

A KINEMATIC APPROACH TO ASSESSING ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: STAR-FORMING GALAXIES IN A $z \sim 0.9$ SpARCS CLUSTER USING *SPITZER* 24 μm OBSERVATIONS

A. G. NOBLE¹, T. M. A. WEBB¹, A. MUZZIN², G. WILSON³, H. K. C. YEE⁴, AND R. F. J. VAN DER BURG²

¹Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 rue University, Montréal, Québec, H3A 2T8, Canada

²Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

⁴Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, 50 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H4, Canada

Received 2012 November 6; accepted 2013 March 19; published 2013 April 22

ABSTRACT

We present an infrared study of a $z = 0.872$ cluster, SpARCS J161314+564930, with the primary aim of distinguishing the dynamical histories of spectroscopically confirmed star-forming members to assess the role of cluster environment. We utilize deep MIPS imaging and a mass-limited sample of 85 spectroscopic members to identify 16 24 μm bright sources within the cluster, and measure their 24 μm star formation rates (SFRs) down to $\sim 6 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$. Based on their line-of-sight velocities and stellar ages, MIPS cluster members appear to be an infalling population that was recently accreted from the field with minimal environmental dependency on their star formation. However, we identify a double-sequenced distribution of star-forming galaxies among the members, with one branch exhibiting declining specific SFRs with mass. The members along this sub-main sequence contain spectral features suggestive of passive galaxies. Using caustic diagrams, we kinematically identify these galaxies as a virialized and/or backsplash population. Moreover, we find a mix of dynamical histories at all projected radii, indicating that standard definitions of environment (i.e., radius and density) are contaminated with recently accreted interlopers, which could contribute to a lack of environmental trends for star-forming galaxies. A cleaner narrative of their dynamical past begins to unfold when using a proxy for accretion histories through profiles of constant $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$; galaxies accreted at earlier times possess lower values of $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$ with minimal contamination from the distinct infalling population. Therefore, adopting a time-averaged definition for density (as traced by accretion histories) rather than an instantaneous density yields a depressed specific SFR within the dynamical cluster core.

Key words: galaxies: clusters: general – galaxies: clusters: individual (SpARCS J161314+564930) – galaxies: high-redshift – galaxies: star formation – infrared: galaxies

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

A hallmark of cosmology has been the establishment of hierarchical formation (White & Frenk 1991) in which growth of structure in the universe proceeds via a “bottom-up” scenario: matter condenses into low mass halos which eventually merge to form larger structures, culminating with galaxy clusters. Clusters subsequently evolve through the accretion of galaxies and groups along cosmic filaments. This continual buildup of the cluster gives rise to distinct populations: a virialized component of older galaxies, and a younger population that was recently accreted from the surrounding low-density field (Balogh et al. 1998; Ellingson et al. 2001). As clusters are thought to be hostile environments, member galaxies are exposed to various mechanisms that could potentially suppress their star formation, including ram-pressure stripping (e.g., Gunn & Gott 1972), strangulation (e.g., Larson et al. 1980), and galaxy harassment, perhaps preceded by an initial burst (e.g., Barnes & Hernquist 1991; Moore et al. 1998). These mechanisms should induce marked differences in the young and in situ population, as the latter has long endured the harsh conditions of the dense cluster.

Indeed, the local environment in which a galaxy resides is known to strongly correlate with several galaxy properties, such as star formation rate (SFR), stellar mass, color, and morphology. Extensive observational efforts at low redshift have yielded a paradigm for galaxy dependencies, such that the densest regions at $z \sim 0$ are devoid of star formation activity

(e.g., Gómez et al. 2003; Balogh et al. 2004a) and instead harbor massive (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2004), red (e.g., Balogh et al. 2004b; Hogg et al. 2004; Baldry et al. 2006), early-type passive galaxies (Dressler 1980).

Recently, the nature of these correlations at higher redshift, $z \sim 1$, has become a rather contentious issue. While it is well established that higher redshift clusters contain increased star formation activity compared to their local counterparts (e.g., Butcher & Oemler 1978, 1984; Ellingson et al. 2001; Saintonge et al. 2008; Webb et al. 2013) in parallel to the rapid decline of the cosmic SFR since $z \sim 1$ (e.g., Lilly et al. 1996; Madau et al. 1996; Le Floch et al. 2005), there has not yet been a clear convergence on the SFR–density relation at this epoch. Some studies have observed a reversal of the $z \sim 0$ relation such that the predominant sites of star formation have migrated to denser regions by $z \sim 1$ (Elbaz et al. 2007; Cooper et al. 2008; Li et al. 2011), while many other groups find that the local relation is already in place in $z \sim 1$ clusters (e.g., Patel et al. 2009, 2011; Koyama et al. 2010; Muzzin et al. 2012; Webb et al. 2013). These discrepancies most likely stem from differing selection effects (stellar mass versus luminosity limited samples) and the varying degrees of densities probed (cluster versus group environments).

Moreover, the situation is muddled by the interdependence between environment and stellar mass—whether these correlations with environment are causal or incidental is still ambiguous, since massive galaxies preferentially reside in dense regions. Indeed, many properties seem to be also governed

by stellar mass, including color (e.g., Bell & de Jong 2001; Kauffmann et al. 2003) and SFR (e.g., Brinchmann et al. 2004; Noeske et al. 2007). As surveys have attempted to untangle this covariance between stellar mass and environment, a host of trends have been revealed to depend unilaterally on one, or equally on each property. For instance, both mass and environment have a separable effect on the fraction of star-forming galaxies as seen by a decline in star-forming galaxies with increasing density and mass while the other parameter remains fixed (e.g., Poggianti et al. 2008; Peng et al. 2010; Sobral et al. 2011; Muzzin et al. 2012). In contrast, many studies have found that the specific star formation rate (SSFR; the SFR per stellar mass) for star-forming galaxies is correlated with stellar mass in different environments but fails to exhibit any dependence on the local environment (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2004; Poggianti et al. 2008; Peng et al. 2010; Lu et al. 2012; Muzzin et al. 2012). A possible explanation for this discordance that has been adopted by many groups is a rapid quenching timescale that suddenly alters the host galaxy’s star-forming classification (e.g., color) preceding a decline in the observed SSFR (Peng et al. 2010; Muzzin et al. 2012; Wetzel et al. 2012).

Alternatively, a flat trend in SSFR with environment could suffer from radial projection effects. If radial/density bins harbor a mixture of both physically high and low radius galaxies, any correlation with star-forming properties could potentially get washed out in projection space. Moreover, this could preferentially affect star-forming galaxies over the quiescent population if they are inherently more biased toward radial contamination. This is similar to the rationale put forward by Patel et al. (2011), who attributed a declining SFR–density (over all quiescent and star-forming galaxies) at $0.6 < z < 0.9$ to a combination of two effects: a varying fraction of passive and active galaxies with density, and suppressed SFRs at higher densities.

The recent work of Haines et al. (2012) provides further insight into this contamination scenario via the accretion histories of cluster galaxies. Utilizing caustic diagrams from the Millennium Simulations, Haines et al. (2012) effectively isolate active galactic nuclei (AGNs) in projected velocity/radius space and determine that they are primarily an infalling population in spite of their low projected radii. Applying the results of accretion histories from their work could potentially incite the emergence of an alternative environmental trend for star-forming galaxies that relies on more of a time-averaged rather than an instantaneous definition of density.

Here, we present an infrared study of a $z = 0.872$ galaxy cluster drawn from the *Spitzer* Adaptation of the Red-sequence Cluster Survey (SpARCS; Wilson et al. 2009; Muzzin et al. 2009; Demarco et al. 2010). We utilize extensive optical spectroscopy (Muzzin et al. 2012) and deep *Spitzer*-MIPS $24\ \mu\text{m}$ observations to pinpoint dusty star-forming cluster galaxies. By adapting a kinematic approach to the star formation histories of these galaxies via caustic diagrams, we intend to alleviate some of the confusion in environmental trends caused by radial projection effects.

The paper is outlined as follows. In Section 2 we present the SpARCS survey and $24\ \mu\text{m}$ observations. We briefly describe our computation of stellar masses and SFRs in Section 3. Our results are presented in Section 4, including stellar age, kinematic, and star formation trends. We discuss the implications of these trends in Section 5, and introduce a kinematic approach to classifying the star formation histories of cluster galaxies, along with a new interpretation of the environmental depen-

dence on the SSFR of star-forming galaxies. We conclude in Section 6. Throughout the paper we assume a cosmology with $H_0 = 70\ \text{km s}^{-1}\ \text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$. Stellar masses and SFRs are based on a Chabrier initial mass function (IMF; Chabrier 2003).

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. The SpARCS Survey

The SpARCS is a $\sim 45\ \text{deg}^2$ survey with deep z' -band imaging from the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope and Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, designed to produce a large, homogeneously selected sample of massive galaxy clusters at $z > 1$. By combining the z' -passband observations with Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) imaging from the *Spitzer* Wide-area InfraRed Extragalactic survey, SpARCS has discovered high- z massive cluster candidates using either the red-sequence method (Gladders & Yee 2000; Muzzin et al. 2008) or the stellar bump sequence method (Muzzin et al. 2013). With ~ 200 massive cluster candidates, including $\sim 12\ z > 1$ spectroscopically confirmed clusters (Wilson et al. 2009; Muzzin et al. 2009; Demarco et al. 2010), SpARCS currently has one of the largest repositories of $z > 1$ galaxy clusters.

2.2. SpARCS J161314+564930 and the GCLASS Cluster Sample

This work presents an infrared study of SpARCS J161314+564930, a rich galaxy cluster at $z = 0.872$ from the Gemini Cluster Astrophysics Spectroscopic Survey (GCLASS; Muzzin et al. 2012) that was discovered using the red-sequence method. It is an extremely massive cluster with: a velocity dispersion of $1350 \pm 100\ \text{km s}^{-1}$; r_{200} , the radius at which the cluster density is 200 times the critical density, of $2.1 \pm 0.2\ \text{Mpc}$; and $M_{200} = 26.1_{-5.4}^{+6.3} \times 10^{14}\ M_\odot$ (G. Wilson et al., in preparation). This cluster field has ~ 180 spectroscopic redshifts from GMOS-N on Gemini. Galaxies within $3375\ \text{km s}^{-1}$ of the cluster velocity dispersion ($2.5\sigma_v$) are considered to belong to the cluster, yielding 95 confirmed cluster members. As GCLASS is a $3.6\ \mu\text{m}$ selected survey, the spectroscopic data are rest-frame H -band selected and therefore close to a stellar mass-selected sample. The final sample includes 85 cluster galaxies above our mass completeness limit of $2.0 \times 10^9\ M_\odot$ (see Section 3.2).

2.3. Spitzer-MIPS Imaging

Our primary data set derives from the Multiband Imaging Photometer for *Spitzer* (MIPS; Rieke et al. 2004) aboard the *Spitzer Space Telescope* (Werner et al. 2004) at $24\ \mu\text{m}$ with the aim to detect any dusty emission associated with the spectroscopically confirmed members. With a $5.4\ \text{arcmin}^2$ field of view, MIPS provides sufficient coverage of the entire spectroscopic area. The observations were part of the Guaranteed Time Observer program and completed in 2008 (proposal ID 50161) with an exposure of 1200 s per pixel. The MIPS image was reduced using a combination of the *Spitzer* Science Center’s MOPEX software and a suite of IDL routines we developed to further optimize background subtraction (Webb et al. 2013 will provide more details).

2.4. Source Detection and Photometry

A source catalog of the entire MIPS field contains flux densities estimated with DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987), and positions




Figure 1. $24\ \mu\text{m}$ differential number counts of our catalog, normalized to the Euclidean slope (filled squares). We compare to published counts from Papovich et al. (2004) to determine a completeness limit of $70\ \mu\text{Jy}$.

using a photometry pipeline (Yee 1991). We plot the $24\ \mu\text{m}$ differential number counts for our catalog in Figure 1; they are in good agreement with the published $24\ \mu\text{m}$ counts from Papovich et al. (2004). We determine the catalog completeness limits by locating the flux at which our counts deviate by $>2\sigma$ from the expected value of Papovich et al. (2004), which occurs at fluxes below $\sim 70\ \mu\text{Jy}$. This corresponds to an infrared luminosity of $\sim 3 \times 10^{10}\ L_{\odot}$ at $z = 0.872$.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Counterpart Identification

We search for optical counterparts within $\sim 2''$ to locate cluster members with $24\ \mu\text{m}$ emission; we detect 16 MIPS cluster members which constitute the focus of our study. To quantify the number of chance alignments between $24\ \mu\text{m}$ and optical sources, we drop $2''$ apertures at random positions on the MIPS field and count the number of times we find one or more source. We perform 100 realizations at each flux level within $40\text{--}400\ \mu\text{Jy}$ in $10\ \mu\text{Jy}$ steps. At $70\ \mu\text{Jy}$, the completeness depth of our MIPS catalog, we expect 5% of MIPS counterparts to be falsely identified. This translates into one chance alignment in our counterpart sample of 16 MIPS cluster members.

In order to assess the effect of the cluster environment on its constituent galaxies, it is essential to have a control sample of field galaxies that are distinct from the cluster. Our spectroscopic campaign for the entire GCLASS survey successfully obtained redshifts for a significant number of foreground and background sources in the fields of the clusters with identical selection effects as cluster galaxies; these sources constitute our field sample (see Muzzin et al. 2012). We employ the same criteria to search for MIPS counterpart emission to the field and cluster galaxies, but restrict the field redshift range to $0.84 < z < 1.0$ in order to probe the same epoch as the $z = 0.872$ cluster. This leaves us with ten $24\ \mu\text{m}$ bright field galaxies above our flux limit.

3.2. Stellar Masses

We compute stellar masses using a technique similar to Bell & de Jong (2001) and Kauffmann et al. (2003). In particular, we utilize a spectral feature that provides information on the age of the stellar population but is fairly insensitive to dust, the $4000\ \text{\AA}$ break. Using the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population

synthesis models with solar metallicity, assuming a Chabrier IMF, and adopting a star formation timescale of $\tau = 0.3\ \text{Gyr}$, we infer an M/L ratio at $3.6\ \mu\text{m}$ from the strength of the $4000\ \text{\AA}$ break. We then convert to a stellar mass using the measured $3.6\ \mu\text{m}$ luminosity (for more details see Muzzin et al. 2012). In order to obtain a mass-limited sample, we do not include sources below our mass completeness limit of $2 \times 10^9\ M_{\odot}$ (Muzzin et al. 2012).

3.3. $24\ \mu\text{m}$ Star Formation Rates

The mid-infrared luminosity of a galaxy probes thermal emission from dust grains that has been reprocessed from UV light, and therefore offers a clean measurement of the SFR that is minimally affected by dust extinction (Kennicutt 1998). Moreover, rest-frame $24\ \mu\text{m}$ traces dust heated by younger stars and therefore provides a measure of the instantaneous SFR (Calzetti et al. 2007). However, at $z = 0.872$, $24\ \mu\text{m}$ corresponds to $13\ \mu\text{m}$ rest frame, which has recently been shown to contain a higher contribution of dust heated from intermediate age stars, which is evidence for star formation over longer timescales ($\sim 1\text{--}2\ \text{Gyr}$; Salim et al. 2009). As there is a rapid decline in the cosmic star formation since $z \sim 1$ (Lilly et al. 1996; Madau et al. 1996; Le Floc'h et al. 2005), this could result in an overestimate of the star formation as derived from observed $24\ \mu\text{m}$ flux. In parallel, Rodighiero et al. (2010) found that extrapolating an infrared luminosity from the monochromatic $24\ \mu\text{m}$ flux leads to an underestimate by a factor of ~ 1.6 compared to *Herschel* measurements observed at 100 and $160\ \mu\text{m}$ for $0.5 < z < 1.0$ galaxies. Despite some potential systematic effects in the $24\ \mu\text{m}$ derived SFRs, the results from this study are minimally affected, as we rely solely on relative differences within our own sample (see also Patel et al. 2011).

We calculate the $24\ \mu\text{m}$ derived SFR by converting the MIPS flux into a total infrared luminosity using an average of the Chary & Elbaz (2001) and Dale & Helou (2002) templates. We employ the relation in Kennicutt (1998) to calculate an SFR and convert to a Chabrier-IMF-based SFR using a factor of 1.65. Based on the depth of our MIPS images, we probe down to $\sim 6\ M_{\odot}\ \text{yr}^{-1}$.

3.4. Active Galactic Nucleus Contamination

We assume that the MIPS sources are dominated by star formation, with little or no contamination from AGNs; this seems reasonable given that only $\sim 5\%$ of infrared field galaxies at $z \sim 1$ have their total IR luminosity dominated by AGN emission (Fadda et al. 2010), and the contribution declines drastically with low mid-infrared flux. In fact, AGNs only begin to dominate the infrared emission for $24\ \mu\text{m}$ fluxes above $1.2\ \text{mJy}$ at $z \sim 0.8$, and are missing below $0.8\ \text{mJy}$ (Fu et al. 2010). We note that our sample contains only two sources at the high flux end, at $0.83\ \text{mJy}$ and $0.91\ \text{mJy}$, both of which are still below the level where AGNs prevail. Moreover, Martini et al. (2009) measured the occurrence of AGNs in cluster galaxies and found that while the AGN fraction increases with redshift, it is still only 1.5% at $z = 0.81$.

Regardless, we have performed two quick checks for obvious AGN sources. We first compare the equivalent width of the $[\text{O II}]$ line and the depth of the $4000\ \text{\AA}$ break, following Stasińska et al. (2006). Our sample contains 10 MIPS sources with measurable $[\text{O II}]$ emission, all of which are consistent with the normal star-forming galaxies shown in Figure 7 from Stasińska et al. (2006). We also exploit infrared color diagnostics (e.g., Lacy et al.




Figure 2. Strength of the 4000 Å break as a function of stellar mass for the cluster ($z = 0.872$; filled circles) and coeval field ($0.84 < z < 1.0$; open squares) population. The galaxies with [O II] emission (star forming) are plotted in cyan, while those without any [O II] emission are purple. A green diamond denotes coincident $24 \mu\text{m}$ emission; there are three MIPS detections, all with [O II] emission, at the location of the thickest green diamond with a D4000 of 1.3 and stellar mass of $\sim 1.7 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$. The dashed vertical line illustrates our mass limit of $2.0 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$, and the dotted horizontal line indicates our cut in D4000 in subsequent plots. We note that the two most massive cluster members have both been identified as the brightest cluster galaxies, although only one is detected at $24 \mu\text{m}$.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

2004; Sajina et al. 2005) using data from the IRAC (Fazio et al. 2004). All but two MIPS cluster members display infrared colors consistent with stellar and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) dominated sources (i.e., star-forming) at $z \sim 0.9$. The other two sources lie on the edge of the region encompassed by AGNs, but we note there is a high contamination from PAH-dominated sources at this location; these are the same two sources described previously with high mid-infrared fluxes. In the absence of any concrete evidence for pure AGNs, we assume the MIPS flux is dominated by star formation.

4. RESULTS

This analysis presents an infrared study of a single GCLASS cluster at $z = 0.872$. As such, we focus primarily on the properties of the MIPS population and utilize the extensive spectroscopy for verification of MIPS cluster membership and an estimate of stellar age. We refer the reader to Muzzin et al. (2012) for a detailed description of the spectroscopic selection criteria and completeness, as well as a comprehensive analysis of the GCLASS clusters as seen through optical spectroscopic measures, for example, [O II] SFRs.

4.1. Age as a Function of Stellar Mass

Here we investigate the depth of the 4000 Å break (D4000) as a function of stellar mass for the MIPS-detected cluster members compared to the larger sample of spectroscopic members. The 4000 Å break, defined as the ratio of the integrated flux density at 4000 Å–4100 Å to that blueward of the break, 3850 Å–3950 Å (Balogh et al. 1999), arises from an accumulation of absorption lines and increases in depth for old and metal-rich stellar populations. It can therefore be used as a proxy for stellar age. In Figure 2 we plot the depth of the 4000 Å break for all cluster galaxies (filled circles), as well as a field population over the same epoch, $0.84 < z < 1.0$ (open squares). We




Figure 3. Relative velocity as a function of clustercentric radius for all spectroscopic members. Red circles correspond to older galaxies with $D4000 > 1.4$ and blue circles denote younger galaxies, $D4000 < 1.4$. The histograms correspond to the number of galaxies within 900 km s^{-1} velocity bins; the colors correspond to the same types of galaxies in the legend on the left. The black histogram represents the population of old galaxies with the MIPS sources removed (six in total). The green histogram shows all MIPS sources, regardless of age.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

highlight cluster and field galaxies detected at $24 \mu\text{m}$ with green diamonds. The MIPS cluster galaxies are primarily coincident with the coeval field population, spanning the same range of stellar mass and D4000. A Kolmogorov–Smirnov test between the MIPS cluster members and general field population reveals a 76% chance they derive from the same parent population, in stark contrast to that of cluster members without MIPS detections, where the null hypothesis is rejected at a high significance of 0.02%. Compared to the spectroscopically confirmed members not detected at $24 \mu\text{m}$, MIPS members form a young envelope of the cluster population at a given mass, which suggests they were recently accreted from the field.

We also distinguish between galaxies with [O II] emission and those without (i.e., below ~ 1 (3) Å equivalent width for the highest (lowest) signal-to-noise spectra); in Figure 2 cyan circles have measurable [O II] which is indicative of ongoing star formation, while purple circles represent quiescent (or extremely dust-enshrouded) galaxies. The majority of MIPS galaxies are [O II]-detected in the cluster and field, albeit at a slightly reduced fraction in the cluster (70% and 90%, respectively). This is not surprising given the recent conclusion from Webb et al. (2013) that optical studies are not significantly biased against dusty star formation. We define a D4000 limit of 1.4 (dotted line), above which $>90\%$ of cluster members without [O II] emission reside, signifying a minimum age for the older, quiescent cluster galaxies. We note that a variable D4000 as a function of stellar mass does not significantly affect our conclusions, and therefore adopt the simpler flat delineation. In all subsequent plots, we differentiate galaxies by their nominal age as traced by the strength of the 4000 Å break, rather than [O II] detections as in Figure 2.

4.2. Relative Velocity as a Function of Radius

In the left panel of Figure 3 we plot the relative velocity of all cluster members as a function of clustercentric radius, as defined by the projected distance to the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG), the brightest $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ cluster member, which is also the most massive (see Lidman et al. 2012 for a detailed discussion of the

BCG selection in SpARCS, including this cluster). As discussed in Section 4.1, we now separate the galaxies into old (red circles) and young (blue circles) cluster populations based on D4000, and highlight 24 μm bright members with green diamonds. Barring two MIPS galaxies at low projected velocities and radii (both of which are classified as older), MIPS sources tend to display larger velocities on average ($|\Delta v| = 1422 \pm 205.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), in contrast to a tighter distribution expected from a virialized population ($|\Delta v| = 995.1 \pm 89.60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for older galaxies without a MIPS detection). Therefore, the velocity-radial space encompassed by MIPS galaxies further supports the idea that they have been recently accreted.

The histogram in the right panel of Figure 3 emphasizes this point: the older cluster population (red hashed histogram) displays a Gaussian distribution of velocities and primarily falls within the cluster velocity dispersion (1350 km s^{-1}), while the young (blue hashed histogram) and MIPS (solid green histogram) galaxy populations exhibit bimodal and/or flat distributions, peaking at velocities greater than $\pm 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Moreover, the younger members within the cluster avoid the central velocity bin completely.

Given that 38% of MIPS cluster galaxies have a steep 4000 \AA break with $D4000 > 1.4$ (red circles with green diamonds), we might expect to see some overlap in their velocities compared to those in the larger spectroscopic sample of older galaxies (i.e., the confirmed members that are not detected by MIPS; red circles without a green diamond). However, a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test between the velocities of all MIPS galaxies (green diamonds) and older cluster galaxies (the black histogram shows old cluster members with old MIPS sources removed) rejects the null hypothesis with marginal significance—there is only a 6% probability that the two distributions derive from the same parent population. It therefore seems likely that the MIPS galaxies trace a younger, recently accreted population that is not yet virialized with the cluster.

4.3. Environmental Dependence on the Specific Star Formation Rate

Given the implication that MIPS galaxies represent a younger, infalling population of cluster members, we might expect to detect a correlation between the SSFR (SFR per stellar mass) of MIPS galaxies and local environment. If the majority of MIPS galaxies do in fact belong to an infall population, there should be more star formation in the cluster outskirts compared to the cluster core, where galaxies have had their star formation shut off as they fall deep into the cluster.

In Figure 4, we plot the SSFR as a function of local environment, with binned averages shown in the lower panels. The upper panels show the SSFR for every MIPS member (green stars), as well as an upper limit for every spectroscopic member, determined from the 3σ completeness limit of the SSFR for a given stellar mass. Errors on the averages are determined from 100 bootstrap resamplings of the data in each bin.

We use two different proxies for environment in order to compare to previous studies, and to confirm we are not substantially biased with either parameterization. In the left panels we show clustercentric radius, where the core of the cluster is defined by the location of the BCG. The right panels contain local galaxy density calculated from the distance to the 10th nearest neighbor. Although in the literature these two parameters are often used interchangeably, they sample different mechanisms: the former is a better indicator of the global

environment and cluster potential, whereas the local density has a proximate effect on galaxies. However, both parameters still play a significant role in the properties of cluster galaxies, and we therefore investigate trends with each separately (see Li et al. 2012 for a detailed discussion on the two methods). While both measurements suffer from projection effects, the benefit of using local density is that it is straightforward to correct each galaxy for spectroscopic completeness given its stellar mass and radius (Muzzin et al. 2012), which essentially provides us with a 100% complete sampling of density. We note that our radial measurement does not require a completeness correction as the completeness bias for mass is similar at all radii (see Figure 4 in Muzzin et al. 2012). To compute density, each galaxy is first given a weight based on its completeness. We then interpolate the distance to the 10th nearest neighbor for each source by summing the weighted values for galaxies above our mass limit of $2.0 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$, and compute the density as $\Sigma = 10/(\pi d_{10}^2)$. The drawback of this method is that we lose information on smaller density scales.

Nevertheless, our two environment parameterizations convey the same trend: the average SSFR of star-forming galaxies (i.e., MIPS galaxies; green stars) is mostly independent of environment (see lower panels of Figure 4). A best-fit line to the average MIPS SSFR in radial and density bins reveals a slope consistent with zero at the level of 1.6σ (-0.29 ± 0.18) and 1.2σ (-0.23 ± 0.20), respectively. In Figure 4, we show the best fit to the normalization of the SSFR with the slope fixed at zero. Though seemingly surprising, this flat trend is consistent with other cluster surveys over many redshifts and using various star formation tracers, including UV studies at $0.16 < z < 0.36$ (Lu et al. 2012) and optical star formation indicators at $z \sim 1$ (Peng et al. 2010; Muzzin et al. 2012).

However, our MIPS sample is SFR limited, and thus not complete in SSFR for any given mass except at the highest SSFR values, $\log(\text{SSFR}) \gtrsim -9$. The flat trend in SSFR might be the result of skimming the high SSFR galaxies off the top of a deeper correlation. For example, if we assume that more massive galaxies reside at lower radii, probing deeper in SFR could preferentially bring down the average SSFR at low radii compared to outer radii, uncovering a non-flat SSFR trend. We check for this effect by assigning a 3σ upper limit for the SSFR of cluster members without a MIPS detection (black arrows in upper panels of Figure 4) and include these limits in the average SSFR (black circles in lower panels). The upper panels of Figure 4 reveal that there is no underlying mass segregation in the radial/density properties in the population not detected by MIPS (i.e., the limits are flat with environment), which suggests that a lower SSFR limit would not necessarily result in a non-flat trend for star-forming galaxies. Moreover, the slope of the average SSFR assuming all cluster members have some star formation flattens out even further with density (-0.08 ± 0.10 , the dotted black line in the lower right panel).

If we instead treat the galaxies without MIPS detections as quiescent (i.e., non-star forming) and investigate the integrated SFR (above our SFR limit) per total unit stellar mass as a function of environment (cyan triangles), namely the total MIPS SFR divided by the total stellar mass of all cluster members in each bin, we find a decreasing SSFR with increasing (decreasing) density (radius). We note that both spectroscopic and MIPS members have the same target selection, so they have the same completeness rates as a function of mass and radius. The integrated SFR per total stellar mass is a proxy for the number of star-forming galaxies compared to quiescent




Figure 4. Upper panels: the individual SSFRs for all cluster members as a function of clustercentric radius (left panel) and density using the 10th nearest neighbor (right panel). The green stars correspond to MIPS cluster members, and the black circles with arrows are 3σ upper limits on the SSFR given the stellar mass for the cluster members without MIPS detections. Lower panels: the SSFR in bins of clustercentric radius (left) and density (right). The green stars correspond to the average SSFR of detected MIPS members in each bin, i.e., the SFR divided solely by the mass of MIPS members and averaged over the number of MIPS galaxies in each bin. Black circles represent the average SSFR for all cluster members, assuming a 3σ upper limit on the SSFR for undetected MIPS members. Cyan triangles denote the integrated SFR (above our SFR limit) per total unit stellar mass: a sum of the total $24\ \mu\text{m}$ derived SFR divided by the total stellar mass of all spectroscopic members in each radial bin, which essentially probes the fraction of star-forming galaxies. The horizontal solid green line illustrates the best-fit line to the green stars with the slope fixed to zero, i.e., the normalization of the average MIPS SSFR. The dot-dashed horizontal line corresponds to the required SSFR for the mass to double by $z = 0$. The two remaining lines in the lower right panel depict the best linear fits to the corresponding binned values with density. The open squares represent the stacked IR SSFRs from Patel et al. (2009) in a $z = 0.834$ cluster, where teal squares correspond to galaxies with masses of $2.0 \times 10^{10} < M/M_{\odot} < 6.3 \times 10^{10}$, and the gray squares represent all galaxies with $M > 6.3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

galaxies at each radius and reveals a lower fraction at denser environments. A fit to the integrated SSFR versus density yields a slope of -0.61 ± 0.19 (dashed cyan line), and is therefore inconsistent with zero at the $>3\sigma$ level.

This depression of star formation in the cluster core is in accordance with local clusters (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2004) and suggests that the SSFR–density relation is already established in the highest-density regions at $z \sim 0.9$. This is in contrast to $z \sim 1$ field studies that found a reversal in the SFR–density relation (Elbaz et al. 2007; Cooper et al. 2008), although they were limited to lower density environments. Instead, we compare our results to a similar IR study of a $z = 0.834$ cluster from Patel et al. (2009) who probe a wide range of environments and utilize a $24\ \mu\text{m}$ stacking analysis on all cluster members to determine SSFRs. They uncover a trend of decreasing SSFR with increasing density (open squares in the right panel of Figure 4), analogous to our total SSFR. This relation prevails even when controlling for stellar mass: the open, teal squares correspond to lower masses of $2.0 \times 10^{10} < M/M_{\odot} < 6.3 \times 10^{10}$, and the open orange squares represent all galaxies with $M > 6.3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ in the Patel et al. (2009) sample. We note the normalization of our trend is higher, but we probe down to an order of magnitude lower in stellar mass ($2.0 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$;

as lower-mass systems typically display slightly higher SSFRs (see Section 4.4 below), a higher SSFR in our data is expected.

In Figure 4 we also plot the SSFR required for stellar mass to double (assuming a constant SFR) by $z = 0$ (dot-dashed horizontal line). We note that the integrated SSFR per total stellar mass (cyan triangles) is consistent with or below this limit in all environments, signifying that a majority of cluster members (including quiescent galaxies) have already experienced most of their mass growth. However, the average MIPS SSFR (and average SSFR for all members with limits) lie above this line: the star-forming galaxies have yet to undergo the bulk of their activity, assuming they can sustain their SFR. Perhaps we are witnessing the primal growth stage of these galaxies, before they have properly assimilated into the cluster and been exposed to any environmental quenching. Alternatively, if any of these galaxies have already experienced a suppression of their star formation, the quenching timescale must be rapid enough that any environmental trend with SSFR gets washed out: SSFRs are immediately terminated, precluding an intermediate phase. In other words, why is there a lack of variation in the star-forming population despite a changing fraction of star-forming galaxies with environment? We will




Figure 5. Top: SFR vs. stellar mass for MIPS cluster galaxies, separated in color by D4000. Open squares are the field population from $0.84 < z < 1.0$. The dotted, solid, and dashed black lines correspond to the field trends at $z = 0, 1,$ and $2,$ respectively (converted to a Chabrier IMF). The pink dot-dashed line denotes the boundary between the two populations of the cluster galaxies: main-sequence vs. sub-main sequence, as defined by their star formation rate. The vertical and horizontal gray dashed lines indicate our mass completeness, $M > 2.0 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$, and SFR detection limit, respectively. Bottom: SSFR vs. stellar mass. Symbols and lines are the same as the top panel.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

return to this flat environmental trend in Section 5 and provide an alternative explanation.

4.4. The Correlation between Star Formation Rate and Stellar Mass

We can investigate whether any MIPS members deviate from their expected SFRs and SSFRs given the tight correlation of increasing SFR with stellar mass for star-forming galaxies, which retains only 0.2 dex scatter at $z \sim 1$. Recent studies have observed this star-forming main sequence in the field out to $z \sim 2$, which monotonically shifts to higher SFRs with increasing redshift (Noeske et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007; Daddi et al. 2007). In Figure 5 we plot the main-sequence trend in the field at various redshifts: $z = 0.1$ from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Brinchmann et al. 2004) as analyzed by Elbaz et al. (2007); $z \sim 1$ from the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS; Elbaz et al. 2007); and $z \sim 2$ from GOODS (Daddi et al. 2007); we have converted each trend to Chabrier-IMF-based masses and SFRs for consistency with our own sample. We also plot our $24 \mu\text{m}$ derived SFRs for MIPS cluster members at $z \sim 0.9$ along with our field




Figure 6. Resulting stacked spectra of MIPS cluster members from each population identified in Section 4.4: main-sequence population (cyan spectrum) and sub-main-sequence population (pink spectrum). Individual spectra are weighted by their spectroscopic completeness, as determined by their stellar mass and clustercentric radius.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

sample over $0.84 < z < 1.0$. Due to systematic differences in SFRs, stellar masses, and selection criteria (e.g., mass-limited versus luminosity-limited samples), we refrain from quantitative comparisons between our trend and the field samples from GOODS and SDSS. Our own field sample offers a more suitable comparison, and in fact, displays a similar trend as the larger GOODS sample at $z \sim 1$, albeit with slightly higher SFRs.

Immediately obvious in Figure 5 is the distinction between the old and young MIPS populations: the majority of massive, older members (filled red circles) lie well below the expected correlation, while the younger members (filled blue circles) tend to follow the main-sequence trend observed in the $z \sim 1$ GOODS field (solid black line) and the GCLASS field (open squares). Moreover, this double sequence in SFRs is unique to the cluster as there is only one field galaxy in our sample with a significantly low SFR for its stellar mass.

The double-branched distribution is similarly manifested in the SSFR, plotted in the lower panel of Figure 5. The least massive galaxies, which are inherently younger (see Figure 2), have the highest SSFRs, while more massive galaxies have substantially lower SSFRs. While this is consistent with the evolution of the mass function (e.g., Kodama et al. 2004) which shows that the massive end of the galaxy mass function in clusters is in place by $z \sim 1$ and the evolution between $z = 0-1$ consists of a buildup of the $< 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ end, it could also be a completeness effect as we do not probe the region of low mass and low SSFR (Figure 5).

In hopes of identifying further differences, we split the $24 \mu\text{m}$ members into two cases based on their proximity to the expected SFR for their given mass at $z \sim 1$, as shown by the dot-dashed pink line in Figure 5. This line corresponds to $\log(\text{SSFR}) = -9.3$. We designate the population above the line as the main-sequence population, and those below the line as the sub-main sequence given they are in fact closer to the $z \sim 0$ field trend and lie in a substantially disparate space from the GCLASS $z \sim 1$ field sample.

In Figure 6 we stack the spectra in each population separately (nine and seven members in the main-sequence and sub-main-sequence groups, respectively) with an inverse weighting

Table 1
Spectroscopic Measurements from the Weighted, Stacked Spectra

| | Sub-main Sequence | Main Sequence |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| O II EW | 5.4 | 22.0 |
| H δ EW | 2.4 | 4.7 |
| D4000 | 1.46 | 1.20 |

based on the spectroscopic completeness. Specifically, we investigate the equivalent widths of the [O II] doublet (3727 Å) and a Balmer absorption line, H δ at 4100 Å (see Table 1); their relative strengths impart a timescale of star formation, with strong [O II] indicating current activity and H δ representing more prolonged star formation. The striking contrast in the stacked spectra hints at differences in the star formation histories of these two populations. The main-sequence group has moderate to strong [O II] emission, relatively deep H δ absorption, and strong Balmer absorption features. Based on the spectral classifications of Dressler et al. (1999), these are most likely dust-obscured galaxies undergoing bursty star formation, e(a) galaxies. They also border on the classification of e(c) galaxies, which experience normal, continuous star formation. As these main-sequence galaxies are coeval with $z \sim 1$ GCLASS field, and follow the expected SFR versus stellar mass trend, they have probably been recently accreted from the field, and therefore belong to the infall population.

On the other hand, the sub-main-sequence population has weak [O II] emission and a strong 4000 Å break, marked by a sudden drop at the [Ca II] K and H lines. The shallow H δ absorption is also indicative of older systems: as massive stars die, the H δ absorption fades. The lack of strong Balmer absorption precludes the possibility of poststarburst systems. The stacked spectrum for this population is more consistent with that of a passive galaxy with only a slight hint of star formation; it is classified as being right on the border of an older k-type spectrum and e(c) galaxy, as there is some [O II] emission present. We list equivalent widths for [O II] and H δ , along with D4000 in Table 1.

We also note the possibility that galaxies on the sub-main sequence could be AGNs, rather than simply star-forming galaxies with lower star formation activity. This would also be an interesting explanation as it could imply that the AGN is responsible for quenching the star formation through feedback. For example, Page et al. (2012) have claimed to find evidence for quasar-mode feedback in the form of suppressed SFRs for the most luminous AGNs at $z = 1-3$ (but see Harrison et al. (2012) for an alternative result). In this scenario, we could be witnessing the residual star formation in AGNs that are still actively accreting and just beginning to peak in luminosity.

5. DISCUSSION

We have analyzed a single $z = 0.872$ massive cluster from the GCLASS sample from an infrared perspective, investigating how dusty star-forming galaxies behave in the broader context of all spectroscopically confirmed cluster members. We discuss our results here, and merge them with a detailed kinematic analysis of each MIPS member. This allows us to access the role of environment on star-forming galaxies utilizing their previous exposure to high densities, rather than their instantaneous environment.

The ages and stellar masses (Figure 2) of the MIPS members compared to both cluster and field galaxies suggest that they

have been recently accreted from the field. The line-of-sight velocity distribution for MIPS members (Figure 3) exhibits analogous results, as they hug an outer envelope of velocities consistent with the younger cluster members and what would be expected from an infall population. MIPS cluster members have been observed to share certain properties with field galaxies in previous studies, but are not simply a parallel population in all cases. For example, Kocevski et al. (2011) determined that although MIPS sources have a spatial distribution within the cluster typical of an infalling population, their spectral properties reveal burstier episodes of star formation than their field counterparts at $z \sim 0.9$, perhaps provoked by harassment and mergers during assembly. While the stacked spectrum of our main-sequence galaxies is consistent with e(a) type galaxies—possibly indicative of bursty star formation—we do not see evidence for enhanced activity compared to the field. Moreover, we uncover an additional branch of 24 μ m members that exhibit older, more quiescent spectral features, suggesting the existence of an environmental quenching mechanism that could occur following a possible (though not definite) initial triggering of star formation.

We find the SSFR of MIPS members to be independent of the projected local cluster environment: they maintain the same level of star formation at all densities and radii (Figure 4). Although this is consistent with previous studies (e.g., Peng et al. 2010; Lu et al. 2012; Muzzin et al. 2012), it is surprising given an observed increase in the fraction of star-forming galaxies with decreasing density over various star formation indicators at $z \lesssim 1$, for example, [O II] emission lines (Poggianti et al. 2008; Muzzin et al. 2012); H α luminosity (Sobral et al. 2011); and 24 μ m flux (e.g., Finn et al. 2010 and the work presented here as shown by the cyan triangles in Figure 4). Many studies have invoked rapid quenching timescales to explain the disparate trends, such that star-forming galaxies are never observed in an intermediate stage—they are either active or completely quenched. However, when we investigate the SFRs and SSFRs as a function of stellar mass (Figure 5), we discover two distinct star-forming branches: one that is in line with the expected main sequence in the $z \sim 1$ field and undergoing obscured star formation, and another that displays depressed levels of star formation for a given mass and has a stacked spectrum consistent an older k-type galaxy. Moreover, these sub-main-sequence galaxies are on average more massive; it is the less massive galaxies that are forming the bulk of the stars (though this could be a selection effect). This is consistent with a downsizing trend in mass assembly such that star formation shifts to less massive galaxies as the universe ages (see also Kodama et al. 2004; Feulner et al. 2007; Sobral et al. 2011). Perhaps this sub-main sequence is a population of MIPS galaxies that have reached higher density regions or even the cluster core sometime in their past and have been exposed to some sort of environmental quenching; however, this seems inconsistent with the fact that no environmental dependence on SSFR is observed (Figure 4). Either quenching is ubiquitous throughout the cluster with little dependence on the environment or we are not measuring the environment properly. This raises the question: are we truly sampling MIPS members in the cluster core? We address this issue in the subsequent sections.

5.1. Velocity Distributions of Two Star-forming Populations

In Figure 7 we re-analyze the line-of-sight velocities as a function of projected radius for the MIPS members, accounting for the double sequence in SFRs. We highlight the MIPS sources




Figure 7. Left: the absolute line-of-sight velocity as a function of projected radius. MIPS members belonging to the main (sub-main) sequence of SFR are highlighted with cyan (pink) squares. The horizontal lines correspond to σ_v and $2\sigma_v$, and the vertical line represents $0.5r_{200}$. The boxed areas correspond to the divisions in Mahajan et al. (2011) and are identical in both panels. Right: the first number on the left in each rectangle corresponds to the fraction of galaxies classified as virialized (orange), infall (purple), and backplash (gray) in projected phase space bins from dark matter simulations in Mahajan et al. (2011). We then apply the fractions to the total number of spectroscopically confirmed cluster members in each bin and list it after the colon. The third number represents the actual number of each type of galaxy within that phase space based on its age and SFR (for MIPS-detected members). We assume a simple classification in which: virialized galaxies consist of non-star-forming older galaxies (red circles) and MIPS-detected older galaxies on the sub-main sequence (red circles with pink squares); infalling galaxies include non-star-forming younger galaxies (blue circles) and MIPS-detected galaxies on the main sequence, both young (blue circles with cyan squares) and old (red circles with cyan squares); and backplash galaxies comprise younger MIPS-detected galaxies on the sub-main sequence (blue circles with pink squares). The last column in each bin (green number in parentheses) represents the number of MIPS sources of that galaxy type that are included in the actual number counts based on the classification scheme stated above.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

on the main sequence with cyan squares, and those populating the sub-main sequence with pink squares. There seems to be a rather clear distinction between the two populations, with the majority of sub-main-sequence galaxies possessing lower velocities, while the main-sequence galaxies avoid velocities below 1000 km s^{-1} ; with a few exceptions, the main-sequence MIPS members adhere to an outer envelope in radial-velocity space. More importantly, at any given projected radius, we observe a mix of main-sequence and sub-main-sequence star-forming galaxies. If we return to the notion that the SSFR has no significant dependence on environment, this result offers a hint of an alternative interpretation: it indicates that low radial bins are contaminated by high-velocity galaxies, which could be infalling galaxies (outside the cluster core) that have fallen far enough into the gravitational potential well to attain large line-of-sight velocities (Haines et al. 2012). Some of these systems may in fact be at physically low radii; however, they could be on their initial (or even a subsequent) pass through the cluster and therefore are still distinct from a virialized core population that has spent a much greater amount of time in dense environments. As these systems have retained a nominal level of star formation for their stellar mass, they augment the average SSFR in low radial bins, or in what is referred to as the cluster core. The antithesis contamination occurs at large projected radii where the average SSFR is pulled down from low-velocity interlopers—possibly galaxies at large physical radii that have already felt the effects of the cluster environment and therefore are not representative of an infalling population. In tandem, these two effects yield an overall flat SSFR with projected radii and densities.

We attempt to identify dynamically distinct populations in Figure 7 utilizing the results of Mahajan et al. (2011), where they use the dark matter hydrodynamical simulations from Borgani et al. (2004) to extract a sample of 93 mock clusters from simulations of 117 halos, 105 of which have $M_{200} > 1.4 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot}$. From these clusters, they statistically quantify the

fraction of virialized, backplash, and infall galaxies at various projected radii and velocities. These classifications correspond to decreasing timescales of accretion, respectively: virialized galaxies were accreted when the cluster core was forming and are now passively evolving; backplash galaxies have had time to pass through the cluster core and experience the first effects of the cluster environment, but have not yet amalgamated with the virialized population and therefore represent an intermediate phase of accretion (e.g., Balogh et al. 2000); infall galaxies were recently accreted from the field and have not reached pericenter in their orbit around the cluster. We plot the delineations in velocity–radius phase space in Figure 7; the corresponding fractions of each classification, along with the applications to our entire spectroscopic sample, are summarized in the right-hand panel.

We classify each galaxy in our spectroscopic sample as virialized, infalling, or backplash based on its age and, in the case of MIPS-detected members, its SFR. For cluster members that are not detected by MIPS, we simply assume that older members are virialized and younger galaxies have been recently accreted (i.e., infalling). For MIPS galaxies, we assume any galaxy on the main sequence belongs to the infalling population, while those on the sub-main sequence are virialized if they are older, or are part of the backplash population if they are younger.

The consistency between the simulated fractions from Mahajan et al. (2011) and our cluster sample, using this simplistic criteria, is quite good. For example, the solid outlined box in Figure 7 represents galaxies within $0.5r_{200}$ and $1.0\sigma_v$, where 89%, 8%, and 3% of galaxies are expected to belong to the virialized, infall, and backplash populations, respectively; we have 52 cluster members within this area, therefore the predicted fractions correspond to 46.3 virialized, 4.2 infalling, and 1.6 backplash members in our sample (the second column in the right panel displays the expected number within our sample in each box). Of the seven MIPS-detected galaxies within

this region, three fall on the star-forming main sequence (cyan squares), which suggests they are recently accreted members that have retained their gas and star formation—this number is just below the expected number of infalling galaxies from the simulations (4.2), and increases to seven after we include the members not detected by MIPS. The remaining MIPS members in this region lie on the sub-main sequence (pink squares), and therefore display lower SFRs. Additionally, there is a clear divide in the age of these four galaxies, with two containing shallow 4000 Å breaks (filled blue circles). The depressed SFRs of these two galaxies, along with their younger stellar populations, suggest that they are backsplash galaxies which were stripped of their gas reservoir as they passed through the cluster core, but have not had enough time to completely virialize; this is also consistent with the expected backsplash fraction (two galaxies in total). The last two MIPS members (pink squares surrounding red circles) are both older and have suppressed SFRs, suggestive of passive, virialized galaxies which statistically comprise the bulk of this region. Including the galaxies without MIPS detections that have older ages, our classification scheme yields 43 virialized galaxies in this region, which is consistent with the number from the simulations (46.3). We note there is (seemingly) one MIPS outlier at both large projected radius and velocity that has a low SFR and an older stellar population. However, this region is still expected to contain 40% virialized galaxies, so it is not unfeasible for less active systems to inhabit this phase space.

We check the robustness of our classifications with respect to the depth of the MIPS data by assuming the SFR limit is a factor of two lower ($3 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), and all galaxies currently not detected by MIPS have this SFR. We then simplistically assign them to the above classifications based on their stellar mass and which star-forming sequence they populate. We find that two infalling galaxies would switch to a backsplash classification and three virialized galaxies would be infalling; therefore, there is no significant change in the numbers assuming a deeper SFR limit.

5.2. Utilizing Caustic Diagrams as Accretion History Predictors

The mixing of different dynamical histories at all projected radii casts a doubt on the interpretation that environment has no effect on the SSFR of star-forming galaxies. However, our attempt to classify each galaxy as infalling or belonging to the cluster core is circumstantial.

A complementary method to kinematically differentiate between galaxies arises with the use of caustic profiles (i.e., constant velocity-radial lines) in physical radial phase space, where infalling and virialized cluster galaxies become distinct (e.g., Mamon et al. 2004; Gill et al. 2005; Mahajan et al. 2011; Haines et al. 2012). Moreover, this is inherently linked to the epoch of accretion, which illustrates the importance of a dynamically defined environment: the time-averaged density a galaxy has been subjected to is more significant than its immediate surroundings.

Recently, Haines et al. (2012) stacked 30 clusters in the Millennium Simulation at $z = 0.21$ and investigated the relation between projected measurables and the accretion history of the cluster (see Figure 3 in Haines et al. 2012). Galaxies that were accreted when the cluster was first forming have a large dynamic range of velocities at the smallest projected radii, but only occupy a narrow silver of velocities ($\Delta v/v_{\sigma} \simeq 0$) over larger projected radii. Conversely, recently accreted galaxies permeate all projected velocities and radii, but are primarily




Figure 8. Strength of the 4000 Å break vs. $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$ for all cluster members, divided in two mass bins. The MIPS members on the main and sub-main sequence are highlighted with cyan and pink squares, respectively. The solid gray line shows the best-fit line for all galaxies. The median D4000 values for both mass bins in each region (denoted by the vertical dashed lines) are plotted as filled stars and indicate a declining accretionary sequence with $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$. The error bars are taken from the standard deviation on the bootstrapped median in each bin. We have labeled the regions based on accretion times. We note the three galaxies with the lowest caustic values are artificially plotted at $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v) = 0.006$ to reduce the size of the plot, but in fact have even lower values.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

concentrated along and outside a caustic line. These trumpet-shaped caustic profiles roughly correspond to lines of constant $r \times v$ in projected phase space. Recently accreted galaxies are therefore the dominant contaminant compared to galaxies that were accreted earlier, specifically in low radial bins. Moreover, star-forming studies are biased toward picking out these contaminants, assuming recently accreted galaxies have managed to retain their activity and are classified as star forming. This could explain why SSFR trends utilizing the entire galaxy population (quiescent and star-forming) or stacked SSFRs preserve a correlation with environment (e.g., Patel et al. 2011), while those solely for star-forming galaxies flatten out.

Motivated by the possibility of identifying distinct accretion histories within our own sample via caustic profile diagnostics, in Figure 8 we plot the strength of the 4000 Å break as a function of $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$, since constant values of this parameter correspond to caustic profiles which trace out accretion epochs. There is a clear movement toward higher values of $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$ for shallower breaks, meaning lower values on the x -axis correspond to older galaxies that were accreted at earlier times. We calculate a linear Pearson correlation coefficient of -0.41 between the parameters, with a high significance of $>99.99\%$. To ensure this trend is not driven purely by the correlation between D4000 and stellar mass, we have separated the cluster members into two mass bins, above and below $3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, which is roughly the median mass of the sample. The relation persists for both mass bins, meaning that for cluster galaxies of the same stellar mass, there is a progression toward larger $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$ values with decreasing time since the last burst of star formation. Assuming higher values of $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$ trace galaxies that were more recently accreted (which is analogous to Figure 3 in Haines et al. 2012), the trend in Figure 8 indicates that the cluster environment has an effect on its constituent galaxies.




Figure 9. Caustic diagram for all spectroscopically confirmed cluster members. The symbols are the same as in Figure 7. We plot caustic profiles—lines of constant $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$ —at 0.1 and 0.4 to isolate virialized (within the inner caustic), backplash (between caustics), and infall galaxies (along and outside the outer caustic).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

In order to isolate contamination in low projected radial bins from infalling/backplash interlopers, we divide the space into three distinct regions based on the location of main and sub-main-sequence galaxies. Combining the results of Haines et al. (2012) and Mahajan et al. (2011), these regions should primarily correspond to: virialized galaxies that were accreted when the cluster core was forming; a mix of all types but where backplash galaxies are most likely to exist; and infalling galaxies that were recently accreted—at low, intermediate, and high values of $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$, respectively. We calculate the median D4000 in each region for both mass bins (filled stars), which confirms the declining age (i.e., epoch of accretion) with $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$.

We demonstrate the utility of these caustic regions in reference to our own sample in Figure 9. We plot lines of constant $r \times v$ at 0.1 and 0.4 ($r_{200} \times \sigma_v$), based on the regions in Figure 8. The innermost caustic line seems to preferentially select the older (virialized) population of cluster members that were most likely accreted at early times, including three out of the seven MIPS sources belonging to the sub-main-sequence branch. Although rare, it is not inconceivable that recent star formation has occurred within the virialized population; Mahajan et al. (2011) estimate that 11% of the virialized population are galaxies with ongoing or recent efficient star formation that could be attributable to rapid flybys. However, we note that their level of star formation is certainly suppressed compared to the main sequence.

Between the two caustic profiles, we expect a distribution of galaxies that were accreted early, recently, and somewhere in between. Indeed, in this intermediate region of $0.1 < (r/r_{200}) \times (v/\sigma_v) < 0.4$, there exists a mix of old, main-sequence galaxies and young, sub-main-sequence galaxies, which could result from a backplash population. The significance of the backplash population has been demonstrated before in various simulations. Balogh et al. (2000) found that over half of the galaxies within $1-2r_{200}$ have been inside the virial radius at an earlier time and rebounded outward. Moreover, 90% of these backplash galaxies have plunged deep into the potential well, within the inner 50% of the virial radius (Gill et al. 2005).




Figure 10. SSFR for star-forming galaxies as a function of caustic environment, $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$. The sub-main-sequence galaxies (pink squares) primarily inhabit low values of $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$ compared to the main-sequence galaxies. In contrast to Figure 4, there is now a clear depression (0.9 dex) of the average SSFR (green stars) toward low caustic values, where we expect to isolate galaxies accreted at earlier times. The gray vertical lines indicate the limits of the bins.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

The region exterior to the $0.4 (r_{200} \times \sigma_v)$ caustic profile should preferentially pick out galaxies that have been recently accreted (Haines et al. 2012). Based on the fractions from Mahajan et al. (2011), it should additionally favor infalling over backplash galaxies. Moreover, Gill et al. (2005) observed that backplash galaxies are kinematically distinct from infalling galaxies, as the latter have much higher relative velocities. Although this velocity bimodality can get slightly washed out in projected space, there still exists an average trend toward lower velocities for backplash galaxies. Notably, this region contains the majority of young main-sequence galaxies, which are most consistent with an infall population given their age and SFRs. As stated in Section 5.1, the lone sub-main-sequence galaxy in this region could statistically be a virialized galaxy outside the core; however, we also note that we expect one chance alignment between MIPS sources and spectroscopic members (Section 3.1), which could be manifested here. Alternatively, this galaxy and another old, sub-main-sequence galaxy at high projected radius could both belong to groups that have been accreted into the cluster. In this scenario, the lower SFRs of these galaxies could be due to pre-processing (e.g., Zabludoff & Mulchaey 1998) in the group environment prior to accretion. McGee et al. (2009) found that the accretion of galaxy groups onto a massive $z \sim 1$ cluster accounts for a significant proportion ($\gtrsim 30\%$) of the galaxy population, and moreover, galaxies that derive from group environments are more massive. Indeed, the two outliers are both more massive than the majority of main-sequence galaxies and exhibit suppressed SFRs. However, the sparse spectroscopy at these high radii precludes us from reliably identifying infalling groups.

5.3. A Kinematic Approach to the Environmental Dependence on the Specific Star Formation Rate

In hopes of disentangling radial contamination from the environment, we consider the SSFR as a function of $r \times v$, normalized by the cluster velocity dispersion and r_{200} , in Figure 10. As shown in Figures 8 and 9, and Figure 3 from

Haines et al. (2012), low values of $r \times v$, $< 0.1(r_{200} \times \sigma_v)$, should represent a more dynamically isolated cluster core, as it is dominated by galaxies accreted at early times, which have spent more time in dense cluster regions. Similarly, galaxies recently accreted possess higher caustic values of $> 0.4(r_{200} \times \sigma_v)$, as they are more likely to have high projected radii and velocities. In Figure 10 there is a clear segregation between the two star-forming populations when using a caustic approach to define environment. The sub-main-sequence galaxies (pink squares) are preferentially at low values of $r \times v$ and well contained within $0.4(r_{200} \times \sigma_v)$ with the exception of one galaxy; the main-sequence branch (cyan squares), however, does not penetrate below a value of $0.1(r_{200} \times \sigma_v)$, but rather is dispersed throughout intermediate and high values. The isolation of the galaxies on the sub-main sequence yields a prominent depression in SSFR toward the “caustic core” of the cluster, namely, with galaxies that exhibit both low projected radii and low line-of-sight velocities. Splitting the galaxies into the aforementioned $r \times v$ bins (shown by vertical lines in Figure 10) and calculating the average SSFR of the MIPS cluster members in each bin (green stars) reveals a 0.9 dex decline between the highest and lowest caustic bins. With this definition for environment, the cluster core is more of a signpost for the dynamic history of its constituents, as the time-averaged density of a galaxy should increase with its time since accretion. If this dynamic core more accurately represents the virialized cluster core than clustercentric radius or density probes, the interpretation that the SSFR of star-forming galaxies lacks a dependence with environment warrants caution. Moreover, a rapid quenching timescale would no longer be a necessary requirement; if younger star-forming galaxies on the sub-main sequence are backplash galaxies, we can use the dynamical timescale to place a lower limit of ~ 1.3 Gyr on the duration of quenching.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented an infrared study of SpARCS J161314+564930, a $z = 0.872$ massive galaxy cluster comprising 85 mass-limited, spectroscopically confirmed members, 16 of which have been detected at $24 \mu\text{m}$. After combining the stellar ages, SFRs/SSFRs, and kinematics of dusty star-forming galaxies, a clean narrative of the history of these cluster members begins to unfold. We summarize the main properties and trends of the MIPS galaxies as follows.

1. MIPS cluster members form a young envelope of the cluster population; they span the same range of stellar masses and D4000 values as coeval field galaxies, suggesting they primarily belong to a recently accreted population.
2. There is a bimodal or flat distribution of velocities for the MIPS members, peaking at $\pm 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is roughly the cluster velocity dispersion. This sharply deviates from the older cluster members, which form the expected Gaussian distribution of velocities. There is only a 6% probability that these two distributions derive from the same parent population; therefore, the MIPS sources seem to represent a recently accreted, unvirialized population.
3. When local environment is defined as projected clustercentric radius or density, it appears to have no effect on the average SSFR of star-forming galaxies (but see conclusions 5–8 for an alternative explanation). However, when accounting for the total mass from all cluster members, there is a sharp decline in the total SSFR toward low radii,

indicating that the SFR–density relation is already established in the densest regions at $z \sim 0.9$.

4. There exist two branches of SFR/SSFR as a function of stellar mass—one that is consistent with the star-forming main sequence of increasing SFR with mass, while the other displays a flat trend with suppressed SFRs compared to the $z \sim 0.9$ field. This double-sequenced distribution appears to be unique to the cluster. Stacking the spectra of galaxies along each branch separately supports this by revealing distinct star formation histories: the former are likely obscured galaxies experiencing either continuous or bursty star formation, while the latter lacks Balmer absorption, and has a strong 4000 \AA break and weak [O II] emission—features that are more typical of passive or quiescent galaxies.
5. Utilizing the results from Haines et al. (2012), we show that recently accreted galaxies contaminate all projected radii, while the earliest accreted galaxies are primarily at low radii, with only a little spillover at larger radii. Assuming star-forming galaxies are preferentially an infalling or recently accreted population, the star-forming population is therefore inherently more likely to be a contaminant in projected environment, which could contribute to the flat trend between the SSFR of star-forming galaxies with environment. Therefore, low radial bins are contaminated by recently accreted MIPS sources that have not yet (necessarily) passed through the densest regions of the cluster or core and could augment the SSFR.
6. A caustic diagram of line-of-sight velocity versus projected radius reveals that there is a mix of galaxies from each of the two star-forming sequences at any given radius. We are able to successfully isolate galaxies that were accreted at early times from recently accreted objects via caustic values of $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v) < 0.1$ and > 0.4 , respectively. Intermediate values contain a mix of accretion histories, and should also contain the statistical majority of backplash galaxies.
7. Applying caustic profiles of constant $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$ to our sample allows us to kinematically classify MIPS galaxies as virialized, backplash, or infalling based on their age and SFR. Galaxies with lower SFRs (i.e., on the sub-main sequence) appear to belong to either the virialized or backplash populations. Further differentiation between these populations arises from stellar age, as measured through the depth of the 4000 \AA break. Although not definitively, older galaxies with $D4000 > 1.4$, are likely to be virialized within the $0.1(r_{200} \times \sigma_v)$ caustic profile. Backsplash galaxies appear to lie in intermediate regions of $0.1 < r/r_{200} \times v/\sigma_v < 0.4$. Infalling galaxies primarily occupy the region outside the $0.4(r_{200} \times \sigma_v)$ caustic profile and have SFRs consistent with the main sequence.
8. Using a caustic definition for environment reveals almost an order of magnitude (0.9 dex) depression of SSFR at low $(r/r_{200}) \times (\Delta v/\sigma_v)$ values, in contrast to the flat correlation observed with projected radius or density. This suggests that environment may suppress star formation as galaxies fall deeper into the cluster potential, with a minimum quenching timescale given by the infall time.

We stress that this is a case study of a single galaxy cluster, and a larger sample is needed to statistically verify our conclusions. We plan to expand our study to the entire GCLASS sample, which consists of 10 clusters over $0.87 < z < 1.35$ and over 400 confirmed members. With a significant sample of dusty

star-forming galaxies we can better assess the diagnostic power of caustic profiles in relation to environment, stellar ages, star formation, and quenching mechanisms.

We thank the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions which improved the manuscript. T.M.A.W. gratefully acknowledges the support of the NSERC Discovery Grant and the FQRNT Nouveaux Chercheurs program. G.W. acknowledges support from NSF grant AST-0909198. H.K.C.Y. is supported by the NSERC Discovery Grant and a Tier 1 Canada Research Chair. R.F.J.vdB. acknowledges support from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research grant number 639.042.814.

Facilities: *Spitzer* (MIPS; IRAC), Gemini:Gillett (GMOS)

REFERENCES

- Baldry, I. K., Balogh, M. L., Bower, R. G., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, **373**, 469
 Balogh, M., Eke, V., Miller, C., et al. 2004a, *MNRAS*, **348**, 1355
 Balogh, M. L., Baldry, I. K., Nichol, R., et al. 2004b, *ApJL*, **615**, L101
 Balogh, M. L., Morris, S. L., Yee, H. K. C., Carlberg, R. G., & Ellingson, E. 1999, *ApJ*, **527**, 54
 Balogh, M. L., Navarro, J. F., & Morris, S. L. 2000, *ApJ*, **540**, 113
 Balogh, M. L., Schade, D., Morris, S. L., et al. 1998, *ApJL*, **504**, L75
 Barnes, J. E., & Hernquist, L. E. 1991, *ApJL*, **370**, L65
 Bell, E. F., & de Jong, R. S. 2001, *ApJ*, **550**, 212
 Borgani, S., Murante, G., Springel, V., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, **348**, 1078
 Brinchmann, J., Charlot, S., White, S. D. M., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, **351**, 1151
 Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, *MNRAS*, **344**, 1000
 Butcher, H., & Oemler, A., Jr. 1978, *ApJ*, **219**, 18
 Butcher, H., & Oemler, A., Jr. 1984, *ApJ*, **285**, 426
 Calzetti, D., Kennicutt, R. C., Engelbracht, C. W., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **666**, 870
 Chabrier, G. 2003, *PASP*, **115**, 763
 Chary, R., & Elbaz, D. 2001, *ApJ*, **556**, 562
 Cooper, M. C., Newman, J. A., Weiner, B. J., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, **383**, 1058
 Daddi, E., Dickinson, M., Morrison, G., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **670**, 156
 Dale, D. A., & Helou, G. 2002, *ApJ*, **576**, 159
 Demarco, R., Wilson, G., Muzzin, A., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **711**, 1185
 Dressler, A. 1980, *ApJ*, **236**, 351
 Dressler, A., Smail, I., Poggianti, B. M., et al. 1999, *ApJS*, **122**, 51
 Elbaz, D., Daddi, E., Le Borgne, D., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **468**, 33
 Ellingson, E., Lin, H., Yee, H. K. C., & Carlberg, R. G. 2001, *ApJ*, **547**, 609
 Fadda, D., Yan, L., Lagache, G., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **719**, 425
 Fazio, G. G., Hora, J. L., Allen, L. E., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, **154**, 10
 Feulner, G., Goranova, Y., Hopp, U., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, **378**, 429
 Finn, R. A., Desai, V., Rudnick, G., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **720**, 87
 Fu, H., Yan, L., Scoville, N. Z., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **722**, 653
 Gill, S. P. D., Knebe, A., & Gibson, B. K. 2005, *MNRAS*, **356**, 1327
 Gladders, M. D., & Yee, H. K. C. 2000, *AJ*, **120**, 2148
 Gómez, P. L., Nichol, R. C., Miller, C. J., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, **584**, 210
 Gunn, J. E., & Gott, J. R., III. 1972, *ApJ*, **176**, 1
 Haines, C. P., Pereira, M. J., Sanderson, A. J. R., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **754**, 97
 Harrison, C. M., Alexander, D. M., Mullaney, J. R., et al. 2013, *ApJL*, **760**, L15
 Hogg, D. W., Blanton, M. R., Brinchmann, J., et al. 2004, *ApJL*, **601**, L29
 Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T. M., White, S. D. M., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, **341**, 54
 Kauffmann, G., White, S. D. M., Heckman, T. M., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, **353**, 713
 Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1998, *ARA&A*, **36**, 189
 Kocevski, D. D., Lemaux, B. C., Lubin, L. M., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **736**, 38
 Kodama, T., Yamada, T., Akiyama, M., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, **350**, 1005
 Koyama, Y., Kodama, T., Shimasaku, K., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, **403**, 1611
 Lacy, M., Storrie-Lombardi, L. J., Sajina, A., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, **154**, 166
 Larson, R. B., Tinsley, B. M., & Caldwell, C. N. 1980, *ApJ*, **237**, 692
 Le Floch, E., Papovich, C., Dole, H., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **632**, 169
 Li, I. H., Glazebrook, K., Gilbank, D., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, **411**, 1869
 Li, I. H., Yee, H. K. C., Hsieh, B. C., & Gladders, M. 2012, *ApJ*, **749**, 150
 Lidman, C., Suherli, J., Muzzin, A., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, **427**, 550
 Lilly, S. J., Le Fevre, O., Hammer, F., & Crampton, D. 1996, *ApJL*, **460**, L1
 Lu, T., Gilbank, D. G., McGee, S. L., Balogh, M. L., & Gallagher, S. 2012, *MNRAS*, **420**, 126
 Madau, P., Ferguson, H. C., Dickinson, M. E., et al. 1996, *MNRAS*, **283**, 1388
 Mahajan, S., Mamon, G. A., & Raychaudhury, S. 2011, *MNRAS*, **416**, 2882
 Mamon, G. A., Sanchis, T., Salvador-Solé, E., & Solanes, J. M. 2004, *A&A*, **414**, 445
 Martini, P., Sivakoff, G. R., & Mulchaey, J. S. 2009, *ApJ*, **701**, 66
 McGee, S. L., Balogh, M. L., Bower, R. G., Font, A. S., & McCarthy, I. G. 2009, *MNRAS*, **400**, 937
 Moore, B., Lake, G., & Katz, N. 1998, *ApJ*, **495**, 139
 Muzzin, A., Wilson, G., Demarco, R., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **767**, 39
 Muzzin, A., Wilson, G., Lacy, M., Yee, H. K. C., & Stanford, S. A. 2008, *ApJ*, **686**, 966
 Muzzin, A., Wilson, G., Yee, H. K. C., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **698**, 1934
 Muzzin, A., Wilson, G., Yee, H. K. C., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **746**, 188
 Noeske, K. G., Weiner, B. J., Faber, S. M., et al. 2007, *ApJL*, **660**, L43
 Page, M. J., Symeonidis, M., Vieira, J. D., et al. 2012, *Natur*, **485**, 213
 Papovich, C., Dole, H., Egami, E., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, **154**, 70
 Patel, S. G., Holden, B. P., Kelson, D. D., Illingworth, G. D., & Franx, M. 2009, *ApJL*, **705**, L67
 Patel, S. G., Kelson, D. D., Holden, B. P., Franx, M., & Illingworth, G. D. 2011, *ApJ*, **735**, 53
 Peng, Y.-j., Lilly, S. J., Kovač, K., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **721**, 193
 Poggianti, B. M., Desai, V., Finn, R., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, **684**, 888
 Rieke, G. H., Young, E. T., Engelbracht, C. W., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, **154**, 25
 Rodighiero, G., Cimatti, A., Gruppioni, C., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L25
 Saintonge, A., Tran, K.-V. H., & Holden, B. P. 2008, *ApJL*, **685**, L113
 Sajina, A., Lacy, M., & Scott, D. 2005, *ApJ*, **621**, 256
 Salim, S., Dickinson, M., Michael Rich, R., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **700**, 161
 Sobral, D., Best, P. N., Smail, I., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, **411**, 675
 Stasińska, G., Cid Fernandes, R., Mateus, A., Sodré, L., & Asari, N. V. 2006, *MNRAS*, **371**, 972
 Stetson, P. B. 1987, *PASP*, **99**, 191
 Webb, T. M. A., et al. 2013, *A&A*, in press
 Werner, M. W., Roellig, T. L., Low, F. J., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, **154**, 1
 Wetzel, A. R., Tinker, J. L., & Conroy, C. 2012, *MNRAS*, **424**, 232
 White, S. D. M., & Frenk, C. S. 1991, *ApJ*, **379**, 52
 Wilson, G., Muzzin, A., Yee, H. K. C., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **698**, 1943
 Yee, H. K. C. 1991, *PASP*, **103**, 396
 Zabludoff, A. I., & Mulchaey, J. S. 1998, *ApJ*, **496**, 39