewhere other than the CPA firm, where was such training obtained? Was such training adequate to enable you to perform the responsibilities assigned to you on general and industryspecific engagements?
- 9. Where applicable, are new professional standards, independence requirements, guidance materials, including updated changes to such information, distributed on a timely basis?
- 10. What are the responsibilities of your position?
- 11. What are the qualifications deemed necessary for promotion to the level immediately above you?
- 12. How often have you been evaluated during the past year, and did these evaluations include a discussion of your progress and career opportunities?
- 13. Do you believe that these evaluations were performed on a timely basis?
- 14. To what extent did you receive written feedback on your performance? Do you believe that feedback is constructive?

C. Monitoring

- 1. Are you timely informed of any changes to professional standards, regulatory requirements, and any related changes to policy and practice aids?
- 2. Have you provided your opinion and views regarding the effectiveness of the training programs? If "yes," how did you provide this feedback (e.g., interview, questionnaire, memo, etc.)?

Responses

	Responses				
3. Were you informed as to the findings and results of the monitoring procedures, as well as the corrective actions, if any, taken by the CPA firm as a result of those findings?					
4. Have you been informed as to any findings resulting from the monitoring procedures that related to your specific engagements or to the types of services that you performed: (e.g. audits, reviews, compilations, etc.)? Yes No If "yes," how were those findings communicated to you?	TION				
Date of Interview					
Interviewer's Signature					
Date Interview Questionnaire Reviewed by Team Captain					
Team Captain's Signature					

[The next page is 13501.]

PRM Section 13500

Quality Control Policies and Procedures Supplemental Questionnaire—Alternative Practice Structures

Table of Contents

Section		Page
13510	Quality Control Policies and Procedures Supplemental Questionnaire for Non-CPA	
	Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm	13511

[The next page is 13511.]

Quality Control Policies and Procedures Supplemental Questionnaire for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm

.01 This section of the manual contains a questionnaire that a non-CPA owned entity must complete prior to the commencement of the review of a CPA firm when certain portions of the CPA firm's system of quality control reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of control of the non-CPA owned entity with which the CPA firm is closely aligned through common employment, leasing of employees, equipment, facilities, etc., or other similar arrangements. In this situation, the CPA firms sells all or a portion of its non-attest practice to a non-CPA owned entity. However, the majority of the financial interests in the CPA firm's attest practice is owned by CPAs. (See AICPA Code of Professional Conduct Interpretation 10114, ET Section 101.16, for further information regarding the effect of alternative practice structures on CPA firms.)

.02 The CPA firm's system of quality control should encompass all of the five elements of quality control: (1) independence, integrity and objectivity, (2) personnel management, (3) acceptance and continuance of clients, (4) engagement performance, and (5) monitoring. However, certain portions of the CPA firm's system of quality control can be delegated to the non-CPA owned entity while others cannot be delegated. This questionnaire addresses only those portions of the non-CPA owned entity's system of control that support the CPA firm's system of quality control. Accordingly, the questions relate to the elements of quality control for which the non-CPA owned entity has established policies and procedures. This would generally include the following elements of quality control: (1) independence, integrity, and objectivity, (2) personnel management, and (3) monitoring of the quality control elements noted in (1) and (2). The questionnaire should be completed by a representative of the non-CPA owned entity who understands how the non-CPA owned entity's system supports the CPA firm's system of quality control. Completion of the questionnaire assists a non-CPA owned entity in accumulating and organizing the information to enable the peer review team to obtain an understanding of controls needed to plan a peer review of the CPA firm's system of quality control. PRM Section 13240 should be completed by the CPA firm being reviewed in assessing those elements of quality control that reside within the CPA firm's system of quality control. Accordingly, PRM Section 13240 should be completed for those certain elements.

.03 Respond directly to the questions with "Yes," "No," or "N/A" answers and briefly describe, where
appropriate, the policies and procedures the non-CPA owned entity has in effect that relate to the
questions asked. Where appropriate, the representative from the non-CPA owned entity should make
reference to any documents prepared and maintained by either the non-CPA owned entity or by the CPA
firm being reviewed, that describe those policies and procedures in more detail. Examples of such
documents might be personnel manuals, audit and accounting manuals, a quality control document or
manual, and forms and checklists. Lengthy and elaborate answers are not expected.

	and can cause and week are not expected	
Non-CPA Owned Entity	Prepared By	Date

SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program

Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire For Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm

					Comments, Findings,
	$DD\Lambda$	Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
A.	Independence, Integrity and Objectivity The non-CPA owned entity, other associated entities and their personnel will adhere to applicable independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements to the extent required. These requirements include: regulations, interpretations, and rulings of the AICPA, state CPA societies, state boards of accountancy, state statutes, the Independence Standards Board (ISB),	T	IC		
	the Securities and Exchange Commission				
	(SEC), and other regulatory agencies where applicable.				
	1. Does the non-CPA owned entity have policies and procedures in place to ensure the independence of the CPA firm as required by the AICPA, state CPA societies, state boards of accountancy, state statute, the ISB, the SEC, and other regulatory bodies, if applicable?				
	a. If "yes," how is this information documented (e.g., memoranda, manuals, etc)?				
	b. If "no," how does the non-CPA entity obtain reasonable assurance that all of its personnel are aware of the pertinent regulations, interpretations, and rulings of regulatory bodies that impact the CPA firm?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
2.	Is there an individual within the non-CPA owned entity responsible for providing guidance, answering questions, monitoring compliance, and resolving matters with respect to independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements?			C I	
	a. If "yes," please identify.				
	b. If "yes," what training or education does the individual receive to ensure that he or she is qualified to perform these functions adequately?				
	c. If "no," describe how these matters are resolved?				
3.	Do those policies and procedures include providing information to the CPA firm regarding services provided and products sold by the non-CPA owned entity to the SEC clients of the CPA entity prior to when the CPA firm has performed accounting, audit or attestation services for those SEC clients.				
4.	Are resolutions of independence, integrity, and objectivity questions documented?				
	a. If "yes," briefly describe the nature of the documentation, and indicate where the documentation is maintained (e.g., the working papers files or other specific CPA firm or client files).				

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
	b.	If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity determine compliance with professional standards for independence, integrity, and objectivity related to the CPA firm's practice?				Extent of Testing
	С.	Was it deemed necessary since the time of the CPA firm's prior peer review to consult with individuals outside the non-CPA owned entity or CPA firm on concerns or matters relating to independence, integrity, or objectivity that impact the CPA firm's practice? If "yes," please describe.				
5.	pol vid correction cor	es the non-CPA owned entity have licies and procedures in place to pro- le information to the CPA firm for immunication at least annually with dit committees (or boards of directors those cases where there is no audit immittee) of the CPA firm's SEC ents? If "yes," does the information lude all relationships between the A firm and its related entities and the impany and its related entities that may sonably be thought to bear on indendence communicated to the CPA in in a standardized form?				
wi	ent info cor — — — per	"no," how does the non-CPA owned ity ensure that the CPA firm has the ormation that needs to be mmunicated to the audit committee? Isonnel of the non-CPA owned entity the familiar with policies and pro-				
		regarding independence, integrity, jectivity requirements.				

					Comments, Findings,	
			Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
			165	110	1 1 ///A	Extent of Testing
6.		e all personnel of the non-CPA owned ity made aware that the following				(
	fina	ancial or other relationships by either ividuals or entities may be pro-				
		ited:		11.		
	a.	Business relationships with the CPA firm's clients or with non-clients that have investor or investee relationships with clients.				
	b.	Loans to or from the CPA firm's clients, including loans from the CPA firm's financial institutions clients?				
	С.	Family members who are employed by the CPA firm's clients, or who are in director, officer, manager, or audit sensitive positions with CPA firm clients, including not-for-profit organizations?				
	d.	Past due fees for professional services from the CPA firm's clients?				
	е.	Accounting or advisory services that have evolved into situations where the service provider has assumed some of the responsibilities of client management?				
	f.	Bookkeeping services to SEC clients of the CPA firm?				
	<i>g</i> .	Positions where personnel in the non-CPA owned entity act as promoters, underwriters, voting trustees, directors, or officers of the CPA firm's clients?				
	h.	Direct and material indirect financial interests in clients of the CPA firm?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
7.	 i. Material investments of the CPA firm's clients in the non-CPA owned entity that allow the clients to exercise significant influence over the non-CPA owned entity? Does the non-CPA owned entity communicate policies and procedures for independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements to all of its personnel? 	C T			E
	a. If "yes," describe how the non-CPA owned entity communicates to all of its personnel the policies and procedures for independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements, and whether those personnel have access to guidance materials regarding independence, integrity and objectivity requirements (e.g., memoranda, manuals, access to databases containing professional and regulatory literature, etc.)				
	b. If "no," describe how all personnel of the non-CPA owned entity would obtain this information.				
8.	Does the non-CPA owned entity obtain representations from all of its personnel, specifically defined as direct and indirect superiors, or supervisors of other PubliCo entities ¹ upon hire and on an annual basis thereafter, stating whether they are familiar with and in compliance with policies and procedures regarding independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements?				

¹ The definition of and the independence requirements for "direct and indirect superiors" and "other PubliCo entities" are described in the Code of Professional Conduct Interpretation 10114 (ET Section 101.16).

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
	a.	If "yes," where are the representations maintained, and who is responsible for reviewing and maintaining them?			C I	
	b.	If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity monitor compliance by all of its personnel with policies and procedures that are applicable to independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements?				
9.	un to am	pes the non-CPA owned entity review paid fees from clients of the CPA firm ascertain whether any outstanding nounts may impair the CPA firm's dependence? If "yes," please specify who does this, and how often it is done.				
	b.	Please indicate whether there have been any such situations during the year at which time the CPA firm was peer reviewed.				
	c.	If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity monitor the CPA firm's independence with respect to clients with unpaid fees?				
				1		

Comments, Findings,

		Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
10.	Does the non-CPA owned entity inform all of its personnel on a timely basis of those clients of the CPA to which independence policies apply?				
	a. If "yes," how often and how are those personnel informed? For example, does the non-CPA owned entity do any one or more of the following:	T			
	i. Prepare and maintain lists of entities who engage the CPA firm to which independence applies?				
	ii. Make lists available to all of the non-CPA owned entity's person- nel to determine their indepen- dence?				
	iii. Other?				
	b. If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity determine that all of its personnel know to which entities independence policies apply?				
11.	Does the non-CPA owned entity obtain information from the CPA firm on a timely basis as to any changes in the CPA firm's client list?				
	a. If "yes," describe how often and how the non-CPA owned entity communicates these changes to all of its personnel?				
	b. If "no," how does the non-CPA entity ensure that all of its personnel are aware of any changes to the CPA firm's client list?				

				Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
В.	Pei	rsoni	nel Management				
	rev eng per eng lev sup wil	A oriews gage rcent gage el pervi	rsonnel who are hired by the non- owned entity to perform audits, is, compilations, or other attest ments and who devote at least 25 to of their time in performing those ments, or have the partner/manager responsibility for the overall ision or review of such engagements, assess the appropriate characteristics hable them to perform those ments competently.			C I	
	1.	ind and	es the non-CPA owned entity have an ividual who is responsible for hiring d for managing human resources on half of the CPA firm?				
		<i>a</i> .	If "yes," please identify.				
		b.	If "no," how is this accomplished?				
	2.	the nur	es the CPA firm submit a budget to non-CPA owned entity for the mber and level of personnel required attest work?				
		<i>a</i> .	If "yes," how often is a budget submitted by the CPA firm?				
		b.	If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity determine that the CPA firm has adequate staff to perform its attest engagements?				

			Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
3.	crit of	pes the non-CPA owned entity have teria for hiring professionals on behalf the CPA firm? If "yes," please scribe—	C			E
	a.	The attributes, achievements, and experiences desired in entry level and experienced personnel to enable them to perform competently within the CPA firm. Highlight any items, which represent requirements for hire.				
	b.	How the non-CPA owned entity evaluates the personal characteristics of professionals, such as: integrity, competence, and motivation of hires?				
	с.	Any additional information the non-CPA owned entity requires for experienced hires for the CPA firm, such as: background checks, and inquiries about any outstanding regulatory actions.				
	ent for	"no," how does the non-CPA owned tity determine that the personnel hired the CPA firm are appropriate for the sition they are hired to fill?				
4.	crit ind int	bes the non-CPA owned entity have teria for determining which dividuals will be involved in the erviewing and hiring process on half of the CPA firm?				

		-	NT.	NT/A	Comments, Findings,
a. b.	If "yes," how are these individuals trained? If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity determine who is appropriate for this role? Please describe.	Yes	No	N/A C I	Extent of Testing
engage level r vision particip continu and oth enable assigne uing p	sional personnel who devote at least tent of their time in performing those ements, or have the partner/manager responsibility for the overall super-or review of such engagements, will pate in general and industry-specific uing professional education (CPE) her professional activities, which will them to satisfy responsibilities ed, and to fulfill applicable continorofessional education requirements a AICPA, SECPS, and regulatory ess.				
ind	es the non-CPA owned entity have an lividual who is responsible for CPE d professional development activities? If "yes," please identify and describe his or her qualifications. If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity monitor CPE and professional development activities? Please explain.				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
6.	6. Do professional personnel that are assigned to audit and accounting engagements take courses related to those engagements?		I C	E
	a. Please provide an approximation of the type of CPE taken.			1
	Self-study courses%			
	In house-training program—			
	(i) Developed by the firm%			
	(ii) Obtained from outside vendors%			
	State society or AICPA programs%			
	b. Describe how the non-CPA owned entity assures that professional personnel participate in CPE related to accounting and auditing assignments, including specialized industries. For example, do they submit CPE plans relevant to their practice needs?			
7.	7. Are all professional personnel in compliance with the professional education requirements of the board(s) of accountancy in state(s) where they are licensed, the AICPA (if applicable), the state society (if applicable), the SECPS (if applicable), and Government Auditing Standards—the "Yellow Book" (if applicable)? If the answer is "no"—			
	a. Explain why the personnel are not in compliance.			

			Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
	b.	Attach a list of those personnel who are not in compliance, and indicate the plan for correcting the situation.		I	C E	
		SEC				
8.	ind and	ses the non-CPA owned entity have an dividual who maintains CPE records d course materials for professional resonnel?				
	а.	If "yes," please identify.				
	b.	If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity determine that all professional personnel are in compliance with applicable CPE requirements?				
9.	ori hir of rev eng par the	es the non-CPA owned entity have an entation and training policy for new es who will devote at least 25 percent their time in performing audits, views, compilations, or other attest gagements, or who will have the enter/manager level responsibility for e overall supervision or review of such gagements?				
	a.	If "yes," please identify.				
	b.	If "no," describe how the non-CPA owned entity trains new hires.				

		Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
10.	How are professional personnel informed as to changes in accounting and auditing standards, independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements, and the CPA firm's technical policies and procedures with respect to them? For example, by the non-CPA owned entity or CPA firm distributing technical pronouncements, and holding training courses on recent changes and areas noted by the CPA firm as needing improvement?	CI			E
	a. If "yes," briefly describe.				
	b. If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity determine that professional personnel are informed of the changes in professional standards?				
11.	Are professional personnel encouraged by the non-CPA owned entity and/or by the CPA firm to participate in other professional activities, such as graduate level courses, membership in professional organizations, serving on professional committees, and writing for professional publications?				

	-	NT.	NT/A	Comments, Findings,
	Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
Professional personnel who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing audits, reviews, compilations or other attest engagements, or who have the partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements, and who are selected for advancement will have the qualifications necessary to fulfill the responsibilities they will be called upon to assume. This would be based on the degrees of technical training and proficiency required in the circumstances, and the nature and extent of supervision that was provided for assignments relating to audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements performed by the CPA firm.			C E	
12. Does the non-CPA owned entity have a system in place to provide information necessary to the CPA firm in order to enable the CPA firm to make appropriate personnel decisions, such as assignments for audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements, personnel evaluations, etc.?				
a. If "yes" how is this information provided to the CPA firm?				
b. If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity ensure that the CPA firm makes appropriate personnel decisions?				
13. Does the non-CPA owned entity have an individual who is responsible for advancement and termination decisions?				
<i>a</i> . If "yes" who is responsible for:				

					Comments, Findings,
		Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
i.	Establishing evaluation and advancement criteria for professional personnel, which would include the development of evaluation forms for each professional classification? Also, briefly describe whether criteria are documented (e.g., personnel manual).	C T			E
ii.	Making advancement and termination decisions, including identifying responsibilities and requirements for evaluation at each professional level, and deciding who will prepare evaluations?				
iii.	Development of the evaluations form for each Professional classification?				
	"no," how are these decisions de and implemented?				

b.

				Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
14.	arraind advacor least developer or of the for successful evaluation.	ange livid vanc ncerr sed vote forn othe par the ch er hluat ablis	ement and termination decisions ning acquired and contracted and per diem employees, who at least 25 percent of their time in ning audits, reviews compilations, r attest engagements, or who have tner/manager level responsibility overall supervision or review of ngagements, which would include			C E	
		ii.	Evaluating their abilities and qualifications based on performance?				
		iii.	Determining how they should be used on future engagements?				
	b.		no" how are these decisions made d implemented?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
15.	Does the non-CPA owned entity have system in place for evaluating the performance of professional personne and advising them of their progress in the CPA firm? If "yes," a. Who is responsible for performing the evaluation?	a ne ell, in			E
	b. How often are these evaluation performed?				
	c. Are standard evaluation forms used If not, briefly describe whether the are documented by another means. If "no," how are those professions personnel informed of their progress in the CPA firm?	ey al in			
16.	Does the non-CPA owned entity counse professional personnel regarding the progress and career opportunities by— a. Reviewing performance evaluation with personnel, discussing future objectives of the CPA firm and the individual and assignment.	ir ns re ne			
	b. Periodically evaluating owners of the CPA firm? For example, be means of peer evaluation, or sel appraisal.	of py			

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
C. Monitoring				
The non-CPA owned entity will consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the relevance and adequacy of its policies and procedures related to independence, integrity and objectivity as applicable to all of its personnel and to personnel management as applicable to professional personnel who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements, or who have the partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements.			C E) N	
 Does the non-CPA entity have a qualified individual who is responsible for monitoring quality assurance? a. If "yes," please identify and describe his or her qualifications. 				
b. If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity determine that policies and procedures are adequate?				
2. Are the following quality assurance matters considered: a. The need to revise the non-CPA owned entity's guidance for changes in professional standards as related to independence, continuing professional education (CPE) and SEC or other regulatory requirements?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
effectiveness a (1) independe integrity as ap nel of the no and (2) perso	check the compliance, and appropriateness of ence, objectivity and oplicable to all personn-CPA owned entity, onnel management as the professional per-CPA firm?	C I			E
and evaluate, on ar	entity will consider ongoing basis, the e guidance materials				
system in place practice aids reg and other technicathe non-CPA own for new profession effective for the C "no" how does	a owned entity have a to assure that the arding independence all matters provided by ed entity are updated nal standards, and are CPA firm's practice. If the non-CPA entity he practice aids are				
and provide guide personnel regards standards, regulated related changes to practice aids as reand other technice how are profess current?	entity will consider ongoing basis, the PA firm's professional				
development program	-				

		1 /	H		Comments, Findings,
		Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
5.	Does the non-CPA owned entity monitor professional development programs, which would include:				
	a. Evaluating training programs to determine whether they are achieving their objectives?				
	b. Reviewing summaries of CPE records to track individual's compliance with the requirements of the AICPA and other regulatory bodies?				
	c. Considering whether the professional development programs should be revised based on the results of the CPA firm's monitoring of its peer review?				
	d. Soliciting information from professional personnel regarding the effectiveness of the training programs?				
	If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity determine that its professional development programs are appropriate?				
one con polint pro 25 auc engres	e non-CPA owned entity will consider devaluate on an ongoing basis, inpliance with its system related to dicies and procedures on independence, egrity and objectivity as applicable to all offessional personnel who devote at least percent of their time in performing dits, reviews, compilations or other attest gagements, or who have the ponsibility for the overall supervision or itew of such engagements.				

			Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
ó.	time prod inte mar eval and	es the non-CPA owned entity performely monitoring of policies and cedures relating to independence, grity and objectivity, and personnel nagement on an ongoing basis to luate compliance with the policies procedures relating to those nents of quality control.	C I			E
		If "yes" does the non-CPA owned entity assign responsibility to an individual to perform monitoring? Please identify and describe his or her qualifications.				
	h	If "vee" does monitoring includes				
		 If "yes" does monitoring include: i. Appropriate tests of compliance with policies and procedures? ii. Reviewing correspondence and documentation and interviewing (1) all of the non-CPA owned entity's personnel in order to determine compliance with policies and procedures regarding independence, integrity and objectivity, (2) the professional personnel who devote at least 25 percent of their time in perform- 				
		ing audits, reviews, compilations or other attest engagements, or who have partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements in order to determine compliance with policies and procedures regarding personnel management, and (3) all of the non-CPA owned entity's personnel to determine compliance with policies and procedures regarding monitoring?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
	c. If "no," how does the non-CPA owned entity determine that all of its personnel comply with the applicable policies and procedures?			C I	
	SEC	ΙI			
7.	Does the non-CPA owned entity timely summarize and communicate the results of its monitoring to all of its personnel in the non-CPA owned entity, and in the CPA firm?				
8.	Has the non-CPA owned entity taken specific actions or steps based upon the results of the monitoring to assure compliance with policies and procedures? If "no," please explain the rationale.				

[The next page is 13601.]

Exhibits

Table of Contents

Exhibit	SECTION	Page
A	Matter for Further Consideration	13603
В	Summary of Matter for Further Consideration Forms and Conclusions on the Review	13605

(See PRM §13100.14B.18 of the Instructions for Use of Peer Review Program Guidelines)

[The next page is 13603.]

EXHIBIT A MATTER FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION

REVIEWER'S DESCRIPTION OF THE MATTER	1 ((CONTROL NO.	
PRAC		CE		
REVIEWED FIRM AGREES WITH THE DESCRIPTION	ON OF THE M	IATTER?	YES	NO
REVIEWED FIRM'S COMMENTS ON CIRCUMSTAN	NCES, SIGNIF	FICANCE OF	MATTER, ETC.	
REVIEWER'S ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	Check One:	Design		
			—Membership	
		Compliance- Documentat		
REVIEW CAPTAIN'S COMMENTS, IF ANY				
REASON:				
FIRM OFFICE CODE NO.	CON	NTROL NO.		
Signatures	Date	es		
Engagement Partner Reviewer				
Team Captain				
Compliance Questionnaire Section Element	N.T.	<u>agement</u>		
Program Step	Chec	klist Page		
	Prog	ram Step		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF MFC FORMS

- 1. If an MFC was prepared during the course of the review and subsequent information indicates that the form should not have been prepared, it may be discarded. (For example, an MFC stated that no letter was received from legal counsel, but a letter that meets the requirements of professional standards had been received and misfiled and was subsequently found. On the other hand, if an MFC is prepared for an item which is later determined to be immaterial, it should not be discarded. For example, a representation letter from a SSARS client required by firm policy was not obtained, but the reviewer was satisfied with the engagement partner's reasoning for not obtaining it.)
- 2. Number MFCs consecutively (top and bottom) to establish correspondence between top and bottom stub.
- 3. In order to assist the reviewer in making a conclusion about the review, MFCs relating to both functional and engagement review areas should be sorted by nature of comment before posting to the summary of MFCs.
- 4. Do not detach control stub until POB oversight is completed. (The stub should be detached only if the SEC accesses the working papers.)

Exhibit B SUMMARY OF MATTER FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION FORMS AND CONCLUSIONS ON THE REVIEW

(Name of Reviewed Firm)

Briefly Explain Reasons	DIC PRACTICE SECTION
Disposition ³	
Quality Control Element	
Type of Matter ²	
Brief Description of Matter	
Reference ¹	
MFC	

[The next page is 13701.]

¹ The reviewer should indicate the program or engagement checklist step that led to the MFC.

² The reviewer should classify each matter discussed on an MFC form as a deficiency relating to either: a) design, b) performance, c) compliance—membership, d) compliance— 3 The reviewer should indicate how the item affects the results of the review: a) Adverse report, b) Qualified report, c) Unqualified report with LOC, d) Exit conference only. other, or e) documentation.

Supplemental Peer Review Program Guidelines—Alternative Practice Structures

Table of Contents

Section		Page
13710	Supplemental Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures	
	for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm	13711

[The next page is 13711.]

Supplemental Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures For Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm

- .01 This section of the manual contains a questionnaire that the reviewer should complete when reviewing the non-CPA owned entity's responses to the *Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire* for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm. Completion of this questionnaire assists the reviewer in analyzing certain portions of the CPA firm's system of quality control that reside at or operate in conjunction with the system of control of the non-CPA owned entity with which the CPA firm is closely aligned through common employment, leasing of employees, equipment, facilities, etc., or similar arrangements. In this situation, the CPA firm sells all or a portion of its non-attest practice to a non-CPA owned entity. However, the majority of the financial interests in the CPA firm's attest practice is owned by CPAs. (See AICPA code of Professional Conduct Interpretation 101.14, ET section 101.16, for further information regarding the effect of alternative practice structures on CPA firms.)
- .02 The CPA firm's system of quality control should encompass all of the five elements of quality control: (1) independence, integrity and objectivity, (2) personnel management, (3) acceptance and continuance of clients, (4) engagement performance, and (5) monitoring. However, certain portions of the CPA firm's system of quality control can be delegated to the non-CPA owned entity while others cannot be delegated. This questionnaire addresses only a review of those portions of the non-CPA owned entity's system of control that support the CPA firm's system of quality control, which would generally include the following elements of quality control: (1) independence, integrity and objectivity, (2) personnel management, and (3) monitoring of the elements noted in (1) and (2). Accordingly, this questionnaire relates to the elements of quality control for which the non-CPA owned entity has established policies and procedures. PRM Section 13340 should be completed by the reviewer in analyzing those elements of quality control that reside within the CPA firm's system of quality control. Accordingly, PRM Section 13340 should be completed by the peer review team for those sections.
- .03 This questionnaire can also be used by a CPA firm performing and reporting on an attest engagement under Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) No. 1. For this attest engagement, the non-CPA owned entity would retain a CPA firm to perform an examination of the non-CPA owned entity's system that could be used by the peer reviewers of each CPA firm closely aligned with the non-CPA owned entity. The SSAE 1 report would be required by the non-CPA owned entity once a year. The bold lettering in the questionnaire are the assertions in the form of questions relevant to the non-CPA owned entity's system, which are followed by procedures to be followed by the reviewer to expand and report on those assertions.

	respond directly with "Yes," lts of his/her evaluation of th		2
effect. Lengthy and elaborate	e answers are not expected.	-	
	-		
Reviewed Firm	Period Covered	Prepared By	Date
		1	

SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program

Guidelines for Review of Quality Control Policies and Procedures For Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm

		V	NT.	NT/A	Comments, rindings,
		Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
۱.	Independence, Integrity and Objectivity			1	4
	Does the non-CPA owned entity have a system in place to ensure that the non-CPA owned entity, other associated entities and their personnel adhere to the policies and procedures that are applicable to independence, integrity and objectivity requirements to the extent required? These requirements include: regulations, interpretations, and rulings of the AICPA, state CPA societies, state boards of accountancy, state statute, the Independence Standards Board (ISB), the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and other regulatory agencies where applicable.				
	1. Obtain an understanding of the non-CPA owned entity's policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the independence, integrity and objectivity section (part A, questions 1–5) of the Quality Control Policies and Procedures Questionnaire for Non-CPA Owned Entities Closely Aligned With a CPA Firm (the "questionnaire"), and by interviewing the appropriate parties.				
	2. Compare the polices and procedures on independence, integrity, and objectivity with professional and regulatory requirements. Describe any deficiencies noted.				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
3.	Did the information provided to the CPA firm for communication at least annually with audit committees (or boards of directors in those cases where there is not audit committee) of the CPA firm's SEC client include relationships between the CPA firm and its related entities and the company and its related entities that may reasonably be thought to bear on independence?		I	C E	
4.	Inquire as to the procedures to identify the services provided or products provided by the non-CPA owned entity for the accounting, auditing and attest clients of the CPA firm. Conclude as to the completeness and correctness of the information provided.				
5.	Determine how resolutions of independence, integrity and objectivity questions are resolved, and describe the nature of the documentation of those resolutions and where such documentation is maintained?				
6.	Inquire as to whether there were any noted situations where there was a lack of independence and, if so, whether the CPA firm withdrew from the audit or accounting engagement or appropriately qualified its report.				
7.	Conclude as to whether the system pertaining to this assertion is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed above.				
ent pro	e all personnel of the non-CPA owned tity familiar with the policies and occdures regarding independence, egrity and objectivity requirements?				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
8. Obtain an understanding of the policies and procedures by review of the responses to the independence, integrity and objectivity section (part A, questions 6–11 of the Questionnaire), and by interviewing the appropriate parties.		I	C I	
9. Determine by review of documentation and inquiry whether the non-CPA owned entity has any procedures in place to provide reasonable assurance that new or revised rulings on independence, integrity and objectivity matters applicable to the CPA firm's practice are appropriately considered.				
10. Determine by review of documentation and inquiry whether the non-CPA owned entity obtains representations from all of its personnel, specifically defined as direct and indirect superiors, or supervisors of other PublicCo¹ entities upon hire and on an annual basis thereafter, stating whether they are familiar with and in compliance with policies and procedures regarding independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements.				
11. Identify by review of files or by interview a selection of situations (indicate number) in which independence, integrity, and objectivity questions arose during the year being peer reviewed, and consider whether the resolution of such questions appears appropriate.				
12. Determine by review of documentation and interview whether all of the personnel of the non-CPA owned entity are aware of those financial or other relationships that may be prohibited.				

¹ The definition of and the independence requirements for "direct and indirect superiors" and other PubliCo entities are described in the Code of Professional Conduct Interpretation 101-14 (ET Section 101.16).

B.

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
13. Determine by review of documentation and interview whether the non-CPA owned entity communicates to all of its personnel the policies and procedures for independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements, and how those requirements are communicated.		I	C I	
14. Determine by review of documentation and interview whether the non-CPA owned entity informs all of its personnel on a timely basis of those entities that engage the CPA firm to which independence policies apply.				
15. Determine by review of documentation and interview whether the non-CPA owned entity obtains information from the CPA firm on a timely basis as to any changes in the CPA firm's client list.				
16. Conclude as to whether the system pertaining to this assertion is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed.				
Personnel Management				
Does the non-CPA owned entity have a system in place to determine whether all personnel who are hired by the non-CPA owned entity to perform audits, reviews, compilations or other attest engagements who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing those engagements, or who have the partner/manger level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements, possess the appropriate characteristics to enable them to perform those engagements competently?				
1. Obtain an understanding of the policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the personnel management section (part B, questions 1–4 of the Questionnaire), and by interviewing the appropriate parties.				

Comments, Findings,

		Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
2.	Determine by a review of documentation and inquiry whether the non-CPA owned entity obtains a budget from the CPA firm for the number and level of personnel required for attest work.	CI	I	C	E
3.	Select a sample (indicate number) of new hires, including hires joining at supervisory levels who will perform audits, reviews compilations, or other attest engagements who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing those engagements, or who have the partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements. Obtain each individual's personnel file, and do the following:) []	
	a. Review the documentation and evaluate whether the individual possesses the desired attributes, achievements, and experience required for the CPA firm. If not, ascertain from other documentation or by inquiry why an exception was made.				
	b. Determine whether the background information and other documentation required by the non-CPA owned entity's policy was obtained.				
	c. Select one or more of these new hires for an interview. (See separate interview guidelines.)				
4.	Conclude as to whether the system pertaining to this assertion is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed.				

17 4-02

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
Do professional personnel who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements, or who have the partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements, participate in general and industry-specific continuing professional education (CPE) and other professional activities, which will enable them to satisfy responsibilities assigned, and to fulfill applicable continuing professional education requirements of the AICPA and regulatory agencies?	CT	I	CE) N	
5. Obtain an understanding of the policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the personnel management section (part B, questions 5–11) of the Questionnaire), and by interviewing the appropriate parties.				
6. Inquire as to whether the non-CPA owned entity has a qualified individual(s) who is responsible for the CPA firm's CPE and professional development activities, and who maintains CPE records and course materials, and how the non-CPA owned entity considers CPE plan requests made by the CPA firms closely aligned with the non-CPA owned entity.				
7. Determine whether professional personnel that are assigned to audit, review, compilation and/or other attest engagements take courses related to those engagements. Identify the type of CPE taken, and whether the CPE is appropriate.				
8. Review the non-CPA owned entity's CPE records on a test basis and consider whether those records demonstrate that—				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
	 a. Professional personnel participated in CPE related to audit, review, 	103		14/11	Zacate of Testing
	compilation and/or other attest assignments, including specialized industries.	CI	I	C	E
	b. Professional personnel were in compliance with the professional education requirements of the board(s) of accountancy in state(s) where they are licensed, the AICPA (if applicable), the state society (if applicable), the SEC Practice Section (if applicable), and Government Auditing Standards the "Yellow Book" (if applicable).	T 1		2 1	
9.	Interview selected professional staff, and obtain their impressions of the CPE function and their on-the-job training, and determine: (1) whether new professional standards and guidance materials are made available to them on a timely basis, and (2) whether they participate in professional development activities. (See separate interview guidelines).				
10.	Determine whether the non-CPA owned entity has an orientation and training policy for new hires for the CPA firm who will devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing audits, reviews, compilations, and other attest engagements, or who will have the partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements.				
11.	Determine how professional personnel are informed as to changes in accounting and auditing standards, independence, integrity and objectivity requirements, and the CPA firm's technical policies with respect to them.				

17 4-02

	1/			Comments, Findings,
	Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
12. Determine whether professional personnel are encouraged by the non-CPA owned entity or by the CPA firm to participate in other professional activities, such as: graduate level courses, membership in professional organizations, serving on professional committees, and writing for publication.		I	C I	
13. Conclude as to whether the system pertaining to this assertion is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed.				
Does the non-CPA owned entity have a system in place to determine whether professional personnel who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements, or who have the partner/manager level responsibility for the supervision or review of such engagements, and who are selected for advancement have qualifications necessary to fulfill the responsibilities they will be called upon to assume?				
14. Obtain an understanding of the policies and procedures by a review of the responses to the personnel management section (part B, questions 12–16 of the Questionnaire), and by interviewing the appropriate parties.				
15. Determine whether those qualifications are based on the degree of technical training and proficiency required in the circumstances, and the nature and extent of supervision that was provided to professional personnel for assignments related to audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements performed by the CPA firm.				

	Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
16. Inquire as to whether the non-CPA owned entity has an individual who is responsible for advancement and termination decisions.	C		C	E
17. Determine how the non-CPA owned entity evaluates the performance of professional personnel, and advises them of their progress in the firm and career opportunities, which would include identifying the individual who performs these evaluations, and how these evaluations are performed.	T			
18. Review job descriptions and responsibilities, evaluate advancement criteria, and determine whether they are reasonable.				
19. For a sample of professional personnel (indicate number), review personnel files, personnel evaluations, or other documentation to determine whether staff members are reviewed, evaluated, and promoted in accordance with policy.				
20. Interview selected staff to determine their awareness of advancement policies and procedures and whether they are followed. (See separate interview guidelines.)				
21. Conclude as to whether the system pertaining to this assertion is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed.				
. Monitoring				
Does the non-CPA owned entity consider and evaluate on an ongoing basis, the relevance and adequacy of its system when the CPA firm's system of quality control resides at or operates in conjunction with the system of control at the non-CPA entity?				

C.

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
1.	Obtain an understanding of the policies and procedures by review of the responses to the monitoring section, (part C, questions 1–2 of the Questionnaire), and by interviewing the appropriate parties.		I	C I	
2.	Inquire as to whether the non-CPA owned entity has assigned a qualified individual who is responsible for monitoring the quality assurance of its system.				
3.	Conclude as to whether the system pertaining to this assertion is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed.				
and app	es the non-CPA owned entity consider d evaluate on an ongoing basis the propriateness of guidance materials and actice aids it uses?				
4.	Obtain an understanding o the policies and procedures by review of the monitoring section (part C, Questions 3–4 of the Questionnaire), and by interviewing the appropriate parties.				
5.	Review practice aids and determine that they were up-to-date.				
6.	Review the method of informing professional personnel who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements, or who have the partner/manager level of responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements regarding changes to professional standards, regulatory requirements, and any related changes to policy and practice aids.				

				Comments, Findings,
	Yes	No	N/A	Extent of Testing
7. Conclude as to whether the system pertaining to this assertion is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed.	CI	I	\mathbb{C}	E
Does the non-CPA owned entity consider and evaluate on an ongoing basis the effectiveness of its professional development program?	TI			
8. Obtain an understanding of the policies and procedures by review of the responses to the monitoring section (part B, question 5 of the Questionnaire), and by interviewing the appropriate parties.				
9. Inquire as to whether the non-CPA owned entity interviewed a sample of its professional personnel regarding the effectiveness of the training program.				
10. Review actions taken where professional personnel were not in compliance with CPE requirements of the AICPA as well as other regulatory bodies.				
11. Conclude as to whether the system pertaining to this assertation is appropriately designed on the procedures performed.				
Does the non-CPA owned entity consider and evaluate on an ongoing basis, the effectiveness of the CPA firm's compliance with its system as related to policies and procedures on independence, integrity and objectivity as applicable to all of its personnel and to personnel management as applicable to the CPA firm's professional personnel who devote at least 25 percent of their time in performing audits, reviews, compilations, or other attest engagements, or who have the partner/manager level responsibility for the overall supervision or review of such engagements?				

		Yes	No	N/A	Comments, Findings, Extent of Testing
	Obtain an understanding of the policies and procedures by review of the monitoring section (part C, questions 6–8 of the Questionnaire), and by interviewing the appropriate parties. Review the available documentation supporting the monitoring procedures performed since the last review, and evaluate whether:	CT FI	I	C E	
	a. Those who conducted the monitoring procedures were qualified to perform the monitoring.				
	b. The procedures were performed timely and covered reviewing and testing compliance with the system.				
	c. The findings from the monitoring procedures were appropriately summarized and documented.				
	d. The materials used in performing the monitoring procedures, such as questionnaires, programs, and checklists are adequate.				
	e. Appropriate corrective actions were taken on findings as a result of the monitoring procedures.				
14.	Interview selected personnel from the non-CPA owned entity to determine whether the findings of the monitoring procedures under review were communicated and considered by all of the non-CPA entity's personnel.				
15.	Conclude as to whether the system pertaining to this assertion is appropriately designed based on the procedures performed.				

[The next page is 17001.]

PRM Section 17000

Instructions to Firms With No Accounting and Auditing Practice that Desire an Exemption from the Peer Review Requirement

Table of Contents

		Page
17000	Intructions to Firms With No Accounting and Auditing Practice that Desire an Exemption from the Peer Review Requirement	17003
	Exhibits	17005
	A—Program for AICPA Staff to Ascertain that a Firm is Exempt from Peer Review and Has Met the Other Membership Requirements	
	B—Staff Letter to Be Prepared for Firms With No Accounting and Auditing Practice	

[The next page is 17003.]

PRM Section 17000

Instructions to Firms With No Accounting and Auditing Practice that Desire an Exemption from the Peer Review Requirement

.01 The purpose of these instructions is to provide guidance to firms with *no* accounting and auditing practice (including no compilation and review engagements) in obtaining an exemption from the membership requirement for a triennial peer review. Section 2000 in the *SECPS Reference Manual*, "Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews," clearly indicates that peer reviews relate solely to a firm's accounting and auditing practice. Questions regarding this information should be directed to the AICPA Peer Review Division staff at (201) 938-3030.

- **.02** Six months before the end of the period for which a peer review would otherwise be required, submit to the AICPA a letter of representation that states
 - a. The firm has no accounting and auditing practice, including no compilation and review engagements.
 - b. The firm will notify the SEC Practice Section immediately if it begins an accounting or auditing practice.*
- .03 Along with the representation letter, submit the following evidential matter supporting the firm's compliance with the applicable section's membership requirements:
 - a. Photocopies of all required records of continuing professional education for qualified professional staff for the three most recent educational years, including proof of attendance.
 - b. A list of the firm's proprietors, partners or shareholders and an indication of whether or not they are CPAs and members of the AICPA.
 - c. A copy of the written statement of broad principles that influence the firm's quality control and operating policies and procedures ("Statement of Firm Philosophy") [Membership requirement SECPS §1000.08(l)].
- .04 The peer review committee has the option of reviewing any portion of the material required to be submitted supporting compliance with the membership requirements. (See PRM §17000.03 above.)

[The next page is 17005.]

PRM §17000.04

^{*} A peer review would be required within one year from the date of the acceptance of an accounting or auditing client.

Exhibit A

Program for AICPA Staff to Ascertain that a Firm is Exempt from Peer Review and Has Met the Other Membership Requirements

	Firm E C T I O	N	Per	riod
	Procedures		<u>Finc</u>	lings
M	ATTERS RELATIVE TO FIRM'S PRACTICE:	YES	NO	COMMENTS
1.	Does the representation letter submitted by the firm state that:			
	a. The firm has no accounting and auditing practice, including no compilation and review engagements?			
	<i>b</i> . The firm will notify the SEC practice section immediately if it begins an accounting or auditing practice?			
	OCUMENTATION SUPPORTING MEMBERSHIP QUIREMENTS:			
1.	Based on the information submitted, has each member of the professional staff met the CPE requirements?			
2.	Has the firm paid its dues to the Section?			
3.	Are all of the proprietors, partners or shareholders that are eligible for AICPA membership members of the AICPA?			
4.	Has the firm filed its annual report for the most recent year?			
5.	Does the statement of firm philosophy meet the minimum requirements of the Section?			

[*The next page is 17007.*]

Exhibit B

Staff Letter to be Prepared for Firms with No Accounting and Auditing Practice

[AICPA Letterhead]

(Date)

To the Members of the SECPS Peer Review Committee

I have reviewed the representation letter of (John Smith, CPA) stating that the firm has no accounting and auditing practice and have reviewed the documentation supporting the firm's conformity with the membership requirements of the SEC practice section for the year ended (June 30, 20__.)

In my opinion, (John Smith, CPA) is exempt from the peer review requirement and is in conformity with the other membership requirements of the section in all material respects.

Committee Coordinator AICPA Peer Review Division

[The next page is 18001.]

PRM Section 18000 Guidance for Performing an Inspection

Table of Contents

Section	SECTION	Page
18100	Monitoring Guidance	18101
18200	Guide for Performing Inspections	18201

[The next page is 18101.]

PRM Section 18100

Monitoring Guidance

Table of Contents

Section		SECTION	Paragraph
18100	Moni	itoring Guidance	
	Intro	duction	0104
	Objec	ctive of Monitoring	0506
	Timii	ng of Monitoring Procedures	07
	Relat	ionship of Inspection to Monitoring	0809
	Deter	rmination of Who Should Perform Monitoring Procedures	1017
	How	to Monitor	1841
	Ev	raluate Relevance and Adequacy of Firm's Quality Control Policies and Procedures .	18
	Ev	raluate Appropriateness of Firm's Guidance Materials and Practice Aids	19
	Ev	aluate Effectiveness of Firm's Professional Development Activities	20
	Ev	aluate Firm's Compliance with Its Quality Control Policies and Procedures	2134
	Su	mmarize Monitoring Results	3537
	Pre	epare Written Summary Report of Monitoring Results	38
	De	etermine Necessary Corrective Actions	39
	Co	ommunicate Monitoring Results	40
	Fo	llow-Up on Planned Corrective Actions	41
	Docu	mentation of Monitoring	4244
	Appe	endixes	
	A.	Checklist for Coordinating an Inspection Program	45
	B.	Checklist for Coordinating a Preissuance Review Program	46
	C.	Checklist for Review of Functional Elements	47
	D.	Optional Checklist for Review of SEC Practice Section Membership Requirements	48
	E.	Sample Summary Monitoring Report	49

Section			Paragraph
18100	Monit	toring Guidance—continued	
	Exam	ples—Implementation of Periodic Inspection	
	1.	Sole Practitioner with One Part-Time Professional Staff—Periodic Inspection	50
	2.	Firm with Six Partners and 30 Professional Staff—Periodic Inspection	51
	Exam	ples—Implementation of an On-Going Pre-Issuance and Post-Issuance Review	
	3.	Sole Practitioner Without Staff—Postissuance Review	52
	4.	Firm with Two Partners and Six Professional Staff—Preissuance Review	53
	Exhib	pits	
	1.	Sample Completed Summary Monitoring Report—Periodic Inspection	54
	2.	Sample Completed Summary Monitoring Report—Preissuance Review	55

PRM Section 18100

Monitoring Guidance

Notice to Readers

This guide has been developed by the SECPS Peer Review Committee to assist firms in achieving the benefits to be derived from an effective monitoring program. It is not intended to, and does not, establish standards for the performance of monitoring procedures.

Introduction

- .01 Statement on Quality Control Standards (SQCS) No. 2, *System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice*, requires every CPA firm, regardless of its size, to have a system of quality control for its accounting and auditing practice. The statement can be found in AICPA *Professional Standards*, vol. 2, QC sec. 20.
- .02 SQCS No. 2 identifies five elements of quality control and states that a firm should consider each of these elements, to the extent applicable to its practice, in establishing its quality control policies and procedures. The statement recognizes that the nature and extent of a firm's quality control policies and procedures depend on a number of factors, such as its size, the degree of operating autonomy allowed its personnel and its practice offices, the nature of its practice and its organization, and appropriate cost-benefit considerations.
- .03 One of the five elements of quality control is monitoring which is further discussed in SQCS No. 3, *Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* (AICPA *Professional Standards*, vol. 2, QC sec. 30). This monitoring guide has been developed to assist firms in achieving the benefit to be derived from effective monitoring procedures. It is not intended to, and does not, establish standards for monitoring.
- .04 The AICPA Joint Task Force on Quality Control Standards has developed a *Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice.* The guide presents the task force's recommendations on applying SQCS Nos. 2 and 3. It provides examples of policies and procedures a firm should consider implementing for each of the five elements of quality control, using four hypothetical firms ranging in size from a large national firm to a sole practitioner who performs no audits. The guide is included in the AICPA *Accounting and Auditing Manual* (AAM section 11,200). It can also be purchased as a separate booklet.

Objective of Monitoring

- .05 The objective of the monitoring element of a system of quality control is to provide the firm with reasonable assurance that the policies and procedures established by the firm for each of the other elements of quality control are suitably designed and are being effectively applied. Monitoring involves an ongoing consideration and evaluation of the
 - a. Relevance and adequacy of the firm's policies and procedures.
 - b. Appropriateness of the firm's guidance materials and any practice aids.

- c. Effectiveness of the firm's professional development activities.
- d. Firm's compliance with its policies and procedures.
- .06 When performing its monitoring procedures, the firm may wish to expand its testing to accomplish additional objectives, such as evaluating engagement efficiency, training supervisory staff to effectively review engagements, or testing compliance with requirements of membership organizations or regulatory bodies.

Timing of Monitoring Procedures

.07 Monitoring procedures should be performed on an ongoing basis throughout the year. However, the firm may choose to evaluate compliance with its policies and procedures at a fixed time during the year or through a combination of fixed and ongoing procedures and still effectively comply with the monitoring element of quality control. If compliance testing is performed at one or more fixed points during the year, then the point(s) should be selected so that any necessary corrective actions, especially actions that affect the performance of subsequent accounting or auditing engagements, can be implemented before an identified deficiency is repeated on the next year's engagement.

Relationship of Inspection to Monitoring

- .08 Monitoring is an ongoing consideration and evaluation of the relevance and adequacy of the firm's policies and procedures, appropriateness of its guidance materials and any practice aids, effectiveness of professional development activities, and compliance with the firm's policies and procedures. In contrast, inspection is a retrospective evaluation at a fixed point in time of the adequacy of the firm's quality control policies and procedures, its personnel's understanding of those policies and procedures, and the extent of the firm's compliance with them. Monitoring procedures provide the firm with a means of identifying and communicating circumstances that may necessitate changes to its system of quality control or the need to improve compliance with that system. Although monitoring procedures are meant to be ongoing, they may include inspection procedures performed at a fixed point in time. Monitoring is a broad concept while inspection is one specific type of monitoring procedure.
- .09 The quality control standards do not require that inspection procedures be performed if other types of effective monitoring procedures exist. As a practical matter, however, most firms will need to perform some type of inspection procedures. Paragraph 6 of SQCS No. 3 states that inspection procedures are "appropriate in a firm with more than a limited number of management-level individuals responsible for the conduct of its accounting and auditing practice." A firm that contemplates not performing an inspection is urged to discuss the matter with its peer reviewer, the AICPA Practice Monitoring staff, or both to determine in advance that its monitoring procedures will be appropriate.

Determination of Who Should Perform Monitoring Procedures

- .10 The assignment of individuals to perform monitoring procedures should be made with the same due care that would be used in assigning personnel to an accounting or auditing engagement. In making such assignments, the firm should emphasize the important nature of the assignment. The importance placed on monitoring will determine the benefits the firm derives.
- .11 Depending on the size of a firm, the nature of its practice, and other environmental factors, monitoring procedures may be performed by one individual or by a group of individuals. In either case,

the primary responsibility for monitoring should be assigned to a partner¹ of the firm. This person may delegate part or all of the testing procedures.

- .12 In assigning monitoring tasks, consideration should be given to the degree of technical training and proficiency required of the individual in the circumstances. Some administrative procedures can be performed by nonprofessional staff, but only qualified professional personnel who are knowledgeable in accounting and auditing matters should be involved in the review of engagements. Review of engagements, therefore, should be carried out by persons who have appropriate background and experience. They should be supervised by individuals with authority in the firm to be objectively critical when necessary.
- .13 Individuals assigned to perform monitoring procedures should be objective when performing such tasks. The individual assigned to review an engagement ordinarily should not be associated with the performance of that engagement and should be a partner or management-level individual (or a qualified individual under his or her supervision).
- .14 In a small firm with a limited number of partners or management-level individuals, monitoring procedures may have to be performed by some of the same individuals who are responsible for compliance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures, including the performance of engagements. To effectively monitor one's own compliance with the firm's policies and procedures, an individual must be able to critically review his or her own performance, assess his or her own strengths and weaknesses, and maintain an attitude of continual improvement. Changes in the condition or environment of the firm (such as obtaining a client in an industry not previously serviced or a significant change in the size of the firm) may indicate the need to have quality control policies and procedures monitored by another qualified individual.
- .15 An individual inspecting his or her own compliance with a system of quality control may be inherently less effective than having such compliance inspected by another qualified individual. When an individual inspects his or her own compliance, the firm may have a higher risk that noncompliance with policies and procedures will not be detected. Accordingly, a firm in this circumstance may find it beneficial to engage a qualified individual from outside the firm to perform inspection procedures. Unlike peer reviews, monitoring procedures may be performed on a reciprocal basis since independence is not an issue.
- .16 If a firm decides to have someone from outside the firm perform some or all of its inspection procedures, it should consider the qualifications discussed above in making the selection of the individual(s). In such circumstances, a partner of the firm should be given responsibility for coordinating the inspection efforts and ensuring that all appropriate steps are taken, including determining whether necessary corrective actions are taken.
- .17 Engagement review procedures performed under professional standards by the audit partner and others on the audit team, such as Statements on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 22, "Planning and Supervision" (AICPA *Professional Standards*, vol. 1, AU sec. 311), do not qualify as a preissuance review for monitoring purposes. The concurring partner review on an SEC engagement performed to comply with the concurring review membership requirement of the SEC Practice Section may constitute part of a firm's preissuance review procedures provided that the firm has a mechanism in place to monitor the adherence to membership requirements, for example, the qualifications of the reviewer, the nature, extent and timing of the review procedures performed, and the documentation required to evidence compliance with the firm's policies and procedures with respect to the concurring review requirement. In these situations

PRM §18100.17

Depending on how a CPA firm is legally organized, its owner(s) could have other names, such as "shareholder" or "proprietor." For purposes of this document, the term "partner" is used to describe an individual who is legally a partner, owner or shareholder in a CPA firm or a sole practitioner, and who should be a party to any partnership, ownership or shareholder agreement of a CPA firm.

where the concurring partner review on SEC engagements is utilized as part of the firm's preissuance review procedures, the concurring partner review must be comprehensive enough to cover the critical and significant portions of the audit and will therefore exceed that necessitated by the SECPS membership requirement.

How to Monitor

Evaluate Relevance and Adequacy of Firm's Quality Control Policies and Procedures

- .18 The firm should consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the relevance and adequacy of its quality control policies and procedures. This can be accomplished by assigning a partner or management-level individual with appropriate authority to be responsible for
 - a. Assuring the firm's polices and procedures and its methodology for its accounting and auditing practice remain relevant and adequate. The evaluation of the firm's policies and procedures should be performed on a continual, ongoing basis. Therefore, the occurrence of an event, such as a change in professional standards or a change in the nature of the firm's practice, should trigger an evaluation by the assigned individual of whether the firm's policies and procedures need to be revised. Factors to consider include—
 - Mergers and divestitures of portions of the practice.
 - Changes in professional standards or other regulatory requirements applicable to the firm's practice.
 - Results of annual inspections or peer reviews.
 - Review of litigation and regulatory enforcement actions against the firm and others.
 - Impact that changes in technology may have on clients' methods of doing business.
 - Changes in clients' industries that impact their operations.
 - Changes in applicable AICPA membership requirements.
 - b. Determining whether personnel have been appropriately informed of their responsibilities for maintaining the firm's standards of quality in performing their duties.
 - c. Identifying the need to revise policies and procedures related to the other elements of quality control because they are ineffective or inappropriately designed due to changes in professional standards or the nature of the firm's practice.
 - *d.* Identifying the need to improve compliance with firm policies and procedures that are related to the other elements of quality control.

Evaluate Appropriateness of Firm's Guidance Materials and Practice Aids

- .19 The firm should consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the appropriateness of its technical guidance materials and any practice aids (such as audit programs, forms and checklists). This can be accomplished by assigning a partner or management-level individual with appropriate authority to be responsible for
 - a. Reviewing and evaluating the appropriateness of the firm's guidance materials and practice aids (such as audit programs, forms, and checklists) based on the issuance of new professional pronouncements. This means every time a new professional pronouncement is issued, the firm should determine whether its materials and aids need to be revised. If the firm purchases its technical guidance materials and practice aids from an outside vendor, it should appropriately

- tailor the third-party materials to the nature of its accounting and auditing practice and system of quality control. In addition, the firm should obtain from the third-party provider a copy of the peer review report on the materials and aids.
- b. Providing guidance to all professional personnel regarding new professional standards, new regulatory requirements, and related changes to the firm's practice aids. Although this guidance can be provided through written communications, for a small firm, face-to-face discussions at staff meetings may be an effective means because such meetings allow for immediate clarification and resolution of any questions.

Evaluate Effectiveness of Firm's Professional Development Activities

- .20 The firm should consider and evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the effectiveness of its professional development programs. This can be accomplished by assigning a partner or management-level individual with appropriate authority to be responsible for
 - *a.* Reviewing the firm's professional development policies and procedures to determine whether they are appropriate, effective, and meet the needs of the firm given the nature of its practice.
 - b. Reviewing the firm's continuing professional education (CPE) records for its personnel to determine their compliance with the CPE requirements of the AICPA and other regulatory bodies. For example, if the firm performs governmental audits, the firm should make sure engagement personnel meet the Yellow Book CPE requirements before the audits are performed.
 - c. Soliciting information from the firm's personnel regarding the effectiveness of the training programs they have attended, regardless of whether such programs were conducted internal to the firm or external to the firm, or by self-study or classroom study. For a small firm, face-to-face discussions at staff meetings may be the most efficient way to obtain such feedback.
 - *d.* Considering the results of the firm's engagement reviews in connection with the effectiveness of the firm's professional development program.
 - *e*. Ascertaining whether inquiries received by individuals consulted within the firm indicate the need for additional CPE programs.

Evaluate Firm's Compliance with Its Quality Control Policies and Procedures

- .21 The firm should consider and evaluate its compliance with its quality control policies and procedures. This can be accomplished by assigning a partner or management-level individual with appropriate authority to be responsible for supervising the performance of procedures at the broad functional element level and engagement level to determine whether the firm complies with its quality control policies and procedures and professional standards. The firm should, based on the nature of its practice and the composition of its personnel, assess how best to evaluate compliance with its quality control policies and procedures and design its system accordingly. Two methods are primarily available for evaluating the firm's compliance with its quality control policies and procedures and with professional standards at the engagement level
 - a. Periodic inspection at a fixed point in time.

or

b. On-going review through preissuance or postissuance review.

Appendixes A and B contain checklists for coordinating, respectively, an inspection program and a preissuance review program.

- .22 When determining whether to perform compliance testing at a fixed time(s) during the year covering a specified period(s) of time (inspection), as part of ongoing quality control procedures (preissuance or postissuance review), or a combination thereof, the firm should consider the following factors
 - a. The nature, complexity, and diversity of—and the risks associated with—the firm's practice.
 - b. The firm's size, number of offices, degree of authority allowed its personnel and its offices, and organizational structure.
 - c. The results of recent practice reviews² and previous monitoring procedures.
 - d. Appropriate cost-benefit considerations.³
- .23 Paragraphs 4 through 7 of SQCS No. 3 discuss periodic inspection of engagements at a fixed point in time and paragraphs 8 and 9 of that standard discuss ongoing review of engagements through preissuance or postissuance review. Either method or any combination thereof, if planned and implemented correctly, can accomplish the objective of evaluating compliance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures at the engagement level. When deciding how to test compliance at the engagement level, the firm should consider time pressures such as report due dates and time budgets. The firm may want to consult the *Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* mentioned earlier for illustrative policies and procedures that various size firms should consider implementing for evaluating compliance with its quality control policies and procedures.
- .24 Regardless of how a firm tests engagement compliance, the scope of its engagement review should be planned at least annually. For an on-going review of engagements, that plan should be reevaluated throughout the year as circumstances necessitate. The planning should include a preliminary selection of engagements for review and that selection re-evaluated and adjusted throughout the year as circumstances change.
- .25 The percentage of engagements reviewed should, at a minimum, be comparable to that of a peer review and the type of engagements reviewed should represent a reasonable cross-section of the firm's accounting and auditing practice using the following criteria:
 - a. Specialized industries with emphasis given to high risk engagements
 - b. First year engagements
 - c. Level of service performed (audit, agreed-upon procedures under auditing standards, review, compilation with disclosures, compilation without disclosures, and engagements performed under the attest standards)
 - d. An appropriate cross section of the firm's auditing and accounting partners
 - e. SEC registrants
- .26 A preissuance or postissuance engagement review, except as discussed in paragraph 27, may be considered a part of the firm's monitoring procedures provided that the individual performing or supervising the review is not a member of the engagement team on the particular engagement he or she reviews. Such a preissuance or postissuance review may constitute inspection procedures provided the following criteria are met:

² Practice reviews include, but are not limited to, peer reviews performed under standards established by the AICPA, and reviews conducted by the Quality Control Inquiry Committee and by regulatory agencies such as the SEC.

³ Although appropriate cost-benefit considerations may be considered in determining the need for and extent of monitoring procedures, a firm must still effectively monitor its practice.

- *a.* The review is sufficiently comprehensive to enable the firm to assess compliance with all applicable professional standards and the firm's quality control policies and procedures.
- b. Engagement deficiencies that may indicate the need to improve compliance with or modify the firm's quality control policies and procedures are periodically summarized, documented, and communicated to the firm's management personnel having the responsibility and authority to make changes in those policies and procedures.
- *c*. The firm's management personnel consider on a timely basis the systemic causes of the engagement deficiencies that indicate improvements are needed and determine appropriate actions to be taken.
- *d.* The firm implements on a timely basis such planned actions, communicates changes to personnel who might be affected, and follows up to determine that the planned actions were taken.
- .27 In a small firm with a limited number of qualified management-level individuals, a postissuance review of engagement working papers, reports, and clients' financial statements by the person with final responsibility for the engagement may constitute an inspection procedure provided the four criteria listed in 26(a)-(d) are met. For firms in the SECPS program, the preissuance and postissuance review should be as comprehensive as a review performed during an inspection as discussed in the Peer Review Program Manual sections 18200.22-.30.
- .28 Although the firm cannot substitute its peer review for its monitoring procedures, it may substitute its peer review for some or all of its inspection procedures in the year of its peer review, provided its policies and procedures require the performance of inspection procedures to evaluate compliance with its quality control policies and procedures and permits the substitution. In such a case, the firm would not need to review any engagements during the year of its peer review. The firm would, however, still need to monitor its system of quality control by evaluating the relevance and adequacy of its quality control policies and procedures, appropriateness of its guidance materials and practice aids, and compliance with professional development activities.
- .29 If the firm performs inspection procedures during the year of its peer review, it may want to consider the scope of its inspection procedures in relationship to the scope of its peer review. In such a situation, the firm may want to tailor the scope of its inspection to complement the scope of its peer review rather than duplicate it.
- .30 If the firm performs inspection procedures during the year of its peer review and wants its peer reviewer to use those inspection procedures to reduce the number of offices visited or engagements reviewed, or the extent of the functional areas reviewed in the peer review, then the reviewer will have to test the effectiveness of the current year's inspection procedures. This testing entails the peer reviewer reperforming the review of a sample of engagements previously inspected by the firm.
- .31 Although the firm can substitute its peer review for its inspection procedures in the year of its peer review, this does not alleviate the peer reviewer from having to evaluate the firm's inspection procedures since inspection has been designed as part of the firm's monitoring process. Because no inspection procedures were performed in the year of the peer review for the peer reviewer to evaluate, the reviewer will have to review the inspection procedures performed during the two years between peer reviews.
- .32 When a firm performs inspection procedures to evaluate compliance with its quality control policies and procedures, its system of quality control is tested at the broad functional element level through review of administrative files and at the individual engagement level through review of selected accounting and auditing engagements. Any deficiencies noted at the two levels are combined at the end of the inspection and analyzed for systemic causes. Likewise, when a firm uses preissuance or postissuance reviews to evaluate compliance with its quality control policies and procedures, the firm should test its system at the broad functional element level as well as at the engagement level and the deficiencies noted

at the two levels combined and analyzed for systemic trends. Therefore, the firm should review its system of quality control as a whole for:

- *a.* Documentation regarding consultation on independence, integrity and objectivity matters, and acceptance and continuance decisions.
- b. Resolution of matters reported by professional personnel on independence, integrity, and objectivity circularization forms to determine that matters have been appropriately considered and resolved.
- c. Other consultation on accounting and auditing matters.

Appendix C contains a checklist for reviewing the broad functional elements.

- .33 The firm, as part of its monitoring procedures, may want to test compliance with the membership requirements of the various organizations to which it or its members belong, the AICPA, state CPA societies, and SECPS, even though this is not required by quality control standards. As a practical matter, many of these membership requirements are covered by the firm's quality control policies and procedures and are tested during other phases of monitoring. For example, compliance with the CPE requirements of the AICPA, SECPS, and state boards of accountancy will be tested when the firm evaluates effectiveness of professional development activities. Appendix D contains a checklist for reviewing SECPS membership requirements.
- .34 If the firm acquires an accounting and auditing practice through a merger or acquisition, the monitoring of that merged or acquired practice should begin immediately. In other words, if the firm primarily monitors its accounting and auditing practice through annual inspection procedures, then the firm should not wait until the performance of the next annual inspection before it begins to monitor the merged or acquired accounting and auditing practice. This monitoring should cover merged or acquired personnel as well as engagements. One way to accomplish the timely monitoring of a merged or acquired accounting and auditing practice is to assign an experienced partner or management-level individual associated with the firm prior to the new acquisition to perform a preissuance review of the reports, financial statements, and working papers on some or all of the merged or acquired accounting and auditing engagements. In addition, the firm should implement procedures to ensure personnel from the merger or acquisition are trained in the firm's policies and procedures for accounting and auditing engagements and, where necessary, professional standards.

Summarize Monitoring Results

- .35 All of the deficiencies noted during monitoring procedures, not just those noted through engagement review, should be periodically summarized in a manner that will enable the firm to determine what actions, if any, are necessary to prevent the recurrence of the deficiencies in the future. Firms may use the Summary of Matter For Further Consideration Forms from the SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program Manual (PRM §13600) for summarizing the deficiencies noted during monitoring. Other firms scan the deficiencies and summarize them informally; this is common when the number of engagements reviewed is small or the number of deficiencies is minimal.
- .36 Each deficiency should be considered in conjunction with the other deficiencies noted during the monitoring procedures for implications to the firm's system of quality control as a whole. For example, on an engagement a minor disclosure may have been omitted that results in a note to the file reminding the engagement personnel to make sure that the disclosure is considered in the subsequent financial statements. However, if the deficiency is noted on several engagements, corrective action may also be needed on a firm-wide basis to prevent the recurrence of the deficiencies.

.37 When summarizing the monitoring deficiencies, they should be organized, to the extent possible, according to the systemic cause(s) to assist in the determination of appropriate corrective action(s).

Prepare Written Summary Report of Monitoring Results

.38 After summarization of the deficiencies noted during the monitoring procedures, a written summary report should be prepared of the deficiencies noted and submitted to the appropriate partner(s) of the firm. Appendix E contains a sample summary report for documenting the firm's monitoring procedures.

Determine Necessary Corrective Actions

- .39 After preparation of the summary report, the appropriate partner(s) of the firm should review the written report and evaluate what corrective actions, if any, should be taken in connection with the monitoring results to prevent the recurrence of the deficiencies in the future. A record should be maintained of the corrective actions and improvements planned by the firm to address the deficiencies noted during monitoring and appropriate personnel should be assigned the responsibility for implementing the corrective actions. Corrective actions can include
 - a. Additional staff training in specific areas or industries.
 - b. Changes in the firm's quality control policies and procedures.
 - c. Updates or additions to technical manuals and practice aids.
 - d. More careful monitoring of compliance with policies and procedures.
 - e. Appropriate corrective actions on specific engagement deficiencies.
 - f. Changes in staff assignments.

Communicate Monitoring Results

.40 After the necessary corrective actions have been decided, the monitoring results and the changes being made as a consequence of those results should be communicated orally or in writing to appropriate professional personnel of the firm.

Follow-Up on Planned Corrective Actions

.41 Timely and effective follow-up on the steps taken to implement planned corrective actions is critical to effective monitoring. Within a reasonable period of time after the firm was scheduled to take the planned corrective actions, steps should be taken to determine whether the planned corrective actions have been acted upon and whether they have achieved the objectives for which they were designed.

Documentation of Monitoring

.42 As required by paragraph 25 of SQCS No. 2, the firm should prepare appropriate documentation to demonstrate compliance with its policies and procedures for the quality control element of monitoring. At a minimum, a written report should be prepared on the scope of the monitoring procedures, the results of the monitoring procedures, and the corrective actions the firm plans to take. For multi-office firms, generally a separate report should be prepared for each office.

- .43 The firm should determine the period that detailed monitoring working papers should be retained. It is recommended that detailed monitoring working papers be discarded after a summary report has been prepared. However, if in the year of its peer review the firm uses its inspection procedures to reduce the scope of its peer review, detailed inspection working papers should not be discarded until the peer reviewer has had an opportunity to test the inspection procedures. (Typically, due to cost/benefit considerations, the reviewers of a small firm will not place reliance on inspection procedures in order to reduce the scope of the peer review.).
- .44 The summary monitoring report should be retained and available to the peer reviewer for each year since the prior peer review. Once the peer reviewer has reviewed the summary monitoring reports, the reports can be discarded.

.45

Appendix A

Checklist for Coordinating an Inspection Program

	SECTION	Initial	Date
1.	Determine who will coordinate the inspection program for the firm.		
2.	Determine who will perform the inspection.		
3.	Establish the approach and timetable for performing the inspection procedures.		
4.	Determine forms and checklists to be used during the inspection and the extent of documentation required.		
5.	Decide how long to retain detail inspection working papers.		
6.	Make a selection of engagements for review and reevaluate that selection throughout the process.		
7.	Review other files for compliance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures. (Appendix C)		
8.	Review the selected engagements.		
9.	Summarize the inspection findings and determine what corrective actions should be taken.		
10.	Prepare an inspection report covering the scope of the inspection, the inspection findings, and the recommended corrective actions. (Appendix E)		
11.	Review the recommended corrective actions and reach final conclusions on the actions to be taken.		
12.	Communicate the inspection findings and the planned corrective actions to the appropriate members of the firm.		
13.	Follow-up on planned corrective actions to determine whether the actions were taken as planned and whether they achieved the objective(s) for which they were planned.		

.46

Appendix B

Checklist for Coordinating a Preissuance Review Program

		Initial	Date
1.	Determine who will coordinate the preissuance review program for the firm.		
2.	Determine who will perform preissuance reviews and designate alternates. (The individual cannot be directly associated with the performance of the particular engagement he or she reviews).		
3.	Establish the approach for performing preissuance reviews (i.e., comprehensiveness of review, etc.) and the time period for summarizing findings (i.e., monthly, quarterly, etc.). (The comprehensiveness of the review must be similar to that performed on an inspection or peer review.)		
4.	Determine forms and checklists to be used during the engagement and functional element reviews and the extent of documentation required.		
5.	Decide how long to retain detail monitoring working papers.		
6.	Make a selection at the beginning of the monitoring year of engagements to be preissuance reviewed and reevaluate that selection throughout the year as circumstances dictate.		
7.	Review the selected engagements before issuance.		
8.	Immediately after an engagement review, communicate any specific findings on that particular engagement to the appropriate professional staff who performed the engagement.		
9.	Review other files for compliance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures. (Appendix C) (Steps 9 through 14 should be performed for each time period established in step 3 above.)		
10.	Summarize the engagement and functional element review findings and determine what corrective actions should be taken. Determine if any correlation exists between engagement and functional element review findings.		,

PRM §18100.46

^{*} In order for preissuance review to qualify as a monitoring procedure the individual performing or supervising the review must not be directly associated with the performance of the engagement. In addition, for preissuance review to constitute inspection procedures the four criteria listed in paragraph 8 of SQCS No. 3 must be met.

		Initial	Date
11.	Prepare a summary monitoring report covering the scope of the engagement and functional element reviews, the review findings, and the recommended corrective actions. (Appendix E)		
12.	Review the recommended corrective actions and reach final conclusions on the actions to be taken.		
13.	Communicate the summarized review findings and the planned corrective actions to the appropriate members of the firm.		
14.	Follow-up on planned corrective actions to determine whether the actions were taken as planned and whether they achieved the objective(s) for which they were planned.		

Appendix C

Checklist for Review of Functional Elements

Period Covered _____

		Findings, Including	
		Extent of Testing	Done By
In	dependence, Integrity, and Objectivity		
1.	Select a sample of situations in which independence, integrity, and objectivity questions arose during the period and consider whether the resolution of such questions appears appropriate.		
2.	Select a sample of professional personnel and review the written representations obtained by the firm regarding independence, integrity, and objectivity. Determine through review of each professional's written representation near the time of initial employment and at least annually thereafter that he or she 1) has read the firm's independence policies, 2) understands their applicability to his or her activities and those of his or her spouse and close relatives, and 3) has complied with the requirements of the firm's independence policies since the prior certification.		
3.	Interview selected staff, review appropriate documentation, and determine whether the firm has advised all professional personnel on a timely basis about entities to which the independence, integrity, and objectivity rules apply and that professional personnel are familiar with the firm's independence, integrity, and objectivity policies and procedures.		
4.	Select a sample of professional personnel performing professional services for clients and review the documentation maintained for independence training as required by the firm's policies.		
5.	Select a sample of the corrective steps taken and documentation maintained on apparent independence violations reported by professionals within the U.S. member firm and consider whether the corrective steps appear		

appropriate.

		Findings, Including Extent of Testing	Done By
En	gagement Performance		
1.	Inspect the firm's library for its audit and accounting practice and determine whether it is sufficiently comprehensive and current. Specifically determine that the library includes recent pronouncements and literature appropriate for the firm's specialties and are updated on a timely basis.	E N	
2.	Select a sample of situations in which consultations took place during the period and determine through inquiry or review of appropriate files whether all relevant facts and circumstances were provided to the party consulted, the advice given appears reasonable, and the actions taken were consistent with professional standards and firm policies.		
Per	rsonnel Management		
1.	Select a sample of new hires and determine through review of their personnel files whether—		
	a. The background information and other documentation required by firm policy were obtained.		
	b. The individuals possessed the desired attributes, achievements, and experience required by the firm and, if not, why an exception was made.		
2.	Interview selected staff and determine whether they believe they had the technical training and proficiency required to perform the assignments received.		
3.	Select a sample of professional personnel and determine through review of their personnel files whether they have been evaluated and promoted in accordance with the firm's policies and procedures.		
4.	From the engagements selected for review, determine if the practitioners-in-charge of those accounting, auditing or attestation engagements, possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies), as determined by the firm, to allow them to fulfill their responsibilities related to those engagements.		

Findings, Including

		Extent of Testing	Done By
Ac	ceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements		
1.	Select a sample of acceptance and continuance decisions and determine through review of appropriate documentation whether the firm is complying with its policies and procedures and with the requirements of professional standards.	TE N	
Mo	onitoring		
1.	Determine whether appropriate corrective actions were taken, including effective follow-up, with respect to the prior period's monitoring findings.		
2.	Review the firm's quality control policies and procedures and determine whether they are relevant and adequate.		
3.	Review the firm's guidance materials and any practices aids and determine whether they are up-to-date.		
4.	Select a sample of professional personnel and determine through review of their CPE records whether they—		
	a. Participated in CPE related to their accounting and auditing assignments, including specialized industries.		
	b. Complied with the firm's CPE plan and the CPE requirements of the—		
	i. Board of accountancy.		
	ii. AICPA.		
	iii. State CPA society.		
	iv. SEC Practice Section.		
	v. Government Auditing Standards—the "Yellow Book" (if applicable).		
	c. Took appropriate action to correct situations where they were not in compliance with the CPE requirements of the AICPA and other regulatory bodies.		
5.	Interview selected staff and determine whether they believe		

the training programs they participated in were effective.

Appendix D

Optional Checklist for Review of SEC Practice Section Membership Requirements

(
Peri	od Co	vered		(())	

	T	Findings, Including Extent of Testing	Done By
1.	Determine, on a sample basis, that each proprietor, shareholder, or partner of the firm residing in the United States and eligible for AICPA membership is a member of the AICPA. [SECPS §1000.08(a)]		
2.	Determine whether the firm filed its most recent annual report with the Section. [SECPS §1000.08(g)].		
3.	Determine whether the firm has complied with the requirements for rotation of partners on SEC engagements. [SECPS §1000.08(e)]		
4.	Determine whether a concurring review was performed, prior to the issuance of any audit report on the financial statements of SEC clients, of the audit report, financial statements and selected working papers by a partner qualified to do such review, who is other than the audit partner in charge of the engagement. [SECPS §1000.08(f)]		
5.	Determine whether the firm has performed any of the management advisory services that are proscribed by the Section. [SECPS §1000.08(h)]		
6.	Determine whether the firm maintains documentation in the working papers of its annual report to the audit committee or board of directors of each SEC audit client on the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year and a description of the types of such services rendered. [SECPS §1000.08(i)]		
7.	Determine whether the firm has reported to the Quality Control Inquiry Committee on a timely basis litigation or other actions against it or its personnel in situations required by the Section. [SECPS §1000.08(k)]		

		Findings, Including Extent of Testing	Done By
8.	Determine whether the firm communicated in writing on a timely basis to an SEC registrant and the Office of the Chief Accountant of the SEC when the client-auditor relationship with the SEC registrant ceased. [SECPS §1000.08(m)]	CE	
9.	Determine whether the firm has developed a statement of firm philosophy and communicated that statement to professional personnel on a periodic basis. [SECPS §1000.08(1)]) N	
10.	Determine whether the firm has complied with the membership requirement for firms that are members of, correspondent with, or similarly associated with foreign associated firms that audit SEC registrants [SECPS 1000.08(n)].		

Appendix E

Sample Summary	Monitoring Ro	eport
_	$D D \Lambda$	
Monitoring Period: From		

Name of Reviewer(s):		$\perp \bigcirc \square$					
Timing:							
Briefly describe the monitoring procedures us	sed by the f	irm					
Scope of engagements reviewed:	Fi	rm Totals*	Engs.	Reviewed*			
	Hrs.	No. of Engs.	Hrs.	No. of Engs.			
SAS—	-						
Audits—							
SEC							
ERISA							
Yellow Book							
Other							
Agreed-Upon Procedures							
SAARS—							
Reviews							
Compilations with disclosures							
Compilations that omit disclosures							
SSAE—							
Financial Forecast and Projections—							
Examinations							
Reviews							
Agreed-Upon Procedures							
Other							
Total							
Percentage of A&A Practice Reviewed			%	%			
Did the monitoring procedures disclose any s future reliance on a report issued by the firm or basis for the report issued? Yes No In	r require the	e firm to perform add	ditional proced	lures to provide a			
The monitoring findings and the recommendare attached.	lations rega	rding actions taken	for improver	nents in the firm			
Monitoring Coordinator's Signature			Date				
Approved			 Date				

^{*} Approximate totals may be used.

Example 1 Implementation of a Periodic Inspection

Description of the Firm

Size of Firm Sole practitioner with one part-time professional staff.

Background The sole practitioner has 25 years of public accounting experience of which the last

10 have been spent as a sole practitioner.

Nature of Practice 2 Audits 250 hours

2 Reviews 75 hours 13 Compilations 100 hours

Tax and management advisory service engagements make up the remainder of the

practice.

Industry

Concentrations None. However, the firm does have clients in the following areas: manufacturing,

construction, and not-for-profit organizations. The firm performs no audits of SEC

or governmental clients.

Environment

The sole practitioner takes various continuing professional education (CPE) courses offered by the state CPA society, primarily in the tax area. He takes very few CPE courses on accounting or auditing topics except for an annual auditing and accounting update course.

- The sole practitioner takes a majority of his courses in a self-study format.
- The sole practitioner rarely finds the need to consult with individuals outside his firm on accounting or auditing issues.

Monitoring Procedures

Procedures

The sole practitioner evaluates compliance with his quality control policies and procedures by performing an annual inspection.

Timing

All inspection procedures are performed at one time during the year in July. Because the sole practitioner's practice consist primarily of tax, he believes performing a detailed review of engagements at one time during the year will allow him to concentrate more intensely on accounting and auditing matters. Performing postissuance reviews throughout the year would not allow this concentration. The sole practitioner believes he can perform the inspection procedures because the auditing and accounting practice is not complex. However, he recognizes that someone from outside the firm could be used to perform the inspection (perhaps on a reciprocal basis) if so desired.

Documentation

The sole practitioner documents monitoring by completing—

- Appendix C of this document when testing the broad functional elements of quality control.
- The engagement review checklists used in performing peer reviews when reviewing the selected accounting and auditing engagements. The sole practitioner believes these checklists act as good "memory joggers" for accounting and auditing issues that he encounters on an infrequent basis.

Summarization

After the sample of engagements have been reviewed and the applicable broad functional elements of quality control tested, the deficiencies are summarized and the sole practitioner evaluates what actions, if any, should be taken to prevent the recurrence of the deficiencies noted.

Reporting

After the inspection procedures are performed, the summary monitoring report contained in Appendix E of this document is completed.

Retention Policy

After the summary monitoring report is finalized, no working papers, checklists, programs, or notes are retained regarding the engagements reviewed or the findings on those engagements or the review of the system of quality control.

Follow-Up

Six months after the summary monitoring report is prepared and the planned corrective actions are identified, the sole practitioner performs sufficient procedures to determine whether the corrective actions indicated in the summary monitoring report have been taken and whether they have achieved their objectives.

Example 2 Implementation of a Periodic Inspection

Description of the Firm

Size of Firm 6 Partners

30 Professional staff other than the partners

1 Office

Background Each partner has 20 years of public accounting experience with the last five to ten

years spent as a partner.

Nature of Practice 70 Audits 14,500 hours

30 Reviews3,100 hours380 Compilations4,400 hours20 Attestations500 hours

Tax and management advisory service engagements make up the remainder of the

practice.

Industry

Concentrations The major concentration is health care services (nursing homes). The firm also has

clients in: Yellow Book, ERISA, and SEC registrants.

• One partner serves as quality control partner for the firm.

• On certain larger engagements, one partner will review the financial statements prepared in connection with the other partner's clients. The preissuance review

is not comprehensive enough to qualify as an inspection procedure.

Monitoring Procedures

Procedures The firm evaluates compliance with its quality control policies and procedures by

performing an annual inspection.

Timing All inspection procedures are performed at one time during the year in November.

Documentation The firm documents monitoring by completing—

• Appendix C of this document when testing the broad functional elements of

quality control.

The engagement review checklists used in performing peer reviews when

reviewing the selected accounting and auditing engagements.

Summarization After the sample of engagements have been reviewed and the applicable broad

functional elements of quality control tested, the deficiencies are summarized and the coordinating partner evaluates what actions, if any, should be taken to prevent

the recurrence of the deficiencies noted.

Reporting After the inspection procedures are performed, the summary monitoring report

contained in Appendix E of this document is completed.

Retention Policy After the summary monitoring report is finalized, no working papers, checklists,

programs, or notes are retained regarding the engagements reviewed or the

findings on those engagements or the review of the system of quality control.

Follow-Up Four months after the summary monitoring report is prepared and the planned

corrective actions are identified, the coordinating partner performs sufficient procedures to determine whether the corrective actions indicated in the summary monitoring report have been taken and whether they have achieved their objectives.

Example 3 Implementation of an On-going Postissuance Review

Description of the Firm

Size of Firm Sole practitioner without staff

Background The sole practitioner has 15 years of public accounting experience of which the last five

years have been spent as a sole practitioner.

Nature of Practice 2 Audits 300 hours

5 Reviews 200 hours 54 Compilations 420 hours

Tax and management advisory service engagements make up the remainder of the

practice.

Industry

Concentrations None. However, the firm does have clients in the following areas: manufacturing,

wholesale distribution, and professional services. The firm performs no audits of

SEC or governmental clients.

Environment

• The sole practitioner is a member of an informal group of sole practitioners that meets twice-a-month to discuss issues of common interest and concern (including accounting, auditing, tax, and management topics).

• The sole practitioner is active in state CPA society activities and frequently

attends CPE sessions held by the society.

• The sole practitioner consults with others when unsure about the approach to be taken on an accounting, auditing, or tax issue.

Monitoring Procedures

Procedures The sole practitioner evaluates compliance with her quality control policies and

procedures at the engagement level by performing a postissuance review.

Timing Postissuance reviews are performed immediately before the sole practitioner

begins to plan the next year's engagement. The results of the postissuance reviews are summarized semi-annually, each May and November. The broad functional elements of quality control are tested annually, each November, immediately

before the sole practitioner's busy season.

Documentation The sole practitioner documents monitoring by completing—

 Appendix C of this document when testing the broad functional elements of quality control.

A preplanning engagement checklist when reviewing accounting and auditing
engagements. The postissuance review covers the report, financial statements,
and working papers on the last year's engagement and is comprehensive
enough to allow the sole practitioner to determine whether—

- a. The report and financial statements conform with applicable professional standards.
- b. The engagement was performed in accordance with applicable professional standards (Statements on Auditing Standards, Statements on Accounting and Review Services, etc.).
- *c*. The engagement was performed in accordance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures.

Although comprehensive engagement review checklists—such as those used by peer reviewers—are not completed, the sole practitioner references to those checklists when performing the postissuance reviews if needed. Because the sole practitioner maintains active involvement in accounting and auditing matters through a discussion group, state society participation, and CPE, she believes this approach to be both efficient and effective.

Summarization

Although deficiencies noted on an engagement are corrected when the sole practitioner performs the next year's engagement immediately thereafter, a list of the engagement's deficiencies is maintained in a Postissuance Review Finding Folder. (The names of the clients are not retained on the lists.) Each May and November, the sole practitioner summarizes the lists of findings noted on the postissuance reviews performed during the preceding six months and evaluates what actions, if any, should be taken to prevent the recurrence of the deficiencies noted. The summary prepared in November also includes any findings noted during the testing of the broad functional elements of quality control for the year.

Reporting

In May and November, the summary monitoring report contained in Appendix E of this document is completed.

Retention Policy

After the summary monitoring report is finalized, no working papers, checklists, programs, or notes are retained regarding the engagements reviewed or the findings on those engagements or the review of the system of quality control.

Follow-Up

Five months after the summary monitoring report is prepared and the planned corrective actions are identified, the sole practitioner performs sufficient procedures to determine whether the corrective actions indicated in the summary monitoring report have been taken and whether they have achieved their objectives.

Example 4 Implementation of an On-going Preissuance Review

Description of the Firm

Size of Firm 2 Partners

6 Professional staff other than the partners

1 Office

Background Each partner has 15 years of public accounting experience with the last five years

spent as a partner.

Nature of Practice 8 Audits 1500 hours

20 Reviews 800 hours 130 Compilations 1200 hours

Tax and management advisory service engagements make up the remainder of the

practice.

Industry

Concentrations The major concentrations are not-for-profit organizations and school districts. The

firm also has clients in: construction and professional services. The firm performs

no audits of SEC clients.

• The partner responsible for the school district audits is responsible for ensuring

that he and the primary staff on those audits have the necessary CPE under

Government Auditing Standards.

• The firm periodically holds in-house CPE for the staff which is taught by

outside instructors.

Monitoring Procedures

Procedures The firm evaluates compliance with its quality control policies and procedures at

the engagement level by performing a preissuance review on all audit engagements and on a sample of other types of engagements. Because there are only two partners, each partner performs the preissuance review for the other.

Timing Preissuance reviews are performed throughout the year immediately before the

firm issues the report on the engagement. The results of the preissuance reviews are summarized quarterly, each January, April, July, and October. The broad

functional elements of quality control are tested annually, each July.

Documentation

The firm documents monitoring by completing—

- Appendix C of this document when testing the broad functional elements of quality control.
- A preissuance review checklist when reviewing accounting and auditing engagements. The preissuance review covers the report, financial statements, and working papers on the engagement and is comprehensive enough to allow the firm to determine whether
 - a. The report and financial statements conform with applicable professional standards.
 - b. The engagement was performed in accordance with applicable professional standards (Statements on Auditing Standards, Statements on Accounting and Review Services, etc.).
 - c. The engagement was performed in accordance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures. Although comprehensive engagement review checklists—such as those used by peer reviewers—are not completed, the preissuance reviewer references to those checklists when performing the preissuance reviews if needed.

Summarization

Although deficiencies noted on an engagement are corrected before the report is issued, a list of the engagement's deficiencies is maintained for summarization purposes. (The names of the clients are not retained on the lists.) Each quarter, one of the partners summarizes the lists of findings noted on the preissuance reviews performed during the quarter and evaluates what actions, if any, should be taken to prevent the recurrence of the deficiencies noted. The summary prepared in July also includes any findings noted during the testing of the broad functional elements of quality control for the year.

Reporting

In January, April, July, and October the summary monitoring report contained in Appendix E of this document is completed. The findings in the summary monitoring report and any policy and procedure changes resulting from them are discussed at a quarterly staff meeting.

Retention Policy

After the summary monitoring report is finalized, no working papers, checklists, programs, or notes are retained regarding the engagements reviewed or the findings on those engagements or the review of the system of quality control.

Follow-Up

Three months after the summary monitoring report is prepared and the planned corrective actions are identified, the partner who prepared the summary monitoring report performs sufficient procedures to determine whether the corrective actions indicated in the report have been taken and whether they have achieved their objectives.

Exhibit 1 Sample Completed Summary Monitoring Report Periodic Inspection (Based on Example 2)

PR	A (
Monitoring Period: From October	· 1, 20XX	to	September	· 30, 20X1
Name of Reviewer(s): John Smith, James	Doe	ION		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		11 8_2 1		
Briefly describe the monitoring procedures	s used by the f	irm. <u>The firm evalua</u>	ated complianc	e with its quality
control policies and procedures through a pe				
the Monitoring Guidance was used when				
engagement review checklists contained in				
selected accounting and auditing engagemen				
audit, review, compilation, and agreed-upo				
industry concentration (health care services) a	and the high ris	k areas of Yellow Bo	ok, ERISA, and	l SEC registrants.
Scope of engagements reviewed:	Fii	rm Totals	Engs.	Reviewed
	Hrs.	No. of Engs.	Hrs.	No. of Engs.
SAS—				
Audits—				
SEC	250	1	250	1
ERISA	400	3	140	1
Yellow Book	850	9	100	1
Other	12,900	56	1,200	5
Agreed-Upon Procedures	100	1	100	1
SAARS—				
Reviews	3,100	30	200	2
Compilations	4,400	380	45	3
SSAE—				
Financial Forecast and Projections—				
Examinations	500	20	65	2
Other				
Total	22,500	500	2,100	16
Percentage of A&A Practice Reviewed			9.3 %	3.2%
Did the constitution of the least of	C	(11 (l	C 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
Did the monitoring procedures disclose any				
future reliance on a report issued by the firm				
basis for the report issued? Yes X. No				
A management representation letter was no been obtained.	t obtained from	i an audit client. In	e representatio	on letter has now
See attachment for summary of monitoring	findings and	or recommendation	ns of corrective	e actions.
Monitoring Coordinator Signature			Date	
Approved			 Date	

Findings and Recommendations

- 1. <u>Finding</u>—On several engagements reviewed, we noted inappropriate answers on the disclosure checklist which resulted in the financial statements missing a few disclosures. There was no pattern to the missing disclosures and all were minor in nature.
 - <u>Recommendation</u>—The firm should hold a staff meeting for all professional personnel to remind them about the importance of completing the disclosure checklist correctly. If personnel do not fully understand a question they should read the underlying professional literature and consult with the quality control partner if further guidance is needed or they believe continuing professional development should be offered on the topic.
- 2. <u>Finding</u>—On one audit engagement, the firm failed to obtain a management representation letter even though such letters are required under auditing standards. Our testing was expanded to cover all of the firm's audit clients to ensure that this was an isolated occurrence.
 - <u>Recommendation</u>—The firm should develop a final report routing sheet that documents all procedures that have not been performed at the time that a report is submitted for typing. The firm should establish procedures to ensure that all of the documented procedures are performed before the report is issued.
- 3. <u>Finding</u>—Although the firm obtains signed independence, integrity, and objectivity confirmations from all of its staff on an annual basis, two of those confirmations disclosed exceptions which were not resolved and that resolution documented. No inappropriate reports were issued as a result.
 - <u>Recommendation</u>—The quality control partner who is in charge of obtaining independence, integrity, and objectivity confirmations should, when monitoring their receipt, review the confirmations for exceptions, resolve any exceptions noted, and document the resolutions. In the two cases noted, no independence problems occurred as a resulted of the exceptions.

Exhibit 2 Sample Completed Summary Monitoring Report Preissuance Review (Based on Example 4)

D R 7	\ 7	r /				
Monitoring Period: From April 1, 20	OXX	1 1	to	J.	une 30, 20XX	_
Name of Reviewer(s): John Smith, James Do	e	1 (
Briefly describe the monitoring procedures at treviews and summarized the results quarterly Monitoring Guidance was used when testing (each July). A preissuance review checklist wengagements. The preissuance reviews covere audit engagements issued during the quarengagements. No preissuance reviewer was as Scope of engagements reviewed:	he engage v (each Jan the broad vas used v d the repo rter and esociated v	ement levant levant levant, Aproposition from the control of the c	rel through ril, July, and element ewing seinal statem entative sengagemen	gh perfor nd Octob ts of quali- lected acc lents, and sample o	mance of per). Appendity control for counting an working part the other	reissuance ix C of the or the year d auditing pers on all types of
scope of engagements reviewed.	101111	No. of	101111	No. of	Engs. Re	No. of
	Hrs.	Engs.	Hrs.	Engs.	Hrs.	Engs.
SAS— Audits—						
Yellow Book	600	3	200	1	200	1
Other	900	5	370	2	370	2
Agreed-Upon Procedures SAARS—						
Reviews	800	20	200	5	80	2
Compilations	1,200	130	280	30	50	5
SSAE— Other						
Total	3,500	158	1,050	38	700	10
Percentage of A&A Practice Reviewed					66.6 %	26.3%
Did the monitoring procedures disclose any situations that would require the firm to take action to prevent future reliance on a report issued by the firm or require the firm to perform additional procedures to provide a basis for the report issued? Yes NoX If yes, describe the situation and the action(s) taken by the firm. Because the firm uses preissuance reviews to evaluate compliance with its policies and procedures at the engagement level, all engagement deficiencies noted as a result of those reviews were corrected before						
reports were issued. See attachment for summary of monitoring fin	idings and	for recon	nmendati	ons of cor	rective action	ons.
Monitoring Coordinator Signature				Da	te	
Approved				Da	te	

Findings and Recommendations

1. <u>Finding</u>—On some of the engagements reviewed, we noted a few disclosure deficiencies that would have been caught if the firm had required the completion of a comprehensive reporting and disclosure checklist.

<u>Recommendation</u>—The firm should adopt a policy requiring that a comprehensive reporting and disclosure checklist be completed on all engagements on which the firm reports on year-end financial statements.

2. <u>Finding</u>—On several audit engagements reviewed, we noted that the working papers did not document the extent of testing of related party transactions and review of subsequent events. However, we are satisfied that the necessary procedures were performed on each engagement.

<u>Recommendation</u>—The firm should expand its standard audit program to include procedures for testing related party transactions and reviewing subsequent events.

3. <u>Finding</u>—On several audit engagements reviewed, we noted that SAS 82, *Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit*, was implemented early and the firm did not fully document the identified fraud risk factors and the auditor's response to them.

<u>Recommendation</u>—The firm should purchase or develop practice aids to assist professional staff in documenting their identification of fraud risk factors and response to them.

4. <u>Finding</u>—While the firm circularizes independence, integrity, and objectivity confirmations among its staff on an annual basis, two individuals failed to sign the confirmations.

<u>Recommendation</u>—The partner-in-charge of obtaining the independence, integrity, and objectivity confirmations should monitor receipt of the confirmations and report to the other partner when they have all been returned.

5. <u>Finding</u>—Two non-CPA professional personnel who work on audit and accounting engagements participated in no accounting and auditing-related CPE.

<u>Recommendation</u>—The two non-CPA professional personnel should be enrolled immediately in an annual accounting and auditing update course. In addition, the firm should revise its quality control policies and procedures to include a requirement that accounting and auditing personnel participate in an appropriate amount of CPE in accounting and auditing areas.

[*The next page is* 18201.]

PRM Section 18200

Guide for Performing Inspections

Table of Contents

Section	1	SECTION	Paragraph
18200	Guide	for Performing Inspections	
	Introd	uction	0103
	Objecti	ives of an Inspection	0407
	Detern	nining Who Should Perform the Inspection	0813
	Timing	g of the Inspection Program	1417
	How to	o Perform the Inspection	1838
	Rev	iew of Compliance with Policies and Procedures	1921
	Rev	iew of Engagements	2230
	Oth	er Review Procedures	31
	Sun	nmarizing Inspection Findings	3233
	Rep	orting on Inspection Findings	34
	Dete	ermining Necessary Corrective Actions	3536
	Con	nmunicating Inspection Findings and Corrective Actions	37
	Foll	owing-Up on Planned Corrective Actions	38
	Retent	ion of Inspection Documents	39
	Alterna	ative Approaches to Documenting an Inspection	4045
	Relatio	onship of Consulting Reviews to Inspections	4647
	Benefit	ts of an Inspection	48
	Appen	ndixes	
	A.	Checklist for Coordinating an Inspection Program	
	B.	Program for Inspection of Compliance With Policies and Procedures Related to the Elements of Quality Control	ie
	C.	Optional Program for Review of Compliance with the Division for CPA Firms' Membership Requirements	
	D.	Sample Inspection Report	
	Examp	oles	
	1.	Firm With 2 Partners and 6 Professional Staff	
	2.	Sole Practitioner Without Staff	
	3.	Sole Practitioner With 1 Part-Time Professional Staff Person	

[*The next page is 18,203.*]

Sample Completed Inspection Report

Exhibit

1.

PRM Section 18200

Guide for Performing Inspections

Notice to Readers

This guide has been developed by the SEC Practice Section Peer Review Committee and the AICPA Peer Review Board to assist firms in achieving the benefits to be derived from an effective inspection program. It is not intended to, and does not, establish standards for the performance of an inspection.

The approach to selecting engagements and, if applicable, offices for review during a peer review is being revised to require reviewers to base their selections on comprehensive risk assessment of the reviewed firm's accounting and auditing practice. This approach has not been reflected in this document.

Introduction

- **.01** Statement on Quality Control Standards, (SQCS), 2 and 4, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice, requires that members should practice in firms that have in place internal quality-control procedures to ensure that services are competently delivered and adequately supervised for the firm's accounting and auditing practice.
- .02 SQCS No. 2 identifies five elements of quality control and states that a firm shall consider each of these elements, to the extent applicable to its practice, in establishing its quality control policies and procedures. The statement recognizes that the nature and extent of a firm's quality control polices and procedures depend on a number of factors, such as its size, the degree of operating autonomy allowed its personnel and its practice offices, the nature of its practice and its organization, and appropriate cost-benefit considerations.
- .03 One of the five elements of quality control is monitoring. Inspection, which is a form of monitoring, is a retrospective evaluation at a fixed point in time of the adequacy of the firm's quality control policies and procedures, its personnel's understanding of those policies and procedures and communicating circumstances that may necessitate changes to its system of quality control or the need to improve compliance with that system. This guide has been developed to assist firms in achieving the benefits to be derived from an effective inspection, especially small firms and sole practitioners. It is not intended to, and does not, establish standards for performance of an inspection.

Objectives of an Inspection

- .04 The objectives of an inspection are to determine if a firm is complying with its quality control policies and procedures and conforming with professional standards during a specified period of time, and to identify on a timely basis areas where improvements may be necessary.
- .05 When performing its inspection, a firm may wish to expand its testing to accomplish additional objectives, such as evaluating engagement efficiency, training supervisory staff to effectively review engagements, or testing compliance with requirements of membership organizations or regulatory bodies.
 - **.06** An inspection includes the following basic procedures:

- *a.* Reviewing and testing the firm's compliance with the quality control policies and procedures that make up the firm's quality control system (compliance testing).
- b. Reviewing selected engagements of the firm, including relevant working papers and reports (engagement testing).
- c. Summarizing the findings from the review of engagements and tests of compliance with quality control policies and procedures.
- d. Determining any corrective actions to be taken and improvements to be made with respect to the specific engagements reviewed or quality control system as a whole.
- e. Communicating the inspection findings and the planned corrective actions to appropriate firm personnel.
- Following-up to make sure that the planned corrective actions were taken.
- **.07** The nature, extent, and timing of an inspection will be largely influenced by environmental factors such as the following:
 - a. Size of the firm
 - b. Style of management and methods of internal communication
 - c. Level of involvement of senior firm personnel in decision making
 - d. The types and mix of accounting, auditing, tax, and other services provided
 - e. Industry concentrations and specializations
 - f. Background and experience of professional personnel
 - g. Extent of appropriate continuing professional education taken by professional personnel
 - h. Extent to which others outside the firm are consulted
 - i. The results of the firm's last peer review, quality review, or inspection

Determining Who Should Perform the Inspection

- .08 The assignment of individuals to perform an inspection should be made with the same due care that would be used in assigning personnel to an engagement. In making such assignments, the firm should emphasize the productive nature of the assignment. The importance placed on an inspection will determine how productive it is and the benefits the firm derives.
- .09 Depending on the size of a firm, the nature of its practice, and other environmental factors, an inspection may be performed by one individual or by a group of individuals. In either case, the primary responsibility for the inspection should be assigned to an owner of the firm. This person may delegate part or all of the testing procedures to qualified assistants.
- .10 In assigning assistants to a task, consideration should be given to the degree of technical training and proficiency required in the circumstances. Some tests of administrative procedures can be performed by nonprofessional staff, but only qualified professional personnel who are knowledgeable in accounting and auditing matters should be involved in the review of engagements. Inspection of engagements, therefore, should be carried out by persons who would qualify as reviewers under the standards governing the practice-monitoring program in which the firm is enrolled. At a minimum, inspectors of engagements should be CPAs and have sufficient experience in the industries and functional areas which they are inspecting to be able to challenge material accounting, auditing or reporting issues.

- .11 Individuals assigned to the inspection team should be objective when performing their tasks. Although not a requirement, it is desirable, whenever possible, to assign individuals who were not otherwise involved in the performance of the engagements they are to inspect. A checklist that may be used when coordinating an inspection program is included in Appendix A.
- .12 Depending on the size of a firm and the environmental factors previously discussed, a firm may wish to consider having someone from outside the firm perform the inspection rather than using internal personnel. Some firms have found this advantageous because the outsider can provide a fresh perspective and may be more objective when performing the various procedures. Unlike peer reviews and quality reviews, inspections may be performed on a reciprocal basis because independence is not an issue that must be considered.
- .13 If a firm decides to use inspectors from outside the firm, it should consider the qualifications for inspectors discussed above in making the selection of the individual(s). In such circumstances, an owner of the firm should be given responsibility for coordinating the inspection efforts and ensuring that all appropriate steps are taken, including determining whether necessary corrective actions are taken.

Timing of the Inspection Program

- .14 The inspection program should be timely and it should cover each year between peer reviews. (A firm may elect to have its peer review substitute for an inspection for the year covered by the review.) Timeliness is important so that any necessary corrective action, especially actions that affect the performance of a subsequent audit, review or compilation, can be implemented before a deficiency, if one took place, is repeated.
- .15 Most firms carry out an inspection as if it were a mini-peer review. The review of the firm's compliance with its quality control policies and procedures and review on a post-issuance basis of selected engagements are performed at a fixed time during the year. Some firms, however, prefer to coordinate the review of engagements more closely with other procedures they might have established. Flexibility in timing is entirely appropriate, subject to these two guidelines:
 - a. The supervisory review of the working papers, files and reports carried out by the engagement partner before an audit, review or compilation report is issued *never* qualifies as an inspection procedure.
 - b. A firm needs to summarize and take action on inspection findings on engagements on a timely basis. Therefore, if a firm reviews selected or all engagements over a period of time, findings should be summarized periodically, not just once a year. This summarization should be based on review notes or point sheets prepared for that purpose, not just on memory.
- .16 Firms that inspect engagements at other than one time during the year often do so as part of an ongoing procedure for carrying out an additional preissuance review of the report, financial statements, and working papers by someone not associated with the engagement, such as a second partner or a report review department. (See AICPA Professional Standards, Vol. 2, QC Section 10-1.18.)
- .17 Some firms may choose to perform an inspection of selected engagements prior to beginning engagement planning. It is particularly important to be certain that the review of the engagement is the equivalent to the review that would have been performed as an inspection procedure after issuance of the report, not just the review that is made under SAS No. 22, "Planning and Supervision," and that the inspection findings are taken into account in planning and carrying out the subsequent engagement.

How to Perform the Inspection

.18 The scope of an inspection should be similar to that of a peer review. Sufficient testing should be performed to allow the inspectors to evaluate whether the firm is effectively applying its procedures as they relate to the other eight elements of quality control.

Review of Compliance With Policies and Procedures

- .19 An inspection should address, to the extent applicable, each of the other eight elements of quality control. Firms may choose to address many of the policies and procedures relating to elements such as supervision, consultation, professional development, advancement, assigning personnel to engagements, acceptance and continuance of clients, and hiring during the review of engagements.
- **.20** Appendix B includes suggested procedures to test compliance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures.
- .21 The general procedures to be performed for each of the applicable elements of quality control include:
 - a. A review of the firm's policies and procedures and an evaluation of their continuing appropriateness.
 - *b*. A review of the administrative files and interviews of appropriate individuals to verify compliance with and understanding of the firm's policies and procedures.
 - *c*. Testing of compliance with the policies and procedures within the firm's system and/or on selected engagements.

Review of Engagements

- .22 Firms ordinarily place considerable emphasis during an inspection on the review of engagements. In selecting engagements, a firm may find it helpful to consider the guidelines contained in the standards governing the practice monitoring program in which it is enrolled. These standards provide that the selection should include a reasonable cross section of the firm's auditing and accounting practice. The SECPS peer review standards require reviewers to select engagements and offices for review based on a comprehensive risk assessment of the reviewed firm's accounting and auditing practice much like the risk assessment approach to an audit. This approach can be found in Section 2000 of the SEC Practice Section Reference Manual. The SECPS Peer Review Committee believes that the peer review guidance in Section 2000 can be and should be considered when selecting engagements and, if applicable, offices to be inspected.
- .23 An inspection should include work performed by a cross section of the firm's personnel involved in the accounting and auditing function. In addition, the selection of engagements to be reviewed should take into consideration:
 - a. Industry concentrations
 - b. Large, complex, and high risk engagements
 - c. Governmental engagements
 - d. Initial engagements

- e. Engagements with a significant public interest (such as SEC engagements and audits conducted pursuant to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act)
- f. Engagements that have not previously been inspected or reviewed
- **.24** As a general rule, at least 5 to 10% of the firm's accounting and auditing hours should be reviewed during the inspection. The engagements subject to review will be those with years ending during the period under review. However, if a more recent engagement has been performed, that engagement should be reviewed.
- .25 The objectives of the review of engagements are to evaluate whether the firm is complying with its quality control policies and procedures and conforming with professional standards, including generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS), standards for accounting and review services (SSARS), standards for financial forecasts and projections, and standards for financial and compliance audits contained in governmental auditing standards (the "yellow book"). To achieve these objectives, the review should include a review of reports, financial statements, accompanying footnotes, related working papers, correspondence and, where appropriate, discussions with professional staff.
- .26 The depth of review of working papers should be left to the judgment of the inspector(s). However, the review of working papers ordinarily should include a review of all "key areas" on an engagement. It does not need to be a review of every working paper. The key areas are the most critical sections in an engagement. Examples of some of the key areas for a manufacturing engagement would be inventory, accounts receivable, accounts payable, sales and cost of sales.
- **.27** In reviewing engagements, many people find it useful to use engagement review checklists, such as the ones developed by the AICPA for use on peer reviews. However, any other comprehensive reporting, disclosure, and working paper review checklists can be used. (See the section on "Alternative Approaches to Documenting An Inspection.")
- .28 Under the AICPA practice monitoring programs, checklists and supplements have been developed specifically for general audits, audits of governmental, not-for-profit, and banking entities, and compilation and review engagements. The engagement checklists may require modification for engagements involving other specialized industries, such as construction and insurance. Checklists and quality control system questionnaires are available in the AICPA *Peer Review Program Manual* and the *SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program Manual*.
- .29 For each engagement reviewed, the inspector should evaluate whether anything came to the individual's attention that caused the inspector to believe that
 - a. The financial statements were not presented in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or an other comprehensive basis of accounting, if applicable, or the report was appropriately modified.
 - b. The firm did not have a reasonable basis under the applicable standards (GAAS, SSARS, or governmental auditing standards) for the report issued.
 - c. The report was not appropriate in the circumstances.
 - d. The documentation does not support the report issued.
 - e. The firm did not comply with its quality control policies and procedures.
- .30 Should an inspector believe that the firm may have issued an inappropriate report on a client's financial statements or omitted necessary procedures, the firm should investigate the matter and determine what action, if any, should be taken pursuant to AU sections 561 and 390 and AR Sections 9100.13-.15 of the AICPA's *Professional Standards*.

Other Review Procedures

.31 During an inspection, many firms test compliance with the membership requirements of the various organizations to which they or their members belong: the AICPA, state CPA societies, PCPS, and SECPS, even though this is not required by quality control standards. As a practical matter, many of these membership requirements are covered by the firm's quality control policies and procedures and are tested during other phases of the inspection. For example, compliance with the AICPA's and the Division for CPA Firms' continuing professional education requirements (CPE) may be tested when the firm's policies and procedures for professional development are inspected. Appendix C includes a program for testing compliance with certain of the Division for CPA Firms' membership requirements.

Summarizing Inspection Findings

- .32 At the conclusion of the various inspection procedures, each finding should be evaluated in conjunction with the other findings noted during the inspection for the implications to the firm's quality control system as a whole. For example, on one engagement a minor disclosure may have been omitted that results in a note to the file reminding the engagement personnel to make sure that the disclosure is made in next year's financial statements. If the deficiency is noted on several engagements, corrective action also may be needed on a firm-wide basis to prevent the recurrence of these deficiencies.
- .33 Regardless of when engagements are inspected, inspection findings should be summarized in a manner that enables a firm to best determine the necessary corrective actions. Some firms use the summary review engagement checklists from the peer review and quality review manuals for summarizing engagement related inspection findings. Other firms scan the findings and summarize them informally; this is common when the number of engagements reviewed is small and/or the number of findings is minimal.

Reporting on Inspection Findings

.34 Appropriate documentation requirements should be established by each firm to demonstrate compliance with its policies and procedures for inspection (see the next section on "Alternative Approaches to Documenting An Inspection"). At a minimum, a report or memorandum should be prepared on the scope of the inspection, the inspection findings, and the recommendations of the inspectors. A sample report that a firm may use for this purpose has been developed and is included in Appendix D, or the firm may develop its own reporting format. For multi-office firms, consideration should be given to preparing a separate report for each office inspected.

Determining Necessary Corrective Actions

- .35 Upon receipt of the inspection report, the owner(s) of the firm should evaluate what corrective actions, if any, should be taken in connection with the recommendations of the inspector(s). Corrective actions can include:
 - a. Additional staff training in specific areas or industries.
 - b. Changes in quality control policies and procedures.
 - c. Updates or additions to technical manuals and practice aids.
 - d. More careful monitoring of compliance with the policies and procedures.
 - e. Appropriate corrective actions on specific engagement deficiencies.

.36 There should be a record of the corrective actions and improvements planned by the firm to address the findings and appropriate assignment of responsibility for implementing the recommendations.

Communicating Inspection Findings and Corrective Actions

.37 After the necessary corrective actions have been decided, the inspection findings and the changes being made as a result of those findings should be communicated orally or in writing to the owners and employees of the firm (if any).

Following-Up on Planned Corrective Actions

.38 Timely and effective follow-up on the steps taken to implement planned corrective actions is critical to an effective inspection program. Within a reasonable period of time after the firm was scheduled to take the planned corrective actions, steps should be taken to determine whether the planned corrective actions have been acted upon and whether they have achieved the objectives for which they were designed.

Retention of Inspection Documents

.39 At the conclusion of an inspection, only the inspection summary or report should be retained until the team captain on the firm's next peer or quality review has the opportunity to review that summary or report. Typically, all detailed working papers should not be retained after that summary or report is prepared. However, the detailed working papers on an inspection may be retained for a longer period if the firm expects the peer reviewer or quality reviewer to consider the inspection program to reduce the scope of the peer review or quality review. (Typically, reviewers of smaller firms will give little or no consideration to inspection due to the scope requirements and cost/benefit considerations.)

Alternative Approaches to Documenting an Inspection

- .40 The size and nature of a firm and the environment in which it practices will affect the extent of documentation prepared regarding the inspection procedures, findings, and corrective actions. A formal or an informal documentation approach can be used.
 - .41 Under the formal approach to inspection documentation, inspector(s) will:
 - a. Complete the quality control compliance program in Appendix B or develop and complete other comprehensive program(s).
 - b. Complete comprehensive engagement review checklists, such as those used by peer reviewers and quality reviewers while performing the review of reports, financial statements, footnotes and working papers on selected engagements.
 - c. Prepare summaries of findings on compliance tests and reviews of engagements.
 - d. Prepare an inspection report such as the one in Appendix D.
 - .42 Generally, the formal approach is preferable for all CPA firms regardless of size.
 - .43 Under the informal approach to inspection documentation, inspector(s) will:
 - a. Review compliance with its quality control policies and procedures without preparing or completing a quality control compliance program.

- b. Review selected reports, financial statements, and working papers without formally completing comprehensive engagement review checklists such as those used by peer reviewers and quality reviewers. (In these cases, the firm will usually make reference to such a checklist to make sure that all appropriate matters are considered.)
- c. Keep notes (or summaries) reflecting the deficiencies noted during the performance of inspection procedures.
- *d*. Prepare an inspection report such as the one in Appendix D.
- .44 The informal approach has only been found to be effective for sole practitioners without professional staff and firms with very small accounting or auditing practices.
- .45 Examples of the application of the approaches to the inspection and the documentation thereof are included in Examples 1 through 3 at the end of this document along with a description of the environmental factors influencing the inspection program.

Relationship of Consulting Reviews to Inspections

- .46 Some firms may have a consulting review before their initial peer review. Consulting reviews are confidential, "trial-run" reviews during which a reviewer, who has experience relevant to the firm undergoing the review, will visit the firm, usually for 1 day, to identify strengths and/or weaknesses and give the firm advice on how to prepare for the review. The review includes a cursory review of the working papers, financial statements, and reports prepared by the firm.
- .47 A firm planning to have a consulting review may wish to consider whether the consulting review it plans to have should be expanded to satisfy the firm's annual inspection requirement for the year. Depending on the size of the firm, an inspection may be performed instead of a consulting review for only a little more money.

Benefits of an Inspection

.48 An inspection can assure a firm that it has an effective quality control system in place and that the firm's quality control system is being complied with. It also ensures that the firm will have the chance to make improvements or changes in its quality control system on a timely basis. Oftentimes, the professionals know the strengths and weaknesses of their firm. However, time does not always permit the firm to focus on these areas and make necessary changes. An inspection allows the firm to allocate time at least once a year to focus on its strengths and weaknesses with the intent of improving the overall quality of the firm and the services it provides.

Appendix A

Checklist for Coordinating an Inspection Program

		Initial	Date
1.	Determine who will coordinate the inspection program for the firm.		
2.	Determine who will perform the inspection.		
3.	Establish the approach and timetable for performing the inspection procedures.		
4.	Determine forms and checklists to be used during the inspection and the extent of documentation required.		
5.	Make a selection of engagements for review.		
6.	Review administrative files for compliance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures.		
7.	Review the selected engagements.		
8.	Summarize the inspection findings and determine what corrective actions should be taken.		
9.	Prepare an inspection report covering the scope of the inspection, the inspection findings, and the recommended corrective actions.		
10.	Decide how long to retain detailed inspection working papers.		
11.	Review the recommended corrective actions and reach final conclusions on the actions to be taken.		
12.	Communicate the inspection findings and the planned corrective actions to the other members of the firm (if any).		
13.	Follow-up on planned corrective actions to determine whether the actions were taken as planned and whether they achieve the objective(s) for which they were planned.		

Appendix B

Program for Inspection of Compliance With Policies and Procedures Related to Specific Aspects of The Five Elements of Quality Control

Period Covered Findings, Including Extent of Testing Done By Independence 1. Identify a sample of situations in which independence questions arose during the period being inspected and consider whether the resolution of such questions appears appropriate. 2. Review the written independence confirmations obtained by the firm for a sample of professional personnel, if required by firm policy. Determine through review of each professional's written representation near the time of initial employment and at least annually thereafter that he or she 1) has read the firm's independence policies, 2) understands their applicability to his or her activities and those of his or her spouse and close relatives and 3) has complied with the requirements of the firm's independence policies since the prior certification. Determine by review of appropriate documentation and by discussions with selected staff that the firm has advised all professional personnel on a timely basis of entities to which the independence rules apply and that professional personnel are familiar with the firm's independence policies and procedures. 4. Review the documentation maintained for independence training as required by the firm's policies for a sample of professional personnel performing professional services for clients. 5. Identify a sample of the corrective steps taken and documentation maintained on apparent independence violations reported by professionals within the U.S. member firm and consider whether the corrective steps appear appropriate. Determine by a review of selected engagements whether fees were paid for the prior year's services prior to the issuance

of the current year's report.

			Findings, Including Extent of Testing	Done By
Co	nsu	ltation	, G	
1.	det Spe pro spe	spect the firm's library for its audit and accounting practice and termine whether it is sufficiently comprehensive and current. ecifically determine that the library includes recent producements and literature appropriate for the firm's ecialties and that loose-leaf services are filed on a timely basis.	ZE	
2.	tio	n the engagements reviewed, determine whether consultant took place and was documented in accordance with the m's policies.	1 4	
3.	wa rev	sufficient testing of consultation policies and procedures as not performed in 2 above, determine through inquiry or view of subject files whether consultations took place and ere correctly applied.		
Su	perv	vision		
1.	On	the engagements reviewed:		
	a.	Determine whether the technical materials (audit manuals, standardized forms, checklists, and questionnaires) that are required by firm policy were used.		
	b.	Evaluate whether the technical materials are sufficiently comprehensive and up-to-date.		
	с.	Determine whether the firm complied with its policies and procedures for the review of engagement working papers, reports and financial statements.		
	d.	Determine whether the firm's procedures for resolving differences of opinions among members of the engagement team were followed and are appropriate.		
Pro	ofess	sional Development		
1.		view the firm's CPE records on a test basis and consider nether:		
	a.	They appear adequate to demonstrate compliance with AICPA, state board, and state society requirements and whether they indicate that the firm's plans for CPE were carried out.		
	b.	Professional personnel have complied with the CPE requirements set forth in <i>Government Audit Standards</i> (if applicable).		

		Findings, Including	
c Professional n	ersonnel have complied with the Section's	Extent of Testing	Done By
	(if the firm is a member of either the PCPS		
Assigning Personnel t	to Engagements	CF	
	er staffing and scheduling requirements on a timely basis and approved by nnel.	N	
-	erviews with selected staff whether they nents they have received are appropriate.		
practitioner-in-cha attestation engager abilities (competen	nents selected for review, determine if the rge of those accounting, auditing or ments possessed the knowledge, skills and ncies), as determined by the firm, to allow their responsibilities related to those		
Hiring			
Determine by rev employees whethe	riewing personnel files of recently hired		
	nd information and other documentation m policy were obtained.		
	als possessed the desired attributes, and experience and, if not, why an made.		
Advancement			
	iewing personnel files whether personnel ed and promoted in accordance with the procedures.		
Acceptance and Contin	nuance of Clients		
continuance decision	ntation maintained for selected acceptance and ns and evaluate whether the firm is complying procedures and with professional standards.		
Inspection			
	er appropriate corrective actions were fective follow-up, with respect to the prior findings.		

Appendix C

Optional Program for Review of Compliance with the Division for CPA Firms' Membership Requirements

Period Covered

		SECTIO	Findings, Including Extent of Testing	Done By
I.	Re	quirements for Members of the PCPS and SECPS		
	1.	Determine whether each proprietor, shareholder, or partner of the firm resident in the United States and eligible for AICPA membership, is a member of the AICPA.		
	2.	Determine whether the firm filed its most recent annual report with the section.		
II.	Ad	Iditional Requirements for Members of SECPS		
	3.	Determine whether the firm has complied with the requirements for rotation of partners on SEC engagements [SECPS §1000.08(e)].		
	4.	Determine whether a concurring review was performed, prior to the issuance of any audit report on the financial statements of SEC clients, of the audit reports, financial statements and selected working papers by a partner qualified to do such review, who is other than the audit partner in charge of the engagement [SECPS §1000.08(f)].		
	5.	Determine whether the firm has refrained from performing those management advisory services that are proscribed by the Section [SECPS §1000.08(h)].		
	6.	Determine whether the firm maintains documentation in the working papers of its annual report to the audit committee or board of directors of each SEC audit client on the total fees received from the client for management advisory services during the year and a description of the types of services rendered [SECPS §1000.08(i)].		
	7.	Determine whether the firm has reported to the Quality Control Inquiry Committee on a timely basis litigation or other actions against it or its personnel in situations required by the Section [SECPS §1000.08(k)].		

		Findings, Including Extent of Testing	Done By
8.	Determine whether the firm communicated in writing on a timely basis to an SEC registrant and the Office of the Chief Accountant of the SEC when the client-auditor relationship with the SEC registrant has ceased [SECPS §1000.08(m)].	C E	
9.	Determine whether the firm has developed a statement of firm philosophy and communicated that statement to professional personnel on a periodic basis [SECPS §1000.08(1)].) N	
10.	Determine whether the firm has complied with the membership requirement for firms that are members of, correspondent with, or similarly associated with foreign associated firms that audit SEC registrants [SECPS §1000.08(n)].		

Appendix D

Sample Inspection Report

Inspection Period: From	ements and
Briefly describe the inspection program (including major considerations in selecting engage offices to be reviewed).	ements and
offices to be reviewed).	ements and
Coops of an accompants regulated.	=
Scope of engagements reviewed: Firm Totals* Engs. Review	ewed*
	o. of Engs.
Audit Reviews	
Compilations	
Other Accounting Services	
Total	
Percentage of A&A Practice Reviewed	%
Did the inspection disclose any situations that would require the firm to take action to prevent fur on a report issued by the firm or require the firm to perform additional auditing or review provide a basis for the report issued? If yes, describe the situation and the action taken by the firm	rocedures to
The inspection findings and the recommendations regarding actions taken for improvements are attached.	in the firm
Inspection Coordinator Signature Date	
Approved Date	

^{*} Approximate totals may be used.

Example 1 of the Application of the Inspection Guidelines*

Description of the Firm

Size of Firm 2 Partners

6 Professional staff other than the partners

1 Office

Background Each of the partners has 15 years of public accounting experience; the last 5 years

have been spent as partners.

Nature of Practice 8 Audits 1,500 hours

20 Reviews800 hours40 Compilations with disclosures600 hours90 Compilations omitting substantially all disclosures600 hours

Tax and management advisory service engagements make up the remainder of the

practice.

Industry

Concentrations The major concentrations are construction, not-for-profit organizations, and school

districts. The firm also has clients in: manufacturing, retail, and professional

services. The firm does no audits of SEC clients.

Environment

 While each partner has auditing and accounting clients, one partner performs the majority of the auditing and accounting engagements.

- On certain larger engagements, one partner will review the financial statements prepared in connection with the other partners' clients.
- The partner responsible for the 4 school district audits is responsible for ensuring that he and the primary staff on those audits have the necessary CPE under *Government Auditing Standards*.
- The firm periodically holds in-house CPE for the staff which is taught by one of the partners.

Inspection Procedures

Timing of Inspection

All inspection procedures will be performed during November since the 4 school district audits are not completed until September. This timing is believed to be the most effective and efficient. (The partners recognized that they could expand their preissuance review procedures if they so desired.)

Documentation Approach

The partners have agreed that the formal documentation approach should be adopted since the committees governing the AICPA practice-monitoring programs believe that the formal documentation approach is more effective. The formal approach will include the completion of:

^{*} This is an example of the application of the inspection guidance contained in this document. Practitioners should not assume that following this example or the other examples in this document will automatically satisfy the objectives of an inspection. Careful attention must be given to making sure that these objectives are achieved.

- Appendix B of this document when testing the applicable elements of quality control.
- The engagement review checklists used in performing quality reviews and peer reviews. The partners believe that these checklists act as good "memory joggers" for accounting issues that they encounter on an infrequent basis.

Summarization

After the sample of engagements is inspected and the applicable elements of quality control have been tested, the deficiencies will be summarized and the coordinating partner will evaluate what actions, if any, should be taken to prevent the recurrence of the deficiencies noted.

Reporting

After the inspection procedures are performed, the inspection report contained in Appendix D of this document will be completed.

Retention Policy

After the inspection report is finalized, no working papers, checklists, programs, or notes will be retained regarding the engagements reviewed or the findings on those engagements or the review of the quality control system.

Follow-Up

4 months after the inspection report is prepared and the planned corrective actions are identified, the coordinating partner will perform sufficient procedures to determine whether the corrective actions have been taken and whether they have achieved their objectives.

Example 2 of the Application of the Inspection Guidelines

Description of the Firm

Size of Firm Sole practitioner without staff.

Background The sole practitioner has 15 years of public accounting experience of which the last

5 have been spent as a sole practitioner.

Nature of Practice 2 Audits 300 hours

5 Reviews 200 hours 10 Compilations with disclosures 200 hours 44 Compilations omitting substantially all disclosures 220 hours

(generated by a computer)

(generated by a computer)

Tax and management advisory service engagements make up the remainder of the

practice.

Industry Concentrations

None. However, the firm does have clients in the following areas: manufacturing, wholesale distribution and professional services. The firm does no audits of SEC or governmental clients.

Environment

- The sole practitioner is a member of an informal group of sole practitioners that meets twice a month to discuss issues of common interest and concern (including accounting, auditing, tax, and management topics).
- The practitioner is active in state CPA society activities and frequently attends CPE sessions held by the society.
- The practitioner consults with others when unsure about the approach to be taken on an accounting, auditing, or tax issue.

Inspection Procedures

Timing of Inspection

The practitioner believes that he can be more efficient by performing an inspection of engagements immediately before he plans the next year's engagements.

Documentation Approach

A combination of the two approaches has been chosen as described below:

- Appendix B of this document will be used to test and document the review of the applicable elements of quality control. This review will be performed each November immediately before the practitioner's busy season.
- A preplanning engagement checklist has been developed that addresses the
 objectives of inspection. Inspection procedures will include a review of the
 report, financial statements, and working papers on last year's engagement to
 determine—

- a. The report and financial statements conform with applicable professional standards.
- b. The engagement was performed in accordance with applicable professional standards (statements on auditing standards, statements on accounting and review services, etc.).
- *c*. The engagement has been performed in accordance with the firm's policies and procedures.

Although comprehensive engagement review checklists—such as those used by peer reviewers—will not be completed, the practitioner plans to periodically make reference to those checklists while performing the inspection procedures.

Summarization

While any deficiencies noted on engagements are corrected when the next year's engagement is performed, summaries of the findings are kept in an Inspection Finding Folder. (The names of the clients are not retained on the summaries.) Each May and November, the practitioner summarizes the findings and evaluates what actions, if any, should be taken to prevent the recurrence of the deficiencies noted.

Reporting

In May and November, the inspection report contained in Appendix D of this document will be completed.

Retention Policy

After the inspection report is finalized, no working papers, checklists, programs, or notes will be retained regarding the engagements reviewed or the findings on those engagements or the review of the quality control system.

Follow-Up

5 months after the inspection report is prepared and the planned corrective actions are identified, the practitioner will perform sufficient procedures to determine whether the corrective actions indicated in the inspection report have been taken and whether they have achieved their objectives.

Example 3 of the Application of the Inspection Guidelines

Description of the Firm

Size of Firm Sole practitioner with 1 part-time professional staff person.

Background The sole practitioner has 25 years of public accounting experience of which the last

15 have been spent as a sole practitioner.

Nature of Practice 2 Audits 250 hours

2 Reviews 75 hours 2 Compilations with disclosures 40 hours

11 Compilations omitting substantially all disclosures 60 hours

Tax and management advisory service engagements make up the remainder of the

practice.

Industry

Concentrations

None. However, the firm does have clients in the following areas: manufacturing, construction, and not-for-profit organizations. The firm does no audits of SEC or governmental clients.

Environment

- The practitioner takes various continuing professional education (CPE) courses
 offered by the state CPA society, primarily in the tax area; the practitioner takes
 very few CPE courses on accounting or auditing topics except for an annual
 auditing and accounting update course.
- The practitioner takes a majority of his courses in a self-study format.
- The practitioner rarely finds the need to consult with individuals outside his firm on accounting or auditing issues.

Inspection Procedures

Timing of Inspection

Because of the practitioner's focus on tax practice, he believes that more can be gained by performing a detailed review of engagements at one time during the year; this also will allow him to more readily focus on the objectives of an inspection. The practitioner believes that he can perform his own inspection since his auditing and accounting practice is not very complex. However, he recognizes that he could use someone from outside the firm (perhaps on a reciprocal basis) if he so desires.

Documentation Approach

Due to the practitioner's limited accounting and auditing related CPE, the formal approach will be chosen as described below:

- Appendix B of this document will be used to test and document the review of the applicable elements of quality control. This review will be performed each July.
- The engagement review checklists used in performing peer reviews will be completed to document the review of the selected engagements.

Summarization

After the sample of engagements is inspected, the deficiencies will be summarized and the practitioner will evaluate what actions, if any, should be taken to prevent the recurrence of the deficiencies noted.

Reporting

After the inspection procedures are performed, the inspection report contained in Appendix D of this document will be completed.

Retention Policy

After the inspection report is finalized, no working papers, checklists, programs, or notes will be retained regarding the engagements reviewed or the findings on those engagements or the review of the quality control system.

Follow-Up

6 months after the inspection report is prepared and the planned corrective actions are identified, the practitioner will perform sufficient procedures to determine whether the corrective actions have been taken and whether they have achieved their objectives.

Exhibit 1 Sample Completed Inspection Report

Inspection Period: From	October 1, 19	XX	to	September	30, 19X1
	Smith A	CI	ICE		
Timing of Inspection: Nov	SEC		ON		
Briefly describe the inspec	tion program (includ	ding major	considerations in	selecting en	gagements and
offices to be reviewed). Ap					
with our quality control po	olicies and procedure	es and the e	engagement review	checklists c	ontained in the
SEC Practice Section Peer					
representative sample of en					
The engagements covered of					
construction). Appendix C		forming Ins	pections was used	to test comp	oliance with the
SEC Practice Section member	ership requirements.				
Scope of engagements revie	wed:	Firm	Totals*	Engs. I	Reviewed*
1 00	_	Hrs.	No. of Engs.	Hrs.	No. of Engs.
Audit	_	1500	8	350	2
Reviews		800	20	80	2
Compilations		1200	130	80	4
Other Accounting Services		C	C	C	C
Total	_	3500	158	510	8
Percentage of A&A Practice	Reviewed	 -		14.6 %	5%
Did the inspection disclose a on a report issued by the fi provide a basis for the report the firm. In error, a manager now been obtained.	rm or require the first issued? Yes X_,	m to perfor No If y	m additional auditives, describe the situ	ing or review ation and the	v procedures to action taken by
The inspection findings and are attached. SEE ATTACH		ons regardii	ng actions taken fo	r improvem	ents in the firm
Inspection Coordinator Sign	nature			Date	
Approved				Date	

Approximate totals may be used.

Inspection Findings and Recommendations

<u>Finding</u>—On some of the engagements reviewed, we noted a few disclosure deficiencies that would have been caught if the firm had required the completion of a comprehensive reporting and disclosure checklist.

<u>Recommendation</u>—The firm should adopt a policy requiring that a comprehensive reporting and disclosure checklist be completed on all engagements on which the firm reports on year-end financial statements.

<u>Finding</u>—On several engagements reviewed, we noted that the working papers did not document the extent of testing of related party transactions and review of subsequent events. However, we are satisfied that the necessary procedures were performed on each engagement.

<u>Recommendation</u>—The firm should expand its standard audit program to include procedures for testing related party transactions and reviewing subsequent events.

<u>Finding</u>—On one audit engagement, the firm failed to obtain a management representation letter even though such letters are required under auditing standards. Our testing was expanded to cover all of the firm's audit clients to ensure that this was an isolated occurrence.

<u>Recommendation</u>—The firm should develop a final report routing sheet that documents all procedures that have not been performed at the time that a report is submitted for typing. The firm should establish procedures to ensure that all of the procedures outlined on the routing sheet are performed before the report is issued.

<u>Finding</u>—While the firm circularizes independence confirmations among its staff on an annual basis, two individuals failed to sign the confirmations.

<u>Recommendation</u>—The partner-in-charge of obtaining the independence confirmations should monitor receipt of the confirmations and report to the other partners when they have all been returned.

20,001

Section 20,000

Peer Review Engagement Checklists

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This section has been updated to reflect the revised AICPA *Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews* (the Standards). Since the Standards are effective for peer reviews commencing on or after January 1, 2001, with early implementation NOT ALLOWED, these materials should be filed at or shortly before the effective date of the Standards, but should NOT BE FILED earlier than that time. However, it is recommended that you read these materials as soon as possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Parag	raph
20,100	Instructions for Use of Peer Review Engagement Checklists in the SEC Practice Section and AICPA Peer Review Programs	
	General	03
	Engagement Checklists	l06
	Techniques for Review of Engagements	'- .13
20,200	General Compilation Engagement Checklist	
20,300	General Review Engagement Checklist	
20,400	General Audit Engagement Checklist	
20,500	Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist	
20,600	Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist	
20,700	Employee Benefit Plan Audit Engagement Checklist	
20,800	Prospective Financial Statement Engagement Checklist	
20,900	Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagement Checklist	
21,000	Other Attestation Engagement Checklist	

[The next page is 20,101.]



Section 20,100

Instructions for Use of Peer Review Engagement Checklists in the SEC Practice Section and AICPA Peer Review Programs

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This section has been updated to reflect the revised AICPA *Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews* (the Standards). Since the Standards are effective for peer reviews commencing on or after January 1, 2001, with early implementation NOT ALLOWED, these materials should be filed at or shortly before the effective date of the Standards, but should NOT BE FILED earlier than that time. However, it is recommended that you read these materials as soon as possible.

General

- .01 The review of engagements should include the review of financial statements, accountants' reports, working paper files, and correspondence, as well as discussions with professional personnel of the reviewed firm.
- .02 The review of audit engagements should ordinarily include all key areas of the engagements selected to determine whether well-planned, appropriately executed, and suitably documented procedures were performed in accordance with professional standards and the reviewed firm's quality control policies and procedures.
- .03 Since in most cases the engagement personnel will not be responsible for establishing firm policies, the reviewer should not challenge firm policies in discussions with engagement personnel. If any questions or observations regarding the appropriateness of the firm's policies and procedures develop as a result of the engagement reviews, these matters should be discussed with the team captain.

Peer Review Engagement Checklists

.04 The following checklists have been developed for use by review teams performing system reviews (for engagement and report reviews performed under the AICPA Peer Review Program see Sections 23,000 and 24,000 in the AICPA Peer Review Program Manual) and are contained in this section of the manual:

a. General Compilation Engagement Checklist¹ (Section 20,200)
 b. General Review Engagement Checklist (Section 20,300)
 c. General Audit Engagement Checklist (Section 20,400)
 d. Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist (Section 20,500)

¹ The General Compilation Engagement Checklist includes an additional section on Compilation Engagements That Omit Substantially All Disclosures.

e.	Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist	(Section 20,600)
f.	Employee Benefit Plan Engagement Checklist	(Section 20,700)
g.	Prospective Financial Statement Engagement Checklist	(Section 20,800)
h.	Agreed Upon Procedures Engagement Checklist	(Section 20,900)
i.	Other Attestation Engagement Checklist	(Section 21,000)
j.	Engagement Checklist Supplements	(Section 22,000)

.05 The general peer review engagement checklists were developed for use in reviewing engagement of "for-profit" companies. They will require extensive modification or supplementation for engagements involving companies in certain specialized industries. Reviewers may wish to refer to the specialized industry disclosure checklists and illustrative financial statements published by the AICPA.

.06 The peer review engagement checklists (which can be used for most engagements on system reviews) contain the following sections²—

- a. The first section of each checklist contains questions on the accountant's report and the related financial statements and footnotes. This section of the checklist ordinarily would be completed for each engagement reviewed. However, on peer reviews of firms that have their own report and financial statement disclosure checklist that is completed by the firm's personnel and filed with the engagement working papers, this section may not have to be completed for each engagement. In such situations, the comprehensiveness of the firm's checklist and the appropriateness of its use on specific engagements should be tested by the review team. Any disclosure or reporting deficiencies identified by the reviewer should be noted in the comments section of the engagement checklist or on a "Matter for Further Consideration" (MFC) form.
- b. The second section of the checklist contains questions concerning planning and other general procedures that normally should be performed for the applicable level of service (e.g., audit, review, or compilation). This section should be completed for each engagement reviewed.
- c. The third section included in the general audit engagement checklist contains questions relating to specific audit areas. It is not ordinarily necessary to answer all parts of this section because of the emphasis on key audit areas. (See paragraph .08 below for a discussion of the "key audit area" approach.)
- d. The fourth section of the checklist for review of audit engagements and the third section of the checklists for review of review and compilation engagements contain questions concerning the five quality control areas. These questions are based on the typical policies and procedures that might be established by a firm. All the policies and procedures included in these questions will not have been adopted by all firms. Therefore, the team captain should determine, before reviews of engagements are conducted, if modifications to the checklists are necessary to fit the policies and procedures adopted by the reviewed firm. For example, a number of the questions are not applicable to sole practitioners without full-time professional staff.

Techniques for Review of Engagements

.07 Background information about an engagement should be obtained by discussion with the engagement partner and by reading the engagement profile sheet (which should be completed by the reviewed firm prior to commencement of the review of the engagement), the primary financial statements and any program sections, memoranda or other working papers describing the company and its business, the firm's audit approach and problem areas.

² The Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist includes all of the sections discussed herein, as well as an additional section on compliance with the requirements of the Single Audit Act of 1984.

- .08 Most reviewers find it effective to perform the review—that is, read the financial statements and the related report, review the "top files," applicable sections of the audit programs, correspondence files, consolidating working papers and other "key audit area" working papers—and then complete the peer review engagement checklist. Any unanswered questions on the checklist are then completed by additional reference to the engagement files.
- **.09** The depth of review of working papers for particular engagements is left to the judgment of the reviewers; however, the review should ordinarily include all the key areas of an engagement. A page-by-page review of all working papers is *not* necessary. Points to be considered in determining the key areas include
 - a. Key areas in the client's industry (e.g., revenue recognition for construction companies; inventories and accounts receivable for manufacturing and retail concerns; or loan loss allowances for financial institutions).
 - b. Key areas noted during the review of the financial statements and discussions with engagement personnel (e.g., review of loan defaults or follow-up of litigation matters).
 - c. Key areas identified by the firm in planning or conducting the engagement.
 - d. Recent accounting and auditing developments and pronouncements.
 - e. Weaknesses noted in other engagements reviewed.
 - f. Weaknesses noted by the firm during its inspection program.
 - g. Weaknesses noted in the last review of the firm's quality control system.
- .10 Ordinarily, in applying the "key area" concept, all key areas should be reviewed. However, to keep time requirements within reasonable limits, reviewers may decide not to review all key areas of a specific engagement. In such cases, the reviewer should document in the working papers the reasons why all key areas were not reviewed. The reviewer should also exercise judgment in determining how many accounting and auditing hours to claim with respect to the engagement.
- .11 For each engagement reviewed (audits, reviews, and compilations), the *Standards* require the review team to document whether anything came to its attention that caused it to believe that
 - a. The financial statements were not presented in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (or, if applicable, another comprehensive basis of accounting).
 - b. The firm did not have a reasonable basis under applicable professional standards for the report issued.
 - c. The documentation on the engagement did not support the report issued.
 - d. The firm did not comply with its quality control policies and procedures in all material respects.
- .12 If the review team answers "yes" with respect to items *a., b., c.,* or *d.* above, the team captain should promptly inform an appropriate member of the reviewed firm (generally on a "Matter for Further Consideration" form). The reviewed firm should investigate the matter questioned by the review team and determine what action, if any, should be taken. The reviewed firm should advise the team captain of the results of its investigation and document the actions taken or planned or its reasons for concluding that no action is required. If the reviewed firm believes that it can continue to support its previously issued report and the review team continues to believe that there may be a significant failure to reach appropriate conclusions in the application of professional standards, the review team should pursue any remaining questions with the reviewed firm and, if necessary, with the entity administering the review. The review team should also consider expanding the scope of the review by selecting additional engagements to determine the extent and cause of significant departures from professional standards.

- .13 In evaluating the reviewed firm's response, the review team should recognize that it has not made an examination of the financial statements in question in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and that it has not had the benefit of access to the client's records, discussions with the client, or specific knowledge of the client's business. Nevertheless, a disagreement on the resolution of the matter may persist in some circumstances and the reviewed firm should be aware that it may be requested by either
 - a. The state CPA society administering the review to refer unresolved matters to the AICPA Peer Review Board for a final determination.
 - b. Or the SECPS Peer Review Committee, or its designated representative, for a resolution.

[*The next page is* 20,201.]

Section 20,200

General Compilation Engagement Checklist

Engagement Code No. Owner/Partner Manager Senior Office	Date of Financial Statements* Date of Report (engagement letter if no report was issued) Date Report/Financial Statement Released
This engagement involves reporting (or compiling 1. () balance sheet	g without a report) on a: () complete set of financial statements () financial statements without statement of cash flows
2. that (include) (omit) substantially all required of that (include) (do not include) supplementary in the financial statements are for an entity that is a (include) independent entity	information. (an): () subsidiary, division or branch
() consolidated or combined group The financial statements cover an (annual) (interin Date that the fee for the prior engagement was pai	
Key data reported on by this office for this engage: Total assets \$	
Number of years assigned to this job	Owner Manager or or Partner equivalent
Number of years in current position on the job Date Engagement Review Performed	Date Checklist Reviewed by Team Captain
Reviewer	Signature

^{*} To determine the applicability of all cross-referenced pronouncements, consider their effective dates.

Checklist for Review of a Compilation of Financial Statements Contents

Section	n	Pago
I.	Report and Financial Statements	
	Accountants' Report	20,203
	Financial Statements and Notes	20,203
II.	General Procedures	20,211
III.	Functional Areas	
	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity	20,213
	Personnel Management	20,213
	Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements	20,214
	Engagement Performance	20,214
IV.	Questions for Use on Engagements Involving Financial Statements That Omit Substantially All Disclosures	
	Accountants' Report	20,215
	Financial Statements	20,215
V.	Questions for Use on Compilation Engagements Performed under SSARS No. 8 Where	
	No Report is issued	20,219
VI.	Explanation of "No" Answers and Other Comments	20,220
VII.	Conclusions	20,222

Note: This checklist has been updated through SSARS No. 8, SFAS No. 142, FASB Interpretation No. 44 and SOP 01-2 and SQCS No. 5.

Explanation of References

AC	Reference to section number in FASB Accounting Standards Current Text
AR	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
AU	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)
EITF	Emerging Issues Task Force pronouncement
ET	Code of Professional Conduct in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
FAS	Reference to Financial Accounting Standards Board pronouncement
QC	Quality Control Standards in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
SOP	AICPA Statement of Position [included in the AICPA Technical Practice Aids (TPA)]
SSARS	Reference to Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note:	This is a highly summarized checklist. Reviewers may wish to co for detailed information about the requirements. All "no" answ		•			
	If the firm has used its own report and financial statement disc it may be reviewed in lieu of completing this checklist provid the firm's checklist is current, comprehensive, and appropria checklist is used, please provide a copy in lieu of this checklist	ed the re te for th	viewer	has det	termine	d that
	For all compilation engagements performed under SSARS No. III and V should be completed in lieu of Sections I and II.	8 where	no repo	rt is iss	ued, Se	ctions
	For all engagements, except as noted above, involving financia and which omit substantially all disclosures, Section IV shou				-	
		Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.*
Accou	untants' Report					
	the accountant's report contain all of the elements required by ssional standards and appropriate language for the following:					
	ting of the report in conformity with the requirements of profesnal standards? (AR Sec. 100.12)	C101				
De	partures from the basis of accounting used? (AR Sec. 100.40–.42)	C102				
	verage of all periods for which financial statements are preted? (AR Sec. 200.02)	C103				
sen oth	sclosure of the basis of accounting for financial statements pre- ated in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting the than GAAP if the financial statements do not disclose this formation? (AR Secs. 100.17 and 9100.41–.45)	C104				
res fina	e degree of responsibility, if any, the accountant is taking with pect to supplementary information accompanying the basic ancial statements? (AR Sec. 100.44, for CIRAs, see SOP 93-5 [TPA to 11,270] and the Supplemental CIRA Checklist)	C105				
	ference to the accountant's compilation report on each page of financial statements? (AR Sec. 100.13)	C106				
All	other respects?	C107				
Finan	cial Statements and Notes					
	General					
Are th	ne financial statements suitably titled? (AR Sec. 9100.42)	C108				
	e financial statements appear to be appropriate in form and free terial error? (AR Sec. 100.10)	C109				
Is the	accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Sig	nificant accounting policies? [AC Sec. A10.105–.108, for an other					

comprehensive basis of accounting (OCBOA) AU Sec. 623.02-.04]

Accounting changes? (AC Sec. A06)

C110

C111

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Comparative financial statements? (AC Sec. F43)	C112				
Business combinations? (AC Sec. B50)	C113				
For business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, or for those accounted for using the purchase method on or after July 1, 2001, except for non-profit organizations and mutual enterprises, have the applicable accounting and disclosure provisions of FAS No. 141 been complied with?	C114				
Are all majority-owned subsidiaries consolidated in the financial statements, unless consolidation is specifically not required by professional standards? (AC Sec. C51.102 and .103)	C115				
If an individual or entity controls a group of related entities, did the accountant consider whether combined financial statements may be more meaningful? (AC Sec. C51.121–.122)	C116				
For financial instruments, is information about concentrations of credit risk and fair value (including the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value) disclosed? (AC Sec. F25.105G, .115A115K and .116A116D)	C117				
For derivative instruments and hedging activities, have the applicable acounting and disclosure provisions of FAS No. 133, as amended, been complied with? (AC Sec. D50)	C118				
Effective June 30, 2000, for companies that have not adopted FAS No. 133 for derivative financial instruments and hedging activities, the company must adopt FAS No. 138 concurrently with FAS No. 133. Therefore, in conjunction with the adoption of FAS No. 133, have derivative financial instruments and hedging activities been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with FAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities (an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133)?	C119				
Exemption from certain required disclosures about financial instruments for certain non-public entities? (AC Sec. F25.105J105L)	C120				
Are required disclosures made concerning related-party transactions? (AC Sec. R36)	C121				
Are required disclosures about risks and uncertainties made regarding the nature of operations, the use of estimates, certain significant estimates, and current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations? (SOP 94-6 [TPA Sec. 10,640])	C122				
Have transfers of assets to a not-for-profit organization or charitable trust that raises or holds contributions for others been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with FAS No. 136?	C123				
Are foreign currency transactions and translation of financial statements denominated in a foreign currency properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. F60)	C124				
Are insurance and reinsurance contracts that do not transfer insurance risk properly accounted and disclosed under SOP 98-7? (TPA Sec. 10,760)	C125				
Are foreign operations and export sales adequately disclosed? (AC Sec. F65)	C126				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	Ref.
Are nonmonetary transactions properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Secs. C11.102 and N35)	C127				
With respect to contingencies and commitments:					
Are loss contingencies accrued and/or disclosed as appropriate? (AC Sec. C59.104–.116 and .120)	C128				
Are other contingencies and commitments adequately disclosed, including environmental remediation related matters? (AC Secs. C32.102–.105, C59.116–.120, and SOP 96-1 pars .142–.173 [TPA Sec. 10,680.142–.173])	C129				
Are the financial statements adjusted, where appropriate, for the effect of subsequent events and do they include disclosure of significant subsequent events, whether or not adjustments were made? (AC Sec. C59.105 and .115)	C130				
Are the financial statements adjusted where appropriate, and do they disclose uncertainties regarding the entity's ability to continue as a going concern? (AR Sec. 100.40 fn 18 and AU Sec. 341.10 and .11)	C131				
Are defined benefit pension plans properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P16.150, .150A and .187)	C132				
Are all other pension plans properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P16)	C133				
Are postretirement plans other than pensions properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P40.169, .169A, .172–.173, .178 and .179)	C134				
Are postemployment benefits (after employment but before retirement) properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Secs. P32 and P40)	C135				
Have defined contribution pension plans been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with SOP 99-3 [TPA Sec. 10,790]?	C136				
If the entity is or has been a "development stage enterprise," are adequate disclosures made? (AC Sec. De4.105–.109)	C137				
Do the financial statements, where required, include appropriate disclosures of employee stock ownership plans (ESOPs)? (SOP 93-6 [TPA Sec. 10,580])	C138				
Are adjustments of financial statements for prior periods appropriate? (AC Sec. A35)	C139				
If a quasi-reorganization or corporate readjustment has occurred, has the proper accounting been applied and is the new retained earnings account dated? (AC Sec. Q15)	C140				
Balance Sheet					
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Segregation of assets and liabilities, if applicable, into current and noncurrent classifications? (AC Sec. B05)	C141				
Valuation allowances? (AC Sec. V18)	C142				
Restricted cash, including compensating balances? (AC Secs. B05.107 and C59.120)	C143				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Classification of debt and equity securities as held-to-maturity (debt securities only), trading, or available-for-sale, and presentation of unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities in a separate component of shareholders' equity? (AC Sec. I80.103–.110)	C144				
Accounts and Notes Receivable: Loans and related origination fees? (AC Sec. L20.104–.106 and .120)	C145				
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. I69.109)	C146				
Effects of troubled debt restructurings? (AC Secs. D22.136–.137 and I08)	C147				
Other receivables?	C148				
Are the recorded investments in loans for which impairment has been recognized, the total allowance for credit losses related to those impaired loans, the activity in the allowance for credit losses account, and creditor's income recognition policy disclosed? (AC Sec. I08.118 and .118A)	C149				
Inventories? (AC Sec. B05.105, I78 and for interim financial reporting AC Sec. I73.107 and .109)	C150				
Investments accounted for under the equity method? (AC Sec. I82.109–.110)	C151				
Property and equipment, including accounting for the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and long-lived assets to be disposed of, assets of discontinued operations, and capitalized interest? (AC Secs. D40.105, I08.122–.138, I13.108 and I67)	C152				
Sales-type, direct financing, leveraged, and operating leases of lessors? (AC Sec. L10.119 and .143–.149)	C153				
Other assets, including intangible assets, unamortized computer software costs, deferred tax assets and deferred charges, and their impairment, if applicable? (AC Secs. I08, I27.140–.143, I60, Co2.102–.110, and I27.140–.142; SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720])	C154				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired before July 1, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, does the company's accounting and reporting comply with FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	C155				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), does the company apply the amortization and non-amortization requirements of FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	C156				
Pledged assets? (AC Sec. C59.120)	C157				
Related assets and liabilities? [These may be offset in the balance sheet only when all of the following conditions are met: (1) each party owes the other determinable amounts, (2) the reporting party has the right to set off, (3) the reporting party intends to set off, and (4) the right to set off is enforceable at law.] (AC Sec. B10)	C158				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Current liabilities? (AC Sec. B05.108–.109, .109A and .118)	C159				
Short-term liabilities expected to be refinanced? (AC Sec. B05.110–.118, .138 and .139)	C160				
Notes payable and other debt:					
Maturities, rates, and sinking fund requirements for the next five years? (AC Sec. C32.104 and .105)	C161				
Other terms and covenants? (AC Sec. C59.120 and SOP 97-1 [TPA Sec. 10,690])	C162				
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. 169)	C163				
Effect of troubled debt restructurings? (AC Sec. D22.121122)	C164				
Effect of early extinguishment of debt? (AC Sec. I17.104)	C165				
Capital leases of lessees? (AC Sec. L10.106, .107 and .112)	C166				
Other liabilities and deferred credits, including classification of deferred tax liabilities, employees' compensation for future absences, special termination benefits to employees, and deferred revenue? (AC Secs. C44.104–.108, I27.140, and SOP 96-1, Chs. 5–7 [TPA Sec. 10,680])	C167				
Capital stock (number of shares authorized, issued, and outstanding, par or stated value per share, rights and preferences of various classes)? (AC Secs. C16 and C24)	C168				
Treasury stock? (AC Sec. C23)	C169				
Stock option and stock purchase plans? (AC Sec. C47)	C170				
Does the company's accounting for compensatory and noncompensatory stock compensation comply with Interpretation No. 44 with respect to:					
The definition of employee for purposes of applying Opinion No. 25?	C171				
The criteria for determining whether a plan qualifies as a non-compensatory plan?	C172				
The accounting consequence of various modifications to the terms of a previously fixed stock option or award? and	C173				
The accounting for an exchange of stock compensation awards in a business combination?	C174				
Stock subscriptions receivable? (EITF 85-1)	C175				
Retained earnings, including appropriations thereof and restrictions on dividends? (AC Secs. C59.120 and R70.103)	C176				
Changes in stockholders' equity? (AC Secs. Co8.102, .102; A35.103, .105–.107; and C49.106–.108)	C177				
Redemption requirements on capital stock for the next five years? (AC Secs. C24.106 and C32.105; for redemption requirements outside of the issuer's control, e.g., mandatory redeemable preferred stock, TPA Sec. 4110.08)	C178				
Income Statement					
Are the important components of the income statement separately disclosed?	C179				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Method of income recognition, for example: long-term contracts and real estate transactions? (AC Secs. R10, Co4.110, Co5 and FAS Inter. No. 43)	C180				
Realized gains and losses and unrealized holding gains and losses for changes in fair value and transfers between categories of investments and losses? (AC Sec. I80)	C181				
Application of the equity method? (AC Sec. I82.109)	C182				
Advertising costs? (SOP 93-7 [TPA Sec. 10,590])	C183				
Research and development costs? (AC Sec. R50)	C184				
Costs of start-up activities? (SOP 98-5 [TPA Sec. 10,750])	C185				
Computer software costs? (AC Sec. Co2.110 and SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720])	C186				
Capitalization of interest costs? (AC Sec. I67)	C187				
Discount or premium on notes receivable or payable? (AC Sec. I69.108–.109)	C188				
Recognition of impairment of long-lived assets? (AC Sec. I08.122138)	C189				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired before July 1, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, does the company's accounting and reporting comply with FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	C190				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), does the company apply the amortization and non-amortization requirements of FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	C191				
Depreciation? (AC Sec. D40)	C192				
Compensatory stock issuance plans? (AC Sec. C47)	C193				
Does the company's accounting for compensatory and noncompensatory stock compensation comply with Interpretation No. 44 with respect to:					
The definition of employee for purposes of applying Opinion No. 25?	C194				
The criteria for determining whether a plan qualifies as a non- compensatory plan?	C195				
The accounting consequence of various modifications to the terms of a previously fixed stock option or award? and	C196				
The accounting for an exchange of stock compensation awards in a business combination?	C197				
Deferred compensation agreements? (AC Sec. C38)	C198				
Sales transactions in which the buyer has the right to return the product? (AC Sec. R75.107–.109)	C199				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Product financing arrangement? (AC Sec. D18.106–.107)	C200				
Operating leases and rent expense of lessees? (AC Sec. L10.111112)	C201				
Software revenue recognition? (SOP 97-2 [TPA Sec. 10,700], SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720], SOP 98-4 [TPA Sec. 10,740], and SOP 98-9 [TPA Sec. 10,770])	C202				
Income Taxes:	C202				
The types of temporary differences and carryforwards that result in a deferred tax liability or asset? (AC Sec. I27.140–.143)	C203				
Significant components of income tax expense, including the current tax expense or benefit, deferred tax expense or benefit, investment tax credits, government grants that reduce income tax expense, the benefits of operating loss carryforwards, and adjustments due to changes in tax laws, rates, and tax status? (AC Secs. I27.144 and C49.118–.119)	C204				
Components of net deferred tax liability or asset including, if present, the total of all deferred tax liabilities, total of all deferred tax assets, the total valuation allowance, and the net change in the valuation allowance? (AC Sec. I27.142 and .143)	C205				
Significant reconciling items between GAAP income tax expense or benefit attributable to continuing operations and the amount of income tax expense that would result from applying the federal statutory rates to pre-tax income loss from continuing operations? (A nonpublic company needs to disclose the nature of such items; a public company also needs to include a reconciliation using percents or amounts (AC Sec. I27.146)	C206				
Amounts and expiration dates of operating loss and tax credit carryforwards for tax purposes? (AC Sec. I27.147)	C207				
Discontinued operations? (AC Sec. 113)	C208				
Extraordinary items? (AC Sec. I17)	C209				
Unusual or infrequent items, but not both? (AC Sec. I22)	C210				
If the enterprise has both net income and other comprehensive income, are total comprehensive income and the components of other comprehensive income displayed appropriately in the financial statements? (AC Sec. C49.108–.120)	C211				
Statement of Cash Flows					
Is a statement of cash flows presented for each period for which results of operations are provided (or is the report appropriately modified)? (AC Sec. C25.101)	C212				
Does it properly report cash provided or used by investing, financing, and operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.112–.124)	C213				
Does it report the net effect of cash flows on cash and cash equivalents during the period in a manner that reconciles beginning and ending cash and cash equivalents, and do the amounts of cash and cash equivalents agree with the amounts on the balance sheet? (AC Sec. C25.124)	C214				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Does it provide a reconciliation between net income and net cash flow from operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.127)	C215				
Are noncash investing and financing activities disclosed? (AC Sec. C25.134)	C216				
If the indirect method of reporting net cash flows from operating activities was used, were the amounts of interest and income taxes paid disclosed? (AC Sec. C25.127)	E C217				
Are cash equivalents limited to short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash and of an original maturity of three months or less, and is the enterprise's policy for determining which items are treated as cash equivalents dis-					
closed? (AC Sec. C25.106–.108)	C218				
Are the components of the cash flow statement shown at "gross" and not "net" amounts? (AC Sec. C25.109–.111A)	C219				
Are cash flows from purchases, sales, and maturities of available-for- sale securities and held-to-maturity securities classified as cash flows from investing activities and reported gross for each security classifi- cation and are cash flows from purchases, sales, and maturities of trading securities classified as cash flows from operating activities?					
(AC Sec. I80.117)	C220				
Other					
If the industry in which the client is operating is covered by an AICPA audit and accounting guide, are the suggested format, statements, and disclosures consistent with the guide?	C221				
Summary					
Does it appear that disclosures in the financial statements are reasonably adequate?	C222				

II. GENERAL PROCEDURES

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of engagement files, if any, was an understanding with the client established as to the services to be performed? (Professional standards require the accountant to establish an understanding with the entity, preferably, though not required to be, in writing.) (AR Sec. 100.05)	C223				
If the engagement is to compile financial statements not expected to be used by a third party, has the accountant provided written communication describing the nature and limitations of the service to be performed and a description of the report, if a report is necessary? (AR Sec. 100.05)	C224				
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of engagement files, if any, did it appear that the accountant possessed a level of knowledge about the accounting principles and practices of the industry in which the entity operates and possessed a general understanding about the entity's business transactions, the form of its accounting records, the stated qualifications of its accounting personnel, the accounting basis on which the financial statements are to be presented, and the form and content of the financial statements? (AR Sec. 100.07–.08)	C225				
If the engagement was originally intended to be an audit or review, rather than a compilation, did the accountant consider (AR Sec. 100.45–.50):					
The reason given for the client's request, particularly the implica- tions of a restriction on the scope of the audit or review, whether imposed by the client or by circumstances?	C226				
The additional effort required to complete the audit or review?	C227				
The estimated additional cost to complete the audit or review?	C228				
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of the engagement files, if any, does it appear that the accountant read the compiled financial statements and consider whether such financial statements appeared to be appropriate in form and free of obvious material errors? (AR Sec. 100.10)	C229				
If the accountant became aware that information supplied by the entity was incorrect, incomplete, or otherwise unsatisfactory for the purpose of compiling financial statements, did the accountant obtain additional or revised information? (AR Sec. 100.09)	C230				
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of engagement files, if any, have all questions, exceptions or notes posed during the work been followed up and resolved?	C231				
If the accountant had become aware that information supplied by the entity was incorrect, incomplete or otherwise unsatisfactory subsequent to the date of the report, did the accountant consider the					

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
guidance in professional standards in determining an appropriate					
course of action, and does the matter appear to be properly resolved?					
(AR Sec. 100.43)	C232				
If comparative financial statements have been presented and the					
accountant's report on the prior period financial statements contains					
a changed reference to a departure from generally accepted account-					
ing principles, does the current report have an explanatory paragraph					
indicating the date of the previous report, circumstances or events that	TI				
caused the reference to be changed, and, if applicable, that the finan-					
cial statements of the prior period have been changed? (AR Sec.	T 4				
200.13–.15)	C233				

III. FUNCTIONAL AREAS[†]

	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	<u>Ref.**</u>
Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (QC Sec. 20.09 and .10)					
If anything has been noted that may indicate a lack of independence, integrity, and objectivity, was the matter identified and appropriately resolved by the firm and its effects appropriately considered? If the accountant was not independent, was the report appropriately modified? (ET Sec. 101 and AR Sec. 100.19)	E C301				
Were the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services paid prior to issuance of the report for the current engagement? (ET Sec. 191.103 and .104)	C302				
Personnel Management (QC Sec. 20.11–.13) (These steps may not be necessary for recurring compilation engagements with no unusual complexity.)					
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of engagement files, if any, were scheduling and staffing requirements identified on a timely basis and approved by appropriate personnel?	C303				
Does it appear engagement personnel possessed an appropriate mix of experience or expertise and technical training in relation to the complexity or other requirements of the engagement and the involve- ment of supervisory personnel?	C304				
Does it appear that the practitioner in charge of the engagement possessed the following knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) to fulfill their responsibilities on the engagement:					
An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's <i>Code of Professional Conduct</i> ?	C305				
An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement?	C306				
An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?	C307				
An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates?	C308				
Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?	C309				
An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?	C310				

[†] The AICPA's *Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* provides guidance on how to develop and implement a system of quality control for a firm's accounting and auditing practice in compliance with the requirements of Statements on Quality Control Standards Nos. 2, *System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* (QC Sec. 20), as amended, 3, *Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* (QC Sec. 30), and 5, *The Personnel Management Element of a Firm's System of Quality Control—Competencies Required by a Practitioner-in-Charge of an Attest Engagement* (QC Sec. 40).

 $^{^{\}ast}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Does it appear that the practitioner-in-charge of the engagement possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to fulfill his/her responsibilities on the engagement as noted in par. 8 of QC Sec. 40?	C311				
	0311				
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of engagement files, if any, did the personnel assigned to this engagement appear to be familiar with the applicable professional pronouncements (FASB, AICPA, etc.)?	C312				
FRACIIC					
Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements (QC Sec. 20.14–.16)	N				
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of engagement files, if any, does it appear that the firm's guidelines for acceptance and continuance of client relationships, including performing specific					
engagements for the client, were complied with?	C313				
Engagement Performance (QC Sec. 20.17–.19)					
Were the firm's guidelines for engagement performance (such as the form and content of working papers) complied with if the firm has such guidelines?	C314				
	6311				
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of engagement files, if any, was there appropriate consultation:					
In situations specified by firm policy?	C315				
Where the complexity or unusual nature of the issue warranted it?	C316				
Were any circumstances noted where the firm consulted or should have consulted regarding an engagement matter (i.e., a complex, unusual, or a technical issue) with individuals within the firm, an external party or by researching in applicable professional literature, based on the firm's policies and procedures or where the complexity or nature of the issue warranted consultation?					
No (skip to question C322)					
Yes (respond to questions C317 to C321)					
If an individual was consulted (internally or externally), does it appear they were aware of all relevant facts and circumstances?	C317				
If professional literature was researched, does it appear that the research was thorough and the sources consulted were complete, correct and up-to-date?	C318				
Does it appear that the person(s) consulted (internally or externally) and/or the individual(s) performing the research had an appropriate level of knowledge, competence, judgment and (if applicable) authority?	C319				
Based on the facts and circumstances, were the firm's conclusions reasonable and consistent with professional standards?	C320				
Is the firm's report, the financial statements, or other information affected by the matter consistent with the results of the consultation?	C321				
If the engagement records indicated a difference of opinion between the engagement personnel and/or specialist or other consultant was the difference resolved in accordance with firm policy and was the					
basis of the resolution appropriately documented?	C322				

IV. QUESTIONS FOR USE ON ENGAGEMENTS INVOLVING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THAT OMIT SUBSTANTIALLY ALL DISCLOSURES

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <u>No</u>	Ref.
Accountants' Report					
Does the accountant's report contain all of the elements required by professional standards and appropriate language for the following:	TE				
Dating of the report in conformity with the requirements of professional standards? (AR Sec. 100:12)	C401				
Departures from the basis of accounting used? (AR Sec. 100.16–.18 and .40–.42)	C402				
Coverage of all periods for which financial statements are presented? (AR Sec. 200.02)	C403				
Disclosure of the basis of accounting for financial statements presented in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP if the financial statements do not disclose this information? (AR Secs. 100.17 and 9100.41–.45)	C404				
The degree of responsibility, if any, the accountant is taking with respect to supplementary information accompanying the basic financial statements? (AR Sec. 100.44)	C405				
Reference to the accountant's compilation report on each page of the financial statements? (AR Sec. 100.13)	C406				
All other respects?	C407				
Financial Statements					
General					
Are the financial statements suitably titled? (AR Sec. 9100.42)	C408				
Do the financial statements appear to be appropriate in form and free of material error? (AR Sec. 100.10)	C409				
Is the accounting appropriate for accounting changes? (AC Sec. A06)	C411				
Are all majority-owned subsidiaries consolidated in the financial statements, unless consolidation is specifically not required by professional standards? (AC Sec. C51.102)	C414				
If an individual or entity controls a group of related entities, did the accountant consider whether combined financial statements may be more meaningful? (AC Sec. C51.121 and .122)	C415				
For derivative instruments and hedging activities, have the applicable acounting and disclosure provisions of FAS No. 133, as amended, been complied with? (AC Sec. D50)	C416				
Effective June 30, 2000, for companies that have not adopted FAS No. 133 for derivative financial instruments and hedging activities, the company must adopt FAS No. 138 concurrently with FAS No. 133. Therefore, in conjunction with the adoption of FAS No. 133, have derivative financial instruments and hedging activities been properly					

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "No" answers must be explained on the pages provided at the end of this checklist.

4-02

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
accounted for and disclosed in accordance with FAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities (an	C417				
amendment of FASB Statement No. 133)?	C417				
Are foreign currency transactions and translation of financial statements denominated in a foreign currency properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. F60)	C418				
Are insurance and reinsurance contracts that do not transfer insurance risk properly accounted and disclosed under SOP 98-7? (TPA Sec. 10,760)	C419				
Are nonmonetary transactions properly accounted for? (AC Secs. C11.102 and N35)	C425				
Are loss contingencies accrued, as appropriate? (AC Sec. C59)	C426				
Are the financial statements adjusted for significant subsequent events? (AC Sec. C59.105 and .112)	C428				
Are the financial statements adjusted where appropriate regarding the entity's ability to continue as a going concern? (AR Sec. 100.40, fn 18 and AU Sec. 341.10 and .11)	C429				
Are defined benefit pension plans properly accounted for? (AC Sec. P16.150)	C430				
Are all other pension plans properly accounted for? (AC Sec. P16)	C431				
Have postemployment and postretirement benefits, other than pensions, been properly accounted for? (AC Secs. P32 and P40)	C432				
Are adjustments of financial statements for prior periods appropriate? (AC Sec. A35)	C437				
If a quasi-reorganization or corporate readjustment has occurred, has the proper accounting been applied and is the new retained earnings account dated? (AC Sec. Q15)	C438				
Have transfers of assets to a not-for-profit organization or charitable trust that raises or holds contributions for others been properly accounted for in accordance with FAS No. 136?	C439				
For financial statements with years ending after December 15, 1999, have defined contribution pension plans been properly accounted for in accordance with SOP 99-3 (TPA Sec. 10,790)?	C440				
Balance Sheet					
Is the presentation and accounting appropriate regarding:					
Segregation of assets and liabilities, if applicable, into current and noncurrent classifications? (AC Sec. B05)	C441				
Valuation allowances? (AC Sec. V18)	C442				
Classification of debt and equity securities as held-to-maturity (debt securities only), trading, or available-for-sale, and presentation of unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities in a separate component of shareholders' equity? (AC Sec. I80.103–.110)	C443				
Effect of troubled debt restructuring? (AC Sec. D22)	C445				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Operating and capital leases? (AC Sec. L10)	C451				
Other assets, including deferred charges?	C452				
Presentation of notes payable and other debt?	C457				
Other liabilities, including compensation for future absences? (AC Sec. C44.104–.108, SOP 96-1, Ch. 7 [TPA Sec. 10,680])	C463				
Treasury stock? (AC Sec. C23)	C465				
Income Statement					
Are the important components of the income statement separately stated?	C471				
Are the presentation and accounting appropriate regarding:					
Method of income recognition; for example, long-term contracts and real estate transactions? (AC Secs. R10, Co4, Co5 and FAS Inter. No. 43)	C472				
Realized gains and losses and unrealized holding gains and losses for changes in fair value and transfers between categories of investments and losses presented? (AC Sec. I80)	C473				
•	C474				
Application of the equity method? (AC Sec. I82.109)	C474				
Depreciation? (AC Sec. D40)	C462				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired before July 1, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, does the company's accounting and reporting comply with FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	C483				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), does the company apply the amortization and non-amortization requirements of FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	C484				
Deferred compensation agreements? (AC Sec. C38)	C485				
Provisions for income taxes? (AC Sec. I27)	C489				
Discontinued operations? (AC Sec. I13)	C494				
Extraordinary items? (AC Sec. I17)	C495				
Unusual or infrequent items, but not both? (AC Sec. I22)	C496				
If the enterprise has both net income and other comprehensive income, are total comprehensive income and the components of other comprehensive income displayed appropriately in the financial statements? (AC Sec. C49.108–.120)	C497				
Statement of Cash Flows					
Is a statement of cash flows presented for each period for which results of operations are provided? (AC Sec. C25.101)	C498				
Does it properly report cash provided or used by investing, financing, and operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.112–.124)	C499				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Does it report the net effect of cash flows on cash and cash equivalents during the period in a manner that reconciles beginning and ending cash and cash equivalents, and do the amounts of cash and cash equivalents agree with the amounts on the balance sheet? (AC Sec. C25.124)	C500				
Does it provide a reconciliation between net income and net cash flow from operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.127) Are cash equivalents limited to short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash and of an	C501				
original maturity of three months or less? (AC Sec. C25.106–.108)	C504				
Are the components of the cash flow statements shown at "gross" and not "net" amounts? (AC Sec. C25.109–.111A)	C505				
Are cash flows from purchases, sales, and maturities of available-for- sale securities and held-to-maturity securities classified as cash flows from investing activities and reported gross for each security classifi- cation and are cash flows from purchases, sales, and maturities of trading securities classified as cash flows from operating activities? (AC Sec. I80.117)	C506				
Other					
If the industry in which the client is operating is covered by an AICPA audit and accounting guide, are the format and statements consistent with the guide?	C507				
If the statements include only a few disclosures in the form of notes, are they labeled as "Selected Information—Substantially All Disclosures Required By Generally Accepted Accounting Principles Are Not					
Included," as required by SSARS? (AR Sec. 100.16)	C509				

V. QUESTIONS FOR USE ON COMPILATION ENGAGEMENTS PERFORMED UNDER SSARS NO. 8 WHERE NO REPORT IS ISSUED.

Effective for financial statements submitted after December 31, 2000, the Accounting and Review Services Committee issued SSARS No. 8, Amendment to Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services No. 1, "Compilation and Review of Financial Statements." SSARS No. 8 amends SSARS No. 1, Compilation and Review of Financial Statements, to create new options for accountants when performing compilation engagements in which financial statements are not expected to be used by a third party.

S.F.C.T.LO	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Does the documentation of the understanding include the following descriptions or statements (AR Sec. 100.21):	T 4				
The nature and limitations of the services to be performed?	C510				
A compilation is limited to presenting in the form of financial statements information that is the representation of management?	C511				
The financial statements will not be audited or reviewed?	C512				
No opinion or any other form of assurance on the financial statements will be provided?	C513				
Management has knowledge about the nature of the procedures applied and the basis of accounting and assumptions used in the preparation of the financial statements?	C514				
Acknowledgment of management's representation and agreement that the financial statements are not to be used by third parties?	C515				
The engagement cannot be relied upon to disclose errors, fraud, or illegal acts?	C516				
Did the documentation of the understanding of the engagement performed under SSARS No. 8 where no report was issued address the following additional matters if applicable (AR Sec. 100.21):					
Material departures from GAAP or OCBOA may exist and the effects of those departures, if any, on the financial statements may not be disclosed?	C517				
Substantially all disclosures (and statement of cash flows, if applicable) required by GAAP or OCBOA may be omitted?	C518				
Lack of independence?	C519				
A reference to supplementary information?	C520				
Did the accountant include a reference on each page of the financial statements restricting their use such as "Restricted for Management's Use Only," or "Solely for the information and use by the management of [name of entity] and not intended to be and should not be used by any other party" (AR Sec. 100.22)	C521				

VI. EXPLANATION OF "NO" ANSWERS AND OTHER COMMENTS

The following pages are provided for your comments on all "no" answers for which an MFC form was not generated or to expand upon any of the "yes" answers. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained and reviewed with the engagement owner.

Page Number	Question Number	Explanatory Comments	$Disposition \ of Comments^*$
		PRACTICE	
		SECTION	

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The nature of the disposition of comments may vary, such as:

[•] Note "resolved" and the manner of resolution.

[•] Note "not significant" to indicate a "no" answer is appropriate, but that the manner is not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form.

20,221

Page Number Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

PRACTICE SECTION

Note: Attach additional sheets if required.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

EXPLAIN BELOW THE REASONS FOR ANY "YES" ANSWERS. BE SPECIFIC.

Based on the work performed, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that:

•	The firm did not perform the engagement in all material respects in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services? (ET Sec. 202)	YES*	NO	
•	The financial statements for a compilation engagement where a report was issued, did not conform with generally accepted accounting principles (or where applicable, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP) in all material respects and the compilation report was not appropriately modified (ET Sec. 203) or for a compilation engagement where no report was issued, the accountant did not include a reference on each page of the financial statements restricting their use such as "Restricted for Management's use only"? (ET Sec. 202)	YES*	NO	
•	The compilation report (engagement letter if no report was issued) was not appropriate in the circumstances?	YES*	NO	_
•	If engagement deficiencies were noted, was there an indication that:			
	The firm did not comply with its policies and procedures on this engagement in all material respects?	YES*	NO	
	The firm's policies and procedures are not appropriately designed with respect to this engagement?	YES*	NO	

[*The next page is 20,301.*]

^{*} If this question is answered "yes," see additional guidance contained in Section 3100.51–.53 of the Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews in the AICPA Peer Review Program Manual or Section 2000.83–.89 in the SEC Practice Section Reference Manual.

Section 20,300 General Review Engagement Checklist

ENGAGEME	NT PROFILE		
Engagement Code No. Owner/Partner Manager Senior	Office Date of Financial Statemen Date of Report Date Report Released		
This engagement involves reporting on a (an):			
() independent entity() consolidated or combined group	() subsidiary, divisio () other (explain)	on or bran	ch
The financial statements cover an (annual) (interim) reinformation.	porting period and (do) (do not	e) include s	supplemental
Date that the fee for the prior engagement was paid _			
Key data reported on by this office for this engagement Total assets \$ Equity \$ Net sales \$ Net income \$ Major line of business: Review hours on this engagement: Owner Manager (or equivalent) Senior/other professionals Total this office Total budgeted Personnel Continuity:	Complex or troublesome e	engageme	nt areas:
Number of years assigned to this job	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Owner or Partner	Manager or equivalent
***	* * *		
Date Engagement Review Performed	Date Checklist Reviewed b Team Captain		
- ·	C: 1		

^{*} To determine the applicability of all cross-referenced pronouncements, consider their effective dates.

General Review Engagement Checklist Contents

Section	n e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Page
I.	Report and Financial Statements	
	Accountants' Report	20,303
	Financial Statements and Notes	20,303
II.	General Review Procedures	20,311
III.	Functional Areas	
	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity	20,313
	Personnel Management	20,313
	Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements	20,314
	Engagement Performance	20,314
IV.	Explanation of "No" Answers and Other Comments	20,316
V.	Conclusions	20,318

Note: This checklist has been updated through SSARS No. 8, FAS No. 142, FASB Interpretation No. 44, and SOP 01-3 and SQCS No. 5.

Explanation of References:

AC	Reference to section number in FASB Accounting Standards Current Text
AR	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
AU	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)
EITF	Emerging Issues Task Force pronouncement
ET	Code of Professional Conduct in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
FAS	Reference to Financial Accounting Standards Board pronouncement
QC	Quality Control Standards in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
SOP	AICPA Statement of Position [included in the AICPA Technical Practice Aids (TPA)]

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note: This is a highly summarized checklist. Reviewers may wish to condetailed information about the requirements. All "no" answers n					ited for
☐ If the firm has used its own report and financial statement dis it may be reviewed in lieu of completing this checklist provid the firm's checklist is current, comprehensive, and appropri checklist is used, please provide a copy in lieu of this checklist.	ded the rea	viewer	has de	termine	ed that
SECTIO	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.**
Accountants' Report					
Does the accountant's report contain all of the elements required by professional standards and appropriate language for the following:					
Dating of the report in conformity with the requirements of professional standards? (AR Sec. 100.34)	R101				
Departures from the basis of accounting used? (AR Sec. 100.40–.42)	R102				
Coverage of all periods for which financial statements are presented? (AR Sec. 200.02)	R103				
Disclosure of the basis of accounting for financial statements presented in conformity with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP if the financial statements do not disclose this information? (AR Sec. 9100.01 and .02)	R104				
The degree of responsibility, if any, the accountant is taking with respect to supplementary information accompanying the basic financial statements? (AR Sec. 100.44, for CIRAs, see SOP 93-5 [TPA Sec. 11,270] and the Supplemental CIRA Checklist)	R105				
Reference to the accountant's review report on each page of the financial statements? (AR Sec. 100.35)	R106				
All other respects? (AR Sec. 100.40–.44)	R107				
Financial Statements and Notes					
General					
Are the financial statements suitably titled? (AR Sec. 9100.42–.43; AU Sec. 623.07 and .24)	R108				
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Significant accounting policies? [AC Sec. A10.105–.108; for an other comprehensive basis of accounting (OCBOA) AU Sec. 623.02–.04]	R109				
Accounting changes? (AC Sec. A06)	R110				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	<u>Ref.</u>
Comparative financial statements? (AC Sec. F43)	R111				
Business combinations? (AC Sec. B50)	R112				
For business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 or for those accounted for using the purchase method on or after July 1, 2001, except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises, did acquirer,	E				
Account for the transaction as purchase as required by FASB Statement No. 141, <i>Business Combinations</i> ? (pars. 13 and 14)	R113				
Recognize an intangible asset, separate from goodwill if it arises from contractual or other legal rights? (par. 39)	R114				
If an intangible asset does not arise from contractual or other legal rights, recognize a separate intangible asset, apart from goodwill, if the intangible asset is capable of being divided from the acquired entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented, or exchanged either separately or in combination with a related contract, asset, or liability? (par. 39)	R115				
Recognize goodwill for the excess of the cost over the net amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed, other than amounts assigned to separable intangible assets? (par. 43)	R116				
Reduce the amounts that otherwise would have been assigned to all of the acquired assets on a pro rata basis, if the fair value of the acquired net assets exceeds acquisition cost? (pars. 44 and 45)	R117				
Recognize an extraordinary gain in the acquisition period, if any excess remains after reducing the acquired assets? (pars. 44 and 45)	R118				
Document the basis for and method of determining the purchase price of the acquired entity? (par. 50)	R119				
Include all required financial statement disclosures? (pars. 51–58)	R120				
Are all majority-owned subsidiaries consolidated in the financial statements, unless consolidation is specifically not required by professional standards? (AC Sec. C51.102 and .103)	R121				
If an individual or entity controls a group of related entities, did the accountant consider whether combined financial statements may be more meaningful? (AC Sec. C51.121 and .122)	R122				
For financial instruments, is information about concentrations of credit risk and fair value (including the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value) disclosed? (AC Sec. F25.105G, .115A115K and .116A116D)	R123				
Exemption from certain required disclosures about financial instruments for certain non-public entities? (AC Sec. F25.105J –.105L)	R124				
For derivative instruments and hedging activities, have the applicable accounting and disclosure provisions of FAS No. 133, as amended by FAS No. 138, been complied with? (AC Secs. F25 and D50)	R125				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000, for companies that have not adopted FAS No. 133 for derivative financial instruments and hedging activities, the company must adopt FAS No. 138 concurrently with FAS No. 133. Therefore, in conjunction with the adoption of FAS No. 133, have derivative financial instruments and hedging activities been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with FAS No.					
138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities (an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133)? (AC Sec. D50)	R126				
Have transfers of assets to a not-for-profit organization or charitable trust that raises or holds contributions for others been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. No5.176 and .177)	R127				
Are required disclosures made concerning related-party transactions? (AC Sec. R36)	R128				
Are required disclosures about risks and uncertainties made regarding the nature of operations, the use of estimates, certain significant estimates, and current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations? (SOP 94-6 [TPA Sec. 10,640])	R129				
Are insurance and reinsurance contracts that do not transfer insurance risk properly accounted and disclosed under SOP 98-7? (TPA Sec. 10,760)	R130				
Are foreign currency transactions and translation of financial statements denominated in a foreign currency properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. F60)	R131				
Are foreign operations and export sales adequately disclosed? (AC Sec. F65)	R132				
Are nonmonetary transactions properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. N35)	R133				
With respect to contingencies and commitments:					
Are loss contingencies accrued and/or disclosed as appropriate? (AC Sec. C59.104–.116, and .120)	R134				
Are other contingencies and commitments adequately disclosed, including environmental remediation related matters? (AC Secs. C32.102–.105 and C59.118–.120 and SOP 96-1, pars142–.173 [TPA Sec. 10,680.142–.173])	R135				
Are the financial statements adjusted, where appropriate, for the effect of subsequent events and do they include disclosure of significant subsequent events, whether or not adjustments were made? (AC Sec. C59.105–.115)	R136				
Are the financial statements adjusted, where appropriate, and do they disclose uncertainties regarding the entity's ability to continue as a going concern? (AR Sec. 100.41 fn 19 and AU Sec. 341.10 and .11)	R137				
Are defined benefit pension plans properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P16.150, .150A, .153A, .166, .167 and .187)	R138				
Have defined contribution pension plans been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with SOP 99-3? (TPA Sec. 10,790)	R139				
Are all other pension plans properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P16)	R140				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Are post-retirement benefit plans other than pensions properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P40.169, .169A, .172, .173, .178, and .179)	R141				
Have postemployment benefits (after employment but before retirement) been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Secs. P32 and P40)	R142				
If the entity is or has been a "development stage enterprise," are adequate disclosures made? (AC Sec. De4.105–.109)	R143				
Do the financial statements, where required, include appropriate disclosures of employee stock ownership plans (ESOPs)? (SOP 93-6 [TPA Sec. 10,580])	R144				
Are adjustments of financial statements for prior periods appropriate? (AC Sec. A35)	R145				
If a quasi-reorganization or corporate readjustment has occurred, has the proper accounting been applied and is the new retained earnings account dated? (AC Sec. Q15)	R146				
Balance Sheet					
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Segregation of assets and liabilities, if applicable, into current and noncurrent classifications? (AC Sec. B05)	R147				
Valuation allowances? (AC Sec. V18)	R148				
Restricted cash, including compensating balances? (AC Secs. B05.107 and C59.120)	R149				
Classification of debt and equity securities as held-to-maturity (debt securities only), trading, or available-for-sale, and presentation of unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities in a separate component of shareholders' equity? (AC Sec. I80.103–.110)	R150				
Accounts and Notes Receivable:					
Loans and related origination fees? (AC Sec. L20.104106 and .120)	R151				
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. I69.109)	R152				
Effects of troubled debt restructurings? (AC Secs. D22.121, .136, .137 and I08)	R153				
Other receivables?	R154				
Are the recorded investments in loans for which impairment has been recognized, the total allowance for credit losses related to those impaired loans, the activity in the allowance for credit losses account, and creditor's income recognition policy disclosed? (AC Sec. I08.118)	R155				
Inventories? (AC Secs. B05.105 and I78)	R156				
Investments accounted for under the equity method? (AC Secs. I82.109 and .110)	R157				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Property and equipment, including accounting for the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and long-lived assets to be disposed of, assets of discontinued operations, and capitalized interest? (AC Secs. D40.105, I08.122–.138, I13.108, and I67)	R158				
Sales-type, direct financing, leveraged, and operating leases of lessors? (AC Secs. L10.119 and .143149)	R159				
Other assets, including intangible assets, unamortized computer software costs, deferred tax assets, and deferred charges, and their impairment, if applicable? (AC Secs. I08, I27.140, I60, Co2.102–.111, and I27.140–.142; SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720])	N R160				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired before July 1, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, does the company's accounting and reporting comply with FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	R161				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001(except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), does the company apply the amortization and non-amortization requirements of FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	R162				
Pledged assets? (AC Sec. C59.120)	R163				
Related assets and liabilities? [These may be offset in the balance sheet only when all of the following conditions are met: (1) each party owes the other determinable amounts, (2) the reporting party has the right to set off, (3) the reporting party intends to set off, and (4) the right to set off is enforceable at law.] (AC Sec. B10)	R164				
Current liabilities? (AC Sec. B05.108, .109, .109A, and .118)	R165				
Short-term liabilities expected to be refinanced? (AC Sec. B05.110–.118, .138, and .139)	R166				
Notes payable and other debt:					
Maturities, rates, and sinking fund requirements for the next five years? (AC Sec. C32.104 and .105)	R167				
Other terms and covenants? (AC Sec. C59.120 and SOP 97-1 [TPA Sec. 10,690])	R168				
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. I69)	R169				
Effect of troubled debt restructurings? (AC Sec. D22.121 and .122)	R170				
Effect of early extinguishment of debt? (AC Sec. I17.104)	R171				
Capital leases of lessees? (AC Sec. L10.106, .107, and .112)	R172				
Other liabilities and deferred credits, including classification of deferred tax liabilities, employees' compensation for future absences, special termination benefits to employees, and deferred revenue? (AC Secs. C44.104 and .108, I27.140 and SOP 96-1, Chs. 5–7 [TPA Sec. 10,680])	R173				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
Capital stock (number of shares authorized, issued and outstand-					
ing, par or stated value per share, rights and preferences of various					
classes)? (AC Secs. C16 and C24)	R174				
Treasury stock? (AC Sec. C23)	R175				
Stock option and stock purchase plans? (AC Sec. C47)	R176				
Does the company's accounting for compensatory and noncompensatory stock compensation comply with Interpretation No. 44 with respect to: (C47.147–.237)					
The definition of employee for purposes of applying Opinion No. 25?	R177				
The criteria for determining whether a plan qualifies as a non-compensatory plan?	R178				
The accounting consequence of various modifications to the terms of a previously fixed stock option or award? and	R179				
The accounting for an exchange of stock compensation awards in a business combination?	R180				
Stock subscriptions receivable? (EITF 85-1)	R181				
Retained earnings, including appropriations thereof and restrictions on dividends? (AC Secs. C59.120 and R70.103)	R182				
Changes in stockholders' equity? (AC Secs. C08.102, .102A; A35.103, .105–.107 and C49.106–.108)	R183				
Redemption requirements on capital stock for the next five years? (AC Secs. C24.106 and C32.105; for redemption requirements outside of the issuer's control, e.g., mandatory redeemable preferred stock, TPA Sec. 4110.08)	R184				
Income Statement					
Are the important components of the income statement separately disclosed?	R185				
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Method of income recognition, where appropriate; for example: long-term contracts and real estate transactions? (AC Secs. R10, Co4.110, Co5, and FAS Inter. No. 43)	R186				
Realized gains and losses and unrealized holding gains and losses for changes in fair value transfers between categories of investments and losses? (AC Sec. I80)	R187				
Application of the equity method? (AC Sec. I82.109)	R188				
Advertising costs? (SOP 93-7 [TPA Sec. 10,590])	R189				
Research and development costs? (AC Sec. R50)	R190				
Costs of start-up activities? (SOP 98-5 [TPA Sec. 10,750])	R191				
Computer software costs? (AC Sec. Co2.110 and SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720])	R192				
Capitalization of interest costs? (AC Sec. I67)	R193				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Discount or premium on notes receivable or payable? (AC Sec. I69.108 and .109)	R194				
Recognition of impairment of long-lived assets? (AC Sec. I08.122–.138)	R195				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired before July 1, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, does the company's accounting and reporting comply with FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets?	R196				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001(except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), does the company apply the amortization and non-amortization requirements of FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets?	R197				
Depreciation? (AC Sec. D40)	R198				
Compensatory stock issuance plans? (AC Sec. C47)	R199				
Deferred compensation agreements? (AC Sec. C38)	R200				
Sales transactions in which the buyer has a right to return the product? (AC Sec. R75.107–.109)	R201				
Product financing arrangements? (AC Sec. D18.106 and .107)	R202				
Operating leases and rent expense of lessees? (AC Sec. L10.111 and .112)	R203				
Software revenue recognition? (SOP 97-2 [TPA Sec. 10,700], SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720], SOP 98-4 [TPA Sec. 10,740], and SOP 98-9 [TPA Sec. 10,770])	R204				
Income Taxes:					
The types of temporary differences and carryforwards that cause significant portions of a deferred tax liability or asset? (AC Sec. I27.140–.143)	R205				
Significant components of income tax expense, including the current tax expense or benefit, deferred tax expense or benefit, investment tax credits, government grants that reduce income tax expense, the benefits of operating loss carryforwards, and adjustments due to changes in tax laws, rates, and tax status? (AC Secs. I27.144 and C49.118–.119)	R206				
Components of the net deferred tax liability or asset including, if present, the total of all deferred tax liabilities, total of all deferred tax assets, the total valuation allowance, and the net change in the valuation allowance? (AC Sec. I27.142 and .143)	R207				
Significant reconciling items between GAAP income tax expense or benefit attributable to continuing operations and the amount of income tax expense that would result from applying the federal statutory rates to pre-tax income or loss from continuing operations? (A nonpublic company needs to disclose the nature of such items; a public company also needs to include a reconciliation using percents or amounts) (AC Sec. I27.146)	R208				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Amounts and expiration dates of operating loss and tax credit carryforwards for tax purposes? (AC Sec. I27.147)	R209				
Discontinued operations? (AC Sec. I13)	R210				
Extraordinary items? (AC Sec. I17)	R211				
Unusual or infrequent items, but not both? (AC Sec. I22)	R212				
If the enterprise has both net income and other comprehensive income, are total comprehensive income and the components of other comprehensive income displayed appropriately in the financial statements? (AC Sec. C49.108–.120)	R213				
Statement of Cash Flows					
Is a statement of cash flows presented for each period for which results of operations are provided? (AC Sec. C25.101)	R214				
Does it properly report cash provided or used by investing, financing and operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.112–.124)	R215				
Does it report the net effect of cash flows on cash and cash equivalents during the period in a manner that reconciles beginning and ending cash and cash equivalents, and do the amounts of cash and cash equivalents agree with the amounts on the balance sheet? (AC Sec. C25.124)	R216				
Does it provide a reconciliation between net income and net cash flow from operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.127)	R217				
Are noncash investing and financing activities disclosed? (AC Sec. $C25.134$)	R218				
If the indirect method of reporting net cash flows from operating activities was used, were the amounts of interest and income taxes paid disclosed? (AC Sec. C25.127)	R219				
Are cash equivalents limited to short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash and an original maturity of three months or less, and is the enterprise's policy for determining which items are treated as cash equivalents disclosed? (AC Sec. C25.106–.108)	R220				
Are the components of the cash flow statement shown at "gross" and not "net" amounts? (AC Sec. C25.109–.111A)	R221				
Are cash flows from purchases, sales, and maturities of available-for-sale securities and held-to-maturity securities classified as cash flows from investing activities and reported gross for each security classification and are cash flows from purchases, sales, and maturities of trading securities classified as cash flows from operating activities? (AC Sec. I80.117)	R222				
Other					
If the industry in which the client is operating is covered by an AICPA audit and accounting guide, are the format, statements, and disclosures consistent with the guide?	R223				
Summary					
Does it appear that disclosures in the financial statements are reasonably adequate?	R224				

II. GENERAL REVIEW PROCEDURES

	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.**
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of engagement files, if any, was an understanding with the client established as to the services to be performed? (Professional standards require the accountant to establish an understanding with the entity, preferably, though not required to be, in writing.) (AR Sec. 100.05)	R225				
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of engagement files, if any, did it appear that the accountant possessed a level of knowledge of the accounting principles and practices of the industry in which the entity operates and possessed an understanding of the entity's busi-	N				
ness? (AR Sec. 100.25–.27) If the engagement was originally intended to be an audit, rather than a review of financial statements, did the accountant consider (AR Sec. 100.45–.50):	R226				
The reason given for the client's request, particularly the implica- tions of a restriction on the scope of the audit, whether imposed by the client or by circumstances?	R227				
The additional audit effort required to complete the audit?	R228				
The estimated additional cost to complete the audit?	R229				
Did the accountant's inquiries and analytical procedures consist of the following (AR Sec. 100.28):					
Inquiries concerning the entity's accounting principles and practices and the methods followed in applying them?	R230				
Inquiries concerning the entity's procedures for recording, classifying, and summarizing transactions, and accumulating information for disclosure in the financial statements?	R231				
Analytical procedures designed to identify relationships and individual items that appear to be unusual?	R232				
Inquiries concerning actions taken at meetings of stockholders, board of directors, committees of the board of directors, or comparable meetings that may affect the financial statements?	R233				
Reading the financial statements to consider, on the basis of information coming to the accountant's attention, whether the financial statements appear to conform with generally accepted accounting principles?	R234				
Obtaining reports from other accountants, if any, who have been engaged to audit or review the financial statements of significant components of the reporting entity, its subsidiaries, and other investees?	R235				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
Inquiries of persons having responsibility for financial and accounting matters concerning (1) whether the financial statements					
have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles consistently applied, (2) changes in the entity's					
business activities or accounting principles and practices, (3) mat-					
ters as to which questions have arisen in the course of applying the					
foregoing procedures, and (4) events subsequent to the date of the financial statements that would have a material effect on the finan-					
cial statements?	R236				
If the accountant became aware that information that came to the					
accountant's attention was incorrect, incomplete, or otherwise unsat-					
isfactory, did the accountant perform additional procedures as deemed necessary to achieve limited assurance that there were no					
material modifications that should be made to the financial state-					
ments in order for the statements to be in conformity with generally					
accepted accounting principles? (AR Sec. 100.31)	R237				
Do the accountant's working papers adequately reflect (AR Sec. 100.32):					
The matters covered in the accountant's inquiry and analytical					
procedures?	R238				
Unusual matters that the accountant considered during the performance of the review, including their disposition?	R239				
Did the accountant obtain a representation letter from members of					
management whom the accountant believes are responsible for and					
knowledgeable, directly or through others in the organization, about					
the matters covered in the representation letter? (AR Sec. 100.29)	R240				
If any circumstances were encountered by the accountant that pre-					
cluded the accountant from performing inquiries and analytical pro-					
cedures as deemed necessary or the client did not provide the					
accountant with a representation letter (AR Sec. 100.37):					
Did the accountant consider whether these circumstances should					
have resulted in an incomplete review?	R241				
Did the accountant consider whether these same circumstances					
would also preclude him/her from issuing a compilation report?	R242				
Do such determinations by the accountant appear to be proper?	R243				
If there is an indication that the accountant became aware that infor-					
mation supplied by the entity was incorrect, incomplete or otherwise					
unsatisfactory subsequent to the date of the report, did the accountant					
consider the guidance in professional standards in determining an					
appropriate course of action, and does the matter appear to be prop-	D244				
erly resolved? (AR Sec. 100.43 and AU Sec. 561)	R244				
If comparative financial statements have been presented and the prior					
period accountant's report on the prior period financial statements					
contains a changed reference to a departure from generally accepted					
accounting principles, does the current report have an explanatory paragraph indicating the date of the previous report, circumstances					
or events that caused the reference to be changed and, if applicable,					
that the financial statements of the prior period have been changed?					
(AR Sec. 200.13–.15)	R245				

III. FUNCTIONAL AREAS†

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (QC Sec. 20.09 and .10) If anything has been noted that may indicate a lack of independence, integrity, and objectivity, was the matter identified and appropriately resolved by the firm and its effects appropriately considered? (ET Sec. 101)	R301				
Was appropriate assurance of independence obtained from other firms engaged to perform segments of the engagement? (AU Sec. 543.10)	R302				
Were the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services paid prior to issuance of the report for the current engagement? (ET Sec. 191.103 and .104)	R303				
Personnel Management (QC Sec. 20.1113)					
Were scheduling and staffing requirements identified on a timely basis and approved by appropriate personnel?	R304				
Does it appear engagement personnel (including leased and per diem employees) possessed an appropriate mix of experience or expertise and technical training in relation to the complexity or other requirements of the engagement and the involvement of supervisory personnel?	R305				
Does it appear that the practitioner in charge of the engagement possessed the following knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) to fulfill their responsibilities on the engagement:					
An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's <i>Code of Professional Conduct</i> ?	R306				
An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement?	R307				
An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?	R308				
An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates?	R309				
Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?	R310				

[†] The AICPA's *Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* provides guidance on how to develop and implement a system of quality control for a firm's accounting and auditing practice in compliance with the requirements of Statements on Quality Control Standards Nos. 2, *System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* (QC Sec. 20), as amended, 3, *Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* (QC Sec. 30), and 5, *The Personnel Management Element of a Firm's System of Quality Control—Competencies Required by a Practitioner-in-Charge of an Attest Engagement* (QC Sec. 40).

 $^{^{\}ast}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?	R311				
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of the engagement files, did the personnel (including leased and per diem employees) assigned to this engagement appear to be familiar with the applicable professional pronouncements (FASB, AICPA, etc.)?	R312				
Does it appear that the practitioner-in-charge of the engagement possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to fulfill his/her responsibilities on the engagement as noted in par08 of QC	TA				
Sec. 40?	R313				
Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements (QC Sec. 20.14–.16)					
Based on inquiry of the accountant or review of the engagement files, does it appear that the firm's guidelines for acceptance and continuance of client relationships, including performing specific engagements for the client, were complied with?	R314				
Engagement Performance (QC Sec. 20.17–.19)					
Did the owner (or manager) approve the overall engagement plan (including the engagement program) as the final planning step and convey approval or modifications to the engagement staff?	R315				
Does it appear that involvement by the owner and manager was both adequate and appropriately timed to provide for planning and supervision as the job progressed?	R316				
Were the firm's guidelines for engagement performance (such as the form and content of working papers) complied with if the firm has such guidelines?	R317				
Were any circumstances noted where the firm consulted or should have consulted regarding an engagement matter (i.e., a complex, unusual, or a technical issue) with individuals within the firm, an external party or by researching in applicable professional literature, based on the firm's policies and procedures or where the complexity or nature of the issue warranted consultation?					
No (skip to question R323)					
Yes (respond to questions R318 to R322)					
If an individual was consulted (internally or externally), does it appear they were aware of all relevant facts and circumstances?	R318				
If professional literature was researched, does it appear the research was thorough and the sources consulted were complete, correct and up-to-date?	R319				
Does it appear the person(s) consulted (internally or externally) and/or the individual(s) performing the research had an appropriate level of knowledge, competence, judgment and (if applicable) authority?	R320				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Based on the facts and circumstances, were the firm's conclusions reasonable and consistent with professional standards?	R321				
Is the firm's report, the financial statements, or other information affected by the matter consistent with the results of the consultation?	R322				
If the engagement records indicated a difference of opinion between the engagement personnel and/or specialist or other consultant was the difference resolved in accordance with firm policy and was the basis of the resolution appropriately documented?	R323				

IV. EXPLANATION OF "NO" ANSWERS AND OTHER COMMENTS

The following pages are provided for your comments on all "no" answers for which an MFC form was not generated or to expand upon any of the "yes" answers. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained and reviewed with the engagement owner.

Page Number	Question Number	Explanatory Comments	Disposition of Comments*
		PRACTICE	
		SECTION	

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The nature of the disposition of comments may vary, such as:

Note "resolved" and the manner of resolution.

[•] Note "not significant" to indicate a "no" answer is appropriate, but that the manner is not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form.

Page Number Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

PRACTICE SECTION

Note: Attach additional sheets if required.

V. CONCLUSIONS

EXPLAIN BELOW THE REASONS FOR ANY "YES" ANSWERS. BE SPECIFIC.

Based on the work performed, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that:

•	The firm did not perform the engagement in all material respects in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services? (ET Sec. 202)	YES*	NO
•	The financial statements did not conform with generally accepted accounting principles (or, where applicable, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP) in all material respects and the review report was not appropriately modified? (ET Sec. 203)	YES*	NO
•	The review report was not appropriate in the circumstances?	YES*	NO
•	The documentation on this engagement does not evidence compliance with professional standards?	YES*	NO
•	If engagement deficiencies were noted, was there an indication that:		
	<i>a</i> . The firm did not comply with its policies and procedures on this engagement in all material respects?	YES*	NO
	<i>b</i> . The firm's policies and procedures are not appropriately designed with repect to this engagement?	YES*	NO

[*The next page is* 20,401.]

^{*} If this question is answered "yes," see additional guidance contained in Section 3100.51–.53 of the Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews in the AICPA Peer Review Program Manual or Section 2000.83–.89 in the SEC Practice Section Reference Manual.

Section 20,400 General Audit Engagement Checklist

ENGAGEN	MENT PROFILE
Engagement Code No. Owner/Partner Manager Concurring Reviewer*	Office Date of Financial Statements* Date of Report Date Report Released
Is this an SEC audit client? Yes No	
Is the client a part of other related groups? Yes	No
This engagement involves reporting on: () financial statements (single entity) () consolidated financial statements () combined financial statements () subsidiary, division or branch	() special report() interim reviews() reportable conditions—material weaknesses() other (explain)
Was the work performed at the request of another	office? Yes No
Date that the fee for the prior year's engagement w	as paid
Key data reported on by this office for this engager Total assets \$ Equity \$ Net sales \$ Net income \$ Major line of business:	Complex or troublesome audit areas:
ments being reported on and through the date of the	ned for the client during the period of the financial state he auditor's report:
Personnel Continuity:	
Number of many positioned to this isla	Owner Manager or or Partner equivalent
Number of years assigned to this job Number of years in current position on the job	

^{*} To determine the applicability of all cross-referenced pronouncements, consider their effective dates.

^{**} Engagement performance policies and procedures required by paragraph 18 of Statement on Quality Control Standards (SQCS) No. 2 encompass all phases of a firm's policies and procedures for the design and execution of the engagement which include the concurring partner review for SEC engagements.

Audit hours on this engagement:	Prior to After Commencement During Completion of Total of Field Work Field Work
Owner or Partner Manager (or equivalent) Concurring Reviewer** Senior/Other Professionals Total this office LIST OF KEY AUDIT AREAS SELECTE	ACTICE CTION DRY REVIEWER
review is based on the reviewer's judgmen engagement, including complex or troubl List below the key areas on this engagement this omission. In completing this checklist	the working papers for a particular engagement. The depth of the nt; however, the review is directed primarily to the key areas of an desome areas. Ordinarily, all key audit areas should be reviewed, ent, and if any key areas are not reviewed, indicate the reasons for st, all questions in Sections I, II, IV, V (if applicable), VI, and VII questions in Section III for the key areas identified, and for and expenses, and other.
1.	-
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
Date Engagement Review Performed	Date Checklist Reviewed by Team Captain
Reviewer	Signature

^{***} Not applicable on reviews of non-SEC clients unless required by firm policy.

Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements

Contents

Section	n	Page
I.	Report and Financial Statements	
	Auditor's Report	20,404
	Financial Statements and Notes	20,405
II.	Financial Statements and Notes	20,414
III.	Working Paper Areas	
	Cash	20,423
	Receivables	20,423
	Inventories	20,424
	Investments	20,425
	Prepaid Expenses, Intangible Assets, Deferred Charges, etc	20,426
	Property, Plant and Equipment	20,427
	Liabilities	20,427
	Deferred Credits	20,428
	Income Taxes	20,428
	Commitments and Contingencies	20,429
	Capital Accounts	20,429
	Income and Expenses	20,429
	Other	20,430
IV.	Functional Areas	
	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity	20,431
	Personnel Management	20,432
	Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements	20,433
	Engagement Performance	20,433
V.	Audits of SEC Engagements	20,435
VI.	Explanation of "No" Answers and Other Comments	20,439
VII.	Conclusions	20,443

Note: This checklist has been updated through SAS No. 94, FAS No. 142, FASB Interpretation No. 44, SOP 01-03, and ISB Standard No. 3 and SQCS No. 5.

Explanation of References:

AC	Reference to section number in FASB Accounting Standards Current Text
AT	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)
AU	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)
EITF	Emerging Issues Task Force pronouncement
ET	Code of Professional Conduct in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
FAS	Financial Accounting Standards Board pronouncement
ISB	Independence Standards Board Standards
QC	Quality Control Standards in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
SECPS	SEC Practice Section Reference Manual (July 2000, Update No. 12)
SOP	AICPA Statement of Position (included in the AICPA Technical Practice Aids (TPA))
TPA	AICPA Technical Practice Aids

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note: This is a highly summarized checklist. Reviewers may wish to consult the professional standards cited for detailed information about the requirements. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained. If the firm has used its own report and financial statement disclosure checklist on this engagement, it may be reviewed in lieu of completing this checklist provided the reviewer has determined that the firm's checklist is current, comprehensive, and appropriate for the engagement.

PRACTIC	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	Yes	_No_	<i>Ref.</i> **
Auditor's Report	MI				
Is the report dated in conformity with the requirements of professional standards? (AU Secs. 508.08 <i>j</i> , .28 and 530)	A101				
Does the report appropriately include the basic elements required under professional standards and is appropriate language used for modifying the report in the circumstances described in such standards? (AU Secs. 508.08–.11 and 623)	A102				
Does the report cover all periods for which financial statements are presented? (AU Sec. 508.08 and .65)	A103				
If the financial statements of a prior period have been audited by a predecessor auditor whose report is not presented, has the successor auditor included the appropriate reference to the predecessor auditor in the introductory paragraph? (AU Sec. 508.74)	A104				
If supplementary information accompanies the basic financial statements, does the auditor describe in the report the degree of responsibility, if any, the auditor is taking? (AU Secs. 551 for accompanying information and 558 "for required supplementary information")	A105				
For special reports, have professional standards been complied with regarding:					
Statements prepared in accordance with a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles? (AU Sec. 623.01–.10)	A106				
Specified elements, accounts or items of a financial statement? (AU Sec. 623.11–.18)	A107				
Compliance with aspects of agreements or regulatory requirements related to audited financial statements? (AU Sec. 623.19–.21)	A108				
Financial presentations to comply with contractual agreements or regulatory provisions? (AU Sec. 623.22–.30)	A109				
Financial information in prescribed forms or schedules (AU Sec. 623.32 and .33)	A110				
For reports on financial statements of a U.S. entity that have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country for use outside the United States, has there been compliance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 534)	A111				

^{*} The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
If the use of the report has been restricted, is there compliance with the applicable provisions of SAS No. 87? (AU Sec. 532)	A112				
If the entity has:					
Reported changes resulting from a transaction or event, did the auditor not add a consistency explanatory paragraph to the auditor's report? (AU Sec. 420.08)	A113				
Had a pooling of interest that was not accounted for retroactively in the comparative financial statements, did the auditor not add a consistency explanatory paragraph to the auditor's report? (AU Sec. 420.09)	N A114				
Had single-year financial statements that reported a pooling of interest that did not disclose combined information for prior year, did the auditor add a consistency explanatory paragraph to the auditor's report? (AU Sec. 420.22)	A115				
Financial Statements and Notes					
General					
Are the financial statements suitably titled? (AU Sec. 623.07 and .24)	A116				
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Significant accounting policies? (AC Sec. A10.105–.108; for OCBOA, AU Secs. 623.09, .10, and 9623.88–.93)	A117				
Accounting changes? (AC Sec. A06)	A118				
Comparative financial statements? (AC Sec. F43)	A119				
Business combinations? (AC Sec. B50)	A120				
For business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 or for those accounted for using the purchase method on or after July 1, 2001, except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises, did acquirer,					
Account for the transaction as purchase as required by FASB Statement No. 141, <i>Business Combinations</i> ? (pars. 13 and 14)	A121				
Recognize an intangible asset, separate from goodwill if it arises from contractual or other legal rights? (par. 39)	A122				
If an intangible asset does not arise from contractual or other legal rights, recognize a separate intangible asset, apart from goodwill, if the intangible asset is capable of being divided from the acquired entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented, or exchanged either separately or in combination with a related contract, asset, or	4.100				
liability? (par. 39)	A123				
Recognize goodwill for the excess of the cost over the net amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed, other than amounts assigned to separable intangible assets? (par. 43)	A124				
Reduce the amounts that otherwise would have been assigned to all of the acquired assets on a pro rata basis, if the fair value of the acquired net assets exceeds acquisition cost? Recognize an extraordinary gain in the acquisition period, if any excess remains after					
reducing the acquired assets? (pars. 44 and 45)	A125				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Document the basis for and method of determining the purchase price of the acquired entity? (par. 50)	A126				
Include all required financial statement disclosures? (pars. 51–58)	A127				
Are all majority-owned subsidiaries consolidated in the financial statements, unless consolidation is specifically not required by professional standards? (AC Sec. C51.102 and .103)	A128				
If an individual or entity controls a group of related entities, did the auditor consider whether combined financial statements may be more meaningful? (AC Sec. C51.121 and .122)	A129				
For financial instruments, is information about concentrations of credit risk, and if required, about fair value (including the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value) disclosed? (AC Sec. F25.105G, .115A–.115K, and .116A–.116D)	A130				
For derivative instruments and hedging activities, is there compliance with the applicable accounting and disclosure provisions of FAS No. 133, as amended by FAS No. 138? (AC Sec. F25 and AC Sec. D50)	A131				
Effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000, for companies that have not adopted FAS No. 133 for derivative financial instruments and hedging activities, the company must adopt FAS No. 138 concurrently with FAS No. 133. Therefore, in conjunction with the adoption of FAS No. 133, have derivative financial instruments and hedging activities been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with FAS No. 138, <i>Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities (an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133)</i> ? (AC Sec. D50)	A132				
Are transfers of financial assets, the servicing of financial assets and the extinguishment of liabilities properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Secs. F35 and F39)	A133				
The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities—a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125. FAS No. 140:					
• Replaces FAS No. 125;					
 Revises the guidance concerning accounting for securitizations and other transfers of financial assets and collateral; and 					
• Requires certain disclosures not included in FAS No. 125. With respect to FAS No. 140 accounting and disclosure requirements: (AC Sec. F39)					
Are transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities occurring after March 31, 2001 properly accounted for and disclosed?	A134				
For fiscal years ending after December 15, 2000, has securitization transactions and the recognition and reclassification of collateral been properly accounted for and disclosed?	A135				
Are required disclosures made concerning related-party transactions? (AC Sec. R36)	A136				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No_	Ref.
Are required disclosures about risks and uncertainties made regarding the nature of operations, the use of estimates, certain significant estimates, and current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations? (SOP 94-6, TPA Sec. 10,640)	A137				
Are insurance and reinsurance contracts that do not transfer insurance risk properly accounted and disclosed? (AC Sec. 150 and SOP 98-7 [TPA Sec. 10,760])	A138				
Are foreign currency transactions and translation of financial statements denominated in a foreign currency properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. F60)	A139				
Are foreign operations and export sales adequately accounted for? (AC Sec. F65)	A140				
Are nonmonetary transactions properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Secs. C11.102 and N35)	A141				
With respect to contingencies and commitments:					
Are loss contingencies accrued and/or disclosed as appropriate? (AC Sec. C59.104–.116, and. 120)	A142				
Are other contingencies and commitments adequately disclosed, including environmental remediation-related matters? (AC Secs. C32.102–.105 and C59.116–.120; SOP 96-1, pars. 142–173 [TPA Sec. 10,680.142–.173])	A143				
Are the financial statements adjusted, where appropriate, for the effect of subsequent events and do they include disclosure of significant subsequent events, whether or not adjustments were made? (AC Sec. C59.105 and .115 and AU Secs. 560.01–.09 and 561)	A144				
Have defined benefit pension plans been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P16.150, .150A, .153A, .166, .167, and .187)	A145				
Have other pension plans been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P16)	A146				
Have postretirement plans other than pensions been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P40.169, .169A, .172, .173, .178, and .179)	A147				
Have postemployment benefits (after employment, but before retirement) been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Secs. P32 and P40)	A148				
Have defined contribution pension plans been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with SOP 99-3 (TPA Sec. 10,790)?	A149				
If the entity is or has been a "development stage enterprise," are adequate disclosures made? (AC Sec. De4.105–.109)	A150				
Do the financial statements, where required, include appropriate presentations of employee stock ownership plans (ESOPs)? (SOP 93-6, TPA Sec. 10,580)	A151				
Are adjustments of financial statements for prior periods appropriate? (AC Sec. A35)	A152				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
If a quasi-reorganization or corporate readjustment has occurred, has					
the proper accounting been applied and is the new retained earnings					
account dated? (AC Sec. Q15)	A153				
Balance Sheet	1				
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:	E				
Segregation of assets and liabilities, if applicable, into current and noncurrent classifications? (AC Sec. B05)	A154				
Valuation allowances? (AC Sec. V18)	A155				
Restricted cash (e.g., compensating balances)? (AC Secs. B05.107 and C59.120)	A156				
Classification of debt and equity securities as held-to-maturity (debt securities only), trading, or available-for-sale, and presentation of unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities in a separate component of shareholders' equity? (AC Sec. I80.103–.110)	A157				
Accounts and Notes Receivable:					
Loans and related origination fees? (AC Sec. L20.104–.106 and .120)	A158				
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. I69.109)	A159				
Effect of troubled debt restructurings? (AC Sec. D22.136 and .137)	A160				
Other receivables?	A161				
The recorded investments in loans for which impairment has been recognized, the total allowance for credit losses related to those impaired loans, the activity in the allowance for credit losses account, and the creditor's income recognition policy? (AC Sec. I08.118 and .118A)	A162				
Inventories? (AC Secs. B05.105 and I78)	A163				
Investments accounted for under the equity method? (AC Sec. I82.109 and .110)	A164				
Property and equipment, including accounting for the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used and for long-lived assets to be disposed of, assets of discontinued operations, investment credit, and capitalized interest? (AC Secs. D40.105, I08.122–.138, I13.108, and I67)	A165				
Sales-type, direct financing, leveraged, and operating leases of lessors? (AC Sec. L10.119 and .143–.149)	A166				
Other assets, including intangible assets, unamortized computer software costs, deferred tax assets and deferred charges? Were the appropriate reviews made for the impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicated that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable? (AC Secs. I08, I27.140–.143, I60, and Co2.102–.110; SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720])	A167				

	Ques.	N/A	_Yes_	_No_	Ref.
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired before July 1, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, does the company's accounting and reporting comply with FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	A168				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001(except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), does the company apply the amortization and non-amortization requirements of FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets?	E 1 _{A169}				
Pledged assets? (AC Sec. C59.120)	A170				
Related assets and liabilities? [These may be offset in the balance sheet only when all of the following conditions are met: (1) each party owes the other determinable amounts, (2) the reporting party has the right to set off the amount owed with the amount owed by the other party, (3) the reporting party intends to set off, and (4) the right to set off is enforceable at law.] (AC Sec. B10)	A171				
Current liabilities? (AC Sec. B05.108, .109, .109A, and .118)	A172				
Short-term liabilities expected to be refinanced? (AC Sec. B05.110–.118, .138, and .139)	A173				
Notes payable and other debt:					
Maturities and rates? (AC Sec. C32.104 and .105)	A174				
Other terms and covenants? (AC Sec. C59.120; SOP 97-1, par. 17)	A175				
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. I69)	A176				
Effect of troubled debt restructurings? (AC Sec. D22.121–.122)	A177				
Effect of early extinguishment of debt? (AC Sec. I17.104)	A178				
Maturities and sinking fund requirements for the next five years? (AC Sec. C32.105)	A179				
Capital leases of lessees? (AC Sec. L10.106, .107, and .112)	A180				
Other liabilities and deferred credits, including environmental remediation liabilities, classification of deferred tax liabilities, employees' compensation for future absences, special termination benefits to employees and deferred revenue? (AC Secs. C44.104–.108 and I27.140 and SOP 96-1, Chs. 5–7 [TPA Sec. 10,680])	A181				
Capital stock (number of shares authorized, issued and outstanding, par or stated value per share, rights and preferences of various classes)? (AC Secs. C16 and C24)	A182				
Treasury stock? (AC Sec. C23)	A183				
Stock option and stock purchase plans? (AC Sec. C47)	A184				
Does the company's accounting for compensatory and noncompensatory stock compensation comply with Interpretation No. 44 with respect to (AC Sec. C47.147–.237):					
The definition of employee for purposes of applying Opinion No. 25?	A185				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
The criteria for determining whether a plan qualifies as a non-compensatory plan?	A186				
The accounting consequence of various modifications to the terms of a previously fixed stock option or award? and	A187				
The accounting for an exchange of stock compensation awards in a business combination?	A188				
Stock subscriptions receivable? (EITF 85–1)	A189				
Retained earnings, including appropriations thereof and restrictions on dividends? (AC Secs. C59.120 and R70.103)	A190				
Changes in stockholders' equity? (AC Secs. C08.102, .102A; and A35.103, .105 and .107; and C49.106–.108)	A191				
Redemption requirements on capital stock for the next five years? (AC Secs. C24.106 and C32.105; for redemption requirements outside of the issuer's control, e.g., mandatory redeemable preferred stock, TPA Sec. 4110.08)	A192				
Income Statement					
Are the important components of the income statement separately disclosed?	A193				
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Method of income recognition, where appropriate, for example: long-term contracts and real estate transactions? (AC Secs. R10, and Co5)	A194				
Realized gains and losses and unrealized holding gains and losses for changes in fair value and transfers between categories of investments? (AC Sec. I80)	A195				
Application of the equity method? (AC Sec. I82.109)	A196				
Advertising costs? (SOP 93-7 [TPA Sec. 10,590])	A197				
Research and developmental costs? (AC Sec. R50)	A198				
Costs of start-up activities? (SOP 98-5 [TPA Sec. 10,750])	A199				
Computer software costs? (AC Sec. Co2.110 and SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720])	A200				
Capitalization of interest costs? (AC Sec. I67)	A201				
Discount or premium on notes receivable or payable? (AC Sec. I69.108–.109)	A202				
Recognition of impairment of long-lived assets? (AC Sec. I08.122–.138)	A203				
Depreciation? (AC Sec. D40)	A204				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired before July 1, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, does the company's accounting and reporting comply with FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	A205				
For goodwill or other intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001 (except for not-for-profit organizations and mutual enterprises), does the company apply the amortization and non-amortization requirements of FASB Statement No. 142, <i>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</i> ?	A206				
Compensatory stock issuance plans? (AC Sec. C47)	A207				
Does the company's accounting for compensatory and noncompensatory stock compensation comply with Interpretation No. 44 with respect to (AC Sec. C47.147–.237):					
The definition of employee for purposes of applying Opinion No. 25?	A208				
The criteria for determining whether a plan qualifies as a non-compensatory plan?	A209				
The accounting consequence of various modifications to the terms of a previously fixed stock option or award? and	A210				
The accounting for an exchange of stock compensation awards in a business combination?	A211				
Deferred compensation agreements? (AC Sec. C38)	A212				
Sales transactions in which the buyer has a right to return the product? (AC Sec. R75.107–.109)	A213				
Product financing arrangements? (AC Sec. D18.106 and .107)	A214				
Operating leases and rent expenses of lessees? (AC Sec. L10.111 and .112)	A215				
Software revenue recognition? (SOP 97-2 [TPA Sec. 10,700], SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720], SOP 98-4 [TPA Sec. 10,740], and SOP 98-9 [TPA Sec. 10,770])	A216				
Income taxes:					
The types of temporary differences and carryforwards that cause significant portions of a deferred tax liability or asset? (AC Sec. I27.140–.143)	A217				
Significant components of income tax expense, including the current tax expense or benefit, deferred tax expense or benefit, investment tax credits, government grants that reduce income tax expense, the benefits of operating loss carryforwards, adjustments due to changes in tax laws, rates, tax status, and the income tax expense or benefit allocated to each component of other comprehensive income? (AC Secs. I27.144, C49.118, and .119)	A218				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Components of the net deferred tax liability or asset including, if present, the total of all deferred tax liabilities, total of all deferred tax assets, the total valuation allowance, and the net change in the valuation allowance? (AC Sec. I27.142 and .143)	A219				
Significant reconciling items between GAAP income tax expense or benefit attributable to continuing operations and the amount of income tax expense that would result from applying	E				
the federal statutory rates to pre-tax income or loss from con- tinuing operations? (A nonpublic company needs to disclose the nature of such items; a public company also needs to include a	N				
reconciliation using percents or amounts) (AC Sec. I27.146)	A220				
Amounts and expiration dates of operating loss and tax credit carryforwards for tax purposes? (AC Sec. I27.147)	A221				
Discontinued operations? (AC Sec. I13)	A222				
Extraordinary items? (AC Sec. I17)	A223				
Unusual or infrequent items, but not both? (AC Sec. I22)	A224				
If the enterprise has both net income and other comprehensive income, are total comprehensive income and the components of other comprehensive income displayed appropriately in the financial statements? (AC Sec. C49.108–.120)	A225				
Statement of Cash Flows					
Is a statement of cash flows presented for each period for which results of operations are provided? (AC Sec. C25.101)	A226				
Does it report cash provided or used by investing, financing and operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.112–.124)	A227				
Does it report the net effect of cash flows on cash and cash equivalents during the period in a manner that reconciles beginning and ending cash and cash equivalents, and did the amounts of cash and cash equivalents agree with the amounts on the balance sheet? (AC Sec.					
C25.124)	A228				
Does it provide a reconciliation between net income and net cash flow from operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.127)	A229				
Are noncash investing and financing activities disclosed? (AC Sec. C25.134)	A230				
If the indirect method of reporting net cash flows from operating activities was used, were the amounts of interest and income taxes paid disclosed? (AC Sec. C25.127)	A231				
Are cash equivalents limited to short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash and of an original maturity of three months or less, and is the enterprise's policy for determining which items are treated as cash equivalents dis-					
closed? (AC Sec. C25.106108)	A232				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Do the financial statements avoid reporting cash flow per share amounts? (AC Sec. C25.135)	A233				
Are the components of the cash flow statement shown at "gross" and not "net" amounts? (AC Sec. C25.109–.111A)	A234				
Are cash flows from purchases, sales, and maturities of available-for- sale securities and held-to-maturity securities classified as cash flows	E				
from investing activities and reported gross for each security classifi- cation, and are cash flows from purchases, sales, and maturities of trading securities classified as cash flows from operating activities?	N				
(AC Sec. I80.117)	A235				
Other					
If the industry in which the client is operating is covered by an AICPA audit and accounting guide, are the format, statements, and disclosures consistent with the guide?	A236				
Do the financial statements, where required, include appropriate presentation and disclosure of:					
Segment information? (AC Secs. S20 and S30, AU Sec. 9326.22–.35)	A237				
Earnings per share information? (AC Sec. E11)	A238				

II. GENERAL AUDIT PROCEDURES

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes	_No_	Ref.**
If applicable, has the auditor established and documented the understanding with the client regarding the engagement? (AU Sec. 310.05–.07)	A300				
In planning the audit engagement, did the auditor properly consider:	F				
Matters affecting the industry in which the entity operates, such as accounting practices, economic conditions, laws and government regulations, and technological changes? (AU Secs. 311.03 and 801.11)	A301				
Matters affecting the entity's business, such as organization and types of products and services and contractual obligations? (AU Sec. 311.03 and .04)	A302				
Preliminary judgment about materiality levels? (AU Secs. 311.03 and 312.08)	A303				
Did the auditor: (a) assess the risk of material misstatement due to fraud, (b) consider the presence of fraud risk factors, (c) inquire of management about its understanding of the risk of fraud in the entity, and (d) document evidence of the performance of the fraud assessment, including the presence of identified fraud risk factors? (AU Sec.					
316)	A304				
For identified fraud risk factors, did the auditor develop and document an appropriate audit response? (AU Sec. 316.37)	A305				
Did the auditor use analytical procedures in planning the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures? (AU Sec. 329.01 and .06–.08)	A306				
If the auditor succeeded another auditor, did the successor auditor initiate communications with the predecessor auditor to ascertain whether there were matters that might assist the auditor in determining whether to accept the engagement? (AU Sec. 315.09)	A307				
Did the successor auditor obtain satisfaction as to the account balances at the beginning of the year and consistency of the application of accounting principles in the year being audited as compared with the preceding year? (AU Sec. 315.12 and .13)	A308				
If consideration was given to the work of internal auditors in determining the scope of the audit, was it done in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 322) (For audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 1, 2001, see reference to SAS No. 94, <i>The Effect of Information Technology on the Auditor's Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit</i> , on page 20,421. SAS No. 94 substantially amends AU Section 319 to address the implications of information technology on the auditor's internal control consideration)	A309				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
For audits of financial statements for periods beginning before June 1, 2001 or the application SAS No. 94, did the auditor:					
Obtain a sufficient understanding of the entity's internal control, including whether electronic evidential matter may only exist for a specified period of time, to plan the audit? (AU Secs. 319.19, 324.07–.10, and 326.18)	A310				
Document the understanding of internal control? (AU Sec. 319.44)	A311				
Document the conclusion that control risks are at the maximum level for those financial statement assertions where control risk is assessed at the maximum level? (AU Sec. 319.57)	A312				
Document the basis for the conclusion (i.e., tests of controls) that the effectiveness of the design and operation of controls supports the assessed level of control risk when that assessed level is below the maximum level? (AU Sec. 319.57)	A313				
If the entity transmits, processes, maintains, or accesses significant information by electronic means and it is not practical or possible to reduce detection risk to an acceptable level by performing only substantive tests for one or more financial statement assertions, did the auditor perform tests of controls? (AU Sec. 326.14)	A314				
If the methods used by the client to process significant accounting information include the use of a service organization, was consideration given to the controls at the service organization that may affect the processing of the client's transactions and internal control? (AU Sec. 324.07–.10)	A315				
If the entity obtained services from another organization that are part of the entity's information system during planning, did the auditor obtain an understanding of the controls in place by the entity and by the service organization? (AU Sec. 324.07–.10)	A316				
If the auditor decides to assess control risk below the maximum for financial statement assertions affected by the processing performed by the service organization, was a service auditor's report that describes the results of the service auditor's tests of operating effectiveness obtained, were tests performed by the auditor at the service organization, and/or were tests of the client's controls over the activities of the service organization performed by the auditor, as appropriate? (AU Sec. 324.14–.16)	A317				
If the engagement included the use of the work (domestic or international) of another office, correspondent or affiliate:					
Do the instructions to the other office or firm appear adequate? (AU Sec. 311)	A318				
Does it appear that the control exercised over the work of others through supervision and review was adequate? (AU Sec. 311)	A319				
Was there appropriate follow-up of open matters? (AU Sec. 311)	A320				
In those cases where another firm was used:					
Were appropriate inquiries made regarding its independence and professional reputation? (AU Sec. 543.10)	A321				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Did the auditor adopt appropriate measures to assure coordination of his or her activities with those of the other auditor in order to achieve a proper review of matters affecting the consolidating or combining of accounts in the financial statements? (AU Sec. 543.10)	A322				
If a specialist was used (e.g., actuary, appraiser, engineer, environmental consultant, or geologist):	E				
Were the appropriate considerations and evaluations made in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 336.06–.11)	A323				
Were appropriate procedures applied to the specialist's findings? (AU Sec. 336.12)	A324				
Was an appropriately tailored, written audit program prepared? (AU Sec. 311.05 and applicable AICPA Industry Audit Guide)	A325				
Was the audit program responsive to the needs of the engagement and the understanding of internal control, including whether electronic evidential matter may only exist for a specified period of time? (AU Secs. 311.05, 319.02, and .05)	A326				
Was consideration given to the applicable assertions in developing audit objectives and in designing tests? (AU Sec. 326.09–.14)	A327				
If conditions changed during the course of the audit, was the audit program modified as appropriate in the circumstances? (AU Secs. 311.05 and 316.33–.37)	A328				
Have all the procedures called for in the audit program been signed when completed? (AU Sec. 339)	A329				
If statistical or nonstatistical sampling was used in tests of controls (AU Secs. 319 and 350):					
Did the auditor appropriately consider the specific objective of the test of controls, tolerable rate, allowable risk of overreliance, and characteristics of the population when planning the sample? (AU Sec. 350.31)	A330				
Was the sample selected in such a way that it could be expected to be representative of the population? (AU Sec. 350.39)	A331				
If the auditor concluded that the sample results did not support the planned assessed level of control risk for an assertion, were the nature, timing and extent of planned substantive procedures reevaluated based on a revised consideration of the assessed level of control risk for the relevant financial statement assertions? (AU					
Sec. 350.4043)	A332				
In evaluating the sample, was appropriate consideration given to items for which the planned test of controls or alternative procedure could not be performed, for example, because the documentation was missing? (AU Sec. 350.40)	A333				
Was the documentation of the foregoing considerations in accordance with firm policy?	A334				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
If statistical or nonstatistical sampling was used for substantive tests of details (AU Sec. 350):					
Did the auditor appropriately consider the specific audit objective, preliminary judgments about materiality levels, acceptable level of risk of incorrect acceptance, and characteristics of the population when planning the sample? (AU Sec. 350.16)	A335				
Was the sample selected in such a way that it could be expected to be representative of the population? (AU Sec. 350.24)	A336				
Were the misstatements in the sample projected to the items from which the sample was selected? (AU Sec. 350.26)	A337				
In evaluating the sample, was appropriate consideration given to items for which the planned substantive tests or alternative procedures could not be performed? (AU Sec. 350.25)	A338				
Was appropriate consideration given, in the aggregate, to projected misstatements resulting from all audit sampling applications and to all known misstatements from non-sampling applications in evaluating whether the financial statements taken as a whole may be materially misstated? (AU Sec. 350.30)	A339				
Was the documentation of the foregoing considerations in accordance with firm policy?	A340				
During the performance of the engagement, did the auditor:					
Consider the guidelines in professional standards in developing, performing, and evaluating the results of analytical procedures used as substantive tests? (AU Sec. 329)	A341				
Use analytical procedures in the overall review stage of the audit? (AU Sec. 329.01)	A342				
Has the auditor evaluated the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management? (AU Secs. 326 and 342)	A343				
Were specific procedures for determining the existence of related parties and examining identified related party transactions applied? (AU Sec. 334)	A344				
Did the auditor obtain written timely and appropriate representations from management for all periods for which financial statements are included as covered in the auditor's report? Was the representation letter properly dated? (AU Sec. 333)	A345				
Did the auditor obtain timely and appropriate responses from the entity's attorneys concerning litigation, claims, and assessments? (AU Sec. 337.08–.14)	A346				
Was appropriate consideration given in the audit, consistent with the firm's policy or guidance, to nonstandard journal entries, including those made solely in the preparation of financial statements (e.g., reclassifications and consolidation entries)?	A347				
Based on the workpapers and discussions with the engagement team, were all reviewer questions and notes adequately addressed?	A348				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	No_	<u>Ref.</u>
Was appropriate consideration given to passed adjustments and to the risk that the current period's financial statements are materially misstated when prior-period likely misstatements are considered together with likely misstatements arising in the current period? (AU Sec. 312.27 and .30)	A349				
If audit tests identify misstatements in the financial statements, did the auditor consider whether such misstatements may be indicative of fraud and consider the implications for the financial statements or develop an appropriate audit response? (AU Sec. 316)	A350				
Did the auditor consider whether the accumulated results of audit procedures and other observations affect the initial assessment of the risk of material misstatement due to fraud and if so, if the auditor believed an additional response was required, were any additional risk factors or other conditions that were identified and any further response that the auditor concluded was appropriate documented? (AU Sec. 316)	A351				
If the auditor has determined that there is evidence that fraud may exist (even if the matter might be considered inconsequential and if the fraud involves senior management or fraud causes a material misstatement of the financial statements), was the matter brought to the attention of an appropriate level of management; and was the matter reported directly to the audit committee (or others with equivalent authority and responsibility)? (AU Sec. 316)	A352				
When the auditor, as a result of the assessment of the risk of material misstatement due to fraud, has identified risk factors that have continuing control implications (whether or not transactions or adjustments that could be the result of fraud have been detected), did the auditor consider whether these risk factors represent reportable conditions relating to the entity's internal control that should be communicated to senior management and the audit committee (or others with equivalent authority and responsibility)? (AU Sec. 316)	A353				
If the auditor's procedures disclosed instances or indications of illegal acts, did the auditor:					
Follow up in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 317.10 and .11)	A354				
Consider the implications of the detected illegal act in relation to other aspects of the audit, including the reliability of the client's representations? (AU Sec. 317.16)	A355				
Communicate directly with the audit committee if the illegal act(s) involved senior management, and document that communication, and obtain assurance that all other illegal acts that came to the auditor's attention, except those that are clearly inconsequential, were adequately communicated? (AU Sec. 317.17)	A356				
Did the auditor consider if there was substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time? (AU Sec. 341.02)	A357				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
If the auditor believed that there was substantial doubt about the					
entity's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, did the auditor obtain information about manage-					
ment's plans that are intended to mitigate the effect of such condi-	1				
tions or events, and evaluate the likelihood that such plans could be implemented effectively? (AU Sec. 341.03(<i>b</i>))	A358				
If the auditor's substantial doubt was alleviated, did the auditor	11330				
consider the need for disclosure of the principal conditions and	TI				
events that initially caused the auditor to believe there was sub-					
stantial doubt together with the mitigating factors? (AU Sec. 341.11)	A359				
If the auditor's substantial doubt was not alleviated, did the audi-					
tor's report include an explanatory paragraph that adequately					
communicates the auditor's substantial doubt (i.e., include the terms "substantial doubt" and "going concern")? (AU Sec. 341.12					
and .13)	A360				
During the performance of the audit:					
If the auditor identified reportable conditions, were they commu-					
nicated to the audit committee, management and others within the					
organization in a time frame influenced by the relative significance of the matters noted and urgency of corrective action(s), and if the					
communication was oral, was it documented in the working pa-					
pers? (AU Sec. 325.09 and .18)	A361				
Do the auditor's conclusions regarding whether internal control matters noted during the audit were (or were not) reportable					
conditions appear appropriate? (AU Sec. 325)	A362				
If a report was issued on reportable conditions, did it indicate that					
the purpose of the audit was to report on the financial statements					
and not to provide assurance on internal control, and did it include the definition of reportable conditions and the restriction on distri-					
bution? (AU Sec. 325.11 and SAS No. 87)	A363				
Has the auditor complied with the requirement not to issue a					
written representation stating that no reportable conditions were noted during an audit? (AU Sec. 325.17)	A364				
If the auditor was engaged to prepare or perform procedures on	71304				
interim financial information filed with a specified regulatory agency					
and the auditor became aware of matters that caused the auditor to					
believe such information was probably materially misstated as a result of a departure from generally accepted accounting principles,					
did the auditor make the appropriate communication? (AU Sec.					
722.20 and .21)	A365				
Did the auditor perform appropriate procedures regarding events subsequent to the balance-sheet date, but prior to the date of the					
report? (AU Sec. 560)	A366				
Subsequent to the date of the audit report, if the auditor became aware					
of information that may have existed at the report date and that might have affected his or her audit report on the financial statements, had					

have affected his or her audit report on the financial statements, had

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
the auditor then been aware of such information, did the auditor consider the guidance in professional standards in determining an appropriate course of action, and does the matter appear to be properly resolved? (AU Sec. 561)	A367				
If there is an indication that the auditor, subsequent to the date of the report, concluded that one or more auditing procedures considered necessary at the time of the audit of the financial statements in the then existing circumstances were omitted from the audit, did the auditor consider the guidance in professional standards in determining an appropriate course of action, and does the matter appear to be properly resolved? (AU Sec. 390)	E N A368				
Where there is an audit committee or some other formal oversight group, or the client is an SEC client, did the auditor:					
Ensure that the appropriate matters were communicated to those with responsibility for oversight of the financial reporting process? (AU Sec. 380.01 and .02)	A369				
If the communication was in writing, include a statement that it was intended solely for the use of the audit committee or the board of directors, and if appropriate, management? (AU Sec. 380.03 and SAS No. 87)	A370				
If the communication was oral, document the information communicated by appropriate memoranda or notations in the working papers? (AU Sec. 380.03)	A371				
With respect to uncorrected financial statement misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current engagement, has the auditor:					
Obtained an understanding with the entity's management that management is responsible for adjusting the financial statements to correct material misstatements? (AU Sec. 310.06 and SAS No. 89)	A372				
Obtained a representation letter from the entity's management that includes an acknowledgement that it has considered the financial statement misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented, and has concluded that any uncorrected misstatements are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole? (AU Sec. 333.06g and SAS No. 89)	A373				
Informed the audit committee about uncorrected misstatements aggregated during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented that was determined by the entity's management to be immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole? (AU Sec. 380.10 and SAS No. 89)	A374				
Effective for reports issued or reissued after June 30, 2001, the Auditing Standards Board issued Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, <i>Omnibus Statement on Auditing Standards—2000</i> . Among other amendments, SAS No. 93 amends SAS No. 58 (AU Sec. 508) to require a reference to the country of origin of: (1) the accounting principles used to prepare financial statements and (2) the auditing standards the auditor followed in performing the audit. For reports issued or reis-					

sued after the effective date:

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Does the report include a reference to the country of origin of the accounting principles used to prepare the financial statements?	A375				
Does the report include a reference to the country of origin of the auditing standards the auditor followed in performing the audit?	A376				
For audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after fune 1, 2001, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94, The Effect of Information Technology on the Auditor's Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit, substantially amends AU Sec. 319 to address the implications of information technology on the auditor's internal control consideration. For financial statement audits after the effective date,	E				
Did the auditor consider information technology ("IT") risks that could result in misstatements in making a judgment about the understanding of internal control necessary to plan the audit and the nature, timing, and extent of procedures the auditor chooses to perform to obtain an understanding? (par. 25)	A377				
Did the auditor consider whether specialized skills were needed for the auditor to determine the effect of IT on the audit, to under- stand the IT controls, or to design and perform tests of IT controls or substantive tests? (par. 31)	A378				
Did the auditor obtain an understanding of the automated and manual procedures the entity uses to prepare financial statements and related disclosures, and how misstatements may occur and did the understanding include the procedures used to: (par. 51)					
Enter transaction totals into the general ledger?	A379				
Initiate, record, and process journal entries in the general ledger, including standard journal entries and nonstandard journal entries?	A380				
Record recurring and nonrecurring adjustments to the financial statements that are not reflected in formal journal entries?	A381				
Did the auditor document his or her understanding of the entity's internal control components obtained to plan the audit? (par. 61)	A382				
In determining whether to assess control risk at the maximum level or at a lower level that would be effective for specific assertions, did the auditor consider: (par. 67)					
The nature of the assertion?	A383				
The volume of transactions or data related to the assertion?	A384				
The nature and complexity of the systems, including the use of information technology, by which the entity processes and controls information supporting the assertion?	A385				
The nature of the available evidential matter, including evidential matter that is available only in electronic form?	۸386				

If the auditor determined that it was not possible to design effective substantive tests that by themselves provided sufficient evidence that the one or more financial statement assertions are not materially	
the one or more financial statement assertions are not materially	
misstated because a significant amount of the associated information	
is electronically initiated, recorded, processed, and reported, did the	
auditor perform tests of controls to gather evidence to use in assessing control risk? (pars. 68 and 69) A387	
Did the auditor document his or her conclusions about the: (par. 83)	
Assessed level of control risk?	
Control risk is at the maximum level for financial statement asser-	
tions where control risk is assessed at the maximum level? A389	
The basis for his or her conclusion that the effectiveness of the	
design and operation of controls supports the assessed level of control risk for those assertions where the assessed level of control	
risk is below the maximum level? A390	

III. WORKING PAPER AREAS

Note: Complete the sections determined to be key areas. In addition, the Commitments and Contingencies, Revenue and Expenditure/Expenses, and Other sections must always be completed.

In the audit areas reviewed, the reviewer should evaluate whether the reviewed firm has obtained sufficient competent evidential matter to form conclusions concerning the validity of the assertions of material significance embodied in the financial statements as described in AU Sec. 326. The questions contained in each section represent some of the audit procedures or tests that the reviewed firm might have undertaken to form conclusions in support of financial statement assertions of material significance. If an audit area is not reviewed because it does not represent a key area for that engagement, the reviewer should place an "X" in the box below the name of the working paper area. (As indicated on page 20,402, the reviewer should indicate the reason(s) for not reviewing a key audit area; in such circumstances, the reviewer should not place an "X" below the area.)

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	Yes	_No_	<u>Ref.**</u>
Cash					
□ Not a key area					
Were reconciling items cleared by reference to subsequent statements obtained either directly from the bank or from the client and appropriately tested?	A401				
Was due consideration given to cash transactions shortly before and shortly after the balance sheet date to determine whether they were recorded in the proper period?	A402				
Do the working papers indicate that the following were considered: (AU Secs. 326, 330, and 339)					
Confirmation of cash balances?	A403				
Restriction on cash balances?	A404				
Confirmation of bank credit arrangements such as compensating balances?	A405				
Confirmation of liabilities and contingent liabilities to banks?	A406				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of cash appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A407				
Receivables					
□ Not a key area					
Were accounts receivable confirmed and appropriate follow-up steps taken, including second requests and alternative procedures? (AU Sec. 330.24–.30 and .34)	A408				
If confirmation work was performed prior to year end, is there evidence that adequate substantive procedures were applied to the period from the confirmation date to the balance-sheet date? (AU Sec.					
313)	A409				

 $^{^{*}}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
If a significant number and amount of the accounts receivable selected for positive confirmation did not respond, is there evidence that alternative auditing procedures were performed? (AU Sec. 330.31 and .32)	A410				
Were significant notes receivable confirmed as of a date consistent with the auditor's assessment of inherent, control and detection risks? (AU Sec. 313)	A411				
Were the results of confirmation and alternative procedures summarized and were appropriate conclusions included in the working papers? (AU Sec. 330.33)	A412				
Was collateral (if any) for receivables tested with respect to existence, ownership and value?	A413				
Were adequate tests of discounts and allowances made?	A414				
Was the reasonableness of allowances for doubtful accounts covered in the working papers and collectibility of receivables adequately considered? (AU Sec. 312.29)	A415				
Is there evidence in the working papers that inquiry was made and consideration given to whether receivables are sold, pledged, assigned, or otherwise encumbered?	A416				
Was receivable work coordinated with the tests of revenue, including cut-off tests?	A417				
Were appropriate procedures applied to notes receivable regarding imputation of interest (if necessary), carrying amount, fair value, and recognition of related interest income? (AC Secs. I69 and F25.115A–.115K)	A418				
If accounts receivable confirmations were not requested, has the auditor documented how the presumption for such requests was overcome and were the reasons appropriate? (AU Sec. 330.34 and .35)	A419				
Has sufficient audit evidence been obtained to determine that impaired loans (e.g., impaired accounts receivable with terms exceeding one year, notes receivable and other loans) are carried at the present value of the loans' expected future cash flows discounted at the loans' effective interest rates, or at observable market prices, or at the fair value of the collateral if the loans are collateral dependent? (AC Sec. I08.111)	A420				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of receivables appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A421				
Inventories					
□ Not a key area					
Where the physical inventory is taken at a date other than the balance-sheet date (or where rotating procedures are used), do the working papers indicate that consideration was given to inventory activities between the inventory date(s) and the balance-sheet date? (AU Sec. 313.08 and .09)	A422				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Do the working papers contain evidence that counts were correctly made and recorded (i.e., was control over inventory tags or count sheets maintained and were test count quantities reconciled with the counts reflected in the final inventory)? (AU Sec. 331.09)	A423				
Were physical inventories observed at all locations where material amounts are located?	A424				
Where physical inventory in the hands of others was not observed, were inventory confirmations received and/or other appropriate procedures performed (e.g., inventory in public warehouses, on consignment, etc.)? (AU Sec. 331.14)	A425				
If perpetual inventory records are maintained, do the working papers indicate that differences disclosed by the physical inventory (or cycle counts) are properly reflected in the accounts?	A426				
Do the working papers indicate that there were adequate tests of:					
The clerical accuracy of the inventory?	A427				
Costing methods and substantiation of costs used in pricing all elements (raw materials, work in progress, finished goods) of the inventory?	A428				
Were the results of inventory observations and other tests summarized and were appropriate conclusions drawn?	A429				
Where LIFO is used, did the auditor consider whether the client's LIFO techniques are generally consistent with those in the AICPA's issues paper on LIFO? (File 3175 November 30, 1984)	A430				
Do the working papers indicate that consideration was given to whether the inventory was carried at lower of cost or market (including consideration of obsolete or slow-moving inventory)?	A431				
Were inquiries concerning purchase and sales commitments made, including consideration of any possible adverse effects?	A432				
Were appropriate inventory cut-off tests performed?	A433				
Do the working papers indicate that steps were performed to determine if any inventory is pledged?	A434				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of inventory appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319 and AC Sec. I78)	A435				
Investments					
□ Not a key area					
Was a summary schedule prepared (or obtained) and details examined with respect to the description, purchase price and date, changes during the period, income, etc., of investments? (AU Sec. 332.23)	A436				
Were securities either examined or confirmed? (AU Sec. 332.04)	A437				
Were market quotations or other evidence of the current value of investments inspected? (AU Sec. 322.24–.30)	A438				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Did the auditor consider whether the enterprise's investment activities corroborated or conflicted with management's stated intent for investments and where applicable, whether the enterprise has the ability to hold a debt security to maturity? (AU Sec. 332.09)	A439				
Did the auditor obtain written representations from management confirming that the enterprise has properly classified securities as held-to-maturity, trading, or available-for-sale, and with respect to held-to-maturity securities, that management has the intent and the entity has the ability to hold such investments to maturity? (AU Sec. 332.11)	E NA440				
Was the computation of realized and unrealized gains and losses tested by the auditor?	A441				
Do the working papers reflect that consideration was given to the appropriateness of the carrying values of securities and their classification? (AC Sec. I80)	A442				
Was consideration given to the possible impairment of the carrying value of investments that are classified as held-to-maturity or available-for-sale? (AU Sec. 332.31, .32 and EITF 85-39)	A443				
Do the working papers indicate that consideration was given to investments that were pledged, restricted, or had limitations on their marketability?	A444				
For investments accounted for on the equity method, were financial statements and other information reviewed to support the amounts presented or the note disclosures made? (AU Sec. 332.13–.22)	A445				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of investments appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A446				
Effective for audits of financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after June 30, 2001, the Auditing Standards Board issued SAS No. 92, Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities. The SAS supercedes SAS No. 81 (AU Sec. 332), Auditing Investments. For engagements performed on or after the effective date, if derivative financial instruments, hedging activities and/or investment securities, as defined by SAS No. 92, were present, did the auditor:					
Assess inherent and control risk for the required audit assertions (existence, completeness, rights and obligations, valuation, and presentation and disclosure) about derivatives and investment securities?	A447				
Develop substantive procedures for derivative instruments and investment securities consistent with the results of the risk assessment to address the auditing assertions?	A448				
Prepaid Expenses, Intangible Assets, Deferred Charges, etc.					
□ Not a key area					
Were adequate tests made for all material:					
Prepaid expenses?	A449				
Intangible assets? (AC Sec. I60)	A450				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Deferred charges?	A451				
Other?	A452				
Is there adequate support for the deferral and amortization (or lack thereof) of these types of assets?	A453				
Were reviews made of the continuing value and possible impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets? (AC Sec. I60)	A454				
If insurance policies were pledged as collateral or subjected to premium financing, did the auditor consider whether the accounting for the related loans was appropriate? (AC Sec. I69)	A455				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of prepaid expenses, intangible assets, deferred charges, etc., appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A456				
Property, Plant and Equipment					
□ Not a key area					
Was a summary schedule prepared (or obtained) to show beginning balances, changes during the period and ending balances for:					
Property, plant and equipment?	A457				
Accumulated depreciation?	A458				
Do the tests appear adequate and were proper conclusions drawn with respect to:					
Additions (e.g., by examining supporting documents and/or physical inspection)?	A459				
Retirements, etc. (including examining miscellaneous income, scrap sales)?	A460				
The adequacy of the current and accumulated provisions for depreciation and depletion?	A461				
Consideration of asset impairment including the status of idle facilities? (AC Sec. I08.122–.130)	A462				
Do the working papers indicate that the auditor considered whether property was subject to liens?	A463				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of property, plant and equipment appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A464				
Liabilities					
□ Not a key area					
Were accounts payable tested adequately for existence?	A465				
Was an adequate search performed for unrecorded liabilities at the balance sheet date?	A466				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Was the payables work coordinated with the testing of the purchases cut off?	A467				
Was consideration given to expenses that might require accrual (e.g., pensions, compensated absences, other postretirement benefits, postemployment benefits provided to former or inactive employees prior to retirement), and to whether the accrued expenses were reasonably stated? (AC Secs. P16, C44, P32, and P40)	A468				
Were significant notes and bonds payable, together with interest rates and repayment periods, etc., confirmed, or alternative procedures applied?	A469				
Were appropriate procedures applied to notes payable regarding imputation of interest (if necessary), carrying amount, fair value, and recognition of related interest expense? (AC Secs. I69 and F25.115A–.115K)	A470				
Do the working papers indicate that the auditor reviewed compliance with the covenants of the enterprise's debt obligations?	A471				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of liabilities appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A472				
Deferred Credits					
□ Not a key area					
Do the working papers indicate that:					
The basis of deferring income is reasonable and consistent from year to year?	A473				
Deferrals have been established on a reasonable basis?	A474				
Income Taxes (AC Sec. I27)					
□ Not a key area					
Were the current and deferred tax accrual accounts and related provisions analyzed and appropriate auditing procedures applied?	A475				
Were adequate auditing procedures performed regarding the adequacy of the valuation allowance related to any deferred tax assets?	A476				
Do the working papers contain evidence that, in determining the adequacy of the income tax accruals and provisions, appropriate consideration was given to possible adjustments required for:					
Tax positions taken by the client that might be challenged by the taxing authorities and/or other tax contingencies?	A477				
Possible assessments, penalties or interest, including similar adjustments to years not yet examined?	A478				
Based upon the review of the financial statements and working papers, and if necessary, discussions with engagement personnel, does it appear that substantive tax matters applicable to this engagement were given adequate consideration?	A479				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Commitments and Contingencies					
Do the working papers contain evidence of the following:					
Inspection of minutes of meetings of the stockholders, board of directors, and executive and other committees of the board?	A480				
Inspection of contracts, loan agreements, leases, and correspondence from taxing and other governmental agencies, and similar documents?	A481				
Accumulation and analysis of confirmation responses from banks and lawyers?	A482				
Inquiries of and discussions with management including management's written representations concerning liabilities, litigation, claims, assessments and regulatory requirements as applicable? (AU Secs. 333 and 337.05)	A483				
Procedures regarding other contingent liabilities (such as buy/sell agreements) or guarantees?	A484				
Have all material contingencies been properly considered, documented, and reported? (AC Sec. C59)	A485				
Was appropriate consideration given to issues related to known environmental matters? (SOP 96-1, Chs. 5 and 7 [TPA Sec. 10,680])	A486				
Capital Accounts					
□ Not a key area					
Were changes in capitalization checked to authorizations?	A487				
If applicable, was confirmation received from the registrar, or was examination made of stock certificate records?	A488				
Do the working papers indicate that there were adequate inquiries and review of applicable documents, if necessary, regarding stock options, warrants, rights, redemptions and conversion privileges?	A489				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of the capital accounts appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A490				
Income and Expenses					
Were tests of payrolls, including account distribution, made where appropriate?	A491				
Do the tests of the pension and profit sharing (including the effects of ERISA) expenses and liabilities appear adequate? (AC Sec. P16)	A492				
Were appropriate analytical procedures used in substantive tests of revenue and expenses for the period? (AU Sec. 329)	A493				
Was adequate consideration given to:					
The client's revenue recognition policy? (AC Sec. A10.105)	A494				
Income recognition on transactions where the earnings process was not complete?	A495				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	Ref.
Unusual sales transactions?	A496				
Income recognition when the right of return exists? (AC Sec. R75.105–.109)	A497				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests (analytical procedures and tests of details) of revenue and expenses appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A498				
Other SECTIO	N				
Have leases been reviewed to determine that capital, operating, sales, and direct financing leases have been properly accounted for? (AC Sec. L10)	A499				
Were appropriate procedures applied regarding accompanying information (AU Sec. 551.06 and .08) and/or "required supplementary information" (AU Sec. 558)?	A500				
Review of Interim Financial Information:					
Were appropriate procedures performed? (AU Sec. 722.12–.19)	A501				
If required by firm policy, was a checklist containing the procedures used?	A502				
If consolidated statements are presented:					
Have intercompany balances and transactions been eliminated? (AC Sec. C51.109)	A503				
If the financial reporting periods of one or more subsidiaries differ from that of the parent, was recognition given to the effects of intervening events that materially affect financial position, results of operations or cash flows? (AC Sec. C51.107)	A504				
Was appropriate consideration given to the carrying value of long-term contracts in relation to their contract prices, estimated costs to complete, and degree of completion? (SOP 81-1, pars. 78–.89 [TPA Sec. 10,330.78–.89])	A505				
Did the auditor determine whether contributions made were recognized as expenses in the period made and measured at the fair values of the assets given, or if made in the form of a settlement or cancellation of a donee's liabilities, at the fair value of the liabilities canceled? (AC Sec. C67.114)	A506				
Were the audit procedures regarding financial instruments appropriately designed, executed, and documented in the working papers?	A507				

IV. FUNCTIONAL AREAS[†]

	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	<u>Ref.**</u>
Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (QC Sec. 20.09 and .10)					
If anything has been noted that may indicate a lack of independence, integrity, and objectivity, was the matter identified and appropriately resolved by the firm and its effects appropriately considered? (ET Secs. 101 and 102, and applicable rules and regulations)	A601				
Have engagement personnel (including leased and per diem employ- ees) been appropriately advised of the need to observe independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements concerning the client and any related nonclient parent, investor, investee, subsidiary, or affiliate?	A602				
Was appropriate assurance of independence obtained from other firms engaged to audit segments or component units of the entity?	A603				
Were the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services paid prior to issuance of the report for the current engagement? (ET Sec. 191.103 and .104)	A604				
For SEC clients, if the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services were not paid prior to the commencement of the current engagement, were the SEC's rules for unpaid professional fees adhered to?	A605				
For SEC Registrants, does the firm maintain a database ("Restricted Entity List") that includes this entity and all restricted entities? (SECPS Sec. 1000.46)	A606				
For SEC registrants, did the auditor:					
Disclose at least annually to the audit committee of the company (or the board of directors if there is no audit committee), in writing, all relationships between the auditor and its related entities and the company and its related entities that in the auditor's professional judgment may reasonably be thought to bear on Independence? (Auditor's and audit committees of first-time registrants shall have these communications before the company's initial offering of securities to the public.)	A607				
Confirm in the letter that, in the auditor's professional judgment, that the auditor is independent of the company within the meaning of the Securities Acts?	A608				
Discuss the auditor's independence with the audit committee?	A609				
If the required communication was not made, did the firm take appropriate action to remedy promptly the violations of the inde-					
pendence standard upon discovery?	A610				

[†] The AICPA's Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice provides guidance on how to develop and implement a system of quality control for a firm's accounting and auditing practice in compliance with the requirements of Statements on Quality Control Standards Nos. 2, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC Sec. 20), as amended, 3, Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC Sec. 30), and 5, The Personnel Management Element of a Firm's System of Quality Control—Competencies Required by a Practitioner-in-charge of an Attest Engagement (QC Sec. 40).

 $^{^{\}ast}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
For SEC registrants, the Independence Standards Board ("ISB") issued Independence Standards No. 2, <i>Certain Independence Implications of Audits of Mutual Funds and Related Entities</i> , that is effective for audits of publicly-held companies with fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000. For engagements performed on or after the effective date:					
Was the auditing firm independent of all of the entities within the mutual fund complex?	A611				
Was the auditing firm itself and its retirement plans (other than self-directed defined contribution employee benefit plans, such as 401(k) plans) as well as the audit engagement team and those in a position to influence the audit, while performing the audit of:	N				
A fund, independent of all sister funds?	A612				
A related non-fund entity; independent of all related non-client funds (all funds in the complex)?	A613				
One or more funds; independent of all related non-fund entities in the mutual fund complex?	A614				
Personnel Management (QC Sec. 20.1113)					
Were scheduling and staffing requirements identified on a timely basis and approved by appropriate personnel?	A615				
Does it appear engagement personnel (including leased and per diem employees) possessed an appropriate mix of experience, expertise, and technical training in relation to the complexity or other requirements of the engagement and the involvement of supervisory personnel?	A616				
Does it appear that the practitioner-in-charge of the engagement possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to fulfill his/her responsibilities on the engagement as noted in par. 8 of QC Sec. 40?	A617				
Did the personnel assigned to this engagement appear to be familiar with the applicable professional pronouncements (FASB, GASB, AICPA, SEC, etc.)?	A618				
Does it appear that the practitioner in charge of the engagement possessed the following knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) to fulfill their responsibilities on the engagement:					
An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's <i>Code of Professional Conduct</i> ?	A619				
An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement?	A620				
An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?	A621				
An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific					
estimates?	A622				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?	A623				
An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?	A624				
If required by firm policy, was the staff on this engagement appropriately evaluated?	A625				
Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements (QC Sec. 20.14–.16)					
Does it appear that the firm's guidelines for acceptance and continuance of client relationships, including performing specific engagements for the client, were complied with?	A626				
Engagement Performance (QC Sec. 20.17–.19)					
Were appropriate and knowledgeable engagement and supervisory personnel involved in the planning process?	A627				
Does it appear that audit planning was adequately documented in the working papers, including any changes in the original plan? (AU Secs. 311 and 339.05)	A628				
Did the owner/partner (or manager) approve the overall audit plan (including the audit program) as the final planning step and convey approval or modifications to the engagement staff?	A629				
Does it appear that hours charged by the owner/partner, manager, and where applicable, the concurring reviewer were adequate and appropriately timed to provide for planning and supervision as the job progressed?	A630				
Does the firm's system of quality control encompass policies and procedures relating to the concurring partner review process for SEC engagements? (SECPS Sec. 1000.39 and QC Sec. 20.18)	A631				
Do the firm's guidelines for concurring partner review, at a minimum, identify the types of engagements (i.e., initial audit of a SEC engagement and other high-risk engagements) for which a timely review can be made of the audit planning by the concurring partner reviewer so that any modifications will be implemented effectively during the performance of the audit? (SECPS Sec. 1000.39)	A632				
Were all forms, checklists, or questionnaires, if any, required by firm policy for the following areas adequately completed and modified, where appropriate, for the engagement:					
Planning?	A633				
Internal control?	A634				
Audit work program?	A635				
Financial statement disclosures?	A636				
Working paper and financial statement reviews?	A637				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
If standardized forms, etc., were not used for any of the above areas, is there adequate documentation of these areas?	A638				
Were the firm's guidelines for the form and content of audit working papers complied with?	A639				
If used, were such audit tools as computer auditing or statistical sampling properly evaluated by persons with training in these areas? (AU Sec. 311.10)	A640				
If required by firm policy, was an appropriate pre-issuance review made of the working papers, report, and financial statements by a person whose position in the firm is commensurate with that responsibility, to determine that the work performed was complete and conformed to professional standards and firm policy and was that review documented?	A641				
Were any circumstances noted where the firm consulted regarding an engagement matter (i.e., a complex, unusual, or a technical issue) with individuals within the firm, an external party or by researching in applicable professional literature, based on the firm's policies and procedures or where the complexity or nature of the issue warranted consultation?					
No (skip to question A648)					
Yes (respond to questions A642 to A647)					
If an individual was consulted (internally or externally), was the consultation done on a timely basis and, does it appear they were aware of all relevant facts and circumstances?	A642				
If professional literature was researched, does it appear the research was thorough and the sources consulted were complete, correct and up-to-date?	A643				
Does it appear the person(s) consulted (internally or externally) and/or the individual(s) performing the research had an appropriate level of knowledge, competence, judgment and (if applicable) author-					
ity?	A644				
Based on the facts and circumstances, were the firm's conclusions reasonable and consistent with professional standards?	A645				
Is the firm's report, the financial statements, or other information affected by the matter consistent with the results of the consultation?	A646				
If the engagement records indicated a difference of opinion between engagement personnel (including leased and per diem employees) and/or a specialist or other consultant, was the difference resolved in accordance with firm policy and was the basis of the resolution appropriately documented?	A647				
Were there any circumstances where the firm should have consulted but did not?	A648				

4-02

V. AUDITS OF SEC ENGAGEMENTS (As defined in §1000.38 of the SECPS Reference Manual)

	Ques.	<i>N/A</i> *	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.**
If required by firm policy, was an SEC checklist or other specialized checklist used?	A701				
Did the disclosures conform with SEC requirements?	A702				
Did the auditor, prior to consenting to the inclusion of his or her report in a registration statement (including when a Form 10-K is incorporated by reference into a previously filed registration statement):	N				
Read the entire prospectus and other pertinent portions of the registration statement? (AU Sec. 711)	A703				
Inquire of and obtain written representations from management about whether any events had occurred that had a material effect on the audited financial statements or that should have been disclosed to keep those financial statements from being misleading? (AU Sec. 711)	A704				
Perform the procedures described in AU Sec. 560.12?	A705				
Was an owner/partner assigned as the audit partner in charge of the	11/03				
engagement? (SECPS Sec. 1000.08e)	A706				
Was a concurring review by an owner/partner other than the audit partner in charge of the engagement conducted prior to the issuance of the report, in conformity with the firm's requirements? (SECPS Sec. 1000.08e)	A707				
The SEC Practice Section's Executive Committee revised the membership requirements for concurring partner reviews of SEC engagements. These requirements became effective for concurring partner reviews of SEC engagements whose balance sheet date is on or after October 1, 1999. For concurring partner reviews performed on or after the effective date: (SECPS Sec. 1000.39)					
Was the concurring partner review conducted by an owner/partner with sufficient technical expertise and experience relating to:					
Relevant specialized industry practices?	A708				
SEC rules and regulations?	A709				
Did the concurring partner reviewer conclude that no matters have come to his/her attention that would cause him or her to believe that the financial statements are not in conformity with GAAP in all material respects, or that the firm's audit was not performed in accordance with GAAS?	A710				
Does firm policy state that a concurring partner reviewer is expected to carry out his or her responsibilities with objectivity and due professional care without regard to the relative positions of the audit engagement partner and the concurring partner reviewer?	A711				
	/				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
Did the concurring partner reviewer not serve as the prior audit engagement partner for at least the last two annual audits?	A712				
Were the nature, extent, and timing of the concurring partner review procedures appropriate in the circumstances?	A713				
Do the engagement files contain evidence that the firm's policies and procedures with respect to the concurring partner review requirement were complied with before the issuance of the firm's report?	A714				
Does it appear that the concurring partner reviewer objectively performed the following procedures:					
Discussed significant accounting, auditing and financial reporting matters with the audit engagement partner?	A715				
Discussed the audit engagement team's identification and audit of high-risk transactions and account balances?	A716				
Reviewed documentation of resolution of significant accounting, auditing and financial reporting matters, including documentation of consultation with firm personnel or external sources?	A717				
Reviewed the summary of unadjusted audit differences?	A718				
Read the financial statements and auditors' report?	A719				
Confirmed with the audit engagement partner that there are no significant unresolved matters?	A720				
Were significant matters not previously identified by the engagement team that came to the concurring partner reviewer's attention referred to and resolved by the engagement team with the concurrence of the concurring partner reviewer?	A721				
If consultation occurs between the concurring partner reviewer and the engagement team relating to whether significant matters were appropriately considered and resolved:					
Were discussions held with other firm personnel involved in any significant consultations?	A722				
Did the audit engagement partner develop an initial resolution to the matter before consulting with the concurring partner reviewer?	A723				
Was the concurring partner review completed before the reissuance of the audit report if subsequent events procedures were performed as required by professional standards?	A724				
If the firm engaged a qualified partner-level person from outside of the firm to perform the concurring review of an SEC engagement, did the firm verify and document in the working papers that the qualified partner-level individual is independent in accordance with profes- sional standards?	A725				
If a comfort letter to an underwriter was issued, is it in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 634)	A726				
If the firm performed an attest engagement with respect to management's discussion and analysis prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC, was the engagement conducted in accordance with professional standards? (AT Sec. 700)	A727				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Have letters of comments or oral comments received from the SEC or other regulatory agencies been appropriately considered?	A728				
Has there been rotation of the audit partner in charge of the engagement, if applicable, in conformity with the requirements of the SEC practice section? (SECPS Sec. 1000.08e)	A729				
If management consulting services were performed during the year under audit, was the firm in compliance with the Section's requirements:	E				
Proscribing the performance of certain management advisory services? (SECPS 1000.08h)	A730				
Requiring an annual report to the audit committee or board of directors of the client describing the types of such services rendered and the amount of the related fees received? (Such matters may be reported orally; if so, is the communication documented in the					
working papers?) (SECPS Sec. 1000.08i)	A731				
Does the firm maintain a database ("Restricted Entity List") that includes this entity and all restricted entities? If not, how does the firm monitor independence with this entity and all restricted entities?	A732				
If the client-auditor relationship with an SEC registrant subsequently ceased, was the Office of the Chief Accountant of the SEC notified within 5 business days, in conformity with the requirements of the SEC Practice Section? (SECPS Sec. 1000.08m)	A733				
If the client-auditor relationship with an SEC registrant subsequently ceased, has the auditor sent a letter within the required timeframe to the registrant stating whether he or she agrees with the statements made by the registrant on the Form 8-K regarding the change in auditors? (See Regulation S-K, Item 304—Changes in and disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure.)	A734				
Is there adequate disclosure regarding year-end adjustments made in the fourth quarter? (AC Sec. I73.147)	A735				
For SEC registrants with fiscal quarters ending on or after March 15, 2000, did the auditor adhere to the Commission's rules that require registrants to obtain a SAS No. 71 review of interim financial statements prior to the filing of its Form 10-Q or 10-QSB? (Reg. S-X Article 10-01(d) or Reg. SB Item 310(b))	A736				
For SEC registrants,					
Did the auditor discuss with the audit committee the auditor's judgment about the quality, not just the acceptability, of the entity's accounting principles as applied in its financial statements? (AU Sec. 380)	A737				
For reviews of interim financial information for interim periods ending on or after March 15, 2000, did the auditor communicate matters described in SAS No. 61 to the audit committee, or at least its chairman and a representative of the entity's financial manage-					
ment prior to the Form 10-O filing? (ALI Sec. 722)	Δ738				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
For SEC registrants, Section 10A to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires that the SEC be informed either by the registrant or by the					
auditor when an auditor detects a possible illegal act(s) that has a material effect on the registrant's financial statements.					
If the auditor became aware that an illegal act has or may have					
occurred, did the auditor adequately inform the audit committee, management and the board of directors as soon as possible in	E				
accordance to the statute?	A739				
If the auditor reported to the audit committee or the board of directors the conclusion that a) the illegal act has a material effect					
on the financial statements, b) senior management and the board					
of directors has not taken timely and appropriate remedial actions and c) the failure to take remedial action is reasonably expected to warrant departure from a standard report or the auditor's resignation:					
Did the audit committee or the board of directors report to the SEC within one business day of receiving the auditor's report and send the auditor a copy of the notice provided to the SEC?	A740				
If the auditor did not receive a copy of the notice within the required one business day, did the auditor furnish a copy of the report to the SEC no later than one business day following the					
failure to receive a copy of the notice?	A741				

VI. EXPLANATION OF "NO" ANSWERS AND OTHER COMMENTS

The following pages are provided for your comments on all "no" answers for which an MFC form was not generated or to expand upon any of the "yes" answers. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained and reviewed with the engagement partner or owner.

Page Number	Question Number	Explanatory Comments	Disposition of Comments*
		PRACTICE	
		SECTION	

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The nature of the disposition of comments may vary, such as:

Note "resolved" and the manner of resolution.

[•] Note "not significant" to indicate a "no" answer is appropriate, but that the matter is not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form.

Page Number Question Number



Disposition of Comments

20,441

Page Number Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

PRACTICE SECTION Page Number Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

PRACTICE SECTION

VII. CONCLUSIONS

EXPLAIN BELOW THE REASONS FOR ANY "YES" ANSWERS. BE SPECIFIC.

Based on the work performed, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that:

•	The firm did not perform the engagement in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards? (AU Sec. 390 and ET Sec. 202)	YES*	_ NO
•	The financial statements did not conform with generally accepted accounting principles (or where applicable, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP) in all material respects, and the auditor's report was not appropriately		
	modified? (AU Sec. 561 and ET Sec. 203)	YES*	_ NO
•	The auditor's report was not appropriate in the circumstances?	YES*	_ NO
•	The documentation on this engagement does not support the firm's opinion on the financial statements?	YES	_ NO
•	The firm did not comply with its policies and procedures on this engagement in all material respects?	YES	_ NO

[*The next page is* 20,501.]

^{*} If this question is answered "yes," see additional guidance contained in Section 3100.51–.53 of the *Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews* in the AICPA *Peer Review Program Manual* or Section 2000.83–.89 in the SEC Practice Section Reference Manual.



Section 20,500

Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist

Instructions for Use of Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements of State or Local Governments and Certain Other Audit Engagements Requiring the Use of Government Auditing Standards

This checklist was developed for use by reviewers of audits of state and local governments. Appendix A to this checklist should be used for review of state and local government and other audit engagements requiring the use of *Government Auditing Standards* except for those entities receiving federal government awards or grants.

The questions in this checklist emphasize reporting matters and general procedures ordinarily performed by an independent auditor in the audit of financial statements of state and local governmental units. This checklist can be used in reviewing the audit of the general purpose financial statements, the comprehensive annual financial report, basic financial statements issued under GASB Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements-and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments*, or component unit financial statements. The reviewer, however, should recognize that this checklist does not address certain items contained in the comprehensive annual financial report, such as the introductory section and nonfinancial statistical information. Guidance regarding auditors' reports on such items may be found in the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Audits of State and Local Governmental Units*, chapters 18 and 19, and appendix A. Presentation guidance may be found in the GASB's Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards, sections 2200–2800.

The questions have been derived principally from relevant pronouncements of the Auditing Standards Board, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, the U.S. General Accounting Office's *Government Auditing Standards* (1994 Revision, as amended, through Amendment No. 2) (the "Yellow Book"), and the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Audits of State and Local Governmental Units*, as issued in 1994 and subsequently updated for changes to conform to revised professional standards.

Reviewers of entities receiving federal government awards or grants should also complete the *Supplemental Checklist for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements*. That supplemental checklist gives effect to the 1997 revisions of OMB Circular A-133 and SOP 98-3, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Not-for-Profit Organizations Receiving Federal Awards*, as issued in 1998 and subsequently updated for changes to conform to revised AICPA *Professional Standards*.

Reviewers of audits of governmental entities' HUD projects should not complete the *Supplemental Checklist* for Review of Audits of HUD Engagements, but instead should complete the *Supplemental Checklist* for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements.

Reviewers should adapt this checklist to fit specific engagements.

These materials should be used in conjunction with other guidance materials issued to implement the peer review program of the AICPA and the SEC Practice Section.

Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

Note: This checklist has been updated through SAS No. 94, FAS No. 142, FASB Interpretation 44, SOP 01-3, GASB No. 38, FASB Technical Bulletin No. 97-1, GASB Technical Bulletin No. 2000-1 and the 1994 revision of the Yellow Book as amended through Amendment No. 2.

SECPS

SOP

Explanation of References:

A-133 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations (SOP 98-3, Appendix B) AC Reference to section number in FASB Accounting Standards Current Text **ASLGU** AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Audits of State and Local Governmental Units (reflects guidance contained in authoritative pronouncements through May 1, 2000) AU Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1) **EITF Emerging Issues Task Force Abstracts** ET Code of Professional Conduct in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2) **GAS** Government Auditing Standards, United States General Accounting Office (1994 Revisions, as amended through Amendment No. 2) ("Yellow Book") **GASB** Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards QC Quality Control Standards in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)

AICPA Statement of Position (SOPs may be found in AICPA Technical Practice Aids (TPA))

SEC Practice Section Reference Manual

ENGAC	JEMEN I	PROFILE	1	
Engagement Code No	10	Office		
Owner/Partner		Date of Financial S	Statements*	
Manager		Date of Report		
Concurring Reviewer**	/I	Date Report Relea	sed	
This engagement involves reporting on:				
() General Purpose Financial Statements (GPFS)		() Individua	l Fund Financial	Statements
() Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)		() Compone (CUFS)	ent Unit Financial	Statements
() Government Auditing Standards (see Appendix A)		() Internal (Control and Comp	oliance
() Primary Government Only Financial Statements		() Special R	eports	
() Basic Financial Statements under GAS No. 34	B		g., Program Speci: nent) Explain:	fic Audit
Was the work performed at the request of anot	her office?	Yes No		
Date that the fee for the prior year's engagement	nt was paid	d		
Total combined assets (Memorandum to Total combined governmental fund type of Total combined proprietary fund type re Total amount of federal assistance expen	revenues (N evenues (N	Memorandum tota Iemorandum tota	l) \$ l) \$	
General description of audited entity:				
Complex or troublesome audit areas:				
List any non-audit (excluding tax) services perfupon and through the date of the auditor's rep		the client during	the period being	reported
Personnel				
Personnel Continuity:			Owner or Partner	Manager or equivalent
Number of years assigned to this job				<u></u>
Number of years in current position on the job				
Audit hours on this engagement:				
	<u>Total</u>	Prior to Commencement of Field Work	During Field Work	After Completion of Field Work
Owner/Partner				
Total budgeted $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ _				

^{*} To determine the applicability of all cross-referenced pronouncements, consider their effective dates.

^{**} Not applicable unless required by firm policy.

^{***} This amount should include "pass through" federal assistance received indirectly from another state or local government.

LIST OF KEY AUDIT AREAS SELECTED BY REVIEWER

A reviewer is not required to look at all the working papers for a particular engagement. The depth of the review is based on the reviewer's judgment; however, the review is directed primarily to the key areas of an engagement, including complex or troublesome areas. Ordinarily, all key audit areas should be reviewed. List below the key areas on this engagement, and if any key areas are not reviewed, indicate the reasons for this omission. In completing this checklist, all questions in Sections I, II, IV and (if applicable) V should be answered in addition to the questions in Section III for the key areas identified and for Commitments and Contingencies, Revenues and Expenditures/Expenses, and Other.

1.	9	F				
2.					T 4	
3.						
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
Date Engagement Review Per	forme	ed	 			
Reviewer				 		
Date Checklist Reviewed by Team Captain				 		
Signature						

Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements of State or Local Governmental Entities

Contents

Sectio	n	Pago
I.	Report and Financial Statements	
	Auditor's Reports	20,506
	Auditor's Reports	20,507
II.	General Audit Procedures	20,522
III.	Working Paper Areas	
	Cash	20,530
	Receivables	20,531
	Inventories	20,532
	Investments	20,532
	Prepaid Expenses, Intangible Assets, Deferred Charges, etc	20,533
	Property, Plant and Equipment	20,533
	Liabilities	20,534
	Deferred Revenue	20,535
	Commitments and Contingencies	20,535
	Fund Equity	20,536
	Revenues and Expenditures/Expenses	20,536
	Other	20,537
IV.	Functional Areas	
	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity	20,538
	Personnel Management	20,538
	Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements	20,539
	Engagement Performance	20,539
V.	Appendix A—Questions for Use When the Engagement is Subject to Government	
	Auditing Standards	20,541
VI.	Explanation of "No" Answers and Other Comments	20,545
VII.	Conclusions	20,548

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note: This checklist is derived from the pronouncements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), the U.S. General Accounting Office (GAO) and the AICPA. For detailed information concerning these requirements, the reviewer may wish to consult the authoritative literature of the above noted organizations as well as the AICPA financial reporting aid, *Disclosure Checklists and Illustrative Financial Statements for State and Local Governmental Units*. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained. If the firm has used its own report and financial statement disclosure checklist on this engagement, it may be reviewed in lieu of completing this checklist provided the reviewer has determined that the firm's checklist is current, comprehensive, and appropriate for the engagement.

determined that the firm's checklist is current, comprehensive,	comprehensive, and appropriate for the engagement.						
SECTIO	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	Yes	_No_	<u>Ref.**</u>		
Auditor's Reports							
Does (do) the auditor's report(s) on the general purpose or primary government only financial statements include all required matters concerning the financial position and results of financial operations of the governmental unit and cash flows of proprietary and nonexpendable trust funds? (AU Sec. 508 and ASLGU, Ch. 18)	G101						
Is the report dated in conformity with the requirements of professional standards? (AU Secs. 508.08 <i>j</i> , .28, and 530)	A101						
Does the report appropriately include the basic elements required under professional standards and is appropriate language used for modifying the report for circumstances described in such standards? (AU Secs. 508.08–.11 and 623)	A102						
Does the report cover all periods for which financial statements are presented? (AU Sec. 508.08 and .65)	A103						
Effective for reports issued or reissued after June 30, 2001, the Auditing Standards Board issued Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, <i>Omnibus Statement on Auditing Standards—2000</i> . Among other amendments, SAS No. 93 amends SAS No. 58 (AU Sec. 508) to require a reference to the country of origin of (1) the accounting principles used to prepare financial statements and (2) the auditing standards the auditor followed in performing the audit. For reports issued or reissued after the effective date:							
Does the report include a reference to the country of origin of the accounting principles used to prepare the financial statements?	A104						
Does the report include a reference to the country of origin of the auditing standards the auditor followed in performing the audit?	A105						
If the financial statements of a prior period have been audited by a predecessor auditor whose report is not presented, has the successor auditor included the appropriate reference to the predecessor auditor in the introductory paragraph? (AU Sec. 508.74)	A106						
If the financial statements were prepared in conformity with the requirements of a governmental regulatory agency and are intended solely for filing with that regulatory agency, has a paragraph been included which restricts the use of the report solely to those within the entity and for filing with the regulatory agency? (AU Sec. 623.05)	G102						

^{*} The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
If the financial statements were prepared in conformity with the requirements of a governmental regulatory agency and the report is intended for distribution other than solely for filing with that regulatory agency, does the report consist of the standard form of report	Queb.	19721			<u>Kej.</u>
appropriately modified for departures from generally accepted accounting principles and include an additional paragraph expressing an opinion on conformity with the prescribed basis of accounting? (AU Secs. 544 and 623.05)	G103				
If supplemental information accompanies the basic financial statements, does the auditor describe in the report the degree of responsibility, if any, the auditor is taking? (AU Secs. 551 for accompanying information and 558 for "required supplementary information")	A107				
If the use of the report has been restricted, is there compliance with the applicable provisions of SAS No. 87? (AU Sec. 532)	A112				
If the entity reported changes resulting from a transaction or event, did the auditor not add a consistency explanatory paragraph to the auditor's report? (AU Sec. 420)	A113				
Financial Statements and Notes					
(In June 1999, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued GASB Statement No. 34, "Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments", which has a series of phased-in effective dates starting "for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2001", depending on the governmental entity's annual revenues and method of adoption. If the governmental entity has adopted GASB No. 34, see the associated questions starting with G179)					
General					
Are the financial statements suitably titled? (AU Sec. 623.07 and .24 and GASB Sec. 2200.109)	A114				
Unless the governmental entity has adopted GASB No. 34, do the financial statements conform with the provisions of GASB Statement No. 29, applying the not-for-profit accounting and financial reporting principles to state and local government entities and that proprietary activities that adopt paragraph 7 of GASB Statement No. 20 should only adopt FASB statements that apply to business enterprises and do not conflict					
with the GASB pronouncements? (GASB Sec. No. 80.102)	G104				
Are the following general purpose or component unit financial statements presented:					
Combined balance sheet—all fund types, account groups, and discretely presented component units? (GASB Sec. 2200.108b.(2)(a))	G105				
Combined statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances—all governmental fund types and discretely presented component units? (GASB Sec. 2200.108b.(2)(b))	G106				
Combined statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances—budget and actual—general and special revenue fund types (and similar governmental fund types of the primary govern- ment for which annual budgets have been legally adopted)? (GASB					
Sec. 2200.108b.(2)(c))	G107				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Combined statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in retained earnings (or equity)—all proprietary fund types and discretely presented component units? (GASB Sec. 2200.108b.(2)(d))	G108				
Combined statement of cash flows—all proprietary fund types and similar trust funds and discretely presented component units? (GASB Sec. 2200.108b.(2)(e))	G109				
Do the combined financial statements contain all funds and account groups that comprise the reporting entity, as defined in the notes? (GASB Sec. 2100)	G110				
If totals by account are presented in the general purpose financial statements, are the totals noted as memorandum only? (GASB Sec. 2200.117)	G111				
If the auditor is expressing an opinion on summarized comparative information of the prior period, does the prior period's information contain sufficient detail to constitute a fair presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles? (AU Sec. 508.65 fn 23 and ASLGU, Ch. 18, pars. 18.19–.33)	G112				
Do interfund receivables reconcile with interfund payables? (GASB Secs. 1800.102–.108, 2600.120, and .121)	G113				
Are interfund operating transfers reported in the "Other Financing Sources" section in the Statement of Revenues and Expenditures and residual equity transfers reported as additions or deductions from beginning fund balances? (GASB Sec. 1800.107)	G114				
Are special assessment receivables offset by deferred revenue when appropriate? (GASB Sec. S40.115)	G115				
Are taxes and other similar receivables appropriately recorded and disclosed net of uncollectible receivables? (GASB Sec. P70 and ASLGU, Ch. 8, pars. 8.08–.16)	G116				
For current and advance debt refundings of proprietary activities, has the difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt been deferred and amortized as a component of interest expense in a systematic and rational manner over the appropriate time period? (GASB Sec. D20.109)	G117				
If separate financial statements of a component unit are issued, is the relationship of the component unit to the reporting or oversight entity disclosed? (GASB Sec. 2600.128)	G118				
If a general fund is presented:					
Are the statements prepared on the modified accrual basis? (GASB Sec. 1600.105)	G119				
Are significant sources of general fund revenues disclosed? (GASB Secs. 1800.115 and 2200.114)	G120				
Are expenditures classified by function? (CASB Sec. 1800 116 117)	G121				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
If special revenue funds are presented:					
Are the statements prepared on the modified accrual basis? (GASB Sec. 1600.105)	G122				
Do the statements disclose the significant revenues and expenditures? (GASB Sec. 1800.114–.121)	G123				
If debt service funds are presented:					
Are the statements prepared on the modified accrual basis? (GASB Sec. 1600.105)	G124				
Do the statements disclose the significant revenues and expenditures? (GASB Sec. 1800.114–.126)	G125				
If capital project funds are presented:					
Are the statements prepared on the modified accrual basis? (GASB Sec. 1600.105)	G126				
Do the statements disclose the significant revenues and expenditures? (GASB Sec. 1800.114–.121)	G127				
If enterprise funds are presented:					
Are the statements prepared on the accrual basis? (GASB 1600.126)	G128				
Is the enterprise fund's liability for general obligation and special assessment debt, if any, included in the enterprise fund's financial statements? (GASB Sec. 1500.102 and S40.123)	G129				
Are operating and nonoperating revenues and expenses separately classified? (GASB Sec. 1800.122 and ASLGU Ch. 9, pars. 9.17 and .18)	G130				
Are property, plant and equipment properly reported on the balance sheet? (GASB Sec. 1400.102 and .103)	G131				
Is a statement of cash flows presented? (GASB Sec. 2450.102–.103)	G132				
If internal service funds are presented:					
Are the statements prepared on the accrual basis? (GASB Sec. 1600.126)	G133				
Do the financial statements present the net billings to other funds as revenues and the related costs as expenses? (GASB Sec. 1800.103 and .104)	G134				
Are property, plant and equipment properly reported on the balance sheet? (GASB Sec. 1400.102 and .103)	G135				
Is a statement of cash flows presented? (GASB Sec. 2450.102103)	G136				
If nonexpendable and/or pension funds are presented:					
Are the statements prepared on the accrual basis? (GASB Sec. 1600 and ASLGU, Ch. 14, pars. 14.09 and .10)	G137				
Is a statement of cash flows presented for non-expendable trust funds? (GASB Sec. 2450.102–.103)	G138				
If agency funds are presented:					
Are the balance sheets prepared on the modified accrual basis? (GASB Sec. 1100 108(c))	G139				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
If expendable trust funds, including any Internal Revenue Code Section 457 plans for which the entity is a trustee:					
Are the statements prepared on the modified accrual basis? (GASB Sec. 1100.108(c))	G140				
Do the financial statements disclose the significant revenues and expenditures of each fund? (GASB Sec. 1800.114–.121)	G141				
If a general fixed assets account group is presented: Are land, buildings, equipment, and construction-in-progress separately classified? (ASLGU, Ch. 10, par. 10.20)	G142				
Where general fixed assets are depreciated, does the statement show the accumulated depreciation? (GASB Sec. 1400.118)	G143				
If a general long-term debt account group is presented:					
Are general long-term term obligations classified separately as term bonds, serial bonds, and other general long-term obligations? (GASB Sec. 1500.105)	G144				
Are other long-term liabilities (accrued vacation, leases, workers' compensation, landfill closure and postclosure care costs, etc.) separately disclosed? (GASB Sec. 1500.111–.126)	G145				
Does the ending fund balance of the debt service fund agree with Amount Available for Debt Service in the General Long-Term Debt Account Group? (GASB Sec. 1500.105)	G146				
If component units are reported:					
Are component units properly reported as blended or discrete? (GASB Sec. 2600.107–.113 and .115–.117)	G147				
Have all discretely presented component units been reported in a separate column or columns to the right of all funds and account groups of the primary government? (GASB Sec. 2600.107, .108, and .111)	G148				
Is the use of the term "reserve" limited to indicating that a portion of the fund balance is not appropriable for expenditure or is legally segregated for a specific future use? (GASB Sec. 1800.123 and .124)	G149				
Are the governmental funds long-term loans receivable offset by a reserve of fund balance? (GASB Sec. 1800.123 and ASLGU, Ch. 12, par. 12.12)	G150				
Questions G151 through G176 should be answered only if the combining or individual fund financial statements are presented as primary financial statements. The reviewer should evaluate if the fund statements were complete in presentation for each fund or account group. (GASB Sec. 2200)					
Are the following financial statements presented, if necessary:					
General fund:					
Balance sheet?	G151				
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance?	G152				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances—budget vs. actual? ¹	G153				
Special revenue funds:					
Balance sheet?	G154				
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance?	G155				
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances—budget vs. actual? ¹	G156				
Debt service funds:					
Balance sheet?	G157				
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances?	G158				
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances—budget vs. actual? ¹	G159				
Capital project funds:					
Balance sheet?	G160				
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances?	G161				
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances—budget vs. actual? ¹	G162				
Enterprise funds:					
Balance sheet?	G163				
Statement of revenues, expenses and changes in retained earnings?	G164				
Statement of cash flows?	G165				
Internal service funds:					
Balance sheet?	G166				
Statement of revenues, expenses and changes in retained earnings?	G167				
Statement of cash flows?	G168				
Nonexpendable and pension trust funds:					
Balance sheet?	G169				
Statement of revenues, expenses and changes in fund balances?	G170				
Statement of cash flows? ²	G171				
Agency funds:					
Balance sheet?	G172				
Combining statement of changes in assets and liabilities, if appropriate?	G173				
Expendable trust funds:					
Balance sheet?	G174				
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances?	G175				
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances—budget vs. actual? ³	G176				

 $^{^{\}rm 1}\,$ This question is applicable for those funds required to adopt an annual budget.

 $^{^{2}\,}$ GASB Statement No. 9 does not require a statement of cash flows by pension trust funds.

 $^{^{3}}$ This question is applicable for those funds required to adopt an annual budget.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
If required, is a statement of changes in general fixed assets presented? (GASB Sec. 2200.109b.(4)(a))	G177				
If required, is a statement of changes in general long-term debt presented? (GASB Sec. 2200.109b.(4)(b))	G178				
In June 1999, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued Statement 34, "Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments." Statement No. 34 has phased-in effective dates for periods beginning after June 15, 2001, if Statement 34 was implemented, did the auditor consider the following:	E				
Implementation of Statement 33, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions"? (GASB 34, par. 143)	G179				
If the governmental entity was classified as a "primary government", did its component units also implement Statement 34? (GASB 34, par. 143)	G180				
Does the MD&A introduce the basic financial statements and provide an analytical overview of the governmental entity's financial activities? (GASB 34, par. 6a)	G181				
Does the MD&A provide an objective and easily readable analysis of the governmental entity's financial activities based on current known facts, decisions or conditions? (GASB 34, par. 8)	G182				
Do the basic financial statements include: (GASB 34, par. 6b)					
Government-wide financial statements?	G183				
Fund financial statements?	G184				
Appropriate presentations related to major funds?	G185				
Reconciliations between government-wide and fund financial statements?	G186				
Notes to the financial statements?	G187				
Presentation of budget and actual results for required funds either as a basic financial statement or required supplemental information?	G188				
Are the disclosures adequate regarding the following significant accounting policies: (GASB 34, pars. 114–123)					
Description of the government-wide financial statements, noting that neither fiduciary funds nor component units that are fiduciary in nature are included?	G189				
Measurement focus and basis of accounting used?	G190				
Elimination of internal activity in the statement of activities?	G191				
The application of FASB pronouncements issued after November 30, 1989, to business-type activities and to enterprise funds of the primary government?	G192				
Capitalization of assets and for estimating the useful lives of those assets?	G193				
Description of the modified approach for the reporting of eligible infrastructure assets?	G194				
Types of transactions included in program revenues?	G195				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
Allocation of indirect expenses to functions in the statement of activities?	G196				
Definition of operating and nonoperating revenues of proprietary funds?	G197				
Are the following Required Supplementary Information presented along with the financial statements of the governmental entity: (GASB 34, pars. 129–133)	E				
Budgetary comparison schedules for the general fund and for each major special revenue fund?	G198				
Assessed condition, performed at least every three years, for at least the three most recent complete condition assessments?	G199				
Estimated annual amount calculated at the beginning of the fiscal year to maintain and preserve at (or above) the condition level established and disclosed by the government compared with the amounts actually expensed for each of the past five reporting periods?	G200				
GASB Statement No. 37, Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—For State and Local Governments: Omnibus, amends certain provision of Statement No. 34 and Statement No. 21 with respect to escheated property. Therefore, concurrent with the implementation of Statement No. 34 (if that Statement has already been implemented, for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000), has the governmental entity, considered the implications of Statement No. 37 on the:					
Accounting and reporting for escheated property? (par. 3)	G201				
Topics reported in Management's Discussion and Analysis? (pars. 4 and 5)	G202				
Capitalization of certain construction period interest? (par. 6)	G203				
Level of detail required for certain activities in the statement of activities? (par. 10)	G204				
Criteria for reporting enterprise and other governmental funds in separate columns as major funds? (par. 15)	G205				
For activities reported by enterprise funds, disclosure of segment information in the notes to the financial statements? (par. 17)	G206				
Other Note Disclosures					
Unless the governmental entity has adopted GASB No. 34, are the presentations appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding the following significant accounting policies:					
Definition of the governmental reporting entity and the criteria used to determine the scope of the reporting entity? (GASB Sec. 2600.124)	G207				
Policy regarding use of FASB pronouncements for proprietary activities? (GASB Sec. P80.105 and GASB Statement No. 29)	G208				
Are individual component unit disclosures included within the General Purpose Financial Statements, as well as a brief description of the component units, their relationship to the primary government and how the separate financial statements for the individual					
component units may be obtained? (GASB Sec. 2600.114 and .124)	G209				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Basis of accounting applied to each fund type? (GASB Sec. 1600)	G210				
Revenue recognition policies, including:					
Definitions of modified accrual basis as to governmental fund types and of accrual basis as to proprietary fund types? (GASB Secs. 1600.102–.105 and 2300.901)	G211				
Description of revenue sources that are treated as "susceptible to accrual" (e.g., property tax revenues and taxpayer assessed tax revenues) under the modified accrual basis and those that are not? (GASB Sec. 1600.106–.126)	G212				
Accounting for fixed assets concerning:					
Classification in proprietary funds or general fixed assets account group? (GASB Sec. 1400.102–.106)	G213				
Valuation basis of fixed assets, including capitalization policies for public domain (infrastructure) general fixed assets? (GASB Sec. 1400.109 and .111–.113)	G214				
Depreciation methods and lives, including whether depreciation is reported on general fixed assets? (GASB Sec. 1400.114–.123)	G215				
Capitalization of interest costs during construction? (GASB Sec. 2300.106a and ASLGU, Ch. 10, par. 10.21)	G216				
Method of accounting and reporting for encumbrances? (GASB Sec. 2300.106a)	G217				
Claims and judgments? (GASB Sec. C50)	G218				
Interfund eliminations not apparent? (GASB Sec. 2300.107(p))	G219				
Long-term liabilities related to proprietary funds, nonexpendable trust and pension funds, and special assessment debt? (Long-term liabilities expected to be repaid from governmental funds are accounted for in the General Long-Term Debt Account Group.) (GASB Sec. 1500.102)	G220				
Significant accounting policies? (GASB Secs. 2200.110 and 2300.106–.110)	G221				
Basis on which each budget is prepared, including:					
Treatment of encumbrances? (GASB Sec. 2300.106a.(3))	G222				
Whether appropriations lapse at year end? (GASB Sec. 1700.129d–e)	G223				
Explanation of the differences, if any, between the budgetary basis and the basis used for financial reporting of governmental funds? (GASB Secs. 2400.104, .110–.123, and 2300.107(e))	G224				
Separate summary of significant accounting policies for discretely presented component units? (GASB, Secs. 2300.106a(1) and (7), and 2600.124–.126)	G225				
Nature of the primary government's accountability for related organizations? (GASB Sec. 2600.131)	G226				
The method of accounting and reporting for nonexchange transactions under GASB No. 33?	G227				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
re the presentations appropriate and are disclosures adequate re- ording the following:					
Classified balance sheets, where appropriate? (AC Sec. B05)	G228				
Condensed financial statements for major component units included in the component units column(s)? (GASB Sec. 2600.114)	G229				
Accounting changes? (AC Sec. A06)	A115				
Pension activities, employer reporting? (GASB Sec. 2300.106g and .107q, P20)	G230				
Postemployment benefits other than pensions—employer reporting? (GASB Sec. P50.105–.107)	G231				
Pension funds, reporting by plans? (GASB Secs. 2300, 107q, Pe5, and Pe6)	G232				
Securities lending transactions? (GASB Sec. I60.103–.114)	G233				
Public entity risk pools? (GASB Sec. Po20)	G234				
Derivative instruments and hedging activities? Effective June 30, 2000, for entities that have not adopted FAS 133 for derivative financial instruments and hedging activities, the entity must adopt FAS 138 concurrently with FAS 133. Therefore, as applicable to governmental entities, in conjunction with the adoption of FAS 133, have derivative financial instruments and hedging activities been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with FAS 138, <i>Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities (an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133)</i> ?	A123				
Related party transactions? (GASB Sec. 2300.107(g))	A125				
Nonmonetary transactions? (GASB Sec. 1400.113 and AC Sec. N35)	A129				
Segment information for enterprise funds? (GASB Sec. 2500.105 and .107–.108)	G235				
Capital leases of lessees? (GASB Sec. 2300.107(i) and L20.113–.115, .117, .118, .128, and .129)	G236				
Operating leases and rent expense of lessees? (GASB Secs. 2300.106j and L20.114 and .115)	G237				
Detail of the government's property tax calendar, including the lien, levy, due and collection dates? (GASB Sec. P70.109)	G238				
Material noncompliance with finance-related legal and contractual provisions, including instances concerning budget amendments, expenditures exceeding appropriations in individual funds and debt exceeding legal limitations? (GASB Secs. 2300.106h and n and L20.119)	G239				
Valuation allowances? (AC Sec. V18)	A143				
Restricted cash (e.g., compensating balances)? (ASLGU Ch. 7, par. 7.14 and AC Secs. B05.107 and C59.120)	A144				
Definition of cash and cash equivalents consistent with GASB Statement No. 9? (GASB Sec. 2450 106b)	G240				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
The reported amount of total deposits and the amount of uninsured or uncollateralized bank balance classified into the three categories of credit risk? (GASB Sec. C20.106)	G241				
The carrying amount and fair value of investments as of balance-sheet date by investment type and in total with types of investments classified into the three categories of credit risk? (GASB Sec. I50.125)	G242				
For derivatives and similar debt and investment transactions, (i) discussion of the accounting policies and other relevant information, (ii) explanation of the nature of the transactions, and (iii) the reasons for entering into them? (GASB, Sec. 2300.601)	G243				
Terms or circumstances concerning repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements? (GASB Secs. 2300.106c, .107v, I50, I55)	G244				
Receivables:					
Loans or advances to other funds of the governmental units? (GASB Sec. 1300.110)	G245				
Taxes receivable? (GASB Sec. P70.103)	G246				
Grant entitlements and other receivables? (GASB Sec. G60.112)	G247				
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. I69.109)	A147				
Effects of troubled debt restructurings? (AC Sec. D22.121, .136, .137, and .145)	A148				
Other receivables? (GASB Sec. 1600.115)	A149				
Inventories? (GASB Sec. 1600.123 and AC Secs. B05.105 and I78)	A151				
Joint ventures and other investments? (GASB Secs. 2300.107j and J50 pars106–.111)	G248				
Pooled cash and investment accounts? (GASB Sec. 1800.601)	G249				
Have the following disclosures been made for investments subject to the fair value requirements (GASB Sec. I50.119):					
The methods and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value of investments, if the fair value is based on other than quoted market prices?	G250				
The policy for determining which investments, if any, are reported at amortized cost?	G251				
For investments in external investment pools that are not SEC-registered, a brief description of any regulatory oversight for the pool, and whether the fair value of the position in the pool is the same as the value of the pool shares?	G252				
Any involuntary participation in an external investment pool?	G253				
If any entity cannot obtain information from a pool sponsor to allow it to determine the fair value of its investment in the pool, the methods used and significant assumptions made in deter- mining that fair value and the reasons for having had to make	C254				
such an estimate?	G254				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	Ref.
Any income from investments associated with one fund that is assigned to another fund?	G255				
For governmental entities that disclose gains/losses on investments, do the notes disclose (GASB Sec. I50.119):					
The calculation of realized gains or losses is independent of a calculation of the net change in the fair value of investments?	G256				
Realized gains or losses on investments that had been held in more than one fiscal year and sold in the current year were included as a net change in the fair value of investments re- ported in the prior year(s) and the current year?	G257				
If this entity has public entity risk pools (cooperative group of governmental entities) did the entity:					
Recognize pool premiums as revenue over the contract period? (GASB Sec. Po 20.115–.117)	G258				
Recognize claims costs in the period in which the event triggers coverage under the policy? (GASB Sec. Po 20.118–.122)	G259				
Report the estimated loss of a claim if it is both probable and reasonably estimable? (GASB Sec. Po 20.123)	G260				
Sales-type, direct financing, leveraged, and operating leases of lessors? (GASB Sec. L20.107 and AC Sec. L10.119 and .143–.149)	A154				
Are the operating lease revenues for governmental and similar trust funds accounted for under the modified accrual basis and for proprietary and similar trust funds under the accrual basis? (GASB Sec. L20.108–.112)	G261				
For leases entered into beginning June 30, 1990, are operating leases with scheduled rent increases accounted for in a systematic and rational manner? If terms are artificially low, has the entity used either the straight-line or estimated fair value method? (GASB Sec. L20)	G262				
Other assets including intangible assets, unamortized computer software costs, and deferred charges and their impairment, if applicable? (AC Secs. I08, I60, and Co2.106–.110)	A155				
Pledged assets? (AC Sec. C59.120)	A156				
Related assets and liabilities? [These may be offset in the balance sheet only when all of the following conditions are met: (1) each party owes the other determinable amounts, (2) the reporting party has the right to set off the amount owed by the other party, (3) the reporting party intends to set off, and (4) the right to set off is enforceable at law.] (AC Sec. B10.101A)	A157				
Short-term liabilities expected to be refinanced? (AC Sec. B05.110–.118, .138, and .139)	A159				
Notes payable, bond, tax, and revenue anticipation notes, and other debt:					
Maturities, rates? (AC Sec. C32.104 and .105)	A160				
Other terms and covenants? (ASLGU, Ch. 11, par. 11.31 and AC Sec. C59.120)	A161				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Special assessment debt and related activities? (GASB Sec. 2300.107x)	G263				
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. I69)	A162				
Effect of troubled debt restructurings? (AC Sec. D22.121)	A163				
Effect of debt refundings? (GASB Sec. D20)	G264				
Loans or advances from other funds of the governmental unit? (GASB Sec. 1300.110)	G265				
Debt service requirements to maturity? (GASB Sec. 2300.106i)	G266				
Changes during the period, including advance refundings resulting in defeasance of debt? (GASB Secs. 2300.106m, D20.106, and .111–.115)	G267				
Unpaid debt that has been fully defeased? (GASB Sec. D20.111–.114)	G268				
Nature of any restrictions on assets related to debt? (ASLGU, Ch. 11, par. 11.31)	G269				
Demand bonds? (GASB Secs. 2300.107y and D30.108111)	G270				
Conduit debt obligations? (GASB Secs. 1500.115 and C65.102)	G271				
Compensation for future absences and special termination benefits for employees? (GASB Secs. 2300.107k and C60)	G272				
Designations, reservations or other restrictions of fund balances or retained earnings? (GASB Sec. 1800.123–.127 and ASLGU, Ch. 12, par. 12.20)	G273				
Revenues, expenses, and expenditures:					
Grants and other financial assistance including entitlements, shared revenue, pass-through grants and food stamps? (GASB Sec. 2300.107m)	G274				
On-behalf payments (e.g., fringe benefits and salaries)? (GASB Sec. G60.125)	G275				
Investment income? (GASB Secs. 1600.113 and I50.111)	G276				
Interest cost? (ASLGU Ch. 11, pars. 11.23–11.32)	G277				
Depreciation? (ASLGU Ch. 10, par. 10.20)	G278				
Discontinued operations? (AC Sec. I13)	A198				
With respect to contingencies and commitments:					
Are loss contingencies accrued and/or disclosed as appropriate? (GASB Secs. C50.110–.115, 1500.110, 1600.118, and AC Sec. C59.104–.116 and .120)	A130				
Are other contingencies and commitments adequately disclosed including environmental remediation-related matters? (GASB Secs. C50.141 and AC Sec. C59.118–.120; SOP 96-1, pars142–.173 [TPA Sec. 10,680.142–.173])	A131				
Risk management and related financing activities? (GASB Secs. 2300 1072 C50 101 and 109–141)	G270				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Deficit fund balances or retained earnings of individual funds? (GASB Sec. 2300.1060 and ASLGU, Ch. 12, par 12.20)	G280				
Interfund receivables and payables of individual funds? (GASB Sec. 2300.106p)	G281				
Are the nature and amount of inconsistencies in the financial statements caused by transactions between component units having different year ends properly disclosed? (GASB Sec. 2300.107s)	G282				
Are the financial statements adjusted, where appropriate, for the effects of subsequent events and do they include disclosure of significant subsequent events, whether or not adjustments were made? (GASB Secs. 2300.106f and C50.140 and AU Sec. 560.03–.07)	G283				
GASB Statement No. 38, "Certain Financial Statement Note Disclosures", eliminates or revises certain disclosures of the National Council on Governmental Accounting and provides certain additional disclosures. Generally, Statement No. 38 is effective when Statement No. 34 is implemented, except for disclosures related to short-term debt, receivable and payable balances, interfund transfers which may be implemented one year later by phase 1 governmental entities. Therefore, concurrent with the implementation of Statement No. 34 or as permitted, has the governmental entity considered the following disclosures:					
Summary of Significant Accounting Policies					
If the government presents their primary government in more than a single column, does it disclose the activities accounted for in major funds, internal service funds, and fiduciary fund types? (par. 6)	G284				
Does the government disclose the length of time used to define "available" for purposes of revenue recognition in the governmental fund financial statements? (par. 7)	G285				
Discontinued disclosing the accounting policy with respect to encumbrances? (par. 8)	G286				
Violations of Finance-related Legal or Contractual Provisions					
In the disclosure of significant violations of finance-related legal or contractual provisions, has the government identified the actions taken to address the violations? (par. 9)	G287				
Debt and Lease Obligations					
With respect to debt service requirements, has the government disclosed: (par. 10)					
Principal and interest requirements to maturity for each of the five subsequent fiscal years and in five-year increments thereafter?	G288				
The terms by which interest rates change for variable-rate debt?	G289				
For obligations under capital and noncancelable operating leases, has the government disclosed the future minimum payments for each of the five subsequent fiscal years and in five-year increments thereafter? (par. 11)	G290				

Short-term Debt	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Has the government provided details about short-term debt activity during the year, even if no short-term debt is outstanding at year-end, including a schedule of changes in short-term debt and the purpose for which the short-term debt was issued? (par. 12)	G291				
Disaggregation of Receivable and Payable Balances	E				
When balances of receivables and payables reported on the statements of net assets and balance sheet are aggregations of different components, has the government: (par. 13)	N				
Provided details when significant components have been obscured by aggregation?	G292				
Disclosed significant receivable balances not expected to be collected within one year of the financial statement date?	G293				
Interfund Balances and Transfers					
With respect to interfund balances reported in the fund financial statements, has the government disclosed: (par. 14)					
Amounts due from other funds by individual major fund, nonmajor governmental funds in the aggregate, nonmajor enterprise funds in the aggregate, internal service funds in the aggregate, and fiduciary fund type?	G294				
The purpose for interfund balances?	G295				
Interfund balances that are not expected to be repaid within one year from the date of the financial statements?	G296				
With respect to interfund transfers reported in the fund financial statements, has the government disclosed:					
Amounts transferred from other funds by individual major fund, nonmajor governmental funds in the aggregate, nonmajor enterprise funds in the aggregate, internal service funds in the aggregate, and fiduciary fund type?	G297				
A general description of the principal purposes of the government's interfund transfers?	G298				
The intended purpose and the amount of significant transfers that meet either or both of the following: (1) do not occur on a routine basis, (2) are inconsistent with the activities of the fund making the transfer?	G299				
Statement of Cash Flows					
For proprietary and similar fund types, is a statement of cash flows presented for each period as appropriate? (GASB Sec. 2450.103)	G300				
Does it report cash flows classified as operating, noncapital financing, capital and related financing, and investing activities? (GASB Sec. 2450.104 and .112)	G301				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Does it report the net effect of cash flows on cash and cash equivalents during the period in a manner that reconciles beginning and ending cash and cash equivalents, and did the amounts of cash and cash					
equivalents reconcile to the amounts on the balance sheet? (GASB Sec. 2450.127)	G302				
Does it provide a reconciliation between operating income and net cash flow from operating activities? (GASB Sec. 2450.130)	G303				
Are noncash investing, capital and financing activities disclosed? (GASB Sec. 2450.134)	G304				
Are cash equivalents limited to short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash and have an original maturity of three months or less, and is the enterprise's policy for determining which items are treated as cash equivalents					
disclosed? (GASB Sec. 2450.106)	G305				
Are the components of the cash flow statement shown at "gross" and not "net" amounts? (GASB Sec. 2450.109–.111)	G306				
If entity has early adopted GASB No. 34, is the statement of cash flows prepared using the direct method? (GASB No. 34 par. 105)	G307				
All Financial Statements					
Are statement presentations and disclosures generally consistent with GASB pronouncements and the AICPA's <i>Audits of State and Local</i>	~				
Governmental Units?	G308				

II. GENERAL AUDIT PROCEDURES

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes_	_No_	Ref.**
Has the auditor established and documented the understanding with the client regarding the engagement? (AU Sec. 310.05–.07)	A300				
Does the understanding with the entity's management indicate that management is responsible for adjusting the financial statements to correct material misstatements? (AU Sec. 333.06 and Appendix A)	A301				
In planning the audit engagement, did the auditor properly consider:	TI				
What type of engagement is being performed and whether the engagement is intended to meet the governmental oversight	[]				
agency's audit requirements? (AU Sec. 801.08, fn 9, .10, .22, and .23)	G309				
The need for compliance auditing and if so, the applicability of SAS No. 74 or Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 3, <i>Compliance Attestation</i> (AU Sec. 801.01, fn 4 [SAS No. 74, as amended by SAS No. 75]).	G310				
The firm's responsibility to conform with professional standards with respect to the detection of errors and fraud? (AU Secs. 110.02					
and 312)	G311				
Matters affecting the industry in which the entity operates, such as accounting practices, economic conditions, laws and governmental regulations, contractual obligations and technological changes? (AU Secs. 311.03 and 801.11)	A302				
Definition of the reporting entity indicating the related organizations, functions, and activities that are either included or excluded from the financial statements in accordance with professional standards? (GASB Sec. 2100 and ASLGU, Chs. 2 and 3)	G312				
Preliminary judgment (by fund type if opinion on general purpose financial statements) about materiality levels? (AU Secs. 311.03 and 312.08 and ASLGU, Ch. 3, pars. 3.12 and .13)	A303				
Factors affecting the continued functioning of the government, such as legal limitations on revenue, expenditures, or debt service? (AU Sec. 341 and ASLGU, Ch. 17, pars. 17.08–.12)	G313				
Did the auditor: (a) assess the risk of material misstatement due to fraud, (b) consider the presence of fraud risk factors, (c) inquire of management about its understanding of the risk of fraud in the entity, and (d) document evidence of the performance of the fraud assessment, including the fraud risk factors identified as present? (AU Sec.					
316 and ASLGU, Ch. 3, pars. 3.12–.18)	A304				
For identified fraud risk factors, did the auditor develop an appropriate audit response and document the response? (AU Sec. 316.37)	A305				
Did the auditor use analytical procedures in planning the nature, timing and extent of other audit procedures? (AU Sec. 329.01 and	1000				
.06–.08 and ASLGU, Ch. 3, par. 3.27)	A306				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
If the auditor succeeded another auditor, did the successor auditor initiate communications with the predecessor auditor to ascertain whether there were matters that might assist the auditor in determining whether to accept the engagement? (AU Sec. 315.09)	A307				
Did the successor auditor obtain satisfaction as to the account balances at the beginning of the year and consistency of the application of accounting principles in the year being audited as compared with the preceding year? (AU Sec. 315.12–.13)	A308				
If consideration was given to the work of internal auditors in determining the scope of the audit, was it done in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 322 and ASLGU, Ch. 4, pars. 4.46–.48)	A309				
For audits of financial statements for periods beginning before June 1, 2001 or before the application of Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94, did the auditor:					
Obtain a sufficient understanding of the entity's internal control, including electronic evidential matter which may only exist for a specified period of time, to plan the audit? (AU Secs. 319.19,					
324.07–.10, and 326.18)	A310				
Document the understanding of internal control? (AU Sec. 319.44)	A311				
Document the conclusion that control risks are at the maximum level for those financial statement assertions where control risk is assessed at the maximum level? (AU Sec. 319.57)	A312				
Document the basis for the conclusion (i.e., tests of controls) that the effectiveness of the design and operation of controls supports the assessed level of control risk when that assessed level is below the maximum level? (AU Sec. 319.57)	A313				
For audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 1, 2001, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94, <i>The Effect of Information Technology on the Auditor's Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit</i> , substantially amends AU Sec. 319 to address the implications of information technology on the auditor's internal control consideration. For financial statement audits after the effective date,					
Did the auditor consider information technology ("IT") risks that could result in misstatements in making a judgment about the understanding of internal control necessary to plan the audit and the nature, timing, and extent of procedures the auditor chooses to perform to obtain an understanding? (par. 25)	A314				
Did the auditor consider whether specialized skills were needed for the auditor to determine the effect of IT on the audit, to under- stand the IT controls, or to design and perform tests of IT controls or substantive tests? (par. 31)	A315				
Did the auditor obtain an understanding of the automated and manual procedures the entity uses to prepare financial statements and related disclosures, and how misstatements may occur and did the understanding include the procedures used to: (par. 51)					

	Ques.	N/A	<u>res</u>	<u>_No_</u>	кеј.
Enter transaction totals into the general ledger?	A316				
Initiate, record, and process journal entries in the general ledger, including standard journal entries and nonstandard journal entries?	A317				
Record recurring and nonrecurring adjustments to the financial statements that are not reflected in formal journal entries?	A318				
Did the auditor document his or her understanding of the entity's internal control components obtained to plan the audit? (par. 61)	A319				
In determining whether to assess control risk at the maximum level or at a lower level that would be effective for specific assertions, did the auditor consider: (1) the nature of the assertion, (2) the volume of transactions or data related to the assertion, (3) the nature and complexity of the systems, including the use of information technology, by which the entity processes and controls information supporting the assertion, and (4) the nature of the available evidential matter, including evidential matter that is available only in electronic form? (par. 67)	A320				
If the auditor determined that it was not possible to design effective substantive tests that by themselves provided sufficient evidence that the one or more financial statement assertions are not materially misstated because a significant amount of the associated information is electronically initiated, recorded, processed, and reported, did the auditor:					
Perform tests of controls to gather evidence to use in assessing control risk? (pars. 68 and 69)	A321				
Did the auditor document his or her conclusions about the: (par. 83)					
Assessed level of control risk?	A322				
Control risk is at the maximum level for financial statement assertions where control risk is assessed at the maximum level?	A323				
The basis for his or her conclusion that the effectiveness of the design and operation of controls supports the assessed level of control risk for those assertions where the assessed level of control risk is below the maximum level?	A324				
If the entity transmits, processes, maintains, or assesses significant information by electronic means and it is not practical or possible to reduce detection risk to an acceptable level by performing only substantive tests for one or more financial statement assertions, did the auditor perform tests of controls? (AU Sec. 326.14)	A325				
If the methods used by the client to process significant accounting information include the use of a service organization, was consideration given to the controls at the service organization that may affect the client's transactions and internal control? (AU Sec. 324.07–.10)	A326				
If the entity obtained services from another organization that is part of the entity's information system, did the auditor, during planning, obtain an understanding of the controls in place by the entity and by the service organization whose services are part of the entity's information system? (AU Sec. 324.07–.10)	A327				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
If the auditor decided to assess control risk below the maximum for financial statement assertions affected by the processing performed					
by the service organization, was a service auditor's report that describes the results of the service auditor's tests of operating effective-					
ness obtained, were tests performed by the auditor at the service organization, or were tests of client's controls over the activities of the					
service organization performed by the auditor? (AU Sec. 324.12–.16)	A328				
If the engagement included work performed by other auditors or by another office, correspondent, or affiliate:	N				
Do the instructions to the other office or firm appear adequate? (AU Sec. 311)	A329				
Does it appear that the control exercised over the work of others through supervision and review was adequate? (AU Sec. 311)	A330				
Was there appropriate follow-up of open matters? (AU Sec. 311)	A331				
In those cases where another firm was used, were appropriate inquiries made regarding its independence and professional reputation? (AU Sec. 543.10)	A332				
For a jointly signed audit report, are there indications that the auditor has conducted sufficient audit procedures to warrant sign-					
ing the report in an individual capacity? (ASLGU, Ch. 18, pars. 18.54–.56)	G314				
If the principal auditor did not refer to the other auditor in his or her report, did the principal auditor perform one or more of the additional procedures contained in AU 543.12 to assess the adequacy of the work performed by the other auditor? (AU Sec. 543.12 and .13 and ASLGU, Ch. 18, par. 18.54)	G315				
If a specialist was used (e.g., actuary, appraiser, engineer, environmental consultant, or geologist):					
Were the appropriate considerations and evaluations made in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 336.06–.11)	A333				
Were appropriate procedures applied to the specialist's findings? (AU Sec. 336.12)	A334				
Was an appropriately tailored, written audit program prepared? (AU Sec. 311.05 and ASLGU, Ch. 3, pars. 3.28–.32)	A335				
Was the audit program responsive to the needs of the engagement, and the understanding of internal controls obtained, during the planning process? (AU Secs. 311.05 and 319.05)	A336				
Was consideration given to the applicable assertions in developing audit objectives and in designing substantive tests? (AU Sec. 326.09–.14)	A337				
If conditions changed during the course of the audit, was the audit program modified as appropriate in the circumstances? (AU Secs. 311.05 and 316.33–.37)	A338				
Have all the procedures called for in the audit program been signed	11330				
when completed? (AU Sec. 339 and ASLGU, Ch. 3, par. 3.36)	A339				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
If statistical or nonstatistical sampling was used in tests of controls (AU Secs. 319 and 350):					
Does it appear the firm gave appropriate consideration to the specific objective of the test of controls, tolerable rate, allowable risk of overreliance, and characteristics of the population when planning the sample? (AU Sec. 350.31)	A340				
Was the sample selected in such a way that it could be expected to be representative of the population? (AU Sec. 350.39)	A341				
If the auditor concluded that the sample results did not support the planned assessed level of control risk for an assertion, were the nature, timing and extent of planned substantive procedures reevaluated based on a revised consideration of the assessed level of control risk for the relevant financial statement assertions? (AU Sec. 350.40–.43)	A342				
In evaluating the sample, was appropriate consideration given to items for which the planned test of controls or appropriate alternative procedure could not be performed, for example, because the documentation was missing? (AU Sec. 350.40)	A343				
Was the documentation of the foregoing considerations in accordance with firm policy?	A344				
If statistical or nonstatistical sampling was used for substantive tests of details and tests of applicable laws and regulations, if appropriate:					
Does it appear the firm gave appropriate consideration to the specific audit objective, preliminary judgments about materiality levels, acceptable level of risk of incorrect acceptance, and characteristics of the population when planning the sample? (AU Sec. 350.16)	A345				
Was the sample selected in such a way that it could be expected to be representative of the population? (AU Sec. 350.24)	A346				
Were the misstatements in the sample projected to the items for which the sample was selected? (AU Sec. 350.26)	A347				
In evaluating the sample, was appropriate consideration given to items for which the planned substantive tests or appropriate alternative procedures could not be performed? (AU Sec. 350.25)	A348				
In evaluating whether the financial statements may be materially misstated, was appropriate consideration given, in the aggregate, to projected misstatement resulting from all audit sampling applications and to known misstatements from non-sampling applications? (AU Sec. 350.30)	A349				
Was the documentation of the foregoing considerations in accordance with firm policy?	A350				
During the performance of the engagement, did the auditor:					
Consider the guidelines of professional standards in developing, performing, and evaluating the results of analytical procedures used as substantive tests? (AU Sec. 329)	A351				
Use analytical procedures in the overall review stage of the audit? (AU Sec. 329.01 and .22)	A352				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
Has the auditor evaluated the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management? (AU Secs. 326 and 342)	A353				
Were specific procedures for determining the existence of related parties and examining identified related party transactions applied? (AU Sec. 334 and ASLGU, Ch. 17, pars. 17.05–.07)	A354				
Did the auditor obtain written timely and appropriate representations from management (including, if applicable, industry specific) and was the representation letter properly dated? (AU Secs. 333, 801.07 <i>c</i> and .10, fn 9 and ASLGU, Ch. 17, pars. 17.02–.04)	A355				
Does the representation letter obtained from the entity's management include an acknowledgement that it has considered the financial statement misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented, and has concluded that any uncorrected misstatements are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole? (AU Sec. 333.06g)	A356				
Did the auditor obtain timely and appropriate responses from the entity's attorney concerning litigation, claims and assessments? (AU Sec. 337.08–.14)	A357				
Have all questions, exceptions, or notes, been followed up and resolved, (including consideration of views obtained from responsible officials of the entity concerning the auditor's findings)?	A358				
Was appropriate consideration given to passed adjustments and to the risk that the current period's financial statements are materially misstated when prior-period likely misstatements are considered together with likely misstatements arising in the current period? (AU Sec. 312.27 and .37)	A359				
If applicable, were adequate tests of compliance with applicable laws and regulations that have a direct material effect on the financial statements performed and documented? (ASLGU, ch. 5)	G316				
If the audit tests identify misstatements in the financial statements, did the audit consider whether such misstatements may be indicative of fraud and evaluate the implications involved as described in pars. 34–36 of SAS No. 82? (AU Sec. 316)	A360				
Did the auditor consider whether the accumulated results of audit procedures and other observations affect the assessment of the risk of material misstatements due to fraud made when planning the audit; and if so, and if the auditor believed an additional response was required, were any additional risk factors or other conditions that were identified and any further response that the auditor concluded was appropriate documented? (AU Sec. 316)	A361				
If the auditor has determined that there is evidence that fraud may exist (even if the matter might be considered inconsequential), was the matter brought to the attention of an appropriate level of management; and was the matter reported directly to the audit committee (or others with equivalent authority and responsibility), if the fraud involves senior management or the fraud causes a material misstatement of the financial statements? (AU Sec. 316)	A362				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
When the auditor, as a result of the assessment of the risk of material misstatements due to fraud, has identified risk factors that have continuing control implications (whether or not transactions or adustments that could be the results of fraud have been detected), did the auditor consider whether these risk factors represent reportable conditions relating to the entity's internal control that should be communicated to senior management and the audit committee (or others with equivalent authority and responsibility)? (AU Sec. 316)	A363				
If the auditor's procedures disclosed instances or indications of illegal acts, did the auditor:					
Follow up in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 317.10 and .11)	A364				
Consider the implications of the detected illegal act in relation to other aspects of the audit, including the reliability of the client's representations? (AU Sec. 317.16)	A365				
Communicate directly with the audit committee if the illegal act(s) involved senior management, and document that communication, and obtain assurance that all other illegal acts that came to the auditor's attention except, those that are clearly inconsequential, were adequately communicated? (AU Sec. 317.17)	A366				
Did the auditor consider if there was substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time? (AU Sec. 341.10 and .11 and ASLGU, Ch. 17, par. 17.12)	A367				
If the auditor believed that there was substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, did the auditor obtain information about management's plans that are intended to mitigate the effect of such conditions or events, and evaluate the likelihood that such plans could be effectively implemented? (AU Sec. 341.03(<i>b</i>))	A368				
If the auditor's substantial doubt was not alleviated, did the auditor's report include an explanatory paragraph that adequately communicates the auditor's substantial doubt (i.e., include the terms "substantial doubt" and "going concern")? (AU Sec. 341.12 and .13 and ASLGU, Ch. 17, par. 17.12)	A369				
During the performance of the audit:					
If the auditor identified reportable conditions, were they communicated to the audit committee, management and others within the organization in a time frame influenced by the relative significance of the matters noted and urgency of corrective action(s), and if the communication was oral, was it documented in the working papers? (AU Sec. 325.09 and .18)	A370				
Do the auditor's conclusions regarding whether internal control matters noted during the audit were (or were not) reportable conditions appear appropriate? (AU Sec. 325)	A371				
If a report was issued on reportable conditions, did it indicate that the purpose of the audit was to report on the financial statements					

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
and not to provide assurance on internal control, and did it include the definition of reportable conditions and the restriction on distri- bution? (AU Sec. 325.11 and SAS No. 87)	A372				
Has the auditor complied with the requirement not to issue a written representation stating that no reportable conditions were noted during an audit? (AU Sec. 325.17)	A373				
Did the auditor perform appropriate procedures regarding events subsequent to the balance-sheet date, but prior to the date of the report? (AU Sec. 560)	A374				
If the auditor, subsequent to the date of the report, became aware of facts that may have existed at that date that might have affected the report had the auditor then been aware of such facts, did the auditor consider the guidance in professional standards in determining an appropriate course of action, and does the matter appear to be properly resolved? ⁴ (AU Sec. 561)	A375				
If there is an indication that the auditor, subsequent to the date of the report, concluded that one or more auditing procedures considered necessary at the time of the audit of the financial statements in the then existing circumstances were omitted from the audit, did the auditor consider the guidance in professional standards in determining an appropriate course of action, and does the matter appear to be properly resolved? (AU Sec. 390)	A376				
Where there is an audit committee or some other formal oversight group, did the auditor:					
Ensure that the appropriate matters were communicated to those with responsibility for oversight of the financial reporting process? (AU Sec. 380.01 and .02)	A377				
If the communication was in writing, include a statement that it was intended solely for the use of the audit committee or the board of directors, and if appropriate, management? (AU Sec. 380.03 and SAS No. 87)	A378				
If the communication was oral, document the information communicated by appropriate memoranda or notations in the working papers? (AU Sec. 380.03)	A379				
Has the auditor informed the audit committee about uncorrected misstatements aggregated during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented that was determined by the entity's management to be immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole? (AU Sec. 380.10)	A380				
Has the firm established reasonable procedures for retention of and access to working papers including consideration of the guidance in Interpretation No. 1 of SAS No. 41, "Providing Access to or Photocopies of Working Papers to a Regulator"? (AU Sec. 339.08, AU Sec. 9339, also at Appendix K in ASLGU)	G318				
• •					

⁴ This question also applies to circumstances when, subsequent to the date of the audit report on the general purpose financial statements, the auditor, while performing procedures to support the other reports required by the Single Audit Act, identifies additional information that existed at the report date.

III. WORKING PAPER AREAS

Note: Complete the sections determined to be key areas. Commitments and Contingencies and Revenues and Expenditures/Expenses are not optional and must always be completed. In the audit areas reviewed, the reviewer should evaluate whether the reviewed firm has obtained sufficient competent evidential matter to form conclusions concerning the validity of the assertions of material significance embodied in the financial statements as described in AU Sec. 326. The questions contained in each section represent some of the audit procedures or tests that the reviewed firm might have undertaken to form conclusions in support of such financial statement assertions. If an audit area is not reviewed because it does not represent a *key* area for that engagement, the reviewer should place an "X" in the box below the name of the working paper area. (As previously indicated, the reviewer should indicate the reason(s) for not reviewing a key audit area; in such circumstances, the reviewer should not place an "X" below the area.)

	Ques.	<i>N/A</i> *	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	<i>Ref.</i> **
Cash					
□ Not a key area					
Were reconciling items cleared by reference to subsequent statements obtained either directly from the bank or from the client and appropriately tested?	A401				
Was due consideration given to cash transactions shortly before and shortly after the balance-sheet date to determine whether they were recorded in the proper period?	A402				
Do the working papers indicate that the following were considered:					
Confirmation of cash balances?	A403				
Restrictions on cash balances?	A404				
Confirmation of bank credit arrangements such as compensating balances?	A405				
Confirmation of liabilities and contingent liabilities to banks?	A406				
Approval of interfund cash transactions?	G401				
Pledging of collateral required of depository institutions for public funds? (ASLGU, Ch. 7, par. 7.12 and GASB Sec. I50.107–.111)	G402				
Compliance with the laws and regulations governing the deposit of public funds? (GASB Sec. C20)	G403				
Determination that all cash accounts have been identified and appropriately recorded? (ASLGU, Ch. 7, pars. 7.05–7.26)	G404				
Review of repurchase security transactions for consistency with the disclosures of their terms and conditions? (GASB Sec. I50.159–.160)	G405				
Are cash overdrafts from pooled cash and investments accounted for as an interfund receivable or payable? (ASLGU, Ch. 7, pars. 7.06–7.09)	G406				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of cash appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A407				

^{*} The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
Receivables					
□ Not a key area					
Were accounts receivable confirmed and appropriate follow-up steps	,				
taken, including second requests and alternative procedures? (AU Sec. 330.24–.30 and .34)	A408				
If accounts receivable confirmations were not requested, has the auditor documented how the presumption for such requests was overcome and were the reasons appropriate? (AU Sec. 330.34 and .35)	A409				
If confirmation work was performed prior to year end, is there evidence that adequate substantive procedures were applied to the period from the confirmation date to the balance-sheet date?	A410				
If a significant number and amount of the accounts receivable selected for positive confirmation did not respond, is there evidence that alternative auditing procedures were performed? (AU Sec. 330.31 and .32)	A411				
Were significant notes receivable confirmed as of a date consistent with the auditor's assessment of inherent, control and detection risks? (AU Sec. 313)	A411				
Were the results of confirmation and alternative procedures summarized, and were appropriate conclusions included in the working papers? (AU Sec. 330.33)	A413				
Was collateral (if any) for receivables tested with respect to existence, ownership and value?	A414				
Were procedures performed, such as cut-off testing, to provide evidence that receivables and the related revenues were recorded in the correct period? (ASLGU, Ch. 8, par. 8.04–.07)	G407				
Were adequate tests of discounts and allowances made?	A415				
Was the reasonableness of allowances for doubtful accounts covered in the working papers and collectibility of receivables (including interfund receivables) adequately considered? (AU Sec. 312.29)	A416				
Is there evidence in the working papers that inquiry was made and consideration given to whether receivables are sold, pledged, assigned or otherwise encumbered?	A417				
For proprietary activities, were appropriate procedures applied to notes receivable regarding imputation of interest (if necessary), carrying amount, fair value, (AC Sec. F25) and recognition of related interest income? (AC Secs. I69 and F25.115A–.115K)	A418				
Has sufficient audit evidence been obtained to determine that impaired loans (e.g., impaired accounts receivable with terms exceeding one year, notes receivable and other loans) carried at the present value of the loans' expected future cash flows are discounted at the loans' effective interest rates or at observable market prices or at the fair value of the collateral if the loans are collateral dependent? (AC Sec. I08.111)	A419				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of receivables appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A420				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No_	Ref.
Inventories					
□ Not a key area					
Do the working papers indicate that there were adequate tests of:	,				
Physical observation, if material? (AU Sec. 331.09–.13)	G408				
The clerical accuracy of the inventory?	A427				
Costing methods and substantiation of costs used in pricing all elements (raw material, work-in-process and finished goods) of the inventory?	A428				
Were the results of inventory observations and other tests summarized, and were appropriate conclusions drawn?	A429				
Do the working papers indicate that consideration was given to whether the inventory was carried at lower of cost or market (including consideration of obsolete or slow-moving inventory)?	A431				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of inventory appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A435				
Investments					
□ Not a key area					
Were details examined with respect to the description, purchase price, income, fair value, etc., of investments on hand at year end? (AU Sec. 332.23)	A436				
Were securities either inspected or confirmed? (AU Sec. 332.04)	A437				
Were market quotations or other evidence of current value of investments obtained? (AU Sec. 332.36)	A438				
Was the computation of changes in fair value of investments tested by the auditor? (AU Sec. 332.40)	A443				
Was consideration given to determining how to account for investments? (GASB Sec. 150)	G410				
Do the working papers indicate that consideration was given to investments that were pledged, restricted, or had limitations on their marketability?	A444				
For joint venture investments (accounted for under the equity or other method), were financial statements and other information reviewed to support the amounts presented and the related disclosures? (GASB Sec. J50 and AU Sec. 332.13–.22)	G411				
Was a review made to determine whether the investments are of the types authorized by law or in compliance with the applicable statutes and investment policies? (ASLGU, Ch. 7, pars. 7.06–.13 and .26)	G412				
Were income, gains, and losses from investments tested for proper allocation to the individual funds? (GASB Sec. I50.104 and .105 and ASLGU, Ch. 7, par. 7.08 and .09)	G413				
For repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, were appropriate audit procedures performed (e.g., confirmation, inspection of agreement and collateral, etc.)? (ASLGU, Ch. 7, pars. 7.23–.26)	G414				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
Was consideration given to the custody of investments, including powers granted to an agency or counterparty, to determine whether or not the classification within risk categories is appropriate?	G415				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of investments appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A446				
Effective for audits of financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after June 30, 2001, the Auditing Standards Board issued SAS No. 92, <i>Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities.</i> The SAS supercedes SAS No. 81 (AU Sec. 332), <i>Auditing Investments.</i> For engagements performed on or after the effective date, if derivative financial instruments, hedging activities and/or investment securities, as defined by SAS No. 92, were present, did the auditor:	C E N				
Assess inherent and control risk for the required audit assertions (existence, completeness, rights and obligations, valuation, and presentation and disclosure) about derivatives and investment securities?	A447				
Develop substantive procedures for derivative instruments and investment securities consistent with the results of the risk assessment to address the auditing assertions?	A448				
Prepaid Expenses, Intangible Assets, Deferred Charges, etc.					
□ Not a key area					
Were adequate tests made for all material:					
Prepayments?	A449				
Intangible assets?	A450				
Deferred charges?	A451				
Other?	A452				
Is there adequate support for the deferral and amortization (or lack thereof) of these types of assets?	A453				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of prepaid expenses, intangible assets, deferred charges, etc., appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A454				
Property, Plant and Equipment					
□ Not a key area					
Was a summary schedule prepared to show beginning balances, changes during the period and ending balances for:					
Property, plant and equipment?	A455				
Accumulated depreciation (where applicable)?	A456				
Do tests appear adequate, and were proper conclusions drawn with respect to:					
Additions (e.g., by examining supporting documents and/or physical inspection)?	A457				
Retirements, etc. (including examining miscellaneous income, scrap sales)?	A458				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes	_No_	Ref.
The adequacy of the current and accumulated provisions for depreciation?	A459				
For proprietary activities, consideration of asset impairment including the status of idle facilities? (AC Sec. I08.122–.130)	A460				
Do working papers indicate that the auditor considered the possibility that property was subject to liens?	A461				
Was a review made to determine that capital expenditures were classified in the proper fund accounts and made in accordance with budgetary requirements? (GASB Secs. 1700.118 and .119, and 1800.116–.122)	G416				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of property, plant and equipment appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A462				
Liabilities					
□ Not a key area					
Were accounts payable tested adequately for existence?	A463				
Was an adequate search performed for unrecorded liabilities at the balance sheet date?	A464				
Was the payables work coordinated with the testing of the purchases cutoff?	A465				
Was consideration given to expenditures that might require accrual (e.g., pensions, compensated absences, or postemployment benefits provided to former or inactive employees prior to retirement), and to whether accrued expenses were reasonably stated? (GASB Secs. C60, P20, P50)	A466				
Were procedures performed to determine that municipal solid waste landfill closure and postclosure care costs were properly accounted for? (GASB Sec. L10)	G417				
Were procedures performed to determine whether deferred compensation plans had been appropriately accounted for? (GASB Sec. D25)	G418				
Were significant notes and bonds payable, together with interest rates and repayment periods, etc., confirmed, or alternative procedures applied?	A467				
For proprietary activities were appropriate procedures applied to notes payable regarding imputation of interest (if necessary), carrying amount, fair value (FAS No. 107) and recognition of related interest expense? (AC Secs. I69 and F25.115A–.115K)	A468				
Do the working papers indicate that the auditor reviewed compliance with the covenants of the entity's debt obligations?	A469				
Were procedures performed to determine that:					
New debt issues were properly authorized as required by the state constitution or state/local statutes and recorded in the correct fund and/or account group? (ASLGU, Ch. 11, pars. 11.28 and .34)	G419				
Debt restrictions, guarantees and other debt commitments were properly disclosed? (ASLGU, Ch. 11, pars. 11.30–.32, .34 and .35)	G420				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
Do the tests of interfund borrowings appear adequate with respect to:					
Authorization? (ASLGU Ch. 12, par. 12.24)	G421				
Classification? (ASLGU, Ch. 12, par. 12.24)	G422				
Appropriateness of interest accruals and payments?	G423				
Do the working papers contain sufficient documentation of tests of liabilities recognized for claims incurred but not reported (IBNR) as of the balance-sheet date? (GASB Sec. C50.113 and .114 and ASLGU, Ch. 9, pars. 9.14 and .15)	G424				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of liabilities appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A470				
Deferred Revenue					
□ Not a key area					
Do the working papers reflect consideration of whether the basis of deferring revenue is reasonable and consistent with restrictions imposed by the grantor or by the special assessment? (GASB Secs. 1600.116 and S40)	G425				
Where applicable, was consideration given to matching requirements, if any? (GASB Sec. G60.109)	G426				
If there were grants, entitlements or shared revenues received but not expended, where expenditure is the prime factor for determining eligibility, were the funds properly reported as deferred revenue? (GASB Sec. G60.114)	G427				
In governmental funds, were revenues that were measurable but did not meet the available criteria properly recorded as deferred revenue? (GASB Sec. P70.108)	G428				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of deferred revenue appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	G429				
Commitments and Contingencies					
Do the working papers contain evidence of the following:					
Inspection of minutes of meetings of the oversight unit, provisions of the governmental unit's charter, and applicable statutes and changes therein?	A478				
Inspection of contracts, loan agreements, leases and correspondence from taxing and other governmental agencies, and similar documents?	A479				
Accumulation and analysis of confirmation responses from banks and lawyers?	A480				
Inquiries of and discussions with management including management's written representations concerning liabilities, litigation, claims, assessments, and regulatory requirements as applicable? (AU Secs. 333 and 337.05)	A481				
Consideration of prior audits of federal financial assistance programs that disclosed questioned or disallowed costs, or instances of noncompliance? (ASLGU, Ch. 5, par. 5.13 and SOP 98-3 [TPA Sec. 11,320])	G430				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Inspection of long-term contracts with nongovernmental entities, such as construction contractors? (ASLGU, Ch. 17, par. 17.17)	G431				
Have all material contingencies been properly considered, documented, and reported? (AC Sec. C59)	A483				
Was appropriate consideration given to known environmental matters? (GASB Sec. C50 and ASLGU, Ch. 17, pars. 17.14–.17 and SOP 96-1, Chs. 5 and 7 [TPA Sec. 10,680])	A484				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of commitments and contingencies appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	G432				
Fund Equity					
□ Not a key area					
Where applicable, were authorizations of changes in reserves and designated balances examined? (ASLGU, Ch. 12, pars. 12.06–.14)	G433				
Do the working papers indicate that there were appropriate inquiries as to proper classification, description and disclosures of components of fund equity? (ASLGU, Ch. 12, pars. 12.06, .10, and .27)	G434				
Do the working papers indicate that fund transfers were properly approved and recorded? (ASLGU, Ch. 12, par. 12.24)	G435				
Have all entries to contributed capital been properly accounted for? (ASLGU, Ch. 13, pars. 13.18, .19, .24, and .25)	G436				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of fund equity appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	G437				
Revenues and Expenditures/Expenses					
Were appropriate analytical procedures used in reviewing revenues and expenditures and/or expenses for the period? (e.g., comparison to the budget and the preceding period and review for reasonableness, with significant variances and fluctuations being investigated and resolved) (AU Sec. 329)	A491				
Was adequate consideration given to:					
The entity's revenue recognition policy? (ASLGU, Ch. 8 and GASB Sec. 1600.106–.116 and AC Sec. A10.105)	A492				
Recognition of property tax revenues? (ASLGU, Ch. 8, par. 8.10 GASB Secs. 1600.109 and P70 and GASB Interpretation No. 5)	G438				
Recognition of taxpayer-assessed tax revenues? (ASLGU, Ch. 8; and GASB Sec. 1600.110)	G439				
Income recognition on transactions where the earnings process was not complete?	A493				
Recognition of revenues and interfund transactions in the accounting period in which they became available and measurable for fund types using the modified accrual basis of accounting? (GASB Sec. 1600.106–.116 and ASLGU, Ch. 8, par. 8.04)	G440				
Do the working papers indicate that the auditor considered the effect of direct program income on federal grants? (ASLGU, Appendix M)	G441				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Have encumbrances been properly identified, supported and recorded? (GASB Secs. 1700.129 and .130 and ASLGU, Ch. 6, pars. 6.22 and 6.23)	G442				
Were tests of payrolls, including account distribution, made, where appropriate?	A489				
Do the tests of pension expenses and liabilities appear adequate? (GASB Sec. P20 and ASLGU, Ch. 14)	A490				
If the entity is reimbursed by a third party for costs incurred in connection with providing services to others:	N				
Were pertinent sections of significant third-party contracts reviewed to determine the basis for reimbursement? (ASLGU, Ch. 8, par. 8.30)	G443				
Were cost reimbursement reports and the underlying support reviewed? (ASLGU, Ch. 8, par. 8.30)	G444				
Were appropriate allocations made of indirect costs among the entity's programs? (ASLGU, Ch. 8, par. 8.30)	G445				
Was the effect of audits, either required or performed by third-party grantors, considered? (ASLGU, Ch. 5, par. 5.40 and Appendix M)	G446				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, did the substantive tests, including analytical procedures, of revenues and expenditures/expenses appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A496				
Effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2000, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued GASB Statement No. 36, Recipient Reporting for Certain Shared Nonexchange Revenues—(an amendment of GASB Statement No. 33). In conjunction with the effective date, if the entity was a recipient of shared tax revenues or shared imposed nonexchange revenues, did the entity:					
Account for the receipt of the revenues consistent with the approach used by the provider government?	G447				
If notification by the provider government was not made in a timely manner, did the recipient government account for the receipt of revenues using a reasonable estimate of the amount to be accrued?	G448				
Effective for financial statements beginning after June 30, 2000, if the enterprise engaged in certain nonexchange transactions involving financial or capital resources, has the auditor properly considered the implications of the provisions of GASB Statement No. 33, <i>Accounting and Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions</i> , as amended by GASB Statement No. 36, in planning and performing the auditing procedures?	A497				
Other					
Have leases been reviewed to determine that capital, operating, sales, and direct financing leases have been properly accounted for? (GASB Sec. L20)	A498				
Were appropriate procedures applied regarding accompanying information (AU Sec. 551.06 and .08) and "required supplementary information"? (AU Sec. 558)	A499				
Were the audit procedures regarding financial instruments appropriately designed, executed, and documented in the working papers, and were the disclosures in the financial statements adequate?	4 500				

IV. FUNCTIONAL AREAS[†]

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.**
Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (QC Sec. 20.09 and .10)					
If anything has been noted that may indicate a lack of independence, integrity, and objectivity, was the matter identified and appropriately resolved by the firm and its effects appropriately considered? (ET Secs. 101 and 102)	A601				
Have personnel been appropriately advised of the need to observe independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements concerning the client and any related nonclient parent, investor, investee, subsidiary, affiliate or other entity?	A602				
Was appropriate assurance of independence obtained from other firms engaged to audit segments or component units of the entity?	A603				
Were the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services paid prior to issuance of the report for the current engagement? (ET Sec. 191.103 and .104)	A604				
Personnel Management (QC Sec. 20.1113)					
Were scheduling and staffing requirements identified on a timely basis and approved by appropriate personnel?	A606				
Does it appear engagement personnel possessed an appropriate mix of experience or expertise and technical training in relation to the complexity or other requirements of the engagement and the involvement of supervisory personnel?	A607				
Does it appear that the practitioner in charge of the engagement possessed the following knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) to fulfill their responsibilities on the engagement:					
An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's <i>Code of Professional Conduct</i> ?	A608				
An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement?	A609				
An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?	A610				
An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates?	A611				
Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?	A612				

[†] The AICPA's *Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* provides guidance on how to develop and implement a system of quality control for a firm's accounting and auditing practice in compliance with the requirements of Statements on Quality Control Standards Nos. 2, *System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* (QC Sec. 20), as amended, 3, *Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* (QC Sec. 30), and 5, *The Personnel Management Element of a Firm's System of Quality Control—Competencies Required by a Practitioner-in-charge of an Attest Engagement* (QC Sec. 40).

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?	A613				
Does it appear that the practitioner-in-charge of the engagement possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to fulfill his/her responsibilities on the engagement as noted in par08 of QC Sec. 40?	A614				
Did the personnel assigned to this engagement appear to be appropriately familiar with the applicable professional pronouncements (FASB, GASB, AICPA, OMB, etc.)?	A615				
If required by firm policy, was the staff on this engagement appropriately evaluated?	A616				
Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements (QC Sec. 20.14–.16)					
Does it appear that the firm's guidelines for acceptance and continuance of client relationships, including performing specific engagements for the client, were complied with?	A617				
Engagement Performance (QC Sec. 20.17–.19)					
Were appropriate and knowledgeable engagement and supervisory personnel involved in the planning process?	A618				
Does it appear that audit planning was adequately documented in the working papers, including any changes in the original plan? (AU Secs. 311 and 339.05)	A619				
Did the owner/partner (or manager) approve the overall engagement audit plan (including the audit program) as the final planning step and convey approval or modifications to the engagement staff?	A620				
Does it appear that the hours charged by the owner/partner, manager, and where applicable, the concurring reviewer were both adequate and appropriately timed to provide for planning and supervision as the job progressed?	A621				
Were all forms, checklists, or questionnaires, if any, required by firm policy for the following areas adequately completed and modified, where appropriate, for the engagement:					
Planning checklist?	A622				
Review of internal control:					
Manual system?	A623				
IT system?	A624				
Audit work programs?	A625				
Financial statement disclosures?	A626				
Working paper and financial statement reviews?	A627				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
If standardized forms, etc., were not used for any of the above areas, is there adequate documentation of these areas?	A628				
Were the firm's guidelines for the form and content of audit working papers complied with?	A629				
If used, were such audit tools as computer auditing or statistical sampling properly evaluated by persons with training in these areas? (AU Sec. 311.10)	A630				
If required by firm policy, was an appropriate pre-issuance review made of the working papers, report, and financial statements by a person whose position in the firm is commensurate with that responsibility, to determine that the work performed was complete and conformed to professional standards and firm policy and was that	TA				
review documented?	A631				
Were any circumstances noted where the firm consulted or should have consulted regarding an engagement matter (i.e., a complex, unusual, or a technical issue) with individuals within the firm, an external party or by researching in applicable professional literature, based on the firm's policies and procedures or where the complexity or nature of the issue warranted consultation?					
No (skip to question A637)					
Yes (respond to questions A632 to A636)					
If an individual was consulted (internally or externally), was the consultation done on a timely basis and, does it appear they were aware of all relevant facts and circumstances?	A632				
If professional literature was researched, does it appear the research was thorough and the sources consulted were complete, correct and up-to-date?	A633				
Does it appear the person(s) consulted (internally or externally) and/or the individual(s) performing the research had an appropriate level of knowledge, competence, judgment and (if applicable) authority?	A634				
Based on the facts and circumstances, were the firm's conclusions reasonable and consistent with professional standards?	A635				
Is the firm's report, the financial statements, or other information affected by the matter consistent with the results of the consultation?	A636				
If the engagement records indicated a difference of opinion between the engagement personnel and/or specialist or other consultant was the difference resolved in accordance with firm policy and was the	A 627				
basis of the resolution appropriately documented?	A637				

V. Appendix A—Questions for Use When the Engagement Is Subject to Government Auditing Standards

Note: This Appendix should not be completed when the *Supplemental Checklist for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements* (Sec. 22,020) is applicable.

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes	_No_	Ref.**
Does the auditor's (firm's) understanding with the client include:	E				
Providing the firm's most recent (within the last three years) external quality control review report to the client? (GAS pars. 3.33 and .36)	GA101				
Arrangements for access to the working papers by other auditors and oversight officials as applicable? (GAS pars. 4.36 and .38 and A-133.515(b))	GA102				
Does the auditor (firm) have policies for the safe custody and retention of working papers? (GAS par. 6.65 and A-133.515(b))	GA103				
Does the auditor's report on financial statements include reference to generally accepted auditing standards and to the standards for financial audits contained in <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States? (GAS par. 5.11)	GA104				
When reporting separately on compliance with laws and regulations and internal control over financial reporting, did the auditor disclose in the auditor's report on the financial statements 1) the issuance of that additional report, 2) that the additional report is an integral part of a GAS audit, and 3) in considering the results of the audit, the separate report should be read along with the auditor's report on the financial statements? (GAS, Ch. 5, pars. 5.16–.16.1)	GA105				
If certain information is prohibited from general disclosure, did the auditor's report state the nature of the information omitted and the requirement that makes the omission necessary? (GAS par. 5.29)	GA106				
Did the auditor report on compliance and on internal control over financial reporting based upon an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> including all required elements (GAS 5.17, SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 [TPA Sec. 11,320.39]):					
A reference to the auditor's report on the entity's financial statements and, if applicable, a description of any departures from the standard report? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>a</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320.39 <i>a</i>])	GA107				
A statement that the auditor has performed tests of the entity's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement					
amounts? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39c [TPA Sec. 11,320.39c])	GA108				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. Column, or (2) discussed on the pages at the end of the checklist to which this supplement relates.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	Ref.
A statement that the objective of an audit is not to provide an opinion on compliance with laws and regulations, etc.? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>d</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320.39 <i>d</i>])	GA109				
A statement indicating whether the auditor's tests disclosed instances of noncompliance required to be reported under <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> , and a description of the noncompliance, or reference to a schedule of findings? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39e [TPA Sec. 11,320.39e])	E GA110				
If applicable, a statement that certain immaterial instances of non-compliance were communicated to management in a separate letter? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39f [TPA Sec. 11,320.39f])	GA111				
If applicable, a statement that reportable conditions were noted and the definition of a reportable condition? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>h</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320.39 <i>h</i>])	GA112				
Appropriate language when no reportable conditions were noted? (AU Sec. 325.17 prohibits issuing a written report stating that no reportable conditions were noted). (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>i</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320.39 <i>i</i>])	GA113				
The definition of a material weakness? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>k</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320.39 <i>k</i>])	GA114				
If applicable, a statement that other matters involving the internal control over financial reporting were communicated to management in a separate letter? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>m</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320.39 <i>m</i>])	GA115				
A statement indicating the report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified bodies and, if applicable, pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified bodies? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>n</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320.39 <i>n</i>] and AU Sec. No. 532)	GA116				
When illegal acts involve funds received from other governmental entities, did the auditors assure themselves that the audited entity notified the proper official of those entities within a reasonable time? (GAS pars. 5.21–.24 and SOP 98-3, pars. 10.23 and .24 [TPA Sec. 11,320.23 and .24])	GA117				
If the entity did not, or was unable to do so because the top official was involved, has the auditor reported these acts to the officials of those other governmental entities, and to the appropriate oversight body? (GAS pars. 5.21–.24 and SOP 98-3, pars. 10.23 and .25 [TPA Sec. 11,320.23 and .25])	GA118				
If appropriate, was the scope section of the reports properly modified to disclose that an applicable government auditing standard was not followed, the reasons therefore and the known effect of not following the standard on the audit results? (GAS par. 5.12)	GA119				
When appropriate, did the auditor issue a separate report on fraud, abuse, or illegal acts, or indications of such acts? (GAS pars. 5.21–.25 and SOP 98-3, pars. 10.23 and .24 [TPA Sec. 11,320.23 and .24])	GA120				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Did the report(s) disclose the status of all known, but uncorrected significant or material findings and recommendations from prior audits that affect current audit objectives? (GAS par. 4.10 and SOP 98-3, par. 10.62 [TPA Sec. 11,320.62])	GA121				
Did the auditor document communication of those nonreportable conditions in internal control not included in the required reports? (GAS par. 5.20 and SOP 98-3, par. 10.29 [TPA Sec. 11,320.29])	GA122				
Were findings presented in accordance with the guidance in the <i>Government Auditing Standards?</i> (GAS pars. 5.21–.28 and SOP 98-3, par. 10.38–.40 [TPA Sec. 11,320.40])	GA123				
Do the working papers contain sufficient information to enable an experienced auditor having no previous connection with the audit to ascertain from them the evidence that supports the auditor's significant conclusions and judgements? (GAS par. 4.35)	GA124				
Are appropriate personnel in compliance with the Yellow Book continuing professional education (CPE) requirements? (GAS pars. 3.6–.9)	GA125				
Is the audit firm in compliance with the external quality control review requirements of the Yellow Book? (GAS par. 3.33)	GA126				
Was appropriate assurance of independence considered on three levels: personal, external and organizational, and did the auditor maintain an independent attitude and appearance? (GAS pars. 3.11–.25)	GA127				
Did the auditor (firm) meet the licensing requirements of the jurisdiction where the entity is located? (GAS par. 3.10(e)(2))	GA128				
Did the auditor obtain written representations from management regarding the completeness of management's identification of laws and regulations that have a material affect on the financial statements and compliance with the requirements of Federal awards programs? (AU Sec. 801.07(c) and SOP 98-3, pars. 4.40 and 6.68–.70 [TPA Sec. 11,320-4.40 and 6.68–.70])	GA129				
Did the auditor communicate the following information related to the conduct and reporting of the audit to the audit committee or to individuals with whom they have contracted for the audit:					
Auditor's responsibilities and certain other matters (GAS par. 5.7a and SOP 98-3, par. 10.16a [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.16a])?	GA130				
Nature of any additional testing of internal controls and compliance required by laws and regulations? (GAS par. 5.7b)	GA131				
During the planning phase of the audit, did the auditor document in the work papers the basis for assessing control risk at the maximum level for assertions related to material account balances, transaction classes and disclosure components in the financial statements when such assertions are significantly dependent on computerized information systems? (GAS par. 4.21.3-4)	GA132				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Did the auditor document the consideration that the planned audit					
procedures were designed to achieve audit objectives and reduce					
audit risk to an acceptable level? (GAS, par. 4.21.4)	GA133				
Did the auditor document in the work papers specific communication with the auditee and the audit committee regarding the scope of compliance and internal control work to be performed under <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> ? (GAS, pars. 4.6.3–4.6.9)	GA134				
	TIT				

SECTION

VI. EXPLANATION OF "NO" ANSWERS AND OTHER COMMENTS

The following pages are provided for your comments on all "no" answers for which an MFC form was not generated or to expand upon any of the "yes" answers. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained and reviewed with the engagement owner.

Page Number	Question Number	Explanatory Comments	Disposition of Comments*
		PRACTICE	
		SECTION	

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The nature of the disposition of comments may vary, such as:

Note "resolved" and the manner of resolution.

[•] Note "not significant" to indicate a "no" answer is appropriate, but that the matter is not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form.

Page Number Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

§20,500

Page Number Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

VII. CONCLUSIONS

EXPLAIN BELOW THE REASONS FOR ANY "YES" ANSWERS. BE SPECIFIC.

Based on the work performed, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that:

• The firm did not perform the engagement in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (see AU Sec. 390 and ET Sec. 202) and other applicable standards including, where applicable, the requirements of <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> and the Single Audit Act?	YES*	_ NO
• The financial statements did not conform with generally accepted accounting principles (or where applicable, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP) in all material respects and the review report was not appropriately modified (see AU Sec. 561 and ET Sec. 203)?	YES*	_ NO
• The auditor's reports, including all reports required under <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> were not appropriate in the circumstances?	YES*	_ NO
• The documentation on this engagement does not support the firm's opinion on the financial statements?	YES*	_ NO
• The firm did not comply with its policies and procedures on this engagement in all material respects?	YES*	NO

[*The next page is* 20,601.]

^{*} If this question is answered "yes," see additional guidance contained in Section 3100.51–.53 of the *Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews* in the AICPA *Peer Review Program Manual* or Section 2000.83–.89 in the *SEC Practice Section Reference Manual*.

Section 20,600

Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist

Instructions for Use of Checklist for Review of Audit **Engagements of Not-for-Profit Organizations**

This checklist was developed for use by reviewers of audits of not-for-profit organizations. It should be used in conjunction with other guidance materials issued to implement the peer review program and the SEC Practice Section of the AICPA.

The questions in the checklist are intended to emphasize the general procedures that an independent auditor would ordinarily perform in auditing and reporting on financial statements of not-for-profit organizations (NFPOs). Accordingly, in addition to GAAP and GAAS applicable to all organizations, the matters covered in this checklist also include the accounting and auditing requirements that are unique to audits of NFPOs and therefore extend the auditor's responsibilities.

Reviewers of audits of state or local governments should complete the Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist in lieu of this checklist.

Reviewers of NFPOs receiving federal government awards or grants should also complete the Supplemental Checklist for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements. That supplemental checklist gives effect to the 1997 revisions of OMB Circular A-133 and SOP 98-3, Audits Of States, Local Governments, and Not-for-Profit Organizations Receiving Federal Awards.

Reviewers should adapt this checklist to fit specific engagements. For example, individuals reviewing review or compilation engagements of NFPOs should also complete the general procedures, functional areas and conclusions sections of the review or compilation checklists.

Reviewers of not-for-profit audits of HUD projects should not complete the *Supplemental Checklist for Review* of Audits of HUD Engagements, but instead should complete the Supplemental Checklist for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements.

The questions in this checklist have been derived principally from the pronouncements of the Auditing Standards Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and its predecessors, and the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Not-for-Profit Organizations issued in 1996.

GASB Statement No. 29, The Use of Not-for-Profit Accounting and Financial Reporting Principles by Government Entities, poses the issue of whether an NFPO is deemed a governmental entity. If so, the entity is subject to the pronouncements of the GASB rather than the GAAP for nongovernmental entities. If the entity is a governmental entity as contemplated by GASB Statement No. 29, the reviewer should consider using the Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist.

Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

Explanation of References:

AC Reference to section number in FASB Accounting Standards Current Text

ACNO AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Not-for-Profit Organizations (reflects guidance

contained in authoritative pronoucements through May 1, 2000)

Quality Control Standards in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)

QC

Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist ENGAGEMENT PROFILE

Engagement Code No	. ' 0	ffice			
Owner/Partner	D	ate of Financial Stat	tements*		
Manager	D	ate of Report			
Concurring Reviewer*		ate Report Released			
 This engagement involves reporting on: () Financial statements (single entity) () Combined financial statements of financial health and welfare organizations () Financial statements of a component of () Special Reports (SAS No. 62) () Other (explain) 	•	•	it organizati	ons or v	voluntary
Was the work performed at the request of another	er office?	Yes No			
Date that the fee for the prior year's engagement	_			-	
Key data reported on by this office for this engag	ement:				
Total revenues (memorandum total)		\$			
Total assets		\$			
Total net assets					
Total amount of federal assistance exper	nded***	\$			
General description of audited entity (type of ent	ity, servic	ces provided, etc.) _			
Complex or troublesome audit areas:					
List any non-audit services performed for the clie ported on and through the date of the auditor's r		g the period of the fi	nancial stat	ements	being re-
Personnel Continuity:				Owner or Partner	Manager or equivalent
Number of years assigned to this job					
Number of years in current position on the job \dots					
Audithouse on this second					
Audit hours on this engagement:		Duianta			A 64
	Total	Prior to Commencement of Field Work	During Field Work		After Completion of Field Work
Owner/Partner		. <u></u>			
Manager (or equivalent)				- –	
Senior/other professionals					
Total this office					
Total budgeted					

^{*} To determine the applicability of all cross-referenced pronouncements, consider their effective dates.

^{**} Not applicable unless required by firm policy.

^{***} This amount should include "pass through" federal financial assistance received indirectly from a state or local government.

LIST OF KEY AUDIT AREAS SELECTED BY REVIEWER

A reviewer is not required to look at all the working papers for a particular engagement. The depth of the review is based upon a reviewer's judgment; however, the review is directed primarily to the key areas of an engagement, including complex or troublesome areas. Ordinarily, all key audit areas should be reviewed. List below the key areas on this engagement, and, if any key areas are not reviewed, indicate the reasons for this omission. In completing this checklist, all questions in Sections I, II, IV, V, and VI should be answered in addition to the questions in Section III for the key areas identified, and for Commitments and Contingencies; Revenue, Expenses, and Support; and Other.

1.	5	E (
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					

Date Engagement Review Performed	
Reviewer	
Date Checklist Reviewed by Team Captain	
Signature	

Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements of Not-for-Profit Organizations Contents

Section	1	Page
I.	Report and Financial Statements	
	Auditor's Report	20,606
	Financial Statements and Notes	20,607
II.	General Audit Procedures	20,615
III.	Working Paper Areas	
	Cash	20,623
	Receivables	20,623
	Inventories	20,624
	Investments	20,625
	Prepaid Expenses, Intangible Assets, Deferred Charges, etc	20,626
	Collections of Works of Art and Similar Items	20,626
	Property and Equipment	20,627
	Liabilities	20,627
	Deferred Revenue and Support	20,628
	Commitments and Contingencies	20,628
	Net Assets	20,629
	Revenues, Expenses, and Support	20,629
	Other	20,630
IV.	Functional Areas	
	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity	20,631
	Personnel Management	20,631
	Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements	20,632
	Engagement Performance	20,632
V.	Explanation of "No" Answers and Other Comments	20,634
VI.	Conclusions	20,638

Note: This checklist has been updated through SAS No. 94, FAS No. 142, FASB Interpretation No. 44 and SOP 01-3.

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note: This condensed checklist has been extracted from the AICPA financial reporting aid, *Disclosure Checklists for Nonprofit Organizations*. Reviewers may wish to consult that checklist for detailed information about the applicable professional standards and related citations. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained. If the firm has used its own report and financial statement disclosure checklist on this engagement, it may be reviewed in lieu of completing this checklist provided the reviewer has determined that the firm's checklist is current, comprehensive, and appropriate for the engagement.

	37/4 *	37	3.7	D (**
Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>res</u>		<u>Ref.</u>
A101				
A102				
A103				
A104				
A105				
A106				
A107				
A108				
A109				
A110				
A111				
	A102 A103 A104 A105 A106 A107 A108 A109 A110	A101 A102 A103 A104 A105 A106 A107 A108 A109 A110	A101 A102 A103 A104 A105 A106 A108 A109 A110	A101

^{*} The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
For reports on financial statements of a U.S. entity that have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in another country for use outside the United States, has there been compliance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 534)	A112				
If the use of the report has been restricted, is there compliance with the applicable provisions of SAS No. 87? (AU Sec. 532)	A113				
If the entity reported changes resulting from a transaction or event, did the auditor not add a consistency explanatory paragraph to the auditor's report? (AU Sec. 420)	A114				
Has the auditor refrained from the inclusion of a consistency explanatory paragraph to their report when a change in the reporting entity results from a transaction or event such as a "pooling of interests", or certain other specified events? (AU Sec. 420.08)	A115				
Effective for reports issued or reissued on or after June 30, 2001, the Auditing Standards Board issued Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, <i>Omnibus Statement on Auditing Standards—2000</i> . SAS No. 93 amends SAS No. 58 (AU Sec. 508) to require a reference to the country of origin of: (1) the accounting principles used to prepare financial statements and (2) the auditing standards the auditor followed in performing the audit. For reports issued or reissued after the effective date:					
Does the report include a reference to the country of origin of the accounting principles used to prepare the financial statements?	A116				
Does the report include a reference to the country of origin of the auditing standards the auditor followed in performing the audit?	A117				
Financial Statements and Notes					
General					
If the entity is deemed to be a governmental entity, do the financial statements conform with the provisions of GASB Statement No. 29?	N101				
Are the financial statements suitably titled? (AU Sec. 623.07 and .24)	A118				
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Significant accounting policies, including a description of the nature of each fund, if applicable (i.e., general, plant, endowment, unrestricted, temporarily restricted, permanently restricted)? (AC Sec. A10.105–.108; for OCBOA, AU Sec. 623.09, .10 and AU Sec. 9623.88–.93)	A119				
Accounting changes? (AC Sec. A06)	A120				
Do the basic financial statements focus on the entity as a whole and consist of a statement of financial position, statement of activities in which the amount of the change in net assets articulates to the statement of financial position, and a statement of cash flows, and accompanying notes? (AC Sec. No.5.104, 108 and 116)	N102				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	No_	Ref.
Are the net assets and changes in net assets classified as (i) permanently restricted, (ii) temporarily restricted, and/or (iii) unrestricted, based on donor imposed restrictions and/or relevant law? (AC Sec. No5.109 and .117)	N103				
Is information provided about the nature and amounts of different types of permanent restrictions and temporary restrictions by reporting their amounts on the face of the statements of financial position or by including relevant details in notes to the financial statements? (AC Sec. No5.112)	E N104				
If prior year information is summarized and does not include the minimum information required by FAS No. 117 and the ACNO, is the nature of the prior year information described by appropriate titles and in the notes? (ACNO, Ch. 3, pars. 3.20 and 3.21)	N105				
Are contributions received, including unconditional promises to give, recognized at their fair value as revenues in the period received? (AC Secs. C67.108 and No5.143–.145)	N106				
Are contributions received classified as permanently restricted, temporarily restricted, and unrestricted based upon donor-imposed restrictions and/or relevant law? (AC Sec. No5.143–.145)	N107				
Are contributions made, including unconditional promises to give, recognized as expenses in the period made, at their fair values? (AC Sec. C67.114)	N108				
Are conditional promises to give, whether received or made, accounted for as refundable advances and recognized as contributions when they become unconditional (i.e., when the conditions are substantially met)? (AC Sec. C67.118–.120)	N109				
Are receipts of unconditional promises to give with payments due in future years recognized as restricted unless circumstances make it clear the donor intended it to be used to support current activities? (AC Sec. No5.144)	N110				
Are accounting policy disclosures made:					
If donor-restricted contributions whose restrictions are met in the same reporting period are consistently treated as unrestricted support? (AC Sec. No5.143)	N111				
For contributions of long-lived assets whether the accounting policy is to imply or not imply a time restriction that expires over the estimated useful life of the respective assets? (AC Sec. No5.145)	N112				
For contributions involving the transfer of assets to the organization or a charitable trust (the "recipient organization") for which the not-for-profit agrees to use those assets on behalf of or to transfer those assets, the return on investment of those assets, or both to an unaffiliated entity (the "beneficiary") as specified by the donor, are such contributions properly accounted for and reported by the recipient and/or the beneficiary organizations, as applicable? (C67.161–.171)	N113				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Where the recipient organization has not explicitly been granted variance power, has the beneficiary recognized its rights to the assets (financial and non-financial) held by that recipient organization as an asset? (AC Sec. C67.168)	N114				
[The types of contributions considered under this guidance may include: (1) receipt of resources as an agent rather than as a donee, (2) where the not-for-profit acts as an intermediary between the donor and the donee, (3) where the not-for-profit acts as an intermediary between a governmental provider of benefits and an eligible beneficiary, and (4) other similar transactions. For examples, see AC Sec. C67.126–.160]	CEN				
For financial instruments, is information about concentrations of credit risk and if required, about fair value (including the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value) disclosed? (AC Sec. F25.105, .115, .115A115K, and .116A116D)	A121				
For derivative instruments and hedging activities, is there compliance with accounting and disclosure provisions of FAS No. 133, as amended by FAS No. 138? (AC Sec. F25)	A122				
For fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000, for companies that have not adopted FAS No. 133 for derivative financial instruments and hedging activities, the company must adopt FAS No. 138 concurrently with FAS No. 133. Therefore, in conjunction with the adoption of FAS No. 133, have derivative financial instruments and hedging activities been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with FAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities (an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133)? (AC Sec. D50)	A123				
Are transfers of financial assets, the servicing of financial assets, and extinguishment of liabilities properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Secs. F35 and F39)	A124				
If the entity disclosed the ratio of fundraising expenses to the amount raised, was there disclosure of the method of computing that ratio? (AC Sec. No5.125A)	N115				
Are required disclosures about risks and uncertainties made regarding the nature of operations, the use of estimates, certain significant estimates, and current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations? (SOP 94-6)	A131				
Are insurance and reinsurance contracts that do not transfer insurance risk properly accounted for and disclosed (AC Sec. I50 and SOP 98-7 [TPA Sec. 10,760])?	A132				
Are nonmonetary transactions properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Secs. C11.102 and N35)	A133				
With respect to contingencies and commitments:					
Are loss contingencies accrued and/or disclosed as appropriate? (AC Sec. C59.104–.116, and .120)	A134				
Are other contingencies and commitments adequately disclosed, including environmental remediation-related matters? (AC Secs. C32.102–.105 and C59.116–.120; SOP 96-1, pars142–.173 [TPA Sec. 10,680.142–.173])	A135				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
If the organization has failed to maintain an appropriate composition of assets in amounts needed to comply with all donor restrictions, are the amounts and circumstances disclosed? (Note: Such noncompliance could result in a material contingent liability at the financial statement date, lead to a material loss of revenue, or cause an inability to continue as a going concern.) (ACNO, Ch. 3, par. 3.27)	N119				
Are the financial statements adjusted, where appropriate, for the effect of subsequent events and do they include disclosure of significant subsequent events, whether or not adjustments were made? (AC Secs. C59.105, and .115, and AU Secs. 560.03–.09 and 561)	A136				
Have defined benefit pension profit sharing, or other employee benefit plans been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P16.150, .150A, .153A, .166, .167, and .187)	A137				
Have other pension profit sharing, or other employee benefit plans been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P16)	A138				
Have postretirement plans other than pensions been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Sec. P40.169, .169A, .172, .173, .178, and .179)	A139				
Have postemployment benefits (after employment but before retirement) been properly accounted for and disclosed? (AC Secs. P32 and P40)	A140				
Are adjustments of financial statements for prior periods appropriate? (AC Sec. A35)	A142				
Are related entities reported in conformity with SOP 94-3 (TPA Sec. 10,610) for (<i>a</i>) investments in for-profit majority-owned subsidiaries (consolidated in conformity with ARB 51, as amended by FAS No. 94); (<i>b</i>) investment in common stock of for-profit entities of 50 percent or less voting interest (equity method in conformity with APB Opinion No. 18); and (<i>c</i>) financially interrelated NFPOs? (SOP 94-3, pars. 8–14 [TPA Sec. 10,610.08–.14])	N120				
Are related party transactions with non-combined affiliated entities, contributors of restricted funds, board members, officers, and employees adequately disclosed? (SOP 94-3, par. 12 [TPA Sec. 10,610.12] or SOP 78-10, pars. 47–49 [TPA Sec. 10,250.47–.49], if applicable)	N121				
Statement of Financial Position/Balance Sheet					
Does the statement of financial position:					
Report the amounts of the entity's total assets, liabilities, and net assets? (AC Sec. No5.108)	N122				
Classify cash and other assets received with a long-term donor imposed restriction separately from those assets that are unrestricted and available for current use? (AC Sec. No5.109)	N123				
Provide information about liquidity by one or more of the following: (i) sequencing assets according to their nearness to cash and liabilities according to their nearness to maturity, (ii) classifying assets and liabilities as current and noncurrent (AC Sec. B05.110), and/or (iii) footnote disclosure about the liquidity or maturity of assets and liabilities, including restrictions on the use of particular assets? (AC Sec. No.5.110)	N124				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	No_	Ref.
Are the following disclosed for unconditional promises receivable (AC Sec. C67.121):					
Amounts receivable in less than one year, in one to five years, and in more than five years?	N125				
Amount of allowance for uncollectible accounts?	N126				
Are the following disclosed for conditional promises (AC Sec. C67.122):	E				
The total amounts of the conditional promises?	N127				
A description and the amount for each group of conditional promises having similar characteristics?	N128				
If the entity transfers assets to a recipient and specifies itself or its affiliate as the beneficiary, did the entity disclose: (AC Sec. No5.177)					
The identity of the recipient organization to which the transfer was made?	N129				
Whether variance power was granted to the recipient organization and, if so, the terms of the variance power?	N130				
The terms under which the amounts will be distributed to the resource provider or its affiliate?	N131				
The aggregate amount recognized in the statement of financial position for these transfers and whether that amount is recorded as an interest in the net assets of the recipient organization?	N132				
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Valuation allowances? (AC Sec. V18)	A143				
Restricted cash including compensating balances? (AC Secs. B05.107 and C59.120)	N133				
Cash or other assets with a donor-imposed restriction for a long-term purpose? (AC Sec. No5.109)	N134				
Investments? (ACNO, Ch. 8, pars. 8.17–8.25 and AC Secs. I82.109 and .110, I80, F25.115C–.115I and No5.148)	A150				
Terms or circumstances concerning repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements? (AC Sec. B10.106A and .106B)	N135				
Accounts and Notes Receivable:					
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. I69.109)	A147				
Legally enforceable pledges?	N136				
Other receivables?	A149				
Inventories? (AC Secs. B05.105 and I78)	A151				
Collections of works of art, historical properties or treasures, or similar items? (AC Secs. C67.111, .112, .123, .124, and D40.101–.101A and ACNO, Ch. 7, pars. 7.05–7.14)	N137				
Fixed Assets (AC Sec. D40.101 fn [a] and .105):					
Purchased fixed assets? (ACNO, Ch. 9, pars. 9.13–.15)	N138				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Donated fixed assets? (AC Sec. C67 and ACNO, Ch. 9, pars. 9.05, .06, and .13–.15)	N139				
Capitalization policy? (ACNO, Ch. 9, par. 9.13)	N140				
Accounting for depreciation, including disclosure of depreciation policy for inexhaustible assets? (AC Sec. D40 and ACNO, Ch. 9, pars. 9.07, .08 and .13–.15)	N141				
Major classes of depreciable assets?	N142				
Accumulated depreciation, as well as a general description of the method used in computing depreciation?	N143				
Capitalized interest? (AC Sec. I67)	N144				
Restrictions on use or disposal imposed by donor? (AC Secs. C67 and No5.111–.114, and ACNO, Ch. 9, pars. 9.05, .06, and .13–.15)	N145				
Sales-type, direct financing, leveraged, and operating leases of lessors? (AC Sec. L10.112–.119 and .143–.149)	A154				
Other assets, including intangible assets, unamortized computer software costs, and deferred charges and their impairment, if applicable? (AC Secs. I08, I27.140, .143, I60, Co2.102–.110, and I27.140; SOP 98-1 [TPA Sec. 10,720])	A155				
Pledged assets? (AC Sec. C59.120)	A156				
Related assets and liabilities are offset in the balance sheet only when all of the following conditions are met: (1) each party owes the other determinable amounts, (2) the reporting party has the right to setoff the amount owed with the amount owed by the other party, (3) the reporting party intends to setoff, and (4) the right to setoff is enforceable at law? (AC Sec. B10.101A)	A157				
Current liabilities? (AC Sec. B05.108, .109, .109A and .118)	A158				
Short-term liabilities expected to be refinanced? (AC Sec. B05.110–.118, .138, and .139)	A159				
Notes payable and other debt:					
Maturities and rates? (AC Sec. C32.104, and .105)	A160				
Effect of interest rates that do not reflect market rates? (AC Sec. I69)	A162				
Maturities and sinking fund requirements for the next five years? (AC Sec. C32.105)	A165				
Capital leases of lessees? (AC Sec. L10.106–.112)	A166				
Other liabilities and deferred credits, including environmental remediation liabilities, employees' compensation for future absences, special termination benefits to employees, and deferred revenue and support? (AC Sec. C44.104 and .108 and SOP 96-1, Chs. 5–7 [TPA Sec. 10,680])	A167				
Statement of Activities					
Does the statement of activities report:					
The amount of change in net assets for the period using a descriptive term such as "change in net assets" or "change in equity"? (AC Sec. No5.116)	N146				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
The amount of change in permanently restricted, temporarily restricted, and unrestricted net assets? (AC Sec. No5.117)	N147				
Revenues as increases in unrestricted net assets unless the use of the assets received is limited by donor-imposed restrictions? (AC Sec. No5.118)	N148				
Expenses as decreases in unrestricted net assets? (AC Sec. No5.118)	N149				
Events (e.g., expiration of donor imposed restrictions) that simultaneously increase one class of net assets and decrease another (reclassifications) presented as seperate items? (AC Sec. No5.117)	N150				
Gross amounts of revenues and expenses (including those for special events that are ongoing and major activities), and investment revenues, either in the footnotes or on the face of the financial statements? (AC Sec. No5.121 and .122, fn 9)	N151				
For voluntary health and welfare organizations, expenses by both functional and natural classifications in a matrix format in a separate statement? (AC Sec. No5.123)	N152				
Gains and losses on investments as increases or decreases in unrestricted net assets, unless their use is temporarily or permanently restricted by explicit donor stipulations or by law? (AC Sec. No5.119)	N153				
Gains and losses on endowments in permanently restricted net assets if the governing board has determined relevant law requires they be retained permanently? (AC Sec. No5.119)	N154				
At a minimum, the change in unrestricted net assets for the period when an intermediate measure of operations is presented? (AC Sec. No5.120)	N155				
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Subscription and membership income? (ACNO, Ch. 5, par. 5.15)	N156				
Third-party reimbursements of costs for services provided? (ACNO, Ch. 12)	N157				
Investment income and gains and losses? (AC Sec. No5.121, .122, .154–.156, .161, and ACNO, Ch. 8, par. 8.23)	N158				
Contributions? (AC Sec. C67)	N159				
Donated services, materials, and facilities? (AC Sec. C67.109 and .110)	N160				
Split-interest agreements? (ACNO, Ch. 6)	N161				
Allocation of functional expenses to programs and supporting services? (ACNO, Ch. 13, par. 13.29–.53)	N162				
Allocation of fund raising expenses, including joint costs of informational materials and activities, between fund raising and other functional expense categories? (ACNO, Ch. 13, pars. 13.30–.40; SOP 98-2 [TPA Sec. 10,730])	N163				
Advertising costs? (SOP 93-7 [TPA 10,590])	A179				
Costs of start-up activities (SOP 98-5 [TPA Sec. 10,750])	A181				
Depreciation? (AC Sec. D40)	A186				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Deferred compensation agreements? (AC Sec. C38)	A188				
Grants to other organizations? (AC Sec. C67.114 and ACNO, Ch. 10, par. 10.08)	N164				
Operating leases and rent expense of lessees? (AC Sec. L10.111 and .112)	A204				
Extraordinary items? (AC Sec. I17)	A212				
Unusual or infrequent items, but not both? (AC Sec. I22)	A213				
Statement of Cash Flows	T 1				
Does the statement of cash flows present:					
Cash provided or used by investing, financing and operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.112–.124)	A216				
Amounts received with long-term donor stipulations in cash flows from financing activities? (AC Sec. F25)	N165				
The net effect of cash flows on cash and cash equivalents during the period in a manner that reconciles beginning and ending cash and cash equivalents; also, do the amounts of cash and cash equivalents agree with the amounts on the statement of financial positive (1) in the cash of	4217				
tion/balance sheet? (AC Sec. C25.124)	A217				
A reconciliation between changes in net assets/net equity and net cash flow from operating activities? (AC Sec. C25.127)	A218				
Related disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities? (AC Sec. C25.134)	A219				
If the indirect method of reporting net cash flows from operating activities was used, were the amounts of interest and income taxes paid disclosed? (AC Sec. C25.127)	A220				
Are cash equivalents limited to short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash and of an original maturity of three months or less, and is the organization's policy for determining which items are treated as cash equivalents					
disclosed? (AC Sec. C25.106–.108)	A221				
Are the components of the cash flow statement shown at "gross" and not "net" amounts? (AC Sec. C25.109–.111A)	A223				
Other					
Are the statement formats and disclosures generally consistent with the appropriate AICPA industry audit guides and statements of position?	A225				
If the organization's tax-exempt status is in question by the IRS, is the organization considering the potential loss or expense for financial reporting and disclosure? (ACNO, Ch. 10, par. 10.11)	N166				
If the organization incurs income tax expense, do the notes to the financial statements disclose the amount of the unrelated business income tax and describe the nature of the activities that generated the	374.6 7				
unrelated business income tax? (ACNO, Ch. 13, par. 13.60)	N167				

II. GENERAL AUDIT PROCEDURES

	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.**
If applicable, has the auditor established and documented the understanding with the client regarding the engagement? (AU Sec. 310.05–.07)	A300				
Did the auditor obtain an understanding with the entity's management that management is responsible for adjusting the financial statements to correct material misstatements? (AU Sec. 310.06)	A301				
In planning the audit engagement, did the auditor properly consider:					
Matters affecting the environment in which the entity operates, such as accounting practices, economic conditions, laws and governmental regulations, and technological changes? (AU Secs. 311.03 and 801.11)	A302				
Matters affecting the entity's operations, such as legal organization and types of services and contractual obligations? (AU Sec. 311.03 and .04)	A303				
The entity's status as a nongovernmental NFPO or a governmental entity and the applicability of FASB GAAP for NFPOs and GASB Statement No. 29?	N201				
If applicable, did the auditor properly consider the need for compliance auditing and, if so, the applicability of SAS No. 74 or Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements (SSAE) No. 3, <i>Compliance Attestation</i> ? [AU Sec. 801.01, fn 4 (SAS No. 74, as amended by SAS No. 75) or AT Sec. 500]	N202				
Did the auditor properly consider and form a preliminary judgment about materiality levels? (AU Secs. 311.03 and 312.08)	A304				
Did the auditor consider the applicability of OMB Circular A-133?	N203				
Did the auditor communicate to management (and the audit committee or others with equivalent authority) about an audit requirement that may not be encompassed in the terms of the engagement, and that an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards may not satisfy the relevant legal, regulatory or contractual requirements? (Communication may be oral or in writing. If the communication is oral, the auditor should document the communication in the working papers.) (AU Sec. 801.21–.23 and SOP 92-9, Ch. 1, par. 1.7, fn 6 [SOP 92-9 has been	N204				
superseded by SOP 98-3, see TPA Sec. 11,320])	N204				
Did the auditor: (<i>a</i>) assess the risk of material misstatement due to fraud, (<i>b</i>) consider the presence of fraud risk factors, (<i>c</i>) inquire of management about its understanding of the risk of fraud in the entity, and (<i>d</i>) document evidence of the performance of the fraud assessment, including the fraud risk factors identified as present? (AU Sec. 316)	A305				
For identified fraud risk factors, did the auditor develop an appropriate audit response and document the response? (AU Sec. 316.37)	A306				
Did the auditor use analytical procedures in planning the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures? (AU Sec. 329.01 and .06–.08)	A307				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
If the auditor succeeded another auditor, did the successor auditor initiate communications with the predecessor auditor to ascertain whether there were matters that might assist the auditor in determining whether to accept the engagement? (AU Sec. 315.09)	A308				
Did the successor auditor obtain satisfaction as to the account balances at the beginning of the year and consistency of the application of accounting principles in the year being audited as compared with the preceding year? (AU Sec. 315.12 and .13)	A309				
If consideration was given to the work of internal auditors in determining the scope of the audit, was it done in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 322)	A310				
(For audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 1, 2001, see reference to SAS No. 94, <i>The Effect of Information Technology on the Auditor's Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit,</i> on page 20,621. SAS No. 94 substantially amends AU Section 319 to address the implications of information technology on the auditor's internal control consideration)					
For audits of financial statements for periods beginning before June 1, 2001 or before the application of SAS No. 94, did the auditor:					
Obtain a sufficient understanding of the entity's internal controls, including electronic evidential matter which may only exist for a specified period of time, to plan the audit? (AU Secs. 319.16, 324.07–.10, and 326.18)	A311				
Document the understanding of internal controls? (AU Sec. 319.44)	A312				
Document the conclusion that control risks are at the maximum level for those financial statement assertions where control risk is assessed at the maximum level? (AU Sec. 319.57)	A313				
Document the basis of the conclusion (i.e., tests of controls) that the effectiveness of the design and operation of controls supports the assessed level of control risk when that assessed level is below the maximum level? (AU Sec. 319.57)	A314				
For audits of financial statements beginning before June 1, 2001 or before applying SAS No. 94, if the entity transmits, processes, maintains, or accesses significant information by electronic means and it is not practical or possible to reduce detection risk to an acceptable level by performing only substantive tests for one or more financial statement assertions, did the auditor perform tests of controls? (AU Sec. 326.14)	A315				
If the methods used by the client to process significant accounting information include the use of a service organization, was consideration given to the controls at the service organization that may affect the processing of the client's transactions and internal controls? (AU Sec. 324.07–.10)	A316				
If the entity obtained services from another organization that are part of the entity's information system, did the auditor:					
During planning, obtain an understanding of the controls in place by the entity and by the service organization whose services are part of the entity's information system? (AU Sec. 324.09)	A317				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
If the auditor decided to assess control risk below the maximum for financial statement assertions affected by the processing performed by					
the service organization, was a service auditor's report that describes the results of the service auditor's tests of operating effectiveness obtained, were tests performed by the auditor at the service organization, or were					
tests of the client's controls over the activities of the service organization performed by the auditor? (AU Sec. 324.14–.16)	A318				
If a specialist was used (e.g., actuary, appraiser, engineer, environmental consultant, or geologist):	N				
Were the appropriate considerations and evaluations made in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 336)	A321				
Were appropriate procedures applied to the specialist's findings? (AU Sec. 336.12)	A322				
Was an appropriately tailored, written audit program prepared? (AU Sec. 311.05 and applicable AICPA Industry Audit Guides)	A323				
Was the audit program responsive to the needs of the engagement, and the understanding of the internal control, including whether electronic evidential matter may only exist for a specified period of time, obtained during the planning process? (AU Secs. 311.05 and 319.02 and .05)	A324				
Was consideration given to the applicable assertions in developing audit objectives and in designing substantive tests? (AU Sec. 326.09–.14)	A325				
If conditions changed during the course of the audit, was the audit program modified as appropriate in the circumstances? (AU Secs. 311.05 and 316.33–.37)	A326				
Have all the procedures called for in the audit program been signed when completed? (AU Sec. 339)	A327				
If statistical or nonstatistical sampling was used in tests of controls (AU Secs. 319 and 350):					
Does it appear the firm gave appropriate consideration to the specific objective of the test of controls, tolerable rate, allowable risk of overreliance, and characteristics of the population when plan-					
ning the sample? (AU Sec. 350.31)	A328				
Was the sample selected in such a way that it could be expected to be representative of the population? (AU Sec. 350.39)	A329				
If the auditor concluded that the sample results did not support the planned assessed level of control risk for an assertion, were the nature, timing and extent of planned substantive procedures reevaluated based on a revised consideration of the assessed level of control risk for the relevant financial statement assertions? (AU Sec. 350.40–.43)	A330				
	A330				
In evaluating the sample, was appropriate consideration given to items for which the planned test of controls or appropriate alternative procedure could not be performed, for example, because the documentation was missing? (AU Sec. 350.40)	A331				
Was the documentation of the foregoing considerations in accord-					
ance with firm policy?	A332				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
If statistical or nonstatistical sampling was used for substantive tests of details (AU Sec. 350):					
Does it appear the firm gave appropriate consideration to the specific audit objective, preliminary judgments about materiality levels, acceptable level of risk of incorrect acceptance, and characteristics of the population when planning the sample? (AU Sec. 350.16)	A333				
Was the sample selected in such a way that it could be expected to be representative of the population? (AU Sec. 350.24)	A334				
Were the misstatements in the sample projected to the items from which the sample was selected? (AU Sec. 350.26)	A335				
In evaluating the sample, was appropriate consideration given to items for which the planned substantive tests or appropriate alternative procedures could not be performed? (AU Sec. 350.25)	A336				
In evaluating whether the financial statements may be materially misstated, was appropriate consideration given, in the aggregate, to projected misstatements resulting from all audit sampling applications and to all known misstatements from non-sampling appli-					
cations? (AU Sec. 350.30)	A337				
Was the documentation of the foregoing considerations in accordance with firm policy?	A338				
During the performance of the engagement, did the auditor:					
Consider the guidelines in professional standards in developing, performing, and evaluating the results of analytical procedures used as substantive tests? (AU Sec. 329)	A339				
Use analytical procedures in the overall review stage of the audit? (AU Sec. 329.01)	A340				
Has the auditor evaluated the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management? (AU Secs. 326 and 342)	A341				
Were specific procedures for determining the existence of related parties and examining identified related party transactions applied?					
(AU Sec. 334)	A342				
Did the auditor obtain a representation letter from the entity's management that includes an acknowledgement that it has considered the financial statement misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented, and has concluded that any uncorrected misstatements are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements					
taken as a whole? (AU Sec. 336.06)	A343				
Did the auditor inform the audit committee about uncorrected misstatements aggregated during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented that was determined by the entity's management to be immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole? (AU Sec. 380.09–.17)	A344				
Did the auditor obtain written, timely and appropriate repre-					
sentations from management and was the representation letter properly dated? (AUSecs 333 801 07c and ACNO Ch. 2 pars 2.44–2.46)	A 3.45				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Did the auditor obtain timely and appropriate responses from the entity's attorneys concerning litigation, claims, and assessments? (AU	,				
Sec. 337.08–.14)	A346				
Have all questions, exceptions, or notes been followed up and resolved, including consideration of the views obtained from responsible of the exception?	A247				
ble officials of the organization?	A347				
Was appropriate consideration given to all passed adjustments and to the risk that the current period's financial statements are materially misstated when prior-period likely misstatements are considered together with likely misstatements arising in the current period? (AU	N				
Sec. 312.27 and .30)	A348				
If audit tests identify misstatements in the financial statements, did the auditor consider whether such misstatements may be indicative of fraud and evaluate the implications involved? (AU Sec. 316)	A349				
Did the auditor consider whether the accumulated results of audit procedures and other observations affect the assessment of the risk of material misstatement due to fraud made when planning the audit; and if so, and if the auditor believed an additional response was required, were any additional risk factors or other conditions that were identified and any further response that the auditor concluded was appropriate documented? (AU Sec. 316)	A350				
If the auditor has determined that there is evidence that fraud may exist (even if the matter might be considered inconsequential), was the matter brought to the attention of an appropriate level of management; and was the matter reported directly to the audit committee (or others with equivalent authority and responsibility), if the fraud involves senior management or the fraud causes a material misstatement of the financial statements? (AU Sec. 316)	A351				
When the auditor, as a result of the assessment of the risk of material misstatement due to fraud, has identified risk factors that have continuing control implications (whether or not transactions or adjustments that could be the result of fraud have been detected), did the auditor consider whether these risk factors represent reportable conditions relating to the entity's internal control that should be communicated to senior management and the audit committee (or others with equivalent authority and responsibility)? (AU Sec. 316)	A352				
When the auditor's procedures disclosed instances or indications of illegal acts did the auditor (ACNO, Ch. 2, pars. 2.27–.30):					
Follow up on the illegal acts in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 317.10 and .11)	A353				
Consider the implications of the detected illegal act in relation to other aspects of the audit, including the reliability of the client's representations? (AU Sec. 317.16)	A354				
Communicate directly with the audit committee if the illegal act involved senior management, and document that communication, and obtain assurance that all other illegal acts that came to the auditor's attention except those that are clearly inconsequential					
were adequately communicated? (AU Sec. 317.17)	A355				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	Ref.
Did the auditor consider if there was substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time? (AU Sec. 341.02)	A356				
If the auditor believed that there was substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue for a reasonable period of time, did the auditor obtain information about management's plans that are intended to mitigate the effect of such conditions or events, and evaluate the likelihood that such plans could be implemented effectively? (AU Sec. $341.03(b)$)	A357				
If the auditor's substantial doubt was alleviated, did the auditor consider the need for disclosure of the principal conditions and events that initially caused the auditor to believe there was substantial doubt together with the mitigating factors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties? (AU Sec. 341.11)	A358				
If the auditor's substantial doubt was not alleviated, did the auditor's report include an explanatory paragraph which adequately communicates the auditor's substantial doubt (e.g., include the terms "substantial doubt" and "going concern")? (AU Sec. 341.12 and .13)	A359				
During the performance of the audit:					
If the auditor identified reportable conditions, were they communicated to the audit committee, management and others within the organization in a time frame influenced by the relative significance of the matters noted and urgency of corrective action(s), and if the communication was oral, was it documented in the working papers? (AU Sec. 325.09 and .18)	A360				
Do the auditor's conclusions regarding whether internal control matters noted during the audit were (or were not) reportable conditions appear appropriate? (AU Sec. 325)	A361				
If a report was issued on reportable conditions, did it indicate that the purpose of the audit was to report on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal controls, and did it include the definition of reportable conditions and the restriction on distribution? (AU Sec. 325.11 and SAS No. 87)	A362				
Has the auditor complied with the requirement not to issue a written representation stating that no reportable conditions were noted during an audit? (AU Sec. 325.17)	A363				
Did the auditor perform appropriate procedures regarding events subsequent to the balance-sheet date, but prior to the date of the report? (AU Sec. 560)	A364				
If the auditor, subsequent to the date of the report, became aware of facts that may have existed at that date that might have affected the report, had the auditor then been aware of such facts, did the auditor consider the guidance in professional standards in determining an appropriate course of action, and does the matter appear to be properly resolved? (AU Sec. 561)	A365				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
If there is an indication that the auditor, subsequent to the date of the report, concluded that one or more auditing procedures considered					
necessary at the time of the audit of the financial statements in the then existing circumstances were omitted from the audit, did the					
auditor consider the guidance in professional standards in determining an appropriate course of action, and does the matter appear to be properly resolved? (AU Sec. 390)	A366				
Where there is an audit committee or some other formal oversight group, did the auditor (ACNO, Ch. 2, par. 2.43):	N				
Ensure that the appropriate matters were communicated to those who have responsibility for oversight of the financial reporting process? (AU Sec. 380.01 and .02)	A367				
If the communication was in writing, include a statement that it is intended solely for the use of the audit committee or the board of directors and, if appropriate, management? (AU Sec. 380.03 and					
AU Sec. 532)	A368				
If the communication was oral, document the information communicated by appropriate memoranda or notations in the working papers? (AU Sec. 380.03 and AU Sec. 532)	A369				
For audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 1, 2001, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94, <i>The Effect of Information Technology on the Auditor's Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit</i> , substantially amends AU Sec. 319 to address the implications of information technology on the auditor's internal control consideration. For financial statement audits after the effective date,					
Did the auditor consider information technology ("IT") risks that could result in misstatements in making a judgment about the understanding of internal control necessary to plan the audit and the nature, timing, and extent of procedures the auditor chooses to perform to obtain an understanding? (par. 25)	A370				
Did the auditor consider whether specialized skills were needed for the auditor to determine the effect of IT on the audit, to under- stand the IT controls, or to design and perform tests of IT controls or substantive tests? (par. 31)	A371				
Did the auditor obtain an understanding of the automated and manual procedures the entity uses to prepare financial statements and related disclosures, and how misstatements may occur and did the understanding include the procedures used to: (par. 51)	71371				
Enter transaction totals into the general ledger?	A372				
Initiate, record, and process journal entries in the general ledger, including standard journal entries and nonstandard journal entries?	A373				
Record recurring and nonrecurring adjustments to the financial statements that are not reflected in formal journal entries?	A374				
Did the auditor document his or her understanding of the entity's internal control components obtained to plan the audit? (par. 61)	A375				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
In determining whether to assess control risk at the maximum level or at a lower level would be effective for specific assertions, did the					
auditor consider: (1) the nature of the assertion, (2) the volume of transactions or data related to the assertion, (3) the nature and com-					
plexity of the systems, including the use of information technology,					
by which the entity processes and controls information supporting					
the assertion, and (4) the nature of the available evidential matter, including evidential matter that is available only in electronic form?					
(par. 67)	A376				
If the auditor determined that it was not possible to design effective	T 4				
substantive tests that by themselves provided sufficient evidence that					
the one or more financial statement assertions are not materially					
misstated because a significant amount of the associated information is electronically initiated, recorded, processed, and reported, did the					
auditor perform tests of controls to gather evidence to use in assessing					
control risk? (pars. 68 and 69)	A377				
Did the auditor document his or her conclusions about the: (par. 83)					
Assessed level of control risk?	A378				
Control risk is at the maximum level for financial statement assertions where control risk is assessed at the maximum level?	A379				
The basis for his or her conclusion that the effectiveness of the design and operation of controls supports the assessed level of control risk for those assertions where the assessed level of control					
risk is below the maximum level?	A380				

III. WORKING PAPER AREAS

Note: Complete the sections determined to be key areas. Commitments and Contingencies; Revenues, Expenses, and Support; and Other are not optional and must always be completed. In the audit areas reviewed, the reviewer should evaluate whether the reviewed firm has obtained sufficient competent evidential matter to form conclusions concerning the validity of the assertions of material significance embodied in the financial statements as described in AU Sec. 326. The questions contained in each section represent some of the audit procedures or tests that the reviewed firm might have undertaken to form conclusions in support of financial statement assertions of material significance. If an audit area is not reviewed because it does not represent a key audit area for that engagement, the reviewer should place an "X" in the box below the name of the working paper area. (As indicated on page 20,604, the reviewer should indicate the reason for not reviewing a key audit area; in such circumstances, the reviewer should not place an "X" below the area.)

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	Yes	_No_	<i>Ref.</i> **
Cash					
□ Not a key area					
Were reconciling items cleared by reference to subsequent statements obtained either directly from the bank or from the client and appropriately tested?	A401				
Was due consideration given to cash transactions shortly before and shortly after the balance-sheet date to determine whether they were recorded in the proper period?	A402				
Do the working papers indicate that the following were considered:					
Confirmation of cash balances?	A403				
Restrictions on cash balances?	A404				
Confirmation of bank credit arrangements such as compensating balances?	A405				
Confirmation of liabilities and contingent liabilities to banks?	A406				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of cash appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A407				
Receivables					
□ Not a key area					
Were accounts, promises to give, and grant receivables confirmed and appropriate follow-up steps taken, including second requests and alternative procedures? (AU Sec. 330.24–.30 and .34)	A408				
If accounts receivable confirmations, other than for contributions, were not requested, has the auditor documented how the presumption for such requests was overcome and were the reasons appropriate? (AU Sec. 330.34–.35)	A409				
If confirmation work was performed prior to year-end, is there evi-	ATU				
dence that adequate substantive procedures were applied to the period from the confirmation date to the balance-sheet date?	A410				

^{*} The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
If a significant number and amount of accounts, promises to give and grants receivable selected for positive confirmation did not respond, is there evidence that alternative auditing procedures were performed? (AU Sec. 330.31–.35)	A411				
Were significant notes receivable confirmed as of a date consistent with the auditor's assessment of inherent, control and detection risks? (AU Sec. 313)	A412				
Were the results of confirmation and alternative procedures summarized, and were appropriate conclusions included in the working papers? (AU Sec. 330.33)	A413				
Was collateral (if any) for receivables tested with respect to existence, ownership and value?	A414				
Were procedures performed to provide evidence that receivables for promises to give were properly recorded as either unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted support? (ACNO, Ch. 5, pars. 5.35–.39 and .69)	N444				
Was the reasonableness of allowances for doubtful accounts covered in the working papers and collectibility of receivables adequately considered? (AU Sec. 312.29)	A415				
Is there evidence in the working papers that inquiry was made and consideration given to whether receivables are sold, pledged, assigned or otherwise encumbered?	A416				
Was receivable work coordinated with tests of support and revenue, including cutoff tests?	A417				
Were appropriate procedures applied to notes receivable regarding imputation of interest (if necessary), carrying amount, fair value and recognition of related interest income? (AC Secs. I69 and F25.115A–.115K)	A418				
For long-term promises to give, were appropriate auditing procedures applied with respect to the accounting for present value? (AC Sec. C67.116 and ACNO, Ch. 5, par. 5.69)	N445				
Has sufficient audit evidence been obtained to determine that impaired loans (e.g., impaired accounts receivable with terms exceeding one year, notes receivable and other loans), are carried at the present value of the loans' expected future cash flows discounted at the loans' effective interest rates, or at observable market prices, or at the fair value of the collateral if the loans are collateral dependent? (AC Sec.					
I08.111) Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substan-	A420				
tive tests of receivables appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A421				
Inventories					
□ Not a key area					
Do the working papers indicate that there were adequate tests of:					
Physical observation, if material? (AU Sec. 331.09–.13)	N446				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
The clerical accuracy of the inventory?	A427				
Costing methods and substantiation of costs used in pricing all elements (raw material, work-in-process and finished goods) of the inventory?	A428				
Were the results of inventory observations and other tests summarized and were appropriate conclusions drawn?	A429				
Do the working papers indicate that consideration was given to whether the inventory was carried at a lower of cost or market (including consideration of obsolete or slow-moving inventory)?	A431				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of inventories appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319 and AC Sec. I78)	A435				
Investments					
□ Not a key area					
Did the auditor obtain evidence about the cost of investments if investments are carried at cost or if certain disclosures about the cost basis and realized and unrealized gains and losses are required to be disclosed? (AU Sec. 332.23)	A436				
Were securities either inspected or confirmed? (AU Sec. 332.04)	A437				
Were market quotations or other evidence of the current value of investments inspected? (AU Sec. 322.24–.30)	A438				
When investments are held by an outside custodian, who is authorized by the client to execute transactions without specific authorization of individual transactions, did the auditor consider the guidance in professional standards? (AU Sec. 324.16 and .18)	N447				
Was the computation of realized and unrealized gains and losses tested by the auditor? (ACNO, Ch. 8, pars. 8.10–.11)	A441				
Was the classification of investments and related income and gains and losses examined for classification based on donor-imposed restrictions, if any? (ACNO, Ch. 8, pars. 8.14–.25)	N448				
Were appropriate audit procedures performed related to split-interest agreements? (ACNO, Ch. 6)	N449				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of investments appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A446				
Effective for audits of financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after June 30, 2001, the Auditing Standards Board issued SAS No. 92, <i>Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities.</i> The SAS supercedes SAS No. 81 (AU Sec. 332), <i>Auditing Investments.</i> For engagements performed on or after the effective date, if derivative financial instruments, hedging activities and/or investment securities, as defined by SAS No. 92, were present, did the auditor:					
Assess inherent and control risk for the required audit assertions (existence, completeness, rights and obligations, valuation, and presentation and disclosure) about derivatives and investment securities?	A447				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Develop substantive procedures for derivative instruments and investment securities consistent with the results of the risk assessment to address the auditing assertions.	A448				
ment to address the additing assertions.	A++0				
Prepaid Expenses, Intangible Assets, Deferred Charges, etc.					
□ Not a key area □ □ □ Λ □ □ □ □	TE				
Were adequate tests made for all material:					
Prepaid expenses?	A449				
Intangible assets? (AC Sec. I60)	A450				
Deferred charges?	A451				
Other?	A452				
Is there adequate support for the deferral and amortization (or lack thereof) of these types of assets?	A453				
If insurance policies were pledged as collateral or subjected to premium financing, did the auditor consider whether the accounting for the related loans was appropriate? (AC Sec. I69)	A454				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of prepaid expenses, intangible assets, deferred charges, etc., appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A455				
Collections of Works of Art and Similar Items (ACNO, Ch. 7, pars. 7.05–.14 and .17–.19)					
□ Not a key area					
If the collection has been capitalized, do the working papers indicate that the auditor tested the reasonableness of the collection's carrying value?	N450				
Whether or not a collection was capitalized, are the tests adequate with respect to accessions and deaccessions?	N451				
If the collection was capitalized:					
Consider observing the physical inventory count at all locations where relatively large amounts were located?	N452				
Where applicable, do the working papers contain evidence that counts were correctly made and recorded (i.e., was control over inventory tags or count sheets maintained and were test count quantities reconciled with the quantities reflected in the final inventory)?	N453				
If the collection was considered inexhaustible and was not capitalized, do the working papers indicate that the auditor:					
Obtained an understanding of and assessed control risk for the internal controls over the collection?	N454				
Observed a physical inventory at all locations where relatively large amounts are located?	N455				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of collections of works of art and similar items appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	N456				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Property and Equipment					
□ Not a key area					
Was a summary schedule net asset class prepared to show beginning balances, changes during the period and ending balances for:					
Property and equipment?	A456				
Accumulated depreciation?	A457				
Do the tests appear adequate and were proper conclusions drawn with respect to:					
Additions (e.g., by examining supporting documents and/or physical inspection)?	A458				
Retirements, etc. (e.g., including examining miscellaneous income, scrap sales?)	A459				
The adequacy of the current and accumulated provisions for depreciation and depletion?	A460				
Valuation of assets not previously capitalized? (ACNO, Ch. 7, pars. 7.05–.14 and .19)	N457				
Do the working papers indicate that the auditor considered whether property was subject to liens?	A461				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of property and equipment appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A462				
Liabilities					
□ Not a key area					
Were accounts payable tested adequately for existence?	A463				
Was an adequate search performed for unrecorded liabilities at the balance-sheet date?	A464				
Was consideration given to expenditures that might require accrual (e.g., pensions, compensated absences, other postretirement benefits, or postemployment benefits provided to former or inactive employees prior to retirement), and to whether accrued expenses were reasonably stated? (AC Secs. P16, C44, P32, and P40)	A466				
Were significant notes and bonds payable, together with interest rates and repayment periods, etc., confirmed, or alternative procedures applied?	A467				
Were procedures performed to verify the completeness and reasonableness of transactions recorded in mandatory sinking funds and other types of debt-related funds? (ACNO, Ch. 10)	N458				
Was there evidence that the release of funds from these reserves was tested and appropriately recorded in the financial statements?	N459				
Were appropriate procedures applied to notes payable regarding imputation of interest (if necessary), carrying amount, fair value (FAS No. 107) and recognition of related interest expense? (AC Secs. I69 and F25.115A–.115K)	A468				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Do the working papers indicate that the auditor reviewed compliance with the covenants of the organization's debt obligations?	A469				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests of liabilities appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A470				
Deferred Revenue and Support (ACNO, Ch. 10, par. 10.04)					
□ Not a key area □ Not a key area					
Do the working papers indicate that consideration was given as to whether the basis for deferring revenue is reasonable and consistent with the donors' or grantors' restrictions?	N462				
Was consideration given to matching requirements, if any?	N463				
Do the working papers indicate that consideration was given to the appropriateness of the amounts of restricted gifts, grants, bequests, donations, or other income recognized as current revenue or support?	N464				
Commitments and Contingencies					
Do the working papers contain evidence of the following:					
Inspection of minutes of meetings of the governing board and other appropriate committees of the board?	A478				
Inspection of contracts, loan agreements, leases, and correspondence from donors, grantors, and governmental agencies, and similar documents?	A479				
Accumulation and analysis of confirmation responses from banks and lawyers?	A480				
Inquiries and discussion with management including management's written representations concerning liabilities, litigation, claims, assessments, and regulatory requirements as applicable? (AU Secs. 333 and 337.05)	A481				
Did the auditor consider evidence of the entity's activities (such as lobbying or substantial unrelated business income activities) which might cause the entity to lose its tax exempt status or be subject to penalties or taxes? (ACNO, Ch. 10, par. 10.11 and Ch. 15, pars. 15.03–.14)	N465				
If the entity is a private foundation, as defined by IRC section 509, did the auditor determine whether the entity complied with IRS regulations concerning required distribution of income, and prohibited activities? (ACNO, Ch. 15, par. 15.16)	N466				
Has adequate consideration been given to contingencies in accordance with professional standards? (AC Sec. C59 and ACNO, Ch. 10, par. 10.11)	N467				
Were the audit procedures regarding the financial instruments appropriately designed, executed, and documented in the working papers?	A505				
Was appropriate consideration given to issues related to known environmental matters? (SOP 96-1, Chs. 5 and 7 [TPA Sec. 10,680])	A484				

		37/4	1/	3.7	D (
	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	Ref.
Net Assets (ACNO, Ch. 11)					
□ Not a key area					
Do the working papers indicate that there were adequate inquiries, where applicable, as to proper classification, description and disclo-					
sure of components of net assets?	N468				
If an endowment fund was maintained, do the working papers indicate that fund income was distributed to unrestricted and restricted net assets in accordance with donors' stipulations? (ACNO, Ch. 8, pars. 8.14–.16)	N469				
Revenues, Expenses, and Support					
Did the accountant consider whether:					
Contributions received/made, including promises to give, were recognized as revenue/expenses in the period received/made, at their fair values?	N470				
Contributions that increase net assets were categorized between permanently restricted, temporarily restricted and unrestricted?	N471				
Donor-imposed restrictions which expire were recognized in the period in which they expired?	N472				
Contributions for services were recognized only if they created or enhanced nonfinancial assets or require specialized skills that would have typically been purchased if not provided by donation?	N473				
Were appropriate analytical procedures used in substantive tests of revenues, expenses, and support for the period? (AU Sec. 329 and ACNO, Chs. 5 and 12)	A491				
Was adequate consideration given to:					
The entity's revenue recognition policy? (AC Sec. A10.105)	A492				
Income recognition on transactions where the earnings process was not complete?	A493				
Do the working papers indicate that consideration was given to the valuation and classification of revenue derived from service fees, such as subscription and membership income, and sales of publications and other items? (ACNO, Ch. 5, par. 5.15)	N474				
Were appropriate procedures performed to determine whether or not revenue from and changes in the fair value of split-interest agreements was properly recorded? (ACNO, Ch. 6)	N475				
If the entity is reimbursed by a third party for costs incurred in connection with providing services to others: (ACNO, Ch. 5, pars. 5.05 and .06)					
Were the pertinent sections of significant third-party contracts reviewed to determine the basis for reimbursement?	N476				
Were cost reimbursement reports and the underlying support reviewed?	N477				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Were appropriate allocations made of indirect costs among the entity's programs?	N478				
Do the working papers indicate that the auditor considered actual receipt and propriety of valuation method used, and any restrictions placed on amounts received during the current period from:					
Cash contributions? (ACNO, Ch. 5)	N479				
Donated and contributed services? (ACNO, Ch. 5, pars. 5.40 and 5.41)	N480				
Gifts of securities, materials, facilities, and other nonmonetary items? (ACNO, Ch. 5, pars. 5.42–.44)	N481				
Split-interest agreements and interest free loans? (ACNO, Ch. 6, par. 6.38)	N482				
If expenses are classified by function, did the auditor adequately test the classifications and allocations? (ACNO, Ch. 13, pars. 13.29–13.42)	N483				
Were fundraising costs expensed in the proper period? (ACNO, Ch. 13, pars. 13.29 and .51)	N484				
If grants were awarded to other organizations, did the auditor review: (ACNO, Ch. 10, par. 10.06)					
The recognition of grants?	N485				
The effects of the grantees' compliance or noncompliance with performance requirements?	N486				
Were tests of payrolls, including account distribution, made, where appropriate?	A489				
With regard to pension plans, do the tests made of the expense and liabilities appear adequate?	N487				
Based on the assessment of inherent and control risks, do the substantive tests (analytical procedures and test of details) of revenues and expenditures/expense appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A496				
Other					
Have leases been reviewed to determine that capital, operating, sales, and direct financing leases have been properly accounted for? (AC Sec. L10)	A497				
Were appropriate procedures applied to accompanying information (AU Sec. 551.06 and .08) and "required supplementary information" (AU Sec. 558)?	A498				
If the entity is affiliated with or otherwise financially related to other entities, did the auditor consider the need for combined financial statements or disclosure of the relationship? (SOP 94-3 [TPA Sec.					
10,610], ACNO, Ch. 2, pars. 2.23–2.25)	N488				

IV. FUNCTIONAL AREAS†

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	<u>Ref.**</u>
Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (QC Sec. 20.09 and .10)					
If anything has been noted that may indicate a lack of independence, integrity, and objectivity, was the matter identified and appropriately resolved by the firm and its effects appropriately considered? (ET Secs. 101 and 102)	A601				
Have personnel been appropriately advised of the need to observe independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements concerning the client and any related nonclient parent, investor, investee, subsidiary, or affiliate?	A602				
Was appropriate assurance of independence obtained from other firms engaged to audit segments or component units of the entity?	A603				
Were the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services paid prior to issuance of the report for the current engagement? (ET Sec. 191.103 and .104)	A604				
Personnel Management (QC Sec. 20.1113)					
Were scheduling and staffing requirements identified on a timely basis and approved by appropriate personnel?	A606				
Does it appear that the practitioner-in-charge of the engagement possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to fulfill his/her responsibilities on the engagement as noted in par08 of QC Sec. 40?	A607				
Does it appear engagement personnel possessed an appropriate mix of experience, expertise, and technical training in relation to the complexity or other requirements of the engagement and the involvement of supervisory personnel?	A608				
Does it appear that the practitioner in charge of the engagement possessed the following knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) to fulfill their responsibilities on the engagement:					
An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's <i>Code of Professional Conduct</i> ?	A609				
An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement?	A610				
An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?	A611				

[†] The AICPA's Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice provides guidance on how to develop and implement a system of quality control for a firm's accounting and auditing practice in compliance with the requirements of Statements on Quality Control Standards Nos. 2, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC Sec. 20), as amended, 3, Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC Sec. 30), and 5, The Personnel Management Element of a Firm's System of Quality Control—Competencies Required by a Practitioner-in-charge of an Attest Engagement (QC Sec. 40).

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates?	A612				
Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?	A613				
An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?	A614				
Did the personnel assigned to this engagement appear to be appropriately familiar with the applicable professional pronouncements (FASB, AICPA, etc.)?	A615				
If required by firm policy, was the staff on this engagement appropriately evaluated?	A616				
Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements (QC Sec. 20.14–.16)					
Does it appear that the firm's guidelines for acceptance and continuance of client relationships, including performing specific engagements for the client, were complied with?	A617				
Engagement Performance (QC Sec. 20.17–.19)					
Were appropriate and knowledgeable engagement and supervisory personnel involved in the planning process?	A618				
Does it appear that audit planning was adequately documented in the working papers, including any changes in the original plan? (AU Secs. 311 and 339.05)	A619				
Did the owner/partner (or manager) approve the overall audit plan (including the audit program) as the final planning step and convey approval or modifications to the engagement staff?	A620				
Does it appear that hours charged by the owner, manager, and where applicable, the concurring reviewer were adequate and appropriately timed to provide for planning and supervision as the job progressed?	A621				
Were all forms, checklists, or questionnaires, if any, required by firm policy for the following areas adequately completed and modified, where appropriate, for the engagement:					
Planning?	A622				
Internal control?	A623				
Audit work program?	A624				
Financial statement disclosures?	A625				
Working paper and financial statement reviews?	A626				
If standardized forms, etc., were not used for any of the above areas, is there adequate documentation of these areas?	A627				
Were the firm's guidelines for the form and content of audit working papers complied with?	A628				

Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
A629				
A630				
A631				
A632				
A 622				
A055				
A634				
A635				
A636				
	A629 A630 A631 A632 A633 A634	A629 A630 A631 A632 A633 A634 A635	A629	A631

V. EXPLANATION OF "NO" ANSWERS AND OTHER COMMENTS

The following pages are provided for your comments on all "no" answers for which an MFC form was not generated or to expand upon any of the "yes" answers. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained and reviewed with the engagement owner or partner.

Page Number	Question Number	Explanatory Comments	Disposition of Comments*
		PRACTICE	
		SECTION	

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The nature of the disposition of comments may vary, such as:

[•] Note "resolved" and the manner of resolution.

[•] Note "not significant" to indicate a "no" answer is appropriate but that the matter is not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form.

Page Number Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

Page Number Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

PRACTICE SECTION Page Number Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

PRACTICE SECTION

Note: Attach additional sheets if required.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

EXPLAIN BELOW THE REASONS FOR ANY "YES" ANSWERS. BE SPECIFIC.

Based on the work performed, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that:

• The firm did not perform the engagement in all material respects in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (see AU Sec. 390 and ET Sec. 202) and other applicable standards of <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> and OMB Circular A-133?	YES*	_ NO
• The financial statements did not conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (or where applicable, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP) in all material respects and the auditor's report was not appropriately modified (see AU Sec. 561 and ET Sec. 203)?	YES*	_ NO
• The auditor's reports, including all reports required by governmental agencies, were not appropriate in the circumstances?	YES*	_ NO
• The documentation on this engagement does not support the firm's opinion on the financial statements?	YES*	_ NO
• The firm did not comply with its policies and procedures on this engagement in all material respects?	YES*	_ NO

[*The next page is* 20,701.]

^{*} If the question is answered "yes," see additional guidance contained in Section 3100.51–.53 of the *Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews* in the AICPA *Peer Review Program Manual* or Section 2000.83–.89 in the *SEC Practice Section Reference Manual*.

Section 20,700 Employee Benefit Plan Audit Engagement Checklist

Instructions for Use of the Checklist for Review of Audits of Employee Benefit Plans

This checklist was developed for use by reviewers of audits of employee benefit plans. It should be used in conjunction with other guidance materials issued to implement the AICPA peer review program and the SEC Practice Section Peer Review Program. Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

The questions in this checklist emphasize reporting matters and general procedures ordinarily performed by an independent auditor in the audit of financial statements of employee benefit plans. All "No" answers, for which an MFC was not generated, must be thoroughly explained in Section V of this checklist.

This checklist is not intended to be an all-inclusive document containing all disclosure and audit procedures related to employee benefit plans. It is a summarization of commonly addressed key areas and related concepts or procedures. Therefore, it should be used in conjunction with various reference materials dealing with reporting, disclosure and audit procedure issues in order to sufficiently evaluate employee benefit plan engagements. These additional materials include the AICPA Financial Reporting Practice Aid, *Checklist for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Illustrative Financial Statements*, or other similarly comprehensive disclosure materials, and the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Audits of Employee Benefit Plans*, as issued in 1991 and subsequently updated for changes to conform to revised professional standards.

Note: This checklist has been updated through SAS No. 94, FAS No. 142, and SOP 01-3.

Explanation of References:

AC	Reference to section number in FASB Accounting Standards Current Text
AEBP	Audit and Accounting Guide <i>Audits of Employee Benefit Plans</i> (reflects guidance contained in authoritative pronouncements through May 1, 2000)
AU	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
EITF	Emerging Issues Task Force pronouncement
ET	Code of Professional Conduct in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
FAS	Financial Accounting Standards Board pronouncements
QC	Quality Control Standards in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
SAS	Statement on Auditing Standards
SOP	AICPA Statement of Position

ENGAGEMENT PROFILE Engagement Code No._ Office Date of Financial Statements* Owner/Partner Date of Report Manager Concurring Reviewer** Date Report Released_ This engagement involves reporting on:) Health and Welfare Plans 1. () Defined Benefit Plan () Defined Contribution Plan (including) Limited Scope Audit profit-sharing and stock bonus plans)) Other () Plans requiring a Form 11-K filing with the SEC Was the work performed at the request of another office? Yes___ No ___ Date that the fee for the prior year's engagement was paid ____ Key data reported on by this office for this engagement: Total assets Net assets Total income and contributions Net increase in net assets available for plan benefits Complex or troublesome audit areas: List any nonaudit services performed for the client during the period of the financial statements being reported on and through the date of the auditor's report: Manager Partner (or equivalent) Personnel Continuity: Number of years assigned to this job Number of years in current position on the job Audit hours on this engagement: Prior to After Commencement During Completion of Field Work Field Work Total of Field Work Owner/Partner Manager (or equivalent) Other

Total this office Total budgeted

^{*} To determine the applicability of all cross-referenced pronouncements, consider their effective dates.

^{**} Not applicable unless required by firm policy.

^{***} Firms that file a Form 11-K with the SEC should be a member of the SEC Practice Section of the AICPA Division for CPA Firms and Section V, Audits of SEC Engagements of the *General Audit Engagement Checklist* must be completed.

LIST OF KEY AUDIT AREAS SELECTED BY REVIEWER

A reviewer is not required to look at all the working papers for a particular engagement. The depth of the review is based upon a reviewer's judgment; however, the review is directed primarily to the key areas of an engagement, including complex or troublesome areas. Ordinarily all key audit areas should be reviewed. List below the key areas on this engagement and, if any key areas are not reviewed, indicate the reasons for this omission. In completing this checklist, all questions in Sections I, II and IV should be answered in addition to the key areas identified.

1.	SECT	IION	
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
Date Engagement Review Performed		Date Checklist Reviewed by Team Captain	
Reviewer		Sionature	

Checklist for Review of Audits of Employee Benefit Plans

Contents

Sectio	n	Page
I.	Report and Financial Statements	
	Auditor's Report	20,705
	Auditor's Report	20,706
II.	General Audit Procedures	20,713
III.	Working Paper Areas	
	Cash	20,720
	Investments	20,720
	Contributions Received and Related Receivables	20,722
	Receivables	20,722
	Property and Equipment Used in Plan Operations	20,723
	Liabilities	20,723
	Benefit Payments and Claims Payable	20,724
	Commitments and Contingencies	20,724
	Participant Data and Plan Obligations	20,724
	Other Considerations	20,725
IV.	Functional Areas	
	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity	20,727
	Personnel Management	20,727
	Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements	20,728
	Engagement Performance	20,728
V.	Explanation of "No" Answers and Other Comments	20,730
VI.	Conclusions	20,734

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note: This is a highly summarized checklist. Reviewers may wish to consult the professional standards cited for detailed information about the requirements. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained. If the firm has used its own report and financial statement disclosure checklist on this engagement, it may be reviewed in lieu of completing this checklist provided the reviewer has determined that the firm's checklist is current, comprehensive, and appropriate for the engagement.

PRACTIC	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Ref.**</u>
Auditor's Report If subject to ERISA and DOL, is the plan required to have an audit? (Note: The audit requirement is applied to each separate plan and not each separate trust.) (AEBP, ch. 1, par. 1.16 and Appendix A, pars22, .23, .65 and .66)	BP101				
If the plan is subject to ERISA and DOL regulations and is required to have an audit, is the auditor independent pursuant to DOL regulations? (29 CFR 2509.75–9)	BP102				
Does the auditor's report conform to the Audit and Accounting Guide— Audits of Employee Benefit Plans? (AEBP, ch. 13 and Appendix A)	BP103				
Is the report dated in conformity with the requirements of professional standards? (AU Secs. 508.08 and .28 and 530)	A101				
Effective for reports issued or reissued after June 30, 2001, the Auditing Standards Board issued Statement on Auditing Standards No. 93, <i>Omnibus Statement on Auditing Standards—2000</i> . Among other amendments, SAS No. 93 amends SAS No. 58 (AU Sec. 508) to require a reference to the country of origin of: (1) the accounting principles used to prepare financial statements and (2) the auditing standards the auditor followed in performing the audit. For reports issued or reissued after the effective date:					
Does the report include a reference to the country of origin of the accounting principles used to prepare the financial statements (AU Sec. 508.08 <i>h</i>)?	A102				
Does the report include a reference to the country of origin of the auditing standards the auditor followed in performing the audit (AU Sec. 508.08 <i>d</i>)?	A103				
Does the report appropriately include the basic elements required under professional standards and is appropriate language used for modifying the report for circumstances described in such standards? (AU Secs. 508.08–.11 and 623)	A104				
Does the report cover all periods for which financial statements are presented? (AU Sec. 508.08 and .65)	A105				
If the financial statements of a prior period have been audited by a predecessor auditor whose report is not presented, has the successor auditor included the appropriate reference to the predecessor auditor					
in the introductory paragraph? (AU Sec. 508.74)	A106				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the REF column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
If supplementary information accompanies the basic financial statements, does the auditor describe in the report the degree of responsibility, if any, the auditor is taking? (AU Secs. 551 and 558)	A107				
If the use of the report has been restricted, have the applicable provisions of SAS No. 87 been complied with (AU Sec. 532)?	A112				
If the audit was a limited scope audit permitted by DOL rules and regulations with respect to investment information, was this stated and a disclaimer issued? (AEBP, ch. 5, pars. 5.02, 7.52, .53 and ch. 13, pars. 13.26–.30)	BP104				
Does the report identify and include an appropriate opinion on supplemental schedules required by ERISA and DOL regulations? (AEBP, ch. 13, pars. 13.09–.19)	BP105				
Is the report appropriately modified for financial statements presented on a basis other than GAAP that is acceptable under ERISA or DOL regulations? (AEBP, ch. 13, pars. 13.20–.23)	BP106				
If the entity has made the decision to terminate a defined benefit pension plan, has the auditor considered the implications of that decision for the auditor's report? (AEBP, ch. 13, pars. 13.39–.40)	BP107				
Is the auditor's report on financial statements of defined benefit plans appropriately worded assuming either an End-of-Year Benefit Information Date or Beginning-of-Year Benefit Information Date? (AEBP, ch. 13, pars. 13.04 and .05)	BP108				
If the entity (AU Sec. 420):					
Reported changes resulting from a transaction or event, did the auditor not add a consistency explanatory paragraph to the auditor's report?	BP109				
Had a pooling of interest that was not accounted for retroactively in the comparative financial statements, did the auditor not add a consistency explanatory paragraph to the auditor's report?	BP110				
Had single-year financial statements that reported a pooling of interest that did not disclose combined information for prior year, did the auditor add a consistency explanatory paragraph to the auditor's report?	BP111				
Financial Statements and Footnotes					
General					
Are the financial statements suitably titled? (AU Sec. 623.07 and .24, AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.07–.09, 3.09–.11, and ch. 4, pars. 4.17–.22)	A113				
Is the accounting appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
Significant accounting policies? (AC Sec. A10.105–.108)	A114				
Accounting changes? (AC Sec. A06)	A115				
Comparative financial statements? (AC Sec. F43)	A116				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
With respect to contingencies and commitments:					
Are loss contingencies accrued and/or disclosed as appropriate? (AC Sec. C59.104–.116, and .120)	A130				
Are other contingencies and commitments adequately disclosed? (AC Secs. C32.102–.105 and C59.118–.120)	A131				
Are the financial statements adjusted, where appropriate, for the effect of subsequent events and do they include disclosure of significant subsequent events, whether or not adjustments were made? (AC Sec. C59.105 and .115 and AU Secs. 560.01–.09 and 561)	A132				
Are adjustments of financial statements for prior periods adequate? (AC Sec. A35)	A140				
Have defined contribution plans been properly accounted for and reported, and have disclosures properly been made in accordance with SOP 99-3, Accounting For and Reporting of Certain Defined Contribution Plan Investments and Other Disclosure Matters, including the reclassification of comparative amounts in financial statements for earlier years presented if the "by-fund" disclosure are eliminated?	A141				
For financial statements for plan years beginning after December 15, 2000, SOP 01-2, <i>Accounting and Reporting by Health and Welfare Benefit Plans</i> , amends the AAG for Employee Benefit Plans and SOP 92-6. For health and welfare benefit plan financial statements issued for periods after the effective date, did the plan follow guidance consistent with SOP 01-2 with respect to:					
Presentation of benefit obligations information? (pars. 8–10)	A142				
Accounting and reporting of postemployment benefit obligations? (pars. 11–14)	A143				
The measurement date of the plan's benefit obligation? (par. 15)	A144				
Disclosure of information about retirees' relative share of the plan's estimated cost of providing postretirement benefits? (pars. 16 and 17)	A145				
Disclosure of the discount rate used for measuring the health and welfare plan's obligation for postemployment benefits? (par. 18)	A146				
Disclosure of investments representing 5 percent or more of the net assets available for benefits? (par. 19)	A147				
Is the presentation appropriate and disclosure adequate regarding:					
Description of method and significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of investments and the reported value of insurance contracts, including the consideration of SOP 94-4? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.10–2.14, 3.12–3.20, and ch. 4, pars. 4.23–4.30 and 4.58, 4.59 and Appendix H)	BP112				
Description of method and significant assumptions used to determine the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits including any significant changes in the method of assumptions during the year? (AC Sec. Pe5.126b)	BP113				
Description of plan including its vesting and benefit provisions? (AEBP chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.27a, 3.28a and ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP114				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes_	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Description of significant plan amendments adopted during the year? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.27 <i>b</i> , 3.28 <i>b</i> and ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP115				
Description of the benefit priority and Pension Benefit Guarantee Coverage in the event of plan termination? (AEBP, ch. 2, par. 2.27 <i>c</i>)	BP116				
Description of funding policy? (AEBP, ch. 2, par. 2.27d, ch. 3, par. 3.28d and ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP117				
Federal income tax status of the plan, including IRS tax ruling or determination letter? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.27f, 3.28f, and ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP118				
Compliance with the ERISA requirement that reports under its jurisdiction must include disclosure of "information concerning whether or not a tax ruling or determination letter has been obtained," (which is more than is required by FASB Statement No. 35 as amended)? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.27f and 3.28f)	BP119				
Identification of investments that represent 5 percent or more of total net assets for defined benefit plans, 5 percent or more of the net assets available for benefits for defined contribution plans (with nonparticipant-directed investments separately identified) and 5 percent or more of total plan assets for health and welfare plans. (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.27g, 3.28g, and ch. 4, par. 4.59, and SOP 99-3, par. 18 [TPA Sec. 10,790.18])	BP120				
Reporting significant real estate, or other transactions between related parties, or was the audit opinion appropriately modified? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.27 <i>h</i> , 3.28 <i>h</i> , ch. 4, par. 4.59 and ch. 11)	BP121				
Prohibited transactions? (AEBP, ch. 11, par. 11.09–.16)	BP122				
Significant, unusual, or infrequent events of transactions occurring after the financial statement date but before issuance of the financial statements? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.27 <i>i</i> , 3.28 <i>m</i> , and ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP123				
The amount and disposition of forfeited nonvested accounts. Specifically, identification of those amounts that will be used to reduce future employer contributions? (AEBP, ch. 3, par. 3.28 <i>n</i> and ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP124				
Investment contracts as required by SOP 94-4? (AEBP, ch. 3, par. 3.28 <i>o</i> – <i>q</i> and ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP125				
For risks and uncertainties, disclosures regarding the nature of operations, the use of estimates, certain significant estimates, and current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations? (AEBP, chs. 2, 3, and 4, pars. 2.44–.47, 3.38–.41, 4.68–.71 and SOP 94-6)	BP126				
For master trusts, a description of the basis used to allocate net assets, net investment income, gains and losses to participating plans, and the plan's percentage interest in the master trust as of the date of each statement of net assets available for benefits presented? (AEBP, ch. 2, par. 2.32 and ch. 3, par. 3.33)	BP127				
Material lease commitments, other commitments, or contingent liabilities? (AEBP, ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP128				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
The assumed health care cost-trend rate(s) used to measure the expected cost of benefits covered by the plan for the next year, a general description of the direction and pattern of change in the assumed trend rates thereafter, the ultimate trend rate(s), and when that rate is expected to be achieved. (AEBP, ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP129				
For health and welfare benefit plans providing postretirement health care benefits, the effect of a one-percentage-point increase in the assumed health care cost-trend rates for each future year on the postretirement benefit obligation. (AEBP, ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP130				
Any modification of the existing cost-sharing provisions that are encompassed by the substantive plan(s) and the existence and nature of any commitment to increase monetary benefits provided by the plan and their effect on the plan's financial statements. (AEBP, ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP131				
Termination provisions of the plan and priorities for distribution of assets, if applicable. (AEBP, ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP132				
Restrictions, if any, on plan assets (for example, legal restrictions on multiple trusts). (AEBP, ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP133				
If the plan is subject to ERISA reporting requirements are the following schedules attached, if applicable: assets held for investment purposes, assets held for investment purposes that were both acquired and sold during the year, non-exempt transactions, loans or fixed income obligations, leases in default or classified as uncollectible, and reportable (5 percent) transactions? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.05, 3.06, Appendix A, par. A.51 and A.73)	BP134				
Have the proper disclosures been made, and the liquidation basis of accounting used for plan terminations? (AEBP, chs. 2, 3, and 4, pars. 2.48–.52, 3.46–.49 and ch. 4, pars. 4.72–.75)	BP135				
Is there a description of the policy regarding the purchase of insurance contracts that are excluded from plan benefits? (AEBP, ch. 2, par. 2.26 <i>e</i> , and ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP136				
Is there a disclosure of amounts allocated to persons who have withdrawn from participation in the earnings and operations of the plan? Are such amounts excluded from liabilities on the financial statements? (AEBP, ch. 3, par. 3.28 <i>l</i>)	BP137				
Are the amounts of unallocated assets disclosed as well as the basis used to allocate asset values to participants accounts when the basis differs from the one used to record assets in the financial statements? (AEBP, ch. 3, par. 3.28 <i>c</i>)	BP138				
Is the basis for determining contributions by employers and the method of determining participant contributions disclosed? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.27 <i>d</i> , 3.28 <i>d</i> and ch. 4, par. 4.59)	BP139				
Are investments pledged to secure debt disclosed? (AEBP, ch. 3, par. 3.28 <i>i</i>)	BP140				
Are guarantees by others of debt of the plan disclosed? (AEBP, ch. 3, par. 3.28 <i>i</i>)	BP141				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
If a defined contribution plan provides for participant-directed and non participant-directed investment programs, is information about the net assets and significant components of the changes in net assets relating to the non participant-directed program disclosed, with such reasonable detail, in the financial statements or accompanying notes, as is necessary to identify the types of investments and changes therein? (AEBP, ch. 3, par. 3.28k)	BP142				
Are changes in the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits including amendments, changes in actuarial assumptions, and nature of the plan (mergers, spinoffs, etc.), disclosed? (AEBP, ch. 2, par. 2.25)	BP143				
s a prior-year statement of net assets and changes presented if beginning-of-year benefit information is used? (AC Sec. Pe5.106)	BP144				
s the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits, including vested benefits of participants currently receiving payments, other vested benefits, and non-vested benefits presented? (AEBP, ch. 2, pars. 2.21–.24)	BP145				
If subject to ERISA and DOL, is there a reconciliation between financial statements and form 5500 amounts, if applicable? (AEBP Appendix A, par. A.51c)	BP146				
Are significant employer absorbed costs of plan administration disclosed? (AC Sec. Pe5.127(d), 3.28(d) fn #12, and 4.59 fn #37)	BP147				
Statement of Net Assets Available for Benefits					
s the presentation appropriate and is the disclosure adequate regarding:					
Participant directed and non-participant directed investments including those in master trust (identified by type and presented at fair value)? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.10–.14, .32, 3.12–.20, .33, ch. 4, pars. 4.23–.30 and SOP 99-3 [TPA Sec. 10,790])	BP148				
Are investment contracts with fully benefit-responsive features or contracts that incorporate mortality or morbidity risks reported at contract value (where the entire contractual amount is recoverable) and are other investment contracts reported at fair value? (AEBP, Appendix H)	BP149				
Insurance contracts? (AEBP, ch. 3, par. 3.13 and ch. 4, par. 4.24 and .57)	BP150				
Investment contracts as required by SOP 94-4? (AEBP, ch. 3, pars. 3.17–.19, ch. 4, pars. 4.25–.30 and .57)	BP151				
Risks and uncertainties as required by SOP 94-6? (AEBP, ch. 2, pars. 2.44–.47, ch. 3, pars. 3.38–.41 and ch. 4 pars. 4.68–.71)	BP152				
Exemption from certain required disclosures about financial instruments for certain non-public entities? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.33, .34, 3.34, .35, and ch. 4, pars. 4.64 and .65)	BP153				
Information about derivative financial instruments—futures, forwards, swap and option contracts, and other financial instruments with similar characteristics? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.35 and .36, 3.36 and .37, and ch. 4, pars. 4.66 and .67)	BP154				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Effective June 30, 2000, for companies that have not adopted FAS No. 133 for derivative financial instruments and hedging activities, the company must adopt FAS No. 138 concurrently with FAS No. 133. Therefore, in conjunction with the adoption of FAS No. 133, have derivative financial instruments and hedging activities been properly accounted for and disclosed in accordance with FAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities (an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133)?	BP155				
Are unallocated insurance contracts reported on in accordance with ERISA and DOL? (AEBP, ch. 2, par. 2.13)	BP156				
Contributions receivable? (AEBP, ch. 2, pars. 2.15, .16, ch. 3, par. 3.21, and ch. 4, par. 4.31)	BP157				
Amounts receivable from brokers for securities sold?	BP158				
Accrued interest and dividends?	BP159				
Have defined benefit pension plans and health and welfare benefit plans properly accounted for and disclosed 401(h) accounts in accordance with SOP 99-2 [TPA Sec. 10,780]?	BP160				
Allowance for estimated uncollectible amounts? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.15, .16, 3.21 and ch. 4, par. 4.31)	BP161				
Assets used in plan operations (e.g., buildings, equipment, furniture and fixtures and leasehold improvements) identified by type and presented at cost, less accumulated depreciation or amortization? (AEBP, ch. 2, par. 2.16, ch. 3, par. 3.22, and ch. 4, par. 4.35)	BP162				
Property and equipment including accounting for the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used, long-lived assets to be disposed of, assets of discontinued operations, investment credit, and capitalized interest? (AEBP, ch. 2, par. 2.18, ch. 3, par. 3.23, ch. 4, par. 4.36, and AC Secs. D40.105, I08.122–.138, I13.108, I67, and I27)	BP163				
For health and welfare plans if significant: accrued experience—rating adjustments, insurance premiums payable, deposits with insurance companies and accumulated eligibility credits? (AEBP, ch. 4, pars. 4.40, .41, and .44–.47)	BP164				
Information regarding the plan's benefit obligation as of the end of the plan year and certain factors affecting the year-to-year change in the plan's benefit obligations (AEBP, ch. 4, pars. 4.17–.19 and .40–.57)	BP165				
For insured health and welfare plans, are claims payable and currently due and claims incurred by eligible participants but not yet reported (IBNR) excluded from benefit obligations of the plan? (AEBP, ch. 4, pars. 4.42 and .43)	BP166				
For self-funded health and welfare plans, do the benefit obligations present the amount of claims payable and currently due for active and retired participants, dependents, and beneficiaries for active participants in the plan and IBNR for active participants and does the postretirement benefit obligation include IBNR for retired participants? (AEBP, ch. 4, pars. 4.42 and .43)	BP167				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
For self-funded health and welfare plans, is the cost of IBNR measured at the present value, as applicable, of the estimated ultimate cost to the plan settling the claims? (AEBP, ch. 4, par. 4.43)	BP168				
Notes payable and other debt:					
Maturities, rates, and sinking fund requirements for the next five years? (AC Sec. C32.104 and .105)	A148				
Other terms and covenants? (AC Sec. C59.120 and SOP 97-1, par. 17 [TPA Sec. 10,690.17])	A149				
Other liabilities, including amounts due to brokers for securities purchased, other accounts payable, and accrued expenses?	BP169				
If subject to ERISA and DOL regulations, are comparative statements of net assets available for benefits presented? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.08 <i>a</i> , 3.10 and ch. 4, par. 4.21 and Appendix A, par. A.51 <i>a</i>)	BP170				
Statement of Changes in Net Assets					
Are the presentations appropriate and disclosures adequate regarding:					
Net change in fair value of investments? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.20 <i>a</i> and 2.32, 3.25 <i>a</i> and 3.33, ch. 4, par. 4.38 and SOP 99-3)	BP171				
Contributions from employer(s), employees, and other identified sources separately stated? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.20 <i>c</i> , <i>d</i> , <i>e</i> , 3.25 <i>c</i> , <i>d</i> , <i>e</i> and ch. 4, par. 4.38)	BP172				
Payments to insurance companies to purchase contracts? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.20 <i>g</i> , 3.25 <i>g</i> , and ch. 4, par. 4.38)	BP173				
Investment income? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.20 <i>b</i> , 3.25 <i>b</i> and ch. 4, par. 4.38)	BP174				
Benefits paid to participants? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.20 <i>f</i> , 3.25 <i>f</i> and ch. 4, par. 4.38)	BP175				
Administrative expense? (AEBP, chs. 2 and 3, pars. 2.20h, 3.25h and ch. 4, par. 4.38)	BP176				

II. GENERAL AUDIT PROCEDURES

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes	_No_	Ref.**
If applicable, has the auditor established and documented the understanding with the client regarding the engagement? (AU Sec. 310.05–.07)	A300				
In planning the audit engagement, did the auditor properly consider: Matters affecting the plan, such as accounting practices, economic	E				
conditions, laws, government regulations, and changes in technology? (AU Secs. 311.03, .07, and 801.11)	BP301				
Preliminary judgment about materiality levels? (AU Secs. 311.03 and 312.10)	A303				
Did the auditor: (a) assess the risk of material misstatement due to fraud, (b) consider the presence of fraud risk factors, (c) inquire of management about its understanding of the risk of fraud in the entity, and (d) document evidence of the performance of the fraud assess-	1204				
ment, including the fraud risk factors identified as present? (AU Sec. 316) For identified fraud risk factors, did the auditor develop an appropri-	A304				
ate audit response and document the response? (AU Sec. 316.37)	A305				
Did the auditor use analytical procedures in planning the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures? (AU Sec. 329.01 and .06–.08)	A306				
If the auditor succeeded another auditor, did the successor auditor initiate communications with the predecessor auditor to ascertain whether there were matters that might assist the auditor in determining whether to accept the engagement? (AU Sec. 315.09)	A307				
Did the successor auditor obtain satisfaction as to the account balances at the beginning of the year and consistency of the application of accounting principles in the year being audited as compared with the preceding year? (AU Sec. 315.12 and .13)	A308				
If consideration was given to the work of internal auditors in determining the scope of the audit, was it done in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 322)	A309				
For audits of financial statements for periods beginning before June 1, 2001 or the application of SAS No. 94, did the auditor:					
Obtain a sufficient understanding of the entity's internal control, including electronic evidential matter which may only exist for a specified period of time, to plan the audit? (AU Secs. 319.19, 324.07–.10, and 326.18)	A310				
Document the understanding of the internal control? (AU Sec. 319.44)	A311				
Document the conclusion that control risks are at the maximum level for those financial statement assertions where control risk is assessed at the maximum level? (AU Sec. 319.57)	A312				
acceptant the maximum teres. (10 occ. 017.07)	11014				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the REF column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	Ref.
Document the basis for the conclusion (i.e., tests of controls) that the effectiveness of the design and operation of controls support the assessed level of control risk when that assessed level is below the maximum level? (AU Sec. 319.57)	A313				
For audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 1, 2001, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 94, <i>The Effect of Information Technology on the Auditor's Consideration of Internal Control in a Financial Statement Audit,</i> substantially amends AU Sec. 319 to address the implications of information technology on the auditor's internal control consideration. For financial statement audits after the effective date,	CEN				
Did the auditor consider information technology ("IT") risks that could result in misstatements in making a judgment about the understanding of internal control necessary to plan the audit and the nature, timing, and extent of procedures the auditor chooses to perform to obtain an understanding? (par. 25)	A314				
Did the auditor consider whether specialized skills were needed for the auditor to determine the effect of IT on the audit, to under- stand the IT controls, or to design and perform tests of IT controls or substantive tests? (par. 31)	A315				
Did the auditor obtain an understanding of the automated and manual procedures the entity uses to prepare financial statements and related disclosures, and how misstatements may occur and did the understanding include the procedures used to: (par. 51)					
Enter transaction totals into the general ledger?	A316				
Initiate, record, and process journal entries in the general ledger, including standard journal entries and nonstandard journal entries?	A317				
Record recurring and nonrecurring adjustments to the financial statements that are not reflected in formal journal entries?	A318				
Did the auditor document his or her understanding of the entity's internal control components obtained to plan the audit? (par. 61)	A319				
In determining whether to assess control risk at the maximum level or at a lower level would be effective for specific assertions, did the auditor consider: (1) the nature of the assertion, (2) the volume of transactions or data related to the assertion, (3) the nature and complexity of the systems, including the use of information technology, by which the entity processes and controls information supporting the assertion, and (4) the nature of the available evidential matter, including evidential matter that is available only in electronic form? (par. 67)	A320				
If the auditor determined that it was not possible to design effective substantive tests that by themselves provided sufficient evidence that the one or more financial statement assertions are not materially misstated because a significant amount of the associated information is electronically initiated, recorded, processed, and reported, did the auditor perform tests of controls to gather evidence to use in assessing control risk? (pars. 68 and 69)	A321				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Did the auditor document his or her conclusions about the: (par. 83)					
Assessed level of control risk?	A322				
Control risk is at the maximum level for financial statement assertions where control risk is assessed at the maximum level?	A323				
The basis for his or her conclusion that the effectiveness of the design and operation of controls supports the assessed level of control risk for those assertions where the assessed level of control risk is below the maximum level?	A324				
If the entity obtained services from another organization that are part of the entity's information system, did the auditor:					
During planning, obtain an understanding of the controls in place by the entity and by the service organization whose services are part of the entity's information system? (AU Sec. 324.07–.10)	A325				
If the auditor decided to assess control risk below the maximum for financial statement assertions affected by the processing performed by the service organization, was a service auditor's report that describes the results of the service auditor's tests of operating effectiveness obtained; or were tests performed by the auditor at the service organization? (AU Sec. 324.14–.16)	A326				
If the engagement included the use of the work (domestic or international) of another office, correspondent or affiliate:					
Do the instructions to the other office or firm appear adequate? (AU Sec. 311)	A327				
Does it appear that the control exercised over the work of others through supervision and review was adequate? (AU Sec. 311)	A328				
Was there appropriate follow-up of open matters? (AU Sec. 311)	A329				
In those cases where another firm is used, were appropriate inquiries made as to its independence and professional reputation? (AU Sec. 543.10)	A330				
Was an appropriately tailored, written audit program prepared? (AU Sec. 311.05 and applicable AICPA industry audit guide)	A331				
Was the audit program responsive to the needs of the engagement, and the understanding of the internal control, including electronic evidential matter which may only exist for a specified period of time, obtained during the planning process? (AU Secs. 319.02 and .05 and 326.18)	A332				
Was consideration given to applicable assertions in developing audit objectives and in designing substantive tests? (AU Sec. 326.09–.14)	A333				
If conditions changed during the course of the audit, was the audit program modified as appropriate in the circumstances? (AU Secs. 311.05 and 316.33–.37)	A334				
Have all procedures called for in the audit program been signed when completed? (AU Sec. 339)	A335				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	Ref.
If statistical or nonstatistical sampling was used in test of controls (AU Secs. 319 and 350):					
In your evaluation of the adequacy of the sample size, does it appear the firm gave appropriate consideration to the specific objective of the test of controls, tolerable rate, allowable risk of overreliance, and characteristics of the population? (AU Sec. 350.31)	A336				
Was the sample selected in such a way that it could be expected to be representative of the population? (AU Sec. 350.39)	A337				
If the auditor concluded that the sample results did not support the planned assessed level of control risk for an assertion, were the nature, timing and extent of the planned substantive procedures reevaluated based on a revised consideration of assessed level of control risk for	Τ 4				
the relevant financial statement assertions? (AU Sec. 350.40–.43)	A338				
In evaluating the sample, was appropriate consideration given to items for which the planned test of controls or appropriate alternative procedure could not be performed, for example, because the documentation was missing? (AU Sec. 350.40)	A339				
C	11337				
Was the documentation of the foregoing considerations in accordance with firm policy?	A340				
If statistical or nonstatistical sampling was used for substantive tests of details (AU Sec. 350):					
In your evaluation of the adequacy of the sample size, does it appear the firm gave appropriate consideration to the specific audit objective, preliminary judgments about materiality levels, acceptable level of risk of incorrect acceptance, and characteristics of the					
population? (AU Sec. 350.16)	A341				
Was the sample selected in such a way that it could be expected to be representative of the population? (AU Sec. 350.24)	A342				
Were the misstatements in the sample projected to the items from which the sample was selected? (AU Sec. 350.26)	A343				
In evaluating the sample, was appropriate consideration given to items for which the planned substantive tests or appropriate alternative procedures could not be performed? (AU Sec. 350.25)	A344				
In evaluating whether the financial statements may be materially misstated, was appropriate consideration given, in the aggregate, to projected misstatements resulting from all audit sampling appli-					
cations and to all known misstatements from non-sampling applications? (AU Sec. 350.30)	A345				
	11343				
Was the documentation of the foregoing considerations in accordance with firm policy?	A346				
During the performance of the engagement, did the auditor:					
Consider the guidelines in professional standards in developing, performing, and evaluating the results of analytical procedures used as substantive tests? (AU Sec. 329)	A347				
Use analytical procedures in the overall review stage of the audit?					
(ALI Sec. 329.22)	A 348				

Has the auditor evaluated the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management? (AU Secs. 326 and 342)	<u>Ques.</u> A349	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Did the auditor obtain written timely and appropriate representations from management and was the representation letter properly dated? (AU Sec. 333)	A350				
Did the auditor obtain timely and appropriate responses from the entities' attorneys concerning litigation, claims, and assessments? (AU Sec. 337.08–.14)	A351				
Have all questions, exceptions, or notes been followed up and resolved?	A352				
Was appropriate consideration given to all passed adjustments and to the risk that the current period's financial statements are materially misstated when prior-period likely misstatements are considered together with likely misstatements arising in the current period? (AU Sec. 312.37)	A353				
If audit tests identify misstatements in the financial statements, did the auditor consider whether such misstatements may be indicative of fraud and evaluate the implications involved? (AU Sec. 316)	A354				
Did the auditor consider whether the accumulated results of audit procedures and other observations affect the assessment of the risk of material misstatement due to fraud made when planning the audit; and if so, and if the auditor believed an additional response was required, were any additional risk factors or other conditions that were identified and any further response that the auditor concluded was appropriate documented? (AU Sec. 316)	A355				
If the auditor has determined that there is evidence that fraud may exist (even if the matter might be considered inconsequential), was the matter brought to the attention of an appropriate level of management; and was the matter reported directly to the audit committee (or others with equivalent authority and responsibility), if the fraud involves senior management or the fraud causes a material misstatement of the financial statements? (AU Sec. 316)	A356				
When the auditor, as a result of the assessment of the risk of material misstatement due to fraud, has identified risk factors that have continuing control implications (whether or not transactions or adjustments that could be the result of fraud have been detected), did the auditor consider whether these risk factors represent reportable conditions relating to the entity's internal control that should be communicated to senior management and the audit committee (or others with equivalent authority and responsibility)? (AU Sec. 316)	A357				
If the auditor's procedures disclosed instances or indications of illegal acts, did the auditor:					
Follow up on the illegal acts in accordance with professional standards? (AU Sec. 317.10 and .11)	A358				
Consider the implications of the detected illegal act in relation to other aspects of the audit, including the reliability of the client's representations? (ALLSec. 317.16)	Δ350				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Communicate directly with the audit committee if the illegal act(s) involved senior management, and document that communication, and obtain assurance that all other illegal acts that came to the auditor's attention were adequately communicated? (AU Sec. 317.17)	A360				
Did the auditor consider if there was substantial doubt about the plans's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time? (AU Sec. 341.02)	A361				
If the auditor believed that there was substantial doubt about the plan's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time, did the auditor obtain information about management's plans and evaluate the likelihood that such plans could be effectively implemented? (AU Sec. $341.03(b)$)	A362				
If the auditor's substantial doubt was alleviated, did the auditor consider the need for disclosure of the principal conditions and events that initially caused the auditor to believe there was substantial doubt together with mitigating factors? (AU Sec. 341.11)	A363				
If the auditor's substantial doubt was not alleviated, did the auditor's report include an explanatory paragraph that adequately communicated the auditor's substantial doubt (e.g., include the terms "substantial doubt" and "going concern")? (AU Sec. 341.12 and .13)	A364				
During the performance of the audit:					
If there were reportable conditions identified were they communicated to the audit committee, management and others within the organization on a timely basis and if the communication was oral was it documented in the working papers? (AU Sec. 325.09)	A365				
Does the auditor's conclusions regarding whether internal control matters noted during the audit were (or were not) reportable conditions appear appropriate? (AU Sec. 325.13–.15)	A366				
If the report was issued on reportable conditions, did it indicate the purpose of the audit was to report on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal control, and did it include the definition of reportable conditions and include the restriction on					
distribution? (AU Sec. 325.11 and SAS No. 87)	A367				
Has the auditor complied with the requirement not to issue a letter stating that there were no reportable conditions? (AU Sec. 325.17)	A368				
Did the auditor perform appropriate procedures regarding events subsequent to the balance-sheet date but prior to the date of the report? (AU Sec. 560)	A369				
If the auditor, subsequent to the date of the report, became aware of facts that may have existed at that date which might have affected the report, had the auditor then been aware of such facts, did the auditor consider the guidance in professional standards in determining an appropriate course of action, including performing audit procedures to determine if there are any such events affecting the financial statements, and does the matter appear to be properly resolved? (AU	1070				
Sec. 561)	A370				

If there is an indication that the auditor, subsequent to the date of the	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
report, concluded that one or more auditing procedures considered necessary at the time of the audit of the financial statements in the then existing circumstances were omitted from the audit, did the auditor consider the guidance in professional standards in determin-					
ing an appropriate course of action, and does the matter appear to be properly resolved? (AU Sec. 390)	A371				
Where there is a plan audit committee or some other formal oversight committee or the client is an SEC engagement, did the auditor:	N				
Ensure that the appropriate matters were communicated to those with responsibility for oversight of the financial reporting process? (AU Sec. 380.01 and .02)	A372				
If the communication was in writing, prepare a written report that included a statement that the communication was intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee or the board of directors (and, if appropriate, management), and is not intended to					
be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties? (AU Sec. 380.03 and AU Sec. 532)	A373				
If the communication was oral, document the information communicated by appropriate memorandum or notations in the working papers? (AU Sec. 380.03)	A374				
With respect to uncorrected financial statement misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current engagement, has the auditor:					
Obtained an understanding with the entity's management that management is responsible for adjusting the financial statements to correct material misstatements? (AU Sec. 310.06 and SAS No. 89)	A375				
Obtained a representation letter from the entity's management that includes an acknowledgement that it has considered the financial statement misstatements aggregated by the auditor during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented, and has concluded that any uncorrected misstatements are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole? (AU Sec. 333.06g and SAS No. 89)	A376				
Informed the audit committee about uncorrected misstatements aggregated during the current engagement and pertaining to the latest period presented that was determined by the entity's management to be immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole? (AU Sec. 380.10 and SAS No. 20)	A 2.7.7				
SAS No. 89)	A377				

III. WORKING PAPER AREAS—EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS¹

Note: In the key audit areas reviewed, the reviewer should evaluate whether the reviewed firm has obtained sufficient competent evidential matter to form conclusions regarding the validity of the assertions of material significance embodied in the financial statements as described in AU Sec. 326. The questions contained in each section represent some of the audit procedures or tests that the reviewed firm might have undertaken to form conclusions in support of financial statement assertions of material significance. If an audit area is not reviewed because it does not represent a *key* area for that engagement, the reviewer should place an "X" in the box above the name of the working paper area. [As indicated on page 20,703, the reviewer should indicate the reason(s) for not reviewing a key audit area; in such circumstances, the reviewer should not place an "X" above the area.]

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes	_No_	Ref.**
□ Not a key area					
Cash					
Were reconciling items cleared by reference to subsequent statements obtained either directly from the bank or from the client and appropriately tested?	A401				
Was due consideration given to cash transactions shortly before and shortly after the balance-sheet date to determine that transactions were recorded in the proper period?	A402				
Do the working papers indicate that the following were considered:					
Confirmation of cash balances?	A403				
Confirmation of liabilities and contingent liabilities to banks?	A406				
Based on the assessment of control risk, do the substantive tests of cash appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A407				
Investments					
For trusteed assets, did the audit procedures provide a reasonable basis for conclusions regarding:					
Analysis of changes in investments during the period? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.12 <i>b</i>)	BP401				
Evidence regarding the existence and ownership of investments, such as direct confirmation (including securities in transit), and review for liens or other security interest? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. $7.12c$, d , f)	BP402				
Investment transactions, including accrued income? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. $7.12e$, g)	BP403				
Fair value of investments, including the net change in appreciation or depreciation? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.12 <i>h</i> , <i>i</i>)	BP404				
Situations where the plan's investments might violate applicable laws or regulations? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.12 <i>j</i>)	BP405				

¹ Complete only the sections for the key areas selected for review.

^{*} The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the REF column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Assets in a discretionary trust, an understanding of the related internal control sufficient to support transactions executed by the trust? (AEBP, ch. 7, pars. 7.13–.15)	BP406				
For investments in a common or commingled trust, did the audit procedures consider or include:					
Confirming units of participation held by the plan? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.18a)	BP407				
Supporting documentation for unit value information? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. $7.18b$, c)	BP408				
For investment arrangements with insurance companies in insurance contracts did the audit procedures consider or include:					
Contracts between the contract holder and the insurance company? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.43a)	BP409				
Evidence regarding the existence and ownership of the contract, contributions, premium payments, income credits, etc., such as by direct confirmation and other means? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.43 <i>b</i>)	BP410				
Unusual circumstances which may affect the market value of the contract? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.43 <i>c</i>)	BP411				
Tests of the individual securities or other investments that comprise the assets underlying synthetic Guaranteed Investment Contracts? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.43 <i>d</i>)	BP412				
Deposit Administration Contracts, interest credited in relation to minimum guaranteed interest? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.44a)	BP413				
Rate of return for Immediate Participation Guarantee Contracts? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.44 <i>b</i>)	BP414				
Rates in annuity purchases consistent with contract stipulations? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.44 <i>c</i>)	BP415				
Reading the financial statements of the insurance company? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.44 <i>d</i>)	BP416				
Expenses charged to the contract in accordance with stipulations? AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.44 <i>e</i>)	BP417				
Investments made in separate, or pooled separate accounts? (AEBP, ch. 7, par. 7.45 <i>a</i> , <i>b</i>)	BP418				
Did the audit procedures applied to the other types of investments consider investments in real estate, loans, and mortgages? (AEBP, ch. 7, pars. 7.47–.50)	BP419				
For limited-scope audits, did the audit procedures consider:					
Certified investment information? (AEBP, ch. 7, pars. 7.52 and .53)	BP420				
Were the audit procedures performed in all areas except investments? (AEBP, ch. 7, pars. 7.52 and .53)	BP421				
Was the investment information certified as to completeness and accuracy, prepared by a bank, similar institution, or insurance carrier that is regulated, supervised, and subject to periodic examination by a state or federal agency? (AEBP, ch. 13, pars. 13.26–30)	BP422				

	Ques.	<i>N/A</i>	Yes_	No_	Ref.
Effective for audits of financial statements for fiscal years ending on or after June 20, 2001, the Auditing Standards Board issued SAS No. 92, <i>Auditing Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities, and Investments in Securities.</i> The SAS supercedes SAS No. 81 (AU Sec. 332), <i>Auditing Investments.</i> For engagements performed on or after the effective date, if derivative financial instruments, hedging activities and/or investment securities, as defined by SAS No. 92, were present, did the auditor:	ZE				
Assess inherent and control risk for the required audit assertions (existence, completeness, rights and obligations, valuation, and presentation and disclosure) about derivatives and investment securities? (AU Sec. 332.08 and .15–.18)	BP423				
Develop substantive procedures for derivative instruments and investment securities consistent with the results of the risk assessment to address the auditing assertions. (AU Sec. 332.19–.20)	BP424				
□ Not a key area					
Contributions Received and Related Receivables					
For contributions received and related receivables, did the audit procedures used provide a reasonable basis for conclusions regarding:					
Employers' and Employees' contributions? (AEBP, ch. 8, par. 8.03a-h)	BP425				
Actuary reports for defined benefit plans? (AEBP, ch. 8, par. 8.05a-c)	BP426				
Contribution provisions of the plan instrument for defined contribution plans? (AEBP, ch. 8, par. $8.06a-e$)	BP427				
Did the audit procedures consider whether the companies' contributions to the plan were sufficient to meet the minimum funding standards set forth in ERISA? (AEBP, Appendix A, pars. A.10–A.13)	BP428				
Based on the assessment of control risk, do the substantive tests of contributions appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	BP429				
□ Not a key area					
Receivables					
Were amounts receivable from brokers for securities sold confirmed and appropriate follow-up steps taken, including second requests and alternate procedures? (AU Sec. 330.24–.31 and .34)	BP430				
If a significant number and amount of receivables were not confirmed, is there evidence that alternative auditing procedures were performed? (AU Sec. 330.31 and .32)	BP431				
Were the results of confirmation and alternative procedures summarized and were appropriate conclusions included in the working papers? (AU Sec. 330.33)	A412				
Was the reasonableness of allowances for doubtful accounts covered in the working papers and collectibility of receivables adequately considered? (AU Sec. 312.36)	A415				
If accounts receivable confirmations were not requested, has the auditor documented how the presumption for such requests was overcome and were the reasons appropriate? (AU Sec. 330.34 and .35)	A419				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
Based on the assessment of control risk, do the substantive tests of receivables appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A421				
□ Not a key area					
Property and Equipment Used in Plan Operations					
Was a summary schedule prepared to show beginning balances, changes during the period and ending balances for:	L				
Property and equipment used in plan operations?	A455				
Accumulated depreciation?	A456				
Do tests appear adequate and were proper conclusions drawn with respect to:					
Additions (e.g., by examining supporting documents and/or physical inspection)?	A457				
Retirements, etc.?	A458				
The adequacy of the current and accumulated provisions for depreciation?	A459				
Consideration of asset impairment including the status of idle facilities? (AC Sec. I08.122–.130)	A460				
Do the working papers indicate that the auditor considered the possibility that property was subject to liens?	A461				
Based on the assessment of control risk, do the substantive tests of property and equipment appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A462				
□ Not a key area					
Liabilities					
Were other liabilities (including payables for securities purchased) tested adequately for existence?	BP432				
Was an adequate search performed for unrecorded liabilities at the balance sheet date?	A464				
Was consideration given to expenditures and expenses that might require accrual (e.g., third-party administrator fees), and to whether accrued expenses were reasonably stated? (AC Secs. P16, C44 and					
P40)	A466				
Were significant notes and bonds payable, together with interest rates and repayment periods, etc., confirmed or, alternative procedures performed?	A467				
Were procedures performed to determine whether the carrying value of notes payable reflects the present value of the consideration received at the appropriate interest rate?	A468				
Do the working papers indicate that the auditor reviewed compliance with the covenants of the entity's debt obligations?	A469				
Based on the assessment of control risk, do the substantive tests of liabilities appear adequate? (AU Secs. 312 and 319)	A470				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Benefit Payments and Claims Payable					
For selected participants receiving benefit payments, did the audit procedures consider or include:					
The propriety, required approvals, eligibility, cash disbursements, (including long outstanding benefit checks)? (AEBP, ch. 9, par. 9.03 <i>a</i> – <i>c</i>)	BP433				
Payments made by third parties? (AEBP, ch. 9, par. 9.04)	BP434				
For defined contribution plans, did the audit procedures include or consider a comparison of disbursements to participants' records? (AEBP, ch. 9, par. 9.03 <i>d</i>)	BP435				
For health and welfare plans, did the audit procedures include accrued claims payable? (AEBP, ch. 9, par. 9.03f)	BP436				
Commitments and Contingencies					
Do the working papers contain evidence of the following:					
Inspection of minutes of meetings of relevant committees or boards?	A478				
Inspection of contracts, loan agreements, leases, and correspondence from taxing and other governmental agencies, and similar documents?	A479				
Accumulation and analysis of confirmation responses from banks and lawyers?	A480				
Inquiries and discussions with management including management's written representations concerning liabilities, litigation, claims, assessments and regulatory requirements as applicable? (AU Secs. 333 and 337.05)	A481				
Other contingent liabilities or possible guarantees?	A482				
Have all material contingencies been properly considered, documented, and reported? (AC Sec. C59)	A483				
Were the audit procedures regarding financial instruments appropriately designed, executed, and documented in the working papers, and were the disclosures in the financial statements adequate?	A505				
Participant Data and Plan Obligations					
In full-scope and limited-scope audits under DOL regulations, has the auditor ascertained that participant data was appropriately audited? (AEBP, ch. 10, pars. 10.01–.16)	BP437				
For participant data, did the audit procedures consider or include:					
Demographic data, payroll data, and benefits data? (AEBP, ch. 10, pars. 10.04 , $.05$ and $.7a$)	BP438				
For multi-employer plans, were employer contribution reports compared to participant data? (AEBP, ch. 10, par. 10.05)	BP439				
For multi-employer plans, if participant data cannot be reviewed, were other procedures performed? (AEBP, ch. 10, par. 10.07 <i>b</i>)	BP440				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
For defined benefit plans, did the audit procedures consider or include:					
Participant data compared with information given to the actuary? (AEBP, ch. 10, par. 10.11)	BP441				
Use of actuary's work? (AEBP, ch. 10, pars. 10.18–.23)	BP442				
For defined contribution plans, did the audit procedures consider or include:	E				
Allocation of company and individual contributions, demographic data used to determine eligibility and vesting, and terminations? (AEBP, ch. 10, pars. 10.12–.14)	BP443				
Allocation of income or loss, forfeitures, etc.? (AEBP, ch. 10, par. 10.30 <i>a</i> – <i>f</i>)	BP444				
For health and welfare benefit plans, did the audit procedures consider or include:					
Claims information? (AEBP, ch. 10, pars. 10.15c and .32)	BP445				
Claims incurred but not paid? (AEBP, ch. 10, pars. 10.34 and .35)	BP446				
Premiums paid, and actuary's data? (AEBP, ch. 10, pars. 10.33 and .36)	BP447				
Assets of more than one plan held in a 501(c)(9) VEBA trust? (AEBP, ch. 4, pars. 4.09 and .76–.78)	BP448				
Based on the assessment of control risk, do the substantive tests of participant data appear adequate? (AU Sec. 319)	BP449				
Other Considerations					
Did the audit procedures consider or include:					
ERISA requirements, applicable sections of the Internal Revenue Code, related DOL and IRS regulations and the potential effect on the plan? (AEBP, ch. 5, par. 5.16 and Appendix A)	BP450				
SEC requirements if applicable? (AEBP, ch. 3, par. 3.07, ch. 12, pars. 12.20–.22, ch. 13, pars. 13.38–.40, and Appendix A, par. A.59)	BP451				
Risk areas, such as valuation of non-readily marketable securities or specialized investments? (AEBP, ch. 5, pars. 5.17–.24)	BP452				
Plan transactions with parties-in-interest including a basis for identification, understanding and evaluation of parties-in-interest? (AEBP, ch. 11)	BP453				
If the auditor concluded that a party-in-interest transaction resulted in an illegal act, including in-kind contributions of property other than cash that reduce the sponsor's obligation to fund the plan in cash, did the audit procedures adequately consider the effect on the financial statements as well as other aspects of the audit? (AEBP, ch. 11, pars. 11.09–.14)	BP454				
Reviewing the tax status of the plan and IRS determination letters? (AEBP, ch. 12, pars. 12.01–.03)	BP455				
Administrative expenses? (AEBP, ch. 12, pars. 12.08 and. 09)	BP456				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Reading the other information contained in the Form 5500 and consider whether such information, or the manner of its presentation, is materially inconsistent with information, or the manner of its presentation, appearing in the financial statements? (AEBP, ch. 12, pars. 12.23–.27)	BP457				
Reading the actuary's report, and reviewing the actuarial methods and assumptions? (AEBP, ch. 10, pars. 10.18–.23)	BP458				
Comparing the plan provisions to the plan instrument and changes in provisions by the Internal Revenue Code in effect as of the plan year-end? (AEBP, chs. 1, 8, 10, and 12, pars. 1.20, 8.06 <i>a</i> – <i>d</i> , 10.30 <i>a</i> ,					
.32 <i>c</i> , and 12.01–.03)	BP459				
If the work of a specialist was used, did the auditor apply the guidance in professional standards? (AU Sec. 336)	BP460				
If the firm issued an engagement letter on the audit engagement reviewed, did the language about the firm's responsibility with respect to the detection of errors and fraud conform with the requirements of SAS No. 82? (AEBP Exhibit 5-4 and AU Sec. 316, par. 1)	BP461				
If a third-party administrator was used, consideration of the effect of a service organization on the internal control structure of a user organization and the availability of audit evidence, including correct usage of a SAS No. 70 report if applicable? (AEBP, ch. 6, pars. 6.07–6.16					
and AU Sec. 324, pars. 6–21)	BP462				

IV. FUNCTIONAL AREAS[†]

	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.*
Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (QC Sec. 20.09 and 20.10)					
If anything has been noted that may indicate a lack of independence, integrity, and objectivity was the matter identified and appropriately resolved by the firm and its effects appropriately considered? (ET Sec. 101 and 102)	A601				
Have personnel been appropriately advised of the need to observe independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements concerning the client and any related nonclient parent, investor, investee, subsidiary or affiliate?	A602				
Was appropriate assurance of independence obtained from other firms engaged to audit segments or component units of the entity?	A603				
For non-SEC clients, were the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services paid prior to issuance of the report for the current engagement? (ET Sec. 191.103 and .104)	A604				
For SEC clients, if the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services were not paid prior to the commencement of the current engagement, were the SEC's rules for unpaid professional fees adhered to?	A605				
Personnel Management (QC Sec. 20.1113)					
Were scheduling and staffing requirements identified on a timely basis and approved by appropriate personnel?	A606				
Does it appear that the practitioner in charge of the engagement possessed the following knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) to fulfill their responsibilities on the engagement:					
An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's <i>Code of Professional Conduct</i> ?	A607				
An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement?	A608				
An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?	A609				
An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the responsibleness of industry enging estimates?	A 6 1 0				
to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates? Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?	A610 A611				
okino that materic souther professional judgment:	71011				

[†] The AICPA's Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice provides guidance on how to develop and implement a system of quality control for a firm's accounting and auditing practice in compliance with the requirements of Statements on Quality Control Standards Nos. 2, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice, (QC Sec. 20), as amended, 3, Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC Sec. 30), and 5, The Personnel Management Element of a Firm's System of Quality Control—Competencies Required by a Practitioner-in-charge of an Attest Engagement (QC Sec. 40).

 $^{^{\}ast}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the REF column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?	A612				
Does it appear engagement personnel possessed an appropriate mix of experience, expertise, and technical training in relation to the complexity or other requirements of the engagement and the involvement of supervisory personnel?	A613				
Does it appear that the practitioner-in-charge of the engagement possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to fulfill his/her responsibilities on the engagement as noted in par. 8 of QC Sec. 40?	A614				
Did the personnel assigned to this engagement appear to be familiar with the applicable professional pronouncements (FASB, GASB, AICPA, SEC, etc.)?	A615				
If required by firm policy, was the staff on this engagement appropriately evaluated?	A616				
Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements (QC Sec. 20.14–.16)					
Does it appear that the firm's guidelines for acceptance and continu- ance of client relationships, including performing specific engage- ments for the client, were complied with?	A617				
Engagement Performance (QC Sec. 20.1719)					
Were appropriate and knowledgeable engagement and supervisory personnel involved in the planning process?	A618				
Does it appear that audit planning was adequately documented in the working papers, including any changes in the original plan? (AU Secs. 311 and 339.05)	A619				
Did the owner/partner (or manager) approve the overall audit plan (including the audit program) as the final planning step and convey approval or modifications to the engagement staff?	A620				
Does it appear that hours charged by the owner/partner, manager, and where applicable, the concurring reviewer were adequate and appropriately timed to provide for planning and supervision as the job progressed?	A621				
Were all forms, checklists, or questionnaires, if any, required by firm policy for the following areas adequately completed and modified, where appropriate, for the engagement:					
Planning?	A622				
Internal control?	A623				
Audit work program?	A624				
Financial statement disclosures?	A625				
Working paper and financial statement reviews?	A626				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
If standardized forms, etc., were not used for any of the above areas, is there adequate documentation of these areas?	A627				
Were the firm's guidelines for the form and content of audit working papers complied with?	A628				
If used, were such audit tools as computer auditing or statistical sampling properly evaluated by persons with training in these areas? (AU Sec. 311.10)	A629				
If required by firm policy, was an appropriate pre-issuance review made of the working papers, report, and financial statements by a person whose position in the firm is commensurate with that respon- sibility, to determine that the work performed was complete and conformed to professional standards and firm policy and was that					
review documented?	A630				
Were any circumstances noted where the firm consulted or should have consulted regarding an engagement matter (i.e., a complex, unusual, or a technical issue) with individuals within the firm, an external party or by researching in applicable professional literature, based on the firm's policies and procedures or where the complexity or nature of the issue warranted consultation?					
No (skip to question A636)					
Yes (respond to questions A631 to A635)					
If an individual was consulted (internally or externally), does it appear they were aware of all relevant facts and circumstances?	A631				
If professional literature was researched, does it appear the research was thorough and the sources consulted were complete, correct and up-to-date?	A632				
Does it appear the person(s) consulted (internally or externally) and/or the individual(s) performing the research had an appropriate level of knowledge, competence, judgment and (if applicable)					
authority?	A633				
Based on the facts and circumstances, were the firm's conclusions reasonable and consistent with professional standards?	A634				
Is the firm's report, the financial statements, or other information affected by the matter consistent with the results of the consultation?	A635				
If the engagement records indicated a difference of opinion be- tween the engagement personnel and/or specialist or other con- sultant was the difference resolved in accordance with firm policy and was the basis of the resolution appropriately documented?	A636				

V. EXPLANATION OF "NO" ANSWERS AND OTHER COMMENTS

The following pages are provided for your comments on all "no" answers for which an MFC form was not generated or to expand upon any of the "yes" answers. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained and reviewed with the engagement owner/partner.

Page Number	Question Number	Explanatory Comments	Disposition of Comments*
		PRACTICE	
		SECTION	

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The nature of the disposition of comments may vary, such as:

[•] Note "resolved" and the manner of resolution.

[•] Note "not significant" to indicate a "no" answer is appropriate, but that the matter is not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form.

Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

Disposition of Comments

Page Number Question Number Explanatory Comments

PRACTICE

Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

VI. CONCLUSIONS

EXPLAIN BELOW THE REASONS FOR ANY "YES" ANSWERS. BE SPECIFIC.

Based on the work performed, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that:

 The firm did not perform the engagement in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards? (See AU Sec. 390 and ET Sec. 202) 	YES*	_ NO
• The financial statements did not conform with generally accepted accounting principles (or where applicable, a comprehensive basis of accounting other than GAAP) in all material aspects and the auditor's report was not appropriately modified? (See AU Sec. 561 and ET Sec. 203)	YES*	_ NO
The auditor's report was not appropriate in the circumstances?	YES*	_ NO
• The documentation on this engagement does not support the firm's opinion on the financial statements?	YES*	_ NO
 The firm did not comply with its policies and procedures on this engagement in all material aspects? 	YES*	_ NO

[*The next page is* 20,801.]

^{*} If this question is answered "yes," see additional guidance contained in Section 3100.51–.53 of the *Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews* in the AICPA *Peer Review Program Manual* or Section 2000.83–.89 in the *SEC Practice Section Reference Manual*.

Section 20,800

Prospective Financial Statement Engagement Checklist

Instructions for Use of the Checklist for Review of Prospective Financial Statement Engagements

This checklist was developed for use by reviewers of prospective financial statement engagements. Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

The questions in this checklist emphasize reporting matters and general procedures ordinarily performed by an independent auditor/accountant in engagements involving prospective financial statements. All "No" answers must be thoroughly explained in Section IV of this checklist.

This checklist is not intended to be a document containing all disclosure and reporting procedures related to prospective financial statements. Rather, it is a summarization of commonly addressed key areas and related concepts or procedures. Therefore, it should be used in conjunction with various reference materials dealing with reporting, disclosure, and procedural issues in order to sufficiently evaluate prospective financial engagements. These additional materials include the AICPA Checklist Supplement and Illustrative Financial Statements for Prospective Financial Statements, or other similarly comprehensive disclosure materials, and the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Guide for Prospective Financial Information.

Note: This checklist has been updated as applicable through SAS No. 94, SSARS No. 8, SSAE No. 10, FAS No. 142, and SOP No. 01-03.

Explanation of References:

AT	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)
AU	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)
APFI	AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide <i>Guide for Prospective Financial Information</i> (reflects guidance contained in authoritative pronouncements through May 1, 1999)
ET	Code of Professional Conduct AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
FAS	Financial Accounting Standards Board Pronouncements
SAS	AICPA Statements on Auditing Standards
SOP	AICPA Statement of Position
QC	Quality Control Standards AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
SSAE	Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements
SSARS	Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services

ENGAGEMENT PROFILE

Engagement Code No	Office
Owner/Partner	Date of Financial Statements [*]
Manager	Date of Report
A CT CT	Date Report Released
The responsibility of this engagement involves r	eporting on:
1. () compiled forecast () compiled projection	() results of applying agreed-upon procedures() other prospective financial information
() examination of a projection	(describe)
() examination of a forecast	
	() SEC engagement
2. that (include) (omit) substantially all required	d disclosures and
3. that (include) (do not include) supplementar	y information.
The prospective financial statements are for an e	entity that is a (an):
() independent entity	() subsidiary, division or branch
() consolidated or combined group	() other (explain)
Date that the fee for the prior year's services was	s paid, if applicable:
Type of Industry:	Complex or troublesome assumptions and key factors:
Hours on this engagement:	
Owner/Partner	
Manager (or equivalent)	
Senior	
Other Professionals	
Total this office	
Total budgeted	
* * *	* * * * * *
Date Engagement Review Performed	Date Checklist Reviewed
Reviewer	Signature

 $^{^{}st}$ The effective date of all cross-referenced pronouncements should be considered in determining their applicability.

Checklist for Review of Prospective Financial Statement Engagements Contents

Section		Page
I	Report and Financial Statements	1 "30
1.	Title	20,804
	Presentation	20,804
	Presentation	20,805
	Assumptions	20,805
	Accounting Principles and Policies	20,806
	Reports—Compilation	20,807
	Reports—Examination	20,808
	Reports—Examination of a Forecast—Public Offering	20,811
	Reports—Application of Agreed-Upon Procedures	20,812
II.	Working Papers	20,814
III.	Functional Areas	
	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity	20,816
	Personnel Management	20,816
	Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements	20,817
	Engagement Performance	20,817
IV.	Explanation of "No" Answers and Other Comments	20,819
V.	Conclusions	20,823
		,

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.**
Title					
For a forecast, does the title describe the nature of the presentation and include the word "forecast" or "forecasted"? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.05)	P101				
For a projection, is the title descriptive of the presentation and does not imply that a presentation is a forecast? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.05P)	P102				
For a projection, does the title describe or refer to any significant hypothetical assumptions? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.05P)	P103				
Presentation					
Are the following minimum financial statement items disclosed? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.06)					
(a) Sales or gross revenues?	P104				
(b) Gross profit or cost of sales?	P105				
(c) Unusual or infrequently occurring items?	P106				
(d) Provision for income taxes?	P107				
(e) Income from continuing operations?	P108				
(f) Discontinued operations or extraordinary items?	P109				
(g) Net income and, if applicable, comprehensive income?	P110				
(h) Basic and diluted earnings per share?	P111				
(i) Significant changes in financial position?	P112				
(<i>j</i>) A description of what the responsible party intends the prospective financial information to present, a statement that the assumptions are based on the responsible party's judgment at the time the prospective information was prepared, and a caveat that the forecasted results may not be achieved?	P113				
(<i>k</i>) Summary of significant assumptions?	P114				
(<i>l</i>) Summary of significant accounting policies?	P115				
If item <i>j</i> through <i>l</i> above is omitted, is the accountant's report modified to reflect this deficiency? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.08)	P116				
If item <i>k</i> above is not included as an integral part of these financial statements, did the accountant consider withdrawing from the engagement? (APFI, Ch. 10, pars. 10.11, 10.11P, and 10.12)	P117				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of the checklist if no MFC was generated noting the page number in the Ref. column.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
If one or more of the omitted items (<i>a</i> through <i>i</i> , above) cannot be derived from the information presented, was guidance for partial presentations of prospective financial information followed? (APFI, Ch. 23 and SOP 90-1 [TPA Sec. 11,150])	P118				
If the size of the range is so wide that it is not meaningful, or if the range is biased, did the accountant consider withdrawing from the engagement? (APFI, Ch. 10, par. 10.15)	P119				
Is the number and/or significance of the hypothetical assumptions so large that it may be inappropriate for the responsible party to present a financial projection? (APFI, Ch. 10, par. 10.14P)	P120				
If the presentation is other than a single-point estimate, is there a clear indication that the presentation does not necessarily represent the best or worst possible alternatives? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.21)	P121				
If the forecast is supplemented by a financial projection, are both the forecast and projection clearly labeled? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.20)	P122				
Does the responsible party appear to have a reasonable objective basis for presenting prospective financial statements (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.04) and have the underlying assumptions and other issues affecting prospective financial statements been considered in accordance with SOP 92-2 (TPA Sec. 11,200)? (APFI, Ch. 7)	P123				
Disclosure on the Face of Statements					
Is each page of the prospective financials referenced to the summaries of significant assumptions and accounting policies? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.10)	P124				
Assumptions					
Has an introduction preceding the summary of assumptions been provided? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.28)	P125				
For a projection, is an introduction presented that clearly explains any special purpose and limitation of the usefulness of the prospective financial statements? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.29P)	P126				
Does the introduction include:					
A description of what the responsible party intends the prospective financial statements to present? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.28)	P127				
A statement that assumptions are not all-inclusive? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.28)	P128				
If the presentation is a range, a statement that the responsible party expects the results to fall within a range, but that there will usually be differences between forecasted and actual results, because events and circumstances frequently do not occur as expected? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.30)	P129				
A statement that the assumptions are based on the responsible party's judgment at the time the prospective information was prepared? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.28)	P130				
A caveat that the prospective results may not be attained? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.28)	P131				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Was the date of completion of the preparation presented in the introduction or elsewhere in the prospective financial statements? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.11)	P132				
Do the assumptions disclosed include (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.23):					
Assumptions about which there is a reasonable possibility of the occurrence of a variation that may significantly affect the prospective results (sensitive assumptions)?	P133				
Assumptions about anticipated conditions expected to be significantly different from current conditions which are not otherwise reasonably apparent?	P134				
Other matters deemed important to the prospective information or its interpretation?	P135				
For a projection, did the responsible party identify which assumptions in the projection are hypothetical? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.23P)	P136				
For a projection, if the hypothetical assumptions are improbable, is this disclosed? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.23P)	P137				
Does the presentation indicate which assumptions disclosed appeared particularly sensitive at the time of preparation? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.24)	P138				
If an updated prospective presentation is issued, is the reason for updating disclosed in the summary of significant assumptions? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.48)	P139				
If an error in the preparation of the forecast is discovered, was consideration given as to whether it was necessary to withdraw the forecast? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.47)	P140				
Accounting Principles and Policies					
Is the summary of significant accounting policies used in preparing the prospective financials disclosed or cross-referenced to a presentation elsewhere in the document that contains this information? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.12)	P141				
If historical financial statements for prospective periods are expected to be prepared on a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles, are the prospective financial statements prepared on the same basis? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.14)	P142				
Is the basis used disclosed (including statement titles) along with the fact that the disclosed basis is different from generally accepted accounting principles? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.14)	P143				
For a projection which requires that it be prepared based on other accounting principles, is the use of other accounting principles disclosed? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.13P)	P144				
If a different comprehensive basis of accounting is used for the prospective financial statements than is expected to be used for the historical financial statements for the prospective period, is the use of	D145				
the different basis disclosed? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.15)	P145				

If deemed appropriate, are differences in financial position and results of operations arising from the use of different accounting principles reconciled? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.15)	Ques. P146	<u>N/A</u>	Yes	_No_	Ref.
If the prospective financial statements give effect to a change in accounting principle from one used in prior period historical financial statements, is the change reported in the prospective information in the same manner that would be used in the historical financial statements? (APFI, Ch. 8, par. 8.16) Reports—Compilation	E P147				
Does the accountant's standard report on a compilation of prospective financial statements include (APFI, Ch. 14, par. 14.01):					
An identification of the prospective financial statements presented by the responsible party?	P148				
A statement that the accountant has compiled the prospective financial statements in accordance with statements issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants?	P149				
A statement that a compilation is limited in scope and does not enable the accountant to express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the prospective financial statements or the assumptions?	P150				
A caveat that the prospective results may not be achieved?	P151				
A statement that the accountant assumes no responsibility to update the report for events and circumstances occurring after the date of the report?	P152				
If a projection, does the accountant's report include a separate paragraph describing the limitations on the usefulness of the presentation? (APFI, Ch. 14, par. 14.01P)	P153				
If a range is presented, does the report include a separate paragraph stating that the responsible party has elected to portray the expected results of one or more assumptions as a range? (APFI, Ch. 14, par. 14.03)	P154				
Was the date of the completion of the compilation procedures used as the date of the report? (APFI, Ch. 14, par. 14.04)	P155				
If the accountant was not independent, did he/she specifically disclose a lack of independence after the last paragraph of the standard compilation report? (APFI, Ch. 14, par. 14.05)	P156				
If the historical financial statements that appear in the document were summarized and presented with the prospective financial statements for comparative purposes, was a reference made to the accountant's report on the historical financial statements? (APFI, Ch. 14, par. 14.06)	P157				
If the accountant expands his/her report to emphasize a matter, was the information presented in a separate paragraph of the accountant's report? (APFI, Ch. 14, par. 14.07)	P158				
When emphasizing a matter, did the report not give the impression that assurance is being expressed or the degree of responsibility was being expanded concerning such information? (APFI, Ch. 14, par. 14.07)	P159				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
If the accountant compiled prospective financial statements that contain presentation deficiencies or omit disclosures other than those relating to significant assumptions, is the deficiency or omission clearly indicated in the report? (APFI, Ch. 14, pars. 14.09 and .10)	P160				
If the prospective financial statements are presented on a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles and do not include disclosure of the basis of accounting used, is the basis disclosed in the accountant's report? (APFI, Ch. 14, par. 14.11)	E P161				
Effective when the date of the practitioner's report is on or after June 1, 2001, SSAE No. 10, Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification, supercedes existing SSAEs Nos. 1–9. Among its changes, SSAE No. 10 provides the following: (1) defines a responsible party as a representative of the entity who is responsible for the subject matter of an attest engagement, (2) allows practitioners to issue an attest report (examination, review, or agreed-upon procedures) on subject matter, or an assertion about the subject matter, that is the responsibility of another party, (3) revises the general attestation standards to allow the practitioner to evaluate subject matter against criteria that are "suitable" and "available to users," and (4) incorporates the guidance from SAS No. 75, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements. For attest engagements after the effective date of SSAE No. 10 and consistent with the added elements in compilation reports on prospective financial statements, did the practitioner:	TA				
Provide a manual or printed signature on the report? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.18f and AT Sec. 301.18f)	P162				
Properly date the compilation report? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.18g and AT Sec. 301.18g)	P163				
If the compilation report is on a presentation that is a projection:					
Does the compilation report include a statement describing the special purpose for which the projection was prepared? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.20 and AT Sec. 301.20)	P164				
Does the compilation report include a separate paragraph that restricts the use of the report because it is intended to be used solely by the specified parties? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.20 and AT Sec. 301.20)	P165				
Reports—Examination					
Does the accountant's standard report on an examination of the prospective financial statements include (APFI, Ch. 17, par. 17.01):					
An identification of the prospective financial statements presented?	P166				
A statement that the examination was made in accordance with AICPA standards and a brief description of the nature of such an examination?	P167				
The accountant's opinion that the prospective financial statements are presented in conformity with AICPA presentation guidelines and that the underlying assumptions provide a reasonable basis for the forecast or a reasonable basis for the projection given the hypothetical assumptions?	P168				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
A caveat that the prospective results may not be achieved?	P169				
A statement that the accountant assumes no responsibility to update the report for events and circumstances occurring after the date of the report?	P170				
In the examination of a projection, is the opinion regarding assumptions conditioned on the hypothetical assumptions? (APFI, Ch. 17, par. 17.02P)	P171				
Does the report include a separate paragraph describing the limitations on the usefulness of the presentation? (APFI, Ch. 17, par. 17.01P)	P172				
If a range is presented, does the report include a separate paragraph stating that the responsible party has elected to portray the expected results of one or more assumptions as a range? (APFI, Ch. 17, par. 17.03)	P173				
Is the date of completion of the accountant's examination procedures used as the date of the report? (APFI, Ch. 17, par. 17.05)	P174				
If, in the accountant's opinion, the prospective financial statements depart from AICPA presentation guidelines, was a qualified or adverse opinion expressed? (APFI, Ch. 17, par. 17.06)	P175				
If the presentation, including the summary of significant assumptions, fails to disclose any assumptions that, at the time, appear to be significant, did the accountant describe the assumptions in the report and issue an adverse opinion? (APFI, Ch. 17, pars. 17.10 and 17.10P)	P176				
If the accountant believes that one or more significant assumptions do not provide a reasonable basis for the forecast, or a reasonable basis for the projection given the hypothetical assumptions, was an adverse opinion expressed? (APFI, Ch. 17, pars. 17.06a and b, and 17.06P)	P177				
If the accountant's examination is affected by conditions that preclude application of one or more procedures considered necessary in the circumstances, was an opinion disclaimed and the scope limitation described? (APFI, Ch. 17, par. 17.06c)	P178				
In a qualified opinion, did the accountant state all of the substantive reasons for the modification of the opinion and describe the departure from AICPA presentation guidelines in a separate paragraph of the report? (APFI, Ch. 17, par. 17.07)	P179				
If the accountant's report is qualified (APFI, Ch. 17, pars. 17.07–.15):					
Does the opinion include the words "except" or "exception" as the qualifying language?	P180				
Does the opinion paragraph refer to the separate explanatory paragraph?	P181				
If a measurement departure, an unreasonable assumption, or a limitation on the scope of the accountant's examination has led the accountant to conclude that an unqualified opinion cannot be expressed, did the report contain an adverse opinion, or a dis-					
claimer of opinion based on the circumstances?	P182				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
If an adverse opinion was expressed, did the accountant state all of the substantive reasons for such an opinion in a separate paragraph?	P183				
If applicable, does the opinion state that the presentation is not in conformity with presentation guidelines?	P184				
When applicable, does the opinion paragraph state that, in the accountant's opinion, the assumptions do not provide a reasonable basis for the prospective financial statements?	P185				
If the use of the report has been restricted, have the applicable provisions of SAS No. 87 been complied with?	P186				
If a disclaimer of opinion was expressed, does the opinion include:					
The reasons why the examination did not comply with standards for an examination?	P187				
That the scope of the examination was not sufficient to warrant the expression of an opinion on the presentation or the underlying	D100				
assumptions? and	P188				
A direct reference to the explanatory paragraph?	P189				
Effective when the date of the practitioner's report is on or after June 1, 2001, SSAE No. 10, Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification, supercedes existing SSAEs Nos. 1–9. For attest engagements after the effective date of SSAE No. 10 and consistent with the added elements in examination reports on prospective financial statements, did the practitioner:					
Include the word independent? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.33a and AT Sec. 301.33a)	P190				
Identify the responsible party and a statement that the prospective financial statements are the responsibility of the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.33c and AT Sec. 301.33c)	P191				
Include a statement that the practitioner's responsibility is to express an opinion on the prospective financial statements based on his or her examination? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.33d and AT Sec. 301.33 <i>d</i>)	P192				
Include a statement that the practitioner believes that the examination provides a reasonable basis for his or her opinion? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.33f and AT Sec. 301.33f)	P193				
Provide a manual or printed signature? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.33j and AT Sec. 301.33j)	P194				
Properly date the examination report? (SSAE No. 10, par. $3.33k$ and AT Sec. $301.33k$)	P195				
If the examination report is on a presentation that is a projection:					
Does the examination report include a statement describing the special purpose for which the projection was prepared? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.35 and AT Sec. 301.35)	P196				
Does the examination report include a separate paragraph that restricts the use of the report because it is intended to be used solely by the specified parties? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.35 and AT Sec. 301.35)	P197				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> _	Ref.
Reports—Examination of a Forecast—Public Offering					
Does the accountant have a reasonable basis to consent to the use of the report in a 1933 Act filing? (APFI, Ch. 18, par. 18.04)	P198				
Did the accountant perform the applicable steps below to determine that he/she had a reasonable basis for such consent (APFI, Ch. 18, par. 18.04):	F				
Did the accountant perform procedures for the period from the date of examination up to the consent date and as is reasonable and practical in circumstances?	P199				
Did the accountant read the latest interim financial statements, operating reports, and any relevant information such as budgets?	P200				
Did the accountant consider prospective results in relation to actual results achieved in the interim period?	P201				
Did the accountant inquire whether or not accounting principles used are consistent with the principles used in preparing the forecast?	P202				
Did the accountant read the prospectus and other pertinent portions of the registration statement and consider that information in relation to prospective results and summary of significant assumptions?	P203				
Did the accountant inquire of and obtain written representations from the responsible party as to whether there are any events, plans, or expectations that may require the forecast to be modified, or that should be disclosed in order that the forecast reflect the responsible party's judgment based on present circumstances of the expected conditions and its expected course of action?	P204				
Did the accountant read the minutes of the board of directors and related committees?	P205				
Did the accountant make additional inquiries or perform such procedures as were considered necessary to dispose of questions that arose in carrying out the foregoing procedures?	P206				
If the accountant believes that the forecast, including the summary of significant assumptions, should be revised, was the client requested to revise its forecast and, if engaged to do so, did the accountant examine the revised forecast? (APFI, Ch. 18, par. 18.05)	P207				
If the client does not make appropriate revisions to the forecast, did the accountant refuse his/her consent to the use of the report in the registration statement? (APFI, Ch. 18, par. 18.05)	P208				
Is the accountant's consent in writing? (APFI, Ch. 18, par. 18.06)	P209				
Is the consent for the 1933 Act filing manually signed and dated? (APFI, Ch. 18, par. 18.06)	P210				
Is the consent dated at or near the effective date of the registration statement? (APFI, Ch. 18, par. 18.07)	P211				
Is the "experts" section of the registration statement worded so that there is no implication that the forecast has been prepared by the accountant or that the forecast is not the direct responsibility of the responsible party? (APFI, Ch. 18, par. 18.09)	P212				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	No_	Ref.
Deposits Application of Applications Duran Burnel					
Reports—Application of Agreed-Upon Procedures					
Does the accountant's report on the results of applying agreed-upon procedures include (APFI, Ch. 21, par. 21.01):					
A title that includes the word "independent"? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54a)	P213				
Identification of the specific users of the report? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54b)	P214				
A reference to the prospective financial statements covered by the report and the character of the engagement? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54c)	P215				
A statement that the procedures performed were those agreed to by the specified users identified in the report, and wording to describe or clarify the purpose of the engagement? (SSAE No. 4,					
par. 54d)	P216				
A reference to standards established by the AICPA? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54e)	P217				
A statement that the sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility for the specified users and a disclaimer of responsibility for the sufficiency of those procedures? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54f)	P218				
A list of the procedures performed (or reference thereto) and related findings? (The accountant should not provide negative assurance.) (SSAE No. 4, par. 54g)	P219				
Where applicable, a description of any agreed-upon materiality limits? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54h)	P220				
A statement that the accountant was not engaged to, and did not, perform an examination of prospective financial statements; a disclaimer of opinion on whether the presentation of the prospective financial statements is in conformity with AICPA presentation guidelines and on whether the underlying assumptions provide a reasonable basis for the projection, or a reasonable basis for the projection given the hypothetical assumptions, and a statement that if the accountant had performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to his or her attention that would have been reported? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54i)	P221				
A statement of restrictions on the use of the report because it is intended to be used solely by the specified users? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54j)	P222				
Where applicable, reservations or restrictions concerning procedures or findings? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54k)	P223				
A caveat that the prospective results may not be achieved? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54l)	P224				
A statement that the accountant assumes no responsibility to update the report for events and circumstances occurring after the date of the report? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54m)	P225				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Where applicable, a description of the nature of the assistance provided by a specialist? (SSAE No. 4, par. 54n)	P226				
Were the results of applying the agreed-upon procedures presented in the form of findings (negative assurance should not be provided)? (APFI, Ch. 21, par. 21.02)	P227				
Were all findings from the application of the agreed-upon procedures reported (unless a definition of materiality is agreed upon by the specified users)? (APFI, Ch. 21, par. 21.03)	P228				
In reporting findings, was vague or ambiguous language avoided? (APFI, Ch. 21, par. 21.04)	P229				
Effective when the date of the practitioner's report is on or after June 1, 2001, SSAE No. 10, Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification, supercedes existing SSAEs Nos. 1–9. Among its changes, SSAE No. 10 provides the following: (1) defines a responsible party as a representative of the entity who is responsible for the subject matter of an attest engagement, and (2) incorporates the guidance from SAS No. 75, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements. For agreed-upon procedures engagements after the effective date of SSAE No. 10 adds certain elements to the practitioners report. For reports on agreed-upon procedures engagements on prospective financial statements after the effective date and consistent with the added elements in the report, did the practitioner:					
Identify the responsible party and a statement that the prospective financial statements are the responsibility of the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.55e and AT Sec. 301.55 <i>e</i>)	P230				
Include a manual or printed signature of the practitioner's firm? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.55p and AT Sec. 301.55p)	P231				
Include the report date? (SSAE No. 10, par. 3.55q and AT Sec. 301.55q)	P232				

II. WORKING PAPERS

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	Yes	_No_	<u>Ref.**</u>
Are the accountant's working papers in connection with the compilation or examination of, or the application of agreed-upon procedures to, prospective financial statements appropriate in the circumstances? (APFI, Ch. 12, par. 12.11 for compilations and APFI, Ch. 15, par. 15.41 for examinations, and APFI, Ch. 19, par. 19.10 for agreed-upon procedures)	P233				
For compilations, do the working papers indicate that (APFI, Ch. 12, par. 12.11):					
The work was adequately planned and supervised?	P234				
The required compilation procedures were performed as a basis for the compilation report?	P235				
For an examination, do the working papers indicate that (APFI, Ch. 15, par. 15.41):					
The work was adequately planned and supervised?	P236				
The process by which the entity developed its prospective financial information was considered in determining the scope of the examination?	P237				
Sufficient evidence was obtained to provide a reasonable basis for the accountant's report?	P238				
For agreed-upon procedures, do the working papers indicate that (APFI, Ch. 19, par. 19.10):					
The work was adequately planned and supervised?	P239				
Evidential matter was obtained to provide a reasonable basis for the finding or findings expressed in the accountant's report?	P240				
Did the accountant:					
Establish an understanding with the client, preferably in writing? (APFI, Ch. 12, par. 12.10 for a compilation and APFI, Ch. 15, par. 15.12 for an examination and Ch. 19, par. 19.05 for attest engagements)	P241				
For examination and agreed-upon procedures engagements, obtain knowledge of the entity's business, accounting principles and key factors upon which its future financial results appear to depend? (APFI, Ch. 12, par. 12.07 or Ch. 15, par. 15.10)	P242				
Perform the appropriate procedures:					
For compilations, by listing or obtaining a list of the responsible party's significant assumptions, and consider whether there were any obvious omissions in light of the key factors on which the prospective results appear to depend? (APFI, Ch. 12, par. 12.10)	P243				

 $^{^{*}}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of the checklist if no MFC was generated noting the page number in the Ref. column.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
For examinations by performing those procedures the accountant considers necessary in the circumstances to report on whether the assumptions provide a reasonable basis for the prospective financial information? (APFI, Ch. 15, par. 15.20)	P244				
For agreed-upon procedures, inspection of specified documents evidencing certain types of transactions or detailed attributes thereof, and confirmation of specific information with third parties? (APFI, Ch. 19, par. 19.08)	P245				
Perform or test the mathematical accuracy of computations that translate the assumptions into the prospective amounts in the prospective financial statements? (APFI, Ch. 12, par. 12.10, Ch. 15, par. 15.35 and Ch. 19, par. 19.08)	P246				
Obtain written representations from the responsible party acknowledging its responsibility for both the presentation and the underlying assumptions? (APFI, Ch. 12, par. 12.10 or Ch. 15, par. 15.37, and Ch. 19, pars. 19.14 and .15)	P247				
If the work of a specialist was used in an examination, was the guidance provided in SAS No. 73, "Using the Work of a Specialist" followed? (APFI, Ch. 15, par. 15.39)	P248				
Were the following conditions met for applying agreed-upon procedures (APFI, Ch. 19, par. 19.01a-j):					
The accountant is independent?	P249				
The accountant and the specified users agree upon the procedures performed or to be performed by the accountant?	P250				
The specified users take responsibility for the sufficiency of the agreed-upon procedures for their purposes?	P251				
The prospective financial statements include a summary of significant assumptions?	P252				
The prospective financial statements to which the procedures are to be applied are subject to reasonably consistent estimation or measurement?	P253				
The accountant and the specified users agreed upon the criteria to be used in the determination of findings?	P254				
The procedures to be applied to the prospective financial statements are expected to result in reasonably consistent findings using the criteria?	P255				
Evidential matter related to the prospective financial statements to which the procedures are applied is expected to exist in order to provide a reasonable basis for expressing the findings in the accountant's report?	P256				
Where applicable, a description of any agreed-upon materiality limits for reporting purposes?	P257				
Use of the report is to be restricted to the specified users?	P258				

III. FUNCTIONAL AREAS[†]

	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.*
Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (QC Sec. 20.09 and .10)					
If anything has been noted that may indicate a lack of independence, integrity, and objectivity was the matter identified and appropriately resolved by the firm and its effects appropriately considered? (ET Sec. 102)	P301				
Have personnel been appropriately advised of the need to observe independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements concerning the client and any related nonclient parent, investor, investee, subsidiary, or affiliate?	P302				
Was appropriate assurance of independence obtained from other firms engaged to audit segments or component units of the entity?	P303				
Were the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services paid prior to issuance of the report for the current engagement? (ET Sec. 191.103 and .104)	P304				
For SEC clients, if the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services were not paid prior to the commencement of the current engagement, were the SEC's rules for unpaid professional fees adhered to?	P305				
Personnel Management (QC Sec. 20.1113)					
Were scheduling and staffing requirements identified on a timely basis and approved by appropriate personnel?	P306				
Does it appear engagement personnel possessed an appropriate mix of experience or expertise and technical training in relation to the complexity or other requirements of the engagement and the involvement of supervisory personnel?	P307				
Does it appear that the practitioner in charge of the engagement possessed the following knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) to fulfill their responsibilities on the engagement:					
An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's <i>Code of Professional Conduct</i> ?	P308				
An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement?	P309				
An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?	P310				

[†] The AICPA's *Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* provides guidance on how to develop and implement a system of quality control for a firm's accounting and auditing practice in compliance with the requirements of Statements on Quality Control Standards Nos. 2, *System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* (QC Sec. 20), as amended, 3, *Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice* (QC Sec. 30), and 5, *The Personnel Management Element of a Firm's System of Quality Control—Competencies Required by a Practitioner-in-charge of an Attest Engagement* (QC Sec. 40).

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of the checklist if no MFC was noting the page number in the Ref. column generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No_	Ref.
An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates?	P311				
Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?	P312				
An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?	P313				
Does it appear that the practitioner-in-charge of the engagement possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to fulfill his/her responsibilities on the engagement as noted in par. 8 of QC Sec. 40?	P314				
Did the personnel assigned to this engagement appear to be familiar with the applicable professional pronouncements (FASB, GASB, AICPA, SEC, etc.)?	P315				
If required by firm policy, was the staff on this engagement appropriately evaluated?	P316				
Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements (QC Sec. 20.14–.16)					
Does it appear that the firm's guidelines for acceptance and continu- ance of client relationships, including performing specific engage- ments for the client, were complied with?	P317				
Engagement Performance (QC Sec. 20.17–.19)					
Were appropriate and knowledgeable engagement and supervisory personnel involved in the planning process?	P318				
Does it appear that planning was adequately documented in the working papers, including any changes in the original plan? (AU Secs. 311 and 339.05)	P319				
Did the owner/partner (or manager) approve the overall engagement plan as the final planning step and convey approval or modifications to the engagement staff?	P320				
Does it appear that hours charged by the owner/partner and manager were adequate and appropriately timed to provide for planning and supervision as the job progressed?	P321				
Were all forms, checklists, or questionnaires, if any, required by firm policy for the following areas adequately completed and modified, where appropriate, for the engagement:					
Planning?	P322				
Work program?	P323				
Disclosure and reporting checklist?	P324				
Working paper and prospective financial statement reviews?	P325				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes	_No_	Ref.
If standardized forms, etc., were not used for any of the above areas, is there adequate documentation of these areas?	P326				
Were the firm's guidelines for the form and content of audit working papers complied with?	P327				
If required by firm policy, was an appropriate pre-issuance review made of the working papers, report, and prospective financial statements by a person whose position in the firm is commensurate with that responsibility, to determine that the work performed was complete and conformed to professional standards and firm policy, and was that review documented?	P328				
Were any circumstances noted where the firm consulted or should have consulted regarding an engagement matter (i.e., a complex, unusual, or a technical issue) with individuals within the firm, an external party or by researching in applicable professional literature, based on the firm's policies and procedures or where the complexity or nature of the issue warranted consultation?					
No (skip to question P334)					
Yes (respond to questions P329 to P333)					
If an individual was consulted (internally or externally), does it appear they were aware of all relevant facts and circumstances?	P329				
If professional literature was researched, does it appear the research was thorough and the sources consulted were complete, correct and up-to-date?	P330				
Does it appear the person(s) consulted (internally or externally) and/or the individual(s) performing the research had an appropriate level of knowledge, competence, judgment and (if applicable) authority?	P331				
Based on the facts and circumstances, were the firm's conclusions reasonable and consistent with professional standards?	P332				
Is the firm's report, the financial statements, or other information affected by the matter consistent with the results of the consultation?	P333				
If the engagement records indicated a difference of opinion between the engagement personnel and/or specialist or other consultant was the difference resolved in accordance with firm policy and was the	D224				
basis of the resolution appropriately documented?	P334				

IV. EXPLANATION OF "NO" ANSWERS AND OTHER COMMENTS

The following pages are provided for your comments on all "no" answers for which an MFC form was not generated or to expand upon any of the "yes" answers. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained and reviewed with the engagement owner.

Page Number	Question Number	Explanatory Comments	Disposition of Comments*
		PRACTICE	
		SECTION	

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The nature of the disposition of comments may vary, such as:

[•] Note "resolved" and the manner of resolution.

[•] Note "not significant" to indicate a "no" answer is appropriate but that the matter is not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form.

Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

§20,800

Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

Question Number

Explanatory Comments

Disposition of Comments

PRACTICE SECTION

Note: Attach additional sheets if required.

V. CONCLUSIONS

EXPLAIN BELOW THE REASONS FOR ANY "YES" ANSWERS. BE SPECIFIC.

Based on the work performed, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that:

• The firm did not perform the engagement in all material respects in accordance with professional standards (see ET Sec. 202)?	YES^*	NO
 The prospective financial statements were not presented fairly in all material 	123	_ 110
respects and the firm's report was not appropriately modified (see ET Sec. 203)?	YES*	_ NO
• The firm's report was not appropriate in the circumstances?	YES*	_ NO
• The documentation on this engagement does not support the firm's report on the	VEC	NO
prospective financial statements?	YES	_ NO
• The firm did not comply with its policies and procedures on this engagement in all material respects?	YES	NO
•		

[*The next page is* 20,901.]

^{*} If the question is answered "yes," see additional guidance contained in Section 3100.51–.53 of the *Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews* in the AICPA *Peer Review Program Manual* or Section 2000.83–.89 in the *SEC Practice Section Reference Manual*.



Section 20,900

Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagement Checklist*

ENGAGEMENT PROFILE

Engagement Code No.	Office
Owner/Partner	OfficePeriod Covered
Manager	Date of Report
Manager Concurring Reviewer**	Date Report Released
This engagement involves reporting under: () Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75*** () Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagorian Country Information Involved:	
The procedures are for an entity that is a (an):	() subsidiary, division or branch() other (explain)
Date that the fees for the prior year's services were paid	, if applicable:
Type of industry:	Complex or troublesome areas:
Hours on this engagement:	
Owner/Partner Manager (or equivalent) Concurring Reviewer Senior/Other Professionals Total this office	Total budgeted
* * * *	* *
Date Engagement Review Performed	Date Checklist Reviewed by Team Captain
Reviewer	Signature

^{*} Reviews of agreed-upon procedures of financial forecasts and projections engagements performed under AT Section 200 of the Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements should be documented using the Prospective Financial Statement Engagement Checklist (Sec. 20,800).

^{**} Not applicable unless required by firm policy.

^{***} Effective for agreed-upon procedures for which the subject matter or assertion is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement, is withdrawn. As of June 1, 2001, the guidance of AT Section 201 applies to all agreed-upon procedures engagements.

	Contents					
Section		Page				
I.	Report	20,903				
II.	General Agreed-Upon Procedures	20,905				
III.	Working Papers	20,908				
IV.	Functional Areas Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity	20,909				
		20,909				
	Personnel Management					
	Engagement Performance	20,910				
V.	Explanation of "No" Answers and Other Comments	20,912				
VI.	Conclusions	20,913				
Note:	This checklist has been updated through SAS No. 94, SSAE No. 10, and SOP 01-3.					
Expla	nation of References:					
AU	Reference to codified Statements on Auditing Standards section number in AICPA <i>Professional Standards</i> (vol. l)					
AT	Reference to codified Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements section number in AICPA <i>Professional Standards</i> (vol. 1)					
ET	Code of Professional Conduct in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)					
QC	Quality Control Standards in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)					
SOP	AICPA Statement of Position [included in the AICPA Technical Practice Aids (TPA), v	ol. 2]				

I. REPORT***

	Ques.	<i>N/A</i> *	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> **	Ref.
Does the practitioner's report on agreed-upon procedures contain the following elements:					
A title that includes the word "independent"? (AU Sec. 622.33a or AT Sec. 600.33a)	AT101				
Identification of the specified users of the report? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>c</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>b</i>)	AT102				
Reference to the character of the engagement and to the specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement of an identified entity or to the assertion? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>b</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>c</i>)	AT103				
If performed under the auditing standards, the basis of accounting of the specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement unless clearly evident? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>d</i>)	AT104				
If performed under the attestation standards, a reference to the criteria against which the assertion was measured? (AT Sec. 100.50)	AT105				
A statement that the procedures performed were those agreed to by the specified users identified in the report? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>e</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>d</i>)	AT106				
Reference to standards established by the AICPA? (AU Sec. 622.33 $\it f$ or AT Sec. 600.33 $\it e$)	AT107				
A statement that the sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users and a disclaimer of responsibility for the sufficiency of those procedures? (AU Sec. 622.33g or AT Sec. 600.33f)	AT108				
A list of the procedures performed (or reference thereto) and related findings? (The practitioner should not provide negative assurance.) (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>h</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>g</i>)	AT109				
Where applicable, a description of any agreed-upon materiality limits? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>i</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>h</i>)	AT110				
A statement that the practitioner was not engaged to, and did not, perform an audit of the specified elements, accounts, or items or an examination of the assertion, a disclaimer of opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items or on the assertion, and a statement that if the practitioner had performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to his or her attention that would have been reported? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>j</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>i</i>)	AT111				

 $^{^{*}}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

^{***} Effective for agreed-upon procedures for which the subject matter or assertion is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement, is withdrawn. As of June 1, 2001, the guidance of AT Section 201(formerly AT Sec. 600) applies to all agreed upon procedures engagements.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
A disclaimer on the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting or any part thereof, if while performing a SAS No. 75 engagement on specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial					
statement, the accountant also performs agreed-upon procedures on part of an entity's internal control over financial reporting (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>k</i>)?	AT112				
A statement of restrictions on the use of the report because it is intended to be used solely by the specified users? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>l</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>j</i>)	AT113				
If the report is a matter of public record, does it include a sentence stating: "However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited"? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>l</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>j</i>)	AT114				
Where applicable, reservations or restrictions concerning procedures or findings? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>m</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>k</i>)	AT115				
Where applicable, a description of the nature of the assistance provided by a specialist? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>n</i> or AT Sec. 600.33 <i>m</i>)	AT116				
Is the report dated the date of completion of the agreed-upon procedures? (AU Sec. 622.36 or AT Sec. 600.36)	AT117				
Are the results presented in the form of finding? (AU Sec. 622.33 <i>h</i> and AT Sec. 600.26)	AT118				
If requested to add additional users, did the practitioner obtain affirmative acknowledgment from the additional parties agreeing to the procedures performed and of their taking responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures? (AU Sec. 622.38 or AT Sec. 600.38)	AT119				
If the provisions of SAS No. 87, <i>Restricting the Use of an Auditor's Report</i> , were applicable, does the auditor's report specify language that restricts its use to specified parties? (AU Sec. 532.19)	AT120				

II. GENERAL AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES***

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes_	No^{**}	Ref.
Were the following conditions met for applying agreed-upon procedures:					
The practitioner was independent? (AU Sec. 622.09a or AT Sec. 600.10a)	AT201				
If performed under the attestation standards, the responsible party provided the assertion in writing to the practitioner prior to the issuance of his or her report? (AT Sec. $600.10b$)	AT202				
The practitioner and the specified users agreed upon the procedures performed or to be performed by the practitioner? (AU Sec. 622.09 <i>b</i> or AT Sec. 600.10 <i>c</i>)	AT203				
The specified users took responsibility for the sufficiency of the agreed-upon procedures for their purposes? (AU Sec. $622.09c$ or AT Sec. $600.10d$)	AT204				
The specific subject matter to which the procedures were applied were subject to reasonably consistent estimation or measurement? (AU Sec. 622.09f or AT Sec. 600.10e)	AT205				
If performed under the attestation standards, the criteria to be used in the determination of findings were agreed upon between the practitioner and the specified users? (AT Sec. 600.10 <i>f</i>)	AT206				
If performed under the auditing standards, the basis of accounting of the specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement was clearly evident to the specified users and the practitioner? (AU Sec. 622.09 <i>e</i>)	AT207				
The procedures to be performed were expected to result in reasonably consistent findings? (AU Sec. 622.09 <i>d</i> or AT Sec. 600.10 <i>g</i>)	AT209				
Evidential matter related to the specific subject matter to which the procedures were applied, provided a reasonable basis for expressing the findings in the practitioner's report? (AU Sec. 622.09g or AT Sec. 600.10h)	AT210				
Where applicable, the practitioner and the specified users agreed on any materiality limits for reporting purposes? (AU Sec. 622.09 <i>h</i> or AT Sec. 600.10 <i>i</i>)	AT211				
Use of the report was restricted to the specified users? (AU Sec. 622.09 <i>i</i> or AT Sec. 600.10 <i>j</i>)	AT212				
Did the practitioner follow the general, fieldwork, and reporting standards for attestation engagements? (AT Sec. 100)	AT213				
Did the practitioner communicate directly with and obtain affirmative acknowledgment from each of the specified users? (AU Sec. 622.10 or AT Sec. 600.11)	AT214				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

^{***} Effective for agreed-upon procedures for which the subject matter or assertion is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement, is withdrawn. As of June 1, 2001, the guidance of AT Section 201 applies to all agreed-upon procedures engagements.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
If no, were appropriate alternative procedures performed, such as comparing the procedures to be applied to written requirements of the specified users, discussing the procedures to be applied with appropriate representatives of the specified users involved, or reviewing relevant contracts with or correspondence from the specified users? (AU Sec. 622.10 or AT Sec. 600.11)	AT215				
Did the practitioner establish and document a clear understanding with the client regarding the terms of the engagement, preferably in an engagement letter? (AU Sec. 622.11 or AT Sec. 600.12; AT Sec. 100.32 for periods ending on or after June 15, 1998)	AT216				
Does the practitioner have adequate knowledge in the specific subject matter to which the agreed-upon procedures were applied? (AU Sec. 622.14 or AT Sec. 600.15)	AT217				
Did the procedures performed appear to be not overly subjective and thus open to varying interpretations? (AU Sec. 622.17 or AT Sec. 600.18)	AT218				
Did the practitioner avoid using terms of uncertain meaning in describing procedures performed? (AU Sec. 622.17 or AT Sec. 600.18)	AT219				
Did the practitioner obtain evidential matter from applying the agreed-upon procedures to provide a reasonable basis for the finding(s) expressed in the practitioner's report? (AU Sec. 622.17 and 622.26 or AT Sec. 600.18 and .26)	AT220				
If the work of a specialist was used, did the practitioner and the specified users explicitly agree to the involvement of the specialist in assisting the practitioner in the performance of the engagement? (AU Sec. 622.22 or AT Sec. 600.22)	AT221				
Were the agreed-upon procedures performed entirely by the practitioner except for those agreed by the practitioner and the specified users to be performed by a specialist? (AU Sec. 622.24 or AT Sec. 600.24)	AT222				
When the practitioner decides to obtain a representation letter, or when such a letter is required, does the letter include a statement(s) that the responsible party has disclosed all known material and relevant matters to the practitioner? (For example, does the letter disclose all known matters contradicting the basis of accounting or assertion and any communication from regulatory agencies affecting the specified elements, accounts, items or assertions?) (AU Sec. 622.39 or AT Sec. 600.40)	AT223				
If the practitioner requested a letter and the responsible party refused to sign such a letter, did the accountant do one of the following (a) disclose in the report the inability to obtain representations, (b) withdraw from the engagement, (c) change the engagement to another form of engagement or include matters in the accountant's report that have come to the accountant's attention by other means that significantly contradict the basis of accounting specified? (AU Sec. 622.40 and .41 or AT Sec. 600.41)	AT224				
If the practitioner was requested to change from another form of engagement to an engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures, were the following considered by the practitioner before agreeing to the change:					

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
The possibility that certain procedures performed as part of another type of engagement are not appropriate for inclusion in an engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures? (AU Sec. 622.43 <i>a</i> or AT Sec. 600.44 <i>a</i>)	AT225				
The reason given for the request, particularly the implications of a restriction on the scope of the original engagement or the matters to be reported? (AU Sec. 622.43b or AT Sec. 600.44b)					
The additional effort required to complete the original engagement? (AU Sec. 622.43 <i>c</i> or AT Sec. 600.44 <i>c</i>)	AT227				
If applicable, the reasons for changing from a general-distribution report to a restricted-use report? (AU Sec. 622.43 <i>d</i> or AT Sec. 600.44 <i>d</i>)	AT228				
If a practitioner conducted an agreed-upon procedures engagement to assist management of a health care provider in evaluating the effectiveness of its corporate compliance program, did the practitioner comply with the guidance set forth in SOP 99-1 (TPA Sec. 11,350)?					
Effective when the subject matter or assertion is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, SSAE No. 10, Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification, supercedes existing SSAEs Nos. 1-9. Among its changes, SSAE No. 10 provides the following: (1) defines a responsible party as a representative of the entity who is responsible for the subject matter of an attest engagement, and (2) incorporates the guidance from SAS No. 75, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements. For agreed-upon procedures engagements after the effective date and consistent with the added elements in the report, did the practitioner:					
Identify of the subject matter (or written assertion related thereto and the character of the engagement)? (SSAE No. 10, par. 2.31c)					
Identify the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, par. 2.31 <i>d</i>)	AT231				
Include a statement that the subject matter is the responsibility of the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, par. 2.31 <i>e</i>)	AT232				
Include a statement of restrictions on the use of the report because it is intended to be used solely by the specified parties? (SSAE No. 10, par. 2.31 <i>l</i>)	AT233				
Provide a manual or printed signature? (SSAE No. 10, par. 2.31 <i>p</i>)	AT234				
Properly date the report? (SSAE No. 10, par. 2.31q)	AT235				

III. WORKING PAPERS***

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes_	No^{**}	Ref.
Did the practitioner prepare and maintain working papers? (AU Sec. 622.29–.32 or AT Sec. 600.29–.32)	AT301				
If yes, were the working papers appropriate to the circumstances and the practitioner's needs on the engagement? (AU Sec. 622.29 or AT Sec. 600.29)	AT302				
Do the working papers indicate that—	NI				
The work was adequately planned and supervised? (AU Sec. 622.29a or AT Sec. 600.29a)	AT303				
Evidential matter was obtained to provide a reasonable basis for the finding(s) expressed in the practitioner's report? (AU Sec.	A T204				
622.29 <i>b</i> or AT Sec. 600.29 <i>b</i>)	AT304				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

^{***} Effective for agreed-upon procedures for which the subject matter or assertion is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts, or Items of a Financial Statement, is withdrawn. As of June 1, 2001, the guidance of AT Section 201(formerly AT Sec. 600) applies to all agreed upon procedures engagements.

IV. FUNCTIONAL AREAS+

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes	No^{**}	Ref.
Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (QC Sec. 20.09 and .10)					
If anything has been noted that may indicate a lack of independence, integrity, and objectivity, was the matter identified and appropriately resolved by the firm and its effects appropriately considered? (ET Sec. 101)	AT401				
Have personnel been appropriately advised of the need to observe independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements concerning the client and any related nonclient parent, investor, investee, subsidiary, or affiliate?	AT402				
Was appropriate assurance of independence obtained from other firms engaged to perform a portion of the attest service?	AT403				
Were the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services paid prior to issuance of the report for the current engagement? (ET Sec. 191.103 and .104)	AT404				
For SEC clients, if the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services were not paid prior to the commencement of the current engagement, were the SEC's rules for unpaid professional fees adhered to?	AT405				
Personnel Management (QC Sec. 20.1113)					
Were scheduling and staffing requirements identified on a timely basis and approved by appropriate personnel?	AT406				
Does it appear engagement personnel possessed an appropriate mix of experience or expertise and technical training in relation to the complexity or other requirements of the engagement and the involvement of supervisory personnel?	AT407				
Does it appear that the practitioner in charge of the engagement possessed the following knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) to fulfill their responsibilities on the engagement:					
An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's <i>Code of Professional Conduct</i> ?	AT408				
An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement?	AT409				
An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?	AT410				

⁺ The AICPA's Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice provides guidance on how to develop and implement a system of quality control for a firm's accounting and auditing practice in compliance with the requirements of Statements on Quality Control Standards Nos. 2, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC Sec. 20), as amended, 3, Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC Sec. 30), and 5, The Personnel Management Element of a Firm's System of Quality Control—Competencies Required by a Practitioner-in-charge of an Attest Engagement (QC Sec. 40).

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates?	AT411				
Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?	AT412				
An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?	AT413				
Does it appear that the practitioner-in-charge of the engagement possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to fulfill his/her responsibilities on the engagement as noted in par. 8 of QC Sec. 40?	AT414				
Did the personnel assigned to this engagement appear to be familiar with the applicable professional pronouncements (FASB, GASB, AICPA, SEC, etc.)?	AT415				
If required by firm policy, was the staff on this engagement appropriately evaluated?	AT416				
Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements (QC Sec. 20.14–.16)					
Does it appear that the firm's guidelines for acceptance and continu- ance of client relationships, including performing specific engage- ments for the client, were complied with?	AT417				
Engagement Performance (QC Sec. 20.17–.19)					
Were appropriate and knowledgeable engagement and supervisory personnel involved in the planning process?	AT418				
Does it appear that planning was adequately documented in the working papers, including any changes in the original plan?	AT419				
Did the owner (or manager) approve the overall plan as the final planning step and convey approval or modifications to the engage- ment staff?	AT420				
Does it appear that hours charged by the owner and manager were adequate and appropriately timed to provide for planning and supervision as the job progressed?	AT421				
Were all forms, checklists, or questionnaires, if any, required by firm policy for the following areas adequately completed and modified, where appropriate, for the engagement:					
Planning?	AT422				
Work program?	AT423				
Working paper and written assertion reviews?	AT424				
If standardized forms, etc., were not used for any of the above areas, is there adequate documentation of these areas?	AT425				
Were the firm's guidelines for the form and content of working papers complied with?	AT426				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
If required by firm policy, was an appropriate pre-issuance review made of the working papers, report, and written assertions by a person whose position in the firm is commensurate with that respon-					
sibility, to determine that the work performed was complete and conformed to professional standards and firm policy and was that review documented?	AT427				
Was there appropriate consultation and documentation—	L				
In situations specified by firm policy?	AT428				
Where the complexity or unusual nature of the issue warranted it?	AT429				
Were any circumstances noted where the firm consulted or should have consulted regarding an engagement matter (i.e., a complex, unusual, or a technical issue) with individuals within the firm, an external party or by researching in applicable professional literature, based on the firm's policies and procedures or where the complexity or nature of the issue warranted consultation?					
No (skip to question AT435)					
Yes (respond to questions AT430 to AT434)					
If an individual was consulted (internally or externally), was the consultation done on a timely basis and, does it appear they were aware of all relevant facts and circumstances?	AT430				
If professional literature was researched, does it appear the research was thorough and the sources consulted were complete, correct and up-to-date?	AT431				
Does it appear the person(s) consulted (internally or externally) and/or the individual(s) performing the research had an appropriate level of knowledge, competence, judgment and (if applicable) authority?	AT432				
Based on the facts and circumstances, were the firm's conclusions reasonable and consistent with professional standards?	AT433				
Is the firm's report, the financial statements, or other information affected by the matter consistent with the results of the consultation?	AT434				
If the engagement records indicated a difference of opinion between the engagement personnel and/or specialist or other consultant, was the difference resolved in accordance with firm policy and was the basis of the resolution appropriately documented?	AT435				

V. EXPLANATION OF "NO" ANSWERS AND OTHER COMMENTS

The following pages are provided for your comments on all "no" answers for which an MFC form was not generated or to expand upon any of the "yes" answers. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained and reviewed with the engagement owner.

Page Number	Question Number	Explanatory Comments	Disposition of Comments*
		PRACTICE	
		SECTION	

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The nature of the disposition of comments may vary, such as:

[•] Note "resolved" and the manner of resolution.

[•] Note "not significant" to indicate a "no" answer is appropriate, but that the manner is not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form.

YES* NO

all material respects?

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the work performed, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that:

EXPLAIN BELOW THE REASONS FOR ANY "YES" ANSWERS. BE SPECIFIC.

The firm did not perform the engagement in all material respects in accordance with professional standards?

The specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement or the written assertions were not presented in conformity with the established or stated criteria against which they were measured and the firm's report was not appropriately modified?

The firm's report was not appropriate in the circumstances?

YES' ____ NO ___

The documentation on this engagement does not support the firm's report on the specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement or the written assertions?

The firm did not comply with its policies and procedures on this engagement in

[*The next page is* 21,001.]

^{*} If this question is answered "yes," see additional guidance contained in Section 3100.51–.53 of the *Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews* in the AICPA *Peer Review Program Manual* or Section 2000.83–.89 in the SEC Practice Section Reference Manual.



Section 21,000 Other Attestation Engagement Checklist*,**

Engagement Code NoOwner/Partner	Office Period Covered
Owner/Partner	Period Covered
Manager	Date of Report
Manager Concurring Reviewer***	Date Report Released
This engagement involves reporting on:	
() Examination of written assertions—Internal cor	ntrols over financial reporting
() Examination of written assertions—Compliance	
() Examination of written assertions—Pro forma f	
() Examination of written assertions—Other	
() Review of written assertions—Pro forma finance	ial information
() Review of written assertion—Other (explain)	
Financial or Other Information Involved:	
The assertions are for an entity that is a (an): () independent entity () consolidated or combined group Date that the fee for the prior year's services was paid, i	() subsidiary, division or branch() other (explain)
Date that the fee for the prior year's services was paid, i	таррисавіе.
Гуре of industry:	Complex or troublesome areas:
Hours on this engagement:	
Owner/Partner Manager (or equivalent) Concurring Reviewer Senior/Other Professionals Total this office	Total budgeted
Total this office * * * * *	* *
Date Engagement Review Performed	Date Checklist Reviewed by Team Captain
Reviewer	Signature

^{*} Reviews of examined or compiled financial forecasts and projections engagements performed under AT Section 200 of the Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements should be documented using the Prospective Financial Statement Engagement Checklist (Sec. 20,800). Reviews of agreed-upon procedures engagements (whether of financial forecasts and projections or written assertions) performed under the attestation standards should be documented using the Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagement Checklist (Sec. 20,900). Any attestation engagements not covered by those two checklists should be documented using this checklist.

^{**} References to AT Secs. 100–600 refer to the attestation guidance prior to the implementation of SSAE No. 10 and the recodification of the associated sections of the AICPA *Professional Standards* dated as of June 1, 2001.

^{***} Not applicable unless required by firm policy.

	Control (iii	
Sectio	n	Pago
I.	Report	
	Examination Reports—Internal Control	21,004
	Examination Reports—Compliance	21,005
	Examination Reports—Other Written Assertions	21,006
	Examination Reports—Other Written Assertions	21,007
	Reports—Pro Forma Financial Information	21,008
	Reports—Pro Forma Financial Information	21,011
II.	General Attest Procedures	
	Examination Procedures—Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	21,012
	Examination Procedures—Compliance	21,013
	Examination Procedures—Other Written Assertions	21,014
	Review Procedures—Other Written Assertions	21,015
	Procedures—Pro Forma Financial Information	21,015
III.	Working Papers	21,016
IV.	Functional Areas	
	Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity	21,017
	Personnel Management	21,017
	Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements	21,018
	Engagement Performance	21,018
V.	Explanation of "No" Answers and Other Comments	21,020
VI.	Conclusions	21,021

Note: This checklist has been updated through SSAE No. 10, Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification, which supersedes SSAEs Nos. 1–9.*

Explanation of References:

AT	Reference to section number in AICPA <i>Professional Standards</i> (vol. 1)
ET	Code of Professional Conduct in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
QC	Quality Control Standards in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)
AU	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)

^{*} The effective date of SSAE No. 10 is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, therefore, this checklist retains the guidance and associated references of SSAEs Nos. 1–9.

I. REPORT

Note: Complete only the sections that apply to the type of attestation engagement being reviewed. The questions contained in each section represent some of the procedures or tests that the reviewed firm might have undertaken to form conclusions in support of the assertions. The practitioner should place an "X" in the box below the sections that are not applicable to the type of attestation engagement reviewed.

Note: References to AT Secs. 100–600 refer to the attestation guidance prior to the implementation of SSAE No. 10 and the recodification of the associated sections of the AICPA *Professional Standards* dated as of June 1, 2001.

SECTIO	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> **	Ref.
Does the report—	T 4				
Identify the assertion being reported on and state the character of the engagement? (AT Sec. 100.49)	AO101				
State all of the practitioner's significant reservations about the engagement and the presentation of the assertions? (AT Sec. 100.70)	AO102				
If the engagement involves the evaluation of whether assertions have been prepared in conformity with specified criteria that have been agreed upon by the asserter and the user, does the practitioner's report—					
State that the report is intended solely for the use of the parties who have agreed upon the criteria? (AT Sec. 100.76)	AO103				
Indicate, if applicable, that the presentation of assertions differs materially from that which would have been presented if criteria for the presentation of such assertions for general distribution had been followed in its preparation? (AT Sec. 100.58)	AO104				
If a written presentation of assertions that is the responsibility of the asserter does not accompany the practitioner's report, does the introductory paragraph contain a statement of management's assertion? (AT Secs. 100.58 <i>b</i> or .64 <i>b</i> , 400.45 <i>b</i> , 500.54 <i>b</i> , and .55)	AO105				
Is appropriate modification made to the standard report in the circumstances described under professional standards? (AT Secs. 100.53, 300.11–.14, 400.51, and 500.62)	AO106				
Effective when the subject matter or assertion is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, SSAE No. 10, Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification, supersedes existing SSAEs Nos. 1–9. Among its changes, SSAE No. 10 provides the following: (1) defines a responsible party as a representative of the entity who is responsible for the subject matter of an attest engagement, (2) allows practitioners to issue an attest report (examination, review, or agreed-upon procedures) on subject matter, or an assertion about the subject matter, that is the responsibility of another party, (3) revises the general attestation standards to allow the practitioner to evaluate subject matter against criteria that are "suitable" and "available to users," and (4) incorporates the guidance from SAS No. 75, Agreed-Upon Procedures Engagements. For attest engagements after the					

effective date of SSAE No. 10 and consistent with the added elements in

the report, did the practitioner:

 $^{^{*}}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Obtain written acknowledgment or other evidence of the responsible party's responsibility for the subject matter, or the written assertion? (SSAE No. 10, par. 1.14 and AT Sec. 101.14)	AO107				
In the report, identify the responsible party and the subject matter or assertion? (SSAE No. 10, pars. 1.85 <i>b</i> , .86 <i>b</i> , .89 <i>b</i> , and .90 <i>b</i> and AT Secs. 101.85 <i>b</i> , .86 <i>b</i> , .89 <i>b</i> , and .90 <i>b</i>)	AO108				
Include a statement in the report that the subject matter is the responsibility of the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, pars. 1.85 <i>c</i> , .86 <i>c</i> , .89 <i>c</i> , and .90 <i>c</i> and AT Secs. 101.85 <i>c</i> , .86 <i>c</i> , .89 <i>c</i> , and .90 <i>c</i>)	AO109				
Restrict the use of the report to the applicable specified parties when the following circumstances are present:					
The criteria used to evaluate the subject matter are determined by the practitioner to be appropriate only for a limited number of parties who either participated in their establishment or can be presumed to have an adequate understanding of the criteria?	AO110				
The criteria used to evaluate the subject matter are available only to the specified parties?	AO111				
When reporting on subject matter; and a written assertion has not been provided by the responsible party?	AO112				
The report is on an attest engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures to the subject matter? (SSAE No. 10, par. 1.78 and AT Sec. 101.78)	AO113				
Modify his or her report and restrict the use of that report when the responsible party is the client and the client fails to provide the practitioner with a written assertion? (SSAE No. 10, pars. 1.58, $.85h(3)$, and $.89g(3)$)	AO114				
Examination Reports—Internal Control					
☐ Not applicable for the engagement					
Does the practitioner's report on an examination of written assertions contain the following elements:					
A title that includes the word independent (AT Sec. 400.45a)	AO115				
An identification of management's assertion about the effectiveness of the entity's internal control over financial reporting as of a specified date? (AT Sec. $400.45b$)	AO116				
A statement that the assertion is the responsibility of management? (AT Sec. $400.45c$)	AO117				
A statement that the practitioner's responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of an entity's internal control or management's assertion based on the practitioner's examination? (AT Sec. 400.45d)	AO118				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
A reference to the attestation standards established by the AICPA and that the procedures included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing other procedures considered necessary? (AT Sec. 400.45e)	E A0119				
A statement that the examination provides a reasonable basis for the practitioner's opinion? (AT Sec. 400.45f)	AO120				
A paragraph stating that because of inherent limitations of any internal control, misstatements due to errors or fraud may occur and not be detected? (AT Sec. 400.45 <i>g</i>)	AO121				
A statement regarding the risk associated with projecting any evaluation of internal control to future periods? (AT Sec. 400.45g)	AO122				
An opinion on whether (1) the entity has maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of the specified date based on the control criteria or (2) management's assertions about the effectiveness of the entity's internal control over financial reporting as of the specified date is fairly stated based on the control criteria?	AO123				
Is report dated at completion of examination procedures? (AT Sec. $400.45k$)	AO124				
Examination Reports—Compliance					
☐ Not applicable for the engagement					
Does the practitioner's report on an examination of written assertions contain the following elements (AT Sec. 500.54):					
A title that includes the word independent? (AT Sec. 500.54a)	AO125				
An identification of management's assertion about the entity's compliance with specified requirements and the period covered? (AT Sec. 500.54 <i>b</i>)	AO126				
A statement that compliance with the requirements addressed in management's assertion is the responsibility of the entity's management? (AT Sec. 500.54 <i>c</i>)	AO127				
A statement that the practitioner's responsibility is to express an opinion on the entity's compliance with those requirements or on management's assertion on such compliance based on the practitioner's examination? (AT Sec. 500.54 <i>d</i>)	AO128				
A reference to the attestation standards established by the AICPA and that the procedures included examining on a test basis evidence about the entity's compliance with those requirements and performing other procedures the practitioner considered necessary? (AT Sec. 500.54 <i>e</i>)	AO129				
A statement that the examination provides a reasonable basis for the practitioner's opinion and does not provide a legal determination on the entity's compliance? (AT Sec. $500.54f$ and g)	AO130				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
An opinion on whether the entity complied with specified requirements [or whether management's assertion about compliance with specified requirements is fairly stated] based on established or agreed-upon criteria. (AT Sec. 500.54 <i>h</i>)	AO131				
Is the report dated the date of completion of examination procedures? (AT Sec. $500.54k$)	AO132				
Effective when the subject matter or assertion is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, SSAE No. 10, <i>Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification</i> , supersedes existing SSAEs Nos. 1-9. For examination reports as of or after the effective date, does the practitioner's examination report on compliance include:					
Identification of the specified compliance requirements, including the period covered, and of the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, par. 6.55b and AT Sec. 601.55b)	AO133				
A statement that compliance with the specified requirements is the responsibility of the entity's management? (SSAE No. 10, par. 6.55c and AT Sec. 601.55 <i>c</i>)	AO134				
A statement that the examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the AICPA and, accordingly, included examining on a test basis, evidence about the entity's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as the practitioner considered necessary in the circumstances? (SSAE No. 10, par. 6.55e and AT Sec. 601.55e)	AO135				
The practitioner's opinion on whether the entity complied, in all material respects, with specified requirements based on the specified criteria? (SSAE No. 10, par. 6.55h and AT Sec. 601.55h)	AO136				
A statement restricting the use of the report to the specified parties, as applicable? (SSAE No. 10, par. 6.55i and AT Sec. 601.55i)	AO137				
Examination Reports—Other Written Assertions					
□ Not applicable for this engagement					
Does the practitioner's report on an examination of written assertions include the following:					
A title that includes the word independent? (AT Sec. 100.58a)	AO138				
An identification of management's assertion? (AT Sec. 100.58b)	AO139				
A statement that the assertion is the responsibility of management? (AT Sec. $100.58c$)	AO140				
A statement that the practitioner's responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assertion based on his or her examination? (AT Sec. 100.58 <i>d</i>)	AO141				
A reference to the attestation standards established by the AICPA and that the practitioner performed the procedures considered necessary in the circumstances? (AT Sec. 100.58 <i>e</i>)	AO142				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
A Statement that the examination provides a reasonable basis for the practitioner's opinion? (AT Sec. 100.58f)	AO143				
An opinion on whether the assertions as of the specified date are fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the established or stated criteria? (AT Sec. 100.58g)	AO144				
Is report dated at the end of the examination procedures? (AT 100.58 <i>j</i>)	AO145				
Effective when the subject matter or assertion is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, SSAE No. 10, <i>Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification</i> , supersedes existing SSAEs Nos. 1–9. For examination reports as of or after the effective date, does the practitioner's examination report on an assertion include:					
Identification of the assertion and the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, par. 1.86b and AT Sec. $101.86b$)	AO146				
A statement that the assertion is the responsibility of the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, par. $1.86c$ and AT $101.86c$)	AO147				
A statement that the practitioner's responsibility is to express an opinion on the assertion based on his or her examination? (SSAE No. 10, par 1.86d and AT Sec. 101.86d)	AO148				
A statement that the examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the AICPA and, accordingly, included procedures that the practitioner considered necessary in the circumstances? (SSAE No. 10, par. 1.86e and AT Sec. 101.86e)	AO149				
A statement restricting the use of the report to the specified parties, as applicable? (SSAE No. 10, par. 1.86h and AT Sec. 101.86h)	AO150				
Review Reports—Other Written Assertions					
☐ Not applicable for the engagement					
Does the practitioner's report on a review of written assertions contain the following elements:					
A title that includes the word independent? (AT Sec. 100.64a)	AO151				
An identification of management's assertion? (AT Sec. $100.64b$)	AO152				
A statement that the assertion is the responsibility of management? (AT Sec. $100.64c$)	AO153				
A reference to the attestation standards established by the AICPA? (AT Sec. 100.64 <i>d</i>)	AO154				
A statement that a review is substantially less in scope than an examination and accordingly no opinion is expressed? (AT Sec. 100.64 <i>e</i>)	AO155				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
A statement whether any information came to the practitioner's attention on the basis of the work performed that indicates that the assertions are not presented in all material respects in conformity with established or stated criteria against which they were measured? (AT Sec. 100.64f)	E AO156				
Is the report dated the date of completion of the inquiry and analytical procedures?	AO157				
Effective when the subject matter or assertion is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, SSAE No. 10, Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification, supersedes existing SSAEs Nos. 1–9. For review reports as of or after the effective date, does the practitioner's report on subject matter (or an assertion) include:					
Identification of the subject matter (or assertion) and the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, pars. 1.89b and .90b and AT Sec. $101.89b$ and $.90b$)	AO158				
A statement that the subject matter (or assertion) is the responsibility of the responsible party? (SSAE No. 10, pars. 1.89c and .90c; AT Sec. 101.89c and .90c)	AO159				
A statement that the review was conducted in accordance with the attestation standards established by the AICPA? (SSAE No. 10, pars. 1.89d and .90d; AT Sec. 101.89 <i>d</i> and .90 <i>d</i>)	AO160				
A statement that a review is substantially less in scope than an examination? (SSAE No. 10, pars. 1.89e and .90e; AT Sec. 101.89e and .90e)	AO161				
A statement about whether the practitioner is aware of any material modification that should be made to the subject matter (or assertion)? (SSAE No. 10, pars. 1.89f and 1.90f; AT Sec. 101.89f and .90f)	AO162				
A statement restricting the use of the report to the specified parties, as applicable? (SSAE No. 10, pars. 1.89g and .90g and AT Sec. 101.89g and .90g)	AO163				
Reports—Pro Forma Financial Information					
☐ Not applicable for the engagement					
Were the following conditions met for reporting on an examination or review of pro forma financial information: (AT Sec. 300.07)	AO164				
The document containing the pro forma financial statements include complete historical financial statements of the entity for the most recent year or period available? (AT Sec. 300.07 <i>a</i>)	AO165				
The historical financial statements of the entity on which the proforma financial statements are based was audited or reviewed? (AT Sec. 300.07 <i>b</i>)	AO166				
The level of assurance given by the practitioner on the pro forma financial information is limited to the level of assurance provided on the historical financial statements? (AT Sec. 300 07h)	AO167				

report include:

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
The practitioner has an appropriate level of knowledge of the accounting and financial reporting practices of the entity? (AT Sec. 300.07 <i>c</i>)	AO168				
Does the practitioner's report on pro forma financial information include: (AT Sec. 300.12)	N				
An identification of the pro forma financial information? (AT Sec. 300.12a)	AO169				
A reference to the applicable historical financial statements and a statement as to whether such financial statements were audited or reviewed and whether the report issued was modified? (AT Sec. 300.12 <i>b</i>)	AO170				
A statement that the engagement was in accordance with the standards established by the AICPA? (AT Sec. 300.12 <i>c</i>)	AO171				
A separate paragraph explaining the objective of pro forma financial information and its limitations? (AT Sec. 300.12 <i>d</i>)	AO172				
In a review of pro forma information, a conclusion as to whether any information came to the practitioner's attention to cause the practitioner to believe that the assumptions do not provide a reasonable basis for presenting the significant effects directly attributable to the transaction or event, whether the related adjustment do not give appropriate effect to those assumptions, and whether the pro forma column reflects the proper application of those adjustments to the historical financial statements? (AT Sec. 300.12 <i>e</i>)	AO173				
In an examination of pro forma information, an opinion as to whether the assumptions provide a reasonable basis for presenting the significant effects directly attributable to the transaction or event, whether the adjustments give appropriate effect to those assumptions, and whether the pro forma column reflects the proper application of those adjustments? (AT Sec. 300.12 <i>e</i>)	AO174				
The disclosure of any restrictions on the scope of the engagement, significant uncertainties about the assumptions or other reservations that would require the practitioner to modify the report? (AT Sec. 300.14)	AO175				
Was the date of the completion of the examination or review procedures used as the date of the report? (AT Sec. 300.11)	AO176				
Effective when the presentation of pro forma financial information is as of or for a period ending on or after June 1, 2001, SSAE No. 10, <i>Attestation Standards: Revision and Recodification</i> , supersedes existing SSAEs Nos. 1–9. For an examination report on pro forma financial information as of or after the effective date, does the practitioner's					

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
A title that includes the word independent? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.12a and AT Sec. 401.12a)	AO177				
An identification of the pro forma financial information? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.12b and AT Sec. 401.12b)	AO178				
A reference to the financial statements from which the historical financial information is derived and a statement that such financial statements were audited? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.12c and AT Sec.					
401.12 <i>c</i>)	AO179				
An identification of the responsible party and a statement that the responsible party is responsible for the pro forma financial information? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.12d and AT Sec. 401.12d)					
A statement that the practitioner's responsibility is to express an opinion on the pro forma financial information based on his or her examination? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.12e and AT Sec. 401.12e)					
A statement that the examination of the pro forma financial information was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the AICPA and, accordingly, included such procedures as the practitioner considered necessary in the circumstances? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.12f and AT Sec. 401.12f)	3				
A statement that the practitioner believes that the examination provides a reasonable basis for his or her opinion? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.12g and AT Sec. 401.12g)					
A separate paragraph explaining the objective of pro forma financial information and its limitations? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.12h and AT Sec. 401.12h)					
The practitioner's opinion as to whether management's assumptions provide a reasonable basis for presenting the significant effects directly attributable to the transaction (or event), whether the related pro forma adjustments give appropriate effect to those assumptions, and whether the pro forma column reflects the proper application of those adjustments to the historical financial statements? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.12i and AT Sec. 401.12i)					
For a review on pro forma financial information as of or after the effective date of SSAE No. 10, does the practitioner's report include:	2				
A title that includes the word independent? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.13a and AT Sec. 401.13a)	AO186				
An identification of the pro forma financial information? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.13b and AT Sec. $401.13b$)	AO187				
A reference to the financial statements from which the historical financial information is derived and a statement as to whether such financial statements were audited or reviewed? (SSAE No. 10, par. 4.13c and AT Sec. 401.13c)	l				

	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
AO189				
AO190				
AO191				
AO192				
AO193				
AO194				
AO195				
A O 106				
	AO190 AO191 AO192 AO193	AO190 AO191 AO192 AO193 AO194	AO190 AO191 AO192 AO193 AO194 AO195	AO190AO191AO192AO193AO194AO195

II. GENERAL ATTEST PROCEDURES

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes	_ <i>No</i> **	Ref.
Were the following conditions met for performing an attestation engagement:	E				
The assertions were capable of evaluation against reasonable criteria? (AT Secs. 100.16 and 500.11)	AO201				
The assertions were capable of reasonably consistent estimation or measurement using the reasonable criteria? (AT Sec. 100.20)	AO202				
Were the following considered in planning the engagement:					
The presentation criteria to be used? (AT Sec. 100.34a)	AO203				
The anticipated level of attestation risk related to the assertions on which the practitioner was to report? (AT Sec. $100.34b$)	AO204				
Preliminary judgments about materiality levels for attest purposes? (AT Sec. $100.34c$)	AO205				
The items within the presentation of assertions that were likely to require revision or adjustment? (AT Sec. $100.34d$)	AO206				
Conditions that might have required extension or modification of attest procedures? (AT Sec. 100.34 <i>e</i>)	AO207				
The nature of the report expected to be issued? (AT Sec. 100.34f)	AO208				
Proper consideration as to the appropriate types of procedures to accumulate sufficient evidence to provide a reasonable basis for the level of assurance to be expressed? (AT Sec. 100.41)	AO209				
If omission or misstatement of individual assertions were found, did the practitioner assess their materiality? (AT Sec. 100.46)	AO210				
Did the practitioner establish and document an understanding with the client regarding the services to be performed? (AT Sec. 100.35)	AO211				
Examination Procedures—Internal Control Over Financial Reporting					
☐ Not applicable for the engagement					
Were the following conditions met for performing an examination (AT Sec. 400.10)—					
Management evaluated and accepted responsibility for the effectiveness of the entity's internal control using reasonable criteria established by a recognized body?	AO212				
There was sufficient evidence to support management's evaluation?	AO213				
The written assertions about the effectiveness of the entity's internal control was made in a separate report that accompanied the practitioner's report or in a representation letter to the practitioner?	10214				
(AT Sec. 400.03)	AO214				

 $^{^{\}ast}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
In planning the attest engagement to examine management's assertion about the overall effectiveness of internal control, did the practitioner properly consider:					
The appropriate factors in developing the overall strategy for the scope and performance of the engagement? (AT Sec. 400.17)	AO215				
For entities with multiple locations, the appropriate factors in the selection of the locations tested? (AT Sec. 400.18)	AO216				
Whether there is an internal audit function? (AU Sec. 322 and AT Sec. 400.19)	AO217				
Did the practitioner:					
Obtain an understanding of the internal control system? (AT Sec. 400.21)	AO218				
Evaluate the design effectiveness of the internal controls by obtaining an understanding of the controls within each component of internal control? (AT Sec. 400.22–.25)	AO219				
Test and evaluate the operating effectiveness of the controls by performing tests of relevant controls to obtain sufficient evidence to support the opinion in the report? (AT Sec. 400.26–.32)	AO220				
Consider all evidence obtained in concluding on whether management's assertions regarding the effectiveness of the entity's internal controls is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the control criteria? (AT Sec. 400.33)	AO221				
Communicate reportable conditions to management and identify those reportable conditions that were also considered to be material weaknesses? (AU Sec. 325 and AT Sec. 400.40)	AO222				
Comply with the requirement not to issue a written representation stating no reportable conditions were noted during an examination? (AT Sec. 400.40)	AO223				
Did the practitioner obtain written timely and appropriate representations from management and was the representation letter properly dated? (AT Sec. 400.42)	AO224				
Did the practitioner develop and perform sufficient procedures to limit attestation risk to an appropriately low level? (AT Sec. 100.39)	AO225				
Examination Procedures—Compliance					
☐ Not applicable for the engagement					
Were the following conditions met for performing an examination—					
Management evaluated and accepted responsibility for the compliance with specified requirements and the effectiveness of the entity's internal control over compliance? (AT Sec. 500.09)	AO226				
Management made an assertion about the entity's compliance with specified requirements? (AT Sec. 500.11a)	AO227				
There was sufficient evidence to support management's evaluation? (AT Sec. 500.11 <i>b</i>)	AO228				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
The assertions were specific so that the same or similar measure-					
ment and disclosure criteria would lead to similar conclusions? (AT Sec. 500.13)	AO229				
Did the practitioner obtain an understanding of the compliance requirements specified in the assertions about compliance? (AT Sec. 500.39)	AO230				
Did the practitioner obtain an understanding of the relevant portions of internal control? (AT Sec. 500.44)	AO231				
If the practitioner identified significant deficiencies in the internal control system over compliance were they communicated as a reportable condition? (AT Sec. 500.46)	AO232				
Did the practitioner develop and perform sufficient procedures to limit attestation risk to an appropriately low level? (AT Sec. 100.39 and AT Sec. 500.47)	AO233				
Did the practitioner perform appropriate procedures regarding events subsequent to the end of the period addressed by the assertions and prior to the date of the report? (AT Sec. 500.49–.51)	AO234				
Did the practitioner consider the following in planning the engagement:					
The components to be tested when the entity has operations in several components? (AT Sec. 500.41)	AO235				
Performance and reporting guidance in accordance with professional standards if the work of a specialist was used? (AT Sec. 500.42 and AU Sec. 336)	AO236				
The work of internal auditors in accordance with professional standards? (AT Sec. 500.43 and AU Sec. 322)	AO237				
Did the practitioner obtain a representation letter from management and was the representation letter properly dated? (AT Sec. 400.42)	AO238				
Examination Procedures—Other Written Assertions					
☐ Not applicable for this engagement					
Did the practitioner develop and perform sufficient procedures and accumulate sufficient evidence to limit attestation risk to an appropriately low level? (AT Sec. 100.43)	AO239				
Were procedures performed that assess inherent and control risk and reduce detection risk to an appropriately low level?	AO240				
Do the working papers indicate that—					
The work was adequately planned and supervised although the quantity, type and content of working papers will vary with the circumstances? (AT Sec. 100.78a)	AO241				
Evidential matter was obtained to provide a reasonable basis for the conclusion or conclusions expressed in the practitioner's re- port? (AT Sec. 100.78b)	AO242				

	Ques.	N/A	_Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Review Procedures—Other Written Assertions					
□ Not applicable for the engagement	T				
Did the practitioner develop and perform sufficient procedures (gen-	نال				
erally limited to inquires and analytical review) to limit attestation risk to a moderate level? (AT Sec. 100.40 and .44)	AO243				
If inquiry and analytical procedures could not be performed, were	110213				
other procedures performed that could provide the practitioner with					
a level of assurance equivalent to that which inquiry and analytical					
procedures would have provided? (AT Sec. 100.45)	AO244				
If inquiry and analytical procedures were deemed less efficient than other procedures, was the option selected to perform other proce-					
dures that would be more efficient to provide the practitioner with a					
level of assurance equivalent to that which inquiry and analytical	1.0245				
procedures would provide? (AT Sec. 100.45)	AO245				
If inquiry and analytical procedures yielded evidence indicating that the assertion may be incomplete or inaccurate, were additional pro-					
cedures performed by the practitioner? (AT Sec. 100.45)	AO246				
Procedures—Pro Forma Financial Information					
☐ Not applicable for the engagement					
Were the computations of pro forma adjustments and the pro forma					
columns mathematically correct? (AT Sec. 300.10g)	AO247				
Are pro forma adjustments: (AT Sec. 300.10)					
Appropriately described?	AO248				
Included for all significant effects directly attributable to the trans-					
action or event?	AO249				
Consistent with each other and with the data used to develop them?	AO250				
Are management's assumptions presented in a sufficiently clear and comprehensive manner? (AT Sec. 300.10)	AO251				
Did the practitioner obtain appropriate representations from manage-					
ment regarding the pro forma adjustments and assumptions? (AT Sec.	4.0252				
300.10 <i>h</i>)	AO252				

III. WORKING PAPERS

Do the working papers indicate that—R A C T I C	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> **	Ref.
The work was adequately planned and supervised although the quantity, type, and content of working papers will vary with the circumstances? (AT Sec. 100.78 <i>a</i>)	AO301				
Evidential matter was obtained to provide a reasonable basis for the conclusions expressed in the practitioner's report? (AT Sec. 100.78b)	AO302				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

IV. FUNCTIONAL AREAS+

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes	_No**	Ref.
Independence, Integrity, and Objectivity (QC Sec. 20.09 and .10)					
If anything has been noted that may indicate a lack of independence, integrity, and objectivity, was the matter identified and appropriately resolved by the firm and its effects appropriately considered? (ET Sec. 101)	AO401				
Have personnel been appropriately advised of the need to observe independence, integrity, and objectivity requirements concerning the client and any related nonclient parent, investor, investee, subsidiary, or affiliate?	AO402				
Was appropriate assurance of independence obtained from other firms engaged to perform a portion of the attest service?	AO403				
Were the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services paid prior to issuance of the report for the current engagement? (ET Sec. 191.103 and .104)	AO404				
For SEC clients, if the fees (billed/unbilled) for prior years' services were not paid prior to the commencement of the current engagement, were the SEC's rules for unpaid professional fees adhered to?	AO405				
Personnel Management (QC Sec. 20.1113)					
Were scheduling and staffing requirements identified on a timely basis and approved by appropriate personnel?	AO406				
Does it appear engagement personnel possessed an appropriate mix of experience or expertise and technical training in relation to the complexity or other requirements of the engagement and the involvement of supervisory personnel?	AO407				
Does it appear that the practitioner in charge of the engagement possessed the following knowledge, skills, and abilities (competencies) to fulfill their responsibilities on the engagement:					
An understanding of the role of the firm's system of quality control and the AICPA's <i>Code of Professional Conduct</i> ?	AO408				
An understanding of the performance, supervision, and reporting aspects of the engagement?	AO409				
An understanding of the applicable accounting, auditing, or attestation professional standards including those standards directly related to the industry in which a client operates?	AO410				

⁺ The AICPA's Guide for Establishing and Maintaining a System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice provides guidance on how to develop and implement a system of quality control for a firm's accounting and auditing practice in compliance with the requirements of Statements on Quality Control Standards Nos. 2, System of Quality Control for a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC Sec. 20), as amended, 3, Monitoring a CPA Firm's Accounting and Auditing Practice (QC Sec. 30), and 5, The Personnel Management Element of a Firm's System of Quality Control—Competencies Required by a Practitioner-in-charge of an Attest Engagement (QC Sec. 40).

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
An understanding of the industry in which a client operates, including the industry's organization and operating characteristics, to identify the areas of high or unusual risk associated with an engagement and to evaluate the reasonableness of industry specific estimates?	AO411				
Skills that indicate sound professional judgment?	AO412				
An understanding of how the organization is dependent on or enabled by information technologies and the manner in which information systems are used to record and maintain financial information?	AO413				
Does it appear that the practitioner-in-charge of the engagement possessed the knowledge, skills and abilities (competencies) to fulfill his/her responsibilities on the engagement as noted in par. 8 of QC 40?	AO414				
Did the personnel assigned to this engagement appear to be familiar with the applicable professional pronouncements (FASB, GASB, AICPA, SEC, etc.)?	AO415				
If required by firm policy, was the staff on this engagement appropriately evaluated?	AO416				
Acceptance and Continuance of Clients and Engagements (QC Sec. 20.14–.16)					
Does it appear that the firm's guidelines for acceptance and continu- ance of client relationships, including performing specific engage- ments for the client, were complied with?	AO417				
Engagement Performance (QC Sec. 20.1719)					
Were appropriate and knowledgeable engagement and supervisory personnel involved in the planning process?	AO418				
Does it appear that planning was adequately documented in the working papers, including any changes in the original plan?	AO419				
Did the owner (or manager) approve the overall plan as the final planning step and convey approval or modifications to the engage- ment staff?	AO420				
Does it appear that hours charged by the owner and manager were adequate and appropriately timed to provide for planning and supervision as the job progressed?	AO421				
Were all forms, checklists, or questionnaires, if any, required by firm policy for the following areas adequately completed and modified, where appropriate, for the engagement:					
Planning?	AO422				
Work program?	AO423				
Working paper and written assertion reviews?	AO424				
If standardized forms, etc., were not used for any of the above areas, is there adequate documentation of these areas?	AO425				
Were the firm's guidelines for the form and content of working papers complied with?	AO426				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
If required by firm policy, was an appropriate pre-issuance review					
made of the working papers, report, and written assertions by a					
person whose position in the firm is commensurate with that respon-					
sibility, to determine that the work performed was complete and	,				
conformed to professional standards and firm policy and was that					
review documented?	AO427				
Were any circumstances noted where the firm consulted or should	L				
have consulted regarding an engagement matter (i.e., a complex,	NI				
unusual, or a technical issue) with individuals within the firm, an					
external party or by researching in applicable professional literature,					
based on the firm's policies and procedures or where the complexity or nature of the issue warranted consultation?					
or nature of the issue warranted consultation?					
No (skip to question AO433)					
Yes (respond to questions AO428 to AO432)					
If an individual was consulted (internally or externally), was the					
consultation done on a timely basis and, does it appear they were					
aware of all relevant facts and circumstances?	AO428				
If professional literature was researched, does it appear the research					
was thorough and the sources consulted were complete, correct and					
up-to-date?	AO429				
Doos it appears the marcan(s) consulted (intermally or extermally)					
Does it appear the person(s) consulted (internally or externally) and/or the individual(s) performing the research had an appropriate					
level of knowledge, competence, judgment and (if applicable) author-					
ity?	AO430				
Based on the facts and circumstances, were the firm's conclusions	A O 421				
reasonable and consistent with professional standards?	AO431				
Is the firm's report, the financial statements, or other information					
affected by the matter consistent with the results of the consultation?	AO432				
If the engagement records indicated a difference of opinion between					
the engagement personnel and/or specialist or other consultant was					
the difference resolved in accordance with firm policy and was the					
basis of the resolution appropriately documented?	AO433				

V. EXPLANATION OF "NO" ANSWERS AND OTHER COMMENTS

The following pages are provided for your comments on all "no" answers for which an MFC form was not generated or to expand upon any of the "yes" answers. All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained and reviewed with the engagement owner.

Page Number	Question Number	Explanatory Comments	Disposition of Comments*
		PRACTICE	
		SECTION	

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The nature of the disposition of comments may vary, such as:

Note "resolved" and the manner of resolution.

[•] Note "not significant" to indicate a "no" answer is appropriate, but that the manner is not significant enough to warrant the preparation of an MFC form.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

EXPLAIN BELOW THE REASONS FOR ANY "YES" ANSWERS. BE SPECIFIC.

Based on the work performed, did anything come to your attention that caused you to believe that: The firm did not perform the engagement in all material respects in accordance YES* ____ NO ____ with professional standards? The written assertions were not presented in conformity with the established or stated criteria against which they were measured and the firm's report was not YES* ____ NO ____ appropriately modified? The firm's report was not appropriate in the circumstances? YES* ____ NO ____ The documentation on this engagement does not support the firm's report on the YES* ____ NO ____ written assertions? The firm did not comply with its policies and procedures on this engagement in YES* ____ NO ____ all material respects?

[*The next page is* 22,001.]

^{*} If this question is answered "yes," see additional guidance contained in Section 3100.51–.53 of the Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews in the AICPA Peer Review Program Manual or Section 2000.83–.89 in the SEC Practice Section Reference Manual.



22,001

Section 22,000 Engagement Checklist Supplements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

section	
22,010	Supplemental Checklist for Review of Banks and Savings Institutions Audit Engagements
2,020	Supplemental Checklist for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements
22,040	Supplemental Checklist for Review of Construction Contractor Engagements
2,060	Supplemental Checklist for Review of Common Interest Realty Associations
2,070	Supplemental Checklist for Review of Engagements of Health Care Organizations
22,080	Supplemental Checklist for Review of Audits of HUD Engagements

[*The next page is* 22,011.]



Section 22,010

Supplemental Checklist for Review of Banks and Savings Institutions Audit Engagements

Instructions for Use of the Supplemental Checklist for Review of Banks and Savings Institutions Audit Engagements

This supplemental checklist was developed for use by reviewers of banks and savings institutions audit engagements. It should be used in conjunction with the *General Audit Engagement Checklist* (audit checklist) and other guidance materials issued to implement the peer review program of the AICPA and the SEC Practice Section. The instructions to Sections I and III of the audit checklist should be used when completing the applicable sections of this supplement. Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

The questions in this checklist emphasize reporting matters and general procedures ordinarily performed by an independent auditor in the audit of financial statements of banks and savings institutions. All "No" answers must be thoroughly explained in Section VI of the audit checklist.

This checklist is not intended to be an all-inclusive document containing all disclosure and audit procedures related to the financial statements of banks and savings institutions. It is a summarization of commonly addressed key areas and related concepts or procedures. Therefore, it should be used in conjunction with various reference materials dealing with reporting, disclosure, and audit procedure issues in order to sufficiently evaluate banks and savings institutions engagements. These additional materials include the AICPA Financial Accounting and Reporting Practice Aids *Checklist and Illustrative Financial Statements for Banks and Savings Institutions* or other similarly comprehensive disclosure materials and the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Banks and Savings Institutions*, as issued in 1996 and subsequently updated for changes to conform to revised professional standards.

This is part of a series of supplemental checklists which the Institute has developed to facilitate the reviews of engagements within specialized industries. If you have any comments concerning this supplemental checklist, please forward them to AICPA Practice Monitoring.

Explanation of References:

AAGB AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Banks and Savings Institutions (reflects guidance

contained in authoritative pronouncements through May 1, 2000.)

AC Reference to section number in FASB Accounting Standards Current Text

AT Reference to section number for Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements in

AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)

AU Reference to section number for Statement on Auditing Standards in AICPA Professional

Standards (vol. 1)

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

EITF Emerging Issues Task Force Consensus

ET Code of Professional Conduct in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 2)

FAS Financial Accounting Standards Board pronouncements

FDI ACT Federal Deposit Insurance Act SOP AICPA Statement of Position

SX Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X

Contents

Section	Page
I. Report and Financial Statements ¹	
(Supplement to Section I of the <i>General Audit Engagement Checklist</i>) ² Financial Statements and Notes	22,014
II. Working Paper Areas—Banks and Savings Institutions ³	
(Supplement to Section III of the General Audit Engagements Checklist) ²	
General	22,019
Loans	22,020
Real Estate and Other Assets	22,022
Deposits	22,022
Agreed-Upon Procedures (Directors' Examinations)	22,023
Trust Services and Activities	22,023

¹ Refer to AICPA Financial Reporting Practice Aids *Checklists and Illustrative Financial Statements for Banks and Savings Institutions* or other similarly comprehensive materials; the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Banks and Savings Institutions* (see instructions on page 22,011).

 $^{^2}$ Reviewers should refer to the instructions for the applicable section included in the *General Audit Engagement Checklist*.

³ Refer to AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Banks and Savings Institutions (see instructions on page 22,011).

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Financial Statements and Notes					
Is the presentation appropriate and is the disclosure adequate regarding:	F				
Cash and Cash Equivalents? (AAGB, Ch. 4, pars. 4.06–4.08)	B101				
Loans by category (AAGB, Ch. 6, par. 6.18 and 6.48–6.49): Commercial, industrial, and agricultural?	B102				
Consumer?	B103				
Residential real estate?	B104				
Lease financing?	B105				
Trade financing?	B106				
Commercial real estate and construction?	B107				
Foreign?	B108				
Allowance for loan and credit losses? (AAGB, Ch. 7, pars. 7.26–.33)	B109				
Loans on nonaccrual basis, and the effect of such loans on income? (AAGB, Ch. 6, par. 6.47 and .50)	B110				
Domestic and foreign deposits (separately shown and disclosing interest or non-interest-bearing portions, amounts and maturities of certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or greater, large concentrations and related parties)? (AAGB, Ch. 4, par. 4.06 and Ch. 11, par. 11.32)	B111				
Federal fund purchases, securities sold under repurchase agreements and other short-term borrowings (presented at gross amounts including collateral policy)? (AAGB, Ch. 12, pars. 12.23–.33)	B112				
Exclusion of trust assets? (AAGB, Ch. 17, par. 17.12)	B113				
Are repurchase agreements presented gross, except where a right of offset exists and the conditions described in FASB Interpretation 41, Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Repurchase and Reverse Repurchase Agreements, have been met? (AAGB, Ch. 12, par. 12.30)	B114				
Trading assets and related futures contracts? (AAGB, Ch. 15, pars. 15.82–.94)	B115				
Mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities held for sale? (AC, Sec. Mo4.129–.130 and AAGB, Ch. 8, pars. 8.30–.33)	B116				
Investment securities that are held to maturity or available for sale (disclosed separately) at the aggregate amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses, and fair value? (AAGB, Ch. 5, pars. 5.102–.107)	B117				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

Loan commitments and unused lines of credit for short-term financ-	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
ing? (AAGB, Ch. 6, pars. 6.71–.73)	B118				
Real estate acquired in settlement of loans and the related valuation allowance? (AAGB, Ch. 9, pars. 9.08–.11)	B119				
"Other" assets or liabilities that individually exceed 30 percent of stockholders' equity (separately stated), if applicable? (Reg. S-X 9-03.10)	B120				
Have significant categories of borrowing been presented as separate line items in the liability section of the balance sheet? (AAGB, Ch. 13, pars. 13.27 and .32)	B121				
For institutions that have not adopted SFAS No. 133—					
For financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risks of accounting loss and off-balance-sheet credit risk (i.e., outstanding loan commitments written, standby and commercial letters of credit written, financial guarantees written, recourse obligations on receivables sold, etc.) have the following been disclosed (AC Sec. F25.103):					
The face or contract amount? The nature and terms of the instrument (including credit and market risk, cash requirements of those instruments and related accounting policy)? (AC Sec. F25.112)	B122				
For instruments with off-balance-sheet credit risk; the potential loss for failure to perform completely, and policies and information regarding collateral requirements? (AC Sec. F25.113 and AAGB, Ch. 6, par. 6.78)	B123				
For group concentrations of credit risk of all financial instruments; information about the shared activity, region, or other characteristics; exposure to loss for the group; policies regarding the requirement and the nature of existing collateral for the group? (AC Sec. F25.115)	B124				
For institutions that have adopted SFAS No. 133, has the institutions disclosed all significant concentrations of credit risk arising from all financial instruments including:					
Information about the (shared) activity, region, or economic characteristic that identifies the concentration?	B125				
Maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that, based on the gross fair value of the financial instrument the institution would incur if parties to the financial instruments that make up the concentration failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts and the collateral or other security, if any, for the amount due proved to be of no value to the entity?	B126				
The institution's policy requiring collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk, and information about the entity's access to that collateral?	B127				
The institution's policy for entering into master netting agreements to mitigate the credit risk of financial instruments and description of the associated terms, including the extent to which they would reduce the institution's maximum amount of loss due to credit risk?					
(AC F25.116A)	B128				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Has the client disclosed all significant regulatory supervisory agreements, orders or other regulatory actions? (AAGB, Ch. 2, pars. 2.51–.58)	B129				
Did the engagement team consider the adequacy of disclosure of related party transactions, including (AU Sec. 334, AC Sec. R36 and Reg. S-X 9-03.7e): Loans to insiders and affiliates?	B130				
Fees or commissions paid to officers and directors, or their affiliates?	B131				
Were financial instruments for which it is not practicable to estimate a fair value disclosed (AC Sec. F25.115C):					
Fair value of the financial instrument?	B132				
Method(s) and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value?	B133				
For financial instruments where it is not practicable to estimate a fair value, was the following disclosed (AC Sec. F25.115J):					
Information pertinent to estimating the fair value of that financial instrument or class of financial instruments, such as the carrying amount, effective interest rate, and maturity?	B134				
The reasons why it is not practicable to estimate fair value?	B135				
If FAS No. 133 has not yet been adopted and, therefore, if FAS No. 119 was applicable to this engagement, have the following been disclosed regarding derivative financial instruments by category of financial instrument (i.e., futures, forward, swap, option contracts, etc.)? (AC Sec. F25.115I, Appendix E):					
Has the entity a) segregated the fair value of derivative financial instruments from the fair value of nonderivative financial instruments and b) not netted other derivative or nonderivative financial instruments except to the extent offsetting is permitted? (AC Sec. F25.115I, Appendix E)	B136				
The face or contract amount? Nature and terms of the instrument (including credit and market risk, cash requirements and related accounting policy?) (AC Sec. F25.115L, Appendix E)	B137				
Purposes for which derivative financial instruments are held or issued (Trading versus Other)? (AC Sec. F25.115M, Appendix E)	B138				
If held or issued for trading, the average fair value and related end-of-period fair value? (Net gains or losses disaggregated by class, business activity, risk, etc.)? (AC Sec. F25.115N, Appendix E)	B139				
If held for purposes other than trading, a description of the objectives and strategies for reaching the objectives? Description of how each class of derivative financial instrument is reported and related policies? (AC Sec. F25.115O, Appendix E)	B140				
If applicable, has the institution complied with the reporting provi-					

sions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act? (FDI Act Section 36 as

Implemented by 12 CFR 363 and AAGB, Appendix D):

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Has an attestation report been issued on management's assertion about the effectiveness of the institution's internal control structure over financial reporting? (12 CFR 363.3(b))	B141				
With respect to impaired loans, if they were applicable to this engagement, have the following been disclosed:					
The recorded investment in impaired loans and the total allowance for credit losses related to impaired loans? (AC Sec. I08.118)	B142				
The activity in the allowance for credit losses account, including the balance in the allowance for credit losses account at the begin- ning and end of each period, additions charged to operations, direct write-downs charged against the allowance, and recoveries of amounts previously charged off? (AC Sec. I08.118A)	B143				
The appropriate income recognition policies and related disclosures? (AC Sec. I08.115)	B144				
For restructured loans, the impact of the multiple loan structures on the impaired loan disclosures? (EITF 96-22)	B145				
Were fair value disclosures appropriately presented for debt and equity securities? (FAS No. 115)	B146				
Have the following been disclosed regarding an impairment loss for assets to be held and used:					
Description of the impaired assets, facts and circumstances leading to the impairment, including amount of loss and method of fair market value determination and if applicable, the business segments affected? (AC Sec. I08.133)	B147				
Has the method used in determining lower or cost of market of mortgage loans been disclosed? (AC Sec. Mo4.130)?	B148				
Have the following been disclosed for the servicing of financial instruments? (AC Sec. F35.102):					
Amounts of servicing assets or liabilities recognized and amortized during the period?	B149				
Fair value of recognized servicing assets and liabilities for which it is practicable to estimate that value and the method and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value?	B150				
Risk characteristics of the underlying financial assets used to stratify recognized servicing assets for purposes of measuring impairment?	B151				
Activity in any valuation allowance account?	B152				
For fiscal years ending after December 15, 2000, has the institution disclosed the following—(AC Sec. F39.110a)					
For collateral:					
If the entity has pledged any of its assets as collateral that are not reclassified and separately reported in the statement of financial position, the carrying amount and classification of those assets as of the date of the latest statement of financial position presented?	B153				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes_	<u>No</u>	Ref.
If the entity has accepted collateral that it is permitted by contract or custom to sell or repledge, the fair value as of the date of each statement of financial position presented of that collateral and of the portion of that collateral that it has sold or repledged, and information about the sources and uses of that collateral? (FAS No. 140 , par. $17a(2)$ and $a(3)$)	B154				
For fiscal years ending after December 15, 2000, has the institution disclosed the following— If the institution has securitized, as a sales transaction, financial assets during any period presented, have the following been disclosed for each major asset type (AC Sec. F39.110c):	N				
Its accounting policies, including methodology, for initially measuring retained interest, if any, used in determining fair value?	B155				
The characteristics of securitizations and the amount of associated gain or loss from sale?	B156				
The key assumptions used in measuring the fair value of any retained interests at the time of securitization (and continuing retained interest, if any)?	B157				
Cash flows between the securitization special purpose entity and the transferor, unless reported elsewhere in the financial state- ments or notes?	B158				
If the institution has retained interests in securitized financial assets at the date of the latest statement of financial position presented, for each major asset type (AC Sec. F39.110d):					
Its accounting policies for subsequently measuring those retained interests, including the methodology?	B159				
The key assumptions used in subsequently measuring the fair value of those interests?	B160				
A sensitivity analysis or stress test showing the hypothetical effect on the fair value of any continuing retained interest of two or more unfavorable variations from the expected levels for each key assumption that is reported and a description of the objectives, methodology, and limitations of such sensitivity analysis?	B161				
For securitized assets and any other financial assets managed with securitized assets:					
The total principal amount outstanding, the amount of principal that has been derecognized, and the portion of the principal that continues to be recognized?	B162				
Delinquencies at the end of the period?	B163				
Credit losses, net of recoveries, during the period?	B164				
Has the institution disclosed the regulatory capital requirements as well as any noncompliance noted? (AAGB, Ch. 2, pars. 2.51 and 2.52)	B165				

II. WORKING PAPER AREAS — BANKS AND SAVINGS INSTITUTIONS N/A^* Yes__No_ General ☐ Not a key area Do the engagement planning and audit working papers consider: B301 Fraud? (AAGB, Ch. 3, par. 3.43) Insider abuse? (AAGB, Ch. 3, par. 3.45) B302 Results of inquiries? B303 B304 Meeting minutes? Changes in the economy and industry? B305 Understanding of regulatory examinations and review of their findings and actions? B306 (AU Secs. 316 and 317) B307 Did the independent auditor consider matters affecting the industry, such as regulatory requirements, such as required capitalization? (AU Sec. 311 and AAGB, Ch. 1, pars. 1.07 and .13) B308 Did the independent auditor review reports of significant examinations and related communications between examiners and the financial institution and, when appropriate, make inquiries of the examiners? (AAGB, Ch. 2, pars. 2.12-.16) B309 Did the independent auditor's evaluation of the client's ability to remain a going concern consider the effects of provisions of the FDI Act that affect the client's liquidity including limitations on: Acceptance of brokered deposits? B310 The availability of borrowings through the Federal Reserve dis-B311 count window? Exposure to the client posed by transactions with correspondent banks and related interbank liabilities? B312 Was the independent auditor aware that loans from the client would be in violation of ET Sec. 101-1A? (Note to reviewer: ET Sec. 101-1A does not permit exceptions based on materiality.) B313 Do the working papers indicate that events of noncompliance or expected noncompliance with regulatory requirements—including capital standards—were considered in the auditor's evaluation of the client's ability to continue as a going concern? (AU Sec. 341 and AAGB, Ch. 2, pars. 2.51 and .52) B314 If the client uses service bureaus for processing significant information (for example, mortgage servicing, general ledger and trial balances, loan deposit or credit card transactions or investment

information), do the working papers indicate that the engagement team considered the effect on the internal control structure and the

assessment of control risk? (AU Sec. 324)

B315

^{*} The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
P216				
Б310				
B317				
B318				
B319				
B320				
B321				
B322				
B323				
B324				
B325				
B326				
B327				
B328				
B329				
B330				
B331				
B332				
	B316 B317 B318 B319 B320 B321 B322 B323 B324 B325 B326 B327 B328 B329 B330 B331	B316	B316	B316

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
The extent to which loan renewals and extensions are used to maintain loans on a current basis?	B333				
Appraisals obtained on foreclosed real estate, including the qualifications, independence and findings of the appraisers?	B334				
The use of watch lists, delinquency reports and other sources of potential problems including troubled debt restructurings?	B335				
Did the work include the review of individual loan files including borrowers' financial statements, evidence of collateral and cash flow information?	B336				
Did the work include a determination of whether or not the entity had entered into acquisition, development or construction (ADC) arrangements and that such arrangements were appropriately accounted for? (Practice Bulletin 1 and EITF 86-21)	B337				
In the loan area has the audit team given consideration to:					
Testing executed notes, loan applications, financial statements of borrowers, chattels and recording of chattels, other credit information and approvals?	B338				
Providing evidence of the institution's compliance with the requirements of AC Sec. L20 (FAS No. 91)—Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases, in the engagement working papers?	B339				
Confirmation with customers?	B340				
Proper accounting recognition of unearned income, interest income, points, recognition of acquisition and other fees and requirements of AC Sec. L20 (FAS No. 91)?	B341				
Were audit procedures performed to test accrued interest receivable, unearned discount and interest income on sample groups of loans? (AAGB, Ch. 6, pars. 6.107 and .116)	B342				
Were appropriate substantive procedures performed to test loan-related financial statement amount categories? (AAGB, Ch. 6, pars. 6.104–.122)	B343				
If negative confirmation requests were used in confirmation of cash, accounts receivable, investments, loans, deposit account balances or other assets or liabilities, do the working papers indicate that (AU Sec. 330):					
The combined assessed level of inherent and control risk is low?	B344				
A large number of small balances is involved?	B345				
and,					
The auditor has no reason to believe that the recipients of the requests are unlikely to give them consideration?	B346				
and,					
Did the engagement team consider performing other substantive procedures to supplement the use of negative confirmations?	B347				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
Related to servicing rights, do the working papers document that the engagement team evaluated management's estimates of historical and future prepayments? (AU Sec. 342 and AAGB, Ch. 8, par. 8.41)	B348				
If the client has transferred receivables with recourse, did the obligations recorded under the recourse provisions include all probable credit losses? (EITF 92-2 and AC Sec. F38.167)	B349				
Was an appropriate evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and the selection of loans to be evaluated, documented and then performed? (AAGB, Ch. 7, pars. 7.34–.38 and .40–.47)	B350				
Based on the assessment of control risk, do the substantive tests of loans appear reasonable? (AU Sec. 319)	B351				
Real Estate and Other Assets (AAGB, Chs. 9 and 10)					
□ Not a key area					
If real estate or other assets acquired through foreclosure are significant to the client, were:					
Carrying values properly recorded, classified, and disclosed in the financial statements? (SOP 92-3 [TPA Sec. 10,510])	B352				
Continuing carrying values assessed?	B353				
Loans restructured by the client properly recorded under the principles of AC Sec. D22?	B354				
Were sales of foreclosed real estate and other assets financed by the institution tested for proper accounting treatment? (SOP 92-3 [TPA Sec. 10,510], and FAS No. 66)	B355				
Based on the assessment of control risk, do the substantive tests of real estate and other assets appear reasonable? (AU Sec. 319)	B356				
Deposits (AAGB, Ch. 11) ☐ Not a key area					
Were appropriate audit procedures performed to test the underlying					
balances of the subsidiary deposit records with the general ledger control accounts? (AAGB, Ch. 11, par. 11.41)	B357				
Were deposit accounts confirmed and appropriate follow-up steps taken, including second requests and alternative procedures? (AU Sec. 330 and AAGB, Ch. 11, pars. 11.42 and .43)	B358				
Was accrued interest payable, interest expense and service charge income tested in connection with the audit of deposits? (AAGB, Ch. 11, par. 11.44)	B359				
Were appropriate substantive procedures performed to test deposit- related financial statement amount categories? (AAGB, Ch. 11, par. 11.45)	B360				
Based on the assessment of control risk, do the substantive tests of deposit accounts appear reasonable? (AU Sec. 319)	B361				

Trust Services and Activities (AAGB, Ch. 17)

Were the audit procedures directed to uncover the existence of contingent liabilities arising from trust department operations and the bank's fiduciary responsibilities? (AAGB, Ch. 17, par. 11.19)

B368 ____ ___

[*The next page is* 22,025.]



Section 22,020

Supplemental Checklist for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements

Instructions for Use of the Supplemental Checklist for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements

This checklist was developed for use by reviewers of audits of entities receiving federal government awards or grants that require audits under the *Single Audit Amendments Act of 1996* and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) 1997 revision of Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. This checklist should be used in conjunction with the Section 20,500, *Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist or* the Section 20,600, *Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist*. In some circumstances it may also be used to supplement the Section 20,400, *General Audit Engagement Checklist*.

The questions in this checklist have been derived in part from the *Uniform Quality Control Review Guide for A-133 Audits*, 1999 Edition, prepared by the President's Council on Integrity & Efficiency, for use by federal government cognizant agencies when conducting quality control reviews of single audits. Reviewers may also wish to refer to the U.S. General Accounting Office's *Government Auditing Standards* (1994 Revision, amended through Amendment No. 2) (the "Yellow Book"), the AICPA Statement of Position (SOP) 98-3, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Not-for-Profit Organizations Receiving Federal Awards*, as issued in 1998 and subsequently updated for changes to conform to revised AICPA *Professional Standards*, and the AICPA Practice Aid, *Auditing Recipients of Federal Awards: Practical Guidance for Applying OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments*, and *Non-Profit Organizations*.

SOP 98-3 is only available in the AICPA *Technical Practice Aids* at Section 11,320 and in the AICPA Audit Guides, *Audits of State and Local Governmental Units* in Appendix M and *Not-for-Profit Organizations* in Appendix D.

Reviewers of audits of governmental entities' or not-for-profit organizations' HUD projects should not complete the *Supplemental Checklist for Review of Audits of HUD Engagements*, but instead should complete this checklist.

Reviewers should adapt this checklist to fit specific engagements.

All "no" answers must be thoroughly explained in the Section provided in the applicable audit checklist.

These materials should be used in conjunction with other guidance materials issued to implement the peer review program of the AICPA and the SEC Practice Section. Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

Explanation of References:

A-133	Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations (SOP 98-3, Appendix B)
AU	Reference to section number in AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)
GAS	Government Auditing Standards (1994 Revision, amended through Amendment No. 2), United States General Accounting Office AICPA Statement of Position (SOPs may be found in AICPA Technical Practice Aids (TPA))
SOP	AICPA Statement of Position (SOPs may be found in AICPA Technical Practice Aids (TPA))
	SECTION

4-02	Supplemental Checklist for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements	22,027
	Contents	
Section	n	Page
I.	Government Auditing Standards	20,028
II.	Single Audit Requirements	
	Determination of Major Programs	20,031
	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	20,033
	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	20,033
	Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on	
	Internal Control over Compliance	20,035
	Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	20,035
	Other	20,037

I. GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.**
Does the auditor's (firm's) understanding with the client include:					
Providing the firm's most recent (within the last three years) external quality control review report to the client? (GAS pars. 3.33 and 3.36)	GA101				
Arrangements for access to the working papers by other auditors and oversight officials as applicable? (GAS pars. 4.36, .38 and A-133.515(b))	GA102				
Does the auditor (firm) have policies for the safe custody and retention of working papers? (GAS par. 6.65 and A-133.515(b))	GA103				
Does the auditor's report on financial statements include reference to generally accepted auditing standards and to the standards for financial audits contained in <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States? (GAS par. 5.11)	GA104				
When reporting separately on compliance with laws and regulations and internal control over financial reporting, did the auditor disclose in the auditor's report on the financial statements 1) the issuance of that additional report, 2) that the additional report is an integral part of a GAS audit, and 3) in considering the results of the audit, the separate report should be read along with the auditor's report on the financial statements? (GAS, pars. 5.16–5.16.1)	GA105				
If certain information is prohibited from general disclosure, did the auditor's report state the nature of the information omitted and the requirement that makes the omission necessary? (GAS par. 5.29)	GA106				
Did the auditor's report on compliance and on internal control over financial reporting based upon an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> to include all required elements as follows (GAS 5.17, SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.39]):					
A reference to the auditor's report on the entity's financial statements and, if applicable, a description of any departures from the standard report? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>a</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.39 <i>a</i>])	GA107				
A statement that the auditor has performed tests of the entity's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39c [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.39c])	GA108				
A statement that the objective of an audit is not to provide an opinion on compliance with laws and regulations, etc.? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>d</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.39 <i>d</i>])	GA109				
A statement indicating whether the auditor's tests disclosed instances of noncompliance required to be reported under <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> , and a description of the noncompliance, or reference to a schedule of findings? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>e</i> [TPA					
Sec. 11,320-10.39 <i>e</i>])	GA110				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages at the end of the checklist to which this supplement relates.

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
If applicable, a statement that certain immaterial instances of non-compliance were communicated to management in a separate letter? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39f [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.39f])	GA111				
If applicable, a statement that reportable conditions were noted and the definition of a reportable condition? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>h</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.39 <i>h</i>])	GA112				
Appropriate language when no reportable conditions were noted? (AU Sec. 325.17 prohibits issuing a written report stating that no reportable conditions were noted.) (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>i</i> [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.39 <i>i</i>])	GA113				
The definition of a material weakness? (SOP 98-3, par. $10.39k$ [TPA Sec. $11,320-10.39k$])	GA114				
If applicable, a statement that other matters involving the internal control over financial reporting were communicated to management in a separate letter? (SOP 98-3, par. $10.39m$ [TPA Sec. $11,320-10.39m$])	GA115				
A statement indicating the report is intended solely for the information and use of specified bodies and, if applicable, pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified bodies? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 <i>n</i> and AU Sec. 532 [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.39 <i>n</i>])	GA116				
When illegal acts involve funds received from other governmental entities, did the auditors assure themselves that the audited entity notified the proper official of those entities within a reasonable time? (GAS pars. 5.21–.24 and SOP 98-3, pars. 10.23 and .24 [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.23 and .24])	GA117				
If the entity did not, or was unable to do so because the top official was involved, has the auditor reported these acts to the officials of those other governmental entities, and to the appropriate oversight body? (GAS pars. 5.21–.24 and SOP 98-3, pars. 10.23–.25 [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.23–.25])	GA118				
If appropriate, was the scope section of the reports properly modified to disclose that an applicable government auditing standard was not followed, the reasons therefore and the known effect of not following the standard on the audit results? (GAS par. 5.12)	GA119				
When appropriate, did the auditor report fraud, abuse, or illegal acts, or indications of such acts? (GAS pars. 5.21–.25 and SOP 98-3, pars. 10.23 and .24 [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.23 and .24])	GA120				
Did the report(s) disclose the status of all known, but uncorrected significant or material findings and recommendations from prior audits that affect current audit objectives? (GAS par. 4.10 and SOP 98-3, par. 10.62 [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.62])	GA121				
Did the auditor document communication of those nonreportable conditions in internal control not included in the required reports? (GAS par. 5.20 and SOP 98-3, par. 10.29 [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.29])	GA122				

Were findings presented in accordance with the guidance in the <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> ? (GAS pars. 5.21–5.28 and SOP 98-3, par. 10.39 [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.39]) Do the working papers contain sufficient information to enable an experienced auditor having no previous connection with the audit to ascertain from them the evidence that supports the auditor's significant conclusions and judgements? (GAS par. 4.35 and SOP 98-3, pars. 3.20–.22 [TPA Sec. 11,320-3.20–.22]) Are appropriate personnel in compliance with the Yellow Book continuing professional education (CPE) requirements? (GAS par. 4.35 and SOP 98-3, pars. 3.10 and .11 [TPA Sec. 11,320-3.10–.11]) GA125	 	
experienced auditor having no previous connection with the audit to ascertain from them the evidence that supports the auditor's significant conclusions and judgements? (GAS par. 4.35 and SOP 98-3, pars. 3.20–.22 [TPA Sec. 11,320-3.20–.22]) Are appropriate personnel in compliance with the Yellow Book continuing professional education (CPE) requirements? (GAS par. 4.35	 	
Is the audit firm in compliance with the external quality control review requirements of the Yellow Book? (GAS par. 4.35 and SOP 98-3, pars. 3.12 and .13 [TPA Sec. 11,320-3.1213]) GA126		
Was appropriate assurance of independence considered on three levels: personal, external and organizational, and did the auditor maintain an independent attitude and appearance? (GAS pars. 3.11–.25) GA127	 	
Did the auditor (firm) meet the licensing requirements of the jurisdiction where the entity is located? (GAS par. 3.10(e)(2)) GA128	 	
Did the auditor obtain written representations from management regarding the completeness of management's identification of laws and regulations that have a material effect on the financial statements and compliance with the requirements of federal awards programs? (AU Sec. 801.07(c) and SOP 98-3, pars. 4.40 and 6.68–.70 [TPA Sec. 11,320-4.40 and 6.68–.70]) GA129	 	
Did the auditor communicate the following information related to the conduct and reporting of the audit to the audit committee or to individuals with whom they have contracted for the audit:		
Auditor's responsibilities and certain other matters (GAS par. 5.7a and SOP 98-3, par. 10.16a [TPA Sec. 11,320-10.16a])? GA130	 	
Nature of any additional testing of internal controls and compliance required by laws and regulations? (GAS par. 5.7b) GA131	 	
During the planning phase of the audit, did the auditor document in the work papers the basis for assessing control risk at the maximum level for assertions related to material account balances, transaction classes and disclosure components in the financial statements when such assertions are significantly dependent on computerized information systems? (GAS par. 4.21.3-4) GA132	 	
Did the auditor document the consideration that the planned audit procedures were designed to achieve audit objectives and reduce audit risk to an acceptable level? (GAS, Ch. 4, par. 4.21.4) GA133	 	
Document in the work papers specific communication with the auditee and the audit committee regarding the nature and extent of planned testing and reporting on compliance and internal control work to be performed under <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> ? (GAS, Ch. 4, pars. 4.6.3–4.6.9) GA134		

II. SINGLE AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Determination of Major Programs					
Were written management representations obtained concerning ma-	1				
terial federal awards covering the following areas (SOP 98-3, pars. 6.68 and .69 [TPA Sec. 11,320-6.68–.69]):	E				
Identification and completeness of the list of federal award programs?	SA101				
Compliance with federal requirements?	SA102				
Identification of known instances of noncompliance?	SA103				
If the auditor did not use a risk-based approach to determining major federal programs as allowed for first-year audits (A-133.520(i) and SOP 98-3, par. 7.20 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.20]):					
Was deviation from a risk-based approach acceptable?	SA104				
Did the audit of major programs include at least 50 percent (25 percent for a low-risk entity) of the total federal awards expended as required by the percentage-of-coverage rule?	SA105				
Did the auditor identify, and label as Type A programs, the federal programs with expenditures of federal awards during the audit period exceeding the larger of (A-133.520(b) and SOP 98-3, par. 7.5 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.5]):					
\$300,000 or 3 percent of total federal awards expended (when total federal awards expended were between \$300,000 and \$100 million)?	SA106				
\$3 million or .3 percent of total federal awards expended (when total federal awards expended were between \$100 million and \$10 billion)?	SA107				
\$30 million or .15 percent of total federal awards expended (when total federal awards expended exceeded \$10 billion)?	SA108				
Were the remaining programs labeled as Type B? (A-133.520 and SOP 98-3, par. 7.6 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.6])	SA109				
When identifying Type A programs, were noncash awards, including loans or loan guarantees, which significantly affect the number or size of Type A programs considered as Type A, and their values excluded in determining other Type A programs? (A-133.520(b)(3) and SOP 98-3, par. 7.7 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.7])	SA110				
Did the auditor perform and document procedures to identify low-risk Type A programs? (A-133.520(c)(1) and SOP 98-3, pars. 7.10–.12 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.10–.12])	SA111				
Were all Type A programs that were identified as low-risk (A-133.520(c)(l) and SOP 98-3, pars. 7.10–.12 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.10–.12]):					
Audited as a major program in at least one of the two most recent audit periods?	SA112				
Free of audit findings under A-133.510(a)(1), (2), or (5) in the last audit period?	SA113				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
If there were audit findings under A-133.510(a)(3), (4), (6) or (7), did the working papers document the basis for the auditor's judgment that such findings did not preclude a Type A program from being low risk?	SA114				
Based on a documented risk assessment showing that the auditor applied professional judgment and considered the criteria in A-133.525(c), .525(d)(1), (2) and (3), the results of audit follow-up, and any changes in personnel or systems? (A-133.520(c)(1))	SA115				
Did the auditor consider the criteria in A-133 when performing the risk assessments on Type B programs? (A-133.520(d) and SOP 98-3, par. 7.14 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.14])	SA116				
At a minimum, did the auditor audit all of the following as major programs (A-133.215(c) and .520(e) and SOP 98-3, par 7.17 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.17]):					
All Type A programs not identified as low risk?	SA117				
At least one half of the Type B programs identified as high risk, or at least one high-risk Type B program was audited for each low-risk Type A program? [The auditor is not required to audit more high-risk Type B programs than the number of Type A programs identified as low-risk.]	SA118				
	SA119				
Programs to be audited as major based on a federal agency request? Such additional programs as may be necessary to comply with the percentage-of-coverage rule requiring audits of programs of at least 50 percent (25 percent for a low-risk entity) of the total federal awards expended? (A-133.520(f) and SOP 98-3, par. 7.24 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.24])	SA119				
If the entity was considered a low-risk entity, did the working papers evidence that the auditor determined that the following conditions were met for each of the preceding 2 years (A-133.530 and SOP 98-3, par. 7.25 [TPA Sec. 11,320-7.25]):					
Annual single audits were performed on the entity being audited or if a biennial audit, prior approval was obtained from cognizant or oversight agency [<i>Note:</i> An entity does not meet the criteria for a low risk entity if it was included in the single audit of a different					
entity for either of the prior two year's audits.]	SA121				
The auditor's opinions on the financial statements and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards were unqualified or qualifications were waived by the cognizant or oversight agency?	SA122				
There were no deficiencies in internal control which were identified as material weaknesses under the requirements of <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> or findings were waived by the cognizant or oversight agency?	SA123				
None of the federal programs had audit findings from any of the following in either of the preceding two years (or, in the case of biennial audits, preceding two audit periods) in which they were classified as Type A programs:					
Internal control deficiencies which were identified as material	S A 124				

Noncompliance with the provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements which have a material effect on the	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Type A program?	SA125				
Known or likely questioned costs that exceed five percent of the total federal awards expended for a Type A program during the year?	SA126				
Did the auditor document in the working papers their risk analysis used to determine major programs? (A-133.520(g))	SA127				
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Do the working papers evidence that the auditor:	N				
Performed procedures to determine that the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented fairly in all material respects in relation to the entity's financial statements taken as a whole? (SOP 98-3, par. 5.1 [TPA Sec. 11,320-5.1])	SA128				
Assessed the appropriateness and completeness of the entity's identification of federal programs included in the Schedule? (SOP 98-3, par. 5.4 [TPA Sec. 11,320-5.4])	SA129				
Considered whether a reportable condition existed if the entity was unable to identify federally funded expenditures separately? (SOP 98-3, par. 5.4 [TPA Sec. 11,320-5.4])	SA130				
Determined that the entity properly disclosed the basis of accounting and the significant accounting policies used in preparing the Schedule? (SOP 98-3, par. 5.5 [TPA Sec. 11,320-5.5])	SA131				
Determined that the entity was able to reconcile amounts presented in the Schedule to amounts in the financial statements? (SOP 98-3, par. 5.5 [TPA Sec. 11,320-5.5])	SA132				
Does the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards:					
List individual federal programs by federal agency and for a cluster, list individual federal programs within a cluster of programs? (A-133.310(b)(1) and SOP 98-3, par. 5.6 [TPA Sec. 11,320-5.6])	SA133				
Include, for federal awards received as a subrecipient, the name of the pass-through entity and the identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity? (A-133.310(b)(2) and SOP 98-3, par. 5.6 [TPA Sec. 11,320-5.6])	SA134				
Provide the total federal awards expended for each individual federal program and the CFDA number or other identifying number when the CFDA number is not available? (A-133.310(b)(3) and SOP 98-3 par. 5.6 [TPA Sec. 11,320-5.6])	SA135				
Include, in either the Schedule or a note to the Schedule, the value of federal awards expended in the form of noncash assistance, the amount of insurance in effect during the year, and loan guarantees outstanding at year end? (A-133.310(b)(6) and SOP 98-3, par. 5.6 [TPA Sec. 11,320-5.6])	SA136				
Audits of Major Programs					
Did the auditor, in designing audit tests and developing an opinion on major program compliance, apply the concept of materiality in relation to each major program? (SOP 98-3, pars. 3.45, 6.14 and, .15 [TPA Sec. 11,320-3.45, 6.14, and .15])	SA137				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Did the auditor consider noncompliance, both individually and when aggregated, in determining whether to express a qualified or adverse opinion on compliance? (SOP 98-3, pars. 3.38 and 6.15)	SA138				
Did the auditor document an understanding of the five components of internal control (control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring) for each of the fourteen compliance requirements that are material to the major program, sufficient to plan the audit to support a low assessed level of control risk? (A-133.500(c)(1) and SOP 98-3, pars. 8.7 and 8.9–11)	E \$A139				
Did the auditor ascertain the principal compliance requirements, including referring to the applicable Compliance Supplement sections, to determine the nature and extent of compliance requirements to test? (SOP 98-3, pars. 6.23, 6.24, and 8.12)	SA140				
Did the auditor plan the testing of internal controls over major programs to support a low assessed level of control risk for the assertions relevant to each material compliance requirement for the major program? (A-133.500(c)(2)(i) and SOP 98-3, par. 8.16)	SA141				
Did the auditor perform the planned testing of internal control? (A-133.500(c)(2)(ii) and SOP 98-3, par. 8.16)	SA142				
In the judgment of the reviewer, was the nature and extent of the documented tests of controls sufficient to enable the auditor to reach a conclusion on the effectiveness of the internal control over compliance for preventing or detecting noncompliance relevant to the material compliance requirements for major programs? (SOP 98-3, par. 8.10)	SA143				
If the auditor omitted testing of controls for any material requirement because the auditor concluded that the internal control over compliance was likely to be ineffective, did the report or workpapers include both of the following (A-133.500(c)(3) and SOP 98-3, pars. 8.20, .21 and 8.24):					
A reportable condition as part of the audit findings?	SA144				
Control risk assessed at the maximum and a consideration of whether additional tests were required?	SA145				
Do the working papers evidence that the auditor's determination of whether a deficiency in internal control was a reportable condition, was made in relation to a type of compliance requirement for a major program or an audit objective identified in the Compliance Supplement? (A-133.510(a)(1) and SOP 98-3, par. 8.26)	SA146				
Were all reportable conditions in the internal control over major programs reported as audit findings? (A-133.510(a)(1) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.63a)	SA147				
Did the auditor identify all direct and material compliance requirements for the major program? (A-133.500(d)(3) and SOP 98-3, pars. 6.20, .21, and 6.27–.30)	SA148				
If not, in the reviewer's judgment, was the lack of coverage justified? (A-133.500(d)(3) and SOP 98-3, pars. 6.20, .21, and 6.27–.30)	SA149				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
Do the working papers adequately document the work performed and the conclusions reached? (AU 339.05)	SA150				
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance					
Does the report on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program contain the required elements, including:	E				
A statement that the auditor has audited the compliance of the entity with the types of compliance requirements applicable to each of its major programs? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.46a)	SA151				
A statement that the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> and Circular A-133? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.46d)	SA152				
If instances of noncompliance were noted that resulted in an opinion modification, a reference to a description of the related findings in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.46i)	SA153				
An opinion on whether the entity complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements that are applicable to each of its major programs? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.46j)	SA154				
If applicable, a statement that instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133 were disclosed? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.46k)	SA155				
If applicable, a reference to a description of reportable conditions noted in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, including the reference number of the findings (SOP 98-3, par. 10.460)	SA156				
If there are reportable conditions, a statement about whether the auditor believes any of the reportable conditions are material weaknesses and if there are any, a reference to a description of the material weaknesses? (SOP 98-3, par. 10.46r)	SA157				
Does the auditor's report on financial statements include a statement indicating the report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified bodies and, if applicable, pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified bodies? (AU Sec. 532)	SA158				
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs					
Does the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs include a summary of audit results section, including the following elements:					
Identification of the entity's major programs? (A-133.505(d) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.56a)	SA159				
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and B programs? (A-133 .505(d)(viii) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.56a)	SA160				
Whether the entity qualified as a low-risk entity? (A-133.505(d)(ix) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.56a)	SA161				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Are the federal findings presented in sufficient detail as to:	11.50				
Federal program and specific federal award identification including the CFDA title and number, federal award number and year, etc. (A-133.510(b)(2) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.64a)	SA162				
The criteria or specific requirement upon which the audit finding is based? (A-133.510(b)(2) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.64b)	SA163				
The condition found, including facts that support the deficiency identified in the audit finding? (A-133.510(b)(3) and SOP 98-3 par. 10.64c)	SA164				
Identification of questioned costs and how they were computed? (A-133.510(b)(4) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.64d)	SA165				
Recommendations to prevent future occurrences of the deficiency identified in the audit finding? (A-133.510(b)(7) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.64g)	SA166				
Views of the responsible officials of the entity when there is a disagreement with the audit findings? (A-133.510(b)(8) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.64h)	SA167				
Did the auditor report all findings and questioned costs identified in the audit working papers which met any of the following conditions (A-133.510(a)(2-7) and SOP 98-3, pars. 10.63(a–g)):					
Deficiencies in internal controls over major programs that the auditor considers reportable conditions?	SA168				
Material noncompliance with the provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements related to a major program?	SA169				
Known questioned costs when the known or likely questioned costs exceed \$10,000 for a type of compliance requirement for a major program?	SA170				
Known questioned costs, which exceed \$10,000 for a federal program which is not audited as a major program?	SA171				
Known fraud affecting a federal award unless the fraud was already reported in accordance with government auditing standards?	SA172				
Instances where the results of audit follow-up procedures disclosed that the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings prepared by the entity materially misrepresents the status of any prior audit finding?	SA173				
Was the nature and extent of audit testing adequate to meet the audit objectives for all material compliance requirements and do the results support the auditor's compliance opinion each major program? (A-133.500(d)(4) and SOP 98-3, pars. 6.36–.47)	SA174				
Did the auditor follow-up on prior audit findings and determine whether the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings was reasonable and included the following (A-133.500e and SOP 98-3, par. 10.69):					
For fully corrected audit findings, a description of the audit finding and that corrective action was taken?	SA175				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
For audit findings not corrected or partially corrected, report as a current year audit finding including a description of the audit finding and of the planned corrective action and any partial corrective action taken?	SA176				
For corrective actions taken which differed significantly from that previously reported, the reason for the change?	SA177				
For audit findings which are no longer valid or don't warrant further action (audit findings which do not warrant further action are those for which two years have passed since the audit report in which the finding was reported, the federal agency is not currently following up with the entity in reference to that finding and a management decision was not issued), a description of the audit finding and the reason why it is no longer valid or further action is not warranted?	N SA178				
Other Did the auditor consider the potential projected effect of noncompliance individually and in the aggregate in forming the auditor's opinions on the financial statements, Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, and compliance? (AU Secs. 801.20 and 350.26 and SOP 98-3, pars. 6.53, 6.55, and 10.42)	SA179				
Did the auditor properly complete the appropriate portions of the Data Collection Form? (A-133.320(b)(3) and SOP 98-3, par. 10.72)	SA180				
Has the auditor reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards? (SOP 98-3, pars. 10.3537)	SA181				
For program-specific audits (SOP 98-3, pars. 11.4–.7):					
Was a funding agency audit guide used?	SA182				
If not, was the audit conducted as if it were a major program?	SA183				

[The next page is 22,041.]



Section 22,040

Supplemental Checklist for Review of Construction Contractor Engagements

Instructions for Use of the Supplemental Checklist for Review of Construction Contractor Engagements

This supplemental checklist was developed for use by reviewers of construction contractor engagements. It should be used in conjunction with the *General Audit Engagement Checklist* (audit checklist) or, where appropriate, the *General Compilation Engagement Checklist* (compilation checklist) or the *General Review Engagement Checklist* (review checklist) and other guidance materials issued to implement the peer review program. The instructions to sections I and III of the audit checklist, or sections I and III of the compilation or review checklist, should be used when completing the applicable sections of this supplement. Questions regarding these instructions, or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

The questions in this checklist emphasize reporting matters and general procedures ordinarily performed by an independent auditor/accountant in an audit, review, or compilation of the financial statements of construction contractors. However, when completing section II, "Working Paper Areas", some questions may not apply to compilation or review engagements. Care should be taken to tailor the questions to fit the level of service being reviewed. All "No" answers must be thoroughly explained in Section VI of the audit checklist or Section V of the compilation checklist, or Section IV of the review checklist.

This checklist is not intended to be an all-inclusive document containing all disclosures and audit procedures related to construction contractors. It is a summarization of commonly addressed key areas and related concepts or procedures. Therefore, it should be used in conjunction with various reference materials dealing with reporting, disclosure, and procedural issues in order to sufficiently evaluate construction contractor engagements. These additional materials include the AICPA Financial Reporting Practice Aid *Checklist and Illustrative Financial Statements for Construction Contractors*, or other similarly comprehensive disclosure materials, and the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Construction Contractors*, as issued in 1981 and subsequently updated for changes to conform to revised professional standards.

This is part of a series of supplemental checklists which the Institute is developing to facilitate the reviews of engagements within specialized industries. If you have any comments concerning this supplemental checklist, please forward them to AICPA Practice Monitoring.

Explanation of References:

CC AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors (reflects guidance contained

in authoritative pronouncements through May 1, 2000)

SOP AICPA Statement of Position

TPA Technical Practice Aid

Supplemental Checklist for Review of Construction Contractor Engagements Contents

Section	1	Page
I.	Report and Financial Statements ¹	
	(Supplement to Section I of the General Audit Engagement Checklist) ²	
	Financial Statements and Notes	22,043
II.	Working Paper Areas—Construction Contractors ³	
	(Supplement to Section III of the General Audit Engagement Checklist) ²	
	Contract Costs, Revenues and Income	22,046
	Contracts Receivable	22,046
	Other	22.046

¹ Refer to—the AICPA Financial Reporting Practice Aid *Checklist Supplement and Illustrative Financial Statements for Construction Contractors*, or other similarly comprehensive materials, and the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Construction Contractors* (see instructions on page 22,041).

² Reviewers should refer to the instructions for the applicable section included in the *General Audit Engagement Checklist* or the appropriate section of the compilation or review checklist.

³ Refer to—the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Construction Contractors and AICPA Statement of Position 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts (see instructions on page 22,041).

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_ <u>No_</u>	Ref.**
Financial Statements and Notes					
Is the presentation appropriate and is the disclosure adequate regarding the following:					
General PRACTIC	E				
The method of measuring extent of progress toward completion of contracts when the percentage-of-completion method is used? (SOP 81-1, par. 45 [TPA Sec. 10,330.45], and CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.21 <i>c</i> , 1)	CN101				
The reasons for selecting the completed-contract method if that method is used? (SOP 81-1, pars. 31 and 32 [TPA Sec. 10,330.31 and 32], and CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.21 <i>c</i> , 2)	CN102				
The specific criteria used to determine when a contract is substantially complete when the completed-contract method is used? (SOP 81-1, par. 52)	CN103				
Policies relating to combining and segmenting contracts, if applicable? (SOP 81-1, pars. 39–42)	CN104				
Method of reporting affiliated entities? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.21a)	CN105				
The range of contract durations if the operating cycle exceeds one year? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.21 <i>b</i>)	CN106				
Method of reporting joint venture investments along with other joint venture disclosures? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.21 <i>d</i>)	CN107				
Unapproved change orders, claims, or similar items subject to uncertainty concerning their determination or ultimate realization (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.21 <i>e</i> , 1):					
The aggregate amount included in contract costs?	CN108				
A description of the nature and status of the principal items comprising such aggregate amounts?	CN109				
The basis on which such items are recorded?	CN110				
The policy of deferral and the amounts involved for costs deferred either in anticipation of future sales (precontract costs) or as a result of an unapproved change order? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.21f)	CN111				
The amount of progress payments netted against contract costs at the date of the balance sheet? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.21 <i>e</i> , 2)	CN112				
The effect of significant revisions of estimates where the effect is material? (SOP 81-1, par. 84)	CN113				
The use of certain significant estimates pertaining to amounts reported for long-term contracts? (SOP 94-6, pars. 12–19)	CN114				
If the contractor elects to present backlog information, does it appear reasonable? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.23)	CN115				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of the checklist if no MFC was generated noting the page number in the Ref. column.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
If the contractor elects to present supplemental information accompanying the basic financial statements such as contracts in progress and/or completed contracts, does it appear reasonable? (CC, Appendix G)	CN116				
Consideration of any state statutes and government prequalification reporting requirements affecting construction contractors? (CC, Ch. 11, pars. 11.14 and 11.15)	CN117				
Provision for losses on a contract where it is material in amount, or unusual or infrequent in nature. Are such losses shown separately as a component of cost included in the computation of gross profit? (SOP 81-1, par. 88)	CN118				
Balance Sheet					
Was a classified balance sheet properly considered and, if so, were the appropriate contract-related items correctly classified? (CC, Ch. 6, pars. 6.01–6.28)	CN119				
Was information on revenue and costs arising from claims disclosed as a contingent asset? (SOP 81-1, pars. 65–67)	CN120				
For unapproved change orders, claims, or similar items subject to uncertainty concerning their determination or ultimate realization whether billed or unbilled (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.24):					
The amount included in receivables?	CN121				
A description of the nature and status of the principal items comprising such amounts?	CN122				
Amounts expected to be collected after one year?	CN123				
Has disclosure been made of the prerequisites for billings, of amounts representing the recognized sales value of performance under contracts in which the amounts had not been billed and were not billable to customers at the balance-sheet date, including amounts to be collected after one year? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.25)	CN124				
If receivables include amounts maturing after one year, has the following been disclosed (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.27):					
The amount maturing after one year and, if practicable, the amounts maturing in each year?	CN125				
Interest rates on major receivable items, or on classes of receivables maturing after one year, or an indication of the average interest rate or the range of rates on all receivables?	CN126				
Are amounts billed but not paid by customers under retainage provisions in contracts included in receivables properly classified? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.28)	CN127				
If costs and estimated earnings exceed billings in some contracts, and billings exceed costs and estimated earnings on others, are the contracts segregated so that figures on the asset side include only those on which costs and estimated earnings exceed billings, and those on the liability side include only those on which billings exceed costs and estimated earnings? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.18)	CN128				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Are billings and related costs and estimated earnings presented sepa-					
rately either by short extension of the amounts on the balance sheet					
or in the notes to the financial statements? (CC, Ch. 6, par. 6.18)	CN129				
Are billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings classified as a current liability, except to the extent billings exceed total estimated costs at completion of the contract plus contract profits earned to date, in which case are they classified as deferred income? (CC, Ch. 6, par.	CE				
SECTIO	CN130				

II. WORKING PAPER AREAS—CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTORS⁴

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes	_No_	Ref.**
Contract Costs, Revenues, and Income	1				
Is each contract a profit center for revenue recognition, cost accumulation, and income measurement, or are contracts properly combined or segmented? (SOP 81-1, pars. 34–42 [TPA Sec. 10,330.34–42])	CN301				
When accounting for contract costs and revenues, have the appropriate procedures been performed regarding (SOP 81-1, pars. 68–81 [TPA Sec. 10,330.68–.81]; CC, Ch. 10, pars. 10.29–.50):	N				
Proper accounting recognition of accumulated contract costs?	CN302				
Back charges?	CN303				
Estimated costs to complete?	CN304				
Proper evaluation of the acceptability of the method of income recognition?	CN305				
Reasonableness of the amount and timing of income recognized?	CN306				
Did the work include examining a representative sample of the contractor's outstanding contracts and evaluating the contractor's internal accounting control? (CC, Ch. 9, pars. 9.03–.14)	CN307				
Contracts Receivable					
Did the contracts receivable evaluation consider or include (CC, Ch. 10, par. 10.07):					
Unbilled receivables?	CN308				
Retentions?	CN309				
Unapproved change orders, extras, and claims?	CN310				
Contract scope changes?	CN311				
Contract guarantees and cancellation or postponement provisions?	CN312				
Collectibility?	CN313				
Other					
Was an appropriate evaluation of the provision for anticipated losses on contracts made? (SOP 81-1, pars. 85–89 [TPA Sec. 10,330.85–.89])	CN314				
Did the work include an analysis of gross profit margins? (CC, Ch. 10, pars. 10.54 and .55)	CN315				

⁴ Some questions in this section may not apply to compilation or review engagements. Care should be taken to tailor the questions to fit the level of service being reviewed.

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of the checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Were procedures performed and results documented, as applicable, with respect to the accounting recognition and reporting of:					
Change orders and claims? (SOP 81-1, pars. 61–63, 65–67 [TPA Sec. 10,330.61–.63 and .65–.67])	CN316				
Investments in construction joint ventures? (CC, Ch. 3, pars. 3.01–.27)	CN317				
Revisions, if any, in revenue, cost or profit estimates? (SOP 81-1, pars. 82 and 83 [TPA Sec. 10,330.82 and .83])	CN318				
Is the distinction between long-term and short-term construction contracts appropriate? (TPA Sec. 6700.01)	CN319				

[*The next page is 22,061.*]



Section 22,060

Supplemental Checklist for Review of Common Interest Realty Associations

Instructions for Use of the Supplemental Checklist for Review of Common Interest Realty Associations

This supplemental checklist was developed for use by reviewers of Common Interest Realty Associations (CIRAs) audit engagements. It should be used in conjunction with either the *Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist* or, if the entity does not use fund reporting, the *General Audit Engagement Checklist* (audit checklists) and other guidance materials issued to implement the peer review program. The instructions to Sections I and III of the audit checklists should be used when completing the applicable sections of this supplement. Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

The questions in this checklist emphasize reporting matters and general procedures ordinarily performed by an independent auditor in the examination of financial statements of CIRAs. It is not intended to be an all-inclusive document containing all disclosure and audit procedures related to CIRAs. It is a summarization of commonly addressed key areas and related concepts or procedures. All "No" answers must be thoroughly explained in Section VI of the audit checklists.

This checklist should be used in conjunction with various reference materials dealing with reporting, disclosure, and procedural issues in order to sufficiently evaluate CIRA engagements. These additional materials include the AICPA Financial Reporting Practice Aid *Checklists and Illustrative Financial Statements for Common Interest Realty Associations*, or other similarly comprehensive disclosure materials, and the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Common Interest Realty Associations*, as issued in 1991 and subsequently updated for changes to conform to revised professional standards.

This is part of a series of supplemental checklists which the Institute intends to develop to facilitate the reviews of engagements within specialized industries. If you have any comments concerning this supplemental checklist, please forward them to AICPA Practice Monitoring.

Explanation of References:

AU References to section number in AICPA *Professional Standards* (vol. 1)

CIRA AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Common Interest Realty Associations (reflects guidance

contained in authoritative pronouncements through May 1, 2000)

SOP AICPA Statement of Position

¹ Reviewers of CIRA review and compilation engagements may find Section I of this supplemental checklist useful in addition to the *General Compilation Engagement Checklist*, and the *General Review Checklist*. Reviewers should also refer to Chapter 8 on Review and Compilation Engagements in the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Common Interest Realty Associations and SOP 93-5 Reporting on Required Supplementary Information Accompanying Compiled or Reviewed Financial Statements of Common Interest Realty Associations (TPA Sec. 11,270).

Supplemental Checklist for Review of Common Interest Realty Associations Audit Engagements

Contents

Section	n))	Page
I.	Report and Financial Statements ¹	
	(Supplement to Section I of the Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist or General Audit Engagement Checklist) ²	
	Auditor's Report	22,063 22,063
II.	Working Paper Areas—CIRAs ³	
	(Supplement to Section III of the Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist or General Audit Engagement Checklist) ²	
	General	22,066
	Assessments	22,066
	Investments	22,066
	Insurance	22,066
	Future Major Repairs and Replacements	22,067

¹ Reviewers of CIRA review and compilation engagements may find Section I of this supplemental checklist useful in addition to the *General Compilation Engagement Checklist*, and the *General Review Checklist*. Reviewers should also refer to Chapter 8 on Review and Compilation Engagements in the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Common Interest Realty Associations and SOP 93-5 Reporting on Required Supplementary Information Accompanying Compiled or Reviewed Financial Statements of Common Interest Realty Associations (TPA Sec. 11,270).

² Reviewers should refer to the instructions for the applicable section included in the *Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist* or the *General Audit Engagement Checklist*.

³ Refer to the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Common Interest Realty Associations (see instructions on page 22,061).

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Ques.	<i>N/A</i> *	Yes	_No_	Ref.**
Auditor's Report					
Does the audit report disclaim an opinion on required supplemental					
information when presented with audited financial statements in auditor-submitted documents, unless the auditor has been engaged					
to examine and express an opinion on it? (AU Sec. 551.15 and CIRA,	H				
Ch. 7, par. 7.38)	CR101				
Does the audit report include an explanatory paragraph if the required					
supplementary information is omitted, the auditor was unable to com-					
plete certain stated procedures, the auditor is unable to remove substantial doubts about whether the supplementary information conforms to					
prescribed guidelines, or the auditor has concluded that the measure-					
ment or presentation of the supplementary information departs materi-	CD 102				
ally from prescribed guidelines? (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.39)	CR102				
Does the report disclaim an opinion on expired budget information accompanying the financial statements in auditor-submitted docu-					
ments? (AU Sec. 551.11 and CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.22)	CR103				
If the financial statements include budget information for prospective					
periods, does the report indicate the auditors' responsibilities in accordance with the Statement on Standards for Accountants' Serv-					
ices on Prospective Financial Information, Financial Forecasts and Pro-					
jections? (CIRA, Ch. 7, pars. 7.22 and .23)	CR104				
If the disclosure about a CIRA's funding for major repairs and replace-					
ments is absent or inadequate, did the auditor modify or consider	CR105				
modifying the report? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.28 and Ch. 7, pars. 7.34–.37)	CK103				
Financial Statements and Notes					
Is the presentation appropriate and are the disclosures adequate regarding:					
General					
In the CIRA's use of fund reporting, are resources for particular purposes (major repairs and replacements for CIRAs) classified into funds associ-					
ated with specified activities or objectives? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.01)	CR201				
Is a statement of cash flows presented when a balance sheet and a					
statement of revenues and expenses are presented? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par.	CD202				
4.18)	CR202				
If the CIRA does not use fund reporting and assesses for major repairs and replacements, is there an appropriation of retained earnings for					
such assessments? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.02, fn. 6 and Ch. 9, par. 9.12)	CR203				
Are periodic assessments for funding major repairs and replacements					
in the replacement fund presented in the periods in which they are	CD204				
assessed? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.13)	CR204				

 $^{^{*}}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of the checklist if no MFC was generated noting the page number in the Ref. column.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	No	Ref.
Is the CIRA's legal form disclosed and that of the entity for which it provides services and areas it controls? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.22)	CR205				
Are the number of units disclosed, including units owned by the developer? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.22)	CR206				
Are services and subsidies provided by the developer disclosed? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.22)	CR207				
Is the CIRA's income tax filing status disclosed and its liability for income taxes? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.22, Ch. 6, Ch. 9, pars. 9.18–.24)	CR208				
Are tax credits and carryovers disclosed, including phase-out rules in future reporting periods? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.22)	CR209				
Is the proposed and actual use for funds collected in special assessments disclosed? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.22)	CR210				
Are interfund receivables and payables presented as applicable? (CIRA, Ch. 4, pars. 4.08 and .09)	CR211				
Future Major Repairs and Replacements (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.27)					
Are the requirements to accumulate funds for future major repairs and replacements disclosed?	CR212				
Is a description of the CIRA's funding policy and compliance with that policy provided?	CR213				
Do the notes include a statement that funds are being accumulated based on estimated future (or current) costs, that actual expenditures may vary from these estimates, and that the variations may be material?	CR214				
Do the financial statements or notes disclose the amounts assessed for major repairs and replacements in the current period?	CR215				
Do the notes include a statement indicating whether a study was conducted to estimate the remaining useful lives and the costs of future major repairs and replacements?	CR216				
If the CIRA's policy is to fund future major repairs and replacements by special assessments or borrowings, is there a statement disclosing such policy?	CR217				
Common Property (CIRA, Ch. 2, pars. 2.12–.14)					
The accounting policy for recognition and measurement of common property?	CR218				
A description of the common property capitalized in the CIRA's balance sheet?	CR219				
A description of the common property to which the CIRA has title, or other evidence of ownership, that is not capitalized in the CIRA's balance sheet?	CR220				
The CIRA's responsibility to preserve and maintain the common property?	CR221				
Terms and conditions of existing land or recreation leases?	CR222				
Restrictions on the use or disposition of common property?	CR223				

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Related Parties					
Do notes include disclosures about:					
Revenue from each source if 10 percent or more of revenues are derived from any one source? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.25) Services provided by board members, officers, or developers? (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.24) Supplementary Information on Future Major Repairs and Replacements (CIRA, Ch. 4, par. 4.31)	CR224 CR225				
Are the specific components of common property identified, and are the remaining useful lives presented?	CR226				
Are estimated current or future replacement costs presented?	CR227				
Are the methods used to determine the estimated costs described?	CR228				
Is the basis for the calculations disclosed, including assumptions about interest and inflation rates?	CR229				
Are the dates and sources of studies disclosed?	CR230				
Is the amount of fund balance for each component presented to the extent designated by the board?	CR231				

II.	WORKIN	G	PAPER	AREAS-	-CIRAs
1		П			

	Ques.	N/A^*	Yes_	_No_	<i>Ref.</i> **
General					
Did the auditor consider the effects if a managing agent provides the CIRA with bookkeeping and accounting services? (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.08 and AU Sec. 324)	CR300				
If the audit is for the CIRA's first period of operations, did the auditor:	NI				
Review closing documents to evaluate the recording of initial capital contributions collected from unit owners by the developer on behalf of the CIRA? (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.19)	CR301				
Consider whether the developer has fulfilled its obligation to pay assessments on unsold units or to fund operating deficits? (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.19)	CR302				
Consider whether or not the CIRA has been paying for developer related expenses? (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.19)	CR303				
Determine that assets received from the developer are reported in accordance with the capitalization policy for common property? (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.19)	CR304				
Consider the CIRA's budgeting procedures in obtaining an understanding of the internal control structure and assessing control risk? (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.21)	CR305				
Did the auditor consider any special or legal reporting requirements by the state? (CIRA, Ch. 1, par. 1.11)	CR306				
Assessments (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.24)					
Did the auditor compare reported assessments with budgeted amounts and test whether amounts assessed to owners were consistent with the budget?	CR307				
Investments (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.26)					
Did the auditor verify that investments conform to the CIRA's legal requirements and its governing documents?	CR308				
Insurance (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.28)					
Did the auditor consider whether a CIRA's insurance coverage complies with requirements of state statutes on the governing documents?	CR309				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of the checklist if no MFC was generated noting the page number in the Ref. column.

atio	ons	22,067			
1_	Yes	_No_	Ref.		

Future Major Repairs and Replacements

Did the auditor review the CIRA's governing documents and relevant state statutes to determine whether the CIRA is required to have a funding policy for future major repairs and replacements? (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.29)

CR310 ____ ___ ___

Did the auditor apply appropriate procedures to the required supplementary information about future major repairs and replacements? (CIRA, Ch. 7, par. 7.31)

CR311 ____ __

[The next page is 22,071.]



4-02

Section 22,070 Supplemental Checklist for Review of Engagements of Health Care Organizations

Instructions for Use of the Supplemental Checklist for Review of Engagements of Health Care Organizations

This supplemental checklist was developed for use by reviewers of engagements of health care organizations. It should be used in conjunction with the *Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist* or, where appropriate, the *General Audit Engagement Checklist* if the entity is a for-profit health care provider, the *Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist* for governmental health care providers, the *General Review Engagement Checklist* or *General Compilation Engagement Checklist* if applicable. In addition, the reviewer should complete Section III of the *Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist* if the entity is contractually required to submit reports prepared in accordance with OMB Circular A-133. The instructions to Sections I and IV of the audit checklist, or Sections I and III of the compilation or review checklist, should be used when completing the applicable sections of this supplement. Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

The questions in this checklist emphasize reporting matters and general procedures ordinarily performed by an independent auditor/accountant in the preparation of the financial statements of health care organizations. Some of the questions are example presentation and disclosures or auditing procedures contained in the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Health Care Organizations* (the Guide), as issued in 1996 and subsequently updated for changes to conform to revised professional standards. It is not expected that all of the questions in this checklist will be applicable to every engagement. In some instances, the audit objectives described in the Guide may be accomplished by the performance of procedures not included in this checklist. Therefore, reviewers should use judgment and refer to the Guide as necessary when responding to questions. Also, Section II, "Working Paper Areas," contains questions that may not apply to compilation or review engagements. Care should be taken to tailor the questions to fit the level of service being reviewed. All "No" answers must be thoroughly explained in Section VI of the audit checklist or Section V of the compilation checklist or Section IV of the review checklist.

The reviewer should also consider the applicability of GASB Statement No. 29, as amended by GASB No. 34 (*The Use of Not-for-Profit Accounting and Financial Reporting Principles by Governmental Entities*) after determining whether the not-for-profit organization is subject to government or non-government GAAP hierarchy.

This checklist is not intended to be an all-inclusive document containing all disclosure and audit procedures related to health care organizations. It is a summarization of commonly addressed key areas and related concepts or procedures. Therefore, it should be used in conjunction with various reference materials dealing with reporting, disclosure and procedural issues in order to sufficiently evaluate engagements of health care organizations. These additional materials include the Guide.

This is part of a series of supplemental checklists which the Institute develops to facilitate the reviews of engagements within specialized industries. If you have any comments concerning this supplemental checklist, please forward them to AICPA Practice Monitoring.

Explanation of References:

FAS Statement of Financial Accounting Standards

GASB Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards

HCO AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide Health Care Organizations (reflects guidance contained

in authoritative pronouncements through May 1, 2000)

SOP AICPA Statement of Position

PRACTICE SECTION

Supplemental Checklist for Review of Engagements of Health Care Organizations Contents

Section	1	Page
I.	Report and Financial Statements ¹	
	(Supplement to Section I of the <i>Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist</i>) ² Financial Statements and Notes	22,074
II.	Working Paper Areas—Health Care Organizations ¹ (Consulting on the Scarting Work the Net You Profit And It Foresease at Challing) ²	,
	(Supplement to Section IV of the Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist) ² Investments	22,076
	Receivables	22,076
	Property and Equipment, Supplies and Other Assets	22,077
	Current Liabilities, Tax Considerations, and Long-Term Obligations	22,077
	Commitments and Contingencies	22,077
	Net Assets (Equity)	22,078
	Revenue, Expenses, Gains, and Losses	22,078
	Reporting Entity and Related Organizations	22,078
	Continuing Care Retirement Communities	22,079
	Providers of Pre-paid Health Care Services	22,079

¹ Refer to the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide *Health Care Organizations*.

² Reviewers should refer to the instructions for the applicable section included in the *Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist* or the appropriate section of the compilation or review checklist.

I. REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Ref.
Financial Statements and Notes	/				
Are the presentations appropriate and disclosures adequate regarding:					
Donor-imposed restrictions on investment principal and income? (HCO, Ch. 4, pars. 4.08 and .09)	HC101				
For non-profit HCO's, unrealized gains and losses on other than trading securities? (HCO, Ch. 4, pars. 4.06–.10)	HC102				
The method of revenue recognition and recording unrestricted and restricted donations and investment income of both unrestricted and restricted funds? (HCO, Ch. 10, pars. 10.04–.10)	HC103				
Involvement in any investment pools? (HCO, Ch. 4, par. 4.12)	HC104				
The receipt of donated property and equipment, both restricted and unrestricted? (HCO, Ch. 10, par. 10.09)	HC105				
Donated property at fair value at the date of donation? (HCO, Ch. 10, par. 10.09)	HC106				
Separately reporting property and equipment not used for health care operations? (HCO, Ch. 6, par. 6.07)	HC107				
Separately reporting amounts due from third party payors from patient accounts receivable? (HCO, Ch. 5, par. 5.17)	HC108				
The nature of any relationship between the entity and lessors, bailors or other owners of property? (HCO, Ch. 6, par. 6.10)	HC109				
The tax-exempt status, if applicable, and tax contingencies? (HCO, Ch. 7, par. 7.11)	HC110				
Medical malpractice insurance? (HCO, Ch. 8, pars. 8.0512)	HC111				
Contracts with physicians, specialists, related parties, and others? (HCO, Ch. 11)	HC112				
Related entities, investees and affiliates? (HCO, Ch. 11, pars. 11.05–.18)	HC113				
Management's policy for providing charity care and the level of charity care provided? (HCO, Ch. 10, par. 10.21)	HC114				
Charity Care which should be excluded from net patient service revenue and receivables? (HCO, Ch. 10, par. 10.21)	HC115				
Significant contractual arrangements with third party payors? (HCO, Ch. 5, par. 5.17 and Exhibit 5.1)	HC116				
Pending claims, appeals or settlements regarding third-party payors? (HCO, Ch. 5, par. 5.17 and Exhibit 5.1)	HC117				

 $^{^{\}ast}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of the checklist if no MFC was generated noting the page number in the Ref. column.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_ <i>No</i> _	Ref.
The identification and explanation of the estimated amounts that are payable or receivable regarding contractual adjustments and third-party settlements? (HCO, Ch. 10, par. 10.20)	HC118				
The effects of material changes in estimates of amounts due to or from third-party payors? (HCO, Ch. 5, par 5.07)	HC119				
Classification of revenue, expenses, and gains and losses? (HCO, Ch. 10, pars. 10.17–.23)	HC120				
Separately disclosing expenses by functional classification if not reported as such on the face of the financial statements? (HCO, Ch.					
10, par. 10.22)	HC121				
Continuing care retirement communities:					
Refundable advance fees? (HCO, Ch. 14, pars. 14.22–.23)	HC122				
Fees refundable to residents only from reoccupancy proceeds of a contract holder's unit? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.25)	HC123				
Nonrefundable advance fees? (HCO, Ch.14, pars. 14.23 and .26)	HC124				
Obligation to provide future services and use of facilities to current residents? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.31)	HC125				
Costs of acquiring initial continuing care contracts? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.33)	HC126				
Other? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.35)	HC127				
Estimated risk pool settlements arising from managed-care contracts? (HCO, Ch. 8, par. 8.41)	HC128				
For providers of pre-paid health services:					
The basis of providing health care costs and significant business and contractual arrangements with hospitals, physicians, and other associated entities? (HCO, Ch. 13, pars. 13.02–.04)	HC129				
•	HC130				
Loss contracts? (HCO, Ch. 13, par. 13.06)					
Stop-loss insurance? (HCO, Ch.13, par. 13.08)	HC131				
Contract acquisition costs? (HCO, Ch. 13, par. 13.10)	HC132				
Separately disclosing capitation revenues? (HCO, Ch. 10, par. 10.20)	HC133				

II. WORKING PAPER AREAS—HEALTH CARE ORGANIZATIONS³

	Ques.	N/A^*	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.**
Investments (HCO, Ch. 4)	1				
Did the auditor review board, investment committee, or other committee minutes for evidence of donated securities?	HC301				
Do the working papers indicate that donated securities were properly reported at fair market value at the date of the gift and in the proper net asset category?	HC302				
Did the auditor review documentation related to donor restrictions and that compliance was tested for such restrictions?	HC303				
Do the working papers indicate that restricted funds are pooled only in accordance with donor, grantor, or other restrictions?	HC304				
Did the auditor verify that income and gains or losses from investment pools are distributed equitably among the participating funds?	HC305				
Receivables (HCO, Ch. 5)					
Did the auditor verify that the entity has a policy to distinguish bad-debt expense from charity care? (HCO, Ch. 10, par. 10.03)	HC306				
If the entity was reimbursed under prospective payment system, did the auditor verify that retrospective adjustments were appropriately considered in determining contractual allowances to related receiv- ables? (HCO, Ch. 5, pars. 5.04, .05, and .09)	HC307				
If third-party programs have provisions limiting the amounts of reimbursable costs, did the auditor consider their effect on third-party accruals? (HCO, Ch. 5, Exhibit 5.1)	HC308				
Did the auditor verify that there is appropriate information and analysis to support each significant third-party payment settlement, and collectibility? (HCO, Ch. 5, Exhibit 5.1)	HC309				
Was a determination made with respect to uncollectible accounts, including reviews of such accounts and appropriate aging by major payor? (HCO, Ch. 5, Exhibit 5.1)	HC310				
Did the auditor obtain a general understanding of the various contractual arrangements with third-party payors? (HCO, Ch. 5, Exhibit 5.1)	HC311				
Did the auditor verify that receivables reported in the financial statements are at net realizable value? (HCO, Ch. 5, par. 5.17 and Exhibit 5.1)	HC312				
Are interfund receivables eliminated when displaying total assets and liabilities? (HCO, Ch. 5, par. 5.23)	HC313				

³ Some questions in this section may not apply to compilation and review engagements. Care should be taken to tailor the questions to fit the level of service being reviewed.

 $^{^{*}}$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of the checklist if no MFC was generated noting the page number in the Ref. column.

If the entity was reimbursed under capitation arrangements, did the auditor verify that patient accounts receivable relating to services rendered under these agreements have been eliminated and that revenue is excluded from patient service revenue, if material? (HCO, Ch. 10, par. 10.20)	Ques. HC314	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>_No</u> _	Ref.
Did the auditor obtain written representations from management regarding third party revenues and related receivables as required by SOP 00-1? (HCO, Ch. 2, par. 2.53)	HC315				
If confirmations determined to be impractical or ineffective, was that fact properly documented and alternative procedures applied? (HCO, Ch. 5, par. 5.30)	HC316				
Property and Equipment, Supplies and Other Assets					
Did the auditor verify that donated property was reported at fair market value at the date of donation? (HCO, Ch. 10, par. 10.09)	HC317				
For purposes of cost reimbursement and revenue recognition, did the auditor verify that the entity received appropriate health care planning agency or other regulatory agency approvals, if required, for property and equipment additions? (HCO, Ch. 6, par. 6.14 and Exhibit 6.1)	HC318				
Current Liabilities, Tax Considerations, and Long-Term Obligations (HCO, Ch. 7)					
Did the auditor verify that all liabilities related to contracts with physicians, specialists, related parties and others are properly reported? (HCO, Ch. 11, Exhibit 11.1)	HC319				
Did the auditor appropriately consider the need to record accruals for malpractice claims incurred but not reported where the entity is insured under claims-made policies or policies with large deductibles, or is self-incured? (HCO, Ch. 8, page 8.03, 23)	HC320				
or is self-insured? (HCO, Ch. 8, pars. 8.03–.22)	ПС320				
Did the auditor verify that the not-for-profit entity obtained a determination of its tax exempt status? (HCO, Ch. 7, Exhibit 7.1)	HC321				
Was a determination made regarding possible transactions that would affect both the entity's tax status and tax liability? (HCO, Ch. 7, pars. 7.05–.08)	HC322				
Commitments and Contingencies					
Was a review of the amount of insurance coverage, type of coverage and deductible provisions performed to determine the level of risk that is retained by the entity? (HCO, Ch. 8, Exhibit 8.1)	HC323				
Did the auditor obtain an understanding on the accuracy and completeness of the incident reporting and monitoring system? (HCO, Ch. 8)	HC324				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Did the auditor send letters of inquiry to malpractice insurance carriers and legal counsel? (HCO, Ch. 8, pars. 8.43–.46, and Exhibit 8.1)	HC325				
Did the auditor review estimates and historical loss experience? (HCO, Ch. 8, Exhibit 8.1)	HC326				
PRACTIC	E				
Net Assets (Equity) (HCO, Ch. 9) Did the auditor examine documentation of contributions of assets for evidence of restrictions? (HCO, Ch. 9, par. 9.08, Exhibit 9.1)	HC327				
Revenue, Expenses, Gains, and Losses (HCO, Ch. 10)					
Was current period revenue and expenses compared with prior period and budgets, and were any unusual variances investigated? (HCO, Ch. 10, Exhibit 10.1)	HC328				
Did the auditor verify that revenue from health care services is reported net of contractual adjustments and other adjustments? (HCO, Ch. 10, Exhibit 10.1)	HC329				
Did the auditor give adequate consideration to revenue cutoff? (HCO, Ch. 10, Exhibit 10.1)	HC330				
Did the auditor verify that unrestricted gifts, donations, and bequests were properly reported? (HCO, Ch. 10, pars. 10.07–.10)	HC331				
Did the auditor review donor correspondence (and/or board meeting minutes) to determine the presence or absence of donor restrictions? (HCO, Ch. 9, Exhibit 9.1)	HC332				
For revenue related estimates, did the auditor:					
Review and test the process used by management to develop accounting estimates? (SOP 00-1, par. 25a [TPA Sec. 11,360.25a])	НС333				
Develop an independent expectation of the estimate to corroborate the reasonableness of management's estimate? (SOP 00-1, par. 25b [TPA Sec. 11,360.25b])	HC334				
Review subsequent events or transaction occurring prior to completion of fieldwork? (SOP 00-1, par. 25c [TPA Sec. 11,360.25c])	HC335				
Reporting Entity and Related Organizations (HCO, Ch. 11)					
Did the auditor perform procedures to ensure that the reporting entity is appropriately accounting for transactions with investees, affiliates, and other related entities? (HCO, Ch. 11, par. 11.29, Exhibit 11.1)	HC336				
For not-for-profit HCOs, did the auditor consider the entity's reporting of interests in the net assets of financially interrelated fund raising foundations? (FAS No. 136, pars. 13–16)	HC337				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Continuing Care Retirement Communities (HCO, Ch. 14)					
Did the auditor verify that the estimated amount of advance fees expected to be refunded to current residents under the terms of the contracts was accounted for as a liability? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.36, Exhibit 14.3)	HC338				
Did the auditor verify that the fees that will be paid to current residents or their designees, only to the extent of the proceeds of reoccupancy of a contract holder's unit, are accounted for as deferred revenue? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.25)	HC339				
Were nonrefundable advance fees accounted for as deferred revenue? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.26)	HC340				
Was an annual calculation made regarding the obligation to provide future services and use of facilities to current residents? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.29)	HC341				
Did the auditor verify that the costs of acquiring initial continuing- care contracts that are expected to be recovered from future contract revenues were capitalized? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.33)	HC342				
Did the auditor verify that deferred revenue and the obligation to provide future services to, and use of facilities by, current residents of continuing care retirement communities are recognized and properly reported? (HCO, Ch. 14, par. 14.36, Exhibit 14.3)	HC343				
Providers of Pre-paid Health Care Services (HCO, Ch. 13)					
Did the auditor verify that health care costs are accrued as the services are rendered, including estimates of the costs of services rendered but not yet reported? (HCO, Ch. 13, par. 13.02)	HC344				
If the provider of prepaid health care services is obligated to render services to specific members beyond the premium period due to provisions in the contract or regulatory requirements, did the auditor verify that those costs were accrued currently? (HCO, Ch. 13, par. 13.02)	HC345				
Did the auditor review if amounts payable to hospitals, physicians, or other health care providers under risk retention, bonus, or similar programs are accrued during the contract period? (HCO, Ch. 13, par. 13.03)	HC346				
Did the auditor appropriately consider the need to record an accrual for any estimated losses related to long-term contracts to provide services? (HCO, Ch. 13, pars. 13.05 and .06; FAS No. 5)	HC 347				
•					



Section 22,080

Supplemental Checklist for Review of Audits of HUD Engagements

Instructions for Use of the Supplemental Checklist for Review of Audits of HUD Engagements

This supplemental checklist was developed for use by reviewers of HUD engagements performed in accordance with the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs. It should be used in conjunction with the *Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements* (audit checklist) (Sec. 20,200 and, where applicable the *Agreed Upon Engagements Checklist* (Sec. 20,900)).

The instructions to sections I and III of the audit checklist should be used when completing the applicable sections of this supplement. The questions in this checklist emphasize reporting matters and general procedures ordinarily performed by an independent auditor in the audit of financial statements of HUD Programs. All "No" answers must be thoroughly explained in the Section provided in the applicable audit checklist.

This checklist reflects guidance issued by HUD and is not intended to be an all-inclusive document containing all disclosures and audit procedures related to HUD Programs. It is a summarization of commonly addressed key areas and related concepts or procedures. Therefore, it should be used in conjunction with various reference materials dealing with reporting, disclosure and audit procedures issues in order to sufficiently evaluate HUD engagements. These additional materials include the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs IG 2000.04 Rev-2, August 1997 (the HUD Audit Guide). Peer reviewers should be alert to the Uniform Financial Reporting Standards for HUD Housing Programs published in the Federal Register on September 1, 1998. These regulations relate to the implementation of uniform financial reporting standards for HUD housing programs. Peer reviewers should visit the following HUD website to obtain useful information about any new HUD financial reporting requirements: http://www.hud.gov/reac/.

HUD is revising its accounting handbook (Handbook 4370.2) and consolidated audit guide (Handbook 2000.04 REV-2) to conform to these new reporting requirements, but the timing of these revisions is uncertain. In the meantime, HUD has indicated that the new requirements take precedence over the handbooks.

In accordance with HUD regulations implementing the 1997 revision of OMB Circular A-133, not-for-profit organizations and state and local government entities receiving HUD assistance are required to complete project-specific audits because each project is deemed to be a separate entity. These audits are subject to OMB Circular A-133 and related guidance. Accordingly, peer reviewers of audits of any such organizations or entities should not complete this supplement, but should complete the *Supplemental Checklist for Review of A-133 Single Audit Act Engagements* (Sec. 22,020).

Questions regarding these instructions or any other materials should be directed to AICPA Practice Monitoring at (201) 938-3030.

Explanation of References:

AU AICPA Professional Standards (vol. 1)

GAO Government Auditing Standards (revised through Amendment No. 2), United States General

Accounting Office (The Yellow Book)

HUD Handbook IG 2000.04 Rev-2—Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs

(1997)

ASLGU AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide: Audits of State and Local Governmental Units (as

issued in 1994 and subsequently updated for changes to conform to revised professional

standards)

Contents

Section	n		Page
I.	Repor	ts and Financial Statements	
	(Supp	lement to Section I of the applicable <i>Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements</i>) ¹	
	Gei	neral	22,084
	Pro	gram Specific Requirements	22,085
	Α.	Chapter 3, Section 8 Audit Guidance—Reporting Requirements	22,085
	В.	Chapter 4, Insured and Coinsured Multifamily Projects, HUD-Held Mortgages, Section 236 Subsidized Projects, Section 232 Nursing Homes and Similar Facilities, and Section 242 Hospitals, Audit Guidance and Sample Financial	
		Statements—Reporting Requirements	22,086
	C.	Chapter 5, Insured Development Cost Certification Audit Guidance—Reporting	
	Б	Requirements	22,087
	D.	Chapter 6, Ginnie Mae Issuers of Mortgage-Backed Securities—Reporting Requirements	22,087
	E.	Chapter 7, HUD-Approved Title II Nonsupervised Mortgagees and Loan	22,007
		Correspondents Audit Guidance—Reporting Requirements	22,088
	F.	Chapter 8, HUD-Approved Title I Nonsupervised Lenders and Loan	
		Correspondents Audit Guidance—Reporting Requirements	22,088
II.		ing Paper Areas—HUD Engagements	
		lement to Section III of the applicable <i>Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements</i>) ¹	
		neral	22,089
		gram Specific Requirements	22,090
	A.	Chapter 3, Section 8 Audit Guidance—Working Paper Areas	22,091
	В.	Chapter 4, Insured and Coinsured Multifamily Projects, HUD-Held Mortgages, Section 236 Subsidized Projects, Section 232 Nursing Homes and Similar Facilities, and Section 242 Hospitals, Audit Guidance and Sample Financial	
		Statements—Working Paper Areas	22,091
	C.	Chapter 5, Insured Development Cost Certification Audit Guidance—Working	22.002
	D	Paper Areas	22,092
	D.	Guidance—Working Paper Areas	22,092
	E.	Chapter 7, HUD-Approved Title II Nonsupervised Mortgagees and Loan Correspondents Audit Guidance—Working Paper Areas	22,092
	F.	Chapter 8, HUD-Approved Title I Nonsupervised Lenders and Loan	
		Correspondents Audit Guidance—Working Paper Areas	22,093

¹ Reviewers should refer to the instructions for the applicable section included in the Checklist for Review of Audit Engagements, Governmental Audit Engagement Checklist, Not-for-Profit Audit Engagement Checklist, or the Agreed-Upon Engagements Checklist, as applicable.

Ref.**

No

 N/A^*

Yes

Ques.

I. REPORTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

General			
The auditor's report on compliance should include an opinion on the auditee's compliance with specific requirements applicable to each of its major programs. The term "major programs" as defined by the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs means an individual assistance program or a group of programs in a category of Federal Financial Assistance which exceeds \$300,000 during the applicable year. A project which has an outstanding HUD-insured or guaranteed loan balance exceeding \$300,000 as of the reporting date shall be considered a major program. A mortgagee or loan correspondent which originates and/or services an aggregate of FHA-insured loans exceeding \$300,000 during the period under audit is considered a major program. In addition, a Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae) Issuer with a remaining principal balance exceeding \$300,000 as of the reporting date is considered a major program. (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–3)	CE N		
Does the audit report cover clearly indicate the HUD-assisted activities and period(s) which was (were) audited? (HUD, Ch. 2, par. 2–1)	H101	 	
Did the auditor include in the audit report or an accompanying transmittal letter:			
The auditor's Federal Employer ID Number?	H102	 	
Identification of the name, office address, and telephone of the Audit Partner?	H103	 	
Does the auditor's report on the financial statements and required supplemental schedules (HUD, Ch. 2–1A and Example A):			
Include reference to GAAS and to Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States? (ASLGU, Appendix A, Example A-1, fn 2, and GAO, Ch. 5, par. 5.11)	H104		
Describe or make reference to separate reports that describe the scope of the auditor's testing of compliance with laws and regulations, and of internal controls? (GAO, Ch. 5, pars. 5.15–5.17)	H105	 	
Include a separate paragraph or a separate report on accompanying supplemental information required by HUD? (AU Secs. 551 and 558, and HUD, Ch. 2)	H106	 	
Do the auditor's reports use language that conforms with professional standards including reference to GAAS, Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs (the HUD Audit Guide), issued by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of the Inspector General? [GAO, Ch. 5, par. 5.11 and HUD, Ch. 2.]	H107		

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	N/A	Yes_	_No_	Ref.
Did the auditor promptly prepare a separate written report and submit it to the HUD District Inspector General for Audit concerning illegal acts or fraud that have occurred or are likely to occur and include all questioned costs as the result of the acts? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–5 and GAO, Ch. 5, pars. 5.21–5.25)	H108				
If appropriate, was the scope section of the reports properly modified to disclose that an applicable government auditing standard was not followed, the reasons therefore, and the known effect of not following the standard on the results of the audit? (GAO, Ch. 5, par. 5.12)	H109				
During the planning phase of the audit, did the auditor document in the work papers the basis for assessing control risk at the maximum level for assertions related to material account balances, transaction classes and disclosure components in the financial statements when such assertions are significantly dependent on computerized information systems? (GAO, Ch. 4, par. 4.21.4)	H110				
Did the auditor document the consideration that the planned audit procedures were designed to achieve audit objectives and reduce audit risk to an acceptable level? (GAO, Ch. 4, par. 4.21.4)	H111				
Did the auditor document in the work papers specific communication with the entity and the audit committee regarding the scope of compliance and internal control work to be performed under <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> ? (GAO, Ch. 4, pars. 4.6.3–4.6.9)	H112				
When reporting separately on compliance with laws and regulations and internal control over financial reporting, did the auditor disclose in the auditor's report the following: 1) the issuance of those additional reports, 2) that those additional reports are an integral part of a GAGAS audit, and 3) in considering the results of the audit, the separate reports should be read along with the auditor's report on the financial statements? (GAO, Ch. 5, pars. 5.16–5.16.1)	H113				
For some engagements more than one chapter may apply, in particular Chapters 3 and 4, with results reported in a single audit report.					
Program Specific Requirements					
A. Chapter 3, Section 8 Audit Guidance—Reporting Requirements					
Did the auditor's report on Section 8 programs include the following reports and were the reports worded in accordance with professional standards (HUD Ch. 3, par. 3–4 and Ch. 2, Examples A through E as revised to conform with the 1994 Revision of the Yellow Book):					
Combined report on Internal Controls as it relates to both financial reporting and administering HUD-assisted programs? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example B)	H114				
Opinion on compliance with specific requirements applicable to each major HUD program? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example C)	H115				

	Ques.	N/A	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Report on Compliance with Specific Requirements applicable to nonmajor HUD program transactions? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example D)	H116				
A report on Compliance with Specific Requirements applicable to Fair Housing and non-discrimination? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example E)	H1/17				
Report on Compliance with Laws and Regulations, based upon an audit of the financial statements performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards? (HUD, Ch. 2, par. 2–1)	H118				
<i>Note:</i> It is expected that specific compliance requirements identified in the HUD Audit Guide will cover those laws and regulations that, if not complied with, could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. In such cases, the compliance reports listed in Ch. 2 of the guide are the only reports necessary for reporting on the auditee's compliance with laws and regulations. However, if the auditor, as part of the audit of the financial statements, considered laws and regulations in addition to those noted in the guide, for which noncompliance could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements, as required by SAS No. 54, the auditor should also issue the compliance report in accordance with Government Auditing Standards (1994 Revision) Ch. 5, pars. 5.15 and 5.18–5.25.					
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example F as revised to conform with the 1994 Revision of the Yellow Book and SOP 98-3, App. E.)	H119				
The Auditor's Comments on Audit Resolution Matters relating to HUD Programs? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example G as revised to conform with the 1994 Revision of the Yellow Book and SOP 98-3, App. E.)	H120				
B. Chapter 4, Insured and Coinsured Multifamily Projects,					
HUD-Held Mortgages, Section 236 Subsidized Projects, Section 232					
Nursing Homes and Similar Facilities, and Section 242 Hospitals					
Audit Guidance and Sample Financial Statements—					
Reporting Requirements					
Note: Any unallowable distribution from a project with an insured, coinsured, or HUD-held multi-family mortgage must be noted as an instance of noncompliance regardless of materiality.					
Did the auditor's report on Insured and Coinsured Multifamily Projects, HUD-Held Mortgages, Section 236 Subsidized Projects, Section 232 Nursing Homes and Similar Facilities, and Section 242 Hospitals, include the following reports, and were the reports worded in accordance with professional standards (HUD, Ch. 4, pars. 4–4A and 4B and Ch. 2, Examples A through E as revised to conform with the 1994 Revision of the Yellow Book.):					
Combined report on Internal Controls as it relates to both financial reporting and administering HUD-assisted programs? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example B)	H121				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Opinion on compliance with specific requirements applicable to each major HUD program? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example C)	H122				
A report on Compliance with Specific Requirements applicable to nonmajor HUD program transactions? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example D)	H123				
A report on Compliance with Specific Requirements applicable to Fair Housing and Non-Discrimination? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example E)	H124				
Report on Compliance with Laws and Regulations, based upon an audit of the financial statements performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards? (HUD, Ch. 2, par. 2–1)	H125				
[See note following H118.]					
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example F as revised to conform with the 1994 Revision of the Yellow Book.)	H126				
The Auditor's Comments on Audit Resolution Matters relating to the HUD Programs? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example G as revised to conform with the 1994 Revision of the Yellow Book.)	H127				
In October 2000, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development announced reporting and auditing requirement changes for the annual audited financial statement submissions associated with HUD assisted multifamily projects. Effective for project fiscal years ending on December 31, 2000, the independent auditor must perform an attestation engagement under SSAE No. 4, <i>Agreed Upon Procedures Engagements</i> , on the submitted annual audited financial statements. In accordance with this reporting change: Has the auditor compared the data prepared for electronic submis-					
sion in the multifamily Financial Assessment Subsystem to the hard copy annual audited financial statements?	H128				
C. Chapter 5, Insured Development Cost Certification Audit Guidance—Reporting Requirements					
Did the auditor's report on Insured Development Cost Certification include the following reports, worded in accordance with professional standards (HUD, Ch. 5, pars. 5–4 and 5–6):					
A Report on the Balance Sheet and Operating Statement and the Mortgagors Certificate of Actual Cost (Form HUD 92330)?	H129				
A Report on the Consideration of the Mortgagor's Internal Controls?	H130				
$A\ Report\ on\ the\ Mortgagor's\ Compliance\ with\ Specific\ Requirements?$	H131				
П					

D. Chapter 6, Ginnie Mae Issuers of Mortgage-Backed Securities—Reporting Requirements

Did the auditor's report on Ginnie Mae issuers of mortgage-backed securities include the following reports, worded in accordance with professional standards (HUD, Ch. 6, par. 6–4 and Ch. 2, Examples A through D as revised to conform with the 1994 Revision of the Yellow Book.):

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Combined report on Internal Controls as it relates to both financial reporting and administering HUD-assisted programs? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example B)	H132				
Opinion on compliance with specific requirements applicable to each major HUD program? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example C)	H133				
A Report on Compliance with Specific Requirements applicable to nonmajor HUD program transactions? (HUD, Ch. 2, Example D)	H134				
SECTIO					
E. Chapter 7, HUD-Approved Title II Nonsupervised					
Mortgagees and Loan Correspondents Audit					
Guidance—Reporting Requirements					
Note: For entities subject to Ch. 7 of the HUD Audit Guide, <u>all</u> instances of noncompliance identified by the auditor must be reported as findings, even in those cases where corrective action was taken by the entity after the end of the fiscal year.					
Did the auditor's report on Title II nonsupervised mortgagees and loan correspondents include the following reports, worded in accordance with professional standards (HUD, Ch. 7, par. 7–4):					
A Report on the Computation of the Mortgagee's Adjusted Net Worth?	H135				
A Report on Internal Controls and Compliance with specific requirements that have a direct and material effect on HUD-insured loans?	H136				
An opinion on the Compliance with Specific Requirements applicable to each major HUD-assisted program, or a Report on Compliance with Specific Requirements applicable to nonmajor HUD-assisted program transactions?	H137				
F. Chapter 8, HUD-Approved Title I Nonsupervised Lenders and					
Loan Correspondents Audit Guidance—Reporting Requirements					
Did the auditor's report for Title I lenders and loan correspondents include the following reports, worded in accordance with professional standards (HUD, Ch. 8, par. 8–4):					
A Report on Internal Controls and Compliance with specific requirements that have a direct and material effect on HUD-insured loans?	H138				
An opinion on the Compliance with Specific Requirements applicable to each major HUD-assisted program, or a Report on Compliance with Specific Requirements applicable to nonmajor					
HUD-assisted program transactions?	H139				

II. WORKING PAPER AREAS—HUD ENGAGEMENTS

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u> *	_Yes_	<i>No</i> _	<u>Ref.**</u>
General					
Were appropriate personnel in compliance with the 1994 Yellow Book CPE requirements and related interpretations?	H201				
Did the firm meet the independence and quality control requirements of the Yellow Book? (GAO, Ch. 3)	H202				
Did the firm provide a copy of its most recent peer review report to the client? (GAO, Ch. 3, par. 3.36)	H203				
Does the engagement letter required by HUD specify the following:					
• that the audit was to be performed in accordance with GAAS, the <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> , and the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–6)	H204				
• that the scope of the audit and contents of the financial report to be issued will meet the requirements of the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–6)	H205				
• that the Secretary of HUD, the HUD Inspector General and GAO or their representatives have access to the working papers and upon request, photocopies will be provided to them? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–6)	H206				
For HUD-insured and multifamily mortgagors, that the auditor considered whether the firm performs manual or automated book-keeping services and/or maintains official accounting records? If the auditor was not independent because of the above, did the auditor resign from the engagement? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–2)	H207				
If the auditee is a Non-Profit Organization which owns a HUD-assisted project, were procedures and reports relating to the specific HUD engagement performed and reported on in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and related guidance? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–1)	H209				
Did the auditor's working papers:					
Contain sufficient information to enable an experienced auditor having no previous connection with the audit to ascertain from them the evidence that supports the auditor's significant conclusions and judgments? (GAO, Ch. 4, par. 4.35)	H210				
Contain documentation of meeting out of state licensing requirements, if necessary? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–2)	H211				

 $^{^{\}ast}\,$ The N/A column should be used when the item either does not exist or is not material.

^{**} All "no" answers should be handled in either of the following ways: (1) discussed on an MFC with the MFC form number noted in the Ref. column, or (2) discussed on the pages provided at the end of this checklist if no MFC was generated.

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Yes</u>	_No_	Ref.
Indicate that the client considered and identified all major and nonmajor programs?	H212				
Note: The working papers should clearly demonstrate the auditor's understanding and assessment of control risks related to the internal control policies and procedures established for HUD-assisted activities. Tests of controls may be omitted only in areas when the internal control policies and procedures are likely to be ineffective in preventing or detecting noncompliance, in which case a reportable condition or material weakness should be reported.	CEN				
In the event that the auditor decided to omit the test of controls, did he/she document the reasons for this omission? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–6)	H213				
Tests of controls were performed to evaluate effectiveness of design and operation of internal control policies and procedures in preventing or detecting material noncompliance with the requirements of HUD programs regardless of whether the auditor assesses internal control risk below the maximum? In instances where controls were not tested, was the report properly modified? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–7)	H214				
Did the auditor obtain a representation letter from management that (AU Sec. 801.07c):					
• represented that they identified all laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts and the requirements of each HUD program? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–6)	H215				
• represented that management is responsible for the organization's compliance with the laws and regulations applicable to the organization and the requirements of each HUD program and that they have complied with them? (HUD, Ch. 1, par. 1–6)	H216				
Did the auditor review the client's Corrective Action Plan as well as review and consider findings from audits conducted by HUD-OIG and other HUD program reviews, and, where applicable, in formulating an Audit Resolution Matters report? (HUD, Ch. 2–1f and Examples G and H)	H217				
	11217				

Program Specific Requirements

The specific requirements for the following programs are contained in separate chapters of the HUD Audit Guide:

- Chapter 3, Section 8 Audit Guidance
- Chapter 4, Insured and Coinsured Multi-family Projects, HUD-Held Mortgages, Section 236 Subsidized Projects, Section 232 Nursing Homes and Similar Facilities, and Section 242 Hospitals Audit Guidance and Sample Financial Statements
- Chapter 5, Insured Development Cost Certification Audit Guidance

7-00

	Ques.	<u>N/A</u>	Yes	_No_	Ref.
 Chapter 6, Ginnie Mae Issuers of Mortgage-Backed Securities Audit Guidance 					
Chapter 7, HUD-Approved Title II Nonsupervised Mortgagees and Loan Correspondents Audit Guidance					
 Chapter 8, HUD-Approved Title I Nonsupervised Lenders and Loan Correspondents Audit Guidance 	E				
<i>Note:</i> The HUD Audit Guide (the "guide") is not intended to be a complete manual of procedures, nor is the guide intended to supplant the auditor's judgment of audit work required. Suggested audit procedures contained in the guide may not cover all circumstances or conditions encountered in a particular audit. The auditor should use professional judgment to tailor the procedures so that the audit objective may be achieved. However, all applicable compliance requirements in the guide must be addressed by the auditor (HUD Ch. 1, par. 1–1).					
Do the working papers indicate that all appropriate procedures have been performed, and that appropriate conclusions have been drawn? (The auditor should justify why he/she did not test a particular compliance requirement.)	H218				
A. Chapter 3, Section 8 Audit Guidance—Working Paper Areas					
Have specific compliance requirements been tested in accordance with the procedures in the HUD Audit Guide, or have alternative procedures been justified (HUD Ch. 3, par. 3–5):					
Fair Housing and Non-Discrimination?	H219				
Management, Maintenance, and Replacement Reserve?	H220				
Federal Financial Reports?	H221				
Application, Eligibility, and Reexamination of Tenants?	H222				
Security Deposits?	H223				
B. Chapter 4, Insured and Coinsured Multifamily Projects, HUD-Held Mortgages, Section 236 Subsidized Projects, Section 232 Nursing Homes and Similar Facilities, and Section 242 Hospitals, Audit Guidance and Sample Financial Statements—Working Paper Areas					
Have specific compliance requirements been tested in accordance					
with the procedures in the HUD Audit Guide, or have alternative procedures been justified:					
Federal Financial Reports?	H224				
Fair Housing and Non-Discrimination?	H225				
Mortgage Status?	H226				

	Ques.	N/A	Yes	_No_	Ref.
Replacement Reserve?	H227				
Residual Receipts?	H228				
Security Deposits?	H229				
Cash Receipts and Disbursements?	H230				
Distributions to Owners?	H231				
Tenant Application, Eligibility, and Recertification?	H232				
Management Functions?	H233				
C. Chapter 5, Insured Development Cost Certification					
Audit Guidance—Working Paper Areas					
Have specific compliance requirements been tested in accordance with the procedures in the HUD Audit Guide, or have alternative procedures been justified:					
Federal Financial Reports?	H234				
Accounting System?	H235				
Cut-Off Timing and Eligibility of Costs?	H236				
Identity of Interest?	H237				
D. Chapter 6, Ginnie Mae Issuers of Mortgage-Backed					
Securities Audit Guidance—Working Paper Areas					
Have specific compliance requirements been tested in accordance with the procedures in the HUD Audit Guide, or have alternative procedures been justified:					
Federal Financial Reports?	H238				
Eligibility to Issue Mortgage-Backed Securities?	H239				
Review of Custodial Documents?	H240				
Issuer's Administration of Pooled Mortgages?	H241				
Review of Monthly Accounting Reports and Quarterly Submissions?	H242				
Securities Marketing and Trading Practices?	H243				
Ginnie Mae Adjusted Net Worth?	H244				

E. Chapter 7, HUD-Approved Title II Nonsupervised Mortgagees and Loan Correspondents Audit Guidance—Working Paper Areas

Have specific compliance requirements been tested in accordance with the procedures in the HUD Audit Guide, or have alternative procedures been justified:

Quality Control Plan? Branch Office Operations? Loan Origination? Loan Settlement? Loan Servicing? Escrow Accounts? Section 235 Assistance Payments? Kickbacks? Federal Financial and Activity Reports? Mortgagee Approval Requirements?	Ques. H245 H246 H247 H248 H249 H250 H251 H252 H253 H254	N/A	Yes	 Ref.
F. Chapter 8, HUD-Approved Title I Nonsupervised Lenders and Loan Correspondents Audit Guidance—Working Paper Areas				
Have specific compliance requirements been tested in accordance with the procedures in the HUD Audit Guide, or have alternative procedures been justified:				
Branch Office Operations?	H255			
Loan Origination?	H256			
Loan Disbursement?	H257			
Loan Servicing?	H258			
Eligible Fees and Charges?	H259			
Federal Financial Reports?	H260			
Financial Approval Requirements?	H261			
Quality Control Plan?	H262			
Did the auditor address the required supplemental data as listed in the HUD Audit Guide including test of compliance with the Adjusted Net Worth requirement, Ch. 6, par. 6–4; Ch. 7, par. 7–6; and Ch. 8, par. 8–6 and Insurance requirement Ch. 6, par. 6–4?	H263			
Has the statement of cash flows been presented using the direct method (HUD Handbook 4370.2, pars. 3–4 and HUD Handbook 4370.6, pars. 2–6)? If the indirect method is used, is a schedule of cash receipts and disbursements included in supplemental information?	H264			

