

A&A 582, L10 (2015)  
 DOI: [10.1051/0004-6361/201527094](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527094)  
 © ESO 2015

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Fingerprints of giant planets in the photospheres of Herbig stars<sup>\*</sup>

M. Kama<sup>1</sup>, C. P. Folsom<sup>2,3</sup>, and P. Pinilla<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Leiden Observatory, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA, Leiden, The Netherlands  
 e-mail: [mkama@strw.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:mkama@strw.leidenuniv.nl)

<sup>2</sup> Université de Grenoble Alpes, IPAG, 38000 Grenoble, France

<sup>3</sup> CNRS, IPAG, 38000 Grenoble, France

Received 30 July 2015 / Accepted 9 September 2015

## ABSTRACT

Around 2% of all A stars have photospheres depleted in refractory elements. This is hypothesised to arise from gas being accreted more efficiently than dust, but the specific processes and the origin of the material – circum- or interstellar – are not known. The same depletion is seen in 30% of young, disk-hosting Herbig Ae/Be stars. We investigate whether the chemical peculiarity originates in a circumstellar disk. Using a sample of systems for which both the stellar abundances and the protoplanetary disk structure are known, we find that stars hosting warm, flaring group I disks typically have Fe, Mg and Si depletions of 0.5 dex compared to the solar-like abundances of stars hosting cold, flat group II disks. The volatile, C and O, abundances in both sets are identical. Group I disks are generally transitional, having radial cavities depleted in millimetre-sized dust grains, while those of group II are usually not. Thus we propose that the depletion of heavy elements emerges as Jupiter-like planets block the accretion of part of the dust, while gas continues to flow towards the central star. We calculate gas to dust ratios for the accreted material and find values consistent with models of disk clearing by planets. Our results suggest that giant planets of  $\sim 0.1$  to  $10 M_{\text{Jup}}$  are hiding in at least 30% of Herbig Ae/Be disks.

**Key words.** accretion, accretion disks – protoplanetary disks – planet-disk interactions – stars: variables: T Tauri, Herbig Ae/Be – stars: chemically peculiar – stars: abundances

## 1. Introduction

Chemically peculiar  $\lambda$  Boö stars have a solar-like photospheric abundance of volatile elements (C, N, O) but are underabundant by up to a factor of 100 in refractory elements (e.g., Fe, Mg, Si). The peculiarity affects up to 2% of all late B through early F stars (e.g., Gray & Corbally 1998; Paunzen 2001). Among the young Herbig Ae/Be stars, which host protoplanetary disks, the fraction is at least 33% (Folsom et al. 2012).

Stars of spectral type late B through early F have radiative envelopes, and consequently their photosphere is mixed with the interior on timescales of  $\sim 1$  Myr. This enables accreting material to dominate the photospheric composition for accretion rates as low as  $10^{-13} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (Turcotte & Charbonneau 1993; Turcotte 2002). Because the  $\lambda$  Boö depletion pattern matches that of diffuse interstellar gas, it has been linked to the accretion of material from the circum- or interstellar medium, with radiation pressure providing the mechanism that keeps dust from accreting while gas streams onto the star (Venn & Lambert 1990; Kamp & Paunzen 2002; Martínez-Galarza et al. 2009). Since radiation pressure should affect all systems, it has been unclear why only a fraction of intermediate-mass stars display the  $\lambda$  Boö peculiarity.

Herbig Ae/Be stars are young B, A, and F stars that host a protoplanetary disk and are accreting (Herbig 1960; Waters & Waelkens 1998). Based on their spectral energy distributions, the disks are categorized as “group II” (cold, flat) and “group I” (warm, flaring; Meeus et al. 2001). A further distinction is made between disks showing emission from warm, small silicate grains (II, Ia) and those not showing such features (Ib). Initially, group I was thought to evolve to group II as dust particles grew


and settled (Meeus et al. 2001; Dullemond & Dominik 2004a,b). The emerging view from recent debates is that group I disks are transitional, i.e., have radial cavities or gaps strongly depleted in millimetre-sized dust and perhaps gas (e.g., Keane et al. 2014; Maaskant et al. 2014; Banzatti & Pontoppidan 2015; Menu et al. 2015; van der Plas et al. 2015). As yet, no group II source is known to be a transition disk (TD), while all resolved TDs are group I sources.

Cavities and gaps in transitional disks may arise due to dust being trapped in the outer disk by giant planets (Whipple 1972; Barge & Sommeria 1995; Rice et al. 2006; Pinilla et al. 2012; Zhu et al. 2012), by gas and dust clearing owing to photoevaporation (Alexander et al. 2006a,b, 2014; Owen et al. 2011, 2012), or by the accumulation of gas and dust at the outer edge of a region with low ionization (Regály et al. 2012; Flock et al. 2015). The dominant mechanism should determine the volatile (gas) to refractory (dust) elemental ratios in the accretion columns that channel material onto the stellar photosphere. Most Herbig Ae/Be stars accrete at rates comparable to, or higher than, T Tauri stars ( $10^{-9}$ – $10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ; Garcia Lopez et al. 2006; Donehew & Brittain 2011; Pogodin et al. 2012). We investigate whether stellar abundances correlate with disk properties, as might be expected from the above.

## 2. Data

Our sample, presented in Table A.1, consists of the overlap between the stellar abundance surveys and the compilation of disks described below. Metallicities or disk classifications for a few individual sources were added from the literature, but in this regard the sample is certainly not complete.

<sup>\*</sup> Appendix A is available in electronic form at <http://www.aanda.org>



**Fig. 1.** Elemental abundances in the photospheres of Herbig stars hosting group I (transitional/TD, open symbols) and group II (filled symbols) disks. The sun and the warm diffuse interstellar medium are shown in red. The full Herbig samples (circles) are shown, as are their medians with sample standard deviations (diamonds with bars).

### 2.1. The elemental abundances

We adopt the photospheric abundances from [Acke & Waelkens \(2004\)](#) and [Folsom et al. \(2012\)](#). For overlapping sources, the surveys are largely consistent, but we adopt the Folsom et al. values as the study is more consistent in the stellar parameter determination. For some Acke & Waelkens sources, we used values based on the ionization state with the largest number of analyzed lines. Some stars in the abundance studies host debris and not protoplanetary disks and were excluded. The abundances for HD 100546 are from [Kama et al. \(2015\)](#) and for HD 34282 from [Merín et al. \(2004\)](#). HD 101412 was identified as a  $\lambda$  Boö star by [Cowley et al. \(2010\)](#), we use newer abundances from [Folsom et al. \(2012\)](#). The solar abundances shown in Fig. 1 are from [Asplund et al. \(2009\)](#). Values for the warm diffuse interstellar gas are from [Savage & Sembach \(1996\)](#).

### 2.2. Properties of the disks

Most of the disk group classifications are from [Maaskant et al. \(2014\)](#). The references for radial disk cavities and gaps, accretion rates, stellar spectral types, and ages are given in Table A.1. HD 101412 is a  $\lambda$  Boö star with a flat, cold dust disk consistent with a group II disk, but has a flaring, warm gas disk that is more reminiscent of group I systems ([Fedele et al. 2008](#); [van der Plas et al. 2008](#)). We have classified it as group I or transitional, because it is clearly not a pure group II source. HD 245185 is the only remaining massive dust disk in the 5 Myr old  $\lambda$  Ori cluster and, based on CO observations, has a very low gas-to-dust mass ratio ([Ansdell et al. 2015](#)). HD 179218 is a distant ( $d = 244$  pc) group I source that shows evidence of a characteristic dust emission radius that is larger than for group II sources, although a cavity has not definitively been resolved ([Leinert et al. 2004](#)).

## 3. Results

Within our sample, all but two group I systems are confirmed to be transitional, with a large-scale reduction in the surface density of millimetre-size dust in the inner disk. As a working hypothesis, we consider the group I and transitional classifications to be identical (I/TD). We do not employ a quantitative cutoff between stars without and with  $\lambda$  Boö abundance patterns, although some of the stars in the sample display prominent  $\lambda$  Boö anomalies. Rather, we separate the sources into group I and II disk hosts, and compare the stellar abundances between these subsets.

**Table 1.** Median  $\log_{10}(X/H)$  abundances and sample standard deviations (scatter within the subset) for group I and II disk host stars.

	C/H <sub>*</sub>	O/H <sub>*</sub>	Fe/H <sub>*</sub>	Mg/H <sub>*</sub>	Si/H <sub>*</sub>
II	-3.65	-3.19	-4.39	-4.34	-4.40
$\sigma$	0.07	0.05	0.17	0.23	0.24
I/TD	-3.57	-3.14	-5.04	-4.84	-4.95
$\sigma$	0.10	0.10	0.35	0.35	0.30

Figure 1 shows the photospheric abundances of two volatile elements (C and O) and three rock-forming elements (Fe, Mg, and Si) for the median and full sample of group I/TD and group II disk hosting stars. The solar photospheric abundances and those of the warm diffuse interstellar medium are given for comparison. The median elemental abundances are summarized in Table 1. The medians for the group II disk host stars are solar, while the medians for the group I/TD are solar-like for the volatiles, but depleted from solar by 0.5 dex for all refractory elements. The medians are unchanged if we exclude the Acke & Waelkens sample – all  $\log_{10}(X/H)$  values change by  $\leq 0.03$ , except Mg/H which increases by 0.13 for group II.

Only two group II sources, HD 142666 and HD 144432, have strongly sub-solar refractory element abundances. Interferometric observations reveal radial gaps on (sub-)au scales in both ([Chen et al. 2012](#); [Schegerer et al. 2013](#); [Menu et al. 2015](#)). They are thus small-scale transitional disks with outer disks similar to group II sources. The group II/I unclear source HD 101412 – for which we adopted group I – has a narrow ring of CO emission near the dust sublimation zone, which is also indicative of small-scale radial structure ([Cowley et al. 2012](#)). The disks around HD 101412, HD 142666, and HD 144432 all have large radial variations in surface density at  $\leq 1$  au, with host stars depleted in refractory elements. As noted by [Currie \(2010\)](#), such systems may probe the earliest stages of disk clearing.

### 3.1. The gas-to-dust ratio in the inner disk

Group II disks have no major depletion of dust or gas in their inner regions, while group I sources have surface density reduction factors of  $10^{-1}$  to  $\geq 10^{-6}$  for dust, and none to  $10^{-5}$  for gas ([Bruderer et al. 2014](#); [Zhang et al. 2014](#); [Perez et al. 2015](#); [van der Marel et al. 2015](#)). A gap-opening giant planet reduces the surface density of large (millimetre-scale) dust by a larger factor than that of the gas ([Rice et al. 2006](#); [Zhu et al. 2012](#)). This offers a natural explanation for a decreased photospheric abundance of refractory elements, because a large portion of the dust mass can remain trapped farther out in the disk while gas and smaller grains continue to accrete. We now consider the photospheric composition of Herbig stars as a proxy for the gas-to-dust ratio in the accreting material. The solar composition corresponds to a gas-to-dust ratio of 100. Noting the abundance of volatiles (C, O) as V/H and those of refractories (Fe, Mg, Si) as R/H, we express the  $\Delta_{g/d}$  ratio in an inner disk feeding an accretion flow as

$$\Delta_{g/d} = 100 \times \left( \frac{R/H_{\odot}}{R/H_{*}} \right) \left( \frac{V/H_{*}}{V/H_{\odot}} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $V/H_{*}$  and  $R/H_{*}$  are the abundances in the host star. The results are shown in Fig. 2 for systems where at least one volatile and one refractory element has been measured.

#### 3.1.1. HD 100546

The highest inner disk gas-to-dust ratio in our sample, 1000, is found for HD 100546. We compare this photosphere-based





their  $\lambda$  Boö peculiarity should disappear on a  $\sim 1$  Myr timescale. However,  $\lambda$  Boö peculiarities correlate with debris disks (King & Patten 1992; Draper et al. 2015). This suggests that debris disks around early-type stars generally retain or produce large amounts of volatile-rich gas. We note that HR 8799, a 30 Myr old intermediate-mass that hosts four giant planets of  $5\text{--}7 M_{\text{Jup}}$  at large orbits, as well as a multi-component debris disk, is a  $\lambda$  Boö peculiar star (Marois et al. 2008, 2010; Su et al. 2009; Murphy et al. 2015). The photospheric abundances of early-type stars are a new window into the long-term evolution of protoplanetary gas disks.

## 5. Conclusions

We present a correlation between the presence of a large radial cavity or gap in a protoplanetary disk and the depletion of refractory elements in the photosphere of the host star. Such a depletion in intermediate-mass stars, known as the  $\lambda$  Boö peculiarity, has been known for decades but has been unexplained until now. We propose that the trapping of large dust grains in the outer disk by giant planets provides a natural mechanism for increasing the gas-to-dust ratio of the accreted material, suggesting that as many as  $\sim 30\%$  of Herbig Ae/Be stars harbour giant planets.

1. A typical late-B through early-F star hosting a group I or transitional disk is depleted in rock-forming elements (Fe, Mg, Si) by 0.5 dex compared to solar and group II host abundances.
2. All group II disk hosts in our sample have solar-like stellar abundances. The two exceptional systems, HD 142666 and HD 144432, have small-scale radial dust gaps.
3. The depletion of rock-forming elements in stars hosting group I disks is likely due to giant planets which trap large dust grains in the outer disk. The diversity of disk structures and planet masses explains why  $\lambda$  Boö-like stars have a range of depletions, rather than clustering at particular values.
4. A deficiency of rock-forming elements in the photosphere of a disk-hosting B, A or F star implies the presence of a radial dust cavity or gap, and a giant planet.
5. Most as-yet uncharacterised central stars of transitional Herbig Ae/Be disk systems will likely be found to have a low abundance of rock-forming elements.

*Acknowledgements.* Astrochemistry in Leiden is supported by the Netherlands Research School for Astronomy (NOVA), by a Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW) professor prize, and by the European Union A-ERC grant 291141 CHEMPLAN. C.P.F. was supported by the French grant ANR 2011 Blanc SIMI5-6 020 01 “Toupiques: Towards understanding the spin evolution of stars”.

## References

Acke, B., & Waelkens, C. 2004, *A&A*, 427, 1009  
 Alexander, R. D., Clarke, C. J., & Pringle, J. E. 2006a, *MNRAS*, 369, 216  
 Alexander, R. D., Clarke, C. J., & Pringle, J. E. 2006b, *MNRAS*, 369, 229  
 Alexander, R., Pascucci, I., Andrews, S., Armitage, P., & Cieza, L. 2014, *Protostars and Planets VI*, 475  
 Andrews, S. M., Wilner, D. J., Espaillat, C., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 732, 42  
 Ansdell, M., Williams, J. P., & Cieza, L. A. 2015, *ApJ*, 806, 221  
 Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 481  
 Banzatti, A., & Pontoppidan, K. M. 2015, *ApJ*, 809, 167  
 Barge, P., & Sommeria, J. 1995, *A&A*, 295, L1  
 Bruderer, S., van der Marel, N., van Dishoeck, E. F., & van Kempen, T. A. 2014, *A&A*, 562, A26  
 Chen, L., Kreplin, A., Wang, Y., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 541, A104  
 Collins, K. A., Grady, C. A., Hamaguchi, K., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 697, 557  
 Cowley, C. R., Hubrig, S., González, J. F., & Savanov, I. 2010, *A&A*, 523, A65  
 Cowley, C. R., Hubrig, S., Castelli, F., & Wolff, B. 2012, *A&A*, 537, L6  
 Currie, T. 2010, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1002.1715]

Donehew, B., & Brittain, S. 2011, *AJ*, 141, 46  
 Dong, R., Zhu, Z., & Whitney, B. 2015, *ApJ*, 809, 93  
 Draper, Z. H., Matthews, B. C., Kennedy, G. M., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, submitted  
 Dullemond, C. P., & Dominik, C. 2004a, *A&A*, 417, 159  
 Dullemond, C. P., & Dominik, C. 2004b, *A&A*, 421, 1075  
 Espaillat, C., Muzerolle, J., Najita, J., et al. 2014, *Protostars and Planets VI*, 497  
 Fedele, D., van den Ancker, M. E., Acke, B., et al. 2008, *A&A*, 491, 809  
 Flock, M., Ruge, J. P., Dzyurkevich, N., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 574, A68  
 Folsom, C. P., Bagnulo, S., Wade, G. A., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, 2072  
 Garcia Lopez, R., Natta, A., Testi, L., & Habart, E. 2006, *A&A*, 459, 837  
 Gray, R. O., & Corbally, C. J. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 2530  
 Haisch, Jr., K. E., Lada, E. A., & Lada, C. J. 2001, *ApJ*, 553, L153  
 Hardy, A., Caceres, C., Schreiber, M. R., et al. 2015, *A&A*, in press, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201526504  
 Herbig, G. H. 1960, *ApJS*, 4, 337  
 Holmberg, J., Nordström, B., & Andersen, J. 2009, *A&A*, 501, 941  
 Isella, A., Natta, A., Wilner, D., Carpenter, J. M., & Testi, L. 2010, *ApJ*, 725, 1735  
 Kama, M., Bruderer, S., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2015, *A&A*, submitted  
 Kamp, I., & Paunzen, E. 2002, *MNRAS*, 335, L45  
 Keane, J. T., Pascucci, I., Espaillat, C., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 787, 153  
 Khalafinejad, S., Maaskant, K. M., Marinas, N., et al. 2015, *A&A*, accepted  
 King, J. R., & Patten, B. M. 1992, *MNRAS*, 256, 571  
 Leinert, C., van Boekel, R., Waters, L. B. F. M., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 423, 537  
 Maaskant, K. M., Honda, M., Waters, L. B. F. M., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 555, A64  
 Maaskant, K. M., Min, M., Waters, L. B. F. M., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2014, *A&A*, 563, A78  
 Manoj, P., Bhatt, H. C., Maheswar, G., & Muneer, S. 2006, *ApJ*, 653, 657  
 Marois, C., Macintosh, B., Barman, T., et al. 2008, *Science*, 322, 1348  
 Marois, C., Zuckerman, B., Konopacky, Q. M., Macintosh, B., & Barman, T. 2010, *Nature*, 468, 1080  
 Martínez-Galarza, J. R., Kamp, I., Su, K. Y. L., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 694, 165  
 Meeus, G., Waters, L. B. F. M., Bouwman, J., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 365, 476  
 Menu, J., van Boekel, R., Henning, T., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 581, A107  
 Merín, B., Montesinos, B., Eiroa, C., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 419, 301  
 Murphy, S. J., Corbally, C. J., Gray, R. O., et al. 2015, *PASA*, submitted [arXiv:1508.03633]  
 Nielsen, E. L., Liu, M. C., Wahhaj, Z., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 776, 4  
 Owen, J. E., Ercolano, B., & Clarke, C. J. 2011, *MNRAS*, 412, 13  
 Owen, J. E., Clarke, C. J., & Ercolano, B. 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, 1880  
 Paunzen, E. 2001, *A&A*, 373, 633  
 Perez, S., Casassus, S., Ménard, F., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 798, 85  
 Pfalzner, S., Steinhausen, M., & Menten, K. 2014, *ApJ*, 793, L34  
 Piétu, V., Guilloteau, S., & Dutrey, A. 2005, *A&A*, 443, 945  
 Pinilla, P., Benisty, M., & Birnstiel, T. 2012, *A&A*, 545, A81  
 Pinilla, P., Birnstiel, T., & Walsh, C. 2015, *A&A*, 580, A105  
 Pogodin, M. A., Hubrig, S., Yudin, R. V., et al. 2012, *Astron. Nachr.*, 333, 594  
 Reffert, S., Bergmann, C., Quirrenbach, A., Trifonov, T., & Küstler, A. 2015, *A&A*, 574, A116  
 Regály, Z., Juhász, A., Sándor, Z., & Dullemond, C. P. 2012, *MNRAS*, 419, 1701  
 Ribas, Á., Bouy, H., & Merín, B. 2015, *A&A*, 576, A52  
 Rice, W. K. M., Lodato, G., Pringle, J. E., Armitage, P. J., & Bonnell, I. A. 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, L9  
 Savage, B. D., & Sembach, K. R. 1996, *ARA&A*, 34, 279  
 Schegerer, A. A., Ratzka, T., Schuller, P. A., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 555, A103  
 Su, K. Y. L., Rieke, G. H., Stapelfeldt, K. R., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 705, 314  
 Turcotte, S. 2002, *ApJ*, 573, L129  
 Turcotte, S., & Charbonneau, P. 1993, *ApJ*, 413, 376  
 van den Ancker, M. E., The, P. S., Tjin A Djie, H. R. E., et al. 1997, *A&A*, 324, L33  
 van der Marel, N., van Dishoeck, E. F., Bruderer, S., Pérez, L., & Isella, A. 2015, *A&A*, 579, A106  
 van der Plas, G., van den Ancker, M. E., Fedele, D., et al. 2008, *A&A*, 485, 487  
 van der Plas, G., van den Ancker, M. E., Waters, L. B. F. M., & Dominik, C. 2015, *A&A*, 574, A75  
 Venn, K. A., & Lambert, D. L. 1990, *ApJ*, 363, 234  
 Vigan, A., Patience, J., Marois, C., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 544, A9  
 Walsh, C., Juhász, A., Pinilla, P., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 791, L6  
 Waters, L. B. F. M., & Waelkens, C. 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 233  
 Whipple, F. L. 1972, in *From Plasma to Planet*, ed. A. Elvius, 211  
 Wyatt, M. C., Panić, O., Kennedy, G. M., & Matrà, L. 2015, *Ap&SS*, 357, 103  
 Zhang, K., Isella, A., Carpenter, J. M., & Blake, G. A. 2014, *ApJ*, 791, 42  
 Zhu, Z., Nelson, R. P., Dong, R., Espaillat, C., & Hartmann, L. 2012, *ApJ*, 755, 6

## Appendix A: Details of the sample

Table A.1. Systems studied in this paper.

System	Spec. type	$\log(g)$ ( $\text{cm s}^{-1}$ )	Age (Myr)	C/H $_{\star}$	O/H $_{\star}$	Fe/H $_{\star}$	Mg/H $_{\star}$	Si/H $_{\star}$	$\log_{10} \dot{M}_{\text{acc}}$ ( $M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ )	Mecus group	$r_{\text{hole}}$ (au)	$r_{\text{gap}}$ (au)	Refs.
HD 31648	A5	4.1 ± 0.2	6.2 <sup>+4.0</sup> <sub>-1.0</sub>	3.63 ± 0.07	3.24 ± 0.05	4.43 ± 0.13	4.08 ± 0.13	4.25 ± 0.19	-6.90	Ila	0.30	0.35–0.80	1,7,19
HD 163296	A3	4.2 ± 0.3	4.1 <sup>+1.0</sup> <sub>-0.2</sub>	3.78 ± 0.25	3.27 ± 0.15	4.35 ± 0.15	4.08 ± 0.15	4.20 ± 0.14	-7.16	Ila	0.18	0.22–1	1,7,19
HD 244604	A0	4.0 ± 0.2	1.9 <sup>+1.1</sup> <sub>-0.1</sub>	3.65 ± 0.17	3.19 ± 0.08	4.31 ± 0.24	3.99 ± 0.22	4.30 ± 0.06	-7.20	Ila	0.4		1,7,19
HD 190073	A2	3.7 ± 0.3	1.6 <sup>+0.7</sup> <sub>-0.6</sub>	3.68 ± 0.14	3.22 ± 0.11	4.38 ± 0.06	4.34 ± 0.17	4.44 ± 0.06	-8.68	Ila	0.4		1,7,18
HD 104237	A4	4.5	2.06	3.58 ± 0.20	3.17 ± 0.15	4.39 ± 0.18	4.61 ± 0.07	4.40 ± 0.11	-7.45	Ila	0.30	0.35–0.80	2,7,17,20
HD 142666	A8	3.9 ± 0.3	6.0 <sup>+1.5</sup> <sub>-1.5</sub>	3.58 ± 0.15	3.14 ± 0.15	4.80 ± 0.11	4.57 ± 0.06	4.77 ± 0.20	-7.77	Ila	0.18		1,7,9,19
HD 144432	F0	3.9 ± 0.3	5.5 <sup>+2.5</sup> <sub>-1.5</sub>	3.75 ± 0.18	3.13 ± 0.10	4.66 ± 0.09	4.42 ± 0.04	4.87 ± 0.24	-7.74	Ila	0.18		1,7,10,19
HD 101412	B9.5	4.0 ± 0.5	1.2 <sup>+0.8</sup> <sub>-0.7</sub>	3.54 ± 0.12	3.08 ± 0.09	5.04 ± 0.19	4.91 ± 0.15	5.26 ± 0.19	-7.04	Ila/Ia	0.4		1,11,12,18
HD 31293	A0	3.9 ± 0.3	2.6 <sup>+0.5</sup> <sub>-0.5</sub>	3.29 ± 0.22	3.23 ± 0.20	4.87 ± 0.22	4.85 ± 0.33	4.72 ± 0.22	-7.74	Ia	115		1,7,13,19
HD 36112	A8	4.1 ± 0.4	1.5 <sup>+1.5</sup> <sub>-1.5</sub>	3.57 ± 0.16	3.14 ± 0.10	4.45 ± 0.14	4.19 ± 0.14	4.54 ± 0.11	-6.05	Ia	73		1,7,14,15,19
HD 179218	B9	3.9 ± 0.2	1.1 <sup>+0.7</sup> <sub>-0.6</sub>	3.41 ± 0.16	3.06 ± 0.13	4.99 ± 0.13	4.69 ± 0.17	4.78 ± 0.22	-6.72	Ia	Y		1,7,19
HD 100546	B9	4.2 ± 0.3	10	3.68 ± 0.20	3.25 ± 0.20	5.67 ± 0.08	5.41 ± 0.12	5.26 ± 0.21	-7.23	Ia	13	150–230	3,7,16,18
HD 250550	B9	4.0	0.25	–	–	5.36 ± 0.12	4.84	4.62 ± 0.09	-7.80	Ia	13	10–140	2,7,17,19
HD 142527	F6	–	0.86	–	–	4.59	–	–	-7.16	Ia	5.6		4,7,17,20
HD 139614	A7	3.9 ± 0.3	13.5 <sup>+11</sup> <sub>-5</sub>	3.71 ± 0.22	3.29 ± 0.10	5.03 ± 0.13	4.70 ± 0.07	5.06 ± 0.13	-7.99	Ia	5.6		1,7,20
HD 34282	A3	4.2 ± 0.2	>7.81	–	–	5.3 ± 0.1	–	–	<-7.71	Ib	92		5,7,17,20,21
HD 141569	B9.5	4.2 ± 0.4	4.0 <sup>+1.5</sup> <sub>-1.0</sub>	3.59 ± 0.29	3.01 ± 0.10	5.21 ± 0.32	4.86 ± 0.11	4.95 ± 0.31	-8.37	Ib	95		1,5,7,20
HD 169142	A5	4.3 ± 0.2	8.5 <sup>+1.6</sup> <sub>-3</sub>	3.51 ± 0.12	3.34 ± 0.13	5.09 ± 0.11	4.85 ± 0.06	5.09 ± 0.10	-7.40	Ib	23	40–70	1,6,7,20
HD 100453	A9	4.0	10 ± 2	3.44 ± 0.09	–	4.57 ± 0.19	4.43 ± 0.09	4.31 ± 0.07	-8.04	Ib	20		2,7,17,20,21,22
HD 97048	A0	4.0	1.37	–	3.18 ± 0.14	–	–	5.26 ± 0.06	-6.80	Ib	34		2,6,7,17,18
HD 135344 B	F8	4.0	8.93	–	–	4.56 ± 0.10	–	–	-7.69	Ib	40		2,7,17,18
HD 245185	A0	4.0 ± 0.4	5.5 <sup>+2.0</sup> <sub>-2.0</sub>	3.68 ± 0.15	3.13 ± 0.17	5.23 ± 0.33	5.35 ± 0.23	4.91 ± 0.16	-7.20	I	–		1,8,19
Sun	G2	4.4	4600	3.57 ± 0.05	3.31 ± 0.05	4.50 ± 0.04	4.40 ± 0.04	4.49 ± 0.03	–	–	–	–	23

**Notes.** Stellar elemental abundances are given here as  $-\log_{10}(X/H_{\star})$  (note the minus), while Folsom et al. (2012) give  $\log_{10}(X/\text{total}_{\star})$  in their Table 4. Hole and gap radii refer to those seen in millimetre-sized grains. All uncertainties are  $1\sigma$ . “Y” denotes cases where inner cavities have been inferred, but not well quantified, based on evidence complementary to the spectral energy distribution.

**References.** 1 – Folsom et al. (2012); 2 – Acke & Waelkens (2004); 3 – Kama et al. (2015); 4 – Holmberg et al. (2009); 5 – Merín et al. (2004); 6 – Maaskant et al. (2013); 7 – Maaskant et al. (2014); 8 – Ansdell et al. (2015); 9 – Schegerer et al. (2013); 10 – Chen et al. (2012); 11 – Fedele et al. (2008); 12 – van der Plas et al. (2008); 13 – Piétu et al. (2005); 14 – Isella et al. (2010); 15 – Andrews et al. (2011); 16 – van den Ancker et al. (1997); 17 – Manoj et al. (2006); 18 – Pogodin et al. (2012); 19 – Donehew & Brittain (2011); 20 – Garcia Lopez et al. (2006); 21 – Khalafinejad et al. (2015); 22 – Collins et al. (2009); 23 – Asplund et al. (2009).