# Feedback of the electromagnetic environment on current and voltage fluctuations out of equilibrium

M Kindermann,<sup>1</sup> Yu V Nazarov,<sup>2</sup> and C W J Beenakker<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituut Lorent Universiteit Leiden PO Box 9506 2300 RA Leiden The Netherlands

Department of Nanoscience Delft University of Technology Lovent weg 1 2628 (J Delft The Netherlands

(Received 16 June 2003 revised manuscript received 23 September 2003 published 30 January 2004)

We present a theoretical framework for the statistics of low frequency current and voltage fluctuations of a quantum conductor embedded in a linear electromagnetic environment. It takes the form of a Keldysh field theory with a generic low frequency limit that allows for a phenomenological understanding and efficient evaluation of the statistics in the suddle point approximation. This provides an adequate theoretical justification of our earlier calculation that made use of the so called cascaded Langevin approach. We show how a feedback from the environment mixes correlators of different orders. This explains the unexpected temperature dependence of the third moment of tunneling noise observed in a recent experiment. At finite temperature current and voltage correlators of order 3 and higher are no longer linearly related. We show that a Hall bar measures voltage correlators in the longitudinal voltage and current correlators in the Hall voltage. Next, we demonstrate that the quantum high frequency corrections to the low frequency limit correspond to the environmental Coulomb block ide. We prove that the leading order Coulomb blockade correction to the *n*th cumulant of the current fluctuations is proportional to the voltage derivative of the (n + 1) th cumulant. This generalizes to any *n* either results obtained for n = 1.2

DOI 10 1103/PhysRevB 69 035336

PACS number(s) 73 50 Td 05 40 -a 73 23 -b

# I INTRODUCTION

A mesoscopic conductor is always embedded in a macroscopic electrical circuit that influences its transport proper ties. This electromagnetic environment is a source of decoherence and plays a central role for single electron effects <sup>1-5</sup>. It has been noticed that the quantum mechanics of the circuit can be most generally and adequately expressed in terms of a Keldysh action where the voltage drop or corresponding phase, across the conductor is the only variable. For super conducting tunnel junctions this theory has been reviewed in Ref. 6. All information about electronic properties of the mesoscopic conductor is incorporated into the Keldysh action which makes it non Gaussian and nonlocal in time. Any conductor, not necessarily a mesoscopic one, can be described with a Keldysh action of similar structure.

Most transport studies transport address the time averaged current. It is clear that time dependent fluctuations of the electric current are also affected by the environment, which reduces the low-frequency fluctuations by a feedback loop A current fluctuation  $\delta I$  induces a counteracting voltage fluctuation  $\delta V = -Z \delta I$  over the conductor, which in turn reduces the current by an amount  $-G \delta V$  (Here G and Z are, respec tively, the conductance of the mesoscopic system and the equivalent series impedance of the macioscopic voltage biased circuit) At zero temperature the macroscopic circuit does not generate any noise itself and the feedback loop is the only way it affects the current fluctuations in the mesos copic conductor, which persist at zero temperature because of the shot noise effect  $^{7-9}$  In the second cumulant  $C^{(2)}$ , or shot noise power, the feedback loop may be accounted for by a rescaling of the current fluctuations  $\delta I \rightarrow (1+ZG)^{-1} \delta I$ For example, the Poisson noise  $C^{(2)} = e\overline{I}(1+ZG)^{-2}$  of a tunnel junction is simply reduced by a factor  $(1+ZG)^{-2}$ 

due to the negative feedback of the series impedance

We have recently discovered that this textbook result breaks down beyond the second cumulant <sup>10</sup> Terms appear which depend in a nonlinear way on lower cumulants, and which cannot be incorporated by any rescaling with powers of 1+ZG In the example of a tunnel junction the third cumulant at zero temperature takes the form  $C^{(\gamma)} = e^2\overline{I}(1-2ZG)(1+ZG)^{-4}$  This implies that the linear environ ment provides an important and nontrivial effect on the statistics of current and voltage fluctuations of any conductor in the low frequency regime. In a sense, this effect is more fundamental and important than the Coulomb blockade. We will show that this environmental effect is of a classical nature and persists at  $Z \ll h/e^2$ , whereas the Coulomb blockade is the quantum correction that dissapears in the limit of small impedance

The concrete results given in Ref 10 were restricted to zero temperature. In Ref. 11 we removed this restriction and showed that the feedback of the electromagnetic environment on the mesoscopic conductor drastically modifies the temperature dependence of  $C^{(-)}$  Earlier theory<sup>12-14</sup> assumed an isolated mesoscopic conductor and predicted a temperature-independent  $C^{(3)}$  for a tunnel junction We showed in Ref 11 that the coupling to the environment in troduces a temperature dependence which can even change the sign of  $C^{(2)}$  as the temperature is raised. No such effect exists for the second cumulant. The temperature dependence predicted has been measured in a recent experiment <sup>15</sup> We demonstrated in Ref. 11 that the results can be obtained in a heuristic way under a cascade assumption one can insert by hand nonlinear terms into a Langevin equation<sup>14</sup> This so called 'cascaded Langevin approach' is not justified a pii on Therefore the purpose of the present paper is to provide an adequate theoretical framework for the statistics of low

frequency fluctuations—a nonlinear Keldysh action that is local in time, and to support the framework with a fully quantum mechanical derivation

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Secs. II and III we present the general framework within which we describe a broad class of electrical circuits that consist of conductors with a non Gaussian action embedded in a macroscopic electromagnetic environment. The basis is a path integral formulation of the Keldysh approach to charge counting statistics.<sup>16,17</sup> It allows us to evaluate correlators and cross-correlators of currents and voltages at arbitrary contacts of the circuit. We provide an instructive interpretation of the results in terms of "pseudoprobabilities." Within this frame work, we study in Secs. IV and VI series circuits of two conductors.

Further, we concentrate on the low frequency regime and show that the path integrals over fluctuating quantum fields in this case can be readily performed in saddle point approximation The conditions of validity for this approximation are discussed in Sec V We obtain general relations between third order correlators in a series circuit and correlators of the individual isolated conductors. We concentrate on the experimentally relevant case of a single mesoscopic conduc tor in series with a linear electromagnetic environment. Most experiments measure voltage correlators. In Sec. VII we propose an experimental method to obtain current correlators, using the Hall voltage in a weak magnetic field. The fundamental difference between current and voltage correlators rests on whether the variable measured is odd or even under time reversal. In Sec. VIII we show that Coulomb blockade effects due to the environment are accounted for by quantum fluctuations in our path integral. They renormalize of the low frequency action  $^{19-20}$  We conclude in Sec. IX

#### **II. DESCRIPTION OF THE CIRCUIT**

We consider a circuit consisting of electrical conductors  $G_i$ , a macroscopic electromagnetic environment [with impedance matrix  $Z(\omega)$ ], plus ideal current and voltage meters  $M_i$ . The current meter (zero internal impedance) is in series with a voltage source, while the voltage meter (infinite internal impedance) is in parallel to a current source. Any finite impedance of meters and sources is incorporated in the electromagnetic environment. In Fig. 1 we show examples of such circuits

The electromagnetic environment is assumed to produce only thermal noise. To characterize this noise we consider the circuit without the mesoscopic conductors, see Fig. 2. Each pair of contacts to the environment is now attached to a current source and a voltage meter. The impedance matrix is defined by partial derivatives of voltages with respect to currents.

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{GG} & Z_{GM} \\ Z_{MG} & Z_{MM} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial V_G}{\partial I_G} \Big|_{I_M} & \frac{\partial V_G}{\partial I_M} \Big|_{I_C} \\ \frac{\partial V_M}{\partial I_G} \Big|_{I_M} & \frac{\partial V_M}{\partial I_M} \Big|_{I_C} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.1)



FIG 1 Electrical circuits studied in this article. The black boxes represent conductors embedded in an electromagnetic environment (dashed rectangle). A voltage source is present at the contacts for a current measurement (right circuit) and a current source at the contacts for a voltage measurement (left circuit). The two circuits can also be combined into one larger circuit containing two conductors and both a current and a voltage meter.

(All quantities are taken at the same frequency  $\omega$ ) It there is more than one pair of contacts of type *G* or *M*, then the four blocks of **Z** are matrices themselves Positive and negative frequencies are related by  $Z_{\alpha\beta}(-\omega) = Z_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)$  We also note



FIG 2 Circuit used to characterize the impedance matrix of the electromagnetic environment. All contacts are now connected to a voltage meter plus a current source

the Onsager Casimir<sup>21</sup> symmetry  $Z_{\alpha\beta}(B,\omega) = Z_{\beta\alpha}(-B\,\omega)$ , in an external magnetic field *B* The thermal noise at each pair of contacts is Gaussian. The covariance matrix of the voltage fluctuations  $\delta V_{\alpha}$  is determined by the fluctuation dissipation theorem

$$\langle \delta V_{\alpha}(\omega) \, \delta V_{\beta}(\omega') \rangle = \pi \, \delta(\omega + \omega') \, \hbar \, \omega \, \operatorname{cotanh} \left( \frac{\hbar \, \omega}{2 \, k \, T} \right) \\ \times \left[ Z_{\alpha \beta}(\omega) + Z_{\beta \alpha}^{4}(\omega) \right], \qquad (2 \ 2)$$

with T the temperature of the environment

We seek finite frequency cumulant correlators of the vari ables measured at the current and voltage meters

$$\langle \langle X_1(\omega_1) \quad X_n(\omega_n) \rangle \rangle = 2 \pi \delta \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k \right) C_{\mathbf{X}}^{(n)}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$$
(2.3)

Here  $X_i$  stands for either  $V_M$  or  $I_M$ . Fourier transforms are defined by  $X_i(\omega) = \int dt \exp(i\omega t) X_i(t)$ . Our aim is to relate the correlators at the measurement contacts to the correlators one would measure at the conductors if they were isolated from the environment.

# **III PATH INTEGRAI FORMULAFION**

Correlators of currents  $I_M$  and voltages  $V_M$  at the mea surement contacts are obtained from the generating functional

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{\chi}}[\vec{J}] = \left\langle T_{-} e^{i \int dt |H+j-(t)\mathbf{\chi}|} T_{+} e^{-i \int dt |H+j^{+}(t)\mathbf{\chi}|} \right\rangle \quad (3 t)$$

They contain moments of outcomes of measurements of the variable X (equal to  $I_M$  or  $V_M$ ) at different instants of time. The symbols  $T_+(T_-)$  denote (inverse) time ordering, different on the forward and backward parts of the Keldysh contour. The exponents contain source terms  $j^{\pm}$  and a Hamiltonian H, which we discuss separately

The source term  $j^{\pm}(t)$  is a charge  $Q_M = \int^t dt' I_M(t')$  if  $X = V_M$  whereas it is a phase  $\Phi_M = \int^t dt' V_M(t')$  if  $X = I_M$  (We have set  $\hbar$  to unity) The superscript  $\pm$  determines on which part of the Keldysh contour the source is effective. The vector  $\vec{j} = (j^{cl}, j^q)$  indicates the linear combinations

$$J^{cl} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (J^{+} + J^{-}), \quad J^{q} = J^{+} - J$$
 (3.2)

We denote vectors in this two dimensional Keldysh space' by a vector arrow The "classical" source fields  $j^{cl} = (j_1^{cl}, j_2^{cl}, \dots)$  account for current or voltage sources at the measurement contacts Cumulant correlators of the measured variables are generated by differentiation of  $\ln \mathbb{Z}_1$  with respect to the "quantum" fields  $J^q = (j_1^q, j_2^q, \dots)$ 

$$\left\langle \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}(t_{k}) \right\rangle \right\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\delta}{-\iota \,\delta J_{k}^{q}(t_{k})} \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\chi}|_{f^{q}=0}$$
(3.3)

The Hamiltonian consists of three parts,

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 69 035336 (2004)

$$H = H_c + \sum_{I} H_G - \Phi_G I_G \tag{34}$$

The term  $H_c = \sum_j \Omega_j a_j a_j$  represents the electromagnetic environment, which we model by a collection of harmonic os cillators at frequencies  $\Omega_j$ . The conductors connected to the environment have Hamiltonians  $H_G$ . The interaction term couples the phases  $\Phi_G$  (defined by  $\iota[H_c, \Phi_G] = V_G$ ) to the currents  $I_G$  through the conductors. The phases  $\Phi_G$ , as well as the measured quantities **X**, are linear combinations of the bosonic operators  $a_j$  of the electromagnetic environment.

$$\Phi_G = \sum_{j} (c_j^G a_j + c_j^G a_j), \qquad (35)$$

$$X = \sum_{j} (c_j^{\lambda} a_j + c_j^{X} a_j)$$
(3.6)

The coefficients  $c_j^G$  and  $c_j^Y$  depend on the impedance matrix of the environment and also on which contacts are connected to a current source and which to a voltage source

To calculate the generating functional we use a Keldysh path integral formalism <sup>17-22</sup> (See Appendix A for a brief in troduction to this technique) We first present the calculation for the case of a voltage measurement at all measurement contacts (so  $X_k = V_{M_k}$  and  $J_k = Q_{M_k}$  for all k) We will then show how the result for a current measurement can be ob tained from this calculation. The path integral involves integrations over the environmental degrees of freedom  $a_j$  weighted with an influence functional  $Z_{I_G}$  due to the conductors. Because the conductors are assumed to be uncoupled in the absence of the environment this influence functional factorizes.

$$\mathcal{Z}_{I_G}[\vec{\Phi}_G] = \prod_{l} \mathcal{Z}_{I_l}[\vec{\Phi}_G]$$
(37)

An individual conductor has influence functional

$$\mathcal{Z}_{I_{\ell}} = \left\langle T \ e^{i\int dt \left|H_{\ell}\right| + \Phi_{\ell} \left(i\right)I_{\ell}\right|} T_{+} e^{-i\int dt \left|H_{\ell}\right| + \Phi_{\ell}^{+} \left(i\right)I_{\ell}\right|} \right\rangle$$
(3.8)

Comparing Eq. (3.8) with Eq. (3.1) for  $X=I_M$ , we note that the influence functional of a conductor  $G_i$  is just the generating functional of current fluctuations in  $G_i$  when connected to an ideal voltage source without electromagnetic environ ment. That is why we use the same symbol Z for influence functional and generating functional

The integrals over all environmental fields except  $\bar{\Phi}_G$  are Gaussian and can be done exactly. The resulting path integral expression for the generating functional  $Z_{I_M}$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{Z}_{I_M}[\vec{\mathcal{Q}}_M] = \int \mathcal{D}[\vec{\Phi}_G] \exp\{-\iota \mathcal{S}_{\iota}[\vec{\mathcal{Q}}_M, \vec{\Phi}_G]\} \mathcal{Z}_{I_G}[\vec{\Phi}_G]$$
(3.9)

up to a normalization constant <sup>2</sup> We use for the integration fields  $\vec{\Phi}_G$  the same vector notation as for the source fields

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 69 035336 (2004)

 $\vec{\Phi}_G = (\Phi_G^{cl}, \Phi_G^q)$  with  $\Phi_G^{cl} = \frac{1}{2} (d/dt) (\Phi_G^+ + \Phi_G)$  and  $\Phi_G^q$ =  $\Phi_G^+ - \Phi_G^-$  The Gaussian environmental action  $S_c$  is cal culated in Appendix B. The result is given in terms of the impedance matrix Z of the environment

$$S_{c}[\vec{Q}_{M},\vec{\Phi}_{G}] = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} [\vec{Q}_{M} \check{Z}_{MM} \vec{Q}_{M} + (\vec{\Phi}_{G} - \vec{Q}_{M} \check{Z}_{MG}) \check{Y} \times (\vec{\Phi}_{G} - \check{Z}_{GM} \vec{Q}_{M})]$$
(3.10)

$$\check{Y}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z_{GG}^{-1}(\omega) \\ Z_{GG}^{-1}(\omega) & -\frac{i}{2}\omega[2N(\omega)+1][Z_{GG}^{-1}(\omega)+Z_{GG}^{-1}(\omega)] \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.11)

$$\check{Z}_{MM}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z_{MM}(\omega) \\ Z_{MM}(\omega) & -\frac{l}{2} \omega [2N(\omega) + 1] [Z_{MM}(\omega) + Z_{MM}(\omega)] \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.12)

$$\check{Z}_{MG}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} -Z_{GM}(\omega) & 0\\ \frac{i}{2}\omega[2N(\omega)+1][Z_{MG}(\omega)+Z_{GM}(\omega)] & Z_{MG}(\omega) \end{pmatrix} = \check{Z}^{T}_{GM}(-\omega)$$
(3.13)

with the Bose Einstein distribution  $N(\omega) = [\exp(\omega/kT) - 1]^{-1}$  We have marked matrices in the Keldysh space by a check, for instance,  $\mathbf{\check{Y}}$ 

When one substitutes Eq. (3.10) into Eq. (3.9) and calculates correlators with the help of Eq. (3.3), one can identify two sources of noise. The first source of noise is current fluctuations in the conductors that induce fluctuations of the measured voltage. These contributions are generated by differentiating the terms of  $S_c$  that are linear in  $\vec{Q}_M$ . The second source of noise is the environment itself, accounted for by the contributions quadratic in  $\vec{Q}_M$ .

Generating functionals  $Z_{I_M}$  for circuits where currents rather than voltages are measured at some of the contacts can be obtained along the same lines with modified response functions. It is also possible to obtain them from  $Z_{I_M}$ through the functional Fourier transform derived in Appen dix C,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{I_{M}}[\vec{\Phi}_{M}] = \int \mathcal{D}[\mathcal{Q}_{M}] e^{-i\mathcal{Q}_{M}\times\Phi_{M}} \mathcal{Z}_{V_{M}}[\vec{\mathcal{Q}}_{M}] \quad (3 \ 14)$$

We have defined the cross product

$$\vec{Q} \times \vec{\Phi} \equiv \int dt (Q^{cl} \Phi^q - \Phi^{cl} Q^q)$$
 (3.15)

This transformation may be applied to any pair of measure ment contacts to obtain current correlators from voltage correlators

Equation (3.14) ensures that the two functionals

$$\mathcal{P}[V \ I] = \int \mathcal{D}[q] e^{i \int dt q^{1}} \mathcal{Z}_{V}[\vec{Q} = (I,q)], \quad (3 \ 16)$$

$$\mathcal{P}'[V,I] = \int \mathcal{D}[\varphi] e^{i\int dt\,\varphi I} \mathcal{Z}_{I}[\vec{\Phi} = (V,\varphi)] \qquad (3\ 17)$$

are identical  $\mathcal{P}[V,I] = \mathcal{P}'[V,I]$  This functional  $\mathcal{P}$  has an intuitive probabilistic interpretation. With the help of Eq. (3.3) we obtain from  $\mathcal{P}$  the correlators

$$\langle V(t_1) \quad V(t_n) \rangle_I = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}[V] V(t_1) \quad V(t_n) \mathcal{P}[V, I]}{\int \mathcal{D}[V] \mathcal{P}[V, I]},$$
(3.18)

$$\langle I(t_1) \quad I(t_n) \rangle_1 = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}[I]I(t_1) \quad I(t_n)\mathcal{P}[V,I]}{\int \mathcal{D}[I]\mathcal{P}[V,I]}$$
(3.19)

This suggests the interpretation of  $\mathcal{P}[V|I]$  as a joint probability distribution functional of current and voltage fluctuations. Yet  $\mathcal{P}$  cannot properly be called a probability since it need not be positive. In the low frequency approximation introduced in the next section it is positive for normal metal conductors. However, for superconductors, it has been found to take negative values <sup>24</sup>. It is therefore more properly called a 'pseudoprobability''

We conclude this section with some remarks on the actual measurement process. The time averaged correlators (2.3) may be measured in two different ways. In the first way the variable X is measured repeatedly and results at different times are correlated afterwards. In the second way (and this is how it is usually done<sup>25</sup>) one uses a detector that measures directly time integrals of X (for example, by means of a



FIG 3 Top panel Circuit of two conductors  $G_1$   $G_2$  in an electromagnetic environment modeled by three resistances  $R_1$   $R_2$   $R_3$ . In the limit  $R_1$   $R_2$   $R_3 \rightarrow \infty$  the circuit becomes equivalent to the series circuit in the lower panel.

spectral filter) The correlators measured in the first way are obtained from the generating functional according to Eq (3 3),

$$2\pi\delta\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\omega_{k}\right)C_{\mathbf{X}}^{(n)}(\omega_{1},\dots,\omega_{n})$$
$$=\prod_{k}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dte^{i\omega_{k}t}\frac{\delta}{-\iota\delta J_{k}^{q}(t)}\right]\ln\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}|_{\mathcal{J}^{q}=0} \quad (3\ 20)$$

The second way of measurement is modeled by choosing cross impedances that ensure that an instantaneous measure ment at one pair of contacts yields a time average at another pair, for example  $Z_{MG}(\omega) \propto \delta(\omega - \omega_0)$  The resulting fre quency dependent correlators do not depend on which way of measurement one uses

# **IV. 1 WO CONDUCTORS IN SERIFS**

We specialize the general theory to the series circuit of two conductors  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  shown in Fig 3 (lower panel) We derive the generating functional  $Z_{1,1}$  for correlators of the voltage drop  $V \equiv V_{M_1}$  over conductor  $G_1$  and the current  $I \equiv I_{M_2}$  through both conductors (The voltage drop over conductor  $G_2$  equals  $V_{M_2} - V_{M_1} \equiv V_{\text{bris}} - V$ , with  $V_{\text{bris}}$  the nonfluctuating bras voltage of the voltage source) To apply the general relations of the previous section we embed the two

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW B 69 035336 (2004)

conductors in an electromagnetic environment, as shown in the top panel of Fig 3. In the limit of infinite resistances  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $R_3$  this eight terminal circuit becomes equivalent to a simple series circuit of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . We take the infinite resistance limit of Eq. (3.9) in Appendix D. The result

$$\mathcal{Z}_{1}[\vec{Q},\vec{\Phi}] = \int \mathcal{D}[\vec{\Phi'}] e^{-i\Phi \times Q} \mathcal{Z}_{1}[\vec{\Phi'}] \mathcal{Z}_{2}[\vec{\Phi}-\vec{\Phi'}]$$

$$(4 1)$$

shows that the generating functional of current and voltage correlators in the series circuit is a functional integral convolution of the generating functionals  $Z_1 \equiv Z_{I_c}$  and  $Z_2 \equiv Z_{I_c}$  of the two conductors  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  defined in Eq. (3.8)

Equation (4.1) implies a simple relation between the pseudo probabilities  $\mathcal{P}_{G_1+G_2}$ , of the series circuit [obtained by means of Eq. (3.17) from  $\mathcal{Z}_{i,l}|_{Q=0}$ ] and the pseudoprobabilities  $\mathcal{P}_{G_k}$  of the individual conductors [obtained by means of Eq. (3.17) from  $\mathcal{Z}_k$ ] We find

$$\mathcal{P}_{G_1+C_2}[V \ I] = \int \mathcal{D}V' \mathcal{P}_{G_1}[V-V',I] \mathcal{P}_{G_2}[V',I]$$
(4.2)

This relation is obvious if one interprets it in terms of classical probabilities. The voltage drop over  $G_1 + G_2$  is the sum of the independent voltage drops over  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , so the probability  $\mathcal{P}_{G_1+G_2}$  is the convolution of  $\mathcal{P}_{G_1}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{G_2}$ . Yet the relation (4.2) is for quantum mechanical pseudoprob abilities.

We evaluate the convolution (4.1) in the low frequency regime when the functionals  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  become local in time

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}_{k}[\vec{\Phi}] \equiv -\iota \mathcal{S}_{k}[\vec{\Phi}] = -\iota \int dt \mathcal{S}_{k}(\vec{\Phi}(t)) \qquad (4\ 3)$$

We then do the path integration in saddle point approximation, with the result

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}_{V}[\vec{Q},\vec{\Phi}] = -i \operatorname{ext}_{|\Phi|} \left\{ \vec{\Phi}' \times \vec{Q} + \int dt [S_1(\vec{\Phi}'(t)) + S_2(\vec{\Phi}(t) - \vec{\Phi}'(t))] \right\}$$

$$(4.4)$$

The notation "exti" indicates the extremal value of the expression between curly brackets with respect to variations of  $\vec{\Phi}'(t)$  The validity of the low frequency and saddle-point approximations is addressed in the next section

We will consider separately the case that both conductors  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are mesoscopic conductors and the case that  $G_1$  is mesoscopic while  $G_2$  is a macroscopic conductor. The action of a macroscopic conductor with impedance Z is quadratic,

$$S_{\rm micro}[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \vec{\Phi} \quad \check{Y}\vec{\Phi}, \qquad (45)$$



FIG 4 Time scales of current fluctuations in a mesoscopic conductor The time  $\tau_1$  is the duration of current pulses whereas  $\tau_2$  is the mean time between subsequent current pulses

corresponding to Gaussian current fluctuations The matrix  $\check{Y}$  is given by Eq (3.11), with a scalar  $Z_{GG}=Z$  The corresponding pseudoprobability  $\mathcal{P}_{micro}$  is positive

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{mucro}}[V I] = \exp\left\{-\int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi\omega} \frac{|V-ZI|^2}{\text{Re}Z} \tanh\left(\frac{\omega}{2kT}\right)\right\}$$
(4.6)

Substitution of  $\mathcal{P}_{micro}$  for  $\mathcal{P}_{G_2}$  in Eq. (4.2) gives a simple result for  $\mathcal{P}_{G_1+G_2}$  at zero temperature

$$\mathcal{P}_{G_1+G_2}[V,I] = \mathcal{P}_{G_1}[V-ZI,I], \text{ if } T = 0 \qquad (4.7)$$

The feedback of the macroscopic conductor on the mesos copic conductor amounts to a negative voltage -ZI produced in response to a current *I* 

The action of a mesoscopic conductor in the low frequency limit is given by the Levitov Lesovik formula<sup>26 27</sup>

$$S_{\text{mcso}}(\vec{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int d\varepsilon \ln[1 + \Gamma_n(e^{i\varepsilon\varphi} - 1)n_R(1 - n_L) + \Gamma_n(e^{-i\varepsilon\varphi} - 1)n_I(1 - n_R)]$$
(4.8)

with  $\vec{\Phi} = (V, \varphi)$  The  $\Gamma_n$ 's (n = 1, 2, N) are the transmission eigenvalues of the conductor. The two functions  $n_L(\varepsilon,T) = [\exp(\varepsilon/kT) + 1]^{-1}$  and  $n_R(\varepsilon,T) = n_L(\varepsilon + \varepsilon V,T)$  are the filling factors of electron states at the left and right contacts, with V the voltage drop over the conductor and T its temperature

#### V VALIDITY OF THE SADDLL-POINT APPROXIMATION

The criterion for the applicability of the low frequency and saddle point approximations to the action of a mesos copic conductor depends on two time scales (see Fig 4) The first time scale  $\tau_1 = \min(1/eV \ 1/kT)$  is the mean width of current pulses due to individual transferred electrons (This time scale is known as the coherence time in optics but in mesoscopic systems that term is used in a different context.) The second time scale  $\tau_2 = e/I \approx (e^2/G) \tau_1$  is the mean time between current pulses

At frequencies below  $1/\tau_1$  the action of the conductor

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 69 035336 (2004)

becomes local in time, as expressed by Eq. (4.3) This follows from an analysis of the dependence of the action  $S_k$  on time dependent arguments. An explicit expression for a mesoscopic conductor can be found in Ref. 20 Below the second time scale  $1/\tau_2$  the action of the conductor is large for values of  $\vec{\Phi}$  where the nonlinearities become important. This justifies the saddle point approximation. The nonlinearities in  $S_{\text{meso}}$  become relevant for  $\varphi \approx 1/\varphi$ , so for time scales  $\tau \gg \tau_2$  we indeed have  $S_{\text{meso}} \approx \tau S_{\text{meso}} \approx \tau I \varphi \approx \tau I/\varphi \approx \tau I/\varphi \approx 1$ 

These two approximations together are therefore justified if fluctuations in the path integral (3.9) with frequencies  $\omega$ above  $\Lambda \approx \min(1/\tau_1, 1/\tau_2)$  are suppressed. This is the case if the effective impedance of the circuit is small at high frequency  $Z(\omega) \ll h/e^2$  for  $\omega \ge \Lambda$ . A small impedance acts as a heavy mass term in Eq. (4.1), suppressing fluctuations. This is seen from Eq. (4.5) for a macroscopic conductor [note that  $Y(\omega) \propto Z^{-1}(\omega)$ ] and it carries over to other conductors. Physically, a small high frequency impedance ensures that voltage fluctuations in the circuit are much slower than the electron dynamics in the conductors. Under this condition, it is sufficient to know the dynamics of the individual conductors when biased with a constant voltage, as described by Eq. (4.8). Effects of time dependent voltage fluctuations in the circuit may then be neglected

The same separation of time scales has been exploited in Refs 28,29 to justify a cascaded average in the Langevin approach. We will see in Sec. VI that the results of both approaches are in fact identical in the saddle point approximation. The two approaches differ if one goes beyond this approximation, to include the effects of a finite high frequency impedance. Since the path integral (3.9) is microscopically justified at all time scales, it also allows us to calculate the corrections to the saddle point solution (4.4). These corrections are usually called the environmental Coulomb blockade ' In Sec. VIII we examine the Coulomb blockade effects to lowest order in  $Z(\omega)$ .

#### VI HIIRD CUMULANTS

#### A Two arbitrary conductors in series

We use the general formula (4.4) to calculate the third order cumulant correlator of current and voltage fluctuations in a series circuit of two conductors  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  at finite temperature. We focus on correlators at zero frequency (finite frequency generalizations are given later)

The zero frequency correlators  $C_{\mathbf{X}}^{(n)}(\overline{V})$  depend on the av erage voltage  $\overline{V}$  over  $G_1$ , which is related to the voltage  $V_{\text{bris}}$ of the voltage source by  $\overline{V} = V_{\text{bris}}(1+G_1/G_2)^{-1}$  The aver age voltage over  $G_2$  is  $V_{\text{bris}} - \overline{V} = V_{\text{bris}}(1+G_2/G_1)^{-1}$  Our goal is to express  $C_{\mathbf{X}}^{(n)}(\overline{V})$  in terms of the current correlators  $C_1^{(n)}(V)$  and  $C_2^{(n)}(V)$  that the conductors  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  would have if they were isolated and brased with a nonfluctuating voltage V These are defined by

$$\langle \langle I_i(\omega_1) \quad I_i(\omega_n) \rangle \rangle_V = 2 \pi \delta \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k \right) \mathcal{C}^{(n)}(V), \quad (6 1)$$

where  $I_i$  is the current through conductor *i* at fixed voltage *V* To evaluate Eq. (4.4) it is convenient to discretize frequencies  $\omega_n = 2\pi n/\tau$  The Fourier coefficients are  $f_n = \tau^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega_n t} f(t)$  The detection time  $\tau$  is sent to infinity at the end of the calculation For zero-frequency correlators the sources at nonzero frequencies vanish and there is a saddle-point configuration such that all fields at nonzero frequencies vanish as well. We may then write Eq. (4.4) in terms of only the zero-frequency fields  $\vec{\Phi}_0 = (V_0, \varphi_0), \vec{\Phi}'_0$  $= (V'_0, \varphi'_0), \text{ and } \vec{Q}_0 = (I_0, q_0), \text{ with actions}$ 

$$\tau^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{\lambda}(\vec{\Phi}_{0}') = G_{\lambda} \varphi_{0}' V_{0}' + \iota \sum_{n=2}^{r} \frac{(-\iota \varphi_{0}')^{n}}{n!} \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}^{(n)}(V_{0}') \quad (6\ 2)$$

(We assume that the conductors have a linear current-voltage characteristic) For  $\vec{\Phi}_0 = (V_{\text{btas}}, 0)$  and  $\vec{Q}_0 = (0,0)$  the saddle point is at  $\vec{\Phi}'_0 = (\vec{V}, 0)$  For the third-order correlators we need the extremum in Eq. (4.4) to third order in  $\varphi_0$  and  $q_0$ . We have to expand  $S_k$  to third order in the deviation  $\delta \vec{\Phi}'_0 = \vec{\Phi}'_0 - (\vec{V}, 0)$  from the saddle point at vanishing sources. We have to this order

$$\tau^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{1}(\vec{\Phi}_{0}') = G_{1} \varphi_{0}'(\vec{V} + \delta V_{0}') - \frac{\iota}{2} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{(2)}(\vec{V}) \varphi_{0}'^{2} - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{(3)}(\vec{V}) \varphi_{0}'^{3} - \frac{\iota}{2} \frac{d}{d\vec{V}} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{(2)}(\vec{V}) \delta V_{0}' \varphi_{0}'^{2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta \vec{\Phi}_{0}'^{4}), \qquad (6.3)$$

$$\tau^{-1} S_{2}(\bar{\Phi}_{0} - \bar{\Phi}_{0}')$$

$$= G_{2} \varphi_{0}'(V_{\text{bas}} - \bar{V} - \delta V_{0}') - \frac{i}{2} C_{2}^{(2)}(V_{\text{bas}} - \bar{V}) \varphi_{0}'^{2}$$

$$- \frac{1}{6} C_{2}^{(3)}(V_{\text{bas}} - \bar{V}) \varphi_{0}'^{3}$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2} \frac{d}{d\bar{V}} C_{2}^{(2)}(V_{\text{bas}} - \bar{V}) \delta V_{0}' \varphi_{0}'^{2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta \bar{\Phi}_{0}'^{4})$$
(6.4)

Minimizing the sum  $S_1(\vec{\Phi}'_0) + S_2(\vec{\Phi}_0 - \vec{\Phi}'_0)$  to third order in  $q_0$  and  $\varphi_0$  we then find the required relation between the correlators of the series circuit and the correlators of the isolated conductors. For the second-order correlators we find

$$C_{II}^{(2)}(\bar{V}) = (R_1 + R_2)^{-2} [R_1^2 C_1^{(2)}(\bar{V}) + R_2^2 C_2^{(2)}(V_{bris} - \bar{V})],$$
(65a)

$$C_{V1}^{(2)}(\bar{V}) = (R_1 + R_2)^{-2} (R_1 R_2)^2 [C_1^{(2)}(\bar{V}) + C_2^{(2)}(V_{\text{bris}} - \bar{V})],$$
(6.5b)

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 69, 035336 (2004)

$$C_{IV}^{(2)}(\bar{V}) = (R_1 + R_2)^{-2} R_1 R_2 [R_2 C_2^{(2)}(V_{\text{brs}} - \bar{V}) - R_1 C_1^{(2)}(\bar{V})], \qquad (65c)$$

with  $R_k = 1/G_k$  The third-order correlators contain extra terms that depend on the second-order correlators

$$C_{III}^{(3)}(\bar{V}) = (R_1 + R_2)^{-3} [R_1^3 C_1^{(3)}(\bar{V}) + R_2^3 C_2^{(3)}(V_{\text{bras}} - \bar{V})] + 3 C_{IV}^{(2)} \frac{d}{d\bar{V}} C_{II}^{(2)}, \qquad (6.6a)$$

$$C_{VVV}^{(3)}(\bar{V}) = (R_1 + R_2)^{-3} (R_1 R_2)^3 [\mathcal{C}_2^{(3)}(V_{\text{bras}} - \bar{V}) - \mathcal{C}_1^{(3)}(\bar{V})] + 3 C_{VV}^{(2)} \frac{d}{d\bar{V}} C_{VV}^{(2)}, \qquad (6 \text{ 6b})$$

$$C_{VVI}^{(3)}(\bar{V}) = (R_1 + R_2)^{-3} (R_1 R_2)^2 [R_1 C_1^{(3)}(\bar{V}) + R_2 C_2^{(3)}(V_{\text{bris}}) - \bar{V}] + 2C_{VV}^{(2)} \frac{d}{d\bar{V}} C_{IV}^{(2)} + C_{IV}^{(2)} \frac{d}{d\bar{V}} C_{1V}^{(2)}, \quad (6 \text{ 6c})$$

$$C_{IIV}^{(3)}(\bar{V}) = (R_1 + R_2)^{-3} R_1 R_2 [R_2^2 C_2^{(3)}(V_{\text{brs}} - \bar{V}) - R_1^2 C_1^{(3)}(\bar{V})] + 2C_{IV}^{(2)} \frac{d}{d\bar{V}} C_{IV}^{(2)} + C_{VV}^{(2)} \frac{d}{d\bar{V}} C_{II}^{(2)}$$
(6.6d)

These results agree with those obtained by the cascaded Langevin approach <sup>11</sup>

#### B. Mesoscopic and macroscopic conductor in series

An important application is a single mesoscopic conductor  $G_1$  embedded in an electromagnetic environment, represented by a macroscopic conductor  $G_2$ . A macroscopic conductor has no shot noise but only thermal noise. The third cumulant  $C_2^{(3)}$  is therefore equal to zero. The second cumulant  $C_2^{(2)}$  is voltage independent, given by<sup>8</sup>

$$C_2^{(2)}(\omega) = \omega \operatorname{cotanh}\left(\frac{\omega}{2kT_2}\right) \operatorname{Re} G_2(\omega),$$
 (6.7)

at temperature  $T_2$  We still assume low frequencies  $\omega \leq \max(e\vec{V},kT_1)$ , so the frequency dependence of  $S_1$  can be neglected We have retained the frequency dependence of  $S_2$ , because the characteristic frequency of a macroscopic conductor is typically much smaller than of a mesoscopic conductor

From Eq. (6.6) (and a straightforward generalization to frequency-dependent correlators) we can obtain the third cumulant correlators by setting  $C_2^{(3)}=0$  and substituting Eq. (6.7) We only give the two correlators  $C_{III}^{(3)}$  and  $C_{VVV}^{(3)}$ , since these are the most significant for experiments. To abbreviate the formula we denote  $G = G_1$  and  $Z(\omega) = 1/G_2(\omega)$ . We find

M KINDERMANN YU V NAZAROV AND C W J BEENAKKER

$$C_{III}^{(3)}(\omega_{1},\omega_{2},\omega_{3}) = \frac{C_{1}^{(3)}(\bar{V}) - (dC_{1}^{(2)}/d\bar{V})\sum_{j=1}^{3} Z(-\omega_{j})[C_{1}^{(2)}(\bar{V}) - GZ(\omega_{j})C_{2}^{(2)}(\omega_{j})][1 + Z(-\omega_{j})G]^{-1}}{[1 + Z(\omega_{1})G][1 + Z(\omega_{2})G][1 + Z(\omega_{3})G]}, \quad (68)$$

$$-\frac{C_{VVV}^{(3)}(\omega_{1},\omega_{2},\omega_{3})}{Z(\omega_{1})Z(\omega_{2})Z(\omega_{3})} = \frac{C_{1}^{(3)}(\bar{V}) - (dC_{1}^{(2)}/d\bar{V})\sum_{j=1}^{3} Z(-\omega_{j})[C_{1}^{(2)}(\bar{V}) + C_{2}^{(2)}(\omega_{j})][1 + Z(-\omega_{j})G]^{-1}}{[1 + Z(\omega_{1})G][1 + Z(\omega_{2})G][1 + Z(\omega_{3})G]} \quad (69)$$

We show plots for two types of mesoscopic conductors a tunnel junction and a diffusive metal. In both cases it is assumed that there is no inelastic scattering, which is what makes the conductor mesoscopic. The plots correspond to global thermal equilibrium  $(T_1 = T_2 = T)$  and to a real and frequency independent impedance  $Z(\omega) \equiv Z$ . We compare  $C_I^{(3)} \equiv C_{III}^{(3)}$  with  $C_i^{(3)} \equiv C_{IIIV}^{(3)}/Z^3$  (The minus sign is chosen so that  $C_I^{(3)} = C_i^{(3)}$  at T = 0)

For a tunnel junction one has

$$C_1^{(2)}(V) = GeV \operatorname{cotanh} \frac{eV}{2kT}, \ C_1^{(3)}(V) = Ge^2V \quad (6\ 10)$$

The third cumulant of current fluctuations in an isolated tun nel junction is temperature independent,<sup>12</sup> but this is changed



FIG 5 Third cumulant of voltage and current fluctuations of a tunnel junction (conductance *G*) in an electromagnetic environment (impedance *Z* assumed frequency independent) Both  $C_I^{(3)}$  and  $C_V^{(3)}$  are multiplied by the scaling factor  $A = (1 + ZG)^3/eGkI$ . The two curves correspond to different values of ZG (solid curve ZG = 1 dashed curve ZG = 0). The temperatures of the tunnel junction and its environment are chosen the same  $I_1 = F_2 = \Gamma$ .

diastically by the electromagnetic environment<sup>11</sup> Substitution of Eq (6 10) into Eqs (6 8) and (6 9) gives the curves plotted in Fig 5 for ZG=0 and ZG=1 The slope  $dC_1^{(3)}(\bar{V})/d\bar{V}$  becomes strongly temperature dependent and may even change sign when kT becomes larger than  $e\bar{V}$ This is in qualitative agreement with the experiment of Reu let, Senzier, and Prober<sup>15</sup> In Ref 15 it is shown that Eq (6 9) provides a quantitative description of the experimental data

For a diffusive metal we substitute the known formulas for the second and third cumulants without electromagnetic environment<sup>13 14</sup>

$$C_1^{(2)}(V) = \frac{1}{3} Gc V(\operatorname{cotanh} p + 2/p),$$
 (6 11)

$$C_{1}^{(3)}(V) = e^{2}GV \frac{p(1-26e^{2p}+e^{4p})-6(e^{4p}-1)}{15p(e^{2p}-1)^{2}}$$
(6.12)

We have abbreviated p = eV/2kT Plots for ZG = 0 and ZG = 1 are shown in Fig 6. The diffusive metal is a bit less striking than a tunnel junction, since the third cumulant is already temperature dependent even in the absence of the electromagnetic environment. In the limit  $ZG \rightarrow \infty$  we recover the result for  $C_V^{(3)}$  obtained by Nagaev from the cascaded Langevin approach <sup>30</sup>.

### VII. HOW TO MEASURE CURRENT FLUCTUATIONS

In Fig 5 we have plotted both current and voltage conelators, but only the voltage correlator has been measured <sup>15</sup> At zero temperature of the macroscopic conductor there is no difference between the two, as follows from Eqs (6.8) and (6.9)  $C_{III}^{(3)} = -C_{1VV}^{(3)}/Z^3$  if  $C_2^{(2)} = 0$ , which is the case for a macroscopic conductor  $G_2$  at  $T_2=0$  For  $T_2\neq 0$  a difference appears that persists in the limit of a noninvasive measurement  $Z \rightarrow 0^{-11}$  Since V and I in the series circuit with a mactoscopic  $G_2$  are linearly related and linear systems are known to be completely determined by their response functions and their temperature, one could ask what it is that distinguishes the two measurements, or more practically How would one measure  $C_{III}^{(3)}$  instead of  $C_{VVV}^{(3)}$ 

To answer this question we slightly generalize the macro scopic conductor to a four terminal, rather than two terminal configuration, see Figs 7 and 8. The voltage  $V_M$  over the extra pair of contacts is related to the current  $I_G$  through the



FIG 6 Same as Fig 5 but now for a diffusive metal

series circuit by a cross impedance  $\partial V_M / \partial I_G = Z_{MG}$  The full impedance matrix **Z** is defined as in Eq. (2.1) For simplicity we take the zero-frequency limit For this configuration the third cumulant  $C_{V_M V_M V_M}^{(3)}$  of  $V_M$  is given by

$$\frac{C_{V_M V_M V_M}^{(3)}}{Z_{MG}^3} = C_{I_{\ell} I_{\ell} I_{\ell}}^{(3)} + \frac{Z_{GM} + Z_{MG}}{2Z_{GM}} \left( \frac{C_{V_{\ell} V_{\ell} V_{\ell}}^{(3)}}{Z_{GG}^3} - C_{I_{\ell} I_{\ell} I_{\ell}}^{(3)} \right)$$
(7.1)

It contains the correlator  $\langle \langle \delta V_M(\omega) \delta V_G(\omega') \rangle \rangle = 2 \pi \delta(\omega + \omega') C_{GM}$  of the voltage fluctuations over the two pairs of terminals of the macroscopic conductor, which according to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (2.2) is given in the zero-frequency limit by

$$C_{GM} = k T_2 (Z_{GM} + Z_{MG}) \tag{72}$$

The correlator  $C_{GM}$  enters since  $C_{V_M V_M V_M}^{(3)}$  depends on how thermal fluctuations in the measured variable  $V_M$  correlate with the thermal fluctuations of  $V_G$  which induce extra current noise in  $G_1$ 

We conclude from Eq. (7.1) that the voltage correlator  $C_{V_M V_M V_M}^{(3)}$  becomes proportional to the current correlator



FIG 7 Four-terminal voltage measurement



FIG 8 Hall bat that allows one to measure the voltage correlator  $C_1^{(3)} \checkmark \langle \langle V_L^3 \rangle \rangle$  as well as the current correlator  $C_I^{(3)} \simeq \langle \langle V_H^3 \rangle \rangle$ 

 $C_{I_{c}I_{c}I_{c}}^{(3)}$  if  $Z_{GM} + Z_{MG} = 0$  This can be realized if  $V_M$  is the Hall voltage  $V_{II}$  in a weak magnetic field *B* Then  $Z_{MG}$  $= -Z_{GM} = R_{II}$ , with  $R_{II} \propto |B|$  the Hall resistance The magnetic field need only be present in the macroscopic conductor  $G_2$ , so it need not distuib the transport properties of the mesoscopic conductor  $G_1$  If, on the other hand,  $V_M$  is the longitudinal voltage  $V_L$ , then  $Z_{MG} = Z_{GM} = R_L$ , with  $R_I$  the longitudinal resistance The two-terminal impedance  $Z_{GG}$  is the sum of Hall and longitudinal resistances,  $Z_{GG} = R_L$  $+ R_{II}$  So one has

$$C_{V_{l}V_{l}V_{l}}^{(3)} = \left(\frac{R_{l}}{R_{L} + R_{ll}}\right)^{3} C_{V_{c}V_{c}V_{c}V_{c}}^{(3)}, \qquad (7\ 3)$$

$$C_{V_{II}}^{(3)}{}_{II} = R_{H}^{3} C_{I_{C}I_{C}I_{C}}^{(3)}$$
(7.4)

One can generalize all this to an arbitrary measurement variable X that is linearly related to the current  $I_G$  through  $G_1$ . In a linear circuit the off-diagonal elements of the response tensor **Z** relating  $(X, V_G)$  to the conjugated sources are linked by Onsager Casimir relations <sup>21</sup> If X is even under timereversal, then  $Z_{XG} = Z_{GX}$ , while if X is odd, then  $Z_{XG} = -Z_{GX}$  In the first case  $C_{XXX}^{(3)} \propto C_{V_G V_C V_C}^{(3)}$ , while in the second case  $C_{XXX}^{(3)} \propto C_{I_G I_C I_C}^{(3)}$ 

# VIII. ENVIRONMENTAL COULOMB BLOCKADE

The saddle-point approximation to the path integral (4.1) for a mesoscopic conductor  $G_1$  in series with a macroscopic conductor  $G_2$  (impedance Z) breaks down when the impedance at the characteristic frequency scale  $\Lambda = 1/\max(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ discussed in Sec IV is not small compared to the resistance quantum  $h/e^2$ . It can then react fast enough to affect the dynamics of the transfer of a single electron. These singleelectron effects amount to a Coulomb blockade induced by the electromagnetic environment <sup>4</sup>. In our formalism they are accounted for by fluctuations around the saddle point of Eq. (4.1)

In Ref 18 it has been found that the Coulomb blockade correction to the mean current calculated to leading order in Z is proportional to the second cumulant of current fluctuations in the isolated mesoscopic conductor (Z=0). More recently, the Coulomb blockade correction to the second cumulant of current fluctuations has been found to be proportional to the third cumulant <sup>19</sup> It was conjectured in Ref 19

that this relation also holds for higher cumulants. Here we give proof of this conjecture

We show that at zero temperature and zero frequency the leading order Coulomb blockade correction to the *n*th cumulant of current fluctuations is proportional to the voltage deinvative of the (n+1)-th cumulant. To extract the environmental Coulomb blockade from the other effects of the environment we assume that Z vanishes at zero frequency, Z(0)=0 The derivation is easiest in terms of the pseudoprobabilities discussed in Sec. III

According to Eq. (3.19), cumulant correlators of current have the generating functional

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_1+G_2}[\Phi = (V,\varphi)] = \ln \int \mathcal{D}Ie^{-i\int dt I\varphi} \mathcal{P}_{G_1+G_2}[V,I]$$
(8.1)

Zero frequency current correlators are obtained from

$$\langle \langle I(0)^n \rangle \rangle_{G_1 + G_2} = \iota^n \frac{\delta^n}{\delta [\varphi(0)]^n} \mathcal{F}_{G_1 + G_2} [\vec{\Phi}]|_{\varphi = 0} \quad (8\ 2)$$

We employ now Eq (47) and expand  $\mathcal{F}_{G_1+G_2}[\Phi]$  to first order in Z,

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_{1}+G_{2}}[\Phi] = \mathcal{F}_{G_{1}}[\vec{\Phi}] - \frac{\int \mathcal{D}Ie^{-\iota \int d\iota I\varphi} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} Z(\omega)I(\omega) \frac{\delta}{\delta V(\omega)} \mathcal{P}_{G_{1}}[V,I]}{\int \mathcal{D}Ie^{-\iota \int d\iota I\varphi} \mathcal{P}_{G_{1}}[V,I]} = \mathcal{F}_{G_{1}}[\vec{\Phi}] - \iota \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} Z(\omega) \frac{\delta^{2}}{\delta V(\omega)\delta\varphi(\omega)} \mathcal{F}_{G_{1}}[\vec{\Phi}]$$
(8.3)

The last equality holds since single derivatives of  $\mathcal{F}_{G_1}[\Phi]$ with respect to a variable at finite frequency vanish because of time-translation symmetry Substitution into Eq. (8.2) gives

$$\langle \langle I(0)^n \rangle \rangle_{G_1 + G_2} = \langle \langle I(0)^n \rangle \rangle_{G_1} - \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} Z(\omega) \frac{\delta}{\delta V(\omega)} \langle \langle I(\omega) I(0)^n \rangle \rangle_{G_1},$$
(8.4)

which is what we had set out to prove

#### **IX. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we have presented a fully quantummechanical derivation of the effect of an electromagnetic environment on current and voltage fluctuations in a mesoscopic conductor, going beyond an earlier study at zero temperature <sup>10</sup> The results agree with those obtained from the cascaded Langevin approach,<sup>11</sup> thereby providing the required microscopic justification

From an experimental point of view, the nonlinear feedback from the environment is an obstacle that stands in the way of a measurement of the transport properties of the mesoscopic system. To remove the feedback it is not sufficient to reduce the impedance of the environment. One also needs to eliminate the mixing in of environmental thermal fluctuations. This can be done by ensuring that the environment is at a lower temperature than the conductor, but this might not be a viable approach for low-temperature measurements. We have proposed here an alternative method, which is to ensure that the measured variable changes sign under time reversal. In practice this could be realized by measuring the Hall voltage over a macroscopic conductor in series with the mesoscopic system

The field theory developed here also provides for a systematic way to incorporate the effects of the Coulomb blockade which arise if the high-frequency impedance of the environment is not small compared to the resistance quantum. We have demonstrated this by generalizing to moments of arbitrary order a relation in the literature<sup>18-19</sup> for the leadingorder Coulomb blockade correction to the first and second moments of the current. We refer the reader to Ref. 20 for a renormalization-group analysis of Coulomb blockade corrections of higher order.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank D Prober and B Reulet for discussions of their experiment This research was supported by the "Nederlandse organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek" (NWO) and by the "Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie" (FOM)

# APPENDIX A: KELDYSH PATH INTEGRAL

In this appendix we give a brief introduction to the Keldysh path integral technique that we use in the text Foi more details see Refs 17,22. We restrict ourselves to a curcuit with just one conductor. The Hamiltonian (3.4) reduces to

$$H = H_{\ell} + H_G - \Phi_G I_G \tag{A1}$$

We will explain how to calculate the generating functional of the phase  $\Phi_G$ . This requires the minimum amount of variables in our model, since  $\Phi_G$  is needed anyway for the coupling of the environment circuit to the conductor. The generating function (3.1) in this case takes the form

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 69 035336 (2004)



FIG 9 Keldysh time contour with the fields  $a^+$  for forward and  $a^-$  for backward propagation

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\Phi_{\ell}}[\vec{j}] = \left\langle T_{-}e^{i\int dt |H+j^{-}(t)\Phi_{\ell}|} T_{+}e^{-i\int dt |H+j^{+}(t)\Phi_{\ell}|} \right\rangle$$
(A2)

(In the end we will take the limit  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ ) Additionally, we issue the analysis to an environment circuit that can be modeled by a single mode with Hamiltonian

$$H_c = \Omega a \ a, \ \Phi_c = c a + c \ a \tag{A3}$$

Here a is the annihilation operator of a bosonic environmental mode and c is a complex coefficient

We first neglect the coupling of  $\Phi_G$  to the conductor, taking  $H = H_c$  Equation (A2) can then be rewritten as a path integral by inserting sets of coherent states (eigenstates of a), as explained, for example in Ref 31 In this way we intro duce one time dependent integration field  $a^+(t)$  for the  $T_{\pm}$  ordered time evolution operator in Eq. (A2) and a field  $a^{-}(t)$  for the T<sub>-</sub> ordered operator. These fields propagate the system forward and backward in time, respectively. The fact that we have an integration field for forward propagation as well as one for backward propagation is characteristic for the Keldysh technique <sup>32</sup> Equivalently, one may formulate the theory in terms of just one field that is then defined on the so called "Keldysh time contour" (see Fig 9) The contour iuns from  $t = -\tau$  to  $t = \tau$  forward in time and backwards from  $t = \tau$  to  $t = -\tau$  The resulting path integral is (up to a normalization constant)

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\Phi_{\ell}}[\vec{j}] = \int \mathcal{D}a^{\pm} \mathcal{D}a^{+ r} \rho[a^{\pm}(-\tau), a^{+}(-\tau)]$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\iota \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} dt [a^{+}(-\iota\partial_{t} + \Omega)a^{+} + a(-\iota\partial_{t} - \Omega)a^{-} + (\epsilon a^{+} + \epsilon^{+} a^{+}))t^{+} - (\epsilon a^{-} + \epsilon^{-} a)t^{-}\right\}, \quad (A4)$$

with  $\rho[a^+, a^-]$  the initial density matrix of the mode *a* in the coherent state basis and  $a^+(\tau) = a^-(\tau)$ 

Following Feynman and Veinon<sup>3</sup> one can show that the coupling to the conductor in Eq. (A1) introduces an additional factor  $Z_{l_c}$  into the path integral, called the 'influence functional' Instead of Eq. (A4) we then have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\Phi_{\ell}}[\vec{j}] = \int \mathcal{D}a^{\pm} \mathcal{D}a^{\pm} \rho[a^{+}(-\tau) a^{\pm} (-\tau)]$$

$$\times \mathcal{Z}_{I_{\ell}}[ca^{+} + c a^{+r}, ca^{-} + c a]$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-i \int dt[a^{+r}(-\iota \partial_{t} + \Omega)a^{+} + a^{-s}(-\iota \partial_{t} - \Omega)a + (ca^{+} + c a^{+s})t^{+} - (ca^{-} + c^{s}a)t^{-}]\right\}$$
(A5)

The influence functional in our case is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{l_{\ell}}[\Phi_{G}^{+},\Phi_{G}] = \left\langle T_{-}e^{i\int \mathcal{A}l[H_{\ell}+\Phi_{\ell}(l)I_{\ell}]}T_{+}e^{-i\int \mathcal{A}l[H_{\ell}+\Phi_{\ell}^{+}(l)I_{\ell}]} \right\rangle$$
(A6)

The density matrix of a thermal state of the environmental mode *a* is the exponential of a quadratic form. Therefore the integrals over the linear combinations  $ca^{\pm} - ca^{+}$  are Gaussian and can be done exactly. With the substitution  $\Phi_{G}^{+} = ca^{\pm} + c^{+}a^{+}$  and with the vector notation introduced in Sec. III we rewrite Eq. (A5) as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\Phi_{\ell}}[\vec{j}] = \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\Phi}_{G} \exp\{-\imath \mathcal{S}_{\iota}[\vec{j}, \Phi_{C}]\} \mathcal{Z}_{I_{\ell}}[\vec{\Phi}_{G}], \quad (A7)$$

with a quadratic form  $S_{\epsilon}$  The more general circuits of Sec III can be treated along the same lines, but with a multimode environmental Hamiltonian  $H_{\epsilon} = \sum_{j} \Omega_{j} a_{j} a_{j}$  and sources that couple to variables other than  $\Phi_{G}$ . In the limit  $\tau \rightarrow \sigma$  one arrives at Eq. (3.9)

# APPENDIX B. DLRIVATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION

To derive Eq. (3.10) we define a generating functional for the voltages  $\mathbf{V} = (V_M, V_G)$  in the environmental circuit of Fig. 2,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\iota}[\vec{Q}] = \left\langle T \ e^{\imath \int dt \left| II + Q \ (t) V \right|} T_{+} \iota^{-\imath \int dt \left| II + Q \ (t) V \right|} \right\rangle$$
(B1)

We have introduced sources  $Q = (Q_M \ Q_G)$  Since the environmental Hamiltonian is quadratic the generating functional is the exponential of a quadratic form in  $\vec{Q}$ ,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\iota}[\vec{\boldsymbol{Q}}] = \exp\left(-\frac{\iota}{2}\int\frac{d\,\omega}{2\,\pi}\vec{\boldsymbol{Q}}\,\left(\omega\right)\check{\boldsymbol{G}}(\omega)\vec{\boldsymbol{Q}}(\omega)\right) \qquad (B2)$$

The off diagonal elements of the matrix  $\check{G}$  are determined by the impedance of the circuit

M KINDERMANN YU V NAZAROV AND C W J BEENAKKER

$$i \frac{\delta^{2}}{\delta Q_{\beta}^{cl}(\omega') \delta Q_{\alpha}^{q}(\omega)} \ln Z_{\epsilon}|_{Q=0}$$
$$= \frac{\delta}{\delta I_{\beta}(\omega')} \langle V_{\alpha}(\omega) \rangle = 2 \pi \delta(\omega - \omega') Z_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)$$
(B3)

The upper diagonal (cl,cl) elements in the Keldysh space vanish for symmetry reasons  $(\mathcal{Z}_{c}|_{\mathcal{Q}^{l=0}}=0)$ , see Ref 22) The lower diagonal (q,q) elements are determined by the fluctuation dissipation theorem (2.2),

$$-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta Q_{\alpha}^{q*}(\omega) \,\delta Q_{\beta}^{q}(\omega')} \ln \mathcal{Z}_{c}|_{Q=0} = \langle \delta V_{\alpha}(\omega) \,\delta V_{\beta}(\omega') \rangle$$
$$= \pi \,\delta(\omega + \omega') \,\omega \,\operatorname{cotanh}\left(\frac{\omega}{2kT}\right) [Z_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) + Z_{\beta\alpha}(\omega)] \tag{B4}$$

Consequently we have

$$\check{\boldsymbol{G}}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{Z}(\omega) \\ \boldsymbol{Z}(\omega) & -\frac{\iota}{2}\omega\operatorname{cotanh}\left(\frac{\omega}{2kT}\right) [\boldsymbol{Z}(\omega) + \boldsymbol{Z}(\omega)] \end{pmatrix}$$
(B5)

The environmental action  $S_{i}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{c}[\vec{Q}] = \int \mathcal{D}[\vec{\Phi}_{G}] \exp(-\iota \mathcal{S}_{c}[\vec{Q}_{\mathcal{U}}, \vec{\Phi}_{G}] - \iota \vec{\Phi}_{G} \times \vec{Q}_{G})$$
(B6)

One can check that substitution of Eq. (3.10) into Eq. (B6) yields the same  $Z_c$  as given by Eqs. (B2) and (B5)

## APPENDIX C. DLRIVATION OF EQ. (3.14)

In the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$  a voltage measurement in the circuit of Fig 10 corresponds to a voltage measurement at contacts M and M' of the circuit C We obtain the generating functional  $Z_V$  of this voltage measurement from Eq (3.9) The influence functional is now due to C and it equals the generating functional  $Z_I$  of a current measurement at contacts PHYSICAL REVIEW B 69 035336 (2004)



FIG 10 Circuit to relate voltage to current measurements

*M* and *M'* of *C* From Eq (3.10) with  $Z_{MM} = Z_{GG} = -Z_{MG}$ =  $-Z_{GM} = R$  we find in the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$  that the environmental action takes the simple form  $S_c[\vec{Q}_M, \vec{\Phi}_G] = \vec{\Phi} \times \vec{Q}$ , with the cross-product defined in Eq. (3.15) Consequently, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{V}[\vec{Q}] = \int \mathcal{D}[\Phi] e^{-i\Phi \times Q} \mathcal{Z}_{I}[\vec{\Phi}]$$
(C1)

This equation relates the generating functionals of current and voltage measurements at any pair of contacts of a circuit

# APPENDIX D: DERIVATION OF EQ. (41)

To derive Eq. (4.1) from Eq. (3.9) we need the environ mental action  $S_c$  of the circuit shown in Fig. 3. The impedance matrix is

$$Z = \frac{1}{R_1 + R_2 + R_3} \begin{pmatrix} R_1(R_2 + R_3) & -R_1R_2 & -R_1(R_2 + R_3) & -R_1R_3 \\ -R_1R_2 & R_2(R_1 + R_3) & -R_1R_2 & -R_2R_3 \\ -R_1(R_2 + R_3) & -R_1R_2 & R_1(R_2 + R_3) & -R_1R_3 \\ -R_1R_3 & -R_2R_3 & -R_1R_3 & R_3(R_1 + R_2) \end{pmatrix}$$
(D1)

We seek the limit  $R_1, R_2, R_3 \rightarrow \infty$  The environmental action (3.10) takes the form

$$\mathcal{S}_{c}[\vec{Q}_{M},\vec{\Phi}_{G}] = \vec{\Phi}_{G_{1}} \times \mathcal{Q}_{M_{1}} + \vec{\Phi}_{G_{1}} \times \mathcal{Q}_{M_{2}} + \vec{\Phi}_{G_{2}} \times \mathcal{Q}_{M_{2}}$$
(D2)

Substitution into Eq. (3.9) gives  $Z_{VV}$  Employing Eq. (3.14) to obtain  $Z_{VI}$  from  $Z_{VV}$  we arrive at Eq. (4.1)

- <sup>1</sup>E Ben-Jacob, E Mottola, and G Schon, Phys Rev Lett **51**, 2064 (1983)
- <sup>2</sup>G Schon, Phys Rev B **32**, 4469 (1985)
- <sup>3</sup>M H Devotet, D Esteve, H Grabert, G-L Ingold, H Pothier, and C Urbina, Phys Rev Lett 64, 1824 (1990)
- <sup>4</sup>G -L Ingold and Yu V Nazarov in *Single Charge Lunneling*, edited by H Grabert and M H Devoret, Vol 294 of *NAIO Ad vanced Studies Institute*, Series *B Physics* (Plenum, New York, 1992)
- <sup>5</sup>H Lee and L S Levitov, Phys Rev B 53, 7383 (1996)
- <sup>6</sup>G Schon and A D Zaikin, Phys Rep **198**, 237 (1990)
- <sup>7</sup>Sh Kogan, *Electionic Noise and Fluctuations in Solids* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, 1996)
- <sup>8</sup> Ya M Blantei and M Buttikei, Phys Rep 336, 1 (2000)
- <sup>9</sup>C W J Beenakkei and C Schonenbeigei, Phys Today 56, 37 (2003)
- <sup>10</sup> M Kindeimann, Yu V Nazarov and C WJ Beenakkei, Phys Rev Lett **90**, 246805 (2003)
- <sup>11</sup>C WJ Beenakkei, M Kindermann, and Yu V Nazarov, Phys Rev Lett **90**, 176802 (2003)
- <sup>12</sup>LS Levitov and M Reznikov, cond-mat/0111057 (unpublished)
- <sup>13</sup>D B Gutman and Y Geten, Phys Rev Lett **19**, 196601 (2003)
- <sup>14</sup>K E Nagaev, Phys Rev B **66** 075334 (2002)
- <sup>1</sup><sup>3</sup><sup>B</sup> Reulet I Senziei, and D E Probei. Phys Rev B **69**, 035302 (2004)
- <sup>16</sup>Yu V Nazarov Ann Phys (Leipzig) 8, 507 (1999)
- <sup>17</sup>Yu V Nazarov and M Kindermann Eur Phys J B **35**, 413 (2003)
- <sup>18</sup>A Levy Yeyati, A Maitin-Rodero D Esteve, and C Uibina,

Phys Rev Lett 87, 046802 (2001)

- <sup>19</sup>A V Galaktionov, D S Golubev, and A D Zaikin, Phys Rev B 68, 085317 (2003)
- <sup>20</sup>M Kindermann and Yu V Nazarov Phys Rev Lett **91**, 136802 (2003)
- <sup>21</sup>H B G Casimii, Rev Mod Phys **17**, 343 (1945)
- <sup>22</sup>A Kamenev in Strongly Correlated Lermions and Bosons in Low Dimensional Disordered Systems, edited by I V Leiner, B L Altshuler, VI Fal ko, and T Giamarchi. Vol 72 of NAFO Science Series II (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002)
- <sup>23</sup>M Kindeimann and Yu V Nazarov, in *Quantum Noise in Meso scopic Physics*, edited by Yu V Nazarov, Vol 97 of NAIO Science Series II (Kluwer Dordiccht, 2003)
- <sup>24</sup>W Belzig and Yu V Nazarov, Phys Rev Lett 87, 197006 (2001)
- <sup>25</sup>U Gavish, Y Imry L Levinson, and B Yurke, in *Quantum Noise* in Mesoscopic Physics, edited by Yu V Nazarov, Vol 97 of NATO Science Series II (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003)
- <sup>26</sup>L S Levitov and G B Lesovik, JETP Lett 58, 230 (1993)
- <sup>27</sup>L S Levitov, II Lee, and G B Lesovik, J Math Phys 37, 4845 (1996)
- <sup>28</sup> K E Nagaev, P Samuelsson and S Pilgram, Phys Rev B 66 195318 (2002)
- <sup>29</sup>S Pilgram A N Jordan, E V Sukhorukov, and M Buttiker Phys Rev Lett **90** 206801 (2003)
- <sup>30</sup>K E Nagaev, cond-mat/0302008 (unpublished)
- <sup>31</sup> J W Negele and H Orland, Quantum Many Particle Systems (Addison-Wesley, New York 1988)
- <sup>32</sup> Rammer and H Smith, Rev Mod Phys 58 323 (1986)
- <sup>33</sup>R P Feynman and FL Veinon, Ann Phys (N Y) 24, 118 (1963)