

1 **Using seed respiration as a tool for calculating optimal soaking**  
2 **times for ‘on-farm’ seed priming of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*)**

3

4

5

6 Javier Carrillo-Reche<sup>a\*</sup>, Adrian C. Newton<sup>b</sup>, Richard S. Quilliam<sup>a</sup>

7 <sup>a</sup>Biological and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA,

8 UK

9 <sup>b</sup>Ecological Sciences, The James Hutton Institute, DD2 5DA, Dundee, UK

10

11 \* Corresponding author: Javier Carrillo-Reche

12 Email: javier.carrilloreche1@stir.ac.uk

13 Tel: 0044 1786 467840

14

15 **Financial support**

16 This study was funded by Ekhaga Foundation (2015-60). The funders had no involvement with the  
17 study design; the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report, or in the  
18 decision to submit the article for publication.

## 19 **Abstract**

20 A low-cost technique named ‘on-farm’ seed priming is increasingly being recognised as an effective  
21 approach to maximise crop establishment. It consists of anaerobically soaking seeds in water before  
22 sowing resulting in rapid and uniform germination, and enhanced seedling vigour. The extent of these  
23 benefits depends on the duration soaking time. Current determination of optimal soaking time by  
24 germination assays and mini-plot trials is resource-intensive, as it is species/genotype-specific. This  
25 study aimed to determine the potential of seed respiration rate (an indicator of metabolic activity) and  
26 seed morphological changes during barley priming as predictors of the priming benefits and, thus,  
27 facilitate determination of optimal soaking times. A series of germination tests revealed that  
28 germination rate is mostly attributable to rapid hydration of embryo tissues as the highest gains  
29 occurred before the resumption of respiration. Germination uniformity, however, was not significantly  
30 improved until seed were primed for at least 8 h, i.e. after a first respiration burst was initiated. The  
31 maximum seedling vigour was attained when the priming was stopped just before the beginning of the  
32 differentiation of embryonic axes (20 h) after which vigour began to decrease (‘over-priming’). The  
33 onset of embryonic axes elongation was preceded by a second burst of respiration, which can be used  
34 as a marker for priming optimisation. Thus, monitoring of seed respiration provides a rapid and  
35 inexpensive alternative to the current practice. The method could be carried out by agricultural  
36 institutions to provide recommended optimal soaking times for the common barley varieties within a  
37 specific region.

38 **Keywords:** Germination; Imbibition; Seed morphology; Seed vigour; Seedling establishment;  
39 Agricultural sustainable practices.

40

## 41        **1. INTRODUCTION**

42        Seed germination involves an array of coupled morphological and respiratory changes that make  
43 up three distinct phases each of which are characterised by the dynamics of water uptake.  
44 Germination commences with ‘imbibition’ (phase I), a profuse uptake of water by the dry seed and a  
45 gradual increase of seed size, although this phase is associated with no or little metabolic activity  
46 (Bewley *et al.*, 2013). This is then followed by the onset of seed respiration as a result of the  
47 resumption of pre-germinative activity, primarily attributed to the activation of mitochondrial energy  
48 production, which has been associated with the resumption of phosphorylation to produce ATP  
49 (Botha *et al.*, 1992; Ma *et al.*, 2017). Subsequently, the ‘lag’ phase (or phase II) initiates involving an  
50 intense metabolic activity (including the transcription and translation of new genes) and a stabilisation  
51 of water uptake and respiration rate (Bove *et al.*, 2002). Lastly, active mobilisation of reserves to the  
52 growing embryo causes another profuse increase of seed respiration and demand for water uptake,  
53 leading to the emergence of the radicle through the seed coat, which marks the end of germination  
54 *sensu stricto* and the beginning of seedling growth (‘post-germination’ or phase III) (Bove *et al.*,  
55 2002; Bewley *et al.*, 2013).

56        ‘On-farm’ seed priming is a farmer-managed type of seed treatment that differs from industrial  
57 priming strategies as it simply consists of anaerobically soaking seeds in water for a number of hours  
58 prior to sowing (Harris, 2006). Seeds are subsequently surface-dried for 1-2 hours (to avoid clumping)  
59 and sown soon after. Once sown, seeds spend significant amounts of time absorbing water from the  
60 soil. However, by controlling the transition through the germination phases, i.e. allowing seeds to  
61 undergo the pre-germinative phases I and II but preventing the start of phase III, ‘on-farm’ primed  
62 seed retains the benefits of pre-germinative advancements and, concurrently, preserve desiccation  
63 tolerance (Harris, 2006; Bewley *et al.*, 2013). Subsequently, this can lead to quicker emergence and  
64 enhanced seedling vigour (and ultimately yield) when the primed seed is sown in the field as  
65 demonstrated for a range of crops (Carrillo-Reche *et al.*, 2018). Importantly, to fully exploit this  
66 method of seed priming, the safe limit (the maximum length of time that seeds can be soaked without

67 germination taking place before sowing) for each crop and cultivar first needs to be determined.  
68 However, the optimal duration for soaking seeds (in terms of yield benefits) is not necessarily the  
69 same as the safe limit, e.g. priming seeds to the safe limit could lead to seeds biochemically arrested at  
70 a very advanced stage in the transition from phase II to phase III (Salimi and Boelt, 2019). Therefore,  
71 as seed soaking times are specific to each crop species/genotype or even seed quality, the major  
72 obstacle for the determination of optimal ‘on-farm’ seed priming protocols is the large number of  
73 trials needed (Paparella *et al.*, 2015; Salimi and Boelt, 2019; Forti *et al.*, 2020).

74 Currently, optimal ‘on-farm’ seed priming times have been determined for a range of crops by  
75 testing different seed soaking times (usually on moist filter paper) followed by sowing in mini-plot  
76 trials at research stations (e.g., Harris *et al.*, 1999; Rashid *et al.*, 2004, 2006; Virk *et al.*, 2006).  
77 However, this process is resource-intensive and only provides retrospective information about its  
78 effectiveness. Moreover, information on the soaking times from these trials are limited to the specific  
79 crop variety and trial conditions; published or recommended soaking times, therefore, tend to be  
80 conservative and are likely to compromise any yield benefits that would have been gained from  
81 utilising ‘on-farm seed priming. Thus, farmers performing ‘on-farm’ seed priming have used  
82 conservative soaking times, for simplicity commonly “overnight”, despite this most likely being far  
83 from the optimum (Harris, 2006). Consequently, there is a need for the development of cost-effective  
84 methods that facilitate rapid determination of optimal soaking times for ‘on-farm’ seed priming.

85 Increases in respiration at the end of phase II are associated with the initiation of starch  
86 metabolism and have been used to predict seedling vigour of different species and cultivars (Patanè *et al.*,  
87 2006; Patanè and Avola, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, detecting indicators of seed  
88 metabolic changes (as the flux of either O<sub>2</sub> uptake or CO<sub>2</sub> release) during seed soaking could provide  
89 a useful marker for the optimisation of ‘on-farm’ seed priming. Using barley as a model crop, this  
90 study aimed to determine: a) whether seed morphology and/or seed respiration changes can be used to  
91 detect metabolic changes that occur during ‘on-farm’ seed priming; and b) whether changes in  
92 morphology and/or respiration are associated with optimal soaking times and, thus, can be used as a  
93 marker for optimising the duration of ‘on-farm’ seed priming.

## 94 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 95 2.1. Plant material and priming treatments

96 Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cultivars Concerto (Limagrain, Rothwell, UK) and RGT Planet  
97 (RAGT Seeds, Ickleton, UK) were chosen as they represent a benchmark variety for spring barley in  
98 the UK and a modern elite cultivar respectively. However, these cultivars are more correctly  
99 representative of genotype x environment x management differences as genotype represents only one  
100 factor in seed batch comparisons. The priming treatments applied in all experiments consisted of  
101 seeds soaked in distilled water (1:6 (w/v)) in 100 mL plastic pots, at 20 °C in the dark. After  
102 treatment, seeds were allowed to air-dry on paper towel for an hour (unless specified otherwise). In all  
103 cases, non-primed dry seeds were used as controls.

### 104 2.2. ‘On-farm’ seed priming soaking times and germination

#### 105 *Soaking times and moisture content determination*

106 Samples of 150 seeds were soaked for either 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24 or 28 h (28h was established as  
107 the upper limit as it was when the coleorhiza tip became visible for some seeds) in triplicate for each  
108 soaking time. Three samples of unsoaked seeds (5 g each approx.) were oven dried at 103 °C for 17 h  
109 to determine initial moisture content ( $M_{ci}$ ) (ISTA, 2015). The soaked samples were weighed before  
110 and after each soaking time to determine final moisture content ( $M_c$ ), which was calculated as:

$$111 \quad M_c = \frac{W_i * M_{ci} + \Delta W}{W_f}$$

112 where  $W_i$  and  $W_f$  are seed weight before and after drying respectively, and  $\Delta W$  is the difference  
113 between  $W_f$  and  $W_i$ .

#### 114 *Respiration measurements*

115 Immediately after soaking, the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> released by the seeds was measured with an  
116 EGM-4 CO<sub>2</sub> infrared gas analyser (PP Systems, Amesbury, Massachusetts, USA). Briefly, 100 mL  
117 plastic pots were hermetically closed with a lid connected to the infrared analyser through inlet and

118 outlet tubing, in order to create a closed system to monitor the flux of [CO<sub>2</sub>]. The net CO<sub>2</sub> flux was  
119 calculated as the increment within 1 min (average of three sequential readings representing one  
120 replicate) prior to allowing CO<sub>2</sub> to accumulate within the tubing system for 15 s (modified from  
121 Patanè et al. (2006)). Seed respiration rates (SRR), expressed as μmol CO<sub>2</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> seed DW (dry  
122 weight), for each soaking time were calculated as follows:

$$123 \quad SRR = \left( \frac{\Delta CO_2}{\Delta t} \right) \times \left( \frac{V}{RT} \right)$$

124 where  $\Delta CO_2/\Delta t$  (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> s<sup>-1</sup>) is the change in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration over the measurement time;  $V$  (m<sup>3</sup>)  
125 is the total volume of the system (volume of priming pot, tubing and gas analyser);  $R$  (kPa m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>)  
126 is the ideal gas constant, and  $T$  (K) is the temperature in the incubator.

#### 127 *Histological observations*

128 To examine the morphology changes over time, seeds were transversally sectioned with a razor  
129 blade after each soaking time. Seed embryo structures were observed under a stereomicroscope  
130 (magnification x 9, Leica GZ6) and photographed using a digital camera (Nikon Coolpix 950).

#### 131 *Germination test*

132 One hundred seeds per soaking time were placed over four sheets of paper towel covered with  
133 another two sheets previously moistened with 30 mL of sterile distilled water and incubated for 72 h  
134 in plastic containers (304 x 216 x 55mm) with lids at 20 °C in darkness. Seeds were considered to  
135 have germinated when the radicle length was greater than 2 mm. In order to accurately determine  
136 germination dynamics, counts were made every 2 h from the start of germination until cumulative  
137 germination was above 75 %. Each soaking time and germination assay were carried out three times.

#### 138 *Desiccation tolerance test*

139 To simulate a delay before “sowing”, the same soaking times were repeated (as in Soaking times  
140 and moisture content determination) and seeds allowed to air-dry to original moisture on paper towel

141 for 30 days at nearly ambient temperature (20 °C) in the dark, and then a germination test carried out  
142 as described above.

### 143 2.3. 'On-farm' seed priming soaking times and seedling vigour

144 Based on the principles of a cold test (Hampton and TeKrony, 1995), a modified cold test was  
145 carried out to assess seedling vigour. Soaking times of 16, 20 and 24 h were selected (based on the  
146 germination test results) for this test, together with an un-soaked treatment as a positive control. Seeds  
147 were sown in vermiculite in three seed tray inserts (60 cells per tray). All treatments were equally  
148 present in each tray and their position was randomised within each tray (replicate). Trays were  
149 watered to reach 80 % saturation, covered with aluminium foil to avoid evaporation, and kept at 10 °C  
150 in the dark. This setup provided high water availability, good aeration of the substrate and low  
151 temperature to minimise any potential head-start related to seed water content. After seven days, the  
152 trays were uncovered and moved to a growth chamber at 20 °C, 12 h photoperiod and 70 % relative  
153 humidity for 5 days. Each tray was watered with 75 mL of distilled water every other day and  
154 emergence recorded daily. After 5 days, seedlings were removed from the inserts and categorised as  
155 either healthy (viable enough to turn into a healthy plant), or abnormal, e.g. damaged, or deformed or  
156 decayed as a result of infection (Supplementary Fig. S1 for illustration of abnormality criteria). All  
157 healthy seedlings per replicate were dried at 110 °C for 17 h to obtain dry weights. The experiment  
158 was repeated three times.

### 159 2.4. Data analysis

160 Indices for time to 50 % germination ( $G_{50}$ ), time to 50 % emergence ( $E_{50}$ ), uniformity (U),  
161 calculated as the time interval between 25% and 75% of seeds to germinate/emerge, the percentage of  
162 total germinated seeds (%TG), and the percentage of healthy emerged seedlings (%TE) were  
163 calculated using the 'Germinator' tool (Joosen *et al.*, 2010). Effect of cultivar (Cv), soaking time (Tr)  
164 and their interaction on germination variables were assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and  
165 emergence variables by linear mixed-effects model (LMM), with experiment repetitions as a random  
166 term, in R version 3.3.0 (R Development Core Team, 2016). Assumption of normality and

167 homoscedasticity of variances were checked by QQ-plots and residuals against fitted value plots  
168 respectively. When these assumptions were not met, data was transformed.  $G_{50}$  data from germination  
169 test were square-root transformed and continuous proportional data, i.e., percentage of germination  
170 (%TG) and percentage of healthy emerged seedlings (%TE) were arcsine transformed to approximate  
171 normality. Post-hoc Fisher's LSD tests were performed to separate significant differences at  $P$  values  
172  $< 0.05$  with *predictmeans* package (Luo *et al.*, 2014).  $P$  values were adjusted to avoid Type I errors  
173 (false positives) using the Benjamini–Hochberg correction (Waite and Campbell, 2006). Means for  
174 significant main effects are presented based on the highest order of factorial combination that was  
175 significant in the ANOVA or LMM.

176 In order to investigate the relative contribution of initial moisture content and advancement of  
177 germination to speed of germination at each germination phase, moisture content (Mc) and  
178 cumulative  $CO_2$  ( $\Sigma CO_2$ ) at the moment of sowing were used as predictors of  $G_{50}$ . Data from both  
179 cultivars were pooled for this test. The relative importance of predictor variables and their  
180 bootstrapped 95 % confidence intervals were calculated with the *relaimpo* package (Grömping, 2006)  
181 in R. Absence of collinearity between the two variables was verified by variance inflation factor.

## 182 **3. RESULTS**

### 183 3.1. Changes in seed morphology and respiration during 'on-farm' seed priming

184 Barley seeds showed clear morphological differences indicative of the transition from one  
185 germination phase to another (



186 Fig. 1). After the first 4 h of imbibition, the wetting of the embryonic tissues was visually evident.  
187 This was reflected in moisture content as almost half of the total water absorbed occurred within the  
188 first 4 h of soaking, which is characteristic of the phase I “imbibition” stage (Fig. 2a). From 4 h to 20  
189 h, no major morphological changes were observed, although the overall seed size increased gradually  
190 concurrent with a progressive increase in moisture content. Typically, both differentiation and  
191 expansion of the embryonic axis began at 24 h, accompanied by seed coat loosening and wetting of  
192 the endosperm. At 28 h, emergence of the coleorhiza tip through the micropylar was visually  
193 distinguishable for most of the seeds. Soaking times beyond 28 h did not result in further visual  
194 morphological development of the seed and only marginal increments in moisture content.

195 The initiation of respiration about 4 h after imbibition marked the primary activation of  
196 germinative metabolism (Fig. 2b). The onset of respiration was followed by a steep rise in respiration  
197 until about 16 h where the rate of respiration became constant. This plateau, characteristic of the  
198 phase II “lag” stage, was punctuated by a second release of CO<sub>2</sub> after 20 h of soaking, which  
199 corresponds with the major morphological changes at 24 h (

200 Fig. 1). This burst of respiration had declined by 28 h, and soaking times beyond this did not  
201 result in further increases of water content or seed respiration which typically marks the onset of  
202 phase III.

203 Respiration curves for both cultivars showed a similar triphasic-like shape with some disparity in  
204 the initiation in respiration (Fig. 2b), i.e., the onset of cultivar-specific respiration. For RGT Planet,  
205 this occurred within the first 4 h of soaking, whereas for Concerto this happened after 4 h.  
206 Cumulatively, although RGT Planet had earlier metabolism, both cultivars had released similar  
207 amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> by the end of the experiment (Fig. 2c). This cumulative respiration was later used as  
208 a proxy of seed germination advancement ( $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$ ).

### 209 3.2. Effect of different soaking times on germination parameters

210 Germination tests were carried out to determine the most promising soaking times for each  
211 cultivar. There was a significant interaction between cultivar and soaking time ( $P < 0.001$ ) in time to  
212 50 % emergence. Longer soaking times reduced the time to 50 % germination, although the residual  
213 increment after each soaking interval decreased progressively to a minimum between 24 and 28 h  
214 (Table 1). For both cultivars, the most effective durations were  $\geq 16$  h. In terms of uniformity of  
215 germination, soaking time but not cultivar had a significant effect ( $P < 0.001$ ). Soaking times greater  
216 than 4 h significantly improved uniformity, with 16 h being the most effective duration for both  
217 cultivars (Table 2). However, regarding total percentage of germination, there was no soaking time  
218 effect ( $P = 0.13$ ) but cultivar effect ( $P < 0.001$ ) with Concerto having a higher percentage than RGT  
219 Planet. Overall, soaking times exerted very similar effects on germination parameters of both  
220 cultivars, thus, based on these results, soaking times of 16 h, 20 h and 24 h were selected for the  
221 subsequent seedling vigour tests. Although 28 h soaking time achieved similar values to those of the  
222 selected soaking times, it was considered excessively long as the coleorhiza tip was visible in some  
223 seeds, indicative of ‘over-priming’ (liable to loss of vigour, desiccation and damage during sowing).

224 The proportional contribution of moisture content (expressed as the moisture content at sowing)  
225 and germination advancement (expressed as accumulated CO<sub>2</sub> at the moment of sowing) to time to 50

226 % germination was resolved through linear regression for each phase (Table 3). At imbibition, 97 %  
227 of the total variability was explained by the model and showed that reductions in time to 50 %  
228 germination can be largely ascribed to the moisture content rather than cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> (90 % vs. 7  
229 %) (Fig. 3). However, this situation was reversed during the lag phase as cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> contributed  
230 1.5-fold more than moisture content to the total explained variance (87 %).

### 231 3.3. Vigour: optimization of soaking times and desiccation tolerance

232 In order to assess the effect of the soaking times, a cold test was designed to offset initial water  
233 content at sowing so that potential changes in seedling biomass would be attributable to greater vigour  
234 rather than initial water content. No differences in emergence of healthy seedlings were found at the  
235 cultivar ( $P = 0.12$ ) or treatment level ( $P = 0.80$ ), or their interaction ( $P = 0.73$ ) indicating that seed  
236 viability remained unaffected under prolonged exposure to soaking and high moisture (Supplementary  
237 Table 1). Similarly, no significant differences for time to 50 % emergence were found among soaking  
238 times and control ( $P = 0.49$ ); therefore, the experimental design was effective for counteracting the  
239 effect of initial moisture content (Supplementary Table 1).

240 In contrast, both cultivar and treatment effects significantly affected seedling biomass ( $P < 0.001$   
241 and  $P < 0.01$  respectively) but not the interaction ( $P = 0.09$ ), indicating that the effect of soaking time  
242 was similar in both cultivars (Fig. 4). Soaking for 20 h produced the highest amount of seedling  
243 biomass of all soaking times and was significantly higher than seeds soaked for 16 h ( $P < 0.01$ ) and  
244 24 h ( $P < 0.05$ ). Based on these results, 20 h was considered the optimum soaking time for both  
245 cultivars.

246 Analysis of variance for the effect of desiccation on time to 50 % emergence showed significant  
247 differences for cultivar and soaking time ( $P < 0.001$ ) but not for the interaction ( $P = 0.94$ ). The seeds  
248 of RGT Planet were more affected than Concerto by the 30-day desiccation period (Table 4). For both  
249 cultivars, seeds soaked for 24 and 28 h needed significantly longer to attain 50 % of germination  
250 compared with the rest of the soaking times. Soaking for 8 h yielded the shortest time to 50 %  
251 emergence and 28 h soaking the longest time. Differences in total germination were due to cultivar

252 effect ( $P < 0.001$ ), where again RGT Planet was more sensitive to desiccation. No significant  
253 differences among soaking times ( $P = 0.27$ ) or the interaction ( $P = 0.40$ ) were found (Table 4).  
254 Comparison of time to 50 % germination and total germination of (unsoaked) control treatments  
255 relative to the corresponding control showed a negative effect in germination performance that was  
256 attributable to storage conditions (i.e. 30 d at 20 °C). These effects were most apparent for RGT  
257 Planet with +26.4 and -4.5 % change in time to 50 % germination and total germination respectively;  
258 whilst the effect for Concerto was negligible, +1.8 and -0.7 % respectively.

## 259 **4. DISCUSSION**

### 260 4.1. Seed respiration as a tool for detecting the activation of metabolic processes during ‘on-farm’ 261 seed priming

262 The present work has shown that monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> flux patterns is a reliable tool for detecting  
263 key germination events during barley ‘on-farm’ seed priming. As under regular germination  
264 conditions, barley respiration during priming describes a triphasic curve where the transition from one  
265 germination phase to another is marked by a burst of seed respiration, providing useful information on  
266 the timing of metabolic changes that occur during the course of priming. The highest seedling vigour  
267 for both cultivars was attained in seeds primed for 20 h, which morphologically, corresponds with  
268 stopping the priming process just before the differentiation of embryo tissues into coleoptile and  
269 coleorhiza; and before the second burst of CO<sub>2</sub> flux. Therefore, both seed morphology and CO<sub>2</sub> flux  
270 patterns can be used as a marker for ‘on-farm’ priming optimisation.

271 Unlike regular germination, the continuation of phase III beyond its initiation is impeded during  
272 ‘on-farm’ seed priming, and longer soaking times do not result in further development of the  
273 coleorhiza tip nor a sharp increment of water uptake. Due to the hypoxic conditions within the seed,  
274 the energy demands for early barley seed development are mostly provided through oxygen-  
275 independent metabolic pathways, e.g. glycolysis and alcohol fermentation (Østergaard *et al.*, 2004;  
276 Zhang *et al.*, 2004). However, in late phase II, the further development of the embryo requires  
277 oxygen-dependent cycles such as tricarboxylic acid (TCA) that are more efficient for active

278 mobilization of storage reserves and cannot be fulfilled by anaerobic respiration alone (He *et al.*,  
279 2015; Ma *et al.*, 2017). When exogenous O<sub>2</sub> is available, a profuse second burst of CO<sub>2</sub> flux is  
280 followed by the appearance of the coleorhiza tip and more water uptake (Bewley *et al.*, 2013; Ma *et*  
281 *al.*, 2017). However, this second burst declines soon after and is not followed by an increase of water  
282 uptake under the hypoxic conditions imposed by ‘on-farm’ seed priming. Although respiration  
283 remains active possibly through fermentation, further root development is impeded as a mechanism to  
284 avoid anoxia (Borisjuk *et al.*, 2007; Ma *et al.*, 2016).

285 Sectioning and observation of seed morphology seems useful for detecting the beginning of phase  
286 III, which corresponds with the elongation of the coleoptile and coleorhiza tissues in the embryo, but  
287 not for other metabolic processes. As observed for other cereal seeds, although an enlargement of the  
288 seed size throughout soaking could be seen by eye, actual changes in seed structures are minimal even  
289 under the microscope until phase III (An and Lin, 2011; He *et al.*, 2015).

290 Cultivars showed distinct seed vigour from one another, although this was not only due to  
291 genotype differences but also to differential seed quality (as manifested by the notable deterioration of  
292 RGT Planet germination performance after a storage period under unfavourable conditions).  
293 However, both cultivars performed similarly with an optimal soaking time of 20 h, suggesting that  
294 seed vigour and/or seed quality have minor influence in soaking times. Although it is tempting to  
295 generalise that 20 h is the optimal soaking time for barley, it is still to be elucidated the extent to what  
296 extent seed vigour and/or seed quality components can influence priming soaking times. Seed  
297 phenotypical characteristics (e.g. seed coat, grain composition and size), ageing and the make-up of  
298 the maternal tissues are known to alter the germination process and, by extension, likely to affect seed  
299 priming soaking times (Finch-Savage and Bassel, 2016; Salimi and Boelt, 2019).

#### 300 4.2. Mechanistic of the priming benefits: Timing and contribution of its drivers

301 In order to better leverage ‘on-farm’ seed priming, it is critical to understand the timing and  
302 contribution of the two main drivers for rapid germination: 1) a hydrated seed, and 2) being  
303 developmentally more advanced than dry seeds at the moment of sowing. The rapid germination of

304 'on-farm' primed seeds can be mainly ascribed to the rapid hydration of internal tissues rather than to  
305 the germination advancement gained during the soaking time. In this study, few hours of soaking (~4  
306 h) were sufficient to dramatically reduce the time for germination relative to dry barley seeds (35 %  
307 out of the 53 % average total gain), after which residual gains from longer soaking times were  
308 gradually ascribable to developmental advancement. Longer soaking times ( $\geq 8$  h) are needed to  
309 significantly enhance uniformity of barley germination, after which no further improvements in  
310 uniformity are attained. This suggests the occurrence of metabolic changes at early lag phase which  
311 completion ensures that all barley seeds have reached, by way of checkpoint, a common stage in the  
312 germination programme.

313 It follows from the above discussion that simply soaking for several hours, e.g. 8 h as equivalent  
314 to the "overnight" practice proposed for most tropical crops (Harris, 2006), is enough to obtain  
315 significant germinative benefits from planting hydrated seeds. However, if primed seeds are sown in  
316 soil at field capacity, this rapid hydration effect compared to dry seeds may be limited, although the  
317 benefits of being developmentally advanced still remain. In an agricultural context yield benefits  
318 associated with sowing hydrated seeds will vary depending on local soil moisture, with the most  
319 beneficial associated with sowing 'on-farm' primed seeds in water-stressed soils (Carrillo-Reche *et*  
320 *al.*, 2018). Imbibition is primarily a passive process and is a driver for the resumption of metabolic  
321 activity (reflected by the increase in respiration), so the priming duration must be long enough to  
322 ensure that germination processes are sufficiently advanced to enable pre-germinative benefits once  
323 the seed is sown. Since the actual timing for these events will vary depending on cultivar, seed quality  
324 and priming conditions (e.g. temperature), focusing on the germination advancement stages rather  
325 than a particular soaking time seems to be the best strategy for the optimisation and standardisation of  
326 'on-farm' seed priming in order to maximise seed vigour.

327 Seedling vigour is the most important seed quality trait as the post-germination pre-emergence  
328 seedling growth phase is considered the most vulnerable stage and, thereby, the usefulness of seed  
329 priming (Finch-Savage and Bassel, 2016). When the advantage of partial hydration is kept out of the  
330 equation, enhanced seedling vigour is evident when the priming process is stopped just before the

331 beginning of the differentiation of embryo tissues into coleoptile and coleorhiza, but not before or  
332 after, highlighting the specificity of optimal priming protocols. At this stage, most of the pre-  
333 germinative metabolism has already taken place, i.e. mitochondrial multiplication, gene transcription,  
334 synthesis of amino acids and new proteins, but is still prior to the induction of post-germinative  
335 metabolism, i.e. cell division and expansion, which ensures that root emergence only occurs after  
336 sowing (He *et al.*, 2015; Wojtyla *et al.*, 2016; Ma *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore, there is increasing  
337 evidence that the activation of cellular repair is the key process enhancing seed vigour following seed  
338 priming, so that it is likely that this optimal soaking time corresponds with the maximum DNA repair  
339 and antioxidant response to recover from prior oxidative damage (Sharma and Maheshwari, 2015;  
340 Wojtyla *et al.*, 2016; Forti *et al.*, 2020). However, these invigorating effects are not arrested when  
341 seeds are dehydrated to their original moisture content and then allowed to ‘re-germinate’.  
342 Dehydration, unfavourable storage conditions, and re-hydration lead to extensive oxidative damage  
343 that may revoke the seed repair attained during the priming process (El-Maarouf-Bouteau *et al.*, 2013;  
344 Waterworth *et al.*, 2019).

345 The onset of embryonic axes differentiation can be understood as the milestone marking the  
346 transition from seed to seedling and, although technically falls within the ‘safe limits’ (as no  
347 germination is externally visible even when let air-dry), must be prevented. The declines in  
348 seed/seedling performance in both desiccation and vigour tests at and after this milestone are clear  
349 signs of excessively long priming duration (‘over-priming’). The probable reason for this  
350 phenomenon is the loss of desiccation capacity. Type I such as late embryogenesis abundant proteins  
351 (which are involved in preventing membrane disintegration and protecting mitochondrial enzymes  
352 under dehydration) progressively deplete after imbibition, and thus compromise desiccation tolerance  
353 (Grelet *et al.*, 2005; Yang *et al.*, 2007; An and Lin, 2011). In addition, it is possible that toxic  
354 fermentation products accumulate in excess in response to the prolonged hypoxic conditions during  
355 ‘on-farm’ seed priming conditions contributing to a gradual loss of vigour (Benvenuti and Macchia,  
356 1995).

#### 357 4.3. Implications and practical considerations of ‘on-farm’ seed priming

358 In practice, farmers using ‘on-farm’ seed priming need to be able to distinguish between ‘optimal’  
359 and ‘safe’ soaking times. When conditions allow seeds to be sown within a few hours after priming,  
360 optimising soaking times to produce maximal moisture content and advancement benefits would be  
361 the best strategy. Air humidity and a long drying period after priming may impair the optimal soaking  
362 times by, for example, promoting the proliferation of fungal damage. Thus, when there is a risk of  
363 delayed sowing (e.g. due to heavy rain, or having to passively dry seeds overnight after priming),  
364 shorter soaking times can ensure that germination does not occur before planting. Current safe  
365 recommendations for ‘on-farm’ seed priming of barley is for “overnight” priming (~8 h) (Harris,  
366 2006).

367 It is important that farmers can obtain information on optimal soaking times for their own seeds  
368 and specific ‘on-farm’ priming conditions. From the methods proposed in this study for determining  
369 optimal soaking times, sectioning for microscopic observation of seed morphological changes is the  
370 simplest option. Having identified embryo axis differentiation as the marker for “over-priming”, this  
371 method could be performed by farmers with a razor blade and a magnifying glass. However, the  
372 reproducibility of this within the farm context would be a challenge, and specific training for the  
373 identification of these subtle embryo differences would be required. The second method of monitoring  
374 seed respiration as a marker is a non-invasive technique and allows the accurate identification of both  
375 the initiation of phase II (which can be used for recommendation of safe limits) and the initiation of  
376 phase III (for recommendation of optimal soaking time). Although this method is not designed to be  
377 carried out by farmers, it could be performed by agricultural institutions for providing  
378 recommendations of general practices for common varieties within their region produced under  
379 comparable growing conditions. Both methods represent a much more rapid and cost-effective  
380 alternative to the current optimisation approach through a series of germination assays and mini-plot  
381 trials and, therefore, could facilitate the widescale adoption of ‘on-farm’ seed priming.



## 382        **5. CONCLUSIONS**

383        This study emphasises the importance of the two drivers of ‘on-farm’ seed “priming” benefits:  
384        moisture content and advanced germination at the moment of sowing. In an agricultural context, the  
385        former largely determines the time to germination but its magnitude will vary depending on soil  
386        moisture. However, the extent of the benefits from germination advancement will depend on the  
387        moment of stopping the priming process and, thereby, the importance of optimising the soaking times  
388        in order to exploit the full benefits from this technology. Therefore, it is proposed that to achieve  
389        maximum seedling performance priming is stopped prior to the differentiation of the embryonic axis  
390        and/or the second burst of respiration. This optimal timing can be deduced from morphological  
391        observation of the embryonic axis or CO<sub>2</sub> flux patterns for each cultivar and priming conditions.  
392        These methods could easily be implemented for determining the optimal soaking times of other  
393        cultivars of barley. Extrapolation of these methods to other crops seems feasible although further  
394        testing would be required as seed respiration and germination rates can vary greatly depending on  
395        crop-specific characteristics of the seed, e.g. starch seeds versus oil seeds.

### 396        **Acknowledgements**

397        The authors would like to thank Dr Jens-Arne Subke, for his assistance with respiration apparatus, and  
398        the Scottish Government Strategic Research Programme Theme 2: Productive and Sustainable Land  
399        Management and Rural Economies.

### 400        **Financial support**

401        This study was funded by Ekhaga Foundation (2015-60). The funders had no involvement with the  
402        study design; the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report, or in the  
403        decision to submit the article for publication.

### 404        **Conflict of interest**

405        The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### 406        **Data availability**

407 The datasets generated during the current study are available in the Stirling Online Repository for  
408 Research Data repository, [\[the link for data sharing can be produced on acceptance of the manuscript\]](#).

#### 409 **Supplementary data**

410 The following is Supplementary data to this article:

411 Supplementary Fig. 1.

412 Supplementary Table 1.

#### 413 **References**

414 **An, Y.-Q. and Lin, L.** (2011) Transcriptional regulatory programs underlying barley germination and  
415 regulatory functions of Gibberellin and abscisic acid. *BMC Plant Biology* **11**, 105.

416 doi:10.1186/1471-2229-11-105.

417 **Benvenuti, S. and Macchia, M.** (1995) Effect of hypoxia on buried weed seed germination. *Weed*  
418 *Research* **35**, 343–351. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3180.1995.tb01629.x.

419 **Bewley, J. D., Bradford, K. J., Hilhorst, H. W. M. and Nonogaki, H.** (2013) *Seeds: Physiology of*  
420 *Development, Germination and Dormancy*. New York, NY, Springer New York

421 doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-4693-4.

422 **Borisjuk, L., Macherel, D., Benamar, A., Wobus, U. and Rolletschek, H.** (2007) Low oxygen  
423 sensing and balancing in plant seeds: A role for nitric oxide. *New Phytologist* **176**, 813–823.

424 doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02226.x.

425 **Botha, F. C., Potgieter, G. P. and Botha, A. M.** (1992) Respiratory metabolism and gene expression  
426 during seed germination. *Plant Growth Regulation* **11**, 211–224. doi:10.1007/BF00024560.

427 **Bove, J., Jullien, M. and Grappin, P.** (2002) Functional genomics in the study of seed germination.

428 *Genome Biology*. doi:10.1186/gb-2001-3-1-reviews1002.

429 **Carrillo-Reche, J., Vallejo-Marín, M. and Quilliam, R. S.** (2018) Quantifying the potential of ‘on-  
430 farm’ seed priming to increase crop performance in developing countries. A meta-analysis.  
431 *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* **38**,. doi:10.1007/s13593-018-0536-0.

432 **El-Maarouf-Bouteau, H., Meimoun, P., Job, C., Job, D. and Bailly, C.** (2013) Role of protein and  
433 mRNA oxidation in seed dormancy and germination. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **4**,.  
434 doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00077.

435 **Finch-Savage, W. E. and Bassel, G. W.** (2016) Seed vigour and crop establishment: Extending  
436 performance beyond adaptation. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **67**, 567–591.  
437 doi:10.1093/jxb/erv490.

438 **Forti, C., Ottobriano, V., Bassolino, L., Toppino, L., Rotino, G. L., Pagano, A., Macovei, A. and**  
439 **Balestrazzi, A.** (2020) Molecular dynamics of pre-germinative metabolism in primed eggplant  
440 (*Solanum melongena* L.) seeds. *Horticulture Research* **7**, 87. doi:10.1038/s41438-020-0310-8.

441 **Grelet, J., Benamar, A., Teyssier, E., Avelange-Macherel, M. H., Grunwald, D. and Macherel,**  
442 **D.** (2005) Identification in pea seed mitochondria of a late-embryogenesis abundant protein able  
443 to protect enzymes from drying. *Plant Physiology*. doi:10.1104/pp.104.052480.

444 **Grömping, U.** (2006) Relative importance for linear regression in R: The package relaimpo. *Journal*  
445 *of Statistical Software*. doi:10.18637/jss.v017.i01.

446 **Hampton, J. D. and TeKrony, D. M.** (1995) *Handbook of Vigour Test Methods*. third ed. Hampton,  
447 J. D. and TeKrony, D. M. (Eds.) Zurich, Switzerland, The International Seed Testing  
448 Association.

449 **Harris, D.** (2006) Development and Testing of ‘On-Farm’ Seed Priming. *Advances in Agronomy* **90**,  
450 129–178. doi:10.1016/S0065-2113(06)90004-2.

451 **Harris, D., Joshi, A., Khan, P. A., Gothkar, P. and Sodhi, P. S.** (1999) On-Farm Seed Priming in  
452 Semi-Arid Agriculture: Development and Evaluation in Maize, Rice and Chickpea in India

453 Using Participatory Methods. *Experimental Agriculture* **35**, 15–29.  
454 doi:10.1017/S0014479799001027.

455 **He, M., Zhu, C., Dong, K., Zhang, T., Cheng, Z., Li, J. and Yan, Y.** (2015) Comparative proteome  
456 analysis of embryo and endosperm reveals central differential expression proteins involved in  
457 wheat seed germination. *BMC Plant Biology* **15**, 1–17. doi:10.1186/s12870-015-0471-z.

458 **ISTA** (2015) *International Rules for Seed Testing*. Bassersdorf, Switzerland  
459 doi:10.15258/istarules.2015.F.

460 **Joosen, R. V. L., Kodde, J., Willems, L. A. J., Ligterink, W., Van Der Plas, L. H. W. and**  
461 **Hilhorst, H. W. M.** (2010) Germinator: A software package for high-throughput scoring and  
462 curve fitting of Arabidopsis seed germination. *Plant Journal* **62**, 148–159. doi:10.1111/j.1365-  
463 313X.2009.04116.x.

464 **Luo, D., Ganesh, S. and Koolaard, J.** (2014) Predictmeans: Calculate Predicted Means for Linear  
465 Models. *R package version 0.99*.

466 **Ma, Z., Marsolais, F., Bykova, N. V. and Igamberdiev, A. U.** (2016) Nitric Oxide and Reactive  
467 Oxygen Species Mediate Metabolic Changes in Barley Seed Embryo during Germination.  
468 *Frontiers in Plant Science* **7**, 1–13. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00138.

469 **Ma, Z., Bykova, N. V. and Igamberdiev, A. U.** (2017) Cell signaling mechanisms and metabolic  
470 regulation of germination and dormancy in barley seeds. *Crop Journal* **5**, 459–477.  
471 doi:10.1016/j.cj.2017.08.007.

472 **Østergaard, O., Finnie, C., Laugesen, S., Roepstorff, P. and Svensson, B.** (2004) Proteome  
473 analysis of barley seeds: Identification of major proteins from two-dimensional gels (p/ 4-7).  
474 *Proteomics* **4**, 2437–2447. doi:10.1002/pmic.200300753.

475 **Paparella, S., Araújo, S. S., Rossi, G., Wijayasinghe, M., Carbonera, D. and Balestrazzi, A.**  
476 (2015) Seed priming: state of the art and new perspectives. *Plant Cell Reports* **34**, 1281–1293.

477 doi:10.1007/s00299-015-1784-y.

478 **Patanè, C. and Avola, G.** (2013) A seed respiration-based index of cold-sensitivity during imbibition  
479 in four macrothermal species. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* **35**, 911–918. doi:10.1007/s11738-  
480 012-1134-4.

481 **Patanè, C., Cavallaro, V., Avola, G. and D’Agosta, G.** (2006) Seed respiration of sorghum  
482 [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] during germination as affected by temperature and  
483 osmoconditioning. *Seed Science Research* **16**, 251–260. doi:10.1017/SSR2006259.

484 **R Development Core Team** (2016) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. *R*  
485 *Foundation for Statistical Computing Vienna Austria* **0**, {ISBN} 3-900051-07-0.  
486 doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800737.

487 **Rashid, A., Harris, D., Hollington, P. A. and Rafiq, M.** (2004) Improving the Yield of Mungbean  
488 (*Vigna Radiata*) in the North West Frontier Province of Pakistan Using on-Farm Seed Priming.  
489 *Experimental Agriculture* **40**, 233–244. doi:10.1017/S0014479703001546.

490 **Rashid, A., Hollington, P. A., Harris, D. and Khan, P.** (2006) On-farm seed priming for barley on  
491 normal, saline and saline-sodic soils in North West Frontier Province, Pakistan. *European*  
492 *Journal of Agronomy* **24**, 276–281. doi:10.1016/j.eja.2005.10.006.

493 **Salimi, Z. and Boelt, B.** (2019) From Emergence to Flowering: Four Beet (*Beta vulgaris* ssp.)  
494 Cultivars’ Phenological Response to Seed Priming. *Agronomy* **9**, 863.  
495 doi:10.3390/agronomy9120863.

496 **Sharma, S. N. and Maheshwari, A.** (2015) Expression patterns of DNA repair genes associated with  
497 priming small and large chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) seeds. *Seed Science and Technology*.  
498 doi:10.15258/sst.2015.43.2.11.

499 **Virk, D. S., Chakraborty, M., Ghosh, J. and Harris, D.** (2006) Participatory Evaluation of  
500 Horsegram (*Macrotyloma Uniflorum*) Varieties and Their on-Station Responses To on-Farm

501 Seed Priming in Eastern India. *Experimental Agriculture* **42**, 411.  
502 doi:10.1017/S0014479706003838.

503 **Waite, T. A. and Campbell, L. G.** (2006) Controlling the false discovery rate and increasing  
504 statistical power in ecological studies. *Ecoscience* **13**, 439–442.

505 **Wang, W., Chen, Q., Hussain, S., Mei, J., Dong, H., Peng, S., Huang, J., Cui, K. and Nie, L.**  
506 (2016) Pre-sowing Seed Treatments in Direct-seeded Early Rice: Consequences for Emergence,  
507 Seedling Growth and Associated Metabolic Events under Chilling Stress. *Scientific Reports* **6**,  
508 19637. doi:10.1038/srep19637.

509 **Waterworth, W. M., Bray, C. M. and West, C. E.** (2019) Seeds and the Art of Genome  
510 Maintenance. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **10**, 1–11. doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.00706.

511 **Wojtyla, Ł., Lechowska, K., Kubala, S. and Garnczarska, M.** (2016) Molecular processes induced  
512 in primed seeds—increasing the potential to stabilize crop yields under drought conditions.  
513 *Journal of Plant Physiology* **203**, 116–126. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2016.04.008.

514 **Yang, P., Li, X., Wang, X., Chen, H., Chen, F. and Shen, S.** (2007) Proteomic analysis of rice  
515 (*Oryza sativa*) seeds during germination. *Proteomics*. doi:10.1002/pmic.200700207.

516 **Zhang, H., Sreenivasulu, N., Weschke, W., Stein, N., Rudd, S., Radchuk, V., Potokina, E.,**  
517 **Scholz, U., Schweizer, P., Zierold, U., Langridge, P., Varshney, R. K., Wobus, U. and**  
518 **Graner, A.** (2004) Large-scale analysis of the barley transcriptome based on expressed  
519 sequence tags. *Plant Journal* **40**, 276–290. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02209.x.

520

521 **Fig. 1.** Structural morphology of barley seeds at the end of each soaking time. Transversal embryo  
522 observation by stereomicroscopy. From left to right, red arrows show wetting of the germ, wetting of  
523 the endosperm, expansion of the coleorhiza, expansion of the coleoptile and emergence of the radicle  
524 tip.

525 **Fig. 2.** The effects of ‘on-farm’ seed priming on, (a) seed moisture content, (b) seed respiration rate  
526 (SRR) and (c) cumulative SRR at specific intervals for Concerto (open circles) and RGT Planet  
527 (closed triangles) barley seeds. Vertical bars show  $\pm$  SE (only if the SE is greater than the symbol  
528 size).

529 **Fig. 3.** Percentage of variance explained by moisture content ( $M_c$ ) and Cumulative  $CO_2$  ( $\Sigma CO_2$ ) to  
530 time to 50 % germination during phase I “imbibition” and phase II “lag”. Vertical bars show 95%  
531 bootstrap confidence intervals.

532 **Fig. 4.** Average dry weight of seedlings at the end of the cold test. Linear mixed-effects model  $P$   
533 values are for factor cultivar ( $C_v$ ) and soaking time ( $T_r$ ). Bars with different letters differ significantly  
534 according to LSD test ( $P < 0.05$ ).  $LSD_{C_v} = 0.02$ ;  $LSD_{T_r} = 0.02$ . Vertical bars show the mean + SE.

535 **Fig. S1.** Evaluation criteria for seedling abnormalities. a) damaged seedling missing side roots, b)  
536 seedling with a deformed etiolated shoot, c) decayed seedling presenting a fungal infection around the  
537 seed coat; d) un-germinated seed due to a primary infection around the germ; and e) non-viable seed.