

University of Canberra

This thesis is available in print format from the University of Canberra Library.

If you are the author of this thesis and wish to have the whole thesis loaded here, please contact the University of Canberra Library at *e-theses@canberra.edu.au* Your thesis will then be available on the www providing greater access.

A COMPARISON OF FIELD AND LABORATORY TESTING OF SPORTS SPECIFIC FITNESS FOR FEMALE FIELD HOCKEY PLAYERS

Nining Widyah Kusnanik

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Applied Science at the University of Canberra

December 2001

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the following people for their support whilst undertaking this thesis:

- Associate Professor Alan Roberts who was my project supervisor. He provided me continuous support, encouragement, sound advice and checked my drafts and ideas for long hours. He also provided me with much valued advice, feedback, criticism, and organisation of the field and laboratory equipment and instructions and helped me in gathering the subjects.
- Each study subject who formed the basis of this research.
- Kelly Linaker and Ben Rattray who helped me with great assistance during the testing in Australia.
- Prof. Dr. Primadi Tabrani, the Indonesian Hockey President, who gave me permission to collect data on the Indonesian female field hockey players and provided me some information about hockey in Indonesia.
- Drs. Djamilus and Hafiludin, S.Pd who helped me during the testing in Indonesia.
- Peter Fogarty and Tom Kaveney who allowed their athletes to participate in this study.
- Don Jones who provided some information about hockey and helped me by reading my drafts.
- My family who provided continued support throughout my academic career especially, my parents who looking after my daughter Cindy Olga Rizky.

ii

ABSTRACT

There are many methods to measure the physical fitness of athletes, including tests that can be applied in the field or in the laboratory. Much of the recent research with regard to fitness of team sport players has been undertaken using laboratory testing to measure aerobic power, anaerobic power and capacity, strength and flexibility. Field tests are an alternative method to measure the fitness of players without the expense, time and expertise required for the laboratory testing, especially in developing countries.

The purpose of this study is to establish procedures for the application of contemporary sports science practice for Indonesian female field hockey players, including determination of the precision of field tests of the physical and performance characteristics of field hockey players in Indonesia; determination of the physical and performance characteristics of Indonesian female field hockey players; identification of the performance demands and distance covered during competitive field hockey at the national level in Indonesia; comparison of the physical and performance characteristics of national level female field hockey players in Indonesia with those of club level players in Australia; and determination of the relationships between field and laboratory tests of physiological performance capacity for field hockey.

This study was conducted on 26 Indonesian and 11 Australian female field hockey players. The mean values for age, height, mass, BMI and skinfold thickness of the Indonesian players were 22.0 ± 3.4 years, 156.3 ± 4.9 cm, 51.2 ± 6.2 kg, 20.9 ± 2.0 kg·m⁻², and 99.4 ± 17.9 mm, respectively. The mean values for VO₂max (20m srt), VJ, leg power, SBJ, sit and reach, and 40m sprint were 38.7 ± 3.2 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, 39.3 ± 4.3 cm, 70.7 ± 1.0 kg·m·sec⁻¹, 183.3 ± 17.0 cm, $+14.7\pm5.7$ cm, 6.99 ± 0.39 s, respectively.

The mean HR values at the end of the first and second half of a game of field hockey were 171.0 ± 8.7 bpm and 161.3 ± 18.2 bpm, respectively. The mean values for blood lactate concentration at the end of the first and second half of play were 5.3 ± 1.2 mmol·L⁻¹ and 5.1 ± 1.7 mmol·L⁻¹, respectively. The mean total distance covered by the players during the complete game was 2841.8±432.2m. The mean time spent walking and at more than walking pace (jogging, running and sprinting) during the game were 46:08min and 24:18min, respectively. The mean values for temperature and humidity were $38.2\pm4.1^{\circ}$ C and $57.5\pm9.8\%$, respectively.

The mean values for age, height, mass, BMI and skinfold thickness of the Australian players were 24.0 ± 3.9 years, 165.7 ± 3.4 cm, 64.8 ± 4.1 kg, 24.0 ± 1.6 kg·m⁻², and 77.9 ± 17.3 mm, respectively. The mean values for VO₂max (20m srt), VJ, leg power, SBJ, sit and reach, and 40m sprint were 42.1 ± 4.7 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, 37.5 ± 4.4 cm, 87.2 ± 7.0 kg·m·sec⁻¹, 174.9 ± 18.3 cm, $+11.2\pm6.4$ cm, and 6.46 ± 0.30 s, respectively. The mean values for VO₂max (treadmill running), isokinetic strength, 10 sec maximal ergometer sprint test, and 5 x 6 sec repeat effort cycle ergometer test were 46.3 ± 3.8 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, 88.2 ± 10.6 N·m (left hamstring), 58.1 ± 13.8 N·m (right hamstring), 152.9 ± 27.0 N·m (left quadriceps), 152.6 ± 19.2 N·m (right quadriceps), $58.6\pm6.9\%$ (left hamstring/quadriceps ratio), $57.9\pm5.3\%$ (right hamstring/quadriceps ratio), 103.7 ± 10.8 J·kg⁻¹ (total work), 12.5 ± 1.0 W·kg⁻¹ (peak power), 261.2 ± 25.6 J·kg⁻¹ (total work), $9.3\pm5.4\%$ (work decrement) and $5.7\pm3.8\%$ (power decrement),

respectively. The mean values for temperature and humidity were $11.8\pm1.8^{\circ}C$ (outdoor), $20.5\pm3.3^{\circ}C$ (indoor), $60.4\pm2.6\%$ (outdoor) and $50.6\pm6.8\%$ (indoor), respectively.

There were no significant differences between Indonesian and Australian players in age, VO_2max (20m SRT), VJ, SBJ, S&R, and acceleration measurements (p>0.05). However, there were significant differences between Indonesian and Australian players in height, mass, BMI, skinfold thickness, leg power, speed, and combined acceleration and speed measurements (p<0.05). The Australian players were significantly taller and heavier than the Indonesian players. The Indonesian players. The Australian players had significantly higher values for leg power than the Indonesian players. The Australian players. The Australian players had significantly higher values for leg power than the Indonesian players.

Among the Australian players there was a significant difference between the VO₂max value during treadmill running and the VO₂max estimated from the 20m srt (t=0.003, p<0.05). There was a significant correlation between the VO₂max value during treadmill running and the VO₂max estimated from the 20m srt (r=0.66, p<0.05). The correlations between the leg power (estimated from the vertical jump test) and the isokinetic power of the quadriceps muscle group was not significant (p>0.05). The correlation between the running speed (estimated from the combined acceleration and speed score from the 40m sprint test) and the peak power from the 10s maximal ergometer sprint test (r=-0.55) was not significant (p>0.05).

In conclusion, the present study found that the Indonesian female field hockey players (at the national level) were comparable to the Australian female field hockey players (at the club level) in some physical and performance test results. However, they were also different on other physical and performance characteristic measurements, with the Indonesian players generally have lower values, for other performance measurements.

CONTENTS PAGE

PAGE NO.

TITLE PAGE	;
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS	
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION OF REQUIREMENTS	
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION OF REQUIREMENTS	
CONTENTS PAGE	
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURESx	
ABBREVIATIONSxv	'11
CHAPTER 1 – LITERATURE REVIEW1	
INTRODUCTION.	
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF FIELD HOCKEY	
FIELD HOCKEY IN INDONESIA	
TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT OF FIELD HOCKEY4	
PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES TO PLAYING FIELD HOCKEY	,
PHYSICAL AND PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF FIELD	
HOCKEY PLAYERS	
Age8	3
Height1	1
Massl	5
Body Mass Index (BMI)18	}
Skinfold Thickness	l
Percentage Body Fat24	ŀ
Somatotype27	,
Maximal Oxygen Uptake (VO ₂ max)29	
Strength	
Grip Strength	
Isokinetic Strength	
Anaerobic Power Capacity35	
Standing Broad Jump	

L

Stairclimb Velocity	36
Vertical Jump	
Wingate Test	40
10 Seconds Maximal Ergometer Sprint	40
5 x 6 Seconds Repeat Effort Test (Cycle Ergometer)	42
Acceleration and Speed	44
Flexibility	45
SUMMARY OF THE LITERATURE REVIEW	47
PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	
LIMITATIONS AND DELIMITATIONS	50

CHAPTER 2 – METHODS	51
OVERVIEW	51
The Indonesian Female Field Hockey Players	51
Subjects	51
Procedures	51
Physical Characteristics	52
Age	
Height	
Mass	52
BMI	52
Skinfold Thickness	53
Physical Performance Tests	53
VO ₂ max	53
Vertical Jump	
Standing Broad Jump	56
Sit and Reach	56
Acceleration and Speed	57
Game Analysis	57
Heart Rate	
Blood Lactate	
Distance Covered	58
Time Spent Walking/Running	59

.

Environmental Conditions	
Statistical Analysis	59
The Australian Female Field Hockey Players	60
Subjects	60
Procedures	60
Physical Characteristics	60
Age	60
Height	60
Mass	61
BMI	61
Skinfold Thickness	61
Physical Performance Tests	61
Field Tests	61
VO ₂ max	61
Vertical Jump	62
Standing Broad Jump	62
Sit and Reach	62
Acceleration and Speed	62
Laboratory Tests	63
VO ₂ max	63
Isokinetic Strength and Power	65
10 Seconds Maximal Ergometer Sprint	66
5 x 6 Seconds Repeat Effort Test (Cycle Erg	ometer)66
Environmental Conditions	68
Statistical Analysis	68
CHAPTER 3 – RESULTS	
The Indonesian Female Field Hockey Players	
Physical Characteristics	
Age	
Height	
Mass	
BMI	
Skinfold Thickness	69

i,

Physical Performance Tests70
VO ₂ max70
Vertical Jump70
Standing Broad Jump70
Sit and Reach71
Acceleration and Speed71
Game Analysis71
Heart Rate71
Blood Lactate72
Distance Covered72
Time Spent Walking/Running75
Environmental Conditions75
The Australian Female Field Hockey Players
Physical Characteristics
Age77
Height77
Mass77
BMI77
Skinfold Thickness77
Physical Performance Tests78
Field Tests78
VO ₂ max78
Vertical Jump78
Standing Broad Jump78
Sit and Reach78
Acceleration and Speed78
Laboratory Tests
VO ₂ max79
Isokinetic Strength79
Isokinetic Power80
10 Seconds Maximal Ergometer Sprint
5 x 6 Seconds Repeat Effort Test (cycle Ergometer)81
Environmental Conditions81

.

Comparison of Physical Characteristics and Physical Performance
Test Results between the Indonesian and Australian Female Field Hockey
Players
Physical Characteristics84
Physical Performance Test Results
Comparison of Field and Laboratory Tests of the Australian Female
Field Hockey Players
CHAPTER 4 – DISCUSSION104
Physical and Physiological Demands of Playing Field Hockey Game at the
National Level in Indonesia104
Heart Rate104
Blood Lactate
Distance Covered105
Time Spent Walking/Running106
Comparison of Physical Characteristics and Physical Performance Field
Test Results between the Indonesian and Australian Female Field Hockey
Players
Physical Characteristics107
Age107
Mass108
BMI109
Skinfold Thickness
Physical Performance Tests
Vertical Jump
Standing Broad Jump
Sit and Reach
VO ₂ max
Acceleration and Speed
Physical Performance Characteristics of the Australian Female Field
Hockey Players Measured from Laboratory Tests
VO ₂ max

Isokinetic Strength1	16
Isokinetic Power	117
10 Seconds Maximal Ergometer Sprint1	18
5 x 6 Seconds Repeat Effort Test (Cycle Ergometer)	19
Environmental Conditions1	21
Comparison of Field and Laboratory Tests of the Australian Female	
Field Hockey Playersl	22
CONCLUSIONS	123
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	.24
REFERENCES	125

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Mean values for age (years) of field hockey playersderived from literature reports.	9
Table 2: Mean values for body height (cm) of field hockey players derived from literature reports.	12
Table 3: Mean values for body mass (kg) of field hockey players derived from literature reports.	16
Table 4: Mean values for BMI (kg·m ⁻²) of field hockey players derived from literature reports.	19
Table 5: Mean values for sum of skinfolds (mm) of field hockeyplayers derived from literature reports.	23
Table 6: Mean values for body fat (%) of field hockey players derived from literature reports.	25
Table 7: Mean values for somatotype of field hockey players derived from literature reports.	28
Table 8: Mean values for VO ₂ max (ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹) of the field hockey players derived from literature reports.	31
Table 9: Mean values for grip strength (kg) and isokinetic strength (N·m·kg ⁻¹) of field hockey players derived from literature reports.	34
Table 10: Mean values for standing broad jump (cm) and stairclimb velocity (m·s ⁻¹) of field hockey players derived from literature reports.	37
Table 11: Mean values for vertical jump (cm) of field hockey players derived from literature reports.	39
Table 12: Mean values for 10 seconds work (J·kg ⁻¹) and peak power (W·kg ⁻¹) of field hockey players derived from literature report.	41
Table 13: Mean values for 5 x 6 seconds maximal ergometersprint (J·kg ⁻¹), work decrement (%) and power decrement(%) of field hockey players derived from literature report.	43

l T

Table 14: Mean values for acceleration and speed (s) of fieldhockey players derived from literature report.	46
Table 15: Mean values for physical and performancecharacteristics of field hockey players derived fromliterature reports.	48
Table 16: Skinfold measurements on the female field hockeyplayers (adapted from Norton et al. 2000).	54
Table 17: Physical characteristics, physical performance testresults, and game analysis results of the Indonesianfemale field hockey players.	76
Table 18: Physical characteristics and physical performancetest results of the Australian female field hockey players.	83
Table 19: The weighted mean values for physical characteristicsand physical performance test results for female field hockeyplayers from the literature review and from the present study.	115
Table 20: The weighted mean values for physical performance testsresults for female field hockey players from the literaturereview and from the present study.	120

- - --

i

•

..

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: The Indonesian female field hockey players performing the 20 metre shuttle run test.	55
Figure 2: An Australian female field hockey player performing the VO_2max test in the laboratory.	64
Figure 3: An Australian female field hockey player performing an isokinetic strength and power in the laboratory.	67
Figure 4: Mean heart rate responses of the athletes to playing field hockey.	73
Figure 5: Lactic acid responses of the athletes to playing field hockey (X±SD).	74
Figure 6: Values for age (years) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	86
Figure 7: Values for height (cm) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	87
Figure 8: Values for body mass (kg) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	88
Figure 9: Values for BMI (kg·m ⁻²) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	89
Figure 10: Values for skinfold thickness (mm) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	90
Figure 11: Values for vertical jump (cm) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	91
Figure 12: Values for leg power (kg·m·sec ⁻¹) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	92
Figure 13: Values for standing broad jump (cm) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	93
Figure 14: Values for sit and reach (cm) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	95
Figure 15: Values for VO ₂ max (ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	96

•	16: Values for acceleration (s) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	97
•	17: Values for speed (s) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	98
U	18: Values for combined acceleration and speed (s) of the Indonesian and Australian female field hockey players (X±SD).	99
0	19: Correlation between the VO ₂ max (ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹) during treadmill running and the VO ₂ max estimated from the 20 metre shuttle run test.	101
•	20: Correlation between the leg power $(kg \cdot m \cdot sec^{-1})$ and the isokinetic power $(N \cdot m)$.	102
0	21: Correlation between the 40 metres sprint (s) and the 10 seconds maximal ergometer sprint $(J \cdot kg^{-1})$.	103

I

ļ

ABBREVIATIONS

Selected abbreviations used throughout the text

b·m⁻¹: breaths per minute bpm: beats per minute cm: centimetre/centimetres °C: temperature in degrees centigrade F_EO_2 : fractions of oxygen in expired air F_ECO_2 : fractions of carbon dioxide in expired air HR: heart rate/heart rates J: joule/joules J·kg⁻¹: joules per kilogram kg: kilogram/kilograms kg·m⁻²: kilograms per metre square **kJ**·min⁻¹: kilojoules per minute km: kilometre/kilometres kg·m·sec⁻¹: kilograms metre per second La: lactic acid L.min⁻¹: litres per minute m: metre/metres **mm:** millimetre/millimetres **ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹:** millilitres per kilogram per minute ml·min⁻¹: millilitres per minute **mmol**·L⁻¹: millimoles per litre $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{s}^{\cdot 1}$: metres per second N·m: Newton metres N·m·kg⁻¹: Newton metres per kilogram s: second/seconds 30°-sec⁻¹: 30 degrees per second 60° · sec⁻¹: 60 degrees per second 180°-sec⁻¹: 180 degree per second 20m srt: 20 metres shuttle run test

V_EQ O₂: ventilatory equivalents of oxygen
V_EQ CO₂: ventilatory equivalents of carbon dioxide
VCO₂: volume of carbon dioxide

VO₂: volume of oxygen

VO₂max: maximum oxygen uptake

W: watts

•

۰.

W·kg⁻¹: watts per kilogram