

VU Research Portal

Preprint Servers' Policies, Submission Requirements, and Transparency in Reporting and Research Integrity Recommendations

Malicki, Mario; Jeroncic, Ana; Ter Riet, Gerben; Bouter, Lex M; Ioannidis, John P A; Goodman, Steven N; Aalbersberg, IJsbrand Jan

published in JAMA 2020

DOI (link to publisher) 10.1001/jama.2020.17195

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

document license

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

Link to publication in VU Research Portal

citation for published version (APA)

Malicki, M., Jeroncic, A., Ter Riet, G., Bouter, L. M., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Goodman, S. N., & Aalbersberg, IJ. J. (2020). Preprint Servers' Policies, Submission Requirements, and Transparency in Reporting and Research Integrity Recommendations. *JAMA*, *324*(18), 1901-1903. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.17195

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

with and without COVID-19, respectively, and the percentage of males was 66.5% and 54.9%, respectively.

Ninety-two patients with COVID-19 and ARDS were propensity score matched to 92 patients with non-COVID-19 ARDS (Table). The etiologies for ARDS among the non-COVID-19-matched cohort were bacterial pneumonia (60%), aspiration (27%), influenza (7%), respiratory syncytial virus infection (2%), and *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia (2%). Patients with COVID-19 were more likely to develop gastrointestinal complications compared with those without COVID-19 (74% vs 37%; *P* < .001; incidence rate ratio, 2.33 [95% CI, 1.52-3.63]). The difference in incidence was more evident after the third day of critical illness (Figure). Specifically, patients with COVID-19 developed more transaminitis (55% vs 27%; P < .001), severe ileus (48% vs 22%; P < .001), and bowel ischemia (4% vs 0%; P = .04). Three of the 4 patients with COVID-19 and bowel ischemia were taken to the operating room and had intraoperative findings consistent with COVID-19 bowel as previously described in different patients.³ Pathology findings demonstrated fibrin thrombi in the microvasculature underlying areas of necrosis.

Discussion | This study found a higher rate of gastrointestinal complications, including mesenteric ischemia, in critically ill patients with COVID-19 compared with propensity scorematched patients without COVID-19, suggesting a distinct phenotype for COVID-19 compared with conventional ARDS. High expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptors along the epithelial lining of the gut that act as host-cell receptors for SARS-CoV-2 could explain involvement of abdominal organs. 5 Higher opioid requirements and COVID-19-induced coagulopathy may also explain the disproportionately high rate of ileus and ischemic bowel disease.² Differences in duration of illness did not seem to explain the differences in gastrointestinal complications. Limitations of this study include the single center and the unavailability of inflammatory markers to use for matching. Further translational studies are warranted to examine the pathophysiology of these findings.

Mohamad El Moheb, MD Leon Naar, MD Mathias A. Christensen, BSc Carolijn Kapoen, BSc Lydia R. Maurer, MD Maha Farhat, MD, MSc Haytham M. A. Kaafarani, MD, MPH

Author Affiliations: Division of Trauma, Emergency Surgery, and Surgical Critical Care, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston (El Moheb, Naar, Christensen, Kapoen, Maurer, Kaafarani); Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston (Farhat).

Corresponding Author: Haytham M. A. Kaafarani, MD, MPH, Harvard Medical School, Division of Trauma, Emergency Surgery, and Surgical Critical Care, 165 Cambridge St, Ste 810, Boston, MA 02114 (hkaafarani@mgh.harvard.edu).

Accepted for Publication: September 14, 2020.

Published Online: September 24, 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.19400

Author Contributions: Dr Kaafarani had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: El Moheb, Naar, Christensen, Maurer, Kaafarani.
Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.
Drafting of the manuscript: El Moheb, Farhat, Kaafarani.
Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.
Statistical analysis: El Moheb, Naar, Christensen, Maurer, Farhat, Kaafarani.
Administrative, technical, or material support: Kaafarani.
Supervision: Maurer, Farhat, Kaafarani.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Additional Contributions: We thank George Velmahos, MD, PhD, Division of Trauma, Emergency Surgery, and Surgical Critical Care, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, for his clinical expertise and advice. Dr Velmahos was not compensated for his contributions.

- 1. Hirsch JS, Ng JH, Ross DW, et al; Northwell COVID-19 Research Consortium; Northwell Nephrology COVID-19 Research Consortium. Acute kidney injury in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Kidney Int.* 2020;98(1):209-218. doi:10. 1016/j.kint.2020.05.006
- 2. Levi M, Thachil J, Iba T, Levy JH. Coagulation abnormalities and thrombosis in patients with COVID-19. *Lancet Haematol*. 2020;7(6):e438-e440. doi:10.1016/S2352-3026(20)30145-9
- 3. Kaafarani HMA, El Moheb M, Hwabejire JO, et al. Gastrointestinal complications in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Ann Surg.* 2020;272(2):e61-e62. doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000004004
- **4.** Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, et al; ARDS Definition Task Force. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. *JAMA*. 2012;307(23): 2526-2533.
- 5. Qi F, Qian S, Zhang S, Zhang Z. Single cell RNA sequencing of 13 human tissues identify cell types and receptors of human coronaviruses. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2020;526(1):135-140. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc. 2020.03.044

Preprint Servers' Policies, Submission Requirements, and Transparency in Reporting and Research Integrity Recommendations

Preprint servers are online platforms that enable free sharing of preprints, scholarly manuscripts that have not been peer reviewed or published in a traditional publishing venue (eg, journal, conference proceeding, book). They

 \leftarrow

Editorial page 1840



Related article page 1903



Supplemental content

facilitate faster dissemination of research, soliciting of feedback or collaborations, and establishing of priority of discoveries and ideas. However, they can also enable sharing of manuscripts that lack sufficient

quality or methodological details necessary for research assessment, and can help spread unreliable and even fake information.² Since 2010, more than 30 new preprint servers have emerged, yet research on preprint servers is still scarce.³ With the increase in the numbers of preprints and preprint servers, we explored servers' policies, submission requirements, and transparency in reporting and research integrity recommendations, as the latter are often perceived as mechanisms by which academic rigor and trustworthiness are fostered and preserved.⁴

Methods | We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of, to the best of our knowledge, all known preprint servers that do not limit posting of manuscripts to authors with specific institutional affiliations or study funding (eg, *Wellcome Open Research*) nor actively seek out peer reviewers (eg, *F1000*) (see the eAppendix in the Supplement for server

jama.com

JAMA November 10, 2020 Volume 324, Number 18

Table 1. Number of Records on Preprint Servers on May 29, 2020a

Server name	No. of records ^b	
arXiv	1 708 255	
Social Science Research Network (SSRN) ^c	802 602	
EconStor (economics and business studies)	119 864	
bioRxiv ^c	84 009	
RePEc/Munich Personal RePEc Archive	49 164	
PhilArchive	48 927	
Hyper Articles en Ligne (HAL)	48 610	
ViXra	35 827	
OSF Preprints ^c	17 174	
INA-Rxiv ^c	16 641	
Cryptology ePrint Archive	14 817	
Preprints.org ^c	14 052	
ChinaXiv ^c	13 682	
Research Square ^{c,d}	12 962	
Mathematical Physics Preprint Archive	9601	
PsyArXiv ^c	9475	
Social Science Open Access Repository (SSOAR)	8193	
JMIR Preprints ^c	7888	
Optimization Online	7531	
medRxiv ^c	5935	
SocArXiv	5497	
LingBuzz	5113	

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ Servers not listed above include the following 12 servers with greater than 500 and less than 5000 records: ChemRxiv, Authorea Preprint Repository, PhilSci-Archive. Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity. Zenodo. EarthArXiv, LawArXiv, engrXiv, Thesis Commons, e-LIS, Earth and Space Science Open Archive (ESSOAr), and Advance (SAGEpub). Also not listed above are the following 23 servers with less than 500 records: EdArXiv, Commons Open Repository Exchange (CORE)/Humanities Commons, MarXiv, Arabixiv, AgriXiv, LIS Scholarship Archive (LISSA), EcoEvoRxiv, SportRxiv, MindRxiv, APSA Preprints, PaleorXiv, MetaArXiv, AfricArXiv, ECSarXiv, IndiaRxiv, FrenXiv, MediArXiv. NutriXiv. BodoArXiv. OARR: Open Anthropology Research Repository, FocUS Archive, MitoFit Preprint Archives, and BioHackrXiv.

identification details). Between January 25 and March 31, 2020, M.M. analyzed servers' web pages that resembled instructions to authors traditionally found in scholarly journals, as well as servers' about, policy, and frequently asked questions pages. For each server, M.M. also went through the preprint submission process (without submitting a preprint) to check for additional information in the submission platforms (except for ChinaXiv, which required an email associated with a Chinese institution). Then, M.M. extracted data on explicit mentioning of 7 topics related to preprint policies, 6 to submission requirements, and 18 to transparency in reporting and research integrity that were deemed applicable across disciplines. The topics were informed by our previous analysis of journals' instructions to authors and topics unique to preprints (see the eAppendix in the Supplement for details).⁵ On May 29, the number of records

Table 2. Preprint Servers' Policies, Submission Recommendations, and Transparency in Reporting and Research Integrity Topics^a

	No. (%)	
	All servers (n = 57)	Health sciences servers (n = 10) ^b
Preprint policies		
Screening check	47 (82)	8 (80)
Before a preprint is made public	39 (68)	6 (60)
After a preprint is made public	8 (14)	2 (20)
Authors advised to check preprint policies of journals	40 (70)	9 (90)
Commenting section for preprints provided	39 (68)	9 (90)
Versioning of preprints guidance provided	30 (53)	8 (80)
Instructions to authors page provided	27 (47)	5 (50)
Direct transfer of preprints to or from journals enabled	10 (18)	6 (60)
Text mining of preprints allowed	7 (12)	3 (30)
Submission guidance		
Scope requirements	57 (100)	10 (100)
Specific (sub)discipline	41 (72)	3 (30)
All disciplines	10 (18)	5 (50)
All disciplines but for authors with region- or country-specific affiliation	6 (11)	2 (20)
Study type requirements (eg, experimental studies only)	31 (54)	6 (60)
Preprint structure recommended (eg, IMRaD)	19 (33)	7 (70)
Reference style recommended	16 (28)	5 (50)
Abstract guidance provided	12 (21)	5 (50)
(La)TeX format for submission allowed ^c	10 (18)	3 (30)
Transparency in reporting and research integrity		
Data sharing	22 (39)	5 (50)
Recommended	17 (30)	4 (40)
Required	4 (7)	1 (10)
Linking or uploading data allowed	1 (2)	0
Plagiarism addressed	15 (26)	4 (40)
ORCID ID recommended	14 (25)	5 (50)
Errata guidance provided	12 (21)	4 (40)
Conflicts of interest declaration required	9 (16)	4 (40)
Ethics approval declaration required	9 (16)	6 (60)
Funding declaration required	9 (16)	4 (40)
Authorship guidance provided	8 (14)	3 (30)
Null or negative results studies invited	6 (11)	0
ICMJE recommendations endorsed	5 (9)	2 (20)
Patenting addressed in relation to preprints	4 (7)	2 (20)
Replication studies invited	3 (5)	1 (10)
Reporting guidelines recommended	3 (5)	2 (20)
COPE recommendations endorsed	2 (4)	1 (10)
Image manipulation addressed	2 (4)	1 (10)
Study limitations reporting required	2 (4)	2 (20)
TOP guidelines endorsed	2 (4)	1 (10)
Statistical reporting guidance provided	0	0

Abbreviations: COPE, Committee on Publication Ethics; ICMJE, International Committee of Medical Journal Editors; IMRaD, Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion; ORCID ID, Open Researcher and Contributor ID; TOP, Transparency and Openness Promotion Guidelines

^b The term *records* is intentional, as not all servers have filters that clearly differentiate between preprints and published articles or account for duplicate records.

^c These servers allowed health sciences discipline selection during the submission process

^d For *Research Square*, only preprints not undergoing journal peer review were included.

^a Topics are listed in order of frequency mentioned on websites.

^b Ten servers allowed health sciences discipline selection during the submission process and hosted more than 500 such preprints on May 29, 2020: bioRxiv, ChinaXiv, INA-Rxiv, JMIR Preprints, medRxiv, OSF Preprints, Preprints.org, PsyArXiv, Research Square, and Social Science Research Network.

c (La)TeX is a text markup system often used in academia as an alternative to direct formatting systems (eg, Word or Pages).

that servers hosted was documented, and on July 6, it was documented whether servers allowed health sciences discipline selection during the submission process and whether they hosted more than 500 such preprints (servers' health sciences categories are listed in the data repository site).

Results | We analyzed 57 preprint servers that hosted approximately 3 million preprints in total. Of those, 10 servers hosted more than 500 health sciences preprints (Table 1). Of the 7 analyzed policies, the most commonly addressed across all servers was screening of preprints before or after they are made public (n = 47 [82%]) (Table 2). Two servers, Preprints.org and Research Square, used a screening checklist (the latter also provided a "badge" of passed checks). The most commonly addressed submission requirements were specifying the scholarly scope of preprints (n = 57 [100%]) and the study type allowed for deposit (n = 31 [54%]). Of the 18 analyzed recommendations on transparency in reporting and research integrity, preprint servers addressed a median of 1 recommendation (range, 0-11), most commonly data sharing (n = 22[39%]). These recommendations were more prevalent (median, 5; range, 0-11) for the 10 servers with more than 500 health sciences preprints.

Discussion | Although most preprint servers used screening checks for preprints, they provided little explicit guidance on issues that are important for transparency in reporting and research integrity. Disciplinary differences observed for such recommendations in journals⁵ were also present for preprint servers, with more recommendations addressed by servers hosting more than 500 health sciences preprints. The study limitations include data extraction by 1 author, that analyzed topics were not comprehensive, and that many topics were more prominently discussed and therefore may be more commonly addressed in the biomedical literature. Also, servers may follow policies and scholarly standards that are not explicitly mentioned on their websites. Nevertheless, there is an opportunity for servers to encourage and require transparent reporting of research, adherence to research integrity standards, and detailed statements of policies and submission requirements. In doing so, they could improve quality and trust in scholarly information exchange.

Mario Malički, MD, MA, PhD Ana Jerončić, MSc, PhD Gerben ter Riet, MD, PhD Lex M. Bouter, MSc, PhD John P. A. Ioannidis, MD, DSc Steven N. Goodman, MD, PhD IJsbrand Jan Aalbersberg, MSc, PhD

Author Affiliations: Meta-Research Innovation Center at Stanford (METRICS), Stanford University, Stanford, California (Malički, Ioannidis); Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia (Jerončić); Urban Vitality Centre of Expertise, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (ter Riet); Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (Bouter); Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California (Goodman); Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (Aalbersberg).

Corresponding Author: Mario Malički, MD, MA, PhD, Meta-Research Innovation Center at Stanford (METRICS), Stanford University, Medical School Office Bldg, 1265 Welch Rd, Stanford, CA 94305 (mario.malicki@mefst.hr).

Accepted for Publication: August 21, 2020.

Author Contributions: Drs Malički and Aalbersberg had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Malički, Jerončić, ter Riet, Bouter, Ioannidis, Aalbersberg. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Malički, Jerončić, ter Riet, Goodman. Aalbersberg.

Drafting of the manuscript: Malički.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors. Statistical analysis: Malički.

Obtained funding: Aalbersberg.

Supervision: ter Riet, Bouter, Ioannidis, Aalbersberg.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Aalbersberg reported being senior vice president of research integrity for Elsevier, and Elsevier owns the preprint server *SSRN*. Dr ter Riet reported receiving research grants from Elsevier. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: Elsevier funding was awarded to Stanford University for a METRICS postdoctoral position that supported Dr Malički's work on the project.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The roles of Dr Aalbersberg (Elsevier employee) and Dr Malički (postdoctoral support by Elsevier funding) are listed in the author contributions.

- Chiarelli A, Johnson R, Richens E, Pinfield S. Accelerating scholarly communication: the transformative role of preprints. Zenodo. Published online September 29, 2020. doi:10.5281/zenodo.3357727
- 2. Ferrara E. What types of COVID-19 conspiracies are populated by Twitter bots? *arXiv*. Preprint posted April 20, 2020. doi:10.5210/fm.v25i6.10633
- 3. Rittman M. Preprint servers. Google Docs. Accessed Aug 12, 2020. https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/17 RgfuQcGJHKSsSJwZZnOoiXAnimZu2sZsWp8Z6ZaYYo/edit#gid=0
- **4.** Resnik DB, Master Z. Policies and initiatives aimed at addressing research misconduct in high-income countries. *PLoS Med.* 2013;10(3):e1001406. doi:10. 1371/journal.pmed.1001406
- 5. Malički M, Aalbersberg IJ, Bouter L, ter Riet G. Journals' instructions to authors: a cross-sectional study across scientific disciplines. *PLoS One*. 2019;14 (9):e0222157. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0222157

Submissions and Downloads of Preprints in the First Year of *medRxiv*

Preprint servers offer a means to disseminate research reports before they undergo peer review and are relatively new to clinical research.¹⁻⁴ *medRxiv* is an independent, not-for-

 \leftarrow

Editorial page 1840



Related article page 1901

profit preprint server for clinical and health science researchers that was introduced in June 2019. A central question was whether there would be adoption of a new ap-

proach to dissemination of pre-peer-review science. Now, a year after its establishment, we report *medRxiv*'s submissions, posts, and downloads.

Methods | We used data from the *medRxiv* website, ⁵ internal data, and Altmetric.com from launch on June 11, 2019, through June 30, 2020. We assessed submissions, postings, abstract views, downloads, comments, and withdrawals. We also looked at submissions and postings before coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (July 1 through December 31, 2019)

jama.com

JAMA November 10, 2020 Volume 324, Number 18