

Femoral to crural and distal-leg bypasses – five-year-period analysis in University Hospital Centre Zagreb

 **Josip Figl***,
 **Tomislav Meštrović**,
 **Ivan Brižić**,
 **Damir Halužan**,
 **Dino Papeš**,
 **Predrag Pavić**,
 **Andrea Crkvenac Gregorek**,
 **Irena Šnajdar**,
 **Ivan Škorak**

University of Zagreb School of Medicine, University Hospital Centre Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

KEYWORDS: critical limb ischemia, distal-leg bypass, femoral to crural bypass.

CITATION: *Cardiol Croat.* 2021;16(1-2):70. | <https://doi.org/10.15836/ccar2021.70>

***ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:** Josip Figl, Klinički bolnički centar Zagreb, Kišpatičeva 12, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia. / Phone: +385-1-2388-240 / E-mail: figlmd@gmail.com

ORCID: Josip Figl, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6755-8656> • Tomislav Meštrović, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3492-3837> • Ivan Brižić, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5874-8666> • Damir Halužan, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8587-8351> • Dino Papeš, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7241-9693> • Predrag Pavić, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0117-7663> • Andrea Crkvenac Gregorek, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7790-1347> • Irena Šnajdar, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4429-1095> • Ivan Škorak, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4900-4876>

The goal: To compare the results of most distal femoral bypasses in our institution with results in literature.

Patients and Methods: A single centre retrospective analysis of femoral to crural and distal-leg bypass performed in University Hospital Centre Zagreb between 2014 and 2018.

Results: Primary one-year patency is even a bit better in our hospital than in literature (80% vs 77% respectively) and secondary patency is in the range of the world literature (54% vs 68% respectively).

Conclusion: Surgical revascularization in form of the most distal bypasses, performed in this institution observed, although reserved for a smaller number of patients, is very good and reliable method of treatment, and its results are at the level of world standards and percentages.¹⁻³

RECEIVED:
November 13, 2020

ACCEPTED:
December 18, 2020



LITERATURE

1. Wölfle KD, Bruijnen H, Loeprecht H, Rügenapf G, Schweiger H, Grabitz K, et al. Graft patency and clinical outcome of femorodistal arterial reconstruction in diabetic and non-diabetic patients: results of a multicentre comparative analysis. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2003 Mar;25(3):229-34. <https://doi.org/10.1053/ejvs.2002.1849>
2. van der Zaag ES, Legemate DA, Prins MH, Reekers JA, Jacobs M.J. Angioplasty or bypass for superficial femoral artery disease? A randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2004 Aug;28(2):132-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2004.04.003>
3. Veith FJ, Gupta SK, Ascer E, White-Flores S, Samson RH, Scher LA, Towne JB, Bernhard VM, Bonier P, Flinn WR, et al. Six-year prospective multicenter randomized comparison of autologous saphenous vein and expanded polytetrafluoroethylene grafts in infrainguinal arterial reconstructions. *J Vasc Surg.* 1986 Jan;3(1):104-14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0741-5214\(86\)90073-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0741-5214(86)90073-X)