

Psychosocial approaches to neoliberal policies, welfare institutions and practices in the Nordic welfare states

Lundgaard Andersen, Linda; Dybbroe, Betina

Published in:
Journal of Psycho-Social Studies

DOI:
[10.1332/147867320X15982855867251](https://doi.org/10.1332/147867320X15982855867251)

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (APA):
Lundgaard Andersen, L., & Dybbroe, B. (2020). Psychosocial approaches to neoliberal policies, welfare institutions and practices in the Nordic welfare states. *Journal of Psycho-Social Studies*, 13(3), 225-233. <https://doi.org/10.1332/147867320X15982855867251>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact rucforsk@ruc.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Journal of Psychosocial Studies

Psychosocial approaches to neoliberal policies, welfare institutions and practices in the Nordic Welfare States

Editorial

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Psychosocial approaches to neoliberal policies, welfare institutions and practices in the Nordic Welfare States Editorial
Article Type:	Academic Article
Keywords:	Psychosocial neoliberal practices intersubjectivity collectivity
Corresponding Author:	Linda Lundgaard Andersen, Ph.D. Roskilde Universitet Roskilde, DENMARK
First Author:	Linda Lundgaard Andersen, Ph.D.
Order of Authors:	Linda Lundgaard Andersen, Ph.D. Betina Dybbroe
Abstract:	The special issue chapters aim to delve deeper into how neoliberalism presents itself in welfare practices and institutional settings, with a special interest in how human agency, subjective experience and identities, as well as intersubjectivity and collective life, are affected. This invitation has led to interesting new research contributions from scholars addressing a varied spectrum of welfare institutions, practices and problems. Guiding all the contributions has been an ambition to understand and demonstrate how the subjective and intersubjective lives of professionals and citizens are affected by neoliberalism in ways that change the practices of care, rehabilitation, social work, health promotion and learning, in addition to the participation and living of citizens in contact with welfare institutions.
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Funding Information:	

Cover Page

Special issue // Journal of Psychosocial Studies

Psychosocial approaches to neoliberal policies, welfare institutions and practices in the Nordic Welfare States

Editorial

Linda Lundgaard Andersen and Betina Dybbroe

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Special issue // Journal of Psychosocial Studies

Psychosocial approaches to neoliberal policies, welfare institutions and practices in the Nordic Welfare States

Editorial

Linda Lundgaard Andersen and Betina Dybbroe

Controversy over the neoliberalization of policy and practice in welfare contexts is alive and active in many national and international settings. Neoliberalism in welfare societies and contexts is supported by a shift towards governance at all levels of modern societies, affecting how welfare is designed, managed and practiced. Critical welfare research has since the beginning of the century demonstrated how welfare systems have become market driven, and how accountability and economic rationalities challenge the universalistic and redistributive aims of Nordic welfare systems (Christensen, 2012; Dahl, 2009; Vabø, 2006). Social and policy researchers have further pointed to how neoliberalism increases segregation in European societies today (Wacquant, 2009) and spreads elitist ideas about radical individual freedom (Trägårdh, 2018).

Over time, the space for critical knowledge in welfare services has become limited, whereas the need to present critical knowledge has grown. This special issue is such an endeavour, where we present new Nordic analyses of welfare systems and practices influenced by neoliberalism. Neoliberalism has traditionally been theorized as a policy framework, ideology and/or governance. However, we should be careful not to underestimate the significance of contemporary transformations in neoliberal governance. Neoliberalism is both a political discourse about the nature of ruling and also a set of practices that facilitate from a distance (Larner, 2000). What is labelled neoliberalism also draws on more generalized elitist ideas of what it is to be a citizen, such as radical individual freedom (Trägårdh, 2018) as well as class and ethnic differentiation (Wacquant, 2009).

In the spirit of the market, welfare institutions and services have been transformed into production and commercial units, selling and buying services. Currently, we see how market rationality of welfare policies and services has become dominant in welfare planning, and how welfare has been politically designed and operationalized through the rationality of economic cost effectiveness and standardized production. Neoliberal policies and economic theory argue for and justify these practices (Friedman, 1994), while political debates on the provision of services that societies and citizens need, request and envisage are marginalized. The widespread presence and power of neoliberalism as an ideology is by critical policy and social researchers not seen to lie in its economic programme, but rather in its ability to conquer and dominate thinking about social development. Neoliberalism depoliticizes political transformations and performs as a normative basis, creating boundaries for what is of value, and what is not, in society (Boltanski and Chiapello, 2006; Burnham, 1999) Stahl 2018).

At the start of the millennium, several European countries displayed a political interest in various forms of resistance and articulation of voices from professionals and users. Social and health care workers have criticized the effects of New Public Management, and user movements asked for inclusion of citizens and

1 users in the forming of welfare institutions (Barnes and Cotterell, 2012; Dahl, 2009; Newman and Tonkens,
2 2011). As of today, user involvement policies are mainstream in welfare institutions, but largely as a means
3 of involving citizens in taking responsibility for co-producing or co-creating welfare. Nevertheless,
4 segregation has deepened as many citizens are unequally positioned, and many are without influence on
5 welfare planning and management (Protheroe, Brooks, Chew-Graham, Gardner, and Rogers,
6 2013)(Protheroe et al., 2013). Currently, we see a persistent diminishment of state responsibility for welfare,
7 and a development towards “welfare pluralism” encompassing the state, private entrepreneurs and the
8 voluntary sector, which changes the state responsibility for welfare of all (Dahlberg, 2005; Enjolras and
9 Strømsnes, 2017).

14 **Self-optimizing entrepreneurs or agencies of feelings, desires and subconscious drives**

16 It has been suggested by critical research that subjects become self-optimizing entrepreneurs investing in
17 their own capital (Petersen and Lupton, 1996) and neoliberalism becomes a form of generalized normativity
18 of competition and entrepreneurship that encompasses both governed and governing (Foucault, Davidson,
19 and Burchell, 2008). The entrepreneur and entrepreneurialism are essential to the success of the
20 marketplace, while the dissemination of the discourses of the entrepreneur and of entrepreneurialism is
21 pivotal to the spread of a neoliberal agenda and the subsequent marketization of the sectors (Sandberg,
22 2016). These processes are said to have permeated the welfare services, and govern institutional practices
23 and interactions between professionals and citizens. Clearly, the Danish and Nordic welfare states have been
24 permeated by a much more entrepreneurial discourse and practice than previously (Andersen, 2018; Kamali
25 and Jönsson, 2018). However, the rationalities of self-optimization and consumerism are also met and
26 challenged by meaning making at inter-relational and practice levels. Other rationalities such as the
27 commitment to community and human obligation (Gibson-Graham, 2014; Mendell and Alain, 2015), and the
28 logic of care (Mol, 2008; Waerness, 1984) also contribute to forming practices.

34 The cultural and human dimensions of welfare practices and work are present as socializing and
35 acknowledging dimensions of life. In our research we have identified how welfare institutions also comprise
36 scenes and spaces for subjective and intersubjective identification and recognition (Andersen and Dybbroe,
37 2017). In human service, such as social and health care, the citizens, patients and clients involved are a
38 significant dimension of working life, social interaction and the creation of meaning. Interactions between
39 citizens, clients, patients and service providers become formative for the development of professional
40 thinking and identity. Welfare settings must also be understood as “human meeting places” with a wider
41 scope, and as humanizing learning spaces. In these meeting places we see agency, feelings and emotions,
42 desires and subconscious drives and motives unfolding, which serve as drivers for both professional and civic
43 encounters.

49 A more rounded understanding of the complex human agencies involved must form the basis for developing
50 a critical analysis of neoliberal practices that does not rest on suggesting governing and governed subjects as
51 ciphers for the onward march of neoliberalism. Thus, in this special issue we are interested in exploring how
52 subjectivity, intersubjectivity and collectivity are present in welfare settings in relation to neoliberal norms,
53 values and frameworks. We seek to provide deeper psychosocial knowledge of how neoliberal policies and
54 practices influence and become active and dominant in transformed practices of welfare - at subjective,
55 intersubjective and institutional levels. We set out to explore how neoliberal values and policies may institute
56 individualization, vulnerability and shame in situations of human life undergoing change, and how collective

1 responsibility thus decreases. Moreover, we are interested in analysing welfare services in transformation
2 that enable representations of subjectivity and intersubjectivity informed by psychosocial understandings.
3 At the heart of this is an understanding of welfare development as complex processes of control and
4 resistance, retrenchment and innovation, discipline and humanization in the intersections of policies and
5 human practices. Our endeavour is consequently to enhance knowledge of how neoliberal policies, ideals
6 and practices transform and become active and dominant, or are refused and circumvented, in practices of
7 welfare that reflect micro and macro levels.
8
9

10 **Psychosocial theorization on neoliberal practices**

11 Psychosocial theory has deepened our understandings of neoliberally influenced subjective and
12 intersubjective practices. Layton points out how neoliberalism promotes the development of certain versions
13 of subjectivity, certain character structures, defences, transferences, and countertransference (Layton,
14 2014). Hoggett explains how performativity exploits the employee's desire to achieve the ideal, using target
15 setting and performance monitoring, leading to insecurity, failure and feelings of shame (Hoggett, 2017).
16 Glynos suggests how policies that aim to maximize market competition amplify splitting tendencies in
17 subjects that subscribe to particular fantasies such as 'Individual Self-Sufficiency' or dependence fantasies of
18 the 'Caring Other' (Glynos, 2014). Fotaki points out how market-based freedom of choice and user autonomy
19 relies on, and taps into, unconscious dynamics and fantasies of invincibility, while ignoring the precariousness
20 of life and our infinite potential to experience vulnerability. This creates toxicity in organizations, thus
21 corrupting the moral institutional fabric (Fotaki, 2017).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Our readings of New Public Management in Danish welfare services have found that marketization and
30 consumerism have led to anxiety, ambivalence, aggression and other forms of defence in professional
31 interactions. On the one hand, current welfare work situates a skilled and devoted professional to direct
32 performative processes and outcomes based on personalized identification, often in collaboration with
33 citizens. The meaning of work for welfare workers is not based just on procedures and standards, technical
34 skills and time, but is created materialistically and psycho-socially in practice, when tasks are performed and
35 interactions shape care, social work etc.- and include others, as intersubjective meaning (Dybbroe, 2011). On
36 the other hand, a number of ambivalences and defensive reactions saturate the very same processes and
37 outcomes (Andersen, 2003, 2016). We point out how target-driven practice and evidential monitoring in
38 hierarchical structures remove the focus from professional reasoning and understanding, consequently
39 diminishing the qualifying processes of introspection in and on practice. The troubling and uneasy dimensions
40 of work become unspeakable and marginalized, whereas the organizations will focus on rational language
41 and the practice of measurable targets and objectives (Andersen and Dybbroe, 2017). In the workplaces of
42 welfare practices we have seen how social dynamics interact with individual and life historical subjective
43 dynamics in ways that illuminate not only habitual and creative orientations and practices of professionals,
44 but also the contradictory transformations of work, e.g. of marketization and democratization (Dybbroe,
45 2013).
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 The theoretical framings in this special issue span a variety of psychosocial conceptualizations drawing upon
55 (some of) the scholarly work from psychoanalytic social psychology rooted in critical theory (Bereswill,
56 Morgenroth, and Redman, 2010; Leithäuser, 2012, 2013; Leithäuser, Meyerhuber, and Schottmayer, 2009;
57 Leithäuser and Volmerg, 1988; Lorenzer, 1986), the Tavistock tradition (Menziés Lyth, 1988), object relations
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 theory (Bion, 1962; Ferenczi, 1955), ego psychology (Freud, 1966) and the psy-sciences approach (Borgos,
2 Gyimesi, and Erős, 2019). Harvesting from the chapters and acknowledging the differences and specificities
3 of the theoretical landscape, we nevertheless notice a shared endeavour of critical readings of human and
4 societal phenomena and manifestations, which not only probes into subtle, hidden and latent meanings. But
5 also holds an interest in the relation between culturally shared emotions and psychic landscapes- and
6 developments at societal level. Richards suggests a psychosocial 'binocularity' that transgresses the
7 monocular application of psychological or sociological theory to social phenomena, arguing that "the
8 sociohistorical context might somehow shape the mind, and facilitate the development or strengthening of
9 some psychic organisations or complexes over others" (Richards, 2019, p. 180). Leithäuser and Volmerg ask
10 a similar question: "in which ways do societal factors establish themselves in psychological structures and
11 how are they transformed into regulatory mechanisms? And the reverse movement: how do psychological
12 drives, wishes and needs support and connect to social and societal roles and situations in a search for
13 gratification?" (Leithäuser and Volmerg, 1988, p. 10). These ambitions we see as pivotal for unlocking
14 neoliberal practices in a psychosocial perspective.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **Chapter introduction**

24
25 The special issue chapters aim to delve deeper into how neoliberalism presents itself in welfare practices and
26 institutional settings, with a special interest in how human agency, subjective experience and identities, as
27 well as intersubjectivity and collective life, are affected. This invitation has led to interesting new research
28 contributions from scholars addressing a varied spectrum of welfare institutions, practices and problems.
29 Guiding all the contributions has been an ambition to understand and demonstrate how the subjective and
30 intersubjective lives of professionals and citizens are affected by neoliberalism in ways that change the
31 practices of care, rehabilitation, social work, health promotion and learning, in addition to the participation
32 and living of citizens in contact with welfare institutions.
33
34
35

36 In *Social innovation in welfare practices: Identification, idealization and shame*, Linda Lundgaard Andersen
37 states that social innovation is the new lingo that permeates welfare service. The chapter provides insight
38 into the psychosocial fabric of this current development, pointing out how identification, idealization and
39 shame become descriptive of the psychosocial landscapes in social enterprises. Departing from case studies
40 it is illustrated how managers and staff identify with and idealize their social innovative missions, but find it
41 difficult to fulfil their aspirations in the face of (neoliberal) societal and organizational contexts and
42 conditions.
43
44
45

46 In *Loneliness and lost community in the scenes of elderly care*, Betina Dybbroe analyses how care for the very
47 old and fatally ill in home based care in Nordic societies presently is characterised by identifications, sensing
48 and projections of the fear of loneliness and risk of dying, and the longing for community. The neoliberal
49 ideals of individual living, individual choice and person-centred care guide practices but are contradicted by
50 the uniformity and the austerity of care provision. The vulnerability when facing death, and the societal
51 neoliberal construction of the autonomous subject, creates projections of emotions of fear and risk in
52 professionals, but also fantasies about community and shared vulnerability.
53
54
55

56 In *Emotional processes in a struggling nursery in neoliberal times* by Thomas Gitz-Johansen explores the
57 influence of political and institutional conditions on the emotional life of staff in a public day-care nursery for
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 0-2 year old children, exposed to New Public Management. The analysis shows how the emotional life of the
2 professionals is characterised by processes of suppressing the needs of very small children, and projective
3 identification of feelings onto other staff members. The emotional reactions of the professionals, however,
4 become illuminated through the sensing of the researcher, and the article reflects on the suppression of
5 emotions in the care of small children, influenced by a neoliberal construction of small children as learners.
6

7
8 In *Unemployment, learning, subjectivity and experience in times of neoliberal employment policy*, Mikkel Bech
9 Morgen analyses how learning as an aspect of the individual's life historical experiential processes is affected
10 by the neoliberal organization of the employment system and back-to-work policies and practices. This leads
11 to ambiguities and by reflecting the life history of one individual case, the chapter demonstrates how this
12 fosters a lack of experience, self-alienation and defensive self-preserving psychodynamics.
13
14

15
16 *Neoliberal conduct through moral class anxiety; risk, responsabilization and the fear of falling* by Iben Aamann
17 illuminates how a psychosocial approach to class sheds light on the ways in which neoliberal governmentality
18 works through a "regime of judgments" rooted in class relations. Drawing upon cases of health and two
19 different mothers involved in their children's preschool classes, the chapter concludes how class morals
20 currently shape subjectivity and how the emotional implications of this are the drivers of the ways in which
21 neoliberal governmentality relies on moral judgments related to healthism, risk and responsabilization.
22
23

24
25 In *Categorization of students with psychosocial problems – the messiness of shame and belonging in higher*
26 *education* by Trine Wulf-Andersen and Lene Larsen, mental health is seen as a new sorting mechanism in
27 higher education, working through categories informed by psychological and psychiatric knowledge. This
28 chapter discusses how certain categorizations and understandings of students' well-being and everyday
29 problems, inspired by the psy-sciences, intertwine with neoliberal traits in educational institutions. This
30 mediates students' emotional and educational experience leading to feelings of shame and unworthiness
31 related to inequality in education.
32
33

34
35 *Couldn't care less? The integrated breast cancer pathway as a psychosocial case. A threat to care ethics in*
36 *specialist healthcare?* by Birgitta Haga Gripsrud, Ellen Ramvi and Bjørn Ribers focuses on contemporary
37 breast cancer care as an area of clinical practice that has undergone a policy-driven transformation in the
38 form of 'integrated patient pathways'. This framework may challenge quality of care and the chapter
39 introduces a psychosocial understanding of care that is sensitive to the intersubjective affects and
40 vulnerabilities in cancer treatment that become exempted from care pathways.
41
42

43
44 The authors of this special issue have all contributed with new readings of empirical work through a
45 psychosocial lens, often drawing on sociological theory, and addressing the cultural and societal
46 transformations that frame everyday practices in various sectors of welfare, whether social services, health
47 care, educational settings or employment services. Emotionality appears as a pivotal and integral part of
48 professional encounters and the contributions probe into defended identifications of selves and others. The
49 chapters contribute to neoliberal readings of how New Public Management has been implemented in
50 Denmark and Norway, and suggest different welfare scenarios of how we can identify policies and practices.
51 While emotionality is of great significance in the targeted settings and institutions, several authors in their
52 chapters detect a loss of language and legitimacy regarding emotions that seems to be connected to
53 neoliberal values and its political frameworks (Andersen, Gitz-Johansen, Dybbroe). This necessarily
54 represents an obstacle to research, but the authors also provide insights into how they involve themselves,
55 and are affected emotionally, as key to understanding the intersubjective practices and reflecting on the
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 defence mechanisms against neoliberal transformations (Gitz-Johansen, Dybbroe). Moreover, we see a focus
2 on the effects of categorizing, segregating and classing subjectivities related to neoliberal practices in several
3 analyses (Morgen, Wulf-Andersen and Larsen, Aamann, Gripsrud, Ramvi and Ribers). The fear of expulsion
4 from collectivity and the sense of losing relationships and access to others is a guiding theme in several of
5 the contributions (Andersen, Dybbroe, Morgen, Wulf-Andersen and Larsen). One of the interesting
6 crosscutting findings of the presentations is how shame, alienation, exclusion and loneliness become active
7 through transferences and countertransferences in welfare practices. Finally the chapters provide insight
8 into how subjects create meaning and identification by following other rationalities, i.e. those of care,
9 community, dignity and human growth, in neoliberal times.
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 References

- 17
18 Andersen, L. L. (2003). When the unconscious joins the game: A psychoanalytic perspective on
19 modernization and change. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung*, 4(3), 1–13.
20
21 Andersen, L. L. (2016). A psycho-societal perspective on neoliberal welfare services in Denmark :
22 Identification and ambivalence. *Journal of Psycho-Social Studies*, 9(1), 94–109.
23
24 Andersen, L. L. (2018). Neoliberal drivers in hybrid civil society organisations: Critical readings of civiness
25 and social entrepreneurship. In Masoud Kamali and J. H. Jönsson (Eds.), *Neoliberalism, Nordic Welfare*
26 *States and Social Work* (pp. 43–52). Routledge.
27
28 Andersen, L. L., and Dybbroe, B. (2017). Introspection as intra-professionalism in social and health care.
29 *Journal of Social Work Practice*, 31(1), 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650533.2016.1142952>
30
31 Barnes, M., and Cotterell, P. (2012). *Critical perspectives on user involvement*. Policy Press.
32
33 Bereswill, M., Morgenroth, C., and Redman, P. (2010). Alfred Lorenzer and the depth-hermeneutic method.
34 *Psychoanalysis, Culture and Society*, 15(3), 221–250. <https://doi.org/10.1057/pcs.2010.12>
35
36 Bion, W. R. (1962). *Learning from experience*.
37
38 Boltanski, L., and Chiapello, È. (2006). *Der neue Geist des Kapitalismus*. BoD–Books on Demand.
39
40 Borgos, A., Gyimesi, J., and Erős, F. (2019). *Psychology and Politics: Intersections of Science and Ideology in*
41 *the History of Psy-sciences*. CEU Press.
42
43 Burnham, P. (1999). The politics of economic management in the 1990s. *New Political Economy*, 4(1), 37–
44 54.
45
46 Christensen, K. (2012). Towards a mixed economy of long-term care in Norway? *Critical Social Policy*, 32(4),
47 577–596. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261018311435028>
48
49 Dahl, H. M. (2009). New Public Management, care and struggles about recognition. *Critical Social Policy*,
50 29(4), 634–654. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261018309341903>
51
52 Dahlberg, L. (2005). Interaction between voluntary and statutory social service provision in Sweden: a
53 matter of welfare pluralism, substitution or complementarity? *Social Policy and Administration*, 39(7),
54 740–763.
55
56 Dybbroe, B. (2011). The Meaning of Work in Subjective and Intersubjective Perspective: A daily conflict of
57 creating and losing meaning in elderly care. In *Elderly care in transition* (pp. 133–164). Copenhagen
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Business School Press.

2
3 Dybbroe, B. (2013). Work identity and contradictory experiences of welfare workers in a life-history
4 perspective. *Historical Social Research/Historische Sozialforschung*, 13(3), 107–123.

5
6 Enjolras, B., and Strømsnes, K. (2017). *Scandinavian Civil Society and Social Transformations : The Case of*
7 *Norway*. (B. Enroljas and K. Strømness, Eds.). Springer.

8
9 Ferenczi, S. (1955). *Final contributions to the problems and methods of psychoanalysis*. Basic Books.

10
11 Fotaki, M. (2017). Relational ties of love—A psychosocial proposal for ethics of compassionate care in health
12 and public services. *Psychodynamic Practice*, 23(2), 181–189.

13
14 Foucault, M., Davidson, A. I., and Burchell, G. (2008). *The birth of biopolitics: lectures at the Collège de*
15 *France, 1978-1979*. Palgrave Macmillan.

16
17 Freud, A. (1966). *The Writings of Anna Freud, Vol. II (1936): The Ego and the Mechanisms of Defense*. New
18 York, NY: International Universities Press.

19
20 Friedman, J. (1994). *Cultural identity and global process* (Vol. 31). Sage.

21
22 Gibson-Graham, J. K. (2014). Rethinking the Economy with Thick Description and Weak Theory. *Current*
23 *Anthropology*, 55(S9), S147–S153. <https://doi.org/10.1086/676646>

24
25 Glynos, J. (2014). Neoliberalism, markets, fantasy: The case of health and social care. *Psychoanalysis,*
26 *Culture and Society*, 19(1), 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.1057/pcs.2013.23>

27
28 Hoggett, P. (2017). Shame and performativity: Thoughts on the psychology of neoliberalism.
29 *Psychoanalysis, Culture and Society*, 22(4), 364–382. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41282-017-0050-3>

30
31 Kamali, M., and Jönsson, J. H. (2018). *Neoliberalism, Nordic welfare states and social work: Current and*
32 *future challenges*. Routledge.

33
34 Larner, W. (2000). Neo-liberalism: Policy, Ideology, Governmentality. *Studies in Political Economy*, 63, 5–25.
35 https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230800892_11

36
37 Layton, L. (2014). Some psychic effects of neoliberalism: Narcissism, disavowal, perversion. *Psychoanalysis,*
38 *Culture and Society*, 19(2), 161–178.

39
40 Leithäuser, T. (2012). FORUM : QUALITATIVE SOCIAL RESEARCH Psychoanalysis , Socialization and Society —
41 The Psychoanalytical Thought and Interpretation of Alfred Lorenzer 1 . Alfred LORENZER at the
42 University of Bremen — A Personal Foreword, 13(3).

43
44 Leithäuser, T. (2013). Psychoanalysis, socialization and society. The psychoanalytical thought and
45 Interpretation of Alfred Lorenzer. *Historical Social Research/Historische Sozialforschung*, 56–70.

46
47 Leithäuser, T., Meyerhuber, S., and Schottmayer, M. (2009). *Sozialpsychologisches Organisationsverstehen*.
48 Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.

49
50 Leithäuser, T., and Volmerg, B. (1988). *Psychoanalyse in der Sozialforschung. Eine Einführung am Beispiel*
51 *einer Sozialpsychologie der Arbeit*. [Psychoanalysis in social research. An introduction to the example
52 of a social psychology of work] Westdeutscher Verlag.

53
54 Lorenzer, A. (1986). Tiefenhermeneutische Kulturanalyse. *Kultur-Analysen*, 1, 11–98.

55
56 Mendell, M., and Alain, B. (2015). Enabling the social and solidarity economy through the co-construction
57 of public policy. In P. Utting (Ed.), *Social and solidarity economy: Beyond the fringe* (pp. 166–184).

58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 London: Zed Books: Just Sustainabiliities.
2

3 Menzies Lyth, I. (1988). *Containing Anxiety in Institutions*. London: Free Associations Books.
4

5 Mol, A. (2008). *The logic of care: Health and the problem of patient choice*. Routledge.
6

7 Newman, J., and Tonkens, E. (2011). *Participation , Responsibility and Choice. Summoning the Active Citizen
8 in Western European Welfare States. Introduction*. (J. Newman and E. Tonkens, Eds.). Amsterdam
9 University Press.
10

11 Petersen, A., and Lupton, D. (1996). *The new public health: Health and self in the age of risk*. Sage
12 Publications, Inc.
13

14 Protheroe, J., Brooks, H., Chew-Graham, C., Gardner, C., and Rogers, A. (2013). 'Permission to
15 participate?' A qualitative study of participation in patients from differing socio-economic
16 backgrounds. *Journal of Health Psychology, 18*(8), 1046–1055.
17

18 Richards, B. (2019). Beyond the angers of populism: a psychosocial inquiry. *Journal of Psychosocial Studies,*
19 *12*(1), 171–183. <https://doi.org/10.1332/147867319x15608718111014>
20

21 Sandberg, B. (2016). Against the Cult(ure) of the Entrepreneur for the Nonprofit Sector. *Administrative
22 Theory and Praxis, 38*(1), 52–67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10841806.2015.1130524>
23

24 Trägårdh, L. (2018). Scaling up Solidarity from the National to the Global. In *Sustainable Modernity* (Vol. 79,
25 pp. 79–101). ROUTLEDGE in association with GSE Research.
26

27 Vabø, M. (2006). Caring for People or Caring for Proxy Consumers? *European Societies, 8*(3), 403–422.
28 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616690600821990>
29

30 Wacquant, L. (2009). *Punishing the poor: The neoliberal government of social insecurity*. duke university
31 Press.
32

33
34 Waerness, K. (1984). The rationality of caring. *Economic and Industrial Democracy, 5*(2), 185–211.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65