

Herschel[★] Water Maps towards the vicinity of the black hole Sgr A^{*}

J. Armijos-Abendaño^{1,2}, J. Martín-Pintado², M. A. Requena-Torres^{3,4}, E. González-Alfonso⁵, R. Güsten⁴, A. Weiß⁴, A. I. Harris³, F. P. Israel⁶, C. Kramer⁷, J. Stutzki⁸, and P. van der Werf⁶¹ Observatorio Astronómico de Quito, Escuela Politécnica Nacional, Av. Gran Colombia S/N, Interior del Parque La Alameda, 170136, Quito, Ecuador² Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC, INTA), Ctra a Ajalvir, km 4, 28850, Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain³ Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA⁴ Max-Planck Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany⁵ Universidad de Alcalá de Henares, Departamento de Física, Campus Universitario, E-28871 Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain⁶ Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands⁷ IRAM, Avenida Divina Pastora 7, 18012 Granada, Spain⁸ KOSMA, I. Physikalisches Institut der Universität zu Köln, Zùlpicher Strasse 77, 50937 Köln, Germany

Received September 01, 2017; accepted January 15, 2018

ABSTRACT

Aims. We study the spatial distribution and kinematics of water emission in a $\sim 8 \times 8$ pc² region of the Galactic Center (GC) that covers the main molecular features around the supermassive black hole Sagittarius A^{*} (Sgr A^{*}). We also analyze the water excitation to derive the physical conditions and water abundances in the Circumnuclear Disk (CND) and the “quiescent clouds”.

Methods. We presented the integrated line intensity maps of the ortho $1_{10} - 1_{01}$, and para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ and $1_{11} - 0_{00}$ water transitions observed using the OTF mapping mode with the HIFI instrument on board *Herschel*. To study the water excitation we used HIFI observations of the ground state ortho and para H₂¹⁸O transitions towards three selected positions in the vicinity of Sgr A^{*}. In our study, we also used dust continuum measurements of the CND, obtained with the SPIRE instrument. Using a non-LTE radiative transfer code, the water line profiles and dust continuum were modeled, deriving H₂O abundances ($X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$), turbulent velocities (V_t) and dust temperatures (T_d). We also used a rotating ring model to reproduce the CND kinematics represented by the Position Velocity (PV) diagram derived from para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ H₂O lines.

Results. In our H₂O maps we identify the emission associated with known features around Sgr A^{*}: CND, the Western Streamer, and the 20 and 50 km s⁻¹ clouds. The ground-state ortho water maps show absorption structures in the velocity range of [-220, 10] km s⁻¹ associated with foreground sources. The PV diagram reveals that the $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ H₂O emission traces the CND also observed in other high-dipole molecules such as SiO, HCN and CN. Using the non-LTE code, we derive high $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of $\sim (0.1-1.3) \times 10^{-5}$, V_t of 14–23 km s⁻¹ and T_d of 15–45 K for the CND, and the lower $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of 4×10^{-8} and V_t of 9 km s⁻¹ for the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud. Collisional excitation and dust effects are responsible for the water excitation in the southwest lobe of the CND and the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud, whereas only collisions can account for the water excitation in the northeast lobe of the CND. We propose that the water vapor in the CND is produced by grain sputtering by shocks of 10–20 km s⁻¹, with some contribution of high temperature and cosmic-ray chemistries plus a PDR chemistry, whereas the low $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ derived for the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud could be partially a consequence of the water freeze-out on grains.

Key words. Galaxy: nucleus – ISM: molecules – ISM: abundances

1. Introduction

1.1. Main features within 8 pc around Sgr A^{*}

The Galactic Center (GC) has been the subject of many multifrequency studies due to the large variety of processes taking place in this special region of the Galaxy. The GC interstellar medium (ISM) is affected by high energy phenomena (Koyama et al. 1996; Wang et al. 2002; Terrier et al. 2010; Ponti et al. 2010), large scale shocks (Martín-Pintado et al. 2001) and star formation (Gaume et al. 1995; De Pree et al. 1998; Blum et al. 2001; Paumard et al. 2006). The main GC molecular clouds within the $\sim 200 \times 200$ arcsec² region ($\sim 8 \times 8$ pc² at a GC distance of 7.9 kpc (Boehle et al. 2016)) around the supermassive black hole Sgr A^{*} are sketched in Fig. 1. Sgr A^{*} is surrounded by Sgr A West, that

is composed of three ionized filaments: the Northern, Eastern and Southern Arms (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 1993). These filaments could be streamers of ionized gas feeding Sgr A^{*} (Zhao et al. 2009).

Sgr A West is surrounded by an inclined and clumpy Circumnuclear Disk (CND) of gas and dust, which has inner and outer edges around 2 and 5 pc, respectively. It has an inclination around 63° and rotates with a constant velocity of 110 km s⁻¹ (Güsten et al. 1987). Using the CO(7–6) emission, Harris et al. (1985) derived an H₂ density of $\sim 3 \times 10^4$ cm⁻³ and a temperature of ~ 300 K for the CND. For this source, Oka et al. (2011) derived H₂ masses of $\approx (2.3-5.2) \times 10^5$ and $\approx 5.7 \times 10^6$ M_⊙ based on ¹³CO(1–0) intensity measurements and virial assumptions, respectively. Considering the discrepancy in the H₂ mass estimates found by Oka et al. (2011), Ferrière (2012) disregarded the virial H₂ mass estimates for the CND, and derived an H₂ mass of 2×10^5 M_⊙ for this source based on measurements of ¹²CO and ¹³CO ground-level transitions. The CO excitation in

[★] *Herschel* is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.

the CNL has been studied by Requena-Torres et al. (2012) utilizing a large velocity gradient (LVG) model. They derived temperatures of ~ 200 K and H_2 densities of $\sim 3.2 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for the bulk of the CNL material, confirming its transient nature. This was also confirmed with dense gas tracers as HCN and HCO^+ using the APEX telescope (Mills et al. 2013).

The inner central parsec around the black hole known as the central cavity has been characterized by a hot CO gas component with a temperature around $10^{3.1}$ K and a H_2 density $\lesssim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ or with multiple cooler components ($\lesssim 300$ K) at higher densities (Goicoechea et al. 2013). UV radiation and shocks could heat this molecular gas if there is a small filling factor of clumps/clouds (Goicoechea et al. 2013). A recent study showed the presence of a high positive-velocity gas in the central cavity with temperatures from 400 K to 2000 K and H_2 densities of $(0.2-1.0) \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Goicoechea et al. 2018b).

A few parsecs from Sgr A* there are two giant molecular clouds, the 20 and 50 km s^{-1} clouds. Zylka et al. (1990) characterized the 20 km s^{-1} cloud as a $\sim 15 \text{ pc} \times (7.5 \text{ pc})^2$ ellipsoid and the 50 km s^{-1} cloud as having a size around 15 pc. These two clouds seem to be connected by a ridge of gas and dust, the Molecular Ridge (Ho et al. 1991). Maeda et al. (2002) proposed however that the Molecular Ridge is part of the 50 km s^{-1} cloud that has been compressed by the forward shock of an expanding shell of synchrotron emission, the Sgr A East supernova remnant (SNR).

It has been proposed that Sgr A East is located behind Sgr A* and the CNL (Coil & Ho 2000), while the 20 km s^{-1} cloud lies in front of Sgr A*, the CNL and Sgr A East (Herrnstein & Ho 2005; Park et al. 2004; Coil & Ho 2000). It is also thought that part of the 50 km s^{-1} cloud lies behind Sgr A East (Ferrière 2012), and a long and filamentary structure of gas and dust known as the Western Streamer borders the western edge of Sgr A East. Based on NH_3 images, Herrnstein & Ho (2002) proposed that the expanding shell of Sgr A East is impacting the 50 km s^{-1} cloud in the west and the Western Streamer in the east.

The Northern Ridge is a cloud that lies along the northern boundary of Sgr A East (Ferrière 2012). Using NH_3 images, McGary et al. (2001) suggested that many filamentary features like the Northern Ridge are connecting the CNL with the 50 km s^{-1} cloud, indicating that the clouds are most likely feeding the nucleus of the Galaxy. However, Ferrière (2012) proposed that the Western Streamer and the Northern Ridge could be made of material swept-up by the expansion of Sgr A East.

Southwest from the 50 km s^{-1} cloud, Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011) observed SiO(2–1) emission of an isolated molecular cloud called Cloud A. They also found high HNC abundances in the 20 and 50 km s^{-1} clouds and the lowest HNC abundances in the CNL, whereas SiO showed high abundances towards both clouds and the CNL. Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011) proposed that the HNC in the CNL is being photodissociated by UV radiation from the central parsec star cluster (Morris & Serabyn 1996). In the CNL the SiO seems to be more resistant against UV-photons and/or is being produced very efficiently by the destruction of the grain cores due to strong shocks (Amo-Baladrón et al. 2011).

1.2. Water in the Galactic Center

Water emission has been observed with high angular resolution towards the GC mainly through its maser emission. The 22 GHz line is almost exclusively seen as a maser. Yusef-Zadeh et al. (1995) found four masers of $6_{16}-5_{23} H_2O$ at 22 GHz within the inner 12 pc of the GC, one of them likely associated with a high-




Fig. 1. Sketch of the main features within the $200 \times 200 \text{ arcsec}^2$ region around the supermassive black hole Sgr A* shown by a star. The features indicated in gray correspond to mostly the molecular components. The Sgr A East SNR (black ellipse) and Sgr A West (black minispiral) are shown as well. The ionized Northern, Eastern and Southern arms of Sgr A West are indicated. The big circle and ellipse represent the 50 and 20 km s^{-1} clouds, respectively. Both clouds seem to be connected by the Molecular Ridge (the curved streamer). The Western Streamer and the Northern Ridge are also shown.

mass star-forming region and located at the boundary between Sgr A East and the 50 km s^{-1} cloud. Using VLA observations Sjouwerman et al. (2002) detected eight 22 GHz H_2O masers in the 20 km s^{-1} cloud. Also, 22 GHz H_2O masers have been found in the CNL (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2008).

At low spatial resolution, using SWAS, Neufeld et al. (2003) observed widespread emission and absorption of the ortho $1_{10} - 1_{01} H_2O$ transition at 556.936 GHz in the strong submillimeter continuum source Sgr B2. Furthermore, utilizing the Odin satellite Sandqvist et al. (2003) observed the ortho $1_{10}-1_{01} H_2O$ emission towards the CNL and the 20 and 50 km s^{-1} clouds. They found ortho $1_{10} - 1_{01} H_2O$ absorption features at negative velocities associated with the Local Sgr, -30 km s^{-1} , the 3-kpc Galactic arms and the near side of the Molecular Ring surrounding the GC. These absorption features are also detected in the $1_{11} - 0_{00} H_2O$ spectra observed towards the 20 and 50 km s^{-1} clouds by Sonnentrucker et al. (2013). The water abundance of 5×10^{-8} is derived for foreground clouds located in the 3-kpc Galactic arm, while water abundances higher than 1.5×10^{-7} are measured for gas components with velocities $\leq 85 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ located within the 200 pc region of Sgr A. Shocks or turbulent dissipation are proposed as the most likely mechanisms responsible for the origin of water in the Sgr A gas components with velocities $\leq 85 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Sonnentrucker et al. 2013).

Rotational excited and ground-state absorption lines of water are detected towards the central cavity, containing a hot molecular component heated by UV photons and shocks if there is a small filling factor of dense clumps/clouds (Goicoechea et al. 2013). The central cavity shows a high positive-velocity wing in the $1_{10}-1_{01} H_2O$ line, reaching velocities up to $+270 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Goicoechea et al. 2018b). The water in this region is thought to be originated in gas with elevated temperatures via gas-phase routes.

So far the H_2O emission/absorption distribution around Sgr A* has not been studied. The GC offers an unique opportunity to study the excitation and origin of water in gas phase in typical GC clouds surrounding a supermassive black hole. As part of

Table 1. Source positions

Position	α (J2000)	δ (J2000)
CND ₁	17 ^h 45 ^m 38 ^s .43	-29°00′58″.10
CND ₂	17 ^h 45 ^m 41 ^s .85	-28°59′48″.20
20 km s ⁻¹ cloud	17 ^h 45 ^m 39 ^s .95	-29°03′10″.00

the *Herschel* EXtraGALactic (HEXGAL) guaranteed time program we mapped an area of $\sim 47 \times 47$ pc² around Sgr A* in four H₂O lines (557, 988, 1113 and 1670 GHz) in order to study the spatial distribution of the water and its kinematics in the vicinity of Sgr A*. In this paper we have focused in the study of only an area of $\sim 8 \times 8$ pc² around Sgr A*. Furthermore, single position observations of ortho 1₁₀ – 1₀₁ and para 1₁₁ – 0₀₀ H₂¹⁸O transitions were observed as well, with the aim of better constraining the column density of water in this very complex region. In Sec. 2 we present our observations. Maps and spectra of H₂O are presented in Sec. 3. In Sec. 4, we study the kinematics of water in the surroundings of Sgr A*. The modeling of water and dust continuum emission using a non-LTE radiative transfer code and our results are described in Sec. 5. In Sec. 6, we discuss the excitation and chemistry/heating of water. Finally, we present the conclusions in Sec. 7.

2. Observations

The data were taken with the HIFI instrument (de Graauw et al. 2010) on board the *Herschel* Space Observatory. Fig. 2 shows the energy level diagram of the observed H₂O transitions. We performed mapping observations of the ortho 556.936 GHz (557 GHz) 1₁₀ – 1₀₁, para 987.927 GHz (988 GHz) 2₀₂ – 1₁₁, and para 1113.343 GHz (1113 GHz) 1₁₁ – 0₀₀ transitions of H₂O. We mapped an area of $\sim 47 \times 47$ pc² around Sgr A* using the OTF (On the Fly) observing mode, but in this paper we have focused on a region of a $\sim 8 \times 8$ pc² centered at the position of the radio source Sgr A* ($(\alpha, \delta)_{J2000} = 17^{\text{h}}45^{\text{m}}40^{\text{s}}.031, -29^{\circ}00'28''.58$). We have also obtained OTF data of the ortho 1669.904 GHz (1670 GHz) 2₁₂ – 1₀₁ H₂O transition, but due to the sensitivity only spectra for three selected positions around Sgr A* (see Table 1 and Fig. 3 for their positions) have been extracted in order to study the water excitation. Since the emission from the GC is very extended, the OTF maps were observed in position switching mode, with the reference observed towards the position $\alpha = 17^{\text{h}}46^{\text{m}}10^{\text{s}}.42, \delta = -29^{\circ}07'08''.04$ (J2000).

We have also obtained single position observations of the ortho 547.676 (548 GHz) 1₁₀–1₀₁ and para 1101.698 (1102 GHz) 1₁₁–0₀₀ H₂¹⁸O transitions towards the three selected positions in the vicinity of Sgr A*, where the 2₁₂–1₀₁ H₂O spectra was extracted from the data cube. Table 2 lists the bands of the HIFI instrument where the H₂O and H₂¹⁸O transitions were observed. The two CND positions, CND₁ and CND₂, were observed in the southwest and northeast lobes, respectively, of the CND (see Fig. 6), and the third single position was observed towards the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud. The reference position of the single position observations was the same as in the OTF observations. The observation dates and IDs of the OTF and single position observations are given in Table 2.

The raw data were processed using the version 8 of the HIPE¹ pipeline to a level 2 product. The baseline subtraction




Fig. 2. Energy level diagram of ortho and para H₂O. The observed ortho and para H₂O transitions are shown with arrows. The frequencies of the observed H₂O transitions also are indicated.

and gridding were done using the GILDAS software package². The data were calibrated using hot/cold black body measurements. The intensity scale is the main beam brightness temperature (T_{mb}), obtained using the standard main beam efficiencies (η_{mb}), $\eta_{\text{mb}} = 0.75$ for the 1₁₀ – 1₀₁ H₂O and H₂¹⁸O transitions, $\eta_{\text{mb}} = 0.74$ for the 2₀₂ – 1₁₁ H₂O, 1₁₁ – 0₀₀ H₂O and H₂¹⁸O transitions, and $\eta_{\text{mb}} = 0.71$ for the 2₁₂ – 1₀₁ H₂O transition. We have resampled all spectra to a velocity resolution of 5 km s⁻¹ appropriate for the linewidths around 20–100 km s⁻¹ observed in GC sources. The half-power beam width (HPBW) at the observed H₂O and H₂¹⁸O frequencies are listed in Table 2. In our study we have also used SPIRE (Griffin et al. 2010) spectra observed with the SPIRE Short Wavelength (SSW) Spectrometer in February 2011. The SPIRE data (the observation ID is 1342214842) were also processed utilizing the HIPE (version 8) pipeline to Level 2.

3. Maps and spectra of H₂O towards the 64 pc² region around Sgr A*

The central panels in Fig. 3 show the integrated intensity maps of the three H₂O transitions at 557, 988 and 1113 GHz. The three maps were obtained by integrating over the velocity range between -180 km s⁻¹ and +140 km s⁻¹. In Fig. 3 we observe H₂O emission/absorption features in the 557 and 1113 GHz maps, whereas only emission dominates the 988 GHz map. Unfortunately, the H₂O map at 1113 GHz is affected by striping along the scanning direction due to standing waves, which originate from the Local Oscillator feed horns of HIFI as described in the HIFI handbook³. Our 1113 GHz data were affected by standing waves as the 1113 GHz water transition falls at the edge of the mixer band 4, where the standing waves are more prominent (see Sec. 5.3 in the HIFI handbook). We have not been able to remove these standing waves in our data with our baseline subtraction and even applying the methods recommended in the HIFI data reduction guide⁴. Average spectra at 1113 GHz with standing waves (with amplitudes around 0.15 K) are shown in

² <http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS>

³ http://herschel.esac.esa.int/twiki/pub/HSC/HiFi/hifi_handbook.pdf

⁴ http://herschel.esac.esa.in/hcss-doc-15.0/load/hifi_um/html/hifi_um.html

¹ HIPE is a joint development by the *Herschel* Science Ground Segment Consortium, consisting of ESA, the NASA *Herschel* Science Center, and the HIFI, PACS and SPIRE consortia.

Table 2. H₂O and H₂¹⁸O observations

Species	Frequency (GHz)	Observation date	Observation IDs	HIFI band	HPBW (")
ortho-H ₂ ¹⁸ O	548	September 2010	1342205521, 1342205522, 1342205523	1	39
ortho-H ₂ O	557	October 2010	1342205305, 1342206366, 1342206367	1	38
para-H ₂ O	988	March 2011	1342216817, 1342216818, 1342216819	4	22
para-H ₂ O	1113	October 2010	1342206392, 1342206393, 1342206394	4	19
para-H ₂ ¹⁸ O	1102	October 2010	1342206389, 1342206390, 1342206391	4	19
ortho-H ₂ O	1670	February 2011	1342214462, 1342214463, 1342214464	6	13

Fig. A.1 (Appendix A), which have been extracted over parallelograms 1 and 2 drawn in the 1113 GHz H₂O map shown in Fig. 3.

To study the physical conditions and the chemical composition of GC features, Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011) used 7 representative positions selected from their SiO(2–1) emission maps. The positions are associated with the following features: 1) the southwest CND (this position also covers the northern part of the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud), 2) the northwestern CND, 3) the northeastern CND (this position also covers the 50 km s⁻¹ cloud and the northern Ridge), 4) the 50 km s⁻¹ cloud (this position also covers Cloud A), 5) the western streamer north, 6) the western streamer south, and 7) the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud. Fig. 3 also shows line profiles of ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁, and para 2₀₂–1₁₁ and 1₁₁–0₀₀ H₂O transitions extracted from the previous 7 positions. All spectra at the three frequencies were extracted from H₂O cubes convolved to the 38" beam of HIFI at 557 GHz.

To identify the emission/absorption from GC features in Fig. 4 we have shown the spatial distribution of the water emission/absorption in the ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ and para 2₀₂–1₁₁ H₂O transitions integrated over 10 velocity ranges. In this figure, para 1₁₁–0₀₀ H₂O maps are not shown as the data cube suffers from standing waves, causing striping in the 1113 H₂O maps. The 557 and 988 GHz maps are only slightly affected by striping (see Fig. 4). We have used the same velocity ranges in our velocity integrated intensity H₂O maps as those used by Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011). In Fig. 4 the black crosses show the same positions associated with GC features as in Fig. 3, where H₂O spectra were extracted from.

In Fig. 5 we have compared our ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ and para 2₀₂–1₁₁ H₂O maps with J=2–1 emission maps of the shock tracer SiO (Martín-Pintado et al. 1997), obtained by Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011). For the comparison, previously the 988 GHz H₂O and SiO(2–1) maps were convolved to the 38" beam of the ortho 557 GHz H₂O map.

3.1. Analysis of the H₂O spectra

We have noted in Fig. 3 that the ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ and para 1₁₁–0₀₀ H₂O lines are absorption-dominated in almost all positions, while the para 2₀₂–1₁₁ H₂O lines are emission-dominated in all positions. Most spectra from the ground state ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ and para 1₁₁–0₀₀ H₂O transitions reveal the presence of narrow absorption features at $V_{LSR}=0, -30, -55$ km s⁻¹, as well as a broad absorption feature at ~ -130 km s⁻¹. The absorption features at 0, -30 and -55 km s⁻¹ have been associated with the Local Sgr, -30 km s⁻¹ and 3-kpc Galactic Arms, respectively, and the broad absorption at -130 km s⁻¹ with the Molecular Ring located ~ 180 pc around the GC (Sandqvist et al. 2003).

The CND and the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud have been studied by Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011) using the emission from SiO, H¹³CO⁺, HN¹³C, HNCO, C¹⁸O and CS. Based on that study,

we expect that the water emission towards the CND₁ and CND₂ positions could be affected by water emission/absorption from the 20 and 50 km s⁻¹ clouds in the velocity ranges of $\sim [-10, +40]$ and $\sim [+10, +70]$ km s⁻¹, respectively, whereas the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud position is not expected to be affected by water emission/absorption from any positive-velocity source along this line of sight. These three positions are indicated in Fig. 3. In fact, we have seen in Fig 3 that the ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ and para 2₀₂–1₁₁ water line profiles of positions 2 and 3, which are close to our CND₂ position, reveal signs of contribution in the water emission from the 50 km s⁻¹ cloud. We have also noted in Fig. 3 that the water line profiles of position 1, that coincides with our CND₁ position, would be affected by water emission/absorption from the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud. Additionally, we have seen in Fig. 3 that the water line profiles of position 7, located around 57" northeast from our 20 km s⁻¹ cloud position, are not affected by water emission/absorption from other positive-velocity line of sight sources.

3.2. Analysis of the 1₁₀–1₀₁ H₂O emission/absorption distribution towards GC sources

As seen in Fig. 4, at 557 GHz absorption features are observed from -220 km s⁻¹ to 10 km s⁻¹. The emission at 557 GHz covers the velocity range $[-95, 130]$ km s⁻¹. The absorption features at 557 GHz within the velocity range of $[-220, +10]$ km s⁻¹ correspond to the Local Sgr, -30 km s⁻¹, 3-kpc Arms and the Molecular Ring. We have found that the ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ H₂O emission peaks in the extreme blue-shifted velocity range of $[-95, -20]$ km s⁻¹ in the southwest CND, as well as at the extreme red-shifted velocity range of $[+70, +130]$ km s⁻¹ in the northwestern and the northeastern CND. Ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ H₂O emission is not detected in Cloud A at the velocity range of $[-95, -50]$ km s⁻¹ due to likely the 3-kpc arm absorption (see spectra of position 4 in Fig. 3). In the velocity ranges of $[+25, +40]$ and $[+40, +70]$ km s⁻¹ we have detected ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ H₂O emission from the 20 and 50 km s⁻¹ clouds, respectively. We have also detected ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ H₂O emission towards the Western streamer south and Western streamer north in the velocity ranges of $[-50, -20]$ and $[+10, +25]$ km s⁻¹, respectively. Ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ H₂O emission is not detected in the Northern Ridge at the velocity range of $[-20, +10]$ km s⁻¹, probably due to the absorption at ~ 0 km s⁻¹ by the Local Sgr Arm (see spectra of position 3 in Fig. 3).

Moreover, Fig. 5 shows a very good agreement between the emission of SiO(2–1) and ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ H₂O in the CND, Western Streamer, and the 20 km s⁻¹ and 50 km s⁻¹ clouds.




Fig. 3. (Central panels) Velocity integrated intensity maps of H_2O at 557, 988 and 1113 GHz. The beam sizes are shown in the left corner of each map. The maps at the three frequencies were integrated over the velocity range of $[-180, +140]$ km s^{-1} . The first contour levels for the H_2O maps at 557 and 1113 GHz are at -3σ (black contour) for the absorption, 3σ (red contour) for the emission, but for the 988 GHz line is at 3σ (red contour) for the emission. The steps are of 4.5 K km s^{-1} (-4.5 K km s^{-1} for the absorption) at 557 GHz and 26.0 K km s^{-1} ($-26.0 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$ for the absorption) at 988 and 1113 GHz ($\sigma=4.1, 11.3$ and 9.6 K km s^{-1} at 557, 987 and 1113 GHz, respectively). Sgr A* is shown with a black star and it is the origin of the offsets. Black crosses and their numbers show the positions where spectra of the left and right panels were extracted. Every position is associated with a representative GC feature (see below). The three positions where the H_2^{18}O spectra were obtained are also shown with black circles on the 557 GHz water map and labeled as CND_1 , CND_2 and 20 km s^{-1} cloud (see Fig. 7); The H_2O and H_2^{18}O emission toward these positions are modeled in Sec. 5. The wedges to the right show the H_2O integrated intensity scale. The parallelograms 1 and 2 shown in the 1113 GHz H_2O map were used to extract the average spectra indicated in Fig. A.1, illustrating the baseline levels. (Left and Right panels) Spectra from 7 positions of the 557, 988 and of the 1113 GHz lines. Each spectrum was extracted from H_2O cubes convolved with the HIFI $38''$ beam of the 557 GHz line. The numbers in the upper left side of each subpanel show positions (indicated in the H_2O maps) associated with GC features. These features and their systemic velocities (indicated with dashed lines in the H_2O spectra) are: 1) the southwest CND (-70 km s^{-1} , this position also covers the northern part of the 20 km s^{-1} cloud), 2) the northwestern CND (75 km s^{-1}), 3) the northeastern CND (90 km s^{-1} , this position also covers the Northern Ridge with a velocity of -15 km s^{-1} and the 50 km s^{-1} cloud), 4) the 50 km s^{-1} cloud (this position also covers Cloud A with a velocity of -80 km s^{-1}), 5) the Western streamer north (30 km s^{-1}), 6) the Western streamer south (-20 km s^{-1}) and 7) the 20 km s^{-1} cloud. In the subpanel of position 7 we have also indicated with dashed lines the velocities of the absorption features associated with foreground sources (see Sec. 3).




Fig. 4. Integrated intensity maps of H₂O at 988 GHz (first row) and 557 GHz (second row). The velocity ranges are indicated at the top of each column. The first contour levels of H₂O (557 GHz) are at -3σ (blue contour) for the absorption, 3σ (white contour) for the emission in steps of 1.5 K km s^{-1} (-1.5 K km s^{-1} for the absorption, σ in the range $1.0\text{--}1.5 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$ for all velocity ranges). The first contour levels of H₂O (988 GHz) are at 3σ (white contour) for the emission in steps of 7 K km s^{-1} (σ in the range $2.0\text{--}3.5 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$ for all velocity ranges). The wedge above each panel shows the H₂O integrated intensity scale given in K km s^{-1} . The black star represents Sgr A* and the origin of the offsets in arcsec. Black crosses and their numbers show positions associated with GC sources labeled in the H₂O maps at 557 GHz. Spectra from those positions are shown in Fig. 3. Beam sizes ($38''$ at 557 GHz and $22''$ at 988 GHz) are shown in the left corner of the first column.




Fig. 5. Comparison between the SiO(2–1) maps (background images) obtained by Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011) and our H₂O maps at 988 GHz (first row) and 557 GHz (second row). The velocity ranges are indicated at the top of each column. The wedges at the top of the first row show the SiO(2–1) intensity gray scale in K km s^{-1} . H₂O contour levels (in blue and white for the absorption and emission, respectively) start at 3σ (-3σ) for the emission (absorption) at the two H₂O frequencies, and they increase in 5σ (-5σ) and 4σ steps at 557 and 988 GHz, respectively. The red star represents Sgr A* and origin of the offsets in arcsec. Crosses and their numbers show positions associated with GC sources labeled in the second row. H₂O spectra from those positions are shown in Fig. 3. The water and SiO(2–1) maps have the same beam size of $38''$ shown in the left corner of the first column.

3.3. Analysis of the $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ H_2O emission distribution towards GC sources

As mentioned above the para 988 GHz H_2O line only shows emission (see Fig. 3 and 4). This emission is concentrated in all previously mentioned GC features except in Cloud A and the Northern Ridge. Roughly the para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ H_2O emission exhibits a good correlation with the SiO(2–1) emission arising from GC sources in the vicinity of Sgr A* (see Fig. 5). In Fig. 6 we have compared the interferometric map of CN(2–1) (Martín et al. 2012) with the para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ H_2O emission map of the CN. Despite the difference in the spatial resolution between both maps, it can be clearly seen that there is an excellent correlation between the emission of the CN(2–1) and the para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ H_2O emission towards the southwest CN.

3.4. H_2O and $H_2^{18}O$ detection in selected GC positions

In Fig. 7 we show the $H_2^{18}O$ spectra, as well as the H_2O spectra extracted from the data cubes for the CN_{D1}, CN_{D2} and 20 km s⁻¹ cloud positions indicated in Fig. 3. We have detected emission of the ortho $1_{10}-1_{01}$ $H_2^{18}O$ transition towards the CN, but unfortunately this transition is blended with the $^{13}CH_3OH(1_{62} - 1_{61})$ line (this line is due to the HIFI double sideband) for the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud position. Moreover, emission/absorption of the para $1_{11}-0_{00}$ $H_2^{18}O$ transition for the three studied positions was not detected with our sensitivity. Other molecular spectral features were found in the spectra of ortho $1_{10}-1_{01}$ and para $1_{11}-0_{00}$ $H_2^{18}O$ transitions (see Fig. 7). Given our spectral sensitivity, the emission/absorption of the ortho $2_{12} - 1_{01}$ H_2O transition (unfortunately this transition falls in the edge of the observed band) was not detected towards the CN and 20 km s⁻¹ cloud positions, while the ortho $1_{10} - 1_{01}$, and para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ and $1_{11} - 0_{00}$ H_2O transitions reveal emission/absorption for the three studied positions. These H_2O and $H_2^{18}O$ spectra will be used in our study of the water excitation in Sec. 5.

4. Water Kinematics

We have studied the CN kinematics of water vapor using the para H_2O emission at 988 GHz since it is the least affected by absorption (see Fig. 3 and 4). This transition also exhibits significant emission arising from the Western Streamer, and the 20 and 50 km s⁻¹ clouds as seen in Fig. 4. To derive the kinematics we have selected $2_{20} - 1_{11}$ H_2O spectra (see Fig. 8) corresponding to the CN(2–1) and $H_2CO(3_{03} - 2_{20})$ emission peaks studied by Martín et al. (2012). They found that the CN(2–1) emission is an excellent tracer of the CN, while the $H_2CO(3_{03} - 2_{20})$ emission traces a shell-like structure where Sgr A East and both clouds seem to be interacting. Fig. 6 shows the selected positions for the kinematic study superimposed on the para $2_{20} - 1_{11}$ H_2O map. Positions 1–8 correspond to CN(2–1) emission peaks, while positions 9–12 correspond to $H_2CO(3_{03} - 2_{20})$ emission peaks. Gaussian fits to the water para $2_{20} - 1_{11}$ line profiles were performed (see Fig. 8) and the derived parameters are shown in Table 3. The LSR (Local Standard of Rest) velocities as a function of Position Angles (PA) are represented in Fig. 9. The PA is measured east from north centered on Sgr A*.

To describe the water kinematics from the CN, Fig. 9 shows the model prediction of the LSR velocities of a rotating ring model with an inclination of 75°, a position angle of 196° and a rotation velocity $v_{rot}/\sin i=115$ km s⁻¹ of our best fit for the CN components (triangles). Our derived inclination angle, PA and rotation velocity are slightly lower than those estimated




Fig. 6. Comparison between the integrated intensity maps of 988 GHz H_2O in gray and CN(2–1) (contours, Martín et al. (2012)). The integrated velocity range of the H_2O map is $[-180,140]$ km s⁻¹. The wedge at the right shows the H_2O intensity gray scale in K km s⁻¹. Crosses and their numbers show positions, where spectra used in the kinematic study were extracted over the 22'' beam. These positions correspond to selected CN(2–1) and $H_2CO(3_{30}-2_{20})$ emission peaks on the CN (Martín et al. 2012). The white star shows the position of Sgr A* and origin of the offsets. The HIFI beam of 22'' at 988 GHz is shown in the left corner. Contour levels of the CN map start at 3σ and increase in 4σ steps. 22'' blue circles show the CN_{D1} and CN_{D2} positions selected for our study of the water excitation (see Section 5). The CN_{D1} and CN_{D2} positions were observed towards the southwest and northeast lobes, respectively, of the CN (Requena-Torres et al. 2012).

by Martín et al. (2012) for the southwest lobe of the CN. Our derived three parameters are in agreement with those of rotating rings used to model the CN kinematics in Goicoechea et al. (2018a).

Limited by the *Herschel* spatial resolution, Fig. 9 shows the presence of 4 kinematically distinct structures around Sgr A*: the CN represented by filled triangles, the 50 km s⁻¹ cloud represented by open squares, the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud indicated by filled squares, and the Western Streamer represented by open circles. The 50 and 20 km s⁻¹ clouds and the Western Streamer are located on top of the CN (Martín et al. 2012). The presence of more than one velocity component towards position 3, 5, 7 and 12 can be clearly seen in the 988 GHz spectra shown in Fig. 8. The velocity components of -40 and 53 km s⁻¹ observed in position 3 are consistent with those arising from the southern and northern parts, respectively, of the Western Streamer (Amo-Baladrón et al. 2011). As shown in Fig. 9, there are three features not described by the rotating ring model, the 20 km s⁻¹ and 50 km s⁻¹ clouds and the Western Streamer. However, the 60 km s⁻¹ velocity component of position 7 could be associated with the CN rather than with the 50 km s⁻¹ cloud. Our result is consistent with that of Martín et al. (2012), who found kinematically distinct features in the vicinity of the CN using the CN(2–1) emission and indicating that the water emission traces both components, the CN and the clouds interacting with the SNR Sgr A East.




Fig. 7. Observational and simulated H_2O and H_2^{18}O line profiles. **(Left panel)** Observational spectra (black histograms) of 4 and 2 transitions of H_2O and H_2^{18}O , respectively, for the CND_1 position. The modeled water line profiles obtained using a two component model are shown with red histograms. We also show the $^{13}\text{CO}(10-9)$ line profile. **(Central panel)** Observational spectra (black histograms) of 4 and 2 transitions of H_2O and H_2^{18}O , respectively, for the CND_2 position. The modeled water line profiles obtained utilizing a two component model are shown with red histograms. $^{13}\text{CO}(10-9)$ and $\text{CS}(11-10)$ line profiles are also shown, but the $\text{CS}(11-10)$ line (near the $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}(1_{10} - 1_{01})$ line) appears as a consequence of the HIFI double sideband. **(Right panel)** Observational spectra (black histograms) of 4 and 2 transitions of H_2O and H_2^{18}O , respectively, for the 20 km s^{-1} cloud position. The modeled water line profiles are shown with red histograms. $^{13}\text{CO}(10-9)$, $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}(5-4)$, $^{13}\text{CO}(5-4)$, $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}(5_{15} - 4_{04})$, $\text{CH}(1_{-1,2} - 1_{1,1})$ and $\text{HCO}^+(6-5)$ spectral features are also shown, with the last three lines coming from the HIFI double sideband. The $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}(1_{10} - 1_{01})$ line is blended with the $^{13}\text{CH}_3\text{OH}(1_{62} - 1_{61})$ line (this line also is due to the HIFI double sideband).

5. Modeling the H_2O and H_2^{18}O spectra and the continuum using a non-local radiative transfer code

We have used a non-local radiative transfer code (González-Alfonso et al. 1997) to model the H_2O and H_2^{18}O line profiles observed with HIFI for the CND_1 , CND_2 and the 20 km s^{-1} cloud positions, as well as to predict the infrared continuum observed with SPIRE for both CND positions. The numerical code solves the non-LTE equations of statistical equilibrium and radiative transfer in spherical geometry with an assumed radius. The sphere is divided into a set of shells defined by the number of the radial grid points. The physical conditions (water

abundance $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, H_2 density n_{H_2} , kinetic temperature T_k , dust temperature T_d , microturbulent velocity V_t and dust-to-gas mass ratio D/G) are defined in each shell of the spherical cloud, and we have assumed uniform physical conditions for simplicity. The numerical code convolves the emerging H_2O line intensities and the predicted continuum flux is integrated over the source. We have modeled the dust continuum flux by assuming that the source size is equal to the beam size at $250 \mu\text{m}$. In addition to the collisional excitation, the code also accounts for radiative pumping through the dust emission, characterized by the T_d , the dust opacity τ_d (González-Alfonso et al. 2014) and the D/G .

We have run two component models to reproduce the H_2O and H_2^{18}O line profiles for both CND positions using two fixed




Fig. 8. Water $2_{02}-1_{11}$ line profiles (black histogram) observed towards 12 positions (indicated in Fig. 6) on the CND. Gaussian fits to the water lines are shown with a red line. To fit the water line profiles we have used a single Gaussian except in positions 3, 5, 7 and 12 (three Gaussians in position 3 and two Gaussians in positions 5, 7 and 12).

Table 3. Gaussian fit parameters of 998 GHz H_2O lines on selected positions of the CND.

Pos.	$\Delta\alpha, \Delta\delta^a$ (" , ")	Area $\pm\sigma$ (K km s $^{-1}$)	$V_{LSR}\pm\sigma$ (km s $^{-1}$)	$\Delta v_{1/2} \pm \sigma$ (km s $^{-1}$)	T_{mb} (K)
1	(-26,-35)	167 \pm 3	-64 \pm 1	80 ^b	2.0
2	(1,-34)	97 \pm 3	-55 \pm 1	54 \pm 2	1.7
3	(-23,-5)	34 \pm 3	-40 \pm 2	39 ^b	0.8
		32 \pm 3	5 ^b	39 ^b	0.8
		34 \pm 3	53 \pm 2	39 ^b	0.8
4	(25,-7)	62 \pm 3	-8 \pm 2	79 \pm 5	0.7
5	(12,13)	14 \pm 4	10 \pm 3	28 \pm 6	0.5
		35 \pm 4	59 \pm 2	45 \pm 6	0.7
6	(1,5)	30 \pm 4	58 \pm 3	44 \pm 7	0.7
7	(25,39)	15 \pm 2	60 ^b	30 ^b	0.5
		33 \pm 2	98 ^b	40 ^b	0.8
8	(-21,33)	37 \pm 3	62 \pm 2	45 \pm 4	0.8
9	(-3,45)	37 \pm 3	60 \pm 2	50 ^b	0.7
10	(46,20)	33 \pm 3	63 \pm 1	36 \pm 4	0.8
11	(46,-4)	15 \pm 4	57 \pm 3	29 \pm 12	0.5
12	(18,-34)	33 \pm 3	-40 \pm 2	40 ^b	0.8
		11 \pm 2	5 \pm 5	30 ^b	0.3

Notes. (a) Offsets relative to the Sgr A* position. (b) This value is written without errors as this parameter was fixed in the Gaussian fit.




Fig. 9. LSR velocities of 988 GHz H_2O lines for 12 positions on the CND (see Fig. 6) represented as function of the PA. Different symbols represent several sources in the H_2O map at 988 GHz: the CND (filled triangles), the 50 km s $^{-1}$ cloud (open squares), the 20 km s $^{-1}$ cloud (filled squares) and the Western Streamer (open circles). There are two velocity components in positions 5, 7 and 12, and three velocity components in position 3 (see text). The black dotted line represents our best fit of a rotating ring model to positions 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 and 12. The error bars correspond to LSR velocity errors in the Gaussian fits. Error bars overlap with some symbols. The 5 km s $^{-1}$ velocity component in position 3 and both velocity components in position 7 do not have error bars as the velocity was fixed in the Gaussian fit.

values of n_{H_2} and T_k inferred by Requena-Torres et al. (2012), who explained the CO excitation in the CND with two components, one with T_k of ~ 200 K and n_{H_2} of $\sim 3.2 \times 10^4$ cm $^{-3}$ for the low-excitation, and the second with warmer T_k of ~ 300 – 500 K and n_{H_2} densities of $\sim 2 \times 10^5$ cm $^{-3}$ for the high-excitation component. The two model components are run separately, and the output line profiles are combined at each position. The V_t , T_d and $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ were considered free parameters and were changed to fit the observed water line profiles, with the T_d giving an appropriate fit to both the continuum dust emission and the water line intensities of both CND positions.

For the modeling of the water line profiles for the 20 km s $^{-1}$ cloud position, we have considered a model with fixed n_{H_2} of 4×10^4 cm $^{-3}$ (Amo-Baladrón et al. 2011) and T_k of 100 K (Hüttemeier et al. 1993; Rodríguez-Fernández et al. 2001). We have adopted a T_d of 26 K for the 20 km s $^{-1}$ cloud position as a compromise value between the T_d inferred by Pierce-Price et al. (2000) and Rodríguez-Fernández et al. (2004) for the GC. The V_t and $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ were modified to fit the water lines for the 20 km s $^{-1}$ cloud position.

The V_t parameter was fixed in the modeling when a V_t value provided the best fit to the H_2O line widths. The adopted $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ were varied until a good match to the water line intensities observed with *Herschel*/HIFI was obtained. When we fix n_{H_2} and vary $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, the line intensities depend on the source size. Details on the physical parameters are discussed in Appendix B.

It is well known that microturbulent approaches for line formations yield self-absorbed line profiles for optically thick lines (e.g. Deguchi & Kwan (1982)), which is not observed in our data even for the very optically thick H_2O $1_{10}-1_{01}$ lines. In order to avoid such self-absorption, we have used a coarse grid such

Table 4. The lowest χ^2 values for two component model fits to the H₂O line intensities

CND ₁ position				χ^2	CND ₂ position				χ^2
low-density component		high-density component			low-density component		high-density component		
X _{H₂O} ^{ortho} ($\times 10^{-6}$)	T _d (K)	X _{H₂O} ^{ortho} ($\times 10^{-6}$)	T _d (K)		X _{H₂O} ^{ortho} ($\times 10^{-6}$)	T _d (K)	X _{H₂O} ^{ortho} ($\times 10^{-6}$)	T _d (K)	
3	15	30	30	13.0	1^a	15^a	10^a	25^a	11.5
3	20	30	30	11.2	1	20	10	25	12.5
3	25	30	30	10.7	1	25	10	25	16.1
3	30	30	30	10.4	3	30	0.1	25	13.1
5	35	10	30	10.1	3	35	0.1	25	7.2
7	40	5	30	6.6	3	40	0.1	25	6.5
7^a	45^a	5^a	30^a	4.4	3	45	0.3	25	12.5
9	50	0.7	30	4.4	3	50	0.3	25	24.9
9	55	0.5	30	7.9	3	55	0.5	25	43.1

Notes. ^(a) Values that provide the best fits to both the continuum dust emission and the water line intensities.

Table 5. Parameters of the models for the three selected positions in the vicinity of Sgr A*

Position	Com. ^a	D ^{b,c} (kpc)	D/G ratio ^c (%)	source radius ^d (pc)	log n _{H₂} ^{c,d} (cm ⁻³)	T _k ^{c,d} (K)	O/P ^e	¹⁶ O/ ¹⁸ O ratio ^e	X _{H₂O} ^e ($\times 10^{-6}$)	V _t ^e (km s ⁻¹)	T _d ^e (K)	log τ_d ^f	log N _{H₂} ^g (cm ⁻²)	log N _{H₂O} ^h (cm ⁻²)
CND ₁	1	7.9	1	0.31	4.5	200	3	250	9.3 ^{+3.7} _{-0.5}	23±3	45 ⁺⁶ ₋₃	-2.1 ^{+0.04} _{-0.07}	22.48 ^{+0.02} _{-0.09}	17.45 ^{+0.15} _{-0.09}
	2	7.9	1	0.08	5.2	500	3	250	6.7 ^{+2.0} _{-0.2}	23±3	30 ⁺³ ₋₃	-2.0 ^{+0.04} _{-0.07}	22.59 ^{+0.01} _{-0.01}	17.42 ^{+0.11} _{-0.11}
CND ₂	1	7.9	1	0.32	4.5	175	3	250	1.3 ^{+0.2} _{-0.1}	14±3	15 ⁺¹³ ₋₃	-2.1 ^{+0.04} _{-0.07}	22.50 ^{+0.00} _{-0.11}	16.62 ^{+0.18} _{-0.12}
	2	7.9	1	0.06	5.3	325	3	250	13.3 ^{+9.3} _{-0.1}	14±3	25 ⁺³ ₋₃	-2.0 ^{+0.04} _{-0.07}	22.56 ^{+0.04} _{-0.08}	17.69 ^{+0.25} _{-0.09}
20 km s ⁻¹ cloud	1	7.9	1	2.3	4.6	100	3	250	0.04 ^{+0.03} _{-0.01}	9±2	26 ⁺¹ ₋₁	-1.1 ^{+0.04} _{-0.05}	23.44 ^{+0.06} _{-0.06}	16.04 ^{+0.28} _{-0.14}

Notes. ^(a) Component. ^(b) Distance to source. ^(c) Fixed parameter. ^(d) These values are taken from Requena-Torres et al. (2012) for the two CND positions. The n_{H₂} and T_k are taken from Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011) and Hüttemeier et al. (1993), respectively, for the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud, while the radius of this source is estimated from our H₂O maps (see Appendix B.2). ^(e) Free parameter. ^(f) Predicted value at 250 μ m. The uncertainty in the τ_d is calculated assuming a 10% uncertainty in the source size. ^(g) Estimated N_{H₂} assuming a mass-absorption coefficient of 8.2 cm² g⁻¹ at 250 μ m (González-Alfonso et al. 2014) and a D/G of 1%. ^(h) N_{H₂O} derived using the N_{H₂} and X_{H₂O} given in this table. ⁽ⁱ⁾ The T_d used for the 20 km s⁻¹ position is fixed as no observational continuum was available to constrain the T_d of this position.




Fig. 10. Observational SPIRE spectra. The red and black histograms represent the SPIRE spectra for the CND₁ and CND₂ positions, respectively. The molecular lines in the SPIRE spectra correspond to CO and ¹³CO lines. The red and black lines show the predicted dust continuum for the CND₁ and CND₂ positions, respectively, obtained using a two component model (see text).

that the emergent line shapes of very optically thick lines are flat-top. While this has little effect on the emergent line fluxes

(less than 40% for the H₂O 1₁₀–1₀₁ line), our modeling is mostly based on the less optically thick H₂¹⁸O 1₁₀–1₀₁ line for which the adopted grid is found to be irrelevant (see below). For all models we have included ten H₂O lower rotational-levels. We have also assumed that the H₂O and H₂¹⁸O molecules have uniform distributions and coexist with dust, and that the ortho to para H₂O ratio (O/P) is the typical value of 3. In addition, the H₂¹⁸O abundance relative to H₂O is 1/250, according with the ¹⁶O/¹⁸O isotopic ratio inferred for the GC (Wilson & Rood 1994). In our models the D/G was fixed to the typical value of 1%.

5.1. Observational H₂O and H₂¹⁸O spectra and the dust continuum

As mentioned in Sec. 3.4 the ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁ and para 1₁₁–0₀₀ H₂¹⁸O spectra were taken from the HIFI single position observations in the CND₁, CND₂ and the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud positions, while the ortho 1₁₀–1₀₁, 2₁₂–1₀₁ and para 2₀₂–1₁₁, 1₁₁–0₀₀ H₂O spectra were extracted for the three positions from the data cubes. These spectra are shown in Fig. 7. As mentioned in Sec. 3, the H₂O spectra at 1113 GHz are affected by standing waves with amplitudes around 0.15 K (see Fig. A.1). These artifacts do not affect our analysis of the CND₁ position because the intensity of the 1₁₁–0₀₀ water line is at least a factor 5 more intense than the amplitude of the artifact. Toward the CND₂ and 20 km s⁻¹ positions, the observed intensity of the 1₁₁–0₀₀ water line could be increased/decreased at most in 0.15 K due to the standing waves, however these possible changes do not affect our best fits for the water line profiles described below.

The SSW SPIRE data were used to extract spectra for the CND_1 and CND_2 positions. These spectra are shown in Fig. 10. Unfortunately, our SPIRE observations did not cover the 20 km s^{-1} cloud position, thus the continuum is not available for this position. Because of the limited spectral resolution of the SPIRE spectra only CO and ^{13}CO transitions within $J=8-12$ can be clearly distinguished in the spectra shown in Fig. 10.

5.2. Results

We find that, for both the low-density and the high-density model components, the $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O } 1_{10} - 1_{01}$ line is optically thick but effectively optically thin (Snell et al. 2000), so that its flux can be accurately estimated from $F(\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}) = h\nu n_{\text{H}_2}^2 X_{\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}}^{\text{ortho}} C_{lu} V / 4\pi D^2$, where C_{lu} is the collisional excitation rate from the lower to the upper energy level, V is the volume of the source, and D is the distance. Our best-fit model provides a good match to the line with $X_{\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}}^{\text{ortho}} = 4 \times 10^{-8}$ for the high-density component of the CND_2 position, which dominates the emission, and also yield fluxes for the H_2O lines that are consistent with data. By using the above equation we derive a flux for the $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O } 1_{10} - 1_{01}$ line of $2.6 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for the high-density component of the CND_2 position, which is only a factor 1.2 higher than that estimated with our model. Such small differences are also found in the cases of the CND_1 and the 20 km s^{-1} cloud positions. The $\text{H}_2\text{O } 1_{10} - 1_{01}$ line is not effectively thin, hence showing a flux significantly weaker than $250 \times F(\text{ortho } \text{H}_2^{18}\text{O } 1_{10} - 1_{01})$.

We have used the χ^2 statistic in order to measure the goodness of fit of the data to the model for both CND positions. In Table 4 we show the results of a χ^2 test in a two component approach, showing only $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{ortho}}$ and T_d combinations that provide the lowest χ^2 values for model fits to the H_2O line intensities. The fitting of the dust continuum emission is checked after the χ^2 testing. The χ^2 statistic was run for the ranges $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{ortho}} = 1 \times 10^{-7} - 9 \times 10^{-5}$ and $T_d = 15 - 55 \text{ K}$. The derived T_d values in bold print in Table 4 give the best fits to the dust continuum emission of the CND positions (see Figure 10). These T_d values in combination with $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^{\text{ortho}}$ values provide the lowest χ^2 value for the CND_1 position, but this does not happen in the case of the CND_2 position. There are two combinations giving a χ^2 value equal to 4.4 in the CND_1 position, but that with the inferred $T_d = 45 \text{ K}$ (for the low-density component) is the one that provides the best fit of the dust continuum. A χ^2 value equal to 6.5 is the lowest value in the CND_2 position, but in this case the derived $T_d = 40 \text{ K}$ of the low-density component overestimates the observed dust continuum. Table 5 summarizes the physical conditions and parameters that provide the best fits of the data.

5.2.1. CND_1 position

For the CND_1 position we have found the best fit for the water line profiles (red histograms in Fig. 7) with the derived $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of 9.3×10^{-6} and the derived T_d of 45 K for the low-density component, and with the derived $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of 6.7×10^{-6} and the derived T_d of 30 K for the high-density component. The inferred V_t of 23 km s^{-1} provides the best fits to the H_2O line widths.

As mentioned above, the observed ortho $1_{10} - 1_{01}$ and para $1_{11} - 0_{00}$ H_2O line profiles at negative velocities towards the CND_1 position are affected by absorption from foreground sources. To model these absorptions, we have considered spherical shells around the modeled sources, with a water abun-

dance around 3×10^{-8} as derived for the -30 km s^{-1} spiral arm (Karlsson et al. 2013), and H_2 densities of 10^3 cm^{-3} and kinetic temperatures of 50 K (Greaves & Williams 1994). Turbulent velocities of $1-2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ were appropriate to simulate those lines. Water lines are also affected by absorption towards nearby galaxies (Liu et al. 2017). The narrow absorption lines superimposed on top of the emission water lines create emission spikes observed in the $1_{10} - 1_{01}$ and $1_{11} - 0_{00}$ H_2O lines. There is a very good overall agreement between the observations and the modeling. However, as expected for the complexity of the H_2O excitation, there are differences in the intensity of the modeled and the observed spikes likely due to the assumed line-shape in the modeling. This difference is also seen in the case of the $\text{H}_2\text{O } 2_{02} - 1_{11}$ line.

Considering an optically thin regime, a dust-to-gas ratio of 1% and a mass-absorption coefficient of $8.2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ at $250 \mu\text{m}$, the $\tau_d(250 \mu\text{m})$ is related to the H_2 column density as $N_{\text{H}_2} / \tau_d(250 \mu\text{m}) = 3.6 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Based on the $\tau_d(250 \mu\text{m})$ predicted with our two component model (see Table 5), we have derived a H_2 column density N_{H_2} of $3.0 - 3.9 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for the CND_1 position, values listed in Table 5, together with the water abundances, turbulent velocities, dust temperatures and dust opacities. For this model we have also derived H_2O column densities ($N_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) around $3 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ also included in Table 5. A total gas mass of $238 M_\odot$ is derived for the CND_1 position by considering the $N_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ and the source size. This mass is a factor 1.6 lower than that estimated in Requena-Torres et al. (2012) for this position, which is reasonable given the simplicity of our modeling.

5.2.2. CND_2 position

For the CND_2 position we have found the best fits for the water line profiles (red histograms in Fig. 7) with the derived $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ as 1.3×10^{-6} and the derived T_d of 15 K for the low-density component, and with the derived $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ as 13.3×10^{-6} and the derived T_d of 25 K for the high-density component. The H_2O line widths observed towards this position are fitted better with the derived V_t of 14 km s^{-1} . The modeling of the water lines of this position is complex because towards this line of sight the emission arising from the CND and the 50 km s^{-1} cloud is blended as discussed before. In Fig. 6 of Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011) it can be seen clearly that the CS(1-0) line emission arising from the western edge of the 50 km s^{-1} cloud that reaches velocities up to $\sim 80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is detected in the northeastern CND , region that coincides with our CND_2 position. An H_2O abundance of 2×10^{-10} accounts for the emission/absorption contribution from the 50 km s^{-1} cloud in this CND position. We have simulated this cloud having spherical symmetry, a n_{H_2} of $3 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, a T_k of 100 K and a low T_d of 20 K (Amo-Baladrón et al. 2011; Rodríguez-Fernández et al. 2001, 2004). The simulated 50 km s^{-1} cloud creates an absorption feature in the modeled $1_{11} - 0_{00}$ and $2_{12} - 0_{01}$ H_2O lines (see Fig. 7). There is also a small difference between the modeled and observed para $1_{11} - 0_{00}$ H_2O line intensity at $\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see Fig. 7). This difference could be caused by either standing waves or the simplicity of spherical symmetry in our models.

Following the same procedure as for the CND_1 position, based on $\tau_d(250 \mu\text{m})$ we have estimated a N_{H_2} of $3.2 - 3.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for the CND_2 position. We have also determined $N_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of $4.1 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for the low-density component and of $4.8 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for the high-density component. The whole set of the derived parameters obtained using our model are listed in Table 5. The derived N_{H_2} yield a total gas mass of $253 M_\odot$ that is com-

parable to the mass obtained by Requena-Torres et al. (2012) for this position.

5.2.3. 20 km s⁻¹ cloud position

As already mentioned we expect that the H₂O emission towards the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud position is not affected by contributions from other positive-velocity line of sight sources. We have searched for the best fits to the water line profiles varying only the X_{H₂O} and V_t, finding the values of the X_{H₂O} of 4.0×10⁻⁸ and V_t of 9 km s⁻¹.

Fig. 7 shows that all the modeled water line profiles in emission/absorption are in agreement with the observed line profiles, except in the case of the 1₁₀-1₀₁ H₂¹⁸O spectrum, where the water line is blended with the emission from the ¹³CH₃OH(1₆₂ - 1₆₁) line. This argument is supported by the 1₁₀ - 1₀₁ H₂O to H₂¹⁸O line intensity ratio that is equal to ~50 at 110 km s⁻¹ for the CND₁ position, but as low as ~14 for the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud position. The τ_d(250 μm) of 0.08 predicted for this position corresponds to the derived N_{H₂} of 2.7×10²³ cm⁻². For this position we have derived a N_{H₂O} as 1.1×10¹⁶ cm⁻² included in Table 5, where the other derived parameters are also summarized.

6. Discussion

The derived free parameters together with the fixed parameters that provide the best fits to the water line profiles are given in Table 5. The derived X_{H₂O}, V_t and T_d are dependent of the assumed source sizes, which are not very well known. However, the V_t of 9–23 km s⁻¹ obtained for the three studied positions are consistent with those of 15–30 km s⁻¹ derived towards the GC (Güsten & Philipp 2004). Furthermore, for the CND we have derived T_d of 15–45 K, which agree quite well with the two dust components of 24 and 45 K reported by Etxaluz et al. (2011). The T_d=15⁺¹³₋₁₃ K derived for the low-excitation component in the CND₂ position is lower than that derived in the CND₁ position. The derived T_d of 45⁺⁶₋₃ K in the CND₁ position is responsible for pumping the 2₀₂-1₁₁ H₂O line (see Sec. 6.1), which has an intensity a factor 4 higher than that in the CND₂ position.

The derived X_{H₂O} within (0.1–1.3)×10⁻⁵ for the CND and the derived X_{H₂O} of 4.0×10⁻⁸ for the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud are also consistent with the lower limit of 2×10⁻⁸ for X_{H₂O} as calculated by Karlsson et al. (2013) for these two GC sources using the non-LTE radiative transfer code RADEX.

The inferred N_{H₂}~(3.0–3.9)×10²² cm⁻² for the CND are similar to those determined from CO in previous studies (Requena-Torres et al. 2012; Bradford et al. 2005) and also consistent with N_{H₂}~10²²–10²³ cm⁻² calculated from HCN measurements (Güsten et al. 1987; Jackson et al. 1993). The derived N_{H₂} of 2.7×10²³ for the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud is slightly higher than that of ~7×10²² cm⁻² derived by Rodríguez-Fernández et al. (2001) using ¹³CO. On the other hand, our derived value of N_{H₂} is lower than that of ~7×10²³ cm⁻² estimated from the ground-state transition of H¹³CO⁺ for this cloud (Tsuboi et al. 2011).

6.1. Excitation of Water

For the CND₁ position, we have found that water excitation is affected by the dust emission, since when we have removed the dust effects in our model, the observed ortho 1₁₀ - 1₀₁ H₂O and H₂¹⁸O line intensities are slightly overestimated, the observed para 2₀₂ - 1₁₁ H₂O line intensity is underestimated by a factor of ~2 due to the lack of radiative pumping, while the other

modeled water lines remain unchanged. The 2₀₂ - 1₁₁ H₂O line is also found to be pumped through absorption of continuum photons in extragalactic sources (Omont et al. 2013). For the CND₂ position, there is no strong radiative excitation from the dust emission of the para 2₀₂ - 1₁₁ H₂O line as all predicted water line intensities remain unaffected. Therefore, only collisional excitation is responsible for the 2₀₂ - 1₁₁ H₂O line strength.

For the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud position, we have noted that all four H₂O and the two H₂¹⁸O lines are also affected by radiative excitation from dust, since all predicted water line profiles changed significantly when dust effects were removed in the modeling, with the observed ortho 1₁₀ - 1₀₁ and para 2₀₂ - 1₁₁ H₂O line intensities being overestimated and underestimated by a factor of ~2, respectively, due to the lack of dust effects. Therefore, in the CND₁ and the 20 km s⁻¹ cloud positions the water excitation is determined by collisional effects and absorption of far-infrared continuum photons, while radiation is not important in the water excitation of the CND₂ position.

6.2. Chemistry and heating

We have derived a X_{H₂O} of 1.3^{+0.9}_{-0.1}×10⁻⁵ for the high-density component of the CND₂ position, value that is ten times higher than that derived for the low-density component of the same position. For both density components of the CND₁ position we have inferred X_{H₂O} within (6.7–9.3)×10⁻⁶.

As already mentioned, Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011) found that the shock tracer SiO revealed high abundances in the CND, where in contrast the HNC showed lowest abundances due to its photodissociation by UV photons. The spatial correlation between the water and SiO(2–1) emission in the CND (see Sec. 3.2 and 3.3) points towards grain sputtering as an important mechanism for gas phase water production in the CND. We have derived T_k/T_d ratios of 4–17 for the CND, which also supports the idea that mechanical energy from shocks plays a role in the H₂O chemistry.

Harada et al. (2015) studied the chemical composition of the southwest lobe of the CND (our CND₁ position) through chemical modeling. Their model considers high temperature chemistry and mimics grain sputtering by shocks and the effects of cosmic-rays. They also studied the effects of UV photons in the chemistry, finding that the abundances of many molecules are not affected in A_v<1 regions while the H₃O⁺ (that can form H₂O via its dissociative recombination (Vejby-Christensen et al. 1997)) and HCO⁺ abundances can reach values up to 10⁻⁸ for A_v<1 regions. The n_{H₂} and T_k of the low-density CND components agree with those modeled by Harada et al. (2015) for gas with a preshock density of 2×10⁴ cm⁻³, a shock velocity of 10 km s⁻¹ and timescales around 10^{2.8} years after the shock (hereafter scenario 1), while the n_{H₂} and T_k of the high-density CND components is in agreement with those modeled for a shocked medium with a preshock density of 2×10⁵ cm⁻³, shock velocities of 10–20 km s⁻¹ and timescales around 10^{1.2–1.4} years (hereafter scenario 2).

The X_{H₂O} of (0.7–1.3)×10⁻⁵ derived for the high-density components of the CND is a factor 15–29 lower than that of about 2×10⁻⁴ predicted in scenario 2. Varying cosmic-ray ionization rates within 10⁻¹⁷–10⁻¹³ s⁻¹ does not change the X_{H₂O} predicted in scenario 2 (see Fig. 5 of Harada et al. (2015)). The above difference can be decreased (within a factor 9–22) when the errors in our estimates are considered. Our X_{H₂O} of (0.7–1.3)×10⁻⁵ are consistent with those predicted in scenario 2 but with timescales of 10⁴ years and a cosmic-ray ionization rate of 10⁻¹⁴ s⁻¹ and assuming that there might have been multiple

shocks. This is also in good agreement with the n_{H_2} and T_k of the high-density CNDC components predicted by the models in Harada et al. (2015). The value of 10^{-14} s^{-1} for the cosmic-ionization rate is also consistent with those derived for sources located within the Central Cluster (Goto et al. 2014).

On the other hand, the $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of 9.3×10^{-6} estimated for the low-density component of the CNDC₁ position is only a factor 2 lower than that predicted in scenario 1 with a preshock density of $2 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and a cosmic-ray ionization rate of 10^{-16} s^{-1} (hereafter modified scenario 1). The $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of 1.3×10^{-6} derived for the low-density component of the CNDC₂ component is 15 times lower than that predicted in modified scenario 1. A cosmic-ionization rate of 10^{-14} s^{-1} decreases the water abundance at timescales around 10^3 years, giving a better agreement with our derived water abundances for both low-density CNDC components in the modified scenario 1.

It is considered that around 14% and 18% of the high- and low-density material, respectively, of the CNDC could be considered as a PDR ($A_V < 5$) given their H_2 densities and source sizes. The far-ultraviolet radiation field is $G_0 \sim 10^5$ in the inner edge of the CNDC (Burton et al. 1990). Using a chemical model, Hollenbach et al. (2009) derived $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of $\sim 10^{-7}$ for molecular clouds affected by a far-ultraviolet flux of $G_0 < 500$. But, for $G_0 > 500$ and the gas density of 10^4 cm^{-3} this model predicted a peak $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ around 10^{-6} only at $A_V = 8$ due to thermal desorption of O atoms and subsequent water production through neutral-neutral reactions, while at $A_V < 5$ the water is photodissociated and its abundance decreases below 10^{-8} . In PDRs the $N_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ are $\sim 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Hollenbach et al. 2009), which are lower than those derived in the CNDC at least by a factor of ~ 41 (see Table 5). The only effect of increasing G_0 in the modeling is that the H_2O shell penetrates further into the cloud, while the H_2O column densities remain constant (Hollenbach et al. 2009). From this comparison, PDRs do not seem to play a role in the water chemistry in the CNDC.

In addition, $\text{CO}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ abundance ratios have been used to establish if there is any PDR contribution to the water emission. The starburst galaxy M82 revealed $\text{CO}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \sim 40$ (Weiss et al. 2010). The CO lines are a factor of ≥ 50 stronger than the H_2O lines in the prototypical galactic PDR Orion Bar (Habart et al. 2010), which is in contrast to what is observed in Mrk 231, where the H_2O and CO lines are comparable (González-Alfonso et al. 2010). Based on the integrated intensities of ^{13}CO lines with $J=2-1$, $6-5$, $13-12$ obtained by Requena-Torres et al. (2012), we have derived CO to $\text{H}_2\text{O}(2_{10} - 1_{11})$ integrated line intensity ratios of 4–43 for the CNDC. The ^{13}CO data have a similar angular resolution to our $\text{H}_2\text{O}(2_{10} - 1_{11})$ data and the ^{13}CO can be converted to ^{12}CO by assuming a $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} = 20$ ratio, typical for the CG (Wilson & Rood 1994). Our highest $\text{CO}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ratio of 43 similar to that of M82, could indicate that there is some PDR contribution in the water chemistry of the CNDC. This result is in contrast to the suggestion found in the previous discussion. A hot CO component found towards the central cavity is heated by a combination of UV photons and shocks (Goicoechea et al. 2013).

Apparently the $T_k < 200 \text{ K}$ of the low-density CNDC components are not high enough for water production through neutral-neutral reactions, which activate at $T_k > 300 \text{ K}$ (Neufeld et al. 1995), however, high-temperature chemistry of water could be produced at the shock fronts of the low-density CNDC components with warmer gas ($> 300 \text{ K}$) and of course in the high-density CNDC components with $T_k > 325 \text{ K}$. As mentioned, the high temperature chemistry is considered in the modeling by Harada et al. (2015). On the other hand, the $T_d < 45 \text{ K}$ derived

in the CNDC rules out thermal evaporation of H_2O because this mechanism needs grain temperatures around 100 K (Fraser et al. 2001).

It is thought that the effects of X-rays in the CNDC chemistry are negligible as the X-ray ionization rate is lower than 10^{-16} s^{-1} at H_2 column densities $> 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Harada et al. 2015). This is consistent with the results of Goicoechea et al. (2013), who found that X-rays do not dominate the heating of hot molecular gas near Sgr A*.

The $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of $\sim 4.0 \times 10^{-8}$ derived in the 20 km s^{-1} cloud is at least a factor of ~ 33 smaller than those derived in the CNDC, suggesting that the water freeze-out can partially account for the low $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$. In these regions the water could be produced through an ion-neutral chemistry (Vejby-Christensen et al. 1997). It would be interesting to consider if the modeling proposed by Harada et al. (2015) including cosmic-ray chemistry without shocks would predict the low water abundance derived in the 20 km s^{-1} cloud.

In summary, the $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ within $(0.1-1.3) \times 10^{-5}$ derived in the CNDC are better explained in scenarios that consider grain sputtering by shocks of $10-20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, cosmic-rays and high temperature chemistry, with a possible contribution of PDR chemistry, while the water freeze-out seems to be responsible for the low $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of 4.0×10^{-8} derived for the 20 km s^{-1} cloud.

7. Conclusions

1. We presented velocity-integrated ortho $1_{10} - 1_{01}$, and para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ and $1_{11} - 0_{00}$ water maps of an area of $\sim 8 \times 8 \text{ pc}^2$ around Sgr A* observed with the *Herschel* Space Telescope. The velocity-integrated ortho $1_{10} - 1_{01}$ and para $1_{11} - 0_{00}$ H_2O maps reveal emission/absorption, whereas the para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ H_2O maps reveal only emission. The ground state ortho water maps show emission in the velocity range of $[-95, 130] \text{ km s}^{-1}$ associated with the CNDC, the Western Streamer, and the 20 and 50 km s^{-1} clouds. This water emission from the southwest CNDC and the Western Streamer South is substantially absorbed by foreground sources. The ground state ortho water maps show absorption structures in the velocity range of $[-220, 10] \text{ km s}^{-1}$ associated with foreground sources.
2. The para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ water emission is concentrated towards the CNDC, the Western Streamer, and the 20 and 50 km s^{-1} clouds. Based on the lack of absorption of the para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ water emission around the black hole Sgr A* we used this emission in a Velocity versus Position Angle diagram, finding that the para $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ water emission is tracing the CNDC and the clouds that are interacting with the SNR Sgr A East.
3. Using a non-local radiative transfer code, we derived $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of $\sim (0.1-1.3) \times 10^{-5}$, V_t of $14-23 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and T_d of $15-45 \text{ K}$ for the CNDC, and the $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of 4.0×10^{-8} and the V_t of 9 km s^{-1} for the 20 km s^{-1} cloud. From this study, we also found that collisions and dust effects can account for the observed water excitation in the CNDC₁ and the 20 km s^{-1} cloud positions, but there is not need for radiative excitation in the CNDC₂ position.
4. We propose that the gas phase water vapor production in the CNDC is produced by a combination of grain sputtering by shocks of $10-20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, high temperature and cosmic-ray chemistries plus a probable PDR chemistry, whereas the low $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ derived in the 20 km s^{-1} cloud could be a consequence of the water freeze-out.

Acknowledgements. J.M.-P. and E.G.-A. acknowledge partial support by the MINECO and FEDER funding under grants ESP2015-65597-C4-1 and

ESP2017–86582–C4–1–R. A. H. acknowledges support for this work by NASA through an award issued by JPL/Caltech. E.G–A. is a Research Associate at the Harvard–Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics. We thank the anonymous referee for the useful comments that improved the manuscript.

References

- Amo-Baladrón, M. A., Martín-Pintado, J., & Martín, S. 2011, *A&A*, 526, A54
Blum, R. D., Schaerer, D., Pasquali, A., et al. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 1875
Boehle, A., Ghez, A. M., Schödel, R., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 830, 17
Bradford, C. M., Stacey, G. J., Nikola, T., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 623, 866
Burton, M. G., Hollenbach, D. J., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1990, *ApJ*, 365, 620
Coil, A. L., & Ho, P. T. P. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 245
de Graauw, T., Helmich, F. P., Phillips, T. G., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L6
Deguchi, S., & Kwan, J. 1982, *ApJ*, 260, 579
De Pree, C. G., Goss, W. M., & Gaume, R. A. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 847
Etxaluze, M., Howard, A. S., Tolls, V., Stark, A. A., & González-Alfonso, E. 2011, *ApJ*, 142, 134
Fraser, H. J., Collings, M. P., McCoustra, M. R. S., & Williams, D. A. 2001, *MNRAS*, 327, 1165
Ferrière, K. 2012, *A&A*, 540, A50
Gaume, R. A., Claussen, M. J., De Pree, C. G., Goss, W. M., & Mehringer, D. M. 1995, *ApJ*, 449, 663
Goicoechea, J. R., Etxaluze, M., Cernicharo, J., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 769, L13
Goicoechea, J. R., Pety, J., Chapillon, E., et al. 2018a, arXiv:1806.01748
Goicoechea, J. R., Santa-Maria, M. G., Teyssier, D., et al. 2018b, *A&A*, 616, L1
González-Alfonso, E., & Cernicharo, J. 1997, *A&A*, 322, 938
González-Alfonso, E., Fischer, J., Isaak, K., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L43
González-Alfonso, E., Fischer, J., Aalto, S., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 567, A91
Greaves, J. S. & Williams, P. G. 1994, *A&A*, 290, 259
Goto, M., Geballe, T. R., Indriolo, N., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 786, 96
Griffin, M. J., Abergel, A., Abreu, A., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L3
Güsten, R., Genzel, R., Wright, M. C. H., et al. 1987, *ApJ*, 318, 124
Güsten, R., & Philipp, S. 2004, *The Dense Interstellar Medium in Galaxies*, Springer proceedings in physics, Vol. 91, p.253, astro-ph/0402019v1
Habart, E., Dartois, E., Abergel, A., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L116
Harada, N., Riquelme, D., Jiménez-Serra, I., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 584, A102
Harris, A. I., Jaffe, D. T., Silber, M., & Genzel, R. 1985, *ApJ*, 294, L93
Herrnstein, R. M., & Ho, P. T. P. 2002, *ApJ*, 579, L83
Herrnstein, R. M., & Ho, P. T. P. 2005, *ApJ*, 620, 287
Ho, P. T. P., Ho, L. C., Szczepanski, J. C., et al. 1991, *Nature*, 350, 309
Hollenbach, D., Kaufman, M. J., Bergin, E. A., & Melnik, G. 2009, *ApJ*, 690, 1497
Hüttemeier, S., Wilson, T. L., Bania, T. M., & Martín-Pintado, J. 1993, *A&A*, 280, 255
Jackson, J. M., Geis, N., Genzel, R., et al. 1993, *ApJ*, 402, 173
Karlsson, R., Sandqvist, A., Hjalmarsen, Å., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 554, A141
Koyama, K., Maeda, Y., Sonobe, T., et al. 1996, *PASJ*, 48, 249
Maeda, Y., Baganoff, F. K., Feigelson, E. D., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 570, 671
Martín, S., Martín-Pintado, J., Montero-Castaño, M., Ho, P. T. P., & Blundell, R. 2012, *A&A*, 539, 29
Martín-Pintado, J., de Vicente, P., Fuente, P., & Planesas, P. 1997, *ApJ*, 482, L45
Martín-Pintado, J., Rizzo, J. R., de Vicente, P., Rodríguez-Fernández, N. J., & Fuente, A. 2001, *ApJ*, 548, L65
McGary, R. S., Coil, A. L., & Ho, P. T. P. 2001, *ApJ*, 559, 326
Mills, E. A. C., Güsten, R., Requena-Torres, M. A., Morris, M. 2013, *ApJ*, 779, 47
Montero-Castaño, M., Herrnstein, R. M., & Ho, P. T. P. 2009, *A&A*, 695, 1477
Morris, M., & Serabyn, E. 1996, *ARA&A*, 34, 645
Neufeld, D. A., Bergin, E. A., Melnik, G. J., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2003, *ApJ*, 590, 882
Neufeld, D. A., Lepp, S., & Melnick, G. J. 1995, *ApJS*, 100, 132
Liu, L., Weiss, A., Perez-Beaupuits, J. P., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 846, 5
Oka, T., Nagai, M., Kamegai, K., & Tanaka, K. 2011, *ApJ*, 732, 120
Omont, A., Yang, C., Cox, P., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 551, 115
Park, S., Munro, M. P., Baganoff, F. K., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 603, 548
Paumard, T., Genzel, R., Martins, F., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 643, 1011
Pierce-Price, D., Richer, J. S., Greaves, J. S., Holland, W. S., & Jennes, T. 2000, *ApJ*, 545, L121
Ponti, G., Terrier, R., Goldwurm, A., Belanger, G., & Trap, G. 2010, *ApJ*, 714, 732
Requena-Torres, M. A., Güsten, R., Weiss, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 542, L21
Rodríguez-Fernández, N. J., Martín-Pintado, J., Fuente, A., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 365, 174
Rodríguez-Fernández, N. J., Martín-Pintado, J., Fuente, A., Wilson, T. L., & Wilson, T. L. 2004, *A&A*, 427, 217
Sandqvist, A., Bergman, P., Black, J. H., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 402, L63

Appendix A: Standing waves in spectra




Fig. A.1. Panels (a) and (b) show average spectra extracted from the parallelograms 1 and 2, respectively, shown in Fig. 3. The spectra are affected by standing waves, with a period around 200 km s^{-1} , which originate from the Local Oscillator feed horns of HIFI (see Sec. 3). Panel (a) illustrates a sine function, highlighting the shape of the standing wave.

Appendix B: Physical parameters of the studied sources

Appendix B.1: Dust temperature and turbulent velocity

Pierce-Price et al. (2000) derived a fairly uniform dust temperature of $21 \pm 2 \text{ K}$ over an extended region in the GC. Rodríguez-Fernández et al. (2004) derived $T_d < 30 \text{ K}$ towards molecular clouds distributed along the central 500 pc region of the Galaxy. Additionally, Etxaluze et al. (2011) using PACS and SPIRE data found that two different components are required to explain the observed continuum in the CND, a hot component with the T_d of 45 K and n_{H_2} of $1 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and a cold component with the T_d of 24 K and n_{H_2} of $2 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. They also found a very hot $T_d = 90 \text{ K}$ that arises from the central cavity that was not covered by our CND observations. Therefore, this hot T_d was not considered in our modeling. V_t of $15\text{--}30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are estimated towards GC clouds (Güsten & Philipp 2004). Thus, in our models we will explore values of T_d of $\sim 15\text{--}50 \text{ K}$ and V_t of $15\text{--}30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Appendix B.2: H_2 density, kinetic temperature and source size

Bradford et al. (2005) studied the CO excitation of one CND position (with offsets of $\Delta\alpha = -15''$ and $\Delta\delta = -30''$ from Sgr A*), which is close to our studied CND₁ position. They used several CO rotational transitions in combination with a LVG radiative transfer code to derive a T_k of 240 K and a n_{H_2} of $7.1 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The T_k and n_{H_2} derived by Bradford et al. (2005) are slightly higher than those derived by Requena-Torres et al. (2012) for the low-excitation gas of the CND. The n_{H_2} around $3 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ derived for our two CND positions by Amo-Baladrón et al. (2011) agree well with the high-excitation component of Requena-Torres et al. (2012), who derived source radii around 0.32 pc and 0.07 pc for the low- and high-excitation components, respectively. Our two CND positions observed with HIFI coincide with the CND positions studied by Requena-Torres et al. (2012). The CND₁ and CND₂ positions are observed towards the southwest and northeast lobes, respectively, of the CND (see Fig. 6). As seen in Fig. 6, the interferometric emission map of CN(2-1) shows that one clump is found towards each CND position. The clumps in the CND₁ and CND₂ positions are identified as clumps Q and A, respectively, in the interferometric emission map of HCN(4-3) obtained by Montero-Castaño et al. (2009). Since CO usually traces the H_2 column density, we will assume clump radius for the water emission for the CND₁ and CND₂ positions following the results obtained by Requena-Torres et al. (2012) (see Table 5).

The velocity range of $[10,40] \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of the ortho $1_{10} - 1_{01}$ H_2O map in Fig. 4 shows the northern part of the 20 km s^{-1} cloud. For this core we have derived a size around 9 pc for the minor axis, which is somewhat larger than the 7.5 pc size derived by Zylka et al. (1990), but the $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ H_2O maps show that the 20 and 50 km s^{-1} clouds (see Fig. 4) have source sizes a factor of ~ 2 more compact than those observed at 557 GHz . Therefore, for the modeling we will assume a 20 km s^{-1} cloud with spherical symmetry and a size of 4.5 pc . Interferometric maps of ammonia observed by Coil & Ho (2000) reveal a size for the 20 km s^{-1} cloud relatively similar to that shown by the $2_{02} - 1_{11}$ H_2O emission.