



Letters to the Editor

The role of proportionate kinetic growth rate fraction in future remnant liver function over volume determined by ^{99m}Tc-Mebrofenin hepatobiliary scintigraphy including SPECT and computed tomography in the risk prediction of postoperative mortality in ALPPS



We would like to propose a potential novel method for predicting posthepatectomy liver failure (PHLF) after associating liver partitioning and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS). This technique uses the combined results of future remnant liver volume (fRLV)^{1,2} based on volumetric computed tomography (CT) measurements and future remnant liver function (fRLF) based on technetium-99m (^{99m}Tc)-Mebrofenin hepatobiliary scintigraphy (HBS) with a Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) camera.^{3–6} Individually, the standard analysis of these preoperative assessments have shown shortcomings in predicting PHLF in the interstage of ALPPS.^{7–10} But, by using the results in combination, we suggest a new integrated parameter: the relative proportion kinetic growth rate (KGR) fraction of functional over volume increase that might prove to be better at predicting PHLF at the interstage of ALPPS.

In 5 patients (48–57 years of age) who underwent the hybrid ALPPS procedure^{11,12} for hepatic malignancy (2 intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, 1 colorectal liver metastasis, 1 gallbladder cancer, and 1 hepatocellular carcinoma), fRLV measurements by volumetric CT and fRLF assessment by HBS scan⁵ were performed both preoperatively and during the interstage ALPPS. The decision to proceed to completion hepatectomy was performed if the predefined critical cutoff levels of both fRLV (>30%) and fRLF (>2.7%/min/m²) were met.

All patients had an insufficient fRLV (mean 22%; 10%–28.9%) preoperatively. The preoperative mean fRLF was 2.6 %/min/m² (range: 0.9%–3.5 %/min/m²). At the interstage, the fRLV increased in all patients, showing a mean 98% increase after a mean interval of 10.4 days after completion of the first step (7–14 days). However, all, but 1 patient, had a fRLF growth with a mean 49% increase measured at a mean interval of 10.6 days (8–28 days; [Table 1](#)). The patient without fRLF growth did not proceed to completion

hepatectomy. The remaining patients underwent completion hepatectomy. In 1 of these 4 remaining patients, completion hepatectomy had been postponed to 28 days after liver partitioning owing to an initially insufficient fRLF, but this patient developed lethal PHLF on postop day 4. The clinical course of this patient further demonstrates the drawbacks of using absolute cutoff values of fRLV or fRLF as described elsewhere^{7,9,10}, and the alleged importance of using KGR in clinical context as a better predictor for PHLF.^{13–16}

The “disproportionate” increase of the remnant liver volume compared to fRLF might be the cause of the greater morbidity and mortality reported in ALPPS.⁷ In addition, recent pathologic studies of the remnant liver showing a higher density but smaller hepatocytes in the remnant liver seem to support the dysfunctional volume theory. These hepatocytes also contain fewer organelles and are thus less metabolic active.¹⁷ This intangible relationship has led us to recommend the increase over time of function relative to volume (proportionate KGR function over volume fraction [pKGR f/V]) as a predictor for PHLF.

$$pKGR f/V = \left(\frac{(fRLF \text{ interstage} - fRLF \text{ preoperative})}{fRLF \text{ preoperative}} \right) / \left(\frac{(fRLV \text{ interstage} - fRLV \text{ preoperative})}{fRLV \text{ preoperative}} \right) / \text{Interval (days)}$$

We encourage further research to validate the ideal cutoff value of proportionate KGR function over volume fraction in larger series.

Conflict of interest

The authors have indicated that they have no conflicts of interest regarding the content of this article.

References

1. Khan AS, Garcia-Aroz S, Ansari MA, Atiq SM, Senter-Zapata M, Fowler K, et al. Assessment and optimization of liver volume before major hepatic resection: current guidelines and a narrative review. *Int J Surg (London, England)*. 2018;52:74–81.

Table 1

Preoperative and interstage volumetric and HBS results with calculated fraction proportionate KGR function over volume fraction against postoperative outcome for all 5 patients.

Patient number	Preoperative fRLV (%)	Interstage fRLV (%)	Preoperative fRLF (%/min/m ²)	Interstage fRLF (%/min/m ²)	Fraction proportionate KGR function over volume fraction	Postoperative outcome
Patient 1	22.1	45.0	3.5	4.4	0.2	Alive
Patient 2	9.9	31.6	2.7	4.1	0.2	Alive
Patient 3	28.7	40.4	3.3	4.1	0.6	Alive
Patient 4	24.5	52.0	2.8	2.1	−0.2	Aborted
Patient 5	25.5	28.8 (42.1*)	0.9	2.4 (3.9*)	5.1	Deceased
Mean	22.1	39.6	2.6	3.4	2.7	

* 28 days after PVE.

2. Bertens KA, Hawel J, Lung K, Buac S, Pineda-Solis K, Hernandez-Alejandros R. ALPPS: challenging the concept of unresectability—a systematic review. *International J Surg (London, England)*. 2015;13:280–287.
3. Erdogan D, Heijnen BH, Bennink RJ, Kok M, Dinant S, Straatsburg IH, et al. Preoperative assessment of liver function: a comparison of 99mTc-Mebrofenin scintigraphy with indocyanine green clearance test. *Liver Int*. 2004;24:117–123.
4. de Graaf W, van Lienden KP, Dinant S, Roelofs JJ, Busch OR, Gouma DJ, et al. Assessment of future remnant liver function using hepatobiliary scintigraphy in patients undergoing major liver resection. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2010;14:369–378.
5. de Graaf W, van Lienden KP, van Gulik TM, Bennink RJ. (99m)Tc-mebrofenin hepatobiliary scintigraphy with SPECT for the assessment of hepatic function and liver functional volume before partial hepatectomy. *J Nucl Med*. 2010;51:229–236.
6. Cieslak KP, Runge JH, Heger M, Stoker J, Bennink RJ, van Gulik TM. New perspectives in the assessment of future remnant liver. *Dig Surg*. 2014;31:255–268.
7. Kang D, Schadde E. Hypertrophy and liver function in ALPPS: correlation with morbidity and mortality. *Visc Med*. 2017;33:426–433.
8. Schnitzbauer AA, Lang SA, Goessmann H, Nadalin S, Baumgart J, Farkas SA, et al. Right portal vein ligation combined with in situ splitting induces rapid left lateral liver lobe hypertrophy enabling 2-staged extended right hepatic resection in small-for-size settings. *Ann Surg*. 2012;255:405–414.
9. Schadde E, Schnitzbauer AA, Tschuor C, Raptis DA, Bechstein WO, Clavien PA. Systematic review and meta-analysis of feasibility, safety, and efficacy of a novel procedure: associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2015;22:3109–3120.
10. Olthof PB, Tomassini F, Huespe PE, Truant S, Pruvot FR, Troisi RI, et al. Hepatobiliary scintigraphy to evaluate liver function in associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy: liver volume overestimates liver function. *Surgery*. 2017;162:775–783.
11. Li J, Kantas A, Ittrich H, Koops A, Achilles EG, Fischer L, et al. Avoid “all-Touch” by hybrid ALPPS to achieve oncological efficacy. *Ann Surg*. 2016;263:e6–e7.
12. Lai Q, Melandro F, Rossi M. Hybrid partial ALPPS: a feasible approach in case of right trisegmentectomy and macrovascular invasion. *Ann Surg*. 2018;267:e80–e82.
13. Kambakamba P, Stocker D, Reiner CS, Nguyen-Kim TD, Linecker M, Eshmunov D, et al. Liver kinetic growth rate predicts postoperative liver failure after ALPPS. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2016;18:800–805.
14. Shindoh J, Truty MJ, Aloia TA, Curley SA, Zimmiti G, Huang SY, et al. Kinetic growth rate after portal vein embolization predicts posthepatectomy outcomes: toward zero liver-related mortality in patients with colorectal liver metastases and small future liver remnant. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2013;216:201–209.
15. Sparrelid E, Jonas E, Tzortzakakis A, Dahlen U, Murquist G, Brismar T, et al. Dynamic evaluation of liver volume and function in associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2017;21:967–974.
16. Tani K, Shindoh J, Takamoto T, Shibahara J, Nishioka Y, Hashimoto T, et al. Kinetic changes in liver parenchyma after preoperative chemotherapy for patients with colorectal liver metastases. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2017;21:813–821.
17. Matsuo K, Murakami T, Kawaguchi D, Hiroshima Y, Koda K, Yamazaki K, et al. Histologic features after surgery associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy versus those after hepatectomy with portal vein embolization. *Surgery*. 2016;159:1289–1298.

Hwai-Ding Lam, MD*

Department of Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Stéphanie Louise van der Pas, PhD

Medical Statistics, Department of Biomedical Data Sciences, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands
Mathematical Institute, Leiden University, Leiden, the Netherlands

Andrzej Baranski, MD, PhD

Department of Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Bart van Hoek, MD, PhD

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Mark Christiaan Burgmans, MD, PhD

Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Roelof Johannes Bennink, MD, PhD

Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Lioe-Fee de Geus-Oei, MD, PhD

Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands
Biomedical Photonic Imaging Group, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Twente, the Netherlands

* Corresponding author: Leiden University Medical Center, Department of Surgery, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA, Leiden, the Netherlands.
E-mail address: h.lam@lumc.nl (H.-D. Lam).

Accepted 9 October 2018

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2018.10.020>

0039-6060/© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Familial nonmedullary thyroid cancer: When the data and conclusions do not match



To the Editors:

When reading the paper by El Lakis et al.¹ on familial nonmedullary thyroid cancer (FNMT), it seems their conclusions contradict their data.

The greater number of lymph node metastases (LNMs) in FNMT patients reported by El Lakis et al.¹ were found mostly in the central compartment; however, it is well recognized how common and clinically irrelevant these types of nodal metastases prove to be. Moreover, because total thyroidectomy was performed in FNMT more frequently than in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) patients (98.2% vs 84%, respectively), and because more extensive surgery could prompt more neck exploration and subsequently more central neck dissections, a greater rate of central LNMs in FNMT patients could, in fact, represent a bias of the study. Similarly, the difference in the female-to-male ratios among groups, with more males included in the FNMT group, could be another possible bias. Of note, the SEER group patients presented with a more aggressive histopathology, with almost twice as many tall cell and follicular thyroid cancer (TC/FTC) variants.

Separating the patients presenting with clinical disease from those discovered by screening is quite arbitrary. Most thyroid nodules today fall within the category of incidental findings, and it is unclear what definition of clinical disease the authors applied. Of interest, the 20 patients detected by screening patients with FNMT showed more favorable features and had fewer total thyroidectomies performed than the SEER patients (84.2% vs 98.2%). However, after excluding the screening group, there was little difference regarding age, TC/FTC types, extrathyroidal extension, and number of patients with T1 stage and lateral LNMs between FNMT and the SEER patients. Moreover, the FNMT patients with > 2 affected relatives had a similar number of lateral LNMs and 3 times less TC/FTC variants.

Considering that recurrence-risk stratification was not applied, a recurrence rate of 12.8% in the FNMT group falls within the widely accepted lower range for sporadic NMTC. Although patients in the SEER group were registered from 1998 to 2007, we do not know the period for the FNMT patients. Nevertheless, the mean follow-up for the FNMT patients was 220 ± 416 months (18 ± 35 years) compared with 70 ± 58 months (5.8 ± 4.8 years) in the SEER group. In such a timespan, the field of thyroid cancer has changed so much (American Thyroid Association risk stratification; response to initial treatment assessment; Tumor, Node, and Metastasis 8th edition, etc) that assessment and definition of recurrent disease would be very different today.