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Let $A$ be a Dedekınd domain, and denote by $Z$ the set of its non-zero prime ideals. It is well known that $A$ is a principal ideal domain if $Z$ is finite. An infinite analogue of this result was obtained by Claborn $\left[\frac{1}{2} ; 2\right.$, chapter III, section 13]. He proved that $A$ is a principal ideal domain if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# A>(\# Z)^{\mathrm{a}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ is the least infinte cardinal and $\# S$ denotes the cardinality of $S$.
If $Z$ is finite then $A$ is not only a principal ideal domain but even a Euclidean domain [4, Proposition 5]. The latter statement means that there exists a map $\phi$ from $A-\{0\}$ to a well-ordered set $W$ such that for all $a, b \in A$ with $b \neq 0, a \notin A b$, there exists $r \in a+A b$ with $\phi(r)<\phi(b)$. For finite $Z$ one can take for $W$ the set of non-negative integers.

It is a natural question whether Claborn's result can be extended in a similar way, l.e. whether $A$ is Euclidean if (1) holds. In the present paper we show that this is indeed the case. For $W$ we take a well-ordered set of order type $\omega^{2}$, where $\omega$ is the least infinite ordinal. The elements of $W$ can be written in a unique way as $\omega a+b$, where $a, b$ are nonnegative integers; and $\omega a+b<\omega a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}$ if and only if either $a<a^{\prime}$ or $a=a^{\prime}, b<b^{\prime}$.

We shall see that the other results that Claborn obtained in [ $\ell]$ can be extended in an analogous way.

We let $K$ denote the field of fractions of $A$, and $\nu_{p}$, for $p \in Z$, the normalized exponential valuation of $K$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}$. The group of units of $A$ is denoted by $A^{*}$.

Claborn's first result [ $]^{\prime}$, Proposition; 2, Proposition 13.7] states that $A$ is a principal ideal domain if $A$ contains a field $k$ satisfying $\# A=\# k>\# Z$. A sharper result is as follows.

$$
v_{p}(a+\lambda b)=\min \left\{v_{p}(a), v_{p}(b)\right\} \leqslant v_{p}(b)
$$

for all $p \in Z$, with strict inequality for at least one $p$. Hence the element $r=a+\lambda b$ of $a+A b$ satisfies $\phi(r)<\phi(b)$, as required.

Next suppose that no such $\lambda$ exists. Then for every $\lambda \in k$ there exists $p_{\lambda} \in Z$ such that $a+\lambda b \in p_{\lambda} \cdot(A a+A b)$. The map $k \rightarrow Z$ sending $\lambda$ to $p_{\lambda}$ is not injective, by (3), so there are $\lambda, \mu \in k, \lambda \neq \mu$, with $p_{\lambda}=p_{\mu}$. Then $(\lambda-\mu) b=(a+\lambda b)-(a+\mu b) \in p_{\lambda} \cdot(A a+A b)$, so $b \in \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda} \cdot(A a+A b)$, by (4). We conclude that $A a+A b=A \cdot(a+\lambda b)+A b$ is contained in $\xi_{\lambda} \cdot(A a+A b)$, which is a contradiction. This proves (2).

If $A$ is the ring of integers in an algebraic number field then condition (3) can be substantially weakened, see [ 3 , Theorem (1.4)].

For a subset $\mathcal{Y} \subset Z$, we define the subring $A_{Y} \subset K$ by

$$
A_{Y}=\left\{x \in K: v_{p}(x) \geqslant 0 \text { for all } p \in Y\right\}
$$

Notice that $A_{Z}=A$. Claborn [ $\gamma$, Theorem; 2, Theorem 13.8] proved that every ideal of $A_{Y}$ is generated by an element of $A$ if the inequality $\# A>(\# Y)^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is satisfied. To formulate our stronger result we need a definition. Let the pair $(A, Y)$ be called Euclidean if there exist a well-ordered set $W$ and a map $\phi: A-\{0\} \rightarrow W$ such that for all $a, b \in A, b \neq 0$, $a \notin A_{Y} b$, there exists $r \in a+A b$ with $\phi(r)<\phi(b)$. We have $A_{Z}=A$, and $(A, Z)$ is Euclidean if and only if $A$ is.

Let $(A, Y)$ be Euclidean and $\mathfrak{b}$ a non-zero $A_{Y}$-ideal. Then $\mathfrak{b}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{b} \cap A$, and if $b \in \mathfrak{b} \cap A$ has minimal $\phi$-value then it follows easily that $A_{Y} b=\mathfrak{b}$. Hence, if $(A, Y)$ is a Euclidean pair, then every ideal of $A_{Y}$ is generated by an element of $A$. This shows that the following theorem is indeed sharper than Claborn's result.
(5) Theorem. Let $A$ be a Dedekind domain, and $Y$ a set of non-zero prime ideals of $A$ such that $\# A>(\# Y)^{\mathrm{n}}$, where a denotes the least infinite cardinal. Then $(A, Y)$ is a Euclidean pair.

The proof uses the following lemma. Let $W$ be the well-ordered set of order type $\omega^{2}$ defined above.
(6) Lemma. Let $A$ be Dedekind, $Y \subset Z$ a subset, and suppose that there exists a finite subset $X \subset Y$ with the property that for every $x \in A_{X}-A_{Y}$ there exists $q \in A$ such that $(x+q)^{-1} \in A_{Y}$. Then $(A, Y)$ is a Euclidean pair with respect to the map $\phi: A-\{0\} \rightarrow W$ defined by

$$
\phi(x)=\omega \cdot \sum_{p \in X} v_{p}(x)+\sum_{p \in Y-X} v_{p}(x)
$$

Proof of (6). Let $a, b \in A, b \neq 0, a \notin A_{Y} \cdot b$. We have to find $r \in a+A b$ such that $\phi(r)<\phi(b)$.
First suppose that $v_{p}(a) \geqslant v,(b)$ for all $p \in X$. Then $x=a / b$ belongs to $A_{X}$, but not to $A_{Y}$, so by the hypothesis of the lemma there exists $q \in A$ such that $(x+q)^{-1}=b /(a+q b)$ belongs to $A_{Y}$. Then $b \in A_{Y} \cdot(a+q b)$, and therefore $A_{Y} \cdot(a+q b)=A_{Y} a+A_{Y} b$. Hence $r=a+q b \in a+A b$ satisfies

$$
v_{p}(a+q b)=\min \left\{v_{p}(a), v_{p}(b)\right\} \leqslant v_{p}(b)
$$

for all $p \in Y$, with strict inequality for at least one $p$ because $a \notin A_{Y} b$. It follows that $\phi(r)<\phi(b)$.

Secondly, suppose that $v_{p}(a)<v_{p}(b)$ for at least one $p \in X$. Since $X$ is finite, the approximation theorem/for Dedekind domains implies that there exists $r \in A$ with the following properties:

$$
v_{p}(r-a) \geqslant v_{p}(b) \text { for all } p \in Z \text { with } v_{p}(a)<v_{p}(b)
$$

$$
\text { i }[\text {, Sentinn } 4, \text { Prontrian }]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{p}(r)=v_{p}(b) \text { for all } p \in X \text { with } v_{p}(a) \geqslant v_{p}(b), \\
& v_{p}(r)=v_{p}(b) \text { for all } p \in Z-X \text { with } v_{p}(a) \geqslant v_{p}(b)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have $v_{p}(r-a) \geqslant v_{p}(b)$ for all $p \in Z$, so $r \in a+A b$. Also, $v_{p}(r) \leqslant v_{p}(b)$ for all $p \in X$, with strict inequality if $v_{p}(a)<v_{p}(b)$, which occurs for at least one $p \in X$. Hence $\sum_{p \in X} v_{p}(r)<\sum_{p \in X} v_{p}(b)$, and it follows that $\phi(r)<\phi(b)$, as required. This proves (6).

Notice that the lemma implies that $(A, Y)$ is a Euclidean pair if $Y$ is finite.
Proof of the theorem. It suffices to show that some for finite subset $X \subset Y$ the condition of the lemma is satisfied. By the remark just made we may assume that $Y$ is infinite. Let $p \in Z$, and let $\hat{A}_{p}$ be the $p$-adic completion of $A$. Then from

$$
(\# Y)^{\mathrm{a}}<\# A \leqslant \# \hat{A}_{p}=(\# A / p)^{\mathrm{a}}
$$

we see that $\# Y<\# A / p$. So $A / p$ is infinite for every $\mathfrak{p} \in Z$.
Suppose that there does not exist a finite subset $X \subset Y$ satisfying the condition of (6), i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every finite } X \subset Y \text { there exists } x \in A_{X}-A_{Y} \text { such that } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
(x+q)^{-1} \notin A_{Y} \text { for all } q \in A
$$

We derive a contradiction.
Using (7) we construct a sequence $\left(x_{m}\right)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ of elements of $K-A_{Y}$ with the following two properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x_{n}+q\right)^{-1} \notin A_{Y} \text { for all } n \geqslant 0 \text { and all } q \in A,  \tag{8}\\
& \text { if } X_{n}=\left\{p \in Y: v_{p}\left(x_{n}\right)<0\right\} \text { then }  \tag{9}\\
& \quad X_{i} \cap X_{j}=\varnothing \text { for all } i, j \geqslant 0, i \neq j .
\end{align*}
$$

The construction is by induction on $m$. Let $m \geqslant 0$, and let $x_{n}$, for $0 \leqslant n<m$, be such that (8), (9) hold when restricted to $i, j, n<m$. Applying (7) to $X=\cup_{n<m} X_{n}$ we find $x_{m} \in A_{X}-A_{Y}$ such that $\left(x_{m}+q\right)^{-1} \notin A_{Y}$ for all $q \in A$. For $n<m$ we then have $x_{m} \in A_{X} \subset A_{X_{n}}$, so $X_{n} \cap X_{m}=\varnothing$. Hence (8) and (9) hold for $i, j, n \leqslant m$. This concludes the induction step and the construction of the sequence $\left(x_{m}\right)_{m=0}^{\infty}$.

If $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is any sequence of elements of $A$, then plainly also $\left(y_{m}\right)_{m=0}^{\infty}=\left(x_{m}+a_{m}\right)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies (8) and (9), with $x$. replaced by $y_{\text {. }}$. We claim that for a suitable choice of $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ the sequence $\left(y_{m}\right)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ has the following additional property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { there is no } \mathfrak{p} \in Y \text { such that there exist } i, j, k \text { with } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
v_{p}\left(y_{l}-y_{j}\right)>0, v_{p}\left(y_{j}-y_{k}\right)>0, i<j<k
$$

The proof is again by induction. Let $m \geqslant 0$, and let $a_{n} \in A$, for $n<m$, be such that (10) holds when restricted to $k<m$. The only $p \in Y$ which can possibly violate (10), with $k=m$, are those for which $v_{p}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)>0$ for certain $i, j$ with $i<j<m$. There are only finitely many such $\mathfrak{p}$, since $y_{i}=y_{j}$ would imply that $X_{i}=X_{j}$, so $X_{i}=\varnothing$ by (9), contradicting that $x_{t} \notin A_{Y}$. Notice that $v_{p}\left(y_{t}-y_{j}\right)>0$, with $i<j<m$, implies that $p \notin X_{i}$ and $p \notin X_{J}$. If $p \in X_{m}$, then regardless of the choice of $a_{m}$ we have $v_{p}\left(y_{j}-y_{m}\right)<0$. If $p \notin X_{m}$, then we have $v_{p}\left(y_{J}-y_{m}\right)=0$ provided that

$$
a_{m} \neq y_{J}-x_{m} \bmod p
$$

(in the local ring at $\mathfrak{p}$ ). Hence, for (10) to be valid with $k=m$, it suffices that $a_{m}$ avoids a finite set of residue classes modulo each of a finite number of prime ideals of $A$. Since $A / p$ is infinite for all $p \in Z$, the approximation theorem/guarantees the existence of an element $a_{m} \in A$ satisfying these conditions. This completes our inductive proof of (10).

From (8), (9) (with $y$. for $x$ ) and (10) we derive a contradiction. Fix $q \in A$. Then for each $n \geqslant 0$ there exists $\mathfrak{p}_{n} \in Y$ with $v_{p_{n}}\left(y_{n}+q\right)>0$, by (8). If $\mathfrak{p}_{1}=p_{j}=p_{k}$ for $i<j<k$, then with $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}_{l}$ we obtain a contradiction to (10). Hence each $\mathfrak{p} \in Y$ occurs at most twice as $\mathfrak{p}_{n}$, and the map $f_{q}:\{0,1,2, \ldots\} \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f_{q}(n)=p_{n}$ has infinite image.

The number of maps $\{0,1,2, \ldots\} \rightarrow Y$ is $(\# Y)^{\mathfrak{a}}$, so from $\# A>(\# Y)^{a}$ it follows that there exist $q \neq r$ in $A$ with $f_{q}=f_{r}$. For $p=f_{q}(n)$ we then have $v_{p}\left(y_{n}+q\right)>0, v_{p}\left(y_{n}+r\right)>0$, and therefore

$$
v_{p}(q-r)>0 \text { for all } p \text { in the image of } f_{q} .
$$

But $f_{q}$ has infinite image, so it follows that $q-r=0$, a contradiction.
This proves the theorem.
(11) Corollary. Let $A$ be a Dedekind domain, and suppose that the set $Z$ of non-zero prime ideals of $A$ satisfies $\# A>(\# Z)^{\alpha}$. Then $A$ is Euclidean.

This follows from (5), with $Y=Z$.
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