A COMPARISON OF SOME DUTCH SPELLING REFORM PROPOSALS AFFECTING VERB INFLECTION

VINCENT J. J. P. VAN HEUVEN

Abstract

An information theoretical model of some spelling reform proposals, enabling comparison of their consequences, is put forward.

Results indicate that the relative efficiency of the Dutch verb affix system is disproportionately affected by the proposals in comparison to the loss of information in conventional spelling relative to what is theoretically possible.

Introduction

Efficient information processing in reading is highly benefitted by a certain amount of redundancy in the visual material. One of the higher level redundancies that apply in Dutch orthography are the mutual dependencies that exist between verbs and other parts of the sentence, as expressed by inflections. Within the limited framework of our investigation, a spelling system is more optimal as a more predictable correspondence exists between inflection (*form*) and the grammatical dependency expressed by it (*function*). In information theoretical terms, such predictability can be conceived of as the amount of transmitted information (Attneave, [1]). Mathematically, optimality is a problem of determining a conditional extreme value.

Our research is based on a total inventory of 25 inflectional forms (13 regular, 11 ambiguous and one rest category) and 20 grammatical functions (cf. [3], [5]. In this paper the effects of certain spelling proposals in terms of form-function predictability will be determined and applied to two serious reforms in the orthography as proposed in 1969/1970. They affected, among other things, the verb inflection system. In terms of inflections the effects of the two proposals are the same, both resulting in collapsing a number of form classes. I expect on the basis of Cohen and Kraak [2] that one of the general consequences of the proposals will be a reduction of the type of predictability mentioned above. In order to be able to lend a certain meaningfulness to the extent of this reduction, should it be found at all, it is also necessary to calculate the amount of predictability that would be contained in an inflection system.

Annals of Systems Research, 6 (1977), 21-33

that would be optimal from the point of view of information transmission.

1. The spelling proposals

Two officially published proposals will be discussed: the proposal of the governmental committee [7] and the one advocated by a number of organisations of people who are, mostly professionally, concerned with spelling matters [6].

The latter proposal dictates the following changes, which should be applied to the conventional orthography in conjunctive linear order:

- 1. word final t is dropped after d (wordt \rightarrow word);
- 2. underlying word final voiced plosives are written as their unvoiced counterparts $(b \rightarrow p; d \rightarrow t; e.g. word \rightarrow wort, heb \rightarrow hep, geloofd \rightarrow gelooft);$ and

3. geminate t or d are simplified except when immediately after a single vowel symbol $(tt \rightarrow t, dd \rightarrow d; leidde \rightarrow leide, tastte \rightarrow taste)$. Pee, Wesselings, et al. [7] differ from this only with respect to rule 2, adding the condition that the change is to take place only if the word final plosive is not part of the stem.

As my investigation is not concerned with stem morphemes, I have reason to consider the two proposals identical. In order to arrive at the optimally informative spelling system indicated above, I propose that any deep level suffix be written, on condition that the result remains compatible with the pronunciation. The use of word final geminate tt and dd is permitted. (e.g. verbrand \rightarrow verbrandd, gedut \rightarrow gedutt, hij schiet \rightarrow hij schiett, de vergrote foto \rightarrow de vergrootte foto).

2. Counting the alterations

When the frequencies of form-function correlates of the verbs occurring in the 600,000 word corpus of Dutch verbs were counted (cf. [8]) on the CDC-6500 computer of Utrecht University, the number of verb stems ending in t, d, or b were also specified for each of the 25 form classes.¹ With this information the magnitude of the changes under section 1 could be determined. In Table 1 (at the end of this paper) the resulting form-function correspondences are given, both for the official spelling reforms and for my own 'optimal' system. These data should also be compared with those obtained for the conventional orthography.

¹ I thank S. Krauwer of the Department of General Linguistics at Utrecht University for writing the necessary program.

3. Calculating the amount of transmitted information

The general formula for the amount of transmitted information is:

$$T_{(x, y)} = H_{(x)} + H_{(y)} - H_{(x, y)}$$
(3.1)

In this formula $H_{(x)}$ represents the entropy per form class, and is defined as

$$H_{(\mathbf{x})} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n=25} (p_i^{-2} \log p_i), \qquad (3.2)$$

where *i* is a variable ranging over the ordinal numbers of the form classes $(1 \le i \le 25)$ and p_i the relative frequency of the particular form class *i*. By the same token, $H_{(y)}$ stands for the entropy per function class and is defined as

$$H_{(y)} = -\sum_{j=1}^{m=20} (p_j \, {}^2 \log p_j), \qquad (3.$$

where *j* ranges over the ordinal numbers of the function classes with $1 \le j \le 20$, and p_j is the relative frequency associated with the particular function class *j*. $H_{(x, y)}$ is the entropy of the joint occurrence of a particular form with a particular function, and is equal to the negative sum of all the individual $p^{-2}\log p$ values of form-function combinations

$$H_{(x, y)} = -\sum_{i=1, j=1}^{n=25, m=20} (p_{i, j} {}^{2} \log p_{i, j})$$
(3.4)

In table 2 the amount of transmitted information is given for each of the three spelling systems.

4. Conclusion

Taking the results for the 'optimal' system as our basis, it is possible to specify the loss of transmitted information in the conventional and reformed systems as percentages relative to this basis. It appears from the data that a relatively small part of the transmitted information is lost when going from 'optimal' to conventional spelling, and that the major part is lost in the transition from the latter to the reformed system.

It should be pointed out, however, that even the 'optimal' spelling system exploits only a moderate part of the theoretical possibilities: the maximum amount of transmitted information would be 4.3219 bits, of which only 1.3964

bits are transmitted in the 'optimal' system. On the other hand, one could argue that precisely because only a small amount of information is transmitted through the form-function system, any loss, however small, would have serious consequences.

Let us finally consider how these changes in form-function predictability should be interpreted within the context of the reading process. Starting from the obviously false assumption that verb inflections provide the only cues by which the reader extracts functional meaning from a sentence, his relative certainty in determining grammatical meaning solely on the basis of verb inflections decreases with about 7 percent when the spelling reform is introduced.

In actual practice, however, this type of structural predictability is not the only source of redundancy the reader may draw on. Thus the information measures calculated in this paper set the upper limit to the relative importance of the cue values of verb inflections in the reading process. Psycholinguistic experiments are necessary in order to put this and other types of information in their proper perspective [4].

References

- 1 Attneave, F, Application of information theory to psychology, a summary of basic concepts, methods and results, New york, (1959)
- 2 Cohen, A and A Kraak, Spellen is spellen is spellen, (Dutch), The Hague, (1972)
- 3 van Heuven, V J J P, Frequencies of form-function correlates in the Dutch verb inflection system, *PRIPU*, 1(2), (1976), 45-55
- 4 van Heuven, V J J P and A Cohen, Verb endings as structural cues in the reading process, submitted to Cognitive Psychology
- 5 van Heuven, V J J P and S Krauwer, Description of a computer program for the analysis of Dutch verbs in terms of morphological structure and grammatical meaning, *PRIPU* 1(2), (1976), 56–61
- 6 Hollaar, H J, Spellingspleidooi, (Dutch), Moer, 1 (1970), 97-102
- 7 Pee, W, J H Wesselings, et al, Eindvoorstellen van de Nederlands-belgische commissie voor de spelling van de bastaardwoorden, (Dutch), The Hague, 1969
- 8 Uit den Boogaard, P C, Woordfrequenties in geschreven en gesproken Nederlands, (Dutch), Utrecht, (1975)

(0)	:- Ø						1 11*			
	conv.	spelling		reform	reformed spelling			al spellin	g	
function	used:	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel fr.	used :	Abs. fr	. Rel. fi	
1 pres. sing.	x	2327	2.23	x	2327	2.23	x	2327	2.23	
2 pres. sing.	х	812	.78	х	862	.82	х	582	.56	
3 pres. sing.	х	1583	1.51	х	3737	3.58				
2 pres. plus	х	0	.00	x	0	.00	х	0	.00	
imp. sing.	х	693	.66	x	693	.66	х	694	.66	
imp. plur.	х	0	.00	х	2	.00				
parte. verb.	х	366	.35	x	366	.35				
parte. adj.	х	27	.03	х	27	.03				
parte. adv.	x	10	.01	х	10	.01				
	9	5818	5.57	9	8025	7.68	4	3603	3.45	
(1)	:e									
	Conv.	spelling		Reform	Reformed spelling			al spellin	g	
function	used:	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel fr.	used:	Abs. fr	. Rel fr	
1 past sing					21	02				

Table 1. Absolute and relative frequences of form-function correlates in Dutch verb affixes.

1 past sing.				x	31	.03			
2 past sing.				х	3	.00	Disap	pears	
3 past sing.				х	391	.37			
2 past plur.				х	0	.00			
parte. adj.	х	65	.06	х	65	.06			
partc. nom.	х	6	.01	x	6	.01			
	2	71	.07	6	496	.47	0	0	0
(2)	: – n							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Conv.	spelling		Reform	ned spell	ing	Optimal spelling		
Function	used:	Abs. fr	. Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. fr.	. Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. f	r. Rel. fr.
1 pres. plur.	x	330	.32						
2 pres. plur.	х	16	.02	as con	ventional		as con	ventiona	l
3 pres. plur.	х	2420	2.32	orthog	raphy		orthog	raphy	
imp. plur.	х	0	.00	-			0		
inf. verb	х	3064	2.93						
inf. nom.	х	119	.11						
	6	5949	5.69	6	5949	5.69	6	5949	5.69

(3)	: – en									
	Conv.	spelling	Reform	ied spe	lling		Optimal spelling			
function	used:	Abs. f	r. Rel. fr.	Rel. fr	r. used:	Abs. fr	. Rel. fr	. Abs. fr	. Rel. f	
l pres. plur.	x	1382	1.32	x	1382	1.32				
2 pres. plur.	Х	58	.06	х	58	.06		ventional		
3 pres. plur.	x	5550	5.33	х	5560	5.32	orthog	raphy		
1 past plur.				х	11	.01				
2 past plur.				х	0	.00				
3 past plur.		_		х	77	.07				
imp. plur.	х	3	.00	х	3	.00				
inf. verb	х	16885	16.15	х	16885	16.15				
inf. nom.	x	1195	1.14	x	1195	1.14				
	6	25083	24.00	9	25171	24.08	6	25083	24.00	
(4)	: - t									
	Conv.	spelling		Refor	Reformed spelling			al spellin	g	
Functions	used:	Abs. fi	r. Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fi	r. Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. fr	Rel. f	
2 pres. sing.	x	995	.95	x	945	.90	x	1262	1.21	
3 pres. sing.	х	10987	10.51	х	8833	8.45	х	12808	12.26	
2 pres. plur.	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	
imp. plur.	х	101	.10	х	99	.09	х	103	.10	
partc. verb	х	445	.43	х	1877	1.80	х	654	.63	
partc. adj.	х	27	.03	х	150	.14	х	40	.04	
partc. adv.	х	66	.06	Х	185	.18	х	70	.07	
	7	12621	12.07	7	12089	11.57	7	14937	14.30	
(5)	: – d									
		spelling		Refor	med spel	ling	Optim	al spellin	g	
Functions	used:	Abs. f	r. Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. f	r. Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr	. Rel. f	
partc. verb	x	1432	1.37		****		x	1632	1.56	
partc. adj.	х	123	.12	disapp	<i>ears</i>		х	139	.13	
parte. adv.	x	119	.11	-			х	128	.12	
	3	21674	1.60	0	0	0	3	1899	1.82	

(6):	– te									
	Conv.	spelling		Reform	ned spell	ing	Optimal spelling			
Functions	used:	Abs. fr.	Ref. fr.	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr	
a past. sing.	x	95	.09	x	74	.07	x	95	.09	
2 past. sing.	х	12	.01	х	9	.01	х	12	.01	
3 past. sing.	x	1047	1.00	х	816	.78	х	1047	1.00	
2 past. plur.	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	
partc. adj.	х	78	.07	х	78	.07	х	108	.10	
partc. nom.	x	4	.00.	x 	4	.00	x	9	.01	
	6	1236	1.18	6	981	.94	6	1271	1.22	
(7):	– de									
	Conv.	spelling		Reform	ned spell	ing	Optim	al spellin	g	
Functions	used:	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr	. Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr	. Rel. fr	
1 past. sing.	х	299	.29	х	289	.28	х	299	.29	
2 past. sing.	х	50	.05	x	50	.05	х	50	.05	
3 past. sing.	х	2583	2.47	х	2423	2.32	х	2583	2.47	
2 past. plur.	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	x	0	.00	
partc. adj.	х	341	.33	х	341	.33	х	376	.36	
partc. nom.	x	30	.03	х	30	.03	x	31	.03	
	6	3303	3.16	6	3133	3.00	6	3339	3.19	
(8):	– ten									
	Conv.	spelling		Reform	ormed spelling		Optim	al spellin	g	
Functions	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. fr	. Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. fr	. Rel. fi	
l past. plur.	x	48	.05	x	40	.04	as con	ventional		
2 past. plur.	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	orthog	raphy		
3 past. plur.	x	284	.27	х	241	.23				
	3	332	.32	3	281	.27	3	332	.32	
(9):	– den						· ·			
	Conv.	spelling		Reform	ned spell	ing	Optim	al spellin	g	
Functions	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. fr	. Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. fr	. Rel. fi	
1	x	66	.06	x	63	.06	as con	ventional		
1 past plur.			00	х	Δ	.00	anthog	1		
2 past plur.	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	ormog	raphy		
	x x	0 609	.00	X	575	.55	ormog	rapny		

(10)): ge – 🤇									
	Conv.	spelling			Reformed spelling			Optimal spelling		
Functions	used:	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. fr	. Rel. fr.	used:	used: Abs. fr. Rel. fr		
partc. verb	x	716	.68	as con	as conventional			disappears		
partc. adj.	x	55	.05	orthog	raphy					
partc. adv.	х	18	.02							
	3	789	.75	3	789	.75	0	0	0	
(11): get									
	Conv.	spelling		Reform	Reformed spelling			Optimal spelling		
Functions	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used: Abs. fr. Rel. fr.			used :	Abs. f	r. Rel fr.	
partc. verb	x	936	.90	x	4289	4.10	x	1182	1.13	
partc. adj.	х	46	.04	x	243	.23	х	70	.07	
parte. adv.	х	8	.01	x	73	.07	х	18	.02	
	3	990	.95	3	4605	4.41	3	1270	1.21	
(12	?): ge – d	e								
		spelling		Reform	ned spell	ing	Optim	al spelli	ng	
Functions	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used:	used: Abs. fr. Rel. fr.			used: Abs. fr. Rel fr.		
partc. verb	x	3353	3.21	disapp	ears		x	3925	3.75	
	x x	3353 197	3.21 .19	disapp	ears		x x	3925 240	3.75 .23	
partc. adj.				disapp	ears					
partc. verb partc. adj. partc. adv.	x	197	.19	disappo 0	<i>ears</i>	0	x	240	.23	
partc. adj. partc. adv.	$\frac{x}{3}$	197 65 3615 te	.19 .06	0	0		x x 3	240 73 4238	.23 .07 4.05	
partc. adj. partc. adv.	$\frac{x}{3}$	197 65 3615	.19 .06	0	0 ned spell	ing	$\frac{x}{x}$ $\frac{x}{3}$	240 73	.23 .07 4.05	
partc. adj. partc. adv.	$\frac{x}{3}$	197 65 3615 te spelling	.19 .06	0 Reform	0 ned spell		$\frac{x}{x}$ $\frac{x}{3}$	240 73 4238 al spellin	.23 .07 4.05	
partc. adj. partc. adv. (13 Functions 1 past sing.	$\frac{x}{x}$ $\frac{x}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$	197 65 3615 te spelling Abs. fr. 5	.19 .06 3.46 Rel. fr.	0 Reformused :	0 ned spell Abs. fr	ing . Rel. fr.	$\frac{x}{x}$ $\frac{x}{3}$	240 73 4238 al spellin	.23 .07 4.05	
partc. adj. partc. adv. (13 Functions 1 past sing. 2 past sing.	$\frac{x}{3}$	197 65 3615 te spelling Abs. fr. 5 2	$ \begin{array}{r} .19 \\ .06 \\ \overline{3.46} \\ Rel. fr. \\ .00 \\ .00 \\ .00 \end{array} $	0 Reform used : as com	0 ned spell Abs. fr	ing . Rel. fr.	x x 3 Optima used: <i>as con</i>	240 73 4238 al spellin Abs. fr	.23 .07 4.05	
partc. adj. partc. adv. (13 Functions 1 past sing. 2 past sing. 3 past sing.	$\frac{x}{x} + \frac{x}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}$	197 65 3615 te spelling Abs. fr. 5 2 71	.19 .06 3.46 Rel. fr. .00 .00 .07	0 Reform used : as com	0 ned spell Abs. fr	ing . Rel. fr.	x x 3 Optima used :	240 73 4238 al spellin Abs. fr	.23 .07 4.05	
partc. adj. partc. adv. (13 Functions 1 past sing. 2 past sing. 3 past sing. 2 past plur.	$\frac{x}{x} + \frac{x}{3}$	197 65 3615 te spelling Abs. fr. 5 2 71 0	.19 .06 3.46 Rel. fr. .00 .00 .07 .00	0 Reform used : as com	0 ned spell Abs. fr	ing . Rel. fr.	x x 3 Optima used: <i>as con</i>	240 73 4238 al spellin Abs. fr	.23 .07 4.05	
partc. adj. partc. adv. (13 Functions 1 past sing. 2 past sing. 3 past sing. 2 past plur. partc. adj.	$\frac{x}{x}$ $\frac{x}{3}$ $\frac{x}{3}$ $\frac{x}{2}$ $\frac{x}{2}$ $\frac{x}{2}$ $\frac{x}{2}$	197 65 3615 te spelling Abs. fr. 5 2 71 0 13	.19 .06 3.46 Rel. fr. .00 .00 .07 .00 .01	0 Reform used : as com	0 ned spell Abs. fr	ing . Rel. fr.	x x 3 Optima used: <i>as con</i>	240 73 4238 al spellin Abs. fr	.23 .07 4.05	
partc. adj. partc. adv. (13 Functions 1 past sing. 2 past sing. 3 past sing. 2 past plur.	$\frac{x}{x}$ $\frac{x}{3}$ $\frac{x}{3}$ $\frac{x}{2}$ \frac{x}	197 65 3615 te spelling Abs. fr. 5 2 71 0	.19 .06 3.46 Rel. fr. .00 .00 .07 .00	0 Reform used : as com	0 ned spell Abs. fr	ing . Rel. fr.	x x 3 Optima used: <i>as con</i>	240 73 4238 al spellin Abs. fr	.23 .07 4.05	

29

(14)): -e/- d			D 0			A 1			
		spelling			Reformed spelling			Optimal spelling		
Functions	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fi	r. Rel fr.	
1 past sing.	x	0	.00							
2 past sing.	X	0	.00		ventional			ventiona	l	
3 past sing.	х	22	.02	orthog	raphy		orthog	raphy		
2 past plur.	х	0	.00							
partc. adj.	х	12	.01							
partc. nom.	x	0	.00							
	6	34	.03	6	34	.03	6	34	.03	
(15)): - en/ -									
	Conv.	spelling			ned spell	-	-	al spelli	ng	
Functions	used:	Abs fr.	Rel fr.	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr	r. Rel. fr	
1 pres. plur.	x	22	.02							
2 pres. plur.	х	2	.00	as conventional as conventional						
3 pres. plur.	х	124	.12	orthography orthography						
1 past plur.	x	2	.00				0			
2 past plur.	х	0	.00							
3 past plur.	х	12	.01							
inf. verb	х	344	.33							
inf. nom.	х	16	.02							
	8	522	.50	8	522	.50	8	522	.50	
(16): – en /	– den								
	Conv.	spelling		Reform	ned spell	ing	Optimal spelling			
Functions	used:	-	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	-	-	r. Rel. fr	
1 pres. plur.	x	2	.00		- man part Preservation					
2 press. plur.	х	0	.00		ventional		as con	ventiona	l	
3 pres. plur.	х	3	.00	orthog	raphy		orthog	raphy		
1 past plur.	х	0	.00							
2 past plur.	х	0	.00							
3 past plur.	х	1	.00							
inf. verb	х	49	.05							
inf. nom.	x	1	.00							
	8	56	.05	8	56	.05	8	56	.05	

(17)	- ø/	- t									
	Conv	spelling			Reform	ned spelli	ng	Optim	al spell	ıng	
Functions	used	Abs fr	Rel	fr	used	Abs fr	Rel fr	used	Abs	fr Rel	fr
1 pres sing	x	0	00		x	0	00				
2 pres sing	х	37	04		x	37	04	dısapp	ears		
3 pres sing	х	238	23		х	238	23				
2 pres plur	х	0	00		х	0	00				
imp sing	х	0	00		х	0	00				
imp plur	х	2	00		х	2	00				
partc verb	х	6	01		х	43	04				
parte adj	x	0	00		х	2	00				
partc adv	x	0	00	_	x	3	00				
	9	283	27		9	325	31	0	0	0	
(18)	- ø -	- d									
	Conv	spelling			Reformed spelling			Optimal spelling			
Functions	used	Abs fr	Rel	fr	used	Abs fr	Rel fr	used	Abs	fr Rel	fr
1 pres sing	x	0	00								
2 pres sing	х	0	00		dısappe	ars		dısapp	ears		
partc verb	х	37	04								
parte adj	х	2	00								
parte adv	x	3	00								
	5	42	04	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
(19'	– Ø/g	e- Ø									
	Conv	spelling			Reform	ned spell	ing	Optim	al spell	ing	
Functions	used	Abs fr	Rel	fr		Abs fr	-		-	fr Rel	fr
l pres sing	x	1	00								
2 pres sing	х	0	00		as conv	entional		dısapp	ears		
3 pres sing	х	6	01		orthog	raphy					
2 pres plur	х	0	00								
imp sing	х	1	00								
ımp plur	х	0	00								
parte verb	х	4	00								
partc adj	X	0	00								
parte adv	x	1	00								
	9	13	01	-	9	13	01	0	0	0	

(20): - t/ge	— t								
	Conv.	spelling		Reform	ned spelli	ng	Optim	al spellin	g	
Functions	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr	
2 pres. sing.	x	0	.00	x	0	.00	x	0	.00	
3 pres. sing.	х	2	.00	х	2	.00	х	8	.01	
2 pres. plur.	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	
imp. plur.	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	х	0	.00	
partc. verb	х	35	.03	х	126	.12	х	39	.04	
partc. adj.	х	0	.00	х	1	.00	х	0	.00	
partc. adv.	x 	0	.00	x 	0	.00	x	1	.00	
	7	37	.04	7	129	.12	7	48	.04	
(21): - d/ge	- d								
	Conv.	spelling			ned spelli	ng	Optim	al spelling	g	
Functions	used:	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used :	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.	used:	Abs. fr.	Rel fr.	
partc. verb	x	91	.09				as conventional			
partc. adj.	х	1	.00	disappe	ears		orthog	raphy; ch	ange	
part. adv.	х	0	.00				possibl	e		
	3	92	.09	0	0	0	3	92	.09	
(22)): ge_ Ø/	ge-t								
	-	spelling		Reformed spelling Optimal spelling					σ	
Function	used:	Abs. fr.	Rel. fr.		-	-	-	-	-	
partc. verb	x	26	.02	x	102	.10				
partc. adj.	х	2	.00	x	12	.01	disappe	ears		
partc. adv.	x	0	.00	х	0	.00				
	3	28	.03	3	114	.11	0	0	0	
(23): ge- Ø/	ge-d					<u></u>			
(23)): ge– ∅/ Conv.	ge-d spelling		Reform	ned spelli	ng	Optima	al spelling	g	
	-	-	Rel. fr.		_	-	-	al spelling Abs. fr.	-	
Function	Conv.	spelling	Rel. fr. .07		_	-	-		-	
Function partc. verb	Conv. used:	spelling Abs. fr.			Abs. fr.	-	-	Abs. fr.	-	
(23) Function partc. verb partc. adj. partc. adv.	Conv. used:	spelling Abs. fr. 76	.07	used :	Abs. fr.	-	used:	Abs. fr.	-	