Title: Fine root dynamics and partitioning of root respiration into growth and maintenance components in cool temperate deciduous and evergreen forests

Lifei Sun[a], Takashi Hirano[a,*], Tomotsugu Yazaki[a,**], Munemasa Teramoto[b] and Naishen Liang[b]

[a] Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University

[b] Center for Global Environmental Research, National Institute for Environmental Studies

[*] Corresponding author: Takashi Hirano

Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University

N9 W9, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan

Tel & Fax +81-11-706-3689, Email hirano@env.agr.hokudai.ac.jp

[**]Present address: School of Agriculture, Meiji University

Running head: Growth and maintenance respirations of tree fine roots

Abstract

Aims: We aim to show the seasonality of fine root dynamics and examine the relationship between root respiration (R_r) and fine root dynamics. In addition, we try partitioning R_r into growth (R_g) and maintenance (R_m) components.

Methods: Soil respiration (R_s), fine root biomass (B), and fine root production (P) were measured simultaneously over a growing season in adjoining deciduous (DF) and evergreen (EF) forests. The R_r was separated from R_s by the trenching method, and R_r was partitioned into R_g and R_m using an empirical model.

Results: The seasonality of P was almost the same in both forests, though that of B was different. The R_r showed a positive correlation with P in both sites. Annual R_r was estimated to be 610 (DF) and 393 (EF) g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$. Annual R_g and R_m were 121 and 166 (DF), and 86 and 182 (EF) g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$, respectively.

Conclusions: We found a clear seasonal pattern in P and a positive linearity between R_r and P. Despite considerable uncertainty due to the small sample size, presence of larger roots, and measurement uncertainty, the results suggest that our approach is capable of partitioning R_r.

Key words: biomass, chamber, ingrowth core, production, soil respiration, trenching.

Introduction

Terrestrial ecosystems have sequestered carbon dioxide (CO_2) at a rate of 3.2 ± 0.8 Pg C $year^{-1}$ during the last decade until 2016, accounting for 30% of total CO_2 emissions from fossil fuels, industry, and land-use change (Le Quéré et al. 2018). Forests, one of the carbon-richest ecosystems, covers about 30% of the land surface (Bonan 2008; Keenan et al. 2015) and was estimated to be a large CO_2 sink of 2.4 ± 0.4 Pg C $year^{-1}$ from 1990 to 2007 (Pan et al. 2011). Therefore, forests are crucial ecosystems for mitigating climate change mostly owing to capturing atmospheric CO_2 during growth.

Belowground biological processes, such as root respiration and microbial decomposition of soil organic matter, greatly contribute to the carbon balance of forest ecosystems (Davidson et al. 2006; Janssens et al. 2001). In particular, fine roots, which are commonly defined as thin roots less than 2 mm in diameter, are known for their vital role in global biogeochemical cycles (McCormack et al. 2015). Fine roots function to absorb nutrients and water from the soil and have a shorter life cycle through production, mortality, and littering with average turnover rates of about one year in temperate forests (Brunner et al. 2013; Finér et al. 2011b). In addition, despite their small biomass the contribution of fine roots to the net primary production (NPP) of forests is relatively high at 32% for boreal forest (Yuan and Chen 2010), and 22% globally (McCormack et al. 2015). Also, fine root litter is a major carbon source in forest soils (Richter et al. 1999). Therefore, fine root dynamics are key processes that govern forest productivity and biogeochemical cycles.

Fine root phenology strongly influences belowground carbon dynamics and allocation, whereas seasonality and variability in the production and respiration of fine roots are not well understood among forest types (Abramoff and Finzi 2015; McCormack et al. 2014; Radville et al. 2016). Moreover, the underlying mechanisms controlling fine root dynamics remain limited because of the lack of experimental evidence (Liu et al. 2018; Radville et al. 2016). In particular, the dynamic functions of fine roots, such as respiration, are not quantitatively understood, which has restrained the improvement of terrestrial biosphere models to predict the responses of forest ecosystems to environmental changes (McCormack et al. 2015; Warren et al. 2015). Therefore, field experiments which simultaneously measure the production and respiration of fine roots in different forest types over a growing season will help improve our qualitative understanding of the role of fine roots in forest carbon cycles.

Empirical models exist that partition plant respiration into growth and maintenance requirements (Amthor 2000; McCree 1974; Penning de Vries 1974; Thornley 1970), plus the respiratory cost of ion uptake by fine roots (Chapin III et al. 2011; Johnson 1990; Lambers et al. 2008). In these models, growth and maintenance respirations correlate linearly with production (growth) and biomass, respectively. Problems with plant respiration models occur in the quantification of respiration partitioning (Gifford 2003), which lack a mechanistic basis (Sweetlove et al. 2013). Nevertheless, laboratory experiments suggested that the models were useful for understanding the carbon balance of plants, though the partitioning would differ by plant species and environmental conditions (Lambers et al. 2008). Also, the partitioning of respiration into these functional components will help in the understanding of the ecological controls over plant respiration (Chapin III et al. 2011). These models have been adopted by terrestrial biosphere models, such as Biome-BGC (Thornton and Rosenbloom 2005), to explicitly represent autotrophic respiration as the sum of growth and maintenance respirations. However, the responses of the models' rate coefficients to abiotic and biotic factors have not been well understood (Hopkins et al. 2013) owing to the lack of field experiments (Amthor 2000). So far, there have been no comparisons of model predictions to field conditions, except for (George et al. 2003) partitioning fine root respiration in field conditions. They separately estimated fine root respiration for growth, maintenance, and ion uptake (only nitrogen) in evergreen and deciduous forests using short-term chamber measurements and literature information.

In this study, root respiration and fine root dynamics (production and biomass) were measured simultaneously in adjoining deciduous and evergreen forests in a cool temperate climate over a growing season in order to: 1) quantify root respiration with reliability, 2) compare fine root phenology between the different forest types, and 3) examine the relationship between root respiration and fine root dynamics. In addition, we try partitioning the root respiration into growth and maintenance components on an annual basis using an empirical model. After the growth and maintenance respirations of fine roots are quantified in the two forests, the model's rate coefficients are compared between the forests and to coefficients reported from laboratory and greenhouse experiments.

Materials and method

Study site

85 The study was conducted in two adjacent sites (deciduous and evergreen forests) in southern Hokkaido, northern
86 Japan (42° 44′ N, 141° 31′ E, 125 m above sea level). The soil type was volcanogenous regosol with a high water
87 permeability. In both sites, below the leaf litter and a 4 cm thick root mat, there is a 10 cm thick surface soil layer
88 (A horizon) and an unweathered pumice layer (C horizon); a B horizon is lacking (Hirano et al. 2003a).
89 The deciduous forest (DF) was established in 1957 as a Japanese larch (*Larix kaempferi*) plantation. However,
90 it was severely devastated by typhoon Songda in 2004. The typhoon blew down about 90% of the trees (Sano et
91 al. 2010). In 2005 the fallen tree stems were removed; afterwards the plantation has not been managed (Hirano et
92 al. 2017). In 2015 the dominant tree species was still Japanese larch, followed by *Ulmus davidiana* var. *japonica*
93 (Japanese elm), *Acer pictum* subsp. *dissectum* (maple), and *Quercus crispula* (oak), with a density of 2360 stems
94 ha^{-1} for trees taller than 2 m. The forest floor is covered with *Dryopteridaceae* sp. (fern) and shrub species,
95 including *Rubus idaeus* (raspberry) (Yazaki et al. 2016).
96 The evergreen forest (EF) was a Japanese spruce (*Picea glehnii*) plantation established next to the deciduous
97 forest in 1979. Spruce saplings were planted in a double row 1.3 m wide at intervals approximately 6 m between
98 rows. Almost no trees fell during typhoon Songda. Tree density was 2250 stems ha^{-1} in 2015. Understory vegetation
99 was sparse.
100 Decadal mean annual air temperature and precipitation from 2008 to 2017 were 8.3 ± 0.3°C and 1312 ± 172
101 mm (mean ± 1 standard deviation (SD)), respectively, at a meteorological station (Tomakomai) 14 km from the
102 study site. The lowest and highest monthly mean air temperatures were -3.9°C in January and 20.8°C in August,
103 respectively. About 85% of the annual precipitation falls in the snow-free period from April to November. Snow
104 usually covers the ground from early December through early April.
105
106 *Experimental design*
107 Field experiments were conducted from September 2014 to November 2015. In September 2014, to measure soil
108 CO_2 efflux, a set of four 0.5 m × 0.5 m aluminum collars were installed, where one collar was used for a control,
109 another for root sampling, the third for litter removal, and the fourth for trenching. Five replications were
110 established in each site for partitioning total soil respiration (R_s) into leaf litter decomposition (R_l), belowground

heterotrophic respiration (R_h), and root respiration (R_r). To keep root density similar, four collars in each set were placed on a circle with a 2 m radius around larch trees in the DF site, or were aligned 2 m away from a tree row in the EF site (Fig. 1). Collar spacing was 20 cm, except for the trenching collars where it was 40 cm to ease the trenching effect on the next collar. Collars were inserted only 1 to 2 cm into the soil to minimize damaging surface roots (Wang et al. 2005). To avoid lateral air leak under the collars, each collar was surrounded with a bank of soil. For control collars (CC), no treatment was applied. In sampling collars (SC), the fine root experiments (described later) were conducted. In litter removal collars (LC), 1 mm meshed screens were set between the litter layer and soil surface in early November 2014. The mesh was temporarily removed with the overlying litter, and then soil CO_2 efflux was measured without leaf litter. After the measurement, the mesh and litter were immediately put back on the collar. The trenching method was applied to remove R_r from R_s. For trenching collars (TC), four PVC boards 4 mm thick were vertically inserted to a depth of 0.3 m along a square collar in November 2014 to prevent roots from intruding. The decomposition of dead roots (R_d) left in TC was estimated by the root litter bag method (described later). R_l and R_r were calculated using the following equations:

$$R_l = R_s - R_{LC} \quad (1)$$

$$R_r = R_s - R_l - R_h = R_s - (R_{TC} - R_d) \quad (2)$$

where R_s is soil CO_2 efflux measured in CC or SC, and R_{LC} and R_{TC} are CO_2 effluxes from LC and TC, respectively.

Soil CO_2 efflux

Soil CO_2 efflux was measured once or twice a month from September 2014 to November 2015, except during the snow season. Before the treatments, CO_2 efflux was also measured in September and October 2014 to check for initial spatial variations among the CC, SC, LC, and TC collars. The measurements were conducted manually on each collar between 10:00 and 16:00 using a closed chamber system with two 50 cm tall cubic chambers made of transparent acrylic plastic (Sun et al. 2017). CO_2 concentration and air temperature inside the chamber were measured every 5 s with an infrared gas analyzer (LI820; Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NB, USA) and a thermocouple probe (MHP; Omega Engineering, Stamford, CA, USA). Outputs were recorded using a data logger (CR1000; Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA). Each chamber was closed for 180 s. Despite the short closing, soil

137 CO_2 efflux might have been underestimated by about 5% because of lateral diffusion in relatively porous root mats
138 (Hutchinson and Livingston 2001). Immediately after measurement, soil temperature (T_s) at a depth of 5 cm and
139 volumetric soil water content (SWC) of the top 5 cm layer were measured, respectively, in each collar with a
140 thermocouple probe (MHP; Omega Engineering) and a soil moisture sensor (SM150; Delta-T Devices Ltd.,
141 Cambridge, UK). Sprouts in each collar were picked carefully before each measurement. In addition, T_s at a depth
142 of 5 cm were monitored hourly in two CC at each site using button-type temperature loggers (Thermochron SL
143 type, KN laboratories, Osaka, Japan). SWC at a depth of 3 cm were also monitored every half-hour in four
144 replications at a nearby re-growing forest site using TDR sensors (CS615; Campbell Scientific, Inc.) along with
145 air temperature and precipitation (Hirano et al. 2017).
146 The relationship between soil CO_2 efflux (R, μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) and T_s (°C) was analyzed for each collar using the
147 following exponential equation:
148 $$R = a \cdot exp(b \cdot T_s) \quad (3)$$
149 where a and b are fitting parameters. To analyze the relationship with SWC, temperature-normalized soil CO_2
150 efflux (R_b, μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) was calculated using the following equation (Hirano et al. 2003b):
151 $$R_b = R \cdot exp\{b \cdot (T_b - T_s)\} \quad (4)$$
152 where T_b is base temperature set as the mean T_s at each site. Also, bivariate models combining Eq. 3 for T_s with
153 various equations for SWC (Reichstein and Janssens 2009) were applied to estimate soil CO_2 efflux. CO_2 efflux
154 was calculated hourly from the monitoring data of T_s and SWC using a best-fit model and converted into annual
155 CO_2 emission between November 2014 and November 2015.
156
157 *Dead root decomposition in trenched collars*
158 The root litter bag method was applied to assess CO_2 emissions (R_d) through the decomposition of dead roots left
159 in TC (Epron et al. 1999; Gholz et al. 2000; Silver and Miya 2001). To determine the initial dry weight of dead
160 roots (X_0, g DM m^{-2}), live roots were extracted in the same manner as in the fine root experiment (described later)
161 from 30 cm thick surface soil samples in a 0.3 m × 0.3 m area near each TC (n = 5) at each site in early November
162 2014. The roots were separated into three classes by diameter: fine (< 2 mm), medium (2–10 mm), and coarse

roots (> 10 mm). The root samples were air-dried, and parts of the samples were oven-dried at 70°C for 48 hours to determine the water content of each root class. From the water content, the dry weights of the remaining air-dried samples were determined. Air-dried samples were put into 2 mm meshed bags that were 10 cm × 10 cm; samples were 1, 3, and 5 g in dry weight, respectively, for fine, medium, and coarse roots. In each site, 25 bags for each class were buried in the A horizon near TC in late November 2014. Five bags were collected from each class five times until May 2017, and the dead roots remaining in the bags were oven-dried. The decomposition constant k (year^{-1}) was determined using the following equation (Wieder and Lang 1982):

$$Y = Y_0 \cdot exp(-k \cdot t) \quad (5)$$

where Y is the dry weight of remaining dead roots (g DM bag^{-1}) at time t (year), and Y_0 is the initial dry weight of root samples (g DM bag^{-1}). From k and X_0, annual R_d (g C m^{-2} year^{-1}) from November 2014 was calculated for each root class using a conventional conversion factor of 0.5 from root dry matter to carbon (Chapin III et al. 2011; Ravindranath and Ostwald 2008).

$$R_d = 0.5 \cdot X_o\{1 - exp(-k)\} \quad (6)$$

Fine root experiment

The sequential soil core method was applied to measure fine root biomass (B; g DM m^{-2}) and its temporal variation (ΔB; g DM m^{-2} period^{-1}). Three soil cores were sampled from each SC in mid-November 2014, early May, mid-June, early August, late September, and mid-November 2015. To confirm the trenching effect on fine root existence, soil cores were also sampled similarly from TC in early May and mid-June 2015. Using a perforated board for positioning, core sampling was made in each SC at three points, which were randomly selected from a grid with 8 cm spacing. Soil cores down to 14 cm were taken using a stainless-steel edged tube with an inner diameter of 2.4 cm. The total sampling area was 13.6 cm^2 for each collar each time, which accounted for 0.5% of the collar area (0.25 m^2). The collected core samples were first divided into the root mat (top 4 cm) and A horizon (lower 10 cm), and then washed several times with tap water to remove soil particles and fragmented litter. Next, living fine roots were extracted and were visually separated into tree and herbaceous roots in the DF site, where herbaceous plant roots were not negligible. The fine roots were dried for 48 hours at 70°C and weighed. Tree fine roots originated

189 mainly from larch trees and shrub species in the DF site, but were almost entirely from spruce trees in the EF site.

190 The ingrowth core method (e.g. (Vogt et al. 1998)) was applied to measure fine root production (*P*; g DM m^{-2}
191 $period^{-1}$). Ingrowth cores were made of plastic cylindrical frames wrapped in a 2 mm meshed sheet. The cores
192 were 14 cm long and had a diameter of 2.3 cm. Soils were taken from the A horizon in September 2014, and roots
193 were removed using a 2 mm mesh after air drying. The cores were filled with root-free, air-dried soil and inserted
194 vertically into three pits after the soil core sampling at each SC. The ingrowth cores were replaced simultaneously
195 with the sequential core sampling. Soil samples in the collected ingrowth cores were first divided into the top 4
196 cm layer (root mat) and below 10 cm (A horizon), and washed to extract living fine roots. The fine roots were
197 weighed after drying for 48 hours at 70°C. The dry matter is equivalent to *P* through each sampling interval, and
198 annual *P* was calculated as the sum of periodic *P* over a year. Using a conventional factor of 0.5, dry matter was
199 converted to carbon. Annual mortality (*M*; g DM m^{-2} $year^{-1}$) was calculated as a difference between annual *P* and
200 annual ΔB. In addition, fine-root turnover rates were calculated as the ratio of annual *P* and mean *B* (Brunner et al.
201 2013).
202
203 *Growth and maintenance respirations*
204 The simple equation of growth (R_g) and maintenance respiration (R_m) partitioning follows (Amthor 2000) and
205 (Thornley 1970):

206 $$R_r = R_g + R_m = g_R \cdot P + m_R \cdot B \qquad (7)$$

207 where g_R is the growth respiration coefficient, and m_R is the maintenance respiration coefficient. To adopt the
208 equation for field data, it was modified into:

209 $$R_r = R_g + R_m + h = c \cdot P + d \cdot exp(f \cdot T_s) \cdot B + h \qquad (8)$$

210 where *c* is g_R (g C g DM^{-1}), *d* is base respiration rate per unit biomass at 0°C (g C g DM^{-1} day^{-1}), *f* is a temperature
211 coefficient ($°C^{-1}$), T_s is soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm (°C), and *h* is residual (g C m^{-2} day^{-1}), which includes
212 the respiration of ion uptake, herbaceous roots, and thicker roots. $d \cdot \exp(f \cdot T_s)$ is equivalent to m_R (g C g DM^{-1} day^{-1}) at T_s. The parameters of *c*, *d*, *f*, and *h* were determined by curve fitting to the data set of R_r (g C m^{-2} day^{-1}), *P* (g
214 DM m^{-2} day^{-1}), *B* (g DM m^{-2}), and T_s, which were calculated at the same intervals. The R_r and T_s were averaged

over each interval of P measurement, and B was the mean of two measurements at the beginning and end of an interval. Next, R_r, P, and B were spatially averaged for each site (n = 5). Thus, the number of the data set for the four variables (R_r, T_s, P, and B) was equivalent to the frequency of P measurement (n = 5).

Statistical analysis

ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's HSD were applied to compare environmental factors, fine root biomass, fine root production, and soil CO_2 effluxes among treatments. t-test was applied to compare mean values between the sites. Parameters in the equations were determined by curve fitting to the data set (n = 5) for each forest. In addition, uncertainties (± 1 SD) of annual R_g and R_m were estimated from those of the parameters and measurements using the law of error propagation. Data analyses were conducted with a software package (Origin Pro 2015J; Origin Lab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA).

Results

Environmental conditions

Mean air temperature at 1.5 m aboveground and total precipitation measured in the nearby re-growing forest site during the growing season from May to October 2015 were 14.0°C and 822 mm, respectively, which are within the range of 1 SD from their decadal means of 14.4 ± 0.5°C and 949 ± 188 mm between 2006 and 2015. Daily mean T_s peaked in August (Fig. 2a). Mean T_s was 8.8°C in the DF site and 7.5°C in the EF site from November 2014 to November 2015. The higher T_s in the DF site was mainly caused by more incident solar radiation on the forest floor through the defoliated canopy in spring. In 2015, SWC showed a seasonal variation with a minimum in August, which was opposite to T_s (Fig. 2b).

Mean T_s was not significantly different among four treatments at both sites; however, it was significantly lower in the EF site (Table 1). In contrast, mean SWC significantly increased after trenching (TC), though no significant difference was found between the sites.

Decomposition of dead roots

241 Dead roots decayed exponentially. The decomposition constant k was higher in the DF site than in the EF site and
242 was highest for fine roots, followed by medium and coarse roots in both sites (Table 2). Using Eq. 6, annual R_d
243 was calculated for each root class using the k values and initial root biomass and totaled 131 and 89 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$,
244 respectively, in the DF and EF sites (Table 2), accounting for 20% and 16% of the initial carbon content. The
245 contribution of fine root decomposition to the total R_d was 34% and 52%, respectively, in the DF and EF sites.
246
247 *Soil CO_2 efflux*
248 Soil CO_2 efflux was measured before the treatment and showed no significant difference among four collars in all
249 five replications in each site (ANOVA, $P > 0.05$), which indicates that correction for spatial variation in CO_2 efflux
250 was unnecessary. Also, no significant difference was found between CO_2 effluxes from CC and SC collars in 2015
251 even after the start of soil core sampling (paired t-test, $P > 0.05$); mean CO_2 effluxes (± 1 SD) were 4.97 ± 4.30
252 (CC) and 4.77 ± 3.34 (SC) μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} in the DF site, 3.91 ± 2.76 (CC) and 4.02 ± 2.69 (SC) μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} in the
253 EF site. These results indicate that the effect of core sampling on CO_2 efflux was negligible. Thus, CO_2 efflux from
254 SC was used as R_s to minimize spatial discrepancy between flux measurement and root sampling.
255 Soil CO_2 efflux showed a similar seasonal variation in both sites with a peak in late July (Fig. 3). Soil CO_2
256 efflux was significantly correlated to T_s ($P < 0.001$) in an exponential manner (Eq. 3) on every collar ($R^2 > 0.55$).
257 Figure 4 shows the mean relationships for SC and TC. The Q_{10} values (mean ± 1 SD, $n = 5$) calculated using the
258 fitting parameter (b) were 2.7 ± 0.5 (SC) and 3.0 ± 0.5 (TC) in the DF site, and 2.4 ± 0.2 (SC) and 2.4 ± 0.2 (TC)
259 in the EF site. The results were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in the DF site but not significantly different ($P >$
260 0.05) between SC and TC. Soil CO_2 efflux was normalized for mean T_s (R_b) according to Eq. 4 using T_b of 14°C
261 and 12°C, respectively, in the DF and EF sites (Table 1). In both sites, R_b had no significant relationship with SWC
262 ranging between 0.1 and 0.6 m^3 m^{-3} (Fig. 5). Also, adding SWC did not improve adjusted R^2 than T_s alone. Thus,
263 using Eq. 3, hourly CO_2 efflux was estimated from T_s monitoring data for each collar and summed up over an
264 annual period starting in November 2014. The annual values ($n = 5$) were 1255 ± 401 (SC), 1044 ± 334 (LC), and
265 776 ± 83 (TC) g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ in the DF site, and 1139 ± 386 (SC), 1027 ± 323 (LC), and 835 ± 172 (TC) g C m^{-2}
266 $year^{-1}$ in the EF site. The SD was lower in TC than in SC, because spatial variations in root respiration were

267 excluded in TC. Annual R_s, R_l, R_h, and R_r were calculated from the annual soil CO_2 effluxes and R_d (Table 3). Here,
268 R_r includes not only the respiration of tree fine roots but also those of tree medium and coarse roots, and herbaceous
269 roots. The contributions of R_r to R_s were 49% and 35%, respectively, in the DF and EF sites. Also, R_d accounted
270 for 10% and 8% of R_s, respectively, in the DF and EF sites.
271
272 *Fine root dynamics*
273 Tree fine root biomass (B) showed a clear seasonal variation with a peak in September in the DF site (Fig. 6a),
274 whereas seasonality was indeterminable in herbaceous fine root biomass. In the EF site, B had less seasonality
275 (Fig. 6c). No herbaceous fine root biomass was found in the EF site. Peak B was 482 and 559 g DM m^{-2},
276 respectively, in the DF and EF sites (Figs. 6b and 6c). On average, 56% and 49% of B existed in the root mat in
277 the DF and EF sites, respectively. In TC, B in May and June 2015 were 32.0 ± 6.6 and 11.6 ± 1.4 g DM m^{-2},
278 respectively, in the DF site (n = 5), and 41.8 ± 10.2 and 16.4 ± 3.0 g DM m^{-2}, respectively, in the EF site (n = 5).
279 B decreased significantly between May and June ($P < 0.001$) in both sites. In June 2015, B in the trenched collars
280 (TC) decreased to 3% in comparison with the non-trenched collars (SC) in both sites.
281 No herbaceous fine root was found in the ingrowth cores even in the DF site, probably because herbaceous
282 plants were picked out periodically. Tree fine root production (P) showed a distinct seasonality with a peak between
283 2.3 to 2.5 g DM m^{-2} day^{-1} in summer (mid-June to early August) in both sites (Figs. 6d and 6e). The seasonal
284 variations of B and P were similar in the DF site (Figs. 6b and 6d). Even during winter and early spring between
285 November 21, 2014 and May 1, 2015, P was measured at 0.14 ± 0.08 and 0.22 ± 0.14 g DM m^{-2} day^{-1} in the DF
286 and EF sites, respectively; P was not significantly different between the sites (P = 0.26). The P was positively
287 correlated ($P < 0.05$) with mean T_s during core-sampling intervals (Fig. 7) but showed no significant relationship
288 with SWC ($P > 0.05$, data not shown). In both sites, R_r was significantly correlated with P (Fig. 8, $P < 0.05$), but
289 not with B ($P > 0.05$, data not shown).
290 Annual P was not significantly different between the DF and EF sites (Table 4). Although the root mat was only
291 4 cm thick, P in the root mat accounted for 45 to 48% of total P. Mean B was significantly larger in the EF site (P
292 < 0.01), although the difference was not significant if herbaceous fine root biomass was included ($P > 0.05$). In

293 the DF site, herbaceous fine root biomass accounted for 25% (110 g DM m^{-2}) of total *B*. In November 2014, fine
294 root accounted for 20% and 33% of total tree root biomass, respectively, in the DF and EF sites (Table 2). The tree
295 fine root turnover rate was 1.08 ± 0.36 $year^{-1}$ in the DF site, which was significantly larger than 0.68 ± 0.12 $year^{-1}$
296 in the EF site ($P < 0.05$).
297
298 *Partitioning root respiration into growth and maintenance components*
299 R_r was partitioned into R_g and R_m using Eq. 8. In both sites, no significant correlation was found between *P* and *B*
300 ($P > 0.05$). Despite a relatively high R^2 (≥ 0.87), the fitting was not significant ($P \geq 0.065$) because of the small
301 number of samples ($n = 5$) for both sites (Table 5). All four parameters were not significantly different between
302 the sites ($P \geq 0.55$). The Q_{10} of R_m calculated from *f* (temperature coefficient) were 3.3 and 2.6, respectively, for
303 DF and EF. Using these parameters, annual R_g and R_m were calculated (Table 6). The annual totals ($R_g + R_m + h$)
304 were 638 and 396 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$, respectively, in the DF and EF sites. These totals exceeded R_r by 28 and 3 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$, respectively, in the DF and EF sites. The contribution of R_g to the total was almost the same (19 vs. 22%),
306 though R_m was larger in the EF site (26 vs. 46%). As a result, *h* contributed more in the DF site.
307
308 **Discussion**
309 *Soil respiration and root respiration*
310 Root respiration (R_r) was calculated as the residual of total soil respiration (R_s) after subtracting leaf litter
311 decomposition (R_l) and soil heterotrophic respiration (R_h) (Eq. 2). Thus, R_r corresponds to mycorrhizosphere
312 respiration, which consists of not only respiration of living root tissue but also rhizomicrobial and mycorrhizal
313 respiration (Moyano et al. 2009). There was no significant difference in soil CO_2 efflux between control (CT) and
314 sampling collars (SC) even after soil sampling. Thus, soil CO_2 efflux on SC was treated as R_s, because it enabled
315 us to directly compare soil CO_2 efflux and fine root dynamics in the same collar.
316 Trenching is a commonly used method to estimate soil heterotrophic respiration, although it potentially causes
317 biases owing to dead root decomposition, lack of water uptake by roots, and lack of root litter input (Epron et al.
318 1999; Hanson et al. 2000; Subke et al. 2006). In this study, dead root decomposition (R_d) was quantified by the

litter bag method. Soil water content (SWC) was significantly higher in TC (Table 1), but no relationship with soil CO_2 efflux was found using bivariate models and temperature-normalized CO_2 efflux (Fig. 5), suggesting that an increase in SWC minimally affected soil CO_2 efflux. Tree fine root biomass (B) in TC was only 3% in comparison with SC in June 2015, which indicates that trenching worked effectively. In contrast, belowground microbial respiration was probably underestimated to a small extent due to the lack of root litter input (Subke et al. 2006). Also, trenching killed ectomycorrhizal fungi and consequently might have enhanced the decomposition of soil organic matter by saprotrophic fungi (Gadgil effect) (Fernandez and Kennedy 2016).

The annual R_s of 1255 and 1139 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ (Table 3) estimated from hourly T_s, were relatively large but within the range of reported values from temperate forests (Subke et al. 2006), which were 828 ± 267 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ for coniferous forests and 976 ± 514 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ for deciduous forests, respectively (mean ± 1 SD, n = 28). Our results were also larger than the annual R_s of 760 ± 40 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ measured for 8 years in a Japanese larch plantation in central Japan (Teramoto et al. 2017), which had an annual air temperature (8.6°C) similar to our site (8.3°C). Before the typhoon disturbance, annual R_s was 934 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ in the DF site in 2003 (Liang et al. 2010), which was smaller by 321 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$ than in 2015 despite having similar annual mean soil temperatures (8.6°C in 2003 and 8.8°C in 2015). The larger R_s in 2015 was partly due to higher soil temperature during the summer. In 2015, soil temperature in July and August were higher on average by 2.4°C because of a sparser canopy. The annual contributions of R_r to R_s (R_r / R_s) were 0.49 and 0.35, respectively, in the DF and EF sites (Table 3). These ratios were compatible with results from a meta-analysis (Subke et al. 2006), where R_r / R_s was calculated to be 0.43 ± 0.21 for deciduous forests and 0.49 ± 0.14 for coniferous forests (n = 28, respectively).

The decomposition of dead tree roots was well explained with the rate constant of k (Table 2). The k was higher in the DF site than in the EF site (0.16–0.42 vs. 0.10–0.29 $year^{-1}$) and highest for fine roots. A study conducted in a beech forest in France showed k values of 0.38 $year^{-1}$ for fine roots and 0.22 $year^{-1}$ for coarse roots (> 2 mm) (Epron et al. 1999), which is similar to the result in the DF site. Also, a meta-analysis reported that mean k values for fine roots were 0.44 and 0.17 $year^{-1}$, respectively, for broadleaf and coniferous forests, and k values became lower with root diameter (Silver and Miya 2001). Annual R_d were 131 and 89 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$, respectively, for DF and EF sites (Table 3), which accounted for 10% and 8% of annual R_s, respectively. If the R_d was neglected in Eq.

345 2, R_r would be calculated to be 479 and 304 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$, respectively, for DF and EF sites, which would
346 underestimate R_r by 21% and 23%. In a beech forest, (Epron et al. 1999) reported that R_r was 40% underestimated
347 by annual R_d of 160 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$.
348
349 *Fine root dynamics*
350 We applied the sequential coring method for tree fine root biomass (*B*) and the ingrowth core method for tree fine
351 root production (*P*) for a total soil depth of 14 cm. In this area 83–85% of fine roots existed in the surface 15 cm
352 of the soil layer (Sakai et al. 2007), suggesting underestimation of *B* and *P* in this study. The ingrowth core method
353 has been widely applied mainly because of its easy applicability (Addo-Danso et al. 2016). However, the ingrowth
354 core method tends to underestimate *P* in comparison with the minirhizotron method, which yields more reliable
355 estimates (Addo-Danso et al. 2016; Finér et al. 2011b; Hendricks et al. 2006; Majdi et al. 2005). Thus, our *P* results
356 could be underestimated.
357 In both sites, *P* showed a clear seasonality with a peak in summer and was found to be 0.14–0.22 g DM m^{-2}
358 day^{-1} even during a period from winter through early spring (Fig. 6). The period ranged from November 21, 2014
359 to May 1, 2015, in which albedo indicated that snow covered the ground from December 2 to April 4 in a nearby
360 re-growing forest site (Hirano et al. 2017). Mean soil temperature in the period was 1.4 and 0.4°C, respectively, in
361 the DF and EF sites. A delay in temperature rise in April in the EF site (Fig. 2) was caused by delayed thaw due to
362 a dense canopy, indicating that snow covered the ground until April 20 in the EF site. In addition, larch trees were
363 still leafless on May 1, 2015. In this area larch trees usually begin to leaf out in early May (Hirano et al. 2003a).
364 Therefore, despite including early spring after thaw the measured *P* suggests that tree fine roots grew during winter
365 (Radville et al. 2016).
366 The seasonal *P* was well explained by soil temperature (T_s) (Fig. 7). Similar seasonal variations were reported
367 from many boreal and temperate forests (Brassard et al. 2009; Fukuzawa et al. 2013; Noguchi et al. 2005; Steinaker
368 et al. 2010; Tierney et al. 2003). Also, *B* increased in summer in the DF site, whereas no clear seasonal variation
369 was found in the EF site (Fig. 6). Although summer *B* was comparable, winter *B* was greater in the EF site. The
370 discrepancy in seasonality was probably attributed to the life span of fine roots. Mean life span, as the reciprocal

of a turnover rate, was 0.93 and 1.47 year, respectively, in the DF and EF sites (Table 4). In the EF site, the longer life span may not have decreased *B* even in winter. In boreal and temperate forests, some studies reported seasonal variations similar to the DF site (Brassard et al. 2009; Coleman et al. 2000), but other studies found no seasonality (Fukuzawa et al. 2013; Makkonen and Helmisaari 1998; Noguchi et al. 2005).

Annual mean *B* was 340 and 516 g DM m^{-2}, respectively, in the DF and EF sites (Table 4). These values are smaller than mean values of 505 g DM m^{-2} in deciduous broadleaf forests and 607 g DM m^{-2} in evergreen conifer forests in temperate regions (Finér et al. 2011a). The reasons for the smaller *B* are possibly because of the thin surface soil in both sites, tree thinning by the typhoon in the DF site, and possibly limited sampling depth. Annual *P* in the two sites were almost the same (368 vs. 352 g DM m^{-2} $year^{-1}$) despite the large difference of *B*. As a result, turnover rates, which are defined as the ratio of annual *P* and mean *B*, were significantly higher in the DF site (1.09 $year^{-1}$) than in the EF site (0.68 $year^{-1}$). If the maximum *B* is used instead of mean *B* (Brunner et al. 2013), turnover rates of the two sites are almost identical (0.30 vs. 0.31 $year^{-1}$). Although the ingrowth core method was used in this study, the annual *P* was similar to the mean *P* of temperate forests (337 g DM m^{-2} $year^{-1}$), which was measured by various methods, especially using the sequential coring method (Finér et al. 2011b). Also, the *P* of this study was slightly larger than that measured by the minirhizotron method from a cedar plantation (320 g DM m^{-2} $year^{-1}$) in central Japan (Noguchi et al. 2005). A review paper showed that the turnover rates from mean *B* were 1.10 $year^{-1}$ for both deciduous *Fagus sylvatics* and evergreen *Picea abies* (Brunner et al. 2013). These turnover rates are almost identical with the DF turnover rate. The turnover rate of the EF site was smaller than that of *Picea abies*.

Growth and maintenance respiration

R_r was partitioned into growth (R_g) and maintenance respirations (R_m) of tree fine roots using a model (Eq. 8) by multiple regression. The defects of the model include the lack of respiration due to root-derived compounds (rhizomicrobial and mycorrhizal respiration) and ion uptake. In addition, respiration except tree fine roots were not included in the analyses. Moreover, *B* and *P* were probably underestimated because of the limited sampling depth of 14 cm and the use of the ingrowth core method. These facts certainly resulted in considerable uncertainty. Rhizomicrobial and mycorrhizal respiration may be related to *P* and *B*, because they depend on rhizodeposits and

carbohydrates derived from roots (Moyano et al. 2009). Ion uptake respiration is expected to correlate to tree photosynthesis (Lambers et al. 2008). Although we did not consider ion uptake respiration, gross primary production (GPP) of the larch forest growing in the DF site before the typhoon disturbance peaked in June-July (Hirano et al. 2003a; Hirata et al. 2007). Also, in an evergreen conifer forest of *Pinus resinosa* in Japan, GPP peaked in June-August (Mizoguchi et al. 2012). These seasonal variations of GPP are like those of P with a peak in summer (Fig. 6). Thus, ion uptake respiration was probably partly included in R_g. The residual (h) was much larger in the DF site (Table 6), which is consistent with the fact that B accounted for only 20% of total tree root biomass (Table 2), and herbaceous root biomass accounted for 24% of total fine root biomass (Table 4).

The sums of R_g and R_m, which were rough estimates of tree fine root respiration, were similar between the DF and EF sites (287 vs. 268 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$; Table 6), although their contributions to total root respiration were different (45 vs. 68%). (George et al. 2003) used short-term chamber measurements and published information to partition fine root respiration into growth, maintenance, and ion uptake (only nitrogen) components in deciduous (*Liquidambar styraciflua*) and evergreen (*Pinus taeda*) forests. They reported that annual fine root respiration in the deciduous and evergreen forests were 245 and 639 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$, respectively, and maintenance respiration accounted for 86 and 98% of the total fine root respiration, respectively. Although annual P (345 g DM m^{-2} $year^{-1}$) of the deciduous forest was almost identical to that (368 g DM m^{-2} $year^{-1}$) of the DF site (Table 4), growth respiration (24 g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$) was only 20% of the DF's R_g (Table 6). Their ion uptake respiration was less than 4% of total fine root respiration. When limited to the growing season between May and November, tree fine root respiration (R_g plus R_m) were calculated to be 260 and 230 g C m^{-2}, respectively, in the DF and EF sites. These values are similar to those estimated from chamber measurements for *Quercus rubra* (229 g C m^{-2}), *Tsuga* canadensis (242 g C m^{-2}), and *Fraxinus alba* (270 g C m^{-2}) in the Harvard Forest (Abramoff and Finzi 2016).

The R_g accounted for 42 and 32% of the total fine root respiration in the DF and EF sites, respectively (Table 6). The higher contribution in the DF site might be due to its higher turnover rate (Lambers et al. 2008). Growth (g_R) and maintenance (m_R) coefficients (Eq. 7) of whole root respiration for some species have been determined from growth chamber or greenhouse experiments. Using the units of mmol O_2 (g DM)$^{-1}$ for g_R and nmol O_2 (g DM)$^{-1}$ s^{-1} for m_R, and explicitly including the respiration of ion uptake in g_R, whole root g_R and m_R, respectively,

were 11 and 26 for *Dactylis glomerata*, 19 and 21 for *Festuca ovina*, 12 and 6 for *Quercus suber*, and 18 and 22 for *Triticum aestivum* (Lambers et al. 2008). For *Eucalyptus* sp. cuttings, g_R and m_R of whole root respiration were 5.2 mmol CO_2 (g DM)$^{-1}$ and 9.7 nmol CO_2 (g DM)$^{-1}$ s^{-1}, respectively, at 22°C (Thongo M'Bou et al. 2010), in which ion uptake respiration was not separated from R_g. As for fine roots in field conditions (George et al. 2003), g_R coefficients were 5.1 (*P. taeda*) and 5.8 mmol CO_2 (g DM)$^{-1}$ (*L. styraciflua*), and m_R coefficients were 8.9 (*P. taeda*) and 10.2 nmol CO_2 (g DM)$^{-1}$ s^{-1} (*L. styraciflua*) at 25°C. In our study for tree fine roots, g_R (= c) were 26.7 and 20.0 mmol CO_2 (g DM)$^{-1}$, respectively, in the DF and EF sites. In addition, m_R (= $d \cdot \exp(f \cdot T_s)$) were 5.5 (DF) and 2.7 (EF) nmol CO_2 (g DM)$^{-1}$ s^{-1} at 22°C, and 7.9 (DF) and 3.6 (EF) nmol CO_2 (g DM)$^{-1}$ s^{-1} at 25°C. The m_R increases with T_s according to Q_{10} of 3.3 and 2.6, respectively, in the DF and EF sites. Although the respiratory quotient should be considered, g_R of our study was relatively higher than those of the other species. However, our study's m_R were lower. Most of the differences in the coefficients possibly arose from differences in chemical composition of the roots, different rates of alternative pathway respiration, and different methods used (Lambers et al. 2008). In addition, differences between whole root respiration and fine root respiration would be another reason for the different coefficients.

Conclusions

We conducted a year-round field experiment to measure the production and biomass of tree fine roots, and soil respiration simultaneously in adjoining deciduous and evergreen forests on the same soil type. The periodic measurement of the three items was made in the same collar to minimize inconsistency among items by spatial positions. Using the field data, we partitioned tree fine root respiration into its growth and maintenance components on an annual basis by multiple regression using an empirical model. Growth (g_R) and maintenance (m_R) coefficients of the model were not significantly different between the forests. In comparison with reported values from laboratory or greenhouse experiments, in our study g_R was higher, but m_R was lower. Considerable uncertainty in the partitioning arose from a small sample size, the existence of thicker roots, and uncertainty in field measurement. However, the results suggest the availability of the approach using the model in field. To obtain more reliable results, ion uptake respiration should be incorporated into the model.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (nos. 25241002 and 17K20037) and the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund (2-1705) of the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency. We thank the Hokkaido Regional Office of the Forestry Agency for allowing us to use the study site, and N. Saigusa, R. Hirata and the staff of CGER for managing the site, and K. Fukuzawa and K. Takagi for teaching us how to measure fine roots.

457 **References**

458

459 Abramoff RZ, Finzi A (2016) Seasonality and partitioning of root allocation to rhizosphere soils in
460 a midlatitude forest. Ecosphere 7: 1-19. doi: e01547. 10.1002/ecs2.1547.
461 Abramoff RZ, Finzi AC (2015) Are above- and below-ground phenology in sync? New Phytologist
462 205: 1054-1061. doi: 10.1111/nph.13111.
463 Addo-Danso SD, Prescott CE, Smith AR (2016) Methods for estimating root biomass and production
464 in forest and woodland ecosystem carbon studies: A review. Forest Ecol Manag 359: 332-
465 351. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2015.08.015.
466 Amthor J, S. (2000) The McCree-de Wit-Penning deVries-Thornly respiration paradigms: 30 years
467 later. Ann Bot-London 86: 1-20.
468 Bonan G (2008) Forests and climate change: forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests.
469 Science 320: 1444-1449.
470 Brassard BW, Chen HYH, Bergeron Y (2009) Influence of Environmental Variability on Root
471 Dynamics in Northern Forests. Crit Rev Plant Sci 28: 179-197. doi:
472 10.1080/07352680902776572.
473 Brunner I, Bakker MR, Björk RG, Hirano Y, Lukac M, Aranda X, Børja I, Eldhuset TD, Helmisaari
474 HS, Jourdan C, Konôpka B, López BC, Miguel Pérez C, Persson H, Ostonen I (2013) Fine-
475 root turnover rates of European forests revisited: an analysis of data from sequential coring
476 and ingrowth cores. Plant Soil 362: 357-372. doi: 10.1007/s11104-012-1313-5.
477 Chapin III FS, Matson PA, Vitousek PM (2011) Plant Respiration. Principles of Terrestrial
478 Ecosystem Ecology. Second Edition edn. Springe, New York.
479 Coleman MD, Dickson RE, Isebrands JG (2000) Contrasting fine-root production, survival and soil
480 CO2 efflux in pine and poplar plantations. Plant Soil 225: 129-139.
481 Davidson EA, Richardson AD, Savage KE, Hollinger D (2006) A distinct seasonal pattern of the
482 ratio of soil respiration to total ecosystem respiration in a spruce-dominated forest. Global
483 Change Biol 12: 230-239. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01062.x.
484 Epron D, Farque L, Lucot E, Badot PM (1999) Soil CO_2 efflux in a beech forest: the contribution of
485 root respiataion. Annals of Forest Science 56: 289-295.
486 Fernandez CW, Kennedy PG (2016) Revisiting the 'Gadgil effect': do interguild fungal interactions
487 control carbon cycling in forest soils? New Phytol 209: 1382-1394. doi: 10.1111/nph.13648.
488 Finér L, Ohashi M, Noguchi K, Hirano Y (2011a) Factors causing variation in fine root biomass in
489 forest ecosystems. Forest Ecol Manag 261: 265-277. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2010.10.016.
490 Finér L, Ohashi M, Noguchi K, Hirano Y (2011b) Fine root production and turnover in forest
491 ecosystems in relation to stand and environmental characteristics. Forest Ecol Manag 262:
492 2008-2023. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.08.042.
493 Fukuzawa K, Shibata H, Takagi K, Satoh F, Koike T, Sasa K (2013) Temporal variation in fine-root

494 biomass, production and mortality in a cool temperate forest covered with dense understory
495 vegetation in northern Japan. Forest Ecol Manag 310: 700-710. doi:
496 10.1016/j.foreco.2013.09.015.
497 George K, Norby RJ, Hamilton JG, DeLucia EH (2003) Fine-root respiration in a loblolly pine and
498 sweetgum forest growing in elevated CO2. New Phytologist 160: 511-522. doi:
499 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00911.x.
500 Gholz HL, Wein DA, Smitherman SM, Harmon ME, Parton WJ (2000) Long-term dynamics of pine
501 and hardwood litter in contrasting environments: toward a global model of decomposition.
502 Global Change Biol 6: 751-765.
503 Gifford RM (2003) Plant respiration in productivity models: conceptualisation, representation and
504 issues for global terrestrial carbon-cycle research. Functional Plant Biology 30: 171. doi:
505 10.1071/fp02083.
506 Hanson PJ, Edwards NT, Garten CT, Andrews JA (2000) Separation root and soil microbial
507 contributions to soil respiration: A review of mehotds and observations. Biogeochemistry
508 48: 115-146.
509 Hendricks JJ, Hendrick RL, Wilson CA, Mitchell RJ, Pecot SD, Guo D (2006) Assessing the patterns
510 and controls of fine root dynamics: an empirical test and methodological review. J Ecol 94:
511 40-57. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2005.01067.x.
512 Hirano T, Hirata R, Fujinuma Y, Saigusa N, Yamamoto S, Harazono Y, Takada M, Inukai K, Inoue
513 G (2003a) CO2 and water vapor exchange of a larch forest in northern Japan. Tellus B 55:
514 244-257. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0889.2003.00063.x.
515 Hirano T, Kim H, Tanaka Y (2003b) Long-term half-hourly measurement of soil CO2 concentration
516 and soil respiration in a temperate deciduous forest. J Geophys Res-Atmos 108. doi:
517 10.1029/2003JD003766.
518 Hirano T, Suzuki K, Hirata R (2017) Energy balance and evapotranspiration changes in a larch
519 forest caused by severe disturbance during an early secondary succession. Agr Forest
520 Meteorol 232: 457-468. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.10.003.
521 Hirata R, Hirano T, Saigusa N, Fujinuma Y, Inukai K, Kitamori Y, Takahashi Y, Yamamoto S (2007)
522 Seasonal and interannual variations in carbon dioxide exchange of a temperate larch forest.
523 Agr Forest Meteorol 147: 110-124. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.07.005.
524 Hopkins F, Gonzalez-Meler MA, Flower CE, Lynch DJ, Czimczik C, Tang J, Subke J-A (2013)
525 Ecosystem-level controls on root-rhizosphere respiration. New Phytologist 199: 339-351.
526 doi: 10.1111/nph.12271.
527 Hutchinson GL, Livingston GP (2001) Vents and seals in non-steady-state chambers used for
528 measuring gas exchange between soil and the atmosphere. Eur J Soil Sci 52: 675-682.
529 Janssens IA, Lankreijer H, Matteucci G, Kowalski AS, Buchmann N, Epron D, Pilegaard K, Kutsch
530 W, Longdoz B, Grunwald T, Montagnani L, Dore S, Rebmann C, Moors EJ, Grelle A, Rannik
531 U, Morgenstern K, Oltchev S, Clement R, Gudmundsson J, Minerbi S, Berbigier P, Ibrom

532 A, Moncrieff J, Aubinet M, Bernhofer C, Jensen NO, Vesala T, Granier A, Schulze ED,
533 Lindroth A, Dolman AJ, Jarvis PG, Ceulemans R, Valentini R (2001) Productivity
534 overshadows temperature in determining soil and ecosystem respiration across European
535 forests. Global Change Biol 7: 269-278.
536 Johnson IR (1990) Plant respiration in relation to growth, maintenance, ion uptake and nitrogen
537 assimilation. Plant Cell Environ 13: 319-328.
538 Keenan RJ, Reams GA, Achard F, de Freitas JV, Grainger A, Lindquist E (2015) Dynamics of global
539 forest area: Results from the FAO Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015. Forest Ecol
540 Manag 352: 9-20. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2015.06.014.
541 Lambers H, Chapin III FS, Pons TL (2008) The Role of Respiration in Plant Carbon Balance.
542 Plant Physiological Ecology. Second Edition edn. Springer, New York.
543 Le Quéré C, Andrew RM, Friedlingstein P, Sitch S, Hauck J, Pongratz J, Pickers PA, Korsbakken
544 JI, Peters GP, Canadell JG, Arneth A, Arora VK, Barbero L, Bastos A, Bopp L, Chevallier
545 F, Chini LP, Ciais P, Doney SC, Gkritzalis T, Goll DS, Harris I, Haverd V, Hoffman FM,
546 Hoppema M, Houghton RA, Hurtt G, Ilyina T, Jain AK, Johannessen T, Jones CD, Kato E,
547 Keeling RF, Goldewijk KK, Landschützer P, Lefèvre N, Lienert S, Liu Z, Lombardozzi D,
548 Metzl N, Munro DR, Nabel JEMS, Nakaoka S-i, Neill C, Olsen A, Ono T, Patra P, Peregon
549 A, Peters W, Peylin P, Pfeil B, Pierrot D, Poulter B, Rehder G, Resplandy L, Robertson E,
550 Rocher M, Rödenbeck C, Schuster U, Schwinger J, Séférian R, Skjelvan I, Steinhoff T,
551 Sutton A, Tans PP, Tian H, Tilbrook B, Tubiello FN, van der Laan-Luijkx IT, van der Werf
552 GR, Viovy N, Walker AP, Wiltshire AJ, Wright R, Zaehle S, Zheng B (2018) Global Carbon
553 Budget 2018. Earth System Science Data 10: 2141-2194. doi: 10.5194/essd-10-2141-2018.
554 Liang N, Hirano T, Zheng ZM, Tang J, Fujinuma Y (2010) Soil CO2 efflux of a larch forest in
555 northern Japan. Biogeosciences 7: 3447-3457. doi: 10.5194/bg-7-3447-2010.
556 Liu S, Luo D, Yang H, Shi Z, Liu Q, Zhang L, Kang Y (2018) Fine Root Dynamics in Three Forest
557 Types with Different Origins in a Subalpine Region of the Eastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau.
558 Forests 9: 517. doi: 10.3390/f9090517.
559 Majdi H, Pregitzer K, Morén A-S, Nylund J-E, I. Ågren G (2005) Measuring Fine Root Turnover in
560 Forest Ecosystems. Plant Soil 276: 1-8. doi: 10.1007/s11104-005-3104-8.
561 Makkonen K, Helmisaari HS (1998) Seasonal and yearly variations of fine-root biomass and
562 necromass in a Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) stand. Forest Ecol Manag 102: 283-290.
563 McCormack ML, Adams TS, Smithwick AH, Eissenstat DM (2014) Variability in root production,
564 phenology, and turnover rate among 12 temperate tree species. Ecology 95: 2224-2235.
565 McCormack ML, Dickie IA, Eissenstat DM, Fahey TJ, Fernandez CW, Guo D, Helmisaari HS,
566 Hobbie EA, Iversen CM, Jackson RB, Leppalammi-Kujansuu J, Norby RJ, Phillips RP,
567 Pregitzer KS, Pritchard SG, Rewald B, Zadworny M (2015) Redefining fine roots improves
568 understanding of below-ground contributions to terrestrial biosphere processes. New
569 Phytol 207: 505-518. doi: 10.1111/nph.13363.

McCree KJ (1974) Equations for the rate of dark respiration of white clove and grain sorghum, as functions of dry weight, photosynthetic rate, and temperature. Crop Sci 14: 509-514.

Mizoguchi Y, Ohtani Y, Takanashi S, Iwata H, Yasuda Y, Nakai Y (2012) Seasonal and interannual variation in net ecosystem production of an evergreen needleleaf forest in Japan. J Forest Res-Jpn 17: 283-295. doi: 10.1007/s10310-011-0307-0.

Moyano F, Atkin OK, Bahn M, Bruhn D, Burton AJ, Heinemeyer A, Kutsch W, Wieser G (2009) Respiration from roots and the mychorrhizosphere. In: W Kutsch, M Bahn, A Heinemeyer (eds) Soil Carbon Dynamics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Noguchi K, Sakata T, Mizoguchi T, Takahashi M (2005) Estimating the production and mortality of fine roots in a Japanese cedar (Cryptomeria japonica D. Don) plantation using a minirhizotron technique. J Forest Res-Jpn 10: 435-441. doi: 10.1007/s10310-005-0163-x.

Pan Y, Birdsey RA, Fang J, Houghton R, Kauppi PE, Kurz WA, Phillips OL, Shvidenko A, Lewis SL, Canadell JG, Ciais P, Jackson RB, Pacala SW, McGuire AD, Piao S, Rautiainen A, Sitch S, Hayes D (2011) A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. Science 333: 988-993. doi: 10.1126/science.1201609.

Penning de Vries FWT (1974) Substrate utilization and respiration in relation to growth and maintenance in higher plants. Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science 22: 40-44.

Radville L, McCormack ML, Post E, Eissenstat DM (2016) Root phenology in a changing climate. J Exp Bot 67: 3617-3628. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw062.

Ravindranath NH, Ostwald M (2008) Carbon Inventory Methods: Handbook for Greenhouse Gas Inventory, Carbon Mitigation and Roundwood Production Projects. Springer.

Reichstein M, Janssens IA (2009) Semi-empirical modeling of the response of soil respiration to environmental factors in laboratory and field conditions. In: W Kutsch, M Bahn, A Heinemeyer (eds) Soil Carbon Dynamics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Richter DD, Markewitz D, Trumbore SE, Wells CG (1999) Rapid accumulation and turnover of soil carbon in a re-establishing forest. Nature 400: 56-58.

Sakai Y, Takahashi M, Tanaka N (2007) Root biomass and distribution of a Picea—Abies stand and a Larix—Betula stand in pumiceous Entisols in Japan. J Forest Res-Jpn 12: 120-125. doi: 10.1007/s10310-006-0270-3.

Sano T, Hirano T, Liang N, Hirata R, Fujinuma Y (2010) Carbon dioxide exchange of a larch forest after a typhoon disturbance. Forest Ecol Manag 260: 2214-2223. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2010.09.026.

Silver WL, Miya RK (2001) Global patterns in root decomposition: comparisons of climate and litter quality effects. Oecologia 129: 407-419. doi: 10.1007/s004420100740.

Steinaker DF, Wilson SD, Peltzer DA (2010) Asynchronicity in root and shoot phenology in grasses and woody plants. Global Change Biol 16: 2241-2251. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02065.x.

Subke J-A, Inglima I, Francesca Cotrufo M (2006) Trends and methodological impacts in soil CO2 efflux partitioning: A metaanalytical review. Global Change Biol 12: 921-943. doi:

608 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01117.x.
609 Sun LF, Teramoto M, Liang N, Yazaki T, Hirano T (2017) Comparison of litter-bag and chamber
610 methods for measuring CO2 emissions from leaf litter decomposition in a temperate forest.
611 Journal of Agricultural Meteorology 73: 59-67. doi: 10.2480/agrmet.D-16-00012.
612 Sweetlove LJ, Williams TC, Cheung CY, Ratcliffe RG (2013) Modelling metabolic CO(2) evolution-
613 -a fresh perspective on respiration. Plant Cell Environ 36: 1631-1640. doi:
614 10.1111/pce.12105.
615 Teramoto M, Liang N, Zeng J, Saigusa N, Takahashi Y (2017) Long-term chamber measurements
616 reveal strong impacts of soil temperature on seasonal and inter-annual variation in
617 understory CO 2 fluxes in a Japanese larch (Larix kaempferi Sarg.) forest. Agr Forest
618 Meteorol 247: 194-206. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.07.024.
619 Thongo M'Bou A, Saint-André L, de Grandcourt A, Nouvellon Y, Jourdan C, Mialoundama F, Epron
620 D (2010) Growth and maintenance respiration of roots of clonal Eucalyptus cuttings: scaling
621 to stand-level. Plant Soil 332: 41-53. doi: 10.1007/s11104-009-0272-y.
622 Thornley JHM (1970) Respiration, growth and maintenance in plants. Nature 227: 304-305.
623 Thornton PE, Rosenbloom NA (2005) Ecosystem model spin-up: Estimating steady state conditions
624 in a coupled terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycle model. Ecol Model 189: 25-48. doi:
625 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.04.008.
626 Tierney G, Fahey TJ, Groffman PM, Hardy JP, Fitzhugh RD, Driscoll CT, Yavitt JB (2003)
627 Environmental control of fine root dynamics in a northern hardwood forest. Global Change
628 Biol 9: 670-679.
629 Vogt KA, Vogt DJ, Bloomfield J (1998) Analysis of some direct and indirect methods for estimating
630 root biomass and production of forests at an ecosystem level. Plant Soil 200: 71-89.
631 Wang W, Zu Y, Wang H, Hirano T, Takagi K, Sasa K, Koike T (2005) Effect of collar insertion on soil
632 respiration in a larch forest measured with a LI-6400 soil CO2 flux system. J Forest Res-
633 Jpn 10: 57-60. doi: 10.1007/s10310-004-0102-2.
634 Warren JM, Hanson PJ, Iversen CM, Kumar J, Walker AP, Wullschleger SD (2015) Root structural
635 and functional dynamics in terrestrial biosphere models--evaluation and recommendations.
636 New Phytol 205: 59-78. doi: 10.1111/nph.13034.
637 Wieder RK, Lang GE (1982) A critique of the analytical methods used in examining decomposition
638 data obtained from litter bags. Ecology 63: 1636-1642.
639 Yazaki T, Hirano T, Sano T (2016) Biomass Accumulation and Net Primary Production during the
640 Early Stage of Secondary Succession after a Severe Forest Disturbance in Northern Japan.
641 Forests 7. doi: 10.3390/f7110287.
642 Yuan ZY, Chen H (2010) Fine Root Biomass, Production, Turnover Rates, and Nutrient Contents in
643 Boreal Forest Ecosystems in Relation to Species, Climate, Fertility, and Stand Age:
644 Literature Review and Meta-Analyses. Crit Rev Plant Sci 29: 204-221. doi:
645 10.1080/07352689.2010.483579.

646 **Table 1** Soil temperature (T_s) at a depth of 5 cm and soil water content (SWC) of 5-cm-thick surface soil in chamber
647 collars with four different treatments (CC, SC, LC and TC). T_s and SWC were measured simultaneously with
648 soil CO_2 efflux once or twice a month between May 2015 and November 2015. Mean ± 1 SD of all
649 measurements is shown (n = 12). Different letters in the same row denote significant difference among the
650 treatments and between the sites ($P < 0.05$) according to Tukey's HSD after ANOVA ($P < 0.01$).

Treatment	Site	T_s (°C)	SWC ($m^3\ m^{-3}$)
CC	DF	14.7 ± 0.9a	0.25 ± 0.05a
	EF	12.4 ± 0.4b	0.28 ± 0.04a
SC	DF	14.7 ± 0.9a	0.27 ± 0.07a
	EF	12.5 ± 0.4b	0.26 ± 0.07a
LC	DF	14.6 ± 0.8a	0.29 ± 0.08a
	EF	12.5 ± 0.4b	0.25 ± 0.06a
TC	DF	14.4 ± 0.8a	0.43 ± 0.09b
	EF	12.3 ± 0.3b	0.35 ± 0.06b
ANOVA (P value)	Site	<0.0001	0.25
	Treatment	0.85	0.0004
	Interaction	0.98	0.35

651

652 **Table 2** Biomass of tree fine (< 2 mm), medium (< 10 mm) and coarse (> 10 mm) roots sampled in November
653 2014 from 30-cm-thick surface soil. Mean ± 1 SD (n = 5) is shown. The decomposition constant (k)
654 determined by curve fitting to Eq. 5 (R^2) and annual decomposition (R_d) of tree dead roots in trenched
655 collars (TC) were also shown. Numbers in parentheses denote the percentage against Total.

Site	Root class	Initial biomass (g DM m^{-2})	k (yr^{-1})	r^2	RD (g C m^{-2} yr^{-1})
DF	Fine	262 ± 128 (20)	0.42	0.98	45
	Medium	278 ± 152 (22)	0.25	0.91	31
	Coarse	750 ± 636 (58)	0.16	0.97	55
	Total	1290 (100)			131
EF	Fine	362 ± 104 (33)	0.29	0.98	46
	Medium	454 ± 194 (42)	0.14	0.91	30

	Coarse	276 ± 384 (25)	0.10	0.91	13
	Total	1092 (100)			89

Table 3 Annual sums (g C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$) of soil respiration (R_s), leaf litter decomposition (R_l), root respiration (R_r), belowground heterotrophic respiration (R_h), soil CO_2 efflux on trenched collars (R_{TC}), and decomposition of tree dead roots in trenched collars (R_d) from November 2014 through November 2015 (mean ± 1 SD, n = 5). Numbers in parentheses denote the percentage against R_s.

Site	R_s	R_l	R_r	R_h	R_{TC}	R_d
DF	1255 ± 401 (100)	211 ± 224 (17)	610 ± 447 (49)	434 ± 257 (35)	776 ± 83 (62)	131 (10)
EF	1139 ± 386 (100)	112 ± 118 (10)	393 ± 356 (35)	634 ± 248 (56)	835 ± 172 (73)	89 (8)

Table 4 Summary of tree fine root dynamics in the root mat and A horizon. Annual production (P), biomass change (ΔB), mortality (M), mean biomass (B) and turnover rates between November 2014 and November 2015 are shown as mean ± 1 SD (n = 5). Numbers in parentheses denote herbaceous fine root biomass.

Site	Root distribution	P (g DM m^{-2} $year^{-1}$)	ΔB (g DM m^{-2} $year^{-1}$)	M (g DM m^{-2} $year^{-1}$)	Mean B (g DM m^{-2})	Turnover rate ($year^{-1}$)
DF	Root mat	178 ± 24	-25 ± 43	204 ± 66	190 ± 58 (64 ± 14)	0.94 ± 0.42
	A horizon	190 ± 22	39 ± 73	151 ± 63	150 ± 44 (46 ± 14)	1.27 ± 0.44
	Total	368 ± 42	14 ± 67	355 ± 73	340 ± 58 (110 ± 20)	1.08 ± 0.36
EF	Root mat	158 ± 42	-58 ± 42	216 ± 49	258 ± 32	0.61 ± 0.17
	A horizon	194 ± 46	10 ± 71	185 ± 87	258 ± 40	0.75 ± 0.18
	Total	352 ± 62	-48 ± 96	400 ± 126	516 ± 38	0.68 ± 0.12

Table 5 Fitted parameters of the model (Eq. 8) (± 1 standard error).

	DF	EF
c (g C g DM^{-1})	0.32 ± 0.33	0.24 ± 0.20
d (g C g DM^{-1} day^{-1})	0.00041 ± 0.0019	0.00036 ± 0.0010

f (°C^{-1})	0.12 ± 0.21	0.094 ± 0.12
h (g C m^{-2} day^{-1})	0.94 ± 0.66	0.35 ± 0.68
Adjusted R^2	0.87	0.93
P value	0.087	0.065

Table 6 Annual sums (g C m^{-2} year^{-1}) of root respiration (R_r) in Table 3, tree fine root growth respiration (R_g), maintenance respiration (R_m), residual (h), and total ($R_g + R_m + h$) from November 2014 through November 2015. These values were calculated using Eq. 8 with parameters in Table 5. Numbers in parentheses denote the percentage against the total. Uncertainties (± 1 SD) were propagated from those of parameters and measurements.

	DF	EF
Rr	610	393
R_g	121 (19)	86 (22)
R_m	166 (26)	182 (46)
h	351 (55)	128 (32)
Total	638 (100)	396 (100)



Fig. 1 Layout of collars for control (CC), sampling (SC), litter removal (LC), and trenching (TC) treatments.



677

678 **Fig. 2** Seasonal variations in daily mean a) soil temperature (T_s) at a depth of 5 cm (n = 2) and b) soil water content

679 (SWC) at a depth of 3 cm (n = 4) from September 2014 through December 2015. SWC was measured at a

680 nearby re-growing forest site.

681



682

683 **Fig. 3** Seasonal variations in soil CO_2 efflux measured on SC and TC collars in a) DF and b) EF sites. Mean ± 1

684 SD are shown (n = 5).

685



686

687 **Fig. 4** Relationship between soil CO_2 efflux and soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm for SC and TC collars in a)

688 DF and b) EF sites. Mean ± 1 SD are shown (n = 5). An exponential equation (Eq. 3) is fitted significantly (P

689 < 0.001).

690



691

692 **Fig. 5** Temperature-normalized soil CO_2 efflux (R_b) against soil water content (SWC) of 5-cm-thick surface soil

693 for SC and TC collars in a) DF (at 14ºC) and b) EF (at 12 ºC) sites. Mean ± 1 SD are shown (n = 5).

694



695

696 **Fig. 6** Seasonal variations in a) fine root biomass in DF site, b) tree fine root biomass (*B*) in DF site, c) *B* in EF

697 site, d) tree fine root production (*P*) in DF site and e) *P* in EF site from November 2014 through November

698 2015. Mean ± 1 SD are shown (n = 5) in a) – c).

699



700

701 **Fig. 7** Relationship between tree fine root production (*P*) and soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm. Mean ± 1 SD

702 are shown (n = 5). A line is fitted significantly ($P < 0.05$).

703



704

705 **Fig. 8** Relationship between root respiration (R_r) and tree fine root production (*P*). A line is fitted significantly (p

706 < 0.05).