



University of Canberra

This thesis is available in print format from the University of Canberra Library.

If you are the author of this thesis and wish to have the whole thesis loaded here, please contact the University of Canberra Library at *e-theses@canberra.edu.au* Your thesis will then be available on the www providing greater access.

The ecology and sex
determination of the pig-nosed
turtle, *Carettochelys insculpta*, in
the wet-dry tropics of Australia

By

J. Sean Doody
B.S. Zool., M.S. Biol. Sci.

A thesis submitted to the University of Canberra in fulfillment of the requirements of
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am thankful to the very many volunteers that contributed to the field work during the three years in the NT, including A. Anselin, R. Alderman, K. Beggs S. Broomhall, A. Chariton, B. Christiansen, J. Davidson, C. Dean, M. Ewert, N. Freestone, S. Gentry, E. Guarino, L. Hateley, M. Heath, D. Hunter, J. Kirby, B. Kropp, I. Longo, J. Love, K. McCallie, N. McElhinney, M. McKenzie-Gay, K. Mikac, A. Miners, M. Pauza, A. Pepper, S. Thomson, R. Sims, S. Sims, J. Sites, M. Skelly, L. Snedden, A. Swindle, B. Taylor, R. Taylor, S. Thomson, D. Tolson, H. Webb, J. Webb, L. Webb, M. Welsh, G. West, and P. West. I am particularly grateful to J. Young's invaluable help in every phase of the field work, and the study would not be half of what it is without her help. I thank R. Taylor for the raw data he collected for his honours, which he was unfortunately unable to complete. I am grateful to B. & E. Doyle, P. Hausler and the Douglas Daly Research Farm (DPI), P & A. Howie, L. & T. Dunn, J. & R. Lucas, J. O'Neal for logistical support. I also thank O. Price and NT Parks and Wildlife Commission for logistical support. In Canberra I thank A. Georges for advice and support in all phases of the study. Without his academic excellence none of this would have been possible, and he raised the level of my research dramatically. I thank my coauthors for their valuable contributions in the field and for their input to drafts of the manuscripts that comprise part of this thesis. I thank the various anonymous reviewers of manuscripts and K. Dodd, P. Doughty, R. Kennett, P. Pritchard, A. Rhodin, R. Sims, and A. Tucker for improving drafts of certain manuscripts or chapters. I thank W. Osborne and the other academics of the Applied Ecology Research Group for the many discussions that improved my way of thinking with regards to science and to my knowledge as a biologist and a

herpetologist. I thank my parents who helped from afar financially and with encouragement. Finally, I thank R. Sims for her unconditional support in every way possible.

ABSTRACT

Much of what we know about temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) in reptiles stems from constant temperature incubation studies in the laboratory. In recent years, as TSD studies moved into the field it became evident that TSD was much more complex than previously thought. The present study attempted to reveal the complexity of TSD, as it relates to other features of the species' biology and physical characteristics tractable only in the field, such as fluctuations in incubation temperature and reproductive life history. To this end I studied the ecology of the turtle *Carettochelys insculpta*, a TSD species inhabiting the wet-dry tropics of northern Australia from 1996 to 1998. I tested hypotheses associated with movements, activity, behaviour, reproduction, nest site choice, nest temperatures, embryonic survival, embryonic aestivation, hatch-ling sex ratios, and emergence in the species. Each of these was also considered in the context of the influence of the wet-dry tropics.

Compared to other turtles inhabiting lotic habitats, *C. insculpta* occupied considerably larger home ranges, covering up to 10 km of river. Of previously published factors influencing home range size, low productivity of the (micro) habitat may best explain the extensive home ranges in *C. insculpta*. Patchiness and low nutrient value of the chief food (aquatic vegetation) of *C. insculpta* may force turtles to cover large expanses of river to acquire sufficient energy for growth and reproduction. Females were more active, moved farther, and occupied larger home ranges than males. Home ranges of females comprised 1-4 activity centres, many of which were associated with thermal springs. I suggest that females may exhibit increased activity and movements relative to males because of sexual inequality in parental investment, where food is particularly limiting (e.g., in species with biennial

reproduction). Biennial reproduction in the population allowed the examination of the influence of reproductive condition on home range size, movements, and activity.

Reproductive condition did not influence home range or activity, but gravid turtles moved farther between successive sightings than non-gravid females. Individual data corroborate these findings, with females moving farther between successive sightings while gravid compared to while spent. Contrary to previous reports, turtles did not appear to move into estuarine areas or lowland flood plains during the wet season, but moved into the riparian forest and possibly into wetlands adjacent to the main channel in the vicinity of their dry season home ranges.

During the study I documented the turtles' use of small, localized thermal springs discharging from the river bottom. Dataloggers attached to the carapace to monitor ambient water temperatures recorded the frequency and duration of thermal spring use by individuals. Turtles used the thermal springs frequently during the winter (4-6 months) when river temperatures were lower than that of the thermal springs ($8 = 29 \pm 0.52^\circ \text{C}$). Turtles often utilized thermal springs for several consecutive hours, leaving the springs only to surface for air. Thermal springs may be derived from ground water (which maintains a temperature equivalent to the annual mean air temperature), rather than from a specific geothermal heat source. Nine of 19 radio-telemetered adult females were seen to use thermal springs, of which seven were gravid and two non-gravid. Thus, gravid turtles may seek thermal springs more than non-gravid turtles. Frequency, duration, and timing of usage collectively suggest active thermoregulation as the primary function of thermal spring use. Utilization of thermal springs probably permits turtles to be more active in cooler months, which may enhance growth rates and accumulation of energy for reproduction. Onset of nesting along river stretches with thermal springs preceded nesting in a stretch not

known to have thermal springs by 24 days. Thus, I speculate that by warming themselves on thermal springs in the months prior to nesting, turtles may have accelerated follicular development and nested earlier.

Female *C. insculpta* matured at ca. 6 kg body mass (38.0 cm carapace length, 30.5 cm plastron length). Turtles produced egg sizes and clutch sizes similar to that of other turtle species of similar size. Turtles reproduced every second year, but produced two clutches in each breeding year, ca. 40 days apart. Thus, it appeared that females were energy limited, possibly due to the low available energy content of the dry season diet (aquatic vegetation). Life history theory predicts that if some costly behaviour is associated with reproduction, skipping years could reduce that cost and allow savings to be directed into future reproduction. The present study revealed no obvious accessory behaviour in the population. Within years, clutch mass did not differ between early (first) and late (second) clutches. However, earlier clutches tended to have more and smaller eggs per clutch but than later clutches, a new finding for turtles that has been demonstrated in lizards and other animals. Because the study spanned both years with 'big' and 'small' wet seasons, I was able to examine how the magnitude of the wet season influenced reproductive characteristics. Following big wet seasons turtles produced larger, heavier, and more eggs per clutch than they did after small wet seasons. Relationships among body size, egg size, and clutch size were evident after two big wet seasons but not apparent after two small wet seasons. Collectively, annual variation in reproductive characteristics and current life history theory suggest that a big wet season is a plentiful time for the turtles.

I investigated beach selection of nesting pig-nosed turtles (*Carettochelys insculpta*) along a 63 km stretch of river in 1997 and 1998. I used three classes of beaches to examine beach choice: beaches with nests, beaches with only crawls, and

beaches without nests or crawls. Across these beach types I compared aspect, solar exposure, temperature, substrate moisture, height, water depth at approach, and the height of cohesive sand. I located 82 nesting beaches with 221 nests, and identified 171 potential nesting beaches based on previously published criteria. Beaches with nests had a greater substrate moisture content and corresponding higher cohesive sand line (hereafter CSL) than beaches without nests. Beaches with nests also had a higher CSL than beaches with only crawls. Apparently, turtles could not excavate a nest chamber above the CSL due to loose substrate consistency causing sand to fall in on itself. Turtles could only nest at low elevations below the CSL on beaches with lower substrate moisture. Turtles apparently avoided nesting on these beaches due to the higher probability of nest flooding, as corroborated by a concurrent study. Beach temperatures increased with a seasonal increase in air temperatures, and were influenced by aspect and total angle of solar exposure. Temperatures did not differ among beaches with nests, beaches with only crawls, and beaches without crawls or nests. Therefore, there was no indication that turtles were manipulating offspring sex through choice of nesting beach. However, turtles may be manipulating sex by nesting in areas with particular thermal characteristics *within* beaches.

Two related aspects of hatchling emergence were studied. Using emergence phenology data, nest temperatures, historical weather data, and a developmental model, I tested the hypothesis that delayed hatching occurred in *C. insculpta*, and that such a delay would allow hatchlings to time their emergence to match the onset of the wet season. Hatchling *C. insculpta* emerged, on average, 17 days later than dates predicted from a developmental model. Combined with observations of hatchlings remaining in eggs until emergence, these results confirmed delayed hatching in nature. This delay was synchronized with initial river rises associated with the onset

of wet season rains, and is consistent with published criteria for embryonic aestivation. On a diel scale, I generated predictions of two potentially competing models for nocturnal emergence in hatchling turtles, based on the knowledge that air temperatures decrease with season during the emergence period. A test of those predictions for *C. insculpta* produced ambiguous results. However, further analysis indicated that *C. insculpta*, and probably other nocturnally emerging turtle species, respond to a decline in diel temperature rather than an absolute temperature. The former would ensure nocturnal emergence, while the latter is experienced during the day as well as at night. Nocturnal emergence may be associated with nesting in open microhabitats.

The 'decision' of when and where to nest can influence both offspring survival and hatchling sex ratios in animals with temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD). Knowledge of how these maternal attributes influence the incubation environment is an important first step in hypothesizing why TSD evolved in a particular species. I studied the influence of nest site choice and timing of nesting on embryonic survival and hatchling sex ratios. Predation and flooding were the major sources of embryonic mortality. Embryonic survival was influenced by both lay date and nest site choice: In one year when nesting began later, nests laid later and at lower elevations were destroyed by early wet season river rises. In other years early nesting precluded flood mortality. However, turtles did not nest at the highest available elevations. I hypothesized that turtles were unable to nest at higher elevations because the sand was dry and not cohesive. A field experiment demonstrated that turtles were constrained to nest at lower elevations where they could construct a nest chamber. A mathematical model predicting hatchling sex from fluctuating temperatures was applied to temperature data from 102 natural nests. Results

confirmed a type la pattern of TSD, whereby males are produced from cooler temperatures and females from warmer temperatures. The principal determinant of hatchling sex was lay date. Clutches laid earlier in the season produced mainly males, while later clutches yielded mostly females, due to seasonal ramping of air and sand temperatures. However, nest site choice also exerted an influence on hatchling sex. Female-producing clutches were deposited at higher elevations than male-producing clutches. The onset of nesting was not influenced by water temperatures, but may have been related to the magnitude of the previous wet season(s). Turtles nested earlier after two 'big' wet seasons and later following two 'small' wet seasons. This pattern indicates that the wet season is a plentiful time for the turtles. Adaptive 'differential fitness' models for the evolution of TSD have recently been reviewed and clarified. The differential fitness model that best fits *C. insculpta* is the 'time-matching' model, whereby one sex benefits more than the other from early hatching. Male *C. insculpta* hatched 2-3 weeks earlier than females, on average. Benefit to early hatching males and, therefore, the ultimate selective mechanism (e.g., growth, time to mature) is unknown. Obtaining such data will likely prove difficult in such a long-lived species.

A recent adaptive explanation for the evolution and maintenance of temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) in reptiles rests upon the assumption that mothers can predict or manipulate offspring sex. I postulated that four physiological and behavioural criteria must be met in order for this assumption to be valid: (1) a strong correlation must exist between substrate temperatures during nest site choice and nest temperatures during the period of development when sex is determined in the egg (thermosensitive period = TSP). (2) Assuming that (1) is possible, mothers would need to be capable of correcting for temporal factors obscuring the predictable thermal

characteristics of nest sites. This could be accomplished in two ways. By contracting nesting times mothers could assess the relative temperatures of alternate nest sites with some accuracy. A protracted distribution of nesting times could greatly reduce a mother's ability to distinguish between, for example, a cooler nest site at a warmer time and a warmer nest site at a cooler time. Alternatively, mothers would need to be able to incorporate temporal changes in nest site temperatures. (3) Sufficient variation in thermal profiles *among* nest sites, relative to the breadth of temperatures producing both sexes (pivotal temperatures), would be necessary. For example, if most nests produced both sexes, then depth of the eggs would be the deciding factor determining sex, leaving little opportunity for nest site choice to produce one sex or the other. (4) Mothers would need access to nest sites spanning a range of thermal profiles in order to produce either offspring sex. To this end, home range size relative to the number and location of nesting beaches should be important. I tested these four predictions in *Carettochelys insculpta*, a beach nesting turtle with TSD, using three years of field data on nest site choice, nesting times, thermal characteristics of nests, hatchling sex ratios, and movements of nesting turtles. A strong positive correlation existed between assessable substrate temperatures at nest site choice and mean daily TSP temperatures in all three years. However, the proportion of explained variation was highly variable among years, and low in 1998. Accordingly, the proportion of nests in which substrate temperatures at nest site choice predicted offspring sex correctly was low in 1998 (48-62 %, depending on treatment of the data). Nesting times were normally distributed, and combined with diel changes in nest site temperatures greatly reduce a turtle's ability to distinguish between sites that would produce different sexes. Considerable among-clutch variation in thermal profiles to produce variable sex ratios existed, agreeing with other studies on turtles. Radiotelemetry indicated that home ranges

encompassed several nesting beaches with differing thermal profiles, indicating scope for producing the desired sex. However, the seasonal increase in air temperatures resulted in an overriding effect of mostly males being produced in early (first) clutches and mainly females being produced in late (second) clutches. Collectively, the results suggest that *C. insculpta* mothers would find it difficult to predict, and therefore, manipulate hatchling sex, supporting the conventional notion that TSD mothers have little or no control over offspring sex.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	2
List of Figures.....	7
List of Tables.....	11
Declaration of Originality.....	13
Abstract.....	14
Acknowledgments.....	22
 CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	
Background and Aims.....	24
Pig-nosed Turtle Biology.....	30
The Study Site.....	31
 CHAPTER 2: MOVEMENT PATTERNS AND ACTIVITY	
Sex differences in activity and movements in the pig-nosed turtle, <i>Carettochelys insculpta</i> , in the wet-dry tropics of Australia (Copeia 2002:93-103)	
INTRODUCTION.....	33
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	35
RESULTS.....	38
Home range size, movements, and activity.....	38
Activity centres and microhabitat use.....	49
Movements associated with nesting.....	50
Wet season locations.....	50
Long-term site fidelity.....	51
DISCUSSION.....	52
 CHAPTER 3: BEHAVIOUR	
Use of thermal springs for aquatic basking by the pig-nosed turtle, <i>Carettochelys insculpta</i> (ms <i>in press</i> , Chelonian Conservation and Biology)	

INTRODUCTION.....	60
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	61
RESULTS.....	64
Description of thermal springs.....	64
Frequency and duration of thermal spring use.....	64
Gravid vs. non-gravid turtles.....	64
Temporal patterns.....	71
Microhabitat temperatures.....	71
Timing of nesting.....	71
DISCUSSION.....	72

CHAPTER 4: REPRODUCTION

Twice every two years: Reproduction in the pig-nosed turtle, *Carettochelys insculpta*, in the wet-dry tropics of Australia (ms to be submitted to Journal of Zoology)

INTRODUCTION.....	77
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	79
RESULTS.....	81
Number of nests and radiographed turtles.....	81
Size at maturity.....	81
Clutch frequency.....	81
Clutch size, egg size, and clutch number.....	81
Magnitude of the wet season.....	90
Inter-nesting intervals and egg retention.....	91
DISCUSSION.....	91

CHAPTER 5: BEACH SELECTION

Beach selection in nesting pig-nosed turtles, *Carettochelys insculpta* (ms in review, Journal of Herpetology)

INTRODUCTION.....	101
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	102
RESULTS.....	104
Number of beaches and nests.....	104
Beach selection.....	104
Beach temperatures and their determinants.....	112
DISCUSSION.....	113

CHAPTER 6 : EGGS AND HATCHLINGS

Embryonic aestivation and emergence behaviour in the pig-nosed turtle,
Carettochelys insculpta (Canadian Journal of Zoology 79:1062-1072).

INTRODUCTION.....	117
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	119
RESULTS.....	122
Embryonic aestivation.....	122
Timing of emergence and rainfall.....	123
Timing of emergence and the onset of the wet season.....	123
Emergence times.....	123
Emergence temperatures.....	133
Other behaviour.....	134
DISCUSSION.....	134

CHAPTER 7: HATCHLING SEX RATIOS AND EMBRYONIC SURVIVAL

Early males and less late females: determinants of reproductive success and hatchling sex and embryonic survival in the pig-nosed turtle (*Carettochelys insculpta*) (ms prepared for submission to Oecologia)

INTRODUCTION.....	144
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	147

RESULTS	152
Number of nests	152
Timing of nesting	153
Nest site choice	172
Nest height experiment	173
Nest temperatures	173
Hatching and emergence dates	173
Embryonic survival	174
Hatchling sex ratios	175
DISCUSSION	176
CHAPTER 8: CAN TURTLES PREDICT OFFSPRING SEX?	
Can turtles predict offspring sex? (ms prepared for Ecology)	
INTRODUCTION	187
MATERIALS AND METHODS	190
RESULTS	193
Sex differences in egg size	193
Predicting TSP temperatures and offspring sex during nest site choice	193
Nesting times and temperatures	203
Among nest variation in temperatures and sex production	203
DISCUSSION	203
CHAPTER 9: SYNOPSIS	
SYNOPSIS	208
REFERENCES	217
APPENDIX 1	244
A novel technique for gathering turtle nesting and emergence phenology data (Herpetological Review 31:220-222).	

APPENDIX 2 247

The Territory's intriguing turtles (Australian Geographic 58:22-23).

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1.** Combined observations or activity centres of female *C. insculpta*, showing locations of thermal springs, dense ribbonweed beds, and nesting beaches 43
- Figure 2.2.** Typical examples of dry season activity centres for individual male and female *C. insculpta*, showing larger home range and greater number of activity centres in females..... 44
- Figure 2.3.** Influence of sex on microhabitat use by *C. insculpta*..... 45
- Figure 2.4.** Examples of sequential movements of two individual *C. insculpta*, showing nesting events and fidelity to thermal springs at the one and eight km marks 46
- Figure 2.5.** Point locations of 18 *C. insculpta* during the wet season when the Daly River was in flood 47
- Figure 2.6.** Flood levels during the study (1996) at Dorisvale Crossing, near the study area 48
- Figure 3.1.** Photos showing how thermal springs become conspicuous after being used by turtles, and of a male *C. insculpta* approaching and 'basking' on a thermal spring 65
- Figure 3.2.** Thermal profile revealing how a turtle used a thermal spring for an extended period of time (ca. 9 hours) during early morning 66
- Figure 3.3.** A 20-day temperature trace from a female *C. insculpta*, showing thermal spring use 67
- Figure 3.4.** Mean daily water temperatures for the Daly River during 1996-98...68
- Figure 3.5.** Example of environmental temperatures of different microhabitats available to *C. insculpta* in the Daly River on 11-12 August..... 69

Figure 3.6. Differences in timing of nesting of <i>C. insculpta</i> in particular stretches of river.....	70
Figure 4.1. Frequency distribution of mature female <i>C. insculpta</i> , based on radiograph data.....	85
Figure 4.2. Clutch size distribution of <i>C. insculpta</i> for 1996-1998 combined.....	86
Figure 4.3. Annual variation in egg dimensions of <i>C. insculpta</i> for the years 1986 and 1996-1998.....	87
Figure 4.4. Annual variation in the magnitude of the wet season, as indexed by mean monthly river levels prior to each year of the study (1995-96, 1996-97, 1997-98), in the year preceding the first year of the study (1994-95), in the year prior to data collection in 1986, and a 38-year average (1960-1998).....	88
Figure 5.1. Temporal variation in number of <i>C. insculpta</i> nests found during 63 km trips along the Daly River in 1997 and 1998.....	107
Figure 5.2. Example of how nesting turtles avoided exiting the water in places with submergent aquatic vegetation.....	108
Figure 5.3. Maximum and minimum substrate temperatures from 33 beaches in 1998.....	109
Figure 5.4. Seasonal increase in beach temperatures with the onset of spring.....	110
Figure 5.5. Influence of aspect, or direction of the slope of the beach, on beach temperature.....	111
Figure 6.1. Evidence for embryonic aestivation in <i>C. insculpta</i>	127
Figure 6.2. Photographs of hatchling <i>C. insculpta</i> emerging from the nest, as taken by remote cameras mounted above.....	128
Figure 6.3. Timing of emergence in <i>C. insculpta</i> is consistent with the first river rises	

of the wet season.....	129
Figure 6.4. Emergence time, temperature, and cooling rate of nests in relation to emergence of <i>C. insculpta</i> hatchlings.....	130
Figure 6.5. Tests of the three predictions generated from hypotheses for nocturnal emergence.....	131
Figure 6.6. Typical temperature trace of a <i>C. insculpta</i> nest relative to day/night).....	132
Figure 7.1. Annual variation in timing of nesting of <i>C. insculpta</i> during 1996-1998, showing a five-week maximum difference in the onset of nesting between years.....	161
Figure 7.2. Lack of association between the onset of nesting and water temperatures for <i>C. insculpta</i> during 1996-1998.....	162
Figure 7.3. The onset of nesting may covary with annual variation in the magnitude of the previous wet season.....	163
Figure 7.4. Top view of a nesting beach used by <i>C. insculpta</i> , showing location of nests.....	164
Figure 7.5. Graphical model for heights of nest sites chosen by <i>C. insculpta</i>	165
Figure 7.6. Experimental layout (top view) of the 'nest height' experiment, showing alternating experimental and control 'bands'.....	166
Figure 7.7. Lay date influences nest temperatures, reflecting a seasonal increase in air and sand temperatures during the nesting season of <i>C. insculpta</i>	167
Figure 7.8. Annual variation in mortality of <i>C. insculpta</i> nests during 1996-1998.....	168
Figure 7.9. Annual variation in hatchling sex ratios of <i>C. insculpta</i> nests during 1996-1998 (top graph).....	169
Figure 7.10. Timing of nesting and season determines sex ratios of hatchling <i>C.</i>	

<i>insculpta</i>	170
Figure 7.11. Hatchling sex production in <i>C. insculpta</i> as a function of the mean daily temperature during the thermosensitive period (TSP).....	171
Figure 8.1. Relationship between substrate temperatures of <i>C. insculpta</i> nest sites at nesting and the mean daily temperature during the thermosensitive period (TSP).....	199
Figure 8.2. Time of nesting events for 20 <i>C. insculpta</i> (top graph), and substrate temperatures at those times (bottom graph).....	200
Figure 8.3. Annual variation in hatchling sex ratios of <i>C. insculpta</i> nests during 1996-1998.....	201
Figure 8.4. Hatchling sex production in <i>C. insculpta</i> , as a function of the mean daily temperature during the thermosensitive period (TSP).....	202
Figure 9.1. Integration of factors determining hatchling sex and embryonic survival in <i>C. insculpta</i>	215

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Descriptive data for individual <i>C. insculpta</i> obtained by radio-telemetry.....	40
Table 2.2. Home range size, movements, and activity of male and female <i>C. insculpta</i>	41
Table 2.3. Influence of female reproductive condition on home range size, movements, and activity of male and reproducing female <i>C. insculpta</i>	42
Table 4.1. Annual variation in reproductive characteristics of <i>C. insculpta</i>	82
Table 4.2. Influence of clutch (first vs. second) on reproductive attributes in <i>C. insculpta</i>	83
Table 4.3. Summary of annual variation in reproductive patterns and flood mortality of <i>C. insculpta</i> , and magnitude of the wet season during 1996-98.....	84
Table 5.1. Comparison of physical attributes between beaches with <i>C. insculpta</i> nests and beaches without nests.....	105
Table 5.2. Comparison of physical attributes between beaches containing <i>C. insculpta</i> nests and beaches containing only crawls.....	106
Table 6.1. Predictions of incubation (inc.) period of <i>C. insculpta</i> embryos by developmental model, compared to observed incubation periods.....	124
Table 6.2. Primary emergence times and nesting habitats of various turtle species gleaned from the literature.....	126
Table 7.1. Clutch correlates of lay date in <i>C. insculpta</i> during 1996-98.....	154
Table 7.2. Nest site attributes for <i>C. insculpta</i> nests in 1996-1998.....	155
Table 7.3. Comparisons of <i>C. insculpta</i> nest site attributes with availability of those	

attributes within a nesting beach	156
Table 7.4. Influence of timing of nesting, nest site attributes, and nest depth on nest temperatures in <i>C. insculpta</i>	157
Table 7.5. Statistical results from stepwise discriminant function analysis of embryonic survival and hatchling sex in <i>C. insculpta</i> , as explained by lay date, nest site attributes, and nest depth	158
Table 7.6. Statistical results from stepwise discriminant function analysis of embryonic (nest) survival in <i>C. insculpta</i> as explained by lay date, nest site attributes, and nest depth	159
Table 7.7. A spatial factor, height of the nest site above water, influences hatchling sex in <i>C. insculpta</i> when lay date is held constant, or nearly so	160
Table 8.1. Egg mass compared between eggs producing male and those producing female hatchling <i>C. insculpta</i>	194
Table 8.2. <i>C. insculpta</i> nests, their beaches, lay dates, developmental and thermal profiles, and hatchling sexes (predicted and actual)	195