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## Chapter 1

## General Introduction

## Bone remodeling

The function of the skeleton is to give structure to the body and provide protection to vital organs such as the heart, lungs and brain. Bones also serve as a reservoir for calcium, phosphorus and magnesium and as an environment for the bone marrow where blood cells are produced. In addition, they provide attachment points for muscles and thereby facilitate movement. While bone appears to be a static tissue, it is actually constantly formed, resorbed and reformed by the different cells in the bone to adapt to changes in metabolic and mechanical requirements. This process is known as remodeling.

There are three main cell types in bone tissue involved in the remodeling of bone: the osteoclasts, osteoblasts and osteocytes (Figure 1). During remodeling, bone is resorbed by osteoclasts. Osteoclasts are large multinucleated cells that are derived from the hematopoietic cell lineage, like monocytes and macrophages. They attach to the mineralized matrix and release protons and proteolytic enzymes, which demineralize and degrade the matrix, into the space between the cell membrane and the bone. After resorption, osteoblasts are recruited to the resorbed surface to form new bone matrix. Osteoblasts originate from mesenchymal stromal cells that also give rise to myoblasts (muscle), fibroblasts (fibrous tissue), chondroblasts (cartilage)


Figure 1. Bone remodeling. Osteoclasts (red) are derived from hematopoietic stem cells and resorb bone. Osteoblasts from the mesenchymal lineage (green) then fill the bone resorption pit with new bone matrix. Some osteoblasts are trapped inside the newly formed matrix and differentiate further into osteocytes (yellow).
and adipocytes (fat). During their differentiation, osteoblasts express different genes in a set order, starting with alkaline phosphatase (ALP). Later the osteoblasts synthesize proteins that form the organic matrix of bone mainly consisting of collagen type I [1]. Osteoblasts are directly involved in the mineralization of bone matrix, secreting vesicles that are rich in calcium, phosphate, alkaline phosphatase and calcium binding molecules. In these vesicles the initial production of hydroxyapatite crystals begins, and when they are released, these crystals support propagation of mineralization on the prepared matrix [2-4].

During bone formation, osteoblasts can become trapped in the matrix and differentiate further into osteocytes. Alternatively, they become quiescent bone lining cells or undergo apoptosis. Osteocytes are the most abundant cell type in bone. They are enclosed in the matrix and communicate with each other and the lining cells on the surface with long cellular processes. Because of this cellular network, osteocytes are implicated as important players in the orchestration of bone remodeling, as they are in a perfect location for sensing mechanical stress on the bone and secrete factors and transfer signals to many other bone cells.

## Regulation of bone metabolism

In healthy adults, bone formation and resorption are coupled and balanced so that the total bone mass is maintained. This is tightly regulated by systemic and local factors. Systemic factors such as hormones play an important role in the regulation of bone metabolism. They meet needs of the body for calcium and phosphate by influencing both bone formation and resorption. Factors that are produced locally can affect bone cells independent from systemic hormones. Local factors control changes in metabolism to adapt to the specific requirements of the local environment. In addition, many systemic hormones have been shown to act via the production and/or activation of local factors.

## Local factors

Locally produced receptor activator of nuclear factor $\kappa B$ ligand (RANKL) and macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) are essential for osteoclast differentiation [5]. M-CSF promotes the survival, proliferation and differentiation of the macrophage lineage. RANKL, a membrane-bound protein produced by


Figure 2. The RANK/RANKL/OPG system. RANKL produced by osteoblasts binds to RANK receptors on the surface of osteoclast precursors, which are then activated to differentiate and mature into osteoclasts. OPG is a protein similar to RANK, but soluble. It competes with RANK for binding to RANKL and therefore inhibits osteoclast differentiation.
osteoblasts, binds to its receptor RANK on osteoclast precursor cells and stimulates the commitment of differentiation to osteoclasts (Figure 2) [6, 7]. Osteoblasts also produce osteoprotegerin (OPG) a soluble protein that acts as a decoy receptor for RANKL and, as the name implies, protects the bone by reducing bone resorption through inhibition of osteoclast formation [8]. The ratio between RANKL and OPG determines the effect on osteoclasts. RANKL is not only essential to osteoclast differentiation and survival, it contributes to activation of mature osteoclast function as well [9]. The importance of the RANK/RANKL/OPG system was demonstrated by the effects of deletion and overexpression of these genes in animal and in vitro models [10]. For example, Rank ${ }^{-/-}$as well as Rankl ${ }^{-/}$mice have a complete absence of osteoclasts with consequent shortened limbs and poorly remodeled structures blocking the marrow cavities [11, 12]. In contrast, $\mathrm{OPG}^{-1}$ mice showed a progressive decrease in Bone Mineral Density (BMD) and excessive osteoclast activity [13, 14]. Calcium-regulating hormones such as sex hormones, parathyroid hormone (PTH) and Vitamin D regulate expression of both RANKL and OPG and thereby control osteoclast activity [10, 15].

Different cytokines produced by cells of the immune system have an effect on both osteoblasts and osteoclasts, explaining bone effects of inflammation like the bone erosion seen in inflammatory joint disease. Activated T-cells have been shown to promote osteoclastogenesis in vitro by upregulation of RANKL [16, 17].

In addition to direct stimulation, T-cells produce many cytokines that stimulate (Tumor Necrosis Factor $\alpha$ (TNF- $\alpha$ ), Interleukin (IL) 6 and IL-17) or inhibit (IL-4, IL-13 and IL-10) osteoclast activity and production [18].

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) were originally identified as proteins capable of induction of ectopic bone formation [19]. BMPs activate the type I and type II receptor complex, leading to initiation of signaling via phosphorylation of intracellular Smad proteins [20]. This can be inhibited by several extracellular inhibitors such as Noggin, Gremlin, Chordin and Cerberus or inhibitory Smads 6 and 7 [21]. BMP signaling has been shown to regulate the differentiation of various cells implicated in cartilage and bone formation during skeletal development and fracture repair [22-24]. Over 20 different BMPs have been identified and of these BMP2, $-4,-5,-6$ and -7 have been shown to induce osteoblast differentiation. In addition, BMP signaling in bone is closely linked to Wnt signaling, and many reports have shown interactions between these two pathways [25-32].

WNTs are a family of secreted proteins that regulate many developmental processes, for example body axis formation, chondrogenesis and limb development $[33,34]$ and have an important role in the regulation of osteoblast differentiation [35]. In the absence of Wnt activation, $\beta$-catenin is phosphorylated by glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK3 $\beta$ ) in a complex with axin and adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) and is subsequently degraded. When WNTs bind to the Frizzled receptor and Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5/6 (LRP5/6) co-receptor, axin is recruited to the membrane. This leads to the disruption of the destruction complex and subsequent inhibition of $\beta$-catenin phosphorylation by GSK $3 \beta$. Consequently, $\beta$-catenin accumulates in the cytoplasm, translocates to the nucleus and activates the transcription of the Wnt target genes with the TCF/LEF transcription factors (Figure 3) [36, 37]. Specific deletion of $\beta$-catenin in osteocytes in vivo gave rise to dramatically reduced cortical bone thickness and almost absent cancellous bone, indicating the important role of $\mathrm{Wnt} / \beta$-catenin signaling in bone formation [38]. Dickkopf-1 (DKK1) and sclerostin inhibit Wnt signaling by binding to the LRP5/6 co-receptor and thereby preventing the interaction with WNTs and the Frizzled receptor [39, 40]. Animal models have emphasized the importance of these Wnt inhibitors in regulation of bone formation. Knockout animals of both Dkk1 and Sost (the gene for sclerostin) display severe gain of bone mass [41, 42], while overexpression
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Figure 3. Wnt signaling pathway. In the absence of Wnt, GSK3 $\beta$ forms a complex with axin and APC to phosphorylate $\beta$-catenin, which is subsequently degraded. When Wnts are present, they bind to the Frizzled (Fz) receptor and LRP5/6 co-receptor. Axin is recruited to the membrane and the destruction complex is disrupted. $\beta$-catenin is no longer degraded and accumulates in the cytoplasm. It then translocates to the nucleus where it activates transcription of the Wnt target genes by binding to TCF/LEF transcription factors.
models showed very low bone mass [43, 44]. In humans, mutations have been found in different components of the Wnt signaling pathway. Inactivating mutations of LRP5 result in low bone mass and visual impairment (osteoporosis-pseudoglioma syndrome), while a mutation that prevents binding of the Wnt inhibitors sclerostin and DKK1 results in activation of Wnt signaling and a high bone mass phenotype [45-48]. Mutations in sclerostin or the surrounding regulatory region lead to bone overgrowth as seen in sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease [49, 50].

Finally, bone is remodeled in response to mechanical stimuli to adapt to local loading conditions. Bone mass is lost with disuse e.g. in bedrest or microgravity, and gained with increasing levels of activity [51-54]. Osteocytes are thought to regulate this process by altering the production of signaling molecules after mechanical stimulation. The importance of osteocytes was demonstrated by Tatsumi et al. [55] who showed that loss of bone mass after hind limb unloading of mice was prevented when the majority ( $\sim 80 \%$ ) of osteocytes was ablated. Precisely how osteocytes sense mechanical stimuli is unknown. The current consensus is that mechanical loading induces fluid movements and shear stress in the canaliculi that surround the osteocyte processes, and this leads to changes in cytoskeleton conformation or activates stretch-activated ion channels [56].

The signaling pathways that act in osteocytes upon loading have been thoroughly investigated. Wnt/ $/$-catenin signaling appears to play an important role as $\beta$-catenin activation is increased and SOST expression is decreased in bone by mechanical stimulation [57-59]. In addition, deletion of the Wnt co-receptor LRP5 reduced, while a gain-of-function mutation of LRP5 increased the response to mechanical stimulation $[60,61]$. Similarly, sclerostin knockout mice are insensitive to unloading-induced bone loss [62]. PTH enhances the effect of mechanical loading, evidenced by a synergistically increased cortical bone volume in adult female mice when loading was combined with intermittent $\operatorname{PTH}(1-34)$ [63]. As described below, PTH may also function through modulation of sclerostin expression.

Importantly, a Wnt/LRP5 independent mechanism involving the estrogen receptor $\alpha$ (ER $\alpha$ ) has also been observed [64]. The ER $\alpha$ was shown to be important for the response to in vivo loading in a study comparing expression of genes in wildtype and ERa knockout mouse bones after loading [65]. In this study, 642 genes were differentially transcribed in the tibiae of wildtype mice 3 hours after loading, while
the expression of only 26 genes was altered in the tibiae of the ERa knockout mice. The ERa was proposed to act together with the insulin growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R) to activate protein kinase B (PKB or AKT), which inhibits GSK3 $\beta$ and causes accumulation of $\beta$-catenin [66, 67].

## Systemic factors

Parathyroid hormone ( PTH ) is produced in the parathyroid in response to low serum calcium concentrations sensed by calcium sensing receptors. It increases the reabsorption of calcium in the distal tubules in the kidney and increases production of active vitamin D leading to increased absorption of calcium in the intestine [68]. Interestingly, PTH can have both catabolic and anabolic actions on bone depending on dosage and frequency of administration. Continuous high levels of PTH in the body stimulate the PTH receptors on osteoblasts, leading to increased expression of RANKL and reduced expression of OPG [69-71]. The change in the RANKL/OPG ratio in favor of RANKL leads to increased osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption. As a result, hyperparathyroidism is a cause of secondary osteoporosis [72]. In contrast, when PTH is administered intermittently, it inhibits osteoblast apoptosis extending their matrix-synthesizing function [73]. In addition, expression of SOST is decreased [74-76] and this response is blunted in mice lacking the co-receptor LRP5 and in Sost overexpressing or deficient mice [77] suggesting an important role for sclerostin in the effect of PTH.

Loss of estrogen has long been implicated as the causative factor for the accelerated bone loss in women after menopause [78]. In women, circulating sclerostin levels are influenced by estrogen $[79,80]$ and estrogen has been shown to downregulate sclerostin expression in osteocyte-like cells in vitro [81]. The fundamental effects of estrogen on bone are to decrease bone turnover by inhibiting the initiation of remodeling and inhibit differentiation and promote apoptosis of osteoclasts. In addition, estrogen promotes osteoblast precursor commitment and differentiation and prevents osteoblast apoptosis. With estrogen withdrawal, this leads to an increase in bone remodeling and a disbalance between formation and resorption [82, 83].

Calcium is required for many functions in the body, including neuromuscular activity, membrane function, hormone secretion, enzyme activity, coagulation of
the blood and skeletal mineralization [84]. It is therefore not surprising that calcium concentrations in the blood are tightly controlled. Bone serves as a calcium store from which minerals can be drawn to maintain calcium levels when calcium intake is not sufficient to compensate for losses in urine and digestive juices [85]. Recommended daily doses differ between experts and countries, leading to wideranging results from $15 \%$ up to $90 \%$ of women that do not meet recommended calcium intake [86, 87]. As calcium uptake in the gut as well as food intake decrease with age, calcium supplementation in combination with vitamin D is recommended for postmenopausal women and elderly men at risk for osteoporis [88, 89]. Vitamin D is produced in the skin upon exposure to sunlight, which also accounts for shortage in the elderly and less mobile population. It increases calcium uptake in the intestine and also directly stimulates mineralization of bone matrix [90]. In addition, due to its important role in muscle function vitamin D deficiency leads to a higher risk of falling [91, 92].

A relatively new concept was presented by Gerard Karsenty and collegues in 2008 [93]. They proposed a model in which LRP5 has no direct role in bone metabolism but regulates the production of serotonin in the duodenum, and circulating serotonin inhibits bone formation. This model is actively debated as the significance of LRP5 and Wnt signaling in bone cells has been investigated in detail using (cell specific) knockout models [38, 94-100]. Cui et al. [101] could not replicate the results presented by Yadav et al. and found no relation between serotonin and bone mass. This discrepancy may be explained by differences in the mouse models that were used. At this point a definitive answer has not been found, and further research may establish whether both models can be integrated.

## Osteoporosis

Disregulation of the balance between bone formation and resorption leads to an increase or reduction in bone mass, and subsequent diseases such as osteoporosis. Osteoporosis is the most common skeletal disease and is characterized by low bone mass and the loss of connectivity in the trabecular bone. This leads to decreased bone strength and increased risk of fracture, particularly in the spine, hip and wrist [102]. Osteoporosis is defined on the basis of bone mineral density (BMD) assessment of the femoral neck with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA). According to the

World Health Organisation criteria, osteoporosis is defined as a BMD of 2.5 standard deviations or more below the average value for young healthy women (a T-score of $<-2.5 \mathrm{SD})[103,104]$. There are several factors that can lead to osteoporosis: low peak bone mass, accelerated bone loss, impaired bone formation during remodeling, and secondary causes like glucocorticoid use and genetic, inflammatory or nutritional disorders [105]. Peak bone mass is largely determined by genetic background, as evidenced by the large number of genetic loci associated with BMD [106, 107], but can also be influenced by lifestyle [108, 109]. Bone loss due to increased resorption is accelerated after menopause due to the loss of estrogen production and this is the main cause for development of osteoporosis in large groups of elderly females [78]. Changes in bone formation rate are inherent to ageing and may begin shortly after reaching peak bone mass [110]. The lower formation rate is probably due to changes in growth factor production and an increase in reactive oxygen species, but the precise mechanisms are unclear. In addition, inadequate calcium intake or vitamin D production decreases the bone formation rate [90].

An estimated 75 million people in Europe, the United States and Japan have osteoporosis. The disease mostly becomes apparent at a later age so due to increases in life expectancy and changing demographics this number is expected to increase worldwide, and especially in developing countries [111, 112]. In the United States, the incidence of osteoporotic fractures is higher than 1.5 million per year [113] and costs related to these fractures were estimated at 17 billion US dollars [114]. Osteoporosis mainly affects females, with only $30 \%$ of fractures occurring in males [114]. The lifetime risk for a wrist, hip, or vertebral fracture in women in the US is estimated to be $30-40 \%$ [104].

Osteoporotic fractures are most common in the hip, wrist and vertebral bones. Vertebral fractures often occur unnoticed but cause pain, deformity and long-term debility [102]. Many individuals will not regain mobility and independence after a fracture, and approximately $20 \%$ of patients will require long-term care [111, 115]. Hip fractures are known to have a high morbidity and mortality, and $5-25 \%$ of patients die within 1 year of the fracture event [102, 115, 116].

## Treatment of osteoporosis

There are several established pharmacological approaches for treatment of
osteoporosis, as well as new therapies that have just been approved or are in advanced stages of clinical trials. Bisphosphonates are considered a first-line treatment for osteoporosis, and this is the most common drug class for this purpose [117]. These compounds bind strongly to hydroxyapatite in bone and have two side chains; one that participates in binding of the drug to bone and one that determines the potency and biological properties [118, 119]. During bone resorption bisphosphonates that were bound to the bone are taken up by osteoclasts and inhibit their action. Depending on the side chain the bisphosphonates have a direct toxic effect or disturb the osteoclast cytoskeleton [120, 121]. Because of their high affinity to bone, bisphosphonates are quickly bound and gradually released. They have a long halflife and can be found in plasma and urine for months or even years after the last dose [122, 123]. Most bisphosphonates are administered orally with daily or weekly dosing schedules. Oral formulations are poorly absorbed and adversely affected if taken with food or drinks. In addition they often lead to side effects like esophageal and gastric irritation [111, 117].

Bisphosphonate treatment results in decreased resorption which, through coupling mechanisms, also leads to decreased formation. The overall balance however is positive because of several reasons: 1) bone loss due to reduced bone formation is slowed in a state of decreased remodeling, 2) Slower turnover allows more time for remodeling units to finish the process of bone formation and mineralization before the site is remodeled again, 3) bone formation itself is not affected, only as a result of decreased resorption. Resorption pits that have already been formed will first be filled, leading to a transient netto increase in bone formation. 4) a decrease in resorption depth at individual remodeling sites is not matched by a decrease in local formation, and formation exceeds resorption at that location [119].

As loss of estrogen production is implicated as an important causative factor in development of osteoporosis, it seems logical to use estrogen or estrogen receptor modulators as a therapy. Indeed, hormone replacement therapy and the estrogen receptor agonist/antagonist raloxifen decreased fracture risk at both vertebral and non-vertebral sites. However, the Women's Health Initiative reported increased risk of myocardial infarction, stroke, breast cancer and deep vein thrombosis after use of these drugs. Even though a protective effect was found on endometrial cancer, these therapies are not recommended for long periods of time [124, 125].

The bioactive $N$-terminal 34 -amino acid fragment of PTH (rhPTH 1-34, teriparatide) is the only bone anabolic drug currently on the market. As described above, intermittent doses of PTH stimulate osteoblast function and therefore lead to increased bone formation. The intermittent nature of administration seems to limit the effects of PTH on RANKL expression and bone resorption [126]. rhPTH affects trabecular bone more than cortical bone and therefore reduces the fracture risk of the spine much greater than that of nonvertebral bones [127]. However, it can only be used for a maximum of 2 years as after that, bone resorption catches up with formation and the drug is no longer effective. In addition, teriparatide is administered in daily subcutaneous injections and is therefore reserved for severe osteoporosis [126]

Recently, a human monoclonal antibody against RANKL (denosumab, Amgen) was approved for treatment of osteoporosis and bone destruction by bone metastases or rheumatoid arthritis [128]. Binding to RANKL, denosumab inhibits the formation, function and survival of osteoclasts and thereby inhibits bone resorption. Preclinical studies comparing denosumab to the bisphosphonate alendronate in ovariectomized mice showed that denosumab was more effective in preserving trabecular architecture and cortical thickness [129]. Clinical trials revealed marked reduction of bone turnover markers and a BMD gain at all measured sites after 1 year of treatment and a reduction in fracture risk after 3 years similar to that of the most common bisphosphonates [130]. Few specific side-effects have been reported even though RANK/RANKL also has functions in the immune system and vascular system [131]. Other than bisphosphonates, which are usually prescribed in daily or weekly tablets, denosumab is administered every 6 months by subcutaneous injections and is therefore less sensitive to adherence problems.

Neutralizing antibodies against sclerostin have been developed and are currently in phase III clinical trials (AMG 785, NCT01575834 and NCT01631214 on www.clinicaltrials.gov). Due to the restricted expression pattern of sclerostin and the good quality bone and absence of extra-skeletal complications in patients with sclerostin deficiency, sclerostin is considered a good target for bone anabolic therapy [126, 132]. Preclinical studies showed great promise increasing bone mass and strength in animal models of ovariectomized and aging animals as well as in secondary causes of osteoporosis [133-137]. In a phase I randomized double-blind
placebo-controlled study in healthy volunteers substantial and dose-dependent increases in bone formation markers and reduction of bone resorption markers were found [138]. Results from the phase II clinical trial have recently been published and reported significant increases in lumbar spine BMD at 12 months compared to placebo and, importantly, compared to the other active drugs teriparatide and alendronate [139].

Compliance is a major issue in all osteoporosis treatments. Up to $75 \%$ of patients have been reported non-compliant, and this significantly decreased therapy effectiveness [140, 141]. Determinants of compliance appear to be concerns about adverse side effects, belief in the need for medication, the relationship with the prescribing physician, administration requirements, dosing schedules and followup or feedback on effectiveness [142, 143].

In addition to pharmacological therapies, patients are advised to stop smoking to increase overall health, and regularly exercise to increase mechanical loading on the bones and improve muscle strength, posture and balance. This will help reduce the risk of falling and consequently fractures. Supplementation of calcium and vitamin D is also advised to achieve adequate serum levels to maintain the skeletal homeostasis [125].

## Antisense oligonucleotides

Antisense oligonucleotides (AONs) are small (usually 13-25 nucleotides) RNA or DNA molecules that hybridize to a target sequence on the messenger RNA (mRNA) or pre-mRNA. AONs are well-known for their ability to induce RNAse H cleavage of the target RNA [144]. RNAse H is a ubiquitous enzyme that hydrolyzes the RNA strand of an RNA:DNA duplex. This method has been used to knock down gene expression in both experimental and clinical applications [145-148]. A 5-bp stretch of homology appears to be sufficient to induce RNAse H acitivity, and is therefore sensitive to off-target effects in longer AONs [149-151]. The only AON-based therapy currently approved is fomivirsen (Isis Pharmaceuticals), an RNAse H-inducing AON for treatment of cytomegaloviral-induced retinitis [152]. In addition, mipomersen (Genzyme) reduces APOB100 in familial hypercholesterolaemia and is under review by the European and American authorities, but there are significant side effects [153]. Custirsen (OncogeneX) inhibits clusterin, an anti-apoptotic chaperone protein
in cancer cells and is currently in phase III clinical trials [154].
While RNAse H cleavage and knockdown of genes was already a known effect of AONs in the 1980s, certain classes of AONs can have non-RNAse H mediated effects as well. For example, modulation of pre-mRNA splicing using 2'-O-methyl AONs was pioneered by Ryszard Kole in the 1990s [155]. His goal was to block a mutation that introduced a 'cryptic' splice site in the $\beta$-globin (HBB) and cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) genes and thereby restore normal splicing in patients with $\beta$-thalassemia and cystic fibrosis [155-157]. Splicing is a process in which non-coding regions (introns) are removed from the pre-mRNA to generate the messenger RNA (mRNA) with the coding regions (exons) before an RNA transcript can be translated into protein (Figure 4) [158]. Depending on for example developmental state, type of tissue or activation of cells, different (parts of) exons can be included or excluded, producing different proteins from the same gene (alternative splicing) [159, 160]. This process is controlled by sequence motifs in introns and exons that are recognized by splicing factors and can be modulated by blocking these motifs with antisense oligonucleotides (AONs).

Since this was first discovered, knowledge on the application of AONs to
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Figure 4. The process of splicing. The pre-mRNA consists of exons and introns. The end of an exon is called the donor splice site, and the beginning the acceptor splice site. A branch point sequence is located inside the intron. During splicing the branch point reacts with the donor splice site, splicing off the intron. Secondly, the donor splice site binds to the acceptor splice site and the intron is discarded. Exonic splicing enhancers (ESEs) are exoninternal sequences where proteins can bind to induce or facilitate splicing.
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Figure 5. Frequently used AON modifications. Unmodified AONs are quickly degraded by nucleases in biological fluids. To decrease nuclease action different modifications have been developed. The 2'-O-Methyl phosphorothioate modification consists of a methyl group at the $2^{\prime}-O$ location of the ribose, and a oxygen to sulphur substitution in the phosphate backbone. Phosphoroamidate morpholino's consist of a backbone without ribose, making them resistant to nucleases.
modulate splicing of different genes for different diseases has quickly expanded. Depending on the target, exon skipping has now also been shown to induce isoform switching, secretion of a membrane-bound protein by skipping of the membrane anchor or inactivation of a protein by removal of the active domain or disruption of the reading frame (reviewed in [161] and [148]). In addition, another class of AONs can arrest translation by steric hindrance of the ribosomal complex and consequently inhibit expression of a protein [162].

Unmodified AONs are rapidly degraded by intracellular endonucleases and exonucleases and have a shorthalf-life [163]. Therefore, severalbackbone modifications have been designed to increase stability and specificity (Figure 5). The most widely used modifications for non-RNAse H mediated approaches are 1) the 2'-O-methyl RNA phosphorothioate (2OMePS) backbone, in which a methyl group is added to the $2^{\prime}-O$ position in the ribose and one of the nonbridging oxygens is replaced by sulfur in the phosphate backbone of the oligonucleotide chain $[144,164]$ and 2) the phosphorodiamidate molpholino oligomers (PMOs), containing a mopholine ring and a nitrogen-based backbone [161, 165]. Phosphorothioate AONs are resistant to nucleases, easily synthesized and capable of inducing RNAse H activity for gene knockdown. The 2'-O-methyl modification eliminates the latter property, but further
increases stability and improves affinity for the target sequence [164]. PMOs are extremely stable, do not induce RNAse H cleavage and are thus suitable for splicing modulation. Because they are uncharged molecules, delivery of PMOs into cells in in vitro experiments is more difficult than 2OMePS [166]. Chimeric AONs that consist of a central region with phophorothioate backbone and a 2 '-O-methyl backbone at the 3 ' and 5' ends (gapmers) can be used to induce RNAse H cleavage while retaining the favorable characteristics of the 2OMePS AONs [150, 167].

One of the most clinically advanced applications of exon skipping is practiced in treatment for boys with the severe progressive muscular disorder Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD). Patients are usually wheelchair-bound by the age of twelve, often require assisted ventilation later in life and generally die in their twenties [168]. The disease is caused by mutations or deletions in the DMD gene that lead to disruption of the reading frame, truncation, and loss of function of dystrophin (Figure 6) [169]. Dystrophin is required for muscle fibre stability and anchors the cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix with two functional domains linked by a central rod domain [170, 171]. It has been demonstrated in patient cell cultures that exon skipping can be used to skip the mutated or an adjacent exon to restore the reading frame (Figure 6) [172-175]. This allows the production of dystrophins for which the central domain is shortened but both anchor domains are present, leaving it largely functional and resembling the dystrophin protein found in patients with the much milder Becker muscular dystrophy [172]. After local administration and successful dose-escalation and safety studies [176, 177], a 2OMePS AON targeted to exon 51 of DMD (GlaxoSmithKline; originally developed by the Dutch company Prosensa) is currently in Phase III clinical trials [178] (www.clinicaltrials.gov NCT01480245, NCT01462292, NCT01254019). A competing PMO AON (Sarepta (previously AVI BioPharma)) is following closely [179, 180]. Other applications of splicing-modulating AONs in early pre-clinical stages include frontotemporal dementia [181], prostate cancer [182], spinal muscular atrophy [183] and inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis [184, 185].

Because of sequence-specificity AONs have to be designed for each new target. The first places to target for the induction of exon skipping are the donor and acceptor splice sites. However, these sites consist of consensus sequences that are shared with many different genes and therefore have a high risk of mistargeting
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Figure 6. Exon skipping in Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD). Dystrophin is a large protein that contains two binding domains to link the actin in the cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix in muscle cells and is thereby essential for muscle function (A). In DMD patients, the reading frame of the RNA is disrupted by a mutation (B). This results in a premature stop codon and loss of the linker function. In Becker dystrophy, the protein is shortened, but contains both binding domains and can partly fulfill its function. The reading frame of dystrophin in DMD patients can be restored by using AONs to induce skipping of an exon (in this case exon 51), allowing the production of Becker-like dystrophin instead of a non-functional protein (C). Figure adapted from Aartsma-Rus and van Ommen, RNA 2007 [159].
[161, 186]. In addition to the splice site sequences, many exons contain splicing regulatory sequences such as exonic splicing enhancers (ESEs), which facilitate the inclusion of exons [187]. A subfamily of splicing factors, the serine and arginine rich proteins (SR proteins), bind to these ESEs and recruit other splicing factors to the splice sites [188]. ESE motifs are loosely defined because the main task of the exon is encoding protein information, and strict motifs would interfere with this. Putative ESE sites can be predicted with software packages like RESCUE-ESE (http://genes. mit.edu/burgelab/rescue-ese/) or ESEfinder (http://rulai.cshl.edu/cgi-bin/tools/ ESE3/esefinder.cgi?process=home), to help in choosing favorable sites. Based on analysis of 156 AONs that were designed for the DMD gene, several characteristics have been revealed that increase the likelihood of designing effective AONs to 65$70 \%$ [189, 190]. Around 20 nucleotides appears to be an optimal length for 2OMePS AONs, although some longer AONs can be more effective [191]. A high percentage of guanines (Gs) and cytosines (Cs) increases affinity of the AON to the target sequence, and therefore this percentage should preferable not be below $40 \%$. However, stretches of three or more Gs or Cs or a GC percentage of $>60 \%$ should be avoided as this makes self-hybridization of the AON by dimerization or folding more likely. The Tm should be above $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as this greatly increased efficacy in the tested DMD AONs [190]. In addition, the secondary structure of the targeted RNA sequence should be accessible for hybridization with the AON [192]. Possible secondary structures can be predicted by m-fold (http://mfold.rna.albany.edu/?q=mfold [193]). This program can also calculate the SS count for each nucleotide, indicating in how many of the predicted structures the nucleotide is single stranded. The AON should then be designed to cover partly open and partly closed structures to allow for binding to the target sequence and disruption of the secondary structure [189].

## Outline of this thesis

This thesis describes different aspects of bone metabolism, mainly focused on sclerostin, Wnt signaling and bone formation. In Chapter 2, the current knowledge on sclerostin is reviewed and its potential as a therapeutic target for osteoporosis is highlighted. The discovery of sclerostin in patients with sclerosteosis and related mutations in Van Buchem disease have greatly improved insight in the function of sclerostin in regulation of bone metabolism. In Chapter 3 Dkk1 levels were measured
in patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease. As this inhitibor has a similar action to sclerostin, there may be a mechanism by which Dkk1 compensates for lack of sclerostin. Further advancing knowledge is the discovery of new mutations that result in bone phenotypes. Chapter 4 describes a novel mutation in sclerostin that results in impaired folding and secretion of the protein, and a phenotype that closely resembles sclerosteosis.

The characteristics of patients with sclerosteosis or Van Buchem disease and carriers of these diseases indicate that sclerostin would be a good target for increasing bone formation in future osteoporosis therapies. For this, sclerostin levels in osteoporosis patients will need to be persistently decreased, for example by interfering with endogenous regulatory pathways. Chapter 5 therefore investigates the regulation of SOST, the gene that codes for sclerostin, and the role of GSK $3 \beta$. In Chapter 6 AONs are investigated as a method for inhibition of sclerostin expression and consequently stimulation of bone formation. In addition, bone resorption is targeted by using AONs to interfere with the function of RANK.

Further research into new therapies for osteoporosis would be aided by suitable high-throughput research methods. Since mineralization is the last and therefore a very important step in osteoblast differentiation, this is a relevant read-out. Chapter 7 describes a new method to quantify mineralization in bone cell cultures using fluorescent probes. Finally, Chapter 8 concludes this thesis with a general discussion.
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## Abstract

In recent years study of rare human bone disorders led to the identification of important signaling pathways that regulate bone formation. Such diseases include the bone sclerosing dysplasias sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease, which are due to deficiency of sclerostin, a protein secreted by osteocytes that inhibits bone formation by osteoblasts. The restricted expression pattern of sclerostin in the skeleton and the exclusive bone phenotype of good quality of patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease provide the basis for the design of therapeutics that stimulate bone formation. We review here current knowledge of the regulation of the expression and formation of sclerostin, its mechanism of action and its potential as a bone building treatment for patients with osteoporosis.

## Introduction

Osteoporosis is characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue with a consequent increase in bone fragility and susceptibility to fractures [1]. The balance between bone resorption and bone formation determines the mass and structural integrity of the skeleton and is disturbed in osteoporosis. Current therapies of osteoporosis, with the exception of parathyroid hormone (PTH), decrease the risk of osteoporotic fractures by reducing bone resorption and preserving its architecture but cannot stimulate bone formation. Elucidating the mechanisms regulating bone formation may lead to the development of therapeutics able to rebuild bone mass and architecture.

In recent years, study of rare human bone disorders and of genetically manipulated animal models has led to the identification of signaling pathways that regulate bone formation which provide potential targets for the development of novel therapeutics. Fundamental for this progress have been studies of two rare bone sclerosing dysplasias, sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease, that led to the identification of sclerostin, an important negative regulator of bone formation.

## Sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease

Sclerosteosis (OMIM 269500) and Van Buchem disease (OMIM 239100) are two rare sclerosing bone disorders, first described in the 1950's as distinct clinical entities, with closely related phenotypes [2]. Sclerosteosis has been mainly diagnosed among Afrikaners of Dutch descent in South Africa, while most patients diagnosed with Van Buchem disease come from a small fishing village in The Netherlands. A few individuals and families with sclerosteosis or Van Buchem disease have been reported in other parts of the world, including Spain, Brazil, USA, Germany, Japan, Switzerland, and Senegal [3].

The skeletal manifestations of sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease are the result of endosteal hyperostosis and are characterized by progressive generalized osteosclerosis [3-8]. The manifestations are most pronounced in mandible and skull, with characteristic enlargement of the jaw and facial bones leading to facial distortion, increased intracranial pressure and entrapment of cranial nerves, often associated with facial palsy, hearing loss and/or loss of smell (Figure 1). Patients with


Figure 1. Chronological portraits of a patient with sclerosteosis from the age of 3 years onwards. She was born with syndactyly at both hands and developed facial palsy, deafness, facial distortion, and maxillary overgrowth during childhood. By the age of 30 , she had developed proptosis and elevated intracranial pressure due to overgrowth of the calvarium. Craniectomy was performed, but she died nevertheless because of elevated intracranial pressure at the age of 54 years (description of this case was previously published by Epstein et al. [14]).
sclerosteosis have a more severe phenotype compared to patients with Van Buchem disease and usually have syndactyly. In a limited number of bone biopsies of affected individuals there is evidence of increased bone formation including predominance of cuboidal active osteoblasts, increased double tetracycline label spacing and increased osteoid that mineralizes normally, while no consistent alteration of osteclast numbers or activity has been reported [9-13]. Information about markers of bone turnover in such patients is also limited. Beighton's group reported elevated serum alkaline phosphatase (AP) activity in the majority of patients with sclerosteosis [14, 15] while Wergedal et al. [16] found significantly higher levels of bone formation (AP, procollagen type 1 amino-terminal propeptide [P1NP], osteocalcin) and resorption (urinary amino-terminal type I collagen telopeptide [NTX]) markers in six patients with Van Buchem disease compared to carriers of the disease.

The genetic defect that leads to sclerosteosis was identified in a newly cloned gene called SOST, which is located on chromosome 17q12-21 and encodes for the protein
sclerostin. Five mutations have so far been identified in patients with sclerosteosis, of which three introduce a premature termination codon and the others interfere with splicing of the gene [17-20]. No mutations within this gene could be found in patients with Van Buchem disease, but instead a 52 kb deletion 35 kb downstream of the SOST gene was identified [21, 22]. The deleted region was later found to contain regulatory elements for SOST transcription explaining its ability to induce a phenotype closely resembling that of patients with sclerosteosis [23]. The different defects of the SOST gene cannot readily explain the differences in clinical phenotypes between the two diseases. However, serum sclerostin was severely decreased in patients with Van Buchem disease compared to controls, but could not be detected in patients with sclerosteosis [13, 24]. This difference may give rise to differences in disease severity. A gene-dose effect is also indicated by the fact that serum sclerostin concentrations in carriers of both diseases were significantly higher than in affected individuals, but lower than in controls. With regard to the digit malformations present only in sclerosteosis it may be that the genomic region deleted in Van Buchem disease does not contain regulatory elements required for sclerostin expression during digit formation. This could be the reason for the absence of syndactyly (or other digit malformations) in these patients as opposed to patients with sclerosteosis.

## SOST/sclerostin expression

SOST mRNA is, especially during embryogenesis, expressed in many tissues, whereas sclerostin protein expression has only been reported postnatally in terminally differentiated cells embedded within a mineralized matrix, i.e. osteocytes, mineralized hypertrophic chondrocytes and cementocytes [11, 12, 25, 26]. SOST mRNA expression in unmineralized tissues has been detected during mouse embryogenesis in the otic vesicle and peridigital or interdigital regions of the limb buds, of which the latter may be implicated in the pathogenesis of syndactyly in patients with sclerosteosis [27]. In humans, SOST mRNA is expressed in heart, aorta, liver and at high levels in the kidney [17, 18, 28, 29], but no sclerostin protein has been detected in these organs. Accordingly, patients with sclerosteosis or Van Buchem disease do not have renal or cardiovascular abnormalities [3].

In adult murine and human bone, sclerostin expression is restricted to osteocytes with diffuse staining representing dendrites in osteocytic canaliculi [11, 25, 26,

30]. Osteoclasts, osteoblasts and bone lining cells do not express sclerostin. Due to the difficulties with isolating and culturing osteocytes from mammalian bone, in vitro studies of SOST/sclerostin expression are technically difficult. Osteogenic cell cultures that form mineralized bone nodules are one of the few available methods for generating osteocyte-like cells in vitro [31]. In mouse primary osteogenic bone marrow and mouse mesenchymal KS483 cell cultures, SOST mRNA expression is induced at low levels after onset of bone nodule mineralization [11, 32]. Similar to the induction of SOST mRNA in vitro, newly embedded osteocytes within unmineralized osteoid in humans in vivo do not express sclerostin, but become positive for the protein at, or shortly after primary mineralization [26]. When mineralization of osteoid is inhibited by administration of the bisphosphonate etidronate in rats, osteocytes within the unmineralized matrix remain immature and do not express sclerostin [33]. However, SOST mRNA is expressed by some osteoblast-like osteosarcoma cell lines [34].

As expected, sclerostin is not expressed by osteocytes in bone biopsies of patients with sclerosteosis [11]. In addition, no sclerostin expression was found in bone biopsies from patients with Van Buchem disease, supporting the function of the genomic region deleted in these patients in the regulation of sclerostin expression in bone [12].

## Sclerostin mechanism of action

In patients with sclerosteosis, the combination of high bone mass due to increased bone formation with premature termination codons in the SOST gene suggested an inhibitory effect of the gene product sclerostin on bone formation. This is supported by the observation that addition of exogenous sclerostin to osteogenic cultures inhibited proliferation and differentiation of mouse and human osteoblastic cells [ $11,25,35$ ]. In addition, sclerostin was shown to decrease the lifespan of osteoblasts by stimulating their apoptosis [35]. In vivo, overexpression of sclerostin using either the osteocalcin promoter or BAC recombination induced osteopenia in mice [23, 25]. Bone formation in these animals was decreased, while bone resorption was unaffected. Furthermore, analysis of Sost knockout mice showed significant increases in radiodensity, bone mineral density (BMD), cortical and trabecular bone volume, bone formation rate, and bone strength [36]. Together these data support a negative
effect of sclerostin on bone formation.
Two processes are responsible for construction and reconstruction of the skeleton throughout life, bone remodeling and modeling. Bone remodeling enables constant renewal of the skeleton. In this process, bone resorption by osteoclast and formation by osteoblasts are tightly coupled within a basic multicellular unit (BMU) and bone resorption always precedes bone formation. Sclerostin expression by newly embedded osteocytes at the onset of mineralization of osteoid may serve as a negative feedback signal on osteoblasts to prevent overfilling of the BMU (Figure 2a)

## 2a Bone remodeling



Figure 2. Schematic model of the mechanism of action of sclerostin in bone remodeling and modeling. In remodeling (A), sclerostin produced and secreted by newly embedded osteocytes may be transported to the bone surface where it inhibits osteoblastic bone formation and prevents overfilling of the basic multicellular unit (BMU). In modeling (B), sclerostin may serve two actions. First, it may keep bone lining cells in a state of quiescence and prevent, thereby, initiation of de novo bone formation. In addition, sclerostin produced and secreted by newly embedded osteocytes may inhibit osteoblastic bone formation similar as in a BMU (reproduced from van Bezooijen et al. [8]).
[11,26]. Data on the effect of sclerostin on osteoclastic bone resorption in humans are scarce and inconsistent, reporting unaffected, low or increased bone resorption in patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease [9, 10, 16]. In addition, during bone modeling sclerostin may keep bone lining cells in a quiescent state [26] and may thereby prevent activation of osteoblasts and bone formation without previous bone resorption (Figure 2b) [8]. Sclerostin expression by osteocytes embedded in newly formed bone by modeling may serve a similar negative feedback mechanism on bone formation as in a BMU.

On the basis of its amino acid sequence, which indicates a cystine knot structure, sclerostin was classified as a member of the DAN (Differential screening-selected gene aberrant in neuroblastoma) family of glycoproteins [ $6,17,18,37$ ]. This family consists of a group of secreted proteins that share the ability to antagonize bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) activity. The currently available data, however, suggest that sclerostin is not a classical BMP antagonist [11]. Some DAN family members have also been reported to antagonize canonical Wnt signaling, among which Wise is the most closely related to sclerostin [38]. Wnts are secreted glycoproteins that bind to seven transmembrane-spanning receptors of the Frizzled family. Stimulation of these receptors causes the intracellular signaling molecule $\beta$-catenin to accumulate and translocate into the nucleus, where it initiates transcription of target genes via complex formation with TCF/Lefl transcription factors. Conversely, in the absence of Wnt, $\beta$-catenin forms a complex with the tumor suppressor proteins APC and Axin, and the kinases glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) and casein kinase I (CK1), which facilitates phosphorylation and proteosomal degradation of $\beta$-catenin [39].

The identification of gain-of-function mutations in the first $\beta$-propeller of the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein LRP5, an essential membrane bound co-factor in canonical Wnt signaling, in patients with high bone mass (HBM)phenotype $[40,41]$ and loss-of-function mutations in LRP5 in patients with the osteoporosis pseudoglioma syndrome (OPPG) [42] demonstrated the importance of LRP5-mediated canonical Wnt signaling in regulating bone formation. Sclerostin has been shown to bind LRP5 and its closely related co-receptor LRP6 and, thereby, inhibit the canonical Wnt signaling via LRP5/6 (Figure 3) [43-45]. However, although sclerostin binds LRP5/6 to antagonize Wnt signaling, sclerostin and Wnts do not appear to compete for binding of this co-receptor [43], and may antagonize different


Figure 3. Schematic model of antagonized canonical Wnt signaling. Canonical Wnt signaling involves the formation of complexes of Wnts with Frizzled receptors and LRP5/6 co-receptors, resulting in the accumulation of $\beta$-catenin in the cytoplasm and translocation into the nucleus. The antagonist Dkk1 inhibits canonical Wnt signaling by the formation of complexes with LRP5/6 and Kremen, resulting in the removal of LRP5/6 from the membrane. Dkk1 binds to the first and third $\beta$-propeller of LRP5/6. The antagonist sclerostin inhibits canonical Wnt signaling by binding to probably the first $\beta$-propeller of LRP5/6. Whether sclerostin requires a co-factor like Kremen for Dkk1 to exert its antagonistic effect remains to be established (reproduced from van Bezooijen et al. [8]).

Wnts depending on the conformation of LRP5 or 6 [46]. It may be that sclerostin exerts its effect through binding to a co-receptor and inducing internalization of LRP5/6 as has been shown for Dkk1, another Wnt antagonist. Characterization of the structure of sclerostin showed that sclerostin indeed consists out of a cystine knot and three loops [47, 48]. One of these loops is high in positively charged residues, showing a possible site of interaction with the predicted binding site on the first of 6 $\beta$-propellers of LRP5, which is negatively charged. The binding site of a neutralizing antibody to sclerostin was mapped to this loop, suggesting a functional role of this region in the inhibition of Wnt signaling. In addition, the loop contains a highly conserved sequence with an NXI motif (in the sequence PNAIG). This motif was also found in the closely related protein WISE, in DKK proteins, and in the interaction between laminin with nidogen, another six-bladed $\beta$-propeller containing protein. Mutation of the amino acids in this motif destroyed the ability of sclerostin and Dkk1 to inhibit Wnt signaling [46, 49]. A potential binding site for heparin was
also found within sclerostin, which may mediate localization of sclerostin at the cell surface of target cells and possibly facilitate inhibition of Wnt signaling.

The precise mechanism by which sclerostin secreted by osteocytes inhibits Wntmediated bone formation is still unclear. It may be transported to the bone surface via the canaliculi or it may induce another signal in osteocytes that is transported to osteoblasts to inhibit bone formation. In support of the latter, Wnt signaling has been found in osteocytes [50, 51]. Another mechanism was proposed by Krause et al. [52]. They found that, even though sclerostin is not a classical BMP antagonist, it could inhibit BMP7-induced responses when both proteins were expressed in the same cell. Sclerostin then bound to BMP7, leading to intracellular retention and proteasomal degradation. The effect of sclerostin may therefore be different in osteocytes that produce the protein, and osteoblasts or sclerostin-negative osteocytes.

Several ELISA methods have become available for the measurement of sclerostin in serum or plasma samples, showing that sclerostin also circulates in the bloodstream. Over the past few years many reports have been published on sclerostin serum concentrations in humans, showing variations in healthy adults [53,54] and associations between sclerostin and a variety of diseases and conditions. Increased sclerostin concentrations have been found in hypercortisolism [55], type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [56], atherosclerosis in T2DM [57], immobilization [58], fracture healing [59], thalassemia-associated osteoporosis [60], and high bone turnover as in Paget's disease [61], while sclerostin was decreased in hyperparathyroidism [62], idiopathic osteoporosis in men [63] and ankylosing spondylitis [64, 65]. However, not much is known about the importance of sclerostin in the serum; whether it has a function in circulation, whether serum concentrations reflect changes in the bone, and the temporal resolution of changes in serum concentrations. It is important to elucidate these mechanisms before sclerostin measurements can be routinely used as diagnostic tools in the clinic.

Recently, the role of bone expressed LRP5 in the regulation of bone formation was questioned, since targeted deletion of LRP5 in osteoblasts using the collagen type 1 promoter failed to induce osteopenia and targeted knock-in of LRP5 with a HBM mutation (G171V) using the same promoter did not increase bone mass in mice [66]. It was shown that LRP5-mediated signaling in the duodenum inhibited the expression of Tph1, the rate-limiting enzyme for serotonin production outside the
brain, and, thereby, decreased serum levels of serotonin. Conversely, LRP5 knockout mice that have low bone mass had high serum serotonin levels. In addition, reduction of these elevated serotonin levels by administration of parachlorophenylalanine or a low tryptophan diet normalized bone formation parameters and bone mass. Cui et al. [67] could not replicate the results presented by Yadav et al. and found no relation between serotonin and bone mass. This discrepancy may be explained by differences in the mouse models that were used. At this point a definitive answer has not been found, and further research may prove if both models can be integrated.

## Regulation of SOST/sclerostin expression

Due to their location and morphology, osteocytes have been long implicated in mechanosensing and initiation of the bone anabolic response to mechanical load [68, 69]. In support of this, specific ablation of osteocytes in mice resulted in fragile bone and these mice did not respond with bone loss to unloading [70]. Wnt signaling may play an important role in the anabolic response to deformation and loading, since increased Wnt signaling has been found after loading of osteoblastic cells in vitro and of tibiae in vivo $[50,71,72]$. Wnt signaling and the co-receptor LRP5 were found to be essential for the increase in bone mass after loading [73, 74]. Since sclerostin is produced by osteocytes in bone and inhibits bone formation by antagonizing canonical Wnt signaling, it may play a role in regulating Wnt-signaling in response to mechanical loading. Consistent with this hypothesis, loading decreased SOST mRNA and sclerostin levels, while unloading increased Sost mRNA expression in vivo (Figure 4) [72, 75, 76]. Interestingly, reduction of sclerostin staining intensity was most pronounced in areas with the highest strain, indicating a response to local loading conditions. Furthermore, Sost knock-out mice do not exhibit bone loss after unloading [72], and constitutive over-expression of Sost severely reduced bone formation after loading [74].

Several systemic and local factors have been suggested as possible regulators of SOST/sclerostin expression by osteocytes and the SOST promoter region includes Runx2, E-box and C/EBP binding motives [77]. Recombinant human PTH and active fragments of this protein are used in the treatment of osteoporosis [78]. In contrast to the bone resorption stimulating effect of continuous elevation of endogenous PTH as is seen in patients with hyperparathyroidism, intermittent increases of PTH provided


Figure 4. Schematic model for the regulation of the control of bone formation by sclerostin. Sclerostin may exert its inhibitory effect on bone formation by preventing the activation of lining cells as well as the inactivation of active osteoblasts. Glucocorticoids stimulate sclerostin expression and, thereby, inhibit bone formation, whereas intermittent PTH and loading inhibit sclerostin expression in osteocytes and, thereby, stimulate bone formation.
by daily injections are associated with distinct anabolic effects. The mechanisms by which PTH mediates this bone anabolic effect are not completely understood. Part of it may be mediated via sclerostin, as PTH has been shown to inhibit its expression both in vitro and in vivo (Figure 4). In vitro, PTH decreased SOST transcription by osteoblastic and osteocytic cells within 4 hours. This was not affected by the protein synthesis inhibitor cyclohexamide, but decreased by the cAMP inducer forskolin [30, 34]. These observations suggest a direct and cAMP dependent regulatory effect of PTH on the expression of SOST. Within the 52 kb genomic region deleted in Van Buchem disease, a MEF2 response element (ECR5) has been identified that is essential for the PTH-induced downregulation of SOST expression [79, 80]. In vivo, PTH administration resulted in a decrease in SOST mRNA and sclerostin expression in mice and rats [23, 30, 79, 81]. In addition, a constitutively active PTH receptor 1 (caPTHR1) exclusively expressed in osteocytes resulted in increased remodeling with decreased osteoblast apoptosis and suppression of SOST expression [82]. This
effect was blunted in mice lacking LRP5, suggesting that the effect of caPTHR1 was mediated by increased Wnt signaling due to suppression of SOST. The importance of SOST regulation by PTH is further supported by the observations that the anabolic effect of PTH is blunted in Sost deficient mice as well as in mice overexpressing Sost using a constitutive active promoter [83].

Two other systemic factors have also been shown to affect SOST/sclerostin expression. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 alone or in combination with retinoic acid increased SOST expression in human osteoblastic cells in vitro [32, 77]. The specific effect of glucocorticoids on SOST expression depends on the experimental conditions. In vitro, dexamethason suppressed SOST expression in osteoblastic cells [32], while in vivo treatment of mice with prednisolone increased Sost expression in tibiae, suggesting that suppression of Wnt signaling by the upregulation of sclerostin may account for the glucocorticoid-induced suppression in bone formation (Figure 4) [84].

BMP2, 4, and 6 are local growth factors shown to stimulate SOST expression in osteoblastic cells in vitro [27, 32], probably by an indirect mechanism [85]. Decreased BMP signaling due to osteoblast specific knockout of Bmprla decreased Sost mRNA and sclerostin protein expression in embryonic mice calvariae and was associated with increased bone mass [86]. In these mice, however, both bone formation and resorption were inhibited. The authors proposed that the decrease in bone formation was independent of sclerostin expression and a direct result of decreased BMP signaling. The decrease in bone resorption, however, may be an effect of increased Wnt signaling due to the decrease in sclerostin expression. This in turn may be due to upregulation of osteoprotegerin in mature osteoblasts by Wnts and, thereby, inhibition of RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis [87].

Despite the rapid progress in our understanding of the regulation of the production and function of sclerostin, there are still important questions that need to be addressed in future research. These include the identification of factors that regulate sclerostin/SOST expression and determine its highly restricted expression pattern. Furthermore, the mechanism by which sclerostin binding to LRP5/6 interferes with canonical Wnt signaling as well as potential additional functions of sclerostin, besides antagonizing canonical Wnt signaling, should be further explored. While sclerostin measurements in serum revealed some interesting associations
with disease, the precise function and relevance of circulating sclerostin need to be elucidated. More detailed and structured analysis of bone metabolism in patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease, sclerostin expression in pathological conditions, and a genotype-phenotype characterization of SOST are required to better understand its function and regulation in humans.

## Therapeutic potential

The identification of sclerostin deficiency as the cause of sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease and the progress in our understanding of the action of sclerostin on bone formation has opened a new area in bone therapeutics. The restricted expression pattern of sclerostin and the exclusive bone phenotype of good quality of patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease provide the basis for the design of therapeutics that specifically stimulate bone formation, an action relevant to the treatment of osteoporosis. As sclerostin is a secreted protein, one approach to achieve this is to develop antibodies capable of inhibiting the biological activity of sclerostin, mimicking, thus, its absence in sclerosteosis. Such antibodies have already been shown to increase BMD, bone volume and bone strength in ovariectomized rats [88] and primates [89] and to reverse bone loss in a model of colitis [90] and are currently in Phase III clinical trials (AMG 785, NCT01575834 and NCT01631214 on www.clinicaltrials.gov). Placebo-controlled studies and a recent phase II study comparing sclerostin antibody to alendronate and teriperatide demonstrated a markedly increased BMD at spine, hip and femoral neck that was significantly higher than in patients that were treated with other drugs [91, 92]. Bone formation markers were rapidly increased and bone resorption markers were decreased. While the increase in bone formation markers was transitory, the changes in bone resorption was sustained over the 12 -month study period and resulted in a large anabolic window which has not been observed in other osteoporosis therapies.

Other approaches to inhibit sclerostin production or activity are also feasible. However, given the availability of efficacious treatments, any novel treatment for osteoporosis should not only be effective but also devoid of adverse effects. The absence of any extraskeletal complications of patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease are reassuring. Furthermore, the finding of consistently higher BMD values in carriers of sclerosteosis with no skeletal complications [6] suggests
that the sclerostin inhibition can be titrated and can lead to the desired outcome without any side effects, but safety margins need to be determined. However, there have been concerns that stimulation of bone formation by increasing Wnt signaling may lead to unwanted skeletal effects [93, 94]. The Wnt inhibitor factor 1 (WIF1), for example, has been identified as a candidate tumor suppressor gene in human osteosarcoma, suggesting that the susceptibility to osteosarcoma may be increased in patients receiving novel anabolic treatments targeting Wnt antagonists [95]. This is another issue that needs to be further investigated.

Study of the molecular defects of rare bone disorders such as sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease can, thus, lead to the development of new bone forming agents allowing to tailor pharmacotherapy to the needs of the individual patient with osteoporosis. In addition, they may help in the management of the small group of patients with sclerosteosis or Van Buchem disease, for whom the only currently available treatment is the technically difficult and often risky removal of excess bone tissue from the skull.
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## Abstract

Context: Sclerostin and DKK1 are antagonists of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway, both binding to the same LRP5/6 receptor on osteoblasts, thereby inhibiting bone formation. It is not known whether there is an interaction between sclerostin and DKK1.

Objective: We examined whether a lack of sclerostin is compensated by increased DKK1 levels.

Design, setting and patients: We measured DKK1 levels in serum samples of patients and carriers of sclerosteosis (19 patients, 24 carriers) and Van Buchem disease (VBD) (13 patients, 22 carriers), and 25 healthy controls. Sclerosteosis and VBD are caused by deficient sclerostin synthesis and are characterised by increased bone formation and hyperostotic phenotypes.

Main outcome measures: DKK1 levels were compared between patients and carriers, and between patients and healthy controls. We also examined associations between levels of DKK1 and bone turnover markers P1NP and CTX.

Results: We found that DKK1 levels were significantly higher in patients with both sclerosteosis [4.28ng/ml (95\%CI: 3.46-5.11ng/ml)] and VBD [5.28 ng/ml (95\%CI: $3.84-6.71 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ )] compared to levels in carriers of the two diseases [sclerosteosis: $2.03 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.78-2.29 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) $\mathrm{p}<0.001$, VBD: $3.47 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 2.97-3.97 \mathrm{ng} /$ $\mathrm{ml}) \mathrm{p}=0.017$ ] and to levels in healthy controls [2.77ng/ml (95\%CI: 2.45-3.08ng/ml), $p=0.004$ and $p<0.001$ respectively]. Serum DKK1 levels were positively associated with levels of P1NP and CTX in both disorders.

Conclusions: These results suggest that increased DKK1 levels observed in patients with sclerosteosis and VBD represent an adaptive response to the increased bone formation characterizing these diseases, although these increased levels do not compensate for the lack of sclerostin on bone formation.

## Introduction

Sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease (VBD) are two rare bone sclerosing dysplasias with closely related phenotypes, characterized by progressive bone overgrowth and very high bone mass [1]. Sclerosteosis is caused by loss-of-function mutations in the SOST gene, while patients with VBD lack a regulatory element for the SOST gene caused by a 52 kb deletion of genomic DNA 35 kb downstream of the SOST gene [1]. In both disorders, these genetic defects lead to impaired synthesis of sclerostin.

Sclerostin is a protein, predominantly synthesized in the skeleton by osteocytes, which decreases bone formation by inhibiting the terminal differentiation of osteoblasts and by promoting their apoptosis. Sclerostin binds to the low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein (LRP) 5/6 receptors and antagonizes the canonical Wnt-signaling pathway in osteoblasts [2]. The binding of sclerostin to LRP5/6 is facilitated by LRP4, a negative regulator of LRP5/6 signaling that augments the inhibitory activity of sclerostin on the Wnt signaling pathway [3]. Dickkopf 1 (DKK1) is a structurally unrelated Wnt antagonist, which similar to sclerostin, blocks the signaling cascade by binding to LRP5/6, a process facilitated by its coreceptor Kremen $[4,5]$, and inhibits bone formation by acting at different stages of osteoblast development [6]. A direct interaction between sclerostin and DKK1 has not been identified but it has been reported that sclerostin and DKK1 act through a common mechanism involving binding to the first $ß$-propeller of LRP6 with a conserved amino acid motif present in both proteins, suggesting an interaction between them [7].

Because both sclerostin and DKK1 bind to the same receptors and both proteins inhibit bone formation, we hypothesized that the lack of sclerostin in sclerosteosis and VBD might affect the production of DKK1. In the present study we tested this hypothesis by measuring serum DKK1 levels in patients with sclerosteosis and VBD and in respective heterozygous carriers of these diseases.

## Subjects and methods

## Subjects

Previously collected serum samples from 19 patients with sclerosteosis and 24 carriers of the disease, and from 13 patients with Van Buchem disease (VBD) and 22 carriers of the disease were available for analysis. Detailed clinical characteristics of the subjects have been previously reported [8, 9]. In brief, patients and disease carriers of sclerosteosis were all Afrikaners from South Africa. Patients with sclerosteosis had the characteristic clinical manifestations of the disease, including syndactyly, facial distortion, facial palsy, hearing loss and increased intracranial pressure. None of the disease carriers had any symptoms or complications associated with sclerosteosis. The diagnosis was genetically confirmed in all subjects by the presence of homozygous or heterozygous mutations within the first exon of the SOST gene (C69T) in patients and carriers respectively. All patients and disease carriers of VBD were Dutch. Patients with VBD had clinical characteristics and complications similar, but of a milder degree than those of patients with sclerosteosis. In all patients and carriers with VBD the diagnosis was confirmed by presence of homozygous or heterozygous 52 kb deletions downstream of the SOST gene in patients and carriers respectively.

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and the study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Leiden University Medical Center.

## Serum biochemistry

Serum samples were measured for sclerostin using the MSD ${ }^{\circ}$ 96-well MULTIARRAY ${ }^{\circledR}$ Human Sclerostin Assay (Meso-Scale Discoveries, Gaithersburg, USA), as previously described [8]. Serum was also measured for calcium adjusted for albumin, phosphate and creatinine using semi automated techniques. Serum procollagen type 1 amino-terminal propeptide (P1NP) and carboxy-terminal crosslinking telopeptide (CTX) levels were determined by the E-170 system (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD) by the LIAISON 25-OH-Vitamin D TOTAL assay (DiaSorine S.A./N.V., Brussels, Belgium) and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D ( $1,25-\mathrm{DHD}$ ) concentrations by the LIAISON $1,25-(\mathrm{OH}) 2$ Vitamin D TOTAL assay (DiaSorine S.A./N.V., Brussels, Belgium). Serum DKK1 was measured by
the Quantikine ${ }^{\circledR}$ Human DKK-1 Immunoassay R\&D systems (R\&D systems Inc., Minneapolis, USA). In our hands the inter-assay and intra-assay precision were $5.8 \%$ and $10.4 \%$, respectively. In 25 healthy men and women aged 20 to 63 years, with normal renal function and serum calcium concentrations, and serum P1NP concentration below $65 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$, the mean serum DKK1 level was $2.77 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}(95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $2.45-3.08 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) range 1.40 to $4.83 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$. These serum DKK1 values are very similar to those reported by the manufacturer of the assay (mean $2.5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ range 1.4 to 5.2 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and by other investigators [10] with this assay (mean $2.4 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ range 1.0 to 3.0 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Fibroblast Growth Factor 23 (FGF23) levels were measured using the Kainos Intact Human FGF23 Elisa (Kainos Laboratories Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Intra-assay and inter-assay precisions were $9 \%$ and $11 \%$, respectively. In 30 healthy controls with normal renal function, normal calcium and phosphate metabolism and normal serum P1NP levels, mean serum FGF23 was $28.7 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ( $95 \%$ CI:25.9-31.5 pg/ml).

## Statistical analysis

Differences between patients and carriers of sclerosteosis and VBD were assessed using the Student's t-test. Pearson correlation test was used to assess the relationships between DKK1, biochemical markers of bone turnover and age. The SPSS 17.0 program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) was used for the statistical analysis.

## Results

Characteristics and biochemical measurements of all studied subjects are summarized in Table 1. Subjects were aged between 5 and 82 years. Patients with sclerosteosis were significantly younger than carriers of the disease, although there was no difference in mean age between patients with VBD and carriers.

All studied subjects had normal biochemical indices of mineral metabolism, and normal renal function. As previously reported, serum sclerostin levels were undetectable in patients with sclerosteosis and were very low in patients with VBD. Sclerostin levels were higher in the respective carriers of the two diseases, although still significantly lower than levels measured in healthy subjects [8, 9]. Serum 1,25DHD concentrations were higher in patients with sclerosteosis compared to carriers of the disease. Serum FGF23 levels were within the normal reference range and not different between patients and carriers of both diseases.
Table 1. Group characteristics and biochemical parameters

|  | Sclerosteosis |  | Van Buchem disease |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Patients $(n=19)$ | Carriers $(n=24)$ | Patients $(n=13)$ | Carriers $(\mathrm{n}=22)$ | Reference Range |
| Age | $28.3 \pm 15.0$ | $41.1 \pm 14.5$ * | $40.5 \pm 21.1$ | $38.0 \pm 17.3$ |  |
| Creatinine ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | $62.2 \pm 20.4$ | $55.2 \pm 12.4$ | $77.5 \pm 38.5$ | $72.2 \pm 12.0$ | < 120 |
| Calcium (mmol/l) | $2.33 \pm 0.07$ | $2.28 \pm 0.11$ | $2.25 \pm 0.43$ | $2.20 \pm 0.19$ | 2.15-2.55 |
| Phosphate (mmol/l) | $1.37 \pm 0.32$ | $1.20 \pm 0.32$ | $1.12 \pm 0.34$ | $1.11 \pm 0.22$ | 0.90-1.50 |
| PTH (pmol/l) | $5.39 \pm 3.20$ | $5.03 \pm 2.75$ | $8.09 \pm 5.52$ | $6.2 \pm 4.17$ | 1.5-8.0 |
| 1,25-DHD (pmol/l) | $147.8 \pm 55.2$ | $92.0 \pm 48.6$ * | $115.0 \pm 56.6$ | $117.1 \pm 36.7$ | 50-155 |
| P1NP ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | $353.8 \pm 426.0$ | $94.1 \pm 122.5$ * | $293.1 \pm 525.4$ | $53.9 \pm 24.4^{*}$ | $<65$ |
| CTX (pg/ml) | $397.9 \pm 393.8$ | $133.5 \pm 98.0$ * | $855.4 \pm 1147.2$ | $244.5 \pm 136.3^{*}$ | < 600 |
| Sclerostin (pg/ml) | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $15.9 \pm 4.7^{*}$ | $8.1 \pm 6.2$ | $27.2 \pm 8.5$ * | 14-66§ |
| FGF23 (pg/ml) | $29.4 \pm 16.4$ | $33.1 \pm 9.1$ | $24.8 \pm 7.7$ | $29.4 \pm 9.9$ | 13.3-44.1 §§ |

Values reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation

* patients vs carriers $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
§ defined by mean levels $\pm 2$ SD of 77 healthy controls $\$ \$$ defined by mean levels $\pm 2$ SD of 30 healthy controls
PTH=parathyroid hormone
FGF23= fibroblast growth factor
$1,25-\mathrm{DHD}=1,25$ Dihydroxyvitamine D

Serum DKK1 levels ranged between $1.19 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $10.02 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ and children with sclerosteosis or VBD had the highest measured values. Patients with sclerosteosis had significantly higher DKK1 levels than carriers of the disease [4.28 ng/ml (95\%CI: $3.46-5.11 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) vs $2.03 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.78-2.29 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), $\mathrm{p}<0.001]$. Similarly, patients with VBD had significantly higher serum DKK1 levels [5.28 ng/ml (95\%CI: 3.84-6.71 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml})$ ] than carriers of the disease [ $3.47 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 2.97-3.97 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), $\mathrm{p}=0.017$ ] (Figure 1). These differences between patients with sclerostin deficiency and carriers remained significant after removal of the higher values of the children included in the analysis from the calculations [patients $3.90 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 3.32-4.48 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml})$, carriers $2.7 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 2.39-3.07 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}), \mathrm{p}<0.001]$. Compared to controls [2.77 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 2.45-3.08 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml})$ ], patients had significantly higher serum DKK1 values [sclerosteosis $\mathrm{p}=0.004, \mathrm{VBD} \mathrm{p}<0.001]$.

In patients and carriers of both diseases serum DKK1 levels were negatively correlated with age (sclerosteosis: $\mathrm{r}=-0.64, \mathrm{p}<0.001$; VBD: $\mathrm{r}=-0.33, \mathrm{p}=0.050$ ). DKK1 levels were positively correlated with serum P1NP levels (sclerosteosis: $\mathrm{r}=0.78, \mathrm{p}<0.001$; VBD: $\mathrm{r}=0.69, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and serum CTX levels (sclerosteosis: $\mathrm{r}=0.74, \mathrm{p}<0.001$; VBD: $\mathrm{r}=0.64, \mathrm{p}<0.001)$. These relationships remained significant after adjustment for age.


Figure 1. Mean serum DKK1 levels in patients and carriers of sclerosteosis (A) and VBD (B). Bars represent SEM.

## Discussion

Our study shows that sclerostin deficiency, as occurs in patients with sclerosteosis or Van Buchem disease, is associated with higher circulating DKK1 levels compared to those of heterozygous carriers of either disease and of healthy subjects. Serum DKK1 concentrations have not been previously reported in sclerostin deficiency, but increased DKK1 expression has been observed in Sost knock-out mice (Dr. M. Kneissel, unpublished observations). These findings suggest an interaction between sclerostin and DKK1, two of the known inhibitors of the Wnt-signaling pathway in osteoblasts.

Previously reported measurements of both serum sclerostin and DKK1 in humans have provided variable results not allowing any conclusion to be drawn about a potential functional relationship between the two proteins. For example, serum DKK1 levels were found to be normal in patients with the high bone mass phenotype and high serum sclerostin levels, [11] as was also the case in women with osteoporosis treated with a bisphosphonate [12]. In patients with multiple myeloma and osteolytic bone disease, the levels of both inhibitors were found to be increased [13], whereas DKK1 levels were found to be increased in women with osteoporosis treated with teriparatide, which is associated with a decrease in serum sclerostin levels [14], also consistent with recent findings in patients with primary hyperparathyroidism [15]. Conversely, treatment with denosumab was associated with decreases in serum DKK1 and increases in serum sclerostin levels [16].

The increased serum DKK1 levels in patients with sclerostin deficiency we found in the present study may be related to the higher rate of bone formation and turnover observed in these patients, as illustrated by the positive correlation between DKK1 and the bone turnover markers P1NP, and CTX. Alternatively or in addition to this potential explanation, the higher serum DKK1 levels found in sclerostin deficiency may be an adaptive response to the lack of sclerostin, in an attempt to protect the skeleton from unrestrained bone formation. This notion is supported by the finding of the highest DKK1 values in children in whom the effect of sclerostin deficiency is most pronounced [8, 9]. Previous studies have shown no association between DKK1 values and age in either healthy adults [17-19] or children (Dr. P. Dimitri, personal communication). In sclerosteosis and VBD, bone overgrowth is progressive mainly during childhood, and both diseases stabilize during adulthood, as shown by a decline in serum levels of PINP with age [8, 9]. The finding of the
highest DKK1 levels in children is, therefore, in keeping with the natural course of the two sclerosing disorders and with the potential compensatory role of DKK1 for the underlying sclerostin deficiency. The hyperostotic phenotypes of sclerosteosis and VBD indicate, however, that the increased DKK1 synthesis is not able to fully compensate for the lack of sclerostin in these patients. Whereas both Wnt antagonists act by binding to the same LRP5/6 receptor, they have different mechanisms of action and expression pattern (sclerostin is expressed in bone by osteocytes while DKK1 by osteoblasts and osteocytes and outside bone) and they act on osteoblasts at different developmental stages. This might explain therefore why the functions of these two proteins are not fully compensatory, although sclerostin and DKK1 are both antagonists of the Wnt signaling pathway in osteoblasts.

Despite the profound changes in bone metabolism observed in patients with sclerostin deficiency, mineral metabolism is not generally affected in these patients. A recent study in Sost knock-out mice reported increased serum concentrations of $1,25-$ DHD and decreased levels of FGF-23 in these mice, suggesting that sclerostin deficiency may also affect mineral metabolism [20]. In contrast to patients with VBD, in whom there was no difference in 1,25-DHD levels between patients and carriers, we found higher serum 1,25-DHD concentrations in patients with sclerosteosis compared to carriers of the disease. Serum 1,25-DHD concentrations bore, however, no relationship to serum FGF-23 levels, which were within the normal range in all subjects whether patient or carrier, with no difference between patients and carriers of both diseases or healthy controls. Our results in humans do not, therefore, support the findings from the animal study.

In conclusion, we hypothesize that the higher levels of DKK1 we found in patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease and their carriers are a compensatory response to the prevalent sclerostin deficiency found in both diseases. This response is however insufficient to protect against the excessive bone formation characteristic of these disorders.
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## Abstract

Sclerosteosis is a rare bone dysplasia characterized by greatly increased bone mass, especially of the long bones and the skull. Patients are tall, show facial asymmetry and often have syndactyly. Clinical complications are due to entrapment of cranial nerves. The disease is thought to be due to loss-of-function mutations in the SOST gene. The SOST gene product, sclerostin, is secreted by osteocytes and transported to the bone surface where it inhibits osteoblastic bone formation by antagonizing Wnt signaling. In a small Turkish family with sclerosteosis, we identified a missense mutation (c.499T>C; p.Cys167Arg) in exon 2 of the SOST gene. This type of mutation has not been previously reported and using different functional approaches, we show that it has a devastating effect on the biological function of sclerostin. The affected cysteine is the last cysteine residue of the cystine-knot motif and loss of this residue leads to retention of the mutant protein in the ER, possibly as a consequence of impaired folding. Together with a significant reduced ability to bind to LRP5 and inhibit Wnt signaling, the p.Cys167Arg mutation leads to a complete loss of function of sclerostin and thus to the characteristic sclerosteosis phenotype.

## Introduction

Bone mineral density (BMD) in humans is a quantitative trait determined to a great extent by genetic factors [1,2]. The involvement of genes has been clearly demonstrated in patients with sclerosing bone dysplasias, a heterogeneous group of 40 different monogenetic diseases associated with increased BMD. One subgroup comprises the craniotubular hyperostoses, mainly characterized by increased cortical thickness of the long bones and the skull [3, 4]. Sclerosteosis (SCL; MIM\# 269500) and Van Buchem disease (VBD; MIM\# 239100) are two closely related, rare craniotubular hyperostoses with an autosomal recessive mode of inheritance.

Patients with SCL show progressive bone overgrowth and thickening, especially of the skull, the mandible and the tubular bones. Patients are tall and have facial distortion due to enlargement of the mandible and forehead. Entrapment of cranial nerves often results in facial nerve palsy, hearing loss, and loss of smell. Calvarial overgrowth leads to elevated intracranial pressure causing headache and occasionally sudden death. The majority of patients with SCL have some degree of hand malformations, mainly syndactyly. These features are not present in patients with VBD and together with tall stature, may allow the clinical differentiation of the two conditions [5-8]. Sclerosteosis has a high prevalence in the Afrikaner population in South-Africa. In addition, it has been reported in isolated individuals or families from Spain, Brazil, the USA, Germany, Japan and Senegal [9-16]. Apart from an isolated family in Scotland, patients with VBD are Dutch, originating from an isolated community in The Netherlands [17]. Because the Africaner population is of Dutch origin, it was assumed that SCL and VBD were caused by the same genetic defect. The mapping of SCL to locus 17q12-21, the region that was also shown to contain the gene responsible for VBD, further supported this hypothesis [18, 19]. However, genetic analysis revealed that the two conditions have a different genetic defect. Through positional cloning, Balemans et al. identified two homozygous nonsense mutations (c.372G>A (p.Trp124X); c.376C>T (p.Arg126X)) in a Brazilian and an American SCL family, respectively, and a splice site mutation (c. $220+3 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}$ ) in a Senegalese patient with SCL in the previously unknown SOST gene (MIM\# 605740) [20]. Simultaneously, Brunkow et al. identified a homozygous nonsense mutation (c.70C>T (p.Gln24X)) in affected individuals from South Africa. The nonsense mutations lead to premature termination of the protein, while the $c \cdot 220+3 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}$
mutation was shown to alter splicing of the SOST gene [21]. In 2005, another splicesite mutation (c. $220+1 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}$ ) in the SOST gene was reported in two affected siblings of German origin, putatively disease-causing in the same way as the $\mathrm{c} .220+3 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}$ mutation [22]. In the Dutch patients diagnosed with VBD no SOST mutation was present, but a 52 kb deletion downstream of the SOST gene was identified. This deleted region harbours an enhancer element that drives the expression of the SOST gene explaining the similarities between the two conditions [23,24]. All of the reported mutations in SOST as well as the deletion found in patients with VBD, result in the absence of expression of the SOST gene product sclerostin by osteocytes [25, 26].

In patients with SCL and VBD, the increase in BMD is due to increased bone formation [14, 26-28]. In addition, mouse models with deletion or overexpression of the SOST gene as well as in vitro studies of osteoblast proliferation and differentiation, matrix mineralization and apoptosis demonstrated that sclerostin has an inhibitory action on bone formation [26, 29-32]. Although the precise molecular mechanism of action of sclerostin still needs to be elucidated, it has been shown that it antagonizes Wingless-Int (Wnt) signaling and binds directly to the first two ß-propellers of low density lipoprotein receptor related protein (LRP) 5, but does not compete with the binding of Wnt proteins to LRP5/6 receptors [31, 33, 34]. LRP5 is required for the transduction of Wnt signals and the first $\beta$-propeller domain of LRP5 is known to harbour mutations causing a High Bone Mass (HBM) phenotype [35-37]. This condition is also classified among the craniotubular hyperostoses and has an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance. For all these LRP5 mutants, binding to sclerostin was found to be impaired [38-40]. Furthermore, just like in patients with VBD and SCL, the bone thickening is most obvious at the long bones and the mandible, favouring a shared pathogenesis with SCL and VBD [41].

In this paper, we describe the genetic analysis of a patient with SCL and her relatives resulting in the identification of the first missense mutation in the SOST gene. This mutation results in the exchange of a cysteine residue of the cystine-knot motif of sclerostin for a non-cysteine amino acid, a defect that leads to a complete loss of function of sclerostin due to retention of the mutated protein in the ER.

## Materials and methods

## Mutation analysis

We analysed both exons of the SOST gene as well as exon 2,3 and 4 of the LRP5 gene that comprise the first $\beta$-propeller domain of the LRP5 protein, in which all mutations causing craniotubular hyperostoses cluster. In a first step, amplification was performed by GoTaq DNA polymerase-mediated PCR (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). Primers used to generate fragments containing the exons and its exon-intron boundaries of the SOST gene were: forward primer (FW) 5'-AGAATTCTCTCCTCCACCC-3' and reverse primer (R) 5'-GTGCTACTGGAAGGTGGC-3' for exon 1 and FW 5'-CCCCTGCCCT GGGTTGC-3' and R 5'-CTTTCCACCAGCTCTAGAGC-3' for exon 2. For LRP5, exon 2 was amplified using FW 5'-AACTTCCTGACAACGCCTTAGG-3' and R 5'-TGCCATTGAGGTTGGCCACC-3', exon 3 using FW 5'-CGATGGGTGAGA TTTTAGGG-3' and R 5'-TGACGCTGTTCCAAGTTCTG-3', and exon 4 with FW 5'-GGGTCAGCAGCAATGACTGTCGG-3' and R 5'-CCAGAGCATGGGCTT CTGCAGG-3'. Amplified fragments were verified by agarose gel electrophoresis and compared with the Generuler 100 bp Plus DNA Ladder (Fermentas International Inc, Ontario, Canada). Primers and unincorporated deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs) were removed using exonuclease I (New England Biolabs, Inc, Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA) and calf intestine alkaline phosphatase (CIAP, Roche Applied Science, Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland). Sequencing was carried out directly on purified fragments with the ABI 310 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA), using ABI Prism BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit, version 1.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). The BigDye XTerminator Purification Kit was used as purification method for DNA sequencing to remove unincorporated BigDye terminators.

## Expression constructs and in vitro mutagenesis

Alignment of the human sclerostin protein sequence (GenBank RefSeq NM_025237.2) and its homologue in mouse (GenBank RefSeq NM_024449.5) showed that c. $493 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}$ (p.Cys165Arg) in mouse corresponds with c.499T>C (p.Cys167Arg) in human (nucleotide numbering refers to the cDNA RefSeq
using the A of the ATG translation initiation codon as nucleotide +1 ). Using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, California), we introduced this mutation in a pcDNA3.1 plasmid that produces a secreted form of the WT mouse sclerostin with an HA tag at the amino terminus (WT mSost-HA). This construct contains the following elements ( 5 ' to 3 '): NheI site, IgG kappa light chain signal peptide sequence (QTHILLWVLLLWVQGSTGD), HA tag sequence (YPYDVPDYA), two linker peptide residues (GA), EcoRI site, mSost lacking endogenous signal peptide, termination codon, and XhoI site. Following primers were used for in vitro mutagenesis: FW 5'CCTCGTGCAAGCGCAAGCGCC- 3' and R 5'-GGTGAGGCGCTTGCGCTTGCA-3'. The complete insert sequence was verified for the presence of the mutation and for the absence of PCR introduced errors, using FW 5'ACCGAGTTGGTGTGCTCC- 3' and R 5'-GCACAGCAGCTGCACCC-3' as primers for direct sequencing on plasmid DNA. Although the mouse Sost gene has high similarity with the human SOST gene in the affected region, a human SOST construct was also used and the p.Cys167Arg mutation was introduced by sitedirected mutagenesis at Genscript, Piscataway, USA.

The expression construct mouse Wnt1-V5 was kindly provided by Bart Williams (Van Andel Research Institute, USA) and Mesdc2 by Bernadette Holdener (State University of New York, USA). Both untagged full-length human WT-LRP5 and truncated human WT-LRP5 lacking the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains but with a c-myc epitope at the carboxy-terminus were obtained from Matthew Warman (Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Orthopaedic Research Laboratories, USA). Bert Vogelstein (The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, USA) provided the Topflash Wnt reporter construct (pGL3-OT) while the BAT-luc Wnt reporter construct was provided by Stefano Piccolo (University of Padua School of Medicine, Italy). The Renilla luciferase constructs pRL-TK and pRL-SV40 were purchased from Promega Corporation.

Red fluorescent protein (RFP) fusion proteins for WT and MT mouse Sost were generated using the above described WT and MT mSost-HA as a template. PCR amplification was performed to disrupt the termination codon and the complete region of interest was subcloned into a pTurboFP635-N vector (Evrogen JSC, Moscow, Russia) to obtain WT and MT mSost-RFP, respectively. A vector encoding a termination codon in the mSost gene served as a negative control (ter-mSost-RFP).

## Immunoblotting

The human embryonickidney(HEK) cellline293Tobtained from Danny Huylebroeck (University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium), was grown in DMEM (Gibco- Invitrogen Ltd, Paisley, UK) supplemented with FBS ( $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Twenty-four hours prior to transfection, HEK293T cells were plated at $4 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well in 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster, Austria). Cells were transiently transfected with either WT or MT mSost-HA or empty pcDNA3.1 vector ( $500 \mathrm{ng} /$ well) using FuGENE 6 (Roche Applied Science), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the culture medium was changed to 1 ml serum-free DMEM and 48 h after transfection, conditioned medium (CM) was collected and cells were lysed with $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ radioimmune precipitation (RIPA) buffer per well ( 50 mM TrisHCl pH 8.0; $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 1 \% \mathrm{NP}-40 ; 0.5 \% \mathrm{Na}$-deoxycholate; $0.1 \%$ SDS; complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche Applied Science); benzonase endonuclease (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany)). Total protein in cell lysates was quantified using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Pierce, Illinois, USA) with the purpose of loading equal protein amounts on SDS-PAGE. CM and cell lysates were diluted in 5x SDSPAGE loading buffer and subjected to reducing SDS-PAGE. After transfer to a PVDF membrane, sclerostin-HA was detected using a rabbit polyclonal HA antibody (1:2000; BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA). Equal protein loading for lysate fractions was verified with a mouse $ß$-actin antibody (1:5000; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Secondary incubation was performed using a goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (1:10000; Biorad) and a sheep anti-mouse IgG-HRP (1:5000; Amersham Biosciences), respectively, for 2 h at room temperature. Chemiluminescence detection was carried out using the Enhanced Chemiluminescence (ECL) Western Blotting Substrate (Pierce) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## Electrochemiluminescence assay by Meso-Scale Discovery

 HEK293T cells and Saos-2 human sarcoma cells (ATCC) were grown in DMEM supplemented with FBS ( $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Twenty-four hours prior to transfection, HEK293T and Saos-2 cells were plated at $1.3 \times 10^{5}$ and $4.5 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well in 24 -well plates (Greiner Bio-One), respectively. Cells were transfected with WT and MT constructs of both mouse and human Sost/SOST or a control plasmid (empty pcDNA3.1). After 24 h , medium was replaced and after further incubation for $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{CM}$ was collectedand cells were lysed with $250 \mu$ l Meso-Scale Discovery (MSD, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) lysis buffer per well ( 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5 ; 150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 1 \mathrm{mMEDTA} ; 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EGTA; 1\% Triton X-100; complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche Applied Science); 10 mM NaF ) or total RNA was isolated for RT-PCR analysis. Sclerostin levels in CM and cell lysates were determined using the 96-well MULTI-ARRAY ${ }^{\ominus}$ human sclerostin assay (MSD) according to the manufacturer's instructions and corrected for SOST mRNA expression as determined by RT-PCR analysis.

## Co-localisation studies using confocal live cell imaging

HEK293T and Saos-2 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with FBS (10\% $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. Twenty-four hours prior to transfection, cells were plated at low-density ( $0.5 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well) in 24 -well plates (Greiner Bio-One). Both cell types were transfected with WT or MT mSost-RFP plasmid DNA, or with the ter-mSost-RFP as an empty control ( 200 ng ). FuGENE 6 (Roche Applied Science) was used for transfection; FuGENE 6 reagent: DNA ratio was slightly higher for Saos-2 cells (4:1) than for HEK293T cells (6:1). Twenty-four hours after transfection, medium was removed and cells were rinsed with 1x Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS) (Gibco Invitrogen Ltd). In addition, staining with a green fluorescent tracer for live-cell ER labeling (ER-Tracker ${ }^{\text {mis }}$ Green (glibenclamide BODIPY ${ }^{\circledR}$ FL); Molecular Probes Invitrogen Ltd) was performed. Cells were incubated for 20 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after adding $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ER-Tracker ${ }^{\text {mu }}$ Green staining solution. Subsequently, the staining solution was replaced by probe-free medium and cells were imaged using an inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 200; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) attached to a microlensenhanced dual spinning disk confocal system (UltraVIEW ERS, PerkinElmer, Seer Green, UK), equipped with a three-line (488, 568 and 647 nm ) argon-krypton laser. Red fluorescent fusion proteins (excitation maximum (ex max): 553 nm ; emission maximum (em max): 574 nm ) were excited by the 568 nm line while the green ER stain (ex max: 504 nm ; em max: 511 nm ) was excited by the 488 nm line. Fluorescence was recorded using 527/55 nm and 615/70 nm band pass filters, respectively. Multicolor high-resolution time-lapse images (4 images/s) of the living cells were captured over a time period of 5 min . Data were analyzed by Volocity 5 software (Improvision, PerkinElmer).

## Wht activity reporter assays

Saos-2 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with FBS ( $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Twenty-four hours prior to transfection, cells were plated at $1.25 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well in 24 -well plates and at $6.75 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well in 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) for stimulation with Wnt1-V5 and recombinant Wnt3A (rec Wnt3A), respectively. Cells were transfected using FuGENE 6 or FuGENE HD (Roche Applied Science), respectively, according to the manufacturer's instructions. For stimulation with Wntl-V5 ( $100 \mathrm{ng} /$ well), cells were co-transfected with Mesdc2 ( $20 \mathrm{ng} /$ well), pGL3-OT reporter ( 100 ng / well) and untagged full length WT-LRP5 ( $20 \mathrm{ng} /$ well) in the presence or absence of WT or MT mSost-HA ( $40 \mathrm{ng} /$ well). For stimulation with rec Wnt3A, cells were transfected with untagged full length WTLRP5 ( $40 \mathrm{ng} /$ well) and BAT-luc ( 20 ng / well) and stimulated with $30 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ rec Wnt3A, 24h after the transfection. When necessary, empty pcDNA3.1 vector was added to make the total DNA amount equal for all transfection experiments. The Renilla luciferase constructs pRL-TK and pRL-SV40 were co-transfected to correct for transfection efficiency of Wnt1-V5 and rec Wnt3A, respectively. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were lysed using $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ passive lysis buffer (Promega Corporation). Firefly and Renilla luciferase activity were measured using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega Corporation) according to manufacturer's instructions.

## Co-immunoprecipitation

Conditioned media and cell lysates containing WT or MT-sclerostin-HA were obtained as described above. In case of LRP5, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with truncated human WT-LRP5-myc ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) and, in equal amounts, Mesdc2. Proper expression of LRP5-myc protein in CM was evaluated by immunoblotting with c-myc antibodies (clone 9E10; Sigma-Aldrich; dilution 1:5000). Rabbit polyclonal HA antibody was used to verify the presence of WT and MT sclerostinHA in CM or total cell lysates. Equal protein loading for lysate fractions was verified using $\beta$-actin antibodies. Based on these results, sclerostin-containing CM or total cell lysates were diluted more in the co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) reactions than LRP5-containing CM. Co-IP between sclerostin and LRP5 was carried out by mixing CM containing truncated WT-LRP5-myc with CM containing WT-sclerostin-HA or cell lysates containing MT-sclerostin-HA, respectively. For the co-

IP reactions, EZ-view ${ }^{\text {rum }}$ Red Anti-HA affinity gel (Sigma-Aldrich) was pre-blocked for 1 h with $3 \%$ BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1 x TBS buffer ( pH 7.8 ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a rotation shaker. Hundred $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of CM containing WT-sclerostin-HA or cell lysates containing MTsclerostin-HA was mixed with equivalent amounts of secreted LRP5 (total CM volume of $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), together with $45 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of pre-blocked EZ-view ${ }^{\text {mu }}$ Red Anti-HA affinity gel. The final volume was adjusted to 1 ml with 1 x TBS, and incubated for 4 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a rotation shaker. To remove unbound proteins, the affinity gel was washed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in wash buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5 ; 150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 0.1 \%$ Triton-X100) for 5 min, and then pelleted. Protein complexes were eluted by resuspending the pellets in 1x PBS buffer containing $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ HA-peptide (Sigma- Aldrich). After 15 min incubation at room temperature, supernatants were collected and stored for SDSPAGE. To serve as a positive control for binding to the affinity column, IP was also performed by adding a comparable volume of CM containing WT-sclerostin-HA, or cell lysates containing MT-sclerostin-HA to the preblocked EZ- view ${ }^{\text {me }}$ Red AntiHA affinity gel. In the same way, a comparable volume of CM containing truncated WT-LRP5myc not expressing the HA-tag, was used as a negative control for IP on an anti-HA affinity gel. Following transfer to PVDF, LRP5-myc and sclerostin-HA were incubated with anti-c-myc and anti-HA, respectively. Subsequently, LRP5-myc and $B$-actin proteins were incubated with secondary anti-mouse IgG-HRP for 2 h at room temperature; sclerostin-HA with a secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP. Chemiluminescence detection was carried as described above.

## Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean values $\pm$ SD. Comparisons between two or more measurements for a single experiment were performed using a Student's t-test and one-way ANOVA, respectively. For a set of experiments, general linear model (GLM) was used. Values of $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ were considered significant. In case of post hoc multiple comparisons, Bonferroni was used. All statistical tests were provided by the SPSS 15.0 software package (SPSS Inc.).

## Modeling of MT sclerostin

Modeling of the effect of the mutation was based on the NMR structure of mouse sclerostin (PDB entry 2KD3). The coordinates comprising the residues Asn59 to

Arg167 of mouse sclerostin (corresponding to residues Asn61 to Arg169 of human sclerostin) were used for the analysis. After adapting the numbering to human sclerostin residue Cys167 was replaced by arginine using the protein modeling tools of the software package Quanta2006 (Accelrys Inc, San Diego). Due to the previous amino acid Cys167 being involved in a disulfide bond with residue Cys109 and the resulting steric clash with the large side chain of the Arg167 introduced, the side chain was manually reoriented such that the side chain of Arg167 pointed towards the solvent requiring changes in the backbone conformation of the lower part of the flexible loop (starting from residue Gly110). The structure was subsequently refined by energy minimization runs; only geometrical energy terms without any electrostatic contribution were employed. Analysis of the resulting structure of MT sclerostin showed comparable statistics for backbone torsion angle distribution and van der Waals contacts. To test whether the replacement of Cys167 into Arg167 influences the flexibility of the loop, which has been proposed to contain the binding epitope of sclerostin for LRP5, molecular dynamics (MD) simulation runs were performed with or without NMR distance restraints derived for WT mouse sclerostin. All dynamics simulations were done using the software package Quanta2006 and the force field CHARMM22 without electrostatic energy terms (Accelsrys Inc, San Diego), the non-bonded cut-off for van der Waals interactions was set to $10.5 \AA$, the temperature of the bath was kept at 300 K to allow for sufficient mobility of the atoms.

## Results

## Clinical description

A 28 -year old Turkish woman from a consanguineous marriage was recognized with clinical features suggestive of SCL (Figure 1A) and HBM during investigation of a multinodular goitre. She suffered from recurrent facial nerve palsies at the ages of 9,14 and 15 years, complained of severe headaches, and had bilateral mixed-type hearing loss and right visual loss. Her height was 190 cm , weight 76 kg and head circumference 66.5 cm . The skull and jaw were enlarged, and she had proptosis and high arched palate. No syndactyly or other hand malformations were observed. Skull radiographs showed thickening of the calvarium (Figure 1B-C), an enlarged mandible and a highly increased BMD (T-score: spine +10.6 , femoral neck +9.6 ).


Figure 1. A) Lateral view of the female patient's face showing a marked mandibular prognathism. B) Plain radiograph of the skull (lateral view) showing uniform sclerosis of the calvaria, skull base and facial bones (particularly the mandible). C) Axial CT-scan of the brain showing marked thickening of the cranial vault. D) Lateral view of the face of the female's brother, who also shows a marked mandibular prognathism. E) Plain radiograph of the skull (lateral view) of the brother, showing uniform sclerosis of the skull and the mandible.

Endocrinological investigations revealed no abnormalities except for thyroid function compatible with subclinical hyperthyroidism. Her 35 -year-old brother showed a similar phenotype with a rather uniform sclerosis of the skull, a thickened calvarium and an enlarged mandible, which can be noticed from the radiographs (Figure 1D-E). This patient also was of tall stature ( 190 cm ), had a large head (head circumference: 66 cm ) and a highly increased BMD (T-score: spine +9.8, femoral neck +7.3 ). Similar to his sister, he had no syndactyly or other hand malformations. Information on the presence of additional clinical symptoms was lacking. Other family members were phenotypically normal.

## Mutation analysis

Because mutations in LRP5 and SOST are known to be causal for craniotubular hyperostoses, we evidently included both genes in the mutation screening of the patient. Direct sequencing of the LRP5 gene revealed no mutations in the coding region of the gene or in the exon-intron boundaries. Instead, we found a homozygous
c. $499 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}$ (p.Cys167Arg) mutation in exon 2 of the SOST gene (nucleotide numbering refers to the cDNA RefSeq using the A of the ATG translation initiation codon as nucleotide +1 ) (data not shown). To investigate whether the mutation segregated with the disease, additional family members were recruited and sequenced. This resulted in the identification of the same homozygous missense mutation in an affected brother. Both unaffected parents and an unaffected sibling were found to be heterozygous carriers. Eighty unrelated healthy control individuals (160 chromosomes) from Turkish origin were checked for the presence of this mutation and all turned out to be negative.

## Decreased intracellular and extracellular levels of MT sclerostin

Since sclerostin is a secreted protein, we initially tested the intra- and extracellular presence of the mutated protein by transient transfection of HEK293T cells with WT and MT mouse Sost-HA plasmids. Transfection with a negative control plasmid (empty pcDNA3.1) was also included. All transfection efficiencies were similar as measured by $\beta$-galactosidase activity (data not shown). By immunoblotting, both WT and MT sclerostin were detected in the cell lysates, indicating that the MT protein was formed and recognized by the HA antibody. Moreover, the increased presence of MT sclerostin in the cell lysate suggested an upregulation of expression of the functionally inactive MT sclerostin. In CM, only WT sclerostin was present (Supplementary Figure 1A).

To further investigate the effect of the mutation on intra- and extracellular levels of sclerostin, a quantitative electrochemiluminescence assay was used to measure the concentration of WT and MT mouse sclerostin in HEK293T cells (Supplementary Figure 1B-C) and Saos-2 cells (data not shown). In addition, human SOST plasmids with or without the mutation were tested in both cell lines. After correction for SOST mRNA, both the intra- and extracellular levels of mouse and human MT sclerostin were lower than those of WT sclerostin. The levels of human sclerostin were consistently higher than those of mouse sclerostin, which may be due to differences in expression efficiency of the plasmids and/or the sensitivity of the assay to mouse and human sclerostin.


Figure 2. Retention of MT sclerostin in the ER. A-B. HEK293T (A) and Saos-2 cells (B) were transiently transfected with WT SOST-RFP plasmid to study the subcellular localization of WT mouse sclerostin (red channel). ER staining was performed using a green fluorescent ER tracker (green channel). Living cells were viewed using an UltraVIEW dual spinning disk confocal system. Arrows indicate the presence of WT sclerostin in variably sized granular cytoplasmic structures, preferentially in areas close to the cell membrane. The ER networks typically showed the highest density around the nucleus. Merging of the red and green channels clearly revealed that both labels are not co-localized. The latter was most obvious in the many cytoplasmic processes of Saos-2 cells. C-D. Similar to Figure 4 A-B, but now HEK293T (C) and Saos-2 cells (D) were transfected with MT SOST-RFP. The orange/yellow color in the merged channel images revealed a major co-localization of MT SOST protein with the ER tracker in both cell types. Scale bars are indicated.

## Retention of MT sclerostin in the ER

As no previous intracellular localization studies had been reported for sclerostin, we hypothesized that, similar to other newly synthesized secreted proteins, it should be produced in the ER from where it is further targeted into the secretory pathway via the Golgi apparatus and cytoplasmic secretory granules. Mutations in proteins often lead to misfolding and subsequent retention in the ER [42]. To study the effect of the mutation on the subcellular localization of sclerostin in HEK293T and Saos-2 cells, we generated RFP fusion proteins for both WT and MT sclerostin, and the ER was visualized using a green fluorescent ER tracker. By confocal live-cell imaging, we found that the presence of WT sclerostin was concentrated in granular cytoplasmic structures, indicative of secretory granules (Figure 2A-B). The granular staining was most prominent in areas close to the cell membrane and hardly co-localized with the green fluorescent ER tracker, for which the staining was seen as a rather uniform network around the nucleus. The staining pattern of the MT sclerostin-RFP fusion protein was more diffuse and appeared to predominantly co-localize with the ER tracker (Figure 2C-D).

## Decreased antagonistic activity of MT sclerostin

The effect of the mutation on the antagonistic capacity of sclerostin on Wnt signaling was tested in Saos-2 cells. Cells were transfected with a Wnt reporter construct and stimulated with either a Wntl expression plasmid or rec Wnt3a. Transfection of Wntl alone resulted in a 2-fold enhancement of Wnt signaling (Figure 3A). However, when the Wntl plasmid was co-transfected with an LRP5 expression plasmid, a 13fold increase in Wnt signaling was observed. Wild type mSost plasmid significantly inhibited Wnt1-stimulated Wnt signaling to almost $50 \%$ of its original level ( $\mathrm{p}=0,007$ ), whereas the MT mSost plasmid could only induce a non-significant $20 \%$ reduction. Stimulation with rec Wnt3a resulted in an almost 20 -fold increase in Wnt signaling (Figure 3B). Both mouse and human WT Sost/SOST plasmids significantly inhibited Wnt signaling, and the human SOST plasmid seemed to be more potent. Both MT Sost/SOST plasmids did not inhibit rec Wnt3a-induced signaling.


Figure 3. Decreased level of Wnt signal transduction both in the presence of human and mouse MT sclerostin. A) Saos-2 cells were transiently transfected with pGL3-OT, pRL-TK, Mesdc2, untagged full length WT-LRP5 in the presence or absence of Wnt1-V5. The antagonistic activity on Wnt signaling was evaluated by co-transfecting either WT or MT mSOST. Data were generated in three independent experiments, each performed in triplicate. Bars represent mean values $\pm$ SD. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$ cells transfected with WT mSOST compared to cells transfected without WT mSOST. B) Saos-2 cells were transfected with untagged full length WT-LRP5 and BAT-luc with or without mouse and human WT or MT SOST expression vectors and stimulated with rec Wnt3a 24 h after transfection ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ). Bars represent mean values $\pm$ SD. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$ cells transfected with mouse or human WT SOST compared to cells transfected without mouse or human WT SOST. All data were corrected for differences in transfection efficiency by co-transfection with constitutively active Renilla-luciferase expression plasmids.

## Impaired binding of MT sclerostin to $\mathrm{LRP}_{5}$

Co-IP experiments were performed to evaluate the effect of the mutation on the ability of sclerostin to interact with LRP5. HEK293 cells were transfected with constructs either expressing truncated LRP5-myc (encoding only the extracellular part of the protein), WT-sclerostin-HA, or MT-sclerostin-HA. Figure 4A illustrates that truncated LRP5-myc and WT-sclerostin-HA were expressed in the CM, while MT-sclerostin-HA was found exclusively in the cell lysate (Figure 4A). Subsequently, CM of the truncated LRP5-myc transfected cells was mixed with either CM of WT-sclerostin-HA transfected cells or cell lysates of MT-sclerostin-HA transfected cells to determine binding between the extracellular part of LPR5 and WT- en MT-sclerostin. From the immune complexes, HA-tagged WT- and MT-sclerostin were precipitated using EZ-view ${ }^{\text {me }}$ Red Anti-HA affinity gel and immunoblotted with c-myc antibodies to demonstrate co-IP of truncated LRP5-myc with WT- or MT-sclerostin-HA. Truncated LRP5-myc was detected in precipitates that contained WT-sclerostinHA, but not in precipitates that contained MT-sclerostin-HA, suggesting that the mutation in sclerostin resulted in a reduced affinity for LRP5 (Figure 4B). The immune complexes were also immunoblotted with anti-HA to demonstrate the presence of either WT- or MTsclerostin-HA in all samples (Figure 4B).


Figure 4. Impaired binding of MT sclerostin to LRP5. A) Total cell lysates and CM from HEK293T cells transfected with WT or MT SOST were analyzed for the expression of HA-tagged sclerostin by immunoblotting using HA antibodies. Expression of LRP5-myc protein in CM was evaluated by immunoblotting with cmyc antibodies. A negative control (empty pcDNA3.1) was included in both immunoblotting experiments. Equal protein loading was confirmed by immunoblotting of total cell lysates for $B$-actin. B) Mixtures of CM containing truncated WT-LRP5-myc and either CM containing WT-sclerostin-HA (lane 4) or cell lysates containing MT-sclerostin-HA (lane 5) were immunoprecipitated using EZ-view ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ Red Anti-HA affinity gels. Cells that were transfected only with LRP5myc (lane 1) or WT and MT SOST-HA expression vectors (lane 2-3) were used as controls. Immunodetection of HA-immunoprecipitated protein was performed with both anti-HA and anti-myc. From lane 4 and 5 it is clear that WT but not MT sclerostin-HA was able to precipitate LRP5-myc.

## Discussion

The clinical and radiological features of patients with SCL are thought to be due to complete absence of sclerostin resulting from loss-of-function mutations in the SOST gene. These include both nonsense mutations and splice site mutations [20-22]. In this study, we found for the first time a missense mutation in the SOST gene causing a cysteine to arginine amino acid substitution at position 167 . The pathogenicity of this genetic variant was demonstrated by the cosegregation of the mutation with the disease in the, albeit small, Turkish family and the absence of the variant in 160 chromosomes of 80 control subjects of a matched ethnic background.

The nature of the mutation is strongly indicative for a pathogenic mutation, since the amino acid substitution results in the loss of a cysteine residue. Alignment of homologous cDNA and protein sequences using CLUSTALW (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ Tools/clustalw2/index.html) showed that the affected cysteine is highly conserved in multiple species (data not shown). Moreover, this cysteine is the last of six cysteines forming the characteristic cystine-knot motif (residues 80-167) [43]. Furthermore, the cysteine to arginine substitution implies a change from an uncharged polar amino acid into a basic amino acid. We tested the putative consequences of this substitution in several software programs, such as Polyphen and SIFT [44, 45]. These programs sort intolerant from tolerant amino acid substitutions, principally on the basis of sequence-homology. Polyphen also includes a search for structural parameters to predict whether an amino acid substitution in a protein has a possible phenotypic effect. According to both programs, the mutation is damaging with a high probability.

Cysteine residues are characterized by thiol-groups that can form disulfide bonds, covalent crosslinks between cysteine side chains that play an important role in the rate and efficiency of protein folding and most importantly in the stability of folded proteins. Some disulfide bonds have been shown to be indispensable for efficient secretion by promoting the production of more compact folded intermediates [46]. Removal of disulfides by site-directed mutagenesis has been demonstrated to be sufficient to cause misfolding, aggregation and impaired secretion of several proteins [47-53].

With regard to the p.Cys167Arg mutation in the SOST gene, we used NMR structure analysis of mouse sclerostin to predict the possible influence on the
sclerostin fold. Due to the architecture of the cystine-knot motif three peptide loops emanate from the cystine-knot, two of which run in the same direction (and form the so-called Finger 1 and 2), whereas the third emanates into the opposite direction. Finger 1 and 2 of the WT sclerostin are well defined forming two two-stranded anti-parallel $ß$-sheets, whereas the third loop is highly flexible and disordered (Supplementary Figure 2A) [54, 55]. A zoom into the cystine-knot motif showed that it is rather loosely packed, with amino acid residues between the cysteine residues pointing towards the solvent, indicating that the cystine-knot of sclerostin exhibits partly elevated flexibility (Supplementary Figure 2B). Simulations in silico suggested that mutating cysteine 167 (equivalent to cysteine 142 of the mature protein) to arginine not only disrupts the outer disulfide bond present in the cystineknot motif (Supplementary Figure 2C) but also requires conformational changes of the lower loop segment due to the increased spatial requirements of the larger arginine side chain. This will lead to global misfolding due to a decreased stability of the cystine-knot motif and the presence of an accessible reactive thiol-group at the protein surface as one cysteine residue remains unpaired. Even if a global misfolding does not occur, the large space requirements of the arginine side chain will lead to a further disorder of the loop segment, which has been proposed to be important for the activity of sclerostin by mapping the binding site of a neutralizing antibody to the tip of the loop segment [54]. Molecular dynamics simulation indeed showed a local unfolding of the remaining cysteine network, as the side chain of arginine introduced at position 167 (for mature sclerostin residue numbering is not accounting for the 25 residue signal peptide: Cys167 corresponds to Cys142) points towards the interior of the former cystine-knot requiring an opening of the ring in order to avoid steric clashes between the bulky side chain of the introduced arginine and surrounding residues like Cys109, Gly107 and Ser106. This rearrangement is complemented by conformational changes in the amino terminus, as the former packing has to be relieved to create enough space for $\operatorname{Arg} 167$. In addition, loss of the disulfide bond between Cys109 and Cys167 (now Arg167) enhances conformational variability in the loop segment since the few hydrophobic interactions between the antiparallel peptide segments of the loop are further weakened.

This in silico modeling suggests that loss of this crucial cysteine may indeed result in impaired folding and hence be responsible for retention of the mutant
protein in the ER. This is normally followed by degradation through delivery to the 26 S proteasome in the cytoplasm or by lysosomal degradation by autophagy. The latter mechanism is especially used for misfolded proteins that aggregate in the secretory pathway or in the cytosol [56, 57]. Using subcellular localization experiments, we were able to show that MT sclerostin indeed does not exit the ER, explaining the decreased extracellular levels of MT sclerostin compared to WT found by immunoblotting and electrochemiluminescence. In addition, in reporter assays MT sclerostin was found to have strongly decreased antagonistic activity on Wnt signaling. Using co-IP experiments, we demonstrated that also the binding affinity of MT sclerostin for LRP5, which is required for its antagonist activity, is decreased. In vivo, these effects resulted in a complete loss of function of sclerostin reflected by a phenotype that is highly similar to that of previously reported patients with SCL. In the same way, loss-of-function mutations derived from folding problems in a variety of proteins with different activities, lead to retention of mutated proteins in the ER and their consequent degradation. This mechanism lies at the basis of a large number of ER-derived diseases, reviewed by Aridor et al [58]. In addition to this loss-offunction, increased levels of ER stress, known as unfolded protein response (UPR) (i.e. induction of inflammatory responses or cellular apoptosis), due to inability of the ER to efficiently dispose of the mutant protein, can contribute substantially to disease pathogenesis [58, 59]. It has been reported that strongly secretory cells (and maybe also the osteocyte) are extremely susceptible to this UPR, which often results in a pathogenic outcome [59]. Since we did not perform experiments to further investigate this disease mechanism, our data can not exclude the possibility that this mechanism additionally contributes to the phenotype in this family.

In conclusion, the present work describes here the first missense mutation in the SOST gene encoding sclerostin in a patient with SCL. This missense mutation involves one of the cysteine residues of the cystine-knot motif of sclerostin. The mutation has a devastating effect on the biological function of sclerostin by reducing extracellular sclerostin levels as well as the binding capacity of sclerostin to LRP5 and, thereby, its antagonistic activity on canonical Wnt signaling. It is possible that ER stress and UPR, as a consequence of the inability of the ER to efficiently dispose of the mutant protein, also contribute to the disease phenotype.
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Supplementary Figure 1. Decreased intra- and extracellular levels of MT sclerostin. A) Total cell lysates and CM from HEK293T cells transfected with WT or MT SOST or empty vector were analyzed for the expression of HA-tagged sclerostin by immunoblotting using an HA antibody. Equal protein loading was confirmed by immunoblotting of total cell lysates for $\beta$-actin. One representative experiment of three independent immunoblotting experiments is depicted. B) Quantitative expression analysis of sclerostin in cell lysates of HEK293T cells transfected with WT and MT constructs of both mouse and human SOST or an empty control vector using the MULTI-ARRAY ${ }^{\circledR}$ Human sclerostin assay (MSD). Sclerostin levels were corrected for SOST mRNA expression levels for each of the plasmids determined by RT-PCR analysis. C) Same in CM of transfected HEK293T cells.


Supplementary Figure 2. Effect of the mutating cysteine 167 to arginine on the NMR structure of sclerostin. A) Ribbon representation of the NMR structure ensemble of mouse sclerostin (residues Lys73 to Arg169 is shown, residue numbering includes the 25 residue signal peptide, PDB entry 2 KD 3 ). The secondary structure elements are indicated in yellow ( $B$-strand), red (a-helix) and green (coil). The overlay of the 15 NMR structures of the ensemble shows that finger 1 and 2 are well defined, whereas the loop is highly flexible and disordered. B) Detail of the cystine-knot of sclerostin. The cystine-knot is rather loosely packed, with amino acid residues between the cysteine residues pointing towards the solvent, indicating that the cystine-knot of sclerostin is flexible in part. The cysteine residue, which is mutated in the patient, is colored in magenta, numbering is according to full-length sclerostin protein starting with residue 1. C) Same region displayed for MT mouse sclerostin variant. Mutating cysteine 167 to arginine disrupts the outer disulfide bond present in the cystine-knot motif.
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## Abstract

Sclerostin is an important inhibitor of bone formation. When expression of this protein is reduced or completely absent, as in patients with Van Buchem disease and sclerosteosis, bone mass is dramatically increased. Sclerostin inhibits Wnt signalling and can also inhibit downstream effects of BMP such as alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity and matrix mineralisation in vitro. The regulation of sclerostin or SOST, the gene encoding sclerostin, is not completely clear. Therefore, we investigated a possible feedback mechanism of Wnt signalling on the expression of SOST. Human osteosarcoma SaOS-2 cells were treated with different concentrations of Wnt3a or a specific GSK3 $\beta$ inhibitor (GIN). Subsequent qRT-PCR analysis showed that SOST expression was dose-dependently decreased with increasing Wnt signalling. GSK3 $\beta$ inhibition independent of Wnts and Frizzled receptors by GIN strongly stimulated Wnt reporter activity and inhibited SOST expression. Several Wnt signalling inhibitors, acting either on the binding of Wnt to its receptor, preventing the disruption of the Axin/APC/GSK3 $\beta$ complex in the cytoplasm or preventing $\beta$-catenin binding to the TCF/LEF transcription complex in the nucleus, were examined in combination with Wnt3a stimulation or GSK3 $\beta$ inhibition. None of these inhibitors could restore SOST expression after GSK3 $\beta$ inhibition. We therefore conclude that GSK3 $\beta$ influences SOST expression by a mechanism that is not dependent on Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin signalling.

## Introduction

Sclerostin is an important regulator of bone formation. Mutations in the SOST gene or the surrounding regulatory regions lead to sclerostin deficiency and bone overgrowth in sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease respectively [1-3]. Sclerostin is exclusively expressed in osteocytes in the mineralized matrix and is a marker for differentiation of osteoblasts into osteocytes [4]. It has been shown previously that sclerostin inhibits canonical Wnt signaling by binding to the LRP5/6 co-receptor and thereby preventing the interaction between WNTs and their receptor [4]. WNTs are a family of secreted proteins that regulate many developmental processes, for example body axis formation, chondrogenesis and limb development $[5,6]$ and has been proven important in bone formation [7]. In the absence of Wnt activation, $\beta$-catenin is phosphorylated by glycogen synthase kinase $3 \beta$ (GSK3 3 ) in a complex with axin and adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) and is subsequently degraded. When WNTs bind to the Frizzled receptor and LRP5/6 co-receptor, axin is recruited to the membrane and the destruction complex is disrupted. Consequently, the phosphorylating action of GSK $3 \beta$ is prohibited and $\beta$-catenin accumulates in the cytoplasm, translocates into the nucleus and activates the transcription of the Wnt target genes by binding to the TCF/LEF transcription complex (Figure 1) [8, 9].

Sclerostin is one of several known Wnt signaling inhibitors (Figure 1). Dickkopf-1 (DKK1) and sclerostin inhibit canonical Wnt signaling in a similar manner by binding to the LRP5/6 co-receptor [10, 11]. Apart from endogenous inhibitors, many synthetic inhibitors have been developed to inhibit different aspects of the Wnt signaling pathway. One of these synthetic molecules is XAV939, a tankyrase inhibitor. Tankyrase marks axin for degradation, leading to disruption of the axin/ APC/GSK $3 \beta$ complex. Thus, inhibition of tankyrase leads to accumulation of axin, breakdown of $\beta$-catenin and inhibition of the Wnt pathway [12]. PNU74654 binds to $\beta$-catenin, preventing it from binding to the TCF/LEF transcription complex [13].

Even though knowledge on the role of sclerostin in bone biology is ever increasing, little is known about the regulation of its expression. Several factors have been shown to downregulate SOST, such as PTH [14-16] and mechanical loading [17, 18]. Bone Morphogenetic Proteins (BMPs) are known to stimulate SOST transcription, and this may in turn inhibit the canonical Wnt pathway [19, 20]. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 alone or in combination with retinoic acid also


Figure 1. Wnt signaling pathway with the different Wnt signaling inhibitors described in this manuscript. Dickkopf 1 (DKK1) is an extracellular inhibitor that works in the same way as sclerostin by binding to the LRP5/6 co-receptor and preventing the interaction with WNTs and the Frizzled receptor. XAV939 is a tankyrase inhibitor. Tankyrase marks Axin for degradation, leading to disruption of the Axin/APC/GSK3 $\beta$ complex. Inhibition of tankyrase therefore leads to accumulation of Axin, breakdown of $\beta$-catenin and inhibition of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway. PNU74654 binds to $\beta$-catenin itself and thereby prevents interaction with the transcription complex and transcription of the Wnt target genes.
increases SOST expression [19, 21]. In addition, several transcription factor binding motifs in the SOST promoter have been identified [21], and the ECR5 element has a clear function in regulation of SOST expression, as evidenced by patients with Van Buchem disease in whom this region is deleted [22]. In this study, we investigated a possible feedback mechanism of the Wnt signaling pathway on the expression of SOST.

## Materials and Methods

## Cells, materials and reagents

The human osteosarcoma cell line SaOS-2 (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and rat osteosarcoma cell line UMR106 were cultured in cell culture flasks (Greiner Bio-One,

Kremsmünster, Austria) with 4,5 g/L Glutamax Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with $10 \%$ Fetal Calf Serum (FCS; Greiner Bio-One), $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin (Gibco). Recombinant BMP4, Wnt3a and DKK1 were purchased from R\&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). The specific GSK3 $\beta$ inhibitor 3-imidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl-4-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-[1,4]diazepino-[6,7,1-hi]indol-7-yl)pyrrole-2,5-dione (further referred to as GIN) was kindly provided by Dr. Rawadi (Prostrakan, France) and previously described by Engler et al. and Miclea et al. [23, 24]. The Wnt signaling inhibitors XAV939 and PNU74654 were purchased at Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). The Wnt-responsive luciferase reporter BAT-luc $[24,25]$ and BMP responsive element luciferase reporter BRE-luc [26] have been described previously.

## Luciferase experiments

SaOS-2 cells were seeded in 96 -well plates (Greiner Bio-One) at a density of 22,500 cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and cultured overnight to $70-80 \%$ confluence. The cells were transfected with BAT-luc or BRE-luc reporter construct and a pGL4-CAG renilla luciferase construct using FuGene HD transfection reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 24 hours treatment with the indicated reagents, luciferase activity was determined using the Dual-Glo Luciferase assay system (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, USA) with a SpectraMax L luminometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Relative luminescence was calculated as firefly luciferase/renilla luciferase and expressed as fold change versus control.

## Quantitative RT-PCR and primers

Total RNA was isolated from SaOS-2 and UMR106 cells using TriPure Isolation Reagent (Roche, Penzberg, Germany) after 24 hours treatment with indicated reagents. cDNA was synthesized using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed using the Quantitect SYBRgreen PCR kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands) with an iQ5 PCR cycler (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Primers (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium) (Table 1) were designed for SOST, and $\beta 2$ microglobulin was used as an internal control. Measurements were performed in triplicate and analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}}$ method [27].

Table 1. Primer sequences

| Gene | Species | Forward | Reverse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOST | Human | 5'-tgctggtacacacagccttc-3' | 5'-gtcacgtagcgggtgaagtg-3' |
| $\beta 2$-microglobulin | Human | 5'-tgctgtctccatgtttgatgtatct-3' | 5'-tctctgctccecacctctaagt-3' |
| SOST | Rat | 5'-gagtacceagagcetcctca-3' | 5'-agcacaccaactcggtgac-3' |
| $\beta 2$-microglobulin | Rat | 5'-ccgtcgtgcttgccattcagaaaact-3' | 5'-tgaggtgggtggaactgagacacg-3' |

## Statistical analysis

Values represent means $\pm$ SD. Differences were tested by One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's post hoc test using Graphpad Prism 5 software (La Jolla, CA, USA). Results were considered significant at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## Results

To examine the effect of Wnt signaling on SOST expression, human SaOS-2 cells were incubated with different concentrations of Wnt3a and the GSK3 $\beta$ inhibitor GIN. There was a dose-dependent increase of luciferase activity of the Wnt reporter BAT-luc after Wnt3a stimulation (Figure 2A). SOST expression decreased dosedependently after stimulation with Wnt3a (Figure 2B). GIN was more potent than Wnt3a in inducing BAT-luc activation, showing an induction of more than 400 fold compared to the control (Figure 2C). Inhibition of GSK3 $\beta$ with GIN resulted in a marked and dose-dependent decrease in SOST expression (Figure 2D). Interestingly, at a concentration of $3 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$, GIN had no effect on BAT-luc activity, while SOST expression was decreased. The effect of GIN was also investigated in UMR106 cells (data not shown). A marked decrease (74\%) in SOST expression was seen, indicating that the effect is not cell-specific.

Subsequently, we tested the hypothesis that the observed downregulation of SOST expression observed after stimulation of the Wnt signaling pathway was a direct effect of Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin signaling. Therefore three inhibitors of the Wnt signaling pathway were tested for their ability to counteract the effect of GIN on SOST expression. As expected, the extracellular inhibitor DKK1 could not inhibit Wnt signaling after stimulation with GIN (Figure 3A). While XAV939 and PNU74654 significantly inhibited BAT-luc reporter activity, neither of these inhibitors was able to restore SOST expression (Figure 3B).


Figure 2. Wnt reporter BAT-luc activity and SOST expression after stimulation with WNT3a or GIN. SaOS-2 cells were transfected with the Wnt reporter construct BAT-luc and were stimulated with the indicated concentrations for 24 hours. Luciferase $(\mathrm{n}=6)$ and SOST expression $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ were measured. BAT-luc activity increased $(A)$, while SOST expression decreased (B) dose-dependently with WNT3a stimulation in SaOS-2 cells. GIN was more potent in BAT-luc activation (C) and strongly decreased SOST expression in SaOS-2 cells in a dose-dependent manner (D). Compared to control: ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$.

## Discussion

Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin or canonical Wnt signaling regulates many developmental processes, for example body axis formation, chondrogenesis and limb development [5, 6]. In bone, canonical Wnt signaling regulates the expression of several transcription factors that are essential to osteoblast differentiation such as Runx2 and Osterix [28, 29]. The signaling pathway is mediated by GSK3 $\beta$, a serine/threonine kinase that phosphorylates $\beta$-catenin to mark it for degradation. Inhibition of GSK3 $\beta$ leads to accumulation of $\beta$-catenin, which is translocated to the nucleus and activates target gene transcription.

In the current study it was shown that inhibition of GSK3 $\beta$ via the Wnt pathway with Wnt3a or direct inhibition with GIN resulted in decreased expression of the


Figure 3. Wnt reporter BAT-luc activity and SOST expression after incubation with GIN and different Wnt signaling inhibitors. A) Wnt reporter BAT-luc activity in SaOS-2 cells after stimulation with GIN $(\mathrm{n}=6)$. The extracellular inhibitor DKK1 could not inhibit GIN-induced BAT-luc activity. Both XAV939 and PNU74654 significantly inhibited BAT-luc activity. B) RNA from SaOS-2 cells was isolated after 24 hours incubation with GIN and inhibitors $(\mathrm{n}=3)$. None of the inhibitors could restore SOST expression to control levels. Compared to control: ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$. Compared to GIN control: \#\#\# $\mathrm{p}<0.001$.

Wnt signaling inhibitor SOST. However, this did not appear to be a direct effect as SOST expression was decreased at a concentration of GIN where no increase in BAT-luc activity was seen. In addition, the $\beta$-catenin binding inhibitor PNU74654 was unable to restore SOST expression after treatment with GIN. Downregulation of SOST therefore appears to be mediated by GSK $3 \beta$, independent of $\beta$-catenin. However, even though GIN was thoroughly screened for selectivity against a panel of kinases [23], future experiments need to confirm the role of GSK3 $\beta$. Inhibition of GSK3 $\beta$ using other synthetic inhibitors or an siRNA could for example exclude cross-reactivity or off-target effects of GIN. In addition, transfection of a construct containing a mutated GSK3 $\beta$ that is insensitive to GIN would, in theory, abolish the effect of GIN on SOST expression.

Looking at the regulatory pathway involved in the downregulation of SOST, canonical Wnt signaling seems the most plausible option because of its clear connection to regulation of bone cells. However, as shown in this study, the effect on SOST was not dependent on $\beta$-catenin and therefore ruled out canonical Wnt signaling. GSK3 $\beta$ is involved in other pathways like insulin and growth factor signaling [29], and has been suggested to influence Runx2 and Smad1 activity in bone [30, 31]. The transcription factor Runx2 is a good candidate for SOST regulation
considering the known binding site for Runx2 in the SOST promoter [21]. Kugimiya et al. [32] showed enhanced transcriptional activity of Runx2 in heterozygous GSK3 $\beta$-deficient mice, and a consequent increased bone formation. As sclerostin inhibits bone formation this may have been at least partly mediated by a decrease in sclerostin, but unfortunately expression of this protein was not measured in the GSK3 $\beta^{+/-}$mice. SOST promoter studies by Yu et al. revealed an inhibitory effect of Runx2 on SOST expression [33]. Conversely, decreased SOST expression was found by Sevetson et al. when the Runx2 binding site was deleted from the SOST promoter, suggesting a stimulatory effect of Runx2 [21]. This difference is difficult to explain, especially since the studies by both Sevetson and Yu et al. were, like the current study, performed in SaOS-2 cells.

BMPs also play an important role in the regulation of bone cells. They induce osteoblast differentiation and are essential to bone development in embryos [7]. It has been shown previously that BMPs upregulate SOST expression [19, 20] via an indirect mechanism, not direct effects on the promoter or ECR5 element [33]. Interplay between GSK3 $\beta$ and BMP signaling may therefore underlie the downregulation seen in the current study. Fuentealba et al. [34] described a mechanism in which MAPK and GSK3 $\beta$ phosphorylations prime Smad1 for ubiquitination and degradation. With this mechanism GSK3 $\beta$ controls the duration of Smadl activation [35]. A similar mechanism may be true for Smad 6 and 7, which have been shown to inhibit SOST promoter activity [33].

Regulation of SOST by GSK3 $\beta$ may have interesting clinical applications. Inhibition of GSK3 $\beta$ would increase osteoblast differentiation through induction of the Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin pathway, while it reduces expression of the main Wnt inhibitor sclerostin. This could support fracture healing or increase bone formation in patients with osteoporosis using GSK3 $\beta$ inhibitors already available. It is clear that further investigation is necessary as the results presented here may only apply to certain differentiation states or cell types. In addition, only SOST mRNA levels were measured in this study and these may not be accurately reflecting sclerostin protein production and therefore effects in the cell [33].

In conclusion, this study showed the existence of a new regulatory pathway for expression of SOST, which is mediated by GSK3 $\beta$ but independent of $\beta$-catenin. Future research will need to identify the other elements in this pathway and the way it interacts with other pathways during bone metabolism.
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## Abstract

Osteoporosis is characterized by low bone mineral density and a loss of trabecular connectivity, leading to bone fragility and increased fracture risk. Sclerostin, the product of the SOST gene, is an important negative regulator of bone formation with a restricted expression pattern specifically in osteocytes. It is therefore regarded as a good target for new anabolic treatments for osteoporosis. Since sclerostin is a natural inhibitor of bone formation, inhibition of sclerostin function or downregulation of SOST expression will lead to increased bone formation as is seen in patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease. Another interesting target is the receptor activator of nuclear factor- $\kappa B$ (RANK), which is the key activator for osteoclast differentiation. Blocking RANK or its ligand RANKL will therefore lead to a decrease in bone resorption.

Here we investigated antisense oligonucleotide-(AON) mediated exon skipping as a means of modulating expression of these targets and thereby influencing bone formation or resorption.

As SOST is a 2 exon gene, only qRT-PCR analysis could be used to assess efficacy of AONs. Several control AONs and AONs targeting SOST were examined and results showed widely varying SOST expression after transfection, and several AONs even increased expression of SOST. SOST expression was not decreased with any of the tested AONs compared to untransfected control cells. Exon skipping of Rank exon 6 was shown, with a maximum of $10.5 \%$ skip, and minimal skipping efficiency of exon 5 and 7. These levels were too low to be expected to have biological significance.

In conclusion, we have not been able to show substantial exon skipping activity in either of the targets. Skipping efficiency of Rank may be further optimized to reach biological significance.

## Introduction

Osteoporosis is characterized by low bone mineral density and a loss of trabecular connectivity, leading to bone fragility and increased fracture risk [1]. Prevalence is highest among post-menopausal women, as the loss of estrogen production causes an imbalance in formation and resorption of the bone [2]. The socioeconomic burden of osteoporosis is growing in the ageing society due to the morbidity and mortality associated with fractures [3]. Most current therapies decrease the risk of fractures by reducing bone resorption, but cannot stimulate bone formation (with the exception of parathyroid hormone (PTH)) [4]. These therapies, therefore, are not able to rebuild the bone that is already lost. In addition, adherence of patients to current therapies such as bisphosphonates is poor and therapy is therefore not always effective [5, 6]. The discovery of regulatory pathways in bone metabolism has revealed new targets for the treatment of osteoporosis. For example, the Wnt/Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5) pathway is important in osteoblast differentiation and therefore has a great influence on bone formation, and the system containing Receptor Activator of Nuclear factor Kappa-B (RANK), RANK ligand (RANKL) and Osteoprotegerin (OPG) is the key regulator of osteoclast formation and bone resorption.

## Targeting Wnt signaling

Wnt proteins belong to a family of secreted proteins that regulate many developmental processes, for example body axis formation, chondrogenesis and limb development [ 7,8 ] and have an important role in the regulation of osteoblast differentiation [9]. When Wnts bind to the Frizzled receptor and its co-receptor LRP5/6, this triggers the disruption of the complex containing Axin, Adenomatous Polyposis Coli (APC) and Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3 beta (GSK3 $\beta$ ) that marks $\beta$-catenin for degradation. Consequently, $\beta$-catenin translocates to the nucleus and activates the transcription of the Wnt target genes $[10,11]$. Sclerostin is an extracellular inhibitor of canonical Wnt signaling and inhibits this process by binding to LRP5/6. While it does not compete with WNTs for binding, sclerostin prevents the co-receptor's interaction with WNTs and the Frizzled receptor [12].

Sclerostin is exclusively expressed in osteocytes in the mineralized matrix and is a marker for differentiation of osteoblasts into osteocytes [13]. Mutations in the

SOST gene or its surrounding regulatory regions lead to sclerostin deficiency and the massive bone overgrowth seen in sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease [14-19]. Due to its restricted expression pattern, sclerostin is considered a good target for the development of new therapies for osteoporosis [4, 20]. There is evidence that the beneficial effect of PTH, the only therapy currently in the clinic that is capable of increasing bone mass, is mediated at least in part by a decrease in sclerostin expression [21-23]. Patients with sclerosteosis or Van Buchem disease as well as Sost knockout mice have a very high bone mass with a normal structure and good quality [24-26]. In addition, heterozygous carriers of the inactivating SOST mutation have no skeletal complications while their bone mass is consistently higher than the mean of age-matched controls [25], sclerostin levels in serum are lower and bone formation is increased [18]. This effect is also seen in heterozygous Sost knockout mice compared to wild type littermates [27]. This suggests that inhibition of sclerostin production and/or activity can be titrated leading to increased bone mass without unwanted side effects. Neutralizing sclerostin antibodies for the treatment of osteoporosis have been developed and are currently in phase III clinical trials (AMG 785, NCT01575834 and NCT01631214 on www.clinicaltrials.gov).

## Targeting the RANK/RANKL/OPG system

The RANK/RANKL/OPG system is one of the key regulators of bone resorption and plays a central role in bone metabolism [28]. In this system, the principal regulator of osteoclast differentiation is RANK, a membrane-bound cytokine of the tumor necrosis factor family. RANK is expressed on haematopoietic progenitor cells and the binding of RANK to its ligand (RANKL), which is expressed by osteoblasts, induces the NF-кB pathway that leads to osteoclastogenesis and osteoclast survival [29, 30]. OPG is also produced by osteoblasts and acts as a soluble decoy receptor that competes with RANK for RANKL [31]. This interaction inhibits osteoclast differentiation and proliferation, and consequently decreases bone resorption. The ratio between RANKL and OPG determines the effect on osteoclasts. RANKL is not only essential for osteoclast differentiation and survival, it contributes to activation of mature osteoclast function as well [32].

The importance of the RANK/RANKL/OPG system was demonstrated by the effects of deletion and overexpression of these genes in animal and in vitro models [33].

For example, Rank ${ }^{-/}$and Rankl $^{-1}$ mice have shortened limbs and poorly remodeled structures blocking the marrow cavities and show a complete absence of osteoclasts [34, 35]. In contrast, Opg ${ }^{-1}$ mice showed a progressive decrease in Bone mineral density (BMD) and excessive osteoclast activity [36, 37]. Further highlighting the importance of this system is the fact that mutations in RANK and RANKL were discovered in several bone-related diseases such as Paget's disease, autosomal recessive osteopetrosis and familial expansile osteolysis [33, 38-40]. Genome-wide association studies into the polymorphisms that have an effect on bone density or osteoporosis often find RANK, RANKL or OPG in strong associations [41, 42]. Moreover, decreased estrogen production as seen after menopause in females leads to an increase in RANKL production by osteoblasts [43, 44].

The RANK/RANKL/OPG system is therefore an interesting pathway to target in the search for new osteoporosis therapies. Recently, a human monoclonal antibody against RANKL (denosumab, Amgen) was approved for treatment of osteoporosis and bone destruction by bone metastases or rheumatoid arthritis [45]. Binding to RANKL, denosumab inhibits the formation, function and survival of osteoclasts and thereby inhibits bone resorption. Clinical trials revealed marked reduction of bone turnover markers and a BMD gain at all measured sites after 1 year of treatment. Few specific side-effects have been reported even though RANK and RANKL also have functions in the immune system and vascular system [46].

## Exon skipping of SOST and Rank

A relatively new method of in vivo protein modification is antisense-mediated exon skipping. Antisense oligonucleotides (AONs) can interfere with the splicing process of the pre-mRNA and thereby induce skipping of an exon during splicing. Depending on the target, exon skipping can be used to restore aberrant splicing when this is disturbed by mutations, induce secretion of a membrane-bound protein by skipping of the membrane anchor, achieve alternative splicing or inactivation of a protein by removal of the active domain or disruption of the reading frame (reviewed in [47, 48]). Because exon skipping utilizes the natural splicing machinery in the cell and does not induce catalytic cleavage of the RNA, effects can be more subtly titrated than conventional knockdown with for example siRNA [49].

In this manuscript we investigated the use of exon skipping as a new method
for the treatment of osteoporosis and targeted both bone formation via inhibition of sclerostin, and bone resorption via inactivation of RANK. SOST is a gene that consists of only two exons. Exon skipping has, to our knowledge, not been attempted on two-exon genes or the final exon of a gene before. Nevertheless, mutations that interfere with splicing of this two-exon gene have been found in some patients with sclerosteosis $[16,50,51]$. This project was therefore a proof of principle for the efficacy of interfering with splicing using AONs on two-exon targets. The RANK gene encodes a transmembrane domain on exon 7 and is expressed as a membranebound protein. We aimed to skip exon 7 of RANK which would lead to the removal of the transmembrane domain and production of a soluble protein. This soluble RANK protein would not be able to transduce differentiation signals to osteoclast precursors and thereby inhibit osteoclast formation. In addition, solubilized RANK is a protein similar to OPG; it competes with other RANK molecules for RANKL and therefore potentially has a dual effect in inhibiting bone resorption.

## Materials and methods

## Cells, materials and reagents

The human osteosarcoma cell line SaOS-2 and the murine monocytic cell line RAW264.7 (ATCC/LGC Standards, Wesel, Germany) were cultured in cell culture flasks (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster, Austria) with $4.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Glutamax Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with $10 \%$ Fetal Calf Serum (Greiner Bio-One), $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin (Gibco). Recombinant BMP4 was purchased from R\&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN) and puromycin from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). 2'-O-methyl (2OMe) RNA phosphorothioate (PS) AONs were designed using the method described by AartsmaRus [52] and produced at Prosensa BV (Leiden, The Netherlands) (sequences in Table 1 and 2 and Figure 1). Gapmers (AON 9 and 10) were designed to include a middle section without 2OMe backbone modification. The PS backbone in this section is capable of inducing RNAse H cleavage, while the 2 OMe modification increases affinity and reduces breakdown by nucleases including RNAse $\mathrm{H}[53,54]$.

Table 1. Sequences of AONs targeted to SOST.

| Name | Chemistry | Sequence | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AON 1 | 2OMePS | GGUCACGUAGCGGGUGAAGU | Exon 2 |
| AON 2 | 2OMePS | GCUCGGUGACCGGCUUGGC | Exon 2 |
| AON 3 | 2OMePS | UGAAGUGCAGCUCGCGGCA | Exon 2 |
| AON 4 | 2OMePS | CACUGGCCGGAGCACACCAG | Exon 2 |
| AON 5 | 2OMePS | CGGACACGUCUGUGGAGAGA | Intron/exon |
|  |  |  | boundary exon 2 |
| AON 6 | 2OMePS | CCAUACCUUUGGUCUCAAAG | Intron/exon |
|  |  |  | boundary exon 1 |
| AON 7 | 2OMePS | CUGCAUGGUACCAGCCAGA | Exon 1 |
| AON 8 | 2OMePS | CUCCAGCUCCGGUGGAGGCU | Exon 1 |
| AON 9 | RNA/dna gapmer | CUGCAtggtaccagCCAGA | Exon 1 (ashAON7) |
| AON 10 | RNA/dna gapmer | CACUGgccggagcacACCAG | Exon 2 (ashAON4) |



Figure 1. Location of AONs targeted to SOST.

## AON transfection

For AON transfection, SaOS-2 cells and RAW264.7 cells were seeded in 24 -well plates (Greiner Bio-One) at a density of 45,000 cells/well and 1.2-1.5 x $10^{5}$ cells/well respectively. After overnight incubation, cells were washed with Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS, Gibco) and 1 ml transfection medium containing $2 \%$ FCS was added to the wells. Transfection complexes were produced in 0.15 M NaCl with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ AON per $100 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{NaCl}$ solution. The transfection reagent polyethyleneimine (PEI) (Prosensa) was added at an equivalent of 3.65 for SaOS-2 cells and 6.5 for RAW264.7 cells. The amount of PEI was calculated with a method based on the protocol for ExGen500 (Fermentas, Burlington, Canada): $\mu \mathrm{l} \operatorname{PEI}=($ equivalent $\mathrm{x} \mu \mathrm{g}$ AON x 3) / 5.47. Upon adding PEI, the transfection mix was vortexed and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature. Per well, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ transfection mix was added to the

Table 2. Sequences of AONs targeted to Rank.

| Name | Chemistry | Sequence | Target |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS362 | 2OMePS | ACAGAGAUGAAGAGGAGCAG | Exon 7 | Transmembrane domain |
| PS363 | 2OMePS | CUUCCUGUAGUAAACGCCGA | Exon 7 | Transmembrane domain |
| PS419 | 2OMePS | GGCCUCUGUGUCAGAAGAA | Exon 7 | Transmembrane domain |
| PS420 | 2OMePS | AAGGUUUGCAUUUGUCUGUGG | Exon 5 | Out-of-frame |
| PS421 | 2OMePS | GUGCUUCUAGCUUUCCAAGG | Exon 6 | Out-of-frame |
| PS422 | 2OMePS | GCAGACCACAUCUGAUUCCG | Exon 6 | Out-of-frame |
| PS423 | 2OMePS | GGUGGUCUCCUCAGUGUCAU | Exon 6 | Out-of-frame |

cells and medium was changed after 24 hours of incubation. Transfection efficiency was monitored by fluorescence microscopy in each experiment using the fluorescent 5'fluorescein label attached to control AON A and B.

## (q)RT-PCR and primers

Total RNA was isolated using TriPure Isolation Reagent (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) 48 hours after AON transfection. cDNA was synthesized using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR was performed using GoTaq ${ }^{\oplus}$ DNA Polymerase (Promega) with a MyCycler PCR cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and realtime quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the Quantitect SYBRgreen PCR kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with an iQ5 PCR cycler (Bio-Rad). Primers (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium) were designed for SOST, the house-keeping gene $\beta 2$ microglobulin and different exons of Rank (Table 3). SOST qRT-PCR measurements were performed in triplicate and analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ method [55]. For Rank, PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis on a $1-2 \%$ agarose gel. In addition, several samples were selected for further analysis and quantification of skipping percentage using an Agilent DNA 1000 chip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Table 3. Sequences of primers used in PCR

| Target | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| SOST Fw | TGCTGGTACACACAGCCTTC |
| SOST Rev | GTCACGTAGCGGGTGAAGTG |
| $\beta 2$ microglobulin Fw | TGCTGTCTCCATGTTTGATGTATCT |
| $\beta 2$ microglobulin Rev | TCTCTGCTCCCCACCTCTAAGT |
| RANK exon 4 Fw | AGTGTGCACCTGGCTTCG |
| RANK exon 5 Fw | TGCAGCTCAACAAGGATACG |
| RANK exon 7 Rev | ATGAGACTGGGCAGGTAAGC |
| RANK exon 9 Rev | ACCATCTTCTCCTCCCGAGT |

## Sclerostin measurement in medium

Medium was collected from SaOS-2 cells 48 hours after transfection with AONs. Sclerostin concentration was measured with 96-well MULTI-ARRAY ${ }^{\circledR}$ Human Sclerostin Assay (Meso Scale Discovery (MSD), Gaithersburg, MD, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the MULTI-SPOT 96-well plate was blocked for 1 hour with blocker buffer. Calibrators and samples were added to the wells and incubated for 2 hours. Wells were incubated with detection antibody for 1 hour. Plates were read directly after addition of MSD Read buffer on the Sector Imager 2400 (MSD).

## Statistical analysis

Values represent means $\pm$ SD. Differences in experiments with $n>2$ were tested by One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's post hoc test using Graphpad Prism 5 software. Results were considered significant at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## Results SOST

## AONs decrease SOST expression compared to control AON

Two AONs were tested for their ability to decrease SOST expression in SaOS-2 cells. qRT-PCR results of SOST expression after transfection with these AONs were compared with samples that were transfected with a non-targeting control AON. SOST expression was decreased by almost $90 \%$ with both AONs (Figure 2A), as well


Figure 2. The effect of AONs targeted to SOST in SaOS-2 cells. A) SaOS-2 cells were transfected with AON 1 and 2 or Control AON A $(\mathrm{n}=6)$. RNA was isolated 48 hours after transfection. SOST expression was decreased by about $90 \%$ compared to cells that were transfected with control AON A. B) Sclerostin was measured in medium of cells that were transfected with AONs using the Meso Scale Discovery 96-well MULTI-ARRAY ${ }^{\circledR}$ Human Sclerostin Assay ( $n=6$ ). Measurements confirmed the decrease in sclerostin compared to cells that were transfected with control AON A. C) SOST expression of AON treated wells was compared with SOST expression in untransfected control cells $(\mathrm{n}=3)$. Control AON A increased SOST expression 48 hours after transfection. There was no difference in SOST expression after transfection with AON 1 and 2 compared to untransfected control cells.
Compared to Control AON A: ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01 ;{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$; Compared to untransfected control: \#\#\# $\mathrm{p}<0.001$.
as sclerostin protein concentration in conditioned medium (Figure 2B). However, when SOST expression after AON transfection was compared to expression in untransfected cells, there was no decrease. In fact, transfection with the control AON increased SOST expression (Figure 2C). This was not due to the transfection reagent or low serum concentration as these conditions did not change SOST expression (data not shown). In subsequent experiments, SOST expression after

AON transfection was compared to untransfected control cells that were treated like the transfected cells but without addition of transfection reagent or AON. Compared to the untransfected control cells, AONs targeted to SOST did not change SOST expression (Figure 2C).

## Effects of non-targeting control AONs on SOST expression

To examine the increase of SOST expression after transfection with control AONs, we tested 10 different control AONs for their effect on SOST mRNA levels (Table 4). These AONs were designed to target the DMD gene and checked for specificity by Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) to exclude partial overlap with the SOST gene. As they were ineffective for their target DMD exons and were not expected to have any effect on other targets, these AONs were considered good control AONs. However, the effect of the control AONs on SOST expression varied between no effect and a 6.5 fold increase compared to the untransfected control (Figure 3). Results were consistent between two separate experiments and were therefore considered to be AON-specific. The sequences of the AONs that increased SOST expression were compared to those that did not, to detect any consensus sequences or motives that might explain the differences in effect. However, no similarities were found.

## Effects of SOST-targeted AONs on SOST expression

Since we were not able to show a decrease in SOST expression with the first two AONs directed against SOST, another 8 AONs were designed and expression was compared to the untransfected control. While the first AONs were targeted to Exonic Splicing Enhancer (ESE) sites in the second (and last) exon, several AONs in the second series were designed to target ESE sites in the first exon or the intron/exon boundaries. In addition, two gapmers were designed to induce RNAse $H$ cleavage of the premRNA (sequences in Table 1). Like the control AONs, the AONs targeting SOST had varying effects on SOST expression (Figure 4). Several AONs increased SOST expression (up to 22 -fold compared to untransfected cells), while none of the AONs decreased SOST expression. In addition, four out of ten of the AONs that were tested induced widespread cell death after transfection (indicated by $\dagger$ in Figure 4). As before, comparison of the AON sequences did not reveal any consensus sequences that could explain the results.

Table 4. Sequences of control AONs

| Name | Chemistry | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Control AON A | 2OMePS + FAM-label | UUUUUCUGUCUGACAGCUG |
| Control AON B | 2 OMePS + FAM-label | UGCUGCUGUCUUCUUGCU |
| Control AON C | 2 OMePS | AUUUUUCCUGUAGAAUACUGG |
| Control AON D | 2 OMePS | CGCUGCCCAAUGCCAUCCU |
| Control AON E | 2 OMePS | GUGCUGAGGUUAUACGGUG |
| Control AON F | 2 OMePS | GUCCCUGUGGGGCUUCAUG |
| Control AON G | 2 OMePS | GGGCACUUUGUUUGGCG |
| Control AON H | 2 OMePS | UCCUAUAAGCUGAGAAUCUG |
| Control AON I | 2 OMePS | GCCUUCUGCAGUCUUCGG |
| Control AON J | 2 OMePS | GAGAGGUAGAAGGAGAGGA |



Figure 3. SOST expression in SaOS-2 cells after transfection with control AONs.
SaOS-2 cells were transfected with different control AONs ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ). These AONs were specifically designed to target dystrophin, but were ineffective for this purpose. SOST expression was increased after transfection with several control AONs. This expression profile was seen in two separate experiments.

## Increasing SOST expression with $\mathrm{BMP}_{4}$

Very few cell lines express SOST, and those that do (such as the SaOS-2 cells), express very low quantities. With low expression, it might be difficult to detect a further decrease after transfection with AONs. SOST expression was therefore increased by stimulating the cells with BMP4 during AON transfection. As shown in Figure 5, SOST expression in cells stimulated with BMP4 is indeed increased over 40-fold compared to the unstimulated control. However, transfection with AONs targeted to SOST did not decrease expression. The control AONs that were chosen for this experiment had the same effect as shown in Figure 3: control AON J did not change expression, while control AON H markedly increased SOST expression (Figure 5).

## Results RANK

RAW264.7 cells were transfected with different AONs targeted to exon 5, 6 or 7 or combinations of AONs targeting one or more exons. In this case, the aim was to induce an exon skip that produced a soluble and non-functional protein (exon 7 skip), or produce a truncated and non-functional protein (exon 5 and 6 skip). PCR was performed with primers amplifying exon $4-9$, showing skips of all targeted exons (Figure 6A). AONs targeting exon 6 resulted in a clearly visible skip product. No additional bands were visible on agarose gel, indicating that no double skips had occurred after targeting multiple exons. In Figure 6B, PCR results are shown that were performed with primers in exon 5 and 7, thus showing only skips of exon 6. Skipped products were excised and sequenced, proving that indeed exon 6 was skipped in all samples targeting exon 6 (data not shown). In addition, several samples were selected for analysis on an Agilent DNA 1000 chip for quantification of skip percentages. The percent of successful exon 6 skip ranged between 6.7 and $10.5 \%$ (Figure 6). RT-PCR analysis of samples that were transfected with an AON targeting exon 7 confirmed the absence of a skipped product (data not shown).

Skipping exon 5 or 6 of Rank would result in a frameshift and premature stopcodon, which may induce a nonsense-mediated decay mechanism. To inhibit nonsense-mediated decay, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ puromycin was added after AON transfection for 4 hours or overnight. PCR was performed with primers amplifying exon 6 (Figure 7). No apparent increase in the ratio between skipped and unskipped product was seen after addition of puromycin.


Figure 4. SOST expression in SaOS-2 cells after transfection with SOST-targeting AONs.
SaOS-2 cells were transfected with AONs designed to target SOST ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ). None of the AONs was able to decrease SOST expression compared to the untransfected control, while several AONs increased SOST expression. AONs $3,6,9$ and 10 induced cell death after transfection (indicated by $\dagger$ ).


Figure 5. Effect of AON transfection on SOST expression in SaOS-2 cells after stimulation with BMP4.
Because SOST expression in SaOS-2 cells is low, it might be difficult to detect a decrease after transfection with AONs. SOST expression was therefore increased with BMP4 during AON transfection. RNA was isolated 48 hours after transfection and stimulation ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ). SOST expression in cells stimulated with BMP4 is increased more than 40 -fold compared to the unstimulated control, but transfection with AONs targeted to SOST did not decrease expression. As before, control AON J did not change expression, while control AON H increased SOST expression approximately 3 -fold. ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001 \mathrm{vs}$. untransfected and unstimulated control. \# p $<0.05 \mathrm{vs}$. untransfected control + BMP4


Figure 6. Schematic representation and gel electrophoresis results of Rank PCR products after transfection with the AONs and combinations of AONs indicated above the lanes. Targeted exon is also indicated. A) Schematic representation of Rank cDNA with primers in exon 4 and 9 or exon 5 and 7 showing product length before and after exon skips. B) PCR was performed with primers in exon 4 and 9, showing skips of all targeted exons. Skips of exon 5 and 7 were very faint. No additional fragments were found, indicating that multiple skips did not occur. Skipped product lengths: exon 5 skip 445 bp, exon 6 skip 444 bp, exon 7 skip 425 bp. C) PCR products are shown with primers in exon 5 and 7 , showing only exon 6 skips. Several samples were selected and run on an Agilent DNA 1000 chip and the skipped product percentage of the total product was calculated by the Agilent 2100 software. Skipped product length: exon 6 skip 122 bp .


Figure 7. Gel electrophoresis of Rank PCR products after transfection with AON PS423 targeting exon 6, and treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ puromycin for 4 hours or overnight (ON). The control AON does not show any skipped product, while samples transfected with PS423 display weak bands at the predicted height. The ratio of full length versus skipped product does not markedly change after addition of puromycin.

## Discussion

Osteoporosis is a growing problem in the aging society, and there is a need for better therapies. The discovery of important regulatory pathways in bone metabolism has revealed interesting new targets for treatment of this disease. Here we investigated AONs as a means of modulating expression of two of these targets by exon skipping, a technique that is very promising for the treatment of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD). We showed that SOST expression was not decreased with any of the tested AONs compared to untransfected control cells, and that changes in SOST expression after transfection with different targeting and non-targeting AONs varied widely. Exon skipping of Rank was achieved but with low efficiency.

Even with the extensive knowledge of AON design criteria that has been accumulated in DMD research [52], none of the 10 AONs that were examined was able to decrease SOST expression. In fact, several AONs increased expression. This effect was consistently seen in two separate experiments and seemed to be unrelated to the target, since several control AONs also increased SOST expression. In the described experiments control AONs were used that were targeted to dystrophin, but proved ineffective. These AONs were considered to be good controls, as they were ineffective for their target and were not expected to have any off-target effects. However, we showed that SOST expression was consistently influenced by some of these AONs.

It is not clear what the mechanism behind the increased SOST expression is. A component of stress in the cells that was caused by the transfection may induce expression of SOST. We have tested several stressors like the transfection reagent without AON, oxidative stress, low serum conditions, pH changes and mechanical stress, and none increased SOST expression to the levels seen with the AONs (data not shown). Also, as the increases in SOST expression were consistent between experiments, they seem to be AON - or sequence-specific and are probably caused by some other factor than transfection-induced stress. The most likely explanation lies in the fact that phosphorothioate AONs bind to serum proteins, in particular heparin-binding proteins and albumin [56, 57]. This binding is aspecific but appears to be influenced by AON length and sequence [58]. In vivo, binding to proteins inhibits excretion through the kidney and thereby increases half-life [59, 60]. While the 2 OMe modification that was used here in combination with phosphorothioate increases stability and sequence specificity of the AON, it does not abrogate protein binding. As sclerostin is known to interact with heparin [61], the AONs may bind to sclerostin in the growth medium and render it inactive. This could trigger a compensation mechanism leading to increased SOST transcription.

The low level of SOST expression in SaOS-2 cells may make it difficult to detect downregulation of this gene and show an effect of the AONs. However, when basal SOST expression was increased with BMP4 stimulation no decrease of SOST expression could be seen with AONs. The two control AONs that were chosen for the subsequent experiment showed the same results before and after BMP4 stimulation; control AON H increased expression and control AON J did not change expression. This validated that SOST expression could be modulated in the same way with and without BMP4 stimulation. In addition, basal expression and BMP-stimulated expression can be decreased by other factors such as the Wnt signaling stimulator GIN (Chapter 5), indicating that the lack of downregulation by AONs was not a technical limitation of the experimental model.

To our knowledge, exon skipping has not been attempted on a two-exon gene before. Unlike exon skipping in larger genes like Rank and DMD where internal exons are targeted, it is not possible to demonstrate an exon skip in SOST by fragment analysis by gel electrophoresis. Instead, qRT-PCR was performed using intronspanning primers to detect a decrease in the full-length RNA. With this method it is
more difficult to detect differences in expression due to exon skipping (or in this case inhibition of splicing), whereas one would usually detect the appearance of a new fragment. This is especially true when the AONs result in a variable expression. It is therefore conceivable that some or even all AONs did have an effect on splicing of SOST, but that small decreases in expression could not be detected. We can therefore not conclude that exon skipping is unattainable in two-exon genes and this needs to be investigated in other genes, but the detection method remains challenging.

While exon skipping of SOST has not been done before, some experience has been gained in exon skipping of proteins that are involved in inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis. Exon skipping of the Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ) receptor resulted in a soluble protein that was able to reduce inflammation in mouse models for hepatitis and arthritis [62]. In addition, work is ongoing on several other inflammatory mediators such as interleukin-1 receptor accessory protein (IL1RAcP), IL-5 receptor and complement factor 5 [63]. Even though RANK is a member of the TNF receptor family and therefore shares a similar structure, we were not able to achieve the levels of exon skipping in Rank that were shown for the TNF- $\alpha$ receptor. Options for the design of AONs targeting exon 7 in Rank were limited as the exon does not contain many ESE sites predicted by ESEFinder (http://rulai.cshl.edu/ cgi-bin/tools/ESE3/esefinder.cgi?process=home), and the splice sites contain many CCC or GGG motifs that make AONs vulnerable for aggregation. We therefore also targeted exon 5 and 6 of Rank. While skipping of exon 7 would produce a soluble protein that could have dual actions - inhibiting signal transduction and competing with membrane-bound molecules - skipping of exon 5 or 6 would produce a reading frame shift and therefore a truncated protein. The maximum of $10.5 \%$ skipping efficiency in the exon 6 targeted samples was expected to be too low for a biological effect, and AONs targeting exon 5 or 7 resulted in even lower percentages. It has been reported that proteins undergo nonsense-mediated decay when a premature stop codon is introduced [64], which could lead to underestimation of results. Still, this does not appear to play a large role in this case as inhibition of nonsensemediated decay with puromycin did not change results. The observed low skipping percentages could partly be due to the cell type that was used, as RAW264.7 cells are difficult to transfect and result in a maximum of $\sim 50 \%$ transfection efficiency (data not shown). However, skipping of the IL-1RAcP in these cells was much more
efficient than RANK (data not shown), excluding the cells as the main cause for the observed low skipping efficiency. Nevertheless, skipping efficiency may be optimized by designing and testing more AONs or further optimizing transfection.

In conclusion, exon skipping of SOST or Rank was unsuccessful with the AONs here described. It has been shown that the sclerosteosis phenotype in some patients is caused by mutations in the 3' splice site of the first exon of SOST and these mutations inactivate sclerostin $[16,50,51]$. Even though this proves interference in the process of splicing a two-exon gene is possible, an AON targeting this region (AON6) did not decrease SOST expression in our experiments. We were therefore not able to provide a proof-of-principle for splicing modulation of two-exon genes using AONs, but because of the limits to our detection method, it is too early to exclude this possibility. Exon skipping of Rank was not efficient enough to anticipate biological effects at this point, but transfection and design may be optimized to increase efficiency.
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## Abstract

Alizarin Red S staining is the standard method to indicate and quantify matrix mineralization during differentiation of osteoblast cultures. KS483 cells are multipotent mouse mesenchymal progenitor cells that can differentiate into chondrocytes, adipocytes and osteoblasts and are a well-characterized model for the study of bone formation. Matrix mineralization is the last step of differentiation of bone cells and is therefore a very important outcome measure in bone research. Fluorescently labelled calcium chelating agents, e.g. BoneTag and OsteoSense, are currently used for in vivo imaging of bone. The aim of the present study was to validate these probes for fast and simple detection and quantification of in vitro matrix mineralization by KS483 cells and thus enabling high-throughput screening experiments.

KS483 cells were cultured under osteogenic conditions in the presence of compounds that either stimulate or inhibit osteoblast differentiation and thereby matrix mineralization. After 21 days of differentiation, fluorescence of stained cultures was quantified with a near-infrared imager and compared to Alizarin Red S quantification. Fluorescence of both probes closely correlated to Alizarin Red S staining in both inhibiting and stimulating conditions. In addition, both compounds displayed specificity for mineralized nodules. We therefore conclude that this method of quantification of bone mineralization using fluorescent compounds is a good alternative for the Alizarin Red S staining.

## Introduction

During osteoblast differentiation collagen is deposited in the extracellular space. When $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and inorganic phosphate accumulate to form hydroxyapatite crystals, the matrix mineralizes to form bone [1-3]. In vitro, primary bone cells or bone marrow derived cells can be induced to form a mineralized matrix when cultured in osteogenic medium [4]. KS483 cells, a murine mesenchymal progenitor cell line, can differentiate into chondrocytes, adipocytes and osteoblasts and are a wellcharacterized model for bone formation [5, 6]. These cells are used for the study of many aspects of bone biology, as well as new drug targets for bone-related diseases [7-9].

The quantification of matrix mineralization in cell cultures is one of the most important indicators for successful differentiation. Currently, the most common method for the quantification of mineralization is the Alizarin Red S staining [10]. This staining can be quantified by extraction with hexadecylpyridinium chloride and spectrophotometric analysis [3].

IRDye ${ }^{\odot}$ 800CW BoneTag ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ and OsteoSense 800 are fluorescently labelled probes with high affinity for bone currently used for in vivo imaging. BoneTag is a tetracycline derivate coupled to IRDye 800CW [11], while OsteoSense is based on the bisphosphonate pamidronate with an IRDye78 label [12]. Both compounds have an emission wavelength of 800 nm , reducing auto-fluorescence both in vivo and in vitro [13].

We now describe a simple and fast method for the quantification of matrix mineralization in cell cultures using BoneTag and OsteoSense. To validate this method, results obtained with these compounds were compared to the wellestablished method of Alizarin Red S staining in different culture conditions. BoneTag and OsteoSense fluorescence correlated with Alizarin Red S staining quantifications in cultures after incubation with compounds that either stimulate or inhibit osteoblast differentiation and/or matrix mineralization. We therefore show the wide range of possibilities for use of these fluorescent probes for in vitro bone research.

## Materials and methods

## Cell culture and differentiation

KS483 cells were cultured as described previously [6]. Briefly, cells were cultured routinely in $\alpha$-MEM (Gibco, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), Glutamax (Gibco) and $10 \%$ fetal calf serum (FCS; Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen, Germany). For differentiation assays, KS483 cells were seeded at a density of 9,200 cells/cm ${ }^{2}$ in 12-wells plates (Greiner Bio-One). Every 3-4 days the medium was replaced. At confluence (from day 4 of culture onward) $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ascorbic acid (BDH Prolabo, VWR International, Radnor, PA, USA) and when nodules appeared (from day 11 of culture onward) 5 mM glycerol 2-phosphate disodium salt ( $\beta \mathrm{GP}$; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added to the culture medium. When applicable, bone morphogenetic protein 6 (BMP-6; kindly provided by prof. S. Vukicevic, Department of Anatomy, School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia) was added at day 4 of culture or parathyroid hormone related protein (PTHrP; Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland) was added from day 4 onward.

## Alkaline phosphatase measurements

Medium samples were taken every 3-4 days before medium change. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was measured by adding $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 120 nM p-nitrophenylphosphate (PNPP, Thermo-Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in 100 mM glycine/ $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2 / 0.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{ZnCl} 2$ buffer ( pH 10.5 ) and measured for 10 min using a VERSAmax Tunable Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at 405 nm . ALP activity was determined as the slope of the kinetic measurement ( $\mathrm{mOD} / \mathrm{min}$ ).

## Quantification of mineralization by fluorescence

At 24 hours before analysis, the cell cultures were incubated with the calcium binding agent IRDye ${ }^{\oplus}$ 800CW BoneTag ${ }^{\text {Tx }}$ (BoneTag, LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) or the IRDye78 labeled bisphosphonate pamidronate OsteoSense 800 (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA), both at a concentration of $2 \mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{ml}(1: 10,000$ dilution). After incubation the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline
(PBS) and fixed with $3.7 \%$ buffered formaldehyde. The fixed cells were scanned with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR) at a resolution of $42 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, medium quality and intensity $5.0-6.5$. Integrated intensity (counts-mm ${ }^{2}$ ) of each well was calculated by the Odyssey software.

## Quantification of mineralization by Alizarin Red S

Mineralized nodules were fixed with 3.7\% buffered formaldehyde, stained with 2\% Alizarin Red S solution for 2 minutes and washed 3 times with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Pictures were taken using a Powershot A650IS Digital Camera (Canon, Ōta, Tokyo, Japan) through a light microscope at 5 x magnification. For quantification, Alizarin was removed from the cell layer by overnight incubation with $10 \%$ hexadecylpyridinium chloride in $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ buffer ( pH 7.5 ), and measured at 550 nm on a VERSAmax Tunable Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices) against a range of Alizarin standards (0.005$0.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ).


Figure 1. Comparison of Alizarin Red S staining, BoneTag and OsteoSense in KS483 cell cultures during differentiation. A) Representative photos of Alizarin Red S staining and BoneTag and OsteoSense fluorescence; B) measurements of ALP activity; C) quantification of Alizarin Red S staining; D) quantification of BoneTag fluorescence; E) quantification of OsteoSense fluorescence; F) correlation between Alizarin Red S staining and BoneTag fluorescence. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.73 \mathrm{p}<0.0001 ; \mathrm{G}$ ) correlation between Alizarin Red S staining and OsteoSense fluorescence. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.93 \mathrm{p}<0.0001$.
${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$ vs. day 11 or for ALP measurements vs. day 4.

## Statistics

Values represent means $\pm$ SD. Differences were tested by two-way (ALP measurements) or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's post hoc test using Graphpad Prism 5 software (La Jolla, CA, USA). Nonlinear regression was performed for the correlation between Alizarin Red S staining and fluorescent markers. Results were considered significant at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## Results

## Alizarin Red S staining and BoneTag or OsteoSense fluorescence increase over time during differentiation

To validate the quantification of in vitro matrix mineralization using the fluorescent compounds BoneTag and OsteoSense, readouts of these compounds were compared to the well-established method of Alizarin Red S staining in different culture conditions. First, Alizarin Red S staining and fluorescent images were quantified at different time points during differentiation. Figure 1A shows representative pictures of Alizarin Red S staining, BoneTag and OsteoSense fluorescence at each time point. ALP activity was measured in medium as a measure for osteoblast differentiation and increased over time (Figure 1B). As shown in Figure 1C-E, signals from all three readouts increased in intensity as the matrix becomes more mineralized over time. Both BoneTag and OsteoSense displayed slight background fluorescence. However, a significant correlation was observed between Alizarin Red S staining and both BoneTag ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.73 \mathrm{p}<0.0001$; Figure 1 F ) and OsteoSense ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.93 \mathrm{p}<0.0001$; Figure $1 G$ ). In addition, both fluorescent readouts appear to be more sensitive for small changes in matrix mineralization than Alizarin Red S, as shown by the nonlinear correlation. Indeed, at day 18 of differentiation both BoneTag and OsteoSense were able to identify an increase in matrix mineralization, while this was only apparent from day 21 with Alizarin Red S staining.

## Correlation between Alizarin staining and fluorescence after modulation of differentiation

To validate BoneTag and OsteoSense quantification further, we examined whether


Figure 2. Comparison of Alizarin Red S staining, BoneTag and OsteoSense in differentiated KS483 cell cultures after stimulation with different concentrations of BMP-6. A) Representative photos of Alizarin Red S staining and BoneTag and OsteoSense fluorescence; B) measurements of ALP activity; C) quantification of Alizarin Red S staining; D) quantification of BoneTag fluorescence; E) quantification of OsteoSense fluorescence; F) correlation between Alizarin Red S staining and BoneTag fluorescence. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.92 \mathrm{p}<0.0001$; G) correlation between Alizarin Red S staining and OsteoSense fluorescence. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.75 \mathrm{p}<0.0001$. ALP: ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$ vs. day 4. Alizarin, Bonetag and Osteosense: ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$ vs. control.
the correlation between Alizarin Red S staining and BoneTag or OsteoSense fluorescence is still present after modulation of osteoblast differentiation. Therefore, KS483 cell cultures were were stimulated with BMP-6 and inhibited with PTHrP [14]. BMP-6 stimulated ALP activity and increased Alizarin Red S staining and fluorescence dose-dependently (Figure 2A E). PTHrP dose-dependently decreased ALP activity and both Alizarin Red S staining and fluorescence (Figure 3A-E). Correlation between both BoneTag and OsteoSense and Alizarin Red S staining was significant with $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.92$ and $0.75(\mathrm{p}<0.0001)$, respectively, for cultures stimulated with BMP-6 (Figure 2F-G) and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.84$ and 0.92 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ), respectively, for cultures with PTHrP (Figure 3F-G).

## Specificity of staining for mineralized nodules

Next, we examined the specificity of BoneTag or OsteoSense binding to mineralized matrix by culturing KS483 cells without $\beta$ GP. Without phosphate, the nodules did not mineralize as shown by the absence of staining in samples without $\beta \mathrm{GP}$ (Figure 4A). ALP activity after stimulation with BMP-6 but without $\beta$ GP is slightly


Figure 3. Comparison of Alizarin Red S staining, BoneTag and OsteoSense in differentiated KS483 cell cultures after inhibition with different concentrations of PTHrP. A) Representative photos of Alizarin Red S staining and BoneTag and OsteoSense fluorescence; B) measurements of ALP activity; C) quantification of Alizarin Red S staining; D) quantification of BoneTag fluorescence; E) quantification of OsteoSense fluorescence. F) correlation between Alizarin Red S staining and BoneTag fluorescence. $\left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.84 \mathrm{p}<0.0001 ; \mathrm{G}\right)$ correlation between Alizarin Red $S$ staining and OsteoSense fluorescence. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.92 \mathrm{p}<0.0001$.
${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$ vs. Control.


Figure 4. Comparison of Alizarin Red S staining, BoneTag and OsteoSense in KS483 cell cultures differentiated in the presence or absence of $\beta \mathrm{GP}$ and/or stimulated with $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{BMP}-6$ at day 4 of differentiation. A) Representative photos of Alizarin Red S staining, light microscope images of alizarin stained samples at 100x magnification, and BoneTag and OsteoSense fluorescence; B) measurements of ALP activity; C) quantification of Alizarin Red S staining; D) quantification of BoneTag fluorescence; E) quantification of OsteoSense fluorescence. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$ vs. $+\beta \mathrm{GP}$
increased compared to BMP $+\beta$ GP (Figure 4B). Staining of unmineralized nodules was minimal, even after stimulation with BMP-6 (Figure 4A). Quantification of staining showed a large difference between conditions $+\beta \mathrm{GP}$ and $-\beta \mathrm{GP}$ in both Alizarin and BoneTag-stained samples. OsteoSense showed some background staining in samples without $\beta$ GP, especially in the control condition (Figure 4C-E). Fluorescence microscopy of stained nodules revealed the localization of fluorescence in the mineralized matrix (Supplementary figure 1).

## Discussion

The Near Infrared fluorescent calcium binding agents BoneTag and OsteoSense are currently used for in vivo imaging of bone. To validate these agents for quantification of matrix mineralization in cell cultures, we compared the results of quantification with these compounds to the well-established method of Alizarin Red S staining after modulation of differentiation and matrix mineralization. In stimulating and inhibiting conditions both BoneTag and OsteoSense fluorescence correlated with the quantification of matrix mineralization by Alizarin Red S staining. In addition, similar to Alizarin Red S staining, both fluorescent compounds showed selectivity for mineralized nodules.

Differentiation of osteoblasts and matrix mineralization were increased by culturing in the presence of BMP-6. Increased ALP activity with increasing BMP-6 concentrations is evidence of the stimulation of differentiation of osteoblasts $[6,15]$. Mineralization of the extracellular matrix increased dose-dependently when the cells were stimulated with BMP-6, which is also reflected by an increase in fluorescent signal of both BoneTag and OsteoSense. On the other hand, PTHrP decreased ALP activity in a dose-dependent manner. Like PTH, PTHrP signals via the PTH receptor and the cyclic AMP pathway to inhibit osteoblast differentiation and thereby matrix mineralization [14, 16-18]. Our results show a good correlation between Alizarin Red S staining and BoneTag or OsteoSense fluorescence after modulation with either BMP-6 or PTHrP. While the fluorescent stains were more sensitive to small changes, Alizarin Red S staining could be used in highly mineralized samples.

Specificity for mineralization was tested by culturing KS483 cells in the presence or absence of $\beta$ GP. ALP activity dramatically increased after stimulation with BMP6 , and then declined after day 11 of culture. However, ALP activity remained high
when the cells were cultured without $\beta \mathrm{GP}$. The difference in staining quantification between conditions $+\beta$ GP and - $\beta$ GP in both control and BMP-stimulated conditions indicated that the staining was able to distinguish between mineralized and unmineralized nodules and thus indicates specificity. Like Alizarin Red S, BoneTag displayed low background staining in unmineralized nodules, while OsteoSense staining seemed to have a higher background and could not distinguish between + and - $\beta$ GP without BMP-6 stimulation. This indicates that BoneTag has a higher specificity to the bone mineral compared to OsteoSense.

Bisphosphonates are compounds that bind with high affinity to bone and are used in the clinic for treatment of osteoporosis because of their ability to inhibit bone resorption by osteoclasts [19]. Because of their high affinity, bisphosphonates have a long half-life when bound to a mineralized matrix [19, 20]. OsteoSense is based on the bisphosphonate pamidronate [12], and care must be taken when using this probe in bisphosphonate research, since competition between the administered bisphosphonate and OsteoSense staining may occur. This potential problem is not expected when using BoneTag, which is a tetracylin-based probe [11]. In addition, it is important to note that in our experience OsteoSense is stable for a limited period of time (up to 8 weeks), even when stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (data not shown), while BoneTag storage could be extended to over a year without loss of specificity.

Alizarin Red $S$ is the standard staining for mineralized matrix in bone research. It can be dissolved and quantified by spectrophotometry. There are several advantages of using BoneTag and OsteoSense compared to Alizarin Red S staining:

1) They are added in the culture medium prior to fixation of the cells, and require no additional washing or staining procedures.
2) Both BoneTag and OsteoSense are available with fluorescence at 800 nm and 680 nm . The long wavelength of these probes makes them ideal for use with mineralized matrix as this greatly reduces autofluorescence.
3) These probes are universal in use for in vivo and in vitro bone research as they can also be used for in vivo imaging of bone formation. They are commercially available as quality controlled validated batches, reducing variability.
4) They can be quantified with great sensitivity using the dedicated Odyssey near-infrared imaging system.
5) Because small amounts of dye are needed for staining, the dyes are very cost-effective; up to 12,000 samples can be stained with a single vial of 24 pmol.

Other options for fluorescent detection of in vitro mineralization may be xylenol orange or calcein blue. Wang et al. [21] have for example used these substances to evaluate mineralized nodule formation in living osteoblastic cultures. However, this method was used to count the number of positive nodules, and not to quantify mineralization. Furthermore, these fluorescent dyes emit blue or red light, which is sensitive to autofluorescence of the mineralized matrix.

In conclusion, BoneTag and OsteoSense are good substitutes with many advantages over Alizarin Red S staining. The results of both fluorescent compounds provide good correlation with Alizarin Red S staining in a range of different experiments stimulating and inhibiting differentiation and mineralization. BoneTag is more specific in conditions of low mineralization and can be stored for extended periods of time. The long emission wavelength in the near-infrared range reduces autofluorescence during detection. For both compounds numerous possibilities exist for combination with other fluorescent markers at different wavelengths, such as DNA stains. This opens up many possibilities for quantitative and high-throughput experiments in bone research.
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Supplementary figure 1. Mineralized nodule in a differentiated KS483 culture stained with BoneTag (LI-COR) and photographed by a Nuance 2.8 camera mounted on a Leica DM IRE2 fluorescence microscope (Leica). Magnification 400x. A) Brightfield image, B) Fluorescence image with BoneTag in green ( 800 nm ), C) Composite of brightfield and fluorescence images. Fluorescence overlaps the mineralized area.
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## General discussion

## General Discussion

Osteoporosis is a growing problem in the aging society. While several therapies are available, none of these are able to persistently increase bone formation and return bone mass to a more favorable quantity that is strong enough to resist breaking. Research is therefore needed to discover new targets and develop drugs for the treatment of osteoporosis in the future.

## Clinical importance

Sclerostin, a natural inhibitor of bone formation that is expressed by osteocytes, was discovered in mutation analyses of patients with sclerosteosis [1,2]. These patients present with severe bone overgrowth and a bone mass that can be up to 11 standard deviations above normal [3]. Interestingly, the bone of these individuals is of very good quality and no affected person has been reported to have suffered a fracture [4]. Even heterozygous carriers of mutations in the gene coding for sclerostin (SOST) have consistently higher bone mass than control subjects and are relatively resistant to fracture, suggesting a gene-dose effect. The restricted expression pattern of sclerostin adds to the view of sclerostin as one of the most interesting targets for new treatment options for osteoporosis. Knowledge of the mechanism of action and regulation of sclerostin expression are essential to optimally benefit from its characteristics in future therapies. Chapter 2 therefore gives an overview of the current knowledge on sclerostin function, expression and regulation. Even though much is known about the expression pattern and effect of sclerostin, the exact mechanism of action and pathways by which it is regulated remain to be elucidated.

A lot can be learned from mutation studies, especially in naturally occurring human diseases. In Chapter 3 we found that Dickkopf 1 (DKK1), a protein that like sclerostin inhibits Wnt signaling through binding to Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5/6 (LRP5/6), was increased in serum samples from patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease. DKK1 may be increased in an effort to compensate for the lack of sclerostin in these patients. However, their increased bone mass indicates that the increase in DKK1 expression is insufficient to protect against excessive bone formation. While sclerostin is facilitated by LRP4 [5], DKK1 employs Kremen as a co-receptor [6, 7]. In addition, sclerostin is expressed almost exclusively in osteocytes in mineralized matrix, whereas DKK1 is expressed in many cell types
throughout the body and is implicated in tumorigenesis [8]. The proteins seem to have different roles during development. SOST knockout mice show increased bone mass without gross developmental abnormalities while mice deficient in DKK1 are embryonic lethal displaying fore- and hindlimb malformations and lacking anterior head structures [9, 10]. Therefore, even though both proteins bind to LRP5/6 they have a different mechanism of action, a different expression pattern and may act on osteoblasts at different developmental stages. This may explain the finding that DKK1 was not able to fully compensate for the lack of sclerostin.

The populations of patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease are quite isolated in South Africa and a small town in the Netherlands, respectively. After the discovery of the causative mutations for sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease, other sclerostin mutations were found in phenotypically identical patients from Brazil, USA and Senegal [11, 12]. These mutations include several different nonsense mutations leading to a premature stop codon, and a splice site mutation interfering with correct splicing of the gene. In Chapter 4 we described a novel missense mutation in two siblings from Turkey with classic sclerosteosis characteristics like enlarged skull and jaw, facial nerve palsy, hearing loss, headaches and highly increased bone mineral density (BMD). This mutation causes a cysteine to arginine amino acid substitution at position 167. The affected cysteine residue is the last of six cysteines forming a cystine knot and is highly conserved in multiple species. Recent data have emphasized the importance of the cystine knot motive for sclerostin function [13, 14]. The structure of sclerostin contains two fingers and a loop, emanating from the central cystine knot resembling many other cystine knot proteins [15]. This structure is highly dependent on the folding of the cystine knot. Because of the important function of the cysteine residues in protein folding, the mutation in sclerostin was predicted to disrupt the cystine knot motif and require conformational changes of the loop segment to accommodate the larger arginine side chain. These changes would lead to a global misfolding of the protein and retention in the endoplasmatic reticulum. In addition, we showed that the binding affinity of mutated sclerostin for LRP5 was decreased and its function was impaired. In the patients, this led to a phenotype comparable to that of patients with sclerosteosis. Together, these data highlight the importance of sclerostin structure and correct protein folding for its function in inhibiting Wnt signaling and bone formation.

## Modulating sclerostin expression and investigating effects

As sclerostin is a negative regulator of bone formation that acts by inhibiting the Wnt signaling pathway, inhibiting the expression of this protein in patients with osteoporosis would lead to increased bone formation. By understanding the endogenous regulatory mechanisms that control SOST expression, we may eventually be able to specifically target and modulate these mechanisms in patients with osteoporosis to increase bone formation with minimal side-effects. In Chapter 5 we investigated the regulation of SOST by modulating the activity of the Wnt signaling pathway. SOST expression appeared to be downregulated by increased Wnt signaling activity, and was strongly decreased by the GSK3 $\beta$ inhibitor GIN. However, several Wnt signaling inhibitors that work at different stages in the pathway could not abrogate the decrease in SOST expression. A compound that blocked the binding of $\beta$-catenin to the TCF/LEF transcription complex and thereby directly inhibited downstream target gene transcription, had no effect on the expression of SOST after treatment with GIN. It seems therefore that the decrease in SOST expression is not mediated by Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin signaling, but via a different effect of GSK3 $\beta$.

The importance of GSK3 $\beta$ in bone has been shown by inactivation and overexpression studies in mice. Global deletions of GSK3 $\beta$ are lethal in late embryonic or perinatal stages with various skeletal abnormalities [16-18]. Heterozygous deletions result in increased bone mass (both trabecular and cortical), and increased bone formation parameters without skeletal malformations [18, 19]. In addition to its role in Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin signaling, GSK3 $\beta$ is also involved in insulin signaling through $\mathrm{PKB} / \mathrm{AKT}$ [20]. While both pathways have been reported to induce bone formation [21, 22], GSK3 $\beta$ appears to be differentially regulated through the phosphorylation of different amino acids [23]. This may facilitate different downstream actions. Further research will need to identify the mechanism that GSK3 $\beta$ employs in the regulation of SOST.

While interfering with endogenous regulation of sclerostin expression is an interesting therapeutic option, other methods are being investigated to block the effect of the protein. New therapeutic strategies focus on increasing bone formation by inhibiting sclerostin with either antibodies or small molecules. Alternatively, interfering with transcription using antisense methods has become a common method in cell biological experiments, and efforts are being made to develop
these methods for use in the clinic. Antisense technology could therefore also be interesting in the regulation of sclerostin expression. In Chapter 6 we investigated a novel method for the inhibition of SOST expression using antisense oligonucleotides (AONs). As described in detail in Chapter 1, AONs interfere with the splicing of a gene, leading to exclusion (skipping) of an exon from the mRNA. Depending on the exon that is skipped and its reading frame, this produces a truncated protein (out-of-frame skip) or a protein with a missing fragment (in-frame skip). As SOST contains only two exons, skipping of one of the exons would lead to a nonsense mRNA and obstruction of protein production. Examining 20 different AONs (both targeting SOST and control) did not reveal decreased SOST expression with any of them. In fact, several AONs increased SOST expression. The mechanism behind this increase is not clear, but we hypothesize that this might be due to binding of the AONs to sclerostin, triggering a compensational mechanism. Investigating the mechanism that increases SOST expression further may be of therapeutical interest, not to patients with osteoporosis, but instead to those with high bone mass diseases.

As bone mass is a balance between formation and resorption, therapeutic efforts could focus on either or both of these. Most available therapeutics are anti-resorptive and prevent further loss of bone mass. A relatively new anti-resorptive drug, Denosumab, targets RANKL in the RANK/RANKL/OPG pathway. This pathway is critical in the development of osteoclasts, and the anti-RANKL antibody therefore leads to a decrease in osteoclast differentiation and resorption. Denosumab showed substantial improvements in BMD and fracture risk in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis [24, 25]. In Chapter 6 we included exon skipping of Rank for the decrease of resorption. Exon skipping of the membrane-binding domain of Rank has the added benefit that the resulting protein resembles osteoprotegerin (OPG), a decoy receptor that has an inhibitory effect on bone resorption due to reduced osteoclast differentiation. Therefore not only will Rank be inactivated, but a protein is formed that has an extra inhibitory effect. Unfortunately, while exon skipping was shown in several experiments, further research is required to optimize the efficiency. Only then can a biological effect be expected.

Discovering compounds that induce an increase in bone mass and strength in patients with osteoporosis would greatly improve current therapeutic options. Highthroughput screening methods for relevant parameters are needed to efficiently
investigate potential candidates. For osteoblast differentiation, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) or Runx2 activity are often used as early differentiation markers. For later differentiation stages osteocalcin or sclerostin expression can be measured by RTPCR. However, mineralization is the final and probably most fundamental step in bone formation and should therefore be the readout of choice. To provide an easy and scalable method for quantification of in vitro mineralization, Chapter 7 describes a new method using fluorescent compounds Bonetag 800 and Osteosense 800. The results obtained with this method have been compared to the commonly used Alizarin Red S staining [26], and were confirmed to correlate with this method. In addition, fluorescence was specific for mineralized nodules and even seemed to be more sensitive to small changes in mineralization. Other advantages of this fluorescent method include a fast analysis, few handling or washing steps, and a readily quantifiable result.

## Future perspectives of therapeutic strategies

A number of therapies have been approved for treatment of osteoporosis. Most therapies involve a decrease in bone resorption, and in this class bisphosphonates are most frequently prescribed. New therapeutic strategies that aim to increase bone formation and thereby restore bone mass, mainly focus on sclerostin as a target because of the favorable characteristics, such as its restricted expression pattern. Neutralizing antibodies against sclerostin have been shown effective in prevention of osteoporotic fractures and are in late stage clinical trials ([27] for results of the phase II trial; phase III trials NCT01575834 and NCT01631214 on www.clinicaltrials.gov), and small molecule inhibitors of sclerostin are in pre-clinical stages (www.osteogenex.com). The aim of this thesis was to increase knowledge of this interesting target and explore other therapeutic options. We therefore investigated the regulation of sclerostin expression in patients and in vitro, and the modulation of splicing using AONs. Modified AONs are a promising class of therapeutics, and many insights have been gained from the AONs used for exon skipping in patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD). In DMD, affected muscle cells are often damaged and have leaky membranes through which AONs can easily pass [28-30]. Bone cells in patients with osteoporosis do not have this advantage. In addition, bone cells are already more difficult to reach for any compound since bone tissues
have less vasculature than muscle. Coupling AONs to specific peptides may enhance cellular uptake and targeting to certain tissues or cells [31]. Local delivery would also decrease potential systemic side effects. However, peptides may have effects of their own, leading to unexpected results or even toxicity. Indeed, clinical development of a peptide-coupled phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomer (PMO) AON for treatment of DMD (AVI-5038) was discontinued after unexpected toxic effects were seen when high doses were administered to primates [32]. For osteoporosis, the class of bisphosphonates would be a good candidate for targeting of AONs. Bisphosphonates bind strongly to the hydroxyapatite in bone, and are therefore quickly cleared from the circulation after administration [33]. Even so, this does not automatically result in a high uptake of the AON by bone cells, as the AON would be bound to the bone matrix. By coupling bisphosphonates to AONs with a cleavable link, the AONs could be released locally by enzymatic cleavage, for example during the bone resorption process. The bisphosphonates would in this case provide a dual effect as they would also retain the antiresorptive action for which they are already used in the clinic now.

Current therapies like bisphosphonates treat osteoporosis by arresting bone resorption, but do not restore bone mass to a healthy and strong level. Drugs that stimulate bone formation would therefore be a valuable addition. This may be achieved by inhibiting sclerostin, whether by neutralizing antibodies, small molecules, modulation of gene regulation, or antisense technology. This thesis describes the development of a new quantification method for in vitro mineralization, which can facilitate high-throughput screening of new therapeutic compounds, the exploration of a new method of modulating expression of sclerostin, and studies which add to the knowledge of clinical significance and regulation of this protein.
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## Summary

The skeleton has many different functions. It gives structure to the body, provides protection to vital organs and the bone marrow, serves as a reservoir for minerals and facilitates movement by providing anchor points for muscles. Bone is a living tissue that is constantly formed, resorbed and reformed by the bone cells: osteoblasts, osteoclasts and osteocytes. During the process of remodeling, osteoclasts resorb the bone matrix and new matrix is formed by osteoblasts. Some osteoblasts become trapped in the newly formed bone and differentiate into osteocytes. The osteocytes are connected to each other and to the cells on the bone surface by long cellular processes and are therefore in a perfect position to sense changes in the bone, for example loading. The osteocytes secrete factors to signal instructions to the osteoblasts and osteoclasts to react to these changes. In addition, osteoblasts and osteoclasts also produce signals and can react to some systemic hormones, which results in a complicated and not yet fully defined regulatory network that maintains the bone tissue. In Chapter 1 some important factors in the regulation of bone metabolism are discussed.

Normally, there is a balance between resorption and formation and a constant bone mass. An imbalance can lead to an increase or decrease in bone mass, and diseases such as osteoporosis. Osteoporosis is the most common skeletal disease and is characterized by low bone mass and loss of internal bone structure. This leads to decreased bone strength and increased risk of fracture, particularly in the spine, hip and wrist. Elderly women are most at risk because bone resorption is accelerated after menopause due to the loss of estrogen production. Treatment for osteoporosis usually consists of bisphosphonates that specifically inhibit osteoclasts and therefore slow down bone resorption and disease progression. Unfortunately, bisphosphonates do not rebuild the bone to a healthy bone mass, and life-long treatment is therefore required. Other drugs are available or will probably become available in the coming years, but the ideal therapy has not yet been found and further research into the mechanisms of bone metabolism and possible therapeutic strategies is necessary.

Chapter 2 gives an overview of current knowledge on sclerostin. Sclerostin is a protein that is produced by osteocytes in mineralized bone matrix and inhibits bone formation through inhibiting Wnt signaling. It is produced by the SOST gene. Mutations in SOST or the surrounding regulatory regions and loss of
sclerostin production lead to increased bone formation. Patients with sclerosteosis or Van Buchem disease for example can present with a bone mass that is up to 11 standard deviations above normal with consequent problems such as facial paralysis and hearing loss due to facial nerve entrapment. Interestingly, the bone of these individuals is of very good quality and even heterozygous carriers of mutations in SOST have consistently higher bone mass than control subjects. This suggests a genedose effect in which a lower sclerostin production is associated with a higher bone mass. Since sclerostin is expressed specifically in osteocytes, targeting this protein in future therapies is expected to result in few side-effects. In addition, the genedose effect indicates that even small changes in sclerostin production could have a positive effect in patients with osteoporosis. Sclerostin is therefore one of the most interesting targets for new treatment options for osteoporosis.

Sclerostin can be measured in serum samples, and many studies have investigated serum levels in normal individuals and patients with different diseases. In patients with sclerosteosis, who have an inactivating mutation in the SOST gene, no sclerostin was found. Van Buchem disease is caused by a mutation in a regulatory region downstream of SOST and the disease is usually less severe than sclerosteosis. In accordance with this, small amounts of sclerostin were found in patients with Van Buchem disease. Dickkopf 1 (DKK1) is a protein that, like sclerostin, inhibits Wnt signaling. In Chapter 3 we found that DKK1 was increased in serum samples from patients with sclerosteosis and Van Buchem disease. DKK1 may be increased in an effort to compensate for the lack of sclerostin in these patients. However, the increase seems to be insufficient to protect against excessive bone formation.

New mutations that result in bone phenotypes can increase knowledge on the physiological actions of the involved protein. In Chapter 4 we described a novel mutation in sclerostin after analysis of two siblings from Turkey with classic sclerosteosis characteristics. This cysteine to arginine mutation affected the last cysteine residue of the cystine-knot motif and loss of this residue probably leads to impaired folding of the protein and retention in the endoplasmatic reticulum. In addition, the mutation resulted in a significantly reduced ability to bind the Wnt co-receptor LRP5. Together, this caused a complete loss of sclerostin function and a sclerosteosis phenotype.

By understanding the endogenous regulatory mechanisms that control SOST
expression, we may eventually be able to specifically target and modulate these mechanisms in patients with osteoporosis to increase bone formation with minimal side-effects. In Chapter 5 we investigated the regulation of SOST by modulating the activity of the Wnt signaling pathway. SOST appeared to be downregulated by increased Wnt signaling activity. It was strongly decreased by GIN, which inhibits the downstream glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK3 $\beta$ ) enzyme and thereby increases Wnt signaling activity. However, several Wnt signaling inhibitors that work at different stages in the pathway could not abrogate the decrease in SOST expression. In addition, directly blocking Wnt target gene transcription had no effect on the expression of SOST after treatment with GIN. It seems therefore that the decrease in SOST expression is not mediated by Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin signaling, but via a different effect of GSK $3 \beta$.

While interfering with endogenous regulation of sclerostin expression is an interesting therapeutic option, other methods are being investigated to block the effect of the protein. In Chapter 6 we investigated a novel method for the inhibition of SOST expression using antisense oligonucleotides (AONs). As described in detail in Chapter 1, AONs interfere with the splicing of a gene, leading to exclusion (skipping) of an exon from the messenger RNA (mRNA). As SOST contains only two exons, skipping of one of the exons would lead to a nonsense mRNA and obstruction of protein production. Unfortunately, examining 20 different AONs (both targeting SOST and control) did not reveal decreased SOST expression with one of them. A second target that was investigated in Chapter 6 is Rank, which is essential in the differentiation of osteoclasts. Exon skipping of the membrane-binding domain of Rank has the added benefit that the resulting protein is a protein that resembles osteoprotegerin, a decoy receptor that has an inhibitory effect on bone resorption due to reduced osteoclast differentiation. Therefore not only will Rank be inactivated, but a protein is formed that has an extra inhibitory effect. While exon skipping was shown in several experiments, further research is required to optimize the efficiency. Only then can a biological effect be expected.

Discovering compounds that induce an increase in bone mass and strength in patients with osteoporosis would greatly improve current therapeutic options. Highthroughput screening methods for relevant parameters are needed to efficiently investigate potential candidates. Mineralization is the final and probably most
fundamental step in bone formation and should therefore be the readout of choice. To provide an easy and scalable method for quantification of in vitro mineralization, Chapter 7 describes a new method using fluorescent compounds Bonetag 800 and Osteosense 800. The results obtained with this method have been compared to the commonly used Alizarin Red S staining, and were confirmed to correlate with this method.

Current therapies like bisphosphonates treat osteoporosis by arresting bone resorption, but do not restore bone mass to a healthy and strong level. Drugs that stimulate bone formation would therefore be a valuable addition. In Chapter 8 the results of this thesis are discussed in relation to current knowledge on bone metabolism and therapeutic strategies for the treatment of osteoporosis.

## Samenvatting

Het skelet heeft verschillende functies. Zo geeft het vorm aan het lichaam, beschermt het vitale organen en het beenmerg, is een reservoir voor mineralen en geeft een aanknopingspunt voor spieren zodat bewegen mogelijk wordt. Het bot is een levend weefsel dat voortdurend wordt aangemaakt en afgebroken (geresorbeerd) door botcellen: de osteoblasten, osteoclasten en osteocyten. Osteoclasten resorberen het bot, waarna osteoblasten nieuwe botmatrix vormen. Sommige osteoblasten blijven tijdens het vormen van bot in de matrix zitten, waar ze differentiëren tot osteocyten. Osteocyten zijn met elkaar en de cellen op het oppervlak verbonden via kanaaltjes, waardoor ze veranderingen in het bot (zoals belasting) goed kunnen voelen. Als gevolg hiervan scheiden osteocyten factoren uit waarop de osteoblasten en osteoclasten reageren. Deze worden daarnaast ook beïnvloed door sommige hormonen. Bij elkaar resulteert dit in een ingewikkeld en nog niet volledig begrepen systeem dat het botmetabolisme regelt. In Hoofdstuk 1 wordt een aantal belangrijke factoren besproken.

In gezonde personen is er een balans tussen aanmaak en afbraak van bot, zodat de botmassa gelijk blijft. Een verstoring van deze balans kan leiden tot een hogere of lagere botmassa, en ziekten zoals osteoporose. Osteoporose is de meest voorkomende ziekte van het skelet en wordt gekenmerkt door een lage botmassa en verlies van stevigheid en interne structuur. Hierdoor breken mensen met osteoporose sneller botten, vooral in de pols, heup en wervels. Oudere vrouwen hebben het hoogste risico op osteoporose omdat tijdens de menopauze de productie van oestrogeen vermindert en hierdoor de botafbraak snel toeneemt. Behandeling van osteoporose gebeurt meestal met bisfosfonaten. Deze medicijnen onderdrukken de botafbraak doordat ze specifiek de activiteit van osteoclasten remmen. Hierdoor remmen ze de progressie van de ziekte en brengen lichte verbetering, maar bisfosfonaten kunnen de botmassa niet terugbrengen naar een gezonde sterkte. Daarom moeten patiënten vaak levenslang behandeld worden. Er zijn andere medicijnen op de markt, en enkele andere komen hoogstwaarschijnlijk in de komende jaren beschikbaar, maar de ideale therapie is nog niet gevonden. Meer kennis over botmetabolisme en mogelijke nieuwe therapieën is daarom nodig.

Hoofdstuk 2 geeft een overzicht van de huidige kennis over sclerostin. Sclerostin is een eiwit dat de botvorming remt en gemaakt wordt door osteocyten in
gemineraliseerde botmatrix. Dit doet het door het remmen van de Wnt signalering, een signaleringsroute die belangrijk is voor botvorming en differentiatie van osteoblasten. Het gen dat codeert voor sclerostin is SOST. Mutaties in SOST of in de regio's rond het gen die de expressie reguleren kunnen leiden tot verminderde of ontbrekende productie van sclerostin en een verhoogde botmassa. Patiënten met sclerosteose of de ziekte van Van Buchem hebben een zeer sterk verhoogde botmassa. $Z_{i j}$ hebben daardoor problemen zoals verlamming van de gezichtsspieren of doofheid door beknelling van de gezichtszenuwen. Dragers van deze ziekten hebben geen complicaties, maar wel een verhoogde botmassa vergeleken met controlepersonen. Het bot is van goede kwaliteit en structuur, en zowel patiënten als dragers lijken dan ook goed bestand tegen botbreuken. Dit wijst op een dosis-afhankelijk effect waarbij een lagere productie van sclerostin verband houdt met een hogere botmassa. Omdat sclerostin specifiek gemaakt wordt in osteocyten, worden bij medicijnen die zich richten op dit eiwit weinig bijwerkingen in andere organen verwacht. Bovendien betekent een dosis-afhankelijk effect dat kleine veranderingen in sclerostin productie al een positief effect kunnen hebben bij patiënten met osteoporose. Sclerostin is daarom een van de meest aantrekkelijke aanknopingspunten voor nieuwe therapieën voor osteoporose.

Sclerostin kan worden gemeten in serum, en er zijn veel studies gedaan naar de concentraties in serum van zowel controlepersonen als patiënten met verschillende ziekten. Patiënten met sclerosteose hebben een inactiverende mutatie in SOST, en in hun serum is dan ook geen sclerostin gevonden. In patiënten met Van Buchem ziekte werden kleine hoeveelheden sclerostin gevonden. Van Buchem ziekte wordt veroorzaakt door een mutatie in een regulerende regio buiten het coderende deel van SOST en de symptomen zijn meestal minder ernstig dan bij sclerosteose. Dickkopf 1 (DKK1) is een eiwit dat, net als sclerostin, de Wnt signalering remt. In Hoofdstuk 3 vonden we dat DKK1 in serum monsters van mensen met sclerosteose en Van Buchem ziekte verhoogd is. Mogelijk gebeurt dit om te compenseren voor het tekort aan sclerostin. De sterk verhoogde botmassa in deze patiënten suggereert echter dat de verhoging van DKK1 niet voldoende is om sclerostin te compenseren.

Nieuwe mutaties die botfenotypes tot gevolg hebben kunnen de kennis van de fysiologische werking van het gemuteerde eiwit vergroten. In Hoofdstuk 4 beschrijven we een nieuwe mutatie in sclerostin na onderzoek van een broer en zus
met klassieke sclerosteose kenmerken. Deze mutatie heeft een aminozuurverandering tot gevolg waardoor een belangrijk onderdeel van de structuur van sclerostin, de cystine-knoop, niet goed gevormd wordt. Het eiwit wordt daardoor vastgehouden in het endoplasmatisch reticulum en niet uitgescheiden. Daarnaast laten we zien dat het gemuteerde eiwit niet goed kan binden aan de Wnt co-receptor LRP5, en dus zijn functie niet goed kan uitoefenen. Samen leiden deze veranderingen tot een totaal verlies van de functie van sclerostin en het sclerosteose fenotype.

Door het ontrafelen van de normale werking van regulatiemechanismen die SOST expressie controleren, hopen we uiteindelijk dit gen specifiek te kunnen aangrijpen en daardoor in patiënten met osteoporose de botmassa te verhogen met minimale bijwerkingen. In Hoofdstuk 5 hebben we de regulatie van SOST onderzocht door de activiteit van de Wnt signaleringsroute te variëren. SOST expressie leek te worden verlaagd door verhoogde Wnt signalering activiteit, en sterk verlaagd door GIN. Dit is een remmer van glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK3 $\beta$ ), en daarmee een activator van de Wnt signaleringsroute. Verschillende remmers van de Wnt signalering konden echter de verlaging in SOST expressie door GIN niet opheffen. Bovendien had het rechtstreeks blokkeren van transcriptie van de Wnt signalerings targetgenen geen effect op SOST expressie. De verlaging van SOST expressie lijkt daarom niet veroorzaakt door Wnt signalering, maar door een ander effect van GSK3 $\beta$.

Naast het moduleren van de endogene regulatie van sclerostin expressie worden andere methoden onderzocht om het effect van het eiwit te blokkeren. In Hoofdstuk 6 hebben we een nieuwe methode voor het remmen van SOST expressie onderzocht met antisense oligonucleotiden (AONs). Zoals uitgebreid beschreven in Hoofdstuk 1 verstoren AONs de zogenaamde splicing van een gen. Een gen bestaat uit exonen (coderende stukken) en intronen (niet-coderend), en tijdens splicing worden de exonen aan elkaar geplakt en de intronen weggegooid, waarbij het messenger RNA (mRNA) gevormd wordt. AONs kunnen ervoor zorgen dat een exon niet herkend wordt, en dus overgeslagen tijdens het vormen van mRNA (een exon skip). Omdat SOST maar twee exonen heeft, betekent het skippen van een van deze exonen dat er geen eiwitproductie plaats zal vinden. Van de 20 onderzochte AONs (zowel controle als specifiek gemaakt voor SOST) bleek helaas geen enkele de SOST expressie te verlagen. Een tweede gen waarop exon skipping in Hoofdstuk 6 onderzocht werd
is Rank. Het eiwit dat door dit gen gemaakt wordt is essentieel voor de differentiatie van osteoclasten. Exon skipping van het membraangebonden deel van Rank heeft als extra voordeel dat een eiwit gevormd wordt dat lijkt op osteoprotegerin (OPG), een soort dummy-receptor die de osteoclastdifferentiatie en daardoor de botafbraak remt. Hierdoor wordt niet alleen de functie van Rank geïnactiveerd, maar wordt gelijktijdig een eiwit gevormd dat een extra positief effect heeft. Hoewel we in enkele experimenten exon skipping hebben aangetoond, is verder onderzoek nodig om de efficiëntie te optimaliseren. Pas dan kan een biologisch effect verwacht worden.

Het ontdekken van stoffen die een verhoging van de botmassa en -sterkte kunnen veroorzaken in patiënten met osteoporose zou een enorme vooruitgang voor de huidige therapie betekenen. Voor het onderzoeken van potentiële kandidaten zijn nieuwe screeningsmethoden voor relevante parameters en met een grote capaciteit nodig. Mineralisatie is de laatste en waarschijnlijk meest belangrijke stap in de botformatie en heeft daarom de voorkeur als uitleesparameter. Hoofdstuk 7 beschrijft de ontwikkeling van een makkelijke en opschaalbare methode voor het kwantificeren van de mineralisatie in in vitro celkweken. Hierbij worden de fluorescente stoffen Bonetag 800 en Osteosense 800 gebruikt. De resultaten van deze methode zijn vergeleken met de veelgebruikte Alizarine Rood S kleuring en we hebben aangetoond dat de nieuwe methode hiermee correleert.

De huidige medicijnen voor osteoporose zoals bisfosfonaten werken door het remmen van de botafbraak, maar kunnen het bot niet herstellen naar een gezond niveau. Geneesmiddelen die de botvorming stimuleren zouden een waardevolle toevoeging zijn aan de huidige behandelmogelijkheden. In Hoofdstuk 8 worden de resultaten van dit proefschrift bediscussieerd in relatie tot de huidige kennis van botmetabolisme en strategieën voor behandeling van osteoporose.
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