

LEMBAR
HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW
KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH INTERNASIONAL

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) : Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly
 Jumlah penulis : 1)Gading Ekapuja Aurizki, 2) Ferry Efendi, 3) Retno Indarwati 3 (tiga) orang
 Status Pengusul : Penulis Ke.2 dari 3 penulis..
 Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah : a. Nama Jurnal : Working with Older People .
 b. Nomor ISSN: 1366-3666
 c. Volume, Nomor, bulan, tahun : Vo. 24 No. 1
 d. Penerbit : Working with Older People
 e. DOI artikel : 10.1108/wwop-08-2019-0020
 f. Alamat web Jurnal :
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/WWOP-08-2019-0020/full/html>
 g. Terindek di Scimagojr/Thomson Reuter ISI Knowledge atau di : ...

Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah : Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional Bereputasi (SJR > 0,10)
 Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional Bereputasi (SJR < 0,10)
 (beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat) Jurnal Ilmiah International terindeks di Web of science clarivate analytics / kelompok emerging sources citation indeks (tidak terindeks SJR)

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :

Komponen Yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah			
	Internasional Bereputasi (SJR > 0,10)	Internasional Bereputasi (SJR < 0,10)	Internasional (tidak terindek SJR)	Nilai Akhir yang Diperoleh
	Nilai Maks: 40	Nilai Maks: 30	Nilai Maks: 20	
a. Kelengkapan unsur isi jurnal ilmiah (10%)	4	3	2	3,8
b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	12	9	6	11,4
c. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	12	9	6	11,4
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan (30%)	12	9	6	11,4
Total = (100%)	40	30	20	38
Nilai Pengusul =				

Surabaya,
 Reviewer ,1


 Prof. Dr. H. Nursalam, M.Nurs (Hons)
 NIP. 196612251989031004

Unit Kerja : Fakultas Keperawatan
 Universitas Airlangga

Catatan Peer Reviewer :

1. Tentang Kelengkapan Unsur isi
kelengkapan artikel ini sangat baik dan sesuai dengan kaidah penulisan artikel ilmiah.
Komponen artikel lengkap terdiri dari: abstrak, pendahuluan, metode penelitian hasil dan pembahasan, kesimpulan serta referensi yang up to date.
2. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan
Ruang lingkup penelitian ini membahas mengenai faktor yang berkaitan dengan PTSD pada lansia yang ~~terdampak~~ terdampak bencana gempa bumi di Lombok. Hasil studi diharapkan dengan rinci disertai rekomendasi untuk penelitian selanjutnya. Selain itu, masukan & saran bagi pembuat kebijakan juga dijabarkan di dalamnya terkait dengan pengembangan keperawatan komunitas guna mengatasi masalah psikologis lansia pasca bencana dan bencana lainnya best best pada penelitian fisika.
3. Kecukupan dan Kemutakhiran data / informasi dan metodologi
Desain penelitian ini adalah cross-sectional dengan menggunakan kuisioner CAPS-5 untuk mendiagnosis PTSD pada sampel penelitian. Pembulatan penelitian dilakukan melalui uji statistik deskriptif, bivariat dan analisis multivariat dengan regresi logistik linier. Metodologi yang digunakan sudah tepat dalam menjawab pertanyaan penelitian.
4. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan
Kualitas dan kelengkapan unsur penerbitan artikel baik serta telah terindex dalam base internasional bereputasi (SCOPUS Q3 (SJQR. 2023 ; h-index 9)) serta pula dengan DOI pada setiap artikel yang di publikasi. Jurnal diterbitkan oleh penerbit Emerald Group Publishing Ltd. Index similarity melalui turnitin menunjukkan kemiripan sebesar 17%. Penerbit tidak masuk kedalam Beall's list.

Surabaya,
Reviewer, 1



Prof. Dr. H. Nursalam, M.Nurs (Hons)
NIP. 196612251989031004

Unit Kerja : Fakultas Keperawatan
Universitas Airlangga

LEMBAR
HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW
KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH INTERNASIONAL


Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) : Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly
 Jumlah penulis : 1)Gading Ekapuja Aurizki, 2) Ferry Efendi, 3) Retno Indarwati 3 (tiga) orang
 Status Pengusul : Penulis Ke.2 dari 3 penulis..
 Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah : a. Nama Jurnal : Working with Older People .
 b. Nomor ISSN: 1366-3666
 c. Volume, Nomor, bulan, tahun : Vo. 24 No. 1
 d. Penerbit : Working with Older People
 e. DOI artikel : 10.1108/wwop-08-2019-0020
 f. Alamat web Jurnal :
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/WWOP-08-2019-0020/full/html>
 g. Terindek di Scimagojr/Thomson Reuter ISI Knowledge atau di : ...

Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah : Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional Bereputasi (SJR > 0,10)
 Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional Bereputasi (SJR < 0,10)
 (beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat) Jurnal Ilmiah International terindeks di Web of science clarivate analytics / kelompok emerging sources citation indeks (tidak terindeks SJR)

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :

Komponen Yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah			Nilai Akhir yang Diperoleh
	Internasional Bereputasi (SJR > 0,10)	Internasional Bereputasi (SJR < 0,10)	Internasional (tidak terindek SJR)	
	Nilai Maks: 40	Nilai Maks: 30	Nilai Maks: 20	
a. Kelengkapan unsur isi jurnal ilmiah (10%)	4	3	2	3,92
b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	12	9	6	11,76
c. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	12	9	6	11,76
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan (30%)	12	9	6	11,76
Total = (100%)	40	30	20	39,20
Nilai Pengusul =				

Surabaya,
 Reviewer ,2



Dr.Tintin Sukartini, S.Kp., M.Kes.
 NIP. 197212172000032001

Unit Kerja : Fakultas Keperawatan
 Universitas Airlangga

Catatan Peer Reviewer :

1. Tentang Kelengkapan Unsur isi

Kelengkapan artikel ini sangat baik, sesuai dengan kaidah ilmiah. Artikel ini memiliki komponen yaitu abstrak, Pendahuluan, metode Penelitian, hasil dan pembahasan serta kesimpulan. Daftar referensi yang digunakan sudah memenuhi aspek-aspek relevansi, Proporsionalitas dan kebaruan.

2. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan

Ruang lingkup Penelitian ini membahas mengenai faktor-faktor yang berpengaruh terhadap kejadian gangguan stress pasca trauma atau post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) yang dialami para lansia Indonesia setelah mengalami peristiwa bencana alam. Penelitian yang menguji tentang dampak PTSD pasca bencana gempa bumi ini menggunakan pembuktian yang dilakukan melalui metode cross sectional menggunakan instrumen kuisioner data demografi dan alat ukur PTSD yaitu modifikasi dari the clinician Administered PTSD Scale Version 5 (CAPS-5) yang sudah teruji dan tervalidasi. Tema Penelitian dan tujuan yang ingin dicapai sesuai dengan bidang ilmu dan keahlian penulis dalam bidang keperawatan.


3. Kecukupan dan Kemutakhiran data / informasi dan metodologi

Analisis statistik dalam penelitian ini dilakukan dengan menggunakan model statistik deskriptif dan regresi logistik biner. Penggunaan metode analisis sudah sesuai dengan tujuan Penelitian yang ingin menguji hubungan antar variabel yg diteliti sehingga menghasilkan hasil analisis data yang tepat & bertanggung jawab.

4. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan

Kuantitas dan kelengkapan unsur penerbit baik serta terindex database Internasional bereputasi yaitu scopus Q3 (SJR 2019 Q2) dengan disertai DOI pada setiap terbitan artikelnya. Diterbitkan oleh Penerbit Emerald Group Publishing Ltd. yang berbasis di United Kingdom dan merupakan Penerbit bereputasi Internasional. penerbit ini tidak termasuk dalam Beall's List. Index similarity melalui Turnitin menunjukkan kemiripan sebesar 19%.

Surabaya,
Reviewer, 2



Dr. Tintin Sukartini, S.Kp., M.Kes.
NIP. 197212172000032001

Unit Kerja : Fakultas Keperawatan
Universitas Airlangga

**HASIL VALIDASI DAN PENILAIAN
KARYA ILMIAH DOSEN UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA
(MEDIA PUBLIKASI KARYA ILMIAH: JURNAL ILMIAH INTERNASIONAL)**

A. Identitas Karya Ilmiah

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) : Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly

Jumlah penulis : 1)Gading Ekapuja Aurizki, 2) Ferry Efendi, 3) Retno Indarwati 3 (tiga) orang

Status Pengusul : Penulis Ke.2 dari 3 penulis....

Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah : a. Nama Jurnal : Working with Older People
b. Nomor ISSN: 1366-3666
c. Volume, Nomor, bulan, tahun : Vo. 24 No. 1 tahun 2019
d. Penerbit : Working with Older People
e. DOI artikel : <https://doi.org/10.1108/WWOP-08-2019-0020>
f. Alamat web Jurnal :
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/WWOP-08-2019-0020/full/html>
g. Terindeks di Scimagojr/Thomson Reuter ISI Knowledge atau di : ...

- B. Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah : Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional Bereputasi (SJR > 0,10)
(beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat) : Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional Bereputasi (SJR < 0,10)
 Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional terindeks di Web of science clarivate analytics / kelompok emerging sources citation indeks (tidak terindeks SJR)

C. Rekapitulasi hasil penilaian angka kredit


Komponen yang dinilai		Reviewer I	Reviewer II	Nilai Rata-rata
a.	Kelengkapan unsur isi Jurnal Ilmiah (10%)	3,8	3,92	3,86
b.	Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	11,40	11,76	11,58
c.	Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	11,40	11,76	11,58
d.	Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan (30%)	11,40	11,76	11,58
Total = (100%)		38	39,20	38,60

D. Hasil Validasi Ketua Departemen

Telah diperiksa dan divalidasi dengan baik, dan sampai pernyataan ini dibuat sebagai karya ilmiah **original / plagiat***, sehingga kami turut bertanggung jawab bahwa karya ilmiah tersebut telah memenuhi syarat kaidah ilmiah, norma akademik, dan norma hukum, sesuai dengan Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Nomor 17 Tahun 2010 tanggal 16 Agustus 2010 tentang Pencegahan dan Pananggulangan Plagiat di Perguruan Tinggi. Namun demikian, apabila di kemudian hari ternyata terbukti bahwa karya ilmiah tersebut merupakan karya Ilmiah Plagiat, maka akan menjadi tanggung jawab mutlak penulis tersebut di atas, baik secara perdata maupun pidana.

Demikian surat pernyataan ini saya buat untuk dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya

Surabaya,
Ketua Departemen, Kep. Lanjut


Dr. Yulis Setiya Dewi, S.Kep.Ns., M.Ng.
NIP. 197507092005012001 Unit Kerja :

Unit Kerja : Fakultas Keperawatan
Universitas Airlangga

Working with Older People - WWOP-08-2019-0020

External

Inbox



Working with Older People <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com> Thu, Aug 8, 2019, 6:47 AM

to me

08-Aug-2019

Dear Dr. Efendi,

Your manuscript entitled "Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in the Working with Older People.

Your manuscript ID is WWOP-08-2019-0020.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to ScholarOne Manuscripts at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/wwop> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Centre after logging in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/wwop>.

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision or send directly to Emerald if your paper is accepted immediately. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Open Access?

All of our subscription journals give you the option of publishing your article open access, following payment of an article processing charge (APC). To find the APC for your journal, please refer to the APC price list: http://www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/openaccess/apc_price_list.pdf

Emerald has established partnerships with national consortium bodies to offer a number of APC vouchers for eligible regions and institutions. To check your eligibility please refer to the open access partnerships

page: <http://www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/openaccess/oapartnerships.htm>

If you would like to publish your article open access please contact openaccess@emeraldgroup.com

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Working with Older People.

Yours sincerely,
Simone C. Bacchini
Working with Older People

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9458-8241>

Working with Older People - Author update

External

Inbox



Working with Older People <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com> Mon, Aug 19,
2019, 10:24

PM

to gading-e-a-10, geaurizki, me, retno-i

19-Aug-2019

Dear Author(s)

It is a pleasure to inform you that your manuscript titled Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly (WWOP-08-2019-0020) has passed initial screening and is now awaiting reviewer selection. The manuscript was submitted by Dr. Ferry Efendi with you listed as a co-author. As you are listed as a co-author please log in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com//wwop> and check that your account details are complete and correct, these details will be used should the paper be accepted for publication.

Yours sincerely,
Simone C. Bacchini
Editorial Assistant, Working with Older People
wwop.editor@outlook.com

Working with Older People - Decision on Manuscript ID WWOP-08-2019-0020

External

Inbox



Working with Older People <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com> Wed, Sep 4,
2019, 8:22
PM

to me

04-Sep-2019

Dear Dr. Efendi,

Manuscript ID WWOP-08-2019-0020 entitled "Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly" which you submitted to the Working with Older People, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/wwop> and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text. Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the Working with Older People, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Working with Older People and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Yours sincerely,
Dr. Simone Bacchini
Editor, Working with Older People
simone.bacchini@bl.uk, simobac@gmail.com

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:
Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Major Revision

Comments:

Abstract :

1. Where is the location of study?
2. Please state the results of the adjusted odds ratio

Introduction

1. Please add information about CAPS-5 in the background. What are the results of other studies using this instrument?

Methods:

1. The researchers said that sampling was done conveniently, could these results be generalized? and how to overcome this bias?
2. What about the validity and reliability of the questionnaires? please state it
3. How to analyze covariate variables? Like monthly income, education, health care service, chronic illness, education, etc.

Results:

1. What was the original sample recruited? That is how many questionnaires were sent out or how many people were selected and how many responded and took part in the study. This is the respond rate which author needs to report.
2. The average age of all respondents was 71.07 years old, what is the standard deviation?? Please present mean +/- SD
3. Table 2 explained the crude odds ratio or adjusted odds ratio? did the Last health care services visit variable become a confounding variable?
4. In table 5, please present 95% CI

Discussion

1. Could the results of this study be generalized in Indonesia? please state it

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes, the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Yes, It does

3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Yes, the paper's argument is built on an appropriate base of theory and the methods are appropriate but something needs to be detailed

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Yes, the results are presesnted clearly and appropriately

5. Practicality and/or Research implications: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for practice and/or further research? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Yes, it does. But I think, the result can not be generalized in Indonesia

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Yes, It does

Reviewer: 2

Recommendation: Major Revision

Comments:

Thank you for submitting this interesting research. Please see my comments below?

1. Page 2 Line 20 – 45 combine into 1 paragraph. The first sentence is too long, consider to rephrase in 2 or 3 sentences. The second sentence (line 36-45) have too many references. You may add explanation in what context previous studies asees the PTSD risk factors.

2. What is CAPS-5? What previous studies using CAPS-5? Why do you think this instrument is helpful in identifying the PTSD?

3. Method:

- a. sample selection need explanation why the researcher opt to use the convenient sampling?
- b. What is the minimum number or scores for respondents identified as having PTSD? As you consider PTSD positive depends on criteria B-G, what the use of criteria A and other additional criteria?
- c. For the health care utilization, please explain what do you mean by other health care utilization? Including hospitalization? I don't think you need to separate it with the public health center use when the
- d. In the data analysis explain, what do you mean by the individual criteria? Is it every single criteria to determine the PTSD? You study aim is to analyse factors (from respondent demographic characteristics) and PTSD, why do you want to assess the relationship between CAPS-5 diagnosis and the individual criteria of CAPS-5?
- e. For the multivariate analysis, explain why you exclude other non significant variables from the chi-square analysis? How do you control possible confounders that may affect your results?

4. Results:

- a. Table 1, the living companion variable and the marital status. I think you need to revise them into dichotomous variables.
- b. I do not see the logic of Table 3, why you compare each criteria within CAPS-5 and the PTSD while you use the same criteria to determine whether a person having PTSD or not?

5. Discussion:

- a. Your study found that the CHC users in the last 6 months have a higher likelihood of having PTSD compared to the non users. How do you know that the CHC they visited did not have mental health service/counselling? On the other hand, don't you think that they visited the CHC due to their pshychological complaint as well as for other medical purposes? I think you need to find more relevant literature to support your argument on this finding.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: yes

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: yes. I think the author need to add literature about the use of CAPS-5 in other studies.

3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: yes for all those questions on method, however the author need to explain a couple of specific issues which I provide in the comments for the authors.

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: yes

5. Practicality and/or Research implications: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for practice and/or further research? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: yes

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: yes

To: Dr. Simone Bacchini
Editor, Working with Older People

Subject: Revised Manuscript, Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly

Date: 6 September 2019

Dr. Simone Bacchini,

Thank you for your email dated 4 September 2019 with the reviewer comments for the manuscript titled “Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly” (Manuscript ID WWOP-08-2019-0020). As directed by your correspondence, we are submitting the revised manuscript with changes highlighted in red color that addresses all reviewer comments and suggestions. Below, please find our responses to the reviewer’s and editor’s comments. We thank you for the opportunity to submit this revised manuscript.

Reviewer Comments	Responses
REVIEWER 1	
Abstract	
1. Where is the location of study?	Done. The study location has been added in the abstract.
2. Please state the results of the adjusted odds ratio	The results have already shown adjusted odds ratio.
Introduction	
1. Please add information about CAPS-5 in the background. What are the results of other studies using this instrument?	The definition and the previous studies related to CAPS-5 have been added in the introduction paragraph 5.
Methods	

1. The researchers said that sampling was done conveniently, could these results be generalized? and how to overcome this bias?	Generalization of research outcome should be done cautiously particularly from convenience sampling and we have added this as disadvantage of our study, but at least it allows the researcher to obtain basic data. It has been added in study limitation section.
2. What about the validity and reliability of the questionnaires? please state it	The statement has been added in the methods section (2.2).
3. How to analyze covariate variables? Like monthly income, education, health care service, chronic illness, education, etc.	It's been adjusted to our analysis; the category of each variables has been added in methods section.
Results	
1. What was the original sample recruited? That is how many questionnaires were sent out or how many people were selected and how many responded and took part in the study. This is the response rate which author needs to report.	The questionnaires were sent to 152 elderly and all of those agreed to become the respondents. So, the response rate was 100%.
2. The average age of all respondents was 71.07 years old, what is the standard deviation?? Please present mean +/- SD	Done. The standard deviation and minimum-maximum age are presented in the table and text.
3. Table 2 explained the crude odds ratio or adjusted odds ratio? did the Last health care services visit variable become a confounding variable?	The odds ratio was adjusted. That's why it's been adjusted into analysis as mentioned in other study that last health care visit should be counted in PTSD occurrence.
4. In table 5, please present 95% CI	Done. The 95% CI has been added in Table 5.
Discussion	
1. Could the results of this study be generalized in Indonesia? please state it	Generalization of research outcome should be done cautiously particularly from convenience sampling and we have added this as disadvantage of our study, but at least it allows the researcher to obtain basic data. It has been added in study limitation section.
REVIEWER 2	

<p>1. Page 2 Line 20 – 45 combines into 1 paragraph. The first sentence is too long, consider to rephrase in 2 or 3 sentences. The second sentence (line 36-45) have too many references. You may add explanation in what context previous studies asees the PTSD risk factors.</p>	<p>Done. The first sentence has been rearranged. The second sentence has been divided into three parts including the explanation.</p>
<p>2. What is CAPS-5? What previous studies using CAPS-5? Why do you think this instrument is helpful in identifying the PTSD?</p>	<p>The definition and the previous studies related to CAPS-5 have been added in the introduction paragraph 5.</p>
<p>3. Methods</p>	
<p>a. Sample selection need explanation why the researcher opts to use the convenient sampling?</p>	<p>Done. The explanation has been added in section 2.1.</p>
<p>b. What is the minimum number or scores for respondents identified as having PTSD? As you consider PTSD positive depends on criteria B-G, what the use of criteria A and other additional criteria?</p>	<p>Done. The explanation has been added in the section 2.2 paragraph 2.</p>
<p>c. For the health care utilization, please explain what do you mean by other health care utilization? Including hospitalization? I don't think you need to separate it with the public health center use when the</p>	<p>Done. The explanation has been added in the Section 2.2 paragraph 4.</p>
<p>d. In the data analysis explain, what do you mean by the individual criteria? Is it every single criterion to determine the PTSD? You study aim is to</p>	<p>Initially, we include it to see which criterion shows the most significant correlation to the diagnosis. However, after considering the reviewer's suggestion, we decide to delete the relationship between CAPS-5 diagnosis and the individual criteria of CAPS-5.</p>

<p>analyse factors (from respondent demographic characteristics) and PTSD, why do you want to assess the relationship between CAPS-5 diagnosis and the individual criteria of CAPS-5?</p>	
<p>e. For the multivariate analysis, explain why you exclude other non significant variables from the chi-square analysis? How do you control possible confounders that may affect your results?</p>	<p>The explanation has been added in section 2.3 based on Sperandei, S. (2014), "Understanding logistic regression analysis", Biochemia Medica, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 12-18.</p>
<p>4. Results</p>	
<p>a. Table 1, the living companion variable and the marital status. I think you need to revise them into dichotomous variables.</p>	<p>The variables have been revised into dichotomous variables (Table 1).</p>
<p>b. I do not see the logic of Table 3, why you compare each criterion within CAPS-5 and the PTSD while you use the same criteria to determine whether a person having PTSD or not?</p>	<p>Initially, we include it to see which criterion shows the most significant correlation to the diagnosis. However, after considering the reviewer's suggestion, we decide to delete the univariate analysis and leave the descriptive analysis.</p>
<p>5. Discussion</p>	
<p>a. Your study found that the CHC users in the last 6 months have a higher likelihood of having PTSD compared to the non users. How do you know that the CHC they visited did not have mental health service/ counselling? On the other hand, don't you think that they visited the CHC due to their pshychological complaint as well as for other medical purposes? I think you need to find more relevant literature to</p>	<p>In Lombok and many other regions in Indonesia, PHC's mental health services are mostly absent. It refers to the PHC's six basic health service which do not include such services. Authors assume most of respondents did not aware with psychological complaints or any related to physiological issue as mentioned in these studies https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4948168/ and http://www.searo.who.int/entity/mental_health/documents/sea-ment-151.pdf?ua=1</p>

support your argument on this finding.	
--	--

Working with Older People - WWOP-08-2019-0020.R1

External

Inbox



Working with Older People <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Mon, Sep 23,
2019, 3:41
AM

to me

22-Sep-2019

Dear Dr. Efendi,

Your revised manuscript entitled "Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in the Working with Older People.

Your manuscript ID is WWOP-08-2019-0020.R1.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to ScholarOne Manuscripts at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/wwop> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Centre after logging in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/wwop>

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision or send directly to Emerald if your paper is accepted immediately. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Open Access?

All of our subscription journals give you the option of publishing your article open access, following payment of an article processing charge (APC). To find the APC for

your journal, please refer to the APC price

list: http://www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/openaccess/apc_price_list.pdf

Emerald has established partnerships with national consortium bodies to offer a number of APC vouchers for eligible regions and institutions. To check your eligibility please refer to the open access partnerships

page: <http://www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/openaccess/oapartnerships.htm>

If you would like to publish your article open access please

contact openaccess@emeraldgroup.com

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Working with Older People.

Yours sincerely,

Simone C. Bacchini

Working with Older People

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9458-8241>

Working with Older People - Decision on Manuscript ID WWOP-08-2019-0020.R1

External

Inbox



Working with Older People <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com> Tue, Oct 1,
2019, 4:09
PM

to gading-e-a-10, geaurizki, me, retno-i

01-Oct-2019

Dear Aurizki, Gading; Efendi, Ferry; Indarwati, Retno

It is a pleasure to accept your manuscript WWOP-08-2019-0020.R1, entitled "Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following natural disaster among Indonesian elderly" in its current form for publication in Working with Older People. Please note, no further changes can be made to your manuscript.

Please go to your Author Centre at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/wwop> (Manuscripts with Decisions for the submitting author or Manuscripts I have co-authored for all listed co-authors) to complete the Copyright Transfer Agreement form (CTA). We cannot publish your paper without this.

All authors are requested to complete the form and to input their full contact details. If any of the contact information is incorrect you can update it by clicking on your name at the top right of the screen. Please note that this must be done prior to you submitting your CTA.

If you have an ORCID please check your account details to ensure that your ORCID is validated.

By publishing in this journal your work will benefit from Emerald EarlyCite. As soon as your CTA is completed your manuscript will pass to Emerald's Content Management department and be processed for EarlyCite publication. EarlyCite is the author proofed, typeset version of record, fully citable by DOI. The EarlyCite article sits outside of a journal issue and is paginated in isolation. The EarlyCite article will be collated into a journal issue according to the journals' publication schedule.

FOR OPEN ACCESS AUTHORS: Please note if you have indicated that you would like to publish your article as Open Access via Emerald's Gold Open Access route, you are required to complete a Creative Commons Attribution Licence - CCBY 4.0 (in place of the standard copyright assignment form referenced above). You will receive a follow up email within the next 30 days with a link to the CCBY licence and information regarding payment of the Article Processing Charge. If you have indicated that you might be eligible for a prepaid APC voucher, you will also be informed at this point if a voucher is available to you (for more information on APC vouchers please see <http://www.emeraldpublishing.com/oapartnerships>

Thank you for your contribution. On behalf of the Editors of Working with Older People, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Yours sincerely,
Dr. Simone Bacchini
Editor, Working with Older People
simone.bacchini@bl.uk, simobac@gmail.com