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## INTRODUCTION

Since its discovery and the initial efforts towards its edition, the Paippalādasamhitā of the Atharvaveda (PS) has attracted the attention of Vedic scholars and Indologists for several reasons: it is by far the oldest Samitā of the Vedic corpus after the Regvedasamitā (R. ${ }_{\circ}$ ); from a linguistic point of view, it attests archaic forms next to grammatical innovations, from the eldest attestations of many verbal and case forms to previously unattested words and word formations, hapax legomena etc., thus being a precious source for the study of the development of the earliest language; the transmission of the text is an intriguing subject that can shed more light on the formation and reciprocal relations of the Vedic schools; since the PS attests both material preserved in other Samihitas as well as previously unknown mantras, it is possible to study the variants between the texts, their relative chronology and also the new data that the original portions of the text offer; important information about various rites and magical practices, hints about the oldest Indo-Iranian and Indo-European myths, mentions of medicinal herbs, plants and animals, references to peculiar features of the Vedic gods, descriptions of demoniac beings, objects of daily life and other realia: this is what makes the PS a text of inestimable value for the study of Indian language and culture.

Having a faithful text, with a translation and a commentary, is an essential prerequisite for fully appreciating the value of the PS.

The history of the discovery of the PS and of the studies on the text has been related in many previous publications, ${ }^{1}$ so it will suffice here to present the most current state of the research. Up until now, the edition of Bhattacharya numbers three volumes and covers kāṇas $1-18 .{ }^{2}$ Critical editions of different kāṇ̣as of the PS are already published, while others are in preparation; the table below shows the situation of the research at present, as far as I know:

[^0]| Kāṇḍa | Author |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Zehnder 1993 (unpublished) |
| 2 | Zehnder 1999 |
| 3 | Lücke (in preparation) |
| 4 | Griffiths and Lubotsky (in preparation) |
| 5 | Lubotsky 2002 |
| 6 | Griffiths 2009 |
| 7 | Griffiths 2009 |
| 8 | Kim (in preparation) |
| 9 | Kim (in preparation) |
| 10 | First half: Griffiths (unpublished) |
| 11 | Second half: D’Avella 2007 (unpublished) |
| 12 | Tucker (in preparation) |
| 13 | Ehlers et al. (in preparation) |
| 14 | Lopez 2010 |
| 15 | Lopez 2010 |
| 16 | Lelli |
| 17 | - |
| 18 | First anuvāka: Selva 2014 |
| 19 | - |
| 20 | Griffiths and Knobl (in preparation) |

My PhD thesis is therefore part of a bigger project, started several years ago, that involves scholars from different countries and aims at a complete critical edition of the PS.

The goal of my work is to present a re-edition of kānḍa fifteen that goes beyond Bhattacharya's edition in several respects, viz. in that it establishes a collation of six PS manuscripts from Orissa, investigated for their mutual relationship; it is based on a comparison of the Kashmirian manuscript with six manuscripts from Orissa, whose variants are recorded in a positive critical apparatus; it provides a metrical analysis, on the basis of which prosodic and metrical statistics have been prepared; it has an English translation accompanied by a commentary dealing with philological, grammatical, metrical and lexical problems, as well as with the interpretation of the text itself; it has an Index Verborum and an Index Locorum.

## 1. The constitution of the text

The critical edition of PS 15 presented here has been established from the collation of seven manuscripts. They represent (part of) the transmission of the text as it is has been sketched in the scenario suggested by Witzel 1985a. Witzel's hypothesis involves an archetype of all the manuscripts of the PS $(* G)$, dating to $800-1000$, written in a late form of Gupta script and hailing from western India (Gujarat). Two hyparchetypes descend from this archetype and
precede, respectively, the Kashmirian transmission (*D) and the Orissa transmission (*B). According to Witzel, *D dated to ca. 1350 and was written in early Devanāgarī script, whereas *B dated to ca. 1400 and was written in Proto-Bengali script. From these two hyparchetypes derive all the existent manuscripts of the PS.

For this edition, I have used $\mathbf{K}$ as the sole representative of the Kashmirian tradition. ${ }^{3}$ Late copies of $\mathbf{K}$, such as the Devanāgarī manuscript Bm from Bombay, mentioned by BARRET 1930: 43-44, have not been used. ${ }^{4}$

With the siglum K, I indicate Bloomfield \& Garbe's (1901) facsimile edition of the birchbark ms., written in the Śāradā script, that was discovered in Kashmir in the 1870s. The ms. arrived in Rudolph Roth's possession in Tübingen in 1876, and has since then been preserved in the University Library at Tübingen. On the characteristics of this ms., I refer the reader to Whitney 1905: LXXIX ff., to the preface to Bloomfield \& Garbe 1901, and to Griffiths 2009: XXIII-XXIV. The Tübingen ms. contains a colphon that reports a date which has been interpreted to refer to 1419 A.D.; evidence indicates that it may have been copied, together with this colophon, from an immediate antigraph $(* \mathbf{K})$ dating to that period. The ms. itself dates to the early 16th century (see WitZel 1973-76, 1985a, Slaje 2005, 2007).

PS 15 begins folio 158 b 6 and ends folio 166a7. The arrangement of the material in these seven-and-a-half folios has been clearly described by BARRET 1930: 43-45, with minor mistakes (e.g. the only accented word of this kāṇ̣a, tanvà̀, is found folio 161 a 18 and not folio 161a8, as indicated by Barret).

As regards the Orissa tradition, PS 15 is transmitted in six palm-leaf manuscripts, namely $\mathbf{K u}, \mathbf{J M}, \mathbf{R M}, \mathbf{M a ̄}$, Ma and Pa. ${ }^{5}$ I was able to directly collate Ku, JM, RM, Mā and Pa; Arlo Griffiths kindly provided to me photographs of $\mathbf{K u}, \mathbf{J M}, \mathbf{R M}, \mathbf{P a}$ and xeroxcopies of Mā.

In his 1997 edition, Bhattacharya used only two manuscripts, Mā and Ma; I quote Ma from Bhattacharya's edition and put the siglum between square brackets [...]. I have not collated two late copies of $\mathbf{P a}$, known as $\mathbf{P a}$ and $\mathbf{G u} \mathbf{c}$, which are of minimal interest due to the availability of more reliable manuscripts.

With the siglum Ku, I indicate a set of three palm-leaf mss. in the possession of Harihara Upādhyāya, village Kuruṃcaini, Dt. Cuttack, Orissa. On the date of the mss., see Griffiths 2003: 355. PS 15 is transmitted in Ku2, which contains kāṇ̣̣as 6-15; it begins folio 70r and ends folio $78^{\mathrm{r}}$.

With the siglum JM, I indicate a set of five palm-leaf mss. in the possession of Dr. Jabandhu Miśra, teacher in the Vedakarmakāṇ̣̣amahāvidyālaya, Puri. The mss. have been dated to 1911 (Griffiths 2003: 352). PS 15 is transmitted at the end of JM1, which contains kāṇ̣as 6-15; it begins folio $132^{\mathrm{r}}$ and ends folio $150^{\mathrm{A}}$.

With the siglum RM, I indicate a ms. in the possession of Dr. Rāmacandra Miśra, lecturer at Ravenshaw College, Cuttack (residing in Puri). There are not precise indications as to where the ms. has been obtained, but it probably hails from the Puri area (see Griffiths 2003: 360). It is undated. It contains kāṇ̣as 6-15. PS 15 begins folio $90^{\mathrm{v}}$ and ends folio $102^{\mathrm{r}}$.

With the siglum Mā, I indicate a set of palm-leaf mss. in two codices discovered by Durgamohan Bhattacharyya in Makanda, Baleshwar District, Orissa (Lopez 2010: 42;

[^1]Mākanda in Mayūrbhañj District, according to Zehnder 1999: 19). Lopez (ibid.) reports that "folios are inscribed on both sides, labeled A and B probably by D. M. Bhattacharya [sic!]. Each folio-side generally has four lines of text. [...] Each folio has two holes through which a string was run in order to tie together the entire book". The beginning of PS 15 is missing in the xeroxcopies in my possession and the number of the folio containing its end is not visible.

With the siglum Pa, I indicate a ms. that according to LOPEZ (2010: 43) and ZEHNDER (1999: 17) originally comes from the village of Parikula, Baleshwar (Balasore) District, Orissa. According to Griffiths (2003: 336 fn .8 ), it now belongs to the schoolteacher A. K. Praharāj from Baripada. For the date, see Griffiths 2003: 360. The ms. contains kāṇ̣a 1-20. PS 15 begins folio $102^{\mathrm{v}}$ and ends folio $115^{\mathrm{v}}$.

The relationship between the manuscripts of the PS is rather complex. As pointed out by Griffiths 2009: XXIX, the PS shows a conflated transmission, in which the influence of an oral transmission of the text must have played a major role next to conflations coming from written manuscripts. Although I agree with Griffiths's statement that the strict application of the stemmatic method is of very limited utility for the PS, especially because we are dealing with an open recension, I nonetheless believe that a stemma codicum may be useful for representing Witzel's scenario and the relationship between the manuscripts. The stemma codicum below is taken from Griffiths 2004a: XLIV, with modifications (I have included only the manuscripts used in the edition of PS 15).


## Common errors in K and Or

As stated by Griffiths 2009: XLVI, "The evidence supporting postulation of a written archetype comes primarily from common errors found in both $\mathbf{K}$ and the Orissa mss. [...]. Shared errors do not need to be significant, but their accumulation is at least noteworthy". In the following (not entirely complete) list of common errors found in $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{O r}$, we may distinguish between less and more significant errors: the first ones could have arisen
independently in the two traditions, due to common spelling or orthography mistakes (such as the confusion between the sibilants or between $-i$ - and $-\bar{i}$-). On the other hand, more significant errors include cases for which spelling mistakes cannot be invoked to explain the readings of the manuscripts. The reading of the archetype is in each case clear.

List of common errors found in $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{O r}$ : 15.1.5d *sahasyam, mss. sahasvaí; 15.1.6d *salilavātam, mss. salilāvatam; 15.1.7c *śaradāhnām, mss. śaradāhnā; 15.2.8c *viśatv, mss. viśastv; 15.3.7a *avāsrpad, mss. avāsrjad; 15.3.10b *ohatuh, mss. ohatu; 15.5.6d *abhavam, mss. aghavan; 15.12.3a *āśor, mss. āsor; 15.16.2d *arundhati, mss. arundhat̄̄; 15.18.4b *adho, mss. atho; 15.18.9c *prsțīr, mss. prs $\operatorname{sit}_{i}$; 15.20.7e *sarvām, mss. sarvān; 15.21.3a *śivās *tanūr, mss. śivā tanū; 15.21.7b *adho, mss. atho; 15.22.1b śivān, mss. śivāं̈, 15.23.7b *āśā $\dot{m}$, mss. āsāì.

## The hyparchetypes

As regards the hyparchetypes *D and *B, I refer to Witzel 1985a and Griffiths 2009: XLVI-XLVII. I limit myself here to mentioning a piece of evidence from PS 15 that supports the postulation of a common written predecessor of the Orissa manuscripts, and that has remained unnoticed. It consists of the omission of the syllable $v a$ at PS 15.22.1d; the correct reading avantu has been preserved only by $\mathbf{K}$, whereas all the Orissa manuscripts have lost the middle syllable of the word (atu Ku, antu JM, RM, aìtu Pa, Mā [Ma]).

## The genetic relationship between the Orissa manuscripts

The genetic relationship between the Orissa manuscripts has been discussed at length by Griffiths 2009: XXVII ff. and Lopez 2010: 14-24. I present below the evidence from kāṇ̣a 15 that confirms the grouping of the Orissa manuscripts into 'central' and 'northern' manuscripts, proposed by Griffiths 2009: XXXVII-XXIX. I limit my discussion to the manuscripts used in this edition: ${ }^{6}$

|  | Provenance |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{K u}$ | central |
| $\mathbf{J M}$ | central |
| $\mathbf{R M}$ | central |
| $\mathbf{P a}$ | northern |
| $\mathbf{M a}$ | northern |
| $\mathbf{M a}$ | northern |

As stated by Griffiths, the grouping together of $\mathbf{K u}, \mathbf{J M}, \mathbf{R M}$ against $\mathbf{P a}$, Mā and Ma can be established on the basis of two main arguments: the order in which information is preserved in the hymns' colophons and the textual evidence (i.e. shared readings). For kāṇḍa 15 , it is true without exception that "the central Orissa manuscripts give first the stanza-count, and then the hymn-number, while the northern Orissa manuscripts always [...] give the hymnnumber first, followed by the stanza-count (the number of stanza-count is sometimes omitted, but minimally ||r || is always written)" (Griffiths 2009: XXVIII). The table below presents the other textual evidence for this grouping culled from kāṇ̣̣a 15:

[^2]|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CENTRAL } \\ \text { Ku, JM, RM } \end{gathered}$ | NORTHERN <br> Pa, Mā, [Ma] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.6c | vaddham | vadyam |
| 2.1 a | stomaikavimśe | staumaikavimśe |
| 3.3 c | atpramāẏukai̇ | atpramāyakaim |
| 6.2c | śataì ca | śatañ ca |
| 6.3 c | śatai̇ ca | śatan ca |
| 10.2a | dhanvanājiom | dhanvanājiñ |
| 11.2 d | $\dot{y} a c h a t$ | $\dot{y} a c h a \bar{t}$ |
| 12.6a | pavi | pava |
| 20.1c | vyañgo | yaṅgo |
| 20.1d | viṣūcīh | visucīḥ |
| 21.8 d | kssipatbhyo | kșipadbhyo |
| 23.2c | hādunim | dādunim |
| 23.3 cd | vicakṣusāśanim | cakṣuṣāśanim |
| 23.11 b | stanaitnave | stanaÿitnave |

It is clear that within the group of central manuscripts, JM and RM form a subgroup. The tables below present the evidence from kāṇ̣a 15 supporting the existence of this subgroup. In the first table, I have listed the errors shared by $\mathbf{J M}$ and $\mathbf{R M}$ against $\mathbf{K u}$ (which has either the correct reading or not); in the second table, the cases where $\mathbf{J M}$ and $\mathbf{R M}$ have preserved the correct reading while Ku shows small errors, especially insignificant sandhi variants. I have marked in bold the cases where $\mathbf{K u}$ or $\mathbf{J M}$ and $\mathbf{R M}$ are the only manuscripts which have preserved the correct reading.

|  | JM, RM | $\mathbf{K u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.6^{\circ}$ | tat sakeẏȧm | tachakeẏȧm |
| 1.7 c | cikatnū | cikitnu |
| $1.8{ }^{\circ}$ | sāmaïny | sāmany |
| $1.9^{\circ}$ | sahasāmni | sahasāmna |
| 1.9c | $c a$ | ta |
| 2.4 b | $j \bar{a}$ | $y \bar{a}$ |
| 2.4 c | $v \bar{a} \dot{y} u h$ | $v \bar{a} y u h$ |
| 2.4 d | sandhānā | saïdhānā |
| 3.4a | prāṇaim | prāṇa |
| 4.1a | rṇāt | rı̣ād |
| 4.10a | amantu | avantu |
| 5.3a | varccase | varccaso |
| 5.7 a | asmānam | aśmānam |
| 5.9 d | yok | yo |
| 6.3a | $d h \bar{a}$ | $d h a ̄ h$ |
| 6.3 c | purcīr | puřcir |


| 8.2a | rpānām | $\bar{r} \overline{\text { rananām }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.4 b | māvaìtv | māvantv |
| 11.2c | sañ | sami |
| 11.3 d | ita | itah |
| 11.5 cd | pracodaẏāśvāna | pracodayāáśvān |
| 11.5 d | samutsu | samatsu |
| 11.9b | putrā | purtrā |
| 12.1a | prāmuñ | prāmūñ |
| 13.4 a | gandharvā 'psaraso | gandharvāpsaraso |
| 14.9d | muñcatv | muñcamitv |
| 14.11 d | muñcatv | muñcantv |
| 15.3 c | yañ | yami |
| 18.3a | andācīm | andhācīm |
| 18.5 c | purșam | pūrşam |
| 18.9b | nrtyatu | nrtyata |
| 19.9a | uluṅgulukottarā | uluñgulukottarāh |
| 20.7b | ahaim | arhaim |
| 20.8a | vidyate | vidyute |
| 20.10 b | kim | kiñ |
| 20.10c | śalyā̀̇ | śaty $\bar{a}$ |
| 21.2b | dvipado | dvipade |
| 21.8a | arisyato | arisyanto |
| 21.8d | $j \bar{a}$ | $y \bar{a}$ |
| 22.1 d | antu | atu |
| 22.2 d | śiva àpo | śivā āpo |
| 22.5d | jena | yena |
| 22.8 c | martbhyo | mardbhyo |
| 22.9 b | pathāh | pathā |
| 22.10 d | mabhih | mardbhih |
| 23.6a | sandhyāmi | sandyāmi |
| 23.9 cd | būthvā 'thehy | būthvāthehy |
| 23.10b | śivāsívatarā | śivāśachivatarā |
| 23.11c | krṛvo | krrnmo |
| 23.12c | saho sahat | saho mahad |


|  | JM, RM | $\mathbf{K u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.9ab | sahasvaty rtur | sahasvatyutur |
| 2.1a | patni | patn̄̄ |
| 2.7 b | yac ca | ya ca |
| 4.1a | saminaẏa | sa\{̇̇ıjnnaẏa |
| 5.4a | krṇotu | krınotū |
| 6.2b | abhiśastipā | abhiśastivā |
| 6.7a | sicam | sícam |
| 10.6d | yachanti | yachamiti |
| 11.3c | $\bar{a} p a t a n t \bar{r} r$ | $\overline{a p a t a m i t} \bar{u}^{\text {r }}$ |
| 11.4a | bhogaih | bhaugaih |
| 11.8d | jetvāni | yetvāni |
| 11.10a | ojo | oyo |
| 12.1d | jaẏantu | jaẏanta |


| 12.5c | uc chukram | uchukram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.6c | namatis | namatas |
| 13.2c | agri̇yam | agryaim |
| 13.6d | muñcantv | muñcamitv |
| 15.7 b | oṣadhïì | oṣadhim |
| 16.2c | rājñ̄ | rājña |
| 17.7b | dastas | dasțahs |
| 20.7d | pariṣthāsti | parisțthasti |
| 22.4c | apsv antas | $\bar{a} p s a n t a s$ |

Besides the list of shared readings, the close connection of Pa, Ma and Mā is clearly shown by the lacuna at 15.16 .4 d , in which Mā reads viṣasya viṣadūṣaṇī and Ma and $\mathbf{P a}$ have viṣasya vi; as noted by Lopez 2010: 22, this example is nicely confirmed by PS 15.11.6d, in which Mā shows a lacuna, indrasya [ ] haviṣa rathaì yaja, as opposed to the unanimous readings of Ma and Pa indrasya vajraì haviṣā rathaí yaja. At 15.17.3a, Ma and Mā are in accord and read íśānena, while Pa shows the omission of the first syllable, reading nena.

Finally, I present other evidence from kāṇ̣a 15 supporting the grouping together of $\mathbf{P a}$ and Ma (see Griffiths 2009: XXIX). Since I did not have direct access to Ma, for this manuscript I relied on Bhattacharya's (implicit) indications, which however are not always clear. The cases in which Pa and Ma agree against all the other manuscripts are: 1.6a vairppo, 4.2a dusvapnyaín, 5.6ab dadantāsitir, 6.1d paridadhātavā u, 6.2 d sa vyaẏasva, 6.10 b sambhava, 12.7 c koṣaghoro, 12.11a syutah, 14.8c purastāt śatrā, 17.5a sambharanti, 23.12d tavaim. There are numerous cases in which Pa alone has an error, while Ma agrees with other manuscripts - which suggests that $\mathbf{P a}$ is probably a direct copy of Ma: 1.5a sahajyaśā, 1.9ab sahasvaTYrtu, 2.2c paktih, 2.3d asastv, 2.4b $\dot{y} a, 2.7 \mathrm{~b}$ ya chukraín, 3.1c āñjan, santate, 3.3d anāṣasaí, 4.4c vavārya, 5.5d naỳemai் $(\rightarrow$ naï), 5.9d adha, 6.3d cār̄ hikā̀̈rah, 10.2c jatror, 10.3b paripasvajānā, 10.4d visphumirant̄̄, 10.5b krṇotri, 10.6d $\dot{y}$ achanti, 11.4c vaẏunāna, 11.5a sānyeṣā̀i, 11.5d samatsyu, 11.6c, abhrtaím, 12.3b asyamं, 12.3 d sasanān, 12.5 b rñjān, 12.5c uchuttram, 12.8 b suvantaí, 12.9b śatrūna, 13.3a rājāna, 13.8a rāyāni, 14.4a rștan, 14.7b $\dot{y} e, 14.8 \mathrm{a}$ ksinatah, 14.9d muñcamv, ä̀hasaśa, 14.10c saìvatsarasyà̀, 15.3c jī̄avam, 15.5b kuliyā, 15.8c esāt davidvyabhesajū, 16.4a dagdhena, 17.3a mena, 17.4a prvyā̀ं, 17.7b dastah trosțāptadaimśabhih, 18.3c jadi, 18.6a diva, 18.7a nrtyata, 18.9c ghora, 19.5b varpeṇa, 20.3ab bhesajebhirnvā, 20.4c prā, 20.5a dasto, 20.5c daivyasa, 20.8b stanaitnavo, 20.10c śatnyä̀, 21.1c ya, 21.2a yaśupat $\{i \jmath \bar{\imath}, 22.2 \mathrm{c}$ ta, 22.4a prthivyā, 22.5cd sasyā yena, 22.9c vadhīrm, 22.10d martbhih, 23.2a asirm me, 23.6b lāvanyā̄?, paribhunyā̀m, 23.6d yāvaí, 23.7b ya, 23.11a manas, 23.13a samudrad.

## 2. Orthography, Spelling mistakes, Sandhi

The script, punctuation, orthography and sandhi of the Kashmirian manuscript and of the Orissa manuscripts have been studied in great detail by Griffiths 2009: XXII-XXIV and Griffiths 2009: XXVII-XXXIV, respectively.

In what follows, therefore, I will limit myself to list the graphic mistakes found in kāṇ̣a 15, and to discussing the types of sandhi encountered in this book. As concerns the sandhi, I have
generally decided to adopt the editorial policy of Griffiths 2009, as I have not found any arguments against it.

## Vowels:

a > $\overline{\mathbf{a}}^{7}$ Or 1.1a, K 1.3b, Or-K 1.6d, K 3.3b, K 10.5b, K 11.1b, Or 12.2b, Or 12.3b, Or 12.5b, Or 12.6d, K 12.8b, K 12.9b, K 13.10a, K 14.2b, Or 14.10b, K 15.1b, Or 15.1c, Or 15.2a, Or 15.2c, K 16.1b, Or-K 17.5b, Or 17.7b, K 18.2c, Or 18.2d, Or 19.8a, Or 19.8b, Or-K 20.2c, Or 20.4c, K 20.8d, K 21.5e, K 21.8b, K 22.1b, K 22.5a, Or 22.5c, K 22.9c, K 23.6c, Or 23.6d, Or 23.10a, K 23.10d (2×), Or 23.12d
a > i K 1.1c, Or 1.5c, K 1.7d, K 2.6c, K 3.1c, Or 3.8a, K 5.4b, K 10.5b, K 12.2a, K 12.3b, K 12.3d, Or 12.5d, K 12.7a, K 12.8a, K 14.2b, Or 14.3c, K 15.3b, K 15.7c, K 15.8a, K 16.1d (2x), K 20.5 c , K 21.3 b , K 21.6d, Or 23.1b, Or-K 23.3b, Or 23.4b, Or 23.13d
a > u K 1.3c, K 1.8d, K 5.2b, Or 5.2c, K 5.5c, K 5.7c, K 6.8b, K 6.10c, K 10.1b, K 11.3d, Or 11.5d, K 11.9b, K 12.3b, K 12.3d, Or 12.7d, K 13.1b, Or-K 13.3c, K 14.8b, K 14.10b, K 20.7d, K 20.8b
a >e K 1.6d, K 4.6c, Or 12.6a, Or 12.8d, Or 15.9a, Or 19.7b, Or 22.10c
$\mathbf{a}>\mathbf{o}$ Or 4.5 c , Or-K 12.3c, K 12.9b
a>ai K 20.6c
$\overline{\mathbf{a}}>\mathbf{a}$ K 1.5b, Or-K 1.6d, K 1.7a, K 1.9a, Or 2.3a, K 3.7c, Or 6.1b, Or-K 9.4a, Or 10.4c, K $10.6 d$, Or 10.9c, Or 11.2d, Or 11.6, K 11.6c, Or 12.3c, K 12.4a, Or 12.7b, Or 12.7c, Or 13.4c, Or 13.5 c, Or 13.10 c, K 14.2 b , K 15.1 b , Or 15.3 d , Or 15.4 d , Or 15.5 a, Or 15.6 b , K 16.4 b , Or 17.5b, Or 17.6a, K 18.2a, Or 18.4a Or 18.9c, Or 20.7d, K 20.10d, Or 22.2d, Or 23.1a, K 23.1d, Or 23.6a, K 23.10d, Or 23.13a
$\overline{\mathbf{a}}>\mathbf{u}$ Or 21.5b
$\overline{\mathbf{a}}>\mathbf{o}$ K 2.3d, Or 9.5a, Or 12.7c, K 15.1a, Or 23.1d
$\overline{\mathbf{a}}>\mathbf{i} \mathrm{K} 12.10 \mathrm{c}$
i > a K 1.3 d , Or 1.7c, K 2.6 c , K 3.3d, K 4.1d, Or 5.9d, Or 6.7b, K 6.10c, K 7.1c, K 9.4c, Or 11.4c, Or 11.6b, Or 12.4c, K 12.5a, Or 12.6c, K 14.2b, K 15.5b, Or 16.4a, Or 18.10d, K 20.4a, K 21.4b, K $22.8 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{K} 23.2 \mathrm{bc}, \mathrm{K} 23.3 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~K} 23.4 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~K} 23.13 \mathrm{~d}$
i > ī Or 2.1a, Or 2.6a, Or 3.8a, Or 4.1d, Or 5.9a, Or 9.4b, Or 9.5b, Or 12.2c, Or 12.4c, K 12.9c, Or 14.2a, Or 15.6a, Or-K 16.2d, Or 16.3c, Or 16.4c, Or 17.5a, K 18.7a ( $2 \times$ ), K 18.10d, Or-K 21.5b, Or 23.2b
i > u K 5.2d, K 6.3d, K 11.3d, K 16.10a, K 17.1a, K 17.2a, K 17.3a, K 17.7a
i > r K 1.6b, K 2.3c, K 11.2d, Or 15.4b
ì $>$ a Or 1.9a, K 10.6c, Or 12.6a, Or 16.2c
$\overline{\mathrm{i}}>\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{K} 15.7 \mathrm{~b}$
$\overline{\mathrm{i}}>\mathbf{i}$ Or 1.1c, Or 1.4b, Or 1.9a, Or 2.8d, Or 5.10a, Or 11.8a, Or 12.6a, Or 12.6c, Or 15.6b, Or 15.6d, Or-K 15.7b (2x), Or 16.1b, Or 16.3b, Or 17.3a, Or 18.4b, Or 18.9d, Or 19.6b, Or 20.3a, Or 20.3d, Or 22.5c
ì > u K 19.6b
ì > K K 5.10a

[^3]u > a Or 1.3a, Or 1.4b, Or 1.9c, Or 3.3c, Or 5.5b, Or 6.1b, Or-K 6.8b, K 7.3a, Or 12.1a, Or 12.1d, K 13.1b, K 14.2a, K 16.2a, K 17.4b, Or 18.3b, Or 20.8a, K 21.2c, K 22.7a, K 23.3a, Or 23.13 b
$\mathbf{u}>\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ Or 1.4b
$\mathbf{u}>\mathbf{i}$ K 3.3c, K 15.9a
$\mathbf{u}>\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ Or 2.2d, Or 2.3c, Or 2.7b, Or 3.8b, Or 5.4a, Or 11.4a, Or 18.3a, Or 18.6c, K 20.1b
$\mathbf{u}>\mathbf{o}$ K 12.9 c
$\mathbf{u}>\dot{\mathbf{n}}$ Or 6.2b
$\overline{\mathbf{u}}>\mathbf{u}$ Or 1.7c, Or 2.2a, Or 3.3d, Or 6.3d, Or 11.9c, Or 12.1a, Or 12.11a, Or 18.3b, Or 18.5c, Or 20.1d, Or 21.3c
$\overline{\mathbf{u}}>\mathbf{r}$ Or 12.11a
$\overline{\mathbf{u}}>\mathbf{a u}$ K 14.3b, K 15.3 d
r > u Or 6.1b, Or 10.9c, K 18.6c
r $>$ ra Or 12.5 b
r > ru K 5.5b, K 12.5 b
$\overline{\mathbf{r}}>\mathbf{r}$ K 9.3a
e > a K 9.5b, K 11.4a, K 12.8a, Or 23.11c, K 23.13b
e > i K 17.5b, K 22.2a
e $>\mathbf{i}$ K 10.4a, K 15.1c, K 19.7a, K 23.11c
e>o Or 1.3b, Or 2.6 c , Or 10.4 c , Or 12.9c, Or 20.6b, Or 20.8b, Or 20.10b, Or 21.2 bd , K 21.8a, K 22.7a, Or 22.8a
e > ai Or 22.10c
o > a K 10.10b, K 14.10a
$\mathbf{o}>\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ Or 12.1c
$\mathbf{o}>\mathbf{u}$ K 11.4d, K 21.4c
$\mathbf{0}>\mathbf{e}$ Or 1.2c, K 1.3c, Or 18.4d
o > au Or 2.1a, K 5.1b, K 7.4a, Or 10.5a, Or 11.4a, Or 12.8a, K 16.2b
ai > їK 3.6a
$\mathbf{a i}>\mathbf{e}$ K 1.6 b , Or 1.7 d
au > $\overline{\mathbf{a}} \mathrm{K} 2.8 \mathrm{~b}$
au $>$ e K 12.7b
$\mathbf{a u}>\mathbf{o}$ Or 1.4b, K 21.2c, K 22.1a, K 23.5c, K 23.6c
ri $>$ r Or 20.9b
$\mathbf{r u}>\mathbf{r}$ Or $1.7 \mathrm{c}, 2.3 \mathrm{a}, 2.4 \mathrm{a}, 3.3 \mathrm{~b}, 3.8 \mathrm{a}, 3.8 \mathrm{~b}, 3.9 \mathrm{~b}, 3.10 \mathrm{a}, 4.4 \mathrm{c}, 4.10 \mathrm{a}, 5.1 \mathrm{c}, 5.3 \mathrm{~b}, 5.4 \mathrm{c}, 6.3 \mathrm{~d}$, 6.6b, 7.2a, 8.3a, 11.7a, 11.9b, 12.4b, 13.1b (2x), 13.3a, 13.8a, 14.6a, 15.1d, 15.3b, 15.3d, 15.4a, $15.6 \mathrm{c}, 15.7 \mathrm{c}, 15.8 \mathrm{~b}, 15.9 \mathrm{~d}, 15.10 \mathrm{~d}, 16.1 \mathrm{a}, 16.2 \mathrm{~b}, 16.3 \mathrm{c}, 16.4 \mathrm{c}, 16.9 \mathrm{a}, 17.4 \mathrm{~b}, 17.7 \mathrm{c}, 17.8 \mathrm{~b}, 18.1 \mathrm{~b}$, 18.5c, 18.10c, 20.1a, 20.2a, 20.2d, 20.3b, 20.5a, 20.9b, 21.3a, 22.1b, 22.5d, 22.7b, 22.8c, 22.10d, 23.3a, 23.4a, 23.5c, 23.6c, 23.12b
ru > r Or 6.3c, 6.6b, 8.2a
rū $>\mathbf{r}$ Or 21.2a
rū $>\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ Or 1.2 b, Or 1.6a, Or $6.3 c$, Or 6.6b, Or 8.2a, K 104c, K 10.7d, K 12.6e, K 12.9b, Or 20.7b

## Velars:

k > g K 1.7c, 12.10a
k > gh K 12.7b
kh $>$ ch K 12.3b
g > k K 3.10c
g $>$ gr K 16.1b
$\mathbf{g}>\mathbf{m}$ Or 1.4d
g > s Or 3.3d, Or 15.9b

## Palatals:

c > cy K 1.2 d
$\mathbf{c}>\mathbf{j}$ K 1.7c, K 6.7d
c >t K 12.3b, K 12.5b, K 18.1c
$\mathbf{c}>\mathbf{n}$ Or 12.3 b
c > śs K 13.6b
ch $>$ ts Or 11.10c
ch > śch K $1.2 \mathrm{~b}, 1.7 \mathrm{~d}, 2.5 \mathrm{c}, 5.3 \mathrm{c}, 6.1 \mathrm{c}, 6.2 \mathrm{a}, 10.6 \mathrm{~d}, 10.9 \mathrm{~b}, 11.2 \mathrm{~d}, 11.10 \mathrm{c}, 12.11 \mathrm{e}, 15.4 \mathrm{~d}$,
16.1c, 18.5d, 18.6a, 18.6c, 22.2d, 22.6d, 23.7b
j > t Or 4.3a, Or 11.6a, K 20.6c
j > d Or 1.2d, Or 1.8c
j > n Or 2.5b, Or 5.2b
j>y Or 1.3b, Or 3.3d, Or 8.5a, Or 10.2b, Or 10.2d, Or 11.8d, Or 11.10a, Or 12.3a

## Retroflex:

$\mathbf{d}>\boldsymbol{t}$ Or 1.9a
$\mathbf{d}>\boldsymbol{l}$ K 3.4b, K 11.8c, K 12.3d, K 20.5b, k 21.5e, K 23.4a
$\mathbf{d} \mathrm{h}>\mathbf{d}$ Or 20.4b
n $>$ t Or 12.6d
n > $\mathbf{n}$ K 18.7c
n >

## Dentals:

t >c K 3.6c, Or 3.9b, K 6.6c, K 11.2b, K 16.6a, K 20.5a
t > j K 1.1b, K 10.9b, Or-K 12.5b, K 21.4a
t > th Or-K 9.4a ( $2 \times$ ), Or 12.9d
$t>d$ K 1.1c, Or 5.3c, Or-K 6.9a, K 9.4a, K 18.10b
t $>$ dh K 16.1d
$\mathbf{t}>\mathbf{n}$ K 12.5a
$\mathbf{t}>\mathbf{y}$ K 3.1c, r 6.7c
$t>v$ K 15.6 b
$\mathbf{t}>\mathbf{h}$ Or 5.3d
th >t K 8.9a, K 20.10c
th $>\mathbf{s}$ K 12.6 b
d > g Or-K 2.1b, K 15.7a
d $>\mathbf{j}$ K 11.1b
d > t K 1.1c, K 1.2d, Or 1.7a, Or 4.1a, K 15.3a
d > dr Or-K 17.7b
d > n K 10.1b
$\mathbf{d}>\mathbf{r}$ K 3.7a, K 5.6a, K 12.7b
$\mathbf{d}>\mathbf{v}$ Or 22.8 b
d > dh K 2.8d, K 10.2b, K 10.5b, K 12.10c, K 20.7c, K 22.10d, Or 23.6a
dh > d Or 2.2a, K 2.3a, K 10.4c, K 12.10b, Or 18.3a, K 22.7d, K 23.2c, K 23.2e
dh $>$ ddh Or 6.9c, Or 21.1b, Or 22.5d
dh $>$ bh Or 4.3b
dh $>\mathbf{h}$ Or 17.4b, Or 23.2c
n > n Or 13.4 c
$\mathbf{n}>\mathbf{t}$ K 10.7 c , Or 11.2d
n > r K 1.1a, K 19.3b
n $>$ s K 14.2b
$\mathbf{n}>\dot{\mathbf{m}} \mathrm{K} 3.2 \mathrm{a}$

## Labials:

p > j Or-K 3.7a
p>t Or 21.2d
p>m K 12.2a
p>y Or 2.7a, Or 21.2a
p>r Or 5.8c, Or 11.5c
p>v Or-K 6.2b, K 14.2a, Or 18.5d, Or 23.13c
ph $>\mathbf{p}$ Or 23.12e
b $>\mathbf{m}$ Or-K 6.6a, Or 10.9c
b > v K 4.4a, K 23.8e
bh $>$ gh Or-K 5.6d
bh $>$ t Or 20.4c
bh >p K 2.4d, K 3.7d
bh >v Or 2.3a, K 3.7d
$\mathbf{m}>\mathbf{d} \operatorname{Or} 5.8 \mathrm{c}$
m > n K 6.8b
m > v K 9.6b, K 10.9c, K 12.11c, Or-K 20.2b, Or-K 23.11c
m > s Or 5.9b, K 9.6b, K 10.10d, K 11.3c, K 11.9b, K 12.1a, Or 12.1b, K 12.8a, K 20.4a,
K 22.1d, K 22.9c, K 23.5 d

## Semivowels:

y > j Or 2.4b, K 2.7b, Or 5.3d, Or 5.8a, K 6.8b, Or 12.2c, Or 12.2d, Or 13.10c, Or 21.8d
$\mathbf{y}>$ jy Or 1.5a
y > ṇ K 1.7d
$\mathbf{y}>\mathbf{m}$ K 17.7a
y $>\mathbf{y y}$ K 10.1b
y>r K 20.9c
y > h K 3.3c, Or 12.8 d
r>c K 20.3c
r>n K 13.1b, K 15.3b, K 19.6b
$\mathbf{r}>\mathbf{y}$ K 6.5 a
$\mathbf{r}>\mathbf{v}$ Or 17.5 a
$\mathbf{l}>$ ! Or 19.7b
$\mathbf{l}>\mathbf{d}$ K 23.7 a
l $>$ ll K 18.3 b
$\mathbf{v}>\mathbf{u K} 10.1 \mathrm{~b}$
$\mathbf{v}>\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ Or 10.1b
$\mathbf{v}>\mathbf{d}$ K $4.4 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~K} 13.8 \mathrm{c}$
$\mathbf{v}>\mathbf{n}$ K 1.1b, K 14.6 a
$\mathbf{v}>\mathbf{p}$ Or 1.1b, Or 6.4a, Or 10.7c, Or 11.2c, Or 11.5d, K 12.6b, K 20.2b, Or 22.8b
$\mathbf{v}>\mathbf{b h}$ Or-K 11.6c
$\mathbf{v}>\mathbf{m}$ Or 4.10a, Or 10.1a
$\mathbf{v}>\mathbf{y}$ K 5.5 c , K 11.2d, Or 11.5a

## Sibilants: ${ }^{8}$

$\mathbf{s}^{>}>\mathbf{c}$ K 14.7 c
$\mathbf{s}>$ ch K 15.5 ab
$\mathbf{s}>\mathbf{j}$ Or 10.2b
$\mathbf{s}>\mathbf{t}$ Or-K 18.2d, Or 20.4d
ś > ṣ K 10.3c (śi > ṣi), Or 12.8c (śa > ṣa), K 23.7a (śa > ṣa)
ś >s K 1.4b (śu > su), Or 1.7b (śā>sā), Or 2.1a (śā>sā), Or 2.2a (śau > sau), Or 3.1c (śa $>\mathrm{sa}$ ), Or 5.6b (śi > si), Or 6.6c (śa > sa), K 10.9b (śri > sr), Or 10.10d (śa > sa), Or 11.9a (śv > sv), Or-K 12.3 a (śo > so), Or 13.7 a (śa > sa), Or 18.7c (śu > su), Or $18.8 b$ (śv > sv), K 18.10c (śru > sru), Or 20.1a (śa > sa), K 23.2b (śi > sa), Or-K 23.7b (śā > sā) $\mathbf{s}>\mathbf{t}$ K 20.5 a
$\mathbf{s}>\mathbf{p}$ Or 10.3b, Or 19.5b
ṣ >ś Or 10.6b (ṣa > śa), K 12.2d (ṣā > śa), K 12.6c (ṣā > śa), Or 15.9a (ṣi > śi), Or 21.1d
(ṣa > śa)
$\mathbf{s}>\mathbf{s}$ K $10.6 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{sa}>\mathrm{sa})$, Or 15.3c $(\mathrm{s} \mathrm{i}>\mathrm{si})$, Or $15.9 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{s} \mathrm{i}>\mathrm{si})$
$\mathbf{s}>\mathbf{m}$ Or 12.3c, Or 12.3d, K 12.5a, K 12.5b, K 22.2d, K 22.10d, K 23.6c
s > ś Or 1.6d (sa > śa), Or 6.7a (si>śi), Or 10.5c (sa > śa), Or 10.6b (su > śu), Or-K 12.2d
( $\mathrm{si}>$ śi), K 18.7c (sā > śā), K 23.2be (sa > śa), Or 23.10d (sa > śa)
s > s. Or 10.6b (su > ṣu)
s > sy Or 15.6 d
$\mathbf{h}>\mathbf{j}$ Or 4.5b
h $>\mathbf{d}$ Or 18.3d, Or 20.5a
h $>$ y Or 1.3 d
h > l K 18.6c

## Clusters:

$\mathbf{a \dot { m }}>\mathbf{o}$ Or 13.1c, Or 13.3b, K 22.1d
aḥ $>\mathbf{a m}$ Or 16.1b

[^4]$\mathbf{a h}>\mathbf{a} \mathrm{K} 12.8 \mathrm{~d}$
$\overline{\mathbf{a} m}>\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ Or 14.9a
āḥ > ān K 14.2c
iy $>\mathbf{y}$ Or 13.2c
īr > $\mathbf{i} \mathbf{m}$ K 18.4c
riñ > rañj Or 12.5b
riñ > rumj K 12.5b
$\underset{\sim}{r t t}>\operatorname{rt}$ Or 5.5b
kth > ktv K 17.8a
$\mathbf{k r}>\mathbf{t k r}$ Or 12.5c
$\mathbf{k r}>\mathbf{t t r}$ Or 12.5 c
$\mathbf{k r}>\boldsymbol{t r}$ Or 14.7c
$\mathbf{k s}>\mathbf{k h y ~ K ~ 2 3 . 1 a}$
ks $\boldsymbol{>} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { s y }} \mathbf{y}$ K 17.8b
$\mathbf{k s ̣} \mathbf{m}>\mathbf{s} \mathbf{m} \mathrm{K} 17.8 \mathrm{a}$
kṣv > ks Or-K 1.6b
kṣv > śv Or 1.6 b
gn > g Or 15.6a
cc > śc K 23.13a
ǹk > mik Or-K 2.2c, Or 10.3c, K 10.5c, K 12.6b
ṅkṣv > mikṣv Or 4.5a
ńkh > mikh K 19.1a (2x)
$\dot{\mathbf{n}} \boldsymbol{>}>\mathbf{m} \mathbf{m}$ Or-K 11.8a, Or 18.6c, K 18.10b, K 18.10e
$\dot{\mathbf{n} g h}>\mathbf{m g h}$ Or-K 11.5a
ndh $>\boldsymbol{g d h} \mathrm{K} 1.10 \mathrm{~d}$
ghn $>$ ghr Or 11.5 b
jy $>\mathbf{y}$ Or 5.9 d , Or 5.10 d , Or 13.8a, Or 15.5 b
ñc $>$ mic Or 1.4c , Or 13.8a, Or 14.10a, Or 18.10d
$\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{j}>\mathbf{m} \mathbf{j} \mathrm{K} 3.6 \mathrm{a}$
$\mathbf{d v}>\mathbf{d y}$ Or 11.8a
ṇd $>\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{̣} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K} 18.1 \mathrm{c}$
tīy $>$ ty K 3.6d
tr $>\boldsymbol{t a}$ K 23.6d
tr $>\mathbf{t i}$ K 17.6 b
tr > tya K 17.7 b
tr $>$ dr Or 16.6 b
tk $>$ tth K 12.5c
tt $>\mathbf{t}$ K 6.1a
$\boldsymbol{t n}>\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t t r }} \mathrm{K} 2.1 \mathrm{a}$
tn $>\mathbf{t m} \mathrm{K} 10.4 \mathrm{~d}$
tn $>$ ty Or 12.3 a
tn $>\boldsymbol{r b h} \mathrm{K} 1.7 \mathrm{c}$
ty $>\mathbf{y}$ Or 9.4c
$\operatorname{tr}>\operatorname{ttr} K 1.1 \mathrm{~d}, 1.2 \mathrm{~b}, 1.3 \mathrm{~d}, 1.4 \mathrm{a}, 1.6 \mathrm{~d}, 1.7 \mathrm{a}, 1.7 \mathrm{~b}, 1.7 \mathrm{~d}, 1.8 \mathrm{c}(2 \times), 1.8 \mathrm{~d}, 2.2 \mathrm{~d}, 3.10 \mathrm{a}, 5.3 \mathrm{~b}$, $7.1 \mathrm{a}, 7.5 \mathrm{a}, 10.2 \mathrm{c}, 10.4 \mathrm{~d}, 10.7 \mathrm{c}, 10.9 \mathrm{c}, 11.3 \mathrm{~b}, 11.7 \mathrm{~b}, 12.6 \mathrm{c}, 12.6 \mathrm{e}, 12.8 \mathrm{c}, 12.8 \mathrm{~d}, 14.3 \mathrm{a}, 18.1 \mathrm{~d}$, $22.5 \mathrm{a}, 22.5 \mathrm{~b}, 22.9 \mathrm{~d}$
tr $>$ t Or 1.2b, Or 10.4d
tv $>\mathrm{t}$ K 12.11 d
tv $>$ ty K 3.10c
tv $>$ stv Or-K 2.8c
ts $>$ ch Or 23.13 b
ts $>$ tsy Or 11.5 d
tsv $>$ ts Or 12.10 d
thn $>$ nthn Or 6.7b
thy $>$ th Or 13.7a, Or 13.7b
daṁśma > daḿśu, daśma Or 17.7b, dam்sma K 17.7b
ddh > dh K 6.6a, K 11.8c
$\mathbf{d m}>\mathbf{d d} \mathrm{K} 18.1 \mathrm{~d}$
dv $>$ d Or 21.1d
dv $>\mathbf{v}$ Or-K 21.1d
dbh $>$ tbh Or 21.8d, Or 22.8c, Or 22.10d
$\mathbf{d y}>\mathbf{d v}$ K 22.7a
dhv $>\mathbf{d h m}$ Or 9.6b
nt $>\boldsymbol{n t t}$ Or 4.4b
nt $>\mathbf{m} \mathbf{m} \mathrm{K} 12.8 \mathrm{~b}$
nt $>$ mit Or 3.6c, Or 4.4d, Or 10.3a, Or 10.3d, Or 10.6d, Or 11.3c, K 11.5a, Or 12.10a, K
14.3c, K 19.1b, Or 19.4a, Or 22.1d
ntv $>$ mitv Or 3.2d, Or 6.6d, Or 6.7b, Or 6.8d, Or 9.4b, Or-K 13.1d, Or 13.6d, Or 13.8d, Or
13.10d, Or 14.1d, Or 14.9d
nd $>$ d Or 11.10c
nd $>\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{m} \mathrm{K} 23.7 \mathrm{a}$
ndh $>$ mdh Or 14.5b
$\mathbf{n v}>\mathbf{n}$ Or 12.3c
$\mathbf{n v}>\mathbf{n n}$ Or 1.8c
$\mathbf{n v}>\mathbf{m} \mathbf{n}$ Or 1.8 c
pr $>\boldsymbol{t r i}$ K 12.9 c
pr $>$ pu Or 12.9c
mrt > mimrt Or 6.1b, Or 6.5b, K 16.2a
$\mathbf{m b h}>\mathbf{m}$ Or 12.10c
$\mathbf{m b h}>\boldsymbol{m} \mathbf{m} \mathrm{K}$ 12.10c
yit > it Or 12.3a, Or 20.8b, Or 23.11b
$\mathbf{r c}>\mathbf{r c c}$ Or $1.4 \mathrm{c}, 4.6 \mathrm{c}, 4.10 \mathrm{c}, 5.3 \mathrm{a}, 6.1 \mathrm{a}, 6.2 \mathrm{c}$
rt > t Or 1.7a, K 14.10b
$\mathbf{r t}>\mathbf{r t t}$ Or 1.2d, Or 1.9b, Or 2.6a, Or 10.1d, Or 14.2b, Or 14.10b, Or 20.5c, Or $21.5 f$
rt $>$ nt K 1.2d, K 1.9b, K 10.1d
$\mathbf{r t r}>\boldsymbol{t r}$ Or 1.7 a
rtr $>\boldsymbol{n t} \mathrm{K} 23.5 \mathrm{a}$
$\mathbf{r t r}>\mathbf{r t}$ Or 23.5a
$\mathbf{r t r}>\mathbf{r t t}$ Or 23.5a(2x)
rd $>$ d Or 4.1c
rd $>$ nd K 4.1c, K 4.2c
rdr > dr Or 1.8c
ry > jy K 20.1b
rh $>$ rh Or 20.7a
rh $>$ h K 20.7b
vr > vra K 20.6c
$\mathbf{v y}>$ ty K 13.7c
ly $>$ ty Or 20.10c
ly $>$ tny Or 20.10c
ṣu > kro K 15.7a
st > pt Or 17.7b
st > ssk K 2.3a
sṭ > sṭ̣ K 12.9c, K 17.6b, K 17.7b, K 19.7a, K 20.6b
s! $\boldsymbol{\text { l }}$ > ṣ
st $>$ s. $\boldsymbol{y}$ K 1.5 d
sṭh > sṭ Or 1.9b, Or 20.7d
sṭh > ṣ̣ K 1.9b
sṇya > ṣ̣imya, ṣnaya Or 1.4a
ṣm > śm Or 19.8b
$\mathbf{s} \mathbf{v}>\boldsymbol{s} \mathbf{m}$ K 17.8a
$\mathbf{s} \mathbf{v}>\mathbf{s v}$ Or 4.2a
$\mathbf{s} \mathbf{v}>\mathbf{h} \mathbf{s v}$ Or 4.2a
śr > si K 20.6b
śr > śrī Or 18.9c
śc >sy K 22.5 b
śm $>\mathbf{s m}$ Or 5.7a
śm >sy Or 20.8c
śy > ś Or 12.4 a
śr > ś Or 14.7c
śr > śśr K 13.8b
śr > ḥ́śr Or-K 14.4c
śri > śr Or 23.12d
śri > śru K 23.12d
śv>gv K 18.10a
śv > ṣk K 20.7d
stv $>$ st Or 1.7b
sth $>$ st Or 1.7 b
sth $>$ stv K 1.7b
sn > śv K 4.5b
$\mathbf{s n}>\mathbf{s m}$ Or 4.5b
sm > kṣm Or 17.7a
sm > ss K 11.2d, K 20.1c
sy > śy Or 16.4b, K 23.10d
sy >s K 1.3b, Or 12.3a, K 12.11a, Or 12.11c, Or 20.5c, Or 21.4c
sy > ss K 20.8 d
sy > sv Or-K 1.5d, K 2.8a, K 12.7b
sv $>$ s Or 1.5b
han > hn K 1.3b
hr > da K 15.5a
hřnī $>$ hari $K 20.2 \mathrm{c}$
hr $>\mathbf{d}$ Or 23.2c
hr $>\mathbf{d}$ Or 23.2c
$\dot{\mathbf{m}} \boldsymbol{t}>\mathbf{n t} \mathrm{Or} 3.1 \mathrm{c}$
$\dot{m} d h>n d h$ Or $2.4 d$
$\dot{\mathbf{m}} \boldsymbol{>}>\mathbf{n n}$ Or 4.1a, Or-K 11.8c, Or-K 12.4c
mibh > mbh K 1.8b, K 11.6b, Or-K 17.5a, K 17.6a
$\dot{\mathbf{m}} \boldsymbol{y}>\mathbf{n n} \mathrm{K} 12.5 \mathrm{a}$
$\dot{\mathbf{m} v}>\mathbf{m v}$ Or 2.2b, Or 7.6a, 7.7a, Or 10.4c, Or 22.10d
$\dot{\mathbf{m}} \boldsymbol{v}>\mathbf{v y}$ K 23.8 a
$\dot{m}$ ś > ${ }^{\text {ś Or } 13.3 \mathrm{c}}$
$\dot{\mathbf{m} h}>\mathbf{n g}$ Or 20.1c
$\dot{\mathbf{m} h}>\mathbf{h}$ Or 13.1d, Or 13.6d, K 20.1c

## Sandhi:

-an k- > -am k- Or-K 5.5a
-an d-> -aḿ d- Or 1.1a, Or-K 15.9d, Or 15.10d, Or 20.7ab, Or 23.12d
-an dh- > -am dh- Or-K 20.7a
-ān \# > -ām \# Or-K 22.1b
-ān d-> -āற́ d- Or 14.5a, Or 22.1c
-ān bh- > -ām bh- K 23.5 d
-ān m-> -āற் m- K 12.2b
-an n- > -am n- K 20.7cd
-an $y$ - > -am y-Or 12.9b
-an n-> -amin n K 3.7cd
-an s-> -am s-K 22.10c
-ān y-> -ām y- Or 20.1c
-ā̀̇ u-> -āற்m u Or 14.11b, K 20.9a
-āms t-> -as t- Or 14.2cd
-ir u-> ir Or 20.3ab
-ir n-> -in n-K 11.1a, K 12.5cd, K 21.3d
-ir n-> -iḥ n- Or 12.5cd
-ir m-> -irm m- Or 23.2a
-iśs c- > -iḥ c- Or 12.6 d
-nn a->-m a-K 20.9a
-nn a-> -ṁn a- Or 5.1c, Or 5.5d
-nn i- > -min i- Or 1.6a, K 20.7c
-nn i- > -minn i- Or 20.7c
-nn i- > -ni-K 1.6a

```
-y a-> -i्\mathbf{l}}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ Or 2.4a
-r u- > r Or 2.3d
-ś ch- > -ḥ ch- Or 2.2c
```


## Double sandhi

As noted by LUBOTSKY 2002: 9, double sandhi is frequently encountered at the juncture $-\bar{a}$ $a-(>\bar{a})$, both in $\mathbf{O r}$ and in $\mathbf{K}$; in PS 15 it is found at 7.1a ( $\mathbf{O r}$ ), 18.5a (K), 18.8d (Or), 20.3d $(\mathbf{K}), 22.7 \mathrm{~d}(\mathbf{K})$, Or $23.9 \mathrm{~cd}(\mathbf{O r})$. There are also examples of double sandhi in different positions, viz. $-a \bar{a}->\bar{a}$ at 11.3a, 21.6a (K), $-\bar{a} \bar{a}->\bar{a}$ at 22.2d (K), $-\bar{a} i->e$ at 4.7a (Or). As a corollary to this phenomenon, the manuscripts introduce $-\bar{a} a$ - for simple $\bar{a}$ (K at 4.6a; one Orissa manuscript has $-\bar{a}$ '- instead of $\bar{a}$ once at 1.8ab), $-u u$ - for simple $\bar{u}$ (K at 1.7ab), $-a i$ - for $e$ (K at 11.7 c ; once also $-e i$ - for $e$ at 6.1a).

## Abhinihita sandhi

I edit initial $a$ - when it is metrically required and is written both in $\mathbf{O r}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ (1.2c, 1.3b, $2.1 \mathrm{~b}, 2.1 \mathrm{~d}, 2.2 \mathrm{~b}, 2.2 \mathrm{~d}, 2.3 \mathrm{~d}, 2.5 \mathrm{~b}, 5.1 \mathrm{~b}, 5.4 \mathrm{~d}, 5.9 \mathrm{~d}, 6.5 \mathrm{a}, 11.2 \mathrm{a}, 12.4 \mathrm{c}, 21.5 \mathrm{f}, 21.7 \mathrm{~b}, 21.8 \mathrm{~b})$. In four cases $(3.4 \mathrm{~b}, 11.9 \mathrm{~d}, 12.7 \mathrm{a}, 23.11 \mathrm{c})$, the readings of the manuscripts are not unanimous, in that $\mathbf{K}$ shows minor graphic mistakes or has a lacuna: in these cases I follow the evidence of Or.

If one of the two branches of transmission offers a metrically required a-against ' (avagraha) or $\varnothing$ in the other, I edit the former: $1.5 \mathrm{~cd}(-o a-\mathbf{O r},-o \emptyset-\mathbf{K}), 3.1 \mathrm{~cd}\left(-e a-\mathbf{K},-e{ }^{\prime}-\mathbf{O r}\right), 3.2 \mathrm{ab}$


 18.10ef ( $-о$ a- Or, -o Ø- K), 20.8a (-e $a-\mathbf{O r},-е \emptyset-\mathbf{K}), 20.8 \mathrm{c}(-e a-\mathbf{O r},-e \emptyset-\mathbf{K}), 21.5 \mathrm{fg}(-e a-$
 $\mathbf{K}), 23.13 \mathrm{~cd}\left(-o a-\mathbf{K},-o{ }^{\prime}-\mathbf{O r}\right)$.

I print $a^{-}$when avagraha or $\varnothing$ is found in the manuscripts, but restoration of initial $a$ - is metrically required: $6.3 \mathrm{~b}, 10.7 \mathrm{~b}, 12.1 \mathrm{~d}, 14.4 \mathrm{~b}$.

I print ' or (') when avagraha or Ø is found in one or more manuscripts and the meter requires elision: 2.6c, 3.1a, 3.5a, 5.6a, 7.2a, 7.4a, 9.4a, 10.5b, 18.10f.

## Final - $\boldsymbol{n}$ before vowel

The regular and expected sandhi $-n n V$ - is generally observed in the manuscripts, although it is unanimously preserved in all the manuscripts only at 11.3 c . $\mathbf{K}$ shows a tendency to render $-n n V$ - as $-n V$ - (1.6a), -m $V$ - (20.9a; a graphic mistake for the more common $-\dot{m} V-?$ ) or $-\dot{m} n V$ (20.7c). This last spelling is found also in Or (1.6a, 5.1c, 5.5d). One Orissa manuscript has the 'hypercharacterized' sandhi -imnn $V$ - once (20.7c).

As noted by Griffiths 2009: LVI, "the sandhi of a final nasal after a long $\bar{a}$ before an initial vowel is problematic," and in deciding between the two alternatives $-\bar{a} \ddot{m}$ or $-\bar{a} n$, "the editor of the PS is confronted with a bewildering variation between $K$ and the Or. mss., without any clear means to decide what the reading of the archetype may have been". K uses a separate sign for anunāsika ( $\dot{m}$ ) but this sound is sometimes spelled with the anusvāra ( $\dot{m}$ ). The Orissa manuscripts usually show $-\dot{n}, ~$ or $\dot{m}$ for $a n u n \bar{a} s i k a$. As regards PS 15, I distinguish the following cases:

There are four cases which point to the assumption of anunāsika in the archetype, leaving very little doubt about it. In three of these cases both branch of transmission have anunāsika: 14.2b ( $-\bar{a} \dot{n}, ~ u-\mathbf{O r},-\bar{a} \stackrel{m}{m} u-\mathbf{K}$ ), 20.3a, ( $-\bar{a} \dot{n}, ~ i-\mathbf{O r},-\bar{a} m ँ i-\mathbf{K}), 21.7 \mathrm{~d}(-\bar{a} \dot{n}, ~ a-\mathbf{O r},-\bar{a} \dot{m} a-\mathbf{K})$; in one case, at 11.5 b , one branch of transmission has anunāsika while the other has anusvāra ($\bar{a} \dot{n}, ~ u-,-\bar{a} \dot{m} \dot{n}, ~ u-\mathbf{O r},-\bar{a} \dot{m} u-\mathbf{K})$.

There are two ambiguous cases. At 11.3c, the Orissa manuscripts have anunāsika ( $-\bar{a} \dot{n}, ~ a-$ ) and $\mathbf{K}$ an erroneous reading ( $-\bar{a} t a-$ ); at 5.6a, the Orissa manuscripts have anusvāra ( $-\bar{a} \dot{m} a-$ ), and $\mathbf{K}$ again a corrupt reading ( $-a a-$ ). Even if there is no conclusive evidence, nothing in the manuscripts supports the adoption of the dental nasal, so I edit anunāsika in both cases.

There are some cases that clearly point to the adoption of $-n$. Unanimous evidence for $-n$ is found at 5.4 c marutvān adhi, 12.3c sasanvān ayutaim (with intervening pāda boundary), 12.7c vadhrān uta, 14.5a devān idaín, 14.9b punyajanān uta, 15.7d pārayān iti, 16.3d pārayān iti. I edit $-n$ also at the end of pāda 22.1c marutaḥ śivān | (against Or and $\mathbf{K}$ śivā $\dot{m}$ ).

The sandhi -inn/ūn $V$ - remains unchanged: cf. 13.1a vanaspatīn oṣadhīr (with intervening pāda boundary), 14.2a r rtupat̄̄n ārtavā̄̆ँ (with intervening pāda boundary), 14.9c mrtyūn ekaśataim. There is only one case in which the Orissa manuscripts clearly suggest a sandhi $-\bar{u} \stackrel{m}{r} r$ $V$-: at $10.7 \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{O r}$ read śatrūǹ $r$ anapavyayantaḥ, $\mathbf{K}$ reads śatröm apavyayantaḥ. Since this verse is borrowed from RV 6.75.7d, Griffiths 2009: LVIII-LIX suggested that the sandhi of Or can be assumed to have arisen under influence of the R.V itself, because the reading of $\mathbf{K}$ does not support the insertion of $r$.

## Anunāsika before s

This spelling, which is common in $\mathbf{K}$, occurs at 10.3 b and 10.3 d ; I edit the anusvāra according to the use of the Orissa manuscripts. Note the mistake of $\mathbf{K}$ at 13.8ab ( $-\bar{a} n s$ - instead of $-\bar{a} \dot{m} s$-).

## Final - $\boldsymbol{n}$ before $\boldsymbol{c}$ -

In PS 15, there is one single example of the practice to insert $\dot{s}$ after $-n$ before $c-:$ at 20.9c all the Orissa manuscripts, with one exception, read yakṣmā̀iśs ca ( $\mathbf{K}$ rakṣā̀msi).

## Final - $\boldsymbol{n}$ before $\boldsymbol{j}$ -

In PS 15 we come across two cases in which the manuscripts inconsistently write $\tilde{n}$ or $\dot{m}$ before $j$-: 10.3cd ( $-a \tilde{n} j-\mathbf{K},-a \dot{m} j$ - Or), 12.1a ( $-\bar{u} \tilde{n} j$ - Or, $-\bar{u} \dot{m} j$ - K). In my edition I have regularized $\tilde{n}$ everywhere.

## Final - $\boldsymbol{m}$ before $\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{- c} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{p}$ - etc.

I edit this sandhi (on which cf. Griffiths 2009: XI-LXII) with anusvāra, although the manuscripts sometimes show the assimilation of the final $-m$ to the following consonant: 2.6 c (*-ā̀ $t$->-ān $t$ - Or), 3.2c (-aim $t$ - K, -an $t$ - Or), 6.2c (-aím c- Or-K, -añ c- Or), 6.3c (-aím c-Or-K, -añ $\left.c-\mathbf{O r}), 11.2 \mathrm{c}(-a \dot{m} c-\mathbf{O r},-a n ̃ c-\mathbf{O r}-\mathbf{K}), 11.7 \mathrm{c}{ }^{+}{ }^{+} \bar{a} \dot{m} n->-\bar{a} n n-\mathbf{O r},-\bar{a} n-\mathbf{K}\right), 12.7 \mathrm{~d}$ $\left(^{+}-a \dot{m} t\right.$ - >-an $t$ - Or 12.7d), 12.10a (-aì $n-\mathbf{O r - K}$, -an $n-\mathbf{O r}$ ), 15.3c (-ám j- Or-K, -añ j- Or),
 $t$ - K, -an $t$ - Or), 15.6c (-aí t- Or-K, -an $t$ - Or), 18.10f (-aí n- Or-K, -an $n$ - Or), 20.10b (-im $c$ - Or-K, -iñ $c$ - Or), 23.6a ( ${ }^{+}$-aim $d$-> -an $d-\mathbf{O r}$ ), 23.9d (-aím $t$ - Or-K, -an $t$ - Or).

## Final - $\boldsymbol{t}$ before $\boldsymbol{s}$ -

This sandhi occurs six times, at 1.6a tac chakeyaín, 2.7b yac chukraí, 4.3d ic chivās, 12.5c uc chukram, 14.8c purastāc chakrā, 23.10b śivāc chivatarā. $\mathbf{K}$ is consistent in the treatment of this sandhi, and always writes śch. In the passages mentioned above, the Orissa manuscripts are not unanimous in rendering this sandhi and show various spellings: -c ch- (1.6a, 2.7b, 12.5c), $t s^{-}$(4.3d, 14.8c, 23.10b), $-t s$ - (1.6a), -t c- (18.4b), ch- (1.6a, 12.5c, 14.8c, 23.10b).

## Final visarga

The Orissa manuscripts have the usual system of $-h$ for $-s$ before $s$ ', $s-, s-$, while $\mathbf{K}$ assimilates the final $-s$ to $-s(1.3 \mathrm{a}, 1.3 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~K} 10.2 \mathrm{c}, 10.9 \mathrm{~b}, 11.2 \mathrm{~d}, 12.3 \mathrm{c}, 15.5 \mathrm{a}, 15.5 \mathrm{c}, 20.4 \mathrm{~d}, 22.6 \mathrm{~d}, 22.9 \mathrm{~b}$, $23.4 \mathrm{~b})$, $-s($ (there are no examples in PS 15) $-s(1.6 \mathrm{c}, ~ 2.5 \mathrm{~b}, 6.2 \mathrm{c}, 6.3 \mathrm{a}, 6.5 \mathrm{a}, 6.5 \mathrm{~d}, 6.7 \mathrm{a}, 6.8 \mathrm{c}, 6.9 \mathrm{c}$, $6.9 \mathrm{~d}, 7.6 \mathrm{a}, 8.7 \mathrm{a}, 10.7 \mathrm{~b}, 10.9 \mathrm{~cd}, 10.10 \mathrm{a}, 11.1 \mathrm{~cd}, 11.2 \mathrm{~b}, 11.3 \mathrm{~d}, 11.8 \mathrm{c}, 12.10 \mathrm{a}, 12.10 \mathrm{ab}, 12.11 \mathrm{a}$, $13.6 \mathrm{ab}, 13.9 \mathrm{a}, 14.1 \mathrm{~b}, 14.5 \mathrm{a}, 14.5 \mathrm{c}, 14.8 \mathrm{~d}, 14.10 \mathrm{~d}, 16.1 \mathrm{a}, 17.5 \mathrm{a}, 18.6 \mathrm{a}, 22.7 \mathrm{~b}, 23.13 \mathrm{a}, 23.13 \mathrm{c})$, showing occasional pausa-forms at pāda boundaries ( $2.3 \mathrm{~cd}, 2.4 \mathrm{~cd}, 3.2 \mathrm{bc}, 10.10 \mathrm{ab}, 11.7 \mathrm{bc}$, $14.10 \mathrm{bc}, 15.6 \mathrm{~cd}, 21.7 \mathrm{~cd})$ and also in the middle of the pāda (11.2c, 12.6b, 12.11d, 20.7e, 22.2d, 22.10d).

Before $k(h)$ - and $p(h)$-, the Orissa manuscripts always write visarga (h), while $\mathbf{K}$ uses, respectively, jihvāmūl̄̄̄ya, (hㄴ: 4.3d, 7.9a, 11.1d, 21.1d, 22.5a) and upadhmān̄̄ya (h: 1.1a, 1.1b, $1.2 \mathrm{a}, 1.2 \mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{~b}, 1.9 \mathrm{~b}, 2.5 \mathrm{a}, 2.5 \mathrm{c}, 3.4 \mathrm{c}, 3.6 \mathrm{~d}, 3.8 \mathrm{~d}, 4.2 \mathrm{~d}, 4.3 \mathrm{~d}, 4.5 \mathrm{~d}, 5.2 \mathrm{a}, 5.4 \mathrm{a}, 6.1 \mathrm{c}, 6.3 \mathrm{c}, 6.5 \mathrm{~b}$, $6.6 \mathrm{~d}, 7.1 \mathrm{a}, 8.1 \mathrm{a}, 8.4 \mathrm{a}, 9.5 \mathrm{a}, 10.2 \mathrm{~d}, 10.5 \mathrm{c}(2 \times), 10.6 \mathrm{a}, 10.9 \mathrm{a}, 10.10 \mathrm{a}, 10.10 \mathrm{c}, 11.1 \mathrm{a}, 11.1 \mathrm{c}, 11.3 \mathrm{~b}$, $11.4 \mathrm{a}, 11.6 \mathrm{a}, 11.6 \mathrm{~b}, 12.1 \mathrm{c}, 12.6 \mathrm{~d}, 12.11 \mathrm{~d}, 13.5 \mathrm{a}, 13.10 \mathrm{~b}, 14.5 \mathrm{c}, 14.8 \mathrm{a}, 14.10 \mathrm{a}, 16.1 \mathrm{~b}, 18.2 \mathrm{a}$, $18.4 \mathrm{a}, 18.8 \mathrm{~b}, 18.10 \mathrm{~d}, 19.1 \mathrm{a}, 19.2 \mathrm{a}, 19.4 \mathrm{a}, 22.6 \mathrm{c}, 22.9 \mathrm{~b}, 22.10 \mathrm{c}, 23.3 \mathrm{a}$ ), although not consistently: at several times, $\mathbf{K}$ also attests a final visarga before $p$ - (-h $p$ - at $1.3 \mathrm{c}, 1.4 \mathrm{c}, 1.10 \mathrm{c}$, $3.4 \mathrm{c}, 4.1 \mathrm{c}, 6.6 \mathrm{~b}, 6.9 \mathrm{a}, 8.3 \mathrm{a}, 9.2 \mathrm{a}, 9.3 \mathrm{a}, 10.6 \mathrm{~d})$ and $k$ - (-h $k$ - at 10.9ab, 23.3b).

## Initial and intervocalic ch-

In PS 15, there four cases of initial ch- $(1.2 \mathrm{~b}, 2.2 \mathrm{c}, 8.10 \mathrm{a}, 19.3 \mathrm{~b})$ and eighteen cases of intervocalic -ch- (2.5c, 5.3c, 6.1c, 6.2a, 10.6d, 10.9b, 11.2d, 11.10c, 12.11e, 15.4d, 16.1c, 18.5d, $18.6 \mathrm{ab}, 22.2 \mathrm{~d}, 22.6 \mathrm{~d}, 23.7 \mathrm{~b}(2 \mathrm{x})$ ). K always writes $\dot{s} c h,{ }^{9}$ while the Orissa manuscripts always write $c h$, with only one exception: at 11.10 c , Or unanimously spell the word duchunām as dutsunām. Regarding this last spelling, it is instructive to quote Griffiths 2009: LXIV-LXV: "Note also the readings at 6.12.8/6.13.3, where both $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{O r}$ have $t s$ : it thus seems that *G also had instances of $c h \rightarrow t s$. The mss. of the KauśS, which must have circulated in Gujarat simultaneously with, and among the same people as, our archetype and the predecessors of the ŚS mss. [...], show several cases of $t s$ for $c h$; we find similar spellings also in another text transmitted in Gujarat, MS 4.14.7:247 ff. rtsātām [...]".

In my edition I follow LUBotsky's opinion that "we should keep to the Orissa ms. tradition and edit simple -ch- (as is the practice of, for instance, the mss. of the RV)" (2002: 9).

## Degemination of $t t v, t t y, d d v, d d y$ to $t v, t y, d v, d y$ etc.

[^5]In PS 15, I've found only two examples of degemination of such clusters in the Orissa manuscripts: for $-t t v-$, cf. the readings at 15.1c ( $t \bar{a} v a t$ tvam $\mathbf{K}$, tāvatvam $\mathbf{O r}$; note that $\mathbf{K}$ also shows degemination in the same sequence in the parallel pāda 7.11.10c) and for $-d d y$-, cf. 15.1a (yovaddyaur $\mathbf{K}$, yāvadyaur $\mathbf{O r}$ ).

## Intervocalic d

For a detailed discussion of intervocalic $\underset{(h)}{ }(h)$ in the PS, I refer to Zehnder 1999: 21 and Griffiths 2009: LXIX-LXXI. This sound occurs ten times in PS 15; the table below shows the variety of this sound's rendering in the manuscripts:

|  | K | Ku | JM | RM | Pa | Bhattacharya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.4b | $m r{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | mrra | mrra | mrra | mrra | मृड |
| 11.8 c | vīlayasvāsthāta | vīraẏasvāsthāta | vīraẏasvāsthāta | vīraẏasvāsthāta | vīraẏasvāsthāta | वीड़यस्व |
| 11.10d | vīdayasva | vīraẏasva | vīdaẏasva | vīraẏasva | vīraẏasva | वीडयस्व |
| 12.3d | mrlaya | mrraẏa | mrreha | mrreha | mrreha | मृडेह |
| 12.4 c | vīdayasva | vīraẏasva | vīayasva | vīrayasva | vīrayasva | वीड़यस्व |
| 20.4b | müdhuse | mīrhuse | mīrhuse | mīrhuse | mīrhu $\rightarrow$ su) ṣe | मीढुषे |
| 20.5b | mrlayāko | mrrajāko | mrrayāko | mrdajāāko | mrrayāko | मृडयाको |
| 21.3d | mramim | mrataiam | mrataim | mrdataim | mrataiam | मृडतं |
| 21.5e | mrlatain | mratain | mratain | mrdataim | mratain | मृडतं |
| 23.4a | mrlayāti | mrdayāata | mrrajāta | mrrajààa | mrrajāta | मृडयात |

K uses a special sign to write the intervocalic allophone of /ḍ/, although not consistently; it is derived from the sign for $d$ by attaching a small diacritic triangle to the upper right side of it. In PS 15, this sign (which is Zehnder's number 1 and is usually transliterated as $l$ ) is found in six cases out of ten; at 21.3 d , $\mathbf{K}$ has the erroneous reading mrtam, while at 11.10 d and 12.4 c it writes $d$. Since in Sāradā script there is no aspirated counterpart of the intervocalic sign $d$, it is not surprising that $\mathbf{K}$ reads mị̄lhuṣe at 20.4b. ${ }^{10}$

The Orissa manuscripts use a sign derived by means of a subscript diacritic dot from $d$ to represent intervocalic $d$ (Zehnder's number 2). The sign is transliterated with $r$. When they write $d($ as $\mathbf{K u}$ at $23.4 \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{J M}$ at $11.10 \mathrm{~d}, \mathbf{R M}$ at 20.5b, 21.3d, 21.5e), it is possibly because of the loss of the diacritic dot under the akṣara.

[^6]Bhattacharya's edition is very misleading in this respect, in that in the majority of cases he prints ड (3.4b, 11.10d, 12.3d, 20.5b, 21.3d, 21.5e, 23.4a), but twice ड with a subscript diacritic dot $(11.8 \mathrm{c}, 12.4 \mathrm{c})$, trying to reproduce the akṣara used in the Orissa manuscripts.

Following Griffiths's editorial policy, I have decided to regularize $d(h)$ in my edition.

## Loss of virāma sign

Some of the mistakes in $\mathbf{O r}$ are due to the loss of the virāma sign in the manuscript tradition. In PS 15, I have found the following cases: $n>n a$ Or 11.5d, 11.9d, 12.5b, 12.9b, 14.2a, 14.9a, 22.10c; $t>t a$ Or 1.9a; $t>t a$ Or 1.5d, 5.9d; $s>s a$ Or 12.9a; $h>h a$ Or 5.3d.

## 3. Arrangement and content of PS 15

## Textual divisions

PS 15 belongs to the second "grand division" of the PS, which includes books nine to 15 . The arrangement of the stanzas and hymns is not arbitrary, but governed by a precise rule: throughout this section, there seems to be the implicit norm of ten stanzas per hymn, and no hymn may contain more than fourteen stanzas, such that if a group of connected stanzas contains more than this, it is split over two or more consecutive hymns. ${ }^{11}$

The title of the fifteenth kānḍa, Aṣtādaśarcakāṇ̣̣a (namely, 'the kāṇ̣̣a consisting of hymns of eighteen stanzas'), would imply an ostensible norm of 18 stanzas per hymn, but apparently, out of 23 hymns, none conform to this norm. The table below shows the number of stanza in each hymn of PS 15:

| Hymns | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> of <br> stanzas | 10 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 13 |

As a consequence of the principles that govern the arrangement of the stanzas into hymns, it is often the case that a group of connected and thematically related stanzas is split into one or two consecutive hymns, as shown in the table below:

| Hymns | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of <br> stanzas | $10+8$ | $10+10$ | $10+10$ | $10+10+6$ | $10+10+11$ | $10+11$ | $10+10+8$ | $10+12$ | $10+8$ | $10+13$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Therefore, if we consider the combinations of two or more hymns as a single composition, as they are, PS 15 indeed includes ten hymns. It is worth noting that two of them, the first and the ninth, contain 18 stanzas $(10+8)$, the number implied by the title of the kāṇ̣a. As will be observed in detail in the commentary, in various cases it is possible, within a particular hymn, to identify the stanzas that are clearly secondary additions, and without which the hymn would conform to the norm of 18 . For example, the last four stanzas of hymn 19 are clearly secondary, taken from PS 7.13.11-14. Without them, the eighth hymn of the kāṇ̣a would again conform

[^7]to the number 18. In other cases, it is almost impossible to reach the number implied by the title; evidently, it had become entirely irrelevant.

## Mantra abbreviation in the manuscripts

As noted by Griffiths 2009: XLII, "The mss. use several ways of abbreviating (1) stanzas or groups of stanzas repeated from preceding parts of the text, as well as (2) repeated openings and refrains." Both practices are also found in the manuscripts of the S'S and must be part of a common ancient tradition of manuscript writing.

1) Generally, the abbreviation of repeated stanza(s) is noted in the manuscripts by quoting the pratīka, followed by an indication of the type ity ek $\bar{a}$ (sc. $\dot{c} c-$ ), iti dve, iti tisrah etc. In PS 15 we find two examples of this type of abbreviation.

The first case occurs at 19.9, where all the manuscripts have the pratīka yā nadir, followed by the indication iti catasrah, which refers to PS 7.13.11-14. Since these two sets of four stanzas differ in the refrain of pādas cd, the manuscripts indicate it with the addition of idam uluñgulukottarāh after the pratīka; this addition means that the refrain of PS 19.9, which begins idam uluñgulukābhyo, has to be maintained in all four of the following stanzas.

The second case occurs at 15.22.3; all the manuscripts contain the pratīka of a mantra (agn $\bar{a} v$ agnir) followed by the indication ity $e k \bar{a}$, which refers to PS 12.18.1.
2) The abbreviation of repeated openings and refrains remains unmarked in the manuscripts. Some of the Orissa manuscripts occasionally show a type of abbreviation consisting of a superscribed akṣara $k \bar{a}$ added to the double danḍa $\left(\|^{k \bar{a}}\right)$ after a stanza that is not written in full because it repeats parts of the preceding one. ${ }^{12}$

In PS 15, there are 4 cases of abbreviated refrains.
The refrain of PS 4.6-10 is treated differently in the manuscripts. In $\mathbf{K}$ it is written in full at the end of each stanza, without any sign of abbreviation, while in the Orissa manuscripts it is written in full only in the first and last stanzas (4.6 and 4.10) and omitted in 4.7-9. Only the manuscript Ku marks the omission of the repeated refrain with the marker $\|^{k \bar{a}}$.

An interesting situation is found in hymns 7, 8 and 9 . The same refrain links together several stanzas, from 7.1 up to 9.4 . In every stanza a god/goddess or a deified entity is invoked as ádhyaksáa- of a particular sphere of influence, and in the refrain he/she is requested to favour the person speaking while performing the sacrifice (sa/sā māvantu). The refrain is written in full only at 7.1 and 9.4 (in 9.4 with minor modifications, due to the change of subject from singular to plural, since all the gods are at last invoked). The omission of the identical refrain in stanzas $7.2-9.3$ remains unmarked in all manuscripts, but both $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{O r}$ write the very beginning of the refrain when a change in the gender of the subject is involved: thus at 8.7 b , in which a god is invoked, the manuscripts write sa ma after the invocation because in the next stanza 8.8 b a goddess is invoked, and the change is indicated by adding $s \bar{a} m \bar{a}$; again at 8.9 b , the manuscripts write $s a m \bar{a}$ (as a god is invoked) and at $8.10 \mathrm{~b} s \bar{a} m \bar{a}$ (as a goddess is invoked).

The set of invocations that constitutes hymns 13-14 contains a refrain in the last pāda. The refrain is abbreviated by the repetition of its first word ( $t e$ ), followed by single or double dānda in $\mathbf{O r}$, by $\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{n}^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}$ in $\mathbf{K}$. Although some manuscripts are not consistent in abbreviating the refrain of hymn 14, all of them write it in full at 13.6 and 13.8 , as well as at 14.9 and 14.11, because both the intervening stanzas 13.7 and 14.10 contain a different final pāda.

[^8]Stanzas 16.4 to 17.6 contain the same refrain, written in full only in the first and last stanzas. The manuscripts are not consistent in abbreviating the refrain of $16.5-10$ and 17.1-6 in that they repeat different portions of the openings. Only the manuscript JM marks the omission of the refrain with the marker $\|^{k \bar{a}}$.

## Arrangement of the hymns within the kāṇ̣a. Content of PS 15

The arrangement of hymns within the kāṇ̣a is not at all arbitrary, and several tendencies may be noticed. In the introduction to each hymn I have tried to list the lexical correspondences between contiguous and more distant hymns (concatenating links), but it is clear that there are more elaborate links that go beyond the repetition of words and expressions. For instance, as regards the opening of a kāṇ̣a, BLoomfield 1899: 38 points out, "Just as the introductory hymn 1.1. and the closing hymn of 19 hold their places because of their subject-manner, so there is a design in the opening-hymns of books $2,4,5$, and 7 , all of which begin with a theosophic or brahmodya-hymn in loftier direction." Griffiths 2009: LXXVII adds, "This tendency we see clearly exemplified in PS 6.1 and $2(\sim$ ŚS 5.2+1), the former being a borrowing from the $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{R} V}$, both in style quite apart from the rest of the kānda."

I would add that both PS 13 and 14 begin with solemn hymns, to be recited during the abhiṣeka portion of a coronation ritual, and that a lofty hymn opens PS 15 as well. Hymns 1 and 2 , which must be considered a single hymn, conform, in their prominent position at the beginning of the kāṇ̣a, to the norm of 18 stanzas implied by the title of the kāṇ̣a; they contain a highly structured ritual, mostly consisting of material borrowed from Yajurvedic texts and dealing with a preliminary rite to be performed during the Aśvamedha, before the first day of soma-pressing.

The tendency to open a kāṇ̣a with a lofty hymn and to link the hymns to each other with verbal correspondences is not, at least in the case of PS 15, the only strategy for arranging the poetic material.

My hypothesis is that two main sections can be identified in this book. The first one includes hymns 1-12 (five hymns in total) and may be called the "the collection of royal hymns"; the second one includes hymns 15-23 (four hymns in total) and may be called "the collection of Rudraic hymns". Hymns 13 and 14, which contain a set of generic invocations to the gods against distress, could belong to either section, most probably to the first, or merely mark the transition between the two.

In my opinion, the first section represents a collection of royal mantras that were composed by the Paippalādins to be used during ceremonies or rites involving the figure of the king.

The importance of the PS with regard to the concept of kingship has already been stressed: Witzel 1997 has reconstructed the earliest phases of the emergence of the Kuru dynasty, and pointed out that book 10 of the PS is a thematically compact collection of "royal hymns", composed to serve as a 'coronation' text of the early Kuru kings. The position of this collection, which is not found in the SS, at the center of the PS (which consists of 20 books in total) highlights the Paippalādins' efforts to provide a unique service to the king as domestic priests. ${ }^{13}$

While there is no critical edition of kānḍa 10 of the PS, contemporary editors of the other books, in trying to understand the ritual applications of the hymns, have often stressed that some

[^9]hymns could have been used in royal ceremonies. A survey of the titles of these "royal hymns" offers an insight into the material we are dealing with: ${ }^{14}$ PS $1.11 \approx$ ŚS 1.29 Ein Halsamulett (mani-), um Herrschaft (rāṣtra-) zu erlangen; PS $1.19 \approx$ ŚS 1.9 Für Gedeihen und Reichtum; PS 1.53 (PS only) Für Respekt (upa-citi-) und Herrschaft (rāṣtra-); PS 1.54 (PS only) Für Ansehen (varcas-) und Macht; PS 1.74 (PS only) An einen König; PS 1.75 (PS only) Der König als Beschützer vor Feinden; PS 1.92 (PS only) An die (Gerichts-)Versammlung (samiti-); PS $2.18 \approx$ ŚS 6.38 Bitte um Prestige ('Funkeln'); PS 2.25 (PS only) Um in der Schlacht den Sieg zu erringen; PS 2.65 (PS only) Zur Sicherung der Herrschaft; PS 2.72-73 (PS only) Zur Erhaltung der Herrschaft; PS 2.86 (PS only) Prosaformel: für Feindlosigkeit in jeder Richtung; PS $2.88 \approx$ RVV 10.152 An Indra (zum Schutz vor Feinden); PS 5.29 (PS only) For splendor (varcas-); PS 6.9 (PS only) For a king, against enemies: with a bull; PS 7.12 (PS only) For a queen, against rival wives: with p $\bar{t} t \underline{a}$; PS 13.1-2 (PS only) Internalization of cosmic elements; PS 13.7-8 (PS only) A riddle hymn (in the style of a brahmodya); PS 14.1-2 (PS only) The king-engendering (rājasūyā) waters; 14.5-6 (PS only) The offering of the Śataudanā-cow.

The fact that almost all the hymns mentioned above are found only in the PS and not in the ŚS means that there must be "a conscious effort of Paippalāda Brahmins to appear as best suited to be the king's purohita" (Lopez 2010: 51), in competition with other 'orthodox' Brahmins; "although later dharma texts point out that the purohita of the king should be an Atharvavedin, the Śaunaka school do not seem to have the same agenda in the redaction of its Samitā" (ID.: 83). ${ }^{15}$

The collection of "royal hymns" in PS 10 represents quite an extraordinary case, but in my opinion is not entirely isolated. My hypothesis is that the first twelve (or better, five) hymns of kāạda 15 were also arranged sequentially in order to build a collection of mantras for use in royal rites. This arrangement is without a doubt late and artificial; the more the Paippalādins were invited to take part in royal rites, the more they needed new material, which they collected from heterogeneous sources (especially the R̊V and the YV), and which originally could also have been meant for other purposes. In this respect, it is again noteworthy that many of the "royal hymns" of PS 15.1-12 are missing in the S'S.

In the introductions to the single hymns, I have tried to present detailed evidence supporting the hypothesis that each hymn could belong to such a collection.

Here it may suffice to summarize that hymns 1 and 2 represent a composition made up of mantras borrowed from the Yajurveda's sections dealing with the ritual of the Aśvamedha, the most important ritual concerning the king.

Hymns 3 and 4 are typical Atharvanic hymns that are to be recited, together with the application of an ointment ( áánjana-), in a mahāśānti ceremony; as I have tried to demonstrate, the use of formulaic expressions normally addressed to the king in the ritual of royal

[^10]consecration (Rājasūya), the wish that the quarters will be free from danger and the mention of the tribes bringing the tribute are a clear evidence that the king is involved in the ritual and is being addressed by the priest.

Hymns 5 and 6 deal with the ceremony of the king's investiture; the main theme, the description of a garment carded and spun by the stars and the goddesses, a garment with which the priest should wrap the king to enable him to rule properly, is accompanied by an invocation to several gods, who are asked to bestow precious gifts often associated with the king, and by expressions describing actions that are typical for a king.

The royal character of hymns 7,8 and 9 is less evident, but the refrain of this long list of invocations contains the important word purodhā- 'office of a purohita', which could refer to the Paippalādins' need to present themselves as the king's purohitas.

Finally, hymns 10, 11 and 12 are centred on the theme of war and warfare; many stanzas are borrowed from the R.V and from the YV, and the connection between this hymn and the figure of the king is made clear by ĀśvGS 3.12, in which it is explicitly stated that the Rgvedic stanzas, found also in the PS, should be recited by a king's purohita in a ritual to be performed before and during a battle, while the king, who is the chief of the army, is wearing his armour and weapons, and while he is later standing on his chariot and fighting.

As already said, hymns 13 and 14, which form a single composition, could either belong to the first section, or mark a transition to what I call the "collection of Rudraic hymns" in PS 15. In the second part of this kāṇ̣a, the presence of Rudra is evident in every hymn, with the partial exception of PS 18-19, which are dedicated to the Apsarases.

In hymns 15,16 and 17 , which praise the healing virtues of the herb Arundhatī, a close connection is made between the herb, its curative power and the wounds that the arrows of Rudra can inflict. The name of Rudra and of his seven embodiments appear in seven consecutive stanzas.

In the Apsarases' hymns, 18-19, Rudraic elements are absent at first sight but, as I shall demonstrate, there are several references to Rudra himself and to his sphere of influence.

Hymns 20-21 are devoted entirely to Rudra and represent the core of this section; the composition is partly a rearrangement of $\underset{\circ}{\mathrm{RV}} 2.33$, which is one of the three Rgvedic hymns dedicated entirely to Rudra; of the stanzas attested only in the PS, the god is invoked especially in the form of Bhava and Śarva, the two 'lords of animals'.

Although Rudra is never mentioned in the final two hymns, 22-23, his presence is nevertheless implied everywhere; the hymn is a prayer for the protection of the crop against hail and other dangerous atmospheric agents, and the Maruts, who are sometimes identified with Rudra or said to be his sons, ${ }^{16}$ are constantly requested to ward off the lightning and the hail from the crop. The stormy atmosphere that echoes throughout the hymns is full of Rudraic elements such as the thunderbolt (aśáni-), the lightning (vidyút-), the thunder (stanayitnú-), the wind (vắta-), the celestial regions (dyáv-, antárikṣa-), the celestial fire and waters (divyá agní, áp-) and the hail (hrādúni-).

It is clear that, unlike the first section, the second section cannot be considered a sylloge of hymns related to the same subject, nor a collection made up by the Paippalādins for any specific ritual purpose. What is important, in my view, is that the presence of Rudra is like a thread that runs through the second part of this kāṇ̣a.

[^11]As for the collection of "royal hymns", this is not an isolated case. Although I cannot provide a complete set of data due to the lack of a reliable complete edition of the PS, the evidence for the persistent and increasing presence of Rudra in the PS seems clear enough. ${ }^{17}$

The most obvious case is represented by the group of seventeen connected stanzas in PS 14.3.1-10 and 14.4.1-7, which were culled from the PS and transmitted separately as the first kāṇ̣a and the first half of the second kāṇ̣a of the Nīlarudropaniṣad (NU). ${ }^{18}$ As noted by LUBIN 2007: 81, the NU represents the Atharvan equivalent of the Satarudriya, a litany to Rudra transmitted in various Yajurvedic texts (MS 2.9.2, KS 17.11, TS 4.5.1, VS 16.1-14) and recited during the Agnicayana ritual. Since it is beyond doubt that the Satarudriya clearly shows Rudra's rise to a position of greater importance, the same turns to be valid also for the NU and its primary source, the PS.

References to Rudra and his various forms are scattered throughout the other books of the PS, but we also find entire hymns dedicated to him that are not found in the ŚS, such as PS 2.20 and PS 5.22.

As for the reason why Rudra seems to attain such a conspicuous importance in the PS, I would suppose that the Paippalādins may have shared some elements of the Vrātya culture. ${ }^{19}$ That is not to say that they were the Vrātyas, especially given that the exact definition of the Vrātyas group is still under dispute. It is striking, however, that in PS 15.21, in the middle of a prayer to Rudra, we find mention of men bound to the same vow, a mention which seems to refer to the Vrātyas themselves. Moreover, both the Paippalādins and the Vrātyas seem to share two important features: first of all, the increasing importance of Rudra in the pantheon of the Paippalādins corresponds to the fact that Rudra was the tutelary deity of the Vrātyas (he is called Ekavrātya at ŚS 15.1.6 and Vrātapathi- in the Śatarudriya). Secondly, neither the Paippalādins nor the Vrātyas were fully recognized as part of Vedic society, both living at its borders; this would explain both the references to specific cults, like the Rudraic one, in the PS, as well as the simultaneous effort to appear as the best candidates to be the king's purohita, namely, as a means to becoming honourably included in the highest ranks of Vedic society.

## 4. Text, Critical Apparatus, Metrical analysis

For presenting the data in the main part of this work, I follow the principles outlined in Griffiths 2009: LXXVIII: "Each hymn receives a caption [...], which intends to bring across my view of the general purport of the hymn. Further elaborations of my interpretation [...] are provided in the introductory comments that precede each hymns.

Text, translation, critical apparatus, parallel passages, and commentary then follow in that order, arranged stanza by stanza. Every stanza is provided with a heading containing its number in bold face, along with a listing of parallel passages. If relevant [...] these parallel passages are then quoted under the critical apparatus. Each pāda is followed by a symbol indicating its metrical structure".

## Editorial signs in the text

[^12]The text of each hymn is presented as being divided in stanzas, pāda by pāda. Sandhi across pāda-boundaries is dissolved and marked by hyphens. In the edited text, I use the following symbols:
$+\quad$ The raised + sign precedes readings that are not attested as such in any of the collated manuscripts, but are easily attributable to the archetype through the comparision of the readings in $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{O r}$.
$\dagger \ldots \dagger$ Obeli enclose a portion of text that must have been corrupted already in the archetype, and for which no good solutions or emendations have been found so far.
$\circ$ Three kuṇdalas indicate abbreviating omission of repeated stanzas, openings or refrains.

## Critical apparatus

In the critical apparatus, I recorded all variants readings of the manuscripts without exception. According to the principles outlined in Griffiths 2009: LXXXII ff., "each individual lemma repeats the portion of text on which a variant is to be reported [...] and is followed by a lemma-sign (]), the ms. or mss. attested the adopted reading, and the variant or variants, separated by commas [...]. In those cases where I have adopted a reading not actually found in any of the mss., this reading has been marked in the lemma, as in the edited text, with either the ${ }^{+}$or the * sign", as described above. I list below all special symbols and brackets used to represent the manuscript readings (I follow Griffiths 2009: LXXXIIIL-XXXIV).

CAPITALS Capitals are used to represent readings that are uncertain (due, e.g., to bad legibility of photographs).
$\mathrm{Z} \quad$ This symbol renders the sign that is used singly and doubly as punctuation marker in $\mathbf{K}$ (see Griffiths 2009: XXIV).
A single raised dot represents an illegible akṣara.
[siglum] A siglum between brackets refers to manuscripts whose readings are to be inferred from Bhattacharya's negative apparatus; in the case of kāṇ̣̣a 15 , the only ms. coming into question is Ma.
om. This abbreviation means that the akṣara(s) or punctuation sign(s) is/are omitted in the respective $\mathrm{ms}(\mathrm{s})$.
$\{\ldots\} \quad$ Curly brackets enclose akṣaras or vowel elements thereof deleted by the scribe.
<...>
(...)
※

Angle brackets enclose akṣaras wholly or partially lost due to damage suffered by the palm-leaves. The number of intervening dots reflects the number of lost akṣaras.
Parentheses enclose material appearing interlinearly or in margine. The following specification can be made:
$\rightarrow$ Corrections: the marginal or interlinear material replace the preceding material that appears in the actual line of writing.
This symbol represent (floral) ornaments in the Orissa manuscripts around divisions of the text.

## Metrical analysis

Since the end of the nineteenth century, several monographs and important articles have been devoted to the study of the metre of the R.V. The pioneering works by Oldenberg 1888 and Arnold 1905 have served as a starting point for further investigation and, since then, the research has been considerably deepened and refined. On the one hand, scholars have studied relevant phenomena concerning the prosody of the text (e.g. laryngeals in Rgvedic metre and the role of accent) and techniques of metrical composition (e.g. the use of poetic formulas); on the other hand, efforts have been made toward outlining the comparative background of the verse types and stanza types of the oldest Vedic poetry. ${ }^{20}$ This research has proven the study of metre to be a valuable linguistic tool for better understanding the text and tracing the history of its composition.

In contrast, until now little attention has been paid to the metre of the two Atharvaveda Samihitās, the Śaunakīya Samihitā (ŚS) and the Paippalāda Samihitā (PS), the oldest collections of Vedic hymns after the RoV.

The fact that the study of Atharvavedic metre has long remained a desideratum is certainly due to multiple reasons, not always adequately explained by the scholars who regretted this lack in the field of Vedic studies.

One of the greatest obstacles to the study of Atharvavedic metre is certainly the textual situation of the Atharvaveda. Unlike the $\mathrm{R} V$, which was transmitted and preserved almost without variants due to the sacredness of the rituals connected with it, the Atharvaveda was long considered to be lacking in authority and was not immediately recognized as part of the Vedic canon. As pointed out by Insler, "since the Atharvan compositions did not originally play but perhaps the most peripheral role in the solemn śrauta rituals, there was no need to preserve the hymns in a form that approached the attempt at orthodox transmission seen in the recension of the mantra material centered on the holy rites and their fixed requisite liturgies. Consequently, for the Atharvan hymns a greater laxity prevailed, one which permitted changes, permutations, additions and remakings in the poetry of the original Atharvan corpus". ${ }^{21}$ It is evident that studying the metre of such a complicated text, whose readings are often on the border between authentic readings and mistakes that require emendation, is an extremely difficult task. Moreover, we have to take into account that there exist two recensions of the Atharvaveda. This is no doubt a favorable situation for the study of the relations between Vedic schools and for the solution of many textual problems, but the presence of two recensions, while enriching the research, at the same time complicates it. While the appearance of two recensions provided scholars with a "powerful tool for restoring corrupt readings in one or even both versions of the same hymn", ${ }^{22}$ it was also often frustrating to compare the two versions and apply textual criticism, not to mention to study the metrical form of the hymns, especially as

[^13]long as the Paippalāda version was known only through one single very corrupt birchbark manuscript from Kashmir (K). ${ }^{23}$

In two pages dedicated to the metrical form of the hymns of the ŚS, Bloomfield identified another difficulty in the study of Atharvan metre, a difficulty inherent to the metre itself; having noticed that the hymns often show "the greater freedom and irregularity of all types", he stated:

Atharvan metres are so generally capable of improvement that we are in danger of singing our own rather than Atharvan hymns, when we apply ourselves to the task of improving them. An uneasy sense is left that we all know how to make better verse-lines than those that have somehow got to be in vogue among the Atharvan writers; carried out to its full consequences this would eliminate one of the more marked peculiarities that render the Atharvan what it is. Yet it is impossible to abstain entirely: such abstemiousness would suggest the equally mistaken view that all Atharvan stanzas are before us in the form in which they were originally composed. ${ }^{24}$

Although a bit abstract, Bloomfield's words contain a balanced warning that should always be present in the mind of anyone who undertakes the study of Atharvavedic metre.

In the voluminous introduction to his annotated translation of the S'S, Whitney did not add any new observations, devoting but one page to this subject. Besides repeating Bloomfield's warning concerning the illegitimacy of overly heavy emendations, he pointed to the "extreme irregularity and the predominance of anustuubh stanzas" as two striking features of the metrical form of the Atharvaveda, concluding that "it is probable that in the Atharvan samihitā the irregular verses outnumber the regular". ${ }^{25}$ In the notes to the hymns, Whitney comments repeatedly on the metre and compares the parallel passages of the PS, but his remarks are always occasional and not based on exhaustive statistics nor computations of all the available data.

The striking irregularity of the metre and the uncertainty of how to deal with it were certainly a powerful barrier to the progress of the research.

Only recently has a publication on the metrical and prosodic structures of kāṇ̣as 1-7 of the Śaunakīya Samihitā (KUBISCH 2007) provided an analytic study founded on precise statistics of stanzas and verses types. Using the edition of Roth-Whitney (1924) as his primary source, Kubisch prepared a database of the complete text of the first seven kāṇdas of the ŚS. He analyzed the prosodic structure of every verse in the form of a scheme of symbols for each syllable, assigned pāda designations to all pādas, and categorized each stanza. Based on these pāda designations and stanza categorizations, he prepared detailed pāda and stanza statistics.

One of the most valuable qualities of Kubisch's pioneering work is the development of a complex terminological apparatus that has met the need to describe all the peculiarities of Atharvavedic metre. The assumption that "the numerous deviations from Rgvedic meters, typically qualified as mere irregularities, may [...] represent peculiar metrical schemes" ${ }^{26}$ has the undoubted merit of cutting through the fog surrounding the metrical structure of the text

[^14]and permitting a thorough study of this subject. The sophisticated discussion of the difficulties one has to face when studying this material, as well as identifying the limits beyond which it is dangerous to venture, are another remarkable feature of this work.

Although confined to a limited corpus, Kubisch's study also aims to cast some light on the metre of the PS and on Atharvan metre in general, considering that "regarding Atharvan stanzas a shift of relative frequencies in comparison to the RV is certainly a common feature of both recensions". ${ }^{27}$

One of the most glaring deficiencies of Bhattacharya's editions is indeed the complete absence of any information on the metre, except for the division of the hymns into stanzas and pādas. ${ }^{28}$ The first edition to provide a metrical analysis of the text is ZEHNDER 1999 (PS 2), whose system has basically been followed by Lubotsky 2002 (PS 5), Griffiths 2009 (PS 67) and LOPEZ 2010 (PS 13-14), although each edition has its idiosyncrasies due to the lack of a unified direction in the work.

In Zehnder's descriptive system, every pāda is described by a number, which represents the number of syllables it contains. Occasionally combined with these numbers are other signs that add information to the prosodic structure of the pāda. I reproduce the list of symbols used, along with their explanation (ZEHNDER 1999: 14), below:

5 pentasyllabischer Pāda
8 Anuṣtubh-Pāda
$8^{\wedge} \quad$ katalektischer Anusțubh-Pāda
$\wedge 8$ akephaler Anușṭubh-Pāda
(in vielen der siebensilbigen Pādas $(8 \wedge, \wedge 8)$ dürfte eine Textstörung vorliegen)
10 unterzähliger Trisṭ̣ubh-Pāda
11 Trisṭubh-Pāda
$11^{j} \quad$ unterzähliger Jagatī-Pāda
[11] elfsilbiger Pāda unklaren Charakters oder ohne metrische Struktur
12 Jagatī-Pāda
$12^{\mathrm{t}}$ überzähliger Triṣtubh-Pāda
[12] zwölfsilbiger Pāda unklaren Charakters oder ohne metrische Struktur
13 überzähliger Jagatī-Pāda
P Prosa
() Metrum nich restituierbar
(Zahl) Metrum im AVP-Archetyp schon defeckt; durch einen in der Anmerkung erwähnten Vorschlag zum betreffenden Metrum zu restaurieren
\{Zahl\} Metrum durch Flektierung oder Namen- Synonymensubstitution gestört

For his edition of PS 20.1-30, KUbisch 2010 used the system developed for the metrical analysis of ŚS 1-7 (KUbiSCH 2007). For its accuracy and thoroughness, and for the sophisticated treatment of the various metrical patterns, Kubisch's system proved so helpful that I have decided to take it as a model for my edition of PS 15.

[^15]For the sake of clarity, I list below the symbols used for describing the verse types, as well as the symbols that are often combined with them in order to specify the prosodical structure of a pāda.

## Symbols for verse types

A Anuștubh pāda / Octosyllabic dimeter verse
A7 Heptasyllabic Anuștubh pāda / Dimeter verse
J Jagatī pāda / Dodecasyllabic trimeter verse
Ja Jagatī pāda / Dodecasyllabic trimeter verse with early caesura
Jb Jagatī pāda / Dodecasyllabic trimeter verse with late caesura
Jc Jagatī pāda / Dodecasyllabic trimeter verse without caesura
Jca Jagatī pāda / Dodecasyllabic trimeter verse with "weak" caesura after the fourth syllable
Jcb Jagatī pāda / Dodecasyllabic trimeter verse with "weak" caesura after the fifth syllable
J1 Hendecasyllabic Jagatī pāda with caesura after the fourth syllable
J1c Hendecasyllabic Jagatī pāda without / with "weak" caesura
J2 Tridecasyllabic Jagatī pāda with pentasyllabic opening, trisyllabic break and Jagatī cadence
J2c Tridecasyllabic Jagatī pāda without / with "weak" caesura
J3 Jagatī pāda with trisyllabic opening, di- or trisyllabic break and Jagatī cadence
T Triștubh pāda / hendecasyllabic trimeter verse
Ta Triștubh pāda / hendecasyllabic trimeter verse with early caesura
Tb Triștubh pāda / hendecasyllabic trimeter verse with late caesura
Tc Triṣtubh pāda / hendecasyllabic trimeter verse without caesura
Tca Triștubh pāda / hendecasyllabic trimeter verse with "weak" caesura after the fourth syllable
Tcb Triștubh pāda / hendecasyllabic trimeter verse with "weak" caesura after the fifth syllable
T1 Virāṭsthānā verse, decasyllabic Triṣtubh pāda with caesura after the fourth syllable
T1c Decasyllabic Trisțubh pāda without / with "weak" caesura
T2 Dodecasyllabic Tristụbh pāda with pentasyllabic opening, trisyllabic break and Trisṭubh cadence
T2c Dodecasyllabic Tristụubh pāda without / with "weak" caesura
T3 Triștubbh pāda with trisyllabic opening, di- or trisyllabic break and Tristụubh cadence

T/Ja Trisṭubh pāda with early caesura, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one)
T/Jb Trișuubh pāda with late caesura, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one)
T/Jc Trișụubh pāda without caesura, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one)

| T/Jca | Tristụubh pāda with "weak" caesura after the fourth syllable, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one) |
| :---: | :---: |
| T/Jcb | Triștubh pāda with "weak" caesura after the fifth syllable, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one) |
| T/J1 | decasyllabic Triștubh pāda with caesura after the fourth syllable, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one) |
| T/J1c | Decasyllabic Tristụubh pāda without / with "weak" caesura, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one) |
| T/J2 | Dodecasyllabic Trisțubh pāda with pentasyllabic opening, trisyllabic break and Tristụubh cadence, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one) |
| T/J2c | Dodecasyllabic Tristụubh pāda without / with "weak" caesura, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one) |
| T/J3 | Triștubh pāda with trisyllabic opening, di- or trisyllabic break and Trisțubh cadence, which can be scanned as Jagatī pāda (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one) |
| V | Virāj pāda / Pentad |

## Other symbols

| - | Secondary sandhi ${ }^{29}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sim$ | Syllable whose prosodic value has been corrected |
| * | Pāda, in which at least one syllable has been restored (in subscript) |
| 1 | Pāda, in which at least one syllable has been omitted (in superscript) |
| ! | Pāda, in which the prosody of at least one syllable has been corrected |
| - | Extended pāda (gloss, interpolation) |
| + | 1) [in front of A:] Dimeter verse that ends in trochaic rhythm <br> 2) [in front of T, J, or T/J:] Trimeter verse that lacks iambic rhythm in the opening |
| \# | 1) [in front of A:] Dimeter verse that ends neither in a iamb nor in a trochee or antispast |
|  | 2) [in front of T, J, or T/J:] Trimeter verse with irregular cadence |
| +\# | 3) [in front of V:] Pentad verse with three short or long syllables in the middle 1) [in front of A:] Dimeter verse that ends in an antispast |
|  | 2) [in front of T, J or T/J:] Trimeter verse whose caesura is followed neither by an anapest nor by a creticus |
| (+)\# | Trimeter verse that lacks iambic rhythm in the opening and contains an irregular cadence |
| +(\#) | Trimeter verse that lacks iambic rhythm in the opening and whose caesura is followed neither by an anapest nor by a creticus |
| (+\#) | Trimeter verse whose caesura is followed neither by an anapest nor by a creticus and that contains an irregular cadence |

[^16]
## Mantra statistics

PS 15 contains 227 stanzas:

Anuṣtubh: 15.3.1, 15.3.2, 15.3.3, 15.3.6, 15.3.7, 15.3.8, 15.3.9, 15.3.10, 15.4.1, 15.4.2, 15.5.7, $15.5 .8,15.5 .9,15.5 .10,15.6 .10,15.11 .5,15.13 .1,15.13 .2,15.13 .3,15.13 .4,15.13 .5,15.13 .6$, $15.13 .7,15.13 .8,15.13 .9,15.13 .10,15.14 .1,15.14 .2,15.14 .3,15.14 .4,15.14 .5,15.14 .6$, $15.14 .7,15.14 .9,15.14 .10,15.14 .11,15.15 .1,15.15 .2,15.15 .3,15.15 .4,15.15 .5,15.15 .6$, $15.15 .7,15.15 .8,15.15 .9,15.15 .10,15.16 .1,15.16 .2,15.16 .3,15.16 .4,15.16 .5,15.16 .6$, 15.16.7, 15.16.8, 15.16.9, 15.16.10, 15.17.1, 15.17.2, 15.17.3, 15.17.4, 15.17.5, 15.17.6, $15.17 .7,15.17 .8,15.18 .1,15.18 .2,15.18 .4,15.18 .5,15.18 .6,15.18 .8,15.18 .9,15.19 .1,15.19 .2$, $15.19 .3,15.19 .4,15.19 .5,15.19 .6,15.19 .7,15.19 .8,15.19 .9$, 15.19.10, 15.19.11, 15.19.12, 15.20 .8 , 15.20.9, 15.20.10, 15.21.1, 15.21.2, 15.21.3, 15.21.6, 15.21.7, 15.21.8, 15.22.1, $15.22 .6,15.22 .9,15.23 .5,15.23 .6,15.23 .9,15.23 .10,15.23 .13=100(\mathbf{4 4 . 0 5 \%})$
Triṣtubh: 15.1.1, 15.1.2, 15.1.3, 15.1.6, 15.2.1, 15.2.2, 15.2.3, 15.2.4, 15.2.5, 15.2.8, 15.4.5, $15.5 .1,15.5 .2,15.5 .3,15.5 .6,15.6 .1,15.6 .2,15.6 .5,15.6 .6,15.6 .7,15.6 .8,15.6 .9,15.10 .1$, $15.10 .2,15.10 .3,15.10 .4,15.10 .5,15.10 .8,15.10 .9,15.11 .1,15.11 .2,15.11 .3,15.11 .4,15.11 .7$, $15.11 .8,15.12 .2,15.12 .3,15.12 .5,15.12 .7$, $15.12 .8,15.12 .9,15.12 .10,15.20 .2,15.20 .3$, $15.22 .2,15.22 .3,15.22 .4,15.22 .5=48$ (21.14\%)
Formula: 15.4.6, 15.4.7, 15.4.8, 15.4.9, 15.4.10, 15.7.1, 15.7.2, 15.7.3, 15.7.4, 15.7.5, 15.7.6, 15.7.7, 15.7.8, 15.7.9, 15.7.10, 15.8.1. 15.8.2, 15.8.3, 15.8.4, 14.8.5, 15.8.6, 15.8.7, 15.8.8, $15.8 .9,15.8 .10,15.9 .1,15.9 .2,15.9 .3=\mathbf{2 8}$ (12.33\%)
Triṣtubh-Jagatī: 15.1.4, 15.1.5, 15.1.7, 15.1.8, 15.1.9, 15.1.10, 15.4.3, 15.4.4, 15.5.4, 15.6.3, $15.10 .7,15.10 .10,15.11 .9,15.11 .10,15.12 .1,15.20 .4=16$ (7.04\%)
N.N.: 15.5.5, 15.20.1, 15.20.5, 15.20.7, 15.21.5, 15.22.8, 15.22.10, 15.23.1, 15.23.3, 15.23.11 = 10 ( $\mathbf{4 . 4 0 \%}$ )
Not metrical: 15.3.4, 15.3.5, 15.9.4, 15.9.5, 15.9.6, $15.23 .7=\mathbf{6}(\mathbf{2 . 6 4 \%})$
Gāyatrī: $15.2 .7,15.6 .4,15.18 .3,15.18 .7=\mathbf{4}(\mathbf{1 . 7 6 \%})$
Pañkti: $15.14 .8,15.23 .2,15.23 .8=\mathbf{3}$ (1.32\%)
Jagati: 15.10.6, 15.11.6 = $\mathbf{2}$ ( $\mathbf{0 . 8 8 \%}$ )
Mahāpañkti: $15.18 .10,15.23 .12=\mathbf{2}(\mathbf{0 . 8 8 \%})$
Virāj: $15.12 .4,15.20 .6=2(\mathbf{0 . 8 8 \%})$
Śakvarī: $15.12 .6,15.12 .11=2(\mathbf{0 . 8 8 \%})$
Brhatī: $15.23 .4=\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{0} .44 \%)$
Purastādbřhatī: $15.22 .7=\mathbf{1}$ (0.44\%)
Prastārapadā: $15.21 .4=\mathbf{1}$ ( $\mathbf{0 . 4 4 \%}$ )
Uṣṇih: 15.2.6 = $\mathbf{1}$ (0.44\%)

The distribution of the various stanza types in PS 15 follows the same tendencies that I have outlined in LeLLi 2014: 343 ff., where I have analyzed the metrical structure of eight kāṇ̣as of the PS.

As would be expected, the Anuștubh with its 100 occurences is the predominant metre ( $44.05 \%$ ), as it was in the portions of the PS treated in my PhD dissertation (42.62\%).

The tables below show the structures of the Anuṣtubh stanzas found in PS 15.
Anuștubh stanzas:

| STRUCTURE |  |  |  | ATTESTATIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First pāda | Second pāda | Third pāda | Fourth pāda |  |
| A | A | A | A | 15.3 .9, 15.5 .9, 15.5 .10, 15.6 .10, <br> 15.13 .8, 15.15 .3, 15.15 .10. 15.18 .1, <br> 15.18 .5, 15.18 .8, 15.20 .8, 15.21 .3, <br> $15.22 .9=\mathbf{1 3}$    |
| \#A | A | A | A | 15.3 .3, 15.3 .8 15.5 .8, 15.15 .1, <br> 15.15 .4, 15.15 .9, 15.18 .6, 15.21 .2, <br> 15.21 .7, $15.22 .6=10$   |
| +A | A | A | A | 15.11.5, 15.18.4 = $\mathbf{2}$ |
| +\#A | A | A | A | 15.5.7, 15.15.8, 15.21.8 = $\mathbf{3}$ |
| A | A | \#A | A | 15.3.7, $15.19 .12=2$ |
| A | A | +\#A | A | 15.4.1, 15.13.1, 15.23.9, 15.23.10 = 4 |
| +\#A | A | \#A | A | $\begin{aligned} & 15.13 .6,15.14 .4,15.14 .5,15.16 .2, \\ & 15.16 .5,15.17 .7,15.19 .9,15.19 .10= \\ & \mathbf{8} \end{aligned}$ |
| \#A | A | +\#A | A | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 .2,15.13 .2, \quad 15.13 .9, \quad 15.14 .2, \\ & 15.14 .9,15.14 .10,15.17 .8,15.21 .1= \\ & \mathbf{8} \end{aligned}$ |
| \#A | A | \#A | A | $\begin{array}{llr} \hline 15.3 .1, & 15.13 .3, & 15.13 .7, \\ 15.14 .3, \\ 15.15 .6, & 15.15 .7, & 15.17 .3, \\ 15.19 .6=8 \end{array}$ |
| +A | A | \#A | A | $\begin{aligned} & 15.17 .6,15.19 .2,15.19 .3,15.19 .5 \\ & 15.19 .11,15.20 .10,15.23 .13=7 \end{aligned}$ |
| +A | A | +\#A | A | 15.18.2 = $\mathbf{1}$ |
| +\#A | A | +\#A | A | 15.13.4, $15.13 .10=2$ |
| \#A | A | +A | A | 15.3.10, 15.4.2 = 2 |
| \#A | +\#A | A | A | 15.4.6 $=\mathbf{1}$ |
| \#A | +\#A | \#A | A | 15.15.2 = $\mathbf{1}$ |
| \#A | A | \#A | \#A | 15.3.6 $=\mathbf{1}$ |
| +A | A | \#A | \#A | $15.20 .9=1$ |

Anuștubh stanzas with one (or more) heptasyllabic pāda(s):

| \#A | A | A7 | A | $15.13 .5,15.14 .1,15.14 .11,15.22 .1=$ <br> $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A7 | A | \#A | A | $15.14 .7,15.16 .3,15.16 .4,15.16 .7, ~$ <br> $15.16 .8,15.16 .9,15.18 .9, ~ 15.19 .1, ~$ <br> $15.19 .4=\mathbf{9}$ |


| \#A | A | A | A 7 | $15.23 .6=\mathbf{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A 7 | A | A | A | $15.15 .5,15.21 .6=\mathbf{2}$ |
| A 7 | A | A | A 7 | $15.23 .5=\mathbf{1}$ |
| A 7 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$ | \#A | A | $15.17 .4=\mathbf{1}$ |

Anuṣtubh stanzas with one extended pāda:

| +A | A | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$ | A | $15.16 .1=\mathbf{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| +A | A | \#A | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$ | $15.19 .8=\mathbf{1}$ |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$ | A | \#A | A | $15.16 .6,15.16 .10,15.17 .1,15.17 .2$, <br> $15.17 .5,15.19 .7=\mathbf{6}$ |

Besides noticing the great variety in the rhythm of these stanzas, these statistics may be useful in verifying Oldenberg's statement that in later Rggvedic Anustụbh, the first and the third pādas began to move away from strict observance of the iambic cadence, giving rise to a greater variety of prosodic modulations. ${ }^{30}$ This variation of the Anuștubh, which is to be found in those hymns of the RVV that are similar to the Atharvaveda in various respects, is regular in the Atharvaveda itself.

The percentage of Anuștubh stanzas with non-iambic rhythm in the first and third pādas amounts to $36 \%$, a value which increases to $58 \%$ if we also count stanzas with heptasyllabic and extended verses. If we also add the stanzas that contain a not-purely-iambic rhythm in the first or in the third pāda only, the percentage is $81 \% .{ }^{31}$ The tendency is thus confirmed.

The Gāyatrī, which in the R. V V is the second most frequent meter after the Trisṭubh, is highly recessive in the Atharvaveda, and represents only the $1.76 \%$ of the total.

Sequences of dimeter verses occur further in Pañkti stanzas ( $3=1.32 \%$ ) and Mahāpañkti stanzas ( $2=0.88 \%$ ), which nevertheless appear to be an extension of Anuștubh stanzas in some cases (e.g., the stanza 15.18 .10 is an Anustuubh with the addition of two pādas that occur as a refrain in the following twelve stanzas).

As in ŚS 1-7 and the portions of the PS treated in my dissertation, the Tristubbh stanzas of PS 15 represent the second group in order of importance (21.14\%). Their percentage increases to $29.06 \%$ if we add the Tristuubh-Jagatī stanzas ( $7.04 \%$ ) and the Jagatī ones ( $0.88 \%$ ). It is noteworthy that, as regards Trimeter stanzas, we can literally repeat Kubisch's statement about ŚS 1-7: "The share of pure Trimeter-stanzas consisting of four pādas in the whole thus being increased to almost $30 \%$ ( $21 \%$ Triṣtubh $+1 \%$ Jagatī $+7 \%$ Triṣtubh-Jagatī), these stanzas nevertheless next to the Gāyatrī show the heaviest losses in comparison to the R.V" (Kubisch 2007: 15).

Stanzas described with the symbol "N.N." (4.40\%) include two categories: stanzas whose metre is difficult to define, because according to my system they contain pādas with an irregular rhythm, and stanza types that consist mostly of various combinations of octo- and hendecasyllabic verses. As noted by Kubisch 2007: 15, many of these new stanza types "are

[^17]found also in the R.RV, but are rather rare or 'somehow irregular' - like Dvipadā-Trisṭubhstanzas or Trimeter-stanzas with one pāda being replaced by two Pentads". I list below the new stanza types I was able to identify, together with their attestations:

| $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T}^{32}$ | 15.20 .7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{J}$ | 15.22 .8 |
| $\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T}$ | 15.5 .5 |
| $\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{A}$ | 15.23 .3 |
| $\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{J}$ | 15.23 .11 |
| $\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}$ | 15.22 .10 |
| $\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}^{33}$ | 15.20 .1 |
| $\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{J}+\mathrm{T}$ | 15.20 .7 |
| $\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}^{34}$ | 15.21 .5 |

Besides these new stanza types, both recensions of the Atharvaveda-Samitā include material that is not metrical, or only partly metrical. In PS 15, there are 6 stanzas that are not metrical ( $=2.64 \%$ ). Whereas this category represents little more than $2 \%$ of the total, the group "Formula" is the third in order of extent (= $12.33 \%$ ). This stanza type is a peculiar feature of Atharvan prose, which was already identified by Oldenberg:

Unter den prosaischen Zaubertexten herrschen sehr entschieden die vor, die in kürzerer oder längerer Reihe von Sätzen dasselbe Schema wiederholen, variiert nur nach der Abfolge irgend eines Systems, etwa der Zahlenreihe, der Welgegenden oder Aehnlichem (...). Der Typus der Zaubertexts mit Durchführung eines bestimmten Schemas, oft geradezu in tabellarischer Weise, ist offenbar uralt; daß der strengen Regelmäßigkeit zuliebe da Prosa bevorzugt wurde, begreift sich leicht. ${ }^{35}$

Kubisch 2007: 19-20 discussed this topic rather extensively, emphasizing his impression that "such groups of stanzas in which a schema is realized ('formulaic hymns') are in most cases - not always - based upon a metrical structure which only in some stanzas is disturbed".

In the PS, there are certainly many formulaic mantras that are purely prosaic (e.g. 2.43.1-5, $2.44 .1-5,5.5 .1-8$ ), but the occurrence of a formulaic scheme is not necessarily connected with a prosaic form. We thus find formulaic stanzas that are partly metrical, partly prosaic (e.g. $2.42 .1-5,2.91 .1-5,5.35 .1-11$ etc.), and stanzas in which the formulaic scheme rests on a metrical structure: e.g., in PS 16.4-17.3 we find a series of Anustuubh stanzas in which the following scheme is repeated: "And of one pierced by X and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: O Arundhatī, you are the poison-destroyer of that poison".

Out of 10 stanzas, 2 exhibit a regular metre, 4 have a heptasyllabic first pāda and 4 have an extended first pāda of nine (or more) syllables due to the names applied for X , which render the metre irregular. In my analysis, I have marked with the label "Formula" only the purely prosaic mantras, which have been included as such in the statistics. I have marked with labels such as

[^18]"Anuștubh Formula" the other categories of formulaic stanzas, which have been included in the statistics considering their underlying metrical structure.

## Pāda statistics

In PS 15 there are 876 pādas and prose lines. Verses that occur identically two or more times have been counted only once in the statistics; pādas that occur in only one stanza mixed with prose, pādas from "formulaic hymns", trimeter verses with irregular structures in all three members (opening, break, cadence) and prose lines have been excluded as well. The total number of verses analyzed for the pāda statistics is thus 689. Among these, we find:

381 Dimeter verses (= $55.29 \%$ ). Of these:
237 are "A" (= 34.39\%)
66 are "\#A" (= 9.57\%)
34 are "+\#A" (= 4.93\%)
15 are "+A" (= 2.17\%)
21 are "A7" (= $3.04 \%)$
8 are " ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A} "(=1.16 \%)$
260 Trimeter with Trisṭubh cadence ( $=37.88 \%$ ). Of these:

1) 223 are $\mathrm{T}(=32.36 \%)$. As regards the position of the caesura,

1a) 104 verses have early caesura ( $=15.09 \%$ ): 48 are "Ta" ( $=6.96 \%$ ), 17 are " +Ta " $(=$ $2.46 \%), 25$ are "+\#Та" (= $3.62 \%), 4$ are "+(\#)Ta" (= $0.58 \%), 3$ are "\#Та" (= $0.43 \%)$ and 4 are "(+\#)Ta" (= $0.58 \%$ );
1b) 123 have late caesura ( $=17.85 \%$ ): 91 are " $\mathrm{Tb} "(=13.20 \%)$, 25 are " $+\mathrm{Tb} "(=3.62 \%)$, 2 are " $+\# \mathrm{~Tb} "(=0.29 \%), 1$ is "\#Tb" $(=0.14 \%), 1$ is " $(+) \# \mathrm{~Tb} "(=0.14 \%)$ and 1 is "(+\#) Tb" (= 0.14\%);
1c) 1 is without or with "weak" caesura ( $=0.14 \%$ ): 1 is "+\#Tca" ( $0.14 \%$ )
2) 11 are $\mathrm{T} 1(=1.59 \%): 7$ are " $\mathrm{Tl} "(=1.01 \%), 2$ are "T1c" $(=0.29 \%), 1$ is $+\mathrm{T} 1(=0.14 \%)$ and 1 is (+)\#T1 (= $0.14 \%$ )
3) 16 are $\mathrm{T} 2(=2.32 \%): 9$ are "T2" $(=1.30 \%), 4$ are "T2c" $(=0.58 \%), 1$ is " +T 2 " $(=0.14), 1$ is "+\#T2" (= $0.14 \%)$ and 1 is "+(\#)T2 (= $0.14 \%)$
4) 6 are T3 $(=0.87 \%)$ : 4 are "T3" $(=0.58 \%)$ and 2 are "+\#T3" $(=0.29 \%)$
5) 4 are extended $(=0,58 \%): 3$ are " $+\#^{\circ} \mathrm{Ta"}(=0.43 \%)$ and 1 is " $+\#^{\circ} \mathrm{Tb} "(=0.14 \%)$

37 Trimeter with Jagatī cadence ( $=5.37 \%$ ). Of these:

1) 32 are $\mathrm{J}(=4.64 \%)$. As regards the position of the caesura,

1a) 17 have early caesura $(=2.48 \%)$ : 11 are "Ja" $(=1.60), 4$ are $+\# \mathrm{Ja}(=0.58 \%), 1$ is $+\mathrm{Ja}(=0.14)$ and 1 is $\# \mathrm{Ja}(=0,14 \%)$
1b) 14 have late caesura (=2.04\%): 14 are "Jb" (= 2.04)
1c) 1 is without or with "weak" caesura ( $=0.14 \%$ ): 1 is "Jcb" ( $=0.14 \%$ )
2) 3 are $\mathrm{J} 1(=0.43 \%)$ : 1 is " J 1 " $(=0.14 \%), 1$ is " +J 1 " $(=0.14 \%)$ and 1 is " J 1 c " $(=0.14 \%)$
3) 1 is J 3 ( $=0.14 \%)$ : 1 is "! $\# \mathrm{~J} 3$ " $(=0.14 \%)$
4) 1 is extended (= $0.14 \%)$ : 1 is " ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ja} "(=0.14 \%)$

2 Triṣtubh pādas which can be scanned as Jagatī pādas (by restoration of a syllable in the last place but one) $(=0.29 \%)$. Of these:
1 is " $+\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{Ja}$ " ( $=0.14 \%$ )
1 is "T/Jb" (= $0.14 \%$ )

Dimeter verses are the most attested verse type in PS 15. Their percentage is very similar to that of ŚS 1-7 (58.59\%) and of the portions of the PS treated in LELLI 2014 (60.02\%).

It is noteworthy that the attestations of the various kinds of dimeter verses correspond very closely in the three corpora; dimeter verses with pure iambic rhythm in the second half, described with the symbol "A", consistently represent the majority ( $34.39 \%$; $64.07 \%$; $64.75 \%$ ).

Among the dimeter verses that show a specific rhythmic combination in the second half, the most frequent are those that have an antispast ( $4.93 \% ; 8.55 \% ; 8.24 \%$ ), while those that have a final trochaic rhythm are sparsely attested $(2.17 \% ; 3.06 \% ; 2.74 \%)$.

Moreover, there are many dimeter verses that have various prosodic structure in the second half ( $9.57 \% ; 19.44 \% ; 19.02 \%$ ).

As regards heptasyllabic dimeter verses ( $3.04 \% ; 3.09 \% ; 3.12 \%$ ), both types - catalectic and acephalic - are attested in PS 15. They occur with the following distribution: 19 in Anuṣtubh stanzas, one in Gāyatrī stanzas and one in an "N.N." stanza. That is to say, heptasyllabic verses occur in the metrical contexts identified by Vine 1997: 251; more specifically, in PS 15, they always occur in alternation with other dimeter verses.

In comparison to the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{V}$, in which hypersyllabic dimeter verses are very rare and hardly seem to be intentional, ${ }^{36}$ in the AV they are more frequent, although the percentage of extended dimeter verses is fairly low in all cases ( $1.16 \% ; 1,79 \% ; 2,10 \%$ ).

The three groups of trimeter verses are again attested with almost the same percentages $(37.88 \%, 5.37 \%, 0.29 \% ; 34.19 \%, 5.73 \%, 0.31 \% ; 32.86 \%, 6.29 \%, 0.35 \%)$. Trimeter verses with Tristuubh cadence represent the second group in order of importance after dimeter verses, while trimeter verses with Jagatī cadence and Triṣtubh pādas that can be scanned as Jagatī pādas are less common.

It is worth noting that the most attested subtypes of trimeter verses are hypersyllabic Tristuubh pādas (symbol "T2", 2.32\%) and catalectic Jagatī pādas (symbol "J1", $0.43 \%$ ). The relatively high frequency of these verses may confirm Lubotsky's suggestion that they seem to be a peculiar feature of Atharvavedic metre. ${ }^{37}$

[^19]KĀṆ̣A 15

### 15.1. For the protection of the kingdom

PS 15.1 and 2 are a group of eighteen connected stanzas, split over two hymns of ten and eight stanzas, respectively $(10+8)$. Thus they indirectly conform, in their preeminent position at the beginning of the kāṇa, to the norm of eighteen stanzas per hymn indicated by the title of the kāṇ̣a (aștādaśarcakāṇ̣a). The total number of stanzas and the way they are arranged within two hymns are the first of much textual and content-based evidence that, in my opinion, proves that this is a recast and a late composition, made up of material borrowed mainly from Yajurvedic texts.

Thematically, PS 15.1-2 can be divided into two parts: 1.1-2.4 and 2.5-2.8 (2.5 marks the break as a stanza of transition, and the following change of metre suggests this division as well, though the whole hymn is quite irregular in this respect).

The source of the first part is a highly-structured ritual that is transmitted in the Samhitās of the Black Yajurveda as a preliminary rite to be performed during the Aśvamedha, before the first day of soma-pressing. In this ritual, ten venerations (isți-) are dedicated to various deities in association with the quarters, the seasons, the winds, the Prșṭha Sāmans, the metres and the Stomas. ${ }^{38}$ The following table gives an account of the associations as they are found in the TS (4.4.12), KS (22.14), MS (3.16.4) and ĀśvŚS (4.12.2).

| GOD | QUARTER | SEASON | WIND | SĀMAN <br> (Prsṣṭha) | METRE | STOMA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agni | Samidh | Spring | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { (Samudra) } \\ \text { vāta } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rathamitara | Gāyatrī | Trivgrt |
| Indra | Ugrā | Summer | (Sagara) vāta | Brhat | Tristuubh | Pañcadaśa |
| All-Gods | Prācī | Rainy season | Salilavāta | Vairūpa | Jagatī | Saptadaśa |
| Mitra and Varuṇa | Dhartrī | Autumn |  | Vairāja | Anuștubh | Ekavimíśa |
| Brohaspati | Samrāj | Winter | Avasyuvāta | Śākvara | Pañkti | Trinava |
| Savitar | Ūrdhvā | Cool <br> season | Vivasvadvāta | Raivata | Atichandas | Trayastrimśa |
| Aditi (as Viṣṇu's consort) | Dhruvā |  | Samdhvānā vātās |  |  |  |
| Agni Vaiśvānara |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anumati |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^20]| Ka |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Of the ten venerations prescribed in the Yajurvedic texts, the first seven are found in the PS with some significant differences as shown in the table below:

| GOD | QUARTER | SEASON | WIND | SĀMAN <br> (Prsṣ̣ha) | METRE | STOMA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agni |  | Spring | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (Samudra) } \\ & \text { *vāta? } \end{aligned}$ | Rathamitara | Gāyatrī |  |
| Indra | Ugrā | Summer | (Sagara) vāta | Brhat | Tristuubh | Pañcadaśa |
| All-Gods | Prācī | Rainy season | *Salilavāta | Vairūpa | Jagatī | Saptadaśa |
| Mitra and Varuṇa | Dhartrī | Autumn |  | Vairāja | Anuștubh | Ekavimía |
| Brhaspati | Samrāj | Winter | ? | Śākvara |  | Triṇava |
| Savitar <br> [in reverse order] | Ūrdhvā | Cool <br> season | *Vivasvadvāta | Raivata | Pañkti | Ekavimía |
| Aditi (as Viṣṇu's consort) [in reverse order] | Dhruvā |  | Sam்dhānā vātās |  |  |  |

As regards the relation between the PS and the Yajurvedic texts, it is very unlikely that the PS had only one Sam்hitā as its source - at least, no conclusive evidence can be drawn from the comparison of the texts. As I shall discuss in the commentary, for the most part the PS agrees with the TS, but in some cases also with the KS or the MS, so it is conceivable that the mantras were borrowed from the Yajurvedic tradition when it was still a floating mass of ritualistic material. Furthermore it should be noted that at 1.3 a and $\mathrm{d}, 1.4 \mathrm{~b}, 1.5 \mathrm{~d}, 1.6 \mathrm{c}$ and 2.8 d we come across a clear discrepancy between $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{O r}$, which seem to have independently introduced alternative readings (at 1.3a, d and $1.6 \mathrm{c} \mathbf{K}$ agrees with the parallel texts against $\mathbf{O r}$, and at $1.4 \mathrm{~b}, 1.5 \mathrm{~d}$ and 2.8 d it is the other way around).

In the PS, each veneration/invocation occupies two stanzas: in every odd stanza the quarters, the seasons and the gods are mentioned; in the even ones, the Sāmans, the metres, the Stomas and the winds. PS 15.1.9-10 are the only two stanzas that do not conform to this pattern, but in this case the PS agrees with the Yajurvedic texts; then, while the Yajurvedic texts strictly follow an established pattern, the order of the elements of the invocation is reversed in PS 15.2.1-2 and 15.2.3-4, where the quarters, the seasons and the gods are invoked in the even stanzas after the Sāmans, the metres, the Stomas and the winds. I think that this reverse order, found also in the ĀśvŚS, can be interpreted as a secondary development, probably due to the critical position of the stanzas involved at the beginning of a 'new' hymn. The omission of the samidh- quarter, the Trivrrt Stoma and the Atichandas metre, the misplacement of the Pankti metre and the Ekavimśa Stoma, the misunderstanding of the references to the winds are some other indications of the later origin of these hymns and their dependence on the Yajurveda. Other
clear evidence in favour of the late and composite nature of the hymn will be discussed in the commentary under 1.1a, 1.2c, 1.4ac, 1.6bd, 1.9c, 2.1ab, 2.2c, 2.3c.

PS 2.5-8 appear to be an appendix to the seven invocations: the stanzas are again borrowed from the Yajurveda and the Rgveda, and were probably chosen because of their manifestly ritualistic character, which met the need to set the action on a more concrete level.

All that has been said up to now can be easily explained if we concede that Paippāladin priests, as well as Atharvavedins of the Śaunaka school, could be involved in the politics of the kingdom and in royal rites (see Gonda 1966 and, for the PS in particular, Tsuchiyama 2007). The more they were invited to take part in royal rites, the more new material they needed to satisfy the requests of the kings. It is not surprising that the much-elaborated ritual of the Aśvamedha - by far the most important ritual concerning the king - served as a source from which the priests could draw new hymns. This section of the ritual must have been particularly attractive to the Paippalādins, for it contains a whole cosmologia-magica: as pointed out by SADOVSKI 2012: 153 and 158, "if priests and poets systematize the universe in the form of extensive lists, they are believed to exercise magical influence on it. By cataloguing the universe, worshippers try to find an underlying matrix system - but also they magically reshape and re-create it over and over again. [...] Mantra and prayers list the Universe in magic catalogues of cosmological concepts - regularly arranged in axiological manner - starting from sacred components of the macro-cosm, going via ritual as intermediary between God and men, to end up focusing on sacred components of the micro-cosm". This complex cosmologiamagica, in which interacting entities are presented in a multidimensional list, was aptly integrated in a prayer to secure the protection of the kingdom (ksatrá-); thanks to the magical knowledge of the priests, who could control the powers of the whole universe and transfer them to the king, both the kingdom and the king himself were invested with supernatural forces and legitimated in their functions. Trying to understand which royal ceremony is concerned here is something of a guess, for there are no precise references in this respect. What is beyond doubt is that the purpose of this hymn is to secure the protection of the ksatrá-, a term that in this context has a very concrete meaning and that I translate with 'kingdom' (cf. 1.3d, 1.5c, 1.7d, $1.8 \mathrm{c})$.
15.1.1 [Trisṭtubh] a: PS only, bcd: TS 4.4.12.1 $\approx$ KS 22.14:69.16-17 $\approx$ MS 3.16.4:187.14-15 ~ ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
samyan digbhyaḥ pavate saḿ suvarvin *Ta
madhor ato mādhavaḥ pāt ${ }_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{V}$ asmān | $\quad$ Ta
agnir devo ${ }^{+}$duṣtarītur adābhya \#Ta
idam̀ kṣatram rakṣatu pātuV asmān \| ${ }^{(\|+\# T a}$

Coming together from the quarters, the one who finds the sunlight becomes thoroughly pure. Henceforth from Madhu let Mādhava protect us. Let Agni, the god, unconquerable, uninjurable, defend this kingdom, let him protect us.
samyan digbhyaḥ] JM Mā [Ma], samya\{ $\mathfrak{m}\} n$ digbhyah $\mathbf{P a}$, samyam digbhyaḥ $\mathbf{K u}$, samyam digbhyāḥ RM, samyar digbhyah $\mathbf{K}$ svarvin] Or, stavyam $\mathbf{K}$ ato] $\mathbf{O r}$, ajo $\mathbf{K}$ mādhavah] $\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{P a} \mathbf{~ M a ̄ ~ [ M a ] , ~ m a ̄ d h a p a h ̣ ~}$ JM, mādhava RM, mādhanah $\mathbf{K} \quad{ }^{+}$dustanaītur] duștaritur Ku JM RM Pa, duștaritu Mā [Ma], duștarī̀dur $\mathbf{K}$ adābhya] Or, itābhya K kṣatram̉] Or, kṣattram K $\mathbf{K} \|] \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a}, \mid \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{R M}, 1 \mathbf{K}$

TS 4.4.12.1
samíd diśắm āśáyā naḥ suvarvín mádhor áto mā́dhavaḥ pātv asmắn | agnír devó duș̣ạ́rītur ádābhya idám kṣatrámٌ rakṣatu pā́tv asmā́n II

KS 22.14:69.16-17
samid diśām āśayā nas svarvin madhur ato mādhavaḥ pātv asmān ।
agnir devo duṣtarītur adabhda idaṁ brahma jinvatu pātv asmān II

MS 3.16.4:187.14-15
samíd diśá́m āśáyā naḥ svarvín mádhu réto mádhavaḥ pātv asmắn | agnír devó duș̣árītur ádabdha idám kṣatrám rákṣatu pắtv asmá̀n ||

## ĀśvŚS 4.12.2

samid diśām āśayā naḥ svarvin madhu reto mādhavaḥ pātv asmān |
agnir devo duștarī̄tur adābhya idam kṣatram rakṣatu pātv asmān II

Bhattacharya edits samyandigbhyah in a.
a. The PS version of this pāda differs considerably from the text of the other versions: samíd diśám āśáyā nah svarvín 'The kindling one among the quarters, the one who finds the sunlight, according to our hope'. The text of the Yajurvedic Samihitās is no doubt the original one because it shows the same pattern in the first pāda of every odd stanza of this hymn (name of a quarter plus diśām), and the association of the quarter samidh- 'the kindling one' with Agni is clear and natural. The PS line indeed seems to make reference to Soma, as is suggested both by the use of the verb pavate, which is the usual verb describing the preparation of the Soma drink, and of the epithet svarvid-, which often refers to that god (e.g., at R.RV 8.48.15b, 9.8.9b, 9.84.5b, 9.109 .8 b , etc.). It is not easy to understand why the redactor changed the text here, but it was possibly due to the influence of the word madhu- in the next pāda, interpreted not as the name of a month (see below), but as an adjective qualifying the 'sweetness' of Soma. Furthermore, the word śuci- in 1.3 b was probably interpreted as an adjective meaning 'clear', again suggesting the idea of Soma. It is noteworthy that the words pavate, madhu- and śsici- occur very often together in 'somic' context: cf. ṚV 6.8.1cd vaiśvānarāya matír návyasī śúcih sóma iva pavate cā́rur agnáye 'For Vaiśvānara the newer thought becomes pure like clear Soma, dear to Agni', 9.67.11ab ayám sómaḥ kapardíne ghrtám ná pavate mádhu 'This Soma becomes pure like sweet ghee for him who wears braided hair', 9.67. 12ab ayám ta āghrṇe sutó ghrtám ná pavate śúci 'This [Soma], pressed for you, O glowing one, becomes pure like clear ghee', 9.72.4d śúcir dhiyắ pavate sóma indra te 'The clear Soma becomes pure for you, O Indra, with devotion' etc.

On the meaning of the verb pavate, see Gotō 1987: 207-208. It is attested with the preverb sam- only in a prose section of the TB, so this is the first attestation in a Samitā.

This stanza is quoted in AthPrāy 6.5: rāthaintaraím cet stūyamānaím vyāpadyeta samyag digbhya iti dvābhyā̀̇ juhuyāt 'If the Rathamtara [sāman], when being sung, should disintegrated, then he should make oblation with the two [mantras] beginning with samyag digbhya'(see von NEGELEIN 1913-14: 139; samyag digbhya must be a conjecture, the manuscripts' readings being samādigbhya BC , samādiśya AD ). The identification of this pratīka with PS 15.1, which von Negelein proposes in a note, is obviously correct, because the first two stanzas of this hymn (cf. iti dvābhyā̄$\dot{m}$ ) are concerned with the sāman rathantara- (see next stanza).
b. Madhu and Mādhava are the names of the two spring months; cf. TS 4.4.11.1 = VS 13.25 $\approx$ MS 2.8.12:116.3 $=$ MS 4.6.7:89.6 $=$ KS 17.10:35.9 mádhuś ca máadhavaś ca vắsantikāv [MS, KS vá́santikā] rtū '[You are] Madhu and Mādhava, the months of spring'. Here the PS agrees with the TS, but the easier and probably original reading is that of the KS madhur ato mādhavah 'Madhu and then Mādhava'. For a discussion of the variants in the parallel texts, see Ved. Var. II, 382, III: 210 and Mittwede 1986: 148. Keith 1914: 351 translates our pāda 'From Madhu may Mādhava protect us', but in a footnote he proposes the alternative 'after Madhu'.

In this hymn, the indication of the seasons either by the names of the months or the name of the season itself is always found in an odd stanza, pāda $\mathbf{b}$, after the name of the direction, with the exception of the seventh stanza (where it is found in pāda $\mathbf{c}$, also after the name of the direction).
c. On dustarītu- 'difficult to be crossed or overcome', 'unconquerable', see Lubotsky 1997b: 139 note 2. The formation dus-ṭárītu- is synonymous with and competes with dus-ṭára, which also occurs in this hymn, at 5c (see AiGr. II/2, § 483a, pp. 651-652).

On adābhya-, see NARTEN 1988-90: 154-55. She reconstructs for the root dabh- (from which both adābhya- of the PS, TS and ĀśvŚS and adabdha- of the KS and MS are derived) a basic meaning 'to deceive' and a secondary meaning 'to injure', adding that it is not always easy to choose which one better fits the context. From the discussion of a Yajurvedic mantra (TS 1.1.10.2 etc.), however, she concludes that the formations adābhya- and adabhda- were often used with the meaning 'uninjurable' and 'uninjured' rather than 'uncheatable' and 'uncheated'. The Yajurvedic mantra she discusses is about Agni, as in our case, and goes as follows: ágne sapatnadámbhanam ádabdhāso ádābhyam 'We, the uninjured - thee the uninjurable injurer of enemies’ (Eggeling).

The cadence is wrong.

```
15.1.2 [Trisțubh] \(\approx\) TS 4.4.12.1 \(\approx\) KS 22.14:69.18-19 \(\approx\) ĀśvŚS 4.12.2, MS 3.16.4:187.16-
    188.1
    rathantaraṁ sāmabhiḥ pātuv asmān *Ta
    gāyatreṇa chandasā viśvarūpam | Ta
    \(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{u}}\) vādaśā iṣṭaya stomo ahnā *Ta
    samudro vāca idam ojaḥ pipartu \| T2
```

Let the Rathantara with the Sāmans protect us, the manifold one with the Gāyatrī metre. The twelve-fold venerations, the Stoma with the day; let the ocean, the words preserve this strength.
sāmabhiḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, sāmabhi [Mā], sāmabhiḩ K gāyatreṇa] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, gāẏateṇa $\mathbf{R M}$, gāyattreṇa $K$ chandasā] Or, śchandasā $K$ viśvarūpam] $K$, viśvar̃pam் Or isṭaya] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, ipaștaẏa Pa stomo] RM Pa Mā [Ma] K, sto $\{m a\}$ mo JM, stome? Ku ahnā] Or, ahnām K vāca] Or, vācya $K$ idam ojaḥ] idam odaḥ Or, tam ojaḩ K pipartu] piparttu Ku JM RM Pa [Mā?], piparttiu [Ma], pipantu K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 2 Z K

TS 4.4.12.1
ratham̉tarám̉ sā́mabhiḥ pātv asmā́n gāyatrí chándasām viśvárūpā | trivị́n no vișṭháyā stómo áhnām̆̉ samudró vắta idám ójaḥ pipartu II
ratham்taram̉ sāmabhị̣ pātv asmān gāyatrī chandasām viśvarūpā trivrd viṣṭhayā stomo ahnāmँ samudro vāta idam ojaḥ pipartu II

MS 3.16.4:187.16-188.1
rathantarámٌ sắmabhiḥ pātv asmā́n gāyatrí chándasām̆ viśvárūpā । trivị́n no viṣṭháyā stómo áhnā samudró vắta idám ójaḥ pipartu II

## Bhattacharya edits vāca idamoja $h^{+}$in d.

b. Once again the parallel passages have an easier text: gāyatrī chándasā̀̇ viśvárūpā ‘The Gāyatrī, the glittering one among the metres' (Keith). I connect viśvarūpam with rathantaram and translate gāyatreṇa chandasā 'with the Gāyatrī metre' (cf. TS 7.1.18.1 quoted below). On the connection of Agni with Gāyatrī, see e.g. R.V 10.130.4a agnér gāyatry àbhavat sayúgvā 'The Gāyatrī became Agni’s yoke-fellow', AB 1.1.7 gāyatram agneś chandas 'The Gāyatrī is the metre of Agni'. On the association of Gāyatrī with the spring season, see TS 7.1.18.1 gāyatréna tvā chándasā yunajmi vasanténa tvartúnā havíṣā dīkṣayāmi 'With the Gāyatrī as metre I yoke you, with the spring as season [I yoke you], with an oblation I consecrate you'. More complete associations, involving also the Stoma and the Sāman, are found, e.g., in AB 4.29.1 agnir vai devatā prathamam ahar vahati trivrt stomo rathaìtaraì sāma gāyatrī chando 'Agni as deity bears the first day; the Trivrt as Stoma [bears the first day], the Rathantara as Sāman [bears the first day], the Gāyatrī as metre [bears the first day]'.
c. The interpretation of this pāda is difficult. The parallel texts have the comprehensible trivín no viștháyā stómo áhnā̀̇ं ‘The Trivṛt Stoma with the order of the days’, of which the PS seems to be a corruption. The name of the Stoma Trivgt is wanting in the PS, so that again the Yajurvedic texts have preserved a more original tradition.
d. As regards $v \bar{a} c a$, I propose to keep the text as it was transmitted in $\mathbf{O r}$, supported by the fact that $\mathbf{K}$ also points to a word with initial $v \bar{a} c$-. Another possibility would be an emendation to vāta, which is in the parallel text. The same confusion between -ca and -ta is found, e.g., at PS 5.33.6d where, instead of anvañcan, two manuscripts read anvantam; an even closer parallel situation is found at PS 5.7.12c, where all ŚS and PS manuscripts uniformly read vāta, but the emendation to *vāca (which is in the Rgvedic parallel passage) seems unavoidable because of the context (cf. Lubotsky 2002: 7 and 47-48). Although the mention of the wind would fit a coherent series of references to this atmospheric agent, here the context is not a compelling argument, and an emendation seems unnecessary.

Note the metrical form: it is a dodecasyllabic Triṣtubh pāda with pentasyllabic opening, trisyllabic break and Tristụbh cadence. This verse-type occurs several times in this hymns (at 15.1.7b, 15.1.7c, 15.1.8d, $15.19 b)$

```
15.1.3 [Triṣ̣̣ubh] \(\approx\) TS 4.4.12.1 \(\approx\) KS 22.14:69.20-21 \(\approx\) MS 3.16.4:188.2-3 \(\approx\) ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
    ugrā diśām abhibhūtir vayodhāḥ Ta
    śuciḥ śukre ahan \({ }_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{obas}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{ye}\) | \(\quad *(+\#) \mathrm{Ta}\)
    indrādhipatiḥ piprtād ato no +Tb
    +mahi kṣatram viśvato dhārayedam \| Ta
```

Let the mighty one among the quarters, the overpowering giver of strength, let Śuci, during Sukra on a day full of power [protet us]. O Indra, as sovereign, protect us henceforth. Hold firm from all sides this great kingdom!
ugrā] K, agrā Or vayodhāḥ] Or, vayodhāś K śuciḥ] Or, śuciś K śukre] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, śukro Ku ahany ojasye] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, ahany oyasye [ $\mathbf{M a ̄}$ ], ahny ojāse $\mathbf{K}$ ato] $\mathbf{O r}$, ute $\mathbf{K}{ }^{+}$mahi] maỹi Or, mahat $\mathbf{K}$ kṣatrami] $\mathbf{O r}$, kṣattram $\mathbf{K}$ dhārayedam] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, dhāẏa $\rightarrow \mathrm{e})$ d $\{\mathrm{e}\}$ am $\mathbf{K u}$ ||] Ku JM Mā Ma Pa, |RM, Z 3 Z K

TS 4.4.12.1
ugrā́ diŝám abhíbhūtir vayodhấh súcịh sukré áhany ojasínā ।
índrắdhipatị̣ pipıtād áto no máhi kṣatráḿn viśváto dhāraye 'dám II
KS 22.14:69.20-21
ugrā diśām abhibhūtir vayodhāś śuciś śukro ahany ojasye |
indrādhipatyaị̣ piprtād ato no mahi kṣatram viśvato dhārayedam ||
MS 3.16.4:188.2-3
ugrá disá́m abhíbhūtír vayodhắh súciḥ śukré áhann ojásíne I
índrádhipatyaị̣ piprotād áto no máhi kṣatrám̉ viśváto dhārayedám ||

## Ās̄vŚS 4.12.2

ugrā diśām abhibhūtir vayodhāh śuciḥ śukre ahany ojasīnām | indrādhipatiḥ piprtād ato no mahi kṣatraḿ viśvato dhārayedam ||

Bhattacharya edits mayi in d.
a. Note the variant of the Orissa manuscripts, agrā 'foremost', 'chief' at the beginning of the pāda.
b. KEITH 1914: 351 translates 'pure, on a bright day...'. I think that śuci- and śukra- could be interpreted here as the names of months in hot season; if so, this stanza would mention the season in pāda $\mathbf{b}$ as in $1.1,1.5,(1.7), 1.9$. Cf. TS 4.4.11.1 $=$ VS 14.6 $\approx$ MS 2.8.12:116.8 $=\mathrm{KS}$ 17.10:253.6 śukráś ca śúciś ca gráiṣmāv [MS, KS gráiṣmā] ${ }_{\circ} t \bar{u}$ ' [You are] Śukra and Śuci, the month of summer'. The order of the two months is however reversed in the PS, and the passage is still unclear. Note that Ku reads śukro, which could also be adopted in the text ('May Śuci [and] Śukra in a day full of power [protet us]'). At the end of the pāda, the PS agrees with the KS in reading ojasye. As regards the metre I tentatively restore a Tristuubh line, but the cadence is wrong; the TS and MS version of this pāda is metrically superior.
c. On the termination of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ singular imperative in -tāt, see Whitney 1889: 213-14: "As regards its meaning, this form appears to have prevailingly in the Brāhmanas, and traceably but much less distinctly in the Vedic texts, a specific tense-value added to its mode-value - as signifying, namely, an injunction to be carried out at a later time than the present: it is (like the Latin forms in -to and -tote) a posterior or future imperative". Cf. also Macdonell 1910: 318, Delbrück 1888: 363-64, Renou 1952: 368. As remarked in Baum 2006: 37, it is not easy to define the 'futurity' of this imperative form if it does not appear in the apodosis of conditional or temporal clauses, but in this passage the future meaning is confirmed by the use of the adverb atas 'henceforth, from this time on', which projects the action onto the future.
d. The readings of $\mathbf{K}$ (mahat) and of the parallel passages suggest changing the text to ${ }^{+}$mahi, which is the solution I choose. The expression mahi ksstraim is found in the same position, at the beginning of a pāda, also at RovV 1.54 .8 d máhi kṣatrám stháviraím vŕṣnyaím ca 'A great kingdom, firm and mighty', 1.54 .11 b máhi kṣatrám janāsạál indra távyam 'O Indra, a great
kingdom, subduing men, strong', 5.68.3c máhi vā̀̉ kṣatrám devéṣu 'Great is your kingdom among the gods', 7.28.3c mahé kṣatráya śávase hí jajñé (in the dative) 'For you are born for great kingdom and power', 7.30.1d máhi kșatráya páumisyāya śūra 'For great kingdom, heroic deed, O strong one, 8.22 .7 d mahé kşatráaya jínvathaḥ (in the dative) 'You impel for great kingdom'. The reading of $\mathbf{O r}$ could be secondary and influenced by PS 1.33.4d mayi ksatraím varca $\bar{a}$ dhatta devīh 'O goddesses, bestow on me the kingdom and splendour' or ŚS 3.5.2ab: máyi kṣatrám parṇamaṇe máyi dhārayatād rayím 'Hold firm in me, O parṇa-amulet, the kingdom, [hold firm] in me wealth'.


The Brhat [is] the dominion, supporting the kingdom, of great strength, [it is] power adorned with the Tristuubh, endowed with powerful men. Let Indra, with the Stomas, with the fifteenfold [Stoma], defend this splendour with the wind, with the sea.

 K, trṣṇyabhojaḥ Ku Pa Mā [Ma], trṣṇābhojaḥ JM, trṣṇabhojaḥ RM śubhitam] Or, sukr̊tam K ugravīram] ugravīram Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, ugraviram [Mā] indra] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, indra\{h\} RM pañcadaśena] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, pam்cadaśena Ku varca] [Ma] K, varcca Ku JM RM [Mā] Pa sagareṇa] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, samareṇa RM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 4 Z K

TS 4.4.12.2 = ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
bŗhát sấma kṣatrabhị́d vŗddhávrṣṇiyam triṣ̣úbháujah śubhitám ugrávīram | índra stómena pañcadaśéna mádhyam idám vắtena ságareṇa rakṣa II

KS 22.14:70.1-2
bŗhat sāma kṣatrabhṛd vŗddhavrṣṇyam trisṭubhaujás śubhitam ugravīram |
indra stomena pañcadaśenauja idaḿ vātena sagareṇa rakṣa II
MS 3.16.4:188.4-5
bŗhát sắma kṣatrabhị́d vŗddhávrș̣ṇam trisṭ̣́bháujaḥ subhitám ugrávīram |
índraḥ stómena pañcadaśéna mádhyam idám̆ vắtena ságareṇa rakṣatu II
For the association of Indra with the Brohat Sāman, Triṣtubh metre and Pañcadaśa Stoma, see e.g. RৃV 10.130.5b índrasya trisṭúp ihá bhāgáh áhnaḥ 'the Triṣtubh here was Indra’s portion [of the oblation] of the day', AB 4.31.1 indro vai devatā dvitīyam ahar vahati pañcadaśah stomo brhat sāma triṣtup chandaḥ 'Indra as deity bears the second day, the Stoma [is] the Pañcadaśa, the Sāman [is] the Brhat, the metre [is] the Triș̣ubh'. For the connection of the Triș̣ubh metre with the summer season, see TS 7.1.18.1 tráiș̣ubhena tvā chándasā yunajmi
grīṣména tvartúnā havíṣā dīkșayāmi 'With the Trisṭubh as metre I yoke you, with the summer season as oblation I consecrate you'.
a. The PS reading rāstrtram seems to be secondary compared with sāma in the parallel texts, because when a Sāman is named throughout this hymn and the next one, the proper name is always followed by the word sāman- itself: cf. rathantaraì sāmabhiḥ at 1.2 a , vairūpe sāmann at 1.6 a , vairāje sāmany at 1.8 a , revat sāmnā$\dot{m}$ at 2.2 c . There is only one exception to this pattern, namely when the Sākvarī Sāman is named at 1.9 c , but in that case the PS and all the parallel texts have the same reading. The reading of $\mathbf{O r}$ could be possibly due to perseveration from PS 1.18.1d brhad rāṣtraì saìveśyaì dadhātu 'Let him bestow a great dominion to be occupied' and PS 10.2.7a vāñchatu tvā brhad rāṣtram 'Let a great dominion go towards you', but an changing the text to *säma would be a too heavy emendation.
b. Note the variant of K sukrtam 'well-done', 'well arranged', 'adorned' instead of śubhitam of the Orissa manuscripts.
cd. Note the unusual sequence stomaih pañcadaśena, instead of which the parallel texts have the easier stómena pañcadaśéna 'with the fifteen-fold Stoma'. It seems that all the varieties of Stoma are first generally mentioned, and then the pancadaśa variety is explicitly addressed.

At the end of c , there are three variants: the PS reads varcas (cf. 1.6cd below), the TS and the MS mádhyam and the KS -oja. In this case it is almost impossible to understand which one is the authentic reading. In the TS and KS, indra in pāda $\mathbf{c}$ must be vocative, because of rakṣa in the next pāda; the $2^{\text {nd }}$ person imperative is also better for the metre. The PS and MS both have rakṣatu, which makes the line a Jagatī and is clearly secondary. Due to this verb form, indra in pāda $\mathbf{c}$ must be understood as indrah (the form actually found in the MS). On the sandhi, see Whitney 1889: 175.
15.1.5 [Triṣtubh-Jagatī] TS 4.4.12.2 $\approx$ KS 22.14:70.3-4 $\approx$ ĀśvŚS 4.12.2, MS 3.16.4:188.6, 8 prācī diśām sahayaśā yaśasvatī +\#Ja viśve devāh ${ }^{+}$prāvrṣ̣āhnām suvarvatī | $\quad$ *Ja idaṁ kṣatram duṣtaram astuv ojo *+\#Ta anādhrṣ̣ạà *sahas ${ }_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{am}$ sahasvat $\| \quad$ *+\#Ta

O All-gods, during the rainy season of the days let the eastern one among the quarters, being with fame, full of fame, full of light [protect us]. Let this kingdom be invincible strength, unassailable, mighty, victorious.
sahayaśā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], sahajyaśá Pa, sahadiśām் K yaśasvatī] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, yaśasvatīṁ
 K, sarvatī JM, svasvarvatī, Pa Mā [Ma] duṣṭaram] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], duṣtarim Pa, duṣṭaramam K anādhrṣṭam்] Or, nādhrṣyam K *sahasyam்] sahasvam் Or K sahasvat] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, sahasvata [Mā], sahasvatī K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku JM RM, Z 5 Z K

TS 4.4.12.2
prā́cī diśáảm saháyaśā yáśasvatī víśve devāḥ prā́vrș̣áhnām̆̉ súvarvatī |
idám kṣatrám duṣtáram astv ójó 'nādhrsṣṭẳ sahasríyam̆ sáhasvat II

KS 22.14:70.3-4
prācī diśām̆ sahāśayā no víśve devāḥ prāvrsṣāhnām̆̉ svarvit | idam் kṣatram duṣtaram astv ojo 'nādhrṣ̣ṭmّ sahasríyamँ sahasvat II

MS 3.16.4:188.6-8
prā́cī dišám̆ saháyaśā yáśasvatī víśve devā́h prāvriṣáhhnām̆ svàrvatī |
[...]
idám kṣatrám duṣtáram astv ójó 'nādhrsṣtam̆ sahasyàm̆̉ sáhasvat |

ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
prācī diśām̆ sahāyaśa yáśasvatī víśve devāḥ prāvrṣāhnām̆̉ svarvatī |
idam̀ kṣatram dușṭaram astv ojo 'nādhrṣyamँ sahasyamّ sahasvat II

Bhattacharya edits sahasvam in d.
a. Instead of the synonymous sequence sahayaśā yaśasvatī, $\mathbf{K}$ reads sahadiśāi$~ s a h a s v a t \bar{u}$. The first form is a mistake due to the repetition of the word diśäm; at the end of the line, the word sahasvat̄̄ 'victorious', which could also be a good reading, seems instead to be a mistake due to the repetition of the same elements in the compounds: the sequence saha-yaśă-yaśasvatī was changed into saha-diśā$\dot{m}$-sahas-vatī. The Or readings are common to all the parallel passages, so I adopt them in the text.
b. Bhattacharya edits prāvrșāhnā $\dot{m}$, which is the reading of all the parallel texts, despite his usual policy to edit the text of the Orissa manuscripts. At $1.2 \mathrm{c}, 1.5 \mathrm{~b}, 1.7 \mathrm{c}$ and 2.2 b the forms $a h n \bar{a}$ and ahnām alternate in the manuscripts without consistency, and Bhattacharya is inconsistent as well, since he edits ahnām in the first two passages, $a h n \bar{a}$ in the other two. Apart from 1.2c, which is a very corrupt line, it seems that the genitive is better than the instrumental in the other passages. The genitive is used in all the parallel texts with the exception of the MS, which in three cases out of four has the instrumental in accord with the Orissa manuscripts.
cd. The MS inverts pādas $\mathbf{c d}$ of this stanza with pādas $\mathbf{a b}$ of the next one. Note the variant 'nādhrssyaim that $\mathbf{K}$ shares with the ĀśvŚS, and which is a good reading too. At the end of pāda d, I emend the text according to the reading of the MS and ĀśvŚS. The reading dustaramam in $\mathbf{K}$ shows a meaningless repetition of the ending.

```
15.1.6 [Trisṭubh] \(\approx\) TS 4.4.12.2 \(\approx\) KS 22.14:70.5-6 \(\approx\) MS 3.16.4:188.7, \(9 \approx\) ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
    vairūpe \({ }^{+}\)sāmann iha tac chakeyam Tb
    *jagatyainam̀ vikṣuv ā veśayāmi | Ta
    viśve devāh saptadaśena vadyam +\#Ta
    idam̀ kṣatram *salilavātam ugram \| +\#Ta
```

Here with the Vairūpa Sāman may I be able [to do] that, with the Jagatī I cause him to enter into the clans. O All-gods, with the seventeenfold [Stoma] let the speech [protect] this kingdom, ocean-wind, mighty.
 [Ma], sāmam்n iha RM, sāma $\{\dot{m}\} n$ iha $\mathbf{J M}$, sāman iha $\mathbf{K}$ tac chakeyam்] tachakeẏam $\mathbf{K u}$, [Ma], tat sakeẏam் JM RM Mā, ta\{sa\}c chakeẏaḿ Pa, taś chakeyam $\mathbf{K} \quad$ *jagatyainam̀] jagatyainad $\mathbf{O r}$, jagatyetu $\mathbf{K}$ vikṣv ā] Mā [Ma], vi\{śvā\}(+ kṣ•) Ku, viśvā JM, vikṣā Pa RM, vrkṣā K viśve] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, $\operatorname{vis}(\rightarrow s$ ś)ve $\mathbf{P a}$ devāh] $\mathbf{O r}$, devās $\mathbf{K}$ vadyam] $\mathbf{P a} \mathbf{M a ̄}$ [Ma], vaddham Ku JM RM, varca $\mathbf{K}$ kṣatraḿ] Or, kṣettraḿ K *salilavātam] salilāvatam Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, śalilāvatam RM ||] Ku JM Mā Ma Pa, |RM, Z 6 Z K

TS 4.4.12.2
vairūpé sấmann ihá tác chakema jágatyainam̉ vikṣv á veśayāmaḥ |
víśve devāḥ saptadaśéna várca idám kṣatrám̉ salilávātam ugrám II

KS 22.14:70.5-6
vairūpeṇa sāmnā tac chakeyam̉ jagatyainamं vikṣv ā veśayāmi |
viśve devās saptadaśena madhyam idam̉ kṣatram̆ salilam̉ vātam ugram ||

MS 3.16.4:188.7-9
vairūpé sắmann ádhí táñ śakeyam̉ jágatyainam̆ँ vikṣv á á veśayāmi ॥
[...]
víśve devāḥ saptadaśéna várca idám kṣatrám̉ salilávātam ugrám II

ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
vairūpe sāmann iha tac chakeyam jagatyainam̉ vikṣv āveśayāni |
viśve devāḥ saptadaśena varca idam் kṣatram̆ salilavātam ugram II

Bhattacharya edits sāmanyaha in a and salilāvatam in d.
For the association of the All-gods with the Vairūpa Sāman, Jagatī metre and Saptadaśa Stoma, see, e.g., R̊V 10.130 .5 c víśvān devà́n jágaty á viveśa 'The Jagatī entered the All-gods', AB 5.1.1 viśve vai devā devatās tritùam ahar vahanti saptadaśah stomo vairūpaỉ sāma jagatū chando 'The All-gods as deities bear the third day, the Stoma [is] the Saptadaśa, the Sāman [is] the Vairupa, the metre [is] the Jagatī’. For the connection of the Jagatī metre with the rainy season, see TS 7.1.18.1 jáagatena tvā chándasā yunajmi varṣábhir tvartúnā havíṣā dīkṣayāmi 'With the Jagatī as metre I yoke you, with the rainy season as oblation I consecrate you'.
a. For the sandhi of final -n before vowel, see Griffiths 2009: LVI ff. The locative is here used in an instrumental meaning, as suggested also by the reading of the KS vairūpeṇa sāmn $\bar{a}$ (cf. Ved. Var. III, 300).
b. The Orissa manuscripts have the neuter enad instead of the masculine enam of the other versions. The neuter can hardly be correct here, since the enclitic is used exclusively in an anaphoric sense; an emendation to *enam, referring to the king, is therefore highly recommended and I adopt it in the text, also because the confusion between $-d v$ - and $-m v$ - is possible in late Gupta script.
c. Following Bhattacharya, I adopt the reading vadyam; $\mathbf{K}$ reads varca, which might be due to the influence of the parallel texts or to perseveration from PS 15.1.4c above. The readings of the Orissa manuscripts could derive from a corruption of madhyam, which is found in the text of the KS.
d. The reading salilāvatam, which is in all the manuscripts, must already have been corrupted in the archetype. On this pāda, see Thieme 1961: 103, with the translation: "Diese Herrschaft [soll sein] von salzigem Wind, stark" and the comment "Der Gedanke ist selbstverständlich, daß sie (diese Herrschaft) sich bis zur Grenze der Erde, das ist: der Küste des Meeres, ausdehnen soll". Cf. further my note on 1.8 c and 1.9 c .
15.1.7 [Triṣ̣̣ubh-Jagatī] $\approx \mathrm{TS}$ 4.4.12.3 $\approx$ ĀśvŚS 4.12.2 $\approx \mathrm{KS}$ 22.14:70.7-8 $\approx \mathrm{MS}$ 3.16.4:188.10-11
dhartrī diśām kṣatram idamं ${ }^{+}$dādhartu-
(+\#)Ta
-upasthāśānām mitravad astuv ojaḥ |

$$
\text { mitrāvaruṇā *śaradāhnām }{ }^{+} \text {cikitnū } \quad \text { T2 }
$$

asmai kṣatrāya mahi śarma yachatam ||

Let the supporter among the quarters support this kingdom, let the lap of the regions be strength rich in allies. O Mitra and Varuṇa, wise in the autumn of the days, let you two accord great protection to this kingdom!
dhartrī] K, dhatrī Or kṣatram] Or, kṣattram K idam்] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, ida RM ${ }^{\text {+dādhartūpasthāśānāmí] tādhatupastāsānā Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, tādhatupastāsānām Mā, dadhartu }}$ upastvās̄ānām K mitravad] Or, mittravad K astv ojaḥ] RM K, astojaḥ Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa mitrāvaruṇā] mitrāvaṛ̣̣ā Or, mittrāvaruṇā K *śaradāhnām] śaradāhnā Or K ${ }^{+}$cikitnū] cikitnu [Ma], ciKITNU Mā, cikatnu Ku Pa, cikatnū JM RM, jigarbhū K asmai] Ku RM [Ma] Pa K, Asmai Mā, asme JM kṣatrāya] Or, kṣattrāṇi K yachatam] Or, yaśchatam K \|] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 7 Z K

TS 4.4.12.3
dhartrí diśá́ṁ kṣatrám idám dādhāropasthấs̄ānām mitrávad astv ójaḥ |
mítrāvaruṇā sarádā́hnāản cikitnū asmái rāṣtrắya máhi śárma yachatam II
KS 22.14:70.7-8
yantrī diśām kṣatram idam dādhāropasthās̄ā mitravatīdam ojaḥ।
mitrāvaruṇā śaradāhnām jigatnū adabdha kṣatram idam astv ojaḥ ||
MS 3.16.4:188.10-11
dhartrí diśá́m kṣatrám idám dādhāropasthắs̄ānām mitrávad astv ójaḥ |
mitrávváruṇā śarádā́hnā cikittám asmé rāṣṭráya máhi sárma yachatam II

ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
dhartrī diśām̉ kṣatram idam̉ dāhhāropasthās̄ā mitravad astv ojaḥ |
mitrāvaruṇā śaradāhnām cikitvam asmai rāṣṭāya mahi śarme yachatam II
Bhattacharya edits śarad $\bar{a} h n \bar{a}^{+}$cikitn $\bar{u}$ in $\mathbf{c}$.
a. On the perfect form dādhartu, see Kümmel 2000: 262-263.
c. The emendation *śaradāhnā̀ is suggested both by the parallel texts and by the parallel expressions found at 1.5 b above (prāvrșāhnā̀ं) and 2.2 b below (saìvatsareṇa ahnām). See under 1.5 b .
15.1.8 [Triṣṭubh-Jagatī] TS 4.4.12.3 $=$ MS 3.16.4:188.12-13 $\approx$ KS 22.14:70.9-10 $\approx$ ĀśvŚS

### 4.12.2

vairāje *sāmann adhi me manīṣā- Tb
-anuṣtubhā sam̉bhrọtam̀ vīriyam ${ }^{+}$sahaḥ | Ja
idam̉ kṣatram mitravad ${ }^{+}$ārdradān ${ }_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{V}$ *ojo $\quad{ }^{+}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ta}$
mitrāvaruṇā rakṣatam ādhipatye \| T2
My mind is [intent] on the Vairāja Sāman. Through the Anusṭubh manly power has been collected. O Mitra and Varuṇa, you two must defend in supremacy this kingdom rich in allies, to which (heavenly) moisture is given, the strength!
*samann] sāmany Pa Mā [Ma] K, sāmaNY Ku, sāmaminy JM RM manīṣānuș̣̣ubhā] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, manīṣā 'nușṭubhā JM sam̉bhrtam்] Or, sambhrıtam K vīryam்] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, vīrya Ku ${ }^{+}$sahaḥ] saha Or, saḥ K kṣatram mitravad] Or, kṣattram mittravad K ${ }^{+}$ārdradānv *ojo] ārdradāmnnodā [Ma], ādradāminodā Ku RM Mā Pa, ādradānnodā JM, ānvojā K mitrāvaruṇā] mitrāvarṇā Or, mittrāvaruṇā K rakṣatam] Or, rakṣatum K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 8 Z K

TS 4.4.12.3 = MS 3.16.4:188.12-13
vairājé sắmann ádhi me manīṣắnuștúbhā sámbhṛtam̉ vīryàmँ sáhaḥ |
idám kṣatrám mitrávad ārdrádānu mítrā́ váruṇā rákṣatam ádhipatyaị̣ II

KS 22.14:70.9-10
vairāje sāmann adhi me manīṣānușṭubhā sam̉bhrtam dusṭaram̆ sahaḥ |
idam̉ kṣatram mitravad ārdradānv ojo mitrā varuṇā rakṣatam ādhipatyaiḥ II
ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
vairāje sāmann adhi me manīṣānuṣṭubhā sam̉bhrotam̉ vīryam̆ँ sahaḥ |
idam் kṣatram் mitravad ārdradānum mitrā varuṇā rakṣatam ādhipatye ||

Bhattacharya edits ${ }^{+} \underline{o j a}$ in $\mathbf{c}$.
a. All the manuscripts point to a locative sāmani, but a caesura after the sixth syllable would be awkward, and this reading could easily be explained by perseveration from 15.1.6a. I prefer in both cases to have the form samann, which is found in all parallel passages as well. For the association of Mitra and Varuṇa with the Vairāja Sāman, see RoV 10.130.5a virā́n mitrááváruṇayor abhiśrír 'The Virāj attached to Mitra and Varuṇa'.
b. For the connection of the Anuștubh metre with the autumn season, see TS 7.1.18.1-2 anuștubhena tvā chándasā yunajmi śarádā tvartúnā havíṣā dīkṣayāmi 'With the Anuș̣ụh as metre I yoke you, with the autumn season as oblation I consecrate you'. I translate the asyndetic expression vīryamं sahaḥ (lit. 'manliness, power') as a hendiadys.
c. On ārdradānu-, see Mylius 1994: 191 note 387: "Dieses scheinbar keinen Sinn ergebende Attribut erklärt sich daraus, daß der Text, dem mantra entstammt, an der Grenze zum semiariden Gebiet Nordindiens entstand. Genügender Niederschlag gehörte daher in besonderem Maße zur vorteilhaften Ausstattung eines Reiches". The accentuation in the TS and MS shows that this compound is a Bahuvrīhi, to be interpreted as yásmai ārdrám dánu dīyáte 'to which (heavenly) moisture is given' or 'which receives [abundant] moisture as a (heavenly) gift'. There is no doubt that wishing for abundant rain is concerned here, as confirmed by the use of ārdradānu- in the difficult stanza SS 16.3.4 vimokáś ca mārdrápaviś ca má hāsisțām ārdrádānuś ca mā mātarísvā ca máa hāsisṭām 'May the releaser and the one having wet tires not abandon me; may the one giving moisture as gift and Mātariśvan not abandon me', where the compound evidently refers to a divine dispenser of rain. Nevertheless, as reasonable it may be, Mylius's explanation fails to recognize the ritualistic background for the use of this compound. I think that, in our stanza, ärdradānu- is used with special reference to the gods Mitra and Varuṇa, who in the next pāda are requested to secure the protection of the kingdom. Mitra and Varuṇa are called dánunas pátī 'lords of the (heavenly) gift (= rain)' in two R̊gvedic passages, 1.136.3d jyótiṣmat kṣatrám āśāte ādityá dánunas pátī ‘The two of them have obtained a brilliant kingdom, the two Ādityas, lords of the (heavenly) gift' and 2.41.6ab tà samrắjā ghrôásut̄̄ ādityyá dā́nunas pátī ‘The two of them, sovereign kings, fed with ghee, the two Ādityas, lords of the (heavenly) gift'. Therefore it was natural for the redactor to qualify
the kingdom as 'receiving moisture as a (heavenly) gift' while asking to Mitra and Varuṇa, 'lords of the (heavenly) gift', to protect the kingdom itself.

Note also the close connection with the parallel line 1.6d: apart from the word mitravad, which is only in 1.8 c , the two lines share the same pāda-initial idam kșatram, and also contain the etymologically related words ojo and ugram. Most striking of all is the similarity between *salilavātam in 6d and ārdradānu in 8c. Both highlight a geographical-meteorological feature of the kingdom: on the one hand, salty wind blowing from the sea; on the other hand, the heavenly gift of moisture.

At the end of the line, Bhattacharya edits ${ }^{+} o j \bar{a}$. The TS and the MS seem to have preserved a better text, in which this word is lacking. The KS reads ojo, and I adopt this reading for the PS as well, even though all the manuscripts point to a final long - $\bar{a}$. At any rate, this word seems to be a secondary insertion.
15.1.9 [Tristụubh-Jagatī] TS 4.4.12.3 $\approx \mathrm{KS}$ 22.14:70.11-12 $\approx$ MS 3.16.4:188.14-189.1 $\approx$ ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
samrạ̣̄ diśāmं ${ }^{+}$sahasāmnī sahasvatiy *Ja
řtur hemanto *viṣṭhayā naḥ pipartu | T2
oṣajātām̉ brọhatī ca śakvarī- +J1
-imam̉ yajñam ${ }^{+}$avatān no ghrōācī \| Ta

Let the sovereign among the quarters, rich in Sāmans, victorious, let the winter season in its turn preserve us. Let the high and ...(?) Śakvarī verse, like ghee, help this worship of ours.
samrāḍ] K, samrāt Ku JM Pa [Ma], sa\{•\}mrāṭ RM, samrāṭa Mā ${ }^{+}$sahasāmnī] sahasāmni JM RM Mā [Ma], sahasāmna Ku, Sahasām• Pa, sahasamnīK sahasvaty r̊tur] JM RMMā [Ma] K, sahasvatyutur Ku, sahasvaTYr̊tu Pa *viṣthayā] viṣṭaỳā Or, viṣṇayā K naḥ] Or, naḩ K pipartu] piparttu Or, pipantu K oṣajātā̀̇] Ku RM Mā [Ma] K, oṣajā $j$ ja $\}$ tā̀i JM, oṣajā $\{\cdot\}(\rightarrow$ tām) Pa brhatī] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, brhat $\{\mathrm{i}\} \overline{1} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{P a}$ ca] Or, tu K ${ }^{+}$avatān] avatām Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, avatā [Mā], amrtān K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku JM RM, Z 9 Z K

TS 4.4.12.3
samrā́ḍ diśá̃̆ँ sahásāmnī sáhasvaty rọtúr hemantó viṣṭháyā naḥ pipartu | avasyúvātāḥ brhatî́r nú śákvarīr imám yajñám avantu no ghrtácīị II

KS 22.14:70.11-12
samrạ̣̄ diśām sahasāmnī sahasvaty ritur hemanto visṭhayā pipartu naḥ |
avasyuvātā brhatī no śakvarīmam yajñam avatu yā ghrtācī l|

MS 3.16.4:188.14-189.1
samrā́ḍ diśámँ sahásāmnī sáhasvaty ṛtúr hemantó viṣṭháyā naḥ pipartu | avasyúvātā brhatī́ ná śákvarī diśáảm tevy àvatu no ghrtā́cī II

ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
samrạ̣̄ diśām̆ sahasāmnī sahasvaty ritur hemanto viṣṭhayā naḥ pipartu | avasyuvātā brhatī nu śakvarīmam yajñam avatu no ghrtācī ll

Bhattacharya edits oṣajātạ̄$\dot{m}$ and $t u$ in $\mathbf{c}$.
a．Note the word play between the two forms sahasāmnī and sahasvaty，both beginning with the sound sequence $s-a-h-a-s-$ ．
b．The emendation to＊vișthaya $\bar{a}$ has been proposed by Bhattacharya．On the meaning of viṣṭhā́－，see Scarlata 1999：655－656．
c．The parallel texts have avasyuvātā＇with favouring wind，having a wind desirous of helping＇，referring to the Śakvarī verses．This reading，which is also better for the metre（note the wrong cadence in PS），conforms to the content of other stanzas，in which a particular wind is called to secure the protection of the kingdom（cf． 1.2 d ？， $1.4 \mathrm{~d}, 1.6 \mathrm{~d}, 2.1 \mathrm{~b}, 2.4 \mathrm{~d}$ ）．I cannot offer a good solution for the problematic reading of the PS，which is difficult both morphologically and semantically．There cannot be a feminine accusative here，and the form should therefore be emended to＊oṣajātā（referring to śakvarī）or＊oṣajātam（referring to yajñam）．The position in the verse and the fact that there is an epithet of the Sakvarī verses in the parallel texts offer evidence in favour of the first emendation．As to the meaning，＊oṣajāta－ would literally mean＇born from burning＇，but this meaning is not satisfactory at all．

Another attractive solution would be to assume that a wind is concerned here，as in the parallel texts，and to emend the text to＊oṣavāta－＇burning wind＇，a formation comparable to salilavāta－（found at 1.6 d above）and avasyuvāta－．The translation would be as follows：＇Let the two high Śakvarī verses，with burning wind．．．＇．

Bhattacharya edits the reading of $\mathbf{K} t u$ ，reporting the variant $c a$ only for $\mathbf{M a}$ ；the reading $c a$ ， however，is clear also in Mā and in all the other Orissa manuscripts，so I adopt it in the text，as syntactically more fitting than $t u$ ．
d．I slightly emend the text of the majority of the Orissa manuscripts to avatān， $3^{\text {rd }}$ singular imperative future．

15．1．10［Triṣ̣̣ubh－Jagatī］TS 4．4．12．4 $\approx$ KS 22．14：70．13－14 $\approx$ MS 3．16．4：189．2－3 $\approx$ ĀśvŚS 4．12．2
Suvarvatī sudughā naḥ payasvatī Ja diśām deviy avatu no ghritācī $\quad+$ \＃Ta $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{vam}$ gopāḥ puraetota paścād $\quad$ Ta brhaspate yāmiyāàm yuñdhi vācam \｜＊Ta

Let the one full of light，which easily yields milk for us，rich in milk，the goddess of the quarters， like ghee，help us．You［are］the shepherd，you go in front and behind．O Brhaspati，yoke Yama＇s voice！
naḥ］Or，na K br九haspate］JM RM Mā［Ma］Pa K，bŗhasp\｛e \}ate Ku yāmyāmi] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, yām〈•＞Pa yuñdhi］Ku JM RM Mā［Ma］，〈•• Pa，yugdhi K vācam］vācam Ku JM RM Mā［Ma］K，


TS 4．4．12．4
súvarvatī sudúghā naḥ páyasvatī diśáṅ̉ devy àvatu no ghrtácī｜
tvám gopắh puraetótá paścā́d bỵh haspate yắmyām yungdhi vắcam II

KS 22．14：70．13－14
svarvatī sudughā yā payasvatīmaḿ yajñam avatu yā ghrtācī｜
tvam no gopā avitota yantā bṛhaspate yāmyām yuñgdhi vācam II

MS 3.16.4:189.2-3
svàrvatī sudúghā naḥ páyasvatīmám̆̉ yajñám avatu yấ ghrtā́cī।
tvám gopáḥ puraetótá paścắd bŗ́haspate yắmyām̆ yuñgdhi vắcam II

ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
svarvatī sudughā naḥ payasvatī diśām yajñam avatu no ghrọācī |
tvam gopā puraetota paścād brhaspate yābhyām yundhi vācam II
c. For the construction of puraetar- with adverbs, see Tichy 1995: 358-359. This epithet refers to Brhaspati at ŚS 7.8.1b = PS 20.4.2b and, in the PS only, at 1.71.2a, 4.10.1b, 19.38.14b, 20.25.8b.
d. I take $y \bar{a} m y \bar{a} m$ as a feminine accusative singular from the adjective $y \bar{a} m y a-$, connected with $v \bar{a} c a m$. The adjective yāmya-means 'relating or belonging to Yama', the king of the dead, as well as 'southern', as Yama's abode was traditionally situated in the South. Another possible translation would be 'a southern voice', but then the meaning would be unclear.

Tichy 1995: 359 translates our pāda 'Brhaspati, spanne die Rede zur Ausfahrt an!' and suggests that "Einen Hinweis auf die Bedeutung von yā́mya- gibt der Mantra námo yā́myāya ca ksémyāya ca 'Verehrung dem, der auf Fahrt ist und der sich ruhig niedergelassen hat' VS XVI 33; MS II 9, $6: 125,5$; TS IV 5,6,1"; this meaning, however, is rather unsatisfactory, since there is no need to take the two names in the dative, which are part of a longer list, as antonym. Moreover, Tichy's translation of yáamya-suggests a connection of this form with the root yā'to go', which is problematic (see KEWA III, 8).

The manuscripts of the KS read yāmyā, which von Schroeder emended to yāmyā̄$\dot{a}$ according to the parallel texts. Ved. Var. III, 302 takes the KS form as an instrumental singular from yāmī, adding that the instrumental fits well with verbal expression of joining; the translation given there, however, does not really help the interpretation: "the instr. is perfectly sound: 'yoke up holy speech in (with) yām $\vec{\imath}$, whatever yāmi may mean".

### 15.2. For the protection of the kingdom (continued)

```
15.2.1 [Trisṭubh] \(\approx\) TS 4.4.12.4 \(\approx\) KS 22.14:70.17-18 \(\approx\) MS 3.16.4:189.6-7 \(\approx\) ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
    stomaikaviḿs bhuvanasya patni Tb
    *vivasvadvāte abhi no grrṇihi | Tb
    ghrtavatī savitar ādhipatye \(+(\#) \mathrm{Ta}\)
    payasvatī rantir āśā no astu \| Ta
```

O you having the twenty-onefold as a Stoma, lady of the world, with the Vivasvant wind, be propitious to us. O Savitar, let the region, [our] delight, be in supremacy, full of ghee, full of milk for us.
stomaikavimiśe] Ku JM RM K, staumaikavimís Pa Mā [Ma] bhuvanasya] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, bhuVANAsya Ku patni] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, patnī Ku, pattri $\mathbf{K} \quad$ *vivasvadvāte] vivasvagvāte $\mathbf{O r}$, viśvagvāte $\mathbf{K}$ savitar ādhipatye] Or, savitādhitye $\mathbf{K}$ āśā] K, āsā Or ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 1 Z K

TS 4.4.12.4
stómatrayastrim̌śe bhúvanasya patni vívasvadvāte abhí naḥ grṇāhi |
ghrtávatī savitar ắdhipatyaiḥ páyasvatī rántir ắsā no astu \|

KS 22.14:70.17-18
stomatrayastrim̌śs bhuvanasya patni vivasvadvāte abhi no grọīhi |
ghṛtavatī savitur ādhipatye payasvatī rantir āśā no astu II
MS 3.16.4:189.6-7
stómastrayastrim̌̌̌é bhúvanasya pátnī vívasvadvāte abhí no gṛ̣īhi |
ghrtávatī savitúr áddhipatye páyasvatī rātír āśắ no astu II

## ĀśvŚS 4.12.2

stomatrayastrim̌̌śe bhuvanasya patnī vivasvadvāte abhi no grọīhi |
ghrtavatī savitar ādhipatye payasvatī rantir áśā no astu II

Bhattacharya edits vivasvagvāte in $\mathbf{b}$.
a. Note that the PS mentions the ekavimisa Stoma instead of the trayastrimía Stoma of the other texts. This is no doubt an innovation, as the Revat Sāman mentioned in the next stanza consists of 33 verses, so its association with the 33 -fold Stoma is natural. There is no need to think that the Paippalādins had a particular reason for changing the Yajurvedic text: as stated by Gonda 1987b: 540 [= 1991: 479] "the ekavim'śa-stoma, a form of chanting stotras in which the stanzas are increased to this number, is a frequent object of ritualistic speculation". On the word bhúvana-, see Gonda 1967.

The 'lady of the world' is probably the upward quarter mentioned in the following stanza.
b. The erroneous reading vivasvagvāte is certainly due to perseveration from PS 2.36.5a and PS 5.7.2a, where the word vișvagvāta- 'a wind blowing from all directions' is attested (note that $\mathbf{K}$ reads viśvagvāte at PS 2.36.5a and viśvagvāto at PS 5.7.2a). I emend the text according to the parallel passages. The meaning of vivasvadvāta- is uncertain (MW '(prob.) loved by Vivasvat', Keith 'breathed on by Vivasvat'), but it seems that here again a wind is concerned.

# 15.2.2 [Triṣ̣̣ubh] $\approx$ TS 4.4.12.4 $\approx$ KS 22.14:70.15-16 $\approx$ MS 3.16.4:189.4-5 $\approx$ ĀśvŚS 4.12.2 ūrdhvā diśām rantir āśauṣadhīnām Ta <br> sam்vatsareṇa savitā no ahnām | Tb <br> revat sāmanām pañktiś chandasām T1c <br> ajātaśatruḥ siyonā no astu \| +\#Tb 

Let the upward one among the quarters, the delight, the region of the herbs, let Savitar during the year of days [protect] us. Let the Revat among the Sāmans, the fivefold one among the metres, unrivalled, be gentle to us.
ūrdhvā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, urdvā Pa āśauṣadhīnām] K, āsauṣadhīnām Or samivatsareṇa] JM Pa K, samvatsareṇa RM, saṁvat sareṇa Ku Mā [Ma] ahnām] K, ahnā Ku RM Mā [Ma], \{ā\}ahnā JM, Ahnā Pa pañktiś] paṁktị̣ Ku Mā [Ma], paṁktiś JM, pakti RM, paktiḥ Pa, pañktis K chandasām] Ku RM Pa Mā K, cha\{sā\}ndasām JM, chandasā [Ma] ajātaśatruḥ] Ku RM Pa [Ma], ajātaśatrūḥ JM Mā, ajātaśattrus K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 2 Z K

TS 4.4.12.4
ūrdhvắ diśám̉n rántir áśáuṣadhīnām̉ samivatsaréṇa savitā no áhnām |
revát sấmā́tichandā u chándó 'jāataśatruḥ syonắ no astu ॥

KS 22.14.70:15-16
ūrdhvā diśām rantir āśáuṣadhīnām̆ sam̉vatsareṇa savitā no ahnām |
revat sāmāticchandā u cchando 'jātaśatrus suhavo na edhi II

MS 3.16.4:189.4-5
ūrdhvā́ disáám rantír āśáuṣadhīnām sam̆vatsaréna savitā́ no áhnā |
revát sấmấtichandā u chándó 'jāataśatruḥ syonā́ no astu II
ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
ūrdhvām dis̄ām rantir āśáuṣadhīnām̉ sam̉vatsareṇa savitā no ahnām |
raivat sāmāticchandā u cchando ajātaśatruḥ syonā no astu II
Bhattacharya edits ahnā in $\mathbf{b}$ and ${ }^{+}$pañktiśs in $\mathbf{c}$.
b. The reading $a h n \bar{a}$ of $\mathbf{O r}$ is also the reading of the MS; see under 1.5 b . On the problem of the word for 'year' occurring in the instrumental, see Gonda 1984a: 34 ff .
c. The "+"-sign before pañktiś is unnecessary. Note that this is a catalectic Tristuubh pāda. This pāda is found in a Tristubh stanza together with three hendecasyllables. From a general point of view, it is not uncommon to find pādas of ten syllables among hendecasyllables - a phenomenon that appears to be increasingly common in the AV. Moreover, this verse could be scanned as a Tristuubh pāda by reading chandasa $a \bar{a} m$, but this restoration would produce an irregular cadence, which suggests that in this case an interpretation as a "Pentadenreihe" is preferable. This hypothesis is also supported by the wordplay on the number "five" created by the mention of the Pankti (lit. "a set of five") metre that follows the caesura, pointing to a division of the line into $5+5$ syllables. This is surely a secondary development in relation to the parallel texts, which mention the Atichandas metre, whose position after the Pañkti metre is more appropriate.

In order to understand why this pāda was intentionally composed as a decasyllable and that the redactor had a specific purpose in mind, one has to consider the context of the whole hymn. One of the major roles in the axiological systematization of the universe as described in this hymn is indeed represented by the various forms of metre. It is very attractive to think that the redactor tried to reproduce the concept expressed by his poetic words (the mention of a specific metre, Pankti), in the concrete shape of their metrical form (a "Pentadenreihe"). ${ }^{39}$
d. The adjective syona $\bar{a}$ is in grammatical agreement with panktiś, which is feminine, but refers ad sensum also to revat.

```
15.2.3 [Triṣtubh] \(\approx\) TS 4.4.12.5 \(\approx\) MS 3.16.4:190.1-2, \(\mathrm{ab}: \approx\) KS 22.14:71.1 \(\approx\) ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
+viṣtambho divo dharuṇạ prôthivyā +Tb
asyeśānā jagato viṣṇupatnī| Ta
viśvavyacā iṣayantī suhūtị̣ Ta
syonā no astuv aditer upasthe \| *Tb
```

The prop of the sky, supporter of the earth, mistress of this world, wife of Viṣnu; all-extending, arousing, easily-invoked, let her be gentle to us, in the lap of Aditi.
${ }^{+}$viș̣̣ambho] viṣṭamvo Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, vimvo [Mā], viṣkambho K dharuṇaḥ] dharoṇ̣aḥ Ku, dharoṇạ̣ JM RMMā [Ma] Pa, daruṇaḩ K prothivyā] Ku RMMā [Ma] Pa K, prothivya JM asyeśānā] Or, yasyeśānā K iṣayantī] Or, rsṣayantī K suhūtiḥ] JM, suhūti RM, suhutiḥ Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, sahobhiḥ K syonā] Or, syono $\mathbf{K}$ astv] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma]K, asastv $\mathbf{P a}$ aditer upasthe] $\mathbf{K}$, aditerpasthe Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], $\operatorname{adit}(+\mathrm{e})$ rPAsthe $\mathbf{P a}|\mid] \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a}, \mid \mathbf{R M}, ~ Z 3$ Z K

TS 4.4.12.5
visṭambhó divó dharúṇaḥ prothivyā́ asyésānā jágato víṣnupatnī |
viśvávyacā iṣáyantī súbhūtiḥ šivă no astv áditir upásthe ॥

MS 3.16.4:190.1-2
viṣtambhó divó dharúṇā prothivyắ asyéśānā jágato víṣnupatnī |
vyácasvatị̄sáyantī súbhūtiḥ sívă no astv áditer upásthe \|I

KS 22.14:71.1
visṭambho divo dharuṇah prothivyā asyés̄ānā sahaso viṣṇupatnī

## ĀśvŚS 4.12.2

visṭambho divo dharuṇạh pṛthivyā asyeśānā jagato viṣnupatnī | vyacaksvatīṣáyantī súbhūtiḥ şivā́ no astv áditer upásthe ॥

## Bhattacharya edits suhutih in c.

c. The reading suhutih of Bhattacharya's edition makes no sense and produces an irregular cadence. I adopt the text of $\mathbf{J M}$ suhūtiḥ, which features a regular cadence and for which see PS 1.95.1ab rudra mā tvā jihīd̄àma sustitutyā maghavan mā ${ }^{+}$suhūtyā 'O Rudra, we don't want to make you angry, with an excellent praise, O bountiful, with a good invocation/oblation', ŚS 7.4.1a = PS 20.1.10a ékayā ca daśábhiś cā suhūte 'With one and with ten, O easily-invoked

[^21]one' and the hapax suhūtala- attested at PS 5.1.3a hā amba suhūtale 'Hey, mother Suhūtalā (easy to invoke)'.

Another possibility would be to emend the text to *subhütih, according to the parallel texts.

### 15.2.4 [Trisṭubh] TS 4.4.12.5 = ĀśvŚS 4.12.2 $\approx$ MS 3.16.4:189.15-16, cd: KS 22.14:71.2 dhruvā diśām viṣṇupatny aghorā- T1 <br> -asyeśānā sahaso yā manotā | Ta <br> bŗhaspatir mātariśvota vāyuḥ Ta <br> saṁdhānā vātā abhi no gṛ̌antu \| Tb

Let the steady one among the quarters, wife of Viṣnu, undisturbing, the mistress and disposer of this strength, let Broshaspati, Mātariśvan and Vāyu, the winds joining together be propitious to us.
dhruvā] K, dhŗvā Ku RM Mā [Ma], dhŗvāṃ JM, DHṛVĀ Pa, viṣnupatny aghorāsyeśānā] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, viṣṇupatnī ghorāsyeśānā Ku, viṣnupatnaghorāsyeśānā K yā] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa K, jā JM RM bŗhaspatir] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, b〈̧rha)s(pa)tir Pa mātariśvota] Ku RM Mā [Ma], mātari\{ $\cdot \cdot\}$ śvota JM, mātariśsv)ota Pa, marutosyota K vāyuḥ] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, vāȳ (u)ḥ̣ Pa samdhānā] Ku K, sandhān̄̄ JM RM Mā [Ma]Pa abhi] Or, api K no] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, to $(\rightarrow$ no $) \mathbf{K u}$, nas $\mathbf{K}$ grṇantu] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], g\{ṛ̣̌̀\{i\}an\{tu\} Pa, krnotu K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 4 Z K

TS 4.4.12.5 = ĀśvŚS 4.12.2
dhruvắ diśám viṣnupatny ághorāsyésā̄nā sáhaso yà manótā $\mid$
bạhaspátir mātaríśvotá vāyúḥ sam̉dhuvānā vắtā abhí no grọantu \|I
MS 3.16.4:189.15-16
dhruvá diśám ñ víṣupatny ághorāsyés̄ānā sáhaso yà manótā |
bṛhaspátir mātaríśvotá vāyúh sámidhvānā vătā abhí no grọantu ||
KS 22.14:71.2
bŗhaspatir mātariśvota vāyus sam̉dhvānā vātā abhi no gṛ̛nantu ||
d. Note that all the parallel texts read sámdhvānā '(the winds) whistling together', which is clearly the lectio difficilior in comparison with samidhānā '(the winds) joining together', found in the PS and in one manuscript (P) of the MS.
15.2.5 [Triṣṭubh] ab: TS 4.6.2.1 $\approx$ MS 2.10.3:134.7 $\approx$ KS 18.1:265.10 = KapKS 28.2:121.16; $\mathrm{cd} \approx$ TS 4.6.2a; a: cf. RoV 10.82.3a, ŚS 2.1.3a, cd: Rov 10.81.1cd $=$ TS 4.6.2.1 $=\mathrm{KS}$ 18.1:265.4 = KapKS 28.2:121.10-11, MS 2.10.2:133.1-2 = VSM 17.17
yo naḥ pitā janitā yo vidhartā Ta
yo naḥ sato abhiy ā ā saj jajāna | *Ta
sa āśiṣā draviṇam ichamānaḥ +\#Ta
prathamachado (')vara ā viveśa \| +Tb

He who [is] our father, our begetter, who [is] our supporter, who begot us from being into being, he seeking wealth with prayer entered into the favour of the first coverer.
naḥ] Or, naḩ K naḥ sato] Or, nas svato K ā saj jajāna] JM RM [Ma], a saj janā $\rightarrow$ ( $\rightarrow$ jā)na $\mathbf{K u}$, ā saj $\langle ?\rangle j a ̄ n a$ Pa, à saj jāna [Mā], ā saj janāna K draviṇam] JM RM [Ma] K, draviṇa\{̣̣\}m Ku, draviṇam mi [Mā], -VIṇam Pa ichamānaḥ] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], i〈ch)amānaḥ Pa, iśchamānaḩ K prathamachado] Ku JM $\mathbf{R M}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a}$, prathachado [Mā], prathamas sa no K \|] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 5 Z K

TS 4.6.2.1 $\approx$ MS 2.10.3:134.7
yó naḥ pitá janitá yó vidhātá (MS vidhartắ) yó naḥ sató abhy á á sáj jajắna II

KS 18.1:265.10 = KapKS 28.2:121.16
yó naḥ pitā́ janitā́ yó vidhātă yó nas (KapKS naḥ) sató abhy á sán ninā́ya $\mid$

RৃV 10.81.1 = TS 4.6.2.1 = KS 18.1:265.3-4 = KapKS 28.2:121.10-11= MS 2.10.2:133.1-2 = VSM 17.17
yá imắ víśvā bhúvanāni júhvad ṛ́ṣir hótā ny ásīdat (TS niṣasā́sā) pitā́ naḥ $\mid$
sá āśíṣā dráviṇam ichámānaḥ prathamachád (TS, KS paramachád) ávarām̆ँ á viveśa II
ad. This stanza marks the transition from the first part to the second part of the composition. The comparison with the parallel texts clearly shows that, in this case, the source of the PS is the TS, in which this stanza is recited during an oblation to Viśvakarman. All the Yajurvedic recensions have taken this stanza from the R R V, but while the KS, MS and VSM have preserved exactly the same readings as the R. R , the TS (and, accordingly, the PS) has a different and inferior text in the last line, one whose meaning is difficult to explain. Keith (1914: 365) translates pādas cd, 'He seeking wealth with prayer hath entered into the boon of the first of coverers', adding in a footnote that "the exact sense is uncertain". The R Rgvedic passage, which is about the poet described as creator of all existing things, offers a better sense, and was rendered by Geldner, 'Er ist, mit seiner Bitte Reichtum wünschend, in die spätere (Geschöpfe) eingegangen, während er die ersten verhüllt', and by Ambrosini 1981: 81, "Tendendo col desiderio al proprio bene, si è incarnato negli ultimi, lasciando che i primi restassero velati". Both interpret the opposition between prathama- and avara- in cosmic terms, so that the meaning would be that recent things, having just been created, can be understood, while the origin of the world remains hidden. Griffith interprets avara-in a local sense, and his translation, 'He, seeking through his wish a great possession, came among men on earth as archetypal,' is probably less accurate. The text of the TS and of the PS is secondary, syntactically ambiguous and not clear as regards the meaning. As I argued in the introduction to these hymns, it is likely that this and the following three stanzas - whose ritual character is evident - were added after the invocations of the previous stanzas as an appendix aiming to make the oblations effective, and to set them in the more specific ritual context that they lacked in the first place.

```
15.2.6 [Uṣṇih] \(\approx \operatorname{TS} 4.2 .7 .1 \approx \operatorname{KS} 16.14: 237.3-4=\operatorname{KapKS} 25.5: 98.9-10=\) MS 2.7.14:95.3-4
    = VSM 12.103
    abhy ā vartasva prthivi \#A
    yajñena payasā saha \(\quad\) A
    *vapā̀̇ te agnir iṣito (')va rohatu \| Jb
```

Turn towards [us], O Earth, together with worship, with milk. Let Agni, aroused, descend over your caul.
vartasva] K, varttasva Or prthivi] $\mathbf{K}(\sec . m . \rightarrow$ vī), prthivī Or *vapām te] apām to $\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{P a}$ [ $\mathbf{M a}$ ], apān to $\mathbf{R M}[\mathbf{M a ̄}]$, apāñ co JM, apāmंsa te $\mathbf{K}$ iṣito] Or, iṣato $\mathbf{K}$ va] Or, vi K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 6ZK

TS 4.2.7.1
abhyắvartasva prothivi yajñéna páyasā sahá |
vapā́m̉ te agnír iṣitó 'va sarpatu II

KS 16.14:237.3-4 = KapKS 25.5:98.9-10 = MS 2.7.14:95.3-4 = VSM 12.103
abhyắ vartasva prothivi yajñéna páyasā sahá $\mid$
vapā́m te agnír iṣitó arohat II

Bhattacharya edits $p_{\circ}$ thivivi in $\mathbf{a}$ and apānto in $\mathbf{c}$.
a. Bhattacharya follows the readings of the Orissa manuscripts prthivī, but $\mathbf{K}$, prima manu, has prthivi (then corrected to prthivi by the scribe). The vocative is no doubt correct here.
c. I emend the text according to the readings of the TS, KS, MS and VSM. The word vap $\bar{a}-$ indicates the omentum, a fatty membrane that covers the intestines and part of the viscera of a male goat and is offered during the animal sacrifice. The emendation is not certain, but the form $a p \bar{a} \dot{m} / a p \bar{a} n$ (genitive plural 'of the waters'?) found in the manuscripts seems impossible. The word vap $\bar{a}$ - also occurs at $\mathrm{R}_{\circ} \mathrm{VKh} 2.14 .6 \mathrm{~b}$, and then only from the YV onwards. In the R R V it is attested only as the first member of a compound; cf. the formations vapáavant- 'furnished with or enveloped in the omentum' (5.43.7b, 6.1.3d), vapódara- 'fat-bellied, corpulent' (8.17.8a).

Note that the PS has a $3^{\text {rd }}$ singular imperative like the TS, but of the same verb as the other Yajurvedic Sam̉hitās.

```
15.2.7 [Gāyatrī] \(\approx \operatorname{TS} 4.2 .7 .1 \approx \operatorname{KS} 16.14: 237.5-6=\) KapKS 25.5:98.11-12 \(=\) VSM \(12.104 \approx\)
MS 2.7.14:95.5-6
    yad agne candram் yat pūtam \#A
    yac chukram yac ca yajñiyam | A
    tad devebhyo bharāmasi \(\| \quad\) A
```

O Agni, that which is shining, which is pure, which is bright and which is worthy of worship, that we bring to the gods.
pūtam்] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, yūtam் RM yac chukram்] Ku JM Mā [Ma], yac chūkram் RM, ya chukram் Pa, yaś chukram $K$ yac ca] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, ya ca $K$ u, yaś ca $K$ yajñiyam] Or, jajñiyam $K$ devebhyo] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, debhyo [Mā] ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 7 Z K

TS 4.2.7.1
ágne yát te śukrám yác candrám yát pūtám yád yajñíyam | tád devébhyo bharāmasi II

KS 16.14:237.5-6 = KapKS 25.5:98.11-12 = VSM $12.104 \approx$ MS 2.7.14:95.5-6
ágne yát te śukrám yác candrám yát pūtám yác ca yajñíyam I
tád devébhyo bharāmasi II
ab. The PS leaves out the enclitic $t e$ and slightly rearranges the relative clauses of the other Sam்hitā versions, making only one of the four clauses change position (1234>2314); as a result, the metre becomes a regular Anustuubh.

```
15.2.8 [Trisṭubh] \(\approx\) TS 4.2.7.1 \(\approx\) KS 16.14:237.7-8 \(\approx \operatorname{MS}\) 2.7.14:95.7-8 \(\approx\) VSM \(12.104 \approx\)
    KapKS 25.5:98.13-14
iṣam ūrjam aham ata ādi (+)\#T1
yajñasya yonau mahiṣasya dhāman | Tb
ā no goṣu *viśatuv ā prajāyāmं +\#Ta
jahāmi sedim anirām amīvām \| Tb
```

From there I have taken the food for myself, the nourishment, in the womb of the worship, in the abode of the buffalo. Let it enter into our cattle, into [our] offspring. I abandon weariness, lack of nourishment, disease.
ūrjam aham ata ādi] $\mathbf{O r}$, ūrjasābḥ̣tā $\mathbf{K}$ yonau] $\mathbf{O r}$, yonā $\mathbf{K}$ mahiṣasya dhāman] $\mathbf{O r}$, mahiṣasva dhāmam் K *viśatv] viśastv Or K prajāāā̀m Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, prajāanana\}ẏām̀ Pa sedim] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, $\{j\}$ sedim JM, sedhim $\mathbf{K}$ anirām] Or, ajarām K amīvām] amīvām [Mā] K, amivām Ku JM


TS 4.2.7.1
íṣam úrjam ahám itá ádada ŗtásya dhấmno amịtasya yóneḥ | á no góṣu viśatv áuṣadhiṣ̣u jáhāmi sedím ánirām ámīvām II

KS 16.14:237.7-8
iṣam ūrjam aham ita ādy ŗtasya yonim mahiṣasya dhārām | ā no goṣu viśatv ā tanūṣu jahāmi sedim anirām amīvām ||

MS 2.7.14:95.7-8
íṣam úrjam ahám itá ádi ghrtásya dhấrām mahiṣásya yónim |
á no góṣu viśatv óṣadhīṣu jáhāmi sedím ánirām ámīvām ||

VSM 12.104
íṣam û́rjam ahám itá ádam ṛtásya yónim mahiṣásya dhắrām I
á mā góṣu viśatv á a tanúsṣu jáhāmi sedím ánirām ámīvām II

KapKS 25.5:98.13-14
iṣam ūrjam aham ita ādam ŗtasya yonim mahiṣasya dhārām I
ā no goṣu viśatv ā tanūṣu jahāmi sedim anirām amīvām ||

Bhattacharya edits viśastvā in c.
a. On the sequence iṣam ūrjam, see MINKOWSKı 1989: 10 (with notes). This sequence is very frequent in the PS: besides this passage, it occurs at PS $1.106 .5 \mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{a}, 5.15 .2 \mathrm{~d}, 5.28 .3 \mathrm{~d}, 5.31 .8 \mathrm{c}$, 7.15.9b, 19.26.1b. On the rout noun íṣ-, see BURROW 1955: 326-332 and Gonda 1989b.
c. I emend the reading of all the manuscripts viśastvā in accordance with the parallel passages.
d. For some remarks on the word sedí- and its connection with (an)írā-, see Griffiths 2009: 445-446. On ámīv $\bar{a}$ - see ZYSK 1985: 313-314 and 2009: 49-53. The sequence anirām amīvām
occurs at R̨V 7.71.2c yuyutám asmád ánirām ámīvā̀̉ ‘May you two (Aśvins) keep away from us lack of nourishment, disease'; cf. also RृV 8.48.11a ápa tyá asthur ánirā ámìvā ‘Those lacks of nourishment [and] diseases disappeared' and ŖV $10.37 .4 \mathrm{~cd}=\mathrm{PS} 17.25 .7 \mathrm{~cd}$ ténāsmád víśvām ánirām ánāhutim ápāmīvām ápa duṣvápnyaì suva 'with that, drive off from us every lack of nourishment, worthless sacrifice, [drive] off disease, [drive] off the nightmare'.

Note the variant in $\mathbf{K}$, which reads ajarām 'unaging' instead of anirām.

### 15.3. Against various diseases and witchcraft: with the Traikakuda ointment

PS 15.3 and 4 belong together and are parallel to ŚS 19.44-45. Barret already argued that "the readings given by the S manuscripts and commentators indicate that this is one of the hymns of ŚS 19 which were taken from Pāipp." (on the relation between kāṇ̣a 19 of the ŚS and the PS, see Griffiths 2009: XXXV-XXXVII). A closer comparison of the two versions reveals indeed that the PS has preserved a more original text (see my comment under 3.1d, 4.2c, 4.4c) and, where the ŚS seems better, this is clearly due to secondary improvements (see my comment under 3.3d, $3.7 \mathrm{a}, 3.8$; in such cases, the erroneous readings of the PS can generally be explained as graphic mistakes).

According to the commentary on the ŚS, this hymn is applied, together with an ointment (āñjana), in a mahāsānti ceremony called nairrtī, when one is seized by 'destruction' (nirrti; see AV-Śāntikalpa 16.1; 17.5; 19.7, Bolling 1904: 117-120 and Gonda 1978: 18). The stanza 15.3.4 is quoted in KauśS 47.16, where it is recited during a witchcraft ceremony (see my comment ad locum).

In my opinion, the most significant fact is that stanzas PS 15.4.4 and PS 15.4.6-10 suggest that this hymn was originally intended to be used during a royal ceremony or at least that the king was involved in the ritual as a patient, which would explain why we find this hymn here at the beginning of this kāṇ̣a, within a compact collection of royal hymns (see Introduction). Concatenating links with the preceding hymns are particularly numerous: prthivyā̀ in 3.1a concatenates with prthivyā in 2.3 a and prthivi in 2.6 a ; vātah in 3.5 c with vātena in 1.4 d , vāt $\bar{a}$ in 2.4 d and vivasvadvāte in 2.1 b ; payah in 3.5 c with payasvat̄̄ in $1.10 \mathrm{a}, 2.1 \mathrm{~d}$ and payasā in 2.6 b ; viśvataḥ in 3.6 b with viśvato in 1.3 d ; am̄̄vāh in 3.7 c (and in the compound amivacātanain 3.7 b ) with amīvām in 2.8 d ; goṣu in 4.2 b with goṣu in 2.8 c , $\bar{u} r j a$ in 4.3 a with $\bar{u} r j a m$ in 2.8 a ; ojaso in 4.3a and ojase in 4.6b (and in the refrain of the following stanzas) with ojah in 1.2d, 1.7 b , ojo in $1.5 \mathrm{c}, 1.8 \mathrm{c}$; diśo in 4.4 b with many forms of the name diś- found in the preceding hymns (digbhyaḥ in 1.1.a, diśäm in 1.3a, 5a, 7a etc.); dhruvas in 4.4 c with dhruvā in 2.4 a ; the sequence pātv asmān in 4.5 d occurs in the same position (cadence of a Trisṭubh line) also in $1.1 \mathrm{~b}, 1.1 \mathrm{~d}, 1.2 \mathrm{a}$; varcasa in 4.6 a (and in the refrain of the following stanzas) concatenates with varca in 1.4c; avatu and avantu in 4.6a-4.10a with *avatān in 1.9d and avatu in 1.10b. Finally, the hymns are linked through the mention of the gods Mitra, Varuṇa, Agni, Indra and Savitar.

The hymns ŚS 19.44-45 were translated by Griffith 1895-96: 246-248, Whitney 1905: 966-972, Elizarenkova 1976: 80 ff., Sani-Orlandi 1992: 236 f .

PS 15.3-4 and ŚS 19.44-45 were recently treated in detail by KuLIKOV forthc., a study to which my translation and notes constantly refer (Kulikov's study is particularly useful also for the effort towards the possible botanical identifications of the plant(s) used for preparing the Traikakuda ointment).

### 15.3.1 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 19.44.1

| āyuṣo (')si prataraṇam | \#A |
| :--- | ---: |
| vipraṁ bheṣajam ucyase \| | A |
| tad āñjana tvam் śaṁtāte | \#A |
| +asi māyobhavam krtam $\\|$ | A |

You are one who enables to reach longevity, you are called seer, a remedy. So, O beneficent ointment, you are made an enjoyment.
bheṣajam] Or, bhejam K tad āñjana] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], tad āñjan, Pa, yad āñjani K tvami] Or, bhram $\mathbf{K}$ śam்tāte] K, santāte Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], santate Pa ${ }^{+}$asi] si $\mathbf{O r}$, aśi $\mathbf{K}$ māyobhavam்] Or,


ŚS 19.44.1
ấyuṣo 'si pratáraṇam vípram bheṣajám ucyase I
tád āñjana tvám śam゙tāte šám ắpo ábhayam̀ kgtam II

Bhattacharya edits śantāte in $\mathbf{c}$ (this reading is erroneously ascribed to $\mathbf{K}$ in his critical apparatus).
a. On pratáraṇa-, see Kim 2010: 299. For the meaning of the expression $\bar{a} y u s ~ p r a ́ t r o ̄-, ~ s e e ~$ GEIB 1975 (cf. also PS $15.6 .6 \mathrm{~d}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, 8 \mathrm{~d}, 15.12 .10 \mathrm{~d}$ ). As regards the syntactic uses of this formula, Geib quotes "die einmal im ṚV belegte nominale Wendung der Formel: 10, 100, 5b bŕhaspate pratarītá́si á́yuṣah", and adds very pertinently that "in dieser nominale Konstruktion vertritt der Gen. áyuşah das direkte Objekt der Handlung. Die nominale Konstruktion setz also eine verbale voraus, in welcher áayus als direktes Objekt der Handlung erscheint". Geib's research being restricted to the R V , he makes no mention of the nominal construction with pratárana-, which is found only from the AV onwards. The context is however the same, and the expression áyuṣo 'si pratárana- can be considered synonymous with pratarītấsi áyuşah. Cf. also the compound $\bar{a} y u s ̣ r a t a ́ r a n ̣ a-$, which at ŚS $4.10 .4 \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{PS} 4.25 .6 \mathrm{~d}$ refers to an amulet and is another example of a nominal construction of the verbal formula áaus prá trō-
b. All the ŚS and PS manuscripts (and also the commentary to the ŚS) read unanimously vipraì bheṣajaï. Surprisingly enough, R-W emended the transmitted text to viśvábheṣajaín, and the translations based on this edition run as follows: GRIFFITH 1895-6: 300 'universal Cure', Whitney 1905: 966 'all-healing'. Sani-Orlandi 1992: 236, following the edition of Viśva Bandhu, who mantains the texts of the manuscripts, translate 'il saggio rimedio'. Although it is true that the compound viśvábheṣaja- is often referred to amulets, medicinal plants, ointments and other remedies (cf. e.g. R.VV 1.23.20d, 10.60.12c $=$ ŚS 4.13.6c, 10.137.3c $\approx$ ŚS 4.13.3c, ŚS 2.4.3c, 4.10.3c, 6.52.3c, 6.136.3c, 8.7.26c, 10.3.3a, 19.35.5c, 19.39.5c, 8c, 9 c ), there is absolutely no need to change the text of the manuscripts, because vípra- fits perfectly the context here and has indeed a pregnant meaning. Gonda 1936: 36 ff . states that vípra-, "indicating inspired speech and the man who utters it, [...] may originally have denoted a moved, inspired, ecstatic and 'enthusiastic' seer as a bearer or pronouncer of the emotional and vibrating, metrical sacred words, a seer who converted his inspiration into powerful 'carmina'". He quotes ŖV 10.97.6cd ( $=$ KapKS 25.4:97.10 $=$ PS 11.6.9cd $\approx$ KS 16.13:235.20 $\approx$ MS 2.7.13:93.12) vípraḥ sá ucyate bhiṣág [MS kavî́] rakṣohámīvacáatanah 'that vipraḥ is called a physician, a killer of demoniac powers, one who drives away diseases', adding, "From these words it may appear that the medicine-man could also be a viprah. Since medicine-men usually employ formulas, there is a chance that this functionary owes the designation vipra- to these". Since the Rgvedic and Atharvavedic passages share nearly the same wording (see also rakşohāmīvacātanaí in the seventh stanza of this hymn) and appear to be strictly connected on each other, it is even possible that the Ur-AV had the reading vipram bhisajam 'a seer, a physician'.
c. The term $\overline{\text { áñjana- denotes a particular type of ointment, an eye-lotion or collyrium applied }}$ to the eyelide, and generally used as a cosmetic or - as appears to be the case here - as a medicine (see MeUlenbeld 1974: 438-439). As noted by Kulikov forthc., the stanza 4.5 points to four different uses of the ointment (it could be used as eye-lotion, put in an amulet and taken both externally and perorally), so that in this hymn áñjana- should be understood in a more general sense. This pāda is identical in the ŚS tradition. Griffith and Whitney translated it, respectively, 'Then, Ointment! send felicity' and 'So, o Ointment, do thou [make] wealfulness', with the emendation of śamitāte (the reading of all the SS manuscripts, of the pādapaṭha and of the commentary) to śamtātim. I believe that the text can stand as it was transmitted both in the ŚS and in the PS (the confusion between $-s$ - and $-s$ - in the Orissa manuscripts is common) and I connect śaimtāte (vocative masculine) with āñjana (vocative neuter). For the termination of the vocative singular neuter of $-i$ stems (which fluctuates between the form of vocative masculine $-e$ and the form of nominative-accusative neuter $-i$ ), cf. AiGr. III, § 77, pp. 157-58. See also SANI-OrLandi 1992: 236 with the translation 'Perciò tu, o unguento benefico...'. On śam̀tāti-, see Renou 1960: 10-11 with note 1, where the vocative singular satyatāte (a hapax), used in R.V 4.4.14c as an epithet of Agni, is quoted as an example of the emergence of an adjectival meaning.
d. The PS version of this pāda is no doubt the original one and offers the first evidence that this hymn was borrowed in the ŚS from here. The ŚS manuscripts read śám ápo ábhayaim krtám, 'The waters are a blessing; fearlessness is made [by them]", which is semantically awkward and has therefore forced the editors to various emendations. W-R emended krtám to krta and and translated 'Make, O ye waters, weal [and] fearlessness', SPP "is satisfied with emending to krtam, as if ãnjana and apas could somehow be construed together as a dual subject" (Whitney 1905: 966). The origin of the corrupt reading of the S'S was probably the misunderstanding of the word máaobhava- 'enjoiment, refreshment, soothing', of which this is the earliest Vedic attestation and which otherwise appears only in TB 3.7.7.11 catvắri má́yobhavāya 'four [steps] for enjoiment' (repeated in a number of Sūtras - in particular ĀpŚS 20.22.12 = ĀpMP 1.3.10; see Kulikov forthc.).
15.3.2 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 19.44.2

| yo harimā jāyān ${ }_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i} y o}$ | *\#A |
| :--- | :---: |
| angabhedo visalpakaḥ \| | A |
| sarvam̀ te yakṣmam angebhyo | + +A |
| bahir nir hant ${ }_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{V}$ ãñjanam $\\|$ | A |

Whether [it be] the jaundice, the jāyānya, the limb-splitter, the visalpaka: let the ointment expel all the yaksma out of your limbs.
jāyānyo] Or, jāyāmyo K añgabhedo] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, ñgabhedo RM K visalpakaḥ] Or, viśalyakah
 ãñjanam] bahir nir haṁtv ãñjanam || Ku Pa [Ma], barhir nir ham̉tv ãñja\{ja\}nam் || JM, barhir nir ham்tv āmjanam | RM, bahir nih aṁtv āñjanam || Mā, barhir nir harhantv āñjanam் Z 2 Z K [[Barret barhirnirhantvāñjanam̉]]
yó harimấ jāyā́nyo 'n̉gabhedó visálpakaḥ |
sárvam̉ te yákṣmam áñgebhyo bahír nír hantv áñjanam II
ab. For a description and discussion of the diseases mentioned in this stanza, see SCHNEIDER 2010: 255 ff., ZYsk 1985: 18-19, 29-32, 137. For some important remarks on the word visálpaka- and the variant of $\mathbf{K}$ viśalyaka-, see Kulikov forthc. Whatever be the etymology of visálpaka-, it is still not clear if añga-bhedá- ‘limb-splitter’ refers to "a breaking apart of the limbs or cutting pain in the entire body, brought about by the disease-demon yákspa" (ZYSk 1985: 163) or if it could be interpreted as an epithet of visálpaka- (thus SANI-ORLANDI 1992: 236 'Il visalpaka che spezza le membra'). At any rate, it is noteworthy that this compound is attested close to the word visálpaka- also at ŚS 9.8.5ab añgabhedám añgajvarám viśvāñgyàm visálpakam 'Limb-splitter, causing fever in the limbs, the visalpaka affecting all the limbs'.
15.3.3 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 19.44.3
āñjanam prthivyām jātam ..... \#A
bhadram puruṣajī̀vanam | ..... A
krṇotuv *apramāyukaḿ ..... *A
rathajūtim anāgasam || ..... A

The ointment born upon the earth, auspicious, giving life to men - let it make [you] non-dyingprematurely, swift like a chariot, sinless.
puruṣajīvanam] purṣajīvanamin Or, puruṣājīvanam $\mathbf{K}$ krṇotv *apramāyukam்] krṇ̣tv atpramāẏukam Ku JM $\mathbf{R M}$, krı̣otv atpramāȳakam் Pa Mā [Ma], krı̣otutpramāhikam் K rathajūtim] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, rathayutim RM, rathajūtam $\mathbf{K}$ anāgasam] anāgasam் Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], RM, anāṣasam Pa, anākam, K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 3 Z K

ŚS 19.44.3
áñjanam̉ prrthivyấm jātám bhadrám puruṣajíivanam |
krṇ́ótv ápramāyukam̀ ráthajūtim ánāgasam II

Bhattacharya edits atpramāyakaí in c.
a. Cf. ŚS 4.9.6ab, referring to the same ointment: yád áñj̃anaì traikakudám jātám himávatas pári 'which ointment, coming from the three-peaked [mountain], was born from the snowy [mountain]'. Reference is made to the soil where the plant used to make the ointment grows. Cf. 15.3.6 below. On the possible botanical identification of the plant used for preparing the Traikakuda ointment, see Kulikov forthc.
c. As regards *apramāyukaim, I emend the text according to the ŚS. The PS tradition seems here to perseverate an ancient mistake of ${ }^{*} \mathrm{G}$, consisting in the repetition of the $-t$ - in the sequence -tva tpra-. As noted by KuliKov forthc., (á)pramāyuka- is derived from the compound verb prá-mī- 'die prematurely', and should therefore translated as 'non-dyingprematurely', rather than as simply 'unperishing' as in Whitney's translation. He very pertinently argues that "this interpretation perfectly agrees with the qualification of the ointment as the remedy which enables to reach the established life-time in 1 a ". This stanza could have been pronounced by the officiant or by the patient: I prefer the first hypothesis and add the understood object 'you', which can be inferred from the previous stanza.
d. The compound ráthajūti-, which occurs only in these two parallel passages, has been interpreted as an adjective meaning 'driving swiftly in a chariot', 'swift like a chariot' (Griffith 1895-6: 300, Whitney 1905: 967, Sani-Orlandi 1992: 236) or as a proper name or honorific title (pw V, 166, Sparreboom 1985: 139). I believe that a literal translation 'having the speed of a chariot' (i.e. 'swift like a chariot') fits perfectly the context of the hymn, which aims to free a patient from various diseases that weaken the limbs (see the previous stanza) and from the snares of Nirrti (see next stanza): the wish to be swift and unrestrained, like a chariot rushing along in an open space, sounds natural and needs no further explanation.

Whitney's translation of ánāgasa- 'free from offense' is not precise. On the meaning of this word, see the concise but telling remark of Ambrosini 1981: 48 fn . 10. Translating "libero da offesa e errore", he suggests that this term, "deve intendersi non sono in senso centripeto (libero dalle offese degli altri) ma anche centrifugo (libero dall'errore proprio)". The concept of ágashas been treated in detail by BoDEwITZ 2006, who shows that "á́gas- predominantly denotes a committed sin, only in a few instances its consequences [...]. If this $\overline{\bar{a}}$ gas- may sometimes make the impression of being some sort of disease or pollution, it is only pollution by sin". See my comment under 3.8 b and 3.9 ab below.

```
15.3.4 [Prose] ŚS 19.44.4 = KauśS 47.16 = AVPariś 37.1.10, cf. PS 19.42.6a
prāṇa prāṇam trāyasva- P
-aso asave mrḍa| \(\mid\)
nirrote nirrótyā naḥ pāśebhyo muñca \| P
```

O breath, preserve the breath; O life-breath, be merciful to life-breath; O Nirrti, release us from the bonds of Nirrti.
prāna] Ku Pa [Ma] K, prānam JM RM? Mā trāyasvāso asave] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], trāȳasvāso asa\{•\}ve Pa, trāyasva asavosave K mrḍa] Or, mr!̣a K \|] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 4 Z K

ŚS 19.44.4 = KauśS 47.16 = AVPariś 37.1.10
prắṇa prāṇám trāyasvāso ásave mṛ̣̣a |
nírg̣te nírrttyā nạ̣ pā́śebhyo muñca II

As already noticed by Lanman (in Whitney 1905: 966), this stanza is quoted in KauśS 47.16, where it is used, together with two other stanzas (found at PS 19.42.4-5), to accompany the taking of a staff (danda-) by the officiant in a witchcraft ceremony. The fact that this mantra is quoted in full (sakalapāthena) was already interpreted by Lanman as a conclusive evidence that book 19 of the S'S was not recognized by the KauśS, whose source must have been, in the case of this mantra, the PS (see also Bloomfield 1890: XL and 1899: 34 f .). As pointed out by Gonda 1977: 79 [= 1991: 368], the mantra at KauśS 47.16 is taken from PS 19.42.4-6, where prāna prānaim ity $e k \bar{a}$, the typical device of mantra abbreviation (the pratīka plus ity ekā), no doubt refers to PS 15.3.4. See also Griffiths 2004b: 68.
ab. On prấna- and ásu-, see Bodewitz 1986 and cf. PS 15.4.6-10b. For cd, cf. PS 15.4.5cd.
c. Cf. ŚS $1.31 .2 \mathrm{~cd}=\mathrm{PS} 1.22 .2 \mathrm{~cd}$ té no nírrtyāh pã́śebhyo muñ cátáámhaso aimhasah ‘So (O gods), release us from bonds of Nirrti, from every distress'. There are many variants to express the idea 'let s.o. free from the bonds of s.o.': see e.g. ŖV 1.24.13d vidvámँ ádabdho ví mumoktu pàśān 'Let the wise one, uninjurable, loosen the bonds', 5.2 .7 c evā́smád agne ví mumugdhi
páśān 'So, O Agni, loosen from us the bonds', 6.74.4c prá no muñcatam várunasya pááād 'Release us from the bonds of Varuṇa', 7.59.8c druháh pá́sān práti sá mucīṣta 'May he put on himself the bonds of injury', 7.88.7b vy àsmát pã́śà̇ váruṇo mumocat 'Varuṇa will loosen from us the bond', 10.85.24a prá tvā muñcāmi váruṇasya pá́śād 'I do release you from the bonds of Varuṇa', ŚS 9.3.24a $\approx \operatorname{PS} 16.41 .2 \mathrm{a}$ má nah pááśam (PS pāsān) práti muco 'Do not put on us the bond(s)' etc.
15.3.5 [Prose] ŚS 19.44.5, c: PS 1.80.5b
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { sindhor garbho (')si } & \mathrm{P} \\ \text { vidyutām pușpam | } & \mathrm{P} \\ \text { vātaḥ prāṇạ̣ sūryaś cakṣur divas payaḥ } \| & \mathrm{P}\end{array}$

You are the embryo of the river, the flower of the lightning bolts; [your] breath [is] the wind, [your] eye [is] the sun, [your] juice [is] from the sky.
sindhor] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, sindho Mā vidyutā̀̀] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, vidyutā Mā vātaḥ] Or, vātaḥ K prānaḥ] Or, prāna K $\| \mid \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M}$ RM Mā [Ma] Pa, $\mid$ RM, om. K

ŚS 19.44.5
síndhor gárbho 'si vidyútā̀m púṣpam |
vấtaḥ prānáḥ sứryaś cákṣur divás páyaḥ ||
a. The expression 'embryo of the river' is not clear. KULIKOV forthc. proposes the identification of sindhu- with the river Sindh (Indus), which springs from the Himalayas; this would suggest that the ointment is here addressed as made of a plant wich grows on Indus' banks (see also BLoomfield 1896: 405). Cf. also PS 7.12.4ab na saindhavasya puṣpasya sūryo mlāpayati tvacam 'The sun does not cause the skin of the flower from Sindhu to wither'.
c. According to the word-order of the member in a nominal phrase, the first member refers to the predicate, while the second is the subject. This tendency, which became standard in Vedic prose, was neglected in Whitney's translation "the wind [thy] breath, the sun [thine] eye, from the sky [thy] milk".

On the identification of the ointment with the eye, see Kulikov forthc., with the discussion of ŚB 3.1.3.12, where "Traikakuda appears [...] in the context of a legend that connects its origin with the eye (or pupil) of Vrtra which flew off and became the ointment (collyrium) or the mountain Trikakud itself".

The associations between súrrya- and cákṣus- and between prāṇá- or ātmán- and váta or vāyú- are common: cf. e.g. ŖV 10.16.3a súrryaì cákṣur gachatu vā́tam àtmáa 'Let [your] eye go to the Sun, [your] vital breath to the wind', ŚS 5.9.7 súryo me cákṣur váttah prānó 'My eye [is] the sun, my breath [is] the wind', ŚS 8.2.3ab $\approx \operatorname{PS} 16.3 .3 \mathrm{ab}$ vátāt te prānám avidaì śúrryāc cáksur ahám táva 'From the wind I found your breath, from the sun [I found] your eye", ŚS $11.8 .31 \mathrm{ab}=\mathrm{PS} 16.88 .2 \mathrm{ab}$ súryaś cákṣur vátah prānádin púruṣasya ví bhejire 'The sun [and] the wind shared [respectively] the eye [and] the breath of man', PS 2.82.2-3 vātaì te prānaḥ ${ }^{+}$siṣaktu yātudhāna svāhā \|| sūryaì te cakṣuh ${ }^{+}$siṣaktu yātudhāna svāhā ‘Let your breath follow the wind, O sorcerer. Hail! Let your sight follow the sun, O sorcerer. Hail!', PS 12.19.5ab cakşuh sūrya punar dehi vāta prānain sam īraya ' O sun, give again the sight; O wind, set the breath in motion' etc. Other more limited associations are found at R̊V 5.59.3b súryo ná cákṣ̄
rájaso visárjane 'As the Sun's eye at the end of the darkness', 10.90 .13 b cákssoh sū́ryo ajāyata 'From [his] eye the Sun was born' and 13d prānā́d vāyúr ajāyata 'From [his] breath the wind was born', ŚS 5.24.9a súrryaś cákṣuṣām ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu 'Surya is the overlord of the eyes/sights; let him help me".

Note that $\mathbf{K}$ does not mark the end of this stanza, so that the progressive numbering of stanzas $5-7$ is altered (see the critical apparatus below).

```
15.3.6 [Anuṣ̦ubub] ŚS 19.44.6, \(\mathrm{b} \approx\) ŚS 2.4.2d = ŚS 2.7.3d, cd: PS 1.100.1cd
devãñjanam் traikakudam் \#A
pari mā pāhi viśvataḥ | A
na tvā taranty oṣadhayo \#A
bāhyāḥ parvatīyā uta \| \#A
```

O heavenly ointment coming from the three-peaked [mountain], protect me on every side. The herbs from abroad and from the mountains do not surpass you.
devāñjanam̀ traikakudam்] Or, devāmijani trīkakuda K taranty] JM, taraṁty Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, caranty K oṣadhayo] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, oṣadh\{e\}aẏo Pa parvatīyā] Or, parvatyā K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] $\mathbf{P a}, \mid \mathbf{R M}, \mathrm{Z} 5 \mathrm{Z}$ K

ŚS 19.44.6
dévāñjana tráikakuda pári mā pāhi viśvátạ |
ná tvā taranty óṣadhayo bā́hyāḥ parvatíyā utá II

PS 1.100.1cd
na tvā taranty oṣadhayo bāhyạ̣̄ parvatīyā uta ||

Bhattacharya edits devāñjanamं traikakudạ் in a.
a. All the ŚS manuscripts read devãñjanaì traikakudaì, too. W-R emended the transmitted text to dévāñjana tráikakuda, remarking that these must be indisputably two vocatives. The emendation was probably suggested by the repeated use of the vocative āñjana in SS 4.9.3-7 and 10 , a hymn addressed to the Traikakuda ointment as well (ŚS $4.9 \approx$ PS 8.3); the same form is found also in this same hymn, at 3.1c and 3.10b. Such emendation can indeed be avoided, since devāñjanamं traikakudamं could function as a vocative, according to the tendency of neuter nouns to use the nominative/accusative form also for the vocative (see AiGr III, § 17, p. 44; in § 46, p. 97, by contrast, both dévāñjana and tráikakuda of ŚS 19.44.6a are quoted as "uncertain" examples of vocative neuter in $-a$ ).
cd. Here and at PS 1.100.1c, $\mathbf{K}$ reads tvā caranty oṣadhayo and Barret analysed the verb as $\bar{a}+c a r$, which could also be a good reading. With these pādas, cf. also ŚS 19.34.7ab $\approx \mathrm{PS}$ 11.3.7ab ná tvā púrvā ósadhayo ná tvā taranti yá návāh (Or tarantu, $\mathbf{K}$ caranty, for which see above) 'Neither the ancient herbs surpass you, nor the recent ones' and PS 7.12.2cd na tvā sapatnī sāsāha gaireyī ca na bāhyā 'No rival from the mountains overpowers you, none from abroad either'. Note the unusual metrical pattern of pāda $\mathbf{c}$, withouth the syllabic resolution of the semivowel (cf. also pāda 8a below).
15.3.7 [Anuṣṭubh] $\approx$ ŚS 19.44.7, b: cf. Rov 10.97.6d, ŚS 1.28.1b, PS 7.5.8b, 10.1.12b, 11.6.9d, $\mathrm{c} \approx$ ŚS 19.34.9c
vīdam̀ madhyam *avāsṛpad A
rakṣohāmīvacātanam | A
amīvāḥ sarvāś cātayan \#A
nāśayad abhibhā itaḥ \| A

This [ointment], a demon-slayer remover of afflictions, crept down in the middle, frightening away the diseases, driving away from here inauspicious omens.
vīdam்] Or, vīraḿ K *avāsŗpad] avāsrjad $\mathbf{O r} \mathbf{K}$ rakṣohāmīvacātanami] K, rakṣohāmīvacātanaḥ Ku JM RM Mā Pa, rakṣyohāmīvacātanaḥ Ma amīvāḥ $\mathbf{O r}$, amīva $\mathbf{K}$ cātayan] $\mathbf{O r}$, cātayam $\mathbf{K}$ nāśayad] $\mathbf{O r}$, nāśayat $\mathbf{K}$ abhibhā $\mathbf{O r}$, apivā $\mathbf{K}$ itaḥ] $\mathbf{O r}$, hitā $\mathbf{K} \quad||\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a},| \mathbf{R M}, \mathrm{Z} 6$ Z K

ŚS 19.44.7
vìdám mádhyam ávāş̣pad rakṣohắmīvacā́tanaḥ |
ámīvāh sárvāś cātáyan nāśáyad abhibhā́ itáḥ ||
ŖV 10.97 .6 cd
vípraḥ sá ucyate bhiṣág rakṣohắmīvacắtanaḥ ||

## Bhattacharya edits raksohāmīvacātanah in $\mathbf{b}$.

a. The emendation of the reading of all PS manuscripts avāsrjad to *avāsrpad is suggested both by the meaning and the tense of the verb (the first form is an imperfect from srj-, the second one an aorist from $s_{0} p-$-). The verb $s_{0} j$ - means in the active 'throw, emit', in the middle 'to speed, run, hasten, release', but these meanings do not fit the context of our stanza. Furthermore the imperfect, which is usually employed as the past tense of narration without any relation to the present, is stylistically out of place here, while the aorist, which expresses that an action has occurred in the past with reference to the present, is much better. The ointment, having being applied to the patient and spread through his body, has carried out its beneficial action. KULIKOV forthc. wonders if madhyam 'into the middle' could possibly refers to the nidus of the disease, which seems a very attractive idea.
b. The reading raksohāmīvacātanah is in all the ŚS manuscripts, too. Whitney, in his comment, notes, "The change to masculine here in $\mathbf{b}$ is obscure and questionable; emendation to -cātanaì is desirable; it would allow idám to be understood as 'this [ointment]', which is easier and more natural". He adds that the reading of $\mathbf{K}$ rakṣohāmīvacātanaí would give the emendation sufficient support, so I decide to adopt it. Lanman has then posed the problem of how to emend rakssohá, to make a corresponding neuter of it. He suggests to think of an unattested neuter form rakṣohá concealed in the combination -hámivva-, but there is no need to think of such a form, because in the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{V}$ the nominative singular masculine of compounds with root han- as final member can be used as neuter (cf. AiGr. III, § 130, p. 238). Cf. e.g. ŖV 7.8 .6 cd , where raksohá is found at the end of a series of three coordinated adjectives (one of which is amīvacátanam) and it is clearly used as a neuter: śám yát stotrobhya āpáye bhávāti dyumád amìvacátanaì rakssohá '(This speech) which [being] splendid, frightening away the diseases, demon-slayer will be auspicious for the praising ones and the ally'.
d. The word abhibháa- (on which see EWAia II, 259) means literally "light/appearance which shines against', therefore 'inauspicious omen'. In the context of this hymn, it could possibily be related to the nightmare (dusvapnya-) mentioned at 15.4.2.
15.3.8 [Anușṭubh] ŚS 19.44.8, cd: PS 15.3.9cd

| bahv idaṁ rājan varuṇa- | \#A |
| :--- | ---: |
| -anrótam āha pūruṣaḥ \| | A |
| tasmāt sahasravīriya | *A |
| muñca naḥ pariy aṁhasaḥ \\| | *A |

Man speaks untruth here often, O king Varuṇa. Free us from this sin, O you having a thousand powers!
bahvidam்] Ku RM Pa Mā K, bahvīdam் JM, bihvidam [Ma] varuṇānrótam] K, varṛ̣āņ̣tam Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, varọnaņ̣tam JM pūruṣaḥ] pūrọṣḥ RM, purṣaḥ Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, puruṣaḥ K tasmāt] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, tasmā [Mā] ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 8 Z K

ŚS 19.44 .8
bahv ìdám rājan varuṇā́ņ̣tam āha purruṣaḥ |
tásmāt sahasravīrya muñcá naḥ páry ámihasaḥ ||
a. The word ánrta- provides, together with the first two pādas of the next stanza, a possible explanation for the use of ánāgasa- in 3.3d: the sin committed by the patient would consist in perjury. Cf. ŚS 4.9.7ab = PS 8.3.13ab idám vidvā́n āñjana satyám vakṣyāmi nānrotam 'Knowing this, O ointment, I shall speak truth, not untruth'.
b. An interesting question is whether in this stanza púruşa- refers to the patient or to an impersonal man, that is to mankind in general. I incline to the second hypothesis, as the entire sentences, asserting a general statement, seems to have a gnomic character. There are other examples of the word used without reference to a specific man, cf. ŚS 5.5.2ab yás tvā píbati j̄̀vati trāyase púruṣaì tvám 'Who drinks you stays alive, you rescue the man', 5.7.8 utá nagnáa bóbhuvatū svapnayà sacase jánam árāte cittám vírtsanty ákūtim púruṣasya ca 'And constantly becoming naked, you follow a person in dreams, O Arāti, baffling the intention and the wish of a man', 7.76.4ab pakṣ̂́ jāyā́nyah patati sá á viśati pū́ruṣam 'Having wings, the jāayánya flies; as such, she enters into a man', 8.2 .25 sárvo vái tátra jīvati gáur áśvah púruṣah paśúh yátredám bráhma kriyáte paridhír jīvanāya kám 'Every one, verily - cow, horse, man, cattle - lives there where this formula is performed, a protection for living', 12.4 .13 cd hímste ádattā púruṣȧ் yācitáám ca ná dítsati ‘[The cow], not given, harms a man, when he does not want to give her when asked for'.
d. For a semantic study of the word ámhas- in Vedic, see Gonda 1957b. In this passage, ámhas- seems to be identical to ánrta-. Cf. SS $10.5 .22 \approx \operatorname{PS} 9.22 .4(\approx \operatorname{PS} 16.130 .1)$ yád arvācínaỉ traihāyaṇád ánrtà் kím codimá| ápo mā tásmāt sárvasmād duritát pāntv ámhasah 'Whatever untruth we have said since a three years' period, may the water protect me from that difficulty, form [that] sin'. See also RodHE 1946: 43. His translation of ánrta- as 'evil' does not convince at all, and his statement that "there does not seem to be more than one single passage in the Veda [vz. ŚS 19.44.8], where decisively committed evil is indicated by this word [vz. ámhas]" is no doubt an exaggeration (cf. the parallel passages quoted above).
15.3.9 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 19.44.9, ab: ŚS 7.83.2cd $\approx$ PS 20.33.5ce, VS $20.18 \approx$ TS 1.3.11.1, MS 1.2.18:28.5, KS 3.8:27.2 etc., cd: PS 15.3.8cd
yad āpo aghniyā iti *A
varuṇeti yad ūcima | A
tasmāt sahasravīriya *A
muñca naḥ pariy aṁhasaḥ \| *A

If we have said '(excellent) cows are the waters', if ' $O$ Varuna': free us from this sin, $O$ you having a thousand powers!
aghnyā iti] Or, ghnyāyati $\mathbf{K}$ varuṇeti] varṇ̣eti $\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{R M} \mathbf{M a ̄}$ [ $\mathbf{M a}$ ] Pa, vaŗ̣neci JM, varuṇena $\mathbf{K}$ ūcima] $\mathbf{K u}$ RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, ūcimaḥ JM tasmāt] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, ta $\cdot \mathbf{M a ̄}$ naḥ] Or, naḥ K $\|]$ Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 9 Z K

ŚS 19.44 .9
yád ấpo aghnyầ íti váruṇéti yád ūcimá |
tásmāt sahasravīrya muñcá naḥ páry ámihasaḥ ||
ŚS 7.83.2cd $\approx$ PS 20.33.5ce $\approx$ VS 20.18
yád ápo aghnyà átí váruṇéti yád ūcimá $\mid$
táto varuṇa muñca nạ̣ ||
TS 1.3.11.1 $\approx$ MS 1.2.18:28.5 $\approx$ KS 3.8:27.2
yád ápo ághniyā váruṇéti śápāmahe táto varuṇa no muñca
ab. "That is, if we called these divinities to witness an untruth" (Whitney 1905: 968). Here and at ŚS 7.83.2c, Whitney emended aghnyà́, the reading of all ŚS manuscripts, to ághnyāa, and translated ' O inviolable [kine]' and ' O inviolable ones'. In his opinion, the emendation is justified by the inconsistency of the accented form aghnyá, nominative, with the reading váruṇa - which can only be vocative, proving each of its predecessors such - and it is corroborated by the reading ághnyā of the Black Yajurvedic texts. The AV translators have generally followed the text thus emended (see recently KuLIKOV forthc.). ${ }^{40}$ The unaccented text of the PS is of no help to settle the issue, but in a very ingenious analysis of this mantra NARTEN 1971 has convincingly demonstrated that the text of the TS, MS and KS is secondary, and can be considered lectio facilior compared to that of ŚS and VS: instead of two metric oath formulas, one in the nominative ( $\dot{a} p o ~ a g h n y a \bar{a}$ ) and one in the vocative (varuṇa), both independent and separated by iti, the Yajurvedic texts have put together the three words in a syntactic prose unit (ápo ághniyā váruṇa) closed by iti. This was probably done under the influence of the final vocative váruṇa: the form ápo could have been interpreted as vocative as well, so that just with the swift of the accent on the word aghnyá the pattern would have been perfectly consistent.

[^22]Moreover, it is likely that at the time of the composition of the Yajurvedic texts the oath was not understood anymore. In its original form, with the nominatives áapo aghnyáa, the mantra is to be understood as a nominal sentence with zero copula ('Erlesene Kühe sind die Wasser'). Narten has proposed also another option, which is very attractive, though it can not be proved: on account of the presence of iti, the nominatives $\bar{a} p o ~ a g h n y a ́ a ~ c o u l d ~ b e ~ i n t e r p r e t e d ~ a s ~ p r a t i ̄ k a ~$ of an old, unattested mantra pronounced during oaths. In a rather speculative, but fascinating way, with the help of parallel texts of similar content she reconstructed this hypotetic mantra as *ápo aghnyá ihá mám avantu*: 'Die Wasser, die erlesene Kühe, sollen mir hier beisthehen', concluding, however, that for the understanding of this stanza it is not relevant whether the words ápo aghnyá are interpreted as a nominal sentence or as pratīka of an unattested mantra; the crucial point - which I tried to observe in my translation — is that aghnyáá- is not an element of an enumeration as in the later Yajurvedic texts, but it is a predicate, or an apposition, of the word $\bar{a} p o$. That is to say, aghny $\bar{a}$ - is a poetic designation of the (divine) Waters.

### 15.3.10 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 19.44.10

| mitraś ca tvā varuṇaś ca- | \#A |
| :--- | :---: |
| -anupreyatur āñjana \| | A |
| tau tāvānugatya dūram̀ | +A |
| bhogāya punar *ohatuḥ $\\|$ | A |

Mitra and Varuṇa have been looking for you, O ointment; the two of them, after following you afar, brought you back for [our] profit.
mitraś] Or, mittraś K varuṇaś] K, varṇaśs $\mathbf{O r}$ cānupreyatur āñjana] $\mathbf{O r}$, cānupraidujana $\mathbf{K}$ tvānugatya] Or, tyānakatya K punar *ohatuḥ] punarohatu Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, pu $\cdots$ Mā $\|] \|$ r $10\|3\|$ Ku JM,


ŚS 19.44.10
mitráś ca tvā váruṇaś cānupréyatur ãñjana |
táu tvānugátya dūrám bhogắya púnar óhatuḥ ||

Bhattacharya edits punarohatu in d (he attributes to Mā the reading purohatu, but my reproduction of the manuscript is unclear).
b. Regarding the preverb pra-, Kulikov forthc. observes, "In this context, the preverb prá might have an additional directional meaning, 'go eastward', which is also found in some of its derivatives, such as práñ̃c- 'directed forwards; turned eastward', prācîna- 'turned towards the front, eastward'. Given the assumption that the Traikakuda ointment was brought from the mountain Trikakud (modern Trikuta) in the Western Himalayas, the journey of Mitra and Varuna should have started to the west of this area - that is, in the Sindhu (Indus) valley (Northern Pakistan), where early Vedic Aryans lived indeed around 1000 BC". The greatest difficulty of this hypothesis is that the directional meaning 'eastward' - which of course is secondary even in the derivatives mentioned above - is never found when prá- functions as preverb. The only instance in which this meaning can be feebly traced is a Rgvedic passage (1.103.4c) describing Indra advancing victoriously over the Dāsyus, the enemies who opposed the Aryans during their advance toward the East: upaprayán dasyuhátyāya vajrī' 'The one holding the cudgel, rushing upon to strike the Dasyus'. Assuming that upa-pre- has here an
additional directional meaning seems indeed a strained interpretation, and there is no need to force the meaning of the verb. Moreover, the other attestations of the verb anu-pre- used in this stanza show clearly that a directional shade of meaning is not involved at all: cf., e.g., ŚS 5.7.3cd = PS 7.9.4cd árātim anuprémo vayám námo astv árātaye 'We look for Arāti, homage be to Arāti'. For the idea of a medicine brought from afar, cf. PS 20.14.4ab dūrād etat saim bharantīrsyāyā nāma bheṣajam 'From afar they bring together that medicine, namely against jealousy', 20.25.1ab dūrād bheṣajam ābhṛtaì bahūny ati ${ }^{+}$yojanā 'From afar the medicine has been brought, over many yojanas'.
d. The verb must be dual here (because of tau in pāda $\mathbf{c}$ ) and the best solution seems that of Shankar Pandurang Pandit, who analysed the sequence as punah : $\bar{a}: \bar{u} h a t u h$ (root vah- with $\bar{a})$. For a discussion of this passage, see Whitney 1905: 968.

### 15.4. Against various diseases and witchcraft: with the Traikakuda ointment (continued)

```
15.4.1 [Anuṣṭubh] ŚS 19.45.1, c: ŚS 2.7.5c, d: \(\approx\) ŚS \(2.7 .5 d=P S 20.18 .4 d\)
rṇād rı̣̣am \({ }^{\text {i va sam naya /A }}\)
krıtyām krtyākro grham | A
cakṣurmantrasya durhārdaḥ +\#A
prș̣̦̣ir api śrṇāñjana \| A
```

Bring together the sorcery to the sorcerer's house, as [one pays] debt because of [being in] debt. O ointment, crush the ribs of the evil-hearted one, whose formula [is] his glance.
 durhārdaḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, durhādaḥ Mā, durhāndaḥ $\mathbf{K}$ api] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, apī Mā, apa $\mathbf{K}$ śrṛāñjana Or, dṛ̣ãñjanam, K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 1 Z K

ŚS 19.45.1
rṇád ṛṇám iva sám naya krtyắm kṛtyākị́to grhám |
cákṣurmantrasya durhā́rdaḥ prosțtír ápi śrg̣ā̃̃jana \|
ŚS 2.7.5cd
cákṣurmantrasya durhắrdah prsț̣̂í ápi śṛnīmasi ||
ab. Note that also PS 4.14.7a (hastād dhastam) and 15.23.5a (*vartrād *vartram) show the same pattern X-ablative + X-accusative. I adopt Kulikov's translation, which is more explicit than Whitney's rendering "as it were debt from debt". Cf. the explanation of Sani-OrLandi 1992: 211 fn . 59: "cioè come chi è debitore porta il pagamento di tale debito a casa del creditore".

On the expression rụaín sam nī-, see also ŚS 19.57.1 ( $\approx$ ŚS 6.46.3) $=$ PS $2.37 .2=$ PS 3.30 .1 yáthā kaláàm yáthā śaphám yáthā rṇám samnáyanti $\mid$ evá duṣvápnyaim sárvam ápriye sám nayāmasi ‘Like a sixteenth, like an eight, like a debt they bring together, so do we bring together every nightmare on an enemy'.

As noted by Kulikov forthc., the reading sam naya of the PS is syntactically more appropriate than the readings of the ŚS manuscripts saminayám, sannayám, which were already emended to sám naya in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{W}$. Whitney's translation of this stanza follows the conjecture samináyan, which was adopted in ${ }^{2} \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{W}$, but there is no need to unite pādas ab and $\mathbf{c d}$ in a complex sentence; the PS reading is no doubt the original one.

The idea that, through a spell, an act of witchcraft can be sent back to the witchcraft-maker is common in the Atharvaveda (see e.g. SS 5.14, 5.31, 10.1 etc); the effectiveness of the counter spell is often emphasized through similes which underline its power and violence: see, e.g., ŚS 5.14.12-13 $\approx \operatorname{PS} 7.1 .4 / 2.71 .5$ íṣvā ŕjīyah patatu dyắā̄prthivı̄ tám práti| sá tám mrgám iva gorhnātu krtyắ krtyākǵttà̇ púnaḥ || agnír ivaitu pratikúlam anukúlam ivodakám | sukhó rátha
 against him. As such, may the witchcraft seize again the witchcraft-maker, like [a hunter seizes his] prey. May it go like fire up-stream, like water down-stream. Like a chariot having good wheel's hollows may the withcraft roll back to the witchcraft-maker', ŚS 6.37.2cd $\approx$ PS 20.18.2cd śaptā́ram átra no jahi divó vrkssám ivāsániḥ 'Strike the one who curses us here, as a
thunderbolt from heaven [strikes] a tree', ŚS $10.1 .14=$ PS 16.34 .4 krāma nánadatī vínaddhā gardabhī̀va |kart̄̄̄n nakṣasvetó nuttă bráhmaṇā vīryắvatā 'Step away, constantly making noise, like an unfastened she-ass. Attain your makers, pushed away from here with a powerful formula'. It is noteworthy that in many instances the return of the witchcraft to its maker is compared with the return of (mostly living) beings to a place or person closely associated with them, suggesting that going back to the witchcraft-maker is for witchcraft a natural and ineluctable process: cf. PS 2.71 .2 yathā te devy oṣadhe pratīcinaím phalaï krtam | evā tvaï krtvane krtyā̀̀ hastagrhya parā nuaya 'Just as your fruit, O heavenly herb, is made to point backward, so you must lead the witchchraft [far] away to the one who has made it, having grasped it by the hand', PS 2.71.3ab punah krtyā̀ं krtyākrte godhevāvaṭam *anv ayat (but ZEHNDER 1999: 160 proposes to read krtyā krtyäkrtam) 'The witchcraft shall go back to the witchcraft-maker, like a monitor lizard into its hole', ŚS $4.18 .2=$ PS 5.24 .2 yó devāh krtyā́m krtvắ hárād áviduṣo gróám | vatsó dhārúr iva mātárà̇ tám pratyág úpa padyatām ‘O gods, may [the witchcraft], like a suckling calf to its mother, go back to him who, having made a witchcraft, will bring it to the house of one unknowing [of it]', ŚS 4.18.4cd = PS 5.24.4cd práti sma cakrúṣe krotyắm priyắm priyắvate hara 'Bring back the witchcraft to the one who has made it, [like] a mistress to her beloved', ŚS 5.14.10 $\approx$ PS 7.1.8 putrá iva pitáraín gacha svajá ${ }^{i}$ vābhíṣthito daśa | bandhám ivāvakrāmĺ gacha kŕtye krtyākr'tam púnah 'Go as a son to his father, bite like a viper trampled upon. O witchcraft, go back to the witchcraft-maker, treading down [your] bond', ŚS 10.1.3 = PS 16.35 .3 śūdrákrtā rájakrtā stríkrotā brahmábhiḥh krtá| jāyáa pátyā nuttéva kartáram̉ bándhv (PS +bandhum) rchatu 'Made by a Śsudra, made by a king, made by a woman, made by Brahmans: as a wife turned away by her husband [goes] to her kin, let
 duritám párehi | jānīhi krtye kartāraỉ duhitéva pitáraỉ svám 'Anointed, well-prepared, bearing all difficulty, go away! Recognize, O witchcraft, your maker, as a daughter her father'. The simile of our stanza is slightly different in character and it seems not immediately clear, but it expresses the same idea of something - in this case, probably money - going back to its owner as it is due. The comparison was probably suggested by passages like RVV 8.47.17ad $\approx$ ŚS $6.46 .3 \approx 19.57 .1=\operatorname{PS} 2.37 .3(=\operatorname{PS} 3.30 .1,19.46 .11)$ yáthā kalám yáthā śaphám yátha rnạ́m samináyāmasi $\mid$ evắ duṣvápnyaì sárvam āptyé sám nayāmasy 'As [we pay] the sixteenth part, as [we pay] the eighth part, as we pay the [whole] debt: thus we bring together every nightmare to Āptya', where the compound verb saim nī- is used in its double meanings of 'bring together/deposit' and 'pay back', which are difficult to render with a single verb in the translation. Compare also the similar expression at ŚS 6.118.2cd = PS 16.50.4cd rná́n no ná rụám értsamāno yamásya loké ádhirajjur áyat 'The one having a rope will come to Yama's world, not wishing to obtain from us debt from debt' (Griffith: 'Not urging us to pay the debt we owed him').
c. The compound cákṣurmantra- was translated by Withney 'eye-conjurer' and by Bloomfield 'who bewitches with [his] eye'. It is a Bahuvrīhi which literally means 'whose mantra (i.e. magic formula) is the glance', 'having glance as a mantra'; the adjective durhä́rdqualifies the conjurer's gaze as malignant. See Gonda 1969: 39-40: "The close relation between directed looks and potent formulas may in a way even lead to their interchangeability. The compound caksurmantra- [...] might indeed have qualified the man whose mantra's (formulas') are in his eyes, that is who can achieve with his eyes those baleful effects which
usually are brought about by incantations". For cákṣus- in the sense of '(malignant) glance' cf. PS 20.22.2a sūryo mā cakssuṣah pātu 'Let the Sun protect me from the (malignant) glance'.

Other interpetations are however possible: cákssurmantra- could have denoted a specific mantra pronounced by someone wishing to acquire evil powers in his own eyes, or a mantra intended to injure someone else's eyes. At any rate, though the original meaning of the compound remains doubtful, it is important to connect this word with the practical use of the ointment ( $\bar{a} \tilde{n j} j a n a-$ ) addressed in these hymns: even if in this spell áñjana- seems to be used in a more general sense (cf. 15.4.5 below), it primarily indicates a sort of collyrium which was applied around the eyes. Compare also the parallel passage PS 20.18.4cd jihvāślakṣnasya durhārdah prssṭir api śrnīmasi 'We crush the ribs of the evil-hearted one, smooth-talking, which Kubisch 2010: 109 interprets as follows: "jihvāślakṣna- ist Hapax leg. Ich interpretiere es als Tatpuruṣa aus jihvā- "Zunge" und ślakṣna- "glatt". Gemäß Pāṇ. 2.1.31 steht ein substantivisches Vorderglied in Verbindung mit pūrva-, sadṛ́sa-, sama-, ūna- und seinen Synonymen, kalaha-, nipuṇa-, miśra- und ślakṣṇa- im Sinne eines Instrumentals. jihvāślakṣnabezeichnet also jemanden, der "mit der Zunge" glatt oder sanft ist, der mithin freundlich redet (und aber böse Absichten hat [durhārd-]). Die Übersetzung "glattzüngig" soll also nicht auf eine Deutung als Bahuvrīhi schließen lassen, fängt die Bedeutung m. E. allerdings recht gut ein".
d. The expression prsțitir (api) s'ro-'to break the ribs' is extremely frequent, especially in the AV: it occurs - with uncompounded verb - e.g. at RoV $10.87 .10 \mathrm{c}=$ ŚS $8.3 .10 \mathrm{c}=$ PS 16.6 .10 c tásyāgne prssṭîr hárasā śrṇīhi ‘O Agni, crush his ribs with [your] flame’, PS 7.2.6c = ŚS 2.32.2c $=5.23 .9 \mathrm{c}$ (against a worm) śrnuamy asya prsțīr 'Its ribs do I break'; with the preverb api it occurs, e.g., at PS 2.8.4cd = ŚS 4.3.6ab (against wild beasts) mūrṇá mrgásya dántā ápiśīrṇā u prstéáyah 'Crushed [are] the teeth of the beast and broken [are its] ribs’, PS 19.11.7b (against Piśācas) prssṭīr vo 'pi śrf̣āti agniḥ ‘Agni will crush your ribs' $\approx$ ŚS 6.32 .2 b prs yātudhānāh 'Let him crush your ribs, o sorcerers', PS 20.18.4cd prsțīr api śrnūmasi 'We will crush the ribs', ŚS 16.7.12a = PS 18.51.8a tám jahi téna mandasva tásya prostitir ápi śron̄ihi ‘Slay him, rejoice in it, crush his ribs'.

Note that the reading of $\mathbf{K}$ drṇāñanam is also plausible (except for the final nasal): as suggested by Praust 2000: 426, the form drṇa 'break, split open’ could even be considered lectio difficilior in comparision to śrṇa of the other versions (as regards the preverb, api of Or and ŚS is correct against apa of $\mathbf{K}$, but Praust makes no mention of the reading of the Orissa manuscripts and quotes the PS only from $\mathbf{K}$ ).
15.4.2 [Anuș̣ụub] ŚS 19.45.2, ab: ŚS 19.57.4de

| yad asmāsu duṣvapn ${ }_{\text {i }}$ yam | \#A |
| :---: | :---: |
| yad goṣu yac ca no grome | A |
| $\dagger$ amāmagatyasta $\dagger$ +durhārd | $+\mathrm{A}$ |
| *apriyaḥ prati muñcatām \|| | A |

Let an evil-hearted enemy put on himself the nightmare which [is] in us, which [is] in the cows, and which [is] in our home ... (?).
duṣvapnyam̀] Ku RM Mā K, duḥsvapnyam் JM, dusvapnyam [Ma] Pa yac ca] Or, yaśca K grhe] Ku $\mathbf{R M M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a}$ K, gro $\{$ am̀ $\}$ e JM amāmagatyasta] Ku Mā Pa, apā $\rightarrow$ mā)magatyasta [Ma], amāmagatya JM, ama • gatyasta RM, māmagatyasya K ${ }^{+}$durhārd *apriyaḥ] durhārdaḥ priỳa Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa,
durhārdaḥ priẏaḥ RM, durhāndaḥ priya $\mathbf{K}$ muñcatām] muñcatām JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, muñ\{j\}catām Ku ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, | JM RM, Z 2 Z K

ŚS 19.45.2
yád asmá́su duṣvápnyaṁ yád góṣu yác ca no groné |
ánāmagas tám ca durhắrdaḥ priyáḥ práti muñcatām |I

ŚS 19.57.4d
asmā́su duṣvápnyam yád góṣu yác ca no gr̊hé \|I

Bhattacharya edits amāmagatyasta durhārdaḥ priya prati muñcatām in cd.
a. This is the only place where Bhattacharya edits the word duşvápnya- with the spelling $s v$-. For the spelling of this word in the edition, see Griffiths 2009: LXIX (against Lubotsky 2002: 171).
cd. All versions of these pādas are corrupt both in the ŚS and in the PS; see Kulikov forthc.: 9 for a detailed discussion of the variants of the manuscripts and the solutions proposed by the previous scholars. As regards the metrical flaw (one syllable too many in cand too few in d), I follow Kulikov's suggestion, consisting in removing the last syllable of pāda $\mathbf{c}$ to $\mathbf{d}$, with minor modifications: durhārd ' a(h)-priyah. He explains: "The nominative form durhārd [...] better suits the context than the alleged genitive-ablative durhārdah, obviously triggered by durhārdaḥ in the preceding pāda (1c). The first word of d should undoubtedly be read ${ }^{+}$apriyah (for priyah in manuscripts), as correctly suggested already by Whitney/Lanman".

I can offer no convincing solution for the beginning of $\mathbf{c}$. The most plausible solutions are Kulikov's restoration, based on the reading of the PS, ${ }^{+}$amáa ${ }^{+}$gátyāà ${ }^{+}$yát ${ }^{+}$tád ' [that] which is at home [and] on the way', and Lanman's emendation based on ŚS 19.57 .5 anāsmākás tád durhārdó 'priyah práti muñcatām 'let him who is not of us, the evil-hearted, the unfriendly, put upon himself'. It is important to note that anāsmākas occurs after the same indentical two pādas also at PS 3.30.6 yad asmāsu ${ }^{+}$duṣvapnyamं yad goṣu yac ca no grhe |anāsmākas tad devapīyuh piyārumं niṣkam iva prati muñcatām 'Let the one who despises the gods, who is not of us, put upon himself, like a mocking breastplate, the nightmare which [is] in us, which [is] in the cows, and which [is] in our home'. This would perhaps suggest to read anāsmākas also here at the beginning of the verse, so that pādas cd would sound *anāsmākas *tad *durhārd *apriyah prati muñcatām '(Let) the one who is not of us, the evil-hearted enemy put on himself that' (but still this would make pāda c heptasyllabic).

Another possible restoration would be amāmakatvam ca 'and the state of not belonging to me', but the meaning would be hard to explain.

Regarding the meaning of the verb prati-muc, see Sommer 1977: 64, and Griffiths 2009: 267 and 332. The expression duṣvapnyam prati-muc- occurs also at ŚS 9.2.2ac $\approx$ PS 16.76.2ac yan me manaso na priyamं na cakṣuṣo yan me hrdaye nābhinandati $\mid$ tad ${ }^{+}$duṣvapnyam prati mиñса̄mi sapatne 'What of my mind and sight is not agreeable, what does not please my heart, that nightmare I put on my rival' and PS 20.54 .7 akṣivepaì ${ }^{+}$duṣvapnyam ārtiò puruşaresinīim | tad asmad aśvinā yuvam apriye prati muñcatam 'The nightmare which makes the eyes tremble, the pain hurting men; that, O Aśvins, put you two from us upon the unfriendly one'.

### 15.4.3 [Triṣṭubh-Jagatī] ŚS 19.45.3

apām ūrja ${ }^{+}$ojaso vāvrordhānam

```
agner jātam adhi jātavedasaḥ | J1
caturvīraṁ parvatīyaṁ yad āñjanam் Ja
diśaḥ pradiśaḥ karad ic chivās te \| +Tb
```

Ever growing from the strength of the nourishment of the waters, born from Agni Jātavedas, the ointment of four virtues, coming from the mountains, will make the quarters and the intermediate quarters auspicious to you.
ūrja ${ }^{+}$ojaso] ūrja ataso Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], $\left.\{u\} u ̄ r j a ~ a t a s o ~ P a, ~ u ̄ r j o j a s o ~ K ~ v a ̄ v r ̊ d h a ̄ n a m\right] ~ K u ~ J M ~ R M ~ M a ̄ ~$ [Ma] K, vāvró\{t \}dhānam Pa adhi jātavedasaḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, abhi jātavesaḥ JM parvatīyam̀] Or, parvatam K yad āñjanam̉] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, yad āñja\{•\}nam Ku karad ic chivās te] karad it śivās te \| Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], ka\{ri\} $\rightarrow$ ra)d it śivās te Pa, kraduviśchavāste K \|] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 3 Z K

ŚS 19.45.3
apā́m ūrjá ójaso vāvr̊dhānám agnér jātám ádhi jātávedasaḥ |
cáturvīram̉ parvatī̀yam yád áñjanam̉ díśaḥ pradiśaḥ karad íc chivā́s te \|

Bhattacharya edits apāmūrja ataso vāvrghānam- in a (vāvrghānam- is possibly a misprint).
a. Bhattacharya edits the reading of the Orissa manuscripts, but $\mathbf{K}$ has a better text, which I adopt with the correct sandhi restored. As noted by Kulikov forthc., the alternative interpretation of $\bar{u} r j a s$ as an ablative coordinate with ojasas, proposed by Whitney in his commentary, "seems to be ruled out by ŚS 18.4.53 [= PS 18.80.10b], where ójas- is construed
 nourishment have come to us'.
c. I hesitantly follow Kulikov's translation of the compound cáturvīra- 'of four virtues', with reference to the four usages of the ointment listed in 5ab below. The problem with this translation is that vīrá- never means 'virtue' but 'hero', 'eminent/strong man', also in compounds, so that we should understand cáturvīra- in the sense of *cáturvīrya-. Whitney's translation 'four-heroed' is more literal, but unsatisfactory as regards the meaning. Griffith translates once 'strong as four heroes' but in other cases simply renders it as 'Chaturvira', suggesting, maybe correctly, that this Bahuvrīhi is probably used as a proper name of this particular ointment.

### 15.4.4 [Triṣ̣ubh-Jagatī] $\approx$ ŚS 19.45.4

| caturvīraṁ badhyata āñjanaṃ te | + \#Ta |
| :--- | :--- |
| sarvā diśo abhayās te bhavantu \| | Ta |
| dhruvas tiṣṭhāsi saviteva vāriya | *T/Jb |
| imā viśo abhi harantu te balim $\\|$ | $+\# \mathrm{Ja}$ |

The ointment of four virtues is bound to you. Let all the quarters be free from danger for you. You will stand firm, being valuable like Savitar. Let these clans pay tribute to you.
badhyata āñjanamं te] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, badhyata āñjante JM, badhyata āñjana te RM, vadhyatāṁyajante $\mathbf{K}$ abhayās] Or, bhayās K bhavantu] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, bhavanttu Ku dhruvas] K, dhrovas Or tisṭhāsi] K, tisṭhādhi Or saviteva vārya] Ku Mā [Ma] RM, savitev $\{\bar{a}\} a$ vārya JM, saviteva vavārya Pa, saviteva
vāri $\mathbf{K}$ viśo] $\mathbf{O r}$, diśo $\mathbf{K}$ abhi harantu] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, abhi harañtu Ku, bhriyantu K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 4 Z K

ŚS 19.45.4
cáturvīraḿ badhyata âñjanam̉ te sárvā díso ábhayās te bhavantu | dhruvás tisṭhāsi savitéva cắrya imā viśo abhí harantu te balím \||

## Bhattacharya edits tisṭthādhi in c.

KULIKOV forthc. discusses the problem of the identification of the addressee of this stanza. In his interpretation, these verses should be addressed to the Traikakuda plant, considered as a royal plant - "king among (medical) herbs" -, which would account for the use of the royal consecration formula dhruvás tiṣtha 'stand firm'. Accordingly, he understands the meaning of pāda a as "the ointment is connected with you [scil. the plant] (by descent)", and explains vārya as a vocative addressed to the Traikakuda plant. I do not think that this stanza needs such a complicated interpretation. In my opinion it is clear from the use of the formulaic expression dhruvás tiṣtha, the mention of the quarters free from danger and of the tribes bringing the tribute that the king is here addressed by the priest, who is applying the ointment to the king himself; the second person pronoun te refers to the king exactly as in pāda $\mathbf{d}$ of the preceding stanza, pronounced by the priest as well. The connection of pādas $\mathbf{c d}$ of the preceding stanza with ab of this one, which contain almost the same words, confirms that there is no sudden change of the addressee.
c. The reading of the Orissa manuscripts tisṭhādhi was adopted also by Kulikov forthc. I prefer the reading of $\mathbf{K}$ tisțthāsi (present subjunctive of the root $s t h \bar{a}-$-), which is also in all the ŚS manuscripts (the subjunctive tisṭthāsi is attested several times in the PS, at 2.10.1d, 16.98.5d, $19.40 .3 \mathrm{~b}, 56.16 \mathrm{~b}, 20.40 .3 \mathrm{c}$ ). Furthermore, in the formulaic expression dhruvás + sthā- 'to stand firm', the verb never occurs with the preverb adhi (cf. R_V 10.173.1b = PS 19.6.5b, R_V $10.173 .2 \mathrm{c}=$ ŚS $6.87 .2 \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{PS}$ 19.6.6c, PS 1.66.1a). It should be noted that the reading tiṣthādhi of the Orissa manuscripts cannot be considered a mistake, since a confusion between $-d h$ - and $-s$ - is very unlikely in Oriya script; it is more likely to be due to perseveration from PS 1.72.3b ut tisṭthādhi devanāt.

If, on the other hand, one admits that $\mathbf{K}$ was in this case influenced by the ŚS, the agreement of all the Orissa manuscript would rather suggest to take tiṣthādhi as the original PS reading.

At the end of the pāda, the PS has no doubt preserved the original text, vārya, transmitted also in some of the ŚS manuscripts, which otherwise read cārya, segmented by the pādapāṭha as ca aryàh (the translation of SANi-Orlandi 1992: 212 follows this analysis: 'E tu ti ergerai nobile e saldo come il sole'). Kulikov's interpretation of vārya as a vocative addressed to the Traikakuda plant can hardly be correct, since the form must be a nominative singular masculine (várrya[s], in sandhi) connected with the nominative Savitā (note that the variant with initial $c$ may be a reminiscence of ŚS 13.1.38d $\approx \mathrm{PS} 18.18 .8 \mathrm{~d}$ 'hámं bhūyāsaì savitéva cā́ruḥ 'May I become pleasant, like Savitar').

An identical pāda beginning occurs at PS 1.66.1a dhruvas tisṭtha bhuvanasya gopa 'Stand firm, O guardian of the world'.
d. Note that $\mathbf{K}$ reads diśo 'the quarters' instead of viśo, which, being in the Orissa manuscripts and also in almost all the ŚS manuscripts (only one manuscript seems to have diśo), must be the original reading. Supported by the reading of $\mathbf{K}, \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{R}$ emend the text to diśo, but in his translation Whitney 1905: 970 adopt the reading viśo (rendering 'these people')
admitting that "our substitution of diśas was hardly called for". The action of paying a tribute to a king (balim (upa/abhi)hr-, for which see GONDA 1966: 46-47) is obviously better ascribed to the members of a tribe than to the quarters. It is true that especially in the AV the quarters became cosmic entities which are often said to pay homage and bestow benefits to a king, but in these cases we always find different expressions: the quarters bow to the king (nam-) at ŚS $5.3 .1 \mathrm{c}=$ PS 5.4.1c, bow down to the king (sam̈nam-) at PS 10.4.1c, call the king ( $h v \bar{a}-$ ) at ŚS 3.4.1c $=$ PS 3.1.1c etc. Interesting is ŚS 3.4.2ab $=$ PS 3.1.2ab, where the tribes and the directions are mentioned together, which may be one of the reasons for the confusion between the two subjects in our passage: tvắm viśo vrṇatāì rājyà̀ya tvā́m imáh pradíśah páñca devịh 'Let the tribes choose you for kingship, you these five divine [intermediate] quarters'. On the relation between the king, the tribes and the directions, see Tsuchiyama 2007: 71-75.

| 15.4.5 [Trisṭubh] ŚS 19.45.5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| āñkṣvaiekaṁ maṇim ekam kṛ̣uṣva | *+Ta |
| ${ }^{+}$snāh ${ }_{\text {i }}{ }^{\text {y ekena pibaiekam eșām \| }}$ | *+Tb |
| caturvīram nairrortebhyaś caturbhyo | Ta |
| grāhyā bandhebhyaḥ pari pātuv asmān \|| | *Tb |

Anoint yourself with one [of them], make one [your] amulet, bathe with one, drink one [of them]. Let the ointment of four virtues protect us from the four destructive snares of Grāhī.
āṅkṣvaikaṁ] āṁkṣvaikam Or, ākṣakam K ${ }^{+}$snāhy ekena] snājyekena Ku RM [Ma] Pa, snājyekema JM, smājyekena [Mā], śvāśīkena $\mathbf{K}$ pibaikam] Or, pavīkam $\mathbf{K}$ caturvīram nairrtebhyaś] Ku JM RM [Ma] $\mathbf{P a}$, caturvīram nairrọtebhyoś [Mā], caturrịtebhyaś $\mathbf{K}$ bandhebhyah] Or, bandhebhyah $\mathbf{K} \quad \|] \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{M a ̄}$
[Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 5 Z K

ŚS 19.45 .5
ákṣ̣váikamं maṇím ékam் krṇuṣva snāhy ékenắ pibáikam eșām |
cáturvīram̉ nairrtébhyaś catúrbhyo grā́hyā bandhébhyah pári pātv asmắn II

Bhattacharya edits ${ }^{+}$snāhyekena in b.
ab. The four applications of the ointment mentioned in these two pādas possibly underlie the term cáturvīra- 'of four virtues' already found in the previous stanza, and point to a more general sense of the word $\overline{\bar{a}} \tilde{n} j a n a$ - in this spell. It is clear that the practical use of the Traikakuda plant is not restricted to an eye-lotion in this ritual, and that besides being used as an amulet, the Traikakuda ointment could have been taken both externally and perorally. Note the reading ékená́ of the ŚS; the manuscripts read ékenápiváikam, analysed by the pādapaṭha in ékena : ápi : vā : ékam. R-W emended to ékena pibái 'kam, while SPP chooses to retain ékenáa, interpreting ékena: á : piba. But as noted by Whitney (1905: 971), the verb $\bar{a}+p \bar{a}$ does not occur in the AV , and it would be distinctly out of place here. The PS offers the conclusive evidence that the original text is ekena and that the ŚS manuscripts have introduced a secondary and erroneous reading by mistake.

Note that in the reading snāhy all the Orissa manuscripts show the frequent confusion between -hy- and -jy-.
d. Kulikov forthc. points to the fact that "in the context of a hymn addressed to an ointment which is used, in particular, against evil dreams, it is important to note that sleep is described (AVŚ 16.5.1) as one of the sons of Grāhi (closely related to Nirrti, or destruction)".
15.4.6 [Formula] ŚS 19.45.6, bc: PS 15.4.7-10bc

| agnir ${ }^{+}$māgneyenāvatu | P |
| :--- | :--- |
| prānāyāpānāyāyușe \| | P |
| varcasa ojase tejase svastaye subhūtaye svāhā \\| | P |

Let Agni help me with fire, for exhalation, for inhalation, for longevity, for splendour, for strength, for ardour, for well-being, for welfare: hail!

 varccasa Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, rvarccasa RM, varcase K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, || ${ }^{\text {kā }} \mathbf{K u}, \mid \mathbf{R M}, \mathrm{Z} 6$ Z K

ŚS 19.45 .6
agnír māgnínāvatu prāṇáyāpānā́yá́yuṣe várcasa ójase I
téjase svastáye subhūtáye svāhā ॥

Bhattacharya edits māghnyenāvatu in a.
a. At the beginning of this stanza and of the following four, various divinities are invoked and requested to help through their specific powers; these verses should be pronounced by the king after the ointment has been applied. One would like to have the same pattern in all the stanzas, but the readings of the manuscripts are considerably different. KULIKOV forthc. emends 4.6a to ${ }^{+}$māgnéyenāvatu and edits mendriyénāvatu in 4.7 a , sáumyenāvatu in 4.8 a and bhágenāvatu in 4.9a, suggesting for the latter an emendation to *bhāgén $\bar{a}^{\circ}$ in analogy with the vrddhi-derivatives in the preceding verses, in order to get a perfect parallelism. At 4.9 all versions are in accord, and it is quite obvious, since the name of Bhaga means at the same time the god and his power - so that Kulikov's suggestion to edit ${ }^{+} b h a ̄ g e ́ n a ~ s e e m s ~ c o m p l e t e l y ~$ unjustified. At 4.8 all the PS manuscripts agree, reading somyena 'with the power of Soma', while the SS has saumyena, the vrddhi-derivative of the name of the god, which could be a secondary reading. At 4.7 and 4.6 I follow Kulikov's reading. The reading of 4.6 is particularly attractive: Kulikov suggests that the variants of Orissa manuscripts māghnyenāvatu / māghyenāvatu (maybe corrupt due to secondary association with aghnya- 'non-violable, bull', which occurs above at 3.9a) point to the vrddhi-derivative āgneya- 'belonging/related to Agni'.
bc. On prấna- and ápāna-, see Bodewitz 1986 and cf. 3.4a above. According to Kulikov forthc., "the eight favourable things listed here must correspond to the eight cardinal and intermediary directions mentioned in verse 3 ". Associations of these terms are common in the AV: cf., e.g., ŚS $3.13 .5 \mathrm{~cd} \approx \operatorname{PS} 3.4 .5 \mathrm{~cd}$ tīvró ráso madhupŕcām araingamá á mā prānéna sahá várcasā gamet 'May the pungent sap of the honey-mixed ones, ready to help, come to me with breath, with splendour', ŚS $10.5 .36 \mathrm{~cd} \approx 16.8 .4 \approx \mathrm{PS} 10.10 .4 \mathrm{fg}, 6 \mathrm{fg}$ idám ahám àmuṣāyanásyāmúşyāh putrásya várcas téjaḥ prānám á́yur ní vesṭayāmi 'Now I grasp the splendour, the ardour, the breath, the longevity of him, son of N.N. as father, of N.N. as mother', PS 5.22.9ab punaś caksuh punah prānaí punar āyur dehi no jātavedaḥ 'Again the sight, again the breath, again the [full] life-time give us, O Jātavedas', etc.
15.4.7 [Formula] ŚS 19.45.7, bc: PS 15.4.6bc, 8-10bc
indro mendriyeṇāvatu ${ }^{\circ}$ 。 $\|$ P

Let Indra help me with the power of Indra for exhalation, for inhalation, for longevity, for splendour, for strength, for ardour, for well-being, for welfare: hail!
mendriyeṇāvatu] mendryeṇāvatu ||kā ${ }^{k u}$, mendryeṇāvatu || JM Mā [Ma] Pa, mendryeṇāvatu | RM, mā indreṇāvatu prān̄āyāpānāyāyuṣe varcasa ojase tejase svastaye prabhūtaye svāhā Z 7 Z K

ŚS 19.45.7
índro mendriyéṇāvatu prāṇáyāpānā́yá́yuṣe várcasa ójase |
téjase svastáye subhūtáye svắhā II

Bhattacharya edits mendryenāvatu in a.
a. See my comment under 4.6a.
bc. The refrain of stanzas 7-9 is written in abbreviated form in all the Orissa manuscripts (for the superscribed sign $k \bar{a}$ in $\mathbf{K u}$, see Introduction). Only $\mathbf{K}$ has the full text, without any sign of abbreviation.
15.4.8 [Formula] ŚS 19.45.8, bc: PS 15.4.6-7bc, 9-10bc
somo mā somyenāvatu ${ }^{\circ \circ}{ }^{\circ} \| \quad \mathrm{P}$
Let Soma help me with the power of Soma for exhalation, for inhalation, for longevity, for splendour, for strength, for ardour, for well-being, for welfare: hail!
somyenāvatu] somyenāvatu || ${ }^{\text {kā }} \mathbf{K u}$, somyenāvatu || JM Mā [Ma] Pa, somyenāvatu | RM, somyenāvatu prāṇāyāpānāyāyuṣe varcase ojase tejase svastaye prabhūtaye svāhā Z 8 Z K

ŚS 19.45 .8
sómo mā sáumyenāvatu prāṇáyāpānắyá́yuṣe várcasa ójase $\mid$
téjase svastáye subhūtáye svăhā ॥
15.4.9 [Formula] ŚS 19.45.9, bc: PS 15.4.6-8bc, 10bc
bhago mā bhagenāvatu ${ }^{\circ \circ} \| \quad \mathrm{P}$

Let Bhaga help me with good fortune for exhalation, for inhalation, for longevity, for splendour, for strength, for ardour, for well-being, for welfare: hail!
bhagenāvatu] bhagenāvatu || JM RM [Ma], bhagenāvatu • Ku, bhagenānāvatu || [Mā], bhag\{o\}enāvatu || Pa, bhagenāvatu | prāṇāyāpānāyāyuṣe varcasa ojase tejase svastaye subhūtaye svāhā Z 9 Z K

ŚS 19.45.9
bhágo ma bhágenāvatu prānạ́yāpānắyắyuṣe várcasa ójase |
téjase svastáye subhūtáye svāhā \|

In Whitney 1905: 969, 972 it is twice erroneously stated that this stanza is wanting in the PS, but it is indeed attested also in $\mathbf{K}$. The symbol $k \bar{a}$ for the abbreviation of repeated words is blurred in Ku.
15.4.10 [Formula] ŚS 19.45.10, bc: PS 15.4.6-9bc
maruto mā ganair avantu ${ }^{\circ} \circ \circ \|$
P

Let the Maruts help me with [their] troops for exhalation, for inhalation, for longevity, for splendour, for strength, for ardour, for well-being, for welfare: hail!
maruto] K, maroto Or avantu] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa K, amantu JM RM varcasa] K, varccasa Ku JM [Ma], varcca\{se\}sa Pa, varccase RM [Mā] ojase] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa K, ojas(+e) RM, oja JM subhūtaye] Or, suprabhūtaye $\mathbf{K}$ svāhā \|r r $10\|4\| \mathbf{K u}$, svāhā $\|$ r $8\|Z\| \mathbf{J M}$, svāhā $\|$ r $\|4\| \mathbf{R M}$, svāhā || $4 \|$ r 10 Pa, [Mā], svāhā Z 10 ZZ ZZ ity atharvaṇikapaippalādayaś śakhāyām pañcadaśa[6]h kāṇ̣āh prathamānuvākaḥ Z Z K

ŚS 19.45 .10
marúto mā gaṇáir avantu prāṇā́yāpānā́yuṣe várcasa ójase téjase |
svastáye subhūtáye svắhā II
a. Cf. PS 5.18.5b trāyantā̀m maruto ganaih 'Let the Maruts with [their] troops rescue [him]' and PS 6.17.10a $\bar{a}$ yantu maruto ganaih 'Let the Maruts come with [their] troops'.

The manuscript JM counts the last stanza of this hymn as eight. Since JM actually has ten stanzas, this must be a mistake, which could reflect the original numbering of stanzas, in accord with the norm of eighteen stanzas $(10+8)$ per hymn expected by the title of the kāṇ̣a. It is indeed possible that two of the last invocations are secundary, but the number eight could also have been automatically written by the scribe, without reference to the transmitted text.

Note also that the first anuvāka of kāṇ̣a fifteen here comes to an end. $\mathbf{K}$ is the only manuscript that marks the anuvāka-division, writing a full colophon with the anuvāka-number in words.

### 15.5. The king's investiture

PS 15.5 and 6 comprise a single composition of twenty connected stanzas, split over two hymns $(10+10)$. The hymn is composite both in metre and in content; it alternates Trisṭubh/Jagatī pādas with Anuștubh pādas, and looks like a collection of stanzas taken from different sources and put together in order to be used during a royal ceremony. Textual evidence suggests this kind of ritual context; see, e.g., the occurrence of concepts often connected with a king and royalty: āyus-, prajā-, ojas-, rāyaspoṣa- (and rāyaś ca poṣa-), varcas-, śarmaṇ-, puṣti, rāstrra-, kṣatra-, suvīra-, sthira-, as well as expressions describing actions that are typical for a king: pra mrṇīhi durasyatah, sahasva prtanāyatah (both occurring twice, at 5.7 cd and 6.10 cd ), bhavā krșṭ̄̄̄ām abhiśastipā u (6.2b), (a)bhūr vāpīnām abhiśastipā u (6.3b), vasūni cārur vibhajāsi (6.3d). The ultimate evidence is offered by the commentary on hymn ŚS 2.13, which for stanzas two and three (= PS 15.6.1-2) quotes AVPariś 4.1 pari dhatteti dvābhyā̀ rājño vastram abhimantrya prayacchet 'Having consecrated the king's garment with the two stanzas [beginning with] pari dhatta, he should give it [to the king]', where these two stanzas are pronounced in the morning by a purohita handing to a king the garment he is to put on.

According to my interpretation, the garment described in this hymn, although not specifically mentioned, is the tārpya garment used especially during royal rituals, like the rājasūya, the vājapeya, the aśvamedha and others (see ParPola 1985: 44 ff .). The description of the making of the garment (stanzas 15.5.5-6) supports Parpola's idea that "the tārpya garment with its dhisuya decorations also stands for the star-speckled heavenly vault and constitutes a means of attaining that heavenly world" (ibid. p. 72). The notion of rebirth (stanzas 15.6.7-9), symbolically represented by the change of clothes, is further evidence in favour of the identification of the tārpya garment.

The hymn exceeds the norm of 18 stanzas per hymn by two, but it is difficult to identify secondary insertions because of the composite structure of the hymn. The best candidates for later accretions are probably stanza 15.6.4, which is the only one in Gāyatrī metre, and stanzas 5.7 or 6.10 , which seem slightly out of place from the point of view of the content and the metre, although there is no conclusive evidence to settle the issue.

The stanzas from 5.8 up to 6.5 were lately grouped together to constitute the hymn ŚS 19.24, which was recited, according to the commentary, "in a mahāśānti ceremony called tvāstrī̄, on occasion of the loss (ksaya) of a garment" (Whitney 1905: 934).

Phrasal and thematic correspondences with the preceding hymn $(3+4)$ are contained throughout: the word $\bar{a} y u s-$, found in various forms (cf. the compound $\bar{a} y u r d \bar{a}$ in 5.1a, $\bar{a} y u r$ in 5.2 a , āyuse in $5.3 \mathrm{a}, 5.9 \mathrm{a}, 5.10 \mathrm{a}$, ăyuh in $5.4 \mathrm{a}, 6.1 \mathrm{~b}, 6 \mathrm{~d}, 7 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~d}$ ), corresponds with āyuṣo in 3.1a; jätavedah in 5.2 a with jātavedasah in 4.3 b ; ojah, 5.2 b , with ojaso in 4.3 a and ojase in 4.6 b as well as the refrain of the following stanzas; varcase in 5.3 a and varcas $[a]$ in 6.1 a with varcasa in 4.6 b and the refrain of the following stanzas; madhyam in 5.6 b occurs identically in 3.7 a , while abhayam in 5.6 b corresponds with abhayās in 4.3 b ; with svastaye in 6.3 a and svasti in 6.7 d , compare svastaye in 4.6 b and the refrain of the following stanzas; $\bar{a} h a$ in 6.5 c occurs also in 3.8 b . Other links are provided by the words prataram in 5.4 ab (cf. prataranaím in 3.1a), sūryo in 6.8 d (cf. sūryaś in 3.5 c ) and the verb forms harāmi in 6.9 b (cf. harantu in 4.4d) and avantu in 6.9 (cf. avatu in 4.6 a and in the refrain of the following stanzas - avantu in 4.10a). Finally, in both hymns the gods Agni, Indra, Mitra, Varuṇa, Savitar and Bhaga are mentioned.
15.5.1 [Triṣ̣̣ubh] $\approx$ ŚS 2.13.1, MS 4.12.4:188.8-9 $\approx$ KS 11.13:160.11-12, TS 1.3.14.4 (= TB 1.2.1, TĀ 2.5.1, ĀśvŚS 2.10.4), VSM 35.17, ĀpMP 2.2.1, ŚāñkhGS 1.25, HirGS 1.3.5 āyurdā deva jarasamं prọāno Tb
ghropratīko ghrotaprsṭtho agne | Tb
ghrótam pibann amrtaṁ cāru gavyaṁ Ta
piteva putram jarase nayemam \| Tb

O god, [you are] one giving longevity, one making old age full; O Agni, [you are] ghee-faced, ghee-backed. Drinking ghee as ambrosia, sweet, coming from the cows, lead this one to old age, as a father his son.
āyurdā] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, ā•rdā Ku prṇāno] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], prọ\{e\}āno Pa, vrọāno K ghrotaprsṭtho] Or, ghrotaprsṭhau K pibann] JM [Ma] Pa K, pibamin Ku RM [Mā] cāru] K, cāro Or nayemam] Ku JM Mā RM, naẏemaí $(\rightarrow$ nam் $) \mathbf{P a}$, naẏenam $(\rightarrow$ mam் [Ma], nayemam் K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 1 Z K

ŚS 2.13.1
āyurdấ agne jarásam vrṇ̣ānó ghrttápratīko ghrọtáprsṭtho agne ।
ghritám pītvắ mádhu cắru gávyam pitéva putrắn abhí rakṣatād imám II

MS 4.12.4:188.8-9
āyurdấ deva jarásam̆ vrṇānó ghrotápratīko ghrọtáprọṭho agne |
ghṛtám píbann amr̊́tam cā́ru gávyam pitéva putrám jaráse *nayemám II

KS 11.13:160.11-12
āyurdấ deva jarásam vrṇānó ghrtám vásāno ghrtáprṣṭho agne ।
ghrtám píbann amítam̉ cắru gávyam pitéva putrám jaráse nayemám II

TS 1.3.14.4 (= TB 1.2.1, TĀ 2.5.1, ĀśvŚS 2.10.4)
āyurdắ agne havíṣo juṣāṇó ghr̊tápratīko ghr̊táyonir edhi |
ghrórám pītvā́ mádhu cấru gávyam pitéva putrám abhí rakṣatād imám II

VSM 35.17
áyuṣmān agne havíṣā vr̊dhānáś ghrótápratīko ghrotáyonir edhi |
ghrotám pītvắ mádhu cắru gávyam pitéva putrám abhí rakṣatād imān II

## ĀpMP 2.2.1

āyurdá deva jarásam gr̊ṇānó ghrọtápratīko ghṛtáprosṭho agne |
ghṛtám píbann amṛ́tam cắru gávyam pitéva putrám jaráse nayemám̉ svā́hā \|
a. The PS agrees with the MS, the KS and the ĀpMP in reading deva, while the other texts have agne. I consider the reading prunano of the Orissa manuscripts authentic. The variant vrouano, shared by K, the ŚS and the MS, seems to be the lectio facilior and could be - as noted by Lanman (in WHITNEY 1905: 56) — a misplaced reminiscence of R̊V 10.18.6a = ŚS 12.2.24a á rohatā́yur jarásaì vrruannáa 'Rise up, choosing longevity, old age', which also occurs at PS 17.32.5ab $\bar{a}$ rohatāyur jarasaí prṇānā, where $\mathbf{K}$ again reads vrṇān $\bar{a}$ against the Orissa manuscript (cf. also the reading grṇānáás at TĀ 6.10.1). The same distribution of these variants
in the PS tradition is found at PS 17.4.7c, where again the Orissa manuscripts read prṇānā and $\mathbf{K}$ reads vrnānā.

For Agni as a bestower of longevity, cf., e.g., PS 2.44.1a $\bar{a} y u r d \bar{a}$ agnih 'Agni [is] the one giving longevity', VS $3.17=$ TS 1.5.5.3 $=$ 1.5.7.4 = SB 2.3.4.19 a yurdā agne 'si ‘O Agni, you are the one giving longevity'.
b. Note that ghee is naturally connected with Agni, but also with the tārpya garment (see Parpola 1985: 39-40).
c. The PS agrees with the MS, the KS and the ĀpMP, while the other texts have a slightly different pāda: ghrtám pītvắ mádhu cắru gávyà̇ 'Having drunk the ghee, sweet, pleasant, coming from the cows'.
d. Once again, as in pādas a and $\mathbf{c}$, the PS agrees with the MS (whose reading ma emám must be a mistake for nayemám), the KS and the ĀpMP. The other texts have pitéva putrám (ŚS putrā́n) abhí rakṣatād imám 'Protect this one like a father [protects] his son(s)'. It is indeed easier to understand the protective role of a father towards his sons rather than to imagine a father leading a son into old age; in this case, however, one could think that an educational and tutorial role is involved together with the idea of protection. The expression jarase nī- is common in the AV and especially in the PS (note that instead of the verb nī-, the ŚS often has vah-): cf. PS 1.14.2d ta enam svasti jarase nayātha 'So (= as such), you will lead him happily to old age' (nayantu at PS 20.11.5d, vahantu at ŚS 7.53 .4 d ) $\approx$ ŚS 1.30 .2 d svasty ènam jaráse vahātha 'Happily you will carry him to old age', PS 1.62 .3 c indro yathainaim jarase nayāty 'So that Indra will lead him to old age', 1.69.4e sa enamं jarase nayāt 'As such he will lead him to old age', 20.59.9d tā̀̇̀ agne jarase naya 'O Agni, lead that [offspring] to old age', ŚS 3.11.6cd śárīram asyàñ̀ngani jaráse vahatà̀ púnaḥ 'You two must carry his body, his limbs to old age again', 6.5.2d jīvắtave jaráse naya 'Lead him to life, to old age'.
15.5.2 [Triș̣̦ubh] $\approx$ ŚS 2.29.2, d: ŚS 1.10.2d

| $\bar{a}_{\text {àyur }}$ asmai dhehi jātavedah | Tb |
| :---: | :---: |
| prajām tvasṭar adhi ni dhehiy ojaḥ\| | *+\#Ta |
| rāyasposami savitar ā suvāsmai | Ta |
| śatami jīvāti śaradas tavāyam \|| | Tb |

Bestow longevity on this one, O Jātavedas. Grant progeny [and] strength, O Tvaștar. Impel great wealth to this one, O Savitar ('impeller'). This one [worshipper] of yours will live a hundred autumns.
jātavedaḥ] Or, jātavedaḩ K tvaș̣ar] Or, tvasṭur K dhehy] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, hy RM ojaḥ] K, onaḥ Or savitar] Ku JM RM K, savitā $\rightarrow$ ta)r [Ma] Pa, savitur Mā suvāsmai] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] $\mathbf{K}$, ṣu $(\rightarrow$ su $)$ vāsmai Pa jīvāti] Or, jīvātu K tavāyam] Or, tavāyam, K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 2 Z K

ŚS 2.29 .2
áyur asmái dhehi jātavedaḥ prajā́m tvaṣtar adhinídhehi asmái | rāyáspóṣam savitar à suvāsmái śatám jīvắti śarádas távāyám ||

Bhattacharya edits onah in b.
a. This stanza is repeated at PS 19.17.11, where the abbreviation $\bar{a} y u r ~ a s m a i ~ i t y ~ e k \bar{a}$ refers back to the present stanza.
b. The reading onah of $\mathbf{O r}$ is possibly a graphical mistake, although the confusion between $-n a$ - and $-j a$ - is not very common in the Orissa manuscripts; there is no doubt that $\mathbf{K}$ has preserved the authentic reading ojah, which I adopt in the text. Instead of ojah, the ŚS reads asmái, which is syntactically difficult, as noted by Whitney (1905: 70, "The construction of a dative with adhi-ni-dhā in $\mathbf{b}$ seems hardly admissible") and could have been introduced here from the preceding pāda. The compound verb adhinidh $\bar{a}$ - is very rare; besides this passage, it occurs at ŚS 6.138.3cd = PS 1.68.4ef kurîram asya siv̄rṣánii kúmbaỉ cādhinídadhmasi 'We have put upon his head the kurīra and the kumba, ${ }^{41}$ in which the construction with the locative is more appropriate.
c. Note the frequent play on the name of the god Savitar 'the impeller' and the verb $(\bar{a}) s \bar{u}$ 'impel', from which the name of the god derives. I follow Whitney's translation ('abundance of wealth, O Savitar ("impeller"), do thou impel to him'), trying to keep the association between the two words derived from the same root also in English.
d. The sequence tavāyam, without an explicit subject, is rather unusual and occurs only here and in the identical pāda ŚS 1.10.2d. The sequence of tava plus a form of the pronoun ayám is indeed quite common in the R.V. but it is always found with another noun in the nominative connected with the pronoun: cf. R.QV 1.135.2d távāyám bhāgá āyúṣu 'This [is] your share among living beings', 3d távāyám bhāgá rtvíyah 'This [is] your share which is in proper time', 7.32 .17 cd távāyám víśvah puruhūta pắrthivo 'vasyúr nā́ma bhikṣate 'O much invoked one, every inhabitant of the earth here, wishing for help, implores your name', 7.98.6a távedám víśvam abhítah paśavyàm 'Yours is all this cattle everywhere’, 9.66.6a távemé saptá síndhavah 'These seven rivers of yours' etc. Similarly in the AV: cf. ŚS 6.44.1d = PS 20.34.7d tísthād rógo ayám táva 'May this disease of yours stand firm', ŚS 11.2.11a = PS 16.105.1a urúḥ kóśo vasudhā́nas távāyám ‘This wide vessel, containing wealth, is yours'. I interpret our passage as having an understood subject 'worshipper', 'devotee'. This is also the interpretation given by Griffith and Bloomfield, who translate, respectively, 'Let this thy servant live a hundred autumns' (both at ŚS 2.29.2d and ŚS 1.10.2d) and 'May this one, who belongs to thee, live a hundred autumns' (thus at ŚS 2.29.2; at 1.10.2d 'This thy (man) shall live a hundred autumns'). Whitney's translations 'May he live a hundred autumns of thee' (2.29.2) or 'A hundred autumns of thee shall this man live' (1.10.2d) are incomprehensible to me.

For a similar construction, cf. R̊V 10.97.2cd ádhā śatakratvo yūyám imám me agadám krta 'And so let you, having thousand powers, free this [patient] of mine from disease'.
15.5.3 [Tristụubh] ŚS 2.28.5 $\approx$ TS 2.3.10.3 = ĀpMP 2.4.2 $\approx \mathrm{KS}$ 11.7:153.16-17 $\approx \mathrm{MS}$ 2.3.4:31.11-12
imam agna āyuṣe varcase dhāḥ +Ta
priyam̉ reto varuṇa mitra rājan | +\#Ta
mātevāsmā adite śarma yacha Ta
viśve devā jaradasṭir yathāsat \| Ta

[^23]O Agni, you will dispose this one toward longevity, toward splendour, [you will dispose his] dear seed, O Varuṇa, O king Mitra! As a mother, O Aditi, offer this one protection, so that he will be one reaching old age, O All-gods.
varcase] [Ma] K, varccase JM RM Mā Pa, varccaso Ku dhāh] Or, dhā $\mathbf{K}$ priyami] Or, priyo K reto] Ku JM RM Pa K, mitro Mā [Ma] varuṇa] K, varṇa Or mitra] Or, mittra K mātevāsmā] K, mādevāsmā JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, mājevāsmā Ku yacha] Or, yaścha K yathāsat ||] yathāsat, K, yathāsaḥ Ku RM [Ma] Pa, yathāsaha JM, jathāsaḥ Mā ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 3 Z K

ŚS 2.28 .5
imám agné ấyuṣe várcase naya priyám réto varuṇa mitra rājan | mātévāsmā adite śárma yacha vísive devā jarádașṭir yáthásat II

TS 2.3.10.3 = ĀpMP 2.4.2
imám agna ắyuṣe várcase krdhi priyám̆ réto varuṇa soma rājan | mātévāsmā adite śárma yacha vísive devā járadastịir yáthásat ||

KS 11.7:153.16-17
imám agna ắyuṣe várcase krdhi tígmám ójo varuṇá sámśsiśādhi |
māté vāsmā adite śárma yacha vísive devā jarádasṭir yáthā́sat ||

MS 2.3.4:31.11-12
imám agnā āyuṣe várcase krdhi tígmám ójo varuṇa soma rājan |
mātévāsmā adite śárma yacha vísive devā járadasṭir yáthāsat ||
ab. There are interesting variants in the parallel passages of these two pādas, which have been variously interpreted by the translators (Whitney 'This one, O Agni, do thou lead for lifetime, for splendor, to dear seed, O Varuṇa, Mitra, king!'; Griffith 'Lead him to life, O Agni, and to splendour, this dear child, Varuna! and thou King Mitra!; Bloomfield 'Lead this dear child to life and vigour, O Agni, Varuna, and king Mitra!'). I interpret priyaím retas as an expression indicating the offspring of the king, who is addressed with the pronoun ayám. This is suggested by the parallel position, at the beginning of the pāda, of the same pronoun and of the word praj $\bar{a}$ - in the previous stanza: we find at 5.2 a asmai and at 5.2 b praj $\bar{a} m$, exactly as at 5.3 a imam and at 5.3 b priyam reto. Note also that the PS reads $d h \bar{a} h$ (aorist subjunctive), while the parallel passages have $k r d h i$ (aorist imperative) and the SS has naya. The subjunctive of the PS seems to be the lectio difficilior, especially because it introduces a variatio with respect to the imperative in pāda $\mathbf{c}$. On the syntactic relation between the roots $d h \bar{a}$ - and $k r-$, see CARDONA 1978.

Note that Mā and Ma read mitro instead of reto, maybe due to perseveration from verses in which appears the sequence mitro varuna- (e.g. PS 1.18.2a, $4.28 .7 \mathrm{c}=8.14 .1 \mathrm{c}=13.6 .6 \mathrm{c}$ ).
c. Note the erroneous reading $m \bar{a} d e v \bar{a} s m \bar{a}$ in the Orissa manuscripts, due to the frequent confusion between $-t$ - and - $d$ - (cf. similar cases at 6.9a, 15.3a).
d. On the word jarádasti-, see Tucker 2002.

agniṣ ṭa āyuḥ prataram krṇotu

| somas te puștim prataramं dadhātu $\mid$ | Tb |
| :--- | :--- |
| indro marutvān adhi te bravītuv | $* \mathrm{~Tb}$ |
| ādityais te aditiḥ śarma yachatu $\\|$ | Ja |

Let Agni make a crossing for you toward longevity, let Soma provide a crossing for you toward prosperity. Let Indra, attended by the Maruts, speak in your favour. Let Aditi give you protection with the Adityas.
pādas cd om. K • agniṣ ṭa āyuḥ] agniṣ ṭa āýuḥ RM Mā [Ma] Pa, aGNIṢ ṬA āỷuh Ku, agni āýuḥ JM, agniṣṭāyuh K krø̣̣otu] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, kṛ̣otū Ku pratarami] Or, pratiram K dadhātu|Or, dadhātu Z 4 Z K marutvān] martvān Or ādityais] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, ādityes Mā sarma yachatu] śarma ẏachatu || Ku JM [Ma] Pa, śarma yachatu | RM, śayachatu || Mā

## ĀpMP 2.4.4

agnís ṭa áyuḥ pratarā́m dadhātv ágnis ṭe púșțim pratarắm krọotu |
índro marúdbhir ratudhā́ krg̣̣otv ādityáis te vásubhir ă dadhātu II
ab. Note that the A$p M P$ uses the verbs $k r$ - and $d h \bar{a}$ - in the same mood and tense as the PS, but exchanges them in the two sentences (see my note under 5.3ab). The expression áyuh pratarám dhā- is attested several times in the RVV and AV. Cf. especially RVV $1.53 .11 \mathrm{~d}=$ $10.18 .2 \mathrm{~b}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 115.8 \mathrm{~d}$, ŚS $12.2 .30 \mathrm{~b} \approx \operatorname{PS} 2.38 .1 \mathrm{c}, 17.32 .10 \mathrm{~b}$ etc. drắghȳya á́yuḥ pratarám dádhānāh 'Establishing a longer more extensive longevity', which has the same metrical structure as PS 15.5.4a. For the equivalent use of $d h \bar{a}$ - and $k r$ - in this expression, cf. my comment under 15.5.3ab and PS 16.3.2c drāghīya āyuh prataraì te krṇomy, to be compared with ŚS 8.2.2d drấghīya à áyuh pratarám te dadhāmi.
c. This identical pāda occurs at PS 2.65 .5 c indro marutvā̌ adhi te bravītu 'Indra, von den Maruts begleitet, soll für dich sprechen' (ZEHNDER 1999: 150).
d. See similar expressions at R̨V 6.75.12d áditiḥ sárma yachatu 'Let Aditi give protection' and PS 2.70.5b ādityāś śarma yachata 'O Adityas, give protection'.
15.5.5 [N.N.] abd: $\approx$ ĀpMP 2.2.3, KāṭhGS 41.5

| revatīs tvā viy ${ }^{+}$akṣaṇan | *A |
| :--- | :---: |
| krttikāśs ${ }^{+}$cakrtus $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{va} \mid$ | *A |
| apasas tvā vy ${ }^{+}$atanvata | A |
| dhiyo avayann avāgnāyīr *aprijan \\| | *+\#T2 |

The Revatīs carded you, the Krıttikās ('Spinners') spun you. The skilful ones stretched you [on the loom]. Divine thoughts wove [you], the wife of Agni [and the wives of the other gods] made the hems.
${ }^{+}$akṣaṇan] akṣanam Or K krıttikāś] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, kr̊tikāś Mā, krttikā K ${ }^{+}$cakrótus] cakṛtas Or, cakrutus $\mathbf{K}$ apasas tvā ${ }^{+}$vyatanvata] apasas tvām atanvata $\mathbf{O r}$, abhisastvā abhiśas tvā vyatanyatu $\mathbf{K}$ vayann avāgnāyīr *aprojjan \|] vaẏann avāgnāȳīr aproncan \| JM, vaẏamin avāgnāȳīr aproncan Mā [Ma] Pa, (| Ku RM), vayann avāgnā āyurvantam K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku RM, Z 5 Z K

ĀpMP 2.2.3
revátīs tvā vy àkṣnan kị́tikāś cākṛtam̆́s tvā |
dhíyo 'vayann áva gnắ avroñjant sahásramántāmँ abhíto ayachan ||

KāṭGS 41.5
revatīs tvā vy akṣṇan krıttikāś cakratus tvāpasas tvā vy atanvata dhiyo 'vayann ava gnā amrjan |

Bhattacharya edits vyaksanam in a.
After four stanzas in which several gods are invoked and asked to bestow precious gifts on the king, this stanza is addressed to the garment; the change in metre from Tristụbh/Jagatī to Anuștubh underlines the transition. For the translation and interpretation of this stanza and of the following one, I refer to Hoffmann 1985.
a. The Revatī is the $27^{\text {th }}$ nakssatra of the zodiac, corresponding to $\zeta$ piscium. Its name means 'the wealthy one', and it was considered an auspicious constellation, capable of making a person born under its influence rich and purchase jewelry and gems. It is noteworthy that later Hindu astrology recommended wearing new dresses only when the moon was passing through this nakşatra. The verb kṣan- means 'to card'; "das Präverb $v i$ weist präzisierend darauf hin, daß die verfilzten Wollhāre bei diesem Arbeitsgang auseinandergekratzt werden müssen" (Hoffmann 1985: 174).
b. Both the readings cakrutus of $\mathbf{K}$ and cakrtas of $\mathbf{O r}$ point to a perfect form cakrtus from krt- 'to spin', on which see Kümmel 2000: 139. The word krttikās is the name of the Pleiades, the third naksatra of the zodiac, and it is first attested in the Atharvaveda, as stated by Knobl 2009: 134-135. PS 15.5.5b could be added to the list of the earlier attestations of this word provided by Knobl: ŚS 9.7.3a $\approx \operatorname{PS} 16.139 .3 \mathrm{a}$ vidyúj jihvá marúto dántā revátir grīváh kíttikā skandhá̀ gharmó váhaḥ ‘The tongue [is] lightning, the teeth [are] the Maruts, the neck [is] the Revatīs, the shoulders [are] the Krottikās, the carrying [is] the hot drink', ŚS 19.7.2a suhávam agne kŕ̀ttikā róhiṇ̄̄ 'O Agni, easy to be invoked [by me] the Krttikas, the Rohiṇi stars', PS 19.38.13cd devānā̀ं patn̄̄h krttikā imaì tantum *amūmuhan 'The Krttikas, wives of the gods, have confused this warp, ${ }^{42}$

As confirmed by this stanza, the name of the Pleiades is derived from the verb krt-, so that the sequence $k_{0} r t i k a \bar{s} \dot{s}$ cakrtus produces a beautiful anuprāsa. The redactor must have been aware of this etymological connection between the noun and the verb, because also in PS 19.38.13 quoted above, they assign to the Pleiades an action which involves a warp (cf. further PS 15.6.6a).
c. The PS version of this pāda is corrupt both in $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{O r}$. I restore the correct readings according to the KāṭhGS. Hoffmann 1985: 176 (note 9) points out that the reading tvām atanvata in the Orissa manuscripts could be secondary, because in the two preceding pādas the text has $t v \bar{a}$, not $t v \bar{a} m$, and the sequence $t v \bar{a}$ vyatan ${ }^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{K}$ occurs identically in the KäṭhGS.
d. This pāda was discussed at length by Hoffmann 1985: 176 (note 16). I quote in extenso his brilliant observations: "Statt avā gnā āyurvantaín, wie Barret, JAOS 50, 1930, 51 die Akṣara-Folge des Kaschmir-Ms. zerlegt, lesen die Or.-Manuscripts avāgnāyīraproncan, das offensichtlich in ava agnāyȳr aprñcan aufzulösen ist. Daß dieser Wortlaut auch dem verstümmelten Komplex avāgnāāyur-vantaỉ des Kaschmir-Ms. Zugrunde liegt, zeigt deutlich das $\bar{a}$ von $a v \bar{a}$-. Der Plural agnāy $\bar{i} h ̣$ ist wohl elliptisch zu verstehen: agnáay $\bar{l}-$ 'die Frau des Agni und die Frauen der anderen Götter', vgl. RV I 22, 12 indrāṇîm... varuṇanî̀m... agnắȳ̄m, RV V

[^24]46, 8 (= AV VII 49, 2) gnắh... devápatnīr indrāṇy àgná̆yy aśvínī... ródasī varuṇāní...Wenn dieser elliptische Plural nicht mehr verstanden wurde, lag es nahe, aus agnāyīr das bekannte gnāh herauszuhören, wie es in ĀpMP und KāṭhGS vorliegt. Wenn auch ava ... aproncan allem Anschein nach die authentische Lesung der Paippalāda-Version gewesen ist, kann es kaum sinnvoll zu dem Verbum prc (prọakti) 'mischen, füllen' gestellt werden. Es dürfte sich letzen Endes um eine Entstellung von ava... *aprjjan handeln, das durch Kontamination mit avrñjan über *aprñjan dem hochsprachlichen aprñcan lautlich angeglichen wurde". I follow Hoffmann's suggestion and emend the reading of the Orissa manuscripts, aprñcan, to *aprjjan. The reading of $\mathbf{K}$ ayurvantaim is not helpful at all, since it is clearly a blunder due to a misunderstanding of the text: the first word of the compound, $\overline{\text { a }}$ yur-, was taken from 5.1a $\bar{a} y u r d \bar{a}$ and 5.2a $\bar{a} y u r$. The ultimate reasoning for the emendation is that the verb (ava)prj-, previously known only from the verbal adjective án-ava-prgṇa- 'nicht abgeschlossen (von einem Kleid)', attested at R⿴囗 1.152.4, provides the terminus technicus for making the hem of a cloth (cf. the noun ava-prajjana- 'Gewebeabschluß' at AB 3.10.5 and see RAU 1970: 18).
15.5.6 [Triș̣̣ubh] a: cf. ŚS 14.1.45b = PS 18.5.2b, ĀpMP 2.2.3, cd: $\approx$ ĀpMP 2.2.4, cf. KāṭhGS 41.5
sahasram antām̉ abhito (')dadanta- Tb
-aśĩtir madhyam abhayam vi nārīḥ | Tb
devīr devāya paridhe savitre Tb
mahat tad āsām *abhavan mahitvam \| Tb

A thousand [women] held the ends on all sides, eighty [held] the middle safely apart, the goddesses, in order to clothe god Savitar. It became the great greatness of those women.
antāmँ] antām Or, anta K dadantāśītir] JM RM [Mā], dadaNTāśīTIr Ku, dadantāsitir [Ma] Pa, radantāśītir $\mathbf{K}$ madhyam] RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, maDHYAM Ku, maghya\{bha\}m JM abhayaḿ] K, abhaẏam் Or vi] Or, tu K nārīh] K, nārī Or paridhe] Or, paride K mahat tad] K, mahatvad Or *abhavan] aghavan Or K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku JM RM, Z 6 Z K

ĀpMP 2.2.4
devî́r devắya paridhî́ savitré mahát tád āsām abhavan mahítvanam |
ŚS 14.1.45ab
yá ákrontann ávayan yáśs ca tatniré yá devír ántām abhító 'dadanta ।

## KāṭGGS 41.5

sahasram antām̉ abhito 'dadantāśītīr madhyam avayann u nārị̣̄ |
Bhattacharya edits aghavan in d.
a. On the root $d a d-$-, see Wackernagel 1953: 379-80 and Gotō 1987: 171-172. As already noticed by Hoffmann 1985: 176 note 4, Whitney's translation 'gave' is incorrect, because the root dad- 'to hold (firm)', which is concerned here, must be considered synchronically independent from the root $d \bar{a}$ - 'to give'.
b. Hoffmann 1985: 174 states that this pāda is to be read, according to KāṭhGS, as aśit̀tir madhyam avayann u nārīh 'achtzig Frauen aber (u?) webten die Mitte'; in a note, however, he underlines the awkward position of the particle $u$ after the unaccented verb, as well as the fact
that the word abhayam, being common both to the $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{O r}$ traditions, cannot simply be corrected to avayann, and must therefore be the authentic PS reading. The implied verb of this line can be inferred from the preceding pāda, so I supply the preverb $v i$ with adadanta. It seems to me that the PS version of this pāda is indeed more original and gives a better sense than the KāṭhGS. The cloth having been woven in stanza 5.5, it seems illogical that the verb ve-should be used again in this stanza. The image depicted in the PS is clearer: while the thousand goddesses stretch the (already finished) cloth by holding out its hems, eighty goddesses keep up the middle, preventing it from touching the ground.
c. The sequence paridhe savitre is found also at PS 5.16.2b, where Bhattacharya edits the Orissa manuscripts' reading paridhe; Lubotsky 2002: 84 chooses the reading of $\mathbf{K}$ paride, which is preferable because of the meaning ('in order to deliver' better suits the context than 'in order to put on': devebhyo havyam paride savitre 'In order to deliver the oblation to the gods, to Savitar'). In our passage, the readings of the manuscripts are the same, but paridhe is no doubt correct: see the use of the same verb pari-dh $\bar{a}$ - in this hymn and in the following one at $5.8 \mathrm{bd}, 9 \mathrm{ab}, 10 \mathrm{ab}, 6.1 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{a}$. The reading of $\mathbf{K}$ is due to perseveration from PS 5.16.2b.
d. The reading aghavan of all the manuscripts must be an ancient mistake of the archetype, maybe due to a wrong word division in the sequence $\bar{a} s \bar{a} m a g h a v a n$, interpreted as $\bar{a} s \bar{a}$ maghavan. The emendation to *abhavan (cf. ĀpMP 2.2.4) has already been proposed by Barret.
 etc.
imam aśmānam ā tișṭha- +\#A
-aśmeva tvam̀ sthiro bhava | A
pra mŗ̣īhi durasyataḥ A
sahasva pronāāyatah || A
Step on this stone, become firm like a stone. Destroy those wishing to injure, overcome those who fight [you].
aśmānam] Ku K, asmānam JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa durasyataḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, durasyata JM, durasyatu K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 7 Z K

ĀpMP 2.2.2
á tisṭhemám áśmānam áśmeva tvám sthiró bhava $\mid$ abhí tisṭtha prttanyatás sáhasva protanāyatáh \||

ŚāñkhGS 1.13.12
aśmānam ātisṭthāśmeva tvam̉ sthirā bhava ।
abhi tisṭtha protanyatah sahasva protanāyata iti \|
HirGS 1.4.1
ā tiṣṭhemam aśmānam aśmeva tvam sthiro bhava | pra mrṇīhi durasyūn sahasva protanāyataḥ ॥

JG 1.12b
imam aśmānam ārohāśmeva tvam sthiro bhava |

ŚS 19.32.6ab
sáhasva no abhímātim sáhasva protanāyatáh |
ad. Another change of metre marks a new transition. This stanza, addressed to the king, contains the mantra for the ritual of aśmārohana 'mounting the stone', which is attested in many texts with several variants (see Narayana Pillai 1958: 219-222). The aśmārohaṇa ritual had various applications in different ceremonies: it is part of the wedding ceremony, when the bridegroom makes the bride tread on a stone as a symbol of stability and fidelity; it is used in the Upanayana ceremony, when the boy is asked to stand on a stone as symbol of steadfastness at study or strength and invulnerability; it is found also in a birth-rite and in the Godāna ceremony. As far as I know, this PS stanza is the only place where the 'aśman- verse' is used in connection with a royal rite: the use of this mantra was probably suggested by the image of the stone as a symbol of firmness and above all by the exhortation to overcome the enemies, which is a typical prerogative of the king.
15.5.8 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 19.24.1

| yena devaṁ savitāram | \#A |
| :--- | ---: |
| pari devā ${ }^{+}$adhāpayan \| | A |
| tenemam̀ *brahmaṇaspatiò | A |
| pari rāṣtrāya dhattana $\\|$ | A |

With what [garment] the gods wrapped god Savitar, do you wrap this Brahmanaspati for dominion.
 [Ma] K, tenamam Mā, tenedam RM *brahmaṇaspatimi] brahmanaspate $\mathbf{O r}, \mathbf{K}$ dhattana] $\mathbf{O r}$, dattanaḥ $\mathbf{K}$ ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 8 Z K

ŚS 19.24.1
yéna devám savitắram pári devā ádhāpayan I
ténemám brahmanaspate pári rāṣtráya dhattana ||

Bhattacharya edits adhārayan in $\mathbf{b}$.
b. The reading of the Orissa manuscripts adhārayan is the reading of all the ŚS manuscripts as well (as expected in a hymn belonging to ŚS 19). As observed by Whitney, the whole meaning of the hymn suggests emending the verb to adhäpayan, and the reading of $\mathbf{K}$ deva diyāpayan gives this emendation sufficient support, so I adopt it in the text.
cd. Note that the verb is plural; the subject should be singular and connected with the transmitted vocative brahmanaspate. To explain this fact, Whitney 1905: 934 refers to the opinion of the commentator on the SS, who quotes TS 6.1.1.4 tád vá etát sarvadevatyàm yád vắsas 'that is indeed the garment which belongs to all the gods', "to the effect that this same garment belongs to all the gods". I prefer to emend the transmitted text to *brahmanaspatim because besides solving the problem of the subject of the verb, which can be easily inferred from pāda $\mathbf{b}$ (the gods), the emendation creates a perfect parallelism between imaím *brahmanaspatiö in 5.8c, imam indram in 5.9a and imain somam in 5.10a (note that the three divinities Brhaspati, Savitar and Indra are quoted together at 6.5d).

The transmitted text tenemaim brahmanaspate is for sure due to perseveration from the identical pādas PS 1.11.1c $=\operatorname{PS}$ 18.17.1d.
15.5.9 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 19.24.2, abd: cf. PS 15.5.10abd, c: PS 15.5.10c

| parīmam indram āyuṣe | A |
| :--- | :--- |
| mahe kṣatrāya dhattana \| | A |
| yathainam̉ jarase ${ }^{+}$nayāñ | A |
| *jyok kṣatre adhi jāgarat $\\|$ | A |

Wrap this Indra for longevity, for great kingdom, so that [the gods] will lead him to old age, so that he will watch over kingdom for a long time.
pādas a-d om. K • parīmam] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, par\{i\}īmam [Mā] indram] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, īndram RM āyuṣe] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, om. JM mahe] RM Mā [Ma] Pa, sahe Ku, om. JM kṣatrāya] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, om. JM yathainam்] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, yathenam JM ${ }^{+}$nayāñ] naỳā̀̇ Or *jyok] yo Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, yok JM RM kṣatre] Ku RM Mā [Ma], \{ýa \} $\rightarrow$ kṣatre Pa, śrotre JM adhi] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], adha Pa jāgarat] jāgarat, || Mā [Ma] (| Ku JM Pa), jā(+ga)rata|RM ||] Mā [Ma], | Ku JM RM Pa

ŚS 19.24.2
párīmám índram ắyuṣe mahé kṣatrā́ya dhattana |
yáthainam̉ jaráse náyāj jyók kṣatré 'dhi jāgarat II

Bhattacharya edits yathaitaim, which must be a misprint, and nayā$\dot{m}$ in $\mathbf{c}$.
This stanza is not found in $\mathbf{K}$. The mistake was due to the fact that stanzas 9 and 10 have the same beginning, so the scribe skipped from parīmaì in 5.9a to parīmaím in 5.10a ("saut du même au même". Correctly, Whitney 1905: 935 states, "It is perhaps only by an accident that vs. 2 is omitted in Ppp.").
c. The reading nay $\bar{a} \dot{m}$ is in all the ŚS manuscripts too. Whitney 1905: 934 has proposed the emendation náyāt, but the form actually found in all the manuscripts can be interpreted as a $3^{\text {rd }}$ plural subjunctive from the root $n \overline{-}-($ nayān $)$ with the sandhi $-\dot{m} j$ - between final $-n$ and initial $j$ , which occurs several times in such cases (cf. Griffiths 2009: LXI). Cf. ŖV 1.161.3cd ( $\approx$ PS $1.62 .3 \mathrm{~cd} \approx$ ŚS $3.11 .3 \mathrm{~cd}, 20.96,8 \mathrm{~cd}$ ) śatám yáthemám śarádo náyātíndro viśvasya duritásya pārám 'So that Indra will lead him for a hundred years over the further shore of every difficulty'.
d. The initial cluster $j y$-is often simplified to $y$-in the Orissa manuscripts (cf. pāda d of the next stanza, the apparatus under 13.8a, 15.5b, and further PS 20.5.10b, 10.8c etc.). On Vedic jyók, see Tichy 2008 (especially p. 481 note 7). Although Tichy does not quote ŚS 19.24.2d, $3 \mathrm{~d}=$ PS $15.5 .9 \mathrm{~d}, 10 \mathrm{~d}$ among the exceptions to the common disyllabic scansion $j_{i} y o ́ k$, it is clear that in these cases the adverb is to be read as a monosyllable.
15.5.10 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 19.24.3, abd: cf. PS 15.5.9abd, c: cf. PS 15.5.9c, KāṭhGS 41.7, PS 2.39.4b, BaudhŚS 12.11:101.12, TS 1.8.14.1
parīmam̉ somam āyuṣe A
mahe śrotrāya dhattana | A
yathainam jarase ${ }^{+}$nayāñ A

Wrap this Soma for longevity, for great hearing, so that [the gods] will lead him to old age, so that he will watch over hearing for a long time.
parīmam்] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, parimam Mā, paremam் $\mathbf{K}$ dhattana] $\mathbf{O r}$, dhattanaḥ $\mathbf{K}$ yathainam்] Ku $\mathbf{R M} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a}]$, yathenam $\mathbf{J M}$, ya\{thai\}thainam $\mathbf{P a}$, athainaḿ $\mathbf{K} \quad{ }^{+}$nayāñ] naȳām $\mathbf{O r}$, naya $\mathbf{K}$ jyok] K, yok


ŚS 19.24.3
párīmám índram ā́yuṣe mahé śrótrāya dhattana |
yáthainam̉ jaráse náyāj jyók śrótré 'dhi jāgarat II

Bhattacharya edits nayā $\dot{m}$ in $\mathbf{c}$.
b. The word s'rotra- usually means 'the organ of hearing, ear' or 'the act of hearing'. It is difficult to understand its meaning in this context. Another possible translation could be 'listening', both the acts of hearing and listening being convenient for a king. Whitney translates it with 'instruction'. A concrete meaning is suggested by the parallel passage SS 10.6.8cd tám sómaḥ práty amuñcata mahé śrótrāya cákṣase 'That [amulet] Soma fastened on, for great hearing [and] sight'. Cf. further PS 2.39.4ab saí prānāpānäbhyāं$\dot{m}$ sam *u cakṣuṣā saím śrotreṇa gachasva soma rājan 'O king Soma, unite yourself with exhalation and inhalation, with the sight, with the ear', TS 1.8.14.1 sómo rầjā váruṇo devá dharmasúvaś ca yé | té te
 Soma, Varuṇa and the gods instigating order; let them instigate your speech, let them instigate your exhalation, let them instigate your sight, let them instigate your ear'.
cd. The structure of pādas $\mathbf{c d}$ of this stanza must be identical with $\mathbf{c d}$ of the preceding one. See my note on 5.9 cd above.

### 15.6. The king's investiture (continued)

```
15.6.1 [Triṣtubh] ŚS 2.13.2 = ŚS 19.24.4 \(\approx\) HirGS 1.4.2 \(=\) ĀpMP 2.2.6, ab: ManB 1.1.6
    pari dhatta dhatta varcasemam் +T1
    jarāmr̊tyum krıṇuta dīrgham āyuḥ | +\#Ta
    brhaspatiḥ prāyachad vāsa etat !+\#Ta
    somāya rājñe paridhātavā u \| Tb
```

Wrap, cover this one with splendour, make [him] one who dies of old age, [make] his longevity long. Brhaspati offered that garment to Soma, the king, to wear.
 Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, jarām mutyu Mā krı̣uta] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, kṛ̣ata JM br̊haspatiḥ] Or, brhaspatih K prāyachad vāsa] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, prāȳa\{c\}chad vasa JM, prāyaścha vivāce $\mathbf{K}$ etat] Or, om. K paridhātavā u] Ku, paridhātavā u JM RM, paridadhātavā u [Ma] Pa, paridadhātavāñ, Mā, paridhātavāyuḥ K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku JM RM, Z 1 Z K

ŚS 2.13.2 = ŚS 19.24.4
pári dhatta dhattá no várcasemám jará́mṛtyum kṛnuta dīrghám ắyuḥ |
bọ́haspátiḥ prá́yachad vắsa etát sómāya rắjñe páridhātavầ u \||

HirGS 1.4.2 = ĀpMP 2.2.6
pári dhatta dhatta vā́sasainam̆ śatáyuṣam̉ kṛ̣̣uta dīrghám ắyụ̣ |
bọh haspátih prắyachad vấsa etát sómāya rấjñe páridhātavấ u \|

This stanza and the following one are quoted in AVPariśs 4.1 (see introduction to PS 15.5). Bhattacharya edits varccasemaim in a.
a. Note that in the ŚS the metre has been improved with the insertion of no, which is probably secondary.
b. The compound jarā́mrtyu-, which occurs in this kāṇ̣a also at $15.6 .5 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{S} S 19.24 .8 \mathrm{~b}$ and 15.16.2a, is a Bahuvrīhi meaning 'having the death in old age', i.e. 'dying of old age' (cf. PS $1.80 .4 \mathrm{a}, 1.82 .1 \mathrm{~d}$ etc.). As noted by ZEHNDER 1993: 138 fn . 214, in this passage it could be interpreted as a Tatpuruṣa meaning 'death in old age', but the accent advises against this interpretation.

As opposed to this scenario, according to AiGr. II/1, § 67b, p. 159 and § 70, p. 165, jarāmrtyu- is a Dvandva that can be translated 'old age and death', but this meaning seems to fit only the passage Muṇ̣̣Up. 1.2.7 quoted as example (etac chreyo ye 'bhinandanti mūdhā jarāmrtyum te punar evāpiyanti 'Fools who praise this as the highest good, are subject again and again to old age and death' [Müller]).
15.6.2 [Trisṭubh] ŚS 19.24.5, ĀpMP 2.2.7 $\approx$ HirGS 1.4.2, PārGS1.4.12, c: cf. PS 15.6.3c, cd: $\approx$ ŚS 2.13.3cd
jarām su gacha pari dhatsva vāso Tb
bhavā grș̣īnām abhiśastipā u | Tb
śatam̀ ca jīva śaradaḥ suvarcā $\quad \mathrm{Tb}$
rāyaś ca poṣam upasam்vyayasva $\| \quad \mathrm{Tb}$

Advance safely toward old age, wear the garment, and become one defending people from curses. And being full of splendor, live a hundred autumns. Cover yourself in abundant wealth.
jarāā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, jasaṁ( $\rightarrow$ rāṃ) Pa gacha] Or, gaścha $\mathbf{K}$ grsṭīnām] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, şrsṭ̣̣inām JM, krsṭṭ̄n̄ā K abhiśastipā u] JM RM, abhiśastivā u Ku [Ma], abhisastivāñ, Mā, abhisastivā\{ñ\} $(\rightarrow \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{P a}$, abhiṣastivāyụ̣ K śatam̀ ca] Ku JM RM K, śatañ ca Mā [Ma] Pa śaradaḥ] Or, śaradas $\mathbf{K}$ suvarcā] K, suvarccā Or rāyaś ca poṣam] K, rāyaaṣpoṣam Or samivyayasva] Ku JM RM Mā, sa vyaẏasva [Ma] Pa, tvā madema K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 2 Z K

ŚS 19.24 .5
jarā́m sú gacha pári dhatsva vắso bhávā grsțṭ̄nắm abhiśastipā́ u |
śatám ca jíva śarádạ̣ purūcī rāyáś ca póṣam upasáninvyayasva II
ŚS 2.13.3
párīdám váso adhithāh svastáyé 'bhūr grș̣̦̄inắm abhiśastipă u | śatám ca jíva śarádaḥ purūcī rāyáś ca póṣam upasánivyayasva \|I

ĀpMP 2.2.7
jará́m gacchāsi pári dhatsva vā́so bhávā krsṭīnắm abhiśastipấ vā ।
śatám ca jīva śarádas suvárcā rāyás ca póṣam úpasámivyayasva ||
b. For the interpretation of this verse, cf. Whitney 1905: 57 and 935. Following Lubotsky 2002: 41, I hesitate to emend grsstīnām to *krsțīnām, because it is very likely that the two forms show a common variant between $-k$ - and $-g$ - (see Ved. Var. II, 28).

Note the metrical lengthening of the final vowel in bhav $\bar{a}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0} \mathrm{~V} 10 \times\right.$ ).
d. I adopt the reading of $\mathbf{K}$ rāyaś ca poṣam, which occurs in the parallel texts and is much better for the metre.
15.6.3 [Trisṭubh-Jagatī] ŚS 19.24.6, ĀpMP 2.2.8, abc: ŚS 2.13.3abc, c: cf. PS 15.6.2c
parīdam vāso *adhithāḥ suvastaye *Jb
abhūr v āpīnām abhiśastipā u | *Tb
śatam̉ ca jīva śaradaḥ purūcīr Tb
vasūni cārur vibhajāsi jīvan \| $\quad \mathrm{Tb}$

Put on this garment for well-being, you have become the protector of friends from curses. Live a hundred full autumns; living, beloved, you will distribute goods.
*adhithāḥ] api dhāḥ Ku [Ma] Pa, api dhā JM RM Mā, dhidhās K abhiśastipā u] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, abhiśasastarvā u Mā, abhiśaktivāyuḥ K śataḿ ca] Ku JM RM K, śatañ ca Mā [Ma] Pa jīva] Or, jīvaś
(?) K śaradaḥ] Or, śaradaḩ $K$ purūcīr $K$, puŗ̣c̄īr Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, puricīr JM RM vasūni] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, vasuni JM cārur] K, cāror Ku JM Mā [Ma], cār̄rur Pa, par̊ RM vibhajāsi] Or, vyabhajāsu K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 3 Z K

ŚS 19.24.6
párīdám vā́so adhithāḥ svastáyé 'bhūr v āpīnā́m abhiśastipā u | śatám ca jīva śarádaḥ purūcī̀r vásūni cā́rur ví bhajāsi jívan II
párīdám vā́so adhithāḥ svastáyé 'bhūr grsṣṭ̄nắm abhiśastipắ u I śatám ca jíva śarádaḥ purūcī rāyáś ca póṣam upasámivyayasva II

ĀpMP 2.2.8
párīdám vấso ádhi dhā svastáye 'bhūr āpīnấm abhiśastipấ vā |
śatám ca jīva śarádaḥ purūcír vásūni cāryó ví bhajāsi jīvan ||

Bhattacharya edits api dhāḥ in a and [']bhūr vāpīnām in $\mathbf{b}$.
a. I don't follow Bhattacharya in reading api dhāh ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ singular subjunctive from apidh $\bar{a}$-) as in the Orissa manuscripts, but I prefer the text of the SS adhithāh ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ singular root aorist from $d h \bar{a}-$-), which is better because of pari at the beginning of the pāda; a verb paryapidh $\bar{a}$ - is not attested, whereas paridh $\bar{a}$ - is found several times in this hymn. Note that the reading of $\mathbf{K}$ dhidhās gives this emendation some support.
b. I interpret $b h \bar{u} r$ as $a b h \bar{u} r, 2^{\text {nd }}$ singular root aorist from $b h \bar{u}-$, and analyse $v \bar{a} p \bar{n} \bar{a} m$ as $u$ and $\bar{a} p \bar{i} n \bar{a} m$ (cf. Whitney 1905: 935). On the collocation $a b h \bar{u} d u$, which is frequent in the RQV (7x), see Klein 1985: 42.
15.6.4 [Gāyatrī] R̊V 1.30.7 = VSM $11.14=$ TS $4.1 .2 .1=$ ŚS 19.24.7 $=$ ĀpMP 1.6.3, 2.4.1 etc.

| yogeyoge tavastaramं | A |
| :--- | :--- |
| vājevāje havāmahe \| | A |
| sakhāya indram ūtaye \\| | A |

In every exploit, in every contest we, as friends, call Indra, the stronger one, for help.
yogeyoge] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, yogoyoge JM tavastaram்] K, tapastaram Ku JM RM Mā $\operatorname{tapa}(\rightarrow$ va) staram் Pa, tapa $(\rightarrow$ va) staraḿ [Ma] ||] Ku, JM Mā, [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 4 Z K

ŖV 1.30.7 = VSM $11.14=$ TS 4.1.2.1 $=$ ŚS $19.24 .7=$ ĀpMP 1.6.3, 2.4.1 etc.
yógeyoge tavástaram vắjevāje havāmahe |
sákhāya índram ūtáye ||

Note the sudden change of metre. This Gāyatrī stanza, which is found in the context of TrisṭubhJagatī pādas, has been taken from the R尺V and may not originally belong to the hymn.
b. On the word $v a \bar{j} j a$-, see KUIPER 1960 (especially pp. 239-40).


Golden-coloured, unaging, rich in heroes, dying of old age, engage in your progeny. That says Agni, that says Soma too, that [says] Brhaspati, Savitar, Indra.
hiraṇyavarṇo] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, hiraṇyavarṇā (?) Pa ajaraḥ] Or, ajayas K jarāmṛtyuḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, jarāmmr̊tyu Mā, jarāmr̊tyuh K tad u soma āha] Ku RMMā [Ma] Pa K, tad u soma āha tad u soma āha JM brohaspatiḥ] Or, brorhaspatis K \|| Ku, JM Mā, [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 5 Z K

ŚS 19.24 .8
híraṇyavarṇo ajáraḥ suvî́ro jará́mṛtyụ̣ prajáyā sám viśasva $\mid$ tád agnír āha tád u sóma āha bṛ̂haspátiḥ savitā tád índraḥ ||
a. K reads ajayas, 'unconquered', 'invincible' instead of ajarah.
d. On these three divinities grouped together, see my comment on 15.5 .8 cd .
15.6.6 [Triș̣̣ubh] PS only
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { †yadottamattantumad dhāyanāvad } \dagger & \mathrm{Ta} \\ \text { vāsaḥ pūrvayāvat pururūpapeśah | } & \mathrm{T} 2 \mathrm{c} \\ { }^{+} \text {bhadrāt̄̄āśam ajaram suvīram } & \mathrm{Tb} \\ \text { tena te devāḥ pra tirant }{ }_{u} \text { v āyụ̣ } \| & *+\mathrm{Tb}\end{array}$

When the garment having excellent threads ... (?), having braids, having multiform ornaments; having beautiful holes/apertures, unaging, rich in heroes: with that let the gods make your lifetime [safely] pass over [obstacles and dangers].
ottamattantumad dhāyanāvad] ota satyam tu madhyāya nāvad $\mathbf{K}$, ottamattantubaddhāyanāvad $\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{M a ̄}$ [Ma], ottamatantu\{ba\}maddhāẏanā\{ma\}vad JM, otta\{•\}māttantumaddhāẏanāvad RM, ottamattantabaddhāyanāvad Pa pūrvayāvat] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, pūrvaẏāva\{•\}t RM, pūrvayāvayatu K
 K ${ }^{+}$bhadrātīkāśam] bhadrātīkāsam Or, bhadrācīkās sam K te] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, om. Mā devāḥ] Or, devāh K tirantv āyuḥ] tirantv āẏuḥ RM, tiraṁtv āẏuḥ Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, tiranta āyuḥ K \|] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku, RM, Z 6 Z K

Bhattacharya edits yadottamattantubaddhāya nāvad in a and bhadrātīkāsamajaraì in c.
a. The interpretation of this pāda, which refers to the garment, is problematic. At the beginning of the verse, one can read with $\mathbf{K}$ yad otam '(the garment) which is interwoven', but then the reading is not further reliable.

I tentatively propose to read yad $\bar{a}_{-}$uttamatantumad, interpreting the hapax uttamatantumad as a Bahuvrīhi meaning 'having excellent threads (tantu-)', but I have no solution for the last part of the verse.
b. The word pūrvayāvat can possibly be interpreted as a nominative neuter from the hapax pūrvayāvant- 'having braids, trimmed' (for the long $\bar{a}$ before the suffix -vant-, see AiGr II/2, § 711a, p. 886). The word purrvaya- is considered an adjective in the dictionaries; it is indeed attested only in a compound, at TS 2.2.11.4 upādhāyyàpūrvayaì váso dákșiṇā ‘The sacrificial fee is a garment with a fringe'. Keith translates upādhāyyàpūrvayamं 'with a fringe', but this Bahuvrīhi is literally to be rendered 'whose fringes are placed upon'; therefore, pūrvaya- must be a substantive, meaning 'braid, fringe, edge'.

Also the compound pururūpapeśas- is a hapax, probably an extension of the compound pururúpa- 'multiform, variegated' ( $\mathrm{R}_{\circ} \mathrm{V}+$ ), modeled on the synonymous compounds purupéśas, viśvápeśas- 'multiform, having all adornments' (R⿴囗+ + ).
c. I analyse the sequence bhadrātīkāsamajaraím as *bhadrātīkāśam and ajaraím (cf. 6.5a above). The compound bhadrātīkāśa- is a hapax; while its first member is widely attested in the sense of 'auspicious, fortunate, beautiful', the word atīk $\bar{a} s$ a- is rare; besides here, it is
attested at MS 1.2.2:11.9-10 $=\mathrm{KS}$ 2.3:9.18 $=$ TS 1.2.2 $\approx \operatorname{KapS~1.15:13.7~(atikāśa-)~}$ nákṣatrānām māt̄̄kāśát pāhi 'Protect me from the brightness of the stars'. In this passage, it is clear that the word means 'brightness, lustre' (as expected in a derivative from the root $k \bar{a} s$ '- 'to be visible, to shine'), but in other occurences, namely at PS 4.40.3b, TS 6.1.1 and AB 8.17.2 the meaning 'hole, aperture' fits the context better than the meaning 'brightness'. It is significant that another derivative of the same root, namely ākāśá-, could mean both 'open space, sky' and 'aperture, opening', in different contexts (see Hoffmann 1976: 521 note 4). This is not surprising, as in many languages a word meaning 'light' can also mean 'hole', 'aperture' (cf. Italian 'lume').

In a context in which a garment is described, the compound bhadrātīkāsa- can be interpreted both as 'having auspicious brightness' as well as 'having auspicious holes'.

| 15.6.7 [Trisṭubh] PS only |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| yasya brahmāṇaḥ sicam ārabhante | Tb |
| śrathnanto *nīvim̆ pratiranta āyuḥ \| | Tb |
| tasya devā devahūtim juṣantāṃ | +Ta |
| sa viśvahā sacatām suvasti $\\|$ | $* \mathrm{~T} 1$ |

Let the gods enjoy the god-invocation of him whose hem the priests take hold of, untying the loincloth, making life-time [safely] pass over [obstacles and dangers]. May he at all times be associated with well-being.

From yasya to tasya om. Mā Pa • brahmāṇaḥ] Or, brahmāṇas K sicam] JM RM, śicam Ku, śica [Ma], srjam K ārabhante] Ku JM [Ma] K, ābharante RM śrathnanto] RM [Ma], śna( $\rightarrow$ śra)thnanto Ku, śranthnanto $\mathbf{J M}$, svarchanto $\mathbf{K}$ *nīvimi] nīvami $\mathbf{O r}$, nyamam $\mathbf{K}$ pratiranta] $\mathbf{K}$, pratiramitv $\mathbf{O r}$ tasya] [Ma] K, yasya Ku JM RM viśvahā] Or, viśvā K sacatāṃ] Or, sajatām K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 7 Z K

Bhattacharya edits ${ }^{+}$sicam in $\mathbf{a}$ and $n \bar{v} v a \dot{m}$ in $\mathbf{b}$.
a. The readings of JM and RM make the " "+"-sign before sicam unnecessary. For the verb ārabh-, see Gotō 1976. The expression sicam ārabh- occurs at R̂V 3.53.2cd pitúr ná putráh sícam à rabhe ta índra svádisṭthayā giráa śacīvah 'O mighty Indra, with the sweetest song I take hold of your hem, as a son [takes hold of] his father's'.
b. The reading n̄̄vam can hardly be correct (n̄̄va-m., is 'a species of tree'). The emendation to *nīvim is very probable; nīví- means "ein um die Hüften geschlungenes Tuch, das auch zur Aufbewahrung kleinerer Gegenstände dienen konnte" (RaU 1970: 29-30), and here the priests are probably taking off the clothes of the king in order to cover him with the new garment (see the next stanza). Cf. also Zehnder 1999: 79.
c. The expression devā devahūtim is somewhat pleonastic. Cf. the treatment of the similar syntagma devānāं̀ devahūti- in GRIFFITHS 2009: 344.
d. Cf. ŖV 1.1.9c sácasvā nah svastáye 'Stay with us for well-being', 4.11.6cd doṣáa śiváh sahasah sūno agne yám deváá cit sácase svastí ' O Agni, son of the strength, at night benevolent is he whom you, the god, stay with, for well-being', 5.28 .2 b havíṣ krṇvántaim sacase svastáye 'You stay with him who makes the oblation, for well-being'.
15.6.8 [Trisṭubh] PS only

| anyad ā dhatsva pari dhatsva vāsa | +Tb |
| :--- | :--- |
| imam ulbam apa ${ }^{+}$lumpāmi yas te \| | +Ta |
| jarase tvām raṣayaḥ sam̀ vyayantu | +Ta |
| sūryo bhagas te pra ${ }^{+}$tirantuv āyuḥ $\\|$ | Tb |

Put another one on, wear the garment. I remove this caul which is yours. Let the seers wrap you for old age, let Sūrya [and] Bhaga make your life-time [safely] pass over [obstacles and dangers].
anyad ā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], anya $\{d h\} d \overline{a ̄} \mathbf{P a}$, yannarā $\mathbf{K}$ apa ${ }^{+}$lumpāmi] apa lampāmi $\mathbf{O r}$, apu lampāni $\mathbf{K}$ yas] $\mathbf{O r}$, jas $\mathbf{K}$ rṣayaḥ $\mathbf{O r}$, sṣayas $\mathbf{K}$ vyayantu] vyaẏantu $\mathbf{O r}$, vyantu $\mathbf{K}$ ttirantv āyuḥ] tiramitva āyuh Or, tiranta āyuh $\mathbf{K} \quad \|] \mathbf{K u}, \mathbf{J M}$ Mā, $[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a}, \mid \mathbf{R M}, \mathrm{Z} 8$ Z K

Bhattacharya edits apalampāami in $\mathbf{b}$.
This stanza and the following ones are addressed to the king and describe his rebirth after the rite. Cf. Parpola 1985: 146, "During a sacrificial ritual, the performer was, from his initiation to the final bath, symbolically in the womb. When the rite was finished, he was reborn out of it, and in the final bath [...] this 'newborn' discarded the embryonic covers, the garment he had been using during the sacrifice, also the tārpya garment".
b. Bhattacharya proposes an emendation to apa lumpāmi in the critical apparatus. I adopt it in the text, since is indirectly supported by the reading of $\mathbf{K}$ apulampa $\bar{n}$ i, with incorrect placement of the $u$-sign. The same situation is found at PS 2.81.1d *akṣno 'rmam apa ${ }^{+}$lumpatu 'Let it remove the arma-disease from the eye', where again the Orissa manuscripts read apa lamipatu and $\mathbf{K}$ apu laimpatu (in this case, however, Bhattacharya makes the emendation *lumpatu). At PS 5.14.1e rjīşam apa +lumpatam 'Let them two remove the sediment', Bhattacharya adopts the Orissa manuscripts' reading lampatam, but $\mathbf{K}$ has again preserved the $-u$ - sign, this time in the right position (luspatu). For other attestations of the compound verb apa lup-, cf. Zehnder 1999: 182 and Lubotsky 2002: 74.
c. Cf. ŚS 7.17.3c tásmai devá amŕtaì sám vyayantu 'For him let the gods wrap immortality', PS 20.3.8c tasya prajā amrtāh sam vyayantu 'Let the immortals wrap his offspring' and SS 14.1.45c: tá̀s tvā jaráse sámí vyayantu "Let them wrap you for old age".
15.6.9 [Trisṭubh] $\approx$ ŚS 2.13.5 $\approx \operatorname{HirGS}$ 1.7.17 $\approx$ ĀpMP 2.6.15
yasya *te vāsah prathamavāsiyam
*(+)\#Tb
harāmi tam̉ tvā viśve avantu devāh | T2
tam̉ tvā bhrātaraḥ suvridhā vardhamānam T2
anu jāyantām̉ bahavaḥ sujātam \| +Tb

Let the All-gods help you here, whose garment, formerly worn, I take. May many brothers be born after you, well born, growing with good growth.
*te vāsaḥ] devāsaḥ Or, devā K prathamavāsyam̉] Or, prathamāsyo K avantu] K, vantu Or bhrātaraḥ] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, bhrātaram̀ ( $\rightarrow$ ḥ) Ku, bhrātaras K suvrọhā] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, suvaddhā Mā, suvitrā K vardhamānam] Mā [Ma] K, varddhamānam Ku JM Pa, varddhamānām RM jāyantām] Or,
jāyataḿ (?) K bahavaḥ] Or, bahavas $\mathbf{K}$ sujātam] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, sujātam Ku RM, svatam, K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku RM, Z 3 Z K

ŚS 2.13.5 $\approx$ HirGS 1.7.17 $\approx$ ĀpMP 2.6.15
yásya te vấsah prathamavāsyàm hárāmas tám tvā víśve 'vantu (ĀpMP avantu) devắh | tám tvā bhrátaraḥ (ĀpMP bhrátaras) suvíd hhā várdhamānam ánu jāyantā̀m bahávaḥ (ĀpMP bahávas) sújātam II
a. Confusion between $-t$ - and $-d$ - is a common mistake in $\mathbf{K}$ as well as in $\mathbf{O r}$ (cf. the same mistake in the same word in $\mathbf{K}$ at 15.3a). The garment mentioned here is the old one, which is going to be replaced by a new one (see next stanza).
c. On suvŕdh-, see Scarlata 1999: 524-25.
15.6.10 [Anuṣtubh] ab: PS only, cd: PS 15.5 .7 cd , c: ŚS 10.3.1d, d: ŚS $19.32 .6 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{PS} 5.1 .7 \mathrm{~b}=$ VSM 12.99 etc.
ahatenāhato bhava A
sthira sthireṇa sam̀ bhava | A
pra mrṇīhi durasyataḥ A
sahasva protanāyatah \| A
Due to an unbeaten [garment], become unbeaten. Being firm, join with a firm one. Destroy those wishing to injure, overcome those who fight [you].
sthira] Or, ra sthira $\mathbf{K}$ saḿ bhava] Ku JM RM Mā, sam bhava [Ma] Pa, sam bhavah $\mathbf{K}$ mrọīhi] Or,
 kāṇạạ Z 2 Z K

ŚS 10.3.1cd
tenắ rabhasva tvám śátrūn prá mrṇ̣īhi durasyatạ́h ||
$\mathbf{a b}$. An 'unbeaten garment' is a garment that has never been beaten, i.e. washed; it is a new garment. Cf. ŚB 3.2.1.19 tadvā áhatam̀ syāt ayātayāmátāyai ‘Likewise, let it be a new garment (lit. an unbeaten one), for the sake of unweakened strength'. This is the first mention of such syntagma in a Samihitā.

Note the chiastic structure ahaten[a] — [a]hato vs. sthira - sthirena.

### 15.7 To various gods

PS 15.7, 8 and 9 belong together as a sequence of 26 connected formulaic stanzas, split over three hymns of ten, ten and six stanzas respectively. As regards the content, up to 9.4 the composition is strongly unitary and each stanza shows the same pattern: a god, goddess or a deified entity is invoked as ádhyakṣa- 'supervisor, overlord' of a particular sphere of influence and requested, in a refrain repeated in every stanza, to favour the person speaking ( $m \bar{a}$ ) while performing the sacrifice.

Similar passages occur also in other texts: the table below gives an account of the correspondences between the PS and the parallel texts:

| PS 15.7/8/9 | ŚS 5.24 | TS 3.4.5 | PārGS 1.5.10 | ŚāṅkhŚS 4.10.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mitra/Earth | Savitar/Impulses | Agni/Beings | Agni/Beings | Agni/Earth |
| Varuṇa/Waters | Agni/Trees | Indra/The eldest/the chiefs | Indra/Powers | Vāyu/Intermediate Space |
| Vāyu/Intermediate Space | Heaven and Earth/Givers | Yama/Earth | Yama/Earth | Savitar/Impulses |
| Sūrya/Heaven | Varuṇa/Waters | Vāyu/Intermediate Space | Vāyu/Intermediate Space | Sūrya/Constellations |
| Moon/Constellations | Mitra and Varuṇa/Rain | Sūrya/Heaven | Sūrya/Heaven | Soma/Herbs |
| Vasu/Years | Maruts/Mountains | Moon/Constellations | Moon/Constellations | Tvastarar/Fuel sticks and Forms |
| Year/Seasons | Soma/Plants | Brhaspati/Brahman | Brhaspati/Brahman | Mitra/Truths |
| Agni/Trees | Vāyu/Intermediate Space | Mitra/Truths | Mitra/Truths | Varuna/Laws |
| Indra/Rites | Sūrya/Sight | Varuṇa/Waters | Varuṇ/Waters | Indra/The eldest/the chiefs |
| Savitar/Impulses | Moon/Constellations | Ocean/Rivers | Ocean/Streams | Prajapati/Creatures |
| Viṣnu/Mountains | Indra/Heaven | Food/Lordship | Food/Lordship |  |
| Tvastar/Forms | Maruts'Father/Cattle | Soma/Herbs | Soma/Herbs |  |
| Rudra/Cattle | Death/Creatures | Savitar/Impulses | Savitar/Impulses |  |
| Soma/Milk | Yama/Fathers | Rudra/Cattle | Rudra/Cattle |  |
| Parjanya/Herbs | Old time Fathers/ Young time Fathers /Fathers/ Grandfathers | Tvaștar/Forms | Tvaștar/Forms |  |
| Ocean/Rivers |  | Viṣnu/Mountains | Viṣnu/Mountains |  |
| Syllable Himi/Sāmans |  | Maruts/Troops | Maruts/Troops |  |
| Sarasvatī/[Ritual] Words |  | Fathers/Grandfathers | Fathers/Grandfathers |  |
| Pūṣan/Paths |  |  |  |  |
| Gayatrī/Metres |  |  |  |  |
| Brhaspati/Gods |  |  |  |  |
| Prajāpati/Offspring |  |  |  |  |
| Yama/Fathers |  |  |  |  |
| Old time Fathers/ Young time Fathers / Grandfathers |  |  |  |  |

It is clear from the table that the order of the invocations and the combination of the deities with their sphere of influence is rather free. The ŚS and the PS have six pairings in common,
but in general the PS has an independent status, in that it has the most extensive list of invocations and, as stated above, addresses every god as ádhyakṣa-, instead of ádhipati- 'lord, overlord' as do all the other parallel texts. Moreover, the PS differs also in the number and order of the actions mentioned in the refrain.

It is almost impossible to determine the precise ritual application of these hymns: the generic enumeration of priestly actions in the refrain and in the last stanza does not help in defining any practical use, and seems indeed to intentionally leave these hymns apt for several rites. Cf. the use of ŚS 5.24 in the KauśŚ in a royal coronation (17.30), in the nuptial ceremonies (78.11), in the ajjyatantra (137.42). As pointed out by Whitney 1905: 263, "many of the verses [of ŚS 5.24] appear also in VaitS, with oblations to the various divinities mentioned, in different ceremonies: thus, in the āgrayana, vs. 7 (8.7); in the cāturmāsyāni, vss. $1-3$ [1 = PS 15.7.10; 2 $=$ PS 15.7.8], 6, 7 (8.13), 4 [= PS 15.7.2] (8.22), 9 (9.27); in the agniștoma, vss. 8 [= PS 15.7.3] (19.2), 5 (19.3), 11 (19.11)". Note further that ŚāǹkhŚS 4.10 .1 states that, after the word $s v a ̆ ́ h a \bar{a}$, at the end of the list, "he inserts whatever is his special wish" (transl. Caland). According to my interpretation, the inclusion of this hymn - composed by extending a pre-existing set of invocations - in a collection of royal compositions could have been prompted by the word purodháa- 'office of a purohita' used in the refrain. This word, which never occurs in the R_V and is attested for the first time in these parallel Atharvanic hymns, in the $\mathrm{TS}^{43}$ and then in the Brāhmanas (TB 27.1.2, AB 7.31.2, 8.24.1, 27, ŚB 4.1.4.5, PB 13.3.12; 9.27), perfectly meets the Paippalādins' need to present themselves as best suited to be the king's purohitas.

A concatenating link with the preceding hymn is provided by the names of the gods Agni, Indra, Tvașṭar, Savitar, Mitra, Varuṇa, Soma, Bŗhaspati and Sūrya. The word devahūtyām in 7.1c and 9.4c corresponds with devahūtim in 6.7c.
15.7.1 [Formula] bcde $\approx$ ŚS 5.24.1bcde
mitraḥ prthivyā adhyakṣaḥ| P
sa māvatv asmin brahmaṇy asmin karmaṇy P
asyām̀ purodhāyām asyām devahūtyām asyām ākūtyām asyām āśisịi svāhā || P

Mitra is the overlord of the earth: let him help me in this formula, in this rite, in this office of a purohita, in this invocation of the gods, in this intention, in this prayer. Hail!
mitraḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, mitra Mā, mittrah K prothivyā adhyakṣaḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, prothivyādhyakṣah JM, prothivyā adhyakṣa K devahūtyām] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, devahū\{syā\}tyām JM asyām āśiṣi] RM, asyām āśiṣị̣ Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, asyam (?) āśiṣas K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 1 Z K

ŚS 5.24.1

[^25]savitắ prasavā́nām ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu |
asmín bráhmaṇy asmín kármaṇy asyắm purodhắyām asyắm pratiṣṭhā́yām asyắm
cíttyām asyā́m áāk̄tyām asyā́m āśíṣy asyā́m deváhūtyām svā́hā II

Bhattacharya edits ssvāhā in c.
$\mathbf{a}$. At the end of every pāda a of this hymn, $\mathbf{K}$ reads adhyakṣa. For some remarks on the word ádhyakṣa-, involving the idea of protection, control and guardianship, see GONDA 1969: 6668. The translations 'overseer', 'supervisor' are no doubt more literal, but it would be difficult to make a corresponding feminine to them (cf. stanzas 8.8 and 8.10 below). This stanza is quoted in a sentence at AthPrāy 1.2. ${ }^{44}$
bcde. The refrain that follows the invocations differs considerably in the parallel texts. The ŚS has the more complete list of actions, two more than the PS (pratisṭtháa-, cítti-), from which it also differs in the order of the items. The TS has a shorter list, which was then taken into the other texts. On the word āśís- 'prayer, blessing, wish', see Gonda 1989. In this refrain, the term "seems to denote [...] one of the results of a correct performance of the ceremony" (ibid. p. 12; cf. the introduction to this hymn).

### 15.7.2 [Formula] $\approx$ ŚS 5.24.4

varuṇo (')pām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ} \circ$ 。 $\|$

Varuṇa is the overlord of waters etc. etc.
varuṇo] K, vaṛ̣̊o Or adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku RM, Z 2 Z K

ŚS 5.24.4
váruṇo 'pā́m ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.
a. For the very frequent association of Varuṇa with the waters, see LÜDERS 1951 (especially pp. 46-54).

### 15.7.3 [Formula] $\approx$ ŚS 5.24.8

vāyur antarikṣasyādhyakṣạ̣ ${ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|$

Vāyu is the overlord of intermediate space etc. etc.
vāyur] Or, vāyar K antarikṣasyādhyakṣaḥ] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, a\{•\}ntarikṣasyādhyakṣaḥ RM, antakṣasyādhyakṣaḥ JM, antarikṣasyādhyakṣa K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 3 Z K

ŚS 5.24.8
vāyúr antárikṣasyā́dhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.
a. Cf. TB 3.2.1 vāyúr váa antárikṣasyáadhyakṣaḥ 'Vāyu is the overlord of the intermediate space.
15.7.4 [Formula] $\approx$ ŚS 5.24 .9

[^26]Sūrya is the overlord of heaven etc. etc.
divo] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, di\{r\}vo JM, divau K dhyakṣaḥ] Or, dhyakṣa K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 4 Z K

ŚS 5.24 .9
sū́ryaś cákṣuṣām ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.

### 15.7.5 [Formula] $\approx$ S $S 5.24 .10$

candramā nakṣatrāṇām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ \circ} \circ \|$

The Moon is the overlord of the constellations etc. etc.
nakṣatrān̄ām] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, nakṣatrān̄ā\{dhya\}m JM, nakṣattrānām K adhyakṣaḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, adhyakṣah \{sa mā || sarasvatī vācam\} Mā, adhyakṣa K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 5 Z K

ŚS 5.24.10
candrámā nákṣatrāṇām ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.

### 15.7.6 [Formula]

vasuḥ samivatsarāṇām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ} \circ \circ$ \|

Vasu is the overlord of the years etc. etc.
vasuḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, vasu Mā, vasus K sam்vatsarāṇām] K, samvatsarāṇām Or adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 6 Z K

### 15.7.7 [Formula]

+sam̀vatsara rọtunām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ} \circ$ 。 || P

The Year is the overlord of the seasons etc. etc.
${ }^{+}$samivatsara] sam்vatsaraḥ K, samvatsara JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, samva\{t•\}(+ tsa)ra Ku adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 7 Z K
a. On the natural relation of the year with the seasons, which are its main component parts, see Gonda 1984a: 18-19.

### 15.7.8 [Formula] $\approx$ ŚS 5.24.2

agnir vanaspatīnām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ} \circ \circ$

Agni is the overlord of the trees etc. etc.
adhyakṣah] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 8 Z K

ŚS 5.24.2
agnír vánaspátīnām ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.
15.7.9 [Formula] $\approx$ ŚS 5.24.11
indraḥ karmaṇām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ} \circ \circ$ P

Indra is the overlord of rites etc. etc.
indraḥ] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], indra(+ ḥ) Pa, indrahِ K karmaṇām] K Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, karmaṇānām JM adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 9 Z K

ŚS 5.24.11
índro divó 'dhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.
15.7.10 [Formula] $\approx$ ŚS 5.24.1
savitā prasavānām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ} \circ \circ \|$ P

Savitar is the overlord of the impulses etc. etc.
savitā] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, savitāḥ Mā adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] || ror 10 || 7 || Ku JM, ||r|7| RM, || 7 || r 10 || Mā [Ma] Pa, Z 10 Z kāṇ̣̣ā 3 Z K

ŚS 5.24.1
savită prasavā́nām ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.

### 15.8. To various gods (continued)

### 15.8.1 [Formula] $\approx$ ŚS 5.24.6

viṣnụ̣ parvatānām adhyakṣạ̣ ${ }^{\circ} \circ \circ \| \quad \mathrm{P}$

Viṣnu is the overlord of mountains etc. etc.
viṣṇụ̣] Or, viṣṇụ̆ K parvatānām] Or, parvatānānām K adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] JM Pa Mā [Ma], | Ku RM, Z 1 Z K

ŚS 5.24.6
marútaḥ párvatānām ádhipatayas té māvantu etc. etc.
a. The god Viṣṇu is called giriș̣tháa- 'mountain-abiding' at R.RV 1.154.2b and girikșít-'mountain-dwelling' at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{V} 1.154 .3 \mathrm{~b}$. Cf. also $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{V} 1.155 .1 \mathrm{c}$, where the god is said to stay together with Indra 'on the top of the mountains' (sấnuni párvatānām). On the connection of Viṣnu with the mountains, see Gonda 1954: 73 ff. (with note 10). He points out that in the ŚS version of this stanza, the Maruts are called the overlords of the mountains, but the fact that the PS agrees here with the TS and the PārGS gives the impression that the text of the ŚS has been revised.

### 15.8.2 [Formula]

tvasṭā rūpāṇām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ} \circ \circ \|$

Tvastar is the overlord of forms etc. etc.
rūpān̄ām] K, ṛ̊pāṇām Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, ropāṇām JM RM adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] JM Pa Mā [Ma], | Ku RM, Z 2 Z K
a. The god Tvasṭar, who was thought to have fashioned, among other things, Indra's cudgel (see, e.g., R⿴囗 5.31 .4 b ), was considered the creator of all forms of beings, both human and animal. Cf. RیV 1.188.9ab tvásṭā rūpáni hí prabhúh paśún viśvān samānajé ‘For the lord Tvaștar has created the forms, all the animals', 10.184.1b tváṣta rūpánini pimśatu 'Let Tvaṣtar fashion the forms', ŚS $2.26 .1 \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{PS} 2.12 .1 \mathrm{c}$ tváṣtā yéṣā̀̀ rūpadheyāni véda 'whose forms and figures Tvaṣṭar knows', TB 1.1.7 tváṣtā vái rūpáñām īśe 'Tvaṣtar indeed rules over the forms' etc. See also PS 1.75.3 tvaṣtā rūpeṇa ... tvā ... abhi rakṣatu iha 'Let Tvaṣtar protect you here with the form' and ŚB 11.4.3.17 tváṣṭa rūpáṇā̀̉ rūpakŕd rūpápatiḥ rūpéṇa paśśn asmín yajñe máyi dadhātu 'Let Tvaștar, the fashioner of forms, the lord of forms, bestow cattle with form on me at this worship'.

### 15.8.3 [Formula] $\approx$ ŚS 5.24 .12

rudraḥ paśūnām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ} \circ{ }^{\circ} \|$

Rudra is the overlord of cattle etc. etc.
rudrah] K, rodraḥ Or adhyakṣah] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] JM Pa Mā [Ma], | Ku RM, om. K

ŚS 5.24.12
marútām pitā́ paśūnắm ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.
15.8.4 [Formula] $\approx$ ŚS 5.24 .7
somaḥ payasām adhyakṣạ ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \circ$

Soma is the overlord of milk etc. etc.
somaḥ] Or, somaḥ K adhyakṣah] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] JM Pa Mā [Ma],|Ku RM, Z K
ŚS 5.24.7
sómo vīrúdhām ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.

### 15.8.5 [Formula]

parjanya oṣadhīnām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ \circ \circ \text { | }}$

Parjanya is the overlord of herbs etc. etc.
parjanya] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, paryanya Mā adhyakṣaḅ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] Ku JM Pa Mā [Ma],| RM, Z K

Note the reverse order of stanzas 5 and 6 in $\mathbf{K}$.

### 15.8.6 [Formula]

samudro nadīnām adhyakṣaḥ ${ }^{\circ} \circ \circ \|$

The Ocean is the overlord of rivers etc. etc
samudro] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, s\{u\}amudro JM adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] JM Pa Mā [Ma], |

## Ku RM, Z K

### 15.8.7 [Formula]

himkāraḥ sāmnām adhyakṣạ̣ ${ }^{\circ} \circ^{\circ} \|$

The syllable hin̈ is the overlord of the Sāmans etc. etc.
himikāraḥ] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, hiṁkāṁraḥ RM, hikāmrrạ̣ Pa, hiṁkāras K sāmnām] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, sā $\{\cdot\}$ mnām JM adhyakṣaḥ Or, adhyakṣa $\mathbf{K}$ mā] Or, mām $\mathbf{K}$ ( $\mathbf{R M}$ sa māva) \|] Ku JM Pa Mā [Ma], |RM, Z K
a. On the mystic importance of the syllable hin in the recitation of Sāmans, see e.g. PB 6.8.7 eṣa vai sāmnām̆ raso yad dhinikāro 'The him-sound in the sap of the sāmans' (Caland), ŚB 1.4.1.1 himikrtyā́nvāha nāsāmáa yajño 'stititi vá āhurna vā áhimkrtya sā́ma gīyate ma yád dhimikaróti tád dhimikārásya rūpám kriyate pranavénaiva sā́mno rūpam úpagacatyom om íty eténo hāsyaiṣa sárva eva sásāmā yajñó bhavati ‘He recites after uttering (the syllable) 'Hiñ!’. Sacrifice, they say, is not (performed) without the Sāman; and neither is the Sāman chanted
without 'Hin' having been uttered. By his uttering 'Hiñ!' the peculiar nature (rūpam) of the word 'Hin' is produced (in the sacrifice); and by the sacred syllable (om) it assumes the nature of the Sāman. By uttering 'Om! Om!' this his entire sacrifice becomes endowed with the Sāman' (Eggeling). Cf. also ŚB 2.2.4.12 and 13.2.3.2, in which the connection between the sacred syllable and the Sāmans is repeated in mythological and sacrificial contexts. For other occurrences of the word, see VishVa Bandhu 1966: 860-61.

### 15.8.8 [Formula]

```
sarasvatī vācām adhyakṣā \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\)

Sarasvatī is the mistress of words etc. etc.
vācām] Ku [Ma] Pa K, vācāvācām Mā, vām JM adhyakṣā] Or, adhyakṣa K sā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma]

a. On the goddess Sarasvatī and her association with vác--, see GonDA 1985: 5-67, and more recently Ludvik 2007: 11-91. No one, however, has ever quoted this PS mantra in tracing the history of the connection beetwen Sarasvatī and vácc-. I think that this mantra may indeed be rather important, as it testifies to an intermediate phase, in which the identification of the riverine goddess with váac- is still incomplete, but the goddess herself is already regarded as presiding over ritual speech.

\subsection*{15.8.9 [Formula]}
pūṣā pathīnām adhyakṣạ̣ \({ }^{\circ} \circ{ }^{\circ} \|\)
Pūṣan is the overlord of paths etc. etc.
pathīnām] Or, patīnām K adhyakṣaḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, adhyakṣā Mā, adhyakṣa K sa mā] Or, sa mām sa mām K \(\quad|\mid\) Mā [Ma], |Ku RM JM Pa, Z kā Z4Z K
a. Note that \(\mathbf{K}\) reads patīnām 'of the lords'. Gonda 1985: 100 quotes PS 15.8.9 with the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) patīn̄̄m and adds, "Notice that Pūṣan occupies the last place which often is the place of honour. If, what seems probable, he is the adhyaksa of human lords, landowners, owners of homesteads, lords of (races of) men, human communities and so on (cf., e.g., RृV. 4, \(57,2 ; 7,54,1-3 ; 8,13,9 ; 8,95,4)\), this would accord very well with the hypothesis that he was, in a way, a communal or 'national' god'. Gonda's statements need now to be corrected. First of all, he quotes our stanza as "AVP \(15,8,8\) ", but this is indeed the ninth of the hymn (even though \(\mathbf{K}\) confuses the order of stanzas 5 and 6 , this one is again numbered correctly as 9 ). Secondly, Pūṣan does not really occupy the last place, because there is another stanza after this that is not found in \(\mathbf{K}\). At any rate, this hymn belongs together with the next, so that the invocations go on without interruption. Finally, although it is possible that \(\mathbf{K}\) and \(\mathbf{O r}\) have preserved two different variants here, and that Gonda's idea is tenable, it must also be observed that \(-t h\) - is sometimes written as \(-t\) - in \(\mathbf{K}\), therefore this could be a phonetic mistake (it is a more common mistake, at least, than \(-t->-t h\) - in Or).

The genitive plural pathīnám (from a strong stem panth \(\bar{a}\)-, weak stem pathi- before consonant, path- before vowel) is an innovation - the regular form being pathā́m - due to the
fact that the stem pathi- was extended to plural cases with vowel-initial termination (cf. AiGr. III, § 159, p. 308). The form pathīnám is already attested once in RQV 5.1.11c and once in ŚS 9.5.19d. In the PS, besides this passage, it is attested also at \(2.39 .4 \mathrm{~d}=16.99 .5 \mathrm{~d}\) (in both cases, \(\mathbf{K}\) has the correct form pathīnām). On the association of Pūṣan with Sarasvatī (see the previous stanza) and of Pūṣan with paths, see GONDA 1985: 79-81, 82 ff., 145-164.

\subsection*{15.8.10 [Formula]}
gāyatrī chandasām adhyakṣā \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) P

The gāyatrī is the mistress of the metres etc. etc.
||] |r 10 || 8 || Ku JM, || | | 8 | RM, ||8 ||r 10 || Mā [Ma] Pa

This stanza is not found in \(\mathbf{K}\).

\subsection*{15.9. To various gods (continued)}
15.9.1 [Formula]
brhaspatir devānām adhyakṣaḥ \({ }^{\circ \circ}\) 。 || ..... || PBrhaspati is the overlord of gods etc. etc.
adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z K
15.9.2 [Formula] \(\approx\) ŚS 5.24.13
prajāpatiḥ prajānām adhyakṣaḥ \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ}\) || ..... P
Prajāpati is the overlord of offspring etc. etc.
```

adhyakṣaḥ] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z K

```
ŚS 5.24 .13
mrtyúh prajắnām ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.

\subsection*{15.9.3 [Formula] \(\approx\) ŚS 5.24 .14}
yamaḥ pitroṇām adhyakṣaḥ \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \| \quad \mathrm{P}\)
Yama is the overlord of the Fathers etc. etc.
pitrọn̄ām] Or, pitrọām K adhyakṣah] Or, adhyakṣa K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z K
ŚS 5.24.14
yamáh pitrọnấm ádhipatiḥ sá māvatu etc. etc.

\subsection*{15.9.4 [Prose] ŚS 5.24.15-17}
pitaraḥ pare (')varas tatas \({ }^{+}\)tatāmahaḥ | \(\quad \mathrm{P}\)
te māvantv asmin brahmaṇy asmin karmaṇy \(\quad \mathrm{P}\)
asyāām purodhāyām asyām devahūtyām asyām ākūtyām asyām āśisi svāhā \| P

The Fathers of old time, the later Father, the Grandfather: let them help me in this formula, in this action, in this priestly office, in this invocation of the gods, in this intention, in this prayer. Hail!

\footnotetext{
varas tatas] K, varas thatas \(\mathbf{O r}\) *tatāmahah] thatāmahah \(\mathbf{O r}\), tadāmahas \(\mathbf{K}\) māvantv] K Ku, māvamitv JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa purodhāyām asyām] Or, om. K ākūtyām] K Or, ākūyām JM āśiṣi] RM, āśiṣị̣ Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, āśiṣas K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z K
}

ŚS 5.24 .15
pitárah páre te māvantu etc. etc.
ŚS 5.24.16
tatấ ávare te māvantu etc. etc.

ŚS 5.24 .17
tatás tatāmahā́s te māvantu etc. etc.
a. This sentence combines the beginnings of ŚS 5.24.15, 16 and 17. The ŚS has avare, with the pronominal ending, instead of avaras in the PS. On the occurrence of nominal endings beside pronominal endings in ávara-, cf. AiGr. III, § 264, p. 579, §§ 267-68, pp. 586-88.
c. The sequence purodhāyām asyām was lost in \(\mathbf{K}\).
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { 15.9.5 } \text { [Prose] cf. KS 38.12:113.3-5; AVPrāy 6.3:137.4 } & \\ \text { devānām̉ devā devā deveș̣v adhidevāḥ parā kramadhvam | } & \mathrm{P} \\ \text { prathamā dvitīyeṣu dvitīyās troīyeṣu || } & \mathrm{P}\end{array}\)
O gods of the gods, O gods among the gods, O presiding deities, step forward. The first ones among the second ones, the second ones among the third ones.
devā devā deveṣv] RM [Ma], devā devā deva deveṣv K, devā devo deveṣv Ku, devā deveṣv JM Mā, devā de\{vi\}vā deveṣv Pa adhidevāḥ] Or, adhidevāh K parā] Or, pra ( \(\rightarrow\) parā) K dvitīyeṣu] K, Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, dvītīẏeṣu Mā dvitīyās] K, dvitīȳā Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, dītīȳā Mā troīyeṣu] Or, trôīyaṣu K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z K

KS 38.12:113.3-5
bṛ̛haspatipurohitā devā dévānām̉ devā dévāḥ prathamajā dévā devéṣu párā kramadhvamं prathamā́ dvitî́yeṣu dvitī́yās tritíyeṣu trír ekādaśās trayastrim̆ँśā ánu va ā́rabha idám śakeyam̉ yád idám karómi (...)

This and the following stanza seem to be a later addition to the invocations of the previous stanzas. They are both quoted in AVPrāy 6.3 devānā \(\dot{m}\) devah iti dve; as noted by Griffiths forthc., "The addition iti dve in AVPrāy tends to corroborate the identification with PS 15.9.56, because those two mantras stand at the end of PS 15.9 and anyhow no other possible identifications are available in known mantra collections. It follows that deva needs to be emended to \(d e v \vec{a} "\).
a. \(\mathbf{K}\) reads prakramadhvain, but above this word, in the manuscript, there is the correction parā, not mentioned in Bhattacharya's critical apparatus. The Orissa manuscripts and the KS also read parākramadhvaim, so I adopt it in the text. Cf. also PS 15.12.8b, where \(\mathbf{K}\) reads präkramadhvaì but the Orissa manuscripts parā kramadhvaï: as suggested by Gotō 1987: 117, parā kramadhvaim is probably the authentic reading in both cases.

In the KS, the first group of gods is qualified as 'having Brhaspati as a purohita', a specification that is not found in the PS.
```

15.9.6 [Prose] cf. KS 38.12 (quoted above)
trir ekādaśã viśve vaiśvānarā
mahi mahānto anu mārabhadhvam | PP
idam̉ śakeyamm yad idamm kr!ְ̣omi svāhā || P

```

The thrice eleven, all belonging to all men; being great, grasp me from behind! May I be capable of [doing] this that I'm doing here. Hail!
ekādaśā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, \{o\}ekādaśā Pa viśve] Ku JM RMMā [Ma] K, viśv\{o\}e Pa mahi mahānto] Or, sahi | śānto \(\mathbf{K} \quad\) anu] K, na Or mārabhadhvam] mārabhadhvam Ku RM Mā [Ma], mārabhadhmam JM, mārabhadh \(\{\mathrm{y}\}\) vami \(\mathbf{P a}\), va ārabhadhvam \(\mathbf{K} \quad \|]\|\mathrm{r} 6\| 9\|\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M},|\mathrm{r}| 9| | \mathbf{R M}\|\),\(9 \| r\) 6 || Mā [Ma] Pa, ZZ 6 Z kāṇ̣̣aḥ 5 ZZ ZZ ity atharvaṇikapāippalādayaḥ sāahāyam pañcadaśaḥ kāṇ̣̣e dvitīyo nuvākaḥ ZZ K

Bhattacharya edits na \(m \bar{a}\) rabhadhvam in \(\mathbf{b}\).
b. In the reading sahi śānto (Bhattacharya's critical apparatus erroneously reads sānto), K exhibits a case of frequent confusion between \(-m\) - and \(-s\) - and possibly omits the second initial ma-.

At the end of the line, I adopt the reading of the majority of the Orissa manuscripts (as regards the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\), note that \(m / v\) vacillation is very frequent in this manuscript). Cf. also ŚS 2.12.5b víśve devāso ánu máa rabhadhvam 'O All-gods, grasp me from behind'. On the compound verb anv- \(\bar{a}\)-rambh-, see JAMISON 1991-92: 140 note 6 , who translates it as 'to grasp from behind', noting that it is a "common ritual posture, especially characteristic of the yajamāna's wife".

\subsection*{15.10. Weapons of war}

PS 15.10, 11 and 12 constitute a long hymn, which closes the collection of "royal hymns" in this kāṇạa. It contains 31 stanzas, 24 of which are borrowed from the R. V and are also attested in many Yajurvedic texts (TS, MS, VSM, ĀpŚS) and in the ŚS. The tables below show the correspondences between the PS and the parallel texts:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline PS & Parallel texts \\
\hline 15.10 .1 & \(=\) R̨V \(6.75 .1=\) TS \(4.6 .6 .1 ~=~ M S ~ 3.16 .3: 185.10-11 ~=~ V S M ~ 29.38 ~\)
\end{tabular} ĀpŚS 20.16.4 etc..
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline PS & Parallel texts \\
\hline 15.11.1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { = R̛V } 10.42-43-44.11=\text { ŚS } 20.17 .11,94.11=\text { TS 3.3.11.1 } \approx \text { ŚS } 7.51 .1,20.89 .11=\text { PS 16.8.11, KauśS } \\
& 59.19=\text { VaitS } 25.2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 15.11.2 & \(\approx\) RVV 6.75.11 \(=\) TS 4.6.6.4 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:187. \(2-3=\) VSM 29.48 etc. \\
\hline 15.11.3 & PS only \\
\hline 15.11.4 & \(\approx\) RV 6.75.14 = TS 4.6.6.5 = MS 3.16.3:187.4-5 = VSM 29.51 etc. \\
\hline 15.11 .5 & \(\approx\) RV 6.75.13 = TS 4.6.6.5 = MS 3.16.3:187.6-7 = VSM 29.50 \\
\hline 15.11 .6 & \(\approx\) RV 6.47.27 = ŚS 6.125.2 \(\approx\) TS 4.6.6.6 = MS 3.16.3:186.9-10 = VSM 29.53 \\
\hline 15.11 .7 & = RVV 6.47.28 = TS 4.6.6.6 = MS 3.16.3:186. 11-12 = VSM 29.54 \(\sim\) ŚS 6.125.3 \\
\hline 15.11 .8 & = ŖV 6.47.26 = ŚS 6.125.1 = TS 4.6.6.5 = MS 3.16.3:186.7-8, VSM 29.52, ĀpŚS 20.16.13 \\
\hline 15.11.9 & = ŖV 6.47.29 = TS 4.6.6.6 = MS 3.16.3:187. 8-9 = VSM 29.55 \(\approx\) ŚS 6.126.1 \\
\hline 15.11.10 & \(=\) ŚS 6.126.2 \(\approx\) ŖV 6.47.30 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:187. \(10-11=\) VSM 29.56 \(\approx\) TS 4.6.6.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline PS & Parallel texts \\
\hline 15.12.1 & \(\approx\) ŚS 6.126.3 \(\approx\) R̊V 6.47.31 = TS 4.6.6.7 = MS 3.16.3:187. \(12-13\) = VSM 29.57 = ĀpŚS 20.16 .14 etc. \\
\hline 15.12.2 & \(\approx\) R.V 5.31.1 \\
\hline 15.12.3 & PS only \\
\hline 15.12.4 & a: ŖV 1.163.1c, b: cf. ŚS 6.126.3a, PS 15.11.8a c: ŖV 6.47.26c, PS 15.11.8c \\
\hline 15.12 .5 & \(\approx\) RV 1.95.7 \\
\hline 15.12 .6 & PS only \\
\hline 15.12 .7 & PS only \\
\hline 15.12 .8 & PS only \\
\hline 15.12 .9 & PS only \\
\hline 15.12.10 & PS only \\
\hline 15.12.11 & PS only \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
R.V 6.47 and 6.75 (from which six and thirteen stanzas are borrowed, respectively) served the redactor as the source for this hymn; they both focus on the theme of war and warfare and are used during the ritual of the Aśvamedha. In the stanzas borrowed from R.V 6.75, all the war equipment and weapons of war are mentioned and praised (armour, bow, bowstring, bow-ends,
quiver, reins, horses, chariot, spears, arrows, hand-guard, horsewhip, drum), while the six stanzas from 6.47 celebrate the chariot and the drum.

The last five stanzas of the hymn are unattested elsewhere in Vedic literature, and appear to be an original addition made by the Paippalādins. They offer a detailed description of the warchariot (rátha-), providing important evidence for the reconstruction of its various components and use in ancient times.

The connection between this hymn, the figure of the king, and the Paippalādins' aim to present themselves as the best purohitas is made clear by ĀśvGS 3.12; here, the abovementioned stanzas from R. R 6.47 and 6.75 , found also in the PS, are quoted as mantras to be recited by a king's purohita in a ritual performed before and during a battle, while the king, who is the chief of the army, is wearing his armour and weapons, and later while he is standing on his chariot and fighting.

In this hymn, many of the same gods as in the preceding hymn are named, such as Indra, Savitar, Mitra, Varuṇa, Bŗhaspati. There are other concatenating links: pitaro in 10.9a and pitarah in 10.10a corresponds with pitr̄̄̄am in 9.3a and pitarah in 9.4a; prthivyāh in 11.6a and prthivīm in 11.9a with prthivyā in 7.1a; divas in 11.6a with divo in 7.4 a ; vanaspatibhyah in 11.6 b and vanaspate in 11.8 a with vanaspatīnām in 7.8 a ; apām in 11.6 c with 'pām in 7.2 a ; paśubhir in 12.2 c with paśūnām in 8.3 a ; prathamaḥ in 12.2 d with prathamā in 9.5 b ; prajay[ \(\bar{a}\) ] in 12.8 d with prajānām in 9.2a; the compound verb parā kramadhvam in 12.8 b occurs also in 9.5a.
15.10.1 [Triṣtubh] R̊V 6.75.1 = TS 4.6.6.1 = MS 3.16.3:185.10-11 = VSM \(29.38=\) ĀpŚS 20.16 .4 etc.
jīmūtasyeva bhavati pratīkam̀ Tb
yad varmī yāti samadām upasthe | Tb
anāviddhayā tanúvà̀ jaya tvam் *+Tb
sa tvā varmaṇo mahimā pipartu \| +Tb
[His] appearance becomes like that of a rain cloud, when the armoured one drives [the chariot] into the lap of battles. You must be victorious with an unwounded body. Let the might of your armour protect you.
jīmūtasyeva] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, jīmūtasyema JM pratīkam்] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, pratīdam Mā yad] Or, yyad K varmī] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, ūrmī RM, urmī K samadām] Or, sumanā K jaya] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, jaỳā Ku pipartu] piparttu Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, pirttu JM, pipantu K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z K

RV 6.75.1 = TS 4.6.6.1 = MS 3.16.3:185.10-11 = VSM \(29.38=\) ĀpSS 20.16.4 etc.
jīmū́tasyeva bhavati prátīkaṃ yád varmī́ yắti samádām upásthe \(\mid\)
ánāviddhayā tanvà̀ jaya tvám sá tvā vármaṇo mahimā́ pipartu II
Bhattacharya does not record in the critical apparatus the reading pratīdaim of Mā.
a. This stanza depicts an armed warrior approaching a battle, and focuses on the splendour and might of the armour that covers his body and protects him. The simile between the warrior and the rain cloud can be interpreted on two levels: on a concrete level, it may refer to the exterior appearance of the warrior, whose armour, probably made of black leather straps,
resembles in its colour a dark raincloud (thus DANGE 1966: 125). Metaphorically, the simile could also suggest the fury of the warrior and his menacing and war-like attitude. The double meaning is possibly intentional.
b. LUBOTSKY 2002: 64 suggests that this pāda may contain a word play on the words varmín'armoured' and upástha- 'lap'. At PS 5.11.1cd tatas te putro jāyatā̀̀ sa varmī goṣu yudhyatām 'From that [embryo] may a son be born from you, may he, the armoured one, fight for cows', "Possibly varmin- lit. 'mailed', 'armoured' refers to a favourable omen when a child is born with a part of the membrane on him, 'born with a caul', cf. Russ. rodit'sja v rubaške/soročke 'to be born lucky', lit. 'to be born in a shirt', Dutch met de helm geboren 'idem', lit. 'born with a helmet on', etc'. The Italian expression nato con la camicia 'idem', lit. 'born with the shirt', could be added to the list.
c. Note the accent on tanvà . This is the only accented word in the entire kāṇ̣a in \(\mathbf{K}\); the anudātta is marked under the akṣara -ta-, and the svarita under the akṣara \(-n v \bar{a}-\).
```

15.10.2 [Trisṭubh] $\approx \underset{o}{\text { RV }}$ 6.75.2 $=$ TS $4.6 .6 .1=$ MS 3.16.4:185.12-13 $=$ VSM $29.39=$ ĀpŚS
20.16 .5 etc.
dhanvanā gā dhanvanājim jayema +Ta
dhanvanā tīvrāḥ samado jayema | +Tb
dhanuḥ śatror apakāmam krṇotu Ta
dhanvanā sarvāḥ pradiśo jayema \| +Tb

```

With the bow [may we win] cows, with the bow may we win the fight; with the bow may we win sharp battles; let the bow cause evil to the enemy; with the bow may we win all directions.
dhanvanājim] Ku JM RM, dhanvanājiñ Mā [Ma] Pa, dhanvanāji K tīvrāḥ] Or, tīvrā K samado] Or, samadho K jayema] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, yayema Mā dhanuh] Or, dhanuś K śatror] Ku JM Mā [Ma], śattror K, jatror Pa sarvāḥ] Or, sarvāh \(\mathbf{K}\) pradiśo] K, pronanā Or jayema] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, yayema Mā ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 2 Z K

ŘV 6.75.2 \(=\) TS 4.6.6.1 \(=\) MS 3.16.4:185.12-13 \(=\) VSM \(29.39=\bar{A} p S ́ S 20.16 .5\) etc.
dhánvanā gắ dhánvanājím jayema dhánvanā tīvrắḥ samádo jayema |
dhánuḥ śátror apakāmám krṇ̣oti dhánvanā sárvāḥ pradíśo (MS pŕtanā) jayema II
Bhattacharya edits dhanvanājiñ jayema in a and prtanā jayema in d.
c. All the parallel passages have krnoti instead of krnotu, but the imperative is plausible here, and fits the context well; therefore, I adopt it. The genitive śatror is a genitivus objectivus. For a parallel construction of apakāmá- 'abhorrence, displeasure' plus verb kr-, cf. ŚS 2.12.5d = PS 2.5 .5 d , in which the same word is found in connection with the agent-noun kartár-: pāpám \(\bar{a}\) rchatv apakāmásya kartà́ 'Der Täter von Abscheulichem soll ins Unglück kommen' (Zehnder).
d. I edit the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) pradiśo, which is in all the parallel passages (with the exception of the MS) and is confirmed by the evidence of the A\(\dot{n} g i r a s a\) tradition (cf. SANDERSON 2007: 213 n. 47). It seems reasonable that also the Orissa manuscripts had once the reading pradiśo and that the text was alter altered under the influence of passages like PS 5.4.1d tvayādhyakṣeṇa prtanā jayema 'With you as overlord may we win the battles'.

Note the five-fold anaphora and the polyptoton on the name of the bow; the complexity of the rhetorical structure of this stanza is increased by the three-fold anaphora of the verb jayema at the end of pādas a, \(\mathbf{b}\) and \(\mathbf{d}\) (see Watkins 1995: 22).
```

15.10.3 [Triṣṭubh] $\approx$ Rov $6.75 .3=$ TS 4.6.6.1. $=$ MS 3.16.3:185.14-15 $=$ VSM $29.40=$ ĀpŚS
20.16 .6 etc.
vakṣyantīved ā ganīganti karṇam $\quad$ Ta
priyam sakhāyamं pariṣasvajānā $\mid \mathrm{Tb}$
yoṣeva śiṅkte vitatādhi dhanvañ Tb
$\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}$ ā iyam samiti pārayantī \| $\quad$ *+\#Ta

```

As a woman who is just about to speak, she repeatedly approaches the ear, embracing [her] beloved friend; being outstretched on the bow, she whispers, this bowstring that makes [us] victorious in battle.
ganīganti] Or, ganīgaṁti K priyami] Or, priyam̆ K, pariṣasvajānā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], paripasvajānā Pa, pariṣasvajānām \(\mathbf{K}\) śiñkte] śimikte \(\mathbf{O r}\), șiǹkte \(\mathbf{K}\) dhanvañ] \(\mathbf{K}\), dhanvaḿ \(\mathbf{O r}\) iyam̉] Or, iyam̆ \(\mathbf{K}\) pārayantī] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, pāraẏañitī Mā, pārantī K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku RM K

RoV 6.75.3 \(=\) TS 4.6.6.1 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:185.14-15 \(=\) VSM \(29.40=\) ĀpŚS 20.16.6 etc.
vakṣántīvéd á ganīganti kárṇam priyám sákhāyam pariṣasvajānā \(\mid\)
yóṣeva śiñkte vítatádhi dhánvañ jyà iyám sámane pāráyantī II
a. On the meaning of the future participle vaksyánt̄ , which tends to be equivalent to a substantive, see Renou 1961: 12. The intensive ganīganti has a simple iterative value here (see SCHAEFER 1994: 91, 113). The simile between the bowstring and a woman whispering into her lover's ear is due to the fact that the bowstring "was drawn back to ear, unlike the Homeric method of drawing it to the breast; discharged from the ear, the arrow was called karnayoni, 'having the ear as its point of origin'. The twang of the bowstring sounded sweet to the ear of the warrior" (SINGH 1965: 93). Cf. also SS 5.21.9ab, in which the sound of the bowstring is mentioned again: jyāghoṣá dundubháyo 'bhí krośantu yáa diśaḥ 'Let the sounds of the bowstring [and] the drums yell to all directions'.
d. Note the interesting variant of the PS, which has samiti instead of sámane in the parallel texts. The form is a locative singular from samit- 'battle', regularly attested in epic and classical Sanskrit. Since from the RVV onwards several other sám-derivatives are attested in the meaning

 that this modification to the text took place in the late Vedic period; note also that it produces a slightly awkward sequence of three short syllables in the break.

\footnotetext{
15.10.4 [Triṣṭubh] \(\approx \underset{o}{\text { RV }} 6.75 .4=\) TS \(4 \cdot 6 \cdot 6.2=\) MS \(3.16 .3: 185.16-17=\) VSM \(29.41=\) ĀpŚS 20.16 .7 etc.
te ācarantī samaneva yoṣā Tb
māteva putram pipr̊tām upasthe | Tb
apa śatrūn vidhyatām saṁvidāne +Ta
ārtnī ime visphurantī amitrān \| Ta
}

Let these two, like a young woman approaching to a rendez-vous, protect [us] like a mother [protects] her child in the lap. Let these two bow-ends, coming together, jumping asunder, pierce the enemies, the foes.
 K saṁvidāne] K, samvidāne Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, s\{i\}amvidāne JM, samvidano RM ārtnī] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], ā \(\cdot \cdot\}\) rtnī \(\mathbf{P a}\), ārtmī \(\mathbf{K}\) visphurantī] Ku Mā [Ma] K, visphu\{ \(\mathfrak{m}\}\) rantī \(\mathbf{J M}\), visphumirantī \(\mathbf{P a}\) amitrān] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, amitān JM, amittrān K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku RM, om. K

ŖV 6.75.4 \(=\) TS 4.6.6.2 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:185.16-17 = VSM \(29.41=\) ĀpŚS 20.16.7 etc.
té ācárantī sámaneva yóṣā mātéva putrám bibhrtām upásthe I
ápa sátrūn vidhyatām (MS vidhyataḥ) samividāné ấrtnī imé viṣphurántī amítrān |I
a. This simile is also found at \(\mathrm{RoV} 4.58 .8 \mathrm{ab}=\mathrm{PS} 8.13 .8 \mathrm{ab}\) abhí pravanta sámaneva yóṣāh kalyānyàh smáyamānāso agním 'They hasten toward Agni as beautiful, smiling women [hasten] to a rendez-vous', and ṚV 10.168.2b \(\approx\) PS 1.107.2b áinaì gachanti sámanaì ná (PS samaneva) yóṣạh 'They come to him as women to a rendez-vous'.
b. On the expression piprtām upásthe, see PS 5.37.8d kumārà̇ jātaím piprtām upasthe 'Let the two preserve a boy, when born, in [her] lap' (Lubotsky 2002: 173). The meaning 'protect, preserve' of the root pr- in this context has been suggested by KuIPER 1938: 322-323 [= 1997: 168-169] (note 1): "Auch dort, wo von Mutter und Kind die Rede ist, ist an der Bedeutung ‘schützen' festzuhalten, z. B. AS V, 26, 5 mātéva putrám piprtehá yuktáḥh (verfehlt Whitney ‘as a mother her son, fill ye here, joined'), wofür II, 28, 5c mātévāsmā adite śárma yacha 'gewähre ihm, A. wie eine Mutter Schutz' und besonders Praśn. Up. 2,13 māteva putrān rakṣasva zu vergleichen ist".

See also PS 15.10.1d above (sa tvā varmaṇo mahimā pipartu 'Let the might of your armour protect you') and RQV 1.156.3b rtásya gárbhaí janúṣā pipartana 'protect the germ of order from its birth on', ŚS 9.1.5c tám jātám tárunaím piparti mātáa 'The mather protects this tender one, when born', 12.1.12c parjányah pitáa sá u naḥ pipartu 'Parjanya is the father; so let him protect us', etc.

The parallel passages have bibhrtām 'let the two carry', and it is possible that the PS variant here is due to perseveration from kāṇ̣a five (note also the same position in the cadence).

On the alternation between the roots \(p r\) - and \(b h r\) - in the meaning 'to protect', see Wackernagel 1926: 11, Ved. Var. II, 64 and PS 15.20.1b.
cd. This stanza is full of words expressing the idea of movement: observe the opposition of the two compound verbs saimvid- 'meet, come together', which echoes ācar- 'approach' and samana- 'meeting, reunion' in pāda a, and visphur- 'dart asunder', which describes the opposite movements of the bow-ends when an arrow is being shot.
15.10.5 [Trisṭubh] \(\approx\) ŖV \(6.75 .5=T S ~ 4.6 .6 .2=\) MS 3.16.3:186.1-2 \(=\) VSM \(29.42=\) ĀpŚS 20.16.8 etc.
bahvīnām pitā bahur asya putro +Tb
vyacaḥ krṇoti samado (')vagatya | Tb
iṣudhiḥ \({ }^{+}\)sañkāḥ protanāś ca sarvāḥ +Tb
prsṭthe ninaddho jayati prasūtah \| Tb

It is the father of many, manifold is his son. It makes free space, when it has gone down to battles. Fastened on the back, put to action, the quiver wins all fights and battles.
pitā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, pitā \(\dot{\text { m }}\} \mathbf{P a}\) putro] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, putrau JM vyacaḥ] JM RM
 samidho \(\mathbf{K}\) vagatya] \(\mathbf{O r}\), vagatyā \(\mathbf{K}\) iṣudhị̣] Ku JM RMMā [Ma], isu( \(\rightarrow\) ṣu)dhị̣ Pa, iṣudhis \(\mathbf{K}\) +sańkāḥ]


ŖV 6.75.5 \(=\) TS 4.6.6.2 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:186.1 \(-2=\) VSM \(29.42=\) ĀpŚS 20.16.8 etc.
bahvīnắm (MS bahūnā́m) pită bahúr asya putrás ciścă krọnoti sámanāvagátya \(\mid\)
iṣudhịh sánikāḥ pi̊tanāś ca sárvāḥ prosṭhé nínaddho jayati prásūtaḥ ||
a. The quiver is called father of many daughters, i.e. arrows. And who's the son?
b. Note the variant of \(\mathbf{K}\) krnotu. I prefer the reading of the Orissa manuscripts, which is found in all the parallel passages. This pāda is a variant of R̂V 6.75.5b ciścáa krnoti sámanāvagátya 'It clatters when it has gone down to the meetings' .
15.10.6 [Jagatī] RoV 6.75.6 = TS 4.6.6.2 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:186.3-4 \(=\) VSM \(29.43=\) ĀpŚS 20.16.9 etc.
rathe tișthan nayati vājinaḥ puro +\#Ja
yatrayatra kāmayate suṣārathiḥ| +\#Ja
abhīśūnām mahimānaṁ panāyata Ja
manaḥ paścād anu yachanti raśmayaḥ \| Ja

Standing on the chariot, a skillful charioteer leads his horses forward, wherever he wants. Praise the strength of the bridles: the reins follow the [charioteer's] mind behind.
tisț̣han] JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, tisṭham Ku RM vājinaḥ] Or, vājinaḩ K suṣārathiḥ] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, śuṣārathịh JM, ṣus̄ārathiḥ RM, susārathị̣ \(\mathbf{K}\) abhīs̄̄̄̄̄̄̄̀] Or, abhaśūnām \(\mathbf{K}\) paścād] Or, paścad \(\mathbf{K}\) yachanti] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, ẏachaṁti Ku, yaśchanti K \(\|\|\) Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM JM K

ŖV 6.75.6 \(=\) TS 4.6.6.2 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:186.3-4 \(=\) VSM \(29.43=\) ĀpŚS 20.16.9 etc.
ráthe tíș़̣han nayati vājínah puró yátra yatra kāmáyate suṣārathíḥ |
abhî́sūnām mahimắnam panāyata mánaḥ paścấd ánu yachanti raśmáyạ ||
ab. The term sárathi- is the usual name of the charioteer. It is well known that a chariot carried at least two people, the warrior and the driver. The warrior, standing on the left, was provided with a seat, which he could use when engaged in warfare to balance himself and handle the bow or other weapons. The charioteer, on the other hand, had no seat provided, as proved by passages like this one, in which he is mentioned as the one 'standing on the chariot' (rathe tiṣthan); another name of the charioteer, sthä́tar-, literaly means 'the one who stands', and emphasizes the distinction between him and the warrior, although the driver's epithets must be considered honorific titles, since he was usually one of the noble warrior's kinsmen.

It is interesting to point out that the Avestan compound raӨaēstta-, which literally means 'the one who stands in the chariot', and corresponds to Vedic rathesṭtháa- 'id.', has become in the

Avesta the technical term to designate a member of the warrior class (see Kellens 1974: 23132 ), rather than the charioteer.
cd. Itranslate \(a b h \grave{l s} u\) - with 'bridle' and raśmí- with 'reins' in order to maintain the distinction between the two words, which are in fact synonymous, in the translation. Geldner, too, adopts two slightly different translations, namely 'Zügel' and 'Leitseile'. The word abhî́śu- is "a common Vedic word denoting 'the reins' or 'bridle' of the chariot horses. The use of the plural is due to the fact the two or four horses [...] were yoked to the car" (Macdonell - Keith 1912: 29), while raśmí- primarly means 'string, rope, cord', and only secondarily acquires the sense of 'rein'. On the synonymous meaning of the two words, cf. SB 5.4.3.14 ābhīśavo vái raśmáyas 'Ropes/reins [are] the bridle' and Rau 1983: 31 n .110 , who opposes Geldner's translation, as if it implied a distinction between two different objects.
15.10.7 [Trisṭubh/Jagatī] \(\approx\) R̃V \(6.75 .7=\) TS 4.6.6.3 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:186.5-6 \(=\) VSM \(29.44=\) ĀpŚS 20.16.10 etc.
tīvrān ghoṣān krọvatām vŗ̣̣apāṇayo \#Ja
aśvā rathebhiḥ saha vājayantaḥ| *Tb
\({ }^{+}\)avakrāmantaḥ prapadair amitrān Tb
kṣiṇanti +́áatrūm̆́r anapavyayantaḥ \| Tb

Let the strong-hooved horses make sharp noises, racing together with the chariots. Trampling on the foes with their forefeet, they destroy the enemies withouth removing [their armours].
krı̣vatām] Or, krø̣ute K vrṣapāṇayo] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, vrṣapāśaẏo Mā rathebhiḥ] Or, rathebhis \(\mathbf{K}{ }^{+}\)avakrāmantaḥ] apakrāmantaḥ Or, om. K prapadair] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, prava( \(\rightarrow\) pa)dair Ku, pramrṇ̣īn \(\mathbf{K}\) amitrān] \(\mathbf{O r}\), amittrāt \(\mathbf{K} \quad{ }^{+}\)śatrūm̆rr] śatrūnr \(\mathbf{O r}\), śatròm \(\mathbf{K}\) anapavyayantaḥ] \(\mathbf{O r}\), apavyayantaḥ K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z K

R̨V 6.75.7 \(=\) TS 4.6.6.3 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:186.5- \(6=\) VSM \(29.44=\bar{A} p S ́ S 20.16 .10\) etc.
tīvrắn ghóṣān kṛnvate vṭ́ṣapānayó 'śvā ráthebhị̣ sahá vājáyantaḥ \(\mid\)
avakrắmantaḥ prápadair amítrān kṣiṇánti sátrūm̉rr ánapavyayantạ̣ ||
Bhattacharya edits krụvatāàm in a and apakrāmantaḥ in c.
a. Bhattacharya edits the reading of the Orissa manuscripts krnvatā \(\dot{m}\), while all the parallel passages have krnvate. Again (cf. PS 15.10.2c above), it is not easy to decide whether the imperative or the indicative (could krnute of \(\mathbf{K}\) be a corruption of krnvate?) would better fit the context here.
b. The participle vājáyant- here has the intransitive meaning 'to race, seek booty' (see Jamison 1983: 51).
c. The form of the Orissa manuscripts apakrāmantah is unacceptable here, on account of the meaning of the verb apakram- 'to step away, retreat, retire from'. The preverb apa- was probably drawn here from pāda \(\mathbf{d}\), by a mistake of the copyist (the same confusion between apa and \(a v a\) is found also at PS 7.5.12d). K omits this word, therefore I emend in accordance with the parallel texts.
d. It is difficult to give an accurate translation of the participle ánapavyayantah. Most of the existing translations are based on the interpretation given by Sāyaṇa, who explained the word with the gloss [a]palāyamānā 'not fleeing'. Roth's translation 'nicht ablassend' has been
accepted by Grassmann; Geldner and Griffith translate, respectively, 'ohne sich zu entziehen (?)' and 'never flinching'; Oldenberg 1909: 416 renders it 'nicht entkleidend', and explains 'd. h. von ihnen das sie umhüllende Gewand des Unheils nicht abtuend'. Renou 1938: 71 translates 'sans lâcher prise'. BERGAIGNE 1884: 51-54 has dedicated to this word a short study, which has been characterized by Oldenberg "weniger glücklich". First he points out the opposition between the term prapadair 'with the forefeet', and the epithet vrșapānayas, which he interprets as 'whose hands are male', i.e. 'the stones for pressing soma'; then he concludes, "Au vers \(6,75,7\), en mème temps qu'ils ont les pierres du pressoir à la main, ils triomphent des ennemis en les écrasant de la pointe du pied, et sans se dégager d'eux, c'est-à-dire en somme, sans le moindre effort, et sans changer de place".

The meaning of the verb apavyaya- 'to uncover' is confirmed by a passage like ReV 7.81.1cd, which describes the action of Uṣas removing the mantle of the darkness that covers the earth during the night: ápo máhi vyayati cákṣase támo jyótiṣ krnooti sūnárī 'Removing the mighty darkness, so that we may see, the beautiful lady makes the light'.

Therefore, in our hymns the image is that of the horses that can kill the enemies by keeping their strong hoofs upon them, even without removing their armour.
15.10.8 [Triṣṭubh] R RV 6.75.8 = TS 4.6.6.3 = VSM 29.45
rathavāhanam̀ havir asya nāma +Tb
yatrāyudham̉ nihitam asya varma \(\mid+\) +Ta
tatrā ratham upa śagmam sadema Ta
viśvāhā vayam sumanasyamānāḥ \| +Tb
[There is] a chariot-frame - oblation is its name - where his weapon [and] armour are placed. There may we, well disposed, put again and again the mighty chariot.
nihitam asya] Or, nihatasya \(\mathbf{K}\) varma] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, \{ma\}varma JM viśvāhā] Or, viśvāphā \(\rightarrow\) hā) K vayam̀] Or, vaẏam̆ K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku JM RM, Z K

R̊V 6.75.8 = TS 4.6.6.3 = VSM 29.45
rathavấhanam̉ havír asya nā́ma yátráyudham̉ níhitam asya várma |
tátrā rátham úpa śagmám sadema viśvā́hā vayám sumanasyámānāḥ ||
a. The word rathaváhana- has long been debated, at least since the remarks made by Roth 1888: 95-97. Most scholars agree that rathavā́hana- indicates a movable frame or platform to hold and convey the chariot (when not in use). Sparreboom 1985: 29-30, commenting on the late occurrence of the word at BaudhŚS 11.6:72.8 (= KātyŚS 15.6.28 rathavāhana), follows the interpretation of the Vedic Index, and explains it as a conveyor or stand for the chariot, drawn by two horses or oxen (rathavāhanavāhau). He adds, "It may be considered strange that a light, two-wheeled vehicle is transported on another car. Could a chariot, fit for battle or race, not be driven to the scene of action by itself? Of course, the possibility cannot be ruled out that this usage was just for the sake of adding more lustre to the stateliness of the chariot, which was, for this reason, delivered to the starting place of the race-course with due ceremonial pomp and circumstance. However, circumstantial evidence appears to suggest another, and very practical explanation: the race car was disassembled when not used [...]. The wheels would have to be set into position just before the start". KaZanas 2002: 308-309 proposes a different
interpretation, observing that in the hymn there is no mention that the wheels and the box of the chariot were separate; in his opinion, "It seems reasonable that rathavắhana-is the chariot itself, lauded per se in stanza 8," and he adds, "There is no need to assume a chariot-carrying platform. [...] Furthermore, this very stanza says that upon this vehicle are already laid (nihita) weaponry (āyudha) and mail (varma). So O' Flaherty and others say that on this 'platform' weapons are first laid and mail and then chariot itself. Is it likely that practical men would load the chariot (whole or dismantled) afterwards and thus possibly damage the weaponry? Of course not."

Kazanas's arguments are, however, rather weak.
First of all, other attestations of the word make it clear that rathaváhana- cannot indicate the chariot itself, but must refer to a movable chariot frame: cf. i.a. PS 4.27.2e, jaitrāyod yātu rathavāhanaì te 'Let him drive up your chariot-frame, for victory' (similar to PS 20.51.1ab ut tabhnāmi gavā̀ं ksīram ud rathaì rathavāhanam 'I honour the milk of the cows, the chariot, the chariot-frame'), PS 5.29.4a rathe varco rathavāhane ca varca 'The splendor in the chariot and the splendor in the chariot-frame', and especially PS 19.29.8ab mayi te mana āhitaim ratha iva rathavāhane 'Your mind is placed upon me like the chariot upon the chariot-frame', in which a simile describes the action of putting the chariot on the chariot frame.

There are no indications of the size of the chariot frame, so Kazanas' claim that putting the chariot on the chariot frame would have damaged the weapons already laid on it is simply a guess.

Moreover, Kazanas proposes several translations of the compound, but all of them are inaccurate. He renders it as 'the conveying by the chariot', 'the chariot's [function of] conveying' or even 'the conveyor that is the chariot', giving inadequate attention to the accent. The compound rathavắhana- is a tatpuruṣa of the type kravyaváhana- 'carrying corpses' (said of Agni at R̨V 10.16.11a), madhuvā́hana- 'carrying sweet things' (said of the chariot of the Aśvins at R̊V. 1.34.2; 157.3; 10.41.2b) and devaváhhana- 'carrying the gods' (said of horses at ŚB 1.4.1.30).

Finally, although Sparreboom himself admits that in the texts there are no explicit references to the dismantling of the chariot, this hypothesis is nevertheless highly probable. As concerns the dismantling of the wheels, cf. RaU 1983: 28: "Die Räder ließen sich leicht abnehmen, aufstecken bzw. ersetzen".

I interpret the expression havir asya nāma as a 'naming-parenthesis' construction, on which see Dunkel 1982, who also discusses the evidence from Old Persian, Hittite and Celtic. In Dunkel's opinion, this Rgvedic passage "assures the existence of nominal naming-parentheses not only for Indo-Iranian, but also, together with the evidence from Hittite and Celtic, for the popular level of speech in Indo-European itself" (p. 19). Cf. PS 15.11.6d haviṣā rathamं yaja 'Worship the chariot with an oblation'.

Note also the similar, parallel cadences of pādas \(\mathbf{a}\) and \(\mathbf{b}\) : asya nāma - asya varma (as noted by Geldner ad loc., the first asya refers to rathavāhanaí, the second one to the warrior). Furthermore, this stanza features prominent alliteration of the nasal sounds \(m\), which occur twelve times in total, giving the idea of a murmured recitation.
cd. KaZanas 2002: 309 notes that the verb upasad- has an intransitive meaning 'to sit by/near/on', and in a figurative sense 'revere, approach respectfully'. These meanings have been adopted by Keith and Griffith, who translate, respectively, 'May we sit on the strong car', and 'Let us honour the helpful car'. Cf. also PS 1.92.3a agnim sāmityam upa saín sadema 'Zum Versammlungsfeuer möchten wir uns sitzen’ (Zehnder). Other translators attribute to the verb
a transitive meaning that is usually inherent only in causative forms (úpasādaya- 'make sit on, place upon'): Geldner translates 'auf den wollen wir der Wagon setzen', O'Flaherty (1981: 237) 'on it let us place the working chariot'. The context indeed suggests that the verb upasad-, contrary to the usual intransitive meaning ( \(18 \times\) in the RV), is used here, exceptionally, in a transitive sense. Cf. CASARETTO 2004 [2010]: 79.

The use of the optative, together with the occurence of the adverb viśvāhā and the adjective sumanasyámānāh in the following pāda, express the wish that the warriors, in good spirit, may repeat over and over again the action of putting the dismantled, mighty chariot on the platform; that would mean that they are victorious and their equipment undamaged.

In all its Rgvedic and Atharvavedic attestations, the present participle medium sumanasyámānāh occurs always at the end of the verse, as it features a perfect Trisṭubh cadence.
15.10.9 [Triṣtubh] R̊V 6.75.9 = TS 4.6.6.3 = MS 3.16.3:186.13-14 = VSM \(29.46=\) ĀpŚS 20.16.11
svāduṣam̉sadaḥ pitaro vayodhāḥ +Tb
krchreśritaḥ śaktīvanto gabhīrāḥ | +\#Ta
citrasenā iṣubalā amridhrāḥ \(+(\#) \mathrm{Ta}\)
satovīrā uravo vrātasāhāḥ \| Ta

The Fathers [were] sitting around the sweet [Soma], bestowers of strength, supporters in danger, powerful, profound; having glittering spears, having strength in their arrows, tireless, equally brave, broad, victorious over the enemies.
svāduṣamsadaḥ] Or, svāduṣamisadah K krchreśritaḥ] Or, krśchresşjaś K śaktīvanto] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, śakt \(\{i\} \bar{i}\) īanto \(\mathbf{P a}\) citrasenā] \(\mathbf{O r}\), cittrasenā \(\mathbf{K}\) iṣubalā] Ku JM RM [Ma] K, iṣubala Pa, iṣumalā Mā amrordhrāḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, amudhrāḥ Mā, avrọdhrās K vrātasāhāḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, vrātasāhā JM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM K

R̊V 6.75.9 \(=\) TS 4.6.6.3 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:186.13-14 \(=\) VSM \(29.46=\) ĀpŚS 20.16.11
svāduṣamsádaḥ pitáro vayodhắh krgchreśrítaḥ sáktīvanto gabhīrấh |
citrásenā íṣubalā ámrordhrāh satóvīrā urávo vrātasāhấh ||
b. On the compound krchreśrít-, see Scarlata 1999: 543-544. While the meaning of the first member is clear - it is the locative singular of krchrá- n. 'danger, difficulty, calamity' the exact sense of the second member śrit- is difficult to determine. One possibility is to postulate an abstract noun *'s rit- f., meaning 'refuge, rest, support', and render the compound as '(who are) refuges in dangers'; this is the solution adopted by Geldner, who translates, 'Sie sind [...] die Zuflucht in der Not' and by Renou 1938: 71, who renders 'Appui dans le péril'. Otherwise, one can consider the second member to be the root śri- 'to cause to lean or rest on, lay on or in', used in its causative and intransitive sense; the meaning of the compound would then be 'leaning on each other in danger', 'supporting each other in danger'. Since the compound refers to the Fathers, who are already dead, I prefer the first solution.

According to Arnold 1905: 127, a short \(-i\) - must be restored in śaktīvanto, and this restoration would give a regular anapestic rhythm to the break (cf. the parallel case quoted by

Arnold, ŖVV 5.31.6c śáktīvo yád vibhárā ródasī ubhé, which is, however, less significant, for a second long syllable in the opening of a Jagatī pāda is highly favored).
15.10.10 [Triṣtubh/Jagatī] R̊V 6.75.10 = TS 4.6.6.4 = MS 3.16.3:186. 15-16 = VSM 29.47, d: R̨V 6.71.3d, ŚS 19.47.6a, PS 6.20.6a etc.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
brāhmaṇāsaḥ pitaraḥ somiyāsah & *+Ta \\
śive no dyāvāprthivī anehasā | & Jcb \\
pūṣā naḥ pātu duritād řtāvrdho & Jb \\
rakṣā \({ }^{+}\)mākir ṇo aghaśamsa īśata \(\|\) & Jb
\end{tabular}

O Brahmins, O Fathers, O Soma-lovers, let heaven and earth, incomparable, be propitious to us. Let Pūṣan protect us from danger, O increasers of the R̊ta. Give protection! Let no slanderer become our master.
brāhmaṇāsah] Or, brāhmaṇāsah K pitarah] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, pitarasah JM, pitaras K no] Or, na K naḥ] Or, naḩ K duritād] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, duritā \{vrôd JM rakṣā] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, ra JM \({ }^{+}\)mākir ṇo] sākir ṇo K, mākrṇvo Or aghaśaḿsa] Ku RM [Ma] Pa K, aghasamisa JM Mā ||] ||r 10 || 10 || Ku, || r || 10 || JM, |r | 10 | RM, || 10 || r 10 || Mā [Ma] Pa, Z kāṇ̣aḥ Z 1 Z K

RQV 6.75.10 \(=\) TS 4.6.6.4 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:186. \(15-16=\) VSM 29.47
brā́hmanāāsḥ pítaraḥ sómyāsaḥ şivé no dyấvāpọthiví anehásā (MS ubhé stām)।
pūṣá naḥ pātu duritá́d ŗtāvŗdho rákṣā mấkir no agháśamisa īśata ||

R®V 6.71.3cd
híraṇyajihvaḥ suvitấya návyase rákṣā mấkir no agháśamsa îśata II
ŚS 19.47.6ab
rákṣā mấkir no agháśamisa īśata mấ no duḥśámsa īśata |
d. The reading raks \(\bar{a}\), which is found in all the manuscripts, is variously interpreted as a \(2^{\text {nd }}\) singular imperative from rakss-, or as nominative singular from rakṣas- (see Whitney 1905: 975). Although Geldner ad loc. notes that "der Sg. rákssā (auf Pūṣan?) paßt hier schlecht", I think that the imperative is still the best solution. Also Griffiths 2009: 218 translates PS 6.20.6a rakṣā mākir ṇo aghaśaíssa īśata as 'Give protection! Let no slanderer become our master', but in the Index verborum he puts the word rakṣa under the lemma rakṣas-, not under raks-.

\subsection*{15.11. Weapons of war (continued)}
```

15.11.1 [Triṣtubh] RoV $10.42-44.11=$ ŚS 20.17.11, $94.11=$ TS 3.3.11.1 $\approx$ ŚS 7.51.1, 20.89.11
= PS 16.8.11, KauśS 59.19 = VaitS 25.2
brhaspatir naḥ pari pātu paścād Tb
utottarasmād adharād aghāyoḥ| Tb
indraḥ purastād uta madhyato naḥ Tb
sakhā sakhibhyo varivaḥ krṇ̣otu \| Tb

```

Let Brhaspati protect us all around, from behind, from above and from below against the malignant one. Let Indra, as friend to [his] friends, make free space for us in the front and in the middle.
brhaspatir naḥ] Or, broshaspatin naḩ \(\mathbf{K}\) utottarasmād] Or, utottarāsmād \(\mathbf{K}\) adharād] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa \(\mathbf{K}\), adharāj Ku indraḥ] Or, indraḩ K naḥ] Or, nas \(\mathbf{K}\) varivaḥ] Or, varivahِ K \|] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, | JM RM, Z K

RQV 10.42 .11 = ŚS 20.17.11, \(94.11=\) TS 3.3.11.1 \(\approx\) ŚS 7.51.1, 20.89.11 = PS 16.8.11, KauśS 59.19 = VaitS 25.2
bốhaspátir naḥ pári pātu paścád utóttarasmād ádharād aghāyóḥ |
índraḥ purástād utá madhyató naḥ sákhā sákhibhyo várivaḥ kṛ̣̣otu II
d. As regards the expression várivah kr - 'to make free space', the PS shows the same alternation, found also in the ŚS, between the use of várivas- (3.1.7b, 3.36.5c, 15.11.1d, 19.8.3c, 20.35.1c) and várīyas- (16.8.11d, 16.25.9b). According to Ved. Var. II: 130, 258, the form várivas- is older, and várīyas- "more popular".
```

15.11.2 [Triș̣̦ubh] $\approx$ RQV $6.75 .11=$ TS $4.6 .6 .4=$ MS 3.16.3:187. $2-3=$ VSM 29.48 etc., b: cf.
PS 15.11.8c
suparṇam vaste mrgo asyā danto \#Tb
gobhiḥ saṁnaddhā patati prasūtā | Tb
yatrā naraḥ sam̉ ca vi ca dravanti +\#Tb
tatrāsmabhyam iṣavaḥ śarma yachān \| Ta

```

She wears eagle['s feathers], her teeth are [that of] a deer; bound with (straps made of) cattle, set in motion, she flies. Where the heroes run hither and thither, there let the arrows give us protection.
asyā] Or, asyāsi K danto] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, datto \(\rightarrow\) nto) Pa gobhih] \(\mathbf{O r}\), gobhis \(\mathbf{K}\) samnaddhā] Ku, sannaddhā JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, sannaddhāsi K patati] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, pat \(\{i\}\) ati JM, pacati K naraḥ] K, nara Or sam̉] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, sañ JM RM K vi] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, pi JM tatrāsmabhyam] tatrā( \(\rightarrow\) dā) ssabhyam \(\mathbf{K}\), tad āsmabhyam \(\mathbf{O r}\) ișavah] \(\mathbf{O r}\), rṣayaś \(\mathbf{K}\) yachān] ẏachāt Mā [Ma] Pa, yachat Ku JM RM, yaśchān K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z K

RুV 6.75.11 = TS 4.6.6.4 = MS 3.16.3:187. \(2-3=\) VSM 29.48 etc.
suparṇám (MS supárnam̆) vaste mrggó asyā (MS ásyā) dánto góbhị̣ sáminaddhā patati prásūtā \(\mid\)
yátrā náraḥ sám ca ví ca drávanti tátrāsmábhyam íṣavah šárma yamisan II

Bhattacharya edits tad asmabhyam in d.
ab. The arrows were feathered in order to balance them in flight, and were tipped with horn or metal (the ŖV refers to arrows with poisoned heads of horn - 6.75.15 álākta- 'anointed with poison', rúruśřrşaṇ- 'deer-headed' - as distinct from other metal-headed arrows - ibid., áyo múkha- 'having a metal mouth'). The mention of the deer makes it clear that arrows with horn head are intended here. Straps were probably used to fasten the arrow-head to the shaft. On the various kinds of feathers and heads used for arrows, see Pant 1978: 97 ff . The insertion of the feathers into the arrow-shaft must have been a very delicate and important manufacturing process, since we find it in similes as an element of comparison with a work of effective skill: cf. R̂V 10.18.14ab pratīcîne má̀m áhanî́ssvạh parnám ivá dadhuh 'At a later day they will place me like an arrow's feather', ŚS 5.25 .1 párvatād divó yóner áñgādañgāt samábhrtam | śépo gárbhasya retodháh sárau parṇám ivắ dadhat 'Brought together from the mountain, from the sky, from the womb, from every limb, the penis, seed-placer of the embryo, shall place [it] like the feather on the shaft'.
c. On the syntagma sam ca vi ca, see Hoffmann 1975: 297 note 25: discussing the opposition between sam \(a^{i}\) and \(v i a m^{i}\), he quotes the parallel constructions sám ca ví ca añc(RV 5.78.6) 'zusammen- und auseinanderbiegen', sám ca ví ca dru- (R.0V 6.75.11) and the PS form samicavica- 'sich vereinigend und trennend' (attested at PS 1.107.6; see the discussion of this passage in Hoffmann 1975: 232-233).
d. \(\mathbf{K}\) reads tatrāssabhyam rșayah, but in the manuscript there is the correction -d \(\bar{a}\) - over the akṣara -trā-. I adopt the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) ante correctionem, which is confirmed by all the parallel passages. The reading tad āsmabhyam of \(\mathbf{O r}\) and of \(\mathbf{K}\) post correctionem implies the interpretation \(\bar{a}+\) asmabhyam, but it might be relevant to point out that the sequence tad asmabhyam occurs three other times in the PS at the beginning of a pāda (at 1.18.1c, 20.7.1c, 2d) and may be due to perseveration in our passage.

The reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) rssayah 'the seers' represents something between a real variant and a graphic mistake. Note that the PS has the present subjunctive of the root yam-, instead of the \(s\) aorist subjunctive of the other versions.

\subsection*{15.11.3 [Trisṭubh] PS only}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
suparṇo vāso yad u sarpir *āsām் & Tb \\
mano hiraṇyam iṣavaḥ patatrī | & Tb \\
\({ }^{+}\)māsmām̆ arann amuta āpatantīr & \(+\# \mathrm{Ta}\) \\
itaḥ prahitāḥ savitar jayantu \(\|\) & +Tb
\end{tabular}

The cloth is eagle['s feathers], and what of them is melted butter. The intention is the gold, the arrows are a bird. Let them (the arrows), flying from there, not hit us. Being sent forth from here, let them be victorious, O Savitar.
yad u] Or, adhi K *āsām] āsā Or, \(\mathbf{K}\) iṣavaḥ] \(\mathbf{O r}\), iṣavaḥ \(\mathbf{K}\) patatrī] \(\mathbf{O r}\), patattrī \(\mathbf{K}{ }^{+}{ }^{+}\)māsmām̉̉] māsmān Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, masmāñ, Mā, māsmāt K arann] Or, sadann K amuta āpatantīr] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, amuta āpatam̀tīr Ku, asutāpatantī K itah] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, ita JM RM, uta K prahitāh] Or, prahītās K savitar] Or, savitur K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa JM, |RM, Z K
ab. The first half of pāda a corresponds in content to the first half of 11.2a, suparnamin vaste 'She wears eagle['s feathers]'.

The interpretation of these two pādas is difficult and problematic. It seems that each pāda can be divided into two hemistichs, so that we have four parts: 1) suparno vāso; 2) yad u sarpir *āsā̈̀; 3) mano hiranyam; 4) iṣavah patatrī. Since this stanza describes an arrow, each part should be interpreted as pointing to a feature of an arrow as well.

The first statement is clear: as it has been said in the previous stanza, arrows were provided with feathers on one end, to balance them while flying.

The second phrase (for the syntactic structure, see PS 16.101.8d vidvān bhūtam yad u bhavyam asya 'Knowing the past and the future of his') could then refer to the other end of the arrow, the arrowhead. The word sarpís-, which means 'clarified butter', seems out of the context, and the only solution I can think of is a reference to some sort of poison smeared on the arrowhead, to make it brighter or smoother.

The third expression reveals the intention (mánas-) of the arrow (or of the shooter?) that aims to acquire gold, i.e. a booty.

The last part, iṣavah patatrī, combines the name of the arrow with the adjective patatrín'winged, feathered', which can mean 'bird' if used in the masculine (and later also 'arrow', see PW s.v.). The comparison between an arrow and a bird is natural and fits the context well, but the syntax is awkward, because the arrows are mentioned in the plural, while patatrin- is singular (this is the reason why Bhattacharya edits patatrī with underlining).
```

15.11.4 [Trisṭubh] \approx RVV 6.75.14 = TS 4.6.6.5 = MS 3.16.3:187.4-5 = VSM 29.51 etc.
ahir iva bhogaiḥ pariy eti bāhum் /*Tb
jiyāyā hetim apabādhamānaḥ | *Tb
hastaghno viśvā vayunāni vidvān Tb
pumān pumāmsaṁ pari pātu mr̊tyoḥ || Tb

```

Like a snake with his coils, he goes around the arm, keeping away the shot of the bowstring. Let the hand-guard, knowing all expedients (of a bow-battle), as a man protect the man from death.
bhogaih] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, bhaugaị̣ Ku, bhogaih K pary eti] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], pary(+e)ti Pa, paryati K bāhumi] Ku Pa Mā [Ma] K, bāh\{ū\}um JM, bāhūm RM hetim] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, ỳe \((\rightarrow\) he \()\) tim Ku hastaghno] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, hasto \((\rightarrow\) sta \()\) ghno \(\mathbf{K u}\) viśvā] Or, viśva \((\rightarrow \bar{a}) \mathbf{K}\) vayunāni] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, vaẏunāna \(\mathbf{P a}\) pumāmisami] Ku Pa [Ma] K, pum்māmisam Mā, puṁmāsam JM, pumāsam RM mrtyoḥ] Or, mrtyuḥ K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa JM, |RM, om. K
R.V 6.75.14 = TS 4.6.6.5 = MS 3.16.3:187.4-5 = VSM 29.51 etc.
áhir iva bhogáih páry eti bāhúm jyắyā hetím paribắdhamānah \(\mid\)
hastaghnó víśvā vayúnāni vidvā́n púmān púmāmsam pári pātu viśvátaḥ ||
a. Cf. PS 1.64.3cd yaì tvāhir iva bhogair nākulena parīmasi 'You, whom we go around with a mongoose's derived [amulet], like a snake [curls itself up] with his coils', and PS 5.10.10d, which shows the same pāda beginning: ahir \({ }^{i}\) vainān pra ropaya 'Like a snake, cause them racking pain'.
c. On hastaghná-, cf. LÜDERS 1942: 39 [= 1973: 506] (with notes) and PaNt 1978: 220. It denotes an archer's guard, worn around the left arm to protect the wearer from the recoil of the bowstring. In the PS, the word occurs here and at 7.7 .5 c , in the hapax compound hiranyahastaghna- 'being / having [as it were] a golden hand-guard', which metaphorically refers to the darbha plant (see Griffiths 2009: 320-321).

Although the word vayúna- is still described in EWAia as "von umstrittener Bedeutung", the expression viśvā/viśvāni vayunāni vidvān has been meticulously studied by Pischel (PISCHEL-GELDNER 1889: 295-308), who convincingly argued that in this case vayúna- is synonymous with later Sanskrit mārga- 'way', and that the whole expression means 'knowing all ways/paths and means'. In most cases, it refers to Agni, who knows all the paths that lead to heaven, following which he can bring the oblations to the gods. When it is applied to the handguard, the expression means that the hand-guard itself knows all the tricks and expedients of a bow-battle (thus also ReNOU 1938: 71, who translates 'Instruit de tous artifices'). The same idea is expressed at ŚS \(5.20 .9 \mathrm{~cd} \approx\) PS 9.27 .9 cd , which is about the war-drum: śríyo vanvanó vayúnāni vidvá́n kīrtím bahúbhyo ví hara dvirājé 'Procuring fortune, knowing all expedients (of a battle), distribute fame to many in battle between two kings'. THIEME 1949: 13 ff . proposes another interpretation and renders the word with 'Umhüllung'; although this translation is in some cases very attractive, and would fit well also in our passage, in consideration of the simile with the snake that covers the arm with his coil, Thieme's conclusion that "die Aufgabe des Handschutzes ist doch eine einfache und hat mit den Küsten des Bogenkampfes überhaupt nichts zu tun" ( p .16 ) is untenable because, as already said, the main task of the handguard is indeed to protect the arm from the recoil of a bowstring.
d. Note the interesting variant of PS at the end of this pāda (mrtyoh instead of viśvátah of the RQV and all the other texts), which might be due to perseveration from PS 1.108.1d pūṣāsmān pari pātu mrtyoh ‘Let Pūṣan protect us around from death'.
```

15.11.5 [Anuștubh] \approx R\V 6.75.13 = TS 4.6.6.5 = MS 3.16.3:187.6-7 = VSM 29.50
à +janghanti sānuv eṣāmं *+A
+jaghanām̆ upa jighnate | A
aśvājani pracodaya- A
-aśvān samatsu vājaya || A

```

It constantly strikes their back, it slaps their buttocks: O horsewhip, impel the horses, urge [them] in the battles.
+jañghanti] jam்ghanti RM Mā [Ma] Pa, jam̈ghnanti JM, jam்gh( \(\rightarrow\) ghn \()\) anti Ku, jam̈ghaṁti K sānveṣām Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, sānyeṣām̀ Pa \({ }^{+}\)jaghanām̆̀] jaghanām K, jaghanān Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, jaghantamināற̇ñ, RM jighnate] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, jighrate Ku pracodayāśvān] Ku [Ma] Pa K, pracodaýāśvāna JM RM, tra pracodaẏāśvāna Mā samatsu] Ku Mā [Ma] K, samutsu JM RM, samatsyu Pa vājaya] K, pādaẏa JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, pāta \((\rightarrow\) da) ẏa Ku ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z K

RV 6.75.13 = TS 4.6.6.5 = MS 3.16.3:187.6-7 = VSM 29.50
á jañghanti sā́nv eṣām jaghánām (MS jaghánami) úpa jighnate (MS jighnatu) |
áśvājani prácetasó ’śvān samátsu codaya (MS nodaya) ॥

Bhattacharya edits pādaya in d.
abc. These pādas contain a recurrent word play based on the repetition of two forms of the verb 'to strike', jañghan- and jighna-, a "similar but unrelated word" jaghana- 'buttock', and the name of the whip, aśvājanī-; as noted by WATKINS 1995: 110, "the effect is a purely phonetic, non-semantic anaphora A JANghanti... asvAJANi ... iconic to the whip itself". For a similar expression, cf. PS 5.15.7c parjanyasya maruta udadhim sānv ā hata 'Hit, O Maruts, the water-reservoir, the back of Parjanya'.
d. The reading of the Orissa manuscripts pādaya 'cause to fall' is unsatisfactory in meaning. The parallel texts have codaya 'urge', which in the PS is found in the preceding pāda pracodaya - instead of pracetaso. I rather adopt the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) vājaya 'urge', 'incite', 'impel', which occurs in a similar passage at TS 1.7.8.4 áśvājani vājini vájjeṣu vājinīvaty áśvānt samátsu vājaya 'O horsewhip, strong, driving swift mares in the contest, urge the horses in the battles'.
```

15.11.6 [Jagatī] $\approx$ ŖV $6.47 .27=$ ŚS $6.125 .2 \approx$ TS 4.6.6.6 $=$ MS 3.16.3:186.9 $-10=$ VSM 29.53
divas prothivyāḥ pariy oja ābhrotam $\quad * \mathrm{Jb}$
vanaspatibhyaḥ pari sambhr̊tam sahạ̣ | Jb
apām ojmānam̀ pari gobhir *āvrrtam Jb
indrasya vajraṁ haviṣā rathaṁ yaja \| Jb

```
[Its] strength was brought here from heaven, from earth; [its] power was collected from the trees. With an oblation, you must worship the chariot, the power of the waters, bound round with (straps made of) cattle, Indra's cudgel.
divas prthivyāh] Ku RM [Ma] Mā, di\{sa\} vas prthivyāḥ Pa, disyapr̊vyāh JM, divaḩ prothivyāḥ K oja] K, ota Or ābhṛtaḿ] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, abhṛtam Pa vanaspatibhyaḥ] Or, vanaspatibhyah K pari] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, para JM sam்bhṛtam்] Or, sambhṛtaḿ K sahaḥ] Or, saḥ K ojmānam்] Or, ojmanam K *āvrtam] ābhrıtam Or K vajrami] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, om. Mā ||] Mā [Ma] Pa JM, | Ku RM, Z 6 Z K

ŖV 6.47.27 = ŚS 6.125.2
divás prothivyắh páry ója údbhṛtam vánaspátibhyah páry ábhŗtam sáhaḥ \(\mid\)
apắm ojmắnam pári góbhir ă ávrıtam índrasya vájram havị̣́a rátham yaja ॥

Bhattacharya edits ābhrtam in c.
c. At the end of the pāda, all the manuscripts read äbhrtam, while the other texts have the better reading \(\bar{a} v_{0} t a m\). I emend the text according to the parallel passages, because \(\bar{a} b h r t a m\), which is difficult to explain in this context (paryábhrta- means 'fetched or extracted from' plus ablative), is almost certainly due to the occurence of the same form in pāda a.
d. The identification of the chariot with Indra's vájra is common in Vedic texts. See e.g. TS 1.7.7.2 \(=\) TS 1.8.15.1 índrasya vájro 'si vártraghnas tváyāyám vrtrám vadhyāt 'You are the Vrtra-killing cudgel of Indra, with you let him slay Vrtra’, TB 1.3.61 vájro vái ráthaḥ vájreṇaivá díśo 'bhíjayati ‘The chariot is indeed a cudgel, verily with the cudgel he conquers the quarters'. Cf. also PS 15.11.10d and 15.12 .4 b below, where the chariot is compared with Indra's fist. Although the origin of this identification can be traced to the use of the chariot during Indra's vrtrahátya, the term vájra later became common for any identification explaining an act of aggression.
15.11.7 [Triṣ̦ubub] R̊V 6.47.28 = TS 4.6.6.6 = MS 3.16.3:186.11-12 = VSM \(29.54 \approx\) ŚS 6.125.3
indrasya vajro marutām anīkam் Tb
mitrasya garbho varuṇasya nābhiḥ| Tb
\({ }^{+}\)Saemām̀ no havyadātim juṣāṇo \(^{*}+\mathrm{Ta}\)
deva ratha prati havyā grəbhāya \| +Ta
[Being] the cudgel of Indra, the front of the Maruts, the embryo of Mitra, the navel of Varuṇa, O god, O chariot, enjoying this oblation of ours, accept the oblations.
marutām] K, maritām Or mitrasya] Or, mittrasya \(\mathbf{K}\) varuṇasya] vaṛnasya \(\mathbf{O r}\), dharuṇasya \(\mathbf{K} \quad{ }^{+}\)semām] semān Or, sa imā K ratha] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, rathah RM \|] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 7 Z K

Rㅇ 6.47.28 = TS 4.6.6.6 = MS 3.16.3:186.11-12 =VSM 29.54
índrasya vájro marútām ánīkam mitrásya gárbho váruṇasya nā́bhiḥ |
sémā́m no havyádātim juṣāṇó déva ratha práti havyắ grohāya \|l

\section*{ŚS 6.125.3}
índrasyáujo marútām ánīkam̉ mitrásya gárbho váruṇasya nắbhiḥ |
sá imā́m no havyádātim juṣāṇó déva ratha práti havyắ grobhāya II
a. The ŚS has the independent and possibly later reading ójo, instead of vajro in all the parallel texts, including the PS.
c. At the beginning of the verse, I adopt with slight adjustement the reading of the Orissa manuscripts, semān. The manuscript \(\mathbf{K}\), by contrast, does not apply the sandhi between sa and \(i m \bar{a}\), nor does the ŚS; Whitney ad loc. comments that all the parallel texts, with the exception of the PS (= K), "combine sé 'mām at beginning of c, against the requirement of the meter", but the application of the sandhi is certainly evidence in favour of the authenticity of the reading, rather than an argument against it for metrical reasons.
d. The same expression práti havyắ grbhāya also occurs in the parallel verse RoV 1.91.4d rájan soma práti havyá grbhāya 'O king Soma, take/receive the oblation'. Discussing the semantics of the verb grbhṇáti and of its derivative grbhāyati, SCHRIJVER 1999: 120 quotes R̨V 6.47.28d among the occurrences in which the context does not allow one to distinguish the subtle difference between '(actively) grab, take' - which is the prevalent meaning of the nasal formation - and '(passively) receive, get' - which is the meaning of the *ye-derivative of the nasal present. Both the translations 'take' and 'receive' are conceivable here.
15.11.8 [Triṣtubh] R̊V 6.47.26 = ŚS 6.125.1 = TS 4.6.6.5 = MS 3.16.3:186.7-8, VSM 29.52, ĀpŚS 20.16.13; c: PS 15.12.4c, cf. PS 15.11.2b
vanaspate vīḍ \({ }_{u}\) vañgo hi bhūyā \(\quad * \mathrm{Ta}\)
asmatsakhā prataraṇaḥ suvīraḥ| +\#Ta
gobhiḥ \({ }^{+}\)samnnaddho ratha vīḍayasva- \(\quad \mathrm{Tb}\)
-āsthātā te jayatu jet \({ }_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{V}\) āni \(\| \quad\) *+\#Ta

O tree, may you indeed become strong in your limbs, having us as friends, furthering, rich in heroes. Bound with (straps made of) cattle, be strong, O chariot! Let him who ascends you win the booty.
vīḍvañgo] vīḍvam்go Ku RM Pa [Ma] K, viḍvam்go JM, vīḍyaṁgo Mā prataraṇaḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, prataraṇa Mā, prataranas \(\mathbf{K}\) gobhiḥ] \(\mathbf{O r}\), gobhis \(\mathbf{K}{ }^{+}\)saminaddho] sannaddho \(\mathbf{O r}\), sannadho \(\mathbf{K}\) ratha] \(\mathbf{O r}\),
 [Ma] Pa JM, | RM, Z 8 Z K

ŖV 6.47.26 \(=\) ŚS \(6.125 .1=\) TS 4.6.6.5 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:186.7-8 \(=\) VSM \(29.52=\) ĀpŚS 20.16 .13
vánaspate vị̣̄vàngo [MS vīḍvángo] hí bhūyā asmátsakhā pratáraṇah suvíraḥ । góbhiḥ sáminaddho asi vịláyasvāsthātā te jayatu jétvāni II

Bhattacharya edits ratha in \(\mathbf{c}\).
a. Note the use of the particle \(h i\) with the precative, which seems to correspond to the use with the optative at PS15.20.1b and PS 15.20.4a.
c. Bhattacharya adopts the reading of the Orissa manuscripts ratha, 'O chariot'. K has asi, the same reading as the parallel texts. Again, it is not easy to decide which one of the two branches of transmission has preserved the authentic PS reading: the variant ratha is probably to be preferred as the lectio difficilior.

Note that the imperative vīdayasva echoes the compound vīdvañga-, which occurs in pāda a, and whose first member is the adjective vīdúu- 'strong, firm', derived from the same root vīḍ'be strong'.
d. The form jétva- is a gerundive, which literally means 'to be gained, to be won' (cf. AiGr. II/2, § 526b, p. 712). Reference is made here to the booty, the spoils of battle. Note the figura etimologica created by the juxtaposition of jayatu and jetvāni, both derived from the root \(j i\) ' 'to win, conquer'.
15.11.9 [Triṣ̣ubh-Jagatī] RV \(6.47 .29=\) TS 4.6.6.6 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:187. \(8-9=\) VSM \(29.55 \approx\) ŚS 6.126 .1
upa śvāsaya prothivīm uta dyāàm Tb
purutrā te manutāmं viṣthitaṃ jagat \(\mid \quad\) Ja
sa dundubhe sajūr indreṇa devair +\#Ta
dūrād davīyo apa sedha śatrūn \| Tb
Make the earth and the sky rumble, let the far-flung people think of you in many places. So, O drum, together with Indra and the gods, chase away the enemies farther than far.
pādas cd om. K • śvāsaya] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, svāśvāsaỷa JM dyāmi] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, dyā JM purutrā] K, purtrā Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, putrā JM RM manutāmi] Or, sunutām K sajūr] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, sajur Mā indreṇa] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], indr(+e)ṇa Pa devair] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, devai JM dūrād] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], d\{u\}ūrād Pa apa sedha śatrūn] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, śatrūna RM ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa JM, | RM

RoV 6.47.29 = TS 4.6.6.6 = MS 3.16.3:187. 8-9 = VSM 29.55 \(\approx\) ŚS 6.126.1
úpa śvāsaya prơthivî́m utá dyắm purutrā́ te manutām (ŚS vanvatām) víṣṭhitam̉ jágat |
sá (MS sám) dundubhe sajū́r índreṇa deváir dūráád dávīyo ápa sedha śátrūn II
cd. These two pādas are not found in \(\mathbf{K}\), "probably by an error of the copyist", as already noticed by Whitney 1905: 375 (incidentally, Lanman’s remark that Whitney's reference to PS 15 "seems to be an error for Pāipp. VII" is wrong). Possibly the copyist skipped the hemistic because of the similar beginning of pāda \(\mathbf{c}\) in this stanza and in the following one (sa dundubhe - apa sedha dundubhe).

The expression dūrād davīyo 'farther than far' is to be compared with two other similar constructions found at PS 15.23.9c kṣudrāt kṣodīyası̄ ‘smaller than small' and 15.23.10b śivāc chivatarā 'more propitious than propitious'. As stated by Renou 1957: 119 (and 1955: 55, note 1), these expressions are the same as the Rgvedic yaśástaro yaśásām 'more beautiful than beauty' and urór várīyah 'wider than wide': "Ce sont des substituts du superlatif, se fondant sur les tendances allittérantes si communes aux mantra. Il est permis d'y avoir l'essai du type qui se fixera en skt. bouddhique sous la forme kṣudrānukṣudra (pa. khuddānukhuddaka)".

On (ápa) sedh-, see Lubotsky 2004a (especially pp. 328-329).
15.11.10 [Triṣṭubh-Jagatī] ŚS 6.126.2 \(\approx\) ŖV 6.47.30 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:187.10-11 \(=\) VSM 29.56 \(\approx\) TS 4.6.6.7
\(\bar{a}\) krandaya balam ojo na ā dhā Ta
abhi șṭana duritā bādhamānaḥ | Ta
apa sedha dundubhe \({ }^{+}\)duchunām ita +Ja
indrasya mușṭir asi vīḍayasva \| Tb

Shout out to [your] strength, put vigour in us, make a thunder-clap driving away dangers. O drum, chase misfortune away from here. You are the fist of Indra, be strong!
pādas ab om. K• ojo] JM RM, oyo Ku Mā [Ma] Pa dundubhe] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, dudubhe Mā \({ }^{+}\)duchunām] dutsunām Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, dutsu(+nā)m JM, duśchunā K musṭir] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa


\section*{ŚS 6.126 .2}
á krandaya bálam ójo na â dhā abhí ṣtana duritá bắdhamānaḥ ।
ápa sedha dundubhe duchúnām itá índrasya musṭír asi vị̣̄áyasva II
RQV 6.47.30 = MS 3.16.3:187.10-11 = VSM 29.56
á krandaya bálam ójo na á dhā ní ṣtanihi duritá bấdhamānaḥ ।
ápa protha dundubhe duchúnā itá índrasya (MS indrasya) musṭír asi vīláyasva II
Bhattacharya edits *ducchunām in \(\mathbf{c}\) (this is not a real emendation; on the spelling -ts- for -chin the Orissa manuscripts, see Griffiths 2009: LXIV-LXV).
ab. On \(\bar{a}\) krandaya-, see JAMISON 1983: 28, "As Thieme has shown (1929: 28), those forms of krandayati that appear with \(\bar{a}(\ldots)\) actually belong to a denominative built to \(\bar{a} k r a n d a ́-\) 'noise', first encountered in the AV, and should be distinguished from both krandayati 'makes roar' and 'krandayati 'makes race (...). \(\dot{\bar{a}}\) krandayati is transitive and attested with acc. object", as in our passage.

In the translation, I interpret bálam as object of \(\bar{a}\) krandaya, but there are also cases that point to an intransitive use of the verb: cf. ŚS 2.36.6a \(=\) PS 1.43.1a \(=19.41 .13 \mathrm{a}\) á krandaya dhanapate
'Shout out, O lord of wealth', \({ }^{45}\) PS 5.34.2a \(\bar{a}\) krandayolul \(\bar{a} k u r u ~ ' S h o u t ~ o u t, ~ w a i l ' ~(L u b o t s k y) . ~\) If we take the verb as intransitive also in our passage, both bálam and ójo would be object of the following the verb (the two words indeed occur very often together, e.g. at R̊V 5.57.6b, 7.82 .2 d ; PS 1.53.3c, 1.54.2b, 3c, 3.19.2b, 14.7.2c, 19.29.2b, 20.60.1b [= PSK 20.57.1b] etc.).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{45}\) Both Whitney and Zehnder interpret the verb as transitive, taking the understood object from the following verb. They translate, respectively, 'Shout out [to him], O lord of riches' and 'Ruf (ihn) herbei, Herr des Schätze'. It seems, however, that there is no need to supply the verb with an understood object.
}

\subsection*{15.12. Weapons of war (continued)}
```

15.12.1 [Triṣtubh-Jagatī] $\approx$ ŚS $6.126 .3 \approx \operatorname{RV} 6.47 .31=\mathrm{TS} 4 \cdot 6.6 .7=\mathrm{MS} 3 \cdot 16.3: 187.12-13=$
VSM 29.57 = ĀpŚS 20.16 .14 etc.
prāmūñ jayāa ${ }_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{bhī}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{me}$ jayantu ${ }^{*}+\#$ Tca
ketumad dundubhir vāvadītu | T3
sam aśvaparnāḥ patayantu no naro Jb
a'smākam indra rathino jayantu \| *Tb

```

Conquer those ones, let these ones be victorious, let the drum constantly sound clearly. Let our heroes, having horses for wings, fly together. Let our charioteers be victorious, O Indra.
prāmūñ] Ku Pa Mā [Ma], prāmuñ JM RM, prāsūm K jayantu] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, jaẏanta Mā ketumad] K, ketuma Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, ketusa JM vāvadītu] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, vā(+va)dītu Ku sam aśvaparṇāh] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, samvaśvaparṇāh Mā, sa naḥ suparṇah \(\mathbf{K}\) patayantu] Or, patayanti \(\mathbf{K}\) no] K, nā Or jayantu] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, jaẏanta Ku ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 1 K

ŚS 6.126 .3
prắmû́m jayābhímé jayantu ketumád dundubhír vāvadītu |
sám áśvaparnạạ̣ patantu no náro 'smā́kam indra rathíno jayantu ||
ŖV 6.47.31 = TS 4.6.6.7 \(=\) MS 3.16.3:187.12-13 = VSM \(29.57=\) ĀpŚS 20.16 .14 etc.
á́múr aja pratyắvartayemá̆h ketumád dundubhír vāvadīti |
sám áśvaparṇaś cáranti (MS cárantu) no náro 'smā́kam indra rathíno jayantu II
b. The intensive \(v \bar{a} v a d \bar{t} t u\) is used with an iterative meaning, which stresses the constant noise of the drums, without reference to the volume of the sound; see SCHAEFER 1994: 177-78: "Hier ist nicht etwa ein einzelner, besonders lauter Paukenschlag gemeint, sondern eine kontinuierliche Abfolge von Paukenschlägen, die die ganze Handlung (Vorbereitung einer Schlacht) begleiten". The translation of ketumád- that I propose is rather free. Since ketú- means 'bright appearence', 'clearness', 'mark, sign', ketumád- would literaly mean 'possessing brightness', 'clear (as a sound)'. On the word ketú-, see Dange 1964, who attributes to it the meaning, 'war-banner', 'bright sign', 'symbol that stands at the foremost place'. Dange's opinion that in the expression ketumad dundubhir vāvadītu, "We have the picture of the advancing Aryan army wherein the war-drum with the banner occupied the front position" needs to be better explained. The word ketumád- is here an adjective in the nueter, used with the function of an adverb, and cannot indicate the war-banner, either on its own or bound to the drum. The same adjective is used in 'acoustic context' also at ŚS 3.19.6cd = PS 1.56.3ab pŕthag ghóṣā ululáyah ketumánta úd īratām 'Let the noises, the clear howls rise apart'.

In other words, the notion of ketú- meaning 'war-banner' could have been present in the redactor's mind and also intentionally exploited in the context of a war-hymn in order to establish a connection between the senses and images, but it is only hinted at, and not explicitly expressed.
c. There are many variants of the verbal form in this pāda: the R \(\mathrm{R} V\), the TS and the VSM read caranti, the MS has carantu, the ŚS patantu. The same oscillation between the use of the indicative and the imperative is also found in the readings of the PS (Or patayantu, \(\mathbf{K}\)
patayanti); I choose the reading of the Orissa manuscripts because every pāda of this stanza has an imperative and it would be awkward to break this pattern. As for the meaning, the PS agrees with the ŚS, since the causative form of the verb pat- 'to fly' shares the same meaning as the thematic form (see Jamison 1983: 61-62), but both the readings of the PS are worse for the metre. The use of the verb pat-, which is metaphorically applied to the horsemen, was probably suggested by the epithet aśvaparna- 'whose wings are horses', 'having horses for wings', for which see ŖVV 1.88.1ab á vidyúnmadbhir marutah svarkái ráthebhir yāta rsstimádbhir áśvaparnaih ' O Maruts, drive here with your chariots possessing lightnings, singing beautifully, furnished with spears, having horses for wings'. According to SINGH 1965: 58, horsemen are mentioned in this passage, and "there is a distinction, clear and deliberate, between the cavalry and the chariots who have gathered together on the field of battle to court the goddess of victory". This idea is hardly possible: the abovementioned Rgvedic passage makes it clear that the epithet áśvaparṇa-, when not used metaphorically, refers to the chariot; moreover, it is unlikely that in Vedic times horses were actively used in warfare, as there is no evidence for the use of stirrups, which solely could have provided to the rider the balance and support necessary to fight with the bow or other weapons.
c. My translation follows the syntactic pattern of the ŚS, in which the verb patantu is unaccented and belongs therefore to a main sentence, connected per asyndeton with the following one (another main sentence as well). The R⿴V and the other parallel passages have in pāda \(\mathbf{c}\) an accented verb, which indicates that the sentence is subordinate (in this case, probably a conditional sentence). On this way of expressing conditional clauses without formal indication (i.e. conditional particle), see Lehmann 1985: 31.

\subsection*{15.12.2 [Trisṭubh] \(\approx\) ŖV 5.31.1}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
indro rathāya prapadam் krṛotu & Tb \\
yam adh \({ }_{\mathrm{i}}\) yasṭhān maghavā jayantam | & Tb \\
irya iva paśubhir \({ }^{+}\)yuyotu gopā & \(+(\#) \mathrm{T} 2\) \\
ariṣṭ jātaḥ prathamạ̣ \({ }^{+}\)siṣāāsan \(\|\) & Tb
\end{tabular}

Let Indra make the prapada [?] for the chariot, the victorious [chariot] which the bountiful has mounted. Like a zealous shepherd with his animals, let him ward off [dangers], uninjured, [even] when just born, always seeking to gain [goods].
prapadam̉] Or, pramidam \(\mathbf{K}\) adhyasṭhān] \(\mathbf{O r}\), adhyasṭhām \(\mathbf{K}\) jayantam] \(\mathbf{K}\), jaẏantām \(\mathbf{O r}\) irya] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], ahir K Pa paśubhir] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, paśubhi JM, paśubhīr RM, paśūn K \({ }^{+}\)yuyotu] yujotu Or, triryetu K jātaḥ] Or, yātu K prathamaḥ] Or, prathamaśK \({ }^{+}\)siṣāsan] śiṣāsan Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], śi \(\{\) sā \(\}\) ṣāsan Pa, śiśāsam K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 2 Z K

\section*{R.V 5.31 .1}
índro ráthāya pravátam krṛ̣oti yám adhyásthān maghávā vājayántam |
yūthéva paśvó vy ùnoti gopá áriș̣to yāti prathamáh síṣāsan II

\section*{Bhattacharya edits \(j \bar{a} t a h ~ i n ~ d . ~\)}
a. The R.gvedic version of this pāda, índro ráthāya pravátaì krọoti 'Indra makes a smooth course for the chariot', is clearer. Apart from the common oscillation between the indicative and the imperative, the reading prapadam of the PS is problematic. Both prapad- and prápada-
mean 'fore part of the foot' and the latter word occurs at 15.10 .7 c above (prapadair), so that the reading prapadaim in Or could possibly be interpreted as perseveration and emended to *pravataim. However, it is also possible that prapad-(a)-, which is connected to the root prapad'step forth', refers to a technical term or a specific manoeuvre of the chariot.
b. On the secondary -sț- in adhyașthān, see AiGr. I, § 205, p. 235 and Hoffmann 1992: 821. At the end of the verse, \(\mathbf{K}\) reads jayantam (adopted by Bhattacharya), while the Orissa manuscripts read jayant \(\bar{a} \dot{m}\) (but a \(3^{\text {rd }}\) plural imperative is syntactically impossible here). I also adopt the text of \(\mathbf{K}\), but an emendation to * väjayantam, which is the reading of the \(\mathrm{R}_{\rho} \mathrm{V}\) and is better for the metre, would be perfectly justified; the text found in our manuscripts could be due to haplography (maghav \(\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}-\boldsymbol{v} \overline{\boldsymbol{a} j a y a n t a m) . ~ A l t h o u g h ~ m a g h a ́ v a n-~ i s ~ o n e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ c o m m o n e s t ~}\) epithets of Indra, in the context of this hymn it seems to refer to the king, especially in considerations of the following two pādas.
c. At the beginning of the pāda, \(\mathbf{K}\) and \(\mathbf{P a}\) read ahir iva, clearly due to perseveration from PS 15.11.4a. Then \(\mathbf{K}\) reads paśūn triryetu, Or paśubhir yujotu, which I emend slightly to paśubhir \({ }^{+}\)yuyotu. The meaning of this expression is difficult to understand. The expression iryo gopāh is a fixed phrase (cf. ŖV 7.13.3b, 8.41.4e, PS 1.18.4b, 5.16.5d etc.). Cf. also ŚS 12.3.11cd = PS 17.37.1cd sá no devy adite viśvavāra írya iva gopắ abhí rakṣa pakvám ‘O goddess Aditi, bestowing all treasures, defend our cooked (offering) like a zealous shepherd'. I interpret the simile in the sense that the king should protect his subjects from dangers like a zelous and energetic shepherd wards off wild animals and dangerous beasts from his cattle.

As regards the metre, I tentatively read irya iva at the beginning of the line, in order to have a dodecasyllable with caesura after the fourth syllable and a Trisṭubh cadence.
d. Both the readings of \(\mathbf{O r}(j \bar{a} t a h)\) and \(\mathbf{K}\) ( \(y \bar{a} t u)\) are theoretically possible: the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) could be defended by explaining jātah of Or as perseveration from PS 7.5.4a and making reference to the common expression yāti prathama- 'he drives at the head', attested, e.g., at RQV \(2.27 .12 \mathrm{c}, 8.27 .8 \mathrm{c}\). But I prefer the reading of \(\mathbf{O r}\) because the expression prathama- jāta- 'just born' is also common (e.g. R̊V 2.12.1a, 4.1.11a; prathama- jāyamāna- at R̊V 1.163.1a, 4a, 4.17.7a, 4.50.4a), and moreover it could refer to the 'new birth' of a recently consecrated king, who, as soon as re-born, is able to fulfill his duties and acquire wealth.

The emendation to *sisāsan has been proposed by Bhattacharya and is almost certain, since — as has already been noted - the confusion \(\dot{s} / s\) is very common both in the mss. of the ŚS and the PS, especially in the context before \(i / y\) (see Kulikov 2009: 142, with note 3).

On the meaning of the desiderative siṣās- (from san- 'to acquire'), cf. HEENEN 2006: 23942 , who very aptly notes, "À l'exception de quelques exemples en prose, siṣās marque toujours un effort de volonté et de force physique du sujet vers une victoire. Cet effort n'est pas du tout éphémère, mais au contraire le plus souvent ressenti comme voué au succès de l'action. En particulier le part. síṣāsant- [as in our case] est utilisé pour caractériser une personne ou une déité comme agissant de façon efficace ou intransigeante pour la victoire".

It might be added that the verb san- very frequently has vája- 'booty' as direct object, so that the victory corresponds to the gaining of the booty itself.

\subsection*{15.12.3 [Triṣtubh] PS only}
parjanyasyeva stanayitnur *áśor
indrāgniyor \({ }^{i}\) va cekhidyate ghoṣo asya |
*sahasravīraḥ śatam sasanvān

\footnotetext{
Tb
*/+\# \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Tb}\)
T1c
}

The noise of this quick one strikes constantly like the thunder of Parjanya, like [the thunder] of Indra and Agni. O chariot, being sufficient for a thousand men, having won a hundred, having won great numbers, be gracious here.
parjanyasyeva] Ku RM [Ma] K, pa\{rya\}rjanyasyeva Pa, paryanyaseva JM, paryanyasyeva Mā stanayitnur] RM [Ma] Pa K, stanaitnur Mā Ku, stanaityur JM *āśor] āsor Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, āso Mā cekhidyate] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, nekhidyate JM, techidyate \(\mathbf{K}\) ghoṣo] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, gho\{s \(\}\) ṣo \(\mathbf{P a}\) asya] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], asyam \(\mathbf{P a}\), sya \(\mathbf{K}\) *sahasravīrah] sahasrovīraś K, sāhasrovīrah Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], sahasrovīraḥ Pa sasanvān] Ku RM [Ma], sasanvan Mā, samanvān JM, sasanān Pa, sisunvān \(\mathbf{K}\) ayutami] \(\mathbf{O r}\), etam் \(\mathbf{K}\) sasanvān] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, samanvān JM, sisunvā \(\mathbf{K}\) mrḍ̣ha] Or, mrlaya K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku JM RM, Z 3 Z K

Bhattacharya edits \(\bar{a} \underline{s o r}\) in a and sāhasro vīrah in \(\mathbf{c}\).
a. The emendation to \(* \bar{a} s{ }^{\prime} o r\) is certain because in both the Sāradā and Oriya scripts the sibilants are often confused.
b. Cf. RV \(10.168 .1 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{PS}\). 1.107.1b, which is about the chariot of the god Vāyu: rujánn eti stanáyann asya ghóṣah 'It keep crashing, the noise of this is thundering'.
c. Bhattacharya edits the reading of \(\mathbf{O r}\), but the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) and \(\mathbf{P a}\) makes the emendation probable. Cf. 12.9b below and AiGr. II/1, § 7c, p. 25.
d. I adopt Bhattacharya's reading mrdeha, as it seems the lectio difficilior. The reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) mrlaya would be better for the metre in that it would give a regular Jagatī cadence, and there is no significant difference in meaning between mrḍa- and mrḍaya- (see JAMISON 1983: 102103). The metre of the whole stanza, however, is too irregular (pāda \(\mathbf{b}\) is extended, pāda \(\mathbf{c}\) has no caesura) and cannot be used as an argument to settle the issue.
15.12.4 [Virāj] a: R尺V 1.163.1c, b: ŚS 9.4.8b, c: R̛V 6.47.26c, PS 15.11.8c
śyenasya pakṣau hariṇasya bāhū Tb
indrasya mușṭir marutām anīkam | Tb
gobhiḥ saṁnaddho asi vīḍayasva \| Tb

The two wings of a falcon, the two forelegs of a deer, the fist of Indra, the front of the Maruts: you are bound with [straps made of] cattle, be strong!
śyenasya] Ku RM Mā [Ma] K, śenasya JM Pa bāhū] Or, bahū K indrasya musṭir] Or, āśvinor aṁsau K marutām] K, martām Or gobhiḥ] Ku RMMā [Ma] Pa, gobhi JM, gobhis K samnaddho] sannaddho Or K asi] K, asa Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, asī Mā ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, om. K

RV 1.163.1c
śyenásya pakṣá hariṇásya bāhū́
R.V 6.47.26cd
góbhiḥ sámnnaddho asi vīḷáyasvāsthātā́ te jayatu jétvāni II

PS 15.11.8cd
gobhiḥ samnaddho ratha vīḍayasva āsthātā te jayatu jetvāni \|I
b. At the beginning of the verse, \(\mathbf{K}\) reads äśvinor amisau 'the (two) shoulder of the two Aśvins', which was probably triggered here from PS \(16.24 .7 \mathrm{ab}{ }^{+}\)indrasyaujo varuṇasya bāh \(\bar{u}\) aśvinor ainsau marutām iyȧ̇ kakut 'The strength of Indra, the (two) arms of Varuṇa, the (two) shoulders of the Aśvins, this head of the Maruts'. Therefore, I adopt the reading of Or.

Pādas \(\mathbf{a}\) and \(\mathbf{b}\) may contain a description of some parts of the chariot, maybe the wheels or its framework; the horse may also be concerned, because pāda a, in its Rgvedic attestation, refers to the fore legs and hind legs of a horse. The expression marutām anīka- possibly refers to the array, to the front line of the Maruts rather than to their faces, so that again the front part of the chariot, where horses are yoked, might be intended. Cf. RQV 8.96.9a tigmám à́yudham marútām ánīkaì ‘The front of the Maruts is a sharp weapon’.
c. This pāda is evidently addressed to the chariot, but this does not contradict the earlier mention of the horse: horses' shoulders were bound to the yoke with neckstraps.
```

15.12.5 [Trisṭubh] \approx R.V 1.95.7
ud yaḿyamīti saviteva bāhū Tb
ubhe sicau yatate bhīma roñjan | Ta
uc chukram atkam ajate śacïbhir Tb
navā mātrobhyo vasanā jahāti || Tb

```

Like Savitar, he constantly stretches up his arms. He puts in order the two ends (of the army), pressing ahead, the terrible one. He helpfully takes off his brilliant garment, he leaves to the mothers new clothes.
yamyamīti] \(\mathbf{O r}\), yannasīni \(\mathbf{K}\) saviteva] \(\mathbf{O r}\), savitave va \(\mathbf{K}\) sicau] \(\mathbf{O r}\), matau \(\mathbf{K}\) yatate] \(\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M}\) RM Mā, yajate \(\mathbf{K}\) [Ma] Pa rñ̃jan] roñjan \(\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{R M}\), rinjī̄n \(\mathbf{P a}\), rañjana Mā, rañjan [Ma], rumjam \(\mathbf{K}\) uc chukram] JM RM, uc chutkram Mā, uchukram Ku [Ma], uchuttram Pa, uś chukram \(\mathbf{K}\) atkam] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, om. Mā, attham K ajate] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, akramate JM, ayatī K śacībhir] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, śacībhị̣ RM, śacībhin K navā] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, nivā RM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 5 Z K

ŖV 1.95 .7
úd yam̉yamīti savitéva bāhứ ubhé sícau yatate bhīmá ronján I
úc chukrám átkam ajate simásmān návā mātŕbbho vásanā jahāti \|

Bhattacharya edits yajate in \(\mathbf{b}\).
This stanza, which is attested with minor variants also at PS 8.14.7 and is taken from R.0V 1.95.7, is about Agni, compared with the chief of an army (see Geldner's comment ad loc.). The reutilization of the Rgvedic stanza in our hymn was probably suggested by the military character of pāda \(\mathbf{b}\) and by the identification of the subject of the actions with the king himself (cf. PS 15.5-6 above for the role of the garment in royal ceremonies).
b. The majority of the manuscripts have the same reading as the R.V, yatate, which is no doubt correct. The same cadence occurs also at R̨V 4.38 .8 d durvártuh smā bhavati bhīmá onñján 'He becomes irresistible, the terrible one, pressing ahead'.
c. The PS has the worse variant śacībhir instead of simásmān 'from all' of the RQV. In the R.V, 22 attestations of śacībhir out of 36 are found in the cadence of a Tristụbh pāda.
d. Cf. PS 6.23 .11 c jahātu \({ }^{+}\)vasanaì svam 'Let her abandon her own clothes'.
15.12.6 [Śakvarī] PS only, e: cf. PS \(12.9 b\), cf. ŚS \(4.31 .3 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{PS} 4.12 .3 \mathrm{~b}\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ugrau te nemī pavī ta ugrā & +\#T3 \\
ugrāḥ śañkavo břhato rathasya | & +Tb \\
\({ }^{+}\)issātra veṇavo *namatā ta ugrā- & T 2 c \\
-agniś cakṣuḥ pravayaṇam rathasya & +\#Ta \\
tenāmitrān pramrọan yāhi śatrūn \(\|\) & Ta
\end{tabular}

Strong are your two felloes, strong are your tires, strong are the pegs of the lofty chariot. The pole here, the reeds, your felts are strong. Agni is the urging eye of the chariot. Drive with that, crushing foes and enemies.
pavī] K, pavi Ku JM RM, pava Mā [Ma] Pa ta] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, te Mā ugrā ugrāh] Ku RM \(\mathbf{M a ̄}\left[\mathbf{M a ]} \mathbf{P a}\right.\), ugrāḥ ugrā \(\mathbf{J M}\), ugrāh \(\mathbf{K}\) śañkavo] \(\mathbf{O r}\), śam̉kapo \(\mathbf{K}\) rathasya] \(\mathbf{O r}\), raṣasya \(\mathbf{K}{ }^{\text {i}}\) īṣātra] iṣātra Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, iṣātrī? RM, yeśáttra K veṇavo] Or, veṇo K *namatā] namatis JM RM Pa Mā [Ma], namatas Ku, navatahnud \(\mathbf{K}\) ugrāgniś] Ku RM [Ma] Pa, ugrāgniḥ Mā, ugrā\{n\}gniś JM, agrāgniś K cakṣuḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, ścakṣuḥ Mā, cakṣuh K pravayaṇam்] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, pravaẏatām \(\mathbf{J M}\), praveṇe \(\mathbf{K}\) tenāmitrān] \(\mathbf{O r}\), tenāmittrān \(\mathbf{K} \quad\) pramṛ̣nan] \(\mathbf{K}\), pramrọam \(\mathbf{O r}\) śatrūn] \(\mathbf{O r}\), satọ̆n \(\mathbf{K} \quad \|] \mathbf{K u}\) JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 6 Z K

Bhattacharya edits \({ }^{+} \bar{i} s ̣ a ̄ t r a\) and namatis in \(\mathbf{c}\).
ab. Note that as in the preceding stanzas the metre is rather irregular. With this stanza begins the description of the chariot (see SparReboom 1985: 122-139). The first two pādas describe the wheels: nemí- is the felloe of a chariot-wheel (cf. R.V 1.32.15, 2.5.3, 5.13.6 etc.), i.e. a segment or the whole rim of a wheel into which the outer ends of the spokes are attached and onto which a metal tyre, called paví-, is usually applied (cf. ŖV 1.34.2, 1.88.2, 1.180.1, 5.31.5, 5.52 .9 etc.). The word śañkú- denotes a peg, nail or spike, whose function in the wheel is difficult to determine. Probably it refers to the nails with which the tyre was fixed to the felloe: cf. ŖV 1.164.48 dvádaśa pradháyaś cakrám ékaì trịni nábhyāni ká u tác ciketa | tásmin sākám triśatắ ná śañkávo 'rpitā́h ṣaṣtír ná calācalásah ‘Twelve are the felloes, and the wheel is one; three are the naves. Who has understood it? Therein are set together three hundred and sixty pegs, which cannot be loosened in any way'.
c. The interpretation of this pāda is problematic. The word \(\bar{i} s ̣ \bar{a}-\) is the pole of the chariot (cf. \(\underset{\circ}{\mathrm{RV}}\) 3.53.17, 10.135.3); veṇú- means 'bamboo', 'reed', 'cane'. This word is attested only at ṚV 8.55.3 śatám veṇúñ chatám śúnah śatám cármāni mlātáni | śatám me balbajastuká áruṣiṇā̀ cátuḥ́satam 'A hundred bamboo's sticks, a hundred dogs, hundred tanned skins; for me a hundred (sheeps) with flakes like tufts of balbaja-grass, four-hundred red (cows)', ŚS 1.27.3 ná bahávah sám aśakan nárrbhaká abhí dādhrṣuh | veṇór ádgā ivābhitó 'samrddhā aghāyávah 'Not many had power, the weak ones couldn't overpower; like the sprout of a bamboo round about, the malignant ones were not successful', PS 1.59 .2 nāsrg asti patañgasya tardasya maśakād yāh | veṇoh pūtudror nāsty assin māsya glaur māpacid bhuvat 'The blood is not for the Patanga, for the Tarda, for those who descend from a mosquito. The blood is not for the bamboo, not for the Pūtu tree. May it not become his lump, his sore' and PS 4.14.1ab yasminn

that is here: the arrow-tip, the bamboo, the wrapping, and the shaft' (Griffiths - Lubotsky 2014). It probably refers to the material with which parts of the chariot were built.

As regards *namatā, the proposed emendation calls into question the word namata- 'felt'. This word, which was previously considered to be attested only later in Sanskrit, is a loanword from Iranian nəmata- 'Reisig', 'Geflecht aus Reisig, Hürde' (BARTHOLOMAE 1904: 1068), and could refer to some kind of internal or external covering for the chariot, made of felt. According to KUZ'mina 2007: 113, "The frame of the vehicle was planked and above it were bent arched planks; it was re-covered by a 'cap' (gadh \(\bar{a}-\) ) made of mats or pieces of felt".

Another possible interpretation is to take the word as referring to the wheel; if so, it could indicate the wooden rim of the wheel bent into a curved shape (see RAU 1983: 17 and cf. R̊V 7.32 .20 cd á va índram puruhūtám name girá nemím táṣteva sudrvàm 'I bend with a song your Indra, the much-invoked one, like a carpenter [bends] the felloe made of solid wood'). In any case, an emendation of the transmitted text seems unavoidable.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
15.12.7 [Trisțubh] PS only & \\
arā nābhayo balam it te akṣa & +Tb \\
ugrau te koṣau saha nisyadābhyām | & Tb \\
\(\overline{a n}_{\text {ne }}^{\text {yor vardhrāni uta }}\) *koṣayor \(\bar{a}\) & \(* \mathrm{~Tb}\) \\
sthānam bandha uta vandhuram te \(\|\) & T 1
\end{tabular}

The spokes, the naves, the axle [are] indeed your strength. Strong are your two boxes, together with the two ? . The straps are in the two pins and in the the two boxes, the standing-place and the seat are in the binding.
arā] \(\mathbf{O r}\), rā \(\mathbf{K}\) balam it te akṣa] \(\mathbf{O r}\), balim ity ekṣa \(\mathbf{K}\) ugrau] \(\mathbf{O r}\), ugre \(\mathbf{K}\) koṣau] \(\mathbf{O r}\), ghoṣau \(\mathbf{K}\) nisyadābhyām] nisyadābhyāḿ JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, nisyadābhyaḿ Ku, nahsvarābhyām K āṇyor] Ku JM \(\mathbf{R M}\) [ \(\mathbf{M a} \mathbf{P a} \mathbf{P a}\), anyor \(\mathbf{M a ̄}\), āraṇyo \(\mathbf{K}\) vardhrāni] \(\mathbf{K}\), vadhrān \(\mathbf{O r}\) uta] \(\mathbf{O r}\), ca \(\mathbf{K}\) *koṣayor ā] koṣaghorā \(\mathbf{K u}\) \(\mathbf{R M} \mathbf{M a ̄}, \mathrm{k}\{r\) \}oṣaghorā \(\mathbf{J M}\), koṣaghoro [ \(\mathbf{M a} \mathbf{~ P a , ~ g h o y o ~} \mathbf{K}\) sthānam̉] Or, rāstrānam் \(\mathbf{K}\) bandha] Ku JM RM Mā, bandhu Mā Pa, vamita \(\mathbf{K}\) vandhuram̀ te] bandhuran te \(\mathbf{O r}\), bandhurete \(\mathbf{K}\) ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] \(\mathbf{P a}, \mid \mathbf{R M}, \mathrm{Z} 7 \mathrm{Z} \mathbf{K}\)

Bhattacharya edits nisyadābhyām in \(\mathbf{b}\), vadhrān and koṣaghorā in \(\mathbf{c}\).
a. The word ará- indicates the 'spoke' (cf. R.VV 1.32.15, 1.141.9, 10.78.4); nábhi- means 'nave of a chariot-wheel', i.e. the thickest portion of the chariot wheel, used to link the axle to the spokes. Why is nábhi- in the plural here? Ákṣa- is the 'axle' of the chariot (cf. R̊V 1.30.14, \(1.166 .9,3.53 .17\) ), and it usually projected somewhat from the nave of the wheel, so that a linchpin (anni-) was applied to secure the wheel in its place.
b. The word koṣa-means 'box, the inner part of a chariot'. Since we know that usually two persons stood together on the same chariot (see my comment at 10.6ab), there must have been a sort of division inside the chariot. That is probably the reason why the word is in the dual here.

At the end of the pāda, nisyadābhyām is a problematic word.
It must be an instrumental dual, governed by saha, and somehow related to the two boxes already mentioned. I interpret it as an instrumental dual from a hapax nisyada- ‘driving/ flowing down', derived from the verb nisyand- 'to flow down' and indicating a sort of gutter or draining channel that was put on both sides of the chariot (on the derivatives from the root syand-, cf.

AiGr. II/2, § 10c, p. 25 and § 22c, p. 74, with the examples raghusyad- 'moving/flowing quickly', sasyád- 'stream', havana-syád- 'hastening to an invocation' and the thematic formations in syáda- 'driving', go-syada- 'driving cows’, aśva-syada- ‘driving horses').
c. On the word āní-, see my comment above. As regards vadhrān, an accusative plural from vádhra- 'a leathern strap or thong' is syntactically wrong. One could emend to *vadhrā, nominative plural; \(\mathbf{K}\), on the other hand, may have preserved a better reading here, vardhrāni, which I interpret as a nominative plural neuter from várdhra- 'leather, strap'. I adopt it in the text, although it makes the verse metrically irregular (no caesura).

I emend the compound koṣaghor \(\bar{a}\)-, whose meaning is not clear, to *koṣayor \(\bar{a}\), for which see R̨V 6.47.9b váhiṣṭhayoh śatāvann áśvayor áa '(put us) on two horses drawing best, O lord of hundreds', where the postposition \(\bar{a}\) is similarly found at the end of a pāda after a dual form.
d. The word bandhúra- has to be interpreted as a varia lectio for vandhúra-, which means 'seat of the chariot', or maybe better, following KEWA, 'Wagenkorb, wicker basket tied upon the wagon, wicker carriage'.
```

15.12.8 [Triș̣̣ubh] PS only
goṣṭhe balam mrga ekam añgam $\quad$ T1
parā kramadhvam maha ā suvanta | Tb
abhīśavo hatāannena vrtram +\#Ta
ayam̉ kṣatreṇa prajayāstū ${ }_{\mathrm{ug}}^{\mathrm{grah}} \| \quad$ *Tb

```

The strength is in the cow-pen, the deer (horn) cheek-piece [combines the reins into] one part. Step forward, procuring greatness. O reins, slay Vrtra through this one. Let this one here be strong with the kingdom, with offspring.
goșṭhe] JM RM, gau( \(\rightarrow\) go)ṣṭhe Ku, gauṣthe Pa Mā [Ma], goṣṭa K balam̃] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, bala JM, balim் \(\mathbf{K}\) ekam añgam்] Ku RMPa [Ma], ekam añga JM Mā, ekasañgam் \(\mathbf{K}\) parā] Or, prā K maha] Or, mahā K suvanta] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], suvantam \(\mathbf{P a}\), suvamica \(\mathbf{K}\) abhīśavo] K, abhīṣavo Or vritram] Or, vr̊ttram K ayami] K, aham Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, maham் Mā kṣatreṇa] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, kṣetreṇa RM, kṣattreṇa K prajayāstūgraḥ] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], pra\{ya\}jaýāstūgraḥ Pa, prajayāstūgrā K \(\|] \mathbf{K u}\) JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 8 Z K

Bhattacharya edits gausthe in a.
a. This pāda is problematic. It seems very probable to me that the manuscripts JM, RM and \(\mathbf{K u}\) post correctionem have preserved a more original reading (goṣthe), which is supported also by the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) (gostha). As regards the meaning, it is likely that the verse makes reference to the reins, explicitly addressed in pāda \(\mathbf{c}\). They were probably made of leather, and since the leather straps are called go-, it is logical to state that 'the strength is in the cow-pen', i.e. in the stable, where the cow abide. The word mrga-, which literally means 'deer', could refer to the cheek-pieces or psalia that were made of horn (on the cheek-pieces, see Kuz'mina 2007: 115 ff.).
b. For the use of the verb \(\bar{a}\)-s \(\bar{u}\) - 'procure, yield, grant', cf. 5.2c above, and for par \(\bar{a}\) kramadhvaim, see the comment at 15.9.5a.
c. Cf. ŖV 1.23.9ab hatá vrtrá́m sudānava índreṇa sáhasā yujáa 'O bountiful gods, slay Vrọtra with mighty Indra as ally' and PS 16.27.3a anenendro maninin \(\bar{a}\) vrtram ahann 'Indra, slaying Vritra with this amulet'.
15.12.9 [Trișṭubh] PS only, b: cf. PS 12.3c, 12.6e
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ā tișṭha jisṣnus tarasā sapatnān & Tb \\
\hline \({ }^{+}\)sahasravīraḥ pramrṇan yāhi satrūn | & T2 \\
\hline *prasṭiyuge krınutām vī̄iyāṇi & *+Ta \\
\hline tadetām etaṁ ratham asya śagme || & Tb \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mount [the chariot], overcoming quickly the rivals. Being strong as a thousand men, drive and crush the enemies. O side-yokes, make you two heroic deeds. Then let the two strong ones go to that chariot of his.
jiṣnus tarasā] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, jiṣnusa rasā RM sapatnān] Or, sahasvām̆ K \({ }^{+}\)sahasravīraḥ] sahasravīra K, sāhasro vīraḥ Or pramṛ̣an] K, pramṛ̣ami Or śatrūn] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], śatrūna Pa,
 krṇutaḿ] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, krśu( \(\rightarrow\) ṇu)tam \(\mathbf{P a} \operatorname{tad} \mathbf{O r}\) Or ud \(\mathbf{K}\) etām] K, ethām \(\mathbf{O r}\) ratham asya] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, rathasysa RM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, 9 Z K

Bhattacharya edits sāhasro vīraḥ in \(\mathbf{b}\), prssțiyuge in \(\mathbf{c}\) and \({ }^{+}\)tadetām in \(\mathbf{d}\).
a. Note the exceptional use of the adjective jiṣnú- 'victorious' with the direct object (sapatnān); this adjective never governs an accusative in Vedic, a construction which is attested only later (Bhartrhari 1.5, Vopadeva 5.26; cf. the epic compounds satyajiṣnu- 'winning the truth', ripujiṣ̣u- 'winning the enemy'). In order to avoid this construction, the accusative sapatnān can be considered, together with śatrūn, the object of the participle pramrṇan in the next pāda.
b. The reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) sahasravīra is much better. Cf. also 12.3 c above, where the same epithet refers to the chariot.
c. I slightly emend the reading of the Orissa manuscritps prsțiyuge to *prastitiyuge, which is a hapax. As pointed out by Sparreboom 1985: 32, "The prásṭi- is the side-horse or, in technical terms, the outrigger or trace-horse. This is a horse, not directly under the yoke, but connected loosely with the vehicle, either by straps to other horse(s) or to the axle. Normally, there is a side-horse on either side (...)".
d. The " "+"-sign in \({ }^{+}\)tadetām is unnecessary, because \(\mathbf{K}\) has the correct reading.

Possibly śagme is a nominative dual referred to the two side-horses.
15.12.10 [Trisṭubh] PS only
aṣtau cakṣūṃ̣̣i kavayaḥ sam namantuv *T2
aśvā prākās̄ā rou dhāvayantaḥ| Tb
anu tvā skambho dadatām piteva Tb
cakramāṇam ainaṃ dhatsvopasthe pra tirātiy āyuḥ \| \({ }^{*}+\#^{\circ} \mathrm{Ta}\)

Let the seers put together eight eyes, driving straight two pairs of horses symmetrically disposed. Let the prop be favourable to you like a father. Accept in [your] lap this one who has stepped: he will make life-time [safely] pass over [obstacles and dangers].
kavayaḥ] Or, kavayas \(\mathbf{K}\) sam் namantv] sam் namanti \(\mathbf{K}\), sam namam்tv [Ma Mā], san namamitv Ku RM Pa, san namantv JM aśvā] Or, śvā K prākāśā] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, prākāśa Mā, prāgāśvā K rju
dhāvayantaḥ] Ku RM [Ma] Pa, rju dhāvavayantaḥ Mā, rodhāvayantaḥ JM, ratha dāvayantah K tvā] Or, prā \(\mathbf{K}\) skambho] Ku RM Mā [Ma] \(\mathbf{P a}\), skamo JM, skamibho \(\mathbf{K}\) dadatāmi] \(\mathbf{O r}\), dadhatī̀ \(\mathbf{K}\) cakramāṇam ainam̀] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, caKRA•ṆAm ainam் Ku, cakramānamai \(\mathbf{K}\) dhatsvopasthe] RM K, dhatsopasthe Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 10 Z K
a. If the interpretation of the preceding stanza is correct, there are four horses in total, two under the yoke and two side-horses, one on either side. The mention of eight eyes is thus explained (or the two men in a chariot and the two horses?).
b. The word prākā̄sa-means, according to the dictionaries 'Metallspiegel' (PW), 'a metallic mirror' (MW). (Are the mirrors a gift? Cf. PB 18.9.10 prākāśāv adhvaryor yāv iva hy adhvaryū atho cakṣuṣī evāsmin dadhāti 'Two mirrors to the two Adhvaryus (the Adhvaryu and Pratiprasthātr); the two Adhvaryus are as much as twins. Besides, he brings eye-sight into him (into each of them)' [Caland]).

On dhāvayati, see Bodewitz 1974, who points out that the verb, which only means 'to drive', appears usually with ellipsis of the object (viz. 'chariot' or 'horse-chariot'). In this PS passage, however, the object is expressed.
c. As regards the word skambhá- 'prop, support', see Singh 1965: 31, who notes that in ŖV 1.34.2c the chariot of the Aśvins, "is said to have three supports fixed in it to lay hold of (tráya skambhā́sa skabhitắsa ārábhe), which might have secured the rider's balance when the vehicle was at full speed)".
d. This pāda is too long, and must contain an interpolation; the expression pra tirāty āyuh is the best candidate to be a later addition.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
15.12.11 [Śáakvarī] PS only & \\
kavibhiḥ syūtaḥ sa rathe vibaddhaḥ & +Tb \\
sa dhanvadhir \(\dagger\) dhanucit te jajāna \(\dagger\) & Ta \\
+anuprāsyemāni přthu madhyamāniy & *T2c \\
aśvebhyah śañtvāya kavibhiḥ krtāni & T 2 c \\
tebhiṣ ṭe śarma yachantu devāḥ \(\|\) & T 3
\end{tabular}

Sewn by the seers, bound in the chariot, that bow-case ? . Throw after broadly those middle ones, made by the seers for the horses, for auspiciousness (?). With those let the gods grant you protection.
kavibhiḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, \{kabhi\}kavibhiḥ JM, kavibhis K syūtaḥ] Ku JM RM, syutaḥ Pa [Ma], syṛtaḥ Mā, sūthas \(\mathbf{K}\) rathe] \(\mathbf{O r}\), ratham \(\mathbf{K}\) vibaddhaḥ] \(\mathbf{O r}\), vibaddhas \(\mathbf{K}\) sa dhanvadhir dhanucit te] sa dhanvadhird dhanucit te \(\mathbf{O r}\), svayam dhanur dhanar danuttvye \(\mathbf{K}\) jajāna] \(\mathbf{O r}\), dadhānaḥ \(\mathbf{K}\) +anuprāsyemāni] anuprāsyevān \(\mathbf{K}\), aṇuprāsemāni Ku Jm Mā [Ma] Pa, aṇuprās\{o\}emāni RM prthumadhyamāny] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, prothr̊madhyamāna Mā, pratitivuddhyamāna K aśvebhyaḥ Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, aśvebhy\{o\}aḥ \(\mathbf{R M}\), śvebhyaḥ \(\mathbf{K}\) śaṁtvāya] \(\mathbf{O r}\), sam்tāya \(\mathbf{K}\) kavibhị̣] \(\mathbf{O r}\), kavibhibl \(\mathbf{K}\) krtāni] \(\mathbf{K} \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M}\)
 ||r 10 || 12 || JM, |r | 12 | RM, || 12 || 11 || Mā [Ma] Pa Z 4 Z K
ab. This stanza confirms Singh's supposition that "On the analogy of Middle Eastern evidence and that of later Sanskrit literature, quivers containing arrows and spears must have been tied to the box of the chariot" (Singh 1965: 31). From the evidence of the PS, however, it seems that not the quiver itself was bound to the chariot, but a bow-case, which could
conceivably contain both the bow and the quiver with arrows. This is the earliest attestation of the word dhanvadhi- 'bow-case', further attested in JB 2.103 = ĀpŚS 22.12.4 = ŚañkhŚS 14.33.20. According to the JB passage, the bow-case was made of (snow) leopard skin (dvaipa; see Lubotsky 2004c: 4).

The sequence dhanucitte is problematic. We can analyze dhanucit te or dhanucitte. In both cases, the form dhanu- is unexpected (the regular thematic form is dhanva- or, in post-Rggvedic text, dhanus- [cf. indra-dhanus, dhanus-krt-, dhanus-kāra-])

At any rate, there are several compounds with -cit as a second member meaning 'piled up', so in the first case, if we could explain the form dhanu-, the meaning of the whole expression would be 'that bow-case is born for you, as a collector of bows'.
c. The verb anuprās- 'to shoot after' is late (ŚB). Which is the object in neuter plural?

\subsection*{15.13. To various gods: for relief from oppression}

This hymn and the following one form a single group of 21 connected stanzas, which correspond to S'S 11.6. The Saunakīya version of this hymn has two stanzas more than the PS, and the material is arranged in considerably altered verse order, as shown in the table below:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PS & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & & \\
\hline ŚS & 1 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 7 & 15 & 8 & 9 & 14 & 17 & 10 & 11 & 19 & 13 & 12 & 18 & 16 & 22 & 21 & 20 & 23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Each stanza contains an invocation to various gods for relief from oppression (ámhas-), and is rather independent from the preceding and following stanzas. Since the order of the stanzas is not relevant in deciding which of the two recensions has preserved the more original text, one should look for other clues to settle the issue, although in most cases this seems to be "little more than arbitrary guesswork" (Griffiths 2009: 423).

As regards the metre, the PS seems superior in general (cf. 13.8b, 14.8b), but there are also lines where the SS is better (13.10c, 14.11c), so it is almost impossible to say in such cases whether the best text has "an archaic trait preserved, or a result of later polishing of originally irregular poetry" (Griffiths ibid.). Moreover, the occurrence of heptasyllabic pādas in Anuștubh stanzas is common in the AV, and cannot seriously be considered a metrical irregularity.

As regards the content, there are at least two instances in which the PS has preserved a better text, viz. at 13.9 a and 13.10 (and 13.10d?). In only two cases (14.1c and 2c) I emend the text of the PS according to the ŚS readings.

The most conclusive evidence suggesting that the PS could be more original is the fact that the two stanzas that are found in the ŚS, but not in the PS, are almost certainly later additions: ŚS 11.6.20 is nearly a repetition of the preceding stanza, and ŚS 11.6.23 "is so discordant with the rest of the hymn as to seem an addition made to it" (see Whitney's comment ad loc.).

There are many links with the preceding hymn, such as the names of the gods (Agni, Indra, Bŗhaspati, Savitar, Mitra, Varuṇa, Pūṣan) and several words: vanaspatīn in 13.1a corresponds with vanaspatibhyaḥ in 11.6b; nāma occurs also at 10.8a; paśava in 13.9a corresponds with paśubhir in 12.2c; mrgāh in 13.9 b with mrgo in 11.2 a and mrga in 12.8a; paksiṇo in 13.9 c with paksau in 12.4a; ugrah in 13.10 b with ugrau, ugrā, ugrāḥ in 12.6 ab and ugrau in 12.7 b ; işur in 13.10 c with işavah in \(11.2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~b}\); 'po in 14.4 b with apam in 11.6 c ; pitron in 14.4 c with pitaro in 10.9a and pitarah in 10.10a; rtāvrdhaḥ in 14.5b with rtāvrdho in 10.10c; prthivyām in 14.7c with prthivyāh in 11.6a and prthivīm in 11.9a; paścāt in 14.8 b with paścāt in 10.6d, 11.1a; purastāt in 14.8 c with purastāt in 11.1 c ; uttarāt in 14.8 c with uttarasmād in 11.1 b ; mrtyūn in 14.9 c with mrtyoh in 11.4 d ; cf. also the compounds divisado in 14.7 a and antariksasadaś in 14.7 b with svāduṣamisadah in 10.9a.

\subsection*{15.13.1 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 11.6.1}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline agnim brūmo vanaspatīn & A \\
\hline oṣadhīr uta vīrudhaḥ | & A \\
\hline indram brrhaspatim sūryam & +\#A \\
\hline te no muñcantuv aṁhasaḥ || & *A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

We address Agni, the trees, the herbs and the plants, Indra, Brhaspati, Sūrya: let them free us from oppression.
agnimi] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, a agnim Pa oṣadhīr uta] oṣadhịita \(\mathbf{O r}\), oṢADHīn atu \(\mathbf{K}\) vīrudhaḥ] K, vīrdhaḥ Or indram̀] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, indro JM brhaspatimi] Ku RM Mā [Ma] K, brhaspat \(\{\overline{\mathbf{1}}\) iim Pa, brhhaspati JM muñcantv] RM [Ma] Pa, muñcaṁtv Ku K, muñcatv JM Mā aṁhasaḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, ahasah JM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RMZ2 Z K

ŚS 11.6.1
agním brūmo vánaspátīn óṣadhīr utá vīrúdhaḥ |
índram̉ bṛ̛haspátim sứryam té no muñcantv ámhhasaḥ ||
d. For the meaning of the word ámhas- in this hymn and in the following one, see my note on PS 15.3.8d. This stanza is erroneously numbered in \(\mathbf{K}\) with the indication "Z 2 Z " after it. This mistake was evidently due to the lacuna of the following stanza, which caused a reorganization of the numbering in order to reach the final number of ten stanzas at the end of the hymn.
15.13.2 [Anuṣ̣̣ubh] ŚS 11.6.3 \(\approx\) MS 2.7.13:94.17-18
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
brūmo devam savitāram & \#A \\
dhātāram uta pūṣaṇam | & A \\
tvaștāram agriyam brūmas & +\#A \\
te \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

We address god Savitar, Dhātar and Pūṣan; Tvașṭar, the foremost, we address: (let them... ).
brūmo... te om. K• dhātāram] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], dhātāra\{ض்\}m Pa pūṣanam] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], \(\mathrm{p}\{\mathrm{u}\} \mathrm{u} \dot{s}(\rightarrow \mathrm{~s})\) anam Pa agriyam̀ \(] \mathbf{J M}\) RM, agryam Ku Mā [Ma] Pa ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku RM

ŚS 11.6.3
brūmó devám savitắram̉ dhātấram utá pūṣạnam |
tváṣṭaram agriyám brūmas té no muñcantv ám̉hasaḥ ||
MS 2.7.13:94.17-18
brūmó rájāānam̉ váruṇam dhātấram utá pūṣáṇam |
tvásṭāram ágriyam̉ brūmas té no muñcantv ám̉hasaḥ |

This stanza is not found in \(\mathbf{K}\). Bhattacharya edits agryam in \(\mathbf{c}\).
ab. Griffith's translation 'We call on Savitar the God, on Pūshan the establisher' is disqualified both by the syntax - for utá does not behave like the enclitic \(c a\) - and by the sense, both because Pūṣan is never called 'establisher' and because dhātár- should be considered an independent divinity. This is confirmed, e.g., by PS 11.14.6ab dhāt \(\bar{a} p \bar{u} s ̣ \bar{a}\) brhaspatir ... ajı̄gaman 'Dhātar, Pūṣan [and] Brọhaspati bring together', in which the use of the plural in the verb shows that there are more than two subjects and that three gods are mentioned;
 let Pūṣan, fat let Indra provide me', in which the names of the two gods are found close to each other but are clearly unrelated.
c. The reading agryam of Bhattacharya's edition should be read agriyam in order to obtain an octosyllabic pāda. I adopt the reading of JM and RM, which is better for the metre and is the same reading as that of the parallel passages. The adjective agriyá- refers to Tvaṣtar at ReV 1.13.10a ihá tváṣtāram agriyám viśvárūpam úpa hvaye 'I invite here Tvaṣtar, the foremost, having all forms' and to Agni at R̊V 6.16.48a agním devắso agriyám indháte vrtrahántamam 'The gods kindle Agni, the foremost, best slayer of Vrtra'. It is not clear whether agriyá- means 'foremost' or 'first-born' when applied to the gods, and the available translations are not consistent (at ŚS 11.6.3c Whitney translates it 'at the head', Griffith 'the foremost', Bloomfield 'first-born', Sani-Orlandi 'primigenio' etc.). At any rate, it should be noted that Tvașṭar's status as first-born seems to be suggested by passages like R̊V 10.64.10ab utá mātáa brhaddivá śrṇotu nas tváṣtā devébhir jánibhih pitá vácah 'And let Brhaddivā, the mother, hear our call, Tvaștar, the father, with the gods and their wives' and especially RVV 9.5.9ab tvásṭāram agrajá̀m gopá́m puroyā́vānam à huve 'I call here Tvastar, the first-born, protector [and] leader', where the adjective agrajáá- 'first-born' is synonymous with agriyá-.
15.13.3 [Anuṣtubu] ŚS 11.6.2, a: cf. MS 2.7.13:94.17
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
brūmo rājānam் varuṇam் & \#A \\
mitram் viṣnum atho bhagam | & A \\
aṁśam் vivasvantam brūmas & \#A \\
te \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

We address king Varuṇa, Mitra, Viṣṇu, and also Bhaga; Aṁśa, Vivasvant we address: (let them... ).
rājānam்] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, rājāna Pa varuṇam்] K, varṇam் Or mitram்] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, mitro JM, om. \(\mathbf{K}\) viṣṇum] Or, viṣṇum்m \(\mathbf{K}\) aḿśam்] K, aḿśuḿ Ku RM Pa [Ma], aḿśu JM, aśum Mā ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 3 Z K

ŚS 11.6.2
brūmó ràjā̄nam̉ váruṇam̉ mitrám víṣṇum átho bhágam |
ámśam̉ vívasvantam̉ brūmas té no muñcantv ám̉hasaḥ II
bc. Aḿśa 'share' is nearly always named together with Bhaga 'fortune'. Both are listed among the minor Ādityas, and their relationship has been variously interpreted: according to Thieme 1970: 401, " \([B h a ́ g a]\) ist der (zugeteilte) Anteil — im Gegensatz zu ámśa m. der [durch Los, Glück erlangte] Teil"; Brereton 1981: 308 proposes, "If Bhaga is Fortune, then Amiśa is best regarded as the Share which Fortune brings".

Note that none of the Orissa manuscripts has the correct reading (also Bhattacharya edits the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) aíśaí).

\subsection*{15.13.4 [Anuṣṭubh] ŚS 11.6.4}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
gandharvāpsaraso brūmo & +\#A \\
aśvinā brahmanaspatim | & A \\
aryamā nāma yo devas & +\#A \\
te \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

We address the Gandharvas and the Apsarases, the two Aśvins, Brahmaṇaspati, the god called Aryaman: (let them... ).
gandharvāpsaraso] Ku Mā [Ma] K, gandharvā\{ṣ\}psaraso Pa, gandharvā 'psaraso JM RM nāma] Mā [Ma] Pa K, nā( \(\rightarrow\) ṇā)ma Ku, ṇāma RM, ṇama JM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 4 Z K

ŚS 11.6.4
gandharvāpsaráso brūmo aśvínā bráhmaṇas pátim |
aryamá nắma yó devás te no muñcantv ámhasaḥ II

\subsection*{15.13.5 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 11.6.6}
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
vātam brūmaḥ parjaniyam & *\#A \\
antarikṣam atho diśaḥ | & A \\
āśáś ca sarvā brūmas & A7 \\
te \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

We address Vāta, Parjanya, the intermediate space, and also the quarters, and all regions we address: (let them... ).
brūmaḥ] Or, brūmah K parjanyam] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, par\{ya\}janyam JM, parjanya K sarvā] Or, sarva K ||] Ku JM [Mā Mā] Pa, |RM, Z 5 Z K

ŚS 11.6.6
vấtam̉ brūmaḥ parjányam antárikṣam átho dísaḥ |
áśāś ca sárvā brūmas té no muñcantv ámihasah ||
a. This pāda could be more easily considered a heptasyllable, but a quadrisyllabic scansion of parjan \(n_{i}\) a-, though not common, is however very probable here and at PS 2.63.3a (= 3.14.6a) yāsā pitā parjaniyo.
```

15.13.6 [Anuṣṭubh] $\approx$ ŚS 11.6.5
ahorātre idaḿ brūmaḥ +\#A
sūryācandramasā ubhā | A
āditiyān sarvān brūmas *\#A
te no muñcantuv am̉hasaḥ \| *A

```

We address Day and Night here, both the Sun and the Moon; all the Ādityas we address: let them free us from oppression.
idam்] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, ida Pa brūmaḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, brūma JM, brūmas K sūryācandramasā] Or, sūryāścandramasā \(\mathbf{K}\) muñcantv] JM RM [Ma] Pa K, muñcañtv Ku, muñcatv Mā aṁhasaḥ] Ku [Mā Mā] Pa, aṁhasaḥ JM, ahasaḥ RM, aṁhasaḥ K ||] Ku [Mā Mā] Pa, |JM RM, Z 6 Z K

ŚS 11.6.5
ahorātré idám brūmaḥ sūryācandramásāv ubhă \(\mid\)
víśvān ādityắn brūmas té no muñcantv ámhasaḥ ||

Bhattacharya edits sarvāna in \(\mathbf{c}\), which must be a misprint due to the omission of the virāma sign.
b. On the sandhi -au \(\check{\bar{u}}\)-, which fluctuates between \(-\bar{a} v \check{\bar{u}-}\) and \(-\bar{a} \check{\bar{u}}\)-, cf. AiGr. I, § 274, p. 326. In contrast to the ŚS, the PS shows the typical Rgvedic sandhi here.
c. The ŚS reads viśsvān ādityã́n instead of ādityān sarvān in the PS. Although sárva- and
 two words become synonymous already in the latest part of the R.V itself; in our passage the variant is not significant (the reading of the ŚS may at best be considered an archaism; see Witzel 1989: 238).
d. All the manuscripts give the pāda without abbreviation, because in the following stanza the last pāda is different; the refrain starts again in stanza eight, where pāda d appears in full as well. The same situation is found at 14.9-11.
15.13.7 [Anuṣtubh] \(\approx\) ŚS 11.6.7, a: RoV 10.97.16a
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
muñcantu mā śapath \({ }_{i} y a ̄ d\) & *\#A \\
ahorātre atho uṣāḥ | & A \\
somo mā divyo muñcatu & \#A \\
yam āhuś candramā iti \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

Let Day and Night, and also Dawn, free me from what comes from a curse. Let the divine Soma, whom they call the Moon, free me.
śapathyād] Ku JM [Ma] Pa K, śapathād RM, sapathyād Mā atho] Ku RMMā [Ma] Pa K, aho JM uṣāḥ] Or, vrṣā K divyo] Or, dityo K muñcatu] K, muñcantu Ku JM RM Pa, muñvantu ? Mā [Ma] \|] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 7 Z K

ŚS 11.6.7
muñcántu mā śapathyà̀d ahorātré átho uṣắh I
sómo mā devó muñcatu yám āhúś candrámā íti II
bc. On the relation between Soma and the Moon, see Hillebrandt 1927: 269 ff .
15.13.8 [Anusṭubh] \(\approx\) ŚS 11.6.15
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
pañca rājyāni vīrudhām & A \\
somaśresṭhāni brūmasi | & A \\
bhañgo darbho yavaḥ sahas & A \\
te no muñcantuv aṃhasạ̣ \(\|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

We address the five kingdoms of the plants, having Soma as their chief. Hemp, darbha, barley, saha: let them free us from oppression.
pañca] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, pam்ca Ku rājyāni] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], rāyāni Pa, rājyān K vīrudhām] vīr̊dhām Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, vīr̊dhā JM, vīrudhān K somaśreṣṭhāni] Or, somaśśresṭthāni K yavaḥ] Or, yadas K muñcantv] K Pa [Ma], muñcaṁtv Ku, muñcanty ( \(\rightarrow\) antv) JM, muñcatv RM Mā \|] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 8 Z K
páñca rājyā́ni vīrúdhām̉ sómaśreṣṭhāni brūmaḥ |
darbhó bhañgó yávaḥ sáhas té no muñcantv ámhasaḥ II
a. The comment on the ŚS explains: rāj\(\tilde{j} \bar{a}\) bhiṣaja viniyujyamānāni patrakāndapuspaphalamūlātmakāni 'The five kingdoms of the character of leaves, joints, flowers, fruits and roots (are) charged by the king (Soma), a physician'. According to Gonda 1985b: 53, this explanation "may be right in that these five components of plants could be used for medicinal purposes".
b. The PS version of this pāda, by reading brūmasi, is metrically superior. The form is a hapax.
c. Note the syntactic change with three nominatives instead of the accusatives found in the other stanzas. The pattern of this stanza is repeated at PS 15.14 .3 below. The commentary on the ŚS calls saha simply 'a kind of herb' (see also ZIMMER 1879: 72).
d. After stanza seven, which has a different last pāda, the refrain is repeated here in full.
```

15.13.9 [Anuștubh] $\approx$ ŚS 11.6.8
ye grāmyāḥ sapta paśava \#A
āraṇyā uta ye mrgāḥ | A
śakuntān pakṣiṇo brūmas +\#A
te ${ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|$ *A

```

The seven domestic animals and the beasts belonging to the jungle; the winged birds we address: (let them... ).
grāmyāḥ] Or, grāmyas K mrgāặ] Or, mrgāś K śakuntān pakṣino] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, śaku \(\cdots\) No \(\mathbf{K u}\) te \(\|] \mathbf{K u}\), JM [Ma], te | \(\mathbf{R M}\), te no \(\| \mathbf{J M}\), no muñcatv am \(\mathbf{M a}\), te Z 9 Z K

ŚS 11.6.8
pắrthivā divyáh paśáva āraṇyắ utá yé mrgaáh |
śakúntān pakṣíno brūmas té no muñcantv ámihasah ||
a. The ŚS version of this pāda, párthivā divyáh paśáva 'The earthly, the heavenly animals', was considered by Whitney as inferior to that of the PS; it was probably triggered here by ŚS 11.5.21a, which is identical. Cf. also ŚS 3.10.6cd (and ŚS 2.34.4a) yé grāmyắh paśávo viśvárūpās téṣā̀̉ saptānā́n máyi rántir astu 'The domestic animals of all forms - of those seven let the willing stay be with me' (Whitney). Whitney reports the explanation of the commentator, who "specifies the seven (i.e. domestic) animals as cow, horse, goat, sheep, man, ass, camel; but the number seven is doubtless used only as an indefinite sacred one".
c. Note the combination of two words, śakunta- and pakșiñ-, which each individually can mean 'bird'. This kind of combination is not unusual in the PS (see Griffiths 2009: 333).
15.13.10 [Anuṣtubh] abc: \(\approx\) ŚS 11.6.9abc
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
bhavāśarvāv idaḿ brūma & +\#A \\
ugraḥ paśupatiś ca yaḥ | & A \\
iṣūr yā eșāā̀ vidmas & +\#A \\
te no muñcant \({ }_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{V}\) am̉hasaḥ \(\|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

Bhava and Śarva we address here, and him who is the fearsome lord of animals. Their arrows which we know: let them free us from oppression.
bhavāśarvāv] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], bh\{ā\}avāśarvāv Pa, bhavāśarvā K brūma] Or, brūmā K ugraḥ] Or, ugrah K iṣ̄r] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, iṣū \(\{\rightarrow\) ṣū?) Pa \(\quad\) yā] [Ma] K, jā Ku JM [Ma] Pa, ya RM eṣàm] Or, eṣā K vidmas] Mā K, vidma Ku [Ma], \{brūma\} vi\{t\}dma JM, vi \(\{\cdot\}\) dma RM Pa te \(\|\) r \(13 \| \mathbf{J M}\), te
 aḿhasah || 13 ||r 10 || Mā, ñcantv amihasah Z 10 Z kā 4 Z K

ŚS 11.6.9
bhavāśarvā́v idám brūmo rudrám paśupátiś ca yáh |
íṣūr yá eṣām samividmá tā nah santu sádā śivắh ||
b. Note the interesting variant of the ŚS, which reads rudrám instead of ugrah in the PS. Bhava, Śarva, Paśupati are all names or embodiments of Rudra, used as full proper names or epithets (see BLoomfield 1897: 618); therefore, the reading of the PS seems to be the lectio difficilior (all the more so since ugrá- can also be used as epithet of Rudra).
c. The SS reads sainvidmá, which is better for the metre but could also be a secondary improvement. I have decided to follow Bhattacharya, who edits vidmas with \(\mathbf{K}\) and \(\mathbf{M a}\). The anomalous form of the \(1^{\text {st }}\) plural perfect, vidmas, which we find in the PS, is also attested at PS 8.15.6c rșīnāàं yāni janimāni vidmas, while vidmasi is found at PS 17.12.2b \(=17.13 .13 \mathrm{c}\) nāmadheyāni vidmasi and at PS 19.47.2b mayūraì vayaí vidmasi. As suggested by Lubotsky 2007: 28, "The latter form is secured by the metre and conclusively shows that vidmas is not a mistake of the transmission, but an original 1 pl . form of PS, although it is not attested in S.S. The present form vidmas \((i)\) is most probably due to the reinterpretation of ppf. avet as an impf.".
d. Instead of the refrain te no muñcantv amंhasah, the ŚS inserts a completely different pāda, tá naḥ santu sádā śiváạh 'Let them always be propitious to us', which is found in nearly identical form at PS \(14.10 \mathrm{~d}=\) SS 11.6 .22 d and seems to be out of place here because it does not suit the pattern. This insertion, however, may be regarded as natural from a point of view of a medieval priest, since Sadaśiva was an important Śaiva deity in medieval Hinduism.

This last pāda is written in full in all the manuscripts with the exception of \(\mathbf{J M}\) and \(\mathbf{R M}\), which have it in the abbreviated form.

\subsection*{15.14. To various gods: for relief from oppression (continued)}
```

15.14.1 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 11.6.14
yajñaṁ brūmo yajamānam \#A
řcaḥ sāmāni bheṣajā | A
yajūm̄ṣi *hotrā brūmas A7
te no muñcantuv aṁhasaḥ \| *A

```

We address the worship, the initiator of worship, the stanzas, the Sāmans, the healing charms; the sacred formulas, the invocations we address: let them free us from oppression.
racah] Or, řcas K yajūṃịị] JM RM Mā [Ma] K, yaj\{u\}ūmṣi Pa, yajūMṣi Ku *hotrā] hotrān Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, hotrāna Mā, hotār K te ||] JM, te | RM, te Z 1 Z K muñcantv amihasah ||] Pa [[om. |]], [Ma], muñcaṁtv aṁhasah || Ku, muñcatv aṁhasaḥ || Mā

ŚS 11.6.14
yajñám brūmo yájamānam ṛ̂caḥ sắmāni bheṣajá \(\mid\)
yájūm̄ṣi hótrā brūmas té no muñcantv áṁhasah ||

Bhattacharya edits hotrān in c.
bc. In these two pādas, the sacred texts are addressed: rcah refers to the Rgveda, sāmāni to the Sāmaveda, bheṣajā to the Atharvaveda and yajūimsi to the Yajurveda. Note the reference to the Atharvaveda as 'the healing charms'; on this and other descriptive names, with which the Atharvaveda was designated, see BLoomfield 1899: 1.

The form hotrān is impossible because there exist hotrá- n. 'sacrificing', 'the function or office of hotr' and hótrā- f. 'sacrifice', or 'invocation', but not a corresponding masculine noun. I emend the text according to the reading of the S'S.

Is it possible to read hot \(_{a} r \bar{a}\) for the sake of the metre?
d. The refrain is abbreviated in \(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{J M}\) and \(\mathbf{R M}\), while the other manuscripts have it written in full.
15.14.2 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 11.6.17, bc: ŚS 3.10.9bc
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
riūn brūma rotupatīn & \#A \\
ārtavām̆ uta hāyanān | & A \\
samāḥ sam̀vatsarān māsas & + \#A \\
te \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

We address the seasons, the lords of the seasons, the seasonal ones and the years; the half years, the full years, the months: (let them... ).
r̊tūn] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, ŗtūna Mā rgtupatīn] Ku JM Mā [Ma], ŗtupatin RM, r̊tavatīn K ārtavām̉] ārttavān Or, ārtavām̌m K hāyanān] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, hāyinas \(\mathbf{K}\) samāḥ] \(\mathbf{O r}\), samān \(\mathbf{K}\) māsas] Or, mājahas K te \|| Ku JM Pa, te |RM, te no \| Mā [Ma], te Z 2 Z K
roû́n brūma ro rtupátīn ārtavā́n utá hāyanā́n |
sámāḥ samivatsarắn mā́sāmंs té no muñcantv ám̉hasaḥ II

ŚS 3.10.9
r九tún yaja rotupátīn ārtavắn utá hāyanā́n ।
sámāḥ sam̉vatsarắn má́sān bhūtásya pátaye yaje ॥
15.14.3 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 11.6.10 = MS 2.7.13:94.15-16
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
divaṁ brūmo nakṣatrāṇi & \#A \\
bhūmim் yakṣāṇi parvatān & A \\
samudrā nadyo veśantās & \#A \\
te \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

We address heaven, the constellations, earth, spirits, mountains, oceans, rivers, ponds: (let them... ).
nakṣatrāṇi] Or, nakṣattrāṇi \(\mathbf{K}\) bhūmimi] Or, bhaumam \(\mathbf{K}\) parvatān] \(\mathbf{O r}\), pārvatān \(\mathbf{K}\) nadyo] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, nidyo RM veśantās] Or, veśaṁtās K te \|] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, te | RM, te Z 3 Z K

ŚS 11.6.10 = MS 2.7.13:94.15-16
dívam̉ brūmo nákṣatrāṇi bhū́mim yakṣắṇi párvatān I
samudrā́ nadyò veśantā́s (MS veśantáms s) té no muñcantv ámhasaḥ ॥
c. For the syntactic structure of this stanza, cf. PS 15.13.8.

\subsection*{15.14.4 [Anuș̣tubh] ŚS 11.6.11}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
sapta rṣīn vā idam̀ brūmo & +\#A \\
apo devīḥ prajāpatim | & A \\
pit̄̄n yamaśreṣthān brūmas & \#A \\
te \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

Even the seven seers we address here, the heavenly Waters, Prajāpati; the Fathers having Yama as their chief we address: (let them... ).
 Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, devī\{ m \} \(\mathbf{~ J M , ~ d e v i ̄ m ~ K ~ y a m a s ́ r e s t ̣ h a ̄ n ] ~ K u ~ R M ~ M a ̄ ~ [ M a ] ~ P a , ~ y a m a h ̣ ́ r e s t ̣ h a ̄ n ~ J M , ~}\) yamaḥ́resṭhāni K brūmas] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, bramas RM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 4 Z K

ŚS 11.6.11
saptarṣ̂́n vắ idám brūmo 'pó devíh prajắpatim | piţ̛́n yamáśresṭhān brūmas té no muñcantv ámihasaḥ ||
a. One must read saptarsin for the metre. The manuscripts of the PS are not consistent in the treatment of the sandhi -al- \(\bar{a}\) followed by \(r\) - (see e.g. Bhattacharya 1997: 27, 266, 606 etc.). Since all the manuscripts unanimously read sapta \(r\) - here, I have decided to keep this sandhi in
the text. For this sandhi, cf. LOPEZ 2010: 138. \({ }^{46}\) Based on the readings of all the manuscripts, LOPEZ edits sapta rssayah at PS 14.2.3c and sapta rșayo at PS 14.2.4a; ZEHNDER 1999: 152, at PS 2.66.5c, edits sapta \({ }^{+} r\) șayo (following K against Or); Lubotsky 2002: 82, at PS 5.15.8a, edits sapta rșayah and reads sapta rșayah metri causa; GRIFFITHS 2009: 97 edits saptarșayo at PS 6.7.9b (where only the ms. RM reads sapta rssayo; he further edits with all the manuscripts saptarṣayo at 7.5.2c, saptarsayaś at 7.5.3b, saptarsibhir at 7.16.7a, saptarșivantam at 7.17.7a). Cf. further under PS 15.14 .10 b below.
15.14.5 [Anușṭubh] \(\approx\) ŚS 11.6.19
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
viśvān devān idaṁ brūmaḥ & +\#A \\
satyasandhān rrtāvrdhaḥ | & A \\
viśvābhiḥ patn̄̄bhị̣̆ sākam̉ & \#A \\
te no muñcantu \({ }_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{V}\) amhhasaḥ \(\|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

All the gods we address here, faithful, increasers of R Ra, together with all their spouses: (let them... ).
viśvān] JM [Ma] Pa K, viśvām Ku Mā viśve RM brūmaḥ] Or, brūmas K satyasandhān] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, satyasam̉dhān Ku viśvābhiḥ] Or, viśvābhị̣ K patnībhiḥ] Or, patnībhis K te \| Ku JM Pa, te | RM te no muñcantv am்hasaḥ || [Ma], te no muñcatv aṁhasah || Mā, te no muñcantv am̉hasaḥ Z 5 Z K

ŚS 11.6.19
víśvān devấn idám brūmah satyásamidhān ṛtaviŕdhaḥ |
víśvābhiḥ pátnībhị̣ sahá té no muñcantv áṁhasaḥ ॥
15.14.6 [Anuṣtubh] \(\approx\) ŚS 11.6.13
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
ādityā rudrā vasavo & \#A \\
devā daivā atharvāṇaḥ | & +\#A \\
aṅgiraso manīṣinas & A \\
te \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

The Ādityas, the Rudras, the Vasus, the gods, the divine Atharvans, the wise Angirases: (let them... ).
rudrā] K, rodrā Or vasavo] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, vasano Ku daivā atharvāṇaḥ] JM [Ma] Pa, daivā a\{r\}tharvāṇaḥ \(\mathbf{R M}\), daivānatharvāṇaḥ ( \(\rightarrow\) daivā atharvānaḥ) Ku, daivānatharvānaḥ Mā, daivā atharvaṇaḥ \(\mathbf{K}\) manīṣinas] JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, manīṣiṇạ̣ Ku RM te ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, ste || Ku, ste | RM, te Z 6 Z K

ŚS 11.6.13
ādityá rudrá vásavo diví devá átharvānaḥ ।
ángiraso manīșịnas té no muñcantv ám̉hasaḥ II
b. The ŚS reads diví devá átharvānah, which Whitney translates, 'The gods in heaven, the Atharvan', then improving the translation in the note, 'The divine Atharvans in heaven' (thus

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{46}\) Lopez's two quotations of PS 6.7.9c are wrong: it is pāda 6.7.9b that is concerned. Lopez says: "Zehnder (1999) and Lubotsky (2002) also edit -a r--", but this is wrong again, because at PS 2.66 .5 c Zehnder edits sapta \({ }^{+}\)rṣayo.
}
also Bloomfield). This translation seems better because the gods dwelling in heaven are mentioned in the first pāda of the next stanza.
```

15.14.7 [Anuṣtubh] ŚS 11.6.12, ab: ŚS 10.9.12ab
ye devā diviṣado A7
antarikṣasadaś ca ye | A
prothivyām śakrā ye śritās \#A
te ${ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|$ A

```

The gods whose seat is in heaven and [those] whose seat is in the intermediate space, the mighty ones who stand on the earth: (let them... ).
antarikṣasadaś] K, 'ntarikṣasadaś Or ye] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, \{ỳ\} ye Pa śakrā] RM, śatrā Mā [Ma] Pa, śa \(\{\) ta\} trā Ku, ś\{r\}akrā JM, cakrā K śritās] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, sitās RM, srjas K \(\mathbf{K}\) ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma], |Pa RM, Z 7 Z K

ŚS 11.6.12
yé devá diviṣádo antarikṣasádaś ca yé |
prothivyắm śakrā́ yé śritā́s té no muñcantv ám̉hasah ||

ŚS 10.9.12
yé devấ diviṣádo antarikṣasádaś ca yé yé cemé bhû́myām ádhi |
tébhyas tvám dhukṣva sarvadă kṣịrám sarpír átho mádhu \||

Bhattacharya edits śakrā̄\({ }^{+}\)in \(\mathbf{c}\), but the reading of RM now makes the "+"-sign unnecessary.
```

15.14.8 [Paṅkti] $\approx$ ŚS 11.6.18
eta devā dakṣiṇataḥ \#A
paścāt prãñca ud etana | A
purastāc chakrā uttarād \#A
viśve devāḥ sametiya *A
te ${ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|$ *A

```

O gods, come from the south, from the west come up eastwards. From the east, O mighty ones, from the north, all the gods, having come together: (let them... ).
eta] \(\mathbf{O r}\), ye \(\mathbf{K}\) dakṣinataḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma], kṣiṇataḥ Pa, dakṣiṇata Mā, dakṣịnatah \(\mathbf{K}\) paścāt] Ku JM \(\mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a ]} \mathbf{P a} \mathbf{K}\), paścā RM udetana] Or, udetu naḥ \(\mathbf{K}\) purastāc chakra] purastā satrā Ku Mā, purastā chakra JM, purastāt cakrā RM, purastāt śatrā \(\mathbf{P a}\) [Ma], puraś cakrā K uttarād] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, uttarā Mā devāh] Or, devās \(\mathbf{K}\) sametya] Or, sametu K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 8 Z K

ŚS 11.6.18
éta devā dakṣiṇatáh paścát práñ̃ca udéta ।
purástād uttarác chakrắ víśve devắh samétya té no muñcantv ámhasaḥ ||

Bhattacharya edits paśvāt in \(\mathbf{b}\), which must be a misprint.
b．At the end of the line，the ŚS reads udéta； \(\mathbf{K}\) reads udetu nah，which Barret emends to udeta nah（cf．Whitney 1905：642：＂Ppp．rectifies the metre of by adding nas at the end＂）． The Orissa manuscripts have probably preserved a better reading，udetana，a \(2^{\text {nd }}\) plural imperative with the ending－tana，which is better for the metre as well as the source from which the corrupt reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) could derive．Therefore I follow Bhattacharya and adopt it in the text． On the imperative ending－tana，see BAUM 2006：31－32．
```

15.14.9 [Anuṣtubh] $\approx$ ŚS 11.6.16
arāyān brūmo rakṣāminsi \#A
sarpān puṇyajanān uta | A
mrtyūn ekaśatam̉ brūmas +\#A
te no muñcant ${ }_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{V}$ aṁhasaḥ $\|$ *A

```

We address the Arāyas，the demons，the snakes and good people；the hundred and one deaths we address：let them free us from oppression．
arāyān］RM Mā［Ma］Pa，arāȳānā Ku，arāȳāna JM，rāyām K rakṣāmsi］JM RM Mā［Ma］Pa K，rakṣāsi Ku muñcantv］K［Ma］，muñcam̀tv Ku，muñcatv JM RM Mā，muñcanv Pa am̀hasah｜｜］Ku JM Mā ［Ma］，amihasaśā［［om．｜｜］］Pa，amihasaḥ｜RM，amhhasah Z Z 9 Z K

ŚS 11．6．16
arấyān brūmo rákṣāmsi sarpā́n puṇyajanā́n piṭ̛̂́n｜
mr̊tyứn ékaśataṁ brūmas té no muñcantv ám̀hasaḥ｜｜
a．On the word aráya－and its metrical behavior，see GRIFFITHS 2009：104．K reads rāyā \(\dot{m}\) ， with the omission of initial \(a\)－；the alternation \(\mathbf{O r} / \mathbf{S} S\) arāti－：： \(\mathbf{K}\) rāti－occurs in several passages of the PS（cf．Griffiths 2009：339）．The word aráaya－（translated inconsistently by Whitney as ＇the niggard＇or＇the arāyas＇）seems to refer to＂ein vor allem Frauen befallender Dämon＂（cf． Zehnder 1999：153－154），and has several attestations in the ŚS（2．25．3，8．2．20，8．6．4－6／12， 11．6．16，16．6．7）and in the PS（i．a．2．67．1－5，6．8．6，6．14．6－8，7．11．7，7．19．5，16．79．4－6 etc．）．
b．Cf．ŚS \(8.8 .15 \mathrm{ab}(=\) ŚS \(11.9 .24 \mathrm{~cd}=\mathrm{PS} 16.30 .5 \mathrm{ab})\) gandharvāpsarásah sarpā́n devā́n punyajanán pitrón＇The Gandharvas and the Apsarases，the snakes，the gods，the good people， the Fathers＇．In the PS，a plural Dvanda－Compound sarpapunyajanās＇snakes and good people＇ is attested three times（PS 9．25．6a，10．14．2a，16．84．7b），and always appears in connection with the compound gandharvāpsaras－．It also occurs in VaitS 7．22，GB 1．3．11x and AVPariś 45．2．9． The reading pit⿳亠口冋几 in the ŚS，at the end of the line，is closer to the parallel passages，but the Fathers were already mentioned at 14.4 c above．
d．The refrain is written in full here and at 14．11．d because the stanza 14.10 has a different final pāda．The same situation is found at 13．6－8．
```

15.14.10 [Anusṭubh] ŚS 11.6.22, d: cf. ŚS 11.6.9d
yā devị̄ pañca pradiśo \#A
ye devā dvādaśa rtavaḥ | A
sam்vatsarasya ye daṃṣtrās +\#A
te naḥ santu sadā śivāḥ \| A

```

They that are the five divine directions, that are the twelve divine seasons, that are the teeth of a full year: let them always be propitious to us.
devīh] \(\mathbf{O r}\), devīh \(\mathbf{K}\) pañca] JM RM Mā [Ma] \(\mathbf{P a} \mathbf{K}\), pamica \(\mathbf{K u}\) pradiśo] \(\mathbf{O r}\), pradiśa \(\mathbf{K}\) dvādaśartavaḥ] dvādaśarttavaḥ Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, dvādaśārttavaḥ Mā, dvādaśatuvaḥ \(\mathbf{K}\) saṁvatsarasya] K Or, saḿvatsarasyam Pa ye] Or, te K naḥ] Or, nas K ||] KU JM Mā Ma Pa, \(\mid \mathbf{R M}, \mathbf{Z} 10\) Z K

ŚS 11.6.22
yá devî́l páñca pradíśo yé devắ dvádaśa rtávah ।
sam̀vatsarásya yé dámsṣṭās té nạ̣ santu sádā sivaáh ||

Bhattacharya edits dvādaśarttavaḥ in b.
b. Bhattacharya edits \(d v a \overline{d a s ́ a r t t a v a h, ~ b u t ~ g e m i n a t i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ d e n t a l ~ i n ~ s u c h ~ c l u s t e r s ~ i s ~ m e r e l y ~}\) an orthographic feature of the Orissa manuscripts (cf. i.a. PS 6.11.3d, 7.6.1b, 13.2.3b etc.). For the sandhi, cf. my note at 15.4 a above. Note that also all the SS manuscripts read here \(d v a \bar{a} d a s ́ a\) rtávah (see Whitney 1905: 643).
c. The expression samivatsarasya damistra- (on which see Gonda 1984a: 13) also occurs at PS 1.63.2cd ( \(\approx\) ŚS 10.5.43) saìvatsarasya (ŚS vaiśvānarásya) daìṣtrābhyā̀̇ hetis tamं sam adhād abhi 'The missile has snapped upon him with the two fangs of the full year'.
```

15.14.11 [Anuṣtubh] $\approx$ ŚS 11.6.21
bhūtaṁ brūmo bhūtapatimं \#A
bhūtānām uta yas patiḥ | A
bhūtāni sarvā brūmas A7
te no muñcant $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{V}}$ aṁhasaḥ \| *A

```

Ghost we address, ghost-lord and [him] who is the lord of ghosts. All ghosts we address: let them free us from oppression.
bhūtānām] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, bhūtānāmim Mā muñcantv] Ku [Ma] Pa K, muñcatv JM RM Mā
 \(\|\mathrm{r} 11 *\| * \| \mathbf{P a}, \mathrm{Z}\) kā 5 ZZZ iti tritīyonuvākaḥ pañcadaśaḥ ZZ K

ŚS 11.6.21
bhūtám brūmo bhūtapátim bhūtā́nām utá yó vaśí |
bhūtā́ni sárvā saṁgátya té no muñcantv ám̉hasaḥ ||
bc. The ŚS reads yó vaśsít 'who is the ruler, the lord' at the end of \(\mathbf{b}\). The two variants are metrically equivalent and synonymous, so it is difficult to say which one is authentic. At the end of \(\mathbf{c}\), the reading saingátya of the ŚS is metrically better than brūmas of the PS.

\subsection*{15.15. To the herb Arundhatī}

PS 15, 16 and 17 form a composition of 28 connected stanzas, split over three hymns of ten, ten and eight stanzas, respectively \((10+10+8)\).

The hymn, which praises the healing virtues of the herb arundhat̄ , can be divided into three or four parts. Until stanza 16.4 it is rather unitary: the redactor alternatively addresses the herb (stanzas 15.1-4, 15.7-9, 16.1-3) and a patient to be cured with the herb itself (stanzas 15.5-6, 10).

From stanza 16.4, the hymn acquires a formulaic character by means of a refrain repeated in pādas cd of every stanza until 17.7. Within this section, stanzas 16.4-17.4 form a subgroup in that they also share the same syntax and formulaic elements in pādas ab, while the three following stanzas form another subgroup in that they show a different structure in the first two verses. The stanza 17.8 then closes the hymn without the refrain.

There is no consensus at all as to what the word arundhatí- precisely refers to, although scholars have speculated on its etymology at length (cf. GRIFFITHS 2009: 62, ZYSK 1985: 19899, Hajnal 1999: 90f.). However, this hymn and passages like PS 1.85.4cd \(=8.7 .10 \mathrm{~cd} *\) imā\(\dot{m}\) hy asmā oṣadhim ā harāmy arundhatīm 'For him I fetch this herb, Arundhatī', and PS 8.7.9cd kalmāṣapuccham oṣadhe jambhayāsy arundhati 'O herb, O Arundhatī, you will devour the spotted-tailed [viper]' make it clear that arundhatí- must refer to a herb. As pointed out by ZySk 1985: 97, "One of the most auspicious óṣadhis [...] is Arundhatī whose various forms were considered extremely efficacious in the treatment of broken limbs and flesh wounds. Because of her profound ability to bring about the cure of such infirmities, a mythology evolved, surrounding her and her various forms, which may be summarized as follows: She is described as a perennial, harmless, life-giving herb with a saving honey-sweet flower; and as sahádevi , she is said to protect quadrupeds (especially domestic ones), men and (small) birds from yákṣma and from harm. As róhanī , she is the healer of the severed bone. In her form as śilācít, she is called the gods' sister whose mother is the night, father, the cloud and grandfather, Yama [...]. As lākṣá, she is called the sister of the waters whose self has become the wind and is described as hairy-sided. As śilācí and lākṣáa, she is said to be very beautiful, golden, sun-and fire-colored and goat-brown, to be born from the blood of Yama's tawny horse and to be arising out of, mounting and creeping on trees. In the form of viṣanakáa, she is said to have arisen from the fathers' root; and as pippalíl, she is mentioned as having been buried by the ásuras and dug up again by the gods".

Many features mentioned in this description occur also in our hymn, such as the herb's role of protector of bipeds and quadrupeds (15.155.2c), its healing power against yákṣa (15.17.8a) and its kinship with the gods ( 15.15 .3 ab ). Moreover, when the redactor addresses the patient in stanzas 15.15.5-6, he invokes the waters, the wind, the sun and the night, which are all entities connected with the imagery of Arundhatī, as mentioned above.

Besides contributing to our knowledge of the properties and healing virtues of Arundhatī, this hymn confirms that there must have been a close connection between the herb and Rudra, as was already suggested by PS 2.20, a hymn for protection addressed to Rudra and BhavaŚarva, which ends with a stanza in praise of Arundhatī (see ZEHNDER 1999: 65).

In our hymn, this connection is clearer and more deeply developed, as it takes the form of a list of seven names of Rudra occurring in the following order in stanzas 16.7-17.3: Bhava, Śarva, Rudra, Paśupati, Ugra, Mahādeva, İs̄āna. The herb, it is said, can heal anyone who is
struck by these gods (viz. by their arrows). The mention of Rudra and his various forms is even more significant in that it echoes the occurrence of some of Rudra's embodiments referred to at 15.13 .10 ab , and also anticipates hymns \(15.20-21\), which are entirely devoted to Rudra.

Moreover, it is certainly not a coincidence that the list of the names of Rudra occurring in our hymn corresponds to the list found in the seven stanzas of ŚS 15.5 , which belongs to the vrātyakānda; one of the principal divinities worshipped by the vrātyas was indeed Rudra, as hinted by his epithets in the long Śatarudriya litany that accompanies the 425 oblations to Rudra on the completion of the brick-piled fire altar. As I have already suggested in the Introduction, this correspondence, together with the large presence of Rudra in the hymns of the PS, may be considered a small piece of evidence that groups of Paippalādins could have shared (at least) some aspects of the Vrātyas' culture.

Finally, the hymn provides clear evidence that the herb Arundhatī was also used to cure a disease called vātīkāra (= vātīkrta). The dictionaries translate it simply as 'eine bestimmte Krankheit' (PW) or 'a particular disease of the eyes' (MW), while the interpretations of the scholars fall broadly into two categories, depending on the etymology accepted for the first part of the compound, vāt \(\bar{c}\)-.

The majority of scholars have followed the explanation of Sāyana, who connected the name of the disease with the word for 'wind', vā́ta- (for instance, ad ŚS 6.109.3c \(=\) PS 19.27.10c Sāyaṇa glosses vātîkrta as vātarogāviștaśarīra 'the body possessed by the wind-disease').

Although Whitney 1905: 313 already admitted that "vātíkrta, like vātīkārá, is too doubtful to render" and "its derivation from vāta 'wind' is extremely unsatisfactory", most of the scholars have interpreted the word in such a way.

Zysk 1985: 32 n .9 renders the word as "a symptom of injury caused by a wound". Although he himself admits that the exact meaning of vātīkrta is in question, he nevertheless proposes that it "may be rendered as 'that which is made to become wind', i.e. a type of stomach or intestinal upset" (p. 212), again defining it as "a symptom manifested by a victim of a wound [...] which suggests a type of dyspepsia" (p. 213).

Filliozat 1949:140, discussing the compound vātīkrtanáásan̄̄, points out that "cette expression signifiant 'qui détruit ce qui est transformé en vent' et non pas 'qui détruit ce qui est fait par le vent'. D'ailleurs ce composé qualifie un remède qui est dit au vers précédent 'remède du flux (diarrhéique, probablement)' âsrâvabhesajám, et le vent en question est sans doute celui des intestins", adding in a footnote, "D'ailleurs vātīkāra [...] qui paraît être une autre forme de vātīkrta - ne peut signifier que 'ce qui produit du vent'". According to him, this is the only possible interpretation: "Mais nous venons de voir que vâtîkrta était 'ce qui est transformé en vent' (il n'est pas grammaticalement possible de l'interpréter autrement)" (p. 141).

Along the same line of interpretation, WaCKERNAGEL 1908: 131 (= 1969: 1352) renders vätíkrıta- as 'dem Wind ausgeliefert', while Renou 1957a: 65 translates it 'changé en vent', but rather inconsistently interprets the compound vātīkrtabheṣajī as 'used (f.) as a remedy against \(v a \bar{t} a\) (plant)' (Renou 1957b: 99).

SCHINDLER 1980: 386 n .7 rejects the meaning 'zum Wind machend' for vātīkārá, and cautiously suggests 'Windmacher', noting that "Anscheinend hat sich vor \(k r\) - der Ersatz von \(a\) - durch \(-\bar{\imath}\) - vereinzelt auch auf Bildungen ausgebreitet, denen die Bedeutung 'machen' und nicht 'zu etwas machen' zugrunde liegt'.

LUBIN 2007: 90-92 offers a more detailed study of the word; the core of his interpretation is based on an analysis of PS.15.16 ff. While the stanzas PS 15.16.4-6 show a connection between
the disease and shooting, making clear that anyone who is afflicted by vātīkāra must have suffered from a puncture wound, the following stanzas seems to establish a relationship between the disease itself and Rudra. Lubin concludes that "considering the nature of the injury and its effects, the word's seeming connection with the wind, and the fact that is was considered an apt epithet for Rudra", a meaning 'lightning-bolt', 'lightning-striking' is conceivable, also on account of the association of storms and wind with Rudra. He admits, however, that other interpretations are possible, and mentions two other possibilities, namely, that \(v \bar{a} t \bar{t} k \bar{a} r a\) refers to an infection associated with a wound caused by an arrow or a bite, or to an outbreak of unopened sores, boils or pustules.

A different explanation has been proposed by Hoffmann 1967: 26 note 3 (= 1976: 466 note 3) and accepted by Mayrhofer (cf. EWAia s.v. váta-). According to Hoffmann, the name of the disease has nothing to do with the wind and should be connected with the root \(\mathrm{V} \overline{\mathrm{A}}^{2}\) 'erlöschen, dahinschwinden, ermatten'.

Neither Hoffmann nor Mayrhofer have explained the form in detail, but it seems clear enough that they interpret vātīkāra / vātî̀krta- as meaning 'procuring vanishment, fatigue'.

This etymological solution, which is more satisfactory, does not preclude the connection of the disease with a wound caused by an arrow (be it Rudra's or not), a puncture wound or bite, as pointed out by Lubin.

This hymn is quite clearly linked with the preceding one: ugra in 15.1c and ugreṇa in 17.1a correspond with ugraḥ in 13.10 b ; oṣadhe in 15.1 c , oṣadhīh in 15.6 d and oṣadhīm in 15.7 b with oṣadhīr in 13.1b; vātah in 15.5 c with vātam in 13.5a; brhaspatih in 15.5 c with brhaspatim in 13.1 c and sūryah in 15.1b, 5d with sūryam in 13.1c.
15.15.1 [Anuștubh] a: PS 19.9.10a, ab: PS 7.11.10ab, c: cf. PS 7.11.10c
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
yāvad dyaur yāvat prọthivī & \#A \\
yāvat paryeti sūriyaḥ | & *A \\
tāvat tvam ugra oṣadhe & A \\
pari pāhiy arundhati \(\|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

As far as the sky, as far as the earth [stretches forth], as far as the sun goes around: so far must you, O fearsome herb, protect [me] around, O Arundhatī.
yāvad] RM, yāva Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, yovad K dyaur] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, dyau Mā yāvat] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, yā Mā yāvat] Or, yavāt K tāvat] K, tāva Or tvam] JM RMMā [Ma] Pa K, tvām \(\mathbf{K u}\) ugra oṣadhe] Or, ugroṣadhī \(\mathbf{K}\) arundhati] K, ar̊ndhati Or ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z Z 1 Z K

As pointed out by Griffiths 2009: 371, the text of this stanza in nearly identical to that of PS 7.11.10 yāvad dyaur yāvat prthivī yāvat paryeti sūryah \(\left.\right|^{+}\)tāvat tvam ugra gulgulo parīmā̀ \(\dot{m}\) pāhi viśvatah 'As far as the sky, as far as the earth [stretches forth], as far as the sun goes around: so far must you, o fearsome Gulgulu, protect her here, all around', and its structure is to be compared with that of PS 4.22 .4 yāvat sūryo vitapati yāvac cābhi vipaśyati| tāvad viṣasya dūṣanaim vaco nir mantrayāmahe 'As far as the sun heats up, and as far as it overlooks: so extensive an utterance do we use as mantra, to spoil poison', and PS 19.9.10 yāvad dyaur yāvat prthiv̄̄ yāvad ābhāti sūryaḥ|tāvat srjāmi te viṣam yāvad arṣanti sindhavaḥ 'As far as the sky, as far as the earth [stretches forth] - as far as the sun gives light: so far do I hurl your poison [away], as far as the rivers flow' (Griffiths's translations). For the structure of the stanza, one
could compare also PS 5.27.3ac yāvatī dyāvāprthivī varimnā yāvad vā sapta sindhavo mahitvā | tāvatī nirrtir viśvavārā 'As great as are Heaven and Earth in width, as much as are the seven rivers in greatness, so great is Nirrti' (Lubotsky). Pāda c occurs in similar passages in which a herb is part of a comparison expressed by yath \(\bar{a} \ldots\) eva: cf. PS 1.98.1c \(=\) PS 4.20.6c \(\approx\) PS 19.41.17c evā tvam ugra oṣadhe 'Thus you, O fearsome herb'. See further PS 11.12.1c darbho ya ugra oṣadhis ‘The darbha which [is] a fearsome herb'.
15.15.2 [Anuṣtubh] ab: PS only, c: PS 1.65.3, cd: ŖV 10.97.20cd, TS 4.2.6.5, VSM 12.95cd
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
ariș̣as \(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{vā}\) khanatiy & *\#A \\
ariṣāay khanāmi tvā | & +\#A \\
dvipāc catuṣpād asmākam & \#A \\
sarvam astuv anāturam \| & *A
\end{tabular}

Unharmed, he digs you up. I dig you up for the sake of (him) being unharmed. Let every biped and quadruped of ours be uninjured.
arisṭas] Ku RM Mā [Ma] K, arisṭās JM Pa tvā khanaty] Or, tvākhanat \(\mathbf{K}\) ariṣṭāya] Or, parisṭāya K dvipāc] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, dvipā Mā asmākam்] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, āsmākam JM sarvam] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, sarva JM, sarvas \(\mathbf{K}\) astv] Ku Pa Mā [Ma], 'stv JM, asv RM, atv \(\mathbf{K}\) anāturam] anāturam Or, anāparam்m K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 2 Z K
R.V 10.97.20 = TS 4.2.6.5, VSM \(12.95 \approx\) PS 1.65.3
mắ vo riṣat khanitá yásmai cāhám khánāmi vaḥ |
dvipác cátuṣpad asmắkam் sárvam astv anāturám II

PS 1.65.3 (ab = PS 9.3.2cd, 19.42.15ab)
mā te triṣam khanitā yasmai ca tvā khanāmasi |
dvipāc catuṣpād asmākam mā riṣad devy oṣadhe \|
ab. The first two pādas express the same idea of the parallel passages of the other Samhitās, but with a simpler, asyndetic syntax. In a, \(\mathbf{K}\) reads tväkhanat 'one dug you up'. The imperfect is very attractive here, in opposition to the present tense of the next line (especially if compared with a passage like ŚS 4.4.1 = PS 4.5.1 yá́m tvà gandharvó ákhanad váruṇāya mrtábhraje | tā́m tvā vayám khanāmasy óṣadhim śepahárṣaṇīm 'We dig you up, the herb causing erection, which the Gandharva dug up for Varuṇa, whose virility was dead'). Note the chiastic structure at the end of the two verses (tvā khanaty - khanāmi tvā).
cd. On Arundhatī as protector of cattle and men, see ŚS \(6.59 \approx\) PS 19.14.10-12, which I quote in extenso: anaḍúdbhyas tvám prathamám dhenúbhyas tvám arundhati |ádhenave váyase śárma yacha cátuṣpade \|| sárma yachatv ósadhih sahá devír arundhatí | kárat páyasvantaì goṣthám ayakṣmáăm utá pú́ruṣān || viśvárūpā̀̉ subhágām achá́vadāmi jīvalā́m | sá no rudrásyāstá̀m hetím dūrám nayatu góbhyaḥ ' O Arundhatī, give protection to the draft-oxen first, to the cows, to the cow which yields no milk, to splendour, to the quadruped. May the herb Arundhatī, which stays with the gods, \({ }^{47}\) give protection. It will make the cow-pen full of

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{47}\) The translation follows the emendation of sahá devír to sahádevī, for which see Whitney 1905: 325. He admits that sahádevī "may be here simply the name of the plant" (this is also the interpretation given by Sāyaṇa) "but yet probably with pregnant implication of its etymological sense". BLOOMFIELD 1897: 490 proposed the slightly different emendation to sahá devaír 'along with the gods'. In both cases, the meaning is in accord with the content
}
milk and the men free from yakṣma. I speak to the one having all forms, prosperous, full of life. So let it lead the missile thrown by Rudra far from our cattle'. A similar phrasing occurs also at RoV 1.114.1cd yáthā śám ásad dvipáde cátuṣpade vísvam puṣtám grā́me asmínn anāturám 'so that weal there may be for the biped and the quadruped, [so that] every wealth in this village [may be] free of damage'. On the meaning of (an)āturá-, see Zysk 1985: 316. Cf. also PS 15.16.1c below.
15.15.3 [Anuṣtubh] a: PS 19.13.6b, d: R̊V 10.97.17d = TS 4.2.6.5 = ŚS 6.109.2d (for a cf. ŚS 5.5.1d \(=\) ŚS 6.100.3b \(=\) PS 6.4.1d, RoV \(2.32 .6 \mathrm{~b}=\) ŚS \(7.46 .1 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{PS} 20.11 .12 \mathrm{~b}\); for c cf. RVV 10.97.17c)
devānāām asi svasā A
marutām asi sañcarī | A
yam̉ jīvam aśnuṣe \(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{u}}\) vaḿ *A
na sa riṣyāti pūruṣaḥ \| A

You are the sister of the gods, you are the companion of the Maruts. The man, whom you reach alive, will not get hurt.
devānām asi] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, devānāsi RM, tevānām \(\mathbf{K}\) marutām] maṛtām \(\mathbf{O r}\), ma \(\cdots \mathbf{K}\) sañcarī] Or, siñcanī K yam̀] Ku K, yañ JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa jīvam] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, jījavam Pa aśnuṣe] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, aśnuse Mā riṣāti] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, riṣyati RM, riṣyāt K pūruṣaḥ] pūrṣaḥ Or, pauruṣah K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 3 Z K

PS 19.13.6ab
asurāṇām asi duhitā devānām asi svasā ।

ŖV \(10.97 .17 \mathrm{~cd}=\) ŚS \(6 \cdot 109.2 \mathrm{~cd}=\mathrm{TS} 4.2 \cdot 6 \cdot 5\)
yám jīvám aśnávāmahai ná sá riṣyāti pứruṣaḥ ||
Bhattacharya edits sañcarī in b.
a. Note the erroneous reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) tevānām; the confusion between \(-t\) - and \(-d\) - in this same word occurs at 6.9 above. As in the parallel texts listed above, it seems that the redactor wants to establish a kinship between the plant and the gods.
b. The interpretation of this pāda is problematic because of the form sañcarı \(\bar{\imath}\), which is the reading of all the Orissa manuscripts. I adopt it as the best one regarding both the formation and the meaning. It can be interpreted as a derivative from the verb sámicar- 'to go together, meet, join' (on the writing of \(-\dot{m} c-\) as \(-\tilde{n} c\) - in the Orissa manuscripts, see my note at 11.2 c ), meaning 'the one (f.) who moves together, companion' (for the formation in -carí-, see AiGr. II/2, § 250, pp. 402-403 and cf. the later attested formations anucarī- 'a female attendant', sahacarī- 'a female companion' etc.). The hapax sañcarī, which is the oldest attestation of this type of formation, could have had the function of highlighting the kinship between the plant and the gods.

It has to be noted that also the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) siñcani is very attractive. Considering the mention of the Maruts, to whom the root sic- 'to pour' is often associated, and the use of the

\footnotetext{
of PS 6.4.1, 15.15.3 etc., where the poet, ascribing divine relatives to the plant, seems to establish a kinship between the plant itself and the gods (see next stanza).
}
imperative (anu)siñca addressed to the plant at 15.9 a, it would be tempting to adopt the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) and interpret it as a feminine formation in \(-\bar{\imath}\) with the suffix -ana- from the root sic-.

According to AiGr. II/2, § 89d, p. 203, radical nouns in -ana- can retain the nasal of the present stem, so that from the root sic- (present siñcáti) we have next to each others the "regular" formation with the root in the full grade secana- 'sprinkling, watering' and the formation with the nasal infix siñcana- 'id'. As to the correspondent feminines, next to secanīone would expect siñcanī-, the form actually attested in \(\mathbf{K}\). If the interpretation of the noun as nomen actionis is correct, the translation of the verse would be 'You are the sprinkling of the Maruts', which would suit the context as well. The only problem is that is not easy to explain the confusion between \(r \bar{\imath}\) and \(n \bar{\imath}\) in Oriya script, while the two akṣaras are very similar in the Sarada script. The reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) could have been also favoured by the presence of the verb anu siñca at 15.9a.
15.15.4 [Anusțubh] PS only, bc: PS 7.10.6bc
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
arundhatī nāmāāsi & *\#A \\
třtīyasyām ito divi \(\mid\) & A \\
tatrāmrtasya rohanamà & A \\
tena tvāchā vadāmasi \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

You are called Arundhatī, in the third heaven from here. There is the mounting of immortality. Therefore we invite you.
arundhatī] K, ar̊ndhatī Or ito] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, roto JM rohaṇam்] JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, rohaṆAM \(\mathbf{K u}\), rohaṇ\{•\}am் RM tvāchā] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, tvachā Mā, tvāśchā K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 4 Z

PS 7.10.6
aśvattho devasadanas tritīyasyām ito divi |
tatrāmrotasya cakṣaṇam tataḥ kuṣṭho 'jāyata |
saḥ (...) \|
bc. On the tripartite division of heaven, cf. LÜDERS 1951: 57-62 and KLAUS 1986: 42-44. 173.

For the interpretation of these pādas, see Griffiths 2009: 356-57, who discusses the formulaic elements of this stanza and the parallel passages in which they are attested. He notes that the opening tátrāmétasya ... is combined with various nouns (cakṣanaà̇, cétanaín etc.), but he does not quote this passage from PS 15 , in which it is combined with the noun rohana'growing'. The occurrence of this noun, derived from the root ruh- 'to ascend, mount, climb', may conceal a reference to the name róhaṇī, with which the herb Arundhatī was also called. See Zysk 1985: 198, "The word róhaṇ̄̄, being derived from the root ruh, 'to grow', seems to mean [...] 'one who makes grow', i.e. in the context with a broken bone, 'a healer'". Another interpretation of the name as 'the one who climbs [the trees]' could also have played a role here (as the herb was a kind of creeper plant). On the idea of mounting the heaven, the realm of immortality, cf. R RV 1.52.9abc brhát sváścandram ámavad yád ukthiyàm ákrnvata bhiyásā róhaṇàm diváh 'With fear they (the gods) made the mounting of heaven, which was high, selfbrilliant, powerful, praiseworthy'. See also PS \(2.20 .5 \mathrm{~b}=9.11 .10\) c (both of which refer to

Arundhatī) = 19.30.12d amrtasyeva \(v \bar{a}\) asi 'Du gehörst wahrlich gewissermassen zur Unsterblichkeit' (Zehnder).
15.15.5 [Anusṭubh] PS only
+'sam ta āpo *hradiyāḥ *A7
+śam te kulijiyā uta | *A
śam vātaḥ śam brhaspatị̣ A
śam te tapatu sūriyaḥ \| *A

Weal for you [may be] the waters of the pond and weal for you [may be the waters] of the jugs. Weal [may be] the wind, weal [may be] Brhaspati; let the sun burn weal for you.
śaḿ ta āpo] śan ta āpo Or, chandhāpo K *hradyāḥ] hrodyāḥ Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, hrodyaḥ JM, dadyāś K śaḿ te] śan te Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], śa\{nt+e \}nte Pa, chande K kulijyā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], kuliyā Pa, kulajyā K vātaḥ] Or, vātaś K bŗhaspatiḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, bŗhaspati Mā śaṁ] K, śan Or ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, om. K

Bhattacharya edits \(h r d y \bar{a} h ̣\) in a.
This and the following stanza are addressed to the patient.
a. The reading hrdyāh of the majority of the Orissa manuscripts is not incorrect, but the mention of the water 'of the heart' (i.e. 'internal') does not suit the context. The emendation to *hradyāh 'of the pond' is supported by the parallel passage TS 7.4.13.1, which consists in a series of mantras accompanying offerings to the waters; among them, one recites hrádyäbhyah svắhā ‘To [the waters of] the pond, hail!'. See further my comment on \(\mathbf{b}\) below.
b. The interpretation of kulijyā is problematic. It could be somehow related to kulija-, n. 'a particular vessel' (later 'a sort of measure'), which is attested three times in the KauśS and from which derives the hapax kulijinīr found at PS 4.17.6e. In the three attestations of the KauśS, kulija- always appears as member of compounds: KauśS 12.6 udakulija- 'a jug filled with water', 12.7 surākulija- ‘a jug filled with Surā’, 43.3 kulijakrssta- whose meaning Caland was unable to determine exactly and which is probably a corrupt reading (see Caland 1900: 147148). The PS hapax kulijin- means 'having, carrying a jug', cf. PS 4.17.6cde tās te viṣaì vi jahrira udakȧ் kumbhinīr iva kūpāt kulijinīr iva 'They have brought your poison backwards, like women carrying pots, like women carrying jugs [bring] water from a well'. If we assume that kulijya- is a -ya-derivative from kulija-meaning 'relating, pertaining to a jug', and that in this pāda the word \(\bar{a} p a s\) is to be understood again, the translation would be 'and weal for you [may be] the ones (i.e. the waters) of the jugs', with a parallelism between hradyäh and kulijyā.

Another solution would be to assume an ancient graphic mistake \(y>j y\) in both \(\mathbf{O r}\) and \(\mathbf{K}\) and emend the text to *kuly \(\bar{a}\), interpreting '[water] pertaining to the rivers, to the streams'. This emendation would be partially supported also by the reading kuliya of \(\mathbf{P a}\) - no matter how casual it may be. The word kúlya-, which occurs also at PS 1.29.3a, is probably a late Vedic yaderivative from kuly \(\bar{a}\) 'small river, canal' (see EWAia I, 377 and Zehnder 1993: 54).

The TS passage quoted above would give another argument in favour of this emendation; among the series of mantra addressed to the waters, next to the one referring to the waters of the ponds, we find one mantra referring to the water of the stream (kúllyābhyah svắhā 'To [the waters of] the stream, hail!').
d. Cf. RoV 8.18.9bc śám nah tapatu súryah | śám vắto vātv arapáa 'Weal for us may the sun burn, weal may the wind blow, not hurting', ŚS 7.69.1ab śám no vắto vātu śám nas tapatu súryah 'Weal for us may the wind blow, weal for us may the sun burn, ŚS 8.2.14cd = PS 16.4.4cd śámi te súrya á tapatu śám và́to vātu te hrdé 'Weal for you may the sun heat, weal may the wind blow in your heart' and PS 6.23.7ab aśam asyai vāto vātv aśaì tapatu sūryah 'Unfavorably may the wind blow for her, unfavorably may the sun burn'. On the verb tapati, see Blair 1961 (especially pp. 27, 35 and 118). The use of the word sam is common in this context because the waters, the sun and the wind were believed to have a beneficial power against enemies, diseases etc.
15.15.6 [Anusṭubh] PS only, b: ŚS 7.69.1d = PS 20.34.1d, d: cf. PS 16.4.4g
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
śam ta indrāgnī bhavatām & \#A \\
śam rātrī prati dhīyatām | & A \\
śam te prthivyām vīrudhaḥ & \#A \\
śam u te santuv oṣadhīḥ \(\|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

Weal for you may Indra and Agni be, weal may the Night draw near. Weal for you [may be] the plants on the earth, and weal for you may be the herbs.
śam ta] K, śan ta Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, śan na JM indrāgnī] Ku Mā [Ma] K, indrāgī JM, īndrāgnī RM,
 śam̉] Ku JM Pa [Ma] K, śan RM Mā vīrudhaḥ] K, vīrdhaḥ Or śam u te] Or, saha vas K santv] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, syantv Mā oṣadhịḥ] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa K, oṣadhị̣̄ JM, oṣadhiḥ RM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z K

ŚS 7.69.1cd
áhāni śám bhavantu naḥ śám rátrī práti dhīyatā̀ ||
b. Whitney translates awkwardly, 'Weal let the night be applied', commenting, "All [scil. the parallel texts] have alike in \(\mathbf{d}\) the strange expression práti dhīyatā\(\dot{m} "\) ". Griffith's rendering 'May night draw near delightfully' seems better. On the meaning 'to approach' of the verb pratidh \(\bar{a}\)-, used in the passive, see PW III, 921.48

Cf. also ŚañkhGS \(4.18 .2 \mathrm{~b} \approx\) PārGS 3.2 .12 b suhemantah suvasantah sugrīṣah pratidhīyatān 'May a good winter, a good spring, a good summer draw near'.
d. The particle \(u\) is used here with clear conjunctive, not-coreferential role, in the context of a stylistic anaphora. On this resumptive use of \(u\) within a series of repeated terms and its connection with adverbs, see Klein 1985: 33, 35 and 1978: 124 ff .
15.15.7 [Anuṣtubh] PS only, cd: PS 15.16 .3 cd , d: PS 5.23.2d, 11.6.10d
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
bahvīṣu hi tvām avidam & \#A \\
oṣadhīm vīriyāvatīm | & *A \\
arundhati tvām āhārṣam & \#A \\
ito mā pārayān iti \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{48}\) The passive form dhīyáte has been studied by Kulikov 2012: 102-105, who, however, does not quote any example of the verb with the prefix prati.
}

Since among many [plants] I have just found you, the powerful herb; O Arundhatī, I brought you here [with the intention]: "They will protect me from this".
bahvīṣu] Or, bahvīkr K avidam] Or, avidha \((\rightarrow\) ga)m K oṣadhīmi] JM RM Mā [Ma], oṣadhimim Ku, Pa,
 arundhati] K, arndhati Or āhārṣam] Or, āhārṣim K pārayān] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, pāraẏā\{m\}n RM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z K

PS 5.23.2
satyajitam śapathayāvanīm sahamānām punaḥsarām |
sarvāḥ sam \({ }^{+}\)ahvy oṣadhīr ito mā pārayān iti II

PS 11.6.10cd
āvitsi sarvā oṣadhīr ito mā pārayān iti I|
d. This pāda is out of place in this stanza, and it is clearly a quotation from the parallel passages listed above, where the subject of the action - in both cases, the herbs - is easily understood. The intention of the practitioner is obviously that the herb Arundhatī will grant protection.
```

15.15.8 [Anusțubh] PS only
abhiprepseva jīvantam +\#A
*avidasyed arundhatī | A
*eṣātividdhabheṣajī A
devī vātīkrtasya ca | A

```

Try to reach him while he is alive! Arundhat̄̄ is indeed inexhaustible. That one (f.) is the wounded-curing goddess and [the one curing] Vātīkāra.
abhiprepseva] Or, abhiprepsī upa \(\mathbf{K}\) jīvantam] Or, jīvantim K *avidasyed] abhi dasyed Or, agadhaś ced \(\mathbf{K}\) arundhatī] K, arondhatī Or *eṣātividdhabheṣajī] eṣā da vidvabheṣajī Ku RM, eṣā da bhidv(dy?)abheṣajī \(\mathbf{J M}\), eṣāt davidvyabheṣajī Pa, eṣā davidvyabheṣajī Mā [Ma], eṣā ca viśvabheṣajī] K vātīkrotasya ca] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, vātikrtasya ca RM, vātīkrıtaścana K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z K

Bhattacharya edits abhi dasyed in \(\mathbf{b}\) and eṣādaviddyabheṣajī in \(\mathbf{c}\).
a. The sequence abhiprepseva can be analyzed as abhiprepsa plus iva; the verbal form is an imperative of the desiderative from abhiprāp- 'to reach, obtain'. The same \(2^{\text {nd }}\) singular imperative form, without preverbs, is attested at TB 2.7.14.1-2 \({ }^{3 x}=\) PB 20.3.2 \({ }^{3 x}\) (cf. HEENEN 2006: 89 ff .). Although the meaning of the compound verb does not differ significantly from that of the uncompounded one, the two preverbs, however, stress the directional sense of the action.

Cf. PS 1.80.3a jarāmrtyumं prepsatu jīva eṣa 'This one, living, shall reach the death in old age'.
b. I emend the reading of \(\mathbf{O r}\) abhi dasyed to *avidasyed and interpret this sequence as avidasyā \(+i d\). The adjective avidasyá- means 'not ceasing, inexhaustible' and is attested at RoV 7.39 .6 c , where it qualifies the word rayí-: dhắtā rayím avidasyám sadāsā́mं 'Give not ceasing, always lasting wealth'.
c. Bhattacharya proposes in the critical apparatus to read esātividdhabheșajı 'that one (f.) is the wounded-curing'. I tentatively adopt his emendation, even if all the Orissa manuscripts point to a form with initial david-. The reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) is correct, but it is certainly the lectio facilior.
d. The syntax is not plain. An adjective like bhesajī must be supplied, as if the redactor wants to create a variatio between the compound viśvabhesajī and the construction with the genitive vātīkrtasya [bhesajū] (cf. PS 15.15.9c below).

On the word vātīkāra (= vātīkrta), see the introduction to this hymn.
15.15.9 [Anuṣtubh] PS only, bcd: PS 15.15.10bcd, c: ŚS 6.109.3c = PS 19.27.10c
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
anu șiñca nas tat kur \(_{u}\) v & *\#A \\
agado vai bhaviṣyati | & A \\
vātīkrtasya bheṣajiy & *A \\
āgan \(\operatorname{dev}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}\) arundhatī \(\|\) & *A
\end{tabular}

Pour upon us, make this [for us]. Surely he will become free from disease. Arundhatī, the goddess curing Vātīkāra, has come here.
anu] Or, ani K șiñ̃ca] Ku JM [Ma] Pa K, since RM, śsiñca Mā nas] Or, naś K tat kurv] Or, cakrurdhy \(\mathbf{K}\) agado] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, aṣado JM bhaviṣyati] Or, bhaviṣyasi K āgan] Ku [Ma], āgam JM \(\mathbf{M a ̄} \mathbf{P a} \mathbf{K}\), om. RM arundhatī] K, arndhatī Or || JM Mā [Ma] Pa, \(\mid \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{R M}, \mathrm{Z} 10\) Z Z kāṇạa Z K

\section*{Bhattacharya edits bhavisyatí in \(\mathbf{b}\).}
b. It seems clear to me that in pāda be should follow the reading of the Orissa manuscripts and read bhavisyati, since the plant is addressed in pāda a but the following line obviously refers to the patient. In the next stanza, on the contrary, the patient is addressed in pāda a ( \(m \bar{a}\) bibher na marisyasy), so that bhavisyasi in \(\mathbf{b}\) suits perfectly the context. Cf. PS 9.13.8ab mā bibher na mariṣyasi jaradasțir bhaviṣasi 'Do not be afraid, you will not die. You will become one who attains old age'.

The word agadá- 'free from disease' is attested twice in the R̊V, 8 times in the ŚS and 18 times in the PS. Literally, it means 'free from the gada-disease', but it is not clear to which kind of disease gada refers (cf. EWAia I, 460, with references). It is probable that the original meaning of the word agadá- had already become opaque to the redactor, who used it in the sense of 'healthy, sane'. \({ }^{49}\)
15.15.10 [Anuṣtubh] PS only, a: ŚS 5.30.8a, PS 2.2.3a, 9.13.8a (cf. PS 16.5.4b), bcd: PS 15.15.9bcd
mā bibher na mariṣyas \({ }_{i} y\) *A
agado vai bhaviṣyasi | A
vātīkrọtasya bheṣajiy *A
āgan \(\operatorname{dev}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}\) arundhatī \(\| \quad\) *A

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{49}\) KIM 2010: 217 analyzes the word agadá s.v. vi-gadá, but his interpretation is marred by a misunderstanding of the name of the disease as "agadá-Krankheit" (or are there just several misprints?) and by incorrect translations (e.g., SS 5.29,6-9 agadò 'yám astu means 'Let this one here be free from disease' and not, as Kim translates it, "dieser soll die agadá-Krankheit sein").
}

Do not be afraid, you will not die. Surely you will become free from disease. Arundhatī, the goddess curing Vātīkāra, has come here.
mā... arundhatī om. K • bhaviṣyasi] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], bhavi\{•\}ṣa\{•\}si Pa āgan] Ku [Ma], āgam் JM Mā, agan RM, āga\{ndh\}n Pa arundhatī] arndhatī Or \(||1| r| r||15|| \mathbf{K u}, \|\) || \(10||15|| \mathbf{J M},|r| 15\) | RM, || 15 || 10 rin || Mā [Ma] Pa

ŚS 5.30.8ab
má bibher ná mariṣyasi jarádasṭim krṇomi tvā ।

PS 2.2.3ab
mā bibher na mariṣyasi pari tvā pāmi viśvatah |

PS 16.5.4ab
so 'riș̣̣a na mariṣyasi na mariṣyasi mā bibheḥ |
This stanza is not found in \(\mathbf{K}\).

\subsection*{15.16. To the plant Arundhatī (continued)}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
15.16.1 [Anusțubh] PS only & \\
arundhatyạh saṃ vadante & +A \\
gāvaḥ pravrājinīr iva | & A \\
yam āturam abhigachāma- & \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}\) \\
-āvatam̀ *krṇavāmā tam \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

The Arundhatī herbs speak to each other like wandering cows: "We will stay near him whom we reach sick".
arundhatyah] arndhatyaḥ \(\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{R M} \mathbf{P a}\) [Ma], arndhatya \(\mathbf{J M}\), arondhayaḥ \(\mathbf{M a ̄}\), arundhatyas \(\mathbf{K} \quad \operatorname{sam}] \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M}\) \(\mathbf{R M}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a}\), sam Mā, yam K gāvaḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, gāvam் JM, grāvāh K pravrājinīr] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, pravrājinir RM yam āturam] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, yam āTUram Ku, imā tvaram K abhigachāmāvatam்] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, abhigachāmavatam RM, ivagaśchāmāvadhim K \(\mathbf{K}\) *kṛ̣avāma] krṇumāva Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, kṛ\{̣̣a\}ṇumāva Pa tam] tam Or, tim K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 1 Z K

Bhattacharya edits krṇu māvataì in d.
a. The verb sainvad- 'speak together' is often followed by an istrumental (with or without saha: cf. R̨V 10.97.22a óṣadhayah sám vadante sómena sahá ráajña ‘The herbs speak together with Soma, [their] king', ŚS 11.4.6b abhívrș̦tā óṣadhayah prānéna sám avādiran ‘The herbs, being rained, talked together with prāna'), but it is attested also alone (cf. SS 6.109.2ab \(=\) PS 19.27.8ab pippalyàh sám avadantāyatî̀r jánanād ádhi 'The berries talked together, coming from their birth'). In the medium, samivad- has a clear reciprocal meaning (see Gotō 1987: 282).
b. The word pravrājin- 'running after, wandering', is attested in Vedic only three times, in a simile occurring in identical passages at PS 9.11.10b \(=15.16 .1 \mathrm{~b}=19.31 .11 \mathrm{~b} g \bar{a} \mathrm{vah}\) pravrājinīr iva 'like wandering cows'. Commenting the occurrence of pravrājá- 'bed of a river' at R̊V 7.60.7c, Kım 2010:187 notes that "pra-vrājá- bezeichnet wohl einen Ort, wo der Flußlauf durch eine Freilassung aus der Enge seine Geschwindigkeit erhöht"; if this interpretation is correct, gāvah pravrājinīr may indicate cows that have left the fence and roam freely (cf. the meaning of the verb pravraj- 'to go forth, proceed, depart from (abl.), set out for, go to, leave home and wander forth as an ascetic mendicant').

Interestingly, in 9.11.10 Arundhatī is addressed, and 19.31.11 is about a plant which serves to cure the Vātīkāra.
cd. On āturá-, see PS 15.15.2d above and ZYSK 1985: 316. I analyze abhigachāmāvataí as abhigachāma \(+\bar{a} v a t a \dot{m}\). The next sequence krnumāvataín can hardly be correct, as it contains, in its second part, a repetition of \(\bar{a} v a t a \dot{m}\). I emend the transmitted text to \(* k r n a v a \bar{a} m a\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.\) plural present subjunctive of \(k r-\) ) tam. Although the metre and the meaning are still not completely satisfactory, this emendation is supported by the use of the adjective a \(\bar{v}\) vatainkaraṇa- 'making proximity', attested at PS \(1.100 .3 \mathrm{~b}, 10.6 .12 \mathrm{~d}\) and 19.37 .3 d , to which the construction \(\bar{a}\) vataím *kr-corresponds.
15.16.2 [Anusṭubh] PS only
imam me tvamं \({ }^{+}\)jarāmrtyum +\#A
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline purușam krı̣uv oṣadhe | & *A \\
\hline rājñ̄̄ hi sarvāsām asiy & *\#A \\
\hline oṣadhīnām *arundhati \| & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

O herb, make for me this man one who dies of old age. For surely you are the queen of all the herbs, O Arundhatī.

\author{
imaṁ] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, im\{e\}ami Ku tvam்] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, tva JM jarāmr̊tyumi] jarāmrtyu Or, jarāmmrtyam் K puruṣam்] K, purṣam Or oṣadhe] Or, audhe K rājñī] JM RM Mā Pa K, rājña Ku [Ma] hi] Or, om. K *arundhati] arundhatī Or K \|] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 2 Z K
}

Bhattacharya edits arundhatī in d.
a. Cf. PS 15.6.1b jarāmrtyum krṇuta 'Make [him] one who dies of old age'.
d. At the end of the verse, all the manuscripts read arundhatī. Although the nominative can be justified if we interpret 'For surely you are the queen of all the herbs, [you are] Arundhatī', the vocative is more natural here, and since the confusion of short and long \(-\overline{\bar{l}}\) - is frequent both in Or and \(\mathbf{K}\) (cf. the note at PS 15.19.6b), I have decided to emend the text.
15.16.3 [Anuṣtubh] PS only, cd: PS 15.15.7cd, d: PS 5.23.2d, 11.6.10d
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
trāyamānā \(h_{i} y\) asi & *A 7 \\
jīvalā vīriyāvatī | & *A \\
arundhati tvām āhārṣam & \#A \\
ito mā pārayān iti \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

For you are the protecting one, full of life, powerful. O Arundhatī, I brought you here [with the intention]: "They shall protect me from this".
trāyamān̄ā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, trāỷamāṇ\{o\}ā Pa jīvalā] Ku RMMā [Ma] Pa K, jīva JM vīryāvatī] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, vīryāvati RM arundhati] K, ar̊ndhati Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, ar̊ndhatī RM tvām...iti] tvām āhārṣam ito mā pāraýān iti Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, tvām āhārṣam ito mā pāraȳān imita JM, tvam̉ tasyāmi viṣasya viṣadūṣaṇī K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 3 Z K
ab. The words tráyamāna- and j \(\bar{\imath} v a l a ́-\) - are often used as epithets referring to plants (and to Arundhatī in particular). See GRIFFITHS 2009: 348 and 352, with exhaustive references.
cd. The manuscript \(\mathbf{K}\) has two complete different pādas, arundhati tvaí tasyāsi viṣasya vişadūṣañ̄̄, which are the refrain of the following stanzas; the refrain was probably anticipated here by a mistake of the copyist.
15.16.4 [Anusțubh-Formula] PS only, cd: PS 15.17.7cd
digdhena ca viddhasya-

A7
-aghasyāghaviṣā ca yā | A
arundhati tvam tasyāsi \#A
viṣasya viṣadūṣaṇī || A

And of one pierced with an anointed [arrow] and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: O Arundhatī, you are the poison-destroyer of that poison.
digdhena] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], dagdhena Pa, adigdhena \(\mathbf{K}\) viddhasyāghasyāghaviṣā] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, viddhasyāghaśyāghaviṣā JM, viddhasyāghasyāghaviṣa K yā] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, ẎĀ Ku arundhati] K, arndhati JM Pa Mā [Ma], ArondHATI Ku, arndhatī RM tasyāsi] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, syā \(\{\cdot\}\) ṣasi Ku viṣadūṣaṇī] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K , vi <? > Pa ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku RM, Z 4 Z K

For the analysis of this stanza and the following ones, see the Introduction to the hymn 15.15 and LUBin 2007: 91-92. For the interpretation of agháviṣā- as 'a poisonous [arrow]', see Lubotsky 2002: 102-103. Poisoned arrows are often mention in the RVV and in the AV (cf. e.g. RoV 6.75.15, ŚS \(4.6 \approx\) PS 5.8, PS 5.22 etc.).
15.16.5 [Anuṣtubh-Formula] PS only
āheyena ca daṣtasya- +\#A
-aghasyāghaviṣā ca yā \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) A

And of one stung by a snake-bite, and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: ( O Arundhatī...).
āheyena] Or, āhena K dasṭasyāghasyāghaviṣā ca yā] RM, daṣtasyāghasyāghaviṣā ca yā JM, dasṭasyāghasya Mā [Ma] Pa K, dastasyāghasya Ku ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, ||kā \({ }^{k u} \mathbf{~ J M , ~ | R M , ~ Z ~ K ~}\)
15.16.6 [Anuṣtubh-Formula] PS only
vātīkāreṇa ca kṣiptasya- \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}\)
-aghasyāghaviṣā ca yā \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \| \quad\) A

And of one struck by Vātīkāra and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: (O Arundhatī...).
vātīkāreṇa] Or, vācīkāreṇa \(\mathbf{K}\) kṣiptasyāghasya Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, kṣiptasyāghaviṣa ca ỳā ||kā \(\mathbf{J M}\), kṣiptasyāghasyāghaviṣa ca ȳā \(\mathbf{R M}\), kṣuptasya \(\mathbf{K} \|] \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{K}\)
15.16.7 [Anuṣtubh-Formula] PS only
bhavena ca \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\)
A7

And of one struck by Bhava (and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: O Arundhatī... ).
bhavena ca] RM [Ma] Pa K, bhave\{• \}na ca Ku, om. JM Mā ||] Ku [Ma] Pa, ||kā \(\mathbf{J M}, \mid \mathbf{R M}, \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{K}\)
a. This stanza is not found in Mā. From this stanza until stanza 17.3 seven manifestations or embodiments of Rudra are mentioned (the various aspects of the god vary in number from seven to nine, and are not always listed in the same order: cf. ŚS 15.5 , KauśS 51.8, VS 39.8 etc. and BLOOMFIELD 1897: 618). See also my Introduction to hymn 15.15.
15.16.8 [Anuṣtubh-Formula] PS only śarveṇa ca \({ }^{\circ} \circ \circ\) 。 \(\|\)

And of one struck by Śarva (and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: O Arundhatī...).
15.16.9 [Anuṣtubh-Formula] PS only
rudreṇa ca \({ }^{\circ} \circ{ }^{\circ} \mid\)
And of one struck by Rudra (and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: O Arundhatī...).
rudreṇa ca] r̊dreṇa ca Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, ŗdreṇa ca kṣiptasyāghasyāghaviṣa ca ỳā JM, om. K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, ||kā \({ }^{\mathbf{J M}}, \mid \mathbf{R M}\),

This stanza is not found in \(\mathbf{K}\).
```

15.16.10 [Anusṭubh-Formula] PS only
paśupatinā ca ${ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|$
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$

```

And of one struck by Paśupati (and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: O Arundhatī...). paśupatinā ca kśiptasya] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, paśupatinā ca kṣiptasyāghasyāghaviṣa ca ỳā JM, kśuptasya


\subsection*{15.17. To the plant Arundhatī (continued)}

\subsection*{15.17.1 [Anușțubh-Formula] PS only} ugreṇa ca devena ca kṣiptasya \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \| \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}\)

And of one struck by the fearsome god (Ugra), (and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: O Arundhatī...).
kṣiptasya] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, kṣiptasyāghasyāghaviṣa ca ỳā JM, kṣuptasya K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, ||kā \(\mathbf{K u}\) JM, | RM, Z K

\subsection*{15.17.2 [Anuṣtubh-Formula] PS only}
mahādevena ca kṣiptasya \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \| \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}\)

And of one struck by Mahādeva (and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: O Arundhatī...).
```

kș̣iptasya] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, kṣiptasyāghasyāghaviṣa ca ȳā JM, kṣuptasya K || Mā [Ma] Pa,|kā JM,
| Ku RM, Z K

```
```

15.17.3 [Anuștubh-Formula] PS only
íśānena ca kṣiptasya- \#A
-aghasyāghaviṣā ${ }^{\circ} \circ$ 。 $\|$

```

And of one struck by Īśāna (and of the ill which is an ill-poisonous [arrow]: O Arundhatī...).
íśānena] Mā [Ma] K, iśānena JM, i\{•\}śānena RM, • . Ku, nena Pa kṣiptasyāghasyāghaviṣā Or, kṣuptasya aghasyāghahaviṣā ca yā K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, || \({ }^{\text {kā }} \mathbf{J M},|\mathbf{R M},| \mathbf{K}\)
```

15.17.4 [Anusțubh] PS only
yat prothiviyāäm viṣam் *A7
vīruts $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{u}}$ adhi yad viṣam ${ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \| \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$

```

The poison which is in the earth, the poison which is in the plants: (O Arundhatī...).
 ahi RM, yadhi Mā, om. K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, \|kā JM, |RM, Z 3 Z K

With this stanza begins a new section of the hymn: this stanza and the following three show a different syntactical structure in pādas \(\mathbf{a b}\), while in pādas \(\mathbf{c d}\) they repeat the same refrain as it is found in the preceding stanzas.
```

15.17.5 [Anuștubh] PS only
yad oṣadhībhyaḥ samibharanti * A
brahmāṇo menaye viṣam }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ\circ\circ}||\mathrm{ A

```

The poison which the Brahmins collect from the herbs for a missile: (O Arundhatī...).
oṣadhïbhyaḥ] Or, oṣadhïbhyas K saṁbharanti] Ku, JM, sam்bhavanti RM, sambharanti [Ma] Pa K, saṁbharantī Mā brahmāṇo] Ku Pa Mā [Ma], brāhmaṇo JM, brahmaṇo RM, brāhmāno K menaye] Or, minaye K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |kā \(\mathbf{J M},|\mathbf{R M},| \mathbf{K}\)
b. On the word mení-, see my comment at 23.1 a . Here the word seems to have the concrete meaning of 'missile weapon'.

\subsection*{15.17.6 [Anușṭubh] PS only}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
yad brāhmaṇāḥ saṁbharanti & +A \\
\({ }^{\text {trgș̣̣am āsī̃viṣam viṣam }}{ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\) & A
\end{tabular}

The poison - the harsh venomous snake - which the priests collect: (O Arundhatī...).
brāhmanāạ] Ku JM Mā [Ma], brahmaṇạ̣̄ RM Pa, brāhmaṇās K sam்bharanti] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma],
 [Ma] Pa, |kā̃ JM, | RM, Z 4 Z K
b. The word \(\bar{a} s i v i v i s ̣ a ́-\) indicate 'a kind of venomous snake'. In Vedic it is further attested only at ŚS 12.5.34 ásam̀jñā gandhéna śúg uddhriyámānāśs̃viṣá úddhrtā ( \(\approx\) PS 16.144.3b) 'Discord by smell. Pain when being taken up, a poison-snake when taken up' (Whitney) and later, twice, in the Brāhmaṇas (AB 6.1.4 āsī̄iṣo vai no rājānam avekṣate 'A venomous snake looks at our king' and JB 1.287 caturaksarāñi ha vā agre chandā̀isy āsur ayajñavāhāny atha hendrasya tridive soma āsa taí hāgnayo gandharvā jugupur eta eva dhiṣnyās ta u evāśīviṣah 'In the beginning the meters were of four syllables [and] they did not convey the oblations [to the gods]; at that time Soma was in the third heaven of Indra; the Fires and the Gandharvas protected it; they are indeed the Dhiṣnyas, but also the venomous snakes'). The manifold repetition of the word viṣá- 'poison' - which besides several other occurrences within the hymn appears also in the name of the snake - produces a distinct echo effect. Cf. ŚS 5.18.3 = PS 9.17.10 áviș̣tităgháviṣā prdākúr iva cármaṇā| sắ brāhmanásya rājanya troștáiṣá gáur anādyà 'An ill-poisonous [arrow], like a prdākū-snake [covered] with a hide, so this cow of the priest is harsh, not to be eaten, O noble' (for the interpretation of this passage and some parallels, see LUBotsky 2002: 102-103).
15.17.7 [Anuṣtubh] PS only
```

yaḥ kṣipto mrortyunā yakṣmair
yo daṣtas troṣtadamśmabhiḥ |
A
arundhati tvaḿ tasyāsi \#A
viṣasya viṣadūṣaṇī | A

```

Who was struck by death, by the yaksmas, who was stung by those biting roughly: O Arundhatī, you are the poison-destroyer of that poison.
yaḥ] \(\mathbf{O r}\), ma \(\mathbf{K}\) kṣipto] \(\mathbf{O r}\), kṣupto \(\mathbf{K}\) yakṣmair yo] JM, yasmair yo \(\mathbf{O r}\), ya • e o o \(\mathbf{K}\) dasṭas] JM RM, dastạḥ \(\mathbf{P a}\), dastạtạs \(\mathbf{K u}\) [Ma], drsṭtas \(\mathbf{K}\) Mā trṣṭadaḿśmabhiḥ] • ș̣adamśmabhiḥ Ku, trptadaḿśubhị̣ JM,
trotadaṁśmabhiḥ RM, trọșāptadaṁśmabhiḥ Pa, troptadaśmabhiḥ Mā, trọṭa \(\rightarrow\) pta)daṁśmabhị̣ [Ma], tyaṣṭhadaḿsmabhi \(\mathbf{K}\) arundhati] K, arondhati \(\mathbf{O r}\) viṣadūṣaṇī ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, viṣadūṇī JM ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, || \({ }^{k \bar{a}} \mathbf{J M}, \mid \mathbf{R M}, ~ Z ~ 5 Z ~ K ~\)

Bhattacharya edits mrtyuna \(\overline{\underline{a}}\) yasmair in \(\mathbf{a}\).
a. The manuscript \(\mathbf{J M}\) is the only one that has preserved the correct reading yaksmair (cf. pāda a of the next stanza). \(\mathbf{K}\) is broken at the beginning of folio 163 b 20 and the only visible letters on the birchbark are mrtyunāya \(\bullet e \rho\), which are at any rate compatible with the reading of \(\mathbf{J M}\). Note the change from the neuter forms of the relative pronoun to the masculine ones.
15.17.8 [Anuṣtubh] PS only, d: ŚS 5.30.6d
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
saṁ jihīṣvāmukthā yakṣmād & \#A \\
ārukṣo lokam uttamam | & A \\
apā jīvasi pātreña- & +\#A \\
-adhi jīvapurā ihi \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

Rise up, you have been released from yakṣma. You have ascended the highest world. You live through the water, through the cup. Go to the strongholds of the living ones.
jihīṣvāmukthā] Ku JM Mā [Ma], jihīmukthā RM, jihīṣvāmu\{gth \}kthā Pa, jīṣmāmuktvā K yakṣmād] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, ya \(\{\cdot\} k s ̣ m a ̄ d ~ R M, ~ y a s ̣ m a ̄ d ~ K ~ a ̄ r u k s ̣ o] ~ a ̄ r ̊ k s ̣ o ~ O r, ~ a ̄ r s ̣ y o ~ K ~ a p a ̄ ~ j i ̄ v a s i] ~ O r, ~ a p a ̄ v a k i ̄ y a ~ K ~\) pātreṇādhi] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, pātreṇā'dhi JM, svāpātreṇādhi K ihi] Or, hi K ||] ||r 8 || 17 || Ku, ||r 9 || 17 || JM, |r | 17 | RM, || 17 || r || Mā [Ma] Pa, Z 6 Z kā 3 Z K

ŚS 5.30 .6
iháidhi puruṣa sárveṇa mánasā sahá ।
dūtáu yamásya mắnu gā ádhi jīvapurá ihi II
a. Cf. ŚS 2.10.6a ( \(\approx\) PS 2.3.5a) ámukthā yákṣmād duritā́d avadyád 'You have been released from yaksma, from danger, from shame'.
b. Cf. ŚS \(17.8 \mathrm{c}=\) PS 18.55 .2 d hitvấśastim dívam à́rukṣa etā́m̀ 'Having left behind the curse, you have ascended this heaven'. Note the further occurrence of a verb from the root ruh(āruksso), which refers to the patient, but again contains an allusion to one of the names of the herb (see my comment at 15.15 .4 bc ).
c. The word pátra-means 'a drinking vessel, a cup'. It is worthwhile noting that it is used in connection with the word viṣá- 'poison', occurring many times in this hymn, at R.V 10.136.7cd \(\approx \mathrm{PS} 5.38 .7 \mathrm{~cd}\) keśíl viṣásya pã́treṇa yád rudréṇápibat sahá 'When the one with long hair drank the poison together with Rudra out of the cup'.
d. This pāda is to be compared with ŚS 5.30.6cd (= PS 9.13.6cd) dūtáu yamásya mánnu gā ádhi jīvapurá ihi 'Do not go after Yama's two messengers, go to the strongholds of the living ones' and ŚS 2.9.3b ( \(\approx\) PS 2.10.5b) ádhi jīvapurá agān 'He had attained the strongholds of the living ones' (Zehnder 1999: 45-46: ‘Zu den Festungen der Lebenden ist er gelangt'). PS 15.15.17d, not quoted by Zehnder, is another passage in which j \(\bar{\imath} v a-p u r a ̄ s\) is used "als Metapher des Überlebens".

\subsection*{15.18. Against Apsarases}

PS 18 and 19 are a single composition of 22 connected stanzas \((10+12)\). The last four stanzas of hymn 19, however, are repeated from 7.13.11-14, and can be considered a later addition; whitout them, the hymn conforms to the norm of eighteen stanzas per hymn expected by the title of the kāṇ̣a. The hymn is divided into two parts: the first part consists of stanzas 18.1-9 and the second part of stanzas 18.10 and 19.1-12, which are linked by a refrain that occurs in the last two pādas of every stanzas (on the type of abbreviation found in this hymn, see Introduction, p. 30).

As regards the content, the hymn is addressed against the Apsarases and might seem out of place in this "Rudraic section" of the fifteenth kāṇ̣a, as suggested also by the lack of clear links with the preceding hymn. Nevertheless, the entire hymn is full of Rudraic elements, such as the use at 18.2 b of the adjective śikhaṇdín- 'crested', which usually describes Rudra's hairstyle; the mention of vidyút- 'thunder' at 19.5 b , váta- 'wind' and reṣmán- 'storm' at 19.8 b , all elements which are often related to Rudra as a storm god; the occurence of the compound nillavyakta- 'blue ornaments' at 19.7b, whose first member is the same of Rudra's epithet nīlagrīva- 'blue necked', and of the expression dūrād enāh pratyapaśyam 'I saw them from afar' at 18.4 a , which is parallel to PS 14.3.1 = NU 1.1 apaśyaì tvāvarohantaì ' divatah prthivīm iva | apaśyam asyantaì rudrá̇ 'nīlagrīvȧ̀ śikhaṇ̣inam 'I saw you descending from heaven down to earth. I saw Rudra, the blue-necked one, the crested one shooting [his arrows]' (Lopez 2010: 144).

On the one hand, therefore, the inclusion of this hymn within the section of "Rudraic hymns" can be perfectly justified; on the other hand, the hymn offers new important evidence for our understanding of many features of the Apsarases, although some details remain obscure.

The Apsarases addressed in this hymn form a group of 80 , and are called Ulunguluk \(\bar{a}\) 'belonging to Ulungula', a name which is probably an epithet or a proper name of a Gandharva (see my comment at 18.6 c ).

The table below shows the data concerning the Apsarases that can be collected from this hymn.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ DESCRIPTION } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ PLACES } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ ACTIVITIES } \\
\hline They wear big golden ornaments. & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Heaven. \\
Intermediate space.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
They can reach everyone and seek \\
in particular the absent-minded \\
men, in order to confuse their mind \\
The wear dark blue ornaments. \\
They have various hairstyles the sacrifice to the \\
(crested, long hair etc.).
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} 
Ocean. \\
Lakes. \\
Rivers. \\
Fords. \\
They come from all the four \\
cardinal directions (East, South, \\
They dance. \\
West, North).
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
They make grimaces. \\
They make loud noises.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As pointed out by Griffiths 2009: 383, several hymns in the PS are dedicated to the Apsarases (e.g. 1.29, 1.89, 12.7-8, 7.13), and "thematic and verbatim correspondences are noticeable also in the various PS hymns to the Sadānuvās [...] to the Kaṇvās [...] and to other noxious female creatures".

As already said, there are no clear links with the preceding hymn, except for the mention of Indra in \(18.2 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{a}\) and the occurrence of vātena in 19.8 b , which corresponds to vātah in 15.5 c . The hymn, however, is quite clearly linked to more distant hymns through d \(\bar{u} r a d\) in 18.4a (cf. 11.9d) samudram and samudrād in 18.5d and 19.11a (cf. 14.3c), sūryasya and sākaím in 19.2b (cf. 13.1c, 14.5c), antariksa in 19.8a (cf. 13.5b), nādīh in 19.9a (cf. 14.3c) ghoṣān in 19.11b (cf. 10.7a, 12.3b). The list of the direction found in every first pāda of stanzas 19.2-5 (purastād, adharād, paścād, uttarād) is found identical in 11.1ac. Note also the occurence of the compound gandharvāpsaraso in 13.4a.
15.18.1 [Anuṣtubh] PS only, b: cf. ŚS 1.11.5a
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
apsaraso vi vo yakrd & A \\
vi vo bhinadmi mehanam | & A \\
vi caṇd̄ālamं viy arjunam் & *A \\
vi vavrrtram bhinadmi vaḥ \| & A
\end{tabular}

O Apsarases, I split open your liver, your urethra. I split open your ... (?).
vi vo...mehanam] Or, divo divo hi kṣattrivo hi bhinadmi mehanaḿ K vi caṇ̣ālaṃ] Ku JM RM Pa, caṇạạaḿ Mā [Ma], vya taṃḍālam \(\mathbf{K}\) vy arjunaḿ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, vy\{u\}arjunaḿ JM, vy arjunam
 bhinaddi K vaḥ] Or, va K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 1 Z K
ab. For the structure of this stanza, cf. ŚS 1.11 .5 ví te bhinadmi méhanaì ví yónim ví gavínike | ví mātáraì ca putrám ca ví kumārám jaráyuṇáva jarāyu padyatām 'I split open your urethra, your womb, your [two] ureters; [I split open] the mother and her son, the boy from the afterbirth. Let the afterbirth fall'. Cf. also ŚS 1.3.7ab (= PS 1.4.4ab, 20.42.3cd \(\approx\) PS 19.20.13cd), addressed to a patient who suffers from urinary retention: prá te bhinadmi méhanaì vártraì veśantyắ iva 'I split up your urethra like the dam of a lake'.

On the word méhana- 'urethra', cf. LELLI - DE JOSEPH forthc.
Although this hymn is clearly directed against the Apsarases, who are said to be struck by Indra, and are constantly requested to go away to distant places, the two actions described in these pādas do not necessarily have a violent connotation. The expression mehanam vibhid- (or prabhid-), at least, is always used in curative contexts to favour a patient's urination or a child's birth; the action of splitting open the liver could be of course interpreted as more damaging, but it is not excluded that it aims at an appeasing effect, as for example the release of bile.
c. The meaning of this pāda and of the following one is unclear. For the sake of symmetry, one would expect other body parts to be mentioned, but the text does not seem to support this hypothesis. At the beginning of the verse one can read canḍālaim following Or, or \({ }^{+}\)tandạalam following \(\mathbf{K}\).

The word canḍāla-means 'an outcast, man of the lowest and most despised of the mixed tribes (born from a Śudra father and a Brahman mother)', but this definition does not make any sense in the context of this stanza. Moreover, its etymology is not clear; according to EWAia, it is likely to be a pre-Aryan tribe's name and has no connection with cánḍa- 'name of a demon, fierce, passionate, violent' (this connection was suggested in AiGr II/2, § 158b, p. 269 and § 178a, pp. 288-89, and would be of some value if caṇ̂āla could be related to the mention of the
liver in pāda a and interpreted as the name for the gallbladder; can the action of splitting it open be another way to to appease the Apsarases?).

On the basis of the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\), Barret proposes to read tanḍālam, but admits that the meaning is not clear at all (could it be a formation from tande- 'beat'?).

As regards the word arjuna-, whose meaning must be 'white, whitish, made of silver', it can refer to the swing of the Apsarases, or to nuts used as musical instruments, as suggested by two parallel stanzas addressed to the Apsarases as well, ŚS 4.37 .5 yátra vaḥ preñkhá̉ háritā árjunā utá yátrāghātạ̣́̆ karkaryàh sainvádanti | tát páretāpsarasah prátibuddhā abhūtana 'Where your swings yellowish and whitish [are], and where cymbals and lutes sound together, there go away, O Apsarases. You have been recognized', and PS 12.7.8 yatra vo 'ksa haritā arjunā *aghātayah karkaryah samंvadanti| tát páretāpsarasah prátibuddhā abhūtana 'Where your nuts yellowish and whitish, your cymbals and lutes sound together, there go away, O Apsarases. You have been recognized'. Could then canḍāla be the name of another instrument?
d. Note that the spelling \(t t r\) instead of \(t r\) is usual in \(\mathbf{K}\). On account of the parallel passages quoted above, would it be conceivable to read vartram and reconstruct the verse as \(v i+i v a+\) vartramं 'I split you open like a dam'?
15.18.2 [Anuș̣ubh] PS only, b: cf. ŚS 4.37.4b = PS 12.7.7b, cd: cf. RoV 10.155.4cd
viśvajanyāḥ pãñcajanyā + A
mahārukmāḥ śikhaṇ̣̣inīḥ | A
sarvā indrasya vajreṇa +\#A
hatā budbudayātavaḥ \| A

The ones relating to all men, the ones relating to the five races of men, the ones having big golden ornaments, the crested ones: all whose witchcraft is a bubble were struck by Indra's cudgel.
viśvajanyāḥ] Or, viśvajanyāḥ \(\mathbf{K}\) pāñcajanyā] \(\mathbf{O r}\), pañcanyā \(\mathbf{K}\) mahārukmāḥ] mahāṛkmāḥ \(\mathbf{O r}\), mahārukmā \(\mathbf{K}\) śikhaṇạinīh] Or, śikhanḍinī \(\mathbf{K}\) vajreṇa] \(\mathbf{O r}\), vajreṇā \(\mathbf{K}\) budbudayātavaḥ] RM Mā [Ma] Pa, budbudaẏātavāḥ Ku, budbudaẏātava JM, budbudayātava K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 2 Z K

RoV 10.155.4cd
hatá índrasya śátravaḥ sárve budbudáyāśavaḥ ||
a. With this stanza begins the description of the Apsarases. The two adjectives viśvájanyaand páñcajanya- qualify the Apsarases as 'relating to all men' and 'relating to the five races of men', which seems to mean 'relating to everybody', 'pertaining to all', pointing at their capacity to reach all men in order to mess up their sacrifices and bewitch their mind (cf. next stanzas). Compare the use of pááñcajanya- next to the locative plural jáneṣu at R̊V 5.32.11ab ékaì nú tvā sátpatim páãncajanyaì jātám śrṇomi yaśásaì jáneṣu 'I hear that you alone were born as might lord [ruling] over the fife races, honoured among the people'. See also PS 15.19.12a, where the Apsarases are said to go 'from man to man' (janamijanam).
b. The compound mahārukma- is a hapax. The word rukmá- (on which see RaU 1973: 5455) indicates silver or golden ornaments used for chariot, horses and especially worn by the Maruts on the chest (cf ŖV 1.64.4b, 1.166.10b, 5.54.11b) or over the arms (R.V 8.20.11b). On account of this PS passage it seems likely that this kind of ornaments was used also by females.

On śikhaṇ̂ín-, see KuIPER 1955: 152-154, who identifies śikhā- (in viśikhá- 'with loose topknot \({ }^{50}\) ) as a non Indo-Aryan word. The adjective clearly refers to some kind of (non IndoAryan?) hair stlyes. It is usually applied to Rudra: see PS 14.3.1cd = NU 1.1.cd apaśyam asyantaì rudraí nīlagrīvaím śikhaṇ̣inam 'I saw Rudra shooting [his arrows], the blue-necked one, the crested one', ŚS 11.2.12a = PS 16.105.2a.; Rudra is further called nîlaśikhaṇ̣da- ‘bluecrested' at ŚS 2.27.6ab = PS 2.16.4ab rúdra jálāṣabheṣaja nùlaśikhaṇ̣̣a kármakrt 'Rudra, possessing soothing medicines, blue-crested, performer of (magic) actions', PS 14.4.1a \(=\) NU 2.11a 5a, PS 14.4.5a = NU 2.15a, PS 20.62.7c = NU 3.26c, ŚS 11.2.7a = PS 16.104.7a. At PS 20.55.10a \(=\) NU 3.23a and PS 20.60.7a \(=\mathrm{NU} 3.24 \mathrm{a}\) the compound qualifies Śarva as an embodiment of Rudra (similarly at ŚS \(6.93 .1 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{PS}\) 19.14.13b). At ŚS 4.37.7a \(=\) PS 12.7.9a sikhandińn- refers to the Gandharvas. Both the golden ornaments and the tufts of hair of the Apsarases are confirmed by iconographic evidences, since they are usually represented wearing ornaments and having up to six braids of hair on their head.

This pāda can be compared to ŚS 4.37.4ab = PS 12.7.7ab yátrāśvatthá nyagródhā mahāvrksṣáh śikhaṇ̂inaḥ 'Where the Aśvatthas [are], the Nyagrodhas, the great trees, the crested ones', in which the adjective, rather strangely, refers to the trees.
d. The reading budbudayātavah is common to all the manuscripts. This compound is a hapax to be compared with budbudayāśavah 'whose semen is a bubble, impotent' attested in the late "atharvanic" hymn RQV 10.155.4cd: hatā́ índrasya śátravaḥ sárve budbudáyāśavaḥ ‘All Indra's enemies, whose semen is a bubble, were struck'.

An emendation to *budbudáyāśavaḥ is not convenient here, because such an epithet does not fit to female beings. I tentatively translate this compound as a Bahuvrīhi meaning 'whose witchcraft is a bubble (i.e. ineffective)', but there could be also an alternative interpretation, which would consist in including this compound in the series ulūkayātu- 'a demon in the shape of an owl', kokayātu- 'a demon in the shape of a cuckoo', grdrayātu- 'a demon in the shape of a vulture', śvayātu- 'a demon in the shape of a dog'. But what kind of demon would be a 'demon in the shape of a bubble'?
```

15.18.3 [Gāyatrī] PS only
andhācīm asitācīm A7
ulūkhalasya budhnena | +\#A
avaitam̀ vatsapaṁ jahi || A

```

Strike down with the bottom of a mortar the darkish one (f.), the blackish one (f.), that vatsapa.
andhācīm] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa K, andācīm JM RM asitācīm] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, om. Pa ulūkhalasya] JM [Ma] Pa, ulukhalasya Ku, ūlūkhalasya RM Mā, ullūkhalasya K budhnena] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, badhnena JM avaitam்] Or, ahitam் \(\mathbf{K}\) jahi] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, jadi Pa ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, RM, Z 3 Z K
a. The two adjectives andhācī- and asitācī- are hapax legomena, formed respectively from andhá- 'dark' and ásita- 'black' with the suffix -añc- 'going to, direct to, turned to', thus

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{50}\) As Werner Knobl informs me (per litteram, March 2014), the Bahuvrīhi compound vi-sikhá- cannot mean 'having no tuft of hair, bald', as the use of \(v i\) - as a negative prefix is rare in Vedic (the only example is vy-ènas'without guilt'). At ṚV 6.75.17b vi-śikhá- has to be interpreted as 'mit aufgelöstem Haarbusch' (Geldner). Therefore the compound means 'with loose, disheveled topknot (tuft of hair)'.
}
indicating a particular shade of color that is close to the one indicated by the adjective used as base (cf. śvityáñc- 'whitish' :: śvitrá- ‘white’ and AiGr. II/2, § 56, pp. 152 ff .). It is not easy to decide whether andhācī- and asit \(\bar{a} c \bar{c}-\) are proper names of two Apsarases or just two epithets. Compare the parallel stanza 18.7, which has three pādas as well and begins with the two vocatives āskandike viskandike 'O Here-Jumper, O There-Jumper', which are possibly two other names of the Apsarases. On the association of the Apsarases with darkness see 15.19.4b below.
c. The addressee of the imperative jahi is probably Indra (cf. indrasya vajreṇa hatā at 18.2 d and indro... hanat at 18.4 d\()\).

The word vatsápa- is attested at ŚS \(8.6 .1 \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{PS} 16.79 .1 \mathrm{~d}\), where it denotes a durná́man demon in a charm used to protect a pregnant woman. It occurs also at PS 6.14 .5 b in a hymn against noxious creatures, full as well of previously unattested words (see Griffiths 2009: 169 ff.). Its connection with the Apsarases, besides the fact of being a malignant creature to ward off, is not clear.
15.18.4 [Anusṭubh] PS only, d: PS 1.89.3d
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
dūrād enāḥ pratyapaśyam & +A \\
āpatantīr * adho divaḥ| | & A \\
devānām havyamohanīr & A \\
indro apsaraso hanat \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

I saw them from afar, flying towards [here] below the heaven. Indra will slay the Apsarases confusing [our] oblation to the gods.
dūrād] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, dūrad RM enāh] enāh K, enā Or pratyapaśyam] Or, prapaśyam K āpatantīr] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, āpatantir RM *adho] atho Or K devānāmí] Or, devānā K havyamohanīr] Or, havyamohanīm K indro] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, indre JM apsaraso] Or, psaraso K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 4 Z K

Bhattacharya edits atho divah in \(\mathbf{b}\).
a. The enclitic pronoun ena-, almost always used substantively, obviously refers to the Apsarases.

Although the issue of the aspectual functions of the Vedic imperfect is still under dispute, it would be very attractive to assign to this form the connotation of a visionary experience, which seems to be vividly remembered and to some extent repeated at the moment of reciting the verse. Houben 2000: 518-519, has pointed out this value of the Vedic imperfect: "Already Oldenberg drew attention to the occurrence of this word in another hymn of Dirghatamas, viz., 1.163 (vss. 5 and 7) and spoke of an "Ausdrucksgewohnheit" (habit of expression) of the author. In 1.163 it occurs even a third time: in vs. 6 . In 1.164 we have already seen one other occurrence: in vs. 31 (here accented at the beginning of the pada). In addition, vs. 43 has ārād apaśyam 'I saw from afar' In most of these occurrences (especially those in 1.163), as in many of the other sixteen occurrences in the RV, the context favors the acceptance of apaśyam with the connotation of a visionary experience [...]" which seems to be either "an idiomatic exception to the general rule, or rather an exemplary instance of a durative use of the imperfect". It is worth it to point out the occurrence, both in our passage and in \({\underset{o}{0}} \mathrm{~V}\) 1.164.43, of an adverb expressing distance or remoteness (dūrād, \(\bar{a} r a \bar{a} d\) ).

Cf. also the parallel construction of PS 14.3.1 = NU 1.1 apaśyaí tvāvarohantaín 'divatah prthivīm iva |apaśyam asyantaì rudrà̇ 'nīlagrīvȧ̀ śikhanḍinam 'I saw you descending from heaven down to earth. I saw Rudra, the blue-necked one, the crested one shooting [his arrows]' (Lopez).
b. The reading atho of Or may be due to perseveration from PS 1.29.1b and 7.13.3.b, two passages for which the reading adho is also attested and almost certainly correct. K, which at PS 1.29.1b and 7.13.3.b has the correct reading adho, shows again the same mistake at PS 15.21.7b, where the reading atho divah is again to be emended to *adho divah.
c. The compound havyamohana- 'bewildering/confusing the oblations' is a hapax. Whereas havyá- 'oblation', is attested from the R.VV onwards alone and in compounds (both as first and second member), mohana- is never attested in the Rov nor in the ŚS (where we find at 8.8.9c the synonym móha- 'loss of consciousness, bewilderment', used in a military context as a weapon - a magical charm - to be cast against an hostile army). In the PS it is attested three times, always as a second member of a compound: beyond 15.18.4, it is found at 3.6 .5 a senāmohanaí krnv 'make the bewilderment of the army' = 'bewilder the army' and 20.55.9d tat satyaim cittamohanam 'that [is] the truth that bewilders the mind'. In KauśS 3.1 the hymns ŚS 3.1 and 3.2 are qualified as mohanāni, i.e. formulas to be recited in order to bewilder an enemy.

The word mohana- seems thus to denote especially (but not exclusively) a magical power of a formula by which one is able to cause the victim (an enemy, a rival or an hostile army) to lose the normal control of his mind and senses. According to my interpretation, at PS 15.18.4c havyamohana- is a Tatpuruṣa used in the function of a Nomen Agentis, meaning 'bewildering/confusing the oblations'. This compound is to be compared with the compound manomúh- 'mind-confusing' attested at ŚS \(2.2 .5 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{PS} 1.7 .5 \mathrm{~b}\), which refers to the Apsarases as well. It is worth noting that the Apsarases against which this hymn is directed are depicted in the last stanza in the action of "going from man to man, greedily seeking out the absentminded one" (15.19.12ab).
d. This pāda, found identical at PS 1.89.3d, is to be compared also with PS 7.13.1d indro \({ }^{+}\)api \({ }^{+}\)krtac chirah 'Indra shall cut off the head', in which the intervention of the god is directed against the Apsarases as well. Note that at the beginning of the verse the Orissa manuscripts do not apply abhinihita sandhi between indro and apsaraso; this sandhi is certainly metrically preferable.
15.18.5 [Anuștubh] PS only, \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{PS}\) 1.29.2c
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
āhatā apa tā itah & A \\
khalād \({ }^{\text {iva }}\) vā̄tudhāniyaḥ | & \(/ * \mathrm{~A}\) \\
amum gachata pūruṣam & A \\
samudram apa gachata \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

Them, beated up, [remove] away from here, like sorceresses from the threshing-floor. Go to that man over there, go away to the ocean.
āhatā apa tā itaḥ] Or, ahatāpatāyati \(\mathbf{K}\) amumi] \(\mathbf{O r}\), imaḿ \(\mathbf{K}\) gachata] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, gachati JM, om. K pūruṣam̀] pūrṣam Ku Mā [Ma], pū \(\rightarrow\) u)rṣam \(\mathbf{P a}\), purṣam \(\mathbf{J M} \mathbf{R M}\), om. \(\mathbf{K}\) samudram] Or, om. \(\mathbf{K}\) apa] RM Mā [Ma] Pa, ava Ku JM, om. K gachata] RM, gachati Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, gaśchataḥ K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 5 Z K

Bhattacharya edits gacchatit \({ }^{+}\)in d.
a. A verb should be supplied. The comparison with the sorceresses suggests a verb like 'remove, drive away' to be understood - as the sorceresses would not go voluntarily away from the threshing-floor. Accordingly, I interpret \(t \bar{a}\) as an accusative plural. The corrupt reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) ahatāpatāyati may suggest to read yantu instead of itaḥ, so that the whole pāda would be \(\bar{a} h a t \bar{a}\) apa tā yantu 'Being beaten off, let them go away'.
d. The singular gacchati is impossible here. Note that instead of the two pādas \(\mathbf{c d}, \mathbf{K}\) has only imaím gaśchatah, since the copyist must have skipped from the first gachata in pāda \(\mathbf{c}\) to the second one at the end of pāda \(\mathbf{d}\) ("saut du meme au meme"). On the association of the Apsarases with the ocean, cf. PS 15.19.11 below and Griffiths 2009: 395-6.
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
15.18.6 [Anusțubh] PS only & *\#A \\
divam̆ gachantu diviyāh & A \\
saro gachantu sārasīḥ | & A \\
ulungulasya yo grhas & *A \\
tad u gachantū āsurị̄ \(\|\) &
\end{tabular}

Let the heavenly ones (f.) go to the heaven, let the ones (f.) coming from a lake go to the lake. Where the house of Ulungula [is], there let the female demons go.
divaṃ] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, diva Pa gachantu] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, gantu JM, gaśchantu K divyāḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, divyā Mā, divyās K gachantu] Or, gaśchantu K sārasīḥ] Or, sārasī K uluñgulasya] \(\mathbf{K u ~ P a ~ [ M a ] , ~ u l u m ̇ g u l a s y a ~ J M , ~ u l u ̄ n ̃ g u l a s y a ~} \mathbf{R M}\), ulūkhalasya Mā, ulamं ālasya \(\mathbf{K}\) grhas] \(\mathbf{O r}\), gulas \(\mathbf{K}\) u gachantv] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], u gachant\{u\}v Pa, agaśchanty K āsurīḥ] Or, āsuraiḥ K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, | JM RM, Z Z K
c. The Apsarases addressed in this hymn are called Uluñguluk \(\bar{a}\) - 'belonging to Ulungula' (cf. 18.10e below); for this value of the suffix -ka-, see AiGr. II/2, § 362f, p. 526: "Seltener tritt \(-k a\) - an eine Personenbezeichnung in der Bedeutung 'zu der betr. Person in Beziehung stehend, ihr zugehörig'". As regards the formation, one would expect a feminine derivative in -ik \(\bar{a}\) (according to AiGr. II/2, § 199b, pp. 314-15), but \(-u k a\) - is often found instead of \(-i k \bar{a}\) - (AiGr. II/2, § 293a, pp. 482-83), and the two preceding -u-could have affected the color of the vowel before the suffix. The name Ulungula could be analyzed as uru( \(\dot{m}\) )gula- 'having a broad glans', as an epithet or proper name of a Gandharva (Praust p. c.). The spelling ulu-instead of uru-can be interpreted as a feature of women's speech.
15.18.7 [Gāyatrī] PS only, b: cf. PS 15.18.9b
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
āskandike viskandike & \#A \\
arācīr apa *nrıtyantu | & + \#A \\
sārañgeṇa śunā saha \| & A
\end{tabular}

O Here-Jumper, O There-Jumper: let them dance away into the distance, together with the dappled dog.
āskandike] Or, yaskandīke K viskandike] Or, vaskandīke K *nrotyantu] nrotyatu Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], nrtyata Pa, nrotyataś K sārañgeṇa] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], sā( \(\rightarrow\) sī)rañgeṇa \(\mathbf{P a}\), śārṅgena \(\mathbf{K}\) śunā] \(\mathbf{K}\), sunā Or ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 7 Z K

Bhattacharya edits nrtyatu in \(\mathbf{b}\).
a. This stanza is parallel to 15.18 .3 , which has three pādas as well and begins with two vocatives, too. The two vocatives āskandike viskandike 'O Here-Jumper, O There-Jumper' are possibly two other names of the Apsarases or just epithets. See my comment under 18.3a.
b. The singular nrtyatu is impossible here. On the association of the Apsarases with dancing, cf. PS 15.18.9 below and Griffiths 2009: 388.
c. Griffiths 2009: 385 suggests that 'the dappled dog' is the sun, as it seems clear also from the next stanza.
15.18.8 [Anusṭubh] PS only, b: PS 19.16.16b, c: PS 8.7.6d, 19.16.16c, d: PS 16.14.6d, 19.16.1d, 19.24.3d
yaḥ sārañgo hiraṇyadañ A
+śvā diviyaḥ pariplavaḥ| *A
tasyāham̉ nāma jagrabha- A
-asmā ariṣṭatātaye || A

I have grabbed the name of the dappled dog, having golden teeth, heavenly, floating around, for the safety of this one here.
yaḥ] \(\mathbf{O r}\), yaś \(\mathbf{K}\) sārañgo] \(\mathbf{O r}\), şāngaḿ \(\mathbf{K}\) hiraṇyadan] hiranyadam \(\mathbf{K} \mathbf{O r} \quad{ }^{\text {'śvā] }}\) svā̄ \(\mathbf{O r}\), aśvā \(\mathbf{K}\) divyaḥ] Or, divyah K tasyāhaṃ] Ku JM RM K, tasyāhan Mā [Ma] Pa jagrabhāsmā arisṭatātaye] jagrabhāsmāriṣṭatātaẏe Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, jagrabha asmā arisṭtatātaýe JM, jagrabhā 'smā ariṣ̣tatātaẏe RM, jagrabhā asmā ariṣṭatātaye \(\mathbf{K} \|] \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a}, \mid \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{R M}, \mathrm{Z} 8\) Z K
cd. On the expression nāma grabh-, see Hoffmann 1975: 300 f., and cf. PS 19.24.3 yad gulgulu saindhavam yad vā ghāsi samudriyam | ubhayor agrabhaim nāmāsmā ariṣțatātaye 'Whether you are the bdellium coming from the Sindhu or, verily, the one coming from the ocean, I have grabbed the name of both ones, for the safety of this one here'.

A closer parallel passage is PS 19.16.16 yah piśango *ayodaimstrtạ̄h śvā divyah pariplavah | tasyāhaì nāma jagrabhāsmā ariṣtatātaye ayamं no jīvatād iti ‘I have grabbed the name of the tawny dog, having iron teeth, heavenly, floating around, for the safety of this one here [with the intention]: let this one [patient] of ours live!'.

This stanza seems to confirm the identification of the dog with the sun and hints at the presence of a a patient involved in a ritual (cf. the use of amum at 15.18 .5 c ).
15.18.9 [Anuștubh] PS only, b: cf. PS 15.18.7b
```

\daggeradiyām̀te viraṇī †
*A7
parācīr apa nrtyata |
śrnāmi ghorā vaḥ *prsṭīr \#A
brahmaṇā kīkasā uta || A

```
... (?) dance turning away. O terrible ones, with a formula I break your ribs, and your vertebras.
adyām te] Or, kañkate \(\mathbf{K}\) viraṇī] Or, vīrṇa \(\mathbf{K}\) parācīr] Or, parācair K nrotyata] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, nrotyatu JM RM, nrọtyataś K śrṇ̣āmi] JM RMMā [Ma] Pa K, śrīṇāmi Ku ghorā] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, ghora Pa vaḥ] Or, va K *prssṭīr] prsṭī Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, prșṭí\{̣̂\} JM ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 9ZK

Bhattacharya edits adyā̀̇ te viran̄̄ in a.
a. The reading adyā̀i te virañ̄ is transmitted by all the Orissa manuscripts, while \(\mathbf{K}\) reads kañkate vīrna. Barret proposes "something like ye 'kañkate vīrnāh". I can offer no convincing solution for the interpretation of this pāda. The first part of the verse may contain a verbal form or a combination of adya 'today' + ante 'at the end'. As regards viraṇ \(\bar{l}\), one could think of the verb viraṇ- 'to cause to sound, play upon a musical instrument', and emend to *virananih, which would give the verse the correct number of syllables. Another possibility is also the noun vīraṇī- f. 'a side glance', which could correspond to the expression found at 19.6 b sācy *akṣ̄ karikratīh 'constantly making sidelong glances', but again the text would be not grammatically correct.
b. On the association of the Apsarases with dancing, see my note at 15.18 .7 b above.
c. Both \(\mathbf{K}\) and \(\mathbf{O r}\) read prostī̀, but a dual is hardly conceivable here. Besides being never attested in the dual, the word prstiti-, meaning 'rib', is often found in the plural as object of the verb śró- 'to crush, break' (cf. R̨V \(10.87 .10 \mathrm{c}=\) ŚS \(8.3 .10 \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{PS} 16.6 .10 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{S} \mathrm{S} 2.7 .5 \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{PS}\) 20.18.4d, ŚS 2.32.2c = 5.23.9c = PS 7.2.6c, ŚS 6.32.2b, 6.50.1b etc. etc.). The emendation to \({ }^{*} p r s s t i ̄ r\) is thus highly recommended.
15.18.10 [Mahāpañkti] PS only, ef: PS 15.19.1cd, f: ŚS 2.2.5d
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ekatrimśad aśvavatîs & \#A \\
\hline catasra uta gunguvah | & A \\
\hline śivā daśa +śrutā daśa & A \\
\hline keśinīḥ pañcavimśatiḥ | & A \\
\hline idam ulungulukābhyo & \#A \\
\hline apsarābhyo 'karam namaḥ || & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Thirty-one rich in horses and four Gungūs, ten benevolent, ten famous, twenty-five having long hair. I paid homage here to the Ulungulukā Apsarases.
 \(\mathbf{K}\) +'śrutā] śritā JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, śritā Ku, srutā K keśnī̄h] keśinīh Mā [Ma] K, keśanị̣̄ JM RM Pa, ke • I İب̣ Ku pañcavimśatiḥ̣] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, PAM்CAVIṀ́satị̣ Ku, pañcaviśam̀tị̣ RM, pañcaviḿśatị̄ \(\mathbf{K}\) idam] \(\mathbf{O r}\), yadim \(\mathbf{K}\) ulungulukābhyo] \(\mathbf{O r}\), ulumggulukābhyo \(\mathbf{K}\) apsarābhyo] \(\mathbf{O r}\), psarābhyo \(\mathbf{K}\) karam̉]
 [Ma] Pa, Z 10 Z K

ŚS 2.2.5cd
tá́bhyo gandharvábhyo 'psará́bhyo 'karam námah ||
Bhattacharya edits śrtā in c.
b. The plural gunguvah refers to the members of a mythical tribe, the descendants of Gungu, at R̊V 10.48.8ab ahám guñgúbhyo atithigvám íṣkaram íṣam் ná vrotratúrà̇ vikṣ́ dhārayam 'For
the Gungus I established (king) Atithigva like nourishment, I put among the clans the one overcoming enemies'. Cf. also PS 2.65.1f viśas tvā sarvā anu guñgavo bhavantu 'Let all the clans, the Gungus, devote themselves to you'. The mention of this mytical clan is slighty out of the context and could be justified on account of the two adjectives viśvájanya- and páancajanyathat qualify the Apsarases at 18.2a. It seems that the word is used as an epithet of four Apsarases here.
c. The correct reading is clear, since the Oriya vowel sign \(-r\) - is pronounced [ru] and the confusion between \(-s\) - and \(-s\) - is very frequent in \(\mathbf{K}\).
ef. The total number of Apsarases is thus 80. These two pādas are the refrain repeated in the following twelve stanzas.

\subsection*{15.19. Against Apsarases (continued)}
15.19.1 [Anusṭubh] PS only, a: cf. PS 5.9.6b, cd: PS 15.18.10ef
yāḥ preñkhe preñkhayante
A7
santāne mālavā iva \({ }^{\circ} \circ \circ \|\)
A

Who swing in a swing, like girls from Mālava (?) in a line. (I paid homage here...).
yāh] \(\mathbf{O r}\), yāh \(\mathbf{K}\) preñkhe] \(\mathbf{O r}\), prayamikhe \(\mathbf{K}\) preñkhayante] \(\mathbf{O r}\), prayam்khayante \(\mathbf{K}\) santāne] \(\mathbf{O r}\), sam̉tvānena K mālavā iva] Or, mālvāyavaḥ K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, ||kā JM,|Ku RM, Z 1 K

PS 5.9.6b
yāh preñkhe +preñkhayanta uta yā nu ghorāḥ ।
a. The Apsarases and the Gandharvas are often associated with a 'swing' in the PS: besides the identical verse PS 5.9.6b, cf. PS 7.13.4ab yāsāं̈ preñkho divi baddho antarikṣe hiranyayah 'They [Apsarases] in the intermediate space whose golden swing is tied in the sky' and PS 12.7.5ab yatra preñkho gandharvānā̀ं divi baddho hiranyayah 'Where the Gandharvas' golden swing is tied in the sky'.
b. The translation I give here was proposed by Griffiths 2009: 388.
15.19.2 [Anusțubh] PS only, a: PS 1.29.1a = 1.36.1a, ab: PS 7.13.2ab
```

yāḥ purastād ācaranti $+\mathrm{A}$
sākam sūryasya raśmibhiḥ ${ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|$
A

```

Who approach from the East together with the rays of the sun: (I paid homage here...).
yāh] Or, yāḩ K ācaranti] Or, ācaranty \(\mathbf{K}\) sākam̀] Or, āra \(\mathbf{K}\) sūryasya] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K,


PS 1.29.1
yāh purastād ācaranti nīcaiḥ sūryād adho divaḥ ।
etam apsarasām vrātaṁ brahmanāchā vadāmasi \|
PS 7.13.2ab
yāḥ purastād ācaranti sākam̉ sūryasya raśmibhị̣ |
a. This pāda is identical with PS 1.29.1a, 1.36.1a, PS 7.13.2a. The first pādas of PS 15.19.25 are found in the same sequence at PS 1.29.1-2 and PS 1.36.1-4.
b. As noticed by Griffiths 2009: 386, this pāda features the same content as ŚS 4.38.5ab súryasya raśmín ánu yắh sañcáranti márīcīr vā yắ anusañcáranti '[The Apsarases] that move along the rays of the sun, or that move along [its] beams'.
15.19.3 [Anusṭubh] PS only, a: PS \(1.29 .2 \mathrm{a}=1.36 .2 \mathrm{a}\)
yā adharād ācarantiy
* + A
anasā \({ }^{+}\)chadiṣā saha \({ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|\)
A

Who approach from the South with a cart, with a canopy: (I paid homage here...).
yā adharād] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, yā a \(\cdot\) rād \(\mathbf{K u}\), yādharād \(\mathbf{K}\) anasā] \(\mathbf{O r}\), arasāt \(\mathbf{K} \quad{ }^{+}\)chadiṣā] chadisā Or, saruṣā K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, ||ª̄ \(\mathbf{J M}, \mid \mathbf{R M}, ~ Z ~ 3 ~ Z ~ K ~\)
b. The word ánas-, which in the RV often refers to Uṣas-Sūryā's chariot, is defined in EWAia as 'Lastwagen, Troßwagen, Reisekarren; im Gegensatz zum Streit- oder Rennenwagen, rátha-'; chadís means 'cover', 'roof of a carriage', 'roof'. These two words are attested together also at R̛V 10.85.10ab máno asyā ána āsīd dyáur āsīd utá chadíh ‘Her [of Sūryā] mind was the bridal cart, the canopy thereof was heaven'. Since the Gandharvas and the Apsarases are sometimes requested to be propitious to a passing wedding procession (cf. ŚS 14.2.9), this stanza may contain an allusion to this function of the Apsarases. For the association of the Apsarases with chariots, see also Griffiths 2009: 389.
```

15.19.4 [Anuștubh] PS only, a: PS 1.36.3a
yāḥ paścād ācarantiy A7
andhena tamasā saha ${ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|$ A

```

Who approach from the West together with the darkness, with the gloom: (I paid homage here...).
yāh] Or, yāh K ācaranty] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, ācarañty RM tamasā] JM RM Mā [Ma] K, tamaSĀ <?> Ku, ta \(\{\cdot\}\) masā Pa ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, ||kā \(\mathbf{J M}, \mid \mathbf{R M}\), om. Ku, Z K

PS 1.36.3
yāḥ paścād ācaranti purastād vā sadānvāḥ |
aśmānam richantīr yantu yo 'yam̉ svādāv +anādyaḥ ||
b. This stanza is to be read in parallel with PS 15.19.2. Since the East is naturally associated with the rays of the sun, the West is seen as the kingdom of darkness. The Apsarases are called támiṣīcayah 'dusky’ at SS 2.2.5a \(=\mathrm{PS}\) 1.7.5a.
15.19.5 [Anuș̣̦ubh] PS only, a: PS 1.36.4a
```

yā uttarād ācaranti +A
varṣeṇa vidyutā saha }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ0\circ}||\mathrm{ A

```

Who approach from the North with the rain, with the lightning: (I paid homage here...).
 Or, vidyutās K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, \(\|^{k \bar{a} \bar{a}} \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M}, \mid \mathbf{R M}, ~ Z ~ 4 Z \mathbf{K}\)

PS 1.36.4ab
yā uttarād ācaranty adharād vā sadānvạ̣̄ |
15.19.6 [Anuștubh] PS only, b: PS 7.13.7b
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { yā adhastād udvīkṣante } & \text { \#A } \\
\text { sāc }_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y} & \text { *akṣī karikratīḥ }{ }^{\circ \circ \circ} \|
\end{array}
\]

Who look upward from below, constantly making sidelong glances: (I paid homage here...).
adhastād] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, a\{•\}dhastād RM udvīkṣante] Or, udīkṣante K sācy *akṣī] sācyakṣi Or, sācakṣu K karikratīḥ] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, karikratiḥ RM, kanikratī K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, || \({ }^{\text {kā }} \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M}\), | RM, Z 5 Z K

PS 7.13.7ab
yā vr̊kṣam̉ parisarpanti sācy \({ }^{+}\)akṣī karikratīḥ |

Bhattacharya edits sācyaksi in \(\mathbf{b}\).
b. For the interpretation of the word sācí-, see Griffiths 2009: 390-1. Bhattacharya edits aksi here and at PS 7.13.7b, where the readings of the manuscripts are the same. As stated by Griffiths, "It is clear that we rather need a dual here, which is provided by the archaic form akṣi (AiGr. III, § 158, p. 303). Confusion of short and long \(\bar{l}\) is rampant in the Orissa manuscripts and may have been caused here by the fact that the form akṣi was no longer known to the reciters (having been replaced by aksinī̄)". For the idea of the Apsarases making grimaces and other demoniac gestures, cf. PS 1.29.2b jihmā mukhā karikratīh '[The Apsarases] constantly making their mouths obliques/making grimaces'.

\subsection*{15.19.7 [Anuṣtubh] PS only}
```

yā upariṣṭād avekṣante
0}\textrm{A
nīlavyaktāni bibhratīḥ }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ\circ}|||

```

Who look down from above, wearing dark-blue ornaments: (I paid homage here...).
upariṣṭād] Or, upariṣṭād K avekṣante] Or, avīkṣyante K nīlavyaktāni] Ku JM RM Pa K, nīḷevyaktāni Mā, nīlavyaktāni [Ma] bibhratīh] Or, bibhratī K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, |kā Ku JM, |RM, Z 6 Z K
b. The meaning of the compound nillavyakta-, which is a hapax, is difficult. The first member is clear: it is the adjective nùla-, which means 'blue, dark-blue'. The second member is more problematic; vyàkta-means 'adorned, beautiful, manifested, visible', and I intrerpret the neuter plural as 'beautiful things, ornaments' (see KuIPER 1953: \(87=1997: 272\) ). Cf. also the noun vyàñjana- 'decoration, ornament', which derives from the same verb vyañj- 'to decorate, adorn'.

\subsection*{15.19.8 [Anuș̣tubh] PS only}
```

yā antarikṣe īrayanti +A
vātena reṣmanā saha}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ\circ\circ}|||

```

Who raise (the waters?) in the intermediate space, with the wind, with the storm: (I paid homage here...).
antarikṣe] K, antarikṣa Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, āntarikṣa JM rayanti] K, īraẏanti Or vātena] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, vātenā RM reṣmaṇā] Ku JM RM Pa K, reśmaṇā [Mā, Ma] ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, ||kā Ku JM, | RM, | \(\mathbf{K}\)

Bhattacharya edits antariksa īrayanti in a.
a. The text of the Orissa manuscripts is problematic. The verb īrayati 'raises', 'sets in motion' is always transitive (cf. InSLER 1967: 253-54 and JAMISON 1983: 124), so we would expect an object here, but it is difficult to determine which word should be understood. In PS 5.7.4a - a verse which appears in a hymn for abundant rain - ud irayata marutah samudratas 'Raise, o Maruts, [the waters] from the ocean', appas can be understood because it occurs as object of the same verb in a stanza of the same hymn (PS 5.7.10b); in our hymn, on the contrary, this is the only occurrence of the verb. The best solution seems to follow the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) and edit * rāyanti ('they bark'; cf. Renou 1957c: 111), which is better also for the metre (the text of Or would give an extended Anuștubh pāda, while that of \(\mathbf{K}\) gives an Anuṣtubh pāda with a final trochaic rhythm).

\subsection*{15.19.9 [Anusṭubh] PS only}
yā nadīr iti catasrah
```

PS 7.13.11ab (+ different refrain)
yā nadīh pratigāhante +\#A
saṁrabhya kaniyā iva | *A
[idam uluñgulukābhyo \#A
apsarābhyo 'karam namaḥ ||] A

```

Who plunge into the rivers, like girls holding on to each other: (I paid homage here...).
yā nadīr iti catasraḥ] Ku JM Mā [Ma] K, yā nadir iti catasraḥ \(\mathbf{P a} \mathbf{R M}\) idam uluñgulukottarāḥ \(\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{P a}\) [ \(\mathbf{M a}\) ] idam uluñgulukottarā JM RM, idam ulukottarāh Mā, idaḿ ulumgulukottarā K || || \(\mid\) r|| 19 || Ku, ||r 12 || \{9\} 19 || JM, |r | 19 || RM, || 19 || r || Mā [Ma] Pa, Z 8 Z kāṇ̣ah 5 Z Z iti caturtho nuvāka pañcadaśạ̣ Z K
ab. All the manuscripts have the pratīka yā nadīr plus iti catasrah, which refers back to PS 7.13.11-14; the difference between these two sets of four stanzas consists in the refrain of pādas cd and it is indicated by the addition of idam ulunggulukottarāh after the pratīka (cf. Griffiths 2009: XLII-III).

On the association of the Apsarases with rivers, cf. next stanza and SS 4.37.3ab ( \(\approx\) PS 12.7.3ab) nadí̀̇ं yantv apsaráso pá̀ \(\dot{m} ~ t a ̄ r a ́ m ~ a v a s ́ v a s a ́ m ~ ' L e t ~ t h e ~ A p s a r a s e s ~ g o ~ t o ~ t h e ~ s t r e a m, ~ t o ~\) the loud (?) down-blowing of the waters' (Whitney).
15.19.10 [Anuṣtubh] = PS 7.13.12ab (+ different refrain)
```

yās tīrthāni vigāhante +\#A
a'ghniyāh *śvasatīr iva }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ\circ\circ}||\quad\mathrm{ *A

```

Who dive into the fords, like snorting cows: (I paid homage here...).
b. The emendation has been proposed by Bhattacharya who, inconsistently, edits svasatīr at PS 7.13.12b.
15.19.11 [Anuṣtubh] = PS 7.13.13ab (+ different refrain), a: PS 15.23.13a, 19.20.14a, 19.33.15a \(\approx\) RVV 7.55.7b \(=\) PS 4.6.1b
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
yāḥ samudrād uccarantiy & *+A \\
uccair ghoṣān karikratị̄ \(\mid\) & A \\
[idam ulungulukābhyo & \#A \\
apsarābhyo 'karaḿ namaḥ \(\|]\) & A
\end{tabular}

Who rise up from the ocean, constantly making loud noises: (I paid homage here...).
a. On the association of the Apsarases with the ocean, see PS 15.18.5 above, SS 2.2.3 ( \(\approx\) PS 1.7.3) anavadyábhiḥ sám u jagma ābhir apsarā́sv ápi gandharvá āsīt \(\mid\) samudrá āsāì sádanaì ma āhur yátah sadyá á ca párā ca yánti ‘He became united with those irreproachable ones. The Gandharva was there, among the Apsarases. Their seat - they say to me - is in the ocean, from which they always come and go' and PS 12.7.4a yatrāmartyā apsv antah samudre 'Where the immortal ones (scil. Apsarases) [are], under the waters, in the ocean'.
b. On the noise of the Apsarases, see the parallel passages quoted and translated by Griffiths 2009: 384-5. To those passages one could add also ŚS 2.2.5 \(=\) PS 1.7.5, which is closer to our text as it refers to the Apsarases too: yāh klandās tamiṣīcayo akṣakāmā manomuhah | tābhyo gandharvapatnībhyo apsarābhyo 'karaì namaḥ 'Who [are] noisy, dusky, fond of dice, mind-confusing: I paid homage here to these Apsarases, the Gandharvas’ wives'. Cf. also SS 5.20.1a = PS 9.27.1a uccaírghoṣo dundubhíh satvanāyán ‘The war-drum, sounding aloud, warrior-like' and ŚS 9.1.8ab \(=\) PS 16.32.8ab hiñkárikratū brhatí vayodhá̀ uccaírghoṣābhyéti yắ vratám 'She who crying much, high, bestowing strength, sounding aloud, goes along the course (?)', in which the compound adjective uccairghoṣa- 'sounding aloud' is equivalent to the phrase uccair ghoṣān kr-found in our pāda.
15.19.12 [Anuș̦ubh] = PS 7.13.14ab (+ different refrain), b: cf. PS 6.14.6c
yā gachanti janam janam
A
ichantị̄ prayutam bahu | A
idam uluñgulukābhyo \#A
apsarābhyo 'karam̉ namaḥ \| A

Who go from man to man, greedily seeking out the absent-minded one: I paid homage here to the Ulungulukā Apsarases.
b. Following Delbrück 1888: 185, I take bahu adverbially. For other occurrences of the expression prayutam ichati in the PS and of similar images of demons bothering sleeping or absent-minded persons, see Griffiths 2009: 177.

\subsection*{15.20. To Rudra}

PS 15.20 and 21, which contain ten and eight stanzas respectively, must be considered a single hymn that conforms to the norm of 18 stanzas per hymn expected in this kāṇ̣a. It is significant that the norm concerning the number of stanzas is observed in this particular hymn, as it is dedicated exclusively to Rudra and represents, so to speak, the core of the section I have designated as the "Rudraic hymns" of kāṇ̣a 15.

The hymn is very composite: the first seven stanzas, with the sole exception of stanza six, attested only here in the PS, are an original, skilful and to some extent playful rearrangement of R.V 2.33, a hymn dedicated to Rudra. The eighth stanza contains an homage to the lightning, while stanzas nine and ten are again addressed to Rudra, who is requested to bestow protection and ward off the yakșma. At the beginning of the "new hymn", Rudra is addressed with the epithet paśupati 'lord of cattle', used in the dual (paśupatī 'the two lords of animals'), with reference to Bhava and Śarva, two embodiments of the god himself, who are praised in order that they protect the cattle and are benevolent.

There are a few clear links with the preceding hymn: paśyasi in 20.7e corresponds to paśyam in 18.4a; namas in 20.8ac to namah in the refrain of hymn 19 (pāda d of every stanza); adho divah in 21.7 b occurs also at 18.4 b ; divah in 21.7 b and divi in 21.8 d correspond to divah in 18.4 b and divaim in 18.6a. The verb jaghnatus in 21.2 c echoes the three forms of the root hanfound at 18.2 d (hatā), 3c (jahi) and 4d (hanta).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tvaj jātā rudra śantamā & A \\
\hline \(\mathrm{tu}_{\text {u }}\) vam hi no babhuryā bheṣajebhiḥ | & *Ta \\
\hline vi yakṣmān yāvayāsmad \(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{+}{ }^{\text {ammho }}\) & *T3 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}\) amīvāś cātayāsmad viṣūcīḥ || & *+Ta \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

O Rudra, the most beneficent ones (medicines) are born from you. Since you would sustain us with [your] medicines. Keep the forms of yakṣa away from us, [keep] away distress; make the diseases flee from us in all directions.
rudra] K, rdra Or śantamā] K, santamā Or tvam̉] Or, no K babhuryā] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, babhuryā iraya Mā, babhūjyā K vy yakṣmān] vy yakṣmām \(\mathbf{O r}\), vīkṣmān \(\mathbf{K}\) yāvayāsmad] \(\mathbf{O r}\), yāvayāssad \(\mathbf{K}\) vy \({ }^{+}\)amiho] vy añgo Ku JM RM, yañgo Mā [Ma] Pa, vy oho \(\mathbf{K}\) vy amīvāś] Or, mevaś K viṣūcīḥ] Ku JM RM, viṣucị̄ Mā [Ma] Pa, viṣūcī K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM Z 1 Z K

RV 2.33.2
tvắdattebhī rudra śáṁtamebhiḥ śatám hímā aśīya bheṣajébhiḥ \(\mid\)
vy àsmád dvéṣo vitarám vy ámho vy ámīvāś cātayasvā víṣūcīḥ II

\section*{Bhattacharya edits babhuryā in \(\mathbf{b}, \underline{v y a k s m a \bar{a} \dot{m}}\) in \(\mathbf{c}\) and \({ }^{+} v i s \underline{u} c \bar{c} h \underline{h}\) in \(\mathbf{d}\).}
a. I interpret \(j \bar{a} t \bar{a}\) and śantam \(\bar{a}\) as two nominative neuter plurals that refer to an understood noun bheṣajā 'medicines', which can be easily inferred from the next pāda (bheṣajebhiḥ) and from the parallel Rggedic passage. This Anustubub line is a recast version of the Trisṭubh line RVV 2.33.2a tvắdattebhī rudra śámtamebhiḥ 'O Rudra, with the most beneficient (medicines) given by you'.
b. I translate babhury \(\bar{a}\) as a \(2^{\text {nd }}\) singular perfect optative active from the root \(b h r\)-. As regards the reduplication, forms with \(j a\) - and \(b a\) - are both already attested for the perfect stem of this root in the R.V (with \(b a\) - only in the middle; cf. KümmeL 2000: 338-343). Kümmel's statement that active forms with \(b\) - reduplication are found from the ŚB onwards needs to be corrected, since the PS provides the earliest attestation of this type of formation. For the root form, cf. the desiderative búbhūrşati (attested from the Brahmaṇas onwards), and for the meaning 'to sustain, to protect', cf. my comment at PS 15.10.4b. The particle hi occurs with an optative also at 15.20.4a.

As noted in Bhattacharya's critical apparatus, the manuscript Mā adds the word iraya 'raise' after babhury \(\bar{a}\), which is probably a gloss of this uncommon verbal form.
c. The form vyakșma \(\dot{m}\) is clearly an accusative plural (the use of the anusvāra is a common spelling for the final nasal in Or, especially after long - \(\bar{a}\); cf. Griffiths 2009: LVI-LVIII. In this case, moreover, the correct ending is preserved in \(\mathbf{K}\) ). I do not mark such cases as an emendation (see also under 15.22 .1 bc below). At the end of the line, Bhattacharya edits \({ }^{+}\)vyamiho, which is confirmed by the Rgvedic parallel. As regards the metre, this Trisțubh catalectic pāda could be regularized by reading yakṣmāān (see KUBISCH 2007: 7-8 and PS 15.22 . ad below), which would make the opening regular.
d. The evidence of the Orissa manuscripts makes the "+""-sign before viṣūcīh unnecessary.
15.20.2 [Trisṭubh] PS only, ab: cf. ŖV 2.33.3ab
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tvam devānām asi rudra śr riesteṭhas \(^{\text {a }}\) & *Ta \\
\hline *tavastamas tavasām ugrabāho | & Ta \\
\hline hrọīyasā manasā modamāna & Ta \\
\hline a babhūvitha rudirasya sūnoh \| & *+Tb \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

O Rudra, you are the chief of the gods, the strongest among the strong ones, O you having powerful arms. Enjoying in your very angry mind, you are born from the son of Rudra.
devānām] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, devānā\{ \(\mathfrak{m}\} m\) Pa rudra] rodra Or, rudraś K śreṣṭhas] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, śreṣ\{ta\}ṭthas JM *tavastamas] tavastavas Ku RM [Ma], tavas JM Mā Pa, tapastavas K tavasām] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, tavasā Mā hr̄ṇīyasā] Or, hariṇīyasā K modamāna] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, modamānā RMK ā] Or (om. K) babhūvitha] Or, babhūyavyatha \(\mathbf{K}\) rudrasya] \(\mathbf{K}\), r̊drasya \(\mathbf{O r}\) sūnoḥ] Or, sūno K \(\|]\) Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM Z 2 Z K

\section*{RV 2.33.3ab}
śréṣṭho jātásya rudra śriyắsi tavástamas tavásām̀ vajrabāho |

Bhattacharya edits tavastavas in \(\mathbf{b}\).
a. The word śrésṭtha- is often to be read śráyisṭtha for the sake of the metre (e.g. at R̊V 4.1.6a, 5.82.1c, 6.16.26a, 68.2a, 10.76.2a). In the RQV, it is found twice in the cadence, as in our stanza, viz. at 6.16 .2 a krátvā dá astu śréṣṭho and 10.63.16a svastír íd dhí prápathe śréṣthā.
b. In the critical apparatus, Bhattacharya admits that the text is emendable according to R⿴囗 2.33.3b. The mistake of the manuscripts was obviously favoured by the long sequence of identical akșaras at the beginning of the line (s//ta-va-sta-ma-sta-va-s \(\bar{a})\).
c. The form hrṇiyasā is problematic. Following Delbrück 1874: 204-5, Narten 1982: 141 interprets the form hronìyámāna- \((\mathrm{R} \mathrm{V}+)^{2}\) as a denominative formation from an unattested noun
*hrni- 'anger, wrath', built from the present stem hranite (root hrí-; from the same present stem, through *hrṛă-, the forms hrnāaánt-, durhaṇāyánt-, durhrṇāyú- and durhaṇāyú- are derived). If we accept that the noun *hrni- could have served as a quasi-root for denominative formations, the form hrnīyasā could then be interpreted as an instrumental singular of an adjective hrnịyas, formed from *hrni- plus the comparative suffix.

Cf. PS 3.26.1a \(\approx\) VS 3.41, ĀpŚS 6.27.5, Lāṭ́S 3.3.1, Śān̉khGS 3.7 grhān aimi \({ }^{+}\)(ĀpŚS āgā \(\dot{m}\) ) manasā modamāna (ĀpŚS modamānaḥ) 'I come to the house enjoying in my mind' ( \(\approx\) ŚS 7.60.1c grohán áimi sumánā vándamāno 'I come to the house well-willing, greeting’).
d. The restoration of rudrá- as rudirá-, which was probably an imitation of that of indra- as ind \(_{i} r a\)-, is already found in the \(\underset{\circ}{ } \mathrm{V}\), predominantly after a late caesura (cf. ARNOLD 1905: 98 and Praust 2000: 430 ff .).

The \(2^{\text {nd }}\) singular perfect of \(b h \bar{u}\) - is attested in the R V with the following distribution: \(20 \times\) babhūta, \(2 \times\) babhúuvitha; this distribution is completely reversed in the PS: babhūta \(1 \times\) : babhū́vitha \(17 \times\) (in the ŚS the distribution portion is \(1 \times: 3 \times\) ).

Note that the phrase 'You are born from the son of Rudra' contains a paradox. How can Rudra be born from his own son? The expression rudrásya sūnú- usually refers to the Maruts (e.g. ŖV \(1.64 .12 \mathrm{~b}, 1.85 .1 \mathrm{~b}, 5.42 .15 \mathrm{~b}, 6.50 .4 \mathrm{a}\), ecc.), whose mention, however, does not fit the context here.
15.20.3 [Triṣṭubh] PS only, a: cf. ŖV 2.33.4c, b: cf. ŖV 2.33.6a, c: cf. ŖV 2.33.3c

\begin{abstract}
tvam hi no vīrām̆ īraya bheṣajebhir
\(+\mathrm{T} 2\)
\end{abstract}
un no bhavantu maghavo marutvan \(\quad \mathrm{Tb}\)
karā naḥ pāram am̉hasaḥ suvasti *Tb
viśvā abhītīr apa \(\operatorname{sedh}_{a}\) āsmat \| *Tb

With your medicines pray set in motion our heroes. O bountiful, attended by the Maruts, let them raise us. You will lead us to the opposite side of distress, to well-being; drive away from us all the attacks.
vī̄̄ā̀n] K, vīrāñ, Or īraya] Ku RM Mā [Ma], iraẏa JM Pa, īre \(\mathbf{K}\) bheṣajebhir urum no] \(\mathbf{K}\), bheṣajebhir ānvo Ku, bheṣa\{•\}jebhiṛnno JM, bheṣajebhirrnvo RM, bheṣajebhịrnvā Pa, bheṣajebhiranyo Mā, bheṣajebhīrnvo [Ma] bhavantu] JM, bhavantU RM Pa, bhavant \(\{\mathbf{u}\} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{K u}\), bhavanta Mā [Ma], vamina \(\mathbf{K}\) marutvan] marutvañ \(\mathbf{K}\), martvam Or karā] \(\mathbf{O r}\), kacā \(\mathbf{K}\) naḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, na Mā, naḩ \(\mathbf{K}\) pāram] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, pāra \((\rightarrow\) ta) m Mā, pātum \(\mathbf{K}\) am̉hasaḥ] Or, amihasa \(\mathbf{K}\) viśvā abhîtiri] viśvā abhītir Ku Pa Mā [Ma], viśvā abhitir RM, viśsān abhitir JM, viśvābhītīr K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RMZ3Z K

ŖV 2.33.3cd
párṣi ṇạ̣ pārám áṁhasah svastí vísivā abhitī̄ rápaso yuyodhi ||
RV 2.33 .4 cd
ún no vīrám arpaya bheṣajébhir bhiṣáktamam̉ tvā bhiṣájām S̃ śṇ̣omi \|

ŖV 2.33.6ab
ún mā mamanda vrṣ̣abhó marútvān tvákṣīyasā váyasā nā́dhamānam |

Bhattacharya edits urum no bhavantamaghavo marutvain in \(\mathbf{b}\).
a. This pāda is to be compared with R®V 2.33.4c ún no vīráàm arpaya bhesajébhir 'Raise up our heroes with your medicines'. At PS 1.95 .1 d the verse occurs nearly identically as in the R尺V, the only difference being the use of ìraya instead of arpaya (on which see ZEHNDER 1993: 166). Our passage is a step further from the original source; besides having īraya as in PS 1.95.1d, the beginning of the pāda seems to be a repetition of 15.20 .1 d (tvaim hi no babhury \(\bar{a}\) bheṣajebhih), as suggested by the use of \(h i\) with an imperative (on which see DELBRÜCK 1888: 522) and by the metre (dodecasyllabic Tristuubh pāda with pentasyllabic opening - with irregular rhytm - trisyllabic break and a Tristụbh cadence). Note that the same pāda-opening occurs also in the next stanza.
b. The interpretation of this verse is difficult. I follow the reading of \(\mathbf{J M}\), which seems to be the manuscript with the best text as regards both the meaning and the metre (note that in \(\mathbf{O r}\), the vowel sign -r- is pronounced [ru]).

Although it is metrically awkward, the occurrence of amhasah in the next line is semantically functional in creating the well-know opposition between 'wide space' and 'narrowness'.

For the vocative maghavas, from maghavan-, due to the influence of vant- stems, see AiGr. III, § 144, p. 264. Also marutvan shows the later vocative ending -van, which is attested from AV onwards (see AiGr. III, § 142, p. 258).
c. This pāda is identical with the Rgvedic parallel except for the initial verb. Instead of párṣi, the PS has karā, which I interpret as a \(2^{\text {nd }}\) singular aorist subjunctive with double characterization.
d. This pāda is a variation of RQV 2.33.3d viśvā abhìtī rápaso yuyodhi 'Ward off all the attacks of injury'. The PS variation arises from a different segmentation of the sequence abhìt̀ rápaso, re-interpreted as abhìtūr ápa followed by a verb.
15.20.4 [Triṣ̣ubh-Jagatī] PS only, a: cf. ŖV 2.33.7d
tuvam hi no vrṣ̣abha *cakṣamīthā

> *(+\#) Ta
asmai rudrāyauogrāya mīḍhuṣe | \(\quad\) J1c
kṣayadvīrāya pra bharāmahe matim Jb
yathā naḥ śam aso dvipade śam̀ catuṣpade \| \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ja}\)

O bull, may you become indulgent to us. To this one, to Rudra, the mighty, the patron, to the ruler of men we bring praise, so that you be auspicious to our biped, auspicious to our quadruped.
*cakṣamīthā] cakṣimesṭhā \(\mathbf{O r}\), cakṣasesṭhā \(\mathbf{K}\) asmai] \(\mathbf{O r}\), yusme \(\mathbf{K}\) rudrāyogrāya] \(\mathbf{K}\), rdrāẏogrāẏa \(\mathbf{O r}\) mīḍhuṣe] Ku JM Mā [Ma] K, mīḍuṣe RM?, mīḍu( \(\rightarrow\) su)ṣe Pa kṣayadvīrāya] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], kṣaẏadvīr\{o\}āẏa Pa, kṣīravīrāya K pra] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, prā Pa bharāmahe matim̀ JM K, tarāmahe matim Ku RM, tarāmahetim Mā [Ma] Pa naḥ śam aso] naś śam aso K, nas tamaso Or \|] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM Z 4 Z K

Bhattacharya edits caksimesth \(h \bar{a}\) in \(\mathbf{a}\).
a. The verbal form should be a \(2^{\text {nd }}\) singular optative perfect middle from ksam- 'be indulgent' (note that the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) has the \(-a\) - of the root), so I emend the text according to the parallel R.gvedic passage 2.33.7d abhí nú mā vrṣabha cakṣamīthāh 'O bull, now may you become indulgent to us'. It is worth noting that the redactor addresses Rudra twice with the perfect optative accompanied by the particle \(h i\) (here and in the first stanza).
d. This pāda is extended (14 syllables). The unanimous reading of all the manuscripts advises against any deletion, but both naḥ and the second śam seem superfluous.
15.20.5 [N.N.] R.V 2.33.7abc
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{u}}\) va te șu rudra hasto & \({ }^{+}+\mathrm{A}\) \\
mrḍayāko jalāṣah | & !A7 \\
apabhartā rapaso daiviyasya \(\|\) & \({ }^{*}+\mathrm{Ta}\)
\end{tabular}

O Rudra, merciful one, where is indeed your soothing hand, which takes away the ailment of the gods (coming from the gods)?
te șu] Or, cetu K rudra] K, rdra Or hasto] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, dasto Pa mrḍayāko] Or, mrlayāāko K jalāṣaḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, jalāsa ( \(\rightarrow\) ṣa \()\) h JM apabhartā] Ku RM Mā [Ma], apabharttā JM Pa, apibhartā K rapaso] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, ra\{•\}paso Ku daivyasya] Ku JM RMMā [Ma] K, daivyasa Pa ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RMZ5ZK

\section*{R.V 2.33 .7}
kvà syá te rudra mr!̣layā́kur hásto yó ásti bheṣajó jálāsạạ |
apabhartắ rápaso dáivyasyābhî nú mā vrṣ̣abha cakṣamīthāḥ ||

The first two pādas of this stanza are a rearrangement of RQV 2.33.7ab, while the third one is identical to RoV 2.33.7c.
a. As regards tesu, a locative plural 'among them' is out of place here and semantically awkward. On the other hand, the Rgvedic parallel suggests the interpretation of te as the second singular pronoun meaning 'of you, yours', and my translation follows this interpretation, although the sequence te \(s \underset{u}{ }\) is rare and occurs only once in the \({\underset{\sigma}{0}} \mathrm{~V}\) (in which the distribution of the two words is \(10 \times\) sú te: \(1 \times\) te sú: \(1 \times\) té sú: \(1 \times\) té ṣú; could our passage be reminiscent of \(\underset{\sim}{\text { R.V }}\) 1.169.5c té ṣú ṇo marúto mrḷayantu 'Let these Maruts be merciful to us'?).
b. The term jálāṣa- 'soothing, healing' refers to Rudra himself at R̊V 7.35.6c \(=\) ŚS 19.10.6c = PS 12.16.6c śám no rudró rudrébhir jálāṣah 'Weal [may be] for us Rudra, the healer, with the Rudras'. The compound jálāṣabheșaja- 'whose medicines are soothing' always refers to Rudra, viz. at R̊V 1.43.4b, 8.29.5b, ŚS 2.27.6 = PS 2.16.4a, PS 5.22.9c, 14.3.3c, 20.62.2a.
15.20.6 [Virāj] PS only, a: cf. ŚS 2.10.5b
pra yakṣmaḥ pra nirrttir et \({ }_{\mathrm{u}}\) asmat \({ }^{*}+(\#) \mathrm{Ta}\)
\({ }^{+}\)seneva srș़̣̄ā pracatām amīvā \(\quad \mathrm{Tb}\)
ārādhvam sanā vrjjanā *jahīta \| +Tb

Let the yakṣma, let Nirrti go away from us. Let the disease [go away] stealthily, like a shot spear. Be satisfied forever, leave our settlements.
pra] Or, pari \(\mathbf{K}\) yakṣmaḥ pra nirŗtir] Ku JM [Ma] Pa, yakṣma pra nirrotir Mā, ẏakṣmaḥ pra nirroter RM, nidadhir \(\mathbf{K}\) +seneva] senova \(\mathbf{O r}\), syeneva \(\mathbf{K}\) srsṭtā] \(\mathbf{O r}\), sisṭhā \(\mathbf{K}\) pracatām] \(\mathbf{O r}\), protadān \(\mathbf{K}\) amīvā] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, amīvām Mā, amīvāh K ārādhvami] JM K, ārād dhvam RM, ārād dhat Ku Mā [Ma] Pa sanā] Or, sainā K vrgjanā] Or, vratanā K *jahīta] jahīti Or, jaitāh K \(\mathbf{K} \quad \|] \mathbf{K u}\) Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM Z 6 Z K

ŚS 2.10.5ab
tắsu tvāntár jarásy á dadhắmi prá yákṣma etu nírrotih parācáih |
Bhattacharya edits \(s_{0} s t \bar{a}\) and catāmamīv \(\bar{a}\) in \(\mathbf{b}, \bar{a} r a \bar{a} d d h a t ~ s a n \bar{a}\) and jahīti in \(\mathbf{c}\).
a. Since the second pra belongs with etu, it would be better to have the caesura after the third syllable, but the prosodic structure of the line does not allow it.
b. In the critical apparatus, Bhattacharya proposes the reading catān amīvāh. I interpret pracatām as an adverb (hapax) meaning 'stealthily', derived from pracatā 'id.' with addition of the final \(-m\), which is the typical adverbial termination.
c. In the first part of the verse, the manuscripts JM, RM and \(\mathbf{K}\) seem to have preserved a better reading \(\bar{a} r \bar{a}(d) d h v a \dot{m}\), a \(2^{\text {nd }}\) plural imperative aorist from the verb \(\bar{a}-r \bar{a} d h\) - 'to conciliate, be satisfied'. The addressees of this imperative are the three malevolent entities mentioned in pādas \(\mathbf{a}\) and \(\mathbf{b}\) (yakṣma, Nirrti, the disease).

At the end of the line the form jahīti is grammatically impossible and calls for an emendation to a \(2^{\text {nd }}\) plural \(* j a h i ̄ t a\), slightly supported by the reading jaitāh of \(\mathbf{K}\).
```

15.20.7 [N.N.] abc: cf. ŖV 2.33.10abc, de: ŚS 11.2.25bc = PS 16.106.5df
arhan dhanur hitam bibharṣiy
arhan niṣkam̉ rajatam̉ viśvarūpam | Ta
arhann idaḿ dayase viśvam ejan Ta
na te dūram் na pariṣṭhāsti te bhava Jb
sadyaḥ *sarvām pari paśyasi bhūmim \| \#Ta

```

Being venerable, you carry your speeding bow; being venerable, [you carry] your silver necklace, glittering; being venerable, you cut in pieces this [world] everything that moves. There is nothing far for you, nothing impeding for you, O Bhava. At once you look over the whole earth.
arhan] aŗham Ku JM RM Mā Pa, arhad \((\rightarrow\) hami) [Ma], ahaḿ K hitaḿ] Ku JM RM Mā Pa, ha \((\rightarrow\) di)taḿ

 arhamin idhaḿ \(\mathbf{K}\) dayase] \(\mathbf{O r}\), te \(\mathbf{K}\) viśvam] Or, viṣaḿ \(\mathbf{K}\) ejan] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], eja\{ \(\dot{\text { m }}\} \mathrm{n} \mathbf{~ P a , ~}\) ejam \(\mathbf{K}\) na] \(\mathbf{O r}\), nu \(\mathbf{K}\) pariṣṭāsti] JM RM Mā \(\mathbf{P a}\), parisṭṭasti \(\mathbf{K u}\), pariṣṭāsti [Ma], parisṭ̣āsthi \(\mathbf{K}\) *sarvām] sarvān Or K paśyasi] Or, paśyāmi K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM Z7 Z K
R.V 2.33.10
árhan bibharṣi sắyakāni dhánvā́rhan niṣkám yajatám viśvárūpam |
árhann idám dayase víśvam ábhvam ná và ójīyo rudra tvád asti II
ŚS 11.2.25bc = PS 16.106.5df
ná te dūrám ná pariș़̣hắsti te bhava sadyáh sárvām pári paśyasi bhứmim |

Bhattacharya edits sarvān in e.
a. Cf. also ŚS 11.2.12a = PS 16.105.2a, which is about Rudra too: dhánur bibharṣi háritaín hiranyáyam 'You carry your yellowish, golden bow'. This extended Anuṣtubh pāda seems indeed an incomplete trimeter, since the sequence hitam bibharsi would be a perfect fit in a cadence.
b. On the word niṣká, see Oberlies 1992, who suggests that it indicates an ornament that "vom Nacken und Hals auf die Brust herabhängt" (p. 11). In the PS passage, this ornament is qualified as being made of silver (rajatam), while in the R⿴囗 it is said to be 'worthy of worship, adorable' (yajatam).
c. At the end of the verse, the PS has the variant ejan instead of ábhvaím 'immense (power)' in the \(\mathrm{R} V\). The expression viśvam ejat (with which compare the compound viśvamejaya'making everything tremble') occurs in the cadence of Trisṭubh lines also at PS 14.2.5c, 16.69.9b, 17.1.4c.
e. Here and at PS 16.106.5f, Bhattacharya edits sarvān, which is the reading of all the manuscripts, but is not grammatically correct. This mistake, which is found also in the majority of the ŚS manuscripts, must be very old and already present in the archetype of the Ur-AV. Note that the cadence of this verse is wrong.
```

15.20.8 [Anuṣtubh] $\approx$ ŚS 1.13.1 = PS 19.3.9; a: PS 16.21.2c, b: PS 16.21.2b, ab: VSM 36.21ab,
PS 15.23.11ab
namas te astu vidyute A
namas te ${ }^{\text {stanayitnave }} \quad \mathrm{A}$
namas te ast $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{u}}$ aśmane *A
yena pradiśam asyasi \| A

```

Homage be to your lightning, homage to your thunder; homage be to your bolt with which you shoot into the intermediate direction.
astu] Or, stu K vidyute] Ku Mā [Ma] K Pa , vidyate JM RM \({ }^{+}\)stanayitnave] stanaitnave Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], stanaitnavo Pa, stanayitnuve \(\mathbf{K}\) astv] \(\mathbf{O r}\), stv \(\mathbf{K}\) aśmane] \(\mathbf{K}\), asyane Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, a\{sta\}syane JM yena] \(\mathbf{O r}\), yenā \(\mathbf{K}\) pradiśam asyasi] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, pradiśam asya \(\{\cdot\}\) si RM, dūrāt pradijassasi K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RMZ 8 Z K

ŚS 1.13 .1
námas te astu vidyúte námas te stanayitnáve |
námas te astv áśmane yénā dūḍáśse ásyasi II

Bhattacharya edits pradiśam asyasi in d.
b. In the Orissa manuscripts, the form stanayitnave is spelled with the usual simplification ayi > ai (cf. the spelling of this same word at \(15.12 .3 \mathrm{a}, 15.23 .11 \mathrm{~b}\) ).
d. The verb as- 'to shoot' is generally followed by a noun in the dative or locative case; since this is not the case, I interpret pradiśam as an accusative of direction, but it should be noted that \(\mathbf{K}\) has a different text, yenā dūrāt pradijassasi, emended by Barret to yenā dūrāt praty asyasi 'With which you shoot from afar' (see also Whitney 1905: 14), which yields a good sense too. In this case it is very difficult to decide whether \(\mathbf{O r}\) or \(\mathbf{K}\) has preserved the more original text. I adopt the text of the Orissa manuscripts because it does not require any emendation and is quite satisfactory as regards the meaning. For the construction of the verb as- with an instrumental, besides the parallel SS passage quoted above, cf. RQV 2.24.8c tásya sādhvír íṣavo yábhir ásyati 'Leading straight to the goal are his arrows, with which he shoots'.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline mā devānām ugra rājann & +A \\
\hline asmākam puruṣā riṣan | & A \\
\hline rakṣāms \({ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}\) asmad yakṣmāmí ca & *\#A \\
\hline nāśayāmasi brahmaṇā || & \#A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

O powerful king of the gods, let our men not get hurt. With a formula do we make disappear the demons and the forms of yaksma, away from us.
devānām] Or, devānāmim K rājann] Or, rājam K puruṣā] K, pursṣā Or riṣan] riṣam K, rạan Or rakṣām̉sy] Or, rakṣāmísi K asmad] Or, yasmā K yakṣmāḿnś] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, yakṣmāś Ku, rakṣāmisi K ca] Or, om. K nāśayāmasi] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, nāsa \(\rightarrow\) śa \()\) ẏāmasi Pa \|] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, | JM RM Z 9 Z K

Bhattacharya edits \({ }^{+}\)riṣan in \(\mathbf{b}\).
b. The confusion \(r:: r i\) is quite common in the Orissa manuscripts: cf. e.g. 6.12.7b, 7.8.8d, 7.10.2c (and probably also 23.12d).

The expression \(m \bar{a}[\ldots]\) puruṣo \({ }^{+}\)riṣat is frequent in the PS (cf. i.a. 4.22.5d, 7.7.6d).
```

15.20.10 [Anuștubh] PS only
brahmaṇeto nāśayāmo +A
yat kim cāñgeṣuv āmayat | *A
śalyān yakṣmasyātho ropīs \#A
tā ito vi nayāmasi \| A

```

With a formula we make disappear from here whatever hurts in the limbs. We remove from here the splinters of the yaksma and also the pains.
brahmaṇeto] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, brahm\{e\}aṇeto Ku nāśayāmo] K, nāśaẏāmo Or yat] Or, yadi K kimi] JM RM K, kiñ Ku Mā [Ma] Pa cāngeṣv] JM RMMā [Ma] Pa K, cāñgoṣv Ku āmayat] Or, āruhat K +́salyān] śalyām JM RM Mā [Ma] K, śatyā Ku, śatnyam Pa yakṣmasyātho] Or, yakṣm\{ā\}asyātho
 RM, || 20 || r || Mā [Ma] Pa, Z 10 Z K
c. Bhattacharya adopts (with all the manuscripts) the reading salyā\(\dot{m}\), which is obviously to be understood as an accusative plural. On the meaning of śalyá-, see Griffiths-Lubotsky 2014. They consider PS 15.20 cd a passage in which, for this word, "a meaning such as 'splinter', or in any case a 'foreign body' less directly associated with arrows, might be preferable". Although this may be true, it should be noted, however, that the use of śalyá- in this hymn could have been suggested by the frequent mention of the arrows and missiles of Rudra-Bhava: cf. 20.6b, 7a and stanza 15.13 .10 above. For the association of śalyá- with diseases, cf. PS 1.46.2cd *māsyā susron nāśayā vyadhmano viṣam bahiḥ śalyaś caratu rogo asmāt 'Let no [blood] of his flow forth: make the poison disappear from the wound. Let the tip, the disease go outside out of him' and PS 7.15.4b śalyān yakṣmān vi vrhāmo vayaì te 'We pull out from you the [arrow] tips, the forms of yaksma' (Griffiths's translations).

The rare word rópi- 'acute pain' is associated with Rudra - addressed as Bhava - also at ŚS 2.11.3b = PS 16.104.3 krándāya te prānáaya yà́ś ca te bhava rópayah |námas te rudra
krṇmaḥ sahasrākṣáyāmartya 'To your cry, your breath and the pains that are yours, O Bhava: we pay homage, O Rudra, having thousand eyes, immortal'.

On the syntax of átho in the sequence X + Y átho Z, cf. Klein 1985: 84-85.
d. The pronoun \(t \bar{a}\) is in grammatical agreement with the feminine plural rop \(\bar{s}\), but refers \(a d\) sensum also to the masculine plural śalyān.

\subsection*{15.21. To Rudra (continued)}
15.21.1 [Anuṣṭubh] PS only, c: ŚS 16.6.4a, PS 18.50.4a, 20.18.5a, KS 22.5:61.3, VSM 15.1516 etc.
nayāmi vām paśupatī \#A
ghrtenājyena vardhayan | A
yam̉ dviṣmo yaś ca no dvesṭii +\#A
tasya *dveṣah \({ }^{\text {kariṣyathaḥ || }} \quad\) A

I lead you two, O lords of animals, increasing [you] with ghee, with \(\bar{a} j y a\). You two will make hostility to him whom we hate, and who hates us.
nayāmi] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, naȳā\{sa\}mi RM vāmi] Or, tvām K paśupatī] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, paśupatīm Mā vardhayan] Mā [Ma] K, varddhaẏan Ku JM RM Pa yami] Ku JM RM Mā K, yan [Ma], ya Pa *dveṣaḥ] veśaḥ Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, deśạ̣ Mā, veṣab K \({ }^{+}\)kariṣyathaḥ] kariṣyatha Or, kariṣyatạ̄ \(\mathbf{K} \|] \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a}, \mid \mathbf{J M ~ R M , ~ Z ~} 1\) Z K

Bhattacharya edits veśah in d.
a. Note the uncommon occurence of the verb \(n \bar{l}-\) 'to lead', which is never applied to a situation when a mortal would lead a god. Besides maybe having a specific ritual meaning, the verb is probably used here also in opposition to the expression vi nayāmasi, occurring at the end of the preceding stanza; after having removed the yaksma, the officiant is ready to guide the two gods (to the sacrifice?).

The name paśupati 'lord of the cattle' is a common epithet of Rudra; the dual paśupatī must refer to Bhava and Śarva, two embodiments of Rudra himself (cf. 15.16.7a, 8a, 10a).
b. For a discussion of the words ghrtá- and ájya-, see Gonda 1980: 176 (with note 4). These two words "are said to be interchangeable, although \([\bar{a} j y a]\) is ritually prepared (consecrated) butter, [ghrta] not". They often occur together, already at R̊V 10.79.5b áajyair ghrtáir juhóti púşati 'He sacrifices offering oblations with ājya, with ghee; he nourish [him]'; cf. also ŚS 19.27.5ab = PS 10.7.5ab ghrténa tvā sám ukṣāmy ágne ájyena vardháyan ‘I besprinkle you with ghee, O Agni, increasing [you] with ājya', PS 9.3.5ab ājyena ghrtena juhomi kilāsabheṣajam 'With ghee, with ājya I offer in sacrifice the remedy against leprosy' (cf. also PS 16.24.8ab, \(76.1 \mathrm{ab}, 7 \mathrm{a}\), etc.).
d. The reading veśah of all the Orissa manuscripts (with the exception of Mā) means 'neighbour, dependent, vassal' (cf. ŚS 2.32.5 = PS 2.14.3cd hatấso asya veśáso hatấsah páriveśasah 'Struck are his neighbours, struck the further neighbours', with Whitney's comment ad loc., and the parallel Avestan word vaēsa 'servant' mentioned by ZeHNDER 1999: 52).

This reading, although satisfactory in meaning, seems impossible for two reasons; first of all, it is not grammatically correct, because véśa- (also veśás-) is masculine, and a nominative does not fit here; the preceding genitive, then, is syntactically awkward.

The emendation to *dvesas has been proposed by Barret. It is supported by the initial \(d\) - of the reading of Mā and suggested by the common confusion of the sibilants in the manuscripts. Moreover, dvéṣa-(s)- can be neuter, and interpreted as an accusative singular, which fits in the formula dveṣa-(s)- kr- 'make hostility'. Cf. PS 1.3.2d apa dveṣāmsy \(\bar{a}\) krdhi 'drive away hostilities'. Cf. also ŚS \(3.30 .1 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{PS} 5.19 .1 \mathrm{~b}\) ávidveṣà̀ krṇomi vaḥ 'I make for you non-
hostility', ŚS 5.21.1cd vidveṣám káśmaśȧm bhayám amítreṣu ní dadhmasy 'We put among our enemies mutual hate, fear, confusion', with the the use of the root \(d h \bar{a}\) - instead of \(k r\)-. This expressions usually requires a dative or a locative, but it is common that the genitive functions as a dative in Vedic (SpeiJer 1886: 96 ff., Delbrück 1888: 162).
```

15.21.2 [Anuștubh] PS only
adhi brūtaṁ paśupatī \#A
dvipade me catuṣpade | A
prasūtau yatra jaghnatus A
tato me mā paraṁ riṣat | A

```

O you two lords of animals, speak in favour of my biped, in favour of my quadruped. When the two, being requested, have slain, then may what is further of mine not get hurt.
brūtam்] Ku JM RM Mā Pa K, vgrtam [Ma] paśupatī] Ku JM RM [Ma] K, yaśupat \{i\}ī Pa, paśupatim Mā dvipade] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa K, dvipado JM RM prasūtau] \(\mathbf{O r}\), prasūto \(\mathbf{K}\) jaghnatus] jaghnatas \(\mathbf{K}\) me] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, mo JM parami] K, taraḿ Or riṣat] Or, vrsṣā K ||] Mā [Ma] Pa, |Ku JM RM, Z 2 K

Bhattacharya edits mātaraín rişt in d.
ab. Bhava and Śarva are described as lords of both bipeds and quadrupeds at ŚS 4.28.1-7c = PS 4.37.1-7c yắv asyéśāthe dvipádo yáu cátuṣpadas '(you two) who rule over these bipeds [and] quadrupeds'. Cf. also ŚS 11.2.1 = PS 16.104.1 bhávāśarvau mrḍátaì máabhí yātaì bhútapatī páśupatī námo vām | prátihitām áyatā̀̀ má ví srāṣtam má no himsiṣtaì dvipádo máa cátuspadaḥ 'O Bhava and Śarva, be gracious; do not drive against [us]; O lords of beings, O lords of cattle, homage to you! [The arrow] that is fitted, that is thrown, do not let fly; do not harm our bipeds, [do not harm] our quadrupeds.'
d. The meaning of this pāda is difficult. It is clear that \(m \bar{a}\) goes with riṣat and the whole expression means, 'May it not get hurt'. The reading taram of the Orissa manuscripts yields little sense, while the reading param of \(\mathbf{K}\) can possibly be understood as an adjective used as a noun and governing the genitive \(m e\), so that the verse would mean, 'May what is further of mine (besides bipeds and quadrupeds) not get hurt'. I prefer this interpretation, although it is far from satisfactory. Alternatively, param can be connected with tatas, thus forming a common adverbial expression (tatas paraim) meaning 'after that'; in this case, however, the word me would be left over.
15.21.3 [Anuṣtubh] PS only, d: cf. 21.5e
```

yā vām +rudrā *śivās *tanūr A
yā vām\mp@code{ santiy + arogaṇāḥ | *A}
yā vām āyuṣmatīs tanūs A
tābhir no mrḍataṁ yuvam || !A

```

O you (two) Rudras, be you two merciful to us with these forms of yours, which are beneficient, which are not causing disease, which provide long life.
\({ }^{+}\)rudrā] rodraḥ Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, rodra JM RM, rudrāś K *śivās *tanūr] śivā tanū Or K santy \({ }^{+}\)arogaṇāḥ] santi rogaṇāḥ Or, santy arogiṇī K āyuṣmatīs] Ku JM Rm [Ma] Pa, āẏāvāmāẏuṣ̣matīs Mā, āyuṣmatī K tanūs] K, tanus Or tābhir no] Or, tābhin no \(\mathbf{K}\) mrḍatam̉] Or, mr̊tam \(\mathbf{K}\) yuvam] yuvamं JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, yu\{• \}vam் Ku ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 3 Z K

Bhattacharya edits rudrah in a and santi rogaṇāh in \(\mathbf{b}\).
a. The nominative singular rudrah of \(\mathbf{O r}\) does not fit here. The verb in the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) plural (santi, in b) requires a nominative plural, and the form \(\tan \bar{u} s\) is attested as a nominative plural also at KauśS 131.2 (cf. AiGr. III, § 98, p. 190). Therefore, I have emended the readings of the mss. śivā tanū, which must already have been corrupt in the archetype, to *śivās *tanūr, and I interpret rudrā as a vocative dual. Cf. PS 14.3.8a yā te rudra śivā tan \(\bar{u} r\) 'That benign form of yours, Rudra' (in the singular), which could also have been the source of the mistake in our passage (perseveration).

Since the addressees, in the vocative, are Bhava and Śarva, a dual would have been natural also for the mention of their bodies, but it is conceivable that this stanza refers to the various forms and physical aspects that Rudra can assume.
b. In the critical apparatus Bhattacharya proposes the reading aroganāhh, which I adopt as clearly correct and confirmed by the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) aroginī .
```

15.21.4 [Prastārapadā] PS only
na praminanti vratino vratāni +Tb
satyaṁ jinvanto vidathā vadantaḥ | Tb
yasyeme rodasī ubhe A
saṁyukte manasā hroā || A

```

The ones observing a vow do not violate their vows, furthering truth, announcing distributions of wealth. He whose both these surfaces (heaven and earth) are united in mind, in heart [...]
minanti] Or, mrlāāti K vratino] Or, vrajino \(\mathbf{K}\) jinvanto] Or, janvanto \(\mathbf{K}\) yasyeme] K Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, yaseme JM, yasyame \(\mathbf{R M}\) rodasī] Or, rudasī \(\mathbf{K} \|] \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a},|\mathbf{R M},| \mathbf{K}\)
a. On the expression vratám/vratá \((n i)+(p r a) m \bar{\imath}-\), which is a fixed collocation occurring 16 times in the RVV, cf. Thieme 1941: 82-116 = 1971: 7-41, SChmidt 1958 and Brereton 1981: 87. In the PS it also occurs at 5.32.2c yo 'sya \({ }^{+}\)vratain pramināti kas' ca 'Whoever violates his vow', \(18.57 .5 \mathrm{c}(=\) ŚS \(18.1 .5 \mathrm{c}=\) ŖV 10.10 .5 c ) nakir asya praminanti vratāni 'No one violates his vows', 19.47.5a (= ŚS 19.59.2a = R̊V 10.2.4a) yad vo vayaín pramināma vratāni 'When we violate your vows'. Cf. also the important parallel passage RV 3.28.4cd, in which the verb is found in connection with vidátha-: ágne yahvásya táva bhāgadhéyà̇ ná prá minanti vidátheṣu dhîrāạh 'O Agni, the wise ones, during the distributions of wealth, do not diminish the portion of yours, the swift one'.

According to my interpretation, the people mentioned in this stanza are the Vrātyas themselves, who are bound by a common vow to the observation of certain rules (cf. Falk 1986: 17 ff.).
b. The word vidátha- has been discussed by Thieme 1949: 35-49 and by KuIPer 1974: 129132, who conclusively proves that the meaning of this word is 'distribution', 'distribution of
wealth'. The expression vidátham ( \(\bar{a}\) )vad- means 'to announce a vidátha', 'to announce a distribution of wealth', as a manifestation of vitality and prestige of the giver.
cd. These two pādas belong syntactically to the next stanza.
15.21.5 [N.N.] PS only, e: cf. 21.3d, fg: PS 5.17.8ef
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline sa prajānām prajāpatih & A \\
\hline sādhu rakṣati varṣati | & A \\
\hline sa veda ratnabheșajam & A \\
\hline devebhyas pariy ābhrtam & * \\
\hline tena no mrḍatam yuvam | & ! A \\
\hline jīvātave na martave & A \\
\hline atho ariș̣atātaye || & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
[He whose both these surfaces (heaven and earth) are united in mind, in heart,] he is the (progeny-)lord of progenies, he protects the right, he rains. He knows the choice medicine brought from the gods. With that let you two be merciful to us, for life, not for death, and for safety.
prajānāmí] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, prajānā Mā prajāpatị̣] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], prajāpati Pa K sādhu] Ku JM RM [Ma] K, sudhu Mā, om. Pa rakṣati] rakṣati \(\rightarrow\) tī) Ku Mā, rakṣatī JM RM, rakṣatī \((\rightarrow\) ti) [Ma], om. Pa, varṣati \(\mathbf{K}\) veda] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, deva Ku ratnabheṣajaṁ] JM RM Mā [Ma], ratnabheṣa \(\{\cdot\}\) jam \(\mathbf{K u}\), ra\{kta\}tnabheṣajam \(\mathbf{P a}\), ratnambessajam \(\mathbf{K}\) pary] \(\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a ] ~ P a} \mathbf{K}\), pay JM, pariy \(\mathbf{R M}\) mrdatam்] \(\mathbf{O r}\), mrḷātaḿ \(\mathbf{K}\) yuvam] yuvam, \(\mathrm{Z} 4 \mathrm{Z} \mathbf{K}\), yuvaḿ \(\mathbf{O r}\) martave] \(\mathbf{K}\), marttave \(\mathbf{O r}\) atho] K, tho Or ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z K
c. For the construction prajānā̀ं prajāpati-, literally 'progeny-lord of the progenies', see WATKINS 1995: \(242 \mathrm{ff}=\) 1997: 216 ff .: "The gentive construction with a compound of pati'lord' as in ganā́nām gánapati- 'throng-lord of throngs' is by no means unique in the Rigveda, though it is clearly somewhat stereotyped and both formally and semantically restricted. [...]. Of the 17 Rigvedic examples of the construction, 10 are in the vocative or accompany a second person pronoun, and of the oldest 8 examples, in the family books, 7 are vocatives or accompany a second person pronoun. All are gods. The construction therefore clearly is originally most at home in the liturgy". The expression prajānāì prajāpati-, which has not been taken into account by Watkins, occurs two more times in the PS, at 11.1.11ab \(\bar{a}\) te nayāmi vrṣanamam yah prajānā̀ं prajāpatiḥ ‘I lead here your bull, who is the lord of progenies' and 16.37.2ab indrāgnī asmān rakṣatā̀ं yau prajānāì prajāpatī 'Let Indra and Agni protect us, the two ones who are the lords of progenies'. Although Watkins states that this construction "is commonest in trisțubh lines where it conveniently fills the seven syllables after the caesura", in the PS it occurs only in Anuștubh pādas. In the context of this stanza it refers to Rudra, as is confirmed by the mention of the medicine (ratnabhesaja-, which is an hapax) in pāda \(\mathbf{e} .{ }^{51}\)
ef. The expression jī̄ātave na martave 'tho arisṭatātaye occurs as such at PS 5.17.8ef, and it has been described by Lubotsky 2002: 90 as "an AVP variant [...] of the formula R.RV

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{51}\) GondA 1986: 25 quotes four pādas from PS 15.21.5, but his interpretation is wrong. He states that "In AVP 15, 21, 5 Prajāpati is even regarded as producing rain alone: 'Prajāpati with mind (manas) and heart of whom both heaven and earth are united, rains, rains properly'". As I have pointed out above, Rudra is concerned here, not Prajāpati; moreover, the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) (which has twice varṣati) is not correct.
}
10.60 .8 de (repeated \(9 \mathrm{de}, 10 \mathrm{~cd}\) ) jīvà́tave ná mrtyávé 'tho arisṭtátātaye, with a nonce form martave". The same expression is indeed attested also at PB 1.5.18. The meaning of atho in this sentence has been discussed by KLEIN 1985: 84, who made very convincing arguments against Geldner's interpretation of the particle in the sense of 'sondern' and against the violation of the pāda structure (Geldner assumes the structure (jīvã́tave) (ná mrtyávé|'tho aristátātātaye) with enjambement). Geldner's solution seems very unlikely, as the opposition between jı̄̄vàtave and mrtyáve is clear, there are no other examples of átho in the sense of 'sondern' and the sequence átho ariṣṭ́tāti- is found in additive conjunction with a preceding term also at ṚV 10.137.4ab \(\bar{a}\) tvāgamà̇ śámitātibhir átho ariṣtátātibhiḥ 'I have come to you with benefits and safeties'.
```

15.21.6 [Anusțubh] PS only
saguṇāsa āsate A7
sam்iyuktā balāya kam | A
teṣām\mp@code{yad indriyam *brhad A}
ati rocati rocanā|| A

```

Those of matching qualities sit together, united for the sake of the strength. What is the great power of them outshines the stars.
sagunāsa āsate] Or, sagunāāāsate \(\mathbf{K}\) *brhad] vŗhac \(\mathbf{O r} \mathbf{K}\) ati] ati \(\mathbf{O r}\), aTI Ku, ita \(\mathbf{K}\) rocati] \(\mathbf{O r}\), ROcati Ku, rocita K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 5 Z K

Bhattacharya edits vrhacatirocatirocan \(\bar{a}\) in \(\mathbf{c d}\).
a. It is not clear who is the subject of the action, but probably the same persons mentioned in 21.4. This is the earliest attestation of the word saguna-.
b. Note the occurence of the same word samyukta- used in 21.4 d , as if the redactor wants to compare the harmony between these men to that of the couple heaven and earth.
cd. In order to have two Anuṣtubh pādas in cd, the first word of the sequence vrhacatirocatirocanā must belong to pāda c. I emend the text according to PS 19.48.18a mayi tyad indriyam brhat 'In me [is] that great power', in which the expression indriyam brhan occupies the same position in the verse. The mistake of the manuscripts was probably favoured by the repetition of the same syllables in the sequence (c)ati rocati.

Cf. ŚS 4.28.1b ( \(\approx\) PS 4.37.1b) yáyor vām idám pradíśi yád virócate '(Bhava and Śarva), in whose control is what shines forth here'. In the AV, the word rocaná- 'bright, shining' can mean also 'stars' when used in the neuter plural (cf. ŚS 4.10.2, 6.31.2, 6.75.3, 19.7.1).
15.21.7 [Anușṭubh] PS only, d: cf. 21.8a
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
ye te rocane brhatī & \#A \\
antarikṣe *adho divạ̣ | & A \\
tābhyām upa pra yāhi naḥ & A \\
sarvavīrā̆̄̆ ariṣyatạ̣ \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

Your two high stars that are in the intermediate space, below the heaven: from them drive down towards us, who are accompanied by safe and sound heroic sons, unhurt.
ye te] Or, ete K brhatī] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, brhat \(\{\mathrm{i}\} \overline{1} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{P a}\) *adho] atho Or K divaḥ] K, diśaḥ Or upa pra yāhi naḥ] Or, aprahinas \(K\) sarvavīrām̆] Mā [Ma] K, sarvavīrān Ku JM RM Pa ariṣyataḥ] Or, ariṣyate K ||] JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | Ku RM, Z 6 Z K

Bhattacharya edits atho in \(\mathbf{b}\).
b. On the emendation *adho, see my comment on 18.4 b above.
c. The addressee of the imperative must be Rudra.
d. On sárvavīra-, see Gonda 1955b: 56-57, who criticizes the translations of MW 'allheroic, consisting of or relating to or accompanied by or leading all men or heroes' and the renderings by Whitney-Lanman 'having all heroes', 'preserving heroes', 'with all his heroes', pointing out that, according to original meaning of sárva- 'undivided, complete, uninjured', the compound must express 'the idea of 'with complete, saved men (heroic sons)', i.e. 'with the full number of them safe and sound'".
15.21.8 [Anuș̣ubh] PS only, a: cf. 21.7d
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
sarvavīrā ariṣyanto & +\#A \\
rocane adhi tasthima | & A \\
†yathā nas trṣṇamad vasu & A \\
divi kṣipadbhyo apsu yā† \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

Accompanied by safe and sound heroic sons, unhurt, we rely on [these] two stars. So that our wealth ... (?) in the sky ... (?) in the waters.
sarvavīrā] Or, sarvavīrām \(\mathbf{K}\) ariṣyanto] Ku Pa Mā [Ma] K, ariṣyato JM RM rocane] Or, rocano \(\mathbf{K}\) tasthima] Or, tasthimā \(\mathbf{K}\) trș̣namad vasu] Ku JM RM Pa, trṣnamad yasu [Mā? Ma?] tripunavad vasu \(\mathbf{K}\) divi] Or, divaḥ K kṣipadbhyo] Pa Mā [Ma], kṣipatbhyo Ku JM RM, kṣubhyo K apsu] Or, psu \(\mathbf{K}\) yā]
 [Ma] Pa Z Z K

Bhattacharya edits trṣnamadvasu in cd.
cd. The reading of the Orissa manuscripts Mā and Ma, according to Bhattacharya's critical apparatus, is uncertain: trsṣnamadyasu? The interpretation of these corrupt pādas is unclear to me. After yath \(\bar{a}\) one would expect a subjunctive, which may be concealed in the sequence trṣnamad (trṣnavad?). The form kṣipadbhyo may be an ablative plural of the present participle active from ksip- 'throw, wound', meaning 'from the ones wounding'.

\subsection*{15.22. Against hail, for the protection of the crop}

PS 15.22-23 belong together as one single composition of 23 connected stanzas split over two hymns of ten and 13 stanzas, respectively.

This hymn, which has no parallel in the Śaunakīyasamihitā, deals with a ritual against bad weather, especially against thunderbolts; it is a charm for the protection of the crop, sortable among those hymns, quite common in both recensions of the Atharvaveda, aiming to secure prosperity against various dangers (puștikarmāni). \({ }^{52}\) The uniqueness of PS \(15.22-23\) lies in the fact that, as far as I am aware, it is the only example in all the Vedic corpus of a charm explicitly addressed against hail. It is true that at least two others hymns, ŚS \(1.13 \approx \operatorname{PS} 19.3 .4-6,9^{53}\) and ŚS 7.11 were used in the KauśS (38.8-10) in a ritual which could have been performed against hailstorm. CALAND 1900: 129 had already suggested, on the basis of Dārila's corrupted commentary, that the ritual prescriptions of the KauśS probably were directed to warding off the hail from the crop and that the word aśáni- might mean 'hailstone' rather than 'thunderbolt' in that context. However, if in this case the situation remains ambiguous - because the ŚS hymns quoted by the KauśS mention clearly the thunderbolt and not the hail as a dangerous atmospheric agent, they don't have clear references to the hail and their ritual application against hailstorm could therefore also be considered a later development - there can be little doubts about PS 15.22-23, where the hail is specifically named and described with an amazing realism.

Although a detailed comparative approach falls outside the purpose of this commentary, it should be stressed that spells for the protection of the crop against bad weather and hail are attested in many Indo-European and non-Indo-European linguistic areas. Many common magical features and ritual parallel practices have been studied in order to show how the mechanism of these kind of incantations works. \({ }^{54}\) If, on the one hand, the Atharvaveda and its ancillary literature provided much material for comparison, on the other hand the ancient Indian literature seemed to lack completely spells against hail, so well attested in other traditions like the Roman and the Greek ones. \({ }^{55}\) This situation has now changed, and in this respect PS 15.2223 is even more precious and worth studying, since it offers the Indian (and more specifically Atharvavedic) counterpart of a tradition of charms known as \(\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \rho \rho 1 \alpha\), к \(\omega \lambda \cup \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha\) or \(\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\), designed to protect who pronounced them from a potential threat — in this case, from hail. It is indeed thanks to the comparison with other traditions that we can understand some difficult and at first sight unintelligible passages of our hymn.

The hymn is closely connected with the preceding one through repetition of several words and similar expressions: cf. 23.11ab namas te astu vidyute namas te stanayitnave and 20.8ab namas te astu vidyute namas te \({ }^{+}\)stanayitnave or the couple dvipad-catuspad in 23.11 c , which occurs also in 20.4 d and 21.2 b . The word ghrteṇa in 22.3 c corresponds to ghrteña] in 21.2b; vidyotamāna in 22.6 a and vidyutam in 22.7 a , 8 a to vidyute in 20.8a; stanayan in 22.6 a to stanayitnave in 20.8a; dhanvāni in 22.7 d to dhanur in 20.7 a ; namo in 22.8 c to namas in 20.8 ac ; \(m r d a y a ̄ t a ~ i n ~ 23.4 a ~ t o ~ m r d a y a ̄ k o ~ i n ~ 20.5 b ~ a n d ~ m r d a t a \dot{o}\) in 21.3 d , 5 e ; aśmabhiḥ in \(23.3 \mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{~b}\) to

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{52}\) See, e.g., ŚS \(3.17 \approx\) PS 2.22 , ŚS \(3.24 \approx\) PS 5.30 , ŚS \(4.15 \approx\) PS 5.7, ŚS \(6.142 \approx\) PS 20.49, ŚS \(7.11 \approx\) PS 20.2.9, ŚS \(7.18 \approx\) PS 20.8.3, 20.4.7.
\({ }^{53}\) Note that ŚS 1.13.1ab \(\approx\) PS 19.3.9ab occur also in our hymn at 15.23.11ab.
\({ }^{54}\) See Fernández Nieto 2010, with exhaustive literature.
\({ }^{55}\) See Fehrle 1912, Fiedler 1930, MacCartney 1934.
}
aśmane in 20.8c; the verbs yāvayāta in 22.3d, yāvayāmasi in 23.3d, 4d and yāvayād in 23.13c to yāvay[a] in 20.1c; nāśayāmasi in 23.8d to the same form in 20.9d and nāśayāmo in 20.10a.
```

15.22.1 [Anuș̣tubh] PS only
indrāgnī huve prathamau \#A
hvayāmi marutaḥ śivān | A
hvayāmi viśvān devān A7
imaṁ homam avantu me \| A

```

Indra and Agni I call as first, I call the Maruts, the propitious ones, I call the All-gods. Let them help this oblation of mine.
indrāgnī] Ku JM RM [Ma] K, indrāgn\{i\}ī Pa, indrān̄̄ Mā prathamau] \(\mathbf{O r}\), prathamo \(\mathbf{K}\) marutah] mątah
 Or, imo K homam] Or, hosam K avantu] K, atu Ku, antu JM RM, amitu Mā [Ma] Pa ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, \(\mid \mathbf{R M}, \mathrm{Z} 1 \mathrm{Z} \mathbf{K}\)

bc. Both in śivā̄\(\dot{m}\) and viśvā\(\dot{m}\) underlining is unnecessary. As regards śivā\(\dot{m}\), the reading is clear and it is with no doubts an accusative plural, since the use of anusvāra is a common spelling, both in \(\mathbf{K}\) and \(\mathbf{O r}\), for the final nasal (especially after a long \(-\bar{a}\), cf. Griffiths 2009: LVI-LVIII). Then the majority of the Orissa manuscripts read viśvān, and the reading of Ma and Mā could easily be explained with the same 'spelling-argument'.

The order in which the gods are named is not random but neither is it strictly respected in the following stanzas: Agni is mentioned in all the first five stanzas but Indra only in stanza nine; the All-Gods are nowhere mentioned as Viśvedeva, though a comprehensive list of divinities is found in stanza four, in which Agni is again addressed. The Maruts, then, appear only after this general list of divinities. The order in which the gods are listed in the first stanza reflects their ritual importance, and the hierarchy thus established corresponds to the role they generally play in the sacrifice. The central role of Agni in this field is confirmed by the following two stanzas, in which his supremacy is justified from an ontological point of view.

What it is more significant is how many times these gods are named throughout the hymn and as it is natural in a charm against bad weather, the Maruts, the storm-gods par excellence, are mentioned more than the other gods (seven times in total), almost always in connection with atmospheric phaenomena. Frequent references to the Maruts are even more understandable in this hymn because they are closely and specifically connected with hail.
d. Note the frequent confusion between - \(m a\) - and \(-s a\) - in the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) hosam. The verb avantu is transmitted correctly only in \(\mathbf{K}\), since all the Orissa manuscripts have lost the middle syllable of the word (see Introduction, p. 12).

\subsection*{15.22.2 [Triș̣̦ubh] PS only}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\mathrm{tu}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{vam}\) prathamo amrtatvam agne & *+Tb \\
\hline devo devatvam prathamo jigetha | & Tb \\
\hline tava divi hrodayaṁ saṁ babhūva & +Ta \\
\hline sa naḥ śivā \{āpo\} jātavedo ni yacha || & +\# \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ta}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

You, O Agni, have won as first immortality, [you have won] as first god the godhead; your heart is joined with the sky. So, O Jātavedas, bring down to us the propitious waters.
amrtatvam] Or, mr̊tatvam K agne] Or, agni K devatvam்] Ku RMMā [Ma] Pa K, de\{t\}va\{ \(\dot{m}\} t v a \dot{m} \mathbf{J M}\) jigetha] Or, jigethaḥ K tava] Ku JM RMMā [Ma] K, ta Pa divi] Ku JM RMPaK, di Mā [Ma] sa] Or, ma K śivā āpo] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, śiva āpo JM RM, śivāpo K yacha] Or, yaścha K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, | JM RM, Z 2 Z K
ab. This stanza is linked to the preceding one through the repetition of the word prathamain the first two pādas (anaphora), which stresses the priority of Agni among the other gods. On the idea of the gods attaining immortality, cf. ŖV 3.60.2d téna devatvám rbhavah sám ānaśa 'With this, O Ŗbhus, you attained the godhead', R̊V 10.53.10d yéna deváso amrtatvám ānaśúh 'With which the gods attained immortality' and PS 2.73.1b yenāgre devā amrtatvam āyan 'With which the gods in the beginning reached immortality', PB 22.11.2 \({ }^{\mathrm{p}}\) etena vai dev \(\bar{a}\) devatvam agacchan 'With that indeed the gods obtained the godhead'.
c. The construction of the verb sámbh \(\bar{u}\) - with locative is rare; it is found, e.g., at ŚS 12.3.51a = PS 17.41.1a eṣá tvacáàm púruṣe sám babhūva 'That one among the skins is united with the man'.
d. This verse has thirteen syllables, with an early caesura and a Tristuubh cadence. The more proabable solution for restoring the metre of this pāda is to consider the word \(\bar{a} p o\) an interpolation, a gloss that explained the word sivā and later found its way into the text. A possible source for this interpolation could be PS \(8.8 .11 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{S} S\) 19.2.5a tà apáh śivá́ apó (which is a problematic verse as well, see WHITNEY 1905: 900). If we admit that \(\bar{a} p o\) is an interpolation, there are two possible explanations for this nominative form: either "sivā(h): (This are) the waters" is meant (as a gloss), or an accusative is intended and the gloss thus attests the use of the nominative plural ápas as an accusative plural. This use is already attested six times in the \(\mathrm{R}_{0} \mathrm{~V}\) (mandalas I and X , the latest additions) and sixteen times in the AV ; it is also found in the PS (besides our passage, cf. PS 5.7.10b). See AiGr. III, § 25, p. 61 and § 131, p. 240.
15.22.3 [Triṣṭubh] PS 12.18.1, ab: ŚS 4.39.9ab
agnāv agnir ity ekā
agnāv agnir ity ekā] RM Pa Mā [Ma] K, agnāv a\{ri\}gnir ity ekā Ku, agnāv agnir ity eka JM ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 3 Z K

PS 12.18.1
agnāv agniś carati praviṣta T1
rș̣īnām putro adhirāja eṣaḥ | Tb
tasmai juhomi haviṣā ghrọtena Tb
mā devānāmं yūyavad bhāgadheyam \| Ta

Agni, the son of the Rsșis, that sovereign king, moves around having entered into the fire. To him I sacrifice with an oblation, with ghee: let the portion of the gods not be removed.
a. All the manuscripts read agnāv agnir ity ek \(\bar{a}\). On this type of abbreviations in the manuscripts, cf. p. 29-30. The stanza is repeated from PS 12.18.1 and occurs further at PS

20．45．9．Discussing the relation between gods and powers，Gonda（1957a：68）observes，＂The divinity and the element are distinguished in such a way that the former is described as having ＇entered＇into the latter：AV 4，39，9＇Agni is continually to be found in the fire＇（agnāv agniś carati pravisțtah；more＇literally＇：‘Agni continues having entered into the fire＇）＂．
c．The expression tasmai juhomi havişa ghrtena is common in the PS，and besides the two passages just mentioned is attested，with variants，at PS 2.52 .1 c tebhyo juhomi haviṣa a ghrtena， PS 2．61．2d yasmai carāmi haviṣa ghrtena，and PS 16．99．4d tābhyo juhomi haviṣā ghrtena．I translate haviṣa ghrtena＇with an oblation，with ghee＇，according to the traditional interpretation of ghrtena as a specification of haviṣa ．An alternative interpretation has been proposed by OlSEN 2011，who suggests that in this and other cases ghrtá－might have an adjectival／participial function in the sense of＇sprinkled＇，and that the phrase haviṣa ghrtena could be rendered＇with a sprinkled oblation＇，concluding（p．175）that＂in any case contexts like this illustrate the potentially fluid borderline between noun and verbal adjective，ghee being the（sprinkled）libation par excellence＂．

ŚS 4.39 .9

namaskāréna námasā te juhomi mấ devắnām̀ mithuyắ karma bhāgám｜｜

15．22．4［Triṣṭubh］PS only，ab：cf．PS 1．14．3ab
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ye devā divi \({ }^{+}\)sṭha ye prothivyām & ＋\＃T3 \\
\hline jātavedo ya urāv antarikṣe｜ & ＋Ta \\
\hline ye giriṣu parvateṣv apsuv antas & ＊＋Ta \\
\hline te devā aśanim yāvayātha \｜｜ & T3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

O gods who are in the sky，who［are］on earth，who［are］in the wide atmosphere，O Jātavedas； who are in the mountains，in the rocks，in the waters：O gods，you will keep the thunderbolt away！
\({ }^{+}\)sṭha］sṭa \(\mathbf{O r}\) ，șṭhā \(\mathbf{K} \quad\) prthivyām̀］JM RM Mā［Ma］，K，prthiVYĀM Ku，prthivyā Pa ya］Ku JM RM ［Ma］Pa K，yañ Mā urāv］Ku RM Pa［Ma］，uttarāv JM，ttarāv Mā，udāv K antarikṣe］Or，amitarikṣa K ye］Or，yad K giriṣu］Ku RM Mā［Ma］Pa K，giṣu JM apsv antas］JM RM Mā［Ma］K，āpsantas Ku， apsantas \(\mathbf{P a}\) devā aśanimi］ \(\mathbf{O r}\) ，devāśane \(\mathbf{K}\) yāvayātha］ \(\mathbf{O r}\) ，yāvayād itạh \(\mathbf{K} \|] \mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a} \mathbf{P a}\) ，｜ RM，Z 4 Z K
ad．Pādas a and d are two catalectic Tristuubh lines with a trisyllabic opening followed by a trisyllabic break（a creticus in pāda a，an anapest in pāda d）．The metre of these two pādas could be easily regularized by reading \(d e v_{a} \bar{a}\) before the caesura in both cases．Cf．also 15.20 .1 c above．

The Viśvedevas addressed in the first stanza of the hymn are here invoked again in this general list of deities．The gods are grouped according to the traditional partition of their abodes． Cf．ŖV 1．108．11ab yád indrāgnī diví ṣthó yát prthivyā̀m yát párvateṣv óṣadhiṣvv apsú＇If，O Indra and Agni，you are in the sky，if you［are］on earth，if you［are］in the rocks，in the herbs， in the waters＇，R⿴囗⿱一一口刂 5．60．6ab yád uttamé maruto madhyamé vā yád vāvamé subhagāso diví sṭhá ＇If，O wealthy Maruts，you are in the higest，or in the midmost，or in the lowest sky＇，R̊V 6．52．13ab vívve devāḥ śrnutémám hávam me yé antárikse yá úpa dyávi ṣthá＇ O All－Gods，listen to this invocation of mine，you who are in the atmosphere，who are above the sky＇．Cf．also the
very similar passage PS 1.14.3ab: ye devā divi ṣtha ye prothivyā̀̇ं | ye antarikṣa oṣadhīṣv apsu ' O gods who are in the sky, who [are] on earth, who [are] in the atmosphere, in the herbs, in the waters'.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
15.22.5 [Trisṭubh] PS only & \\
mitram் digbhiḥ krṇuṣva jātaveda & \(+\# \mathrm{Ta}\) \\
āśābhir mitram adhipā vipaścit | & Tb \\
mā no himsī̄r divyenāgninā *sasyam & \((+\#) \mathrm{Ta}\) \\
yena yanti maruta spardhamānāḥ \(\|\) & +Ta
\end{tabular}

Make an alliance with the quarters, O Jātavedas, an alliance with the regions, O wise king. Do not harm our crop with the celestial fire, with which the Maruts keep competing.
mitram̉] Or, mittraḿ K digbhiḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, digbhyah JM, digbhyah K jātaveda] Or, jātavedā \(\mathbf{K}\) mitram] Or, mittram \(\mathbf{K}\) vipaścit] Or, vipaśyat \(\mathbf{K}\) himsīr] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, himsir JM, himsī̀ K *sasyam̀ yena] sasyām் yena Ku Mā [Ma], sasyām̀ jena JM RM, sasyā yena Pa, sasyena \(\mathbf{K}\) yanti] \(\mathbf{O r}\), yantu K maruta] K, marta Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, ma\{• \}rôta RM spardhamānāḥ] Mā [Ma], sparddhamānāḥ Ku JM RM, spaddhi( \(\rightarrow\) rddha)mānāḥ Pa, spardhamānā K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 5 Z K

Bhattacharya edits sasyām in c.
c. The sequence short-long-long-anceps is very rare in a Trisṭubh cadence \((0,12 \%\) out of the total in the RV, see Van Nooten-Holland 1994: XVII). A similar case with strange measuring of agnínā is found at ŚS \(11.2 .26 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{PS} 16.106 .6 \mathrm{c}\) má nah sám srā diviyéna \(\bar{a}_{a} g n i ́ n a \bar{a}\) 'Do not hit us with the celestial fire'.

The reading sasyām is impossible, since sasyá- 'crop' is only neuter. \(\mathbf{K}\) reads sasyena, which does not help because this univerbation shows a graphical omission of (part of) an akṣara at the end of the word sasya-. The only correct transmitted reading is that of \(\mathbf{P a}\), sasy \(\bar{a}\), which could be interpreted as an archaic neuter plural. I prefer the emendation to sasyam because the form sasy \(\bar{a}\) is actually never attested, while sasyam occurs twice within this same hymn, at PS 15.23.2e sasyaì me mā vadhīd iti 'May it not destroy my crop' and 15.23.10d ida \(\dot{m}^{+}\)sasyam upā cara 'Come near to this crop'. The emendation is suggested also by ŚS 7.11.1cd má no vadhīr vidyútā deva sasyám | mótá vadhī raśmíbhiḥ súryasya ' O god, do not destroy our crop with the lightning and do not destroy [it] with the beams of the sun', where the lightning and the sunbeams are again concerned.
d. The same combination of the verb \(i\) - with the participle spardhamāna- is found at ŚS 3.2.6ab \(=\operatorname{PS} 3.5 .6 \mathrm{ab}\) asáu yắ sénā marutah páresāan | asmán áity abhy ójasā spárdhamānā ‘O Maruts, that army of [our] adversaries over there, that keeps competing against us with power'. The interpretation of this verse is dubious: the idea could be that the Maruts, with their lightnings, compete with the celestial fire, viz. the sun. For the association of the Maruts with lightnings, cf. the epithet rsstívidyut- 'having lightnings as spears' applied to them at ReV 1.168.5a.
15.22.6 [Anuș̣tubh] PS only
vidyotamāna stanayan \#A
vrșevaiṣi kanikradat | A
bhīmaḥ parjanya te rathaḥ A

Flashing, thundering, you advance like a neighing stallion. Fearsome is your chariot, O Parjanya. So let it grant us protection.
stanayan] K, stanaẏa Or bhīmaḥ] Ku Mā [Ma], abhīmaḥ JM, bhīmami RM, bhī\{va\}maḥ Pa, bhīmaḩ K parjanya] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, paryanya Mā rathaḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, rath\{e\}ah JM, rathaś K sa u] Or, śa u K naḥ śarma] Or, naś śa \(\mathbf{K}\) yachatu] Or, yaśchatu K \|] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 6 Z K
b. An alternative translation would be 'You keep neighing like a stallion'.

On the intensive kanikradat, see Schaefer 1994: 109-110. Cf. RoV 9.28.4a eṣá vŕṣā kánikradat 'This neighing stallion’.
c. For Parjanya's chariot, cf. cf. ŖV 5.83.7ab abhí kranda stanáya gárbham á dhā|udanvátā pári dìy ā ráthena 'Roar out, thunder, place the embryo. Fly around with your chariot abounding in water'.
15.22.7 [Purastādbřhatī] PS only, a: cf. PS 15.22.8a
```

ye vidyutam aśanim ātanvanti +\#Ta
marutah salilād adhi | A
krş̣ai no viśvavārāyā +\#A
ava dhanvāni tanvatām || A

```

Let the Maruts who stretch (as a bow) the lightning [and] the thunderbolt from the ocean (the salty one) loosen [their] bows for the sake of our all-beneficient agriculture.
ye] Or, yo K vidyutam] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa, vidytutam Ku?, vidvatam K marutaḥ] martaḥ Or, marutas \(\mathbf{K}\) krṣyai] Or, kroṣim \(\mathbf{K}\) viśvavārāyā ava] Or, viśvavārāyāva \(\mathbf{K}\) dhanvāni] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, dhanvā \(\{\) vi \(\} \rightarrow\) ni JM, danvāni K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, | RM, Z 7 Z K

Bhattacharya edits avadhanvā ni tanvatām in d, but the reason of underlying is unclear, being perhaps only due to a wrong division of the words.
a. Note that at the beginning of the pāda \(\mathbf{K}\) reads yo (cf. my note at PS 15.22.8a below). This Tristuubh line is probably not original and one of the two objects of the action - vidyutam or aśanim - may be a secondary interpolation not belonging to an old stage of transmission, triggered from the first pāda of the next stanza.

The verb ātan- is used in its technical meaning 'to stretch [a bow for shooting]', since the Maruts are represented while shooting the lightnings with their bows.
b. The heavenly waters are meant.
c. On the epithet viśvávāra- 'all-beneficient, bestowing all treasures', see Gonda 1959: 115, 119 and 139. This adjective occurs often in the \(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{V}(35 \times\) ), and it qualifies almost exclusively the gods, a property or possession (rayí-); once it refers also to the chariot (6.37.1a), once to the sacrificial ladle (5.28.1c), etc. In the ŚS it is attested only eight times in similar contexts and in all these passages viśvávāra- has a general meaning, pointing to abundance of wealth that is not further specified. In this PS passage, however, it may have a very concrete meaning, referring primarly to the fruits of the soil (which will eventually bring wealth as well).
15.22.8 [N.N.] PS only, a: cf. PS 15.22.7a
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ye vidyutam aśanim pātayant \(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}\) & *Ta \\
antarikṣād uta vātād divaś ca | & Ta \\
tebhyo marudbhyo namo astuv ojase \| & *Jb
\end{tabular}

The ones who make the lightning, the thunderbolt fly from the intermediate space and from the wind and the sky: homage be to the Maruts, to strength!
ye] Ku JM RM Pa K, yo Mā [Ma]? aśanim] Or, aśanam K pātayanty] K, ātam̉ty Ku Pa Mā [Ma], ātanty JM, ātanvanty RM vātād divaś] Pa, pātādviva \((\rightarrow\) pa?)śs Ku, pātāvivaś JM RM, pātādvivaś Mā [Ma], vātādivaś \(\mathbf{K}\) marudbhyo] mardbhyo Ku Mā [Ma], martbhyo JM RM Pa, grdbhyo \(\mathbf{K}\) astv] RM, stv Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 8 Z K

Bhattacharya edits yo vidyutam aśanim àtainty in a.
a. The Orissa manuscripts Mā and Ma, by reading yo, show here the same mistake made by \(\mathbf{K}\) at the beginning of PS 15.22.7a, but the subject of the action is clearly the Maruts, so that in both cases we must read \(y e\), according to the reading of all the other manuscripts. At the end of the pāda, \(\mathbf{K}\) has probably preserved the original reading, which I adopt in the text. A similar syntagma is found at ŚS \(11.2 .26 \mathrm{c} \approx \operatorname{PS} 16.106 .6 \mathrm{~d}\) anyátrāsmád vidyútaím pātayaitā́m 'Make that lightning fly elsewhere than on us'.
d. Note that RM is the only manuscript that does not apply abhinihita sandhi between namo and astv, as required by the metre.
15.22.9 [Anusțubh] PS only; c: PS 15.23.12f
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline tā yantu suvaramkirtāh & *A \\
\hline syonāḥ śivatamāh pathā| & A \\
\hline mā na indra yavam vadhīr & A \\
\hline mitram enena krṇmahe || & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Let them go over the path well-adorned, gentle, very propitious. O Indra, do not destroy our barley. We make an alliance through this [spell].
yantu] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, ayantu Mā, yanti K svaraṁkrtāḥ] Or, svaraḿkırtā K syonāḥ] Or, syonāś K śivatamāh] Or, śivatamāḥ K pathā] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa K, pathāḥ JM RM mā] Or, sā K na] Or, nā K yavaṁ] Or, imam K vadhīr] Ku JM Mā [Ma], vadhīrm Pa, vadhī RM K mitram] Or, mittram K krø̣mahe] JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, krı\{ṇ\}ṇmahe Ku ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 9 Z K
ab. At the beginning of pāda a, all the manuscripts read \(t \bar{a}\). As suggested by Zehnder (per litteras, November 2012), the subjects of the action could be the lightnings, which are set in motion by the Maruts (cf. pādas ab of the two preceding stanzas). For the idea of lightnings that are going, cf. R̊V 9.41.3c cáranti vidyúto diví 'Lightnings are moving around in the sky'. The adjective svaraimkrta- 'well-arranged', 'well-prepared’, 'well-adorned’ (past passive participle of áram \(k r\) 'arrange, prepare' with su- 'well') is attested at R. RV 1.162 .5 c , in which it refers to the sacrifice and at ŚS 10.1.25a \(=\operatorname{PS} 16.37 .5 \mathrm{a}\), in which it refers to \(\mathrm{krty} \bar{a}\) (f.) 'witchcraft'. In this case, with reference to the lightnings, it may mean 'shaped in a form, that
they will not harm our crop (vel sim.)'. Another possibility would be to emend tā in *te and take as subject the Maruts, whose paths in the sky are often mentioned, along with their decoration, garlands and other ornaments, but this seems a too heavy and unnecessary emendation (moreover, the Maruts can hardly be called 'well-arranged'.) In order to avoid the presence of salta pronoun at the beginning of a line, which is strange, one can think of another verbal form, e.g. gāyantu (cf. PS 5.7.5a gaṇās tvopa gāyantu mārutāh 'Let the troops of the Maruts sing to you'). The whole would then mean: 'Let them (the Maruts) sing well-prepared, gentle, propitious [songs] on their way'.
```

15.22.10 [N.N.] PS only, ab: PS 7.7.1ab
darbho agra oṣadhīnām̀ +A
śatakāṇ̣̣o ajāyata | A
sa devaị̣ prahito (')yam āgan svastaye N.N.
vrș̣ā mr̊dbhiḥ saha ${ }^{+}$samividānaḥ $\| \quad$ T1

```

The darbha grass, hundred-jointed, was born at the head of the herbs. So this one, sent by the gods, has come for well-being, the bull, joined together with lumps of clay.
 JM RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, \{tro\}prahito Ku āgan] JM RM Mā [Ma], āgana Ku Pa, āgam K svastaye] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, svasteẏe JM mrdbhiḥ] K, mardbhiḥ Ku Mā [Ma], martbhị̣ Pa, mabhị̣ JM RM saha] Or, maha \(\mathbf{K} \quad{ }^{+}\)samividānaḥ] samvidānaḥ \(\mathbf{O r}\), samividhānaḥ \(\mathbf{K}\|||\mathrm{r}| 22\|\mathbf{K u}\),\(\| r 9\|22\| \mathbf{J M},|\mathrm{r}| 22\) | RM, || 22 ||r || Mā [Ma] Pa, Z 10 Z K

PS 7.7.1
darbho agra oṣadhīnām śatakāṇ̣̣o ajāyata |
sa sahasravīryah pari ṇaḥ pātu viśvataḥ ॥|

Bhattacharya edits marudbhir in d.
ab. For the analysis of these two pādas, cf. Griffiths 2009: 317-8. Cf. also ŚS 19.32.1 = PS 11.12.1 śatákāṇ̣o duścyavanáh sahásraparṇa uttiráh | darbhó yá ugrá óṣadhis tám te badhnāmy áyusse 'Hundred-jointed, difficult to be felled, having thousand leaves, uplifting (?); the darbha grass that is a fearsome herb, that I bind on you for longevity'. On the idea of the supremacy of the darbha grass among other herbs, cf. PS 1.87.1a tvaim darbhāsi patir oṣadhīnā̀m 'O darbha, you are the lord of the herbs'.
c. The metrical analysis of this pāda is problematic. In all probability, \(s_{u}\) vastaye has four syllables, so we get an Anuștubh-pāda in the second half: ayam āgan suvastaye. The first three words are comparable to PS 1.95.4a (= PS 3.10.3a) yā devaih prahitesuh patāt, which is metrically problematic as well (no caesura after 4 or 5 syllables). A similar pāda is PS 11.11.4a agnir no dūtah prahito (')yam āgan, seemingly a Trisṭubh pāda with prahito in the break.
d. The reading marudbhir of the Orissa manuscripts is better for the metre but, in my opinion, is the lectio facilior compared to the reading of \(\mathbf{K}\) mrdbhih 'with lumps of clay'. Clods of clay or earth were used in ceremonial purifications, because they were believed to dispel evil and have beneficial powers, and are also often related to (medicinal) plants (see Griffiths 2009: 88).

\subsection*{15.23. Against hail, for the protection of the crop (continued)}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
15.23.1 [N.N.] PS only & \\
vrṣākṣasyāsurasya menir asi & N.N. \\
tām *tvā tathā veda & N.N. \\
\(\dagger\) tkarṇasya kauvidasyevamāśā \(\dagger\) & N.N. \\
tām mābhy ava gā dvādasāannāni vi rakṣe \| & N.N.
\end{tabular}

You are the mení-power of the bull-eyed asura. I know you thus as such ... (?). Do not go down to it (f.), I protect the periods of twelve days (?).
vṛāākṣasyāsurasya] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, vrṣakṣasyāsurasya Mā, vrṣākhyasyāsurasya K tām *tvā] tām̀ tvayā Ku Mā [Ma], tām tvaȳā RM Pa, tā tvayā JM, tānvā K veda] Ku RM K [Ma], vedi ( \(\rightarrow\) da) Mā, vedi JM Pa karnasya] Or, katamasya \(\mathbf{K}\) kauvidasyeva] Or, kovidasyova \(\mathbf{K}\) māṣā] māśā Ku JM Mā [Ma] \(\mathbf{P a}\), māśāh RM, māsāt \(\mathbf{K}\) mābhy ava gā] Ku [Ma] Pa, mābhy ava śā Mā, mābhy agā\{syā\} JM, tvāmābhy ava gā \(\mathbf{R M}\), nābhy amakāna \(\mathbf{K}\) dvādaśāhnāni] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, dvādaśāhnoni JM, dvādaśāhnani \(\mathbf{K}\) vi rakṣe] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, vi rakṣase JM, vọkṣaye K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 1 Z K

Bhattacharya edits tvay \(\bar{a}\) in \(\mathbf{b}\).
a. The compound \(v\) rssākssa- 'bull-eyed' links this stanza with the preceding one, where the darbha grass is compared to a bull ( v rssa-). The word mení- has been discussed at length by Jamison 1996, Griffiths 2009: 145-46, and Ronzitti 2006: 150-163 (see also Hoffmann 1975 : 56-57). It indicates "the power or embodiment of negative exchange, of thwarted exchange. It is the dangerous force that is created when the standard system of tit-for-tat is interfered with. The threat of it enforces behavior in exchange relations, and when released, it can become the vehicle of requital for violations of these same relations" (JAMISON 1996: 193).
b. I decide to slightly emend the text and read tā̀ं *tvā tath \(\bar{a}\) veda 'I know you (scil. the mení) thus', for which cf. PS 3.30.4b tam tvā svapna tath \(\bar{a} v i d m a ~ ' W e ~ k n o w ~ y o u ~ t h u s ~ a s ~ s u c h, ~\) O sleep/dream' and PS 20.59.7ab agner hrdayam asi vidyut \({ }^{+}\)tat tvā tathā veda 'You are the heart of Agni. That lightning knows you thus'.

Note also that the reading of \(\mathbf{K} t \bar{a} n v \bar{a}\) is quite near to \(t \bar{a} \dot{m}{ }^{*} t v \bar{a}\).
c. The readings of this verse are obscure. The first word, karna-, means 'ear' or 'having long ears, furnished with chaff (as grain)'. If we assume the meaning 'having long ears', the epithet could refer to the asura mentioned in pāda a. The word kauvida- looks like the vroddhi formation of kovida- 'skilled, learned in'. At the end of the verse there are various possibilities, but I would suggest to read +mās \(\bar{a}-\) 'months' (a form of \(m \bar{a} s ̣ a-‘\) 'bean’ seems less probable).
d. Cf. PS 8.19.8ab daśarātreṇa sammito dvādaśähena kalpate 'Dem Daśarātra-Opfer entsprechend richtet sich [der Ziegenbock] nach dem Dvādaśāha-Opfer' (KIm forthc.) and PS 14.6.4ab śataudanā dvādaśāhena samimitā śatam̀ prșthāni sasrje svaryat̄̄ ‘The heaven-going śataudanā-cow emitted one hundred prsṭtha-sāmans, measured out in twelve-days' (Lopez). The mention of periods of twelve days could refer to the \(d v a \bar{a} d a s a\) ritual, which, as pointed out by LOPEZ 2010: 188, "is the basic paradigm of a sattra, a soma sacrifice that lasts at least 12 days. All the performers of the sattra must be consecrated Brahmans".
15.23.2 [Pañkti] PS only
asir me *tigmah *svāyasa
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
indrāgnibhyām \({ }^{+}\)susamíśitah | & A \\
tena sedhāmi *hrādunim & \#A \\
krṣim me māva gād iti & A \\
sasyam̀ me mā vadhīd iti \(\|\) & A
\end{tabular}

My knife is sharp, made of good metal, well sharpened by Indra and Agni. With that I ward off the hail [with the intention]: may [the hail] not go down to my field, may [the hail] not destroy my crop.
asir me] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], asirm me Pa, asroǹme K *tigmaḥ *svāyasa] tigmasyāyasa Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, tigmasyāẏàssa JM tigmasyāhatam K indrāgnibhyāmi] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, indrāgnībhyām RM 'susamśitaḥ] susamisita Or, suśamsatạ̣ K sedhāmi] Mā [Ma] Pa, sehāmi Ku JM sehami RM?, sedāma \(\mathbf{K}\) *hrādunimi] hādunim \(\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{R M}\), dādunim \(\mathbf{M a ̄}\) [Ma] Pa, yudāditi \(\mathbf{K}\) krṣimici] \(\mathbf{O r}\), krṣin \(\mathbf{K}\) māva gād] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa, māva sā̄d Mā, mā vadīr \(\mathbf{K}\) sasyam்] Or, śasyan \(\mathbf{K}\) vadhīd] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, vadhrīd JM, vadīr K ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM, Z 2 Z K

Bhattacharya edits tigmasyāyasa in a and dādunim in c.
a. Bhattacharya suggests in the critical apparatus the reading tigmah svāyasah. which I adopt in the text for several reasons (on the sandhi, see Griffiths 2009: LXVIII). First of all, one could interpret the sequence tigmasyāyasa as tigmasya + ayasa[h], and translate 'my knife is of sharp metal', but a genitive here is syntactically awkward (the genitive of material does not occur at all in Vedic, and is rare even in later Sanskrit). Then one has to consider that the confusion between -sy- and -sv-is a common mistake, both in \(\mathbf{K}\) and \(\mathbf{O r}\). Finally, the adjective svāyasa- 'of good metal', refers to asi- also at ŚS 10.1.20a \(=\) PS 16.36.10a svāyasá asáyah santi no grhé 'In our house there are knives of good metal'.

On the word así-, see Thieme 1958: 514f. In the RV, this word is attested four times (only in the latest portions, at \(1.162 .20,10.79 .6,10.86 .18,10.89 .8\) ) and it always denotes a 'knife for cutting up slaughtered animals', a 'knife for severing the joints'; here, as well as in other passages of the PS (e.g. \(5.15 .9 \mathrm{c}, 6.23 .10 \mathrm{~d}=19.47 .8 \mathrm{a})\), it seems to mean simply 'knife', without involving the idea of cutting the flesh of a victim nor the presence of sacrificial animals. In this stanza, however, the knife - whose sharpness is particularly emphasized - is certainly used in a ritual context; in my opinion, in a ritual against hail, it had the specific function of "cutting" the hail cloud, in order to destroy it and prevent hail from falling down. The same practice of cutting hail clouds by means of an axe, hoe or knife has been recorded in rituals against hailstorms in the Slavic tradition (in north-western Serbia, for example, a gradobranitelj 'hail defender' is a man who can avert hailstorms performing various magical practices, including weaving a knife against a hail cloud, or placing it on the ground with the blade turned towards the cloud).
 frequent confusion of the sibilants, especially in the context before i/y (see my note at 12.2 d ).
c. Bhattacharya proposes in the critical apparatus the reading udādurim, which is not really clear (should it be interpreted as ud + äduri- 'attentive', 'destroyer (of enemies)'?). The emendation to *hrädunim, which suits perfectly the context (see the preceding note), sheds light on the whole hymn and is confirmed by the content of the following stanzas, has been suggested to me by Lubotsky (March 2011). The word hrädúni- is already attested twice in the R.V, one time as a separate word and one time as first member of a compound. At R.V 1.32.13ab \(\approx \mathrm{PS}\)
12.13.3ab the hail is mentioned together with other athmospheric agents used by Vrtra in the battle against Indra: nắsmai vidyún ná tanyatúh siṣedha ná yắm míham ákirad dhrādúnim ca 'Nicht furchtete ihm Blitz und Donner, nicht Nebel und Hagel, den er ausstreute' (Geldner); at R.V 5.54.3c the compound hrādunīvg't- 'covered or hidden by hail', 'whirling the hail' refers to the Maruts, and is again associated with lightning, winds and storm: vidyúnmahaso náro áśmadidyavo vátatviṣo marútaḥ parvatacyútah \(\mid\) abdayà cin múhur á hrādunīv'̊́ta stanáyadamā rabhasá údojasaḥ ‘Die blitzstrahlenden Männer mit den Steingeschossen, heftig wie der Wind, Berge erschütternd, die Marut, die, obwohl sie Wasser geben wollen, im nu Hagel herbeirollen, von donnernder Wucht, wild, kraftgehoben' (Geldner). The word is never attested in the ŚS; in the PS, besides this passage and 12.13 .3 b quoted above, it occurs in the plural at 11.16.8a ugra vai nāmaitā āpo yad \({ }^{+}\)dhrādunayas tāsā̀m maruto adhipatayah 'Mighty indeed are those waters by name; the Maruts are overlords of them, which are hails'.

It is then attested three times in the MS, once as first member of a compound, at 3.6.10: 74.2 (hrādúnihat \(\bar{a}-\) ), and twice as separate word, at MS 3.15.8:180.2 and MS 4.4.1:51.1.

Strong evidence supports the correctness of this emendation. First of all, three manuscripts, namely \(\mathbf{K u}, \mathbf{J M}\) and \(\mathbf{R M}\) point to an initial \(h\)-; these three Orissa manuscripts represent the "central Orissa" tradition, which has often preserved a better text in comparison with the "northern Orissa" tradition, to which Bhattacharya's collation is here limited.

Furthermore, the initial cluster \(h r\) - is often simplified or misunderstood in the Orissa manuscripts, e.g. at PS 5.7.13c (in which instead of hradasya, two manuscripts from Orissa read drudasva and bhrdasva, and in all occurrences of the word for 'hail' (besides 15.23.2c, cf. PS 11.16.8a, where the initial \(h r \bar{a}\) - of 'hail' is written \(v r \bar{a}\) - in all the Orissa manuscripts, and PS 12.13.3b, where the word is written with initial \(d r \bar{a}-\) ). This could also suggest that the word hrādúni- was not familiar to the redactors of the PS.

Evidence of content is even more convincing, as it becomes clear in the next stanzas.
15.23.3 [N.N.] PS only, cf. PS 15.23.4
marutaḥ pari vrrídhi no A
divaḥ kṣudrebhir *aśmabhị̣| A
udumbarasya śākhayā cakṣuṣā- Tb
-aśanim̀ yāvayāmasi \| A

O Maruts, spare (sg.) us with the little stones of the sky. With a branch of the Udumbara tree, with the sight, we keep off the thunderbolt.
marutaḥ] maṛtah Ku JM Mā [Ma], maŗ\{to\}taḥ Pa, maṛta RM, maratah \(\mathbf{K}\) pari vŗídhi no] Or, pravrghno \(\mathbf{K}\) divaḥ] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, diva JM kṣudrebhir *aśmabhiḥ] kṣudrebhiraśmibhị̣ Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, kṣudr(+e)bhiraśmibhiḥ Pa cakṣuṣāśanim̀] Mā [Ma] Pa, vicakṣuṣāśanim JM RM, (+vi)cakṣuṣāsa \(\rightarrow\) śáa)nim Ku, cakṣuṣāśanam \(\mathbf{K}\) yāvayāmasi] Or, yāvayād itạh K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, | JM RM, Z 3 Z K

Bhattacharya edits kṣudrebhiraśmibhih in \(\mathbf{b}\) (but in the critical apparatus he proposes the reading aśmabhiḥ).
a. The \(2^{\text {nd }}\) singular is no doubt wrong here, because the addressee of the imperative is plural. It is probably due to perseveration from PS \(12.1 .10 \mathrm{~d}=\) PS 5.21 .6 d tābhi ṣma pari vŕ̀ndhi naḥ
'Spare us with them'. The plural form of this imperative, still addressed to the Maruts, is attested at R.V 1.172.3b pári vríkta.
b. The mistake of the reading kssudrebhiraśmibhih in all the manuscripts was probably due to the interpretation of the sequence as kṣudrebhi raśmibhih (from raśmi- 'string', 'rope', 'ray of light'). The 'little stones of the sky', just as the 'white stones of the sky' mentioned in the next stanza, are obviously the hailstones.
c. Caksus- and vicakṣus- (23.4c) are two difficult words, which probably had a technical meaning in the rite. The two synonymous words caksas- and vicakssas- are found together in a formula at MS 1.5.11:80.11-13 (cf. ĀpŚS 6.19.1): dhármo mā dhármaṇah pātu vídharmo mā vídharmaṇah patvá́yuśca práyuśca cákṣaś ca vicákṣaś ca prā́n cā́pān coruká íty ‘Die Ordnung soll mich von der Ordnungsstütze her schützen. Der Verteiler soll mich von der Verteilung her schützen. Die Lebenszeit und die lange Lebenszeit, der Blick und der scharfe Blick, das vorwärts gehende und das rückwärts gehende Räumchen' (AmANo 2009: 200; CALAND 1921: 203 translates the two words as 'meine Sehkraft' and 'meine scharfe Sehkraft', but admits that "die Deutung der Sprüche ist schwierig").
15.23.4 [Brhatī] PS only, cf. PS 15.23.3
maruto *mrḍayata no !A
divaḥ śukrebhir aśmabhị̣| A
udumbarasya śākhayā vicakṣuṣā- Ja
-aśanim̀ yāvayāmasi || A

O Maruts, be merciful to us with the white stones of the sky. With a branch of the Udumbara tree, with a clear sight, we keep off the thunderbolt.
maruto] K, marto Or *mrḍayata] mrḍayāata Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, mrḍa(+ẏā)ta JM, mrḷayāti K divaḥ] Or, divaś K śukrebhir aśmabhiḥ] K, śukrebhiraśmibhiḥ Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], śukr(+e)bhiraśmibhiḥ Pa vicakṣuṣāśanim] Or, vicakṣuṣāśanam் \(\mathbf{K}\) yāvayāmasi] Or, yāvayād itaḥ K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 4 Z K

Bhattacarya edits śukrebhiraśmibhiḥ in b (but in the critical apparatus he keeps proposing the reading aśmabhih̆).
a. Note the unusual lengthening in the reading \(m r d a y \bar{a} t a\) of \(\mathbf{O r} . \mathbf{K}\) has a subjunctive form, but it does not fit syntactically. The form of the Orissa manuscript cannot be correct, and an emendation to *mrdayata seems the best solution, although other emendations are possible (e.g. to *mrdayātha, \(2^{\text {nd }}\) plural subjunctive; I prefer the imperative as the same mood is found in the parallel pāda 23.3a above). A similar phrase occurs at PS 2.70.4c devā maruto mrlata nah 'O gods Maruts, be merciful to us'.
b. The correct text is preserved in \(\mathbf{K}\), which reads śukrebhiraśmabhiḥ (Barret's transcription - śukrebhiraśmibhih - is wrong). Note that the instrumental does not suit the verb mrd-, and was probably used by the redactor to maintain the parallel with the preceding stanza.
c. I interpret vicaksuṣ- as synonymous of vicakṣas- 'clear sight'; see the note at 23.3 c above.
15.23.5 [Anustubbh] PS only, cd: cf. PS 15.23.6cd
*vartrād *vartram ā krāma A7
parvatād adhi parvatam |
```

girau pratiśrutā satī
A
vrokṣān bhaṅdhi mā yavam | A7

```

Step from dam to dam, from rock to rock. Even though being echoed on a mountain, shatter the trees, not the barley.
*vartrād *vartram] varttād varttam Ku JM Pa, varttad varttam RM, vartād vartam Mā [Ma]?, vantā bhuvantam K girau] Or giro \(\mathbf{K}\) pratiśrutā] K, pratiśstā Or vr̊kṣān] Or, vrocsām̀ K bhañdhi] Or, bhamabdhi \(\mathbf{K}\) mā] \(\mathbf{O r}\) sā \(\mathbf{K}\) yavam Or, vayam \(\mathbf{K} \|]\) Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 5 Z K

In stanza five begins the so-called apopompé formula, which is developed in four stanzas. In general, anyone who pronounces this kind of formula wants to banish the malignant powers to a destination where they cannot harm any living being; hence we constantly find them being sent to the desert, uninhabited mountains, the sea or the end of the earth.
Note that stanzas five and six show a symmetric pattern.
Bhattacharya edits vartād vartam in a.
a. The emendation is quite certain, since the mistake in the Orissa manuscripts could be due to the simplification of the cluster \(-r t(t) r\)-. Compare the variant readings PS 1.4 .4 b varttaim vs. ŚS 1.3.7b vártraì. The same construction X-ablative + X-accusative 'from X to X' is found at PS 15.4.1a rṇād ṛ̛am and 4.14.7a hastād dhastam sam ayo bhriyamāno 'You will become united, being carried from hand to hand' (Griffiths - Lubotsky 2014).
c. Bhttacharya edits pratiśs \(\not \subset \bar{a}\). The Oriya vowel sign \(-r\) - is pronounced [ru], so that there are no real variants for this word and there is not textual problem; underlining is unnecessary. Cf. also 23.6c.
d. Cf. PS 5.20.7cd atho vrkksasya phalgu yad ghuṇā adantu mā yavam 'Let the ghuṇas eat a little bit of the tree, but not the barley'.
```

15.23.6 [Anușṭubh] PS only, cd: cf. PS 15.23.5cd
ādāmanā tvā ${ }^{+}$sam̉ dyāmi *\#A
$\dagger$ yāvaṇyā pari bhūrṇiyām $\dagger$ | *A
sānau pratiśrutā satī A
trṇam bhañdhi mā yavam \| A7

```

I bind you together with a bond. ... (?). Even though being echoed on a mountain-ridge, shatter the grass, not the barley.
ādāmnā] JM, a \(\rightarrow\) ā \()\) dāmnā Pa, \(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\left(\rightarrow\right.\) a) dāmnā [Ma], adāmnā Ku RM Mā, yadānyā K \({ }^{+}\)sam dyāmi] sandyāmi Ku [Ma] Pa, sandhyāmi JM RM Mā, satyāni K yāvaṇyā] Ku RM Mā [Ma], yāvaNYĀ JM, ỳā( \(\rightarrow\) lā?) vaṇyā Pa, yāvanyā K bhūrnyyā] K, bhṛnyyām Ku RM Mā [Ma], bhaRṆYĀm JM, bhuṇyām Pa sānau] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, sanau JM, māno \(K\) pratiśrutā] pratiśśtā Or, pratiśrutas \(K\) satī] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, sat \(\{i\} \overline{1} \mathbf{P a}\) trnạami] Or, taṇam \(\mathbf{K}\) bhañdhi] Or, bharamagdhi \(\mathbf{K}\) yavam] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], yāvam் Pa, vayam K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 6 Z K

Bhattacharya edits \(a d \bar{a} m n \bar{a}\) in \(\mathbf{a}, y \bar{a} v a n y \bar{a}\) in \(\mathbf{b}\) and pratiśśt \(\bar{a}\) in \(\mathbf{c}\).
a. The compound adāman- 'an unbounded one' could also have a factitive meaning, viz. 'the one that does not bind', thus denoting the spell itself. Following a suggestion by Sadovski (p.
c. September 2012) I decide to adopt the reading \(\bar{a} d \bar{a} m n \bar{a}\), which is a hapax, of the manuscripts JM, Pa (post correctionem) and Ma (ante correctionem): the expression 'to bind with bond' is very common in Indo-Iranian ritual tradition and has parallels also in ancient Greek magical texts. It is noteworthy that in our passage the syntagma 'to bind + (with) bond(s)' is not expressed with words derived from different roots, as is usual in this kind of formulae, but both the words for 'bond' and 'to bind' derive from the same root \(d \bar{a}\) - (< PIE *deh \(h_{--}\)) 'to bind' and create a proper figura etymologica. On the root d \(\bar{a}-\) 'to bind', see KUIPER 1974: 121 ff .
b. Note the (uncertain) reading \(\dot{y} \bar{a}(\rightarrow l \bar{a}\) ?) vanyy \(\bar{a}\) of \(\mathbf{P a}\). On the basis of this reading and of the content of the following stanza, I would propose to read lāvaṇyāh, from lāvanya- n., 'saltness, the taste or property of salt'. The word bhūrnyām can only be locative singular from bhūrni'restless, active, excited, rash', but pari never takes the locative. So one possible solution is to read *lāvanyāh pari *bhūrnyāh. 'at the salty impetuous one', with ablative singular feminine, referring to dyu- f. 'heaven' (cf. divas pari).
c. Bhattacharya suggests, both for pāda 23.5 c and 23.6 c , the reading pratiśrit \(\bar{a}\), which makes no sense. See my comment at 23.5 c .

\subsection*{15.23.7 [Prose] PS only}
uśatī nāmāsi salindā nāma | P
anyām *ās̄ām gacha yam் dviṣmas taṁ gacha \| P

You are called the wishing one, salind \(\bar{a}\) you are called. Go to another region, go to that one whom we hate.
uśatī] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, uśaddhī JM?, uṣatī K salindā] \(\mathbf{O r}\), sadimidā \(\mathbf{K}\) *āśām̄] āsām \(\mathbf{O r} \mathbf{K}\) gacha] Or, gaścha K yami] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, ya Pa gacha] Or, gaścha K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa,|JM RM, Z 7 ZK

Bhattacharya edits \(\bar{a} s \bar{a} m\) in \(\mathbf{b}\).
The metrical analysis of these two pādas is problematic. Since total lack of caesura is very rare (cf. KUBISCH 2007: 7), in pāda a the caesura could be generated by reading uśatı̄ nāma \({ }_{a} s i\) salind \(\bar{a}\) nāma. In pāda \(\mathbf{b}\) there is no correct metre at all, because the last but one syllable (gacha) is long positione, so it is not a Jagatī, and a Triṣtubh with twelve syllables must have an opening of five syllables. Maybe we could assume these two lines to be prose.
a. I propose to analyze the hapax salind \(\bar{a}\) - (f.) as sal-ind- \(\bar{a}\), and identify its first part with the Indo-European word for 'salt', PIE *sal-. This proper name, here used as an epithet, could have preserved the ancient name for 'salt' that is also found in other Sanskrit words (sarít -, salilá-/sarirá-, sarsápa-, according to the interpretation of Thieme 1961). For the suffix, cf. AiGr. II/2, § 224, p. 353, where -inda- is defined as "Ausgang einiger etymologisch undurchsichtiger Personen- und Volksnamen". Addressing the hail as 'the salty one' is no surprising even at first sight, in that hailstones look indeed like pieces of salt, but there is also a more convincing evidence supporting this hypothesis. The notion ancient Indians had about salt has been brillianty investigated by SLAJE 2001 in a series of articles devoted to the interpretation of Yājñavalkya's Saindhava Drș़̣̣ānta in BĀU II 4,12. In order to make entirely clear the famous simile about the dissolution of salt into water, Slaje has come to the conclusion that for ancient Indians salt was "nothing but a certain state of water changed to a solid form" (p. 33), "conceived as being indeed substantially the same as water, albeit in a particular crystallized
state of water, similar to, e.g., ice or hailstones as a frozen state of water" (p. 42). It is worth quoting also Slaje's observations on the connection between hail and salt, which are basically two changed states reached by the originally liquid manifestation of water through the influence of heat: "Solid manifestation of water were explained as caused by the influence of heat through an obstruction of its natural liquidity. The argument covering hail-stones etc. is based upon the causal factor 'heavenly fire' (divya tejas). Heavenly fire is what comes from the sun or appears as lightning. Lightning and hail-stones quite often occur simultaneously, as is well known. The inference based upon an observation of nature and immediately suggesting itself was, therefore, that this heavenly fire through contact effects a solid form of the water atoms [...]. Water, the natural liquidity of which had thus been obstructed, would fall down from heaven in its hardened form of hailstons. [...] it is a matter of everyday experience that here on earth heat causes also a change of the natural liquidity of water in that it 'transforms' water to 'solid salt': fire as an earthly manifestation (bhauma tejas) [...], as Śaṅkara has it explicitly, causes a change of the natural liquidity of (salty) water to solid lumps of salt. Therefore, heavenly fire causes the solidification of water in the form of hail, fire in the form of salt" (SlaJE 2001: 34-35). The almost total identification established between the two substances may thus provide a convincing explanation for the epithet salind \(\bar{a}-\) applied to hail (cf. the occurrence of the word salilá- at 22.7 b and possibly of lāvanya- at 23.6b).
b. The emendation is quite obvious, since both in \(\mathbf{K}\) and \(\mathbf{O r}\) the sibilants are often confused.
15.23.8 [Pañkti] PS only, a: ŚS \(6.29 .3 d=P S 20.28 .8 b\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline parācīm anu sam̀vatam & A \\
\hline parācīm anu samividam & A \\
\hline parāciy anu ni drava| & * A \\
\hline itas tvā nāśayāmasi & A \\
\hline brahmaṇā vīriyāvatā || & *A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Turning away, run to a region away, to a property away. With a powerful spell we cause you to disappear from here.
sam்vatam̀] Or, savyatam K parācīm anu sam்vidam்] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, parācīm anu samividaḿ parācīm a \(\{\cdot\}\) nu sam்viDAṁ JM, om. \(\mathbf{K}\) anu ni drava] Or, anundrava \(\mathbf{K}\) itas] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, ita \(\{\cdot\}\) s JM, yadas K brahmanā] Or, vrahmanā K vīryāvatā] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, vīryāvatām JM ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, | JM RM, Z 8 Z K
abc. Note the accumulation of figures of speech, which gives the first three pādas of this stanza a formulaic character, also from a rhetorical point of view. There are two series of anaphora, involving the words parācīm/parācī and anu. The repetition of the same word declined in different cases at the beginning of the three verses ( \(\operatorname{parā} \bar{c} \bar{i} /\) parācīm/parācu ) gives rise to a polyptoton, and the words samivataim and saívidam at the end of pādas a and \(\mathbf{b}\) offer a good example of homoioteleuton and paranomasia at the same time. For pāda a, cf. also R̊V 1.191.15d párācīr ánu saìvátaḥ \(\approx \operatorname{PS} 4.17 .5 \mathrm{~d}\) apācīm anu saìvvatam.
15.23.9 [Anusṭubh] PS only
vār bhavoaudakam் bhava- *A
-udakasyodakam bhava |
A
```

kṣudrāt kṣodīyasī bhūtvā-
+\#A
-atheh ${ }_{i} y$ adhamam tamah ||

Become water, become [a little amount of] water, become [a little amount of] water of [a little amount of] water. Having become smaller than small, then go to the lowest gloom.
vār] Ku JM RM Mā Pa K, vā Mā bhavodakasyodakam bhava] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa K, bhavodakasyodakam so bhava JM kṣudrāt] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, kṣudrā RM K bhūtvā athehy] bhūtvāthehy Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, bhūtvā' thehy JM RM, bhūtvā yathehy $\mathbf{K}$ adhamami] Ku K, adhaman JM Pa, dhaman RM [Ma], amadhaman Mā ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, |JM RM, Z 9 Z K
a. I prefer the metrical scansion bhava-udakaì rather than assuming a disyllabic scansion of $v \bar{a} r$ (on which see LUBOTSKy 1995: 231). Also the metre of pāda a of the next stanza suggests the first solution. As pointed out by LUBOTSKy 2013: 1, udaká- "usally has a different shade of meaning [compared to udán-], viz. 'a limited amount of water (esp. for drinking)' (...) This means that the suffix -ka-does not have the collective meaning here (as assumed by AiGr. II/2, p. 529), but rather diminutive". My translation is rather artificial, but since the diminuitive meaning of udaká- is particularly evident in this passage, it should be somehow expressed. For a parallel passage, in which the diminutive meaning of udaká- is very clear, cf. ŚS 4.16.3cd utó samudráu várunasya kuksî̀ utắsmínn álpa udaké nílīnaḥ 'Also the two oceans are Varuṇa's paunches; also in this petty water is he hidden' (Whitney).

Is here for udaká- a meaning 'brine' = 'water tasting extremely salty' conceivable (see SLAJE 2001: 40-42)?
c. Cf. my comment at 11.9 d .
15.23.10 [Anuștubh] PS only

```
syonā bhava śivā bhava A
śivāc chivatarā bhava | A
phenān mr̊dīyasī bhūtvā- +#A
-idaṁ +sasyam upā cara | A
```

Become gentle, become propitious, become more propitious than propitious. Having become softer than foam, come near this crop.
śivā] Or, śagmā K bhava] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa K, bhavā RM śivāc chivatarā] śivāśachivatarā Ku, śivāt śivatarā JM RM, śivā chivatarā Mā [Ma] Pa, śsivāś chivatarā $\mathbf{K}$ phenān] $\mathbf{O r}$, phenād $\mathbf{K}$ mrdīyasī] $\mathbf{O r}$, im rjjīyasī $\mathbf{K}$ sasyam] śasyam $\mathbf{O r}$, sāśyam $\mathbf{K}$ upā] $\mathbf{O r}$, upa $\mathbf{K}$ cara] $\mathbf{O r}$, cāra $\mathbf{K}$ ||] $\mathbf{K u} \mathbf{J M} \mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a ] ~ P a , ~ | ~}$ RM, Z 10 Z K
b. Cf. my comment at 11.9 d .
c. The wish that hail becomes softer than foam, before falling on the crops, is expressed with an amazing realism. For the image of foam as something flimsy and harmless, cf. RQV 1.104.3ab áva tmánā bharate kétavedā áva tmánā bharate phénam udán ‘Sie führt selbst den Schaum mit sich, die Absicht erratend, sie führt selbst den Schaum auf ihrem Wasser mit sich’ (Geldner) and PS 2.2.3cd $=$ PS 9.10.1cd rasam visasya nāvidam udnah phenam adann iva 'I have not
found the essence of the venom, like one who eats the foam of water' (ZEHNDER 1999: 25 comments, "Gemeint ist 'du bist so wenig vergiftet wie einer, der... isst'").
15.23.11 [N.N.] PS only, ab: cf. ŚS 1.13.ab = PS 15.20.8ab, 19.3.9ab, VSM 36.21ab; a: PS 16.21.2c, b: PS 16.21.2b
namas te astu vidyute A
namas te stanayitnave | A
namas te agne dūrehete kṛ̣mo (+\#)Tb
mā no him̉sīr dvipado mā catuṣpadaḥ \| Ja

Homage be to your lightning, to your thunder! We pay homage to you, O Agni, whose arrows fly to a distance. Do not harm our bipeds, do not [harm] our quadrupeds.
namas] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, manas Pa astu] Or, stu K namas] Ku JM [Ma] Pa K, manas RM, om. Mā stanayitnave] Mā [Ma] Pa K, stanaitnave Ku JM RM te agne] Or, tagne K dūrehete] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, dūrehyete JM, dūrehate RM, dūrehetī K kṛ̊mo] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, kṛ̣vo JM RM K ||] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, $\mid$ JM RM, Z 11 Z K
c. The compound du$r e h e t i-$ 'whose arrows fly to a distance' is attested twice in the PS; besides this passage, it occurs at at PS 4.37 .5 b, where it is used as an epithet of Bhava and Śarva. It is attested also later in PārGS 3.14 dūrehetir indriyavān patatri te no 'gnayah paprayah pārayantu 'The one whose arrows fly to a distance, the mighty one, the winged one; let these fires, the promoters, promote us'.
d. Cf. ŚS 11.2.1d = PS 16.104.1d má no him̌isistami dvipádo má cátuṣpadah 'Do not harm our bipeds, do not [harm] our quadrupeds'.
15.23.12 [Mahāpañkti] PS only; f: PS 15.22.9c

| prati tvā sahasā sahaḥ | A |
| :--- | :--- |
| sahasā prati rudhmasi \| | A |
| aindram idam̀ saho mahad | A |
| bhūmyās *tavo divi śritam \| | A |
| aphālakrsṭam ā krāma | + +A |
| mā na indra yavaḿ vadhīḥ \\| | A |

With power we ward you off, with power, $O$ power. This is the great power coming from Indra, the strength of the earth, lying in heaven. Step to [the field] tilled without a plough. O Indra, do not destroy our barley.
sahasā sahaḥ sahasā] Or, sāsahā sahaḥ sahāmं sahā K prati rudhmasi] rdhmasi Or, pratiroddhum asi K aindram idam்] Ku RM Mā [Ma] Pa, aimidam JM, ihendram idam $\mathbf{K}$ saho mahad] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa, saho sahat JM RM, somahad K *tavo] tava Ku JM RM Mā, tavam [Ma] Pa, tabhūm K śritam] śritam் RM $\mathbf{M a ̄}[\mathbf{M a}] \mathbf{P a}$, śritām JM, śrtam Ku, śrutam Z 12 Z K aphālakrsṭam] Ku Mā [Ma] Pa K, apālakrṣṭam JM, apāmlakrș̣tam RM ā krāma] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], ā krām\{ā\}a Pa, akrāma K yavaṃ] Or, imam K vadhīḥ] Ku JM RM [Ma] Pa K, vadhī Mā ||] Ku JM Mā [Ma] Pa, |RM

Bhattacharya edits tavam in d.
b. For the loss of the nasal in rudhmas(i), due to dissimilation, see Hoffmann 1952/57: 130$31=1976$ : 366 and 1975: 235 note 12.
d. The emendation to *tavo it is far from being certain. At the end of the line $\mathbf{K}$ reads śrutam, which could be in accord with the reading of $\mathbf{K u}$ śrtam (the Oriya vowel sign - $r$ - is pronounced [ru]), but probably the latter is to be considered a graphic mistake due to the confusion $r:: r i$, which is common in the Orissa manuscripts (cf. PS 15.10.9b). On the expression diví śritá-, see Griffiths 2009: 82.
15.23.13 [Anuș̣ubh] PS only, a: PS 7.13.13a, 15.19.11a, 19.20.14a, 19.33.15a $\approx$ RVV 7.55.7b = PS 4.6.1b
yāh samudrād uccarantiy *+A
+utsebhyo yā nadībhiy ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | $\mid$ *A
atyantaḥ sarpo vaidyuto \#A
+aśanim̀ yāvayād itaḥ \| A

Whatever [waters] rise from the ocean, from the springs, from the rivers: the endless, flashing snake will keep the thunderbolt away from here.
yāh] Or, yās K samudrād] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma] K, samudrad Pa uccaranty] Or, uścanty $\mathbf{K}{ }^{+}$utsebhyo] achebyo Or, utsabhyo K nadībhyaḥ |] Or, nadībhyah Z $13 \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{K}$ atyantah] Ku JM RM Mā [Ma], atyan〈••

 5 || Mā [Ma] Pa, Z K
b. The word utsa- 'spring', 'fountain' is often metaphorically applied to the clouds (e.g. RoV 1.64.6d, 5.57.1d, ŚS 4.15.7, 9, etc.).
cd. The connection between pādas $\mathbf{a b}$ and $\mathbf{c d}$ is unclear to me, and maybe they did not belong together originally. Could the phrase atyantah sarpo vaidyuto be interpreted as a description of the knife with which the hail is averted?

The text of kāṇ̣a 15 here comes to an end. The manuscripts give the following colophons:
Ku: aṣtādaśarccakāṇ̣a samāptaḥ || * | śrī || *
JM: hariḥ om̆ utsara || śrị̄ || asṭādaścarcakāṇ̣̣a samāptāh || śrī om̆ ||
RM: | ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | aṣṭādaśarccakāṇ̣̣aḥ samāptaḥ || * || bhīmasyāpi raṇe bhañgo munerapi matibhramaḥ | yadi śuddhamaśuddham vā mama doṣo na vidyate | ${ }^{\text {※ }}$
Mā: așṭādaśarccakāṇ̣̣aḥ samāptaḥ || bhīmasyāpi raṇe bhañgo munerapi matibhramaḥ | yadi śuddhamaśuddham̀ vā mama doṣo na vidyate || 1 \|
[Ma]: așṭādaśarccakāṇạaḥ samāptaḥ ||
Pa: aṣtādaśarccakāṇdaḥ samāptaḥ || * $\|$
K: Z ity atharvaṇikapaippalādayaś sākhāyām pañcadaśaṣ kāṇ̣̣ās samāptāḥ ZZZ kāṇ̣̣aḥ 15 ZZ ZZ

## ABBREVIATIONS

Texts
AB Aitareyabrāhmaṇa; ed. Aufrecht 1879; transl. Keith 1920.
ĀpGS Āpastambagrhyasūtra; ed. WINTERNITZ 1887.
ĀpMP Āpastambamantrapātha; ed. Winternitz 1897.
ĀpŚS Āpastambaśrautasūtra; ed. Garbe 1882-1902; transl. Caland 1921, 1924, 1928.
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KS Kāṭhakasaṁhitā; ed. VON SCHROEDER 1900-1910.
Lāṭ́S Lātyāyanaśrautasūtra; ed. and transl. RanADE 1998.
MBh Mahābhārata; ed. SuKthankar et al. 1927-59.
MS Maytrāyaṇīsam̀hitā; ed. von Schroeder 1881-86.
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ŖV Rogvedasamihitā; ed. AuFrecht ${ }^{2}$ 1877; transl. Geldner 1951-57.
ROVKh Rgvedakhilas; ed. SCheftelowitz 1906.
ŚāñkhGS Śān̄khāyanagřhyasūtra; ed. SEHGAL 1960.
ŚāñkhŚS Śāñkhāyanaśrautasūtra; ed. Hillebrandt 1888; transl. CALAND 1953.
ŚB Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa, Mādhyaṁdina recension; ed. Weber 1855; transl. Eggeling 1882-1900.
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TĀ Taittirīyāraṇyaka; ed. PhADPAKE 1897.
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Reference works, Miscellaneous
AiGr. Altindische Grammatik: WACKERNAGEL - DEBRUNNER 1896-54.
EWAia Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen: MAYrhofer 1992-96 and 1997-2001.

KEWA Kurzgefaßtes etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindischen: MAYRHOFER 195680.

MW Sanskrit-English Dictionary: Monier-Williams 1899.
PW Sanskrit-Wörterbuch (‘Großes Petersburger Wörterbuch'): BöHTLINGK — Roth 1855-75.
pw Sanskrit-Wörterbuch in kürzerer Fassung ('Kleines Petersburger Wörterbuch'): BöHTLINGK 1879-89.
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## INDEX VERBORUM

What follows is the Index verborum of kāṇ̣a 15 . For heteroclitic stems and for pronouns, I have listed all the forms under the nominative singular (masculine). For instance, all forms of the first person pronoun are found under aham, all forms of the demonstrative pronoun under $s a$, etc. Homophonous roots and stems are numbered in accordance with EWAia. If a word occurs twice or more times in the same pāda, the occurences are marked with a raised number.

## Nominal stem

A lemma is the stem of the word, delimited by a hyphen (-). The order of cases is traditional: nominative, vocative, accusative, instrumental, dative, ablative, genitive, locative. In pronouns and adjectives, the nominative-accusative singular neuter directly follows the nominative masculine. The feminine stands at the end of the lemma.

## Verbal stem

A lemma is the verbal root, marked with the $\sqrt{ }$ sign and delimited by a hyphen ( - ). The order of the forms is the following: present active (indicative, imperfect, injunctive, subjunctive, optative, imperative, participle); present middle (idem); aorist active (indicative, injunctive, subjunctive, optative/precative, imperative, participle); aorist middle (idem); perfect active (indicative, pluperfect, pluperfect injunctive, subjunctive, optative, participle); perfect middle (idem); future active/middle; passive, passive aorist; causative active/middle (same order as in the present), causative reduplicated aorist; desiderative active/middle (same order as in the present); intensive active/middle (same order as in the present); non-finited forms (ta-/naparticiple, gerund, infinitive). Uncompounded forms are given first, then forms compounded with preverbs (+), in the alphabetical order of the preverbs.

## Other symbols

For lemmata prefixed with the ${ }^{+}$or * sign, cf. p. 35 . Dubious lemmata are prefixed with a question mark (?).
aṁśa-: aṁśam 13.3c
aṁhas-: ${ }^{+}$aṁhas 20.1c, am்hasas 3.8d, 9d,
13.1d, 6d, 8d, 10d, 14.1d, 5d, 9d, 11d, 20.3c
akṣa-: akṣas 12.7 a
akṣi-: *akṣī 19.6b
agada-: agadas $15.9 \mathrm{~b}, 10 \mathrm{~b}$
agnāyī-: agnāȳ̄s 5.5 d
agni-: agnis $1.1 \mathrm{c}, 2.6 \mathrm{c}, 4.6 \mathrm{a}, 5.4 \mathrm{a}, 6.5 \mathrm{c}$, $7.8 \mathrm{a}, 12.6 \mathrm{~d}, 22.3 \mathrm{a}$, agne $2.7 \mathrm{a}, 5.1 \mathrm{~b}, 5.3 \mathrm{a}$, 22.2a, 23.11b, agnim 13.1a, agninā 22.5c, agnes 4.3b, agnau 22.3a
agra-: agras 22.10a
agriya-: agriyam 13.2c
agha-: aghasya $16.4 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{~b}, ~ 6 \mathrm{~b}, 17.3 \mathrm{~b}$
aghaviṣa-: aghaviṣā 16.4b, 5b, 6b, 17.3b
aghaśamisa-: aghaśaḿsas 10.10d
aghāyu-: aghāyos 11.1b
aghora-: aghorā 2.4a
aghnyā-: aghnyās 3.9a, 19.10b
añga-: aṅgam 12.8 a , angebhyas 3.2 c , añgeṣu 20.10b
añgabheda-: añgabhedas 3.2 b
añgiras-: añgirasas 14.6 c
achā: 15.4d
$\sqrt{\text { ajo: }}+$ ud ud ajate 12.5 c
ajara-: ajaras 6.5a, ajaram 6.6c
ajātaśatru-: ajātaśatrus 2.2d
Vañj-: añkṣva 4.5a
atas-: 1.1b, 3c, 2.8a
atka-: atkam 12.5 c
atyanta-: atyantas 23.13 c
atra: 12.6 c
atha: 23.9 d
atharvan-: atharvāṇas 14.6b
atho: $13.3 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{~b}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, 20.10 \mathrm{c}, 21.5 \mathrm{~g}$
adābhya-: adābhyas 1.1c
aditi-: aditis 5.4 d , adite 5.3 c , adites 2.3 d
$\dagger$ †adyāṁteviraṇī $\dagger: 18.9 \mathrm{a}$
adhama-: adhamam 23.9d
adharāt: 11.1b, 19.3a
adhas: *18.4b, *21.7b
adhastāt: 19.6a
adhi: $1.8 \mathrm{a}, 4.3 \mathrm{~b}, 10.3 \mathrm{c}, 17.4 \mathrm{~b}, 8 \mathrm{~d}, 22.7 \mathrm{~b}$, 23.5b
adhideva-: adhidevās 9.5a
adhipati-: adhipatis 1.3c
adhipā-: adhipā 22.5b
adhirājan-: adhirāja 22.3b
adhyakṣa-: adhyakṣas 7.1a, 2a, 3a, 4a, 5a, $6 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 8.1 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{a}$, 7a, 9a, 9.1a, 2a, 3a, adhyakṣā 8.8a, 8.10a
anapavyayat-: anapavyayantas 10.7 d
anas-: anasā 19.3b
anāgas-: anāgasam 3.3d
anātura-: anāturam 15.2d
anādhrṣṭa-: anādhrssṭam 1.5d
anāviddha-: anāviddhayā 10.1c
anirā-: anirām 2.8d
anīka-: anīkam 11.7a, 12.4b
anu: 23.8ab
anuṣtubh-: anuștubhā 1.8b
anrta-: anrom 3.8 b
anehas-: anehasā 10.10b
anta-: ${ }^{+}$antām̆ 5.6a
antar: 22.4 c
antarikṣa-: antarikṣam 13.5b, antarikṣāt 22.8 b , antarikṣasya 7.3 a , antarikṣe 19.8a, 21.7b, 22.4b
antarikṣasad-: antarikṣasadas 14.7 b
andha-: andhena 19.4b
andhācī-: andhācīm 18.3a
anya-: anyat 6.8 a , anyām 23.7 b
ap-: apā 17.8c, āpas 3.9a, 14.4b, 15.5a, 22.2 d , apām 4.3a, 7.2a, 11.6c, apsu 22.4c apa: 18.5 a
apakāma-: apakāmam 10.2c
apabhartar-: apabhartā 20.5c
apas-: apasas 5.5 c
apāna-: apānāya 4.6b
apramāyuka-: *apramāyukam 3.3c
apriya-: *apriyas 4.2d
apsaras-: apsarasas 18.1a, 4d, apsarābhyas 18.10f, 12d, 19.9d, 11d
aphālakrsṭa-: aphālakrsṭam 23.12e
abhaya-: abhayam 5.6b, abhayās 4.4b
abhi: 2.5 b
abhitas: 5.6a
abhibhā-: abhibhās 3.7d
abhibhūti-: abhibhūtis 1.3a
abhiśastipā-: abhiśastipās *6.2b, 3b
abhīti-: abhītīs 20.3d
abhīśu-: abhīśavas 12.8 c , abhīśūnām 10.6c
Vam-: āmayat 20.10b
$\dagger$ amāmagatyasta $\dagger$ : 4.2c
amitra-: amitrān 10.4d, 7c, 12.6e
amīvā-: amīvām 2.8d, amīvās $3.7 \mathrm{c}, 20.1 \mathrm{~d}$, 6b
amīvacātana-: amīvacātanam 3.7b
amutas: 11.3 c
amrta-: amrtam 5.1c, amrtasya 15.4 c
amrtatva-: amrtatvam 22.2a
amrdhra-: amrdhrās 10.9c
ayam: ayam 5.2d, 12.8d, 22.10c, idam 1.1d, 2d, 3d, 4d, 5c, 6d, 7a, 8c, 3.7a, 8a, 6.3a, $9.6 c^{2}, 13.6 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 14.4 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 18.10 \mathrm{e}, 19.9 \mathrm{c}$, $11 \mathrm{c}, 12 \mathrm{c}, 20.7 \mathrm{c}, 23.10 \mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{c}$, imam 1.9 d , $5.1 \mathrm{~d}, 5.3 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{c}, 9 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 6.1 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{~b}, 16.2 \mathrm{a}$, 22.1 d , anena 12.8 c , asmai $1.7 \mathrm{~d}, 5.2 \mathrm{ac}, 3 \mathrm{c}$, $18.8 \mathrm{~d}, 20.4 \mathrm{~b}$, asya $2.3 \mathrm{~b}, 2.4 \mathrm{~b}, 10.5 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{ab}$, $12.3 \mathrm{~b}, 9 \mathrm{~d}$, asmin $7.1 \mathrm{~b}^{2}, 4 \mathrm{~b}^{2}$, ime 10.4 d , 12.1a, 21.4c, imāni 12.11c, eṣām 4.5b, 11.5a, 13.10c, iyam 10.3d, ${ }^{+}$imām 11.7c, asyās 11.2 a , asyām $7.1 \mathrm{c}^{4}, 9.4^{4}$, imās 4.4 d , āsām 5.6d, *11.3a
ayuta-: ayutam 12.3 d
ara-: arās 12.7 a
arāya-: arāyān 14.9a
arisṭa-: arisṭas $15.2 \mathrm{a}, 12.2 \mathrm{~d}$, arisṭāya 15.2 b
ariṣtatāti-: arisṭatātaye $18.8 \mathrm{~d}, 21.5 \mathrm{~g}$
ariṣyant-: ariṣyantas 21.8 a , ariṣyatas 21.7 d arundhatī-: arundhatī $15.4 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{~b}, 9 \mathrm{~d}, 10 \mathrm{~d}$, arundhati $15.1 \mathrm{~d}, 7 \mathrm{c}, * 16.2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{c}, 4 \mathrm{c}, 17.7 \mathrm{c}$, arundhatyas 16.1a
arogaṇa-: + arogaṇās 21.3b
arjuna-: arjunam 18.1c
aryaman-: aryamā 13.4c
arhant-: arhan 20.7abc

Vav-: avatu 1.10b, 4.6a, 7a, 8a, 9a, 7.1b, ${ }^{+}$avatām 1.9d, avantu 4.10a, 6.9b, 9.4b, 22.1d
avara-: avaras 9.4a, avare 2.5 d
avidasya-: *avidasyā 15.8 b
Vaś-: aśnuṣe 15.3 c
aśani-: aśanim 22.4d, 7a, 8a, 23.3d, 4d, 13d
aśīti-: aśītis 5.6b
aśman-: aśmā 5.7b, aśmānam 5.7a, aśmane 20.8 c , aśmabhis *23.3b, 4b, aśva-: aśvā 12.10b, aśvās 10.7b, aśvān 11.5 d , aśvebhyas 12.11 d
aśvaparṇa-: aśvaparṇās 12.1c
aśvavant-: aśvavatīs 18.10a
aśvājanī-: aśvājani 11.5c
aśvin-: aśvinā 13.4 b
asṭan-: aș̣au 12.10a
${ }^{1} \sqrt{ }$ as-: asi $3.1 \mathrm{ad}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 11.10 \mathrm{~d}, 12.4 \mathrm{c}, 15.3 \mathrm{ab}$, $4 \mathrm{a}, 16.2 \mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{c}, 17.7 \mathrm{c}, 20.2 \mathrm{a}, 23.1 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{a}$, asti 20.7 d , ${ }^{+}$stha 22.4 a, santi 21.3 b , asas 20.4 d , asat 5.3 d , astu $1.5 \mathrm{c}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, 2.1 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$, $12.8 \mathrm{~d}, 15.2 \mathrm{~d}, 20.8 \mathrm{ac}, 22.8 \mathrm{c}, 23.11 \mathrm{a}$, santu 14.10 d , 15.6 d , sat 2.5 b , satas 2.5 b , satī 23.5c, 6c
${ }^{2} \sqrt{ }$ as-: asyasi 20.8 d
asi-: asis 23.2 a
asitācī-: asitācīm 18.3a
asu-: aso 3.4 a , asave 3.4 b
asura-: asurasya 23.1a
asurī-: asurīs 18.6d
asau-: amum 18.5 c , amūn 12.1a
asmatsakhi-: asmatsakhā 11.8b
$\sqrt{ }$ ah-: āha $3.8 \mathrm{~b}, 6.5 \mathrm{c}^{2}$, āhus 13.7 d
ahata-: ahatas 6.10a, ahatena 6.10a
aham: aham 2.8a, 18.8c, mā 3.6b, +4.6a, 7a, $8 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 7.1 \mathrm{~b}, 9.4 \mathrm{~b}, 6 \mathrm{~b}, 13.7 \mathrm{ac}, 15.7 \mathrm{~d}$, 16.3 d , me $1.8 \mathrm{a}, 16.2 \mathrm{a}, 21.2 \mathrm{bd}, 22.1 \mathrm{~d}$, 23.2ade, vayam 10.8 d , asmān 1.1 bd , 2 a , $4.5 \mathrm{~d}, 11.3 \mathrm{c}$, asmabhyam 11.2 d , asmat $20.1 \mathrm{~cd}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{c}$, asmākam 12.1d, 15.2c, 20.9b, nas 1.3 c , 9 bd , 10ab, 2.1bd, 2bd, 3d, 4d, 5ab, 8c, 3.4c, 8d, 9d, 4.2b, 10.10bcd, $11.1 \mathrm{ac}, 7 \mathrm{c}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 12.1 \mathrm{c}, 13.1 \mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~d}, 10 \mathrm{~d}$, 14.1d, 5d, 9d, 10d, 11d, 15.9a, 20.1b, 3abc, $4 \mathrm{ad}, 21.1 \mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 5 \mathrm{e}, 7 \mathrm{c}, 22.2 \mathrm{~d}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 6 \mathrm{~d}, 7 \mathrm{c}, 9 \mathrm{c}$, 23.3a, 4a, 11d, 12f, asmāsu 4.2a
ahar-/ahan-: ahnā 1.2 c , ahani 1.3 b , ahnām 1.5 b, *7c, 2.2b
ahi-: ahis 11.4 a
ahorātra-: ahorātre 13.6a, 7b
ā: $2.8 \mathrm{c}, 12.7 \mathrm{c}$
ākūti-: ākūtyām 7.1d, 9.4d
āgneya-: āgneyena 4.6a
$\bar{a} j i$-: $\bar{j} \mathrm{jim} 10.2 \mathrm{a}$
ājya-: ājyena 21.1b
āñjana-: āñjanam 3.2d, 3a, *6a, 4.3c, 4a, āñjana 3.1c, 10b, 4.1d
āṇi-: āṇyos 12.7 c
ātividdhabheṣaja-: *ātividdhabheṣajī 15.8c
ātura-: āturam 16.1c
ādāman-: ādāmnā 23.6a
āditya-: ādityās 14.6a, ādityān 13.6c, ādityais 5.4d
ādhipatya-: adhipatye 1.8d, 2.1c
$\overline{\mathbf{a} p}$ : + abhipra abhiprepsa 15.8 a
āpi-: āpīnām 6.3b
āyudha-: āyudham 10.8b
āyurdā-: āyurdās 5.1a
āyuṣ-: āyus 5.2a, 4a, 6.1b, 6d, 7b, 8d, 12.10d, āyuṣe 4.6b, 5.3a, 9a, 10a, āyuṣas 3.1a
āyuṣmant-: āyuṣmatīs 21.3c
āraṇya-: āranyā̄s 13.9b
ārtava-: ārtavān 14.2b
ārtnī-: ārtnī 10.4 d
ārdradānu-: +ārdradānu 1.8c
āvat-: āvatam 16.1d
āśā-: āśā 2.1d, 2a, *āśām 23.7b, āśās 13.5c, āśābhis 22.5b
āśis-: āśiṣā 2.5 c , āśiṣi 7.1e, 9.4 d
āśīviṣa-: āśīviṣam 17.6b
āśu-: *āśos 12.3a
$\sqrt{ }$ ās-: āsate 21.6a
āskandiki-: āskandike 18.7a
āsthātar-: āsthātā 11.8d
āheya-: āheyena 16.5 a
$V_{i}$-: eṣi 22.6b, yanti 22.5 d , ihi $17.8 \mathrm{~d}, 23.9 \mathrm{~d}$, etām 12.9d, eta 14.8a, yantu 22.9a

+ anu + pra anupreyatus 3.10b
+ ud udetana 14.8b
+ pari paryeti 11.4a, 15.1b
+ pra pra etu 20.6a
+ sam samyan 1.1a, sametya 14.8 d
itas: $3.7 \mathrm{~d}, 11.3 \mathrm{~d}, 10 \mathrm{c}, 15.4 \mathrm{~b}, 7 \mathrm{~d}, 16.3 \mathrm{~d}$, 18.5a, 20.10ad, 23.8d, 13d
iti: $3.9 \mathrm{ab}, 13.7 \mathrm{~d}, 15.7 \mathrm{~d}, 16.3 \mathrm{~d}, 23.2 \mathrm{de}$
id: 4.3d, 10.3a, 12.7a, 15.8b
indra-: indras 4.7a, 5.4c, 6.5d, 7.9a, 11.1c, $12.2 \mathrm{a}, 18.4 \mathrm{~d}$, indra $1.3 \mathrm{c}, 4 \mathrm{c}, 12.1 \mathrm{~d}, 22.9 \mathrm{c}$, 23.12f, indram 5.9a, 6.4c, 13.1c, indreṇa 11.9 c , indrasya $11.6 \mathrm{~d}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{~d}, 12.4 \mathrm{~b}, 18.2 \mathrm{c}$ indrāgni-: indrāgnī 15.6a, 22.1a, indrāgnibhyām 23.2b, indrāgnyos 12.3b
indriya-: indriyam 21.6c, indriyeṇa 4.7a
irya-: iryas 12.2 c
iva: $4.1 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{c}, 5.1 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{c}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, 10.1 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{c}$, $4 \mathrm{ab}, 11.4 \mathrm{a}, 12.2 \mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{ab}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{c}, 15.8 \mathrm{a}, 16.1 \mathrm{~b}$, 18.5b, 19.1b, 9b, 10b, 20.6b, 22.6b, ?23.1c

Viṣ-: ichantīs 19.12b, ichamānas 2.5 c , iṣayantī 2.3 c , iṣitas 2.6 c
iṣ-: iṣam 2.8a
iṣu-: iṣavas $11.2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$, iṣūs 13.10c
iṣudhi-: iṣudhis 10.5 c
iṣubala-: iṣubalās 10.9c
iștị: isṭayas 1.2c
iha: 1.6a, 12.3d
$V_{\text {İkṣ-: }}+$ ava avekṣante 19.7 a

+ ud + vi udvīkṣante 19.6a
$\sqrt{\mathbf{r} r}$-: īrayanti 19.8a, îraya 20.3a
īś-: îśata 10.10d
íšāna-: īśānena 17.3a, īśānā 2.3b, 4b
īṣā-: ${ }^{\dagger}$ iṣā 12.6 c
u: $6.1 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~b}, 3 \mathrm{~b}^{2}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 11.3 \mathrm{a}, 15.6 \mathrm{~d}, 18.6 \mathrm{~d}$, 22.6d
ugra-: ugras 12.8 d , 13.10 b , ugra 15.1c, 20.9a, ugram 1.6d, ugrāya 20.4b, ugreṇa 17.1a, ugrau 12.6a, 7b, ugrā 1.3a, 12.6c, ugrās 12.6ab
ugrabāhu-: ugrabāho 20.2b
ugravīra-: ugravīram 1.4b
ucca-: uccais 19.11b
uta: $1.10 \mathrm{c}, 2.4 \mathrm{c}, 3.6 \mathrm{~d}, 11.1 \mathrm{bc}, 9 \mathrm{a}, 12.7 \mathrm{~cd}$, 13.1b, 2b, 9b, 14.2b, 9b, 11b, 15.5b, 18.9d, 10b, 22.8b
uttama-: uttamam 17.8b
uttara-: uttarāt 14.8c, 19.5a, uttarasmāt 11.1b
utsa-: +utsebhyas 23.13b
udaka-: udakam 23.9ab, udakasya 23.9b udumbara-: udumbarasya $23.3 \mathrm{c}, 4 \mathrm{c}$
uparisṭtāt: 19.7a
upastha-: upasthe $2.3 \mathrm{~d}, 10.1 \mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{~b}, 12.10 \mathrm{~d}$
upasthāśā-: upasthās̄ānām 1.7b
ubha-: ubhā 13.6b, ubhe 12.5b, 21.4c
uru-: urau 22.4 b , uravas 10.9 d
uluñgula-: uluñgulasya 18.6 c
uluñguluka-: ulunggulukābhyas 18.10e, 19.9c, 11c, 12d
ulūkhala-: ulūkhalasya 18.3 b
ulba-: ulbam 6.8b
uśat-: uśatī 23.7a
uṣas-: uṣās 13.7b
ūti-: ūtaye 6.4 c
ūrj-: ūrjam 2.8a, ūrjas 4.3a
ūrdhva-: ūrdhvā 2.2a
$\sqrt{ }$ r-: $\operatorname{aran} 11.3 \mathrm{c}$
re-: rcas 14.1 b
$V_{\text {rej }}$-: ${ }^{+}$rñjan $12.5 b$
rju-: 12.10b
rọa-: rọam 4.1a, rọāt 4.1a
rıtāvrdh-: rotāvrdhas 10.10c, 14.5b
r.tu-: ritus 1.9b, rotavas 14.10b, r̊tūn 14.2a, r.tunām 7.7a
rrtupati-: ropatūn 14.2a

eka-: ekam $4.5 a^{2}$ b, 12.8a, ekena 4.5 b
ekatrimśat-: ekatrimśat 18.10a
ekaśata-: ekaśatam 14.9c
ekādaśa-: ekādaśās 9.6a
Vej-: ejat 20.7c
ena-: enam $5.9 \mathrm{c}, 10 \mathrm{c}, 12.10 \mathrm{~d}$, enad 1.6 b , enena 22.9d, enās 18.4 a
eṣa-: eṣas 22.3b, etat 6.1c, etam 12.9d, 18.3 c , eṣā 15.8 c
aindra-: aindram 23.12c
ojman-: ojmānam 11.6c
ojas-: ojas $1.2 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, * 8 \mathrm{c}, 5.2 \mathrm{~b}, 11.6 \mathrm{a}$, 10a, ojase 4.6c, 22.8c, ojasas +4.3a
ojasya-: ojasye 1.3 b
oṣajāta-: ?oṣajātām 1.9c
oṣadhi-: oṣadhe 15.1c, 16.2b, oṣadhīm 15.7b, oṣadhayas 3.6 c , oṣadhīs 13.1b, 15.6d, oṣadhībhyas 17.5 a , oṣadhīnām 2.2 a , 8.5a, 16.2d, 22.10a
ka-: $\operatorname{kim} 20.10 b$
kanyā-: kanyās 19.9b
kam: 21.6b
Vkam-: kāmayate 10.6b
karṇa-: karṇam 10.3a
$\dagger$ karṇasya kauvidasyevamāśā $\dagger: 23.1 \mathrm{c}$
karman-: karmaṇi 7.1c, 9.4b, karmaṇām 7.9a
kavi-: kavayas 12.10a, kavibhis 12.11ad
kīkasā-: kīkasās 18.9d
kulijya-: kulijyās 15.5 b
$\sqrt{ } \mathbf{k r}$-: krṇomi 9.6c, kṛ̣oti 10.5b, krṇmas 23.11b, kṛ̣mahe 22.9d, *krṇavāmā 16.1d, krṇu 16.2b, kuru 15.9a, krṇotu 3.3c, 5.4a, 10.2c, 11.1d, 12.2a, kṛ̣utam 12.9c, kṛ̣uta 6.1b, kṛ̣̊uṣva 4.5a, 22.5a, kṛ̣vatām 10.7a, akaram 18.10f, 19.9d, 11d, 12d, karat 4.3d, kara 20.3c, ${ }^{+}$kariṣyathas 21.1d, karikratīs 19.6b, 11b, krtam 3.1d, krtāni 12.11d
krochreśrit-: krohreśritas 10.9b
$\sqrt{ } \mathbf{k r r t}$-: ${ }^{+}$cakrtus 5.5b
krittikā-: krittikās 5.5b
krtyā-: kr̊tyām 4.1b
krotyākrit-: krtyākrotas 4.1b
krși-: krșim 23.2d, krṣyai 22.7c
ketumant-: ketumat 12.1b
keśin-: keśinīs 18.10d
koṣa-: koṣau 12.7b, *koṣayor 12.7c
$\sqrt{ }$ krand-: kanikradat 22.6 b
$\sqrt{ }$ kranday-: + $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ā krandaya 11.10a
$\sqrt{ }$ kram-: cakramāṇam 12.10d
+ ava +avakrāmantas 10.7c
$+\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ā krāma 23.5a, 12e
+ parā parā kramadhvam 9.5a, 12.8b
kva: 20.5a
$\sqrt{ }$ kṣaṇ-: + vi vy ${ }^{+}$akṣaṇan 5.5a
$\sqrt{ }$ kșam-: cakṣimeșṭhās 20.4a
kṣatra-: kṣatram 1.1d, 3d, 5c, 6d, 7a, 8c, kṣatreṇa 12.8 d , kṣatrāya $1.7 \mathrm{~d}, 5.9 \mathrm{~b}$, kṣatre 5.9d
kṣatrabhrit-: kṣatrabhrt 1.4a
kṣayadvīra-: kṣayadvīrāya 20.4c
$\sqrt{ } \mathbf{k s ̣ i}$-: kṣiṇanti 10.7d
$\sqrt{ }$ kṣip-: kṣiptas 17.7a, kṣiptasya 16.6a, 17.1a, 2a, 3a
kṣudra-: kṣudrāt 23.9c, kṣudrebhis 23.3b, kṣodīyasī 23.9c
$\sqrt{ }$ khan-: khanāmi 15.2b, khanati 15.2 a
khala-: khalāt 18.5b
$\sqrt{ }$ khid-: cekhidyate 12.3 b
gaṇa-: gaṇais 4.10a
gandharvāpsaras-: gandharvāpsarasas 13.4a
gabhīra-: gabhīrās 10.9b
 gachata 18.5 c , gachantu 18.6 abd
+ anu anugatya 3.10c
+ apa apa gachata 18.5 d
+abhi abhigachāma 16.1c
+ ava avagatya 10.5 b
$+\bar{a}$ āgan 15.9d, 10d, 22.10c, ā ganīganti 10.3a
garbha-: garbhas 3.5a, 11.7b
gav-: gāvas 16.1b, gās 10.2a, gobhis 11.2b, $6 \mathrm{c}, 8 \mathrm{c}, 12.4 \mathrm{c}$, goṣu $2.8 \mathrm{c}, 4.2 \mathrm{~b}$
gavya-: gavyam 5.1c
Vgā-: + abhi + ava abhy ava gās 23.1d
+ ava ava gāt 23.2 d
gāyatra-: gāyatreṇa 1.2b, gāyatrī 8.10a
$\sqrt{ }$ gāh-: + prati pratigāhante 19.9 a
+ vi vigāhante 19.10a
giri-: girau 23.5c, giriṣu 22.4c
guñgu-: ?*guñguvas 18.10b
$\sqrt{\text { gr-: }}+$ abhi abhi grṇīhi 2.1 b , abhi grṇantu 2.4d
$\sqrt{ }$ grobh-: + prati grobhāya 11.7d
grsstii: grsțịnām 6.2b
grha-: grhas 18.6 c , grham 4.1 b , grhe 4.2 b
gopā-: gopās 1.10c, 12.2c
goṣtha-: goṣṭhe 12.8a
Vgrabh-: jagrabha 18.8c
grāmya-: grāmyās 13.9a
grāhi-: grāhyās 4.5d
ghrta-: ghrtam 5.1c, ghrtena 21.1b, 22.3c
ghrtaprsṭtha-: ghrtaprsṭthas 5.1b
ghrortapratīka-: ghrorhapratīkas 5.1b
ghrtavant-: ghrtavatī 2.1c
ghrtāñc-: ghrotācī 1.9d, 10b
ghora-: ghorās 18.9c
ghoṣa-: ghoṣa 12.3b, ghoṣān 10.7a, 19.11b
ca: $1.9 \mathrm{c}, 2.7 \mathrm{~b}, 3.10 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 4.2 \mathrm{~b}, 6.2 \mathrm{~cd}, 3 \mathrm{c}, 10.5 \mathrm{c}$, $11.2 \mathrm{c}^{2}, 13.5 \mathrm{c}, 10 \mathrm{~b}, 14.7 \mathrm{~b}, 15.8 \mathrm{~d}, 16.4 \mathrm{ab}$,
$5 \mathrm{ab}, 6 \mathrm{ab}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{a} 10 \mathrm{a}, 17.1 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 2 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}$, 20.9c, 10b, 21.1c, 22.8b
cakṣurmantra-: cakṣurmantrasya 4.1c cakṣus-: cakṣus $3.5 \mathrm{~d}, 12.6 \mathrm{~d}$, cakṣuṣā 23.3 c , cakṣūmisi 12.10a
caṇ̣āla-: ?caṇ̣ālam 18.1c
$\checkmark$ cat-: cātaya 20.1d, cātayan 3.7 c
catur-: caturbhyas 4.5 c , catasras 18.10 b
caturvīra-: caturvīram 4.3c, 4a, 5c
catuṣpad-: catuṣpād 15.2c, catuṣpade 20.4d, 21.2b, catuṣpadas 23.11 d
candra-: candram 2.7a
candramas-: candramās 7.5a, 13.7d
$\checkmark$ car-: carati 22.3 a
+ ā ācaranti 19.2a, 3a, 4a, 5a, ācarantī 10.4a
+ ud uccaranti 19.11a, 23.13a
+ upa upa cara 23.10d
cāru-: cārus 6.3d, cāru 5.1c
cikit-: ${ }^{+}$cikitnū 1.7c
citrasena-: citrasenās 10.9c
$\checkmark$ cud-: + pra pracodaya 11.5 c
chadis-: +chadiṣā 19.3b
chandas-: chandasā 1.2 b , chandasām 2.2c, 8.10a
jagat-: jagat 11.9b, jagatas 2.3b, *jagatyā 1.6b
jaghana-: ${ }^{+j a g h a n a ̄ n ~ 11.5 b ~}$
لjan-: ajāyata 22.10b, jātas 12.2d, jātam 3.3a, 4.3b, jātā 20.1a
+ anu anu jāyantām 6.9d
+ ā ā jajāna 2.5b
jana-: janam 19.12a ${ }^{2}$
janitar-: janitā 2.5a
jaradaṣṭi-: jaradasṭis 5.3d
jaras-: jarasam 5.1a, jarase 5.1d, 9c, 10c, 6.8c
jarā-: jarām 6.2a
jarāmr̊tyu-: jarāmr̊tyus 6.5b, jarāmr̊tyum $6.1 \mathrm{~b},{ }^{+} 16.2 \mathrm{a}$
jalāṣa-: jalāṣas 20.5b
$V_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}$ gros-: + adhi adhijāgarat 5.9 d , 10d
jātavedas-: jātavedas 5.2a, 22.2d, 4b, 5a, jātavedasas 4.3b
jāyānya-: jāyānyas 3.2a
$V_{\text {ji-: }}$ jayati 10.5 d , jayema 10.2 abd , jaya 10.1 c , jayatu 11.8 d , jayantu $11.3 \mathrm{~d}, 12.1 \mathrm{~d}$, jayantam 12.2b, jigetha 22.2b, jetvāni 11.8d
+ abhi abhi jayantu 12.1a
+ pra pra jaya 12.1a
$V_{\text {jinv-: }}$ jinvantas 21.4b
jiṣ̣̣u-: jiṣṇus 12.9a
jīmūta-: jīmūtasya 10.1a
$V_{j i ̄ ̀ v}$ :: jīvasi 17.8 c , jīvāti 5.2 d , jīva 6.2 c , 3 c , jīvan 6.3d, jīvantam 15.8a
jīva-: jīvam 15.3c
jīvapurā-: jīvapurās 17.8d
jīvala-: jīvalā 16.3b
jīvātu-: jīvātave 21.5f
Vjuṣ-: juṣantām 6.7c, juṣāṇas 11.7c
jyā-: jyā 10.3d, jyāyās 11.4b
jyok: *5.9d, 10d
tata-: tatas 9.4a
tatas: 21.2 d
tatāmaha-: 'tatāmahas 9.4a
tatra: $10.8 \mathrm{c}, 11.2 \mathrm{~d}, 15.4 \mathrm{c}$
tathā: 23.1b
$\sqrt{ } \boldsymbol{t a n}$-: atanvata 5.5 c
+ ava ava tanvatām 22.7d
+ ā ā tanvanti 22.7a
+ vi vy ${ }^{+}$atanvata 5.5 c , vitatā 10.3 c
$\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a n u }}$-: $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a n } v a ̄} 10.1 \mathrm{c}$, tanūs $21.3^{*}$ ac
tap-: tapatu 15.5 d
tamas-: tamas 23.9d, tamasā 19.4b
taras-: tarasā 12.9a
tavas-: *tavas 23.12b, tavasām 20.2b, tavastaram 6.4a, *tavastamas 20.2b
tāvant-: tāvat 15.1c
tigma-: *tigmas 23.2a
tīrtha-: tīrthāni 19.10a
tīvra-: tīvrān 10.7a, tīvrās 10.2b
trọa-: trṇam 23.6d
trtī̀ya-: tŗtīyasyām 15.4b, trotīyeṣu 9.5b
trsṭa-: trș̣am ${ }^{+17.6 b}$
troṣadaṁśmant-: trsṣadamiśmabhis 17.7b
$\sqrt{ } \mathbf{t r}$ - : taranti 3.6c
+ pra pra tirāti 12.10 d , pra tirantu $6.6 \mathrm{~d},{ }^{+} 8 \mathrm{~d}$, pratirantas 6.7 b
tejas-: tejase 4.6c
$V_{\text {trā-: }}$ trāyasva 3.4a, trāyamāṇā 16.3a
triṣṭubh-: trisṭụubā 1.4b
tris: 9.6a
traikakuda-: traikakudam 3.6a
tvam: tvam $1.10 \mathrm{c}, 3.1 \mathrm{c}, 5.7 \mathrm{~b}, 10.1 \mathrm{c}, 15.1 \mathrm{c}$, $3 \mathrm{c}, 16.2 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{c}, 17.7 \mathrm{c}, 20.1 \mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{a}, 22.2 \mathrm{a}$, tvām $6.8 \mathrm{c}, 15.7 \mathrm{ac}, 16.3 \mathrm{c}$, tvā 3.6c, 10ac, $5.5 \mathrm{abc}, 6.9 \mathrm{bc}, 10.1 \mathrm{~d}, 12.10 \mathrm{c}, 15.2 \mathrm{ab}, 4 \mathrm{~d}$, 23.1b, 6a, 8d, 12a, tvayā 23.1b, tvat 20.1a, tava $5.2 \mathrm{~d}, 22.2 \mathrm{c}$, te $2.6 \mathrm{c}, 3.2 \mathrm{c}, 4.3 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{abd}$, 5.4abcd, 6.6d, 8bd, *9a, 11.8d, 9b, 12.6a²c, 7 abd, 11e, 15.5abd, 6acd, 20.5a, 7d², 8abc, $21.7 \mathrm{a}, 22.6 \mathrm{c}, 23.11 \mathrm{abc}$, yuvam 21.3d, 5 e , vām 21.1a, 3abc, vas 18.1abd, 18.9c
tvaṣṭar-: tvastā 8.2a, tvaṣ̣ar 5.2b, tvaș̣āram 13.2c

Vdam̉ś-: daṣṭas 17.7b, daṣṭasya 16.5a
daṁṣtra-: daḿṣtrās 14.10c
dakṣiṇatas: 14.8 a
Vdad-: + vi vy adadanta 5.6a
dant-: dantas 11.2a
$\checkmark$ day-: dayase 20.7c
darbha-: dharbas 13.8c, 22.10a
davīyas: 11.9 d
daśa-: daśa $18.10 \mathrm{c}^{2}$
$1 \sqrt{ }$ dā-: + anu anu dadatām 12.10c
$+\bar{a}$ ādi 2.8 a
${ }^{\mathbf{3}} \sqrt{ }$ dā-: + sam ${ }^{+}$sam dyāmi 23.6a
$\dagger$ divikṣipadbhyoapsuyā $\dagger: 21.8 \mathrm{~d}$
diviṣad-: diviṣadas 14.7a
divya-: divyas $13.7 \mathrm{c}, 18.8 \mathrm{~b}$, divyena 22.5 c , divyās 18.6a
diś-: diśas $4.3 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{~b}, 13.5 \mathrm{~b}$, digbhis 22.5 a , digbhyas 1.1a, diśām 1.3a, 5a, 7a, 9a, 10b, 2.2a, 4a
$\sqrt{ }$ dih-: digdhena 16.4 a
dīrgha-: dīrgham 6.1b
duchunā-: ${ }^{+}$duchunām 11.10c
dundubhi-: dundubhis 12.1 b , dundubhe $11.9 \mathrm{c}, 10 \mathrm{c}$
$\checkmark$ durasya-: durasyatas $5.7 \mathrm{c}, 6.10 \mathrm{c}$
durita-: duritāt 10.10 c , duritā 11.10 b
durhārd-: +durhārd 4.2c, durhārdas 4.1c
duș̣ara-: dușṭaram 1.5c
duṣtarītu-: ${ }^{+}$dustatarītus 1.1c
duṣvapnya-: duṣvapnyam 4.2a
dūra-: dūram $3.10 \mathrm{c}, 20.7 \mathrm{~d}$, dūrāt 11.9 d , 18.4a
dūreheti-: dūrehete 23.11c
deva-: devas 1.1c, 13.4c, 22.2b, deva 3.6a, 5.1a, 11.7d, devam 5.8a, 13.2a, devena
17.1a, devāya 5.6 c , devās $1.5 \mathrm{~b}, 6 \mathrm{c}, 5.3 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~b}$, $6.6 \mathrm{~d}, 7 \mathrm{c}, ~ 9 b, 9.5 \mathrm{a}^{2}, 12.11 \mathrm{e}, 14.6 \mathrm{~b}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{ad}$, 10b, 22.4ad, devān 14.5a, 22.1c, devais $11.9 \mathrm{c}, 22.10 \mathrm{c}$, devebhyas $2.7 \mathrm{c}, 21.5 \mathrm{~d}$, devānām 9.1a, 5a, 15.3a, 18.4c, 20.2a, 9a, 22.3d, deveșu 9.5a, devīs 5.6c, 14.4b, 10a
devatva-: devatvam 22.2b
devahūti-: devahūtim 6.7c, devahūtyām 7.1d, 9.4c
devī-: devī 1.10b, 15.8d, 9d, 10d
daiva-: daivās 14.6b
daivya-: daivyasya 20.5c
dyāvāprothivī-: dyāvāprthivī 10.10b
dyu-/div-: dyaus 15.1a, dyām 11.9a, divam 14.3a, 18.6a, divas 2.3a, 3.5d, 7.4a, 11.6a, 18.4b, 21.7b, 22.8b, 23.3b, 4b divi 15.4b, 22.2c, 4a, 23.12d
draviṇa-: draviṇam 2.5c
$\sqrt{ }$ dru-: dravanti 11.2c

+ anu + ni anu ni drava 23.8c
dvādaśan-: dvāvadaśa 14.10b, dvādaśās 1.2c
?dvādaśāhnāni: 23.1d
dvitīya-: dvitīyās 9.5b, dvitīyeṣu 9.5b
dvipad-: dvipād 15.2 c , dvipade 20.4 d , 21.2b, dvipadas 23.11c

Vdviṣ-: dveṣ̣̦i 21.1c, dviṣmas 21.1c, 23.7b
dveṣas-: *dveṣas 21.1d
dhanur-/dhanvan-: dhanus 10.2c, 20.7a, dhanvanā $10.2 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{bd}$, dhanvan 10.3 c , dhanvāni 22.7 d
$\dagger$ dhanucittejajāna $\dagger$ : 12.11b
dhanvadhi-: dhanvadhis 12.11b
dharuṇa-: dharuṇas 2.3a
dhartro-: dhartrī 1.7a

Vdhā-: dhehi 5.2 a , dadhātu 5.4 b , dhatta 6.1a, dhās 5.3a
$+\bar{a}$ ā dhatsva 6.8a, ā dhās 11.10a, 12.10d

+ adhi + ni adhi ni dhehi 5.2 b
+ ni nihitam 10.8 b
+ pari pari dhatta 6.1 a , pari dhattana 5.8 d , $9 \mathrm{ab}, 10 \mathrm{ab}$, pari dhatsva $6.2 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{a}$, pari ${ }^{+}$adhāpayan 5.8 b , paridhe 5.6 c , paridhātavai 6.1d
+ pari + adhi pari $*$ adhithās 6.3 a
+ pra prahitas 22.10c
+ prati prati dhīyatām 15.6 b
dhātar-: dhātāram 13.2b
dhāman-: dhāman 2.8b
Vdhāv-: dhāvayantas 12.10 b
dhī-: dhiyas 5.5d
Vdhr-: dhāraya 1.3d, ${ }^{+}$dādhartu 1.7 a
dhruva-: dhruvas 4.4c, dhruvā 2.4a
na: $3.6 \mathrm{c}, 15.3 \mathrm{~d}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 20.7 \mathrm{~d}^{2}, 21.4 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{f}$
nakṣatra-: nakṣatrāṇi 14.3a, nakṣatrāṇām 7.5a
nadī-: nadyas 14.3 c , nadīs 19.9 a , nadībhyas
23.13b, nadīnām 8.6 a
$\sqrt{ }$ nam-: + sam sam namantu 12.10a
namata-: *namatā 12.6c
namas-: namas $18.10 \mathrm{f}, 19.9 \mathrm{~d}, 11 \mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{~d}$,
$20.8 \mathrm{abc}, 22.8 \mathrm{c}, 23.11 \mathrm{abc}$
nar-: naras 12.1c
nava-: navā 12.5 d
Vnaś-: nāśayāmas 20.10a, nāśayāmasi
$20.9 \mathrm{~d}, 23.8 \mathrm{~d}$, nāśayat 3.7 d
$\sqrt{ }$ nah-: + ni ninaddhas 10.5 d
+ sam saṁnaddhas ${ }^{+} 11.8 \mathrm{c}, \quad 12.4 \mathrm{c}$, sam்naddhā 11.2b
nābhi-: nābhis 11.7b, nābhayas 12.7a
nāman-: nāma 10.8a, 13.4c, 15.4a, 18.8c, $23.7 \mathrm{a}^{2}$
nārī-: nārīs 5.6b
nirroti-: nirrtis 20.6a, nirrite 3.4c, nirrityās 3.4 c
niṣka-: niṣkam 20.7b
nisyada-: nisyadābhyām 12.7b
$V_{\text {nī-: nayāmi }}$ 21.1a, nayati $10.6 a$, nayām ${ }^{+5.9 c},{ }^{+} 10 \mathrm{c}$, naya 5.1 d
+ vi vi nayāmasi 20.10d
+ sam sam் naya 4.1a
nīlavyakta-: nīlavyaktāni 19.7b
nīvi-: *nīvim 6.7b
nr-: naras 11.2c
Vnrt-: + apa apa nrtyata 18.9b, apa
*nrtyantu 18.7b
nemi-: nemī 12.6a
nairrta-: nairrotebhyas 4.5c
pakṣa-: pakṣau 12.4a
pakṣin-: pakṣinas 13.9c
pañkti-: pañktis 2.2c
pañcan-: pañca 13.8a, 14.10a
pañcadaśa-: pañcadaśena 1.4c
pañcaviṁ́śati-: pañcaviṁ́áatis 18.10 d
$\checkmark$ pat-: patati 11.2 b, pātayanti 22.8 a
$+\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ āpatantīs $11.3 \mathrm{c}, 18.4 \mathrm{~b}$
+ sam sam patayantu 12.1c
patatrin-: ?patatrī 11.3b
pati-: patis 14.11 b
patnī-: patni 2.1a, patnībhis 14.5c
$\sqrt{\text { pan-: panāyata } 10.6 \mathrm{c}}$
panthā-/path-: pathā 22.9 b , pathīnām 8.9 a
payas-: payas 3.5 d , payasā 2.6 b , payasām 8.4a
payasvant-: payasvatī1.10a, 2.1d
para-: param 21.2d, pare 9.4a
parāñc-: parācī 23.8c, parācīm 23.8ab, parācīs $18.7 \mathrm{~b}, 18.9 \mathrm{~b}$
pariplava-: pariplavas 18.8 b
pariṣ̣̣hā-: pariṣṭhā 20.7d
parjanya-: parjanyas 8.5 a, parjanya 22.6 c , parjanyam 13.5a, parjanyasya 12.3a
parvata-: parvatam 23.5 b , parvatāt 23.5 b , parvatān 14.3b, parvatānām 8.1a, parvateṣu 22.4c
parvatīya-: parvatīyam 4.3c, parvatīyās 3.6d
pavi-: pavī 12.6a
$\checkmark$ paś-: + pari pari paśyasi 20.7e
+ prati pratyapaśyam 18.4a
paśu-: paśavas 13.9a, paśubhis 12.2c, paśūnām 8.3a
paśupati-: paśupatis 13.10b, paśupatinā 16.10a, paśupatī 21.1a, 2a
paścāt: 1.10c, 10.6d, 11.1a, 14.8b, 19.4a
${ }^{1} \downarrow$ pā-: pātu 1.1bd, 2a, 10.10c
+ pari pari pāhi 3.6b, 15.1d, pari pātu 4.5d, 11.1a, 4d
${ }^{2} \sqrt{ }$ pā-: piba 4.5 b , piban 5.1c
pāñcajanya-: pāñcajanyās 18.2a
pātra-: pātreṇa 17.8c
pāra-: pāram 20.3c
pāśa-: pāśebhyas 3.4d
pitar-: pitā $2.5 \mathrm{a}, 5.1 \mathrm{~d}, 10.5 \mathrm{a}, 12.10 \mathrm{c}$, pitaras 9.4a, 10.9a, 10a, piț̣̂n 14.4c, piț̣ṇām 9.3a
puṇyajana-: puṇyajanān 14.9 b
putra-: putras $10.5 \mathrm{a}, 22.3 \mathrm{~b}$, putram 5.1 d , 10.4b
punar: 3.10d
puṁs-: pumān 11.4d, pumāṁsam 11.4d
puraetar-: puraetā 1.10c
puras-: puras 10.6a
purastāt: 11.1c, 14.8c, 19.2a
purutrā: 11.9b
pururūpapeśas-: pururūpapeśas 6.6b
puruṣa-: puruṣam 16.2b, puruṣās 20.9b
puruṣajīvana-: puruṣajīvanam 3.3b
purūcī-: purūcīs 6.3c
purodhā-: purodhāyām 7.1c, 9.4c
pusṭị:- pusṭim 5.4b
puṣpa-: puṣpam 3.5b
$\forall$ pū-: + sam pavate sam 1.1a
pūta-: pūtam 2.7a
pūruṣa-: pūruṣas 3.8b, 15.3d, pūruṣam 18.5c
pūrvayāvant-: pūrvayāvat 6.6b
pūṣan-: pūṣā 8.9a, 10.10c, pūṣaṇam 13.2b
$\checkmark$ pr-: piprtāt 1.3 c , pipartu $1.2 \mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{~b}, 10.1 \mathrm{~d}$, piprō̄̄ 10.4 b , prọānas 5.1a, pārayān 15.7d, 16.3d, pārayantī 10.3 d
$\checkmark$ prjo: + ava ava *aprijan 5.5d
protanā-: prtanās 10.5c
prtanāy-: protanāyatas 5.7d, 6.10d
prorthivī-: prothivī 15.1a, prothivi 2.6a, prothivīm 11.9a, prothivyās 2.3a, 7.1a, 11.6a, prothivyām 3.3a, 14.7c, 15.6c, 17.4a, 22.4a
prothu-: prothu 12.11c
prsṣị-: prsṣ̦̄̄s 4.1d, *18.9c
prsṭtha-: prsṭthe 10.5 d
poṣa-: poṣam 6.2d
pra: 20.6a
pracatām: 20.6b
prajā-: prajām 5.2b, prajayā $6.5 \mathrm{~b}, 12.8 \mathrm{~d}$, prajāyām 2.8c, prajānām 9.2a, 21.5a
prajāpati-: prajāpatis 9.2a, 21.5a, prajāpatim 14.4b
pratara-: prataram 5.4ab
prataraṇa-: prataraṇa 11.8 b , prataraṇam 3.1a
prati: 23.12a
pratīka-: pratīkam 10.1a
prathama-: prathamas $12.2 \mathrm{~d}, ~ 22.2 \mathrm{ab}$, prathamau 22.1 a, prathamās 9.5 b
prathamachad-: prathamachadas 2.5 d prathamavāsya-: prathamavāsyam 6.9a pradiś-: pradiśam 20.8 d , pradiśas 4.3 d , 10.2d, 14.10a
prapada-: prapadam 12.2a, prapadais 10.7c prayuta-: prayutam 19.12b pravayaṇa-: pravayaṇam 12.6d pravrājin-: pravrājinīs 16.1b prasṭiyuga-: *prasṭiyuge 12.9c prasava-: prasavānām 7.10a prahita-: prahitās 11.3d prākāśa-: prākāśā 12.10b prāñc-: prācī 1.5a, prāñcas 14.8 b
prāṇa-: prāṇas 3.5 c , prāṇa 3.4 a , prāṇam 3.4a, prānāya 4.6b
prāvrṣaa-: ${ }^{+}$prāvrrṣā 1.5 b
$\checkmark$ prās-: + anu +anuprāsya 12.11c
priya-: priyam 5.3b, 10.3b
$\checkmark$ preṅkh-: preñkhayante 19.1a
preñkha-: preñkhe 19.1a
phena-: phenāt 23.10c
$\checkmark$ bandh-: badhyate 4.4 a
+ vi vibaddhas 12.11a
bandha-: bandhas 12.7 d , bandhebhyas 4.5 d
bala-: balam 11.10a, 12.7a, 8a, balāya 21.6b
bali-: balim 4.4 d
bahis: 3.2d
bahu-: bahus 10.5a, bahu 3.8a, 19.12b, bahavas 6.9 d , bahvīnām 10.5 a , bahvīṣu 15.7a
$\sqrt{ }$ bādh-: bādhamānas 11.10b
+ apa apabādhamānas 11.4b
bāhu-: bāhum 11.4a, bāhū 12.4a, 5a
bāhya-: bāhyās 3.6d
budbudayāśu-: *budbudayāśavas 18.2 d
budhna-: budhnena 18.3 b
brhant-: brhat $1.4 \mathrm{a}, 21.6 \mathrm{c}$, brhatas 12.6 b , brhatī 1.9c, 21.7a
brhaspati-: brhaspatis $2.4 \mathrm{c}, 6.1 \mathrm{c}, 5 \mathrm{~d}, 9.1 \mathrm{a}$, 11.1a, 15.5 c , brhaspate 1.10 d , brhaspatim 13.1c
brahman-: brahmaṇā 18.9d, 20.9d, 10a, 23.8e, brahmaṇi 7.1b, 9.4b, brahmāṇas 6.7a, 17.5b
brahmaṇaspati-: brahmaṇaspatim $* 5.8 \mathrm{c}$, 13.4b
brāhmaṇa-: brāhmaṇās 17.6a, brāhmaṇāsas 10.10a
$\sqrt{\text { brū-: būumas 13.1a, 2ac, 3ac, 4a, 5ac, 6ac, }}$ 9c, 10a, 14.1ac, 2a, 3a, 4ac, 5a, 9ac, 11ac, brūmasi 13.8c
+ adhi adhi bravītu 5.4 c , adhi brūtam 21.2 a
bhaga-: bhagas 4.9a, 6.8d, bhagam 13.3b, bhagena 4.9a
bhañga-: bhañgas 13.8c
Vbhaj-: + vi vibhajāsi 6.3d
Vbhañj-: bhañdhi 23.5d, 6d
bhadra-: bhadram 3.3b
bhadrātīkāśa-: *bhadrātīkāśam 6.6c
bhava-: bhava 20.7d, bhavena 16.7a
bhavāśarva-: bhavāśarvāu 13.10a
bhāgadheya-: bhāgadheyam 22.3d
Vbhid-: + vi vi bhinadmi 18.1bd
$\sqrt{ }$ bhī-: bibhes 15.10 a
bhīma-: bhīmas 12.5 b , 22.6c
bhuvana-: bhuvanasya 2.1a
$\sqrt{\text { bhū }}$-: bhavati 10.1a, *abhavat 5.6d, bhava $5.7 \mathrm{~b}, 6.2 \mathrm{~b}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 23.9 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b}, 10 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b}$, bhavatām 15.6a, bhavantu 4.4b, abhūs 6.3b, bhūyās 11.8a, bhaviṣyasi 15.10 b , bhaviṣyati 15.9 b , bhūtvā 23.9c, 10c
$+\bar{a}$ ā babhūvitha 20.2 d
+ ud un bhavantu 20.3b
+ sam samं bhava 6.10b, samं babhūva 22.2c
bhūta-: bhūtam 14.11a, bhūtāni 14.11c, bhūtānām 14.11b
bhūtapati-: bhūtapatim 14.11a
bhūmi-: bhūmim 14.3b, 20.7e, bhūmyās 23.12 d

Vbhr-: bharāmasi 2.7c, bibharṣi 20.7a, babhuryās 20.1b, bibhratīs 19.7 b

+ pari $+\bar{a}$ pary ābhrtam 11.6a, 21.5d
+ pari + sam pari sambhrtam 11.6b
+ pra pra bharāmahe 20.4c
+ sam saṁbharanti 17.5a, 6a, sambbhrom 1.8 b
bhrāartar-: bhrātaras 6.9c
bheṣaja-: bheșajam 3.1b, bheṣajā 14.1b, bheṣajī 15.9c, 10c, bheṣajebhis 20.1b, 3a
${ }^{1}$ bhoga-: bhogais 11.4 a
${ }^{2}$ bhoga-: bhogāya 3.10d
maghavan-: maghavā 12.2 b , maghavas 20.3b
maṇi-: maṇim 4.5a
mati-: matim 20.4c
madhu-: madhor 1.1b
madhya-: madhyam 3.7a, 5.6b
madhyatas: 11.1 c
madhyama-: madhyamāni 12.11c
$\checkmark$ man-: manutām 11.9b
manas-: manas $10.6 \mathrm{~d}, 11.3 \mathrm{~b}$, manasā 20.2 c , 21.4 d
manīṣā-: manīṣā 1.8a
manīṣin-: manīṣiṇas 14.6 c
manotar-: manotā 2.4b
marut-: marutas 4.10a, 22.1b, 5d, 7b, 23.3a, 4a, marudbhyas 22.8 c , marutām $11.7 \mathrm{a}, 12.4 \mathrm{~b}, 15.3 \mathrm{~b}$
marutvant-: marutvān 5.4 c , marutvan 20.3b
mah-: mahi ${ }^{+} 1.3 \mathrm{~d}, 1.7 \mathrm{~d}, 9.6 \mathrm{~b}$, mahe 5.9 b , 10b
mahant-: mahat $5.6 \mathrm{~d}, 23.12 \mathrm{c}$, mahāntas 9.6b
mahas-: mahas 12.8 b
mahādeva-: mahādevena 17.2a
mahārukma-: mahārukmās 18.2b
mahitva-: mahitvam 5.6d
mahiman-: mahimā 10.1d, mahimānam 10.6 c
mahiṣa-: mahiṣasya 2.8 b
mā: ${ }^{+11.3 c, ~ 15.10 a, ~ 20.9 a, ~ 21.2 d, ~ 22.3 d, ~ 5 c, ~}$ $9 \mathrm{c}, 23.1 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{de}, 5 \mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{~d}, 11 \mathrm{~d}^{2}, 12 \mathrm{f}$
māki-: 'mākis 10.10d
mātar-: mātā $5.3 \mathrm{c}, 10.4 \mathrm{~b}$, mātŗbhyas 12.5 d
mātariśvan-: mātariśvā 2.4 c
mādhava-: mādhavas 1.1b
māyobhava-: māyobhavam 3.1d
mālava-: mālavās 19.1b
mās-: māsas 14.2c
mitra-: mitras 3.10a, 7.1a, mitra 5.3b, mitram 13.3b, 22.5ab, 9d, mitrasya 11.7b mitravant-: mitravat $1.7 \mathrm{~b}, 8 \mathrm{c}$ mitrāvaruṇa-: mitrāvaruṇā $1.7 \mathrm{c}, 8 \mathrm{~d}$ $V_{\text {mī-: }}+$ pra praminanti 21.4 a mị̣̄hvas-: mị̣̄huṣe 20.4b $\checkmark$ muc-: muñca 3.4 d , muñcantu 13.1 d , 6 d , $7 \mathrm{ac}, 8 \mathrm{~d}, 10 \mathrm{~d}, 14.1 \mathrm{~d}, 5 \mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{~d}, 11 \mathrm{~d}$, amukthās 17.8a
+ pari pari muñca 3.8 d , 9 d
+ prati prati muñcatām 4.2 d
$\checkmark$ mud-: modamānas 20.2c
musṭi-: muș̦̦is $11.10 \mathrm{~d}, 12.4 \mathrm{~b}$
$\checkmark$ mr-: mariṣyasi 15.10a, martave 21.5 f
mrga-: mrgas 11.2a, mrga 12.8a, mrgās 13.9b
$\checkmark$ mrọd-: mrḍa 3.4b, 12.3d, mrḍatam 21.3d, 5e, *mrḍayata 23.4a
mrḍayāku-: mṛ̣ayāko 20.5b
mrityu-: mrotyunā 17.7a, mrotyos 11.4 d , mrtyūn 14.9c
mrd-: mrdbhih 22.10d
mrdu-: mrdīyasī 23.10c
$V_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{m}}$-: + pra pra mrọīhi 5.7c, 6.10c, pramrọan 12.6e, 9b
meni-: menis 23.1 a, menaye 17.5 b
mehana-: mehanam 18.1b
ya-: yas $2.5 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b}, 3.2 \mathrm{a}, 6.8 \mathrm{~b}, 13.4 \mathrm{c}, 10 \mathrm{~b}$, 14.11b, 17.7ab, 18.6c, 8a, 21.1c, yat $2.7 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b}^{2}, ~ 3.9 \mathrm{ab}, 4.2 \mathrm{ab}^{2}, 3 \mathrm{c}, 9.6 \mathrm{c}, 10.1 \mathrm{~b}$, 11.3a, 17.4ab, 5a, 6a, 20.10b, 21.6c, yam $12.2 \mathrm{~b}, 13.7 \mathrm{~d}, 15.3 \mathrm{c}, 16.1 \mathrm{c}, 21.1 \mathrm{c}, 23.7 \mathrm{~b}$, yena $5.8 \mathrm{a}, 20.8 \mathrm{~d}, 22.5 \mathrm{~d}$, yasya 6.7 a , 9 a , 21.4 c , ye $13.9 \mathrm{ab}, 14.7 \mathrm{abc}, 10 \mathrm{bc}, 21.7 \mathrm{a}$, $22.4 a^{2} \mathrm{bc}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{a}$, yā 2.4b, 13.10c, 14.10a,
16.4b, 5b, 6b, yās 19.1a, 2a, 3a, 4a, 5a, 6a, 7a, 8a, 9a, 10a, 11a, 12a, 21.3abc, 23.13ab yakrt-: yakrot 18.1a
yakṣa-: yakṣāṇi 14.3b
yakṣma-: yakṣmas 20.6a, yakṣmam 3.2c, yakṣmāt 17.8 a, yakṣmasya 20.10c, yakṣmān 20.1c, 9c, yakṣmais 17.7a
$\checkmark$ yaj-: yaja 11.6d, yajamānam 14.1a
yajus-: yajūmṣi 14.1c
yajña-: yajñam 1.9d, 14.1a, yajñena 2.6 b , yajñasya 2.8 b
yajñiya-: yajñiyam 2.7b
$\checkmark$ yat-: yatate 12.5 b
yatra: $10.6 b^{2}, 8 b, 11.2 \mathrm{c}, 21.2 \mathrm{c}$
yathā: 5.3d, 9c, 10c, 20.4d
$\dagger$ yathā nas trọṇamad vasu $\dagger: 21.8 \mathrm{c}$
$\dagger$ yadottamattantumaddhāyanāvad $\dagger$ : 6.6a
$\checkmark$ yam-: yachān 11.2 d , yacha 5.3 c , yachatu $5.4 \mathrm{~d}, 22.6 \mathrm{~d}$, yachantu 12.11e, yachatam 1.7 d
+ anu anu yachanti 10.6 d
+ ud ud yaḿyamīti 12.5a
+ ni ni yacha 22.2d
+ pra prāyachat 6.1c
yama-: yamas 9.3a
yamaśreṣṭha-: yamaśreṣṭhān 14.4 c
yava-: yavas 13.8 c , yavam $22.9 \mathrm{c}, 23.5 \mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{~d}$, 12 f
yaśasvant-: yaśasvatī 1.5 a
$\sqrt{ }$ yā-: yāti 10.1 b , yāhi $12.6 \mathrm{e}, 9 \mathrm{~b}$
+ upa + pra upa pra yāhi 21.7 c
yātudhāna-: yātudhānyas 18.5 b
yāmya-: yāmyām 1.10d
$\dagger$ yāvaṇyā pari bhūrụyām†: 23.6b
yāvant-: yāvat $15.1 a^{2} \mathrm{~b}$
$\sqrt{\text { yu-: }}{ }^{+}$yuyotu 12.2 c , yūyavat 22.3 d , yāvayāmasi 23.3d, 4d, yāvayāt 23.13d, yāvayātha 22.4 d
+ vi ${ }^{+}$vi yāvaya 20.1c
$\checkmark$ yuj-: yuñdhi 1.10 d
+ sam samiyukte 21.4 d , sam்yuktās 21.6b
yoga-: yoge $6.4 a^{2}$
yoni-: yonau 2.8 b
yoṣā-: yoṣā 10.3c, 4a
$\checkmark$ raks-: rakṣati 21.5 b , rakṣa 10.10 d , rakṣatu
1.1d, 4d, rakṣatam 1.8 d
+ vi vi rakṣe 23.1d
rakṣas-: rakṣāmisi 14.9a, 20.9c
rakṣohan-: rakṣohā 3.7b
rajata-: rajatam 20.7b
ratnabheṣaja-: ratnabheșajam 21.5c
ratha-: rathas 22.6 c , ratha $11.7 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{c}, 12.3 \mathrm{~d}$, ratham $10.8 \mathrm{c}, 11.6 \mathrm{~d}, 12.9 \mathrm{~d}$, rathāya 12.2 a , rathasya 12.6 bd , rathe $10.6 \mathrm{a}, 12.11 \mathrm{a}$, rathebhis 10.7 b
rathajūti-: rathajūtim 3.3d
rathantara-: rathantaram 1.2a
rathavāhana-: rathavāhanam 10.8a
rathin-: rathinas 12.1 d
ranti-: rantir $2.1 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{a}$
rapas-: rapasas 20.5 c
$\checkmark$ rabh-: + anu + a anu ārabhadhvam 9.6b
$+\bar{a}$ ārabhante 6.7 a
+ sam saṁrabhya 19.9b
raśmi-: raśmayas 10.6 d , raśmibhis 19.2 b
rājan-: rājan 3.8a, 5.3b, 20.9a, rājānam
13.3a, rājñe 6.1d
rājñī-: rājñĩ 16.2c
rājya-: rājyāni 13.8a
rātrī-: rātrī 15.6b
$V$ rādh-: + ā ārādhvam 20.6c
rāyaspoṣa-: rāyaspoṣam 5.2c
rāṣṭra-: rāṣṭram 1.4a, rāṣṭrāya 5.8d
$\checkmark$ riṣ-: riṣyāti 15.3 d , riṣat 21.2 d , ${ }^{+}$riṣan 20.9b $\checkmark$ ruc-: + ati ati rocati 21.6 d
rudra-: rudras $8.3 \mathrm{a},{ }^{+} 21.3 \mathrm{a}$, rudra 20.1a, 2 a , 5 a , rudreṇa 16.9 a , rudrāya 20.4 b , rudrasya 20.2d, rudrās 14.6 a
$\checkmark$ rudh-: + prati prati rudhmasi 23.12b
$\checkmark$ ruh-: + ava ava rohatu 2.6c
+ ā ārukṣas 17.8b
rūpa-: rūpān̄ām 8.2a
retas-: retas 5.3b
revant-: revat 2.2 c , revatīs 5.5 a
reśman-: reśmaṇā 19.8b
rai-: rāyas 6.2 d
rocana-: rocane $21.7 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{~b}$
rocanā-: rocanā 21.6d
rodasī-: rodasī 21.4 c
ropi-: ropīs 20.10c
rohaṇa-: rohaṇam 15.4c
Vlup-: + apa apa ${ }^{+}$lumpāmi 6.8b
loka-: lokam 17.8b
$\checkmark$ vac-: ucyase 3.1 b , ūcima 3.9 b , vakṣyantī 10.3a
$V_{\text {vaj-: vājaya }} 11.5 \mathrm{~d}$, vājayantas 10.7 b
vajra-: vajras 11.7a, vajram 11.6d, vajreṇa 18.2c
vatsapa-: vatsapam 18.3c
Vvad-: vadāmasi 15.4 d , vadantas 21.4 b ,
vāvadītu 12.1b
+ sam sam vadante 16.1 a
vadya-: vadyam 1.6c
$\checkmark$ vadh-: vadhīs $22.9 \mathrm{c}, 23.12 \mathrm{e}$, vadhīt 23.2 e
vanaspati-: vanaspate 11.8 a , vanaspatīn 13.1a, vanaspatibhyas 11.6 b , vanaspatīnām 7.8a
vandhura-: vandhuram 12.7 d
vapā-: *vapām 2.6c
vayuna-: vayunāni 11.4c
vayodhas-: vayodhās 1.3 a
vayodhā-: vayodhās 10.9a
varivas-: varivas 11.1 d
varuṇa-: varuṇas $3.10 \mathrm{a}, 7.2 \mathrm{a}$, varuṇa 3.8 a , $9 b, 5.3 b$, varuṇam 13.3 a, varuṇasya 11.7 b
varcas-: varcas 1.4 c , varcasā 6.1 a , varcase 4.6c, 5.3a
vartra-: *vartram 23.5 a, *vartrāt $23.5^{\circ}$
vardhra-: vardhrāni 12.7c
varman-: varma 10.8 b , varmaṇas 10.1 d
varmin-: varmī 10.1b
varṣa-: varṣeṇa 19.5b
?vavritram: 18.1d
$\checkmark$ vas-: vaste 11.2 a
vasana-: vasanā 12.5 d
${ }^{1}$ vasu-: vasūni 6.3 d
${ }^{2}$ vasu-: vasus 7.6 a , vasavas 14.6 a
$\sqrt{\text { vah-: }+\bar{a}}$ *ohatus 3.10d
vā: 14.4 a

vāja-: vāje $6.4 b^{2}$
vājin-: vājinas 10.6a
vāta-: vātas $3.5 \mathrm{c}, 15.5 \mathrm{c}$, vātam 13.5 a , vātena $1.4 \mathrm{~d}, 19.8 \mathrm{~b}$, vātāt 22.8 b , vātās 2.4 d
vātīkāra-: vātīkāreṇa 16.6a
vātīkrıta-: vātīkrıtasya $15.8 \mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{c}, 10 \mathrm{c}$
vāyu-: vāyus 2.4c, 7.3a
vār-: vār 23.9a
vārya-: vārya 4.4c
vāsas-: vāsas 6.1c, 2a, 3a, 6b, 8a, 9a, 11.3a vi: $11.2 \mathrm{c}, 18.1 \mathrm{ac}^{2}, 20.1 \mathrm{~cd}$ vicakṣus-: vicakṣuṣā 23.4c $1 \sqrt{ }$ vid-: avidam 15.7 a + sam samividāne 10.4 c , ${ }^{+}$samividānas 22.10d
${ }^{2} \sqrt{ }$ vid-: veda $21.5 \mathrm{c}, 23.1 \mathrm{~b}$, vidmas 13.10 c , vidvān 11.4c
vidatha-: vidathā 21.4b
$\sqrt{\text { vidyut-: vidyotamānas } 22.6 \text { a }}$
vidyut-: vidyutam $22.7 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{a}$, vidyute 20.8 a , 23.11a, vidyutā 19.5 b, vidyutām 3.5 b
vidhartar-: vidhartā 2.5 a
vipaścit-: vipaścit 22.5 b
vipra-: vipram 3.1b
vivasvadvāta-: *vivasvadvāte 2.1b
vivasvant-: vivasvantam 13.3 c
$V_{\text {viś-: }}+\bar{a} \bar{a}$ *viśatu 2.8 c , ā viveśa $2.5 \mathrm{~d}, \bar{a}$ veśayāmi 1.6b
+ pra praviș̣as 22.3 a
+ sam saḿ viśasva 6.5b
viś-: viśas 4.4d, vikṣu 1.6b
viśva-: viśvam 20.7c, viśve $1.5 \mathrm{~b}, 6 \mathrm{c}, 5.3 \mathrm{~d}$, $6.9 \mathrm{~b}, 9.6 \mathrm{a}, 14.8 \mathrm{~d}$, viśvān 14.5a, 22.1c, viśvā
11.4 c , viśvās 20.3 d , viśvābhis 14.5 c
viśvajanya-: viśvajanyās 18.2 a
viśvatas: 1.3d, 3.6b
viśvarūpa-: viśvarūpam 1.2b, 20.7b
viśvavāra-: viśvavārāya 22.7c
viśvavyañc-: viśvavyacā 2.3 c
viśvāhā: 6.7d, 10.8d
viṣa-: viṣam 17.4ab, 5b, 6b, viṣasya 16.4d, 17.7d
viṣadūṣaṇa-: viṣadūṣaṇ̄̄ 16.4d, 17.7d
viṣtambha-: + vișṭambhas 2.3a
viṣṭhā-: *visṭhayā 1.9 b
viṣṭhita-: viș़̣hitam 11.9b
viṣṇu-: viṣnus 8.1a, viṣnum 13.3b
viṣṇupatnī-: viṣnupatnī 2.3b, 4a
viṣvañc-: ${ }^{+}$viṣūcīs 20.1d
visalpaka-: visalpakas 3.2b
viskandiki-: viskandike 18.7a
visphur-: visphurantī 10.4d
$\sqrt{ }$ vīḍ-: vīḍayasva $11.8 \mathrm{c}, 10 \mathrm{~d}, 12.4 \mathrm{c}$
vīḍvañga-: vīḍvañgas 11.8a
vīra-: vīrān 20.3a
vīrudh-: vīrudhas 13.1b, 15.6c, vīrudhām 13.8a, vīrutsu 17.4b
vīrya-: vīryam 1.8b, vīryāṇi 12.9c
vīryāvant-: vīryāvatā 23.8e, vīryāvatī
16.3b, vīryāvatīm 15.7b
vrokṣa-: vr̊kṣān 23.5d
$V_{\text {vrij-: }}+$ pari pari vrídhi 23.3 a
vrjana-: vr̊janā 20.6c
Vvrit-: + abhi $+\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ abhyāvartasva 2.6a
+ pari + ā pari *āvrtam 11.6c
vrtra-: vrtram 12.8c
vroddhavrsṣ̣̣ya-: vr̊ddhavrṣ̣nyam 1.4 a
$\checkmark$ vrdh-: vardhayan 21.1b, vardhamānam
6.9c, vāvrdhānam 4.3a

Vvrsṣ: varṣati 21.5b
vrṣan-: vrsṣā 22.6b, 10d
vrṣapāṇi-: vrrṣapāṇayas 10.7a
vrṣabha-: vrṣabha 20.4a
vrṣākṣa-: vrṣ̣ākṣasya 23.1a
$\sqrt{\text { ve-: }}$ avayan 5.5 d
veṇu-: veṇavas 12.6 c
veśanta-: veśantās 14.3 c
vai: $15.9 \mathrm{~b}, 10 \mathrm{~b}$
vaidyuta-: vaidyutas 23.13 c
vairāja-: vairāje 1.8 a
vairūpa-: vairūpe 1.6 a
vaiśvānara-: vaiśvānarās 9.6a
vyacas-: vyacas 10.5 b
$\sqrt{ }$ vyadh-: viddhasya 16.4 a

+ apa apavidhyatām 10.4 c
$\sqrt{\text { vyā-: }}+$ upa + sam upasam்vyayasva 6.2 d ,
+ sam sam vyayantu 6.8c
vrata-: vratāni 21.4a
vratin-: vratinas 21.4 a
vrātasāha-: vrātasāhās 10.9 d
śam̀tāti-: śaṁtate 3.1c
śam்tva-: samantvāya 12.11d
لśak-: śakeyam 1.6a, 9.6c
śakunta-: śakuntān 13.9c
śaktīvant-: śaktīvantas 10.9b
śakra-: śakrās $14.7 \mathrm{c}, 8 \mathrm{c}$
śakvarī-: śakvarī 1.9c
śagma-: śagmam 10.8c, śagme 12.9 d
śaṅku-: śañkavas 12.6b
śacī-: śacībhis 12.5 c
śata-: śatam 5.2d, 6.2c, 3c, 12.3c
śatakāṇ̣̣a-: śatakāṇ̣̣as 22.10b
śatru-: śatros 10.2 c , śatrūn $10.4 \mathrm{c},{ }^{+} 7 \mathrm{~d}$,
11.9d, 12.6e, 9b
śanta-: śantamā 20.1a
śapathya-: śapathyāt 13.7 a
śam: 15.5abc ${ }^{2}$ d, 6abcd, $20.4 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$
śarad-: *śaradā 1.7 c , śaradas 5.2d, 6.2c, 3c
śarman-: śarma 1.7d, 5.3c, 5.4d, 11.2d,
$12.11 \mathrm{e}, 22.6 \mathrm{~d}$
śarva-: śarveṇa 16.8 a
śalya-: śalyān 20.10c
śākhā-: śākhayā 23.3c, 4c
śikhaṇ̣̣in-: śikhaṇ̣̣in̄̄s 18.2b
$\checkmark$ Śsiñj-: śiǹkte 10.3 c
śiva-: śivāt 23.10b, śive 10.10b, śivān 22.1b, śivā 23.10a, śivās 4.3d, 14.10d, 18.10c, *21.3a, 22.2d, śivatarā 23.10b, śivatamās 22.9b
śukra-: śukram 2.7b, 12.5c, śukre 1.3b, śukrebhis 23.4b
śuci-: śucis 1.3b
śubhita-: śubhitam 1.4b
V'ṣṛ-: śronāmi 18.9c
+ api api śrṇa 4.1d
śyena-: śyenasya 12.4 a
$\checkmark$ śrath-: śrathnantas 6.7b
${ }^{\text {śri-: }}$ śritam 23.12d, śritās 14.7 c
${ }^{\text {śrúru-: +'srutās } 18.10 \mathrm{c}}$
+ prati pratiśrutā 23.5 c , 6 c
śreṣṭha-: śresṭhas 20.2a
śrotra-: śrotrāya 5.10b, śrotre 5.10d
śvan-: +'śvā 18.8b, śunā 18.7c
$\checkmark$ śvas-: *śvasatīs 19.10b
+ upa upa śvāsaya 11.9a
sa-: sa $2.5 \mathrm{c}, 6.7 \mathrm{~d}, 7.1 \mathrm{~b}, 10.1 \mathrm{~d}, 11.7 \mathrm{c}, ~ 9 \mathrm{c}$, $12.11 \mathrm{ab}, 15.3 \mathrm{~d}, 21.5 \mathrm{ac}, 22.2 \mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{~d}, 10 \mathrm{c}$, tat 1.6a, 2.7.c, 3.1c, 5.6d, $6.5 \mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{~d}$, 12.9d, 15.9a, 18.6d, tam 6.9bc, 16.1.d, 23.7b, tena 5.8c, $6.6 \mathrm{~d}, 12.6 \mathrm{e}, 15.4 \mathrm{~d}, 21.5 \mathrm{e}, 23.2 \mathrm{c}$, tasmai 22.3 c , tasmāt 3.8 c , 9 c , tasya $6.7 \mathrm{c}, 16.4 \mathrm{c}$, $17.7 \mathrm{c}, 18.8 \mathrm{c}, 21.1 \mathrm{~d}$, tau 3.10 c , tābhyām 21.7 c , te $9.4 \mathrm{~b}, 10.4 \mathrm{a}, 13.1 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{~d}$, 5 d , 6d, 8d, 9d, 10d, 14.1d, 2d, 3d, 4d, 5d, 6d, $7 \mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{~d}, 10 \mathrm{~d}, 11 \mathrm{~d}, 22.4 \mathrm{~d}$, tebhis 12.11 e , tebhyas 22.8c, teṣām 21.6c, tām 23.1bd, tās 18.5a, 20.10d, 22.9a, tābhis 21.3d
saṁdhāna-: sam̉dhānās 2.4 d
sam்vat-: sam்vatam 23.8a
sam்vatsara-: sam்vatsaras +7.7a, saṁvatsareṇa 2.2 b , sam்vatsarasya 14.10 c , samivatsarān 14.2c, samंvatsarāṇām 7.6a sam்vid-: sam்vidam 23.8 b sakhi-: sakhā 11.1d, sakhāyam 10.3b, sakhāyas 6.4 c , sakhibhyas 11.1 d
sagara-: sagarena 1.4 d
saguṇa-: saguṇāsas 21.6a
sañkā-: ${ }^{+}$sañkās 10.5 c
$\sqrt{ }$ sac-: sacatām 6.7d
sajūs: 11.9 c
sañcara-: sañcarī 15.3b
satovīra-: satovīrās 10.9d
satya-: satyam 21.4b
satyasandha-: satyasandhān 14.5 b
$V_{\text {sad-: }}+$ upa upa sadema 10.8 c
sadā: 14.10d
sadyas: 20.7e
${ }^{\text {san-: }}$ sasanvān 12.3 cd , ${ }^{+}$siṣāsan 12.2 d
sanā: 20.6c
santāna-: santāne 19.1b
sapatna-: sapatnān 12.9a
sapta: 13.9a, 14.4a
saptadaśa-: saptadaśena 1.6c
saptan-: sapta 13.9a, 14.4a
sam: 11.2c
samad-: samadas 10.2 b , 5 b , samadām 10.1b, samatsu 11.5 d
samana-: samanā 10.4a
samā-: samās 14.2c
samit-: samiti 10.3 d
samudra-: samudras $1.2 \mathrm{~d}, 8.6 \mathrm{a}$, samudram
18.5d, samudrāt 19.11a, 23.13a, samudrās
14.3c
samrāj-: samrāṭ 1.9a
saras-: saras 18.6 b
sarasvatī-: sarasvatī 8.8a
sarpa-: sarpas 23.13c, sarpān 14.9b
sarpis-: sarpis 11.3 a
sarva-: sarvam 3.2c, 15.2d, sarvān 13.6c, *20.7e, sarvā 14.11 c , sarvās $3.7 \mathrm{c}, 4.4 \mathrm{~b}$, $10.2 \mathrm{~d}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 13.5 \mathrm{c}, 18.2 \mathrm{c}$, sarvāsām 16.2c
sarvavīra-: sarvavīr̄a 21.8 a , sarvavīrān 21.7d
salindā-: salindā 23.7a
salila-: salilād 22.7 b
salilavāta-: *salilavātam 1.6d
savitar-: savitā $2.2 \mathrm{~b}, 4.4 \mathrm{c}, ~ 6.5 \mathrm{~d}, 7.10 \mathrm{a}$, 12.5a, savitar 2.1c, $5.2 \mathrm{c}, 11.3 \mathrm{~d}$ savitāram 5.8a, 13.2a, savitre 5.6c
sasya-: sasyam 23.2e, ${ }^{+} 10 \mathrm{~d}$, *sasyā 22.5c
$V_{\text {sah-: sahasva } 5.7 \mathrm{~d}, ~ 6.10 \mathrm{~d}}$
saha: $2.6 \mathrm{~b}, 10.7 \mathrm{~b}, 12.7 \mathrm{~b}, 18.7 \mathrm{c}, 19.3 \mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{~b}$, 5b, 8b, 22.10d
saha-: sahas 13.8c
sahayaśas-: sahayaśā 1.5 a
sahas-: sahas ${ }^{+} 1.8 \mathrm{~b}, 11.6 \mathrm{~b}, 23.12 \mathrm{ac}$, sahasā 23.12ab, sahasas 2.4b
sahasāmant-: +sahasāmnī 1.9a
sahasya-: *sahasyam 1.5d
sahasra-: sahasram 5.6a
sahsravīra-: sahasravīras * $12.3 \mathrm{c},{ }^{+} 9 \mathrm{~b}$
sahasravīrya-: sahasravīrya 3.8c, 9c
sahasvant-: sahasvat 1.5 d , sahasvatī 1.9a
sākam: 14.5c, 19.2b
sāci: 19.6b
sādhu-: sādhu 21.5b
sānu-: sānu 11.5a, sānau 23.6 c
sāman-: ${ }^{+s a ̄ m a n ~ 1.6 a, ~ * 8 a, ~ s a ̄ m a ̄ n i ~ 14.1 b, ~}$ sāmabhis 1.2a, sāmnām 2.2c, 8.7a
sārañga-: sārañgas 18.8a, sārañgeṇa 18.7c
sārasa-: sārasīs 18.6b
$\sqrt{\text { sic-: }}+$ anu anu ṣiñca 15.9 a
sic-: ${ }^{+}$sicam 6.7 a, sicau 12.5 b
$V_{\text {sidh-: sedhāmi 23.2c }}$
+ apa apa sedha 11.9d, 10c, 20.3d
sindhu-: sindhos 3.5 a
$\sqrt{\text { siv-: syūtas } 12.11 \mathrm{a}}$
su: 6.2a, 20.5a
sujāta-: sujātam 6.9d
sudugha-: sudughā 1.10a
suparṇa-: suparṇas 11.3a, suparṇam 11.2a
subhūti-: subhūtaye 4.6 d
$\checkmark$ sumanasya-: sumanasyamānās 10.8 d
suvarcas-: suvarcās 6.2c
suvīra-: suvīras $6.5 \mathrm{a}, 11.8 \mathrm{~b}$, suvīram 6.6c
suvridh-: suvrdhā 6.9c
suṣārathi-: suṣārathis 10.6b
susaṁśita-: ${ }^{+}$susaṁśitas 23.2b
suhūti-: suhūtis 2.3 c
$V_{\text {sū }}$ - + $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ā suva 5.2 c , ā suvantas 12.8 b + pra prasūtas 10.5 d , prasūtā 11.2 b , prasūtau 21.2c
sūnu-: sūnos 20.2d
sūrya-: sūryas $3.5 \mathrm{c}, 6.8 \mathrm{~d}, 7.4 \mathrm{a}, 15.1 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{~d}$, sūryam 13.1c, sūryasya 19.2b
sūryācandramasā-: sūryācandramasā 13.6b
$V_{\text {srje }}$ - srsṣtā 20.6b
$V_{\text {srp: }}+$ vi + ava vi *avāsrpad 3.7a
sedi-: sedim 2.8 d
senā-: +senā 20.6b
soma-: somas $4.8 \mathrm{a}, 5.4 \mathrm{~b}, 6.5 \mathrm{c}, ~ 8.4 \mathrm{a}, 13.7 \mathrm{c}$,
somam 5.10a, somāya 6.1d
somaśreṣṭha-: somaśreṣṭāni 13.8b
somya-: somyena 4.8a, somyāsas 10.10a
skambha-: skambhas 12.10c
$\sqrt{ }$ stan-: stanayan 22.6a
+ abhi abhi ș̣ana 11.10b
stanayitnu-: stanayitnus 12.3a,
stanayitnave ${ }^{+}$20.8b, 23.11a
stoma-: stomas 1.2 c , stomais 1.4 c
stomaikaviṁśa-: stomaikavimíse 2.1a
$V_{\text {sthā-: }}$ tișṭhāsi 4.4c, tișṭhan 10.6a
+ adhi adhyasṭhan 12.2 b , adhi tasthima 21.8b
$+\bar{a} \bar{a}$ tiștha 5.7a, 12.9a
sthāna-: sthānam 12.7d
sthira-: sthiras $5.7 \mathrm{~b}, 6.10 \mathrm{~b}$, sthireṇa 6.10 b
$V_{\text {snā-: }}$ +snāhi 4.5b
$V_{\text {sprordh-: spardhamānas } 22.5 \mathrm{~d}}$
syona-: syonā $2.2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, 23.10 \mathrm{a}$, syonās 22.9b
$V_{\text {svaj-: }}+$ pari pariṣasvajānā 10.3 b
svaraṁkrta-: svaraṁkrıtās 22.9 a
svarvant-: svarvatī 1.5b, 1.10a
svarvid-: svarvin 1.1a
svasar-: svasā 15.3a
svasti-: svasti $6.7 \mathrm{~d}, 20.3 \mathrm{c}$, svastaye 4.6 d , 6.3a, 22.10d
svāduṣamisad-: svāduṣamisadas 10.9a
svāyasa-: *svāyasas 23.2 a
svāhā: 4.6d, 7.1e, 9.4d, 6c
han-: hanat 18.4 d , jaghnatus 21.2 c , hata
12.8 c , hatās 18.2 d
+ ava ava jahi 18.3c
$+\bar{a} \bar{a}+j a n g h a n t i 11.5 \mathrm{a}$, āhatās 18.5a
+ upa upa jighnate 11.5 b
+ nis nirhantu 3.2d
hariṇa-: hariṇasya 12.4a
harimant-: harimā 3.2a
haviṣ-: havis 10.8 a , haviṣā 11.6d, 22.3c
havya-: havyā 11.7d
havyadāti-: havyadātim 11.7c
havyamohana-: havyamohanīs 18.4 c
hasta-: hastas 20.5a
hastaghna-: hastaghnas 11.4c
${ }^{1} \sqrt{ }$ hā-: + sam samijihīṣva 17.8 a
${ }^{2} \sqrt{ }$ hā-: jahāmi 2.8d, 12.5d, *jahīta 20.6c
hāyana-: hāyanān 14.2b
hi: 11.8a, 15.7a, 16.2c, 3a, 20.1b, 3a, 4a
hìmkāra-: him̉kāras 8.7a
$\sqrt{\text { himss-: himsīs } 22.5 \mathrm{c}, 23.11 \mathrm{c}}$
hita-: hitam 20.7a
hiraṇya-: hiraṇyam 11.3b
hiraṇyadant-: 'hiraṇyadan 18.8 a
hiraṇyavarṇa-: hiraṇyavarṇas 6.5 a
$\sqrt{ }$ hu-: juhomi 22.3c
$\sqrt{\text { hū-: }}$ havāmahe 6.4b, huve 22.1a
$\sqrt{ } \mathbf{h r}$-: harāmi 6.9 b
+ abhi abhi harantu 4.4d
+ā āhārṣam 15.7c, 16.3c
hrid-: hrodā 21.4 d
hrdaya-: hrdayam 22.2c
*hrṇii-: hrṇīyasā 20.2c
heti-: hetim 11.4b
hemanta-: hemantas 1.9 b
hotrā-: *hotrās 14.1c
homa-: homam 22.1d
hradya-: *hradyāḥ 15.5a
hrāduni-: *hrādunim 23.2c
Vhvā-: hvayāmi 22.1b


## INDEX LOCORUM

Reference is given to the stanza of kāṇ̣a 15 in which the particular passage is quoted as a parallel passage or is discussed in the commentary.

## Samihitās

## KapKS

| 1.15:31.7 | 15.5 .5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25.4:97.10 | 15.3 .1 |
| 25.5:98.9-10 | 15.2 .6 |
| 25.5:98.11-12 | 15.2 .7 |
| 25.5:98.13.14 | 15.2 .8 |
| 28.2:121.10-11 | 15.2 .5 |
| $28.2: 121.16$ | 15.2 .5 |

## KS

2.3:9.18
3.8:27.2 15.3.9
11.7:153.16-17 15.5.3
11.13:160.11-12 15.5.1
16.13:235.20 15.3.1
16.14:237.3-4 15.2.6
16.14:237.5-6 15.2.7
16.14:237.7-8 $\quad 15.2 .8$

17:10:35.9 15.1.1
17.10:253.6 15.1.3

17: 11
18.1:265.4 15.2.5
18.1:265.10 15.2.5
22.5:61.3 15.21.1
22.14:69.16-17 15.1.1
22.14:69.18-19 15.1.2
22.14:69.20-21 15.1.3
22.14:70.1-2 15.1.4
22.14:70.3-4 15.1.5
22.14:70.5-6 15.1.6
22.14:70.7-8 15.1.7
22.14:70.9-10 15.1.8
22.14:70.11-12 15.1.9
22.14:70.13-14 15.1.10
22.14:70.17-18 15.2.1
22.14.70.15-16 15.2.2
22.14:71.1 15.2.3
22.14:71.2 15.2.4
38.12:133.3-5 15.9.5,6

MS

| $1.2 .2: 11.9-10$ | 15.6 .6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1.2 .18: 28.5$ | 15.3 .9 |
| 1.5.11:80.11-13 | 15.23 .3 |
| 2.3.4:31.11-12 | 15.5 .3 |

2.7.13:93.12 15.3.1
2.7.13:94.15-16 15.14.3
2.7.13:94.17 15.3.3
2.7.13:94.17-18 $\quad 15.13 .2$
2.7.14:95.3-4 15.2.6
2.7.14:95.5-6 15.2.7
2.7.14:95.7-8 15.2.8
2.8.12:116.3 15.1.1
(= 4.6.7:89.6)
2.8.12:116.8 15.1.3
2.9.2 intr.
2.9.6:125.5 15.1.10
2.10.2:133.1-2 15.2.5
2.10.3:134.7 15.2.7
3.6.10:74.2 15.23.2
3.15.8:180.1 $\quad 15.23 .2$
3.16.3:185.10-11 15.10.1
3.16.3:185.12-13 $\quad 15.10 .2$
3.16.3:185.14-15 $\quad 15.10 .3$
3.16.3:185.16-17 15.10.4
3.16.3:186.1-2 $\quad 15.10 .5$
3.16.3:186.3-4 15.10 .6
3.16.3:186.5-6 $\quad 15.10 .7$
3.16.3:186.13-14 15.10.9
3.16.3:186.15-16 15.10.10
3.16.3:186.7-8 $\quad 15.11 .8$
3.16.3:186.9-10 15.11 .6
3.16.3:186.11-12 15.11 .7
3.16.3:187.2-3 15.11.2
3.16.3:187.4-5 15.11.4
3.16.3:187.6-7 15.11.5


| 2.5.5d | 15.10.2 | 3.19.2b | 15.11.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.8.4cd | 15.4.1 | 3.26.1a | 15.20.2 |
| 2.10.1d | 15.4.4 | 3.30 .4 b | 15.23.1 |
| 2.10.5b | 15.17.8 | 3.30 .6 | 15.4.2 |
| 2.12.1c | 15.8.2 | 3.36.5c | 15.11.1 |
| 2.14.3cd | 15.21 .1 | 4.5.1 | 15.15.2 |
| 2.16.4a | 15.20.5 | 4.6.1b | 15.19.11, 23.13 |
| 2.16.4ab | 15.18.2 | 4.10.1b | 15.1.8 |
| 2.18 | intr. | 4.12.3b | 15.12 .6 |
| 2.20 | intr., 15.15 | 4.14.7a | 15.23.5 |
| 2.20.5b | 15.15.4 | 4.17.5d | 15.23 .8 |
| =9.11.10c |  | 4.17.6de | 15.15.5 |
| $=19.30 .12 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 4.22.4 | 15.15.1 |
| 2.22 | 15.22 | 4.22 .5 d | 15.20.9 |
| 2.24 .5 cd | 15.6.5 | 4.25 .6 d | 15.3.1 |
| 2.25 | intr. | 4.27 .2 e | 15.10 .8 |
| 2.36.5a | 15.2.1 | 4.37 .1 b | 15.21 .6 |
| 2.38.1c | 15.5.4 | $4.37 .1-7 \mathrm{c}$ | 15.21 .2 |
| 2.44.1a | 15.5.1 | 4.37 .5 b | 15.23.11 |
| 2.52.1c | 15.22.3 | 4.40 .3 b | 15.6.6 |
| 2.61.2d | 15.22 .3 | 5.1.7b | 15.7.10, 6.10 |
| 2.63.3a | 15.13 .5 | 5.7 | 15.22 |
| $=3.14 .6 \mathrm{a}$ |  | 5.7.2a | 15.2.1 |
| 2.65 | intr. | 5.7.5a | 15.22.9 |
| 2.65.5c | 15.5.4 | 5.7.10b | 15.19.8, 22.2 |
| 2.66.5c | 15.14 .4 | 5.7.13c | 15.23.2 |
| 2.67.1-5 | 15.14.9 | 5.8 | 15.16 .4 |
| 2.70.4c | 15.23 .4 | 5.9.6b | 15.19.1 |
| 2.70.5b | 15.5.4 | 5.10 .10 d | 15.11.4 |
| 2.71 .2 | 15.4.1 | 5.11 .1 cd | 15.10.1 |
| 2.72 | intr. | 5.14.1e | 15.6.8 |
| 2.73 | intr. | 5.15.2d | 15.2.8 |
| 2.73.1b | 15.22.2 | 5.15.9c | 15.23.2 |
| 2.73 .3 | 15.4.1 | 5.15.7c | 15.11.5 |
| = 3.30.1, 19 . | 6.11 | 5.16.2b | 15.5.6 |
| 2.81.1d | 15.6.8 | 5.16.5d | 15.12.2 |
| 2.82.2-3 | 15.3.5 | 5.17.8ef | 15.21 .5 |
| 2.86 | intr. | 5.18.5b | 15.4.10 |
| 2.88 | intr. | 5.18.8a | 15.14.4 |
| 3.1.1c | 15.4.4 | 5.19.1b | 15.21.1 |
| 3.1.2ab | 15.4.4 | 5.20 .7 cd | 15.23.5 |
| 3.1.7b | 15.11.1 | 5.21 .1 cd | 15.23.1 |
| 3.4 .5 cd | 15.4.6 | 5.22 | intr., 15.16.4 |
| 3.5.6ab | 15.22.5 | 5.22.9ab | 15.4.6 |


| 5.22.9c | 15.20 .5 | 7.12 .2 cd | 15.3.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.23 .2 d | 15.15.7, 16.3 | 7.12.4ab | 15.3.5 |
| 5.24 .2 | 15.4.1 | 7.13 | 15.18 |
| 5.24.4cd | 15.4.1 | 7.13.1d | 15.18.4 |
| 5.27.3ac | 15.15.1 | 7.13.2ab | 15.19.2 |
| 5.28 .3 d | 15.2.8 | 7.13.3b | 15.18.4 |
| 5.29 | intr. | 7.13.4ab | 15.19.1, 8 |
| 5.29.4a | 15.10.8 | 7.13.7b | 15.19 .6 |
| 5.30 | 15.22 | 7.13.11 | 15.19.9 |
| 5.31.8c | 15.2.8 | 7.13.11-14 | intr. |
| 5.32.2c | 15.21 .4 | 7.13.12 | 15.19 .10 |
| 5.34.2a | 15.11 .10 | 7.13.13a | 15.23 .13 |
| 5.37 .8 d | 15.10.4 | 7.13.13ab | 15.19 .11 |
| 5.38 .7 cd | 15.17.8 | 7.13.14ab | 15.19 .12 |
| 6.4.1 | 15.15.2 | 7.15.4b | 15.20 .10 |
| 6.4.1d | 15.15.3 | 7.15.9b | 15.2.8 |
| 6.7.9b | 15.14.4 | 7.16.7a | 15.14.4 |
| 6.8.6 | 15.14.9 | 7.17.7a | 15.14.4 |
| 6.9 | intr. | 7.19 .5 | 15.14.9 |
| 6.11.3d | 15.14.10 | 8.3 | 15.3.6 |
| 6.14.5b | 15.18.3 | 8.7.6d | 15.18.8 |
| 6.14.6c | 15.19.12 | 8.7.9cd | 15.15 |
| 6.14.6-8 | 15.14.9 | 8.8.11a | 15.22.2 |
| 6.17.10a | 15.4.10 | 8.13.8ab | 15.10.4 |
| 6.20.6a | 15.10.10 | 8.14 .7 | 15.12.5 |
| 6.23.7ab | 15.15.15 | 8.15.6c | 15.13 .10 |
| 6.23 .10 d | 15.23.2 | 8.19.8ab | 15.23.1 |
| $=19.47 .8 \mathrm{a}$ |  | 9.3 .2 cd | 15.15.2 |
| 6.23.11c | 15.12.5 | 9.3 .5 ab | 15.21.1 |
| 7.1.8 | 15.4.1 | 9.11.10b | 15.16.1 |
| 7.1.14 | 15.4.1 | = 19.31.11 |  |
| $=2.71 .5$ |  | 9.13 .6 cd | 15.17.8 |
| 7.2.6c | 15.4.1, 15.18.9 | 9.13.8ab | 15.15.9 |
| 7.5.2c, 3b | 15.14.4 | 9.17 .10 | 15.17 .6 |
| 7.5.4a | 15.12.2 | 9.18.3ab | 15.15.10 |
| 7.5.8b | 15.3.7 | 9.22 .4 | 15.3.8 |
| 7.6.1b | 15.14.10 | 9.25.6a | 15.14.9 |
| 7.7.1ab | 15.22.10 | 9.27 .9 cd | 15.11.4 |
| 7.7.6d | 15.20.9 | 10.1.12b | 15.3.7 |
| 7.9.4cd | 15.3.10 | 10.7.5ab | 15.21.1 |
| 7.10.6bc | 15.15.4 | 10.10.4fg, | 15.4.6 |
| 7.11.7 | 15.14.9 | 10.14.2a | 15.14.9 |
| 7.11.10 | 15.15.1 | 11.1.11ab | 15.21 .5 |
| 7.12 | intr. | 11.3.7ab | 15.3.6 |


| 11.6.9cd | 15.3.1 | 16.4.4cd | 15.15.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.6 .9 d | 15.3.7 | 16.4 .4 g | 15.15.6 |
| 11.6.10d | 15.15.7, 16.3 | 16.5.4ab | 15.15.10 |
| 11.11.4a | 15.22.10 | 16.6.10c | 15.4.1, 15.18.9 |
| 11.12.1 | 15.22.10 | 16.8.11 | 15.11.1 |
| 11.12.1c | 15.15.1 | 16.14.6d | 15.18.8 |
| 11.14.6ab | 15.13.2 | 16.21.2bc | 15.20.8, 23.11 |
| 11.16.8a | 15.23.2 | 16.24.8ab | 15.21.1 |
| 12.1.10d | 15.23.3 | 16.25 .9 b | 15.11.1 |
| $=$ PS 5.21.6d |  | 16.27.3a | 15.2.8 |
| 12.7.3ab | 15.19.9 | 16.27.5ab | 15.6.5 |
| 12.7.4a | 15.19.11 | 16.30.5ab | 15.14.9 |
| 12.7.5ab | 15.19.1 | 16.34.4 | 15.4.1 |
| 12.7-8 | 15.18 | 16.35 .5 | 15.4.1 |
| 12.7.7ab | 15.18.2 | 16.36.10a | 15.23.2 |
| 12.7.8 | 15.18.1 | 16.37.2ab | 15.21 .5 |
| 12.7.9a | 15.18.2 | 16.37.5a | 15.22.9 |
| 12.13.3ab | 15.23.2 | 16.37.5-6 | 15.4.1 |
| 12.13.3b | 15.23.2 | 16.41 .2 a | 15.3.4 |
| 12.16.6c | 15.20.5 | 16.50 .4 cd | 15.4.1 |
| 12.18 .1 | intr., 15.22.3 | 16.76.1ab, 7a | 15.21.1 |
| 12.19.5ab | 15.3.5 | 16.76.2ac | 15.4.2 |
| 13.1-2 | intr. | 16.79.1d | 15.18.3 |
| 13.2.3b | 15.14.10 | 16.79.4-6 | 15.14.9 |
| 13.7 | intr. | 16.84 .7 b | 15.14.9 |
| 13.8 | intr. | 16.88.2ab | 15.3.5 |
| 14.1 | intr. | 16.98 .5 d | 15.4.4 |
| 14.2 | intr. | 16.99 .4 d | 15.22.3 |
| 14.2.3c | 15.14.4 | 16.104.1 | 15.21.2 |
| 14.2.4a | 15.14.4 | 16.104.1d | 15.23.11 |
| 14.3.1 | 15.18, 15.18.4 | 16.104 .3 | 15.20 .10 |
| 14.3.1 cd | 15.18.2 | 16.104.7a | 15.18.2 |
| 14.3.3c | 15.20 .5 | 16.105.1a | 15.5.2 |
| 14.3.8a | 15.21 .3 | 16.105.2a | 15.18.2, 20.7 |
| 14.3.1-10 | intr. | 16.106.5f | 15.20 .7 |
| 14.4.1-7 | intr. | 16.106.6c | 15.22 .5 |
| 14.4.1a | 15.18.2 | 16.106.6d | 15.22.8 |
| 14.4.5a | 15.18.2 | 16.130.1 | 15.3.8 |
| 14.5 | intr. | 16.139.3a | 15.5.5 |
| 14.6 | intr. | 16.144.3b | 15.17.6 |
| 14.6.4ab | 15.23.1 | 17.3.10d | 15.10.8 |
| 14.7.2c | 15.11.10 | 17.4.7c | 15.5.1 |
| 16.3.2c | 15.5.4 | 17.12.2b | 15.13.10 |
| 16.3.3ab | 15.3.5 | $=17.13 .13 \mathrm{c}$ |  |


| 17.25.7cd | 15.2.8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 17.32.5ab | 15.5.1 |
| 17.32.10b | 15.5.4 |
| 17.37 .1 cd | 15.12 .2 |
| 17.41.1a | 15.22 .2 |
| 18.50.4a | 15.21.1 |
| 18.51.8a | 15.4.1 |
| 18.53.2a | 15.6 .5 |
| 18.55.2d | 15.17 .8 |
| 18.57.5c | 15.21 .4 |
| 18.80.10b | 15.4.3 |
| 19.3.4-6, 9 | 15.22 |
| 19.3.9 | 15.20 .8 |
| 19.3.9ab | 15.22 |
| 19.6.5b | 15.4.4 |
| 19.6.6c | 15.4.4 |
| 19.8.3c | 15.11.1 |
| 19.9.10 | 15.15.1 |
| 19.11.7b | 15.4.1 |
| 19.13.6b | 15.15 .3 |
| 19.14.10-12 | 15.15.2 |
| 19.14.13b | 15.18 .2 |
| 19.16.16d | 15.18 .8 |
| 19.16.16 | 15.18 .8 |
| 19.17.11 | 15.5.2 |
| 19.20.13cd | 15.18.1 |
| 19.20.14a | 15.19.11, 23.13 |
| 19.24 .3 | 15.18 .8 |
| 19.26.1b | 15.2.8 |
| 19.27.8ab | 15.16.1 |
| 19.27.10c | 15.15 .9 |
| 19.29.2b | 15.11.10 |
| 19.29.8ab | 15.10.8 |
| 19.33.15a | 15.19.11, 23.13 |
| 19.38 .13 | 15.5.5 |
| 19.38 .13 cd | 15.5.5 |
| 19.38.14b | 15.1.8 |
| 19.39 | 15.5.5 |
| 19.40.3b | 15.4.4 |
| 19.42.4-6 | 15.3.4 |
| 19.42.6a | 15.3.4 |
| 19.47.2b | 15.13.10 |
| 19.47.5a | 15.21 .4 |
| 19.48.18a | 15.21 .6 |


| 19.56 .16 b | 15.4 .4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20.2 .9 | 15.22 |
| 20.3 .8 c | 15.6 .8 |
| 20.4 .2 b | 15.1 .8 |
| 20.4 .7 | 15.22 |
| 20.5 .10 b | 15.5 .9 |
| 20.8 .3 | 15.22 |
| 20.10 .8 c | 15.5 .9 |
| 20.11 .5 d | 15.5 .1 |
| 20.11 .12 b | 15.15 .3 |
| 20.14 .4 ab | 15.3 .10 |
| 20.18 .2 cd | 15.4 .1 |
| 20.18 .4 cd | 15.4 .1 |
| 20.18 .4 d | 15.18 .9 |
| 20.18 .5 a | 15.21 .1 |
| 20.22 .2 a | 15.4 .1 |
| 20.25 .8 b | 15.1 .8 |
| 20.28 .8 b | 15.23 .8 |
| 20.30 .6 ab | 15.13 .2 |
| 20.33 .5 ce | 15.3 .9 |
| 20.34 .1 d | 15.15 .6 |
| 20.34 .7 d | 15.5 .2 |
| 20.35 .1 c | 15.11 .1 |
| 20.40 .3 c | 15.4 .4 |
| 20.42 .3 cd | 15.18 .1 |
| 20.45 .9 | 15.22 .3 |
| 1.13 .10 a | 15.13 .2 |
| 1.23 .9 a | 15.12 .8 |
| 1.23 .20 d | 15.3 .1 |
| 1.24 .13 d | 15.3 .4 |
| 1.30 .7 | 15.6 .4 |
| 1.30 .14 | 15.12 .7 |
| 20.62 .62 .7 c | 15.22 |
| 20.51 .1 ab | 15.10 .8 |
| 20.54 .7 | 15.4 .2 |
| 20.55 .10 a | 15.18 .2 |
| 20.59 .7 ab | 15.23 .1 |
| 20.59 .9 d | 15.5 .1 |
| 20.18 .20 .5 |  |
| 20.15 .10 |  |
| 20.2 |  |


| 1.32 .13 ab | 15.23 .2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.32 .15 | 15.12 .7 |
| 1.32 .15 | 15.12 .6 |
| 1.34 .2 | 15.10 .8 |
| 1.34 .2 | 15.12 .6 |
| 1.34 .2 c | 15.12 .10 |
| 1.43 .4 b | 15.20 .5 |
| 1.52 .9 ab | 15.15 .4 |
| 1.53 .11 d | 15.5 .4 |
| 1.54 .8 d | 15.1 .3 |
| 1.54 .11 b | 15.1 .3 |
| 1.64 .4 b | 15.18 .2 |
| 1.64 .6 d | 15.23 .13 |
| 1.88 .1 ab | 15.12 .1 |
| 1.88 .2 | 15.12 .6 |
| 1.91 .4 d | 15.11 .7 |
| 1.97 .5 | 15.12 .5 |
| 1.104 .3 ab | 15.23 .10 |
| 1.108 .11 ab | 15.22 .4 |
| 1.114 .1 cd | 15.15 .2 |
| 1.114 .11 b | intr. |
| 1.135 .2 d | 15.5 .2 |
| 1.141 .9 | 15.12 .7 |
| 1.152 .4 | 15.5 .5 |
| $1.154 .2 \mathrm{~b}, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ | 15.8 .1 |
| 1.155 .1 c | 15.8 .1 |
| 1.156 .3 b | 15.10 .4 |
| 1.157 .3 | 15.10 .8 |
| 1.161 .3 cd | 15.5 .9 |
| 1.162 .5 c | 15.22 .9 |
| 1.163 .1 c | 15.12 .4 |
| $1.163 .1 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{a}$ | 15.12 .2 |
| 1.164 .43 | 15.18 .4 |
| 1.164 .48 | 15.12 .6 |
| 1.166 .9 | 15.12 .7 |
| 1.166 .10 b | 15.18 .2 |
| 1.168 .5 a | 15.22 .5 |
| 1.172 .3 b | 15.23 .3 |
| 1.180 .1 | 15.12 .6 |
| 1.188 .9 ab | 15.8 .2 |
| 1.191 .15 d | 15.23 .8 |
| 2.5 .3 | 15.12 .6 |
| 2.24 .8 c | 15.20 .8 |
| 2.27 .12 c | 15.12 .2 |


| 2.33 | intr. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.33 .2 a | 15.20 .1 |
| 2.33 .3 ab | 15.20 .2 |
| 2.33 .3 cd | 15.20 .3 |
| 2.33 .4 c | 15.20 .3 |
| 2.33 .6 a | 15.20 .3 |
| 2.33 .6 a | intr. |
| 2.33 .6 b | 15.15 .3 |
| 2.33 .7 d | 15.20 .4 |
| 2.33 .7 abc | 15.20 .5 |
| 2.33 .10 abc | 15.20 .7 |
| 3.28 .4 cd | 5.21 .4 |
| 3.53 .2 cd | 5.6 .7 |
| 3.53 .17 | 15.12 .6 |
| 3.53 .17 | 15.12 .7 |
| 3.60 .2 d | 15.22 .2 |
| 4.1 .6 a | 15.20 .2 |
| 4.4 .14 c | 15.3 .1 |
| 4.17 .7 a | 15.12 .2 |
| 4.38 .8 d | 15.12 .5 |
| 4.50 .4 a | 15.12 .2 |
| 4.57 .2 | 15.8 .9 |
| 4.58 .8 ab | 15.10 .4 |
| 5.1 .11 c | 15.8 .9 |
| 5.2 .7 c | 15.3 .4 |
| 5.13 .6 | 15.12 .6 |
| 5.31 .1 | 15.12 .2 |
| 5.31 .4 b | 15.8 .2 |
| 5.31 .5 | 15.12 .8 |
| 5.31 .6 c | 15.10 .9 |
| 5.32 .11 ab | 15.18 .2 |
| 5.43 .7 b | 15.2 .6 |
| 5.52 .9 | 15.12 .8 |
| 5.54 .3 c | 15.23 .2 |
| 5.54 .11 b | 15.18 .2 |
| 5.57 .1 d | 15.23 .13 |
| 5.57 .6 b | 15.11 .10 |
| 5.59 .3 b | 15.3 .5 |
| 5.60 .6 ab | 15.22 .4 |
| 5.68 .3 c | 15.1 .3 |
| 5.78 .6 | 15.11 .2 |
| 5.82 .1 c | 15.20 .2 |
| 5.83 .7 ab | 15.22 .6 |
| 6.1 .3 d | 15.2 .6 |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6.8 .1 cd | 15.1 .1 |
| 6.8 .2 a | 15.20 .2 |
| 6.16 .2 a | 15.20 .2 |
| 6.16 .26 a | 15.20 .2 |
| 6.16 .48 a | 15.13 .2 |
| 6.47 .26 | 15.11 .8 |
| 6.47 .26 c | 15.12 .4 |
| 6.47 .27 | 15.11 .6 |
| 6.47 .28 | 15.11 .7 |
| 6.47 .29 | 15.11 .9 |
| 6.47 .30 | 15.11 .10 |
| 6.47 .31 | 15.12 .1 |
| 6.52 .13 ab | 15.22 .4 |
| 6.71 .3 d | 15.10 .10 |
| 6.74 .4 c | 15.3 .4 |
| 6.75 .1 | 15.10 .1 |
| 6.75 .2 | 15.10 .2 |
| 6.75 .3 | 15.10 .3 |
| 6.75 .4 | 15.10 .4 |
| 6.75 .5 | 15.10 .5 |
| 6.75 .6 | 15.10 .6 |
| 6.75 .7 | 15.10 .7 |
| 6.75 .7 d | intr. |
| 6.75 .8 | 15.10 .8 |
| 6.75 .9 | 15.10 .9 |
| 6.75 .10 | 15.10 .10 |
| 6.75 .11 | 15.11 .2 |
| 6.75 .12 d | 15.5 .4 |
| 6.75 .13 | 15.11 .5 |
| 6.75 .15 | 15.11 .4 |
| 6.75 .15 | 15.11 .2 |
| 6.75 .17 | 15.18 .2 |
| 7.8 .6 cd | 15.3 .7 |
| 7.13 .3 b | 15.2 .2 |
| 7.28 .3 c | 15.1 .3 |
| 7.30 .1 d | 15.1 .3 |
| 7.32 .17 cd | 15.5 .7 |
| 7.32 .20 cd | 15.12 .6 |
| 7.35 .6 c | 15.20 .5 |
| 7.39 .6 c | 15.15 .8 |
| $7.54 .1-3$ | 15.8 .9 |
| 7.55 .7 b | 15.23 .13 |
| 7.59 .8 c | 15.3 .4 |
| 7.60 .7 c | 15.16 .1 |
|  |  |


| 7.71 .2 c | 15.2 .8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7.81 .1 cd | 15.10 .7 |
| 7.82 .2 d | 15.11 .10 |
| 7.88 .7 b | 15.3 .4 |
| 7.98 .6 a | 15.5 .7 |
| 8.13 .9 | 15.8 .9 |
| 8.17 .8 a | 15.2 .6 |
| 8.18 .9 bc | 15.5 .5 |
| 8.20 .11 b | 15.18 .2 |
| 8.22 .7 d | 15.1 .3 |
| 8.27 .8 c | 15.12 .2 |
| 8.29 .5 b | 15.20 .5 |
| 8.41 .4 e | 15.22 .2 |
| 8.47 .17 ad | 15.4 .1 |
| 8.48 .11 a | 15.2 .8 |
| 8.48 .15 b | 15.1 .1 |
| 8.95 .4 | 15.8 .9 |
| 8.96 .9 a | 15.12 .4 |
| 9.5 .9 ab | 15.13 .2 |
| 9.8 .9 b | 15.1 .1 |
| 9.28 .4 a | 15.22 .6 |
| 9.41 .3 c | 15.22 .9 |
| 9.66 .6 a | 15.5 .2 |
| 9.84 .5 b | 15.1 .1 |
| 9.109 .8 b | 15.1 .1 |
| 10.2 .4 a | 15.21 .4 |
| 10.10 .5 c | 15.21 .4 |
| 10.16 .3 a | 15.3 .5 |
| 10.16 .11 a | 15.10 .8 |
| $10.18 .2 \mathrm{~b}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$ | 15.5 .4 |
| 10.18 .6 a | 15.5 .1 |
| 10.18 .14 ab | 15.11 .2 |
| 10.37 .4 cd | 15.2 .8 |
| 10.41 .2 b | 15.10 .8 |
| $10.42-43-44$ | 15.11 .1 |
| 10.48 .8 ab | 15.18 .10 |
| 10.53 .10 d | 15.22 .2 |
| 10.60 .12 c | 15.3 .1 |
| 10.63 .16 a | 15.20 .2 |
| 10.68 .1 | 15.12 .3 |
| 10.68 .8 de | 15.21 .5 |
| 10.76 .2 a | 15.20 .2 |
| 10.78 .4 | 15.12 .7 |
| 10.79 .5 b | 15.21 .1 |


| 10.81 .1 cd | 15.2 .5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10.82 .3 a | 15.2 .5 |
| 10.85 .10 b | 15.19 .11 |
| 10.85 .24 a | 15.3 .4 |
| 10.87 .10 c | 15.4 .1 |
| 10.87 .10 c | 15.18 .9 |
| 10.90 .13 b | 15.3 .5 |
| 10.97 .2 cd | 15.5 .2 |
| 10.97 .6 cd | 15.3 .1 |
| 10.97 .6 d | 15.3 .7 |
| 10.97 .16 a | 15.13 .7 |
| 10.97 .17 cd | 15.15 .3 |
| 10.97 .20 cd | 15.15 .2 |
| 10.97 .22 a | 15.16 .1 |
| 10.115 .8 d | 15.5 .4 |
| 10.130 .4 a | 15.1 .3 |
| 10.130 .5 a | 15.1 .8 |
| 10.130 .5 b | 15.1 .4 |
| 10.130 .5 c | 15.1 .6 |
| 10.135 .3 | 15.12 .6 |
| 10.136 .7 cd | 15.17 .8 |
| 10.137 .4 ab | 15.21 .5 |
| 10.152 | intr. |
| 10.155 .4 cd | 15.18 .2 |
| 10.164 .10 ab | 15.13 .2 |
| 10.168 .2 b | 15.10 .4 |
| 10.173 .1 b | 15.4 .4 |
| 10.173 .2 c | 15.4 .4 |
| 10.184 .1 b | 15.8 .2 |

## RVKh

2.14.6b 15.2.6

## ŚS

1.3.7ab $\quad 15.18 .1$
1.3.7b $\quad 15.23 .5$
1.9 intr.
1.10.2b $\quad 15.5 .2$
$1.10 .2 \mathrm{~d} \quad 15.5 .2$
1.11.5 15.18.1
$1.13 \quad 15.22$
1.13ab $\quad 14.23 .11$
1.13.1 $\quad 15.20 .8$
1.13.1ab $\quad 15.22$

| 1.28 .1 b | 15.3 .7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.29 | intr. |
| 1.31 .2 cd | 15.3 .4 |
| 2.1 .3 a | 15.2 .5 |
| 2.2 .3 | 15.19 .11 |
| 2.2 .5 | 15.19 .11 |
| 2.2 .5 a | 15.19 .4 |
| 2.2 .5 b | 15.18 .4 |
| 2.2 .5 d | 15.18 .10 |
| 2.4 .2 d | 15.3 .6 |
| 2.4 .3 c | 15.3 .1 |
| 2.7 .3 d | 15.3 .6 |
| 2.7 .5 cd | 15.4 .1 |
| 2.7 .5 d | 15.18 .9 |
| 2.9 .3 b | 15.17 .8 |
| 2.10 .5 b | 15.20 .6 |
| 2.10 .6 a | 15.17 .8 |
| 2.11 .3 b | 15.20 .10 |
| 2.12 .5 b | 15.9 .6 |
| 2.12 .5 d | 15.10 .2 |
| 2.13. | 15.5 |
| 2.13 .1 | 15.5 .1 |
| 2.13 .2 | 15.6 .1 |
| $=19.24 .4$ |  |
| 2.13 .3 abc | 15.6 .3 |
| 2.13 .3 cd | 15.6 .2 |
| 2.13 .5 | 15.6 .9 |
| 2.25 .3 | 15.14 .9 |
| 2.26 .1 c | 15.8 .2 |
| 2.27 .6 | 15.20 .5 |
| 2.27 .6 ab | 15.18 .2 |
| 2.28 .5 | 15.5 .3 |
| 2.29 .2 | 15.5 .2 |
| 2.29 .2 d | 15.5 .2 |
| 2.32 .2 c | $15.4 .1,15.18 .9$ |
| $=5.23 .9 \mathrm{c}$ |  |
| 2.32 .5 | 15.21 .1 |
| 2.34 .4 a | 15.13 .9 |
| 2.36 .6 a | 15.11 .10 |
| 3.1 | 15.18 .4 |
| 3.2 .6 ab | 15.22 .5 |
| 3.4 .1 c | 15.4 .4 |
| 3.4 .2 ab | 15.4 .4 |
| 3.5 .2 ab | 15.1 .3 |


| 3.10 .6 cd | 15.13 .9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3.10 .9 bc | 15.14 .2 |
| 3.11 .3 cd | 15.5 .9 |
| $=3.20 .96,8 \mathrm{~cd}$ |  |
| 3.11 .6 cd | 15.5 .1 |
| 3.13 .5 cd | 15.4 .6 |
| 3.16 | intr. |
| 3.17 | 15.22 |
| 3.19 .6 cd | 15.12 .1 |
| 3.24 | 15.22 |
| 3.30 .1 b | 15.21 .1 |
| 4.3 .6 ab | 15.4 .1 |
| 4.4 .1 | 15.15 .2 |
| 4.6 | 15.16 .4 |
| $4.9 .3-7,10$ | 15.3 .6 |
| 4.9 .6 ab | 15.3 .3 |
| 4.10 .3 c | 15.3 .1 |
| 4.10 .4 d | 15.3 .1 |
| 4.15 | 15.22 |
| $4.15 .7,9$ | 15.23 .13 |
| 4.16 .3 cd | 15.23 .9 |
| 4.18 .2 | 15.4 .1 |
| 4.18 .4 cd | 15.4 .1 |
| 4.28 | intr. |
| 4.28 .1 b | 15.21 .6 |
| $4.28 .1-7 \mathrm{c}$ | 15.21 .2 |
| 4.13 .3 c | 15.3 .1 |
| 4.13 .6 c, | 15.3 .1 |
| 4.31 .3 b | 15.12 .6 |
| 4.37 .3 ab | 15.19 .9 |
| 4.37 .4 b | 15.18 .2 |
| 4.37 .5 | 15.18 .1 |
| 4.37 .7 ab | 15.18 .2 |
| 4.38 .5 ab | 15.19 .2 |
| 4.39 .9 ab | 15.22 .3 |
| 5.3 .1 c | 15.4 .4 |
| 5.5 .1 d | 15.15 .3 |
| $=6.100 .3 \mathrm{~b}$ |  |
| 5.5 .2 ab | 15.3 .8 |
| 5.7 .3 cd | 15.3 .10 |
| 5.9 .7 | 15.3 .5 |
| 5.14 | 15.4 .1 |
| 5.14 .10 | 15.4 .1 |
| $5.14 .12-13$ | 15.4 .1 |


| 5.18 .3 | 15.17 .6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5.20 .9 cd | 15.11 .4 |
| 5.21 .1 cd | 15.21 .1 |
| 5.21 .9 ab | 15.10 .3 |
| 5.25 .1 | 15.11 .2 |
| 5.24 | 15.7 |
| 5.24 .1 | 15.7 .10 |
| 5.24 .1 bcde | 15.7 .1 |
| 5.24 .2 | 15.7 .8 |
| 5.24 .4 | 15.7 .2 |
| 5.24 .6 | 15.8 .1 |
| 5.24 .7 | 15.8 .4 |
| 5.24 .8 | 15.7 .3 |
| 5.24 .9 | 15.7 .4 |
| 5.24 .9 a | 15.3 .5 |
| 5.24 .10 | 15.7 .5 |
| 5.24 .11 | 15.7 .9 |
| 5.24 .12 | 15.8 .3 |
| 5.24 .13 | 15.9 .2 |
| 5.24 .14 | 15.9 .3 |
| 5.24 .15 | 15.9 .4 |
| 5.24 .16 | 15.9 .4 |
| 5.24 .17 | 15.9 .4 |
| $5.29,6-9$ | 15.15 .9 |
| 5.30 .6 cd | 15.17 .8 |
| 5.30 .6 d | 15.17 .8 |
| 5.30 .8 ab | 15.15 .10 |
| 5.31 | 15.4 .1 |
| 6.29 .3 d | 15.23 .8 |
| 6.31 .2 | 15.21 .6 |
| 6.32 .2 b | $15.4 .1,15.18 .9$ |
| 6.37 .2 cd | 15.4 .1 |
| 6.38 | intr. |
| 6.44 .1 d | 15.5 .2 |
| 6.46 .3 | 15.4 .1 |
| 6.50 .1 b | 15.18 .9 |
| 6.52 .3 c | 15.3 .1 |
| 6.59 | 15.15 .2 |
| 6.75 .3 | 15.21 .6 |
| 6.87 .2 c | 15.4 .4 |
| 6.90 | intr. |
| 6.93 .1 b | 15.18 .2 |
| 6.109 .2 ab | 15.16 .1 |
| 6.109 .2 d | 15.15 .3 |


| 6.109.3c | 15.15.9 | 10.1.3 | 15.4.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.118.2cd | 15.4.1 | 10.1.14 | 15.4.1 |
| 6.125.1 | 15.11.8 | 10.1.20a | 15.23.2 |
| 6.125 .2 | 15.11.6 | 10.1.25a | 15.22.9 |
| 6.125.3 | 15.11.7 | 10.1.25-26 | 15.4.1 |
| 6.126 .1 | 15.11.9 | 10.3.1d | 15.6.10 |
| 6.126.2 | 15.11.10 | 10.3.3a | 15.3.1 |
| 6.126.3 | 15.121,4 | 10.5.22 | 15.3.8 |
| 6.136.3c | 15.3.1 | 10.5 .36 cd | 15.4.6 |
| 6.138 .3 cd | 15.5.2 | $\approx 16.8 .4$ |  |
| 6.142 | 15.22 | 10.9.12ab | 15.14 .7 |
| 7.8.1b | 15.1.10 | 10.137.3c | 15.3.1 |
| 7.11 | 15.22 | 11.2 | intr. |
| 7.11 .1 cd | 15.22 .5 | 11.2.1 | 15.21 .2 |
| 7.17.3c | 15.6.8 | 11.2 .1 d | 15.23.11 |
| 7.18 | 15.22 | 11.2.7a | 15.18 .2 |
| 7.42 | intr. | 11.2.11 | 15.5.2 |
| 7.46.1b | 15.15.3 | 11.2.12 | 15.18 .2 |
| 7.51.1 | 15.11.1 | 11.2.12a | 15.20 .7 |
| 7.53 .4 d | 15.5.1 | 11.2.25bc | 15.20 .7 |
| $\approx 1.30 .2 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | 11.2.26b | 15.22 .5 |
| 7.60 .1 c 1 | 5.20 .2 | 11.2.26c | 15.22.8 |
| 7.69.1ab | 15.15 .5 | 11.4.6b | 15.16.1 |
| 7.69.1d | 15.15.6 | 11.6.1 | 15.13.1 |
| 7.83 .2 cd | 15.3.9 | 11.6.2 | 15.13.3 |
| 7.87 | intr. | 11.6.3 | 15.13.2 |
| 8.2.2d | 15.5.3 | 11.6.4 | 15.13.4 |
| 8.2.3 | 15.3.5 | 11.6 .5 | 15.13.6 |
| 8.2.20 | 15.14.9 | 11.6 .6 | 15.13.5 |
| 8.3.10c | 15.4.1, 15.18.9 | 11.6 .7 | 15.13.7 |
| 8.2 .14 cd | 15.15.5 | 11.6 .8 | 15.13.9 |
| 8.5.5ab | 15.6.5 | 11.6.9abc | 15.13.10 |
| 8.6.1d | 15.18.4 | 11.6 .9 d | 15.14.10 |
| 8.6.4-6-12 | 15.14.19 | 11.6.10 | 15.14.3 |
| 8.7.26c | 15.3.1 | 11.6.11 | 15.14.4 |
| 8.8.15ab | 15.14.9 | 11.6.12 | 15.14 .7 |
| $=11.9 .24 \mathrm{~cd}$ |  | 11.6.13 | 15.14.6 |
| 9.2.2ac | 15.4.2 | 11.6.14 | 15.14.1 |
| 9.3.24a | 15.3.4 | 11.6 .15 | 15.13.8 |
| 9.4.8b | 15.12.4 | 11.6.16 | 15.14.9 |
| 9.5.19d | 15.8.9 | 11.6.17 | 15.14 .2 |
| 9.7.3a | 15.5.5 | 11.6 .18 | 15.14 .8 |
| 9.8 .5 ab | 15.3.2 | 11.6.19 | 15.14 .5 |
| 10.1 | 15.4.1 | 11.6.21 | 15.14.11 |


| 11.6.22 | 15.14.10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11.6.22d | 15.13.10 |
| 11.8.31 | 15.3.5 |
| 12.1.12c | 15.10 .4 |
| 12.2.24a | 15.5.1 |
| 12.2.30b | 15.5.4 |
| 12.3 .11 cd | 15.12 .2 |
| 12.3.51a | 15.22 .2 |
| 12.5.34 | 15.17 .6 |
| 14.1.45b | 15.5.6 |
| 14.1.45c | 15.6 .8 |
| 14.2.9 | 15.19 .3 |
| 15.1.6 | intr. |
| 15.5 | 15.15 |
| 15.5 | 15.16 .7 |
| 16.3.4 | 15.1.4 |
| 16.6.4a | 15.21 .1 |
| 16.6.7 | 15.14 .9 |
| 16.7.12a | 15.1.4 |
| 16.9.2 | 15.6 .5 |
| 17.8c | 15.17 .8 |
| 18.1.5c | 15.21 .4 |
| 18.4.53 | 15.4.3 |
| 19.2.5a | 15.22 .2 |
| 19.7.1 | 15.21 .6 |
| 19.7.2a | 15.5.5 |
| 19.10.6 | 15.20 .5 |
| 19.24 .2 | 15.5.9 |
| 19.24.2d, 3d | 15.5.9 |
| 19.24 .3 | 15.510 |
| 19.24 .5 | 15.6 .2 |
| 19.24 .6 | 15.6 .3 |
| 19.24 .7 | 15.6.4 |
| 19.24 .8 | 15.6.5 |
| 19.24 .8 | 15.5.8 |
| 19.27.5ab | 15.21.1 |
| 19.32.1 | 15.22.10 |
| 19.32.6b | 15.5.7, 15.6.10 |
| 19.34.7a | 15.3.6 |
| 19.34.9c | 15.3.7 |
| 19.35.5c | 15.3.1 |
| 19.39.5-8-9c | 15.3.1 |
| 19.44.1 | 15.3.1 |
| 19.44.2 | 15.3.2 |

19.44.3 15.3.3
19.44.4 $\quad 15.3 .4$
19.44.5 15.3.5
19.44.6 15.3.6
$19.44 .7 \quad 15.3 .7$
19.44.8 $\quad 15.3 .8$
19.44.9 15.3.9
19.44.10 $\quad 15.3 .10$
19.45.1 15.4.1
19.45.2 15.4.2
19.45.3 15.4.3
19.45.4 15.4.4
19.45.5 15.4.5
19.45.6 15.4.6
$19.45 .7 \quad 15.4 .7$
19.45.8 $\quad 15.4 .8$
19.45.9 15.4.9
$19.45 .10 \quad 15.4 .10$
19.47.6a $\quad 15.10 .10$
19.57.1 15.4.1
19.57.4de $\quad 15.4 .2$
19.57.5 15.4.2
19.59.2a $\quad 15.21 .4$
20.17.11 15.11.1
20.94.11 15.11.1

## TS

1.2.2 15.6.6
1.3.11.1 15.3.9
1.3.14.4 15.5.1
1.5.5.3 15.5.1
$\begin{array}{ll}(=1.5 .74) & \\ \text { 1.7.7.6 } & 15.11 .6\end{array}$
1.7.8.4 $\quad 15.11 .5$
1.7.7.2 15.11.6
( $=1.8 .15 .1$ )
2.1.2 intr.
2.2.11.4 15.6 .6
2.3.10.3 15.5.3
3.3.11.1 $\quad 15.11 .1$
3.4.5.1 15.7.2,10
15.8.1-3, 6
3.4.5.2 15.9.4
4.1.2.1 15.6 .4

| 4.2.6.5 | 15.15.2 | 29.40 | 15.10.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.2.7.1 | 15.2.6-8 | 29.41 | 15.10.4 |
| 4.4.11.1 | 15.1.1, 3 | 29.42 | 15.10 .5 |
| 4.4.12.1 | 15.1.1-3 | 29.43 | 15.10.6 |
|  | 15.1.10 | 29.44 | 15.10.7 |
|  | 15.2.1-2 | 29.45 | 15.10.8 |
| 4.4.12.2 | 15.1.4-6 | 29.46 | 15.10.9 |
| 4.4.12.3 | 15.1.7-9 | 29.47 | 15.10.10 |
| 4.4.12.5 | 15.2.3, 2.4 | 29.48 | 15.11.2 |
| 4.5.1 | intr. | 29.50 | 15.11 .5 |
| 4.5.6.1 | 15.1.10 | 29.51 | 15.11.4 |
| 4.6.2.1 | 15.2.5 | 29.52 | 15.11 .8 |
| 4.6.6.1 | 15.10.1-3 | 29.53 | 15.11 .6 |
| 4.6.6.2 | 15.10.4-6 | 29.54 | 15.11 .7 |
| 4.6.6.3 | 15.10.7-9 | 29.55 | 15.11.9 |
| 4.6.6.4 | 15.10.10, 15.11.2 | 29.56 | 15.11.10 |
| 4.6.6.5 | 15.11.4, 5, 8 | 29.57 | 15.12.1 |
| 4.6.6.6 | 15.11.6, 7, 9 | 35.17 | 15.1.1 |
| 4.6.6.7 | 15.11.10, 15.12.1 | 36.21 | 15.20 .8 |
| 6.1.1.4 | 15.5.8 | 36.21 | 15.23 .11 |
| 7.1.18.1 | 15.1.2, 1.4, 1.6 | 39.8 | 15.16.7 |
| 7.1.18.1-2 | 15.1.8 |  |  |
| 7.4.1 | intr. | Brāhma |  |
| 7.5.15 | 15.1.1 | AB |  |
|  |  | 1.1.7 | 15.1.2 |
| VSM |  | 3.10 .5 | 15.5.5 |
| 3.17 | 15.5.1 | 4.29.1 | 15.1.2 |
| 3.41 | 15.20.2 | 4.31 .1 | 15.1.4 |
| 11.14 | 15.6.4 | 5.1.1 | 15.1.6 |
| 12.95 | 15.15.2 | 6.1.4 | 15.17.6 |
| 12.99 | 15.5.7 | 7.31 .2 | 15.7 |
| 12.99 | 15.16.10 | 8.17.2 | 15.6.6 |
| 12.103 | 15.2.6 | 8.24.1 | 15.17 |
| 12.104 | 15.2.7 | 8.27 .1 | 15.17 |
| 12.104 | 15.2.8 |  |  |
| 15.15-16 | 15.21.1 | GB |  |
| 13.25 | 15.1.1 | 1.3.11x | 15.14.9 |
| 14.6 | 15.1.3 |  |  |
| 16.1.14 | intr. | JB |  |
| 16.33 | 15.1.10 | 1.287 | 15.17.6 |
| 17.17 | 15.2.5 | 2.103 | 15.12.11 |
| 20.18 | 15.3.9 |  |  |
| 29.38 | 15.10.1 | PB |  |
| 29.39 | 15.10.2 | 1.5.18 | 15.21 .5 |


| 6.8.7 | 15.8.7 | 4.12 .2 g | 15.1.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13.3.12 | 15.7.1 | 4.12 .2 i | 15.2.1 |
| 13.9.27 | 15.7.1 | 4.12.2h | 15.2.2 |
| 20.3.2 | 15.15.8 | 4.12.2j | 15.2.3 |
| 22.11 .2 | 15.22.2 | 4.12.2ij | 15.2.4 |
| ŚB |  | BaudhŚS |  |
| 1.4.1.1 | 15.8 .7 | 11.6: 72.8 | 15.10 .8 |
| 1.4.1.30 | 15.10.8 |  |  |
| 2.2.4.12 | 15.8 .7 | HirGS |  |
| 2.3.4.19 | 15.5.1 | 1.3.5 | 15.5.1 |
| 4.1.4.5 | 15.7 | 1.4.1 | 15.5.7 |
| 5.4.3.14 | 15.10.6 | 1.4.2 | 15.6.1 |
| 11.4.3.17 | 15.8.2 | 1.4.2 | 15.6.2 |
| 13.2.3.2 | 15.8.7 | 1.7.17 | 15.6.9 |
| TB |  | KauśS |  |
| 1.1.7 | 15.8.2 | 3.1 | 15.18.4 |
| 1.2.1 | 15.5.1 | 12.6 | 15.15 .5 |
| 2.7.14 | 15.15.8 | 12.7 | 15.15 .5 |
| 1.3.61 | 15.11.6 | 43.3 | 15.15 .5 |
| 3.2.1 | 15.7.3 | 38.8-10 | 15.22 |
| 27.1.2 | 15.7 | 47.16 | 15.3.4 |
|  |  | 51.8 | 15.16.8 |
| Āraṇyakas |  | 59.19 | 15.11.1 |
| TĀ |  | 131.2 | 15.21.3 |
| 2.5.1 | 15.5.1 |  |  |
| 6.10.1 | 15.5.1 | KātyŚS |  |
|  |  | 15.6.28 | 15.10 .8 |
| Sūtras |  |  |  |
| ĀpŚS |  | LāṭŚS |  |
| 6.27 .5 | 15.20.2 | 3.3.1 | 15.20 .2 |
| ĀśvŚS |  | PārGS |  |
| 2.10.4 | 15.5.1 | 3.14 | 15.23.11 |
| 4.12.2a | 15.1.1 |  |  |
| 4.12.2ab | 15.1.2 | ŚāṅkhGS |  |
| 4.12.2b | 15.1.3 | 1.25 | 15.5.1 |
| 4.12 .2 bc | 15.1.4 | 1.13.12b | 15.5.7 |
| 4.12 .2 cd | 15.1.5 | 3.7 | 15.20 .2 |
| 4.12 .2 d | 15.1.6 |  |  |
| 4.12 .2 e | 15.1.7 | ŚāṅkhŚS |  |
| 4.12 .2 f | 15.1.8 | 4.10.1 | 15.7 |
| 4.12 .2 fg | 15.1.9 |  |  |

## VaitS

| 7.22 | 15.14 .9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25.2 | 15.11 .1 |


| ĀpMP |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1.6 .3 | 15.6 .4 |
| 2.2 .1 | 15.5 .1 |
| 2.2 .2 | 15.5 .7 |
| 2.2 .3 | 15.5 .5 |
| 2.2 .3 | 15.5 .6 |
| 2.2 .4 | 15.5 .6 |
| 2.2 .6 | 15.6 .1 |
| 2.2 .7 | 15.6 .2 |
| 2.2 .8 | 15.6 .3 |
| 2.4 .1 | 15.6 .4 |
| 2.4 .2 | 15.5 .3 |
| 2.4 .4 | 15.5 .4 |
| 2.6 .15 | 15.6 .9 |

## AVPariś

2.4.1-5 intr.
$4.1 \quad 15.5$
37.1.10 15.3.4
45.2.9 $\quad 15.14 .9$

## AthPrāy

$6.5 \quad$ 15.1.1

## AVŚānt

$16.1 \quad 15.3$
$17.5 \quad 15.3$
$19.7 \quad 15.3$
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## Samenvatting

Het doel van dit proefschrift is om een kritische editie te presenteren van kāṇạa 15 van de Paippalādasam்hitā (PS) van de Atharvaveda.

Het werk is verdeeld in twee delen: een inleiding en de kritische editie zelf.
De inleiding behandelt eerst onderwerpen die betrekking hebben op de overlevering van de tekst in de zeven manuscripten die vergeleken worden voor de editie. Na de beschrijving van de manuscripten en het onderzoek naar hun onderlinge relaties richt ik me op het probleem van orthografie en spelling in de geschreven bronnen.

De ordening van de hymnen in PS 15 en een bespreking van hun inhoud zijn de onderwerpen die in de volgende sectie aan de orde komen. Daarna volgt de uitleg van de wijze waarop de tekst gepresenteerd wordt en de criteria volgens welke het kritisch apparaat is opgesteld.

Tenslotte worden de methodologie en de resultaten van de metrische analyse onderzocht.
De kritische editie van kāṇ̣a 15 volgt hetzelfde patroon voor elk van de 23 hymnen die de kāṇ̣̣a vormen. Elke hymne krijgt een onderschrift dat tracht de globale strekking van de hymne voor te stellen. Verdere uitwerkingen worden voorzien in het inleidende commentaar dat elke hymne voorafgaat.

Tekst, vertaling, een positief kritisch apparaat, parallelle passages en commentaar volgen daarop, in die volgorde, strofe voor strofe gerangschikt. Het commentaar behandelt filologische, grammaticale, metrische en lexicale problemen, alsmede de interpretatie van de tekst zelf.

Elke strofe is voorzien van een kop met daarin vetgedrukt het nummer van de strofe, samen met een lijst van parallelle passages. Elke pāda wordt gevolgd door een symbool dat de metrische structuur aangeeft.

Aan het einde van het werk, na een lijst met afkortingen en de literatuurlijst, wordt de dissertatie voltooid met een Index Verborum en een Index Locorum.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See, e.g., Bhattacharyya 1964: IX ff., Bhattacharya 1997: IX ff., Witzel 1985a and 1985b, Griffiths 2009: XXV-XX. A concise but useful survey can be found in FrANCESCHINI 2012, who discusses the main theories about the medieval transmission of the PS.
    ${ }^{2}$ BHATTACHARYA 1997, 2008, 2011.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ On the script, punctuation, orthography and sandhi of this manuscript, see GRIFFITHS 2009: XXII-XXIV.
    ${ }^{4}$ On other late copies of $\mathbf{K}$, see WITZEL 1973-1976.
    ${ }^{5}$ On the script, punctuation, orthography and sandhi of the Orissa manuscripts, see Griffiths 2009: XXVIIXXXIV.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ Note that the Orissa manuscripts have been quoted in the critical apparatus according to their provenance: manuscripts from central Orissa come first, then those from northern Orissa.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ The notation $a>\bar{a}$ is to be read: instead of $a$, the manuscripts read $\bar{a}$. The siglum Or stands for one, more than one, or even all the Orissa manuscripts.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ The confusion of the sibilants is one of the most common mistakes, both in $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{O r}$. If a sibilant is mixed up with another sibilant, I specify the phonetic context of the mistake, because there seems to be a higher frequency of this mistake in the context before $i / y$ (see Kulikov 2009: 142, with ft . 3). The examples culled from kāṇ̣a 15, however, are not conclusive in this respect.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ As regards the initial ch- in $\mathbf{K}$, it must be noted that stanza 8.10 is not found in $\mathbf{K}$ and that at $19.3 \mathrm{~b} \mathbf{K}$ reads sainstead of cha-. The initial ch- of chandasām at 2.2 c is an exception, probably due to the sandhi with the preceding word ( $\mathbf{K}$ reads pañktis chandasām for pañktiś chandasām).

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ This is not the only spelling for intervocalic $d h$ in $\mathbf{K}$. As noted by Griffiths 2009: LXX n. 110, in the three occurences of this sound in PS 6-7 K twice writes $d h$ and once $l h$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ See Griffiths 2009: LXXIV-LXXXVI.

[^8]:    ${ }^{12}$ On this sign and its meaning, cf. GRIFFITHS 2009: XXXII.

[^9]:    ${ }^{13}$ Tsuchiyama (2007) dedicated a paper to the notion of royal power (rāstrá-) in the time of the Atharvaveda, in which he discussed several relevant hymns from PS 10.

[^10]:    ${ }^{14}$ The titles are quoted from Zehnder 1993 (PS 1) and 1999 (PS 2), Lubotsky 2002 (PS 5), Griffiths 2009 (PS 6-7) and LOPEZ 2010 (PS 13-14).
    ${ }^{15}$ Cf. AVPariś 2.4.1-5, where the office of purohita is specifically assigned to the Paippaladins: paippalādaim guru்̇ kuryāc chrīrāṣtrārogyavardhanam | tathā śaunakinà̇̀ vāpi vedamantravipaścitam | rāṣtrasya $v_{0}$ rddhikartāraì dhanadhānyādibhiḥ sadā| ātharvaṇād rite nānyo niyojyo 'tharvavid guruḥ| nrpeṇa jayakāmena nirmito 'gnir ivādhvare "He [viz. the king] should appoint a Paippalāda[-Atharvavedin] as his priest, for he will increase his wealth, realm, and health, or a Saunakin[-Atharvavedin] who is learned in the Mantras of [his] Veda. For he will cause the kingdom always to prosper in wealth, crops and the like. A king who desires to prevail over his enemies should appoint no expert in the Atharvaveda as his priest other than an Atharvavedin. For [the Atharvavedin royal priest] has been created [as an element vital to his rule], just as the fire [is vital] to the Vedic sacrifice [...]".

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ Rudra is often called marútvān 'accompanied/attended by the Maruts' (e.g. at RoV 1.114.11b, 2.33.6a etc.). On the relationship between Rudra and the Maruts, see MACDONELL 1897: 74 ff . and FalK 1986: 63 ff .

[^12]:    ${ }^{17}$ In the ŚS, six hymns are entirely devoted to Rudra (3.16, 4.28, 6.90, 7.42, 7.87, 11.2).
    ${ }^{18}$ For a critical edition and translation of the Nīlarudropaniṣad, see LUBin 2007.
    ${ }^{19}$ On the Vrātya problem, see Pontillo - Bignami - Dore - Mucciarelli forthc., with exhaustive literature.

[^13]:    ${ }^{20}$ On laryngeal theory as applied to the study of Vedic metre, see KuryŁowicz 1927, 1928, Polomé 1972, MAYRHOFER 1981, LINDEMAN 1987, JAMISON 1988, GIPPERT 1997, 1999, Lubotsky 1995b, 2000, GUNKEL 2010: 99-133, KÜMmEL 2013. On the role of the accentuation in the technique of Vedic versification, see LUbotsky 1995a. On the use and preservation of poetic formulas and their interference with the metrical rhythm of the verse, see Korn 1998, LUBOTSKY 2004b, LINDQVIST 2011. On the comparative evidence, see Korn: 1998: 22-23, 2529 (with literature). Cf. also Westrhal 1860: 449-458, Geldner 1877: i-xv, Bartholomae 1886: 1-31, Oldenberg 1888: 43 ff., KuryŁowicZ 1952: 438 ff., NAGY 1974, Vine 1977, 1990.
    ${ }^{21}$ INSLER 1998: 5.
    ${ }^{22}$ Ibid.

[^14]:    ${ }^{23}$ See BARRET 1905-1940, whose attempt to edit the PS from the Kashmirian manuscript and by comparison with the ŚS was doomed to failure. It is also worth mentioning Whitney's notes to his translation of the ŚS, as he quoted the PS version of several mantras and pādas, also commenting on the metre, but the comparison was almost always fruitless.
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