Development 128, 4289-4299 (2001) Printed in Great Britain © The Company of Biologists Limited 2001

4289

An *Arabidopsis* Minute-like phenotype caused by a semi-dominant mutation in a *RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN S5* gene

Dolf Weijers, Marry Franke-van Dijk, Robert-Jan Vencken, Ab Quint, Paul Hooykaas and Remko Offringa*

Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, Leiden University, Clusius Laboratory, Wassenaarseweg 64, 2333 AL, Leiden, The Netherlands

*Author for correspondence (e-mail: offringa@rulbim.leidenuniv.nl)

Accepted 8 August 2001

SUMMARY

DEV0353

Mutations in ribosomal protein (*RP*) genes in *Drosophila* lead to strong developmental phenotypes, expressed in the semi-dominant *Minute* syndrome. In plants, however, mutations in *RP* genes have so far only been reported to result in recessive developmental phenotypes. We present the analysis of an *Arabidopsis* promoter-trap line, in which a T-DNA insertion in an *RPS5* gene (*AtRPS5A*) causes semidominant developmental phenotypes. Most cell-division processes are delayed or disturbed in the heterozygous mutant, and development is completely arrested at an early embryonic stage in the homozygous mutant. By analogy with *Drosophila rp* mutants, we have named this mutant *Arabidopsis Minute-like 1 (aml1)*. As with other *Arabidopsis* RPs, RPS5 is represented by a small gene family, but in contrast to other described plant RPs, this family

INTRODUCTION

The carriers of protein translation in eukaryotic cells, the ribosomes, have a complex structure consisting of two subunits – the 60S (Large) and 40S (Small) subunit – that are each built from a considerable number of ribosomal proteins (RPs) and ribosomal RNAs (Moore, 1998). From one of the most well-studied models for ribosome structure and constitution, the rat, we now know that eukaryotic ribosomes consist of 82 different, highly conserved proteins (Wool et al., 1995).

The importance of the protein translation machinery in a given process can be studied by analysing the effect of a single rp mutation, as the absence of a single RP prevents assembly of the corresponding ribosomal subunit (Volarević et al., 2000). Notably, apart from expected defects such as growth arrest or delay, mutations in RP genes often result in specific defects in the development of an organism (Wool, 1996). In some cases, RP gene mutations affect DNA replication, RNA processing and DNA repair (Wool, 1996), suggesting roles for RPs that are additional to protein translation.

One of the most prominent examples of specific developmental defects due to *RP* gene mutations is the *Minute* syndrome in *Drosophila*. The *Minute* class of mutants was described, as early as in 1919 (Lambertsson, 1998), and today over 50 different *Minute* loci have been mapped. The *Minute*

comprises only two members. The *AtRPS5A* gene (mutated in *aml1*) is strongly expressed in dividing cells, whereas expression of the second *RPS5* gene, *AtRPS5B*, is lower than that of *AtRPS5A*, and is correlated with cell differentiation rather than cell division. From expression analyses we conclude that *AtRPS5A* is the most abundantly expressed *RPS5* gene in *Arabidopsis*. The *Minute-like* defects in the *aml1* mutant provide the first evidence that ribosome insufficiency leads to similar consequences in both plants and insects, and emphasize the general importance of efficient protein translation for cell proliferation in higher eukaryotes.

Key words: *Arabidopsis*, Semi-dominant mutation, Cell division, Ribosomal protein, *Minute* syndrome, Haplo-insufficiency

syndrome is characterised by semi-dominant phenotypes, such as delay in larval development, smaller body size and several pleiotropic morphological aberrations (e.g. short thoracic bristles), and recessive embryo lethality. Kongsuwan and coworkers (Kongsuwan et al., 1985) first showed that a *Minute* phenotype was caused by a deletion of a *RP* gene, and now, at least 11 *Minute* loci have been assigned to *RP* genes (Lambertsson, 1998), demonstrating that ribosome function is essential during specific stages of fly development and that individual RPs can easily become rate-limiting for various processes.

Only few mutations in *RP* genes have been described in the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Two such mutations were identified during screens for either aberrant seedling phenotypes (van Lijsebettens et al., 1994) or altered sensitivity to genotoxic stress (Revenkova et al., 1999). A third *rp* mutant, identified through sequence analyses of *Ds* transposon insertion sites, also appeared to show specific defects in seedling development (Ito et al., 2000). Strikingly, all three of the above *rp* phenotypes were found to be recessive. Semi-dominant phenotypes, as described for the *Drosophila Minute* mutants, have not been reported in plants. This might be a consequence of the fact that *RP* genes are single copy in *Drosophila* (Kay and Jacobs-Lorena, 1987), while in *Arabidopsis* each RP is represented by a small gene family

4290 D. Weijers and others

(Cooke et al., 1997; Revenkova et al., 1999). Alternatively, plants may have evolved mechanisms to avoid the deleterious effect of ribosome insufficiency.

We report the identification and further characterisation of a semi-dominant mutation in an *Arabidopsis RPS5* gene. We named the mutant *Arabidopsis Minute-like 1 (aml1)*, because the semi-dominant growth retardation and floral and vascular defects and the recessive embryo lethality are analogous to defects observed in the *Drosophila Minute* mutants. Our findings show a striking similarity between the effect of ribosome insufficiency in flies and in plants and show that ribosomes can be limiting for plant growth and development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material, plant growth and transformation

Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype C24 or Columbia seeds were surface sterilised by incubation for 1 minute in 70% ethanol, 15 minutes in 2% hypochlorite/0.01% Tween-20 and four rinses with sterile water. Seeds were imbibed for 2 to 4 days at 4°C in the dark before germination in regeneration rooms (21°C, 16 hour light/8 hour dark, 4000 lux) on solidified M-A medium (Masson and Paszkowski, 1992). After approximately 2 weeks, seedlings were transferred to soil and grown in growth chambers (21°C, 60% relative humidity, 16 hour light/8 hour dark, 4000 lux) for seed set. Ploidy levels were analysed by counting the number of plastids per guard cell in epidermal strips. The presence of the 826 T-DNA was selected by germination on hygromycin-containing medium (Duchefa, 20 mg/l). Arabidopsis plants were transformed with Agrobacterium tumefaciens using the 'floral-dip' method (Clough and Bent, 1998) with minor modifications. Transgenic plants were selected on medium containing 30 mg/l phosphinotricin in the case of pAtRPS5A::GUS and pAtRPS5B::GUS or 75 mg/l kanamycin and 20 mg/l hygromycin in the case of the complementation construct.

Microscopy and histology

For whole-mount embryo analysis, siliques were slit open longitudinally on both sides of the septum with a hypodermic needle, fixed in a mixture of ethanol and acetic acid (3:1) for 1 hour and mounted in a drop of clearing solution (chloral hydrate: water: glycerol, 8:3:1). Embryos were viewed with a Zeiss Axioplan II microscope equipped with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. For cytology, siliques, roots or flower buds were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde in a 0.1 M sodium cacodylate-buffer (pH 7.2), rinsed twice in the same buffer and dehydrated through a graded ethanol series before embedding in Technovit 7100 (Heraeus Kulzer, Germany). Sections (4 µm) were stained with 0.1% Toluidine Blue. For localisation of GUS activity, tissues were fixed for 1 hour in 90% acetone at -20°C. Tissues were then washed twice for 5 minutes in washing buffer (0.1 M phosphate, pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA, 2 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆) under vacuum. Subsequently, staining buffer (0.1 M phosphate, pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆, 1 mM K₄Fe(CN)₆·3H₂O, 1 mg/ml X-GLUC) was infiltrated by a brief vacuum treatment and specimens were incubated from 5 minutes (pAtRPS5A::GUS seedlings) to overnight (aml1 mutant or pRPS5B:GUS seedlings) at 37°C. GUSstained tissues were fixed in a 3:1 mixture of ethanol and acetic acid and cleared and mounted in a drop of clearing solution. All microscopic images were recorded using a Sony DKC-5000 digital camera, and compiled using Adobe Photoshop 5.5.

Measurements and morphometric analyses

For cotyledon- and root measurements, seeds of the hemizygous *aml1* mutant were germinated in a near-vertical position on MA medium

without antibiotic selection. Four or 7 days after germination, seedlings were stained for GUS activity. Root and cotyledon size of GUS-positive and GUS-negative seedlings was measured from digital recordings using Scion Image software and statistical analysis (Student's *t*-test) was performed in Microsoft Excel. To quantify vascular patterning in the mutant, the same seedlings were observed under dark-field illumination.

Molecular cloning

Molecular cloning was performed following standard procedures (Sambrook et al., 1989). Inverse PCR (I-PCR) was performed on NcoI-digested chromosomal DNA from 826 hemizygous plants using PCR primers in the GUS gene as described by Offringa and van der Lee (Offringa and van der Lee, 1995). EST clones homologous to the I-PCR fragment sequence (Accession Numbers H35978 and N37914) were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Research Centre (Columbus, OH). For promoter isolation, 1.7 kb fragments containing the promoter region of the AtRPS5A or AtRPS5B gene were amplified by PCR and fused to the eGFP:GUS::intron reporter gene (Quaedvlieg et al., 1998) in pGPTV-BAR (Becker et al., 1992) to yield AtRPS5A::GUS and AtRPS5B::GUS. Primers for PCR were based on genome sequences deposited in the GenBank database. For complementation of *aml1*, we amplified a 4.2 kb region spanning the entire AtRPS5A gene, including 2 kb promoter sequence from C24 genomic DNA using the following primers: RPS5AcompF GCGCAGATCTGTAGACTGTTGCTTCTC and RPS5AcompR AGCAGGAGATCTATCAGTGCAGTCTG. The entire fragment was ligated into pMOG800 (MOGEN International N.V., Leiden, The Netherlands). To detect complementation, we used primers I (GCTCACCAACTCTCTCATGATGCACG), II (GAGTGTTGTATG-TACGTGTGTTTGACTTGG) and III (TGCCGTAATGAGTGAC-CGCATCG), and NPTII3 (TTGTCAAGACCGACCTGTCC) and NPTII6 (CACCATGATATTCGGCAAGC) in PCR reactions on DNA isolated from primary transformants with the MOG-RPS5A construct. Binary plasmids were transformed to A. tumefaciens strain LBA1115 by electroporation (den Dulk-Ras and Hooykaas, 1993). Sequence analysis was performed at Eurogentec (Belgium). DNA and protein sequences were analysed using the Vector NTI 5.5 software package (Informax, Bethesda, MD).

RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from 2-week-old seedlings according to Chang et al. (Chang et al., 1993). For RT-PCR, 10 µg of total RNA was treated with 50 U of DNAseI (Roche Biochemicals, The Netherlands) in DNAseI assay buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 6 mM MgCl₂, 1 U RNAguard (Roche Diagnostics, The Netherlands) during 1 hour at 37°C. The RNA was then purified by chloroform extraction and precipitated (0.5 volume 7.5 M NH4OAc, two volumes ethanol) at -80°C for 1 hour. The RNA pellet was resuspended in 9 µl RNAse free water and 1 μ l oligo d(T)₁₅ (0.5 μ g/ μ l, Roche Diagnostics, The Netherlands). After 5 minutes at 70°C, the RT mix (1× buffer concentration (supplied with enzyme), 0.5 mM dNTPs, 5 U MmuLV-RT (Roche Diagnostics, The Netherlands), 1 U RNAguard) was added and kept at 42°C for 1 hour. The cDNA was then purified by phenol/chloroform and chloroform extractions and precipitated with 0.4 volume 7.5 M NH4OAc and two volumes ethanol. One-tenth of the total cDNA pool was used in all reactions. Fragments were amplified from these cDNA pools (or 10 ng C24 chromosomal DNA as a control for the primer pairs) using Taq polymerase (Roche Diagnostics, The Netherlands) according to the manufacturers procedures. Primer pairs were as follows: for AtRPS5A, 826g21F -CTCTCATTCGCGCGACGCAAACG and 826constR - GGGTT-CAAGTCAGACAAGAGGTGG; and for AtRPS5B, 826e11F CGGCTAAAGATCCCTACTTCTCTCG and 826constR. PCR conditions were as follows: 4 minutes at 95°C, 30 cycles of 15 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 60°C and 1 minute at 72°C, followed by 5 minutes at 72°C.

Whole-mount in situ hybridisation

In-situ hybridisation on 5-day-old seedlings was performed as described (J. Friml, PhD thesis, University of Cologne, 2000) with slight modifications. For auxin-induction, seedlings were treated with 50 μ M 1-NAA during 16 hours in liquid M-A medium (Masson and Paszkowski, 1992) in the dark. To detect *RPS5A* mRNA specifically, a 150 bp fragment of the *AtRPS5A* cDNA was PCR-amplified from the cloned cDNA (RPS5A.F CGGATCCGTTTTCTCTGCCGTC and RPS5A.R GGAATTCGATGTCTGTGACCGTAACG) and cloned into pBluescript SK+ and KS+ vectors. Digoxigenin-labelled sense-or antisense RNA probes were synthesised using T7 RNA-polymerase (Promega, Leiden, The Netherlands) according the manufacturers procedures. For hybridisation we used 100 ng probe per ml hybridisation volume.

RESULTS

A promoter trap line that marks cell division

In an effort to identify new auxin-responsive genes, we screened a collection of transgenic Arabidopsis plant lines harbouring a promoter-trap T-DNA construct with the GUS gene as a reporter for promoter activity (Goddijn et al., 1993). Line 553-826 (hereafter referred to as line 826) was selected because seedlings showed auxin-responsive GUS activity in the root pericycle (R. O. and P. H., unpublished). In untreated seedlings, GUS activity was found in the apical meristems of both shoot and root (Fig. 1A-C), in lateral root primordia (Fig. 1D), young leaf primordia (Fig. 1B) and in the vascular tissue of cotyledons (Fig. 1E). This basal expression pattern was not enhanced by auxin (data not shown) and as auxin-induced expression was confined to a cell layer in which cell division is activated by auxin, we concluded that the GUS gene in the 826 line is regulated by a cell division-related, rather than by an auxin-specific pathway. This conclusion is supported by the fact that GUS activity was detectable in the most distal cells that represent the division zone of the root tip, but not in the mitotically inactive quiescent center (Fig. 1C) (Dolan et al., 1993).

The *826* T-DNA insertion is linked to a semidominant delay in embryo development, recessive embryo lethality and reduced gamete viability

Line 826 was tetraploid and contained four T-DNA loci. The line was backcrossed three times with the C24 wild type. A diploid plant, hemizygous for a single T-DNA insert (hereafter referred to as the 826 T-DNA), but which displayed the same GUS pattern as the original line, was selected. When seeds from this diploid 826 line were selected for the hygromycin resistance marker on the T-DNA, we observed a ratio of 0.62:1 resistant versus sensitive seedlings (n=1821). Because this ratio strongly deviated from the expected 3:1 ratio, we analysed embryo development to determine whether selective seed abortion contributed to the low number of seeds containing the 826 T-DNA. Embryo development clearly differed between wild-type and line 826 embryos. Most embryos in a wild-type silique appeared similar in developmental stage (data not shown). By contrast, three developmental classes of embryos were found in each silique of line 826. During early embryo development, siliques contained globular stage embryos, early dermatogen stage embryos, and two-cell stage embryos. Later, torpedo stage embryos, heart stage embryos and early globular stage embryos were found (Fig. 2A). Late siliques contained nearly mature embryos, bent-cotyledon stage embryos and arrested globular stage embryos (Fig. 2B,C). Cells of these arrested embryos were swollen (Fig. 2Aiii) and showed subcellular structures typical for mature embryos (Fig. 2D). Morphology of the endosperm appeared normal even in ovules that contained the most developmentally retarded class of embryos (data not shown), indicating that endosperm development is not severely affected in line 826.

To test if the arrested embryos in line 826 represent the individuals homozygous for the 826 T-DNA, progeny from 31 hygromycin-resistant plants were analysed for segregation of the T-DNA. All 31 plants segregated for the 826 T-DNA. Based on this, we concluded that the development of homozygous progeny did not progress to the seedling stage, but arrested prematurely during seed development. To determine linkage between presence of the 826 T-DNA and the mutant embryo phenotypes, GUS activity was analysed in embryos. Irrespective of the developmental stage, GUS activity was never detected in the most developmentally advanced embryos, whereas all GUS-positive embryos were retarded in their growth (Fig. 2E). Moreover, GUS activity in the most developmentally retarded class was always higher than in the intermediate class of embryos (compare Fig. 2Eii with 2Eiii), confirming the developmental separation of homo- and hemizygotes for the 826 T-DNA, and strongly suggesting that the T-DNA insertion in line 826 causes semi-dominant delay and recessive arrest of embryogenesis.

Recessive embryo lethality has been observed in several other Arabidopsis mutants (Patton et al., 1991; Castle et al., 1993; Yadegari et al., 1994; Uwer et al., 1998; Albert et al., 1999). Still, the 0.62:1 ratio observed in the hemizygous line 826 deviates strongly from the 2:1 segregation ratio expected in the case of embryo lethality. To determine if transmission of the 826 T-DNA to the next generation was affected by the viability of mutant gametes, we performed reciprocal crosses between the diploid, hemizygous 826 line and C24 wild-type plants, and scored for segregation of the T-DNA locus in the resulting progeny. When using 826 plants as a male parent, we found 15% of the progeny to be hygromycin resistant (n=41). In the case of full viability of the male gamete, one would expect this to be 50%. Thus, we conclude that the viability of pollen that carries the 826 T-DNA is decreased by approximately 70%. Using 826 plants as a female parent, we found 26% of the progeny to be hygromycin resistant (n=312). The viability of mutant female gametes is thus reduced by 50%. From these data the segregation ratio expected for a selfpollination was calculated to be 0.53:1 (resistant versus sensitive), which closely approaches the observed 0.62:1 ratio. The GUS gene in line 826 is expressed in pollen (not shown), implying that the decreased pollen viability is a result of the presence of the 826 T-DNA.

Semi-dominant defects during post-embryonic development in line 826

We noticed that the hygromycin-resistant progeny of the diploid, hemizygous 826 line showed a variety of semidominant phenotypes. Seedlings carrying the T-DNA, although variable in size (Fig. 1A), were generally smaller than wildtype seedlings. We observed an average 20% reduction in cotyledon length, 4 days after germination, and a 40%



Fig. 1. GUS expression in the 826 line is confined to cell division foci. (A) Expression of the *GUS* gene in 826 seedlings is found in the apical regions of both shoot and root. (B) GUS activity is found in the SAM and leaf primordia, but not the surrounding tissues. (C) The division zone of the main root, but not the quiescent center (QC), is marked by strong GUS expression. (D) Lateral root primordia are marked by GUS activity. (E) GUS expression in the vascular tissue of cotyledons.

reduction of root length, one week after germination (Table 1). Hypocotyl length in 826 seedlings did not differ significantly from the wild type. It was shown that hypocotyl growth in seedlings is achieved by cell-elongation, and not by cell division (Gendreau et al., 1997). We therefore suspect that only cell division related processes are disturbed in 826 seedlings. We did not however, observe a significant difference in lateral root number (data not shown).

Cotyledons of the 826 line appeared rounded in shape (Figs 1A, 3A). To analyse the nature of this shape change, we studied venation patterns in mutant and wild-type seedlings in more detail. Vascular development in wild-type cotyledons is highly reproducible, resulting in a recognisable pattern (for a detailed description see Berleth et al. (Berleth et al., 2000) and references therein). Nonetheless, slight differences in developmental stages among individual seedlings cause



Fig. 2. Embryo development is delayed in 826 hemizygotes and arrests prematurely in 826 homozygotes. Whole-mount analysis of developing seeds from plants hemizygous for the 826 T-DNA. (A-C) Representative seeds from three siliques in subsequent stages of development. Indices (i-iii) indicate the different classes of embryos found in the same silique. When development of wild-type embryos is at the torpedo (Ai), bent-cotyledon (Bi) or mature stage (Ci), 826 hemizygous embryos have only reached the heart (Aii), torpedo (Bii) or bent-cotyledon stage (Cii). At all later time points, homozygous 826 embryos remain arrested at the globular stage (Aiii, Biii, Ciii). The arrested embryos display storage structures typical for late wild-type embryo development (D). Histochemical staining of seeds from the same silique reveals that the GUS activity correlates with the delay in embryo development. GUS expression is absent in mature embryos (Ei), whereas weak and strong expression is observed in respectively delayed torpedo stage (Eii) and arrested globular stage embryos (Eiii).

stochastic variation of vascular pattern complexity (Fig. 3B). In 826 hemizygous seedlings, the vascular strands were often shorter and poorly interconnected (Fig. 3A), frequently leading to aberrant, simplified patterns. The lack of interconnections between xylem strands correlated with the absence of provascular strands (data not shown), indicating a defect in cell division rather than vascular tissue differentiation. In general, vascular patterning was less complex in the 826 hemizygotes (Fig. 3B). The decrease in cotyledon size is correlated with a simplification of the vascular pattern. However, this does not answer the question of whether cotyledons are smaller due to incomplete vascular tissue development, or whether vascular tissue formation is compromised because cotyledons are smaller. In any case, the defect in vascular pattern correlates with the observed expression of the GUS gene in the vascular tissue in cotyledons (Fig. 1E). Similar expression was also seen with the promoter of the Arabidopsis CDC2A gene, which marks cells competent for cell division (Hemerly et al., 1993). No obvious defects were observed in other leaf types such as rosette leaves, bracts, sepals, petals and silique valves.

Plants hemizygous for the 826 T-DNA developed normal



Fig. 3. Vascular and floral defects in hemizygous 826 plants. (A) Abnormal venation pattern in a cotyledon of a plant hemizygous for the 826 T-DNA. Note that the central vein is short and a secondary vein is interrupted. (B) Vascular pattern complexity in the most advanced cotyledon of wild-type (GUS negative, white bars) and hemizygous 826 (GUS positive, black bars) seedlings. The *y*-axis shows the percentage of seedlings falling into the categories represented on the *x*-axis. (C) Hemizygous 826 flowers carry only four to five stamens, as clearly shown in a cross-section of a flower bud from an 826 hemizygous plant. (D) The floral defect originates from the floral meristem, as indicated by the absence of one vascular strand (compare top with bottom arrow) in a cross-section through the receptacle of a young flower.

rosettes and flowering stems, although flowering appeared to be slightly delayed (not shown). The stamen number in mutant flowers was often reduced to four or five instead of six $(5.2\pm0.7, n=37;$ Fig. 3C). The fact that vascular strands were missing in the receptacle (Fig. 3D) indicates that the phenotype can be traced back to the floral meristem, and is caused by a defect in primordium initiation rather than in primordium outgrowth. GUS expression in mature plants was observed in flower primordia but not in leaves, stems or mature floral organs. Thus, there is a striking correlation between the spatial *GUS* gene expression and sites where mutant phenotypes are observed.

Arabidopis Minute-like phenotypes caused by a mutation in a *RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN S5* gene

The 826 line provides a unique example of the coincidence of semi-dominant and recessive phenotypes due to defects in developmental processes that involve cell division. To understand the genetic lesion that affects such diverse developmental processes, we analysed the molecular nature of the 826 T-DNA insertion locus. Inverse-PCR was used to amplify a 450 bp fragment flanking the T-DNA insertion. Sequence analysis and database searches revealed that the 826 T-DNA had inserted into the fifth exon of a RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN S5 (RPS5) gene. The last 11 codons of the RPS5 gene were replaced by a spacer of the same length, resulting in a translational fusion between the RPS5 and GUS proteins (Fig. 4A,B). RPS5 is a structural component of the 40S small ribosomal subunit and is believed to function in binding of the eIF-3 (Westerman and Nygård, 1983; Tolan et al., 1983). To show unequivocally that the insertion of the T-DNA in the RPS5 gene caused the mutant phenotypes, we transformed hemizygous 826 plants by floral dip with a T-DNA construct harbouring the wild-type RPS5 locus, and used embryo abortion as an unambiguous phenotype to detect complementation. We first tested 96 individual hygromycinresistant seedlings from the 826 line in a duplex-PCR for the presence of a wild-type locus and the T-DNA insertion in the RPS5 gene (Fig. 4B). All of these 96 seedlings were hemizygous for the T-DNA insertion (data not shown) and hereby we confirmed our earlier conclusion that viable homozygotes are not recovered. As cells in the female germline are target for in planta Agrobacterium transformation (Desfeux et al., 2000), we reasoned that, in case of complementation, the introduction of a wild-type RPS5 gene copy in a mutant female gamete, followed by fertilisation of this egg-cell by mutant pollen, should yield a viable embryo, homozygous for the 826 T-DNA insertion. In a population of 10 primary transformants that were selected for the presence of both the 826 T-DNA and the complementation construct, we found one that was homozygous for the 826 T-DNA, while the other nine were hemizygous (Fig. 4C). The complementation of the embryoarrest phenotype by a wild-type RPS5 copy and the strict correlation between the observed semi-dominant phenotypes and RPS5:GUS expression pattern lead us to conclude that the phenotypes in line 826 are caused by a T-DNA insertion in the RPS5 gene. The mutant phenotypes caused by disruption of this RPS5 gene in Arabidopsis are analogous to the situation in Drosophila, where mutations in RP genes result in the Minute syndrome. The Minute syndrome is characterised by

Table 1. Organ size of Arabidopsis wild-type and hemizygous 826 seedlings

		+/+ (GUS negative)		+/826(GUS positive)		
		Length (mm)±s.e.m.	n	Length (mm)±s.e.m.	n	Reduction (%)
Root length*	4 dag	3.46±0.16	43	3.06±0.12	46	11.6 [§]
	7 dag	9.76±0.49	113	5.79±0.04	49	40.7 [§]
Cotyledon length [‡]	4 dag	1.19 ± 0.02	90	0.97±0.03	104	18.5 [§]
Hypocotyl length	4 dag	2.22 ± 0.05	45	2.17±0.07	52	_

GUS negative (+/+) and GUS positive (+/826) seedlings were measured after staining.

*Root length was measured in seedlings either 4 or 7 days after germination (dag).

[‡]Cotyledon length was measured in the proximal-distal axis of both cotyledons of each seedling.

[§]The means were significantly different when tested with Student's *t*-test (P < 0.05).

n, number of measurements; s.e.m., standard error of the mean.

4294 D. Weijers and others

recessive embryo lethality, decreased gamete viability and several semi-dominant defects, such as delay in development and reduced body size (Lambertsson, 1998). The similarity between the *Drosophila Minute* mutants and our mutant line prompted us to rename our 826 line *aml1* for *Arabidopsis Minute-like 1*.

RPS5 is represented by a small gene family in Arabidopsis

А search of the TIGR Arabidopsis gene index (http://www.tigr.org/tdb/agi) with the AtRPS5 gene sequence revealed the presence of a second class of RPS5 ESTs in Arabidopsis. The initially identified gene will be referred to as AtRPS5A, and the second copy will be referred to as AtRPS5B. We sequenced full-length cDNAs of both RPS5 genes and found that they were 78% identical at the nucleotide level and contained an open reading frame of 621 bp, encoding a protein of 207 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 22.9 kDa. The two predicted proteins show 94% identity. Alignment of a number of RPS5 sequences from different organisms revealed many invariant amino acids, as well as a few plantspecific residues (Fig. 4A). Only two of the amino acid substitutions between the two family members are at residues that are otherwise conserved in eukaryotic RPS5 proteins, and the two proteins are thus very likely to be functionally redundant.

Southern analysis and a survey of the completed sequence of the *Arabidopsis* genome confirmed the presence of two gene copies in *Arabidopsis*. In the *aml1* mutant, a third hybridising band was observed, which represents the T-DNA insertion in *AtRPS5A* (not shown). The *AtRPS5A* gene resides on P1 clone

Fig. 4. Molecular characteristics of the Arabidopsis RPS5 gene family. (A) Alignment of the extreme C terminus of RPS5 proteins from different species shows a strong conservation of primary structure. AtRPS5A, GenBank ID N37913; AtRPS5B, GenBank ID H35978; CaRPS5, Cicer arietinum (GenBank ID AJ005346); ScRPS5, Saccharomyces cerevisiae (GenBank ID X89368); CeRPS5, Caenorhabditis elegans (GenBank ID P49041); DmRPS5, Drosophila melanogaster (GenBank ID U48394); and HsRPS5, Homo sapiens (GenBank ID MN001009). The fusion of RPS5A and GUS in line 826 (aml1) is shown in the bottom row with the connecting border sequence in italics and the GUS sequence in bold. The number between brackets indicates the position in the protein relative to the N terminus. The asterisks indicate plant-specific residues. (B) Gene structure of AtRPS5A and the aml1 allele. The AtRPS5A gene contains five exons, interrupted by four introns. Arrows I, II and III indicate the positions of PCR-primers. (C) Complementation of the aml1 mutant with a wild-type RPS5A gene copy. The top panel shows the result of a duplex-PCR to detect the wild-type (1.3 kb) and aml1 (0.8 kb) alleles of the RPS5A gene in seedlings. From left to right: C24 wild-type plants, the aml1 mutant and ten independent primary transformants with the MOG-RPS5A construct. Plant 1 is homozygous for the *aml1* allele. Bottom panel shows the presence of the NPTII gene located on the MOG-RPS5A construct. (D) Intron and exon sizes (numbering is in the 5' to 3' direction of the genes) are extremely conserved between AtRPS5A and AtRPS5B. (E) RT-PCR analysis using AtRPS5 and AtRPS5B gene-specific primer sets. AtRPS5A primers (left panel) amplify a 0.4 kb fragment from seedling RNA (R) to higher levels than do AtRPS5B specific primers (R, right panel). Control PCR reactions using chromosomal DNA (C) indicate equal efficiency of both primer pairs.

MEC18 (Accession Number, AP002040) and maps at 18 cM on chromosome III. The *AtRPS5B* gene is located on BAC F3G5 (Accession Number, AC005896) and maps at 69.0 cM on chromosome II. No other mutants with similar phenotypes to *aml1* were found to map near these two loci.

The gene structure of the two *RPS5* copies is identical. Both contain five exons and four introns (ranging from 79-385 bp), the first of which is located 4 bp upstream of the translational start codon and the other three within the coding region (Fig. 4B). Both the sequence (49% identity) and length of the introns (Fig. 4D) are conserved between the two gene copies. The transcripts share a number of characteristics with mammalian RP transcripts (Wool et al., 1995). Three pyrimidine stretches are found within the 5'-UTR of the mRNA (at -34, -46 and -53 bp relative to the ATG in AtRPS5A; -29, -35 and -59 in AtRPS5B). The translation initiation context is G(T/C)CAUGG, the termination codon is UAA and both transcripts have an A/T-rich, poly-adenylated 3'-UTR (71% A/T in AtRPS5A and 68% A/T in AtRPS5B). This shows that not only the amino acid sequence, but also mRNA characteristics are strongly conserved between different

A AtRPS5A AtRPS5B CaRPS5 SCRPS5 CeRPS5 DmRPS5 HSRPS5 Consensus aml1	(16) (12)	57) 57) 33) 70) 38) 54) 91) 57)	197 ELI ELI ELI ELI ELI ELI ELI	NAAKG NAAKG NAAKG NAAKG NAAKG NAAKG NAAKG	SSNS SSNS SSNS SSTS SSNS SSNS SSNS	YAII YAII YAII YAII YAII YAII YAII	KKKD KKKD KKKD KKKD KKKD KKKD	* EIEF EIEF ELEF ELEF ELEF CLD1	VAK VAK VAK VAK VAK VAK VAK	* ANR – ANR – SNR – SNR – SNR – SNR – SNR – SNR – G	240
B Wild type — aml1 —	-0	, , , ,		-	I ←- I→ ←-		0.8	s kb	top 1.3 kt]II← GUS
C C24 am/1	1	T	<u>1 se</u> 2 3	edling 3 4	s aml	1 x N 6	10G- 7	- <i>RPS</i> 8	9 9	10	<-1.3 kb
D Exon AtRPS5	A A	tRP.	S5B	Intror	h AtRI	PS5A	AtR	PS5E		RPS5A	AtRPS5B

Exon	(bp)	(bp)	Intron	(bp)	(bp)	C R
1	54	54	1	83	77	
2	99	99	2	385	342	-
3	272	272	3	87	97	=
4	206	206	4	78	92	600 ⁸
5	204	176				

species. In both genes, a conserved motif is present directly upstream of the transcribed region that is referred to as the *telo* box. This box is found in many plant genes that are involved in protein synthesis and is thought to act in concert with the *Tef1* box to direct expression of genes to foci of cell division in plants (Regad et al., 1995; Tremousaygue et al., 1999). The *Tef1* box consensus sequence was found in the promoter sequence of the *AtRPS5A* gene. Only a weak similarity to the *Tef1* box consensus sequence is present in the promoter of *AtRPS5B*.

AtRPS5A and AtRPS5B are differentially expressed

Despite the presence of two expressed *RPS5* family members in *Arabidopsis*, a mutation in only one gene does result in semidominant *Minute*-like phenotypes. This suggests that *AtRPS5A* and *AtRPS5B* are differentially expressed and prompted us to compare the expression pattern of both genes.

RT-PCR analysis with primer sets specific for either of the genes revealed that *AtRPS5A* is expressed several-fold stronger than *AtRPS5B* in seedlings (Fig. 4E). The RT-PCR data are supported by the occurrence of 36 independent ESTs representing *AtRPS5A* and only 12 ESTs representing *AtRPS5B* in the *Arabidopsis* gene index (AtGI).

AtRPS5A expression

The strict correlation between the Minute-like phenotypes in the aml1 mutant and the expression pattern of the RPS5:GUS fusion gene suggested that this fusion gene perfectly reproduces the expression pattern of the AtRPS5A gene. To confirm this, we performed whole-mount in situ hybridisation on wild-type seedlings using a probe specific for the AtRPS5A gene. The antisense probe detected a strong hybridisation signal in young leaf primordia (Fig. 5A,B) and the shoot apical meristem (Fig. 5B). Furthermore we found hybridisation signal in the vasculature of cotyledons (Fig. 5C). In roots we found strong signals in the distal tip (Fig. 5D,E). Upon closer inspection, the region that shows this signal appears to be the division zone of the root meristem. In accordance with the cell-division-correlated expression, the QC cells do not show a detectable signal (Fig. 5E). Upon treatment for 16 hours with the synthetic auxin 1-NAA we found hybridisation signals in lateral root primordia (Fig. 5F). As hybridisation using a sense control probe lacked the signals described above (Fig. 5G), these expression signals confirm the pattern of RPS5:GUS activity detected in the aml1 mutant (Fig. 1; data not shown). We therefore conclude that the RPS5A:GUS gene expression genuinely reflects the expression of the AtRPS5A gene.

To address the spatiotemporal regulation of *AtRPS5A* gene transcription in the absence of the *aml1* mutation, we constructed a promoter::reporter gene fusion. GUS activity in *pAtRPS5A::GUS* seedlings could already be detected after 10 minutes of histochemical staining at the sites of cell division, i.e. in the shoot apical meristem, the youngest leaf primordia and the division zone of the root meristem (Fig. 6A,C). Strong expression was also found in lateral root primordia, where intense GUS staining was already visible during the first division of a single pericycle cell (data not shown). Weaker GUS activity was detected in non-dividing pericycle cells (Fig. 6B) that are considered to be competent for cell division (Hemerly et al., 1993; Doerner et al., 1996). Expression of GUS was also seen

in all axillary meristems, floral meristems and flower primordia, and typically faded as organs matured. In general, the pattern of p*AtRPS5A::GUS* expression was identical to the *AtRPS5A* mRNA distribution pattern and to that of the *RPS5A::GUS* fusion in the *aml1* mutant (Figs 1, 5). Apparently, no *cis*-regulatory elements other than the promoter region are necessary to provide the complete *AtRPS5A* gene expression pattern.

AtRPS5B expression

As the gene structure of the two AtRPS5 genes in Arabidopsis is highly homologous, we reasoned that, as with AtRPS5A, the promoter activity of AtRPS5B would reflect its mRNA expression pattern. As predicted by the RT-PCR analysis, GUS reporter gene expression in pAtRPS5B::GUS lines was much weaker than in pAtRPS5A::GUS lines. The sites of pAtRPS5A::GUS and pAtRPS5B::GUS expression partially overlapped, but while AtRPS5A is expressed in dividing cells (Figs 1, 5, 6A-C), AtRPS5B expression seems correlated with differentiation and elongation of cells in young growing tissues (Fig. 6D-F). Expression of AtRPS5B in the root was found in epidermal cells at the tip, several cells proximal from the initials (Fig. 6D), and was later observed in the trichoblast cell files and in root hairs (Fig. 6H), cell types where AtRPS5A expression is undetectable (Fig. 6G). In an in situ hybridisation experiment using a probe that recognised both mRNAs, we could reproduce this trichoblast-specific AtRPS5B expression (data not shown). Weaker expression of *pAtRPS5B::GUS* was found in developing lateral root primordia and in the shoot (Fig. 6F). Expression is absent from the pericycle layer (Fig. 6E), as opposed to that of pAtRPS5A::GUS (Fig. 6B). In contrast to AtRPS5A, expression of AtRPS5B was not observed in the SAM, but rather in the distal parts (and trichome cells) of young leaf primordia (Fig. 6F).

In the pAtRPS5A::GUS lines, GUS expression was found in the embryo from the zygote stage onward (Fig. 7A-E). GUS expression increased in strength until the octant stage and was strongly reduced after the late heart stage (Fig. 7B-E). Already during the first stages of embryo development, GUS expression was detected in the dividing endosperm (Fig. 7A-C). This activity decreased with the progression of endosperm development (Fig. 7C,D). pAtRPS5B::GUS lines showed only weak GUS expression at early stages of embryogenesis (Fig. 7G,H). During the transition to the heart stage, GUS activity increased and became preferentially localised to the inner cell layers, excluding the apical protoderm (Fig. 7I,J). During later stages, this pattern progressed, marking the inner cell types of the embryo axis and the cotyledons at late-torpedo stage (Fig. 7K). No GUS activity was found in the endosperm of pAtRPS5B::GUS lines until the globular stage of embryo development (Fig. 7G-J). The differential expression patterns of AtRPS5A and AtRPS5B in the embryo are schematically summarised in Fig. 7F.

GUS expression in both promoter::GUS lines was induced by treatment with IAA in the pericycle and in the newly formed lateral root primordia. Slight auxin-induction of both genes was confirmed in a micro-array experiment (B. van der Zaal, unpublished). The finding that lateral root initiation is marked by the expression of both gene copies explains why we did not observe a clear defect in lateral root formation in the heterozygous *aml1* mutant. GUS expression was not induced



Fig. 5. Localisation of *AtRPS5A* mRNA by in situ hybridisation. Five-day-old wild-type seedlings were hybridised with an *AtRPS5A*-specific antisense (A-F) or sense (G) probe. Untreated seedlings (A-E,G) as well as NAA-treated seedlings (F) were used. Expression is detected in young leaf primordia (A). (B) A close-up of the apical shoot region of a seedling. Expression is confined to the leaf primordia (lp1 and lp2) and the shoot apical meristem (sam). A significant signal is detected in the vasculature of cotyledons (C), and the primary root tip (D). (E) A close-up of a root apical region. A strong signal is present in all dividing cells, but not in the quiescent center (qc). NAA-induced lateral root primordia stain strongly for the *AtRPS5A* signal (F). (G) The absence of a signal in a sense-probe control on an untreated seedling root.

by 1 or 10 μ M zeatin, 10 μ M NPA, or by mechanical wounding (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

A semi-dominant mutation in a plant ribosomal protein gene

Protein synthesis by the translational carriers, the ribosomes, is an essential feature of living cells. Mutations in genes encoding the structural components of ribosomes, the ribosomal proteins and ribosomal RNAs, have been described in various organisms. In addition to growth arrest or delay, these mutations often induce developmental aberrations (Wool, 1996). In *Drosophila*, such defects are expressed in the *Minute* syndrome, a semi-dominant phenotype affecting multiple developmental processes (Lambertsson, 1998).

Diverse mutant screens in *Arabidopsis thaliana* have identified mutations in several *RP* genes. The first to be identified was a recessive mutation in the *RPS18A* gene, which results in plants with pointed leaves (van Lijsebettens et al., 1995). The second *RP* gene to be identified by a mutation in *Arabidopsis* was the *AtRPS27A* gene. A recessive mutation in this gene caused plants to be more sensitive to a genotoxic stress treatment (Revenkova et al., 1999). Recently, Ito et al. (Ito et al., 2000) used a reverse genetics approach to identify a



Fig. 6. *AtRPS5A* and *AtRPS5B* are differentially expressed in seedlings. Four-day-old seedlings from *pAtRPS5A::GUS* (A-C) and *pAtRPS5B::GUS* (D-F) plants were stained for GUS activity during 10 minutes and 2 hours, respectively. The *AtRPS5A* promoter is strongly active in the division zone of the primary root tip (A) and the SAM and leaf primordia (C), and is weaker in the pericycle of the root (B). *pAtRPS5B* is active in the epidermal cells of the primary root tip (D), in a zone more distal from the tip than *pAtRPS5A*. Activity is almost absent in the root pericycle (E), but present in lateral root primordia (not shown). GUS activity is also found in the distal, differentiating cells of the shoot primordium, but absent from the SAM (F). *AtRPS5B* (H), but not *AtRPS5A* (G) is expressed in root hairs.

recessive mutation in the *AtRPS13A* gene. As with *AtRPS18A*, this mutation results in pointed leaves, and in addition, has impaired root growth and trichome branching.

Considering the importance of protein translation in general developmental processes and the fact that disruption of RP genes in Drosophila often results in semi-dominant phenotypes, the question arises why mutations in the Arabidopsis RP genes discussed above do not result in a semidominant phenotype. A possible explanation comes from the observation that in Drosophila, RPs are mostly represented by a single gene (Kay and Jacobs-Lorena, 1987). It was proposed that the Minute syndrome is caused by haplo-insufficiency (Sæbøe-Larssen et al., 1998), i.e. if one functional gene copy per diploid genome is insufficient for complete gene function, this results in a loss-of-function phenotype. Evidence for this interpretation comes from the finding that a number of Minute mutants were shown to have a 50% decrease of mRNA levels in the heterozygote, and that there is a proportional relationship between the amount of RP mRNA and the severity of the Minute phenotype (Sæbøe-Larssen and Lambertsson, 1996; Lambertsson, 1998). Additional support for the haploinsufficiency hypothesis comes from experiments in which partial Minute mutants were obtained in Drosophila when the RPA1 or Rp49 mRNA was over-expressed in antisense orientation (Qian et al., 1988; Patel and Jacobs-Lorena, 1992).

An Arabidopsis Minute-like mutant 4297

Fig. 7. The AtRPS5A and AtRPS5B genes are differentially expressed during embryogenesis. Siliques of pAtRPS5A::GUS (A-E) and pAtRPS5B::GUS (G-K) plants were stained for GUS activity for 2 and 8 hours, respectively. (A) In pAtRPS5A::GUS lines, GUS activity is already observed at the 2-cell stage in both embryo and endosperm. GUS activity remains strong through the octant (B) and globular stage (C), and decreases after the transition (D) and late torpedo stage (E). Activity is strongest in the peripheral cell layer (C-E). Expression of pAtRPS5B::GUS in embryos is weak until the globular stage (G,H), but increases during the late globular (I), heart (J) and late torpedo (K) stages. Note that expression is strongest in the inner cell layers (I-K), and is visible in the provascular tissue (K). (F) Schematic representation of the differential expression pattern of AtRPS5A (yellow) and AtRPS5B (blue). Places where both genes are expressed are marked with green. (i) 2cell, (ii) octant, (iii) globular, (iv) transition, (v) heart and (vi) torpedo stage.

All three *Arabidopsis RP* genes for which mutants were previously described have at least two additional copies in the *Arabidopsis* genome (van Lijsebettens et al., 1994; Revenkova et al., 1999; Ito et al., 2000) and, at least for *AtRPS18*, there is a large overlap in the expression domain of the different gene copies (van Lijsebettens et al., 1994). Therefore, haplo-insufficiency is not likely to occur in mutants of these genes.

We describe the first mutation in an *Arabidopsis RP* gene, *AtRPS5A*, which results in semi-dominant phenotypes. In contrast to what has been previously concluded, based on EST data analysis (Cooke et al., 1997), we show that *AtRPS5A* belongs to a gene family that comprises only two members in *Arabidopsis*. Detailed analysis of the spatiotemporal expression of both family members by mRNA in situ hybridisation and promoter::*GUS* fusions shows clearly that the two gene copies are differentially regulated. The processes affected in the *aml1* mutant can only be seen in tissues/organs where *AtRPS5A* and *AtRPS5B* expression do not overlap. This closely resembles the situation in *Drosophila Minute* mutants, where for each RP only one functional gene is present in the genome.

Analogous to the situation in *Drosophila*, where mutations in the *RPS5* gene result in a *Minute* phenotype (McKim et al., 1996), our results indicate that the semi-dominant *aml1* phenotypes are caused by haplo-insufficiency. In the complementation experiment we obtained a plant homozygous for the *aml1* mutation, that harboured an extra wild-type gene copy. If the RPS5:GUS would have a dominant-negative effect, the complemented homozygous *aml1* mutant (ratio wildtype:mutant=1:2) would either be lethal, or at least have a more severe phenotype than the hemizygous mutant (ratio wildtype:mutant=1:1). Instead, this plant looked phenotypically similar to the hemizygote (data not shown) and did not grow less vigorously, implying that the semi-dominance of the *aml1* mutation is caused by haplo-insufficiency rather than by dominant-negative effects.

Differential expression of the RPS5 gene copies in *Arabidopsis*

To date the expression of only two plant gene families



encoding RPs has been thoroughly analysed. RPL16 is represented by three gene copies in Arabidopsis, one of which shows strong expression in all proliferating tissues, while another is expressed in more specific cell types (Williams and Sussex, 1995). The AtRPS18 gene family comprises three members, two of which are strongly expressed in a similar pattern (van Lijsebettens et al., 1994). Interestingly, at least one copy of the AtRPS18 and AtRPL16 genes shares an almost identical gene expression pattern with AtRPS5A. For the AtRPS5 gene family, AtRPS5A can be considered as the major gene copy, which, like AtRPL16B and AtRPS18A (PFL1), is expressed in proliferating cells, i.e. in meristems, pericycle cells and embryos. A single transcription factor or a family of transcription factors with the same DNA-binding specificity could well regulate this common expression. For example, the co-ordinate expression of RP genes in yeast is thought to be mediated by RAP1 and ABF1, transcription factors that contain binding sites in the promoters of these genes (Mager and Planta, 1990). One candidate for such a motif in plants is the Tef1 box. This box was found in the promoter of AtRPS5A, while only a weak resemblance to the consensus sequence was found in AtRPS5B. The same motif is also present in the promoters of AtRPS18A (PFL1) (van Lijsebettens et al., 1994), AtRPL16A (Williams and Sussex, 1995) and AtRPL16B (D. W. and R. O., unpublished) and in a number of other promoters of genes that code for proteins that contribute to the translational apparatus (Regad et al., 1995). Regad et al. (Regad et al., 1995) have shown binding of proteins from nuclear extracts to the Tefl box. However, to date no further studies have been published concerning the nature of such a Tef1 box-binding protein. Considering the exact stoichiometry of ribosome biosynthesis, it is very likely that specific plant transcription factors act coordinately to regulate the expression of at least one copy of each ribosomal protein gene family. Such transcription factors might act as master switches to increaseor decrease the translational status of a cell. Considering the importance of this process in development, the identification and modulation of such master switches should provide tools for the modification of plant growth and stature. Similarly,

4298 D. Weijers and others

enhanced expression of the mitotic cyclin CYC1At in *Arabidopsis* has been shown to accelerate growth and increase biomass (Doerner et al., 1996).

The Arabidopsis Minute-like phenotype

The delay in development in *Drosophila Minute* mutants has been shown to be due to a cell-autonomous reduction of the rate of cell division (Morata and Ripoll, 1975). In our studies, *aml1* phenotypes are associated with disturbed cell division rather than with reduced cell growth. One of the best examples is that the only part of the seedling that is not decreased in size in the *aml1* mutant is the hypocotyl. Indeed, it has been shown that elongation growth of the hypocotyl is not dependent on cell division (Gendreau et al., 1997). By analogy, in mouse it was shown that a functional knockout of the *RPS6* gene affected cell division, but not cell expansion (Volarević et al., 2000).

In embryos that are homo- or heterozygous for the *aml1* mutation cell division respectively stops or is delayed. Further, the semi-dominant post-embryonic *aml1* phenotypes, combined with prominent expression of *AtRPS5A* in the root meristem, in cotyledon primordia and the vascular tissue of cotyledons and in floral organs, and the low levels or absence of *AtRPS5B* expression in these organs, indicate that the *aml1* mutation affects cell division.

Expression of AtRPS5B is detected in the embryo, but it is significantly weaker than that of AtRPS5A. Apparently, the expression of AtRPS5B does not supply sufficient RPS5 protein to compensate for the presence of the mutant aml1 allele, even in the heterozygous state. Strikingly, the homozygous aml1 embryo still reaches the globular stage of development in the absence of proper RPS5 expression. It is possible that weak expression of AtRPS5B until the globular stage may partially rescue the loss of AtRPS5A expression. However, even the significantly stronger AtRPS5B expression during the globular stage is not able to rescue the *aml1* embryo, making it more likely that the embryo develops until the globular stage because of maternal RPs that are deposited in the egg cell. This explanation is in accordance with the large number of Arabidopsis embryo mutants that arrest at the globular stage (Patton et al., 1991; Castle et al., 1993; Yadegari et al., 1994; Uwer et al., 1998; Albert et al., 1999), some of which are also disrupted in housekeeping genes. The analysis of RP accumulation in the gametophyte and embryo is needed to address this question. Preliminary data show that the AtRPS5B gene is strongly expressed in the egg cell before fertilization (D. W. and R. O., unpublished).

To our knowledge, this is the first report describing an *Arabidopsis* mutant with a semi-dominant delay in embryo development. In this respect, it will be interesting to determine the *AtRPS5B* mutant phenotype. Based on the differential expression pattern of the two *AtRPS5* gene copies, we predict that a mutation in this *AtRPS5B* gene will lead to recessive phenotypes related to delayed cell growth and differentiation, including the differentiation of root hairs and trichomes.

Conclusion

The analysis of the *Arabidopsis aml1* mutant reveals a striking similarity between plant and insect development in that both systems have an absolute need for a functional and efficient translational machinery. This again shows that basic cellular processes are extremely conserved in species that are evolutionary distant, and that the research on plant cellular

processes may provide essential information on the biology of other complex multicellular organisms. Our results show for the first time that expression of genes encoding components in the translational machinery can be rate limiting for plant development. The coordinate expression of such genes may be an interesting target to improve regeneration or plant stature in agronomically important crops.

The authors thank Peter Sijmons and Oscar Goddijn at Mogen International N.V. for making available promoter-trap line MOG533-826, Jiři Friml for providing the in situ hybridisation protocol, Gerda Lamers and Wessel de Priester for help and advice on microscopy, Peter Hock for artwork, Quirien Boone, Erwin van Rijn and Luong Trong Dang for their invaluable technical assistance, and Rene Benjamins and Haico van Attikum for helpful discussion. We also thank Fred Berger, Keithanne Mockaitis and Kim Boutilier for helpful discussion and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Research Council for Earth and Lifesciences (A. L. W.), with financial aid from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (N. W. O.).

REFERENCES

- Albert, S., Després, B., Guilleminot, J., Bechtold, N., Pelletier, G., Delseny, M. and Devic, M. (1999). The *EMB* 506 gene encodes a novel ankyrin repeat containing protein that is essential for the normal development of Arabidopsis embryos. *Plant J.* 17, 169-179.
- Becker, D., Kemper, E., Schell, J. and Masterson, R. (1992) New plant binary vectors with selectable markers located proximal to the left T-DNA border. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **20**, 1195-1197.
- Berleth, T., Mattson, J. and Hardtke, C. S. (2000). Vascular continuity and auxin signals. *Trends Plant Sci.* 5, 387-393.
- Castle, L. A., Errampalli, D., Atherton, T. L., Franzmann, L. H., Yoon, E. S. and Meinke, D. W. (1993). Genetic and molecular characterization of embryonic mutants identified following seed transformation in *Arabidopsis. Mol. Gen. Genet.* 241, 504-514.
- Chang, S., Puryear, J. and Cairney, J. (1993). A simple and efficient method for isolating RNA from pine trees. *Plant Mol. Biol. Reprod.* 11, 113-116.
- Clough, S. J. and Bent, A. F. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 16, 735-743.
- Cooke, R., Raynal, M., Laudié, M. and Delseney, M. (1997). Identification of members of gene families in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by contig construction from partial cDNA sequences: 106 genes encoding 50 cytoplasmic ribosomal proteins. *Plant J.* 11, 1127-1140.
- den Dulk-Ras, A. and Hooykaas, P. J. J. (1993). Electroporation of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. In *Plant Cell Electroporation and Electrofusion Protocols* (4) (ed. Nickolof, J. A.), pp. 63-72. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press.
- Desfeux, S., Clough, S. J. and Bent, A. F. (2000). Female reproductive tissues are the primary target of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation by the *Arabidopsis* floral-dip method. *Plant Physiol.* **123**, 895-904.
- Doerner, P., Jørgensen, J.-E., You, R., Steppuhn, J. and Lamb, C. (1996). Control of root growth and development by cyclin expression. *Nature* 380, 520-523.
- Dolan, L., Janmaat, K., Willemsen, V., Linstead, P., Poethig, S., Roberts, K. and Scheres, B. (1993). Cellular organisation of the *Arabidopsis* root. *Development* 119, 71-84.
- Gendreau, E., Traas, J., Desnos., T., Grandjean, O., Caboche, M. and Höfte, H. (1997). Cellular basis of hypocotyl growth in *Arabidopsis* thaliana. Plant Physiol. **114**, 295-305.
- Goddijn, O. J. M., Lindsey, K., van der Lee, F. M., Klap, J. C. and Sijmons,
 P. C. (1993). Differential gene expression in nematode-induced feeding structures of transgenic plants harbouring promoter-gusA fusion constructs. *Plant J.* 4, 863-873.
- Hemerly, A. S., Ferreira, P., de Almeida Engler, J., van Montagu, M., Engler, G. and Inzé, D. (1993). cdc2a Expression in Arabidopsis is linked with competence for cell division. Plant Cell 5, 1711-1723.
- Ito, T., Kim, G.-T. and Shinozaki, K. (2000). Disruption of an Arabidopsis

cytoplasmic ribosomal protein \$13-homologous gene by transposonmediated mutagenesis causes aberrant growth and development. *Plant J.* **22**, 257-264.

- Kay, M. A. and Jacobs-Lorena, M. (1987). Developmental genetics of ribosome synthesis in *Drosophila*. *Trends Genet.* **3**, 347-351.
- Kongsuwan, K., Yu, Q., Vincent, A., Frisardi, M. C., Rosbash, M., Lengyel, J. A. and Merriam, J. (1985). A Drosophila Minute gene encodes a ribsomal protein. Science 317, 555-558.
- Lambertsson, A. (1998). The *Minute* genes in *Drosophila* and their molecular functions. *Adv. Genet.* 38, 69-134.
- Mager, W. H. and Planta, R. J. (1990). Multifunctional DNA-binding proteins mediate concerted transcription activation of yeast ribosomal protein genes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1050, 351-355.
- Masson, J. and Paszkowski, J. (1992). The culture response of Arabidopsis thaliana protoplasts is determined by the growth conditions of donor plants. *Plant J.* 2, 208-218.
- McKim, K. S., Dahmus, J. B. and Hawley, R. S. (1996). Cloning of the Drosophila melanogaster meiotic recombination gene mei-218: A genetic and molecular analysis of interval 15E. Genetics 144, 215-228.
- Moore, P. B. (1998). The three-dimensional structure of the ribosome and its components. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 27, 35-58.
- Morata, G. and Ripoll, P. (1975). *Minutes*: mutants of *Drosophila* autonomously affecting cell division rate. *Dev. Biol.* 42, 211-221.
- Offringa, R. and van der Lee, F. (1995). Isolation and characterization of plant genomic DNA sequences via (inverse) PCR amplification. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 49, 181-195.
- Patel, R. C. and Jacobs-Lorena, M. (1992). Generation of *Minute* phenotypes by a transformed anti-sense ribosomal protein gene. *Dev. Genet.* 13, 256-263.
- Patton, D. A., Franzmann, L. H. and Meinke, D. W. (1991). Mapping genes essential for embryo development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 227, 337-347.
- Qian, S., Hongo, S. and Jacobs-Lorena, M. (1988). Antisense ribosomal protein gene expression specifically disrupts oogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 9601-9605.
- **Quaedvlieg, N. E. M., Schlaman, H. R. M., Admiraal, P. C., Wijting, S. E., Stougaard, J. and Spaink, H. P.** (1998). Fusions between green fluorescent protein and β-glucuronidase as sensitive and vital bifunctional reporters in plants. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **37**, 715-727.
- Regad, F., Hervé, C., Marinx, O., Bergounioux, C., Tremousaygue, D. and Lescure, B. (1995). The *tef1* box, a ubiquitous *cis*-acting element involved in the activation of plant genes that are highly expressed in cycling cells. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 248, 703-711.

- Revenkova, E., Masson, J., Koncz, C., Afsar, K., Jakovleva, L. and Paszkowski, J. (1999). Involvement of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ribosomal protein in mRNA degradation triggered by genotoxic stress. *EMBO J.* 18, 490-499.
- Sæbøe-Larssen, S. and Lambertsson, A. (1996). A novel Drosophila Minute locus encodes ribosomal protein S13. *Genetics* 143, 877-885.
- Sæbøe-Larssen, S., Lyamouri, M., Merriam, J., Oksvold, M. P. and Lambertsson, A. (1998). Ribosomal protein insufficiency and the *Minute* syndrome in *Drosophila*: a dose-response relationship. *Genetics* 148, 1215-1224.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. and Maniatis, T. (1989). Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual (ed. Nolan, C.). Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Tolan, D. R., Hershey, J. W. and Traut, R. T. (1983). Crosslinking of eukaryotic initiation factor eIF3 to the 40S ribosomal subunit from rabbit reticulocytes. *Biochemie* 65, 427-436.
- Tremousaygue, D., Manevski, A., Bardet, C., Lescure, N. and Lescure, B. (1999). Plant interstitial telomere motifs participate in the control of gene expression in root meristems. *Plant J.* 20, 553-561.
- Uwer, U., Willmitzer, L. and Altmann, T. (1998). Inactivation of a glycyltRNA synthetase leads to an arrest in plant embryo development. *Plant Cell* 10, 1277-1294.
- van Lijsebettens, M., Vanderhaeghen, R., de Block, M., Bauw, G., Villarroel, R. and van Montagu, M. (1994). An S18 ribosomal protein gene copy at the *Arabidopsis PFL* locus affects plant development by its specific expression in meristems. *EMBO J.* 13, 3378-3388.
- Volarević, S., Stewart, M. J., Ledermann, B., Zilberman, F., Tarracciano, L., Montini, E., Grompe, M., Kozma, S. C. and Thomas, G. (2000). Proliferation, but not growth, blocked by conditional deletion of 40S Ribosomal Protein S6. *Science* 288, 2045-2047.
- Westermann, P. and Nygård, O. (1983). The spatial arrangement of the complex between eukaryotic initiation factor eIF-3 and 40 S ribosomal subunit. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 741, 103-108.
- Williams, M. E. and Sussex, I. M. (1995). Developmental regulation of ribosomal protein L16 genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 8, 65-76.
- Wool, I. G., Chan, Y.-L. and Glück, A. (1995). Structure and evolution of mammalian ribosomal proteins. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* 73, 933-947.
- Wool, I. G. (1996). Extraribosomal function of ribosomal proteins. Trends Biochem. Sci. 21, 164-165.
- Yadegari, R., de Paiva, G. R., Laux, T., Koltunow, A. M., Apuya, N., Zimmerman, J. L., Fischer, R. L., Harada, J. J. and Goldberg, R. B. (1994). Cell differentiation and morphogenesis are uncoupled in Arabidopsis raspberry embryos. *Plant Cell* 6, 1713-1729.